

Syddansk Universitet

Exceptional and Spinorial Conformal Windows

Mojaza, Matin; Pica, Claudio; Rytov, Thomas Aaby; Sannino, Francesco

Published in:
Physical Review D (Particles, Fields, Gravitation and Cosmology)

DOI:
[10.1103/PhysRevD.86.076012](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.076012)

Publication date:
2012

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Mojaza, M., Pica, C., Rytov, T., & Sannino, F. (2012). Exceptional and Spinorial Conformal Windows. Physical Review D (Particles, Fields, Gravitation and Cosmology), 86(7), 076012. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.86.076012

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Exceptional and Spinorial Conformal Windows

Matin Mojaza^{♥,*}, Claudio Pica^{♥,†}, Thomas A. Rytto^{*,‡} and Francesco Sannino^{♥,§}

[♥] *CP³-Origins & the Danish Institute for Advanced Study DIAS,*

University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark. and

^{*} *Center for the Fundamental Laws of Nature - Jefferson Physical Laboratory*

Harvard University - Cambridge, MA 02138 - USA

We study the conformal window of gauge theories containing fermionic matter fields, where the gauge group is any of the exceptional groups with the fermions transforming according to the fundamental and adjoint representations and the orthogonal groups where the fermions transform according to a spinorial representation. We investigate the phase diagram using a purely perturbative four loop analysis, the all-orders beta function and the ladder approximation.

Preprint: CP³-Origins-2012-016 & DIAS-2012-17

arXiv:1206.2652v1 [hep-ph] 12 Jun 2012

* mojaza@cp3-origins.net

† pica@cp3-origins.net

‡ ryttov@physics.harvard.edu

§ sannino@cp3-origins.net

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the past few decades strongly interacting theories have continued to pose a considerable challenge for theoretical physicists. In recent years a thorough and comprehensive study of the phase diagram of non-supersymmetric gauge theories has been undertaken, for a review see [1]. In brief, the renewed interest is due to the fact that a better knowledge of the nature of the conformal window can lead to the correct theory behind electroweak symmetry breaking. These investigations can also be used for the construction of models of composite dark matter, reviewed in [1], and inflation [2]. The first series of works were dedicated to the study of $SU(N)$ gauge theories with fermions transforming according to higher dimensional representations of the gauge group [3, 4]. In [3] it was first realized that only two Dirac fermions were needed in order to be near, or within, the conformal window. Subsequently the study was generalized to non-supersymmetric $SO(N)$ and $Sp(2N)$ gauge theories [5] and to supersymmetric gauge theories with superfields belonging to arbitrary representations of the gauge group [6]. The status for the conformal window of chiral gauge theories was summarized and further extended in [1]. Except for the supersymmetric case, and the case of the chiral gauge theories, all the investigations were done primarily using the ladder approximation [7–9].

However, it was clear that one cannot rely on just one crude approximation and new techniques had to be developed in order to obtain a firmer grip on the phase diagram. Inspired by the work of Seiberg [10] in supersymmetric gauge theories and its use of the NSVZ beta function [11] to bound the conformal window an all-orders beta function for fermionic gauge theories was conjectured [12]. Using additional consistency checks it was found that the original beta function had to be slightly corrected in order to accommodate known analytical results [13]. It is worth emphasizing that the all-orders beta function is shape preserving when approaching the supersymmetric limit and that the beta function is written linearly in the anomalous dimension of the mass similarly to the supersymmetric case. Also a related form of an all-orders beta function was conjectured in [14, 15].

One of the key outcomes using the all-orders beta function is its prediction of the anomalous dimension of the mass at a fixed point. In general it was found that the anomalous dimension was somewhat smaller than what was expected from the ladder approximation. Therefore a third method using higher orders perturbation theory was utilized [16, 17]. Both the beta function and the anomalous of the mass were computed to the fourth loop order in the \overline{MS} scheme in [18, 19] which made it possible to investigate the corrections of higher loop orders in perturbation theory to

the anomalous dimension at a fixed point. In general it was found that the anomalous dimension decreases when one includes higher orders signaling the accuracy and potential exactness of the all-orders beta function. The study of higher loop orders in supersymmetric theories has also been done to the three loop level in the \overline{DR} scheme [20]. Here the same tendency as in the nonsupersymmetric case with a decreasing anomalous dimension at the fixed point is found.

Finally we note that also the conformal house of non-supersymmetric gauge theories with fermions transforming according to multiple representations of the gauge group has been of interest [21, 22] while the non-trivial consistency checks of the conformal window using dualities have been considered in [23–25].

A quick search through the literature reveals that a considerable amount of the work done so far has been focused primarily on $SU(N)$ gauge theories with fermions transforming according to higher dimensional representations while using a variety of different techniques. Only a few scattered departures away from this direction of research has been carried out. This is certainly an incomplete survey of all possible non-supersymmetric gauge theories. We therefore take the analysis one step further and exhaust the investigations by studying also theories with exceptional gauge groups and theories with fermions transforming according to spinorial representations. This is done using a number of different techniques that have all gained their respect in the case of an $SU(N)$ gauge group. They are

- The four loop beta function and anomalous dimension of the mass.
- The all-orders beta function.
- The ladder approximation.

In the past the use of the exceptional groups and the spinorial representations has found applications in many fields of particle physics including the famous examples of Grand Unified Theories (GUT's) and string theory. Following the famous work on the $SU(5)$ GUT [26] where the SM fermions are assigned to the conjugate fundamental and the two-indexed antisymmetric representation other unifying gauge groups were proposed. Specifically the orthogonal group $SO(10)$ was considered where a single generation of SM fermions filled out a complete 16 dimensional spinorial representation [27, 28]. As opposed to the original $SU(5)$ theory the $SO(10)$ theory also allowed the inclusion of a right handed neutrino. Similarly the exceptional group E_6 - which has $SO(10)$ as a subgroup - can be used as a unifying gauge group to which all the SM interactions and matter particles can be neatly incorporated [29]. For an early review see [30]. Also in string theory

the use of exceptional groups has found its way. Consistency requires that one of the heterotic string theories has $E_8 \times E_8$ as gauge group [31].

