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Stability of the frequent COPD exacerbator in the general population: A Danish nationwide register-based study

Mette Reilev1, Jesper Lykkegaard1, Anders Halling2, Jørgen Vestbo3,4, Jens Søndergaard1 and Anton Pottegård5

Exacerbation frequency is central in treatment strategies for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. However, whether chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients from the general population with frequent exacerbations continue to have frequent exacerbations over an extended period of time is currently unknown. In this study, we aimed to investigate the stability of the frequent exacerbator in a population-based setting. To this end, we conducted a nationwide register-based descriptive study with a 10-year follow-up period of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients with at least one medically treated exacerbation in 2003. Each subsequent year, we divided the population into frequent, infrequent and non-exacerbators and quantified the flow between categories. Further, we estimated the percentage of frequent exacerbators at baseline who stayed in this category each year during a 5-year follow-up. We identified 19,752 patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and an exacerbation in 2003. Thirty percent were frequent exacerbators. Overall, the majority of exacerbators in 2003 were non-exacerbators in the following years (60% in 2004 increasing to 68% in 2012). Approximately half of frequent exacerbators in one year experienced a decrease in exacerbation frequency and had either zero or one exacerbation in the subsequent year. This pattern was stable throughout follow-up. During a 5-year follow-up period, a substantial proportion (42%) of frequent exacerbators in 2003 had no additional years as frequent exacerbators, while the minority (6%) remained in this category each year. In conclusion, the rate of exacerbations shows considerable variation over time among chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients in the general population. This might hold implications for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease treatment guidelines and their practical application.

INTRODUCTION
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is characterized by recurrent exacerbations, defined as episodes of “worsening of respiratory symptoms that are beyond the normal day-to-day variation and lead to a change in treatment”.1 Exacerbations have considerable impact on patients and result in increased mortality as well as augmented use of medication, rehabilitation and hospitalizations.2–7

Exacerbation frequency is a key component in determining treatment strategies for COPD. Most guidelines classify individuals by the number of exacerbations in the previous year, with the underlying assumption that frequent exacerbators remain in this category.1 To some extent, this assumption is supported by studies showing that the number of exacerbations in the previous year is the best predictor of future exacerbations.8, 9 In addition, the ECLIPSE study showed that the majority of patients without exacerbations remained stable over time, at least within the 3-year study period.9, 10 However, these studies included selected patients with moderate to very severe COPD in a hospital setting11, 12 who are known to differ substantially from COPD patients in primary care.13 Little is known about the stability of the exacerbation rate in the general COPD population over an extended period of time.

This study aimed at evaluating the stability of the frequent exacerbator status in the general COPD population in Denmark.

RESULTS
Among all Danish citizens who were 55 years or older (1.5 million), we identified 19,752 patients with at least one COPD exacerbation in 2003. The median age at cohort entry was 71 years (interquartile range 64–77), 57% were women, and 57% had experienced an exacerbation within the last 5 years (Table 1). 5917 patients (30%) were classified as frequent exacerbators and 13,835 (70%) as infrequent exacerbators; 50% of all exacerbators were classified as severe exacerbators. Compared to infrequent exacerbators, those classified as frequent exacerbators were more frequently previous exacerbators (75 vs. 49%) and used more respiratory drugs (Table 1).

As per inclusion criteria, all individuals had one or more exacerbations in 2003, of which 50% were classified as severe exacerbators. In 2004, 60% of those alive had no exacerbations and this proportion increased gradually to 68% in 2012 (Fig. 1). The proportion of exacerbators that were classified as severe exacerbators declined slightly throughout follow-up, to 43% in 2012.

