The chromatin-remodeling factor CHD4 coordinates signaling and repair after DNA damage
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In response to ionizing radiation (IR), cells delay cell cycle progression and activate DNA repair. Both processes are vital for genome integrity, but the mechanisms involved in their coordination are not fully understood. In a mass spectrometry screen, we identified the adenosine triphosphate–dependent chromatin-remodeling protein CHD4 (chromodomain helicase DNA-binding protein 4) as a factor that becomes transiently immobilized on chromatin after IR. Knockdown of CHD4 triggers enhanced Cdc25A degradation and p21Cip1 accumulation, which lead to more pronounced cyclin-dependent kinase inhibition and extended cell cycle delay. At DNA double-strand breaks, depletion of CHD4 disrupts the chromatin response at the level of the RNF168 ubiquitin ligase, which in turn impairs local ubiquitylation and BRCA1 assembly. These cell cycle and chromatin defects are accompanied by elevated spontaneous and IR-induced DNA breakage, reduced efficiency of DNA repair, and decreased clonogenic survival. Thus, CHD4 emerges as a novel genome caretaker and a factor that facilitates both checkpoint signaling and repair events after DNA damage.

Introduction

DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) arise as products of stochastic replication failure, reactive oxygen species, or because of environmental clastogens such as ionizing radiation (IR; Löbrich and Jeggo, 2007). DSBs are highly cytotoxic lesions and pose extreme demands on coordinating DNA repair with vital transactions such as transcription, DNA replication, or chromosomal segregation. To safeguard genome integrity, cell cycle progression and activate DNA repair. Both processes are vital for genome integrity, but the mechanisms involved in their coordination are not fully understood. In a mass spectrometry screen, we identified the adenosine triphosphate–dependent chromatin-remodeling protein CHD4 (chromodomain helicase DNA-binding protein 4) as a factor that becomes transiently immobilized on chromatin after IR. Knockdown of CHD4 triggers enhanced Cdc25A degradation and p21Cip1 accumulation, which lead to more pronounced cyclin-dependent kinase inhibition and extended cell cycle delay. At DNA double-strand breaks, depletion of CHD4 disrupts the chromatin response at the level of the RNF168 ubiquitin ligase, which in turn impairs local ubiquitylation and BRCA1 assembly. These cell cycle and chromatin defects are accompanied by elevated spontaneous and IR-induced DNA breakage, reduced efficiency of DNA repair, and decreased clonogenic survival. Thus, CHD4 emerges as a novel genome caretaker and a factor that facilitates both checkpoint signaling and repair events after DNA damage.
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module triggered by assembly of the ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3 related (ATR) kinase with its coactivators (Bartek and Lukas, 2007). All of these events are essential for timely initiation and amplification of the DNA damage signaling.

The signal generated at the DSBs must be transmitted to the entire nucleus to delay cell cycle progression (Lukas et al., 2003; Bartek et al., 2004). The key signal transducers are the CHK2 and CHK1 kinases, which propagate and amplify the pathways initiated by ATM and ATR, respectively. Among targets of CHK1/CHK2 is the Cdc25A phosphatase, which, when phosphorylated, undergoes a proteasome-mediated degradation (Mailand et al., 2000). This in turn inhibits Cdk2 and Cdk1, the two major kinases governing cell cycle progression. This checkpoint pathway is rapidly implemented and delays cell cycle for several hours, which in most cases, is sufficient to provide time for repair (Bartek et al., 2004). In parallel, S phase progression can be slowed down also by ATM/ATR-mediated phosphorylation of the cohesin SMC1 (Falck et al., 2002; Kitagawa et al., 2004). Finally, cells possess a mechanism to extend checkpoint activity in cases of complex or extensive DNA damage. This branch depends on p53, which is also targeted by ATM/ATR and CHK2/CHK1 (Bartek and Lukas, 2007). Phosphorylation of p53 leads to its stabilization and transactivation of the p53 targets including the p21Cip1 Cdk inhibitor; p21 then reinforces the cell cycle arrest and can maintain it for an extended period of time (Kastan and Bartek, 2004).