From the discussion above it is clear that our analysis might not only provide useful insight into the construction of viable theories able to dynamically break the electroweak theory but it might also shed light on how an eventual Grand Unified Theory should materialize, as well as dark matter and composite inflation.

Furthermore lattice investigations of exceptional groups [32, 33] with the aim to elucidate the relation between chiral symmetry and confinement are already present in the literature granting further support to the present study.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section II we introduce the various methods and techniques used in our analysis. In Section III and IV we provide the results respectively for the exceptional groups and the spinorial representations.

II. METHODS AND TECHNIQUES

We start by giving a brief description of all the methods that we shall employ to estimate the critical number of flavors above which an IR fixed point is reached. We denote the generators in the representation r of an arbitrary group by T_r^a , $a = 1 \dots d(G)$. Here $d(r)$ is the dimension of the representation r and the adjoint representation is denoted by G . The generators are normalized according to $\text{Tr} [T_r^a T_r^b] = T(r) \delta^{ab}$ while the quadratic Casimir $C_2(r)$ is given by $T_r^a T_r^a = C_2(r) I$. The trace normalization factor $T(r)$ and the quadratic Casimir are connected via $C_2(r) d(r) = T(r) d(G)$.

Consider a non-abelian gauge group and a set of fermions transforming according to a specific representation of the gauge group. The loss of asymptotic freedom is signaled by the change of sign in the first coefficient of the beta function. The number of flavors for which this occurs is

$$\bar{n}_f = \frac{11}{4} \frac{C_2(G)}{T(r)}. \quad (1)$$

Just below this number of flavors the two loop beta function has an infrared fixed point away from the origin. This is the Banks-Zaks perturbative fixed point. As one decreases the number of flavors one expects the fixed point to disappear.

A. Four Loop Analysis

We first extend the Banks-Zaks perturbative analysis to the maximum known order, to date, in perturbation theory. This will allow to extract relevant information on the perturbative infrared

fixed point analysis for the theories investigated here.

The beta function of a gauge theory with a set of fermions transforming according to an arbitrary representation of the gauge group has been computed to four loop order in the \overline{MS} scheme [18]. Also the anomalous dimension of the mass is known to this order in the same scheme [19]. They are given by

$$(8\pi)^{-1} \frac{d\alpha}{d \ln \mu} \equiv \beta(\alpha) = -\beta_0 \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}\right)^2 - \beta_1 \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}\right)^3 - \beta_2 \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}\right)^4 - \beta_3 \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}\right)^5, \quad (2)$$

$$-\frac{d \ln m}{d \ln \mu^2} \equiv \frac{\gamma(\alpha)}{2} = \gamma_0 \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} + \gamma_1 \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}\right)^2 + \gamma_2 \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}\right)^3 + \gamma_3 \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}\right)^4. \quad (3)$$

The various coefficients are given in Appendix A and m is the fermion mass. We use the beta function above to determine the location and type of zeros for the theories investigated here and determine for the infrared fixed point the associated anomalous dimension. We provide also the results for the lower boundary of the conformal window within the four-loop analysis with the caveat that, of course, higher orders as well as non-perturbative corrections are expected to contribute.

It is worth mentioning that the four-loop analysis has been useful for the determination of the anomalous dimensions of $SU(N)$ gauge theories with fermions in various representations of the gauge group. In fact, recent first principle lattice simulations have provided results in reasonable agreement with the theoretical predictions [34].

The results from this method will be shown together with the other methods in the next section.

B. All-orders Beta Function

Recently an all-orders beta function for nonsupersymmetric fermionic gauge theories has been proposed [12, 13]. It is given in terms of the anomalous dimension and reads

$$\beta(\alpha) = -\left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}\right)^2 \frac{\beta_0 + \frac{\beta_1(\bar{n}_f)}{2\bar{n}_f\gamma_0} n_f \gamma}{1 - \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \frac{\beta_1^{\gamma M}}{\beta_0^{\gamma M}}}. \quad (4)$$

This beta function is written in terms of the first two universal coefficients as well as the first universal coefficient of the anomalous dimension. These are the only scheme independent quantities. \bar{n}_f is the number of flavors above which asymptotic freedom is lost. At the infrared zero of the beta function we have

$$\gamma^* = \frac{C_2(r)}{2T(r)n_f} \frac{121C_2(G) - 44T(r)n_f}{7C_2(G) + 11C_2(r)}. \quad (5)$$

At the infrared fixed point the anomalous dimension is scheme independent and therefore this estimate can be used for any non-supersymmetric vector-like gauge theory with fermions in a given matter representation.

C. Ladder Approximation

By studying a truncated version of the Dyson-Schwinger equation for the fermion propagator one obtains an estimate for the value of the coupling constant for which the formation of a chiral condensate is triggered and chiral symmetry breaks

$$\alpha_c = \frac{\pi}{3C_2(r)}. \quad (6)$$

To determine when the theory loses conformality traditionally one compares the two-loop infrared fixed point value of α with the estimate above. The two-loop value is:

$$\alpha_{IR} = -4\pi \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1}. \quad (7)$$

Here the number of flavors is chosen such that the first coefficient of the beta function is larger than zero while the second coefficient is less than zero. Equating the critical value of the coupling above with the value at the IR fixed point yields, what one believes to be, the number of flavors marking the phase boundary of the conformal window

$$n_f = \frac{C_2(G)}{T(r)} \frac{17C_2(G) + 66C_2(r)}{10C_2(G) + 30C_2(r)}. \quad (8)$$

In this approach, at this point the anomalous dimension of the mass is expected to be of the order unity.

