Global Prevalence and Major Risk Factors of Diabetic Retinopathy

Joanne W.Y. Yau, mbbs¹ Sophie L. Rogers, Mepid¹ RYO KAWASAKI, PHD1 ECOSSE L. LAMOUREUX, PHD^{1,2}
JONATHAN W. KOWALSKI, PHARMD³ TOKE BEK, PHD4 Shih-Jen Ćhen, \mathtt{PHD}^5 Jacqueline M. Dekker, phd⁶ Astrid Fletcher, Phd Jakob Grauslund, md⁸ Steven Haffner, Phd⁹ RICHARD F. HAMMAN, PHD 10 M. KAMRAN IKRAM, PHD TAKAMASA KAYAMA, MD¹² BARBARA E.K. KLEIN, PHD¹³
RONALD KLEIN, PHD¹³ SANNAPANENI KRISHNAIAH, MD. 14 Korapat Mayurasakorn, md¹⁵ Joseph P. O'Hare, md¹⁶

Trevor J. Orchard, MD¹⁷ Massimo Porta, phd Mohan Rema, md MONIQUE S. ROY, MD²⁰ TARUN SHARMA, MD²¹ JONATHAN SHAW, PHD²² HUGH TAYLOR, AC²³ JAMES M. TIELSCH, PHD²⁴
ROHIT VARMA, MD²⁵ JIE JIN WANG, PHD 26 NINGLI WANG, MD²⁷ SHEILA WEST, PHD²⁸
LIANG XU, PHD²⁹ Miho Yasuda, phd³⁰ XINZHI ZHANG, PHD³¹ PAUL MITCHELL, PHD²⁶ TIEN Y. WONG, $PHD^{1,2,32}$ FOR THE META-ANALYSIS FOR EYE DISEASE (META-EYE) STUDY GROUP*

OBJECTIVE—To examine the global prevalence and major risk factors for diabetic retinopathy (DR) and vision-threatening diabetic retinopathy (VTDR) among people with diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—A pooled analysis using individual participant data from population-based studies around the world was performed. A systematic literature review was conducted to identify all population-based studies in general populations or individuals with diabetes who had ascertained DR from retinal photographs. Studies provided data for DR end points, including any DR, proliferative DR, diabetic macular edema, and VTDR, and also major systemic risk factors. Pooled prevalence estimates were directly age-standardized to the 2010 World Diabetes Population aged 20-79 years.

RESULTS—A total of 35 studies (1980–2008) provided data from 22,896 individuals with diabetes. The overall prevalence was 34.6% (95% CI 34.5-34.8) for any DR, 6.96% (6.87-7.04) for proliferative DR, 6.81% (6.74-6.89) for diabetic macular edema, and 10.2% (10.1-10.3) for VTDR. All DR prevalence end points increased with diabetes duration, hemoglobin A_{1c} , and blood pressure levels and were higher in people with type 1 compared with type 2 diabetes.

CONCLUSIONS—There are approximately 93 million people with DR, 17 million with proliferative DR, 21 million with diabetic macular edema, and 28 million with VTDR worldwide. Longer diabetes duration and poorer glycemic and blood pressure control are strongly associated with DR. These data highlight the substantial worldwide public health burden of DR and the importance of modifiable risk factors in its occurrence. This study is limited by data pooled from studies at different time points, with different methodologies and population characteristics.

Diabetes Care 35:556-564, 2012

iabetic retinopathy (DR) is the leading cause of blindness among workingaged adults around the world (1). Despite the significance of this problem, and the rising prevalence of diabetes notably in emerging Asian countries such as India and China (2,3), there are few precise contemporary estimates of the worldwide prevalence of DR, particularly severe vision-threatening stages of the disease, including proliferative DR (PDR) and diabetic macular edema (DME).

Previous individual studies have shown considerable variability in DR prevalence estimates among individuals with both diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes, with rates ranging from 17.6% in a study in India (4) to 33.2% in a large U.S. study (5). Differences in study methodologies, population characteristics, and ascertainment and classification of DR have made direct comparisons between studies difficult. A meta-analysis summarized the U.S. prevalence of DR (6), but this study was limited to individuals with type 2 diabetes aged 40 years and older, and the data were largely derived from individuals of Caucasian background, with limited data on other racial groups. More important, this study did not include Asians, and an estimated 100 million people in China and 80 million in India have diabetes (2,3).

Although the major risk factors for DR (e.g., hyperglycemia, hypertension, dyslipidemia) have been examined in many epidemiologic studies and clinical trials (1), there is considerable variation in the consistency, pattern, and strength of these risk factors. This is particularly so with respect to severe stages of DR, because individual studies generally lack

From the ¹Centre for Eye Research Australia, University of Melbourne, Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; the ²Singapore Eye Research Institute, Singapore National Eye Centre, Singapore, Singapore; ³Global Health Outcomes Strategy and Research, Allergan Inc., Irvine, California; the ⁴Department of Ophthalmology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark; the 5Department of Ophthalmology, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan; the ⁶Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics and the EMGO Institute for Health and Care Research, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; the ⁷Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, U.K.; the ⁸Department of Ophthalmology, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark; ⁹Shavano Park, Texas; the ¹⁰Department of Epidemiology, Colorado School of Public Health, Aurora, Colorado; the ¹¹Departments of Epidemiology and Ophthalmology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands; the 12 National Cancer Center/Department of Neurosurgery, Advanced Molecular Epidemiology Research Institute, Faculty of Medicine, Yamagata University, Yamagata, Japan; the ¹³Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin; the 14Center for Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, L V Prasad Eye Institute, Hyderabad, India; the ¹⁵Department of Social Medicine, Samutsakhon General Hospital, Samutsakhon, Thailand; the ¹⁶Clinical Sciences Research Institute, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, U.K.; the ¹⁷Department of Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; the ¹⁸Department of Internal

power to detect significant associations for PDR and DME. Thus, the importance of modifiable risk factors for these visionthreatening stages of DR remains unclear.

Generating a broader and more precise estimate of the prevalence of DR and its relationship with major modifiable risk factors, specifically for vision-threatening DR (VTDR), is crucial for guiding public health education and optimal clinical management of diabetes. We therefore conducted an individual participant analysis pooling population-based studies from the U.S., Australia, Europe, and Asia to determine the prevalence of DR and its sight-threatening end points (PDR and DME) as well as their relationship to key risk factors.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Study selection and inclusion criteria

We first performed a systematic literature review to identify all population-based studies that had ascertained DR from fundus (retinal) photographs. Englishlanguage articles were retrieved using Medline, EMBASE, Current Contents, EBSCO, JSTOR, and Science Direct using the following search terms: "diabetes" and "retinopathy" or "diabetic macular edema" and "population." We identified 3,539 citations identified to 10 February 2010. Irrelevant and duplicate citations were excluded after a review of the titles and abstracts. The full texts of the remaining articles were reviewed to ensure studies met inclusion and exclusion criteria. In addition, we manually reviewed bibliographies of included articles and consulted with colleagues to identify other potentially relevant population-based

studies that had assessed DR from fundus photographs but which may not have published results or in which grading for DR was still ongoing.

Studies were excluded if they were not population-based and/or if fundus photographs were not undertaken to ascertain DR. Two investigators (J.Y., R.Kaw.) independently selected the studies for inclusion. Disagreements between the two were resolved by adjudication with two additional reviewers (S.R., T.Y.W.).

We identified 58 population-based studies in which fundus photographs were potentially assessed for DR. Principal investigators of these identified studies were then invited for collaboration in this individual participant meta-analysis. We requested individual participant data regarding presence and severity of DR, DME status, age, sex, ethnicity, diabetes type and duration, hemoglobin A_{1c} (HbA $_{1c}$), systolic and diastolic blood pressure, lipid profile, cigarette smoking status, BMI, and current use of diabetes, antihypertensive, and lipid-lowering medications.

Investigators from 35 of the 58 identified studies provided data for this analysis (Table 1). Investigators of the remaining 23 studies could not or did not want to participate, or did not respond to repeated invitations. All studies had institutional board review approval and provided appropriately deidentified data for analysis.

DR assessment and definition

Retinal photography was performed in all 35 studies according to standardized protocols. Most of the studies graded for DR using the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Scale (ETDRS) and its modification or the American Academy of Ophthalmology (AAO) International

Clinical Diabetic Retinopathy Disease Severity Scale (Table 1).

DR severity was categorized as non-PDR (NPDR; level 20 through level 53) and PDR (level ≥60). DME was defined as absent or present. The four primary outcomes for this study were based on the severity in the worse eye or of the single eye that was photographed. Any DR was defined as the presence of NPDR, PDR, DME, or any combination thereof; and VTDR was defined as the presence of PDR and/or DME. These composite outcomes serve as the primary outcomes for this report, which respectively, indicate presence of any DR and severe DR likely to result in vision loss if left untreated.

Definition of diabetes and major risk factors

Not all studies reported information on diabetes type. If data on age at diagnosis of diabetes were available in these studies, participants were classified as type 1 if they were diagnosed before age 30 years and as type 2 if they were diagnosed with diabetes after age 30 years, as previously used in one study (7). Hypertension was defined in subjects with a blood pressure >140/90 or who reported being on treatment for hypertension. Serum cholesterol was categorized into levels <4.0 or ≥4.0 mmol/L.

Appraisal of study methodology and heterogeneity

Study methodology and heterogeneity were assessed independently by two investigators (J.Y., R.Kaw.). Any disagreement was settled by consensus or adjudication with a third reviewer (S.R.). Studies were assessed for a list of attributes as defined in Supplementary Table 1. Studies with similar methodologies and rigorous

Medicine, University of Turin, Turin, Italy; the ¹⁹Department of Ophthalmology, Madras Diabetes Research Foundation, Chennai, India; the ²⁰Institute of Ophthalmology and Visual Science, University of New Jersey, Newark, New Jersey; ²¹Shri Bhagwan Mahavir Vitreoretinal Services, Sankara Nethralaya, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India; the ²²Baker IDI Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; the ²³Melbourne School of Population Health, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; the 24Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland; the ²⁵Doheny Eye Institute, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California; the ²⁶Centre for Vision Research, Westmead Millennium Institute, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia; ²⁷Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China; the ²⁸Wilmer Eye Institute, Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, Maryland; the ²⁹Beijing Institute of Ophthalmology, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China; the ³⁰Department of Ophthalmology, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan; the ³¹Division of Diabetes Translation, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia; and the ³²Department of Ophthalmology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore.

Corresponding author: Tien Y. Wong, ophwty@nus.edu.sg.

Received 25 October 2011 and accepted 5 December 2011.

DOI: 10.2337/dc11-1909

- This article contains Supplementary Data online at http://care.diabetesjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.2337/dc11-1909/-/DC1.
- *A complete list of the study group can be found in the Supplementary Data online.
- The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily reflect the official views of the National Eye Institute or the National Institutes of Health. The findings and conclusions in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- © 2012 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/ for details.