Despite the recent progress in dissecting the pathways involved in DSB repair and signaling, their functional cross talk and coordination are not understood. To elucidate these issues, we performed an unbiased proteomic screen for factors that become specifically enriched on chromatin after IR and report on identification of CHD4 (chromodomain helicase DNA-binding protein 4) as a new component of the genome surveillance machinery.

Results and discussion

Identification of CHD4 as a factor involved in the DNA damage response (DDR)

By combining stable isotope labeling with amino acids in cell culture (SILAC) labeling (Ong et al., 2002), cellular fractionation, tandem mass spectrometry (Aebersold and Mann, 2003), and statistical analysis, we screened the nuclear proteome for factors that become specifically enriched on chromatin after IR and report on identification of CHD4 (chromodomain helicase DNA-binding protein 4) as a new component of the genome surveillance machinery.

Knockdown of CHD4 sensitizes cells to IR and slows down cell cycle progression

We started by examining the impact of CHD4 on cellular fitness and observed that knockdown of CHD4 reduced colony formation of cells exposed to IR (Fig. 2 A). To gain insight into the impaired survival, we first followed cell cycle progression. Although treating cells with CHD4 siRNAs moderately reduced S and G2 compartments in otherwise unstressed cells, combined irradiation and CHD4 depletion had a much more pronounced impact on cell cycle progression. This was manifested by a marked S phase delay (Fig. 2 B, 12 h) followed by accumulation of cells in G2 (Fig. 2 B, 24 and 30 h). Furthermore, a fraction of CHD4-deficient cells was also clearly arrested in G1, an effect that was virtually absent in a control cell population treated with an identical dose of IR (Fig. 2 B, compare the matching 12- and 24-h time points). Similar consequences of CHD4 knockdown were observed after treating irradiated cells with an independent siRNA (Fig. S1 A). The extended cell cycle checkpoints were further substantiated by a more pronounced inhibition of the cyclin A–associated kinase activity (Fig. S1 B), decreased DNA replication measured by BrdU incorporation (Fig. S1 C), and delayed mitotic entry (Fig. S1 D). Importantly, the impact of CHD4 knockdown on cell cycle progression...
Figure 1. Identification of CHD4 as a factor involved in the DDR. (A) Proteomic screening procedure. GM00130 lymphocytes were grown in heavy or light SILAC media, exposed to 10 Gy of IR, fractionated, and analyzed by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS). LC, liquid chromatography. (B) Box plot showing quantitative tandem mass spectrometry data for 53BP1 (positive control). Y axis, normalized ratios [IR peptide/control peptide] showing protein elution by progressive salt fractionation of irradiated lymphocytes relative to control lymphocytes. The box represents the central 50% of the distributions, and the whiskers approximate the 95% interval. (C) Tandem mass spectrometry data for NuRD subunits are shown. Box plots are as in B. (D) Accumulation of GFP-CHD4 at laser-generated DSBs (left) and real-time recruitment of GFP-CHD4 derived from 10 independent cells (right). Error bars indicate SEM. Bar, 10 µm.
Figure 2. **Knockdown of CHD4 sensitizes cells to IR and deregulates cell cycle progression.** (A) Clonogenic survival assay. U2OS cells were treated with control or CHD4 siRNAs (SMARTpool) for 72 h as indicated, irradiated, and colonies with >50 cells were counted. CHD4 down-regulation was monitored by immunoblotting. SMC1, loading control. (B) U2OS cells were treated with control or CHD4 siRNA (#2) for 72 h, irradiated (6 Gy), and analyzed at the indicated time points by flow cytometry. (C) U2OS cells were treated with control or CHD4 siRNA (SMARTpool), treated with ATM inhibitor for 1.5 h, irradiated, and analyzed by flow cytometry. The efficiency of CHD4 siRNAs in B and C is shown in Fig. S3 B. (D) U2OS cell lines conditionally expressing GFP or GFP-CHD4 resistant to siRNA (#3) were treated with control or CHD4 siRNA (#3) as indicated. After 48 h, the transgenes were induced by addition of doxycycline after an additional 24 h, irradiated, and analyzed by flow cytometry. To compensate for minor differences in the starting S phase content in the two cell lines, the data were normalized and are presented as the ratios between the S phase content measured 10 h after IR (T10) and that in unirradiated cells (T0). The GFP-CHD4 cell line and the efficiency of siRNA (#3) are characterized in Fig. S1 E. Error bars indicate SEM.
involved bona fide DNA damage signaling, demonstrated by the reversal of the S phase accumulation by treating the cells with a specific inhibitor of ATM (Fig. 2 C). Finally, reintroducing siRNA-resistant GFP-CHD4 into cells depleted of endogenous CHD4 reversed the S phase accumulation, arguing against off-target effects of the siRNA treatment (Fig. 2 D and Fig. S1 E).