III. CONFORMAL WINDOW FOR THE EXCEPTIONAL GROUPS

Besides the classical Lie groups there are also five exceptional ones. These are denoted by G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7 and E_8 . In Table I we summarize the various group invariants for the fundamental and adjoint representations. One should note that for G_2, F_4, E_6 and E_7 the fundamental and adjoint representation are distinct while for E_8 they coincide. The tensor d^{abcd} , which appears at four loops, vanishes for all the exceptional groups, see Appendix A. Therefore we do not need to compute the fourth order index I_4 . All we need is the trace normalization factors, $T(r)$, and the quadratic Casimir, $C_2(r)$, for the fundamental and adjoint representations.

	G_2	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8
$C_2(G)$	$4b$	$9b$	$12b$	$18b$	$30b$
$T(F)$	b	$3b$	$3b$	$6b$	–
$C_2(F)$	$2b$	$6b$	$\frac{26}{3}b$	$\frac{57}{4}b$	–
N_F	7	26	27	56	–
N_G	14	52	78	133	248

TABLE I: Relevant group factors for the exceptional groups. b is the normalization factor of the Killing form (or equivalently the quadratic Casimir of the adjoint representation) as discussed in [18, 35]. The canonical choice for the normalization is $b = 1$.

In Tables II and III are provided the various critical number of fermion flavors, as described in the previous section. The upshot of the result is illustrated in Fig. 1 .

In Appendix B is provided the four loop zeroology, i.e. the full structure of zeros in the four loop beta functions, for the exceptional groups.

The anomalous dimension at the perturbative fixed point as a function of the number of flavors is given in Appendix C .

Fundamental	G_2	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8
Asymptotic freedom	11	8.25	11	8.25	–
Four Loops $\gamma^* = 1$	NA	4.39	6.16	4.83	–
All orders $\gamma^* = 1$	5.15	4.17	5.67	4.33	–
Ladder	8	6.1	8.17	6.16	–
Loss of 4-loop FP	4.50	2.97	3.83	2.75	–

TABLE II: Table of various critical number of flavors when the fermions are in the fundamental representation. The first list yields the value for which asymptotic freedom is lost. The next three correspond to the critical number of flavors above which an IR fixed point is reached according to the i) four loop beta function and anomalous dimension, ii) the all-orders beta function and iii) the ladder approximation. For all three methods the critical number of flavors has been determined by, or corresponds to, the value of the anomalous dimension at the fixed point being one, $\gamma^* = 1$. The last list of critical number of flavors marks the loss of the IR fixed point in the four loop beta function. NA implies that for that specific theory the four loop anomalous dimension at the fixed point never reaches the value one (see Fig. 6b).

Adjoint	G_2	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8
Asymptotic freedom	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
Four Loops $\gamma^* = 1$	1.70	1.72	1.73	1.73	1.73
All orders $\gamma^* = 1$	1.51	1.51	1.51	1.51	1.51
Ladder	2.08	2.08	2.08	2.08	2.08
Loss of 4-loop FP	0.75	0.80	0.80	0.81	0.82

TABLE III: Table of various critical number of flavors, as described in Table II, for fermions in the adjoint representation.




FIG. 1: For the exceptional groups we cannot change the number of colors and therefore the lines refer only to the number of flavors per given group. Therefore, we can only construct conformal *lines* in the flavor space. Nevertheless, we keep referring to them as conformal *windows*. We plot these lines as bars for the exceptional gauge theories with fermion matter transforming under the fundamental representation. The upper bound on the window is the asymptotic freedom limit, while the lower border is where the four loop fixed point is lost. The legend indicates the estimates from the methods described on the critical number of flavors where large distance conformality is lost. For E_8 the fundamental and adjoint are identical representations. The results for the theories with fermions in the adjoint representation are almost identical to the one of E_8 , as can be inspected from Table III.

IV. SPINORIAL REPRESENTATIONS

Below we summarize the group invariants for the spinorial (for short, spin) representations of $SO(N)$. For odd N the spin representation is real while for even N the spin representation is complex (chiral).

Using the expressions in Table IV it is straightforward to compute the critical number of flavors

$SO(N)$ Representation	$T(r)/b$	$C_2(r)/b$	d
S_{real} (Odd N)	$2^{\frac{N-7}{2}}$	$\frac{N(N-1)}{16}$	$2^{\frac{N-1}{2}}$
S_{chiral} (Even N)	$2^{\frac{N-8}{2}}$	$\frac{N(N-1)}{16}$	$2^{\frac{N-2}{2}}$
G	$N-2$	$N-2$	$\frac{N(N-1)}{2}$

TABLE IV: Relevant group factors for the spinorial representations of the $SO(N)$ group. As described in Table I, the canonical choice is $b = 1$.

where asymptotic freedom is lost:

$$\bar{n}_f = \frac{11}{4} (N-2) 2^{-\frac{N}{2}} \kappa(S), \quad (9)$$

where $\kappa(S)$ is the spinor representation dependent factor. For the real spinor representation of $SO(N)$, with N odd it reads:

$$\kappa(S_{\text{real}}) = 2^{7/2} = 8\sqrt{2}. \quad (10)$$

For the chiral spinor representation with N even it instead reads:

$$\kappa(S_{\text{chiral}}) = 2^4 = 16. \quad (11)$$

We provide also the explicit expression for the index I_4 of the spin representations as defined in [18]. This also appeared in the Appendix of [35]. For the real spin representation of $SO(N)$, with $N \geq 5$ odd we find:

$$I_4(S_{\text{real}}) = -2^{\frac{N-9}{2}} b^2, \quad (12)$$

while, for the chiral spin representation of $SO(N)$, with N even and ≥ 6 :

$$I_4(S_{\text{chiral}}) = -2^{\frac{N-10}{2}} b^2, \quad (13)$$

where in both cases b is the normalization factor of the Killing form (or equivalently the quadratic Casimir of the adjoint representation) as discussed in [18, 35]. The canonical choice is $b = 1$. In the case of $SO(3)$ and $SO(4)$ the index I_4 simply vanishes.