Table 1—Characteristics of diabetic participants in each study population (N = 35)

								Fund	Fundus photography	aphy			Grading	Grading method
Study	Country	Year of photo T2DM (%)	T2DM (%)	Male (%)	Mean age (range)	Ethnicity (%)	Eyes/sub	Dilated	Mydriasis	Field I	Deg St	Stereo	DR	DME
T1DM only														
EDC	U.S.	1986–1988	0	9.05	27.6 (8–48)	98 EU, 2 AA	7	~	~	3	30	Д	ETDRS	CSME
Fyn	Denmark	2007–2008	0	59.8	58.6 (37–88)	100 EU	7	~	~	6	45		ETDRS	CSME
New Jersey 725	U.S.	1993-1998	0	40.4	27.5 (3–60)	100 AA	7	~	~	7	30	Ш	EDTRS	Other ¹
Turin	Italy	2006–2008	0	53.0	29.5 (7–68)	100 EU	2	~	×	2	45		AAO	No data
T2DM only														
Aarhus	Denmark	2000	100	56.5	65.0 (32–90)	100 EU	2	~	~	7	09	X	EDTRS	CSME
ADDITION	Denmark	2003	100	592	63.8 (43–78)	100 EU	7	~	~	7	09		ETDRS†	CSME
CURES ES	India	2001–2002	100	44.8	50.8 (20–85)	100 AS	7	~	~	4	30	ш	ETDRS	CSME
Funagata	Japan	2000-2002	100	57.3	67.1 (37–92)	100 AS	П	×	×	1	45		ETDRS	CSME
Hoorn	Netherlands	1989–1992	100	45.9	64.9 (50–76)	100 EU	2	~	~	7	45	X	Eurodiab	No data
Samutsakhon	Thailand	2007	100	28.3	59.2 (27–86)	100 AS	7	×	×	7	30	O	Other ²	No data
San Luis Valley	U.S.	1984–1988	100	43.3	58.6 (22–75)	66 HI, 34 EU	2	~>	->	3	30	—	ETDRS	CSME
UKADS	U.K.	2004-2007	100	53.1	64.3 (17–96)	59 EU, 41 AS	7	~	×	7	45	ر ر	UK NSCG	UK NSCG
T1DM and T2DM														
AusDiab	Australia	1999–2000	96.5	51.4	63.0 (25–91)	92 EU, 5 AS	7	×	×	2.	45	X	ETDRS	Other ³
BDES	U.S.	1988–1990	88.3	4.4	65.8 (44–86)	99 EU	2	~	~	7	30	<u>Н</u>	ETDRS	CSME
Handan	China	2006–2007	7.66	35.9	57.6 (30–83)	100 AS	2	~	~	7	45	X	ETDRS	CSME
LALES	U.S.	2000–2003	9.76	43.8	58.5 (40–90)	100 HI	2	~>	~	7	30	— Н	ETDRS	CSME
San Antonio	U.S.	1985–1986	97.8	40.6	54.4 (31–70)	82 HI, 18 EU	2	~	~	7	30	— —	ETDRS	No data
WESDR	U.S.	1980–1982	58.5	48.5	50.9 (3–97)	99 EU, 1 AA	2	~	~	7	30	H \	EDTRS	CSME
DM type not reported but deduced from														
age at diagnosis*								-				-		
Andhra Pradesh	India	1996–2000	*6.76	52.4	55.0 (25–86)	100 AS	_	~	~	7	30	∪	Other ⁴	Other ⁴
Beijing	China	2006	100*	41.6	64.9 (45–87)	100 AS	2	>	×	7	45	X	ETDRS	CSME
BES	U.S.	1985–1988	95.6*	37.4	62.7 (40–91)	57 AA, 43 EU	2	->	>	7	45	→ →	Other ²	No data
CHS	U.S.	1997–1998	99.1*	46.5	78.0 (69–95)	75 EU, 25 AA	_	×	×	1	45	X	ETDRS	CSME
EUREYE	7 European‡	2000–2003	99.2*	51.0	72.9 (64–93)	100 EU	2	~	~	1	35	→ →	Other ⁵	No data
Hisayama	Japan	1998	98.5*	56.9	65.8 (43–96)	100 AS	7	~	×	1	45		ETDRS	No data
MVIP	Australia	1992–1994	*/-96	55.8	65.6 (42–97)	100 EU	2	~	×	7	30	A	AAO	CSME
NHANES	U.S.	2005–2008	95.4*	50.1	62.4 (40–85)	39 EU, 30 AA, 20 HI	7	×	×	7	45	—	ETDRS	CSME
Proyecto VER	U.S.	1997–1999	96.5*	37.3	60.5 (40–88)	100 HI	2	~>	->	4	30	H	EDTRS	CSME
SINDI	Singapore	2007-2010	*9.76	52.3	61.0 (43–84)	89 AS	2	~~>	×	7	45	X	ETDRS	Other ⁶
SNDREAMS	India	2004-2006	99.2*	53.0	56.3 (40–85)	100 AS	2	->	->	7	30		AAO	CSME

_	,	
•	Ontinio	
(5	
-	٥	
[9	

								Fundus	Fundus photography	iphy			Grading	Grading method
Study	Country	Country Year of photo T2DM (%)		Male (%)	Mean age (range)	Male (%) Mean age (range) Ethnicity (%)	Eyes/sub	Eyes/sub Dilated Mydriasis Field Deg Stereo	ydriasis I	Field I	Deg St	ereo	DR	DME
DM type not reported and could not be deduced														
ARIC	U.S.	1993–1995	NR	47.5	60.8 (50–71)	64 EU, 36 AA	1	×	×	П	45	X	ETDRS	CSME
BMES	Australia	1992-1994	NR	53.0	67.9 (51–96)	97 EU, 2 AS	7	~		9	30	П 	ETDRS	CSME
MESA	U.S.	2002–2004	NR	52.0	65.5 (46–86)	36 AA, 30 HI, 22 EU, 12AS	7	×	×	7	45	×	EDTRS	Other ⁷
Rotterdam	Netherlands	Netherlands 1990–1993	NR	39.4	72.9 (55–96)	96 EU, 4 O	7	~	->	1	35		Other ⁵	No data
Shihpai	Taiwan	1999–2000	NR	61.1	71.7 (65–90)	100 AS	7	->	~	7	35	X	AAO	CSME
SiMES	Singapore	2004–2006	NR	43.3	62.6 (40–80)	100 AS	7	~	×	7	45	X	ETDRS	Other ⁶

Diabetes, Obesity and Lifestyle Study; BDES, Beaver Dam Eye Study; BES, Baltimore Eye Survey; BMES, Blue Mountains Eye Study; Beijing, Beijing Eye Study; CHS, Cardiovascular Health Study; CURES ES, Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (Eye Study); EDC, Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study; EUREYE; European Eye Study; Funagata, Funagata Study; Handan, Handan Eye Study; Hisayama, Hisayama, Hoom, Hoom Study; LALES, Los Angeles Latino Eye Study; Melson, Multiethnic Study of Atherosclerosis; MVIP, Melbourne Vision Impairment Project; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Retinopathy Epidemiology and Molecular Genetics Study; T1DM, type 1 diabetes; T2DM, type 2 diabetes; UKADS, UK Asian Diabetes Study; WESDR, Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy. *DM type not reported by study but could be deduced from provided information regarding subject's age and duration of diabetes: Type 1 diabetes was assumed if subject was aged less than 30 years at diagnosis; type 2 diabetes Other: Macular edema (ME) = retinal thickening within 1 disc diameter of center of macula or history of ME with history of photocoagulation confirmed by treating physician. 2 Other: Not reported. 3 Other: Hard *Other: Adapted from Olk RJ, Lee CM. Diabetic Retinopathy: Practical Management. Philadelphia: JB Lippincott, 1993:3-20. 5Other: Graded for presence of microand/or dot hemorrhages with ICD codes. Other: ME = HE in the presence of MA and blot hemorrhage within 1 disc diameter from foveal center or presence of focal photocoagulation scars in the Examination Survey; Proyecto VER, Proyecto Vision and Eye Research; Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Singapore Malay Eye Study; SinDII, Singapore Indian Eye Study; SNDREAMS, Sankara Nethralaya Diabetic AA, African American; AAO, American Academy of Ophthalmology; AS, Asian; CSME, clinically significant macular edema; DM, diabetes mellitus; ETDRS, Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study; EU, Caucasian #7 European countries: Norway, Estonia, UK, France, Italy, macular area. 7Other: Clinically significant macular edema (CSME) = macular edema within 500 μ m of foveal center, or if photocoagulation scars were present in the macular area. was assumed if subject was aged 30 years or older at diagnosis. †ETDRS includes modified WESDR, modified Airlie House, modified ETDRS. exudates (HE) within 1 disc diameter of macula.

ophthalmologic definitions were defined as those with a score of ≥ 9 (maximum, 11).

Statistical analysis

Data from each study were checked for consistency in variable definition before pooling, and where appropriate, data were recategorized according to a common definition. Race/ethnicity was categorized as Caucasian (Europeans and those of European origin), Asian (Chinese, Chinese American, Japanese, Malay, Indian, or people of Asian origin), African American, and Hispanic (Mexican Americans). Asians were further subdivided into Chinese or Japanese origin, and South Asian (Indian, Malay, South Indian, Thai, etc). Studyspecific and pooled-data estimates of the prevalence of any DR, PDR, DME, and VTDR were directly age-standardized to the 2010 world diabetes population aged 20-79 years (8) using age strata 20-39, 40-59, and 60-79 years. We calculated 95% CIs for standardized prevalence rates using a normal approximation and Breslow-Day standard errors, after being modified to use a binomial assumption for the variance of the crude stratum-specific

Initial analyses included data from all 35 studies, and subsequent analyses were performed using only data from studies with similar methodologies and outcome definitions (i.e., studies with a score of ≥9). Results from the latter analyses are presented throughout this report because of their similar methodologies.

Poisson regression models with robust error variance were used to estimate relative risks for DR, PDR, DME, and VTDR by categories of risk factors (e.g., hypertension, duration), adjusting for age (continuous, from 20-79 years), race (five categories), hypertension (yes/no), HbA_{1c} (four categories) and study, as appropriate. We also performed supplementary analyses on the interaction between diabetes type and duration, using people with type 2 diabetes for <10 years as the reference group. Including sex in regression models generally did not improve the model fit and did not appreciably alter the results.

Global estimates

The total number of patients with diabetes with DR aged between 20 and 79 years was estimated by multiplying the 2010 country-specific totals of people with diabetes (sourced from Diabetes Atlas) by our pooled racial group—specific rates of DR using the most predominant racial

Global prevalence and risk factors of DR

group per country; for example, in Brazil, where 53.7% of country is "white" (according to 2000 census results listed in Central Intelligence Agency, The World Factbook) (10), our pooled Caucasian rate was applied, and in countries where the predominant racial group did not easily align with our limited pooled racial groups (e.g., Melanesians in Papua New Guinea), the overall pooled world rate was applied.

All analyses were undertaken using Stata Intercooled 11.1 software (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX).

RESULTS—Data were collated from 22,896 individuals from 35 studies in the U.S., Australia, Europe, and Asia. Of these, 52% were female, 44.4% were Caucasian, 30.9% were Asian, 13.9% were Hispanic, and 8.9% were African American. The mean age was 58.1 years (range 3-97), median diabetes duration was 7.9 years (interquartile range [IQR] 3–16), and median HbA_{1c} was 8.0% (6.7-9.9%). Summary characteristics of the diabetic participants from each of the included studies are presented in Table 1 and Supplementary Table 2.

Analyses of these 35 studies showed that the overall age-standardized prevalence of any DR was 34.6% (95% CI 34.5-34.8), PDR was 6.96% (6.87-7.04), DME was 6.81% (6.74-6.89), and VTDR was 10.2% (10.1-10.3; data not shown). Analyses confined to studies with similar methodologies and rigorous outcome definitions showed that the age-standardized prevalence was 35.4% (35.2-35.6) for any DR, 7.24% (7.15-7.33) for PDR, 7.48% (7.39–7.57) for DME, and 11.7% (11.6-11.8) for VTDR (Table 2). There was no discernible sex difference in the prevalence of any DR or for PDR, DME, or VTDR. Extrapolating these prevalence rates to the 2010 world diabetes population, we estimate that 92.6 million (91.2-94.0) adults had any DR, 17.2 million (16.6-17.7) had PDR, 20.6 million (19.6-21.6) had DME, and 28.4 million (27.6-29.2) had VTDR.