The overall mortality among exacerbators in 2003 within the first year of follow-up was 13.2% (Fig. 1). The annual mortality rate was largely stable at around 11% during follow-up, with 65% having died by the end of 2012. Within the categories of non-exacerbators, infrequent exacerbators, frequent exacerbators and severe exacerbators, the annual mortality rate was likewise stable during the 10-year follow-up and was found to increase with increasing exacerbation rate (8, 13, 18, and 23%, respectively) (Table 2).
Throughout follow-up, about half of those classified as frequent exacerbators in 1 year, were classified as frequent exacerbators in the subsequent year (Fig. 2). Overall, a large proportion was classified as non-exacerbators from 2004 onwards (Fig. 2). The sensitivity analysis including the recommended first-line antibiotic treatment (amoxicillin combined with enzyme inhibitor) in the definition of exacerbations did not change the observed pattern (supplementary Fig. 1). When including all antibiotics used in the treatment of respiratory infections, a slightly larger proportion of those classified as frequent exacerbators in 1 year remained in this category in the subsequent year (supplementary Fig. 2). When restricting to individuals classified as frequent exacerbators at baseline, a largely similar pattern was observed (supplementary Fig. 3).

We performed a post-hoc analysis of exacerbators in 2003, restricted to those who were also classified as frequent exacerbators in 2002. In this population, a slightly larger proportion of those classified as frequent exacerbators in 1 year stayed in this category in the subsequent year, but the overall pattern was similar to that of the primary analysis (supplementary Fig. 4).

Lastly, we performed a similar analysis, including mortality as a separate category (supplementary Fig. 5) which, in line with Table 2, illustrated that while the mortality was slightly higher among frequent exacerbators, the categories of infrequent exacerbators and non-exacerbators also contributed substantially to the mortality from 2004 and onwards.

The stability of frequent exacerbators at an individual level was assessed during a 5-year follow-up, restricting to 10,703 individuals (54%) that had five or more years of eligible follow-up. This restriction more often excluded those classified as severe exacerbators at baseline compared to frequent and infrequent exacerbators. Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study population (31 December 2003)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All (n = 19,752)</th>
<th>Infrequent exacerbators (n = 13,835)</th>
<th>Frequent exacerbators (n = 5917)</th>
<th>Severe exacerbators (n = 9853)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8452 (42.8%)</td>
<td>5848 (42.3%)</td>
<td>2604 (44.0%)</td>
<td>4379 (44.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>11,300 (57.2%)</td>
<td>7987 (57.7%)</td>
<td>3313 (56.0%)</td>
<td>5474 (55.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>71 (64–77)</td>
<td>70 (63–77)</td>
<td>71 (65–77)</td>
<td>72 (66–78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–69 years</td>
<td>8877 (44.9%)</td>
<td>6366 (46.0%)</td>
<td>2511 (42.4%)</td>
<td>3688 (37.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–79 years</td>
<td>7666 (38.9%)</td>
<td>5194 (37.5%)</td>
<td>2492 (42.1%)</td>
<td>4259 (43.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 + years</td>
<td>3189 (16.1%)</td>
<td>2275 (16.4%)</td>
<td>914 (15.4%)</td>
<td>1906 (19.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous exacerbations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>8595 (43.5%)</td>
<td>7098 (51.3%)</td>
<td>1497 (25.3%)</td>
<td>3729 (37.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11,157 (56.5%)</td>
<td>6737 (48.7%)</td>
<td>4420 (74.7%)</td>
<td>6124 (62.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug classes used</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3574 (18.1%)</td>
<td>3058 (22.1%)</td>
<td>516 (8.7%)</td>
<td>1287 (13.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5552 (28.1%)</td>
<td>4231 (30.6%)</td>
<td>1321 (22.3%)</td>
<td>2244 (22.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+</td>
<td>10,561 (53.5%)</td>
<td>6483 (46.9%)</td>
<td>4078 (68.9%)</td>
<td>6284 (63.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICS</td>
<td>10,814 (54.7%)</td>
<td>7254 (52.4%)</td>
<td>3560 (60.2%)</td>
<td>5330 (54.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMA</td>
<td>7007 (35.5%)</td>
<td>4306 (31.1%)</td>
<td>2701 (45.6%)</td>
<td>4286 (43.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LABA</td>
<td>6512 (33.0%)</td>
<td>4181 (30.2%)</td>
<td>2331 (39.4%)</td>
<td>3572 (36.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SABA</td>
<td>12,906 (63.3%)</td>
<td>8837 (63.9%)</td>
<td>4069 (68.8%)</td>
<td>6621 (67.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMA</td>
<td>1254 (6.3%)</td>
<td>717 (5.2%)</td>
<td>537 (9.1%)</td>
<td>887 (9.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination (SABA + SAMA)</td>
<td>8442 (42.7%)</td>
<td>5100 (36.9%)</td>
<td>3342 (56.5%)</td>
<td>5499 (55.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination (LABA+ICS)</td>
<td>6940 (35.1%)</td>
<td>4484 (32.4%)</td>
<td>2456 (41.5%)</td>
<td>3663 (37.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Individuals are classified according to rate and severity of exacerbations in year 2003
Non-exacerbators (0 exacerbations in the given year)
Infrequent exacerbators (1 exacerbation in the given year)
Frequent exacerbators (≥2 exacerbations in the given year)
Severe exacerbators (≥1 hospitalization with COPD in the given year)
ICS inhaled corticosteroids, LAMA long-acting muscarinic antagonists, LABA long-acting beta-agonists, SAMA short-acting muscarinic antagonists, SABA short-acting beta-agonists