**Elevated checkpoint signaling in the absence of CHD4**

To understand the reasons for these cell cycle aberrations, we examined the critical components of the genome surveillance pathways. Consistent with the aforementioned cell cycle delay, we observed that the Cdc25A phosphatase, the key effector of rapidly deployed cell cycle checkpoints, became degraded and remained low in the absence of CHD4, even at stages when Cdc25A in control cells recovered to predamage levels (Fig. 3 A). We could confirm that the absence of Cdc25A recovery in CHD4-deficient cells was caused by ongoing protein degradation and not by reduced mRNA expression (Fig. S2, A and B). Together, these data indicate that in the absence of CHD4, the rapid checkpoint response lasts longer than in CHD4-proficient cells.

Because CHD4-depleted cells responded to IR also by a G1 and G2 accumulation (Fig. 2 B), we examined the p53–p21 axis that plays an important role in sustaining the cell cycle arrest at these crucial transitions. Interestingly, knocking down CHD4 triggered p21 accumulation already in unstressed cells, and this further increased after exposing the cells to IR (Fig. 3 B and Fig. S2 C). Such p21 elevation was associated with a progressive dephosphorylation of pRb, an established surrogate of Cdk inhibition (Fig. 3 B). In addition, the IR-induced induction of p21 was p53 dependent (Fig. S2 D), and it was consistently more robust and occurred earlier in CHD4-depleted cells (Fig. 3 B and Fig. S2 C). We conclude that the sustained branch of the DNA damage–induced checkpoint signaling is more robust in CHD4-depleted cells and that it is partially activated already before exposing cells to external genotoxic stress.

**CHD4-deficient cells transiently hyperactivate ATM and ATR**

Consistent with the extended Cdc25A degradation, both ATM- (ATM S1981-P, γ-H2AX, and SMC1 S966-P) and ATR-mediated (CHK1 S317-P and CHK1 S345-P) signaling were elevated to supraphysiological levels in the absence of CHD4, an effect that was most evident on increased γ-H2AX (Fig. 3 A and Fig. S2 C). This spike of ATM/ATR phosphorylations was restricted to the early stages of DDR (≤1 h after IR) and was consistently observed after knocking down CHD4 with independent siRNAs targeting distinct regions of the CHD4 transcript (Fig. 3 A and Fig. S2 C). Two scenarios could explain the enhanced ATM/ ATR activities. First, depletion of CHD4 may cause local chromatin changes that increase the signaling from a similar amount of DNA breaks as generated in control cells. Second, CHD4 depletion may result in more widespread chromatin modifications that poise it to accumulate more breaks when exposed to IR. Although these scenarios are not mutually exclusive, we obtained evidence that the latter might represent the source of the transient spike of the ATM/ATR-mediated phosphorylations in CHD4-deficient conditions. Thus, using pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE; Hanada et al., 2007), we detected an increased amount of DSBs in CHD4-deficient cells immediately after IR exposure (Fig. 3 C, compare lane 2 with lane 7; and Fig. S2 E). Of note, the elevated amount of DSBs in CHD4-depleted cells remained apparent during the first 2 h after IR (Fig. 3 C, compare...
Figure 4. Impaired ubiquitylation and delayed accumulation of BRCA1 at the site of DSBs in the absence of CHD4. (A–D) U2OS cells were treated with control (CTR) or CHD4 siRNAs (SMARTpool) for 72 h, microirradiated by the laser, and immunostained with antibodies to BRCA1 (A), RNF8 (B), RNF168 (C), and conjugated ubiquitin (FK2 antibody; D). Cells were coimmunostained with antibodies to γ-H2AX (A–C) or MDC1 (D) to mark the DSB-containing tracks. (Left) Representative fields for each DSB regulator (A–C, acquired 8 min after microirradiation; D, acquired 15 min after microirradiation) are shown. (Right) Graphs show quantification of relative fluorescence intensities in the microirradiated areas subtracted by the background fluorescence in the undamaged parts of the nucleus. The efficiency of CHD4 siRNAs in A–D is shown in Fig. S3 B. RFU, relative fluorescence units. Error bars indicate SD. Bar, 10 µm.