Table 3 reports the age-standardized prevalence of any DR by retinopathy risk factors and other subgroups of interest. The prevalence of any DR varied across ethnic groups and was highest among African Americans and lowest among Asians. The prevalence of any DR increased with diabetes duration (21.1 vs. 76.3%, comparing <10 with ≥ 20 years), HbA_{1c} (18.0 vs. 51.2%, comparing levels \leq 7.0 with >9.0%), and blood pressure (30.8) vs. 39.6%, comparing blood pressure

Table 2—Age-standardized prevalence of DR in diabetic subjects aged 20-79 years, using studies with similar methodologies and ophthalmologic definitions

Overall	Studies included (n)	Total (N)	Cases (n)	Age-standardized prevalence per 100 (95% CI)
Any DR	18	12,620	4,487	35.36 (35.17–35.56)
PDR	21	13,436	957	7.24 (7.15–7.33)
DME	20	14,554	1,039	7.48 (7.39–7.57)
VTDR	18	12,710	1,481	11.72 (11.61-11.83)
Men				
Any DR	18	6,252	2,263	36.27 (35.99–36.55)
PDR	21	6,376	469	7.53 (7.39–7.66)
DME	20	7,010	486	7.44 (7.30–7.57)
VTDR	18	6,051	704	11.74 (11.57-11.90)
Women				
Any DR	18	6,368	2,224	34.46 (34.19-34.73)
PDR	21	7,060	488	6.98 (6.86-7.10)
DME	20	7,544	553	7.54 (7.42–7.66)
VTDR	18	6,659	777	11.70 (11.55–11.86)

 $\leq 140/90 \text{ or } > 140/90)$, and was higher in people with type 1 than type 2 diabetes (77.3 vs. 25.2%). Similar relationships were also evident in the prevalence patterns of PDR, DME, and VTDR. There was a trend toward a higher prevalence of VTDR stages, but not any DR, in people with cholesterol levels ≥4.0 mmol/L. Analysis by year/period of fundus photography suggests a decline in the prevalence of any DR in the post-2000 era (Table 3).

After adjusting for known risk factors, individuals with type 1 diabetes for ≥ 20 years were 2.7 times more likely to have any DR (relative risk 2.69 [96% CI 2.47-2.93]), 15 times more likely to have PDR (15.3 [11.3–20.8]), 5 times more likely to have DME (4.83 [3.71-6.30]), and 8.7 times more likely to have VTDR (8.69 [7.10–10.63]) compared with those with type 2 diabetes for <10 years (Table 4).

CONCLUSIONS—This study provides a global estimate of the prevalence of DR and the severe stages of DR (PDR, DME) using individual-level data from population-based studies worldwide. On the basis of the data from all 35 studies on more than 20,000 participants with diabetes, we estimated that among individuals with diabetes, the overall prevalence of any DR was 34.6%, PDR was 7.0%, DME was 6.8%, and VTDR was 10.2%. Analyses confined only to studies with similar methodologies and ophthalmologic definitions showed that the age-standardized prevalence of any DR was 35.4%, PDR was 7.2%, DME was 7.4%, and VTDR was 11.7%, among individuals with diabetes.

The prevalence estimates of any DR and VTDR were similar in men and women and were highest in African Americans and lowest in Asians. Prevalence rates were substantially higher in those with type 1 diabetes and increased with duration of diabetes, and values for HbA_{1c}, blood pressure, and cholesterol. Extrapolated to the world diabetes population in 2010, we estimate that approximately 93 million may have some DR, and 28 million may have sight-threatening stages of DR.

The prevalence of DR has been previously reported in a number of populationbased samples (11-16). However, prevalence estimates varied considerably across some studies, depending on the population and study methodology. For example, variable prevalence rates were reported between populations of different ethnicities (e.g., 32.4% in an Australian Caucasian cohort (14) vs. 48.0% in a Mexican American cohort (15)) as well as between different populations of the same ethnicity (e.g., 35% in a U.S. Caucasian cohort (13) and 15.3% in a more recent Australian Caucasian cohort). More important, prevalence estimates for the more severe and vision-threatening end points, such as PDR and DME, are scarce, due to the small numbers of these cases from individual population-based studies. Published estimates for VTDR prevalence (17-20), for example, ranges widely, from 1.2 (17) to 32.2% (18). Our study provides the first precise estimates for these important clinical subgroups of DR.

The most comparable study to ours is the pooled analysis for prevalence of DR

and ophthalmologic definitions Table 3—Age-standardized prevalence of DR by subgroups of interest, in diabetic subjects aged 20–79 years, using studies with similar methodologies