Fig. 1 Distribution of exacerbators over time. Histogram 1 illustrates the number of frequent exacerbators, infrequent exacerbators and non-exacerbators each year among exacerbators at baseline (2003). Red Frequent exacerbators (≥2 exacerbations in the given year); Blue Infrequent exacerbators (1 exacerbation in the given year); Green Non-exacerbators (0 exacerbations in the given year); Grey Lost to follow-up (died or migrated)
exacerbators (61 vs. 55 vs. 42% excluded). Among those classified as frequent exacerbators in 2003, 5.6% (n = 150) remained in this category throughout the 5-year period while 16.4% (n = 437) experienced at least one exacerbation each year during the same period. The majority (84.6%, n = 2256) had at least 1 year with an exacerbation, however, almost half of those classified as frequent exacerbators at baseline (42.2%, n = 1124), were not classified as frequent exacerbators any other year throughout the 5 years of follow-up (Table 3). Stratification by age and sex showed no major differences, except a slight tendency towards fewer years classified as frequent exacerbators among individuals older than 80 years (data not shown).

We conducted a similar analysis assessing the stability over a 3-year follow-up period, restricting to those with three or more years of eligible follow-up (n = 13,469, 68.2%). The overall pattern was generally similar to that of the 5-year analysis (supplementary table 1). Of those classified as frequent exacerbators at baseline, 47.9% (n = 1704) were not classified as frequent exacerbators in any of the subsequent 3 years, and 20.4% (n = 725) did not have any exacerbations at all.

### DISCUSSION

#### Main findings

In this population-based study covering the entire Danish population, we included all COPD patients experiencing an exacerbation in 2003 and followed them for up to 10 years. About half of those classified as frequent exacerbators in 1 year remained in this category in the following year, and an increasing proportion was classified as non-exacerbators throughout follow-up. At an individual level, the majority of the population experienced changes in the exacerbation frequency over time and very few remained frequent and severe exacerbators during a 5-year follow-up period.

Interpretation of findings in relation to previously published work

To our knowledge, the stability of the frequent exacerbator over an extended period is only investigated in the ECLIPSE study. The ECLIPSE study found that the frequent exacerbator was relatively stable over a 2-year follow-up period, although the stability was more distinct among non-exacerbators. Contrary to our study, the ECLIPSE study was restricted to patients with moderate to very severe COPD treated in a specialist setting, and follow-up...
was limited to 3 years. Taking the differences in the study populations into account, the lack of a stable frequent exacerbator in the general population as indicated in this study is not necessarily conflicting with the results of the ECLIPSE study. A recent primary care study has estimated the tendency to remain in the same GOLD category over time, finding that the majority of patients in GOLD category D changed to less severe categories within 12 months of follow-up. This indicates variations in disease severity over time and as such, indirectly supports our findings.