lanes 3 and 4 with lanes 8 and 9, respectively), indicating that CHD4-deficient cells have reduced ability to repair DSBs. Finally, the PFGE assay revealed reproducible DSB generation even in nonirradiated CHD4-depleted cells, an effect evident especially after quantitatively knocking down CHD4 by the most efficient siRNA (#2; Fig. S2 E). This is consistent with
a recent study reporting that the physiological loss of the NuRD complex in senescent cells is accompanied by a progressive increase of spontaneous DNA damage (Pegoraro et al., 2009).

**Knockdown of CHD4 impairs retention of repair proteins at the sites of DNA damage**

To gain more insight into mechanisms that might be subverted by NuRD disruption, we turned to the earlier observation that a fraction of CHD4 accumulates directly at the DSB sites (Fig. 1D) and asked whether this influences accumulation of proteins in this compartment. Interestingly, accumulation of BRCA1, a key genome caretaker involved in DSB signaling and repair, was consistently impaired in early phases of the DSB response in CHD4-depleted cells (Fig. 4A). This was unexpected because the extent of H2AX phosphorylation (an upstream prerequisite for BRCA1 retention on damaged chromatin) was more pronounced in CHD4-deficient cells (Fig. 3A and Fig. S2C). Importantly, these observations were not restricted to the laser-induced DNA lesions; the transient impairment of BRCA1 focus formation was also observed in irradiated cells treated with two independent siRNAs against CHD4 (Fig. S3A).

Because the BRCA1 requires binding to conjugated ubiquitin for its accumulation at DSBs, we tested the impact of CHD4 knockdown on RNF8 and RNF168, the two key ubiquitin ligases involved in this process. Strikingly, although RNF8 remained stable and robustly accumulated at DSBs regardless of the CHD4 status (Fig. 4B and Fig. S3B), RNF168 was partially destabilized, and its retention of RNF168 was attenuated in CHD4-depleted cells (Fig. 4C and Fig. S3B). Correspondingly, generation of ubiquitin conjugates at the microlaser-generated DSBs was reduced (Fig. 4D). Together, these data suggest that the transition from the RNF8- to RNF168-controlled step in the DSB-induced chromatin response might be more complex than originally thought (Doil et al., 2009; Stewart et al., 2009). For instance, we can envisage that the transient recruitment of CHD4 to the DSB sites (and the ensuing chromatin remodeling) allows more efficient recognition of the nascent ubiquitin chains (generated by RNF8) by the ubiquitin-binding domains of RNF168, which would in turn facilitate RNF168 recruitment and amplification of the local ubiquitin reaction.

**Model of the CHD4 involvement in protecting the genome against chromosomal breakage**

Large-scale genetic and biochemical surveys suggested a role of the NuRD chromatin-remodeling complex in the DDR (van Haaften et al., 2006; Matsuoka et al., 2007). In this study, we provide additional and complementary evidence from an unbiased proteomic screen in which we identified four distinct subunits of the NuRD complex among proteins that gain affinity to chromatin damaged by IR. In addition, our study and an accompanying paper in this issue by Smeenk et al. report the first set of functional analyses that delineate the consequences of CHD4 disruption for genome surveillance. Among the salient alterations in CHD4-deficient cells are decreased clonogenic survival, supraphysiological increase of ATM/ATR signaling, protracted cell cycle checkpoints after IR, and delayed assembly of a subset of repair factors at the sites of DNA damage. In addition, we consistently observed that reduction of cellular levels of CHD4 is accompanied by spontaneous DNA damage. Molecular explanations of these diverse defects are likely complex, and our data indicate that disruption of CHD4 may deregulate DDR at multiple levels (Fig. 5).