In Figure 2 is plotted the conformal window of $SO(N)$ gauge theories with fermion matter transforming under the spinorial representation. In the same figures are also shown the various critical values of the number of flavors. The precise numerical values of these are provided in Table V.




FIG. 2: Conformal window of $SO(N)$ gauge theories with fermion matter transforming under the spinorial representation of the gauge group. The upper bound is the asymptotic freedom limit, while the lower border of the window is where the four loop fixed point is lost. The various estimates of the critical number of flavors where long distance conformality is lost, are shown as given by the legend. All estimates on the critical number of flavors has been determined by, or corresponds to, the value of the anomalous dimension being one, $\gamma^* = 1$. Note that the four loops $\gamma^* = 1$ estimate is not applicable for $SO(N < 8)$. The all orders and four loop results are in surprisingly good agreement.

The zerology of the spinorial representations can be classified into four distinct topological structures. In Figure 3 four representative plots are shown for each topological structure with classification given for all $SO(N)$ groups.

In Appendix C is shown the anomalous dimension at the perturbative fixed point order-by-order in perturbation theory for some of the $SO(N)$ groups.

N	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Asymptotic Freedom	16.5	22.	13.75	16.5	9.62	11.	6.19	6.88	3.78	4.12	2.23	2.41	1.29	1.38	0.73
Four Loops $\gamma^* = 1$	NA	NA	NA	7.7	4.91	6.01	3.55	4.08	2.31	2.56	1.41	1.54	0.83	0.9	0.48
All Orders $\gamma^* = 1$	7.29	10.1	6.53	8.07	4.82	5.63	3.23	3.65	2.03	2.25	1.23	1.34	0.72	0.78	0.42
Ladder	11.87	15.94	10.03	12.11	7.1	8.16	4.61	5.14	2.84	3.1	1.69	1.82	0.98	1.05	0.56
Loss of 4-loop FP	7.35	9.04	5.44	6.35	3.61	4.03	2.19	2.38	1.25	1.34	0.7	0.76	0.38	0.41	0.2

TABLE V: Table of various critical number of flavors, as described in Table II, for fermions in the spinorial representation of $SO(N)$.




FIG. 3: The four distinct *zerologies* of $SO(N)$ gauge theories, as found from the four loops beta functions. The particular groups shown are $SO(5)$, $SO(10)$, $SO(13)$ and $SO(23)$. Red curves correspond to IR fixed point solutions for the given number of flavors, while blue curves correspond to UV fixed point solutions.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

This work completes the analytic investigation of the conformal window for any asymptotically free gauge group featuring fermionic matter. We discovered that every gauge group has a nontrivial phase diagram relevant when considering various extensions of the standard model.

To draw the phase diagrams we have used vastly different analytical approaches. Remarkably we have found an extremely good agreement for the prediction of the lower boundary of the conformal window as well as the anomalous dimension of the fermion mass operator using these different methods. We can therefore argue for the presence of an underlying universal structure

associated to the phase diagram of any non-supersymmetric gauge theory featuring fermionic matter. The universal structure embodies the existence of a conformal window for a finite number of flavors. The window, however, for the exceptional groups shrinks to a conformal line in the number of flavors.

What remains to be understood is whether the transition from the conformal to non-conformal phase is of walking [36–39] or jumping type [40]. The Dyson-Schwinger analysis, in its most rudimentary incarnation, is unable to address this issue.

One potentially interesting avenue to explore is the extension of these theories to include other kind of matter fields, even bosonic in nature [41–44]. This extensions may lead to new interesting examples of calculable conformal and walking windows [44] or could be used to elucidate the spectral dynamics when conformality is lost [42, 43]. Furthermore these extensions could be of more immediate use for grand unified extensions of the standard model.

Appendix A: Coefficients of the Beta Function and the Anomalous Dimension

The four loop beta function coefficients are [18]:

$$\beta_0 = \frac{11}{3}C_2(G) - \frac{4}{3}T(r)n_f, \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{34}{3}C_2(G)^2 - 4C_2(r)T(r)n_f - \frac{20}{3}C_2(G)T(r)n_f, \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{2857}{54}C_2(G)^3 + 2C_2(r)^2T(r)n_f - \frac{205}{9}C_2(r)C_2(G)T(r)n_f - \frac{1415}{27}C_2(G)^2T(r)n_f \\ + \frac{44}{9}C_2(r)T(r)^2n_f^2 + \frac{158}{27}C_2(G)T(r)^2n_f^2, \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\beta_3 = C_2(G)^4 \left(\frac{150653}{486} - \frac{44}{9}\zeta_3 \right) + C_2(G)^3T(r)n_f \left(-\frac{39143}{81} + \frac{136}{3}\zeta_3 \right) \\ + C_2(G)^2C_2(r)T(r)n_f \left(\frac{7073}{243} - \frac{656}{9}\zeta_3 \right) + C_2(G)C_2(r)^2T(r)n_f \left(-\frac{4204}{27} + \frac{352}{9}\zeta_3 \right) \\ + 46C_2(r)^3T(r)n_f + C_2(G)^2T(r)^2n_f^2 \left(\frac{7930}{81} + \frac{224}{9}\zeta_3 \right) + C_2(r)^2T(r)^2n_f^2 \left(\frac{1352}{27} - \frac{704}{9}\zeta_3 \right) \\ + C_2(G)C_2(r)T(r)^2n_f^2 \left(\frac{17152}{243} + \frac{448}{9}\zeta_3 \right) + \frac{424}{243}C_2(G)T(r)^3n_f^3 + \frac{1232}{243}C_2(r)T(r)^3n_f^3 \\ + \frac{d_G^{abcd}d_G^{abcd}}{N_G} \left(-\frac{80}{9} + \frac{704}{3}\zeta_3 \right) + n_f \frac{d_G^{abcd}d_r^{abcd}}{N_G} \left(\frac{512}{9} - \frac{1664}{3}\zeta_3 \right) + n_f^2 \frac{d_r^{abcd}d_r^{abcd}}{N_G} \left(-\frac{704}{9} + \frac{512}{3}\zeta_3 \right). \quad (\text{A4})$$