The first section shows the proportion of individuals who experienced ≥1 exacerbation in year 2003 (i.e. all exacerbators) categorized according to the number of years in which they experienced ≥1 exacerbation or ≥2 exacerbations, respectively, throughout the first 5 years of follow-up. As an example, 8.5% of the study population, i.e., those who had an exacerbation in 2003, had ≥2 exacerbations in 3 or 4 years of the first 5 years of follow-up, while 62.4% had no years with ≥2 exacerbations within the same period. The table similarly shows the proportion of frequent and severe exacerbators in 2003, respectively, categorized according to the number of years in which they experienced ≥1 exacerbation, ≥2 exacerbations or ≥1 hospitalization throughout the 5 years of follow-up.

Infrequent exacerbators (1 exacerbation in the given year)
Frequent exacerbators (≥2 exacerbations in the given year)
Severe exacerbators (≥1 hospitalization with COPD in the given year)

The strengths and limitations of this study
The main strengths of our study is the large sample size and the nationwide approach with register data covering the entire nation, providing a unique opportunity to evaluate the stability of frequent exacerbators in a real-life setting over a long period through prospectively and independently collected register-based data from the general population.

According to previous studies, the COPD diagnosis has adequate completeness and a high positive predictive value (92%) in the Danish National Patient Register. Further, treatment with corticosteroids alone or in combination with antibiotics based on the Prescription Registry is considered a valid measure of COPD exacerbations in epidemiological studies. As such, identifying patients based on both an exacerbation and use of medication targeting obstructive pulmonary disease is considered to ensure a highly valid diagnosis of COPD in our study population.

The main limitation of the study was the lack of spirometry data, which prohibited a detailed assessment of disease severity. Thus, we cannot exclude that certain subpopulations of COPD patients might display a more stable disease trajectory. Similarly, some COPD patients and exacerbations might be misclassified as such when only based on registry data. To this end, we had excluded individuals <55 years and restricted to those experiencing an exacerbation requiring medical treatment in 2003. Further, our annual rate of exacerbations is comparable to a previous Danish population-based study. As such, we find it unlikely that a large proportion of individuals meeting these criteria do not have COPD and exacerbations. Further, our sensitivity analysis based on a population of patients who had exacerbations in both 2002 and 2003 led to similar conclusions. In addition, we could not assess to which extend prescriptions for oral corticosteroids were filled ahead of time, i.e., stockpiling. This would result in an overestimation of the exacerbation rate at first, and later, in an underestimation. We do not expect this to have a substantial impact on our results, as prophylactic fillings are rare in Denmark.

Another limitation of the study was that we were not able to account for the effect of inhaled bronchodilators and inhaled corticosteroids on the exacerbation rate. Long-acting muscarinic antagonist, long-acting beta-agonists, and inhaled corticosteroid-long-acting beta-agonists combinations have been shown to reduce the risk of exacerbations. A large proportion of the population received treatment with inhaled bronchodilators and/or inhaled corticosteroids at baseline, making it unlikely that a marked improvement in prognosis can be attributed to more extensive drug therapy. Further, the reported effect sizes are in general not assumed to explain frequent exacerbators to return to a non-exacerbator state and thus cannot explain the observed exacerbation rates in the present study.