First, the decreased stability and impaired accumulation of RNF168 at DSBs, the reduced local chromatin ubiquitylation, and the impaired accumulation of BRCA1 on damaged chromosomes likely attenuate the DNA repair efficiency and thereby contribute to the increased IR sensitivity in CHD4-deficient cells. The compromised DNA repair under such conditions might also contribute to the extended cell cycle checkpoints caused by continuous presence of unrepaired DNA and chromatin intermediates that amplify ATR and ATM signaling. Such a protracted cell cycle arrest, when extended over certain threshold, may undermine viability for instance by inducing cell death or allowing checkpoint adaptation followed by mitotic entry with unrepaired DSBs (Syljuåsen et al., 2006).

However, recent development in the field and results in this study indicate that the local events at the DSB sites cannot explain the entire complexity of phenotypes observed in CHD4-deficient cells. Most notably in this regard, the prolonged S phase, transient spike of ATM/ATR signaling after IR, and spontaneous DNA damage were not readily observed in experiments analyzing the currently known factors associated with the
DSB-flanking chromatin. Based on our PFGE results, we propose that the latter consequences of CHD4 depletion reflect at least in part more global alterations of higher-order chromatin structure. This in turn can render chromatin vulnerable and prone to accumulate more breaks. In support of such a scenario, a recent study (Pegoraro et al., 2009) showed that loss of NuRD components during premature and physiological ageing induced alterations of the higher order chromatin structure accompanied by increased spontaneous DNA damage. Moreover, the genome-protective role of heterochromatin seems to be conserved throughout evolution, indicated by another recent study showing that loss of heterochromatin-associated histone methylations in Drosophila melanogaster also leads to spontaneous DNA damage, checkpoint activation, and chromosomal instability (Peng and Karpen, 2009). Interestingly, the NuRD complex (specifically its MBD3 subunit) was shown to facilitate deposition and stability of epigenetic marks including the heterochromatin-associated histone methylations (Morey et al., 2008). Thus, in addition to facilitating local assembly of repair factors directly at DSBs (and thereby directly contributing to repair efficiency), the NuRD complex may contribute to genome maintenance by organizing potentially vulnerable segments of eukaryotic genomes (such as the heterochromatin-associated repetitive sequences; Peng and Karpen, 2009) to a state that makes them more resilient to adverse effects of stochastic or clastogen-induced DNA breakage.

Materials and methods

Peptide preparation and tandem mass spectrometry

Proteins extracted from chromatin preparations were dissolved in LDS sample buffer, heated for 10 min at 70°C, reduced with DTT, and alkylated with iodoacetamide. Proteins were separated by electrophoresis on NuPAGE Bis-Tris 4–12% gradient gels (Invitrogen) and stained with Coomassie blue. Gel slices (10 slices) were cut into small pieces, washed several times with 20 mM ammonium bicarbonate and 50% acetonitrile, and incubated with 12.5 ng/µl endoprotease trypsin in 20 mM ammonium bicarbonate at 7°C overnight. The resulting peptides were extracted with 1% trifluoroacetic acid desalted on STAGE tips, and eluted into 96-well plates for mass spectrometric analysis.

Mass spectrometry and peptide identification and quantitation

Mass spectrometry was performed by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry using an HP1100 system (Agilent Technologies) and a linear ion-trap Fourier-transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Peptides were separated by a 120-min linear gradient of 95% buffer A (0.5% acetic acid in water) to 50% buffer B (80% acetonitrile and 0.5% acetic acid in water). The linear ion-trap Fourier-transform ion cyclotron resonance instrument was operated in the data-dependent mode to acquire high-resolution precursor ion spectra (m/z 300–1,500; resolution 50,000; and an ion accumulation to a target value of 3 × 106 ions) in the ion cyclotron resonance cell. The three most intense ions were sequentially isolated for accurate mass measurements by selected ion monitoring (SIM) scans (10-D mass window; resolution 50,000; and a target accumulation value of 50,000). The ions were simultaneously fragmented in the linear ion trap with a normalized collision energy setting of 27% and a target value of 10,000. Peak lists were extracted using MSQuant, an in-house developed open source application [http://msquant.sourceforge.net], and used for searches in the International Protein Index sequence database using Mascot (Matrix Science). MSQuant was also used to calculate peptide isotope ratios and to evaluate the certainty in peptide identification and quantitation based on Mascot score and MS3 scoring or by manual inspection. Initially, all peptides with a Mascot score of ≥20 were quantified automatically.