The coefficients of the anomalous dimension to four loops are [19]:

$$\gamma_0 = 3C_2(r), \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{3}{2}C_2(r)^2 + \frac{97}{6}C_2(r)C_2(G) - \frac{10}{3}C_2(r)T(r)n_f, \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2 = & \frac{129}{2}C_2(r)^3 - \frac{129}{4}C_2(r)^2C_2(G) + \frac{11413}{108}C_2(r)C_2(G)^2 + C_2(r)^2T(r)n_f(-46 + 48\zeta_3) \\ & + C_2(r)C_2(G)T(r)n_f\left(-\frac{556}{27} - 48\zeta_3\right) - \frac{140}{27}C_2(r)T(r)^2n_f^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_3 = & C_2(r)^4\left(-\frac{1261}{8} - 336\zeta_3\right) + C_2(r)^3C_2(G)\left(\frac{15349}{12} + 316\zeta_3\right) \\ & + C_2(r)^2C_2(G)^2\left(-\frac{34045}{36} - 152\zeta_3 + 440\zeta_5\right) + C_2(r)C_2(G)^3\left(\frac{70055}{72} + \frac{1418}{9}\zeta_3 - 440\zeta_5\right) \\ & + C_2(r)^3T(r)n_f\left(-\frac{280}{3} + 552\zeta_3 - 480\zeta_5\right) + C_2(r)^2C_2(G)T(r)n_f\left(-\frac{8819}{27} + 368\zeta_3 - 264\zeta_4 + 80\zeta_5\right) \\ & + C_2(r)C_2(G)^2T(r)n_f\left(-\frac{65459}{162} - \frac{2684}{3}\zeta_3 + 264\zeta_4 + 400\zeta_5\right) + C_2(r)^2T(r)^2n_f^2\left(\frac{304}{27} - 160\zeta_3 + 96\zeta_4\right) \\ & + C_2(r)C_2(G)T(r)^2n_f^2\left(\frac{1342}{81} + 160\zeta_3 - 96\zeta_4\right) + C_2(r)T(r)^3n_f^3\left(-\frac{664}{81} + \frac{128}{9}\zeta_3\right) \\ & + \frac{d_r^{abcd}d_G^{abcd}}{N_r}(-32 + 240\zeta_3) + n_f\frac{d_r^{abcd}d_r^{abcd}}{N_r}(64 - 480\zeta_3). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

In the above expressions ζ_x is the Riemann zeta-function evaluated at x , T_r^a with $a = 1, \dots, N_r$ are the generators for a generic representation r with dimension N_r . The generators are normalized via $\text{tr}(T_r^a T_r^b) = T(r)\delta^{ab}$ and the quadratic Casimirs are $[T_r^a T_r^a]_{ij} = C_2(r)\delta_{ij}$. The representation $r = G$ refers to the adjoint representation. The number of fermions is indicated by n_f .

The symbol d_r^{abcd} is the following fully symmetrical tensors:

$$\begin{aligned} d_r^{abcd} = & \frac{1}{6}\text{Tr}\left[T_r^a T_r^b T_r^c T_r^d + T_r^a T_r^b T_r^d T_r^c + T_r^a T_r^c T_r^b T_r^d \right. \\ & \left. + T_r^a T_r^c T_r^d T_r^b + T_r^a T_r^d T_r^b T_r^c + T_r^a T_r^d T_r^c T_r^b\right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

The contractions can be written purely in terms of group invariants:

$$d_r^{abcd}d_{r'}^{abcd} = I_4(r)I_4(r')d^{abcd}d^{abcd} + \frac{3N_G}{N_G + 2}T(r)T(r')\left(C_2(r) - \frac{1}{6}C_2(G)\right)\left(C_2(r') - \frac{1}{6}C_2(G)\right). \quad (\text{A10})$$

The expressions for the relevant group invariants are given in the main text. As mentioned there, $I_4(r)$ vanished for all exceptional groups and for $SO(3)$ and $SO(4)$. The tensor d^{abcd} is representation independent, but not group independent, and the value of its contraction for the groups $SU(N)$, $SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$ was given in [18]. Here it is only relevant to quote the $SO(N)$ case:

$$d^{abcd}d^{abcd} = \frac{N_G(N_G - 1)(N_G - 3)}{12(N_G + 2)}. \quad (\text{A11})$$

Appendix B: Zerology of the Exceptional Groups

In this appendix is shown the zerology for the exceptional groups with fermions either in the adjoint or fundamental representation.







(a) G_2 , Adjoint(b) G_2 , Fundamental(c) F_4 , Adjoint(d) F_4 , Fundamental(e) E_6 , Adjoint(f) E_6 , Fundamental

FIG. 4: Zerology to four loops for the given gauge groups and representations.




FIG. 5: Zerology to four loops for the given gauge groups and representations.

Appendix C: Anomalous Dimension for the Exceptional Groups and Spinorial Representations

We provide a plot of the anomalous dimension at the IR fixed point stemming from the two, three and four loop beta function as well as the all-order beta function. For the exceptional groups these are reported in Fig 6 an Fig. 7.