(caseSchotal) (c		Anv DR	PDR	DMF	VTDR		Age-standardized prevalence per 100 (95% CI)	ence per 100 (95% CI)		√ARCH
fiscle (2,2636,252 4696,376 4867/1010 7046,051 36.27 (35.90-36.53) 7.53 (7.39-7.66) 7.44 (7.30-7.57) 11.74 (11.57-11.90) (enalle (2,2246,368 4887,060 5337.544 777/6.659) 34.46 (34.10-34.73) 6.98 (6.86-7.1) 7.54 (7.30-7.57) 11.74 (11.57-11.80) (enalle (2,2246,368 4887,060 5337.544 777/6.659) 34.46 (34.10-34.73) 6.98 (6.86-7.1) 7.54 (7.30-7.57) 11.74 (11.57-11.80) (enalle (2,2246,368 4887,060 5337.544 777/6.659) 34.46 (34.10-34.73) 6.98 (6.86-7.1) 7.54 (7.30-7.57) 11.74 (11.57-11.80) (enalle (2,2246,368) 4.96 (2.21-369) 4.97 (2.21-369) 4.		(cases/total)	(cases/total)	(cases/total)	(cases/total)	Any DR	PDR	DME	VTDR	35, N
talabe 2,236,522 469,6376 4887,010 7046,051 327(359,2-36) 7,34(739-7.55) 174(1757-118)0 ernale 2,246,536 4887,000 5757,541 7776,659 3446(34)-9.477) 6,968 (868-7.1) 7,54(732-7.55) 117(1157-118)0 ernale 2,246,536 4887,000 5757,541 7776,659 3446(34)-9.477) 7,54(742-7.66) 117(1157-118)0 ernale 2,246,536 4887,000 5757,541 7776,659 3446(34)-9.477) 7,54(742-7.66) 117(1157-118)0 ernale 2,2446,536 4887,001 5537,541 5757,541 575,645 41,4007) 124(1187-1221) 842 (8328-857) 1545 (15,251-5.64) 117(1157-118)0 ernale 3,2847,641 5757,241 575,241	Sex									UME
2,2246,568 4887,060 5537,544 7776,659 34.6 (34.19-34.73) 6.98 (6.86-7.1) 7.54 (7.42-7.66) 11.7 (11.55-11.86) 2,8146,021 6665,573 4535,345 8567,516 45.76 (45.44-46.07) 12.04 (11.87-12.21) 8.42 (8.28-8.57) 15.45 (15.25-15.64) 2,027731 261,025 31,568 42751 25.98 (2.45-25.91) 2.67 (2.26-3)07) 812 (6.88-9.36) 6.14 (535-6.73) 88644.463 40,3196 2705,220 1655,110 1912 (1888-19.55) 1.29 (12.21-36) 4.93 (48.25-9.4) 52 (505-5.34) 88644.463 40,3196 2705,220 1655,110 1912 (1888-19.55) 1.29 (12.21-36) 4.93 (48.25-9.4) 52 (505-5.34) 151/448 1592,830 2092,490 3012,523 34,56 (33.43-35.87) 1.20 (12.21-36) 4.93 (48.25-9.4) 10.35 (9.90-10.79) 16.89 (6.32-17.46) 11.7402,277 5942,314 3057,864 7162,315 73.1 (76.34-78.28) 32.39 (31.76-33.01) 14.25 (13.86-14.6) 52 (50.5-5.34) 10.30 (9.90-10.79) 16.89 (6.32-17.46) 1.3946,747 88.7,207 2437,685 2386,771 21.09 (20.87-21.30) 1.23 (1.18-1.28) 31.5 (3.08-3.23) 3.53 (3.45-3.52) 1.3381,752 8821,840 3441,734 727,17.89 76.32 (75.61-77.04) 31.66 (31.21-32.11) 19.96 (19.58-0.3+) 40.87 (40.35-41.38) 1.3981,752 8821,840 3441,734 727,17.89 76.32 (75.61-77.04) 31.66 (31.21-32.11) 19.96 (19.58-20.3+) 40.87 (40.35-41.38) 1.3981,752 8821,840 3441,734 727,17.89 76.32 (75.61-77.04) 31.66 (31.21-32.11) 19.96 (19.58-20.3+) 40.87 (40.35-41.38) 1.3981,752 8821,840 3441,734 727,17.89 76.32 (75.61-77.04) 31.66 (31.21-32.11) 19.96 (19.58-20.3+) 40.87 (40.35-41.38) 1.3981,752 8821,840 3441,734 727,17.89 76.32 (75.61-77.04) 31.66 (31.21-32.11) 19.96 (19.58-20.3+) 40.87 (40.35-41.38) 1.3981,752 8821,840 3441,734 727,1466 31.2 (33.25-43.36) 31.2 (3.25-43.36) 3.5 (3.42-3.76) 5.40 (51.95-56) 2.0375,900 3076,243 3696,516 5216,612 30.84 (30.25-43.66) 3.40 (3.25-43.66) 3.59 (3.42-3.76) 5.40 (3.19-560) 2.0375,900 3076,243 3696,516 5216,612 30.84 (30.25-43.66) 3.40 (4.03-4.25) 1.249 (12.31-12.67) 18.35 (18.13-13.95) 2.1985,77,975 2627,614 40,97,072 2548,889 6446,798 31.64 (3.11-32.17) 5.12 (4.87-5.56) 4.60 (4.87-4.83) 8.99 (7.78-8.40) 2.2024,645 69226,162 2546,182 5046,183 31.64 (3.11-32.17) 5.12 (4.87-5.56) 5.46 (5.35-5.56)	Male	2,263/6,252	469/6,376	486/7,010	704/6,051	36.27 (35.99–36.55)		7.44 (7.30–7.57)	11.74 (11.57–11.90)	VOL
2.814/6.021 666/5.573 453/5.345 856/5.516 45.76 (45.44-46.07) 12.04 (11.87-12.21) 8.42 (8.38-8.57) 15.45 (15.25-15.64) 2027/51 26/1,025 31/5.68 42/7.51 25.08 (24.25-25.91) 2.67 (2.26-3.07) 8.12 (6.88-9.36) 6.14 (5.55-6.73) 8.86/4.463 40/3,196 27/05,220 16/5/3,100 191.12 (18.88-19.35) 1.29 (1.22-1.36) 4.93 (4.82-5.04) 5.2 (5.05-5.34) 1.29 (1.22-1.36) 4.93 (4.82-5.04) 5.2 (5.05-5.34) 1.29 (1.22-1.36) 4.93 (4.82-5.04) 5.2 (5.05-5.34) 1.29 (1.22-1.36) 4.93 (4.82-5.04) 5.2 (5.05-5.34) 1.29 (1.22-1.36) 4.93 (4.82-5.04) 5.2 (5.05-5.34) 1.29 (1.22-1.36) 4.93 (4.82-5.04) 5.2 (5.05-5.34) 1.29 (1.22-1.36) 4.93 (4.82-5.04) 5.2 (5.05-5.34) 1.29 (1.22-1.36) 1.29 (1.22-1.26) 1.29 (1.22-1.26) 1.29 (1.22-1.26) 1.29 (1.22-1.26) 1.29 (1.22-1.26) 1.29 (1.22-1.26) 1.29 (1.22-1.26) 1.29 (1.22-1.26) 1.29 (1.22-1.26) 1.29 (1.22-1.26) 1.29 (1.22-1.26) 1.29 (1.22-1.26)	Female	2,224/6,368	488/7,060	553/7,544	777/6,659	34.46 (34.19–34.73)	6.98 (6.86–7.1)	7.54 (7.42–7.66)	11.7 (11.55–11.86)	RE,
2,8146,021 6665,573 435,545 8565,516 4576,454-4-46,07) 12.04 (1.187-12.21) 8.42 (8.28-8.57) 15.45 (15.25-15.64) 202751 26/1,025 31/568 42/515 25.08 (24.25-25.91) 2.67 (22.6-3.07) 812 (6.88-9.35) 6.14 (52.5-6.73) 8864,463 40/3,196 270/5,220 165/3,100 19.12 (18.88-19.35) 1.29 (12.2-1.30) 49.3 (4.82-5.04) 52 (50.5-5.34) 1378678 61/670 70/673 111/678 49.5 (64.88-9.50) 8.99 (8.58-9.40) 10.35 (9.90-10.79) 16.89 (16.32-17.46) 131/448 1592,830 20/9/2,490 301/2,523 49.5 (64.88-9.50) 8.99 (8.58-9.40) 10.35 (9.90-10.79) 16.89 (16.32-17.46) 1,7402,277 5942,214 305/1,864 7162,315 77.31 (76.34-78.85) 2.39 (31.76-33.01) 14.25 (13.86-14.64) 38.48 (37.80-39.16) 2,63396,66 356/1,0464 6711,1244 742/9,814 25.16 (24.96-25.50) 2.97 (2.91-3.02) 5.75 (3.48-5.60) 6.92 (8.83-7.02) 1,3946/7,47 88/7,207 243/7,685 238/6,771 21.09 (20.87-21.30) 1.23 (1.18-1.28) 31.5 (3.08-3.23) 35.3 (3.45-3.62) 1,3946/7,47 88/7,207 2782,885 2586,2842 4792,898 54.22 (33.73-94.71) 90.6 (8.86-9.25) 13.43 (13.9-13.66) 17.78 (17.5-18.05) 6.24/1,856 129/1,896 314/2,794 202/1,860 33.13 (3.26-3.36) 4.86 (3.21-3.21) 19.96 (19.58-2.03+) 40.87 (40.35-41.38) 5.62/3,290 85/3,285 125/3,975 147/3,038 17.99 (17.64-18.33) 31.12,93-3.20) 3.59 (3.42-3.76) 5.40 (3.19-5.60) 6.24/1,856 129/1,896 346/3,346 202/1,896 33.13 (3.26-3.36) 5.67 (6.06-5.4) 10.92 (10.35-41.38) 1,9953,700 485/4,098 546/4,346 571/4,125 30.84 (30.59-31.09) 10.93 (10.76-11.11) 12.96 (12.31-12.67) 18.35 (13.13-13.95) 1,9953,700 485/4,098 546/4,346 521/6,122 30.84 (30.59-31.09) 12.22 (12.08-12.57) 10.59 (10.37-10.81) 17.63 (17.56-17.9) 101 5334 (1.91 56/1,124) 40.97 (17.54-18.25) 41.64 (0.74-4.5) 5.45 (3.35-5.55) 7.60 (7.48-7.72) 101 5334 (1.91 56/1,124) 50/5,139 98/6,568 32/6,798 31.06 (30.63-10.37) 5.45 (4.97-4.83) 8.09 (7.78-8.40) 1.9953,700 485/4,032 98/6,568 32/6,798 31.06 (30.63-10.73) 5.45 (6.06-6.54) 10.82 (10.35-11.28) 1.9953,700 485/4,032 98/6,568 32/6,798 31.06 (30.63-10.73) 5.45 (6.06-6.54) 10.82 (10.35-11.28) 1.9953,700 485/4,032 98/6,568 32/6,798 31.06 (30.63-10.73) 5.45 (6.06-6.54) 9.55 (9.42-9.	Race									Са
2027751 26.0.025 31/58 42/751 25.08 (24,35–25.91) 2.67 (2.26–3.07) 8.12 (6.88–9.26) 6.14 (5.55–6.37) 8864,463 403,196 2705,220 1057,2100 10.12 (18.88–19.35) 1.29 (1.22–1.36) 4.91 (4.82–5.04) 5.2 (5.05–5.34) 1.38 (18.27–6.37) 1.21 (18.28–19.35) 1.22 (1.22–1.36) 4.91 (4.82–5.04) 5.2 (5.05–5.34) 1.38 (18.27–6.37) 1.21 (18.28–19.25) 1.21 (18.28–19.25) 1.22 (1.22–1.36) 4.91 (4.82–5.04) 5.2 (5.05–5.34) 1.21 (18.28–19.25) 1.21 (18.28–19.25) 1.22 (1.22–1.36) 4.91 (4.82–5.04) 10.35 (9.0–10.79) 16.89 (16.32–17.46) 1.21 (18.28–19.25) 1.21 (18.28–19.25) 1.21 (18.28–19.25) 1.23 (18.28–19.25) 1.23 (18.21–1.25) 1.	Caucasian	2,814/6,021	666/5,573	453/5,345	856/5,516	45.76 (45.44–46.07)		8.42 (8.28–8.57)	15.45 (15.25–15.64)	TES
8864,463 403,190 2705,220 16573,100 1120 (1828-19.35) 1.29 (122-1.36) 4.93 (482-5.94) 5.2 (505-5.34) nicals 378678 61670 770673 111678 4.95 (4859-5052) 8.99 (855-9.40) 10.35 (9.90-10.79) 1.689 (16.32-17.48) 151/448 1592,830 2092,490 3012,533 34.56 (33.4-35.87) 5.10 (4.91-5.29) 7.15 (7.0-7.3) 10.85 (10.4+11.25) ined) 1,7402,277 5942,314 3057,864 7162,315 77.31 (76.34-78.28) 32.39 (31.76-33.01) 14.25 (13.86-14.64) 38.48 (37.80-39.16) cans 1,5442,702 2437,685 2386,771 21.09 (20.87-21.30) 1.23 (1.18-1.28) 3.15 (3.08-3.23) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) cans 1,5442,702 2782,885 2386,771 21.09 (20.87-21.30) 1.23 (1.18-1.28) 3.15 (3.08-32.3) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) cans 1,5442,702 2437,685 2386,771 21.09 (20.87-21.30) 1.23 (1.18-1.28) 3.15 (3.08-3.23) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) cans 1,5442,702 2437,685 2326,771 21.09 (20.87-21.30) 1.23 (1.18-1.2	Chinese	202/751	26/1,025	31/568	42/751	25.08 (24.25–25.91)		8.12 (6.88–9.36)	6.14 (5.55–6.73)	ABE
ricans 378678 61670 70673 111678 49.56 (48.59-50.2) 8.99 (8.58-9.40) 10.35 (9.90-10.79) 16.89 (16.32-17.46) 151/1448 1597,2830 2092,490 3012,523 34.56 (33.24-35.87) 5.10 (4.91-5.29) 7.15 (7.0-7.3) 10.85 (10.4+11.25) 110 (1.91-5.21) 110 (1	South Asian	886/4,463	40/3,196	270/5,220	165/3,100	19.12 (18.88–19.35)	1.29 (1.22–1.36)	4.93 (4.82–5.04)	5.2 (5.05–5.34)	Dı