Data analysis

The quantified peptide ratios were analyzed through the use of a statistical analysis in which each individual peptide ratio was considered to be an independent estimate of the relative abundance of the corresponding protein. Raw individual peptide ratios were normalized by dividing by the median value, calculated across all three chromatin fractions; the final value is therefore the abundance of a peptide in the treated samples, relative to the untreated, in which a value of 1 corresponds to equivalent amounts. For each individual protein, ANOVA was used as a large-scale screening technique to identify proteins that showed a statistically significant variation in the elution profile, i.e., under the null hypothesis of each chromatin fraction vs the elution profile, in the same mean. Normalized peptide ratios were assumed to be log-normally distributed about the mean, and were therefore log (ln)-transformed before analysis: applying the Shapiro-Wilk's test for normality on the log-transformed ratios showed that this assumption could not be rejected at the 95% level for the majority (92%) of proteins. The ANOVA was then performed using the R statistical package (http://www.r-project.org). Proteins in which the null hypothesis could be rejected at the 95% level were identified as candidates.

Plasmids and transfections

The expression plasmids for CHD4 were generated by inserting PCR-amplified CHD4 cDNA in frame into either pcDNA3.1-HA or pcDNA4TO-GFP. siRNA-resistant form of CHD4 was generated using the QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies) with the oligonucleotides (forward) 5'-CGGCGAAGCGCCCAATTTTCGTAAGTAGGCAAGGC3' and (reverse) 5'-GCCCTTGCATTACGCAGAAAAATTGCCGCTCTGGCCG3'. Plasmid transfections were performed using FuGENE 6 according to the manufacturer's recommendations (Roche).

RNA interference

The siRNA oligonucleotides were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (SMARTpool of four oligonucleotides) and MWG Biotech (individual siRNAs). The annotations and sequences of the siRNA oligonucleotides were as follows (sense strands): (#1) 5’-GAAUAUAUUUCUGCGCUU-3’, (#2) 5’-GGCUUUAUCUGUCUUGCUU-3’, (#3) 5’-CAGCGGCAGCUUUUGAUUUAU-3’, and (#4) 5’-AAGAAGAUUCGCCCAGAUIUA-3’. All siRNA transfections were performed with 100 nM siRNA duplexes using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen). Cells were transfected by siRNAs 24 and 48 h after plating. Transfection reagents and remaining oligonucleotides were washed off 6 h after treatment. Samples were harvested 72 h after initiation of transfection unless stated otherwise. Control siRNA (5’-GGAGGAGCAAGAGGUUUA-3’) was against H2B (Leung et al., 1990), a variant of the human heat shock protein that is not expressed in U2OS cells. For complementation assays, oligonucleotide duplex #3 was used by transient transfection.

Cell culture

Human U2OS osteosarcoma cells and 293T human embryonic kidney cells were grown in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen), 100 µg/ml streptomycin. GM00130 (Coriell Cell Repositories) Epstein-Barr virus–transformed B-lymphocytes were cultured in RPMI1640 and GlutaMAX (Invitrogen) containing 15% serum, 100 µg/ml streptomycin. Where indicated, the culture medium was supplied with 5 mM of the proteasome inhibitor MG132 (EMD) or 10 µM ATM inhibitor KU55933 (Kudos Pharmaceuticals). For SILAC experiments, cells were grown for at least five cell divisions in lysine- and arginine-deficient RPMI1640 and GlutaMAX containing 15% dialyzed serum and either normal isotopes or 13C/12N-lysine–13C/12N-arginine, also referred to as light and heavy, respectively. U2OS derivative cell lines expressing GFP-CHD4 protein in a doxycycline-responsive manner were isolated by cotransfecting U2OS cells with pcDNA6/IR (Invitrogen) and pcDNA4/TO-GFP-CHD4 constructs and selecting productively transfected cells with 400 µg/ml zeocin and 5 µg/ml blasticidin S (Invitrogen).

Generation of DNA damage

IR was delivered by an x-ray generator (1.50 kV; 15 mA; 2.18 Gy/min; HF160; Pantak). Laser microirradiation and real-time recording was performed as described previously (Lukas et al., 2003, 2004). Typically, a mean of 150 cells were microirradiated for each experiment.