FIG. 6: Anomalous dimension at the perturbative fixed point as a function of number of flavors n_f . The curve corresponding to i) two loops is yellow, ii) three loops is red, iii) four loops is blue iv) all-orders is dashed.








(a) F_4 , Adjoint(b) F_4 , Fundamental(c) E_6 , Adjoint(d) E_6 , Fundamental(e) E_7 , Adjoint(f) E_7 , Fundamental(g) E_8 , Adjoint/Fundamental

FIG. 7: Anomalous dimension at the perturbative fixed point as a function of number of flavors n_f . Legend as in Figure 6.

Similarly we provide below a plot for the anomalous dimension for some representatives of the spinorial representations as clearly labeled in the associated figures.




FIG. 8: Anomalous dimension at the perturbative fixed point as a function of number of flavors n_f for some $SO(N)$ gauge group, illustrating the trend as N increases. The curve corresponding to i) two-loops is yellow, ii) three-loops is red, iii) four-loops is blue iv) all-orders is dashed.

We finally summarize the numerical values of the fixed point and anomalous dimension for various gauge theories with different number of flavors to two, three and four loops as well as the all orders result in Table VI-VIII.

G_2 Fundamental							
n_f	a_2^*	a_3^*	a_4^*	γ_2^*	γ_3^*	γ_4^*	γ_{AO}^*
5.	-1.	0.102	0.098	192.	3.821	-0.488	1.056
6.	0.25	0.058	0.059	14.917	1.165	0.193	0.733
7.	0.087	0.038	0.041	2.384	0.582	0.31	0.503
8.	0.042	0.025	0.027	0.785	0.341	0.291	0.33
9.	0.02	0.015	0.016	0.308	0.197	0.196	0.196
10.	0.008	0.007	0.007	0.106	0.089	0.09	0.088
11.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

F_4 Fundamental							
n_f	a_2^*	a_3^*	a_4^*	γ_2^*	γ_3^*	γ_4^*	γ_{AO}^*
4.	0.189	0.031	0.029	55.823	2.763	1.623	1.087
5.	0.038	0.017	0.018	3.18	0.897	0.645	0.665
6.	0.015	0.01	0.011	0.806	0.42	0.396	0.384
7.	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.248	0.189	0.192	0.183
8.	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.034	0.032	0.032	0.032

E_6 Fundamental							
n_f	a_2^*	a_3^*	a_4^*	γ_2^*	γ_3^*	γ_4^*	γ_{AO}^*
5.	0.273	0.027	0.024	216.595	4.393	3.153	1.276
6.	0.046	0.016	0.016	7.869	1.536	1.11	0.886
7.	0.021	0.011	0.011	2.082	0.775	0.65	0.608
8.	0.011	0.007	0.008	0.81	0.444	0.427	0.399
9.	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.345	0.249	0.252	0.236
10.	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.124	0.109	0.11	0.106
11.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

E_7 Fundamental							
n_f	a_2^*	a_3^*	a_4^*	γ_2^*	γ_3^*	γ_4^*	γ_{AO}^*
4.	0.059	0.014	0.013	28.122	3.049	2.483	1.178
5.	0.016	0.008	0.008	2.882	1.019	0.881	0.721
6.	0.007	0.005	0.005	0.814	0.471	0.463	0.416
7.	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.262	0.208	0.212	0.198
8.	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0362	0.0350	0.0351	0.0346

TABLE VI: Comparison between different determinations of the anomalous dimension of the mass for exceptional gauge theories with n_f fermions in the fundamental representation. The anomalous dimensions γ_2^* , γ_3^* and γ_4^* are the perturbative result at 2, 3 and 4-loop respectively, while γ_{AO}^* corresponds to the all orders result. We also report the corresponding value of the zero of the β function ($a^* = \alpha^*/4\pi$), at 2, 3 and 4 loops respectively and indicated with a_2^* , a_3^* and a_4^* .

G_2 Adjoint							
n_f	a_2^*	a_3^*	a_4^*	γ_2^*	γ_3^*	γ_4^*	γ_{AO}^*
1.5	0.089	0.041	0.038	5.374	1.924	1.633	1.019
1.75	0.045	0.028	0.027	1.873	0.972	0.895	0.698
2.	0.025	0.018	0.019	0.82	0.543	0.532	0.458
2.25	0.013	0.011	0.011	0.372	0.296	0.298	0.272
2.5	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.139	0.127	0.128	0.122
2.75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

F_4 Adjoint							
n_f	a_2^*	a_3^*	a_4^*	γ_2^*	γ_3^*	γ_4^*	γ_{AO}^*
1.5	0.04	0.018	0.018	5.374	1.924	1.802	1.019
1.75	0.02	0.012	0.013	1.873	0.972	0.942	0.698
2.	0.011	0.008	0.008	0.82	0.543	0.545	0.458
2.25	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.372	0.296	0.3	0.272
2.5	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.139	0.127	0.128	0.122
2.75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

E_6 Adjoint							
n_f	a_2^*	a_3^*	a_4^*	γ_2^*	γ_3^*	γ_4^*	γ_{AO}^*
1.5	0.03	0.014	0.013	5.374	1.924	1.83	1.019
1.75	0.015	0.009	0.009	1.873	0.972	0.95	0.698
2.	0.008	0.006	0.006	0.82	0.543	0.547	0.458
2.25	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.372	0.296	0.301	0.272
2.5	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.139	0.127	0.128	0.122
2.75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

E_7 Adjoint							
n_f	a_2^*	a_3^*	a_4^*	γ_2^*	γ_3^*	γ_4^*	γ_{AO}^*
1.5	0.02	0.009	0.009	5.374	1.924	1.854	1.019
1.75	0.01	0.006	0.006	1.873	0.972	0.956	0.698
2.	0.006	0.004	0.004	0.82	0.543	0.548	0.458
2.25	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.372	0.296	0.301	0.272
2.5	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.139	0.127	0.128	0.122
2.75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

E_8 Adjoint/Fundamental							
n_f	a_2^*	a_3^*	a_4^*	γ_2^*	γ_3^*	γ_4^*	γ_{AO}^*
1.5	0.012	0.006	0.005	5.374	1.924	1.87	1.019
1.75	0.006	0.004	0.004	1.873	0.972	0.96	0.698
2.	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.82	0.543	0.549	0.458
2.25	0.002	0.001	0.002	0.372	0.296	0.301	0.272
2.5	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.139	0.127	0.128	0.122
2.75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE VII: As in Table VI but for fermions in the adjoint representation.