151/448 159/2.830 209/2.490 301/2.523 34.56 (33.24-35.87) 5.10 (4.91-5.29) 7.15 (7.0-7.3) 10.85 (10.44-11.25) (10.885/2.14 66/4.221 301/5/88 207/3/851 19.92 (19.7-20.14) 1.54 (1.48-1.61) 5.0 (4.89-5.12) 5.25 (5.12-5.39) 10.85 (10.44-11.25) 10.00 1.394/6.747 88.7.207 2437/6.85 238/6.771 21.09 (20.87-21.30) 2.97 (2.91-3.02) 5.57 (5.48-5.66) 6.92 (6.83-7.02) 10.00 1.394/6.747 88.7.207 2437/6.85 238/6.771 21.09 (20.87-21.30) 2.97 (2.91-3.02) 5.57 (5.48-5.66) 6.92 (6.83-7.02) 10.00 1.394/6.747 88.7.207 2437/6.85 238/6.771 21.09 (20.87-21.30) 1.23 (1.18-1.28) 3.15 (3.08-3.23) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) 1.338/1.722 5827/8.40 3447/7.789 76.32 (75.61-77.04) 31.66 (31.21-32.11) 19.96 (19.58-20.34) 40.87 (40.35-40.5) 1.338/1.722 5827/8.40 3447/7.789 76.32 (75.61-77.04) 31.66 (31.21-32.11) 19.96 (19.58-20.34) 40.87 (40.35-41.38) 1.995/3.700 48.57 (0.98 546/3.34 2027/8.60 33.13 (3.2.64-33.62) 6.87 (6.63-7.10) 6.30 (6.06-6.54) 10.82 (10.53-11.10) 1.995/3.700 48.57 (0.98 546/3.346 7734/0.76 51.2 (3.0.8-31.6) 10.93 (10.76-11.11) 12.49 (12.31-12.67) 13.56 (13.31-31.95) 1.995/3.700 48.57 (0.98 546/3.346 7734/0.76 51.2 (3.0.8-31.20) 1.23 (1.18-1.25) 5.45 (3.35-3.55) 7.60 (74.8-7.2) 1.995/3.700 48.57 (0.98 546/3.346 7734/0.76 51.2 (3.0.8-31.20) 1.23 (1.19-5.36) 1.24 (12.31-12.67) 1.85 (1.3.31-13.95) 1.995/3.700 3076/2.43 3.996/5.16 51.6 (3.1.21-32.17) 1.23 (1.18-1.25) 1.249 (12.31-12.67) 1.85 (1.3.31-13.95) 1.995/3.700 48.57 (0.98 546/3.346 7734/0.76 51.2 (3.0.8-31.20) 1.23 (1.1.91-1.25) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.35 (1.3.91-1.39) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.35 (1.3.91-1.39) 1.36 (1.3.91-1.39) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.35 (1.3.91-1.39) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.35 (1.3.91-1.39) 1.36 (1.3.91-1.39) 1.23 (1.18-1.25) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1.26) 1.249 (12.31-1	African Americans	378/678	61/670	70/673	111/678	49.56 (48.59–50.52)		10.35 (9.90–10.79)	16.89 (16.32–17.46)	
ined) 1.0885.214 664,221 3015.788 2073.851 19.92 (19.7-20.1+) 1.54 (1.48-1.61) 5.0 (4.89-5.12) 5.25 (5.12-5.39) 1.7402.277 5942.314 3057.864 7162.315 77.31 (76.34-78.28) 32.39 (3.1.76-33.01) 14.25 (13.86-14.64) 38.48 (37.80-39.16) 2.6339.666 356/1.0464 671/1.1244 742/9.814 25.16 (24.96-25.36) 2.97 (2.91-3.02) 5.57 (5.48-5.66) 6.92 (6.83-7.02) con 1.394/6.747 887.207 243/7.685 238/6.771 21.09 (20.87-21.30) 1.23 (1.18-1.28) 3.15 (3.08-3.23) 3.5 (3.45-3.62) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) 3.54 (3.33-1.39-1.36) 3.15 (3.08-3.23)	Hispanic	151/448	159/2,830	209/2,490	301/2,523	34.56 (33.24–35.87)	5.10 (4.91–5.29)	7.15 (7.0–7.3)	10.85 (10.44–11.25)	
1,7402,277 5942,314 3057,864 7162,315 77.31 (76.34–78.28) 32.39 (31.76–33.01) 14.25 (13.86–14.64) 38.48 (37.80–39.16) 2,6339,666 3567,0464 67171,1244 7429,814 25.16 (24.96–25.36) 2.97 (2.91–3.02) 5.57 (5.48–5.66) 6.92 (6.83–7.02) 1,394/6,747 887,207 2437,685 238/6,771 21.09 (20.87–21.30) 1.23 (1.18–1.28) 3.15 (3.08–3.23) 3.53 (3.45–3.62) 1,394/7,720 2782,852 3682,842 4792,698 54.22 (53.73–54.71) 9.06 (8.86–9.25) 13.45 (13.19–13.66) 17.78 (17.5–18.05) 5623,290 857,285 1257,975 14773,038 17.99 (17.64–18.33) 3.1 (2.93–3.26) 3.59 (3.42–3.76) 5.40 (5.19–5.60) 62471,856 1297,896 1332,344 2021,860 33.13 (3.26–33.362) 6.87 (6.63–7.10) 6.30 (6.06–6.54) 10.82 (10.55–11.10) 7011,546 1687,657 1417,843 2307,626 43.1 (42.53–43.66) 9.64 (9.37–9.90) 7.69 (7.46–7.93) 1.995(3.700 4857,098 5464,346 7734,076 51.2 (50.8–51.6) 10.93 (10.76–11.11) 12.49 (12.31–12.67) 18.35 (18.13–18.58) 2,0377,590 307/6,243 369/6,516 521/6,122 30.84 (30.59–31.09) 4.16 (4.07–4.25) 5.45 (5.35–5.55) 7.60 (7.48–7.72) oli 5037,619 5647,064 6947,624 8947,076 31.64 (31.11–32.17) 5.12 (4.87–5.36) 4.60 (4.37–4.83) 8.09 (7.78–8.40) 1,9857,975 2657,274 5349,415 574/7,180 24.79 (24.57–25.00) 3.47 (3.40–3.55) 5.46 (5.35–5.56) 7.86 (7.74–7.98)	Asian (combined)	1,088/5,214	66/4,221	301/5,788	207/3,851	19.92 (19.7–20.14)		5.0 (4.89–5.12)	5.25 (5.12–5.39)	
1,7402,277 5942,314 3057,864 7162,315 77,31 (76.34-78.28) 32.39 (31.76-33.01) 14.25 (13.86-14.64) 38.48 (37.80-39.16) (26.3390,666) 3567,0464 6717,1244 7429,814 25.16 (24.96-25.36) 2.97 (2.91-3.02) 5.57 (5.48-5.66) 6.92 (6.83-7.02) (100 1.3946,747 88/7.207 2437/685 238/6,771 21.09 (20.87-21.30) 1.23 (1.18-1.28) 3.15 (3.08-32.3) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) (2.91-3.02) 5.57 (5.48-5.66) 6.92 (6.83-7.02) (100 1.3946,747 88/7.207 2437/685 238/6,771 21.09 (20.87-21.30) 1.23 (1.18-1.28) 3.15 (3.08-32.3) 3.53 (3.45-3.62) (2.91-3.02) 5.57 (5.48-5.66) 6.92 (6.83-7.02) (2.91-3.02) 5.57 (5.48-5.66) 6.92 (6.83-7.02) (2.91-3.02) 5.57 (5.48-5.66) 6.92 (6.83-7.02) (2.91-3.02) 5.57 (5.48-5.66) 6.92 (6.83-7.02) (2.91-3.02) 6.92 (6.91-3.02)	Diabetes type*									
ton 2,6339,666 356/1,0464 671/1,1244 742/9,814 25.16 (24.96–25.36) 2.97 (2.91–3.02) 5.57 (5.48–5.66) 6.92 (6.83–7.02) ton 1,3946,747 887,207 2437,685 238/6,771 21.09 (20.87–21.30) 1.23 (1.18–1.28) 3.15 (3.08–3.23) 3.53 (3.45–3.62) ton 1,3946,747 887,207 2437,685 238/6,771 21.09 (20.87–21.30) 1.23 (1.18–1.28) 3.15 (3.08–3.23) 3.53 (3.45–3.62) ton 1,3946,747 887,207 2782,852 3682,842 4792,698 54.22 (33.73–54.71) 9.06 (8.86–9.25) 13.43 (13.19–13.66) 17.78 (17.5–18.05) ton 1,3946,747 887,207 2782,852 3682,842 4792,698 54.22 (33.73–54.71) 9.06 (8.86–9.25) 13.43 (13.19–13.66) 17.78 (17.5–18.05) ton 1,3946,747 887,207 3682,842 4792,698 54.22 (33.73–54.71) 9.06 (8.86–9.25) 13.43 (13.19–13.66) 17.78 (17.5–18.05) ton 1,3946,747 887,207 857,285 1257,975 1470,038 17.99 (17.64–18.33) 3.1 (2.93–3.26) 3.59 (3.42–3.76) 5.40 (5.19–5.60) ton 6241,856 1297,896 1332,2344 2027,860 33.13 (32.64–33.36) 6.87 (6.63–7.10) 6.30 (6.06–6.54) 10.82 (10.53–11.10) ton 7011,546 16871,652 1417,843 2307,626 43.1 (42.53–43.66) 9.64 (9.37–9.90) 7.69 (7.46–7.9) 13.64 (13.33–13.95) 1.9953,700 48574,998 546/4,346 7734,076 51.2 (50.8–51.6) 10.93 (10.76–11.11) 12.49 (12.31–12.67) 18.35 (18.13–18.58) ton 1,99573,700 307/6,243 369/6,516 521/6,122 30.84 (30.59–31.09) 4.16 (4.07–4.25) 5.45 (5.35–5.55) 7.60 (7.48–7.72) ton 1,98577,975 267,064 697,672 5348,289 664/6,798 31.06 (30.82–31.29) 5.67 (5.56–5.78) 6.78 (6.67–6.9) 9.55 (9.42–9.69) 1.98 (7.74–7.98) 1.98 (7.74–7.98) 1.5.62 (15.43–15.81) 1.98 (7.74–7.98) 1.98 (7.74–7.98) 1.5.62 (15.43–15.81) 1.98 (7.74–7.98)	Type 1	1,740/2,277	594/2,314	305/1,864	716/2,315	77.31 (76.34–78.28)		14.25 (13.86–14.64)	38.48 (37.80–39.16)	
lon 1.394/6,747 88/7,207 243/7,685 238/6,771 21.09 (20.87–21.30) 1.23 (1.18–1.28) 3.15 (3.08–3.23) 3.53 (3.45–3.62) ears 1.544/2,702 278/2,852 368/2,842 479/2,698 54.22 (53.73–54.71) 9.06 (8.86–9.25) 13.43 (13.19–13.66) 17.78 (17.5–18.05) 17.8 (17.5–18.05) 17.8 (17.5–18.05) 17.8 (17.5–18.05) 17.8 (17.5–18.05) 17.8 (17.5–18.05) 17.8 (17.5–18.05) 17.8 (17.5–18.05) 17.8 (17.5–18.05) 17.8 (17.5–18.05) 17.8 (17.5–18.05) 17.8 (17.5–18.05) 17.8 (17.5–18.05) 17.8 (17.5–18.05) 17.8 (17.5–18.05) 17.8 (17.5–18.05) 17.9 (17.64–18.33	Type 2	2,633/9,666	356/1,0464	671/1,1244	742/9,814	25.16 (24.96–25.36)		5.57 (5.48–5.66)	6.92 (6.83–7.02)	
1,3946,747 887,207 2437,685 2386,771 21.09 (20.87–21.30) 1.23 (1.18–1.28) 3.15 (3.08–3.23) 3.53 (3.45–3.62) 1.5442,702 2782,825 3682,842 4792,698 54.22 (53.73–54.71) 9.06 (8.86–9.25) 13.43 (13.19–13.66) 17.78 (17.5–18.05) 17.3817,752 5827,840 3447,734 72771,789 76.32 (75.61–77.04) 31.66 (31.21–32.11) 19.96 (19.58–20.34) 40.87 (40.35–41.38) 562/3,290 85/3,285 125/3,975 147/3,038 17.99 (17.64–18.33) 3.1 (2.93–3.26) 3.59 (3.42–3.76) 5.40 (5.19–5.60) 6.2441,856 129/1,896 1337,244 202/1,860 33.13 (32.64–33.62) 6.87 (6.63–7.10) 6.30 (6.06–6.54) 10.82 (10.53–11.10) 7.017,546 1687,652 1441/1,843 230/1,626 43.1 (42.53–43.66) 9.64 (9.37–9.90) 7.69 (7.46–7.93) 13.64 (3.33–13.95) 1.995/3,700 485/4,098 546/4,346 773/4,076 51.2 (50.8–51.6) 10.93 (10.76–11.11) 12.49 (12.31–12.67) 18.35 (18.13–18.58) 2.037/5,900 307/6,243 369/6,516 521/6,122 30.84 (30.59–31.09) 4.16 (4.07–4.25) 5.45 (5.35–5.55) 7.60 (7.48–7.72) ol 5037/1,619 56/1,064 69/1,624 89/1,056 31.64 (31.11–32.17) 5.12 (4.87–5.36) 4.60 (4.37–4.83) 8.09 (7.78–8.40) 2.502/4,645 692/6,162 505/5,139 907/5,530 49.57 (49.21–49.93) 10.58 (10.43–10.73) 9.28 (9.14–9.43) 15.62 (15.43–15.81) 15.95 (7.74–7.98) 15.64 (7.74–7.98)	Diabetes duration									
ears 1,544/2,702 278/2,852 368/2,842 479/2,698 54.22 (53.73–54.71) 9.06 (8.86–9.25) 13.43 (13.19–13.66) 17.78 (17.5–18.05) 1,338/1,752 582/1,840 344/1,734 727/1,789 76.32 (75.61–77.04) 31.66 (31.21–32.11) 19.96 (19.58–20.34) 40.87 (40.35–41.38) 562/3,290 85/3,285 125/3,975 147/3,038 17.99 (17.64–18.33) 3.1 (2.93–3.26) 3.59 (3.42–3.76) 5.40 (5.19–5.60) 624/1,856 129/1,896 133/2,344 202/1,860 33.13 (32.64–33.62) 6.87 (6.63–7.10) 6.30 (6.06–6.54) 10.82 (10.53–11.10) 701/1,546 168/1,652 141/1,843 230/1,626 43.1 (42.53–43.66) 9.64 (9.37–9.90) 7.69 (7.46–7.93) 13.64 (13.33–13.95) 1.995/3,700 485/4,098 546/4,346 773/4,076 51.2 (50.8–51.6) 10.93 (10.76–11.11) 12.49 (12.31–12.67) 18.35 (18.13–18.58) 61 2,407/6,583 632/6,791 661/7,900 958/6,568 39.55 (39.19–39.91) 12.32 (12.08–12.57) 10.59 (10.37–10.81) 17.63 (17.36–17.9) 61 503/1,619 56/1,064 69/1,624 89/1,056 31.06 (30.82–31.29) 5.67 (5.56–5.78) 6.78 (6.67–6.9) 9.55 (9.42–9.69) 1.985/7,975 265/7,274 5349,415 574/7,180 24.79 (24.57–25.00) 3.47 (3.40–3.55) 5.46 (5.35–5.56) 7.86 (7.74–7.98)	<10 years	1,394/6,747	88/7,207	243/7,685	238/6,771	21.09 (20.87–21.30)		3.15 (3.08–3.23)	3.53 (3.45–3.62)	