Defining exacerbations by the use of short-term oral corticosteroids induces a risk of misclassifying individuals as having exacerbations when using oral corticosteroids for other indications. However, the use of short-term oral corticosteroids for other indications than COPD is uncommon and would result in a slight overestimation of the rate of exacerbations. Hence, it would not affect our conclusion. Conversely, our definition of exacerbations based on use of oral corticosteroids does not capture untreated exacerbations as well as exacerbations treated with antibiotics only. As such, we underestimate the exacerbation rate but limit the outcome to moderate to severe exacerbations, which are expected to have the largest impact on the trajectory of COPD. On the other hand, including antibiotics in the definition of exacerbations, as in our sensitivity analyses, overestimates the exacerbation rate, as no antibiotics are exclusively labeled or used for exacerbations. However, it is of note, that even with the widest definition of exacerbations including all potentially relevant antibiotics, we observed large variations in the exacerbation rate (supplementary Fig. 2).
Healthy survivor effect is a potential bias in this study, as the mortality is higher among those classified as frequent and severe exacerbators (Table 2). The stability at an individual level could only be assessed in individuals who survived throughout the 3 or 5 years follow-up, which raises the question whether the skewed mortality infers a bias towards a less stable phenotype by selectively removing patients with frequent exacerbations. To this end, we note that a large proportion of the study cohort was classified as non-exacerbators or infrequent exacerbators also within the first years after inclusion where the cumulative mortality was limited. Consequently, a healthy survivor effect cannot in itself explain our results. While the cause of death is not known in our study, other studies have shown that primary causes of death in mild COPD are cardiovascular diseases and malignancies and, as COPD severity increases, respiratory diseases become a more frequent cause of death. Among patients with moderate to very severe COPD, non-malignant respiratory diseases are the cause of death in about 35% of COPD patients. As such, we find it unlikely that the differences in mortality can explain our findings.

Implications for future research, policy and practice
This study indicates that the trajectory of COPD in the general population is not necessarily progressive, at least with respect to exacerbation frequency. This might hold implications for treatment guidelines targeting COPD patients outside a specialist setting and for the practical application of these guidelines among patients with fluctuating disease severity. However, we acknowledge that our findings are controversial and consequently that they need to be confirmed in other populations and settings.

CONCLUSIONS
In conclusion, this real-life study found considerable variations in the exacerbation rate over time and indicates that the majority of COPD patients in the general population do not persist with frequent exacerbations as a stable feature of their disease.

METHODS
We conducted a nationwide register-based epidemiological study with a 10-year follow-up period comprising all Danish patients with COPD who had at least one exacerbation in 2003.

Data sources
Data were retrieved from Statistics Denmark, which is a governmental institution collecting electronic records for a broad spectrum of statistical and scientific purposes. The registries cover the entire Danish population of 5.4 million people (in 2003), of whom 1.5 million were 55 years or older, and contain detailed longitudinal register data at an individual level. All Danish citizens are assigned with a unique civil registration number, which is used in all records and enables flawless linkage between registries.

The Danish National Patient Register contains administrative data on all hospital admissions since 1977 and contacts to outpatient clinics since 1995. The register contains information on date of admission and discharge diagnoses (ICD-10 since 1994). The National Prescription Registry records information on medication for outpatient use sold on prescription since 1995. Each record includes date of purchase and the quantity dispensed. The dosing information and the indication for prescribing is not available as well as drugs dispensed at hospital level. Drugs are classified according to WHO's anatomical-therapeutic-chemical (ATC) system and the quantity dispensed for each prescription is given by the number, strength and defined daily dose of the pharmaceutical entities. All medications targeting obstructive pulmonary disease (ATC R03) as well as corticosteroids (ATC H02) require a prescription.

Population
We identified a closed cohort of individuals with at least one exacerbation requiring medical treatment from 1 January 2003 to 31 December 2003.
All analyses were performed using STATA 14.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

The study was approved by the Danish Data Protection Agency. According to Danish legislation, neither approval from the ethics committee nor informed consent from the study populations is required for registry-linkage studies.1

Data availability
Analytical programs are available, while access to the dataset requires an application to The Danish Health Data Authorities.
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