SO(5)							
n_f	a_2^*	a_3^*	a_4^*	γ_2^*	γ_3^*	γ_4^*	γ_{AO}^*
8.	-2.833	0.12	0.126	722.156	2.097	-1.924	0.841
9.	0.476	0.084	0.087	23.625	1.008	-0.239	0.659
10.	0.188	0.062	0.066	4.404	0.612	0.107	0.514
11.	0.103	0.047	0.051	1.629	0.416	0.219	0.396
12.	0.062	0.035	0.038	0.765	0.296	0.234	0.297
13.	0.039	0.026	0.027	0.396	0.21	0.198	0.213
14.	0.023	0.017	0.018	0.206	0.14	0.141	0.141
15.	0.012	0.01	0.01	0.096	0.079	0.08	0.079
16.	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.026	0.025	0.025	0.025

SO(8)							
n_f	a_2^*	a_3^*	a_4^*	γ_2^*	γ_3^*	γ_4^*	γ_{AO}^*
8.	0.472	0.051	0.049	127.899	2.557	0.787	1.016
9.	0.128	0.036	0.037	11.005	1.312	0.516	0.797
10.	0.066	0.027	0.029	3.458	0.808	0.451	0.622
11.	0.039	0.021	0.023	1.542	0.546	0.408	0.478
12.	0.025	0.016	0.017	0.797	0.383	0.344	0.359
13.	0.016	0.011	0.012	0.437	0.266	0.263	0.258
14.	0.01	0.008	0.008	0.237	0.175	0.177	0.171
15.	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.114	0.097	0.099	0.096
16.	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.031	0.03	0.03	0.03

SO(10)							
n_f	a_2^*	a_3^*	a_4^*	γ_2^*	γ_3^*	γ_4^*	γ_{AO}^*
5.	0.485	0.041	0.038	292.562	4.346	2.998	1.26
6.	0.072	0.025	0.026	8.171	1.507	1.012	0.875
7.	0.032	0.017	0.018	2.101	0.76	0.616	0.6
8.	0.016	0.011	0.012	0.809	0.436	0.417	0.394
9.	0.008	0.007	0.007	0.342	0.245	0.249	0.233
10.	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.123	0.108	0.109	0.105
11.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SO(12)							
n_f	a_2^*	a_3^*	a_4^*	γ_2^*	γ_3^*	γ_4^*	γ_{AO}^*
3.	0.33	0.033	0.03	256.867	6.153	5.796	1.458
4.	0.033	0.016	0.016	3.845	1.254	1.098	0.812
5.	0.012	0.008	0.009	0.815	0.484	0.48	0.423
6.	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.205	0.172	0.175	0.165

TABLE VIII: As in Table VI but for fermions in the spinorial representation of some $SO(N)$ gauge groups.

-
- [1] F. Sannino, “Conformal Dynamics for TeV Physics and Cosmology,” *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **40**, 3533 (2009) [arXiv:0911.0931 [hep-ph]].
- [2] P. Channuie, J. J. Joergensen and F. Sannino, “Minimal Composite Inflation,” *JCAP* **1105**, 007 (2011) [arXiv:1102.2898 [hep-ph]].
- [3] F. Sannino and K. Tuominen, “Orientifold theory dynamics and symmetry breaking,” *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 051901 (2005) [hep-ph/0405209].
- [4] D. D. Dietrich and F. Sannino, “Conformal window of SU(N) gauge theories with fermions in higher dimensional representations,” *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 085018 (2007) [hep-ph/0611341]. Nota Bene: In the version on the arXiv you will notice in Section IV where it is shown, using renormalization group arguments, why the lightest scalar state, i.e. the dilaton, is light with respect to the intrinsic renormalization group invariant scale of the theory. Unfortunately we were forced by the referee to eliminate this relevant section to publish our paper.
- [5] F. Sannino, “Conformal Windows of SP(2N) and SO(N) Gauge Theories,” *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 096007 (2009) [arXiv:0902.3494 [hep-ph]].
- [6] T. A. Ryttov and F. Sannino, “Conformal Windows of SU(N) Gauge Theories, Higher Dimensional Representations and The Size of The Unparticle World,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 105004 (2007) [arXiv:0707.3166 [hep-th]].
- [7] T. Appelquist, K. D. Lane and U. Mahanta, “On The Ladder Approximation For Spontaneous Chiral Symmetry Breaking,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 1553 (1988).
- [8] A. G. Cohen and H. Georgi, “Walking Beyond The Rainbow,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **314**, 7 (1989).
- [9] V. A. Miransky and K. Yamawaki, “Conformal phase transition in gauge theories,” *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 5051 (1997) [Erratum-ibid. *D* **56**, 3768 (1997)] [hep-th/9611142].
- [10] N. Seiberg, “Electric - magnetic duality in supersymmetric nonAbelian gauge theories,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **435**, 129 (1995) [hep-th/9411149].
- [11] V. A. Novikov, M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, “Exact Gell-Mann-Low Function of Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theories from Instanton Calculus,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **229**, 381 (1983).
- [12] T. A. Ryttov and F. Sannino, “Supersymmetry inspired QCD beta function,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 065001 (2008) [arXiv:0711.3745 [hep-th]].
- [13] C. Pica and F. Sannino, “Beta Function and Anomalous Dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 116001 (2011) [arXiv:1011.3832 [hep-ph]].
- [14] O. Antipin and K. Tuominen, “Resizing the Conformal Window: A beta function Ansatz,” *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 076011 (2010) [arXiv:0909.4879 [hep-ph]].
- [15] O. Antipin and K. Tuominen, “Constraints on Conformal Windows from Holographic Duals,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **26**, 2227 (2011) [arXiv:0912.0674 [hep-ph]].
- [16] T. A. Ryttov and R. Shrock, “Higher-Loop Corrections to the Infrared Evolution of a Gauge Theory