1,338/1,752 582/1,840 344/1,734 727/1,789 76.32 (75.61–77.04) 31.66 (31.21–32.11) 19.96 (19.58–20.34) 40.87 (40.35–41.38) 562/3,290 85/3,285 125/3,975 147/3,038 17.99 (17.64–18.33) 3.1 (2.93–3.26) 3.59 (3.42–3.76) 5.40 (5.19–5.60) 624/1,856 129/1,896 133/2,344 202/1,860 33.13 (32.64–33.62) 6.87 (6.63–7.10) 6.30 (6.06–6.54) 10.82 (10.53–11.10) 701/1,546 168/1,652 141/1,843 230/1,626 43.1 (42.53–43.66) 9.64 (9.37–9.90) 7.69 (7.46–7.93) 13.64 (13.33–13.95) 1,995/3,700 485/4,098 546/4,346 773/4,076 51.2 (50.8–51.6) 10.93 (10.76–11.11) 12.49 (12.31–12.67) 18.35 (18.13–18.58) 2,037/5,900 307/6,243 369/6,516 521/6,122 30.84 (30.59–31.09) 4.16 (4.07–4.25) 5.45 (5.35–5.55) 7.60 (7.48–7.72) c† 2,407/6,583 632/6,791 661/7,900 958/6,568 39.55 (39.19–39.91) 12.32 (12.08–12.57) 10.59 (10.37–10.81) 17.63 (17.36–17.9) 1,995/3,704 409/7,072 534/8,289 664/6,798 31.06 (30.82–31.29) 5.67 (5.56–5.78) 6.78 (6.67–6.9) 9.55 (9.42–9.69) 2,502/4,645 692/6,162 505/5,139 907/5,530 49.57 (49.21–49.93) 10.58 (10.43–10.73) 9.28 (9.14–9.43) 15.62 (15.43–15.81) 1.985/7,975 265/7,274 534/9,415 574/7,180 24.79 (24.57–25.00) 3.47 (3.40–3.55) 5.46 (5.35–5.56) 7.86 (7.74–7.98)	10 to < 20 years	1,544/2,702	278/2,852	368/2,842	479/2,698	54.22 (53.73–54.71)		13.43 (13.19–13.66)	17.78 (17.5–18.05)	
562/3,290 85/3,285 125/3,975 147/3,038 17.99 (17.64–18.33) 3.1 (2.93–3.26) 3.59 (3.42–3.76) 5.40 (5.19–5.60) 6.24/1,856 129/1,896 133/2,344 202/1,860 33.13 (32.64–33.62) 6.87 (6.63–7.10) 6.30 (6.06–6.54) 10.82 (10.53–11.10) 7.01/1,546 168/1,652 14/1,843 230/1,626 43.1 (42.53–43.66) 9.64 (9.37–9.90) 7.69 (7.46–7.93) 13.64 (13.33–13.95) 1,995/3,700 485/4,098 546/4,346 773/4,076 51.2 (50.8–51.6) 10.93 (10.76–11.11) 12.49 (12.31–12.67) 18.35 (18.13–18.58) 2,037/5,900 307/6,243 369/6,516 521/6,122 30.84 (30.59–31.09) 4.16 (4.07–4.25) 5.45 (5.35–5.55) 7.60 (7.48–7.72) 6.1 503/1,619 56/1,064 69/1,624 89/1,056 31.64 (31.11–32.17) 5.12 (4.87–5.36) 4.60 (4.37–4.83) 8.09 (7.78–8.40) 2,491/8,074 409/7,072 534/8,289 664/6,798 31.06 (30.82–31.29) 5.67 (5.56–5.78) 6.78 (6.67–6.9) 9.55 (9.42–9.69) 1.985/7,975 265/7,274 534/9,415 574/7,180 24.79 (24.57–25.00) 3.47 (3.40–3.55) 5.46 (5.35–5.56) 7.86 (7.74–7.98)	≥20 years	1,338/1,752	582/1,840	344/1,734	727/1,789	76.32 (75.61–77.04)		19.96 (19.58–20.34)	40.87 (40.35–41.38)	
562/3,290 85/3,285 125/3,975 147/3,038 17.99 (17.64–18.33) 3.1 (2.93–3.26) 3.59 (3.42–3.76) 5.40 (5.19–5.60) 624/1,856 129/1,896 133/2,344 202/1,860 33.13 (32.64–33.62) 6.87 (6.63–7.10) 6.30 (6.06–6.54) 10.82 (10.53–11.10) 701/1,546 168/1,652 141/1,843 230/1,626 43.1 (42.53–43.66) 9.64 (9.37–9.90) 7.69 (7.46–7.93) 13.64 (13.33–13.95) 1,995/3,700 485/4,098 546/4,346 773/4,076 51.2 (50.8–51.6) 10.93 (10.76–11.11) 12.49 (12.31–12.67) 18.35 (18.13–18.58) 2,037/5,900 307/6,243 369/6,516 521/6,122 30.84 (30.59–31.09) 4.16 (4.07–4.25) 5.45 (5.35–5.55) 7.60 (7.48–7.72) e† 2,407/6,583 632/6,791 661/7,900 958/6,568 39.55 (39.19–39.91) 12.32 (12.08–12.57) 10.59 (10.37–10.81) 17.63 (17.36–17.9) ol 503/1,619 56/1,064 69/1,624 89/1,056 31.64 (31.11–32.17) 5.12 (4.87–5.36) 4.60 (4.37–4.83) 8.09 (7.78–8.40) 2,502/4,645 692/6,162 505/5,139 907/5,530 49.57 (49.21–49.93) 10.58 (10.43–10.73) 9.28 (9.14–9.43) 15.62	HbA_{1c}									
624/1,856 129/1,896 133/2,344 202/1,860 33.13 (32.64–33.62) 6.87 (6.63–7.10) 6.30 (6.06–6.54) 10.82 (10.53–11.10) 701/1,546 168/1,652 141/1,843 230/1,626 43.1 (42.53–43.66) 9.64 (9.37–9.90) 7.69 (7.46–7.93) 13.64 (13.33–13.95) 1,995/3,700 485/4,998 546/4,346 773/4,076 51.2 (50.8–51.6) 10.93 (10.76–11.11) 12.49 (12.31–12.67) 18.35 (18.13–18.58) 2,037/5,900 307/6,243 369/6,516 521/6,122 30.84 (30.59–31.09) 4.16 (4.07–4.25) 5.45 (5.35–5.55) 7.60 (7.48–7.72) et 2,407/6,583 632/6,791 661/7,900 958/6,568 39.55 (39.19–39.91) 12.32 (12.08–12.57) 10.59 (10.37–10.81) 17.63 (17.36–17.9) ol 503/1,619 56/1,064 69/1,624 89/1,056 31.64 (31.11–32.17) 5.12 (4.87–5.36) 4.60 (4.37–4.83) 8.09 (7.78–8.40) 2,491/8,074 409/7,072 534/8,289 664/6,798 31.06 (30.82–31.29) 5.67 (5.56–5.78) 6.78 (6.67–6.9) 9.55 (9.42–9.69) 1.985/7,975 265/7,274 534/9,415 574/7,180 24.79 (24.57–25.00) 3.47 (3.40–3.55) 5.46 (5.35–5.56) 7.86 (7.74–7.98)	≤7.0%	562/3,290	85/3,285	125/3,975	147/3,038	17.99 (17.64–18.33)		3.59 (3.42–3.76)	5.40 (5.19–5.60)	
701/1,546 168/1,652 141/1,843 230/1,626 43.1 (42.53-43.66) 9.64 (9.37-9.90) 7.69 (7.46-7.93) 13.64 (13.33-13.95) 1,995/3,700 485/4,098 546/4,346 773/4,076 51.2 (50.8-51.6) 10.93 (10.76-11.11) 12.49 (12.31-12.67) 18.35 (18.13-18.58) 2,037/5,900 307/6,243 369/6,516 521/6,122 30.84 (30.59-31.09) 4.16 (4.07-4.25) 5.45 (5.35-5.55) 7.60 (7.48-7.72) c† 2,407/6,583 632/6,791 661/7,900 958/6,568 39.55 (39.19-39.91) 12.32 (12.08-12.57) 10.59 (10.37-10.81) 17.63 (17.36-17.9) ol 503/1,619 56/1,064 69/1,624 89/1,056 31.64 (31.11-32.17) 5.12 (4.87-5.36) 4.60 (4.37-4.83) 8.09 (7.78-8.40) 2.491/8,074 409/7,072 534/8,289 664/6,798 31.06 (30.82-31.29) 5.67 (5.56-5.78) 6.78 (6.67-6.9) 9.55 (9.42-9.69) 12.502/4,645 692/6,162 505/5,139 907/5,530 49.57 (49.21-49.93) 10.58 (10.43-10.73) 9.28 (9.14-9.43) 15.62 (15.43-15.81) 17.86 (7.74-7.98)	7.1-8.0%	624/1,856	129/1,896	133/2,344	202/1,860	33.13 (32.64–33.62)	6.87 (6.63–7.10)	6.30 (6.06–6.54)	10.82 (10.53–11.10)	
1,995/3,700 485/4,098 546/4,346 773/4,076 51.2 (50.8–51.6) 10.93 (10.76–11.11) 12.49 (12.31–12.67) 18.35 (18.13–18.58) 2,037/5,900 307/6,243 369/6,516 521/6,122 30.84 (30.59–31.09) 4.16 (4.07–4.25) 5.45 (5.35–5.55) 7.60 (7.48–7.72) 61 2,407/6,583 632/6,791 661/7,900 958/6,568 39.55 (39.19–39.91) 12.32 (12.08–12.57) 10.59 (10.37–10.81) 17.63 (17.36–17.9) 61 503/1,619 56/1,064 69/1,624 89/1,056 31.64 (31.11–32.17) 5.12 (4.87–5.36) 4.60 (4.37–4.83) 8.09 (7.78–8.40) 12.491/8,074 409/7,072 534/8,289 664/6,798 31.06 (30.82–31.29) 5.67 (5.56–5.78) 6.78 (6.67–6.9) 9.55 (9.42–9.69) 12.502/4,645 692/6,162 505/5,139 907/5,530 49.57 (49.21–49.93) 10.58 (10.43–10.73) 9.28 (9.14–9.43) 15.62 (15.43–15.81) 17.985/7,975 265/7,274 534/9,415 574/7,180 24.79 (24.57–25.00) 3.47 (3.40–3.55) 5.46 (5.35–5.56) 7.86 (7.74–7.98)	8.1-9.0%	701/1,546	168/1,652	141/1,843	230/1,626	43.1 (42.53–43.66)		7.69 (7.46–7.93)	13.64 (13.33–13.95)	
2,037/5,900 307/6,243 369/6,516 521/6,122 30.84 (30.59–31.09) 4.16 (4.07–4.25) 5.45 (5.35–5.55) 7.60 (7.48–7.72) e† 2,407/6,583 632/6,791 661/7,900 958/6,568 39.55 (39.19–39.91) 12.32 (12.08–12.57) 10.59 (10.37–10.81) 17.63 (17.36–17.9) ol 503/1,619 56/1,064 69/1,624 89/1,056 31.64 (31.11–32.17) 5.12 (4.87–5.36) 4.60 (4.37–4.83) 8.09 (7.78–8.40) The contraction of the c	>9.0%	1,995/3,700	485/4,098	546/4,346	773/4,076	51.2 (50.8–51.6)		12.49 (12.31–12.67)	18.35 (18.13–18.58)	
2,037/5,900 307/6,243 369/6,516 521/6,122 30.84 (30.59–31.09) 4.16 (4.07–4.25) 5.45 (5.35–5.55) 7.60 (7.48–7.72) et 2,407/6,583 632/6,791 661/7,900 958/6,568 39.55 (39.19–39.91) 12.32 (12.08–12.57) 10.59 (10.37–10.81) 17.63 (17.36–17.9) ol 503/1,619 56/1,064 69/1,624 89/1,056 31.64 (31.11–32.17) 5.12 (4.87–5.36) 4.60 (4.37–4.83) 8.09 (7.78–8.40) The contraction of the c	Blood pressure									
2,407/6,583 632/6,791 661/7,900 958/6,568 39.55 (39.19–39.91) 12.32 (12.08–12.57) 10.59 (10.37–10.81) 17.63 (17.36–17.9) 1 503/1,619 56/1,064 69/1,624 89/1,056 31.64 (31.11–32.17) 5.12 (4.87–5.36) 4.60 (4.37–4.83) 8.09 (7.78–8.40) 2,491/8,074 409/7,072 534/8,289 664/6,798 31.06 (30.82–31.29) 5.67 (5.56–5.78) 6.78 (6.67–6.9) 9.55 (9.42–9.69) 2,502/4,645 692/6,162 505/5,139 907/5,530 49.57 (49.21–49.93) 10.58 (10.43–10.73) 9.28 (9.14–9.43) 15.62 (15.43–15.81) 1,985/7,975 265/7,274 534/9,415 574/7,180 24.79 (24.57–25.00) 3.47 (3.40–3.55) 5.46 (5.35–5.56) 7.86 (7.74–7.98)	Normal	2,037/5,900	307/6,243	369/6,516	521/6,122	30.84 (30.59–31.09)		5.45 (5.35-5.55)	7.60 (7.48–7.72)	
1 503/1,619 56/1,064 69/1,624 89/1,056 31.64 (31.11–32.17) 5.12 (4.87–5.36) 4.60 (4.37–4.83) 8.09 (7.78–8.40) 2,491/8,074 409/7,072 534/8,289 664/6,798 31.06 (30.82–31.29) 5.67 (5.56–5.78) 6.78 (6.67–6.9) 9.55 (9.42–9.69) 2,502/4,645 692/6,162 505/5,139 907/5,530 49.57 (49.21–49.93) 10.58 (10.43–10.73) 9.28 (9.14–9.43) 15.62 (15.43–15.81) 1,985/7,975 265/7,274 534/9,415 574/7,180 24.79 (24.57–25.00) 3.47 (3.40–3.55) 5.46 (5.35–5.56) 7.86 (7.74–7.98)	Hypertensive†	2,407/6,583	632/6,791	661/7,900	958/6,568	39.55 (39.19–39.91)		10.59 (10.37–10.81)	17.63 (17.36–17.9)	
<4 mmol/L	Total cholesterol									
24.0 mmol/L 2,491/8,074 409/7,072 534/8,289 664/6,798 31.06 (30.82–31.29) 5.67 (5.56–5.78) 6.78 (6.67–6.9) 9.55 (9.42–9.69) ra of study: Pre-2000 2,502/4,645 692/6,162 505/5,139 907/5,530 49.57 (49.21–49.93) 10.58 (10.43–10.73) 9.28 (9.14–9.43) 15.62 (15.43–15.81) Post-2000 1,985/7,975 265/7,274 534/9,415 574/7,180 24.79 (24.57–25.00) 3.47 (3.40–3.55) 5.46 (5.35–5.56) 7.86 (7.74–7.98)	<4 mmol/L	503/1,619	56/1,064	69/1,624	89/1,056	31.64 (31.11–32.17)		4.60 (4.37–4.83)	8.09 (7.78–8.40)	
ra of study‡ Pre-2000 2,502/4,645 692/6,162 505/5,139 907/5,530 49.57 (49.21–49.93) 10.58 (10.43–10.73) 9.28 (9.14–9.43) 15.62 (15.43–15.81) Post-2000 1,985/7,975 265/7,274 534/9,415 574/7,180 24.79 (24.57–25.00) 3.47 (3.40–3.55) 5.46 (5.35–5.56) 7.86 (7.74–7.98)	≥4.0 mmol/L	2,491/8,074	409/7,072	534/8,289	664/6,798	31.06 (30.82–31.29)		6.78 (6.67–6.9)	9.55 (9.42–9.69)	
2,502/4,645 692/6,162 505/5,139 907/5,530 49.57 (49.21–49.93) 10.58 (10.43–10.73) 9.28 (9.14–9.43) 15.62 (15.43–15.81) 1,985/7,975 265/7,274 534/9,415 574/7,180 24.79 (24.57–25.00) 3.47 (3.40–3.55) 5.46 (5.35–5.56) 7.86 (7.74–7.98)	Era of study‡									
1,985/7,975 265/7,274 534/9,415 574/7,180 24.79 (24.57–25.00) 3.47 (3.40–3.55) 5.46 (5.35–5.56) 7.86 (7.74–7.98)	Pre-2000	2,502/4,645	692/6,162	505/5,139	907/5,530	49.57 (49.21–49.93)		9.28 (9.14–9.43)	15.62 (15.43–15.81)	
	Post-2000	1,985/7,975	265/7,274	534/9,415	574/7,180	24.79 (24.57–25.00)		5.46 (5.35–5.56)	7.86 (7.74–7.98)	rg