BrDU incorporation

Cells were labeled for 10 min with 25 µM BrdU (Sigma-Aldrich), fixed in 4% formaldehyde solution (VWR) and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100. Samples were treated with DNase (Roche) for 30 min at room temperature and immunostained according to the manufacturer’s instruction.

Antibodies

Rabbit polyclonal antibodies used in this study included CHK1 phospho-S317 (2344; Cell Signaling Technology), CHK1 phospho-S345 (2341;
Cell Signaling Technology), SMCM1 (eb2962; Abcam), phospho-SMC1-S966 (ab1278; Abcam), phospho-ATM S1981 (200-301-400; Rockland), γ-H2AX (2577; Cell Signaling Technology), cyclin A (sc-751; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), HA (sc-7392; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), histone 3 phospho-Ser10 (ab65-570; Millipore), p53 (sc-6243; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), and RNFL (Maitland et al., 2007). Mouse monoclonal antibodies included CHD4 (H0001108-MO1; Abnova), Kb (#554534; BD), Cdc25A (sc-3789; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), MDC1 (DCS-380), and RNF168 (Doil et al., 2009). Cells were stained for γ-H2AX by immunofluorescence (LSM 510; Carl Zeiss, Inc.) mounted on an inverted microscope (Axiovert 200M; Carl Zeiss, Inc.) equipped with a Plan Neofluar 40x 1.3 NA oil immersion objective. Images were acquired using laser lines 488 nm and 543-nm for excitation of Alexa Fluor 488 and Alexa Fluor 568 dyes, respectively. Band-pass filters 505–530 nm and 560–615 nm were used to collect the emitted fluorescence signals. For quantification of protein accumulations at laser-generated DSBs, mean nondamaged nuclear fluorescence intensity was subtracted from mean fluorescence intensity of damaged regions in each cell. All quantified images of the same antibody staining were captured with the same microscope settings (laser intensity, detector gain, and detector offset). Quantification of IR-induced foci per nucleus was performed as described previously (Doi et al., 2009).

Flow cytometry
Cells were harvested by trypsinization, fixed in 70% ethanol, and resuspended in 0.1% propidium iodide (PI) buffer (Facscflow [BD]), 0.1 mg/ml PI, and 0.02% NaN3. Samples were incubated for 30 min at 37°C before analysis. Cell cycle analysis was performed by flow cytometry on a flow cytometer (FACSCalibur; BD). Mitotic entry was examined by staining with a CHEF disposable plug mold (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Plugs were incubated in lysis buffer (100 mM EDTA, 0.2% sodium deoxycholate, 1% sodium laurylsarcosine, and 1 mg/ml proteinase K) for ~4 h at 37°C. After washing with TE buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and 100 mM EDTA), plugs were embedded into a 0.9% agarose gel. Electrophoresis was performed in TBE buffer with the following parameters: (block I) 9 h, 120° angle, 5.5 V/cm voltage, 30–18-s interval; (block II) 6 h, 117° angle, 4.5 V/cm voltage, 18–9-s interval; (block III) 6 h, 112° angle, 4 V/cm voltage, 9–5 s interval. The gel was stained with ethidium bromide.

Real-time PCR
Real-time PCR was performed as described previously (Löffler et al., 2003) with the following primer sequences: Cdc25A, (sense) 5'-ACCGTA C TAC GACACCAGC-3' and (antisense) 5'-TCAAGCGCAGACCACTAC-3'; and porphobilinogen deaminase, (sense) 5'-TCCAAGGCGGA CCFAGYGTC-3' and (antisense) 5'-AGA ATC TGG TCCCTGTTGGAGA-3'.