- with Fermions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 056011 (2011) [arXiv:1011.4542 [hep-ph]].
- [17] C. Pica and F. Sannino, “UV and IR Zeros of Gauge Theories at The Four Loop Order and Beyond,” *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 035013 (2011) [arXiv:1011.5917 [hep-ph]].
- [18] T. van Ritbergen, J. A. M. Vermaseren and S. A. Larin, “The Four loop beta function in quantum chromodynamics,” *Phys. Lett. B* **400**, 379 (1997) [hep-ph/9701390].
- [19] J. A. M. Vermaseren, S. A. Larin and T. van Ritbergen, “The four loop quark mass anomalous dimension and the invariant quark mass,” *Phys. Lett. B* **405**, 327 (1997) [hep-ph/9703284].
- [20] T. A. Rytov and R. Shrock, “Comparison of Some Exact and Perturbative Results for a Supersymmetric $SU(N_c)$ Gauge Theory,” Accepted for publication in PRD, arXiv:1202.1297 [hep-ph].
- [21] T. A. Rytov and F. Sannino, “Conformal House,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **25**, 4603 (2010) [arXiv:0906.0307 [hep-ph]].
- [22] T. A. Rytov and R. Shrock, “Infrared Evolution and Phase Structure of a Gauge Theory Containing Different Fermion Representations,” *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 116003 (2010) [Erratum-ibid. *D* **82**, 059903 (2010)] [arXiv:1006.0421 [hep-ph]].
- [23] F. Sannino, “QCD Dual,” *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 065011 (2009) [arXiv:0907.1364 [hep-th]].
- [24] F. Sannino, “Higher Representations Duals,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **830**, 179 (2010) [arXiv:0909.4584 [hep-th]].
- [25] M. Mojaza, M. Nardecchia, C. Pica and F. Sannino, “Dual of QCD with One Adjoint Fermion,” *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 065022 (2011) [arXiv:1101.1522 [hep-th]].
- [26] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, “Unity of All Elementary Particle Forces,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **32**, 438 (1974).
- [27] H. Georgi, *Particles and Fields 1974*, ed. C. E. Carlson. American Institute of Physics, New York. p. 575.
- [28] H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, “Unified Interactions of Leptons and Hadrons,” *Annals Phys.* **93**, 193 (1975).
- [29] F. Gursey, P. Ramond and P. Sikivie, “A Universal Gauge Theory Model Based on E_6 ,” *Phys. Lett. B* **60**, 177 (1976).
- [30] P. Langacker, “Grand Unified Theories and Proton Decay,” *Phys. Rept.* **72**, 185 (1981).
- [31] D. J. Gross, J. A. Harvey, E. J. Martinec and R. Rohm, “The Heterotic String,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**, 502 (1985).
- [32] K. Holland, P. Minkowski, M. Pepe and U. J. Wiese, *Nucl. Phys. B* **668**, 207 (2003) [hep-lat/0302023].
- [33] G. Cossu, M. D’Elia, A. Di Giacomo, B. Lucini and C. Pica, *JHEP* **0710**, 100 (2007) [arXiv:0709.0669 [hep-lat]].
- [34] J. Giedt and E. Weinberg, arXiv:1201.6262 [hep-lat].
- [35] M. Mojaza, C. Pica and F. Sannino, “Hot Conformal Gauge Theories,” *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 116009 [arXiv:1010.4798 [hep-ph]].
- [36] B. Holdom, “Raising the Sideways Scale,” *Phys. Rev. D* **24**, 1441 (1981).
- [37] B. Holdom, “Technicolor,” *Phys. Lett. B* **150**, 301 (1985).
- [38] K. Yamawaki, M. Bando and K. i. Matumoto, “Scale Invariant Technicolor Model and a Technidilaton,”

- Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 1335 (1986).
- [39] T. W. Appelquist, D. Karabali and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, "Chiral Hierarchies and the Flavor Changing Neutral Current Problem in Technicolor," Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 957 (1986).
- [40] F. Sannino, "Jumping Dynamics," arXiv:1205.4246 [hep-ph].
- [41] O. Antipin, M. Mojaza, C. Pica and F. Sannino, "Magnetic Fixed Points and Emergent Supersymmetry," arXiv:1105.1510 [hep-th].
- [42] B. Grinstein and P. Uttayarat, "A Very Light Dilaton," JHEP **1107**, 038 (2011) [arXiv:1105.2370 [hep-ph]].
- [43] O. Antipin, M. Mojaza and F. Sannino, "Light Dilaton at Fixed Points and Ultra Light Scale Super Yang Mills," Phys. Lett. B **712**, 119 (2012) [arXiv:1107.2932 [hep-ph]].
- [44] O. Antipin, S. Di Chiara, M. Mojaza, E. Molgaard and F. Sannino, "A Perturbative Realization of Miransky Scaling," arXiv:1205.6157 [hep-ph].