information was not provided, and/or age at diagnosis could not be determined. †Hypertension was defined in subjects with a blood pressure > 140/90 mmHg or who reported being on treatment for hypertension. ‡Era of study was the period during which the fundus photography was undertaken. Data are n/n unless otherwise indicated. Note: Data in this table come from high-quality studies only. High-quality studies were those that scored $\geq 9/11$ on our score, and, for "Any DR" outcome, the DR grading could distinguish \geq level 20 and the study provided DME data; for "PDR outcome," the DR grading could distinguish \geq level 60; for DME outcome the study provided DME data. *Diabetes type includes the diabetes type information provided by each study plus the calculated diabetes type based on the age at diagnosis assumption. Type is missing if this information under the study plus the calculated diabetes type based on the age at diagnosis assumption. Type is missing if this information under the study plus the calculated diabetes type based on the age at diagnosis assumption.

Table 4—Age-standardized prevalence of DR by diabetes type and duration, in diabetic subjects aged 20–79 years, using studies with similar methodologies and ophthalmologic definitions

				Age-standardized	Adjusted
	DM duration	Total	Cases	prevalence per	relative risk*
DM type	(years)	(N)	(n)	100 (95% CI)	(95% CI)
Any DR					
Type 1	<10	456	202	20.53 (18.73-22.34)	1.38 (1.19-1.59)
Type 1	10 to <20	794	624	55.55 (51.34-59.76)	2.43 (2.19-2.69)
Type 1	20+	1,026	914	86.22 (85.07–87.37)	2.69 (2.47-2.93)
Type 2	<10	6,291	1,192	18.11 (17.91–18.31)	1.0
Type 2	10 to <20	1,908	920	51.10 (49.53-52.66)	2.06 (1.91-2.23)
Type 2	20+	726	424	52.15 (51.12-53.19)	2.45 (2.24-2.68)
PDR					
Type 1	<10	458	10	0.37 (0.31-0.43)	0.90 (0.44-1.86)
Type 1	10 to < 20	803	141	19.46 (16.38-22.53)	6.72 (4.70-9.61)
Type 1	20+	1,052	443	40.36 (39.60-41.12)	15.33 (11.29-20.80)
Type 2	<10	6,749	78	1.06 (1.02-1.10)	1.0
Type 2	10 to < 20	2,049	137	6.92 (6.41-7.42)	4.32 (3.16-5.91)
Type 2	20+	788	139	15.13 (14.64–15.63)	9.79 (7.14-13.43)
DME					
Type 1	<10	399	13	0.55 (0.48-0.63)	0.59 (0.32-1.07)
Type 1	10 to < 20	587	91	12.27 (11.43-13.1)	2.50 (1.77-3.52)
Type 1	20+	877	201	17.31 (16.83-17.8)	4.83 (3.71-6.30)
Type 2	<10	7,286	230	3.07 (2.99-3.16)	1.0
Type 2	10 to < 20	2,255	277	11.94 (11.42-12.47)	3.22 (2.68-3.87)
Type 2	20+	857	143	16.47 (15.93–17.01)	4.56 (3.67-5.67)
VTDR					
Type 1	<10	456	20	0.74 (0.65-0.82)	0.85 (0.52-1.38)
Type 1	10 to < 20	804	178	14.29 (13.61-14.97)	3.97 (3.08-5.12)
Type 1	20+	1,054	518	47.2 (46.38-48.03)	8.69 (7.10-10.63)
Type 2	<10	6,315	218	3.37 (3.28-3.47)	1.0
Type 2	10 to <20	1,894	301	16.14 (15.41–16.87)	3.73 (3.10-4.49)
Type 2	20+	735	209	25.95 (25.26–26.65)	6.27 (5.14–7.65)

DM, diabetes. *Adjusted for age (continuous, from 20–79 years), race (5 categories), hypertension (yes/no), HbA_{1c} (4 categories) and study.

in the U.S. (6). On the basis of eight population studies derived from the U.S. and Australia, an overall prevalence of 40% for any DR and 8% for VTDR was reported (6). These estimates, however, represented findings limited to individuals aged older than 40 years and only with type 2 diabetes, were largely derived from individuals of Caucasian background, did not evaluate PDR and DME separately, and did not include studies from Asia. Ours is the first synthesis of individual-level data from all eligible population-based studies worldwide with a sufficiently large sample to allow a more precise estimation of the prevalence of PDR and DME.

Some of the differences in DR prevalence between individual studies may be partly attributed to the differing periods of the studies (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 3). Improvements in the

management of DR and diabetes, and increased screening for diabetes, may have led to lower DR incidence and prevalence over time (21). Furthermore, DR susceptibility may also vary among ethnic groups. In support of the latter hypothesis, a number of multiethnic cohort studies have reported a higher DR prevalence among Mexican Americans than in non-Hispanic whites (5,22,23). Others, however, showed a similar or lower prevalence of DR in African Americans (18) and Mexican Americans (24) than in non-Hispanic whites. In some studies (5), after adjusting for putative DR risk factors, racial differences in the prevalence of DR was attributed to differing levels of risk factors for DR, but in others, the excess risk was unexplained (22,23,25). Differences in socioeconomic factors, including access to and the level of diabetes care, and possibly genetic susceptibility

(26), may also possibly explain some of the disparities in rates and severity of DR in the different ethnic groups. In addition, racial differences in the effect of DR risk factors could also have accounted for some of these variations (23,27). Population-based studies incorporating host and environmental data are needed to further clarify the effect of race and ethnicity on DR prevalence.

We highlight several key points regarding the major risk factors for DR: First, we confirm the importance of the three major risk factors for DR—diabetes duration (17,19,28), HbA_{1c} (17,28–32), and blood pressure (17,28,33)—and suggest that they apply broadly across the mild to vision-threatening stages of DR.

Second, we establish that higher total serum cholesterol was associated with a higher prevalence of DME, bringing clarity to previously conflicting reports about this risk factor (19). This is particularly relevant to recent reports from trials suggesting that fenofibrate, a lipid-altering agent, may slow the development and progression of DR (34). Fenofibrate, however, acts mostly on triglycerides, and its effects on retinopathy in those trials were independent of lipid levels achieved. Statins, however, did not affect DR severity in the few studies in which this was evaluated, although not as a primary outcome (35,36).

Third, we provide estimates of risk of DR by diabetes type, in which studies in individuals with type 1 diabetes are currently scarce. We showed that the prevalence of DR is substantially higher in type 1 than in type 2 diabetes (11,37), an outcome independent of diabetes duration. However, because we classified type of diabetes by age of onset (younger or older than age 30 years), in some studies there may be potential misclassification (e.g., some people with type 2 diabetes will be younger than 30 years).