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 provides additional evidence for extended cell cycle delay in CHD4-depleted cells exposed to IR. Fig. S2 shows impact of CHD4 depletion on checkpoint signaling and DNA repair. Fig. S3 shows impaired BRCA1 retention at IR-induced nuclear foci and partial destabilization of RNFL in CHD4-deficient cells. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200912135/DC1.
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Figure S1. Down-regulation of CHD4 delays cell cycle progression after IR. (A) U2OS cells were treated with CHD4 siRNA (#1) for 72 h, irradiated (6 Gy), and analyzed at the indicated time points by flow cytometry. The control DNA profiles of cells treated with nonspecific siRNA are provided in Fig. S1 B. The efficiency of the CHD4 siRNAs is shown in Fig. S3 B. The G1 arrest and S phase delay (the latter manifested as a broader peak above the 4N DNA content) are evident especially at the 12-h time point (arrow). (B) U2OS cells were treated with control or CHD4 siRNAs (SMARTpool) for 72 h, irradiated (6 Gy), and analyzed for the cyclin A–associated kinase activity using histone H1 as a substrate at the indicated time points. The values represent ratios of the phosphate incorporation relative to that measured in nonirradiated cells. (C) U2OS cells were treated with siRNAs as in B, irradiated (6 Gy), incubated for 13 h, pulse labeled for 10 min with BrdU, and analyzed by immunostaining with anti-BrdU antibody. Bar, 10 µm. (D) U2OS cells were treated with siRNAs as in B, irradiated (6 Gy), incubated for 13 h, treated with nocodazole, and the mitotic cells were collected at the indicated time points. Cells were subsequently immunostained for the mitotic marker phospho-S10 of histone H3 antibody and PI (the total DNA content) and analyzed using flow cytometry. (E) U2OS cell line engineered to conditionally express GFP-CHD4 resistant to siRNA (#3) was treated with control or CHD4 siRNA (#3) as indicated. After 48 h, the transgenes were induced by addition of doxycycline. After additional 24 h, cells were exposed to IR and analyzed at the indicated time points by an antibody to CHD4. Note that the GFP-CHD4 protein resists the siRNA treatment and remains expressed throughout the time course. Error bars indicate SEM.
Figure S2. **Impact of CHD4 depletion on checkpoint signaling and cellular response to DNA damage.** (A) U2OS cells were treated with control or CHD4 siRNAs [SMARTpool] as indicated. After 72 h, the cells were treated or not with 5 mM of the proteasome inhibitor MG132 for 30 min, irradiated (6 Gy), and analyzed for the Cdc25A protein levels by immunoblotting. (B) U2OS cells were treated with siRNAs as in A, irradiated (10 Gy), and harvested at the indicated time points. The Cdc25A mRNA was quantified by RT-PCR, and the data are plotted as ratios relative to the housekeeping gene porphobilinogen deaminase. Experiments were performed in duplicate. (C) U2OS cells were transfected with control siRNA or two distinct siRNAs to CHD4 as indicated. After 72 h, cells were irradiated and analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies at the indicated time points. The efficiency of the CHD4 siRNAs is shown in Fig. S3 B. Asterisk, nonspecific band. (D) U2OS/p53DD cell line engineered to conditionally express the dominant-negative fragment of p53 was treated with control (ctrl) or CHD4 siRNAs [SMARTpool], and 48 h later, induced by removal of tetracycline [Tet] to express the transgene. After an additional 24 h, cell were irradiated and analyzed by immunoblotting at the indicated time points. (A, C, and D) Total SMC1 serves as loading control. (E) U2OS cells were treated with the indicated siRNAs, irradiated, and subjected to the PFGE analysis as in Fig. 3 C. The efficiency of CHD4 siRNAs is shown in Fig. S3 B. Error bars indicate SEM.
**Figure S3. Impaired BRCA1 retention at IR-induced DSBs in CHD4-deficient cells.** (A) U2OS cells were treated with control siRNA or two distinct CHD4 siRNAs as indicated, incubated for 72 h, irradiated (5 Gy), and immunostained with antibodies to BRCA1 at the indicated time points. The number of IR-induced BRCA1 foci per nucleus was determined as described in Materials and methods. The siRNA efficiencies are shown below the blots in B. Error bars indicate SD. (B) Ablation of CHD4 partially destabilizes RNF168. U2OS cells were treated with the indicated siRNAs for 72 h and analyzed by immunoblotting for accumulation of all chromatin-associated proteins whose recruitment to DSBs was measured in Fig. 4. Note that although BRCA1 and RNF8 levels remained unchanged, the levels of RNF168 decreased to some extent in the absence of CHD4. The relative densities of the RNF168 band, normalized to the values in cells treated with control siRNA, are indicated below the blots. Tubulin and SMC1 serve as loading controls.