The strengths of our study include a large sample size to determine prevalence and risk factor associations for sight-threatening end points (PDR, DME), the inclusion of diverse ethnic population samples from around the world, and studies that had used photographic documentation of DR.

Our study has limitations. Pooling of data from various sources introduces many potential sources of heterogeneity that could influence accuracy; thus, although our estimates are highly precise, their accuracy is unknown. Samples of different study designs could have considerably

different inclusion criteria, sample selection, and study protocols. For example, population samples could have varied considerably between a cardiovascular disease study and an eye survey, or a study on diabetes complications.

There was also a range of methods used in ascertaining diabetes status. Studies in which diagnosis of diabetes was based on self-report, without confirmation from blood tests, could have resulted in an overestimate of DR prevalence rates because those with undiagnosed diabetes might have been erroneously excluded from the sample denominator.

Furthermore, there were differences in the methodologies used to detect and diagnose DR, such as the number of eyes photographed per subject, number of retinal fields examined per eye, and the grading protocols and definitions used. In studies that did not collect data on diabetes type, this information was defined on the basis of age of diagnosis, with a cutoff at age 30 years to use as many studies with detailed information other than types of diabetes. Misclassification could have occurred as a result of this assumption. This, however, would not have affected the overall prevalence estimates but could have had a small effect of attenuating the comparative estimates between the type 1 and type 2 diabetes groups. A few studies with large numbers of participants could have influenced our results. Finally, the absence of studies from the Middle East, Africa, or South America could also affect the accuracy of our findings.

In conclusion, our current study provides the first global estimate of DR and, more important, the two sight-threatening end points (PDR and DME), based on a pooled individual participant analysis of more than 20,000 participants from 35 studies around the world. Our study shows that 35% of people with diabetes had some form of DR, and that 7% had PDR, 7% had DME, and 10% were affected by these vision-threatening stages. We estimate that in 2010, approximately 93 million were affected by DR, and 28 million by VTDR. This suggests that DR has the potential to be the leading cause of visual impairment and blindness worldwide. We confirmed the importance and impact of three major modifiable risk factors—hyperglycemia, hypertension, and dyslipidemia-on the risk of all DR end points, including for the first time, PDR and DME. These results highlight the substantial public health effect of diabetes, and thus, the need for

effective screening and management of DR risk factors.

Acknowledgments—Funding for the data pooling analysis was provided by Global Health Outcomes Research, Allergan, Inc. (Irvine, CA). The National Institutes of Health grant EY-06594 (to B.E.K.K., R.Kle.) and, in part, by the Research to Prevent Blindness (to B.E.K.K., R.Kle., Senior Scientific Investigator Awards), New York, New York, provided funding for the entire study, including collection and analyses and of data.

Andhra Pradesh Eye Disease Study: Supported by grants from the Hyderabad Eye Research Foundation, Hyderabad, India and Christoffel-Blindenmission, Bensheim, Germany. Funagata Study: Supported by Grantin-Aid Global COE program of the Japanese Society for the Promotion of Science, Japan. Blue Mountains Eye Study: Supported by grants from the Australian National Health & Medical Research Council. New Jersey 725 Study: Supported by grant R01-EY-09860 from the National Eye Institute. Samutsakon Study: Supported by grants provided by the Samutsakhon General Hospital. Rotterdam Study Ophthalmology: Swart van Essen, Rotterdam; Blindenpenning, Amsterdam; Blindenhulp, The Hague; Algemene Nederlandse Vereniging ter Voorkoming van Blindheid (ANVVB), Doorn; Stichting Oogfonds Nederland, Utrecht; Stichting Lijf en Leven, Krimpen aan de Lek; Rotterdamse Vereniging Blndenbelangen, Rotterdam; MD Fonds, Utrecht; Oogfonds Nederland, Utrecht; Laméris Ootech BV, Nieuwegein; Medical Workshop, de Meern; Topcon Europe BV, Capelle aan de IJssel, all in the Netherlands. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

J.W.Y.Y. researched the data and wrote and edited the manuscript. S.L.R. analyzed the data and reviewed and edited the manuscript. R.Kaw., E.L.L., J.W.K., T.B., S.-J.C., J.M.D., A.F., J.G., S.H., R.F.H., M.K.I., T.K., B.E.K.K., R.Kle., S.K., K.M., J.P.O., T.J.O., M.P., M.R., M.S.R., T.S., J.S., H.T., J.M.T., R.V., J.J.W., N.W., S.W., L.X., M.Y., X.Z., P.M., and T.Y.W. contributed to discussion and reviewed and edited the manuscript. The sponsors or funding organizations had no role in the design, conduct, analysis, or publication of this research. T.Y.W. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

References

- Klein BE. Overview of epidemiologic studies of diabetic retinopathy. Ophthalmic Epidemiol 2007;14:179–183
- Shaw JE, Sicree RA, Zimmet PZ. Global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2010 and 2030. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2010;87:4–14

- 3. Yang W, Lu J, Weng J, et al.; China National Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders Study Group. Prevalence of diabetes among men and women in China. N Engl J Med 2010;362:1090–1101
- Rema M, Premkumar S, Anitha B, Deepa R, Pradeepa R, Mohan V. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in urban India: the Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES) eye study, I. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2005;46:2328–2333
- Wong TY, Klein R, Islam FM, et al. Diabetic retinopathy in a multi-ethnic cohort in the United States. Am J Ophthalmol 2006;141: 446–455
- Kempen JH, O'Colmain BJ, Leske MC, et al.; Eye Diseases Prevalence Research Group. The prevalence of diabetic retinopathy among adults in the United States. Arch Ophthalmol 2004;122:552– 563
- 7. Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Davis MD, DeMets DL. The Wisconsin epidemiologic study of diabetic retinopathy. III. Prevalence and risk of diabetic retinopathy when age at diagnosis is 30 or more years. Arch Ophthalmol 1984;102:527–532
- 8. International Diabetes Federation. *Diabetes Atlas*. Prevalence estimates of diabetes mellitus (DM) [Internet] 2010. Brussels, Belgium, International Diabetes Federation. Available at http://www.diabetesatlas.com/content/prevalence-estimates-diabetes-mellitus-dm-2010. Accessed 31 December 2010
- Keyfitz N. 3. Sampling variance of standardized mortality rates. Hum Biol 1966; 38:309–317
- Central Intelligence Agency. The World Factbook, Ethnic Groups by Country. [Internet] 2010. Washington, DC, Central Intelligence Agency. Available at https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/fields/2075.html. Accessed 2 June 2011
- 11. Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Davis MD, DeMets DL. The Wisconsin epidemiologic study of diabetic retinopathy. II. Prevalence and risk of diabetic retinopathy when age at diagnosis is less than 30 years. Arch Ophthalmol 1984;102:520–526
- 12. Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Davis MD, DeMets DL. The Wisconsin epidemiologic study of diabetic retinopathy. IV. Diabetic macular edema. Ophthalmology 1984;91: 1464–1474
- Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Linton KL. The Beaver Dam Eye Study. Retinopathy in adults with newly discovered and previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus. Ophthalmology 1992;99:58–62
- Mitchell P, Smith W, Wang JJ, Attebo K. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in an older community. The Blue Mountains Eye Study. Ophthalmology 1998;105: 406–411
- 15. West SK, Klein R, Rodriguez J, et al.; Proyecto VER. Diabetes and diabetic

Global prevalence and risk factors of DR

- retinopathy in a Mexican-American population: Proyecto VER. Diabetes Care 2001;24:1204–1209
- 16. Varma R, Torres M, Peña F, Klein R, Azen SP; Los Angeles Latino Eye Study Group. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in adult Latinos: the Los Angeles Latino eye study. Ophthalmology 2004;111:1298–1306
- 17. Tapp RJ, Shaw JE, Harper CA, et al.; AusDiab Study Group. The prevalence of and factors associated with diabetic retinopathy in the Australian population. Diabetes Care 2003;26:1731–1737
- Roy MS, Klein R, O'Colmain BJ, Klein BE, Moss SE, Kempen JH. The prevalence of diabetic retinopathy among adult type 1 diabetic persons in the United States. Arch Ophthalmol 2004;122:546–551
- 19. Wong TY, Cheung N, Tay WT, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for diabetic retinopathy: the Singapore Malay Eye Study. Ophthalmology 2008;115:1869–1875
- Wang FH, Liang YB, Zhang F, et al. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in rural China: the Handan Eye Study. Ophthalmology 2009;116:461–467
- 21. Wong TY, Mwamburi M, Klein R, et al. Rates of progression in diabetic retinopathy during different time periods: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Care 2009;32:2307–2313
- 22. Haffner SM, Fong D, Stern MP, et al. Diabetic retinopathy in Mexican Americans and non-Hispanic whites. Diabetes 1988;37:878–884
- 23. Haffner SM, Mitchell BD, Moss SE, et al. Is there an ethnic difference in the effect of risk factors for diabetic retinopathy? Ann Epidemiol 1993;3:2–8
- Hamman RF, Mayer EJ, Moo-Young GA, Hildebrandt W, Marshall JA, Baxter J.

- Prevalence and risk factors of diabetic retinopathy in non-Hispanic whites and Hispanics with NIDDM. San Luis Valley Diabetes Study. Diabetes 1989;38:1231–1237
- 25. Harris MI, Klein R, Cowie CC, Rowland M, Byrd-Holt DD. Is the risk of diabetic retinopathy greater in non-Hispanic blacks and Mexican Americans than in non-Hispanic whites with type 2 diabetes? A U.S. population study. Diabetes Care 1998;21:1230–1235
- Liew G, Klein R, Wong TY. The role of genetics in susceptibility to diabetic retinopathy. Int Ophthalmol Clin 2009;49: 35–52
- Harris EL, Feldman S, Robinson CR, Sherman S, Georgopoulos A. Racial differences in the relationship between blood pressure and risk of retinopathy among individuals with NIDDM. Diabetes Care 1993:16:748–754
- 28. Zhang X, Saaddine JB, Chou CF, et al. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in the United States, 2005-2008. JAMA 2010; 304:649–656
- Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Davis MD, DeMets DL. Glycosylated hemoglobin predicts the incidence and progression of diabetic retinopathy. JAMA 1988;260: 2864–2871
- The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 1993;329: 977–986
- 31. McKay R, McCarty CA, Taylor HR. Diabetic retinopathy in Victoria, Australia:

- the Visual Impairment Project. Br J Ophthalmol 2000;84:865–870
- 32. Patel A, MacMahon S, Chalmers J, et al.; ADVANCE Collaborative Group. Intensive blood glucose control and vascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2008;358:2560–2572
- Roy MS. Diabetic retinopathy in African Americans with type 1 diabetes: The New Jersey 725: II. Risk factors. Arch Ophthalmol 2000;118:105–115
- 34. Keech AC, Mitchell P, Summanen PA, et al.; FIELD study investigators. Effect of fenofibrate on the need for laser treatment for diabetic retinopathy (FIELD study): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2007;370:1687–1697
- 35. Collins R, Armitage J, Parish S, Sleigh P, Peto R; Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol-lowering with simvastatin in 5963 people with diabetes: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2003;361:2005–2016
- 36. Colhoun HM, Betteridge DJ, Durrington PN, et al.; CARDS investigators. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with atorvastatin in type 2 diabetes in the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS): multicentre randomised placebocontrolled trial. Lancet 2004;364:685–696
- 37. Roy MS. Diabetic retinopathy in African Americans with type 1 diabetes: The New Jersey 725: I. Methodology, population, frequency of retinopathy, and visual impairment. Arch Ophthalmol 2000;118: 97–104