

Evaluation of eLearning outcomes- experience from an online Psychotherapy education programme

CHRIS BLACKMORE^{a*}, DIGBY TANTAM^a and EMMY VAN DEURZEN^a

^a*University of Sheffield, UK*

Abstract

SEPTIMUS is a one-year Europe-wide postgraduate theoretical course for psychotherapists and counselors provided entirely via the internet. It may be used as part of a training course, with face to face elements provided locally, or for continuing professional development. The course was developed at the University of Sheffield in collaboration with psychotherapy training institute partners in seven other European countries. Two studies involving 167 SEPTIMUS students and 60 comparable face-to-face students were undertaken.

Study 1- Drop-out rates for the SEPTIMUS programme were found to be low, and comparison between those dropping out and those completing did not highlight any significant factors linked to distance learning. However, students cited *Finance, Distance from training centre, Lack of practical experience, Family commitments* and the *Intensity of their working weeks* as having been barriers to taking face-to-face learning courses in the past.

Study 2- SEPTIMUS students (eLearners) were compared with students taking comparable attending (face-to-face) theoretical courses also being provided by partners in the project to psychotherapy trainees. Significant differences were found in *Distance from training institute* and *Ability to visit training institute*. SEPTIMUS students had higher levels of *Computer ownership, Frequency of internet use* and *IT skills* than attenders; these factors when examined in study 1 did not have an impact on the drop-out rate of eLearners.

eLearning can overcome barriers to traditional learning in psychotherapy, particularly distance from a training centre, without loss of student satisfaction or student performance. Factors sometimes thought to be obstacles to eLearning, such as IT skills, were not found to be significant barriers, although may have affected recruitment. Certain aspects of eLearning, such as the tendency to facilitate self-disclosure, were found to be very beneficial particularly in the context of psychotherapy programmes.

Keywords: eLearning, Face-to-face learning, Drop-out rate, Barriers to learning, Self-disclosure

*Corresponding author- Email: C.M.Blackmore@sheffield.ac.uk

Introduction

Whilst electronic approaches to theoretical learning (“eLearning”) have been rapidly expanding in the last decade, they have so far had little impact on the field of psychotherapy education perhaps because of the perception that the internet is not suitable for psychotherapy training, and that only face-to-face training methods are acceptable. Indeed, whilst there have been a growing number of empirical reports on the practice of online therapy, with every one of these empirical studies showing significant improvements for those accessing online therapy (Griffiths and Cooper, 2003), there are very few studies examining the role which eLearning might play in psychotherapy training. Our previous research (Blackmore et al, 2005; Tantam et al, 2006) suggests that eLearning fares very well compared to traditional face-to-face methods of teaching psychotherapy theory in terms of student satisfaction and performance. This finding accords with the majority of comparisons between online and attendance courses which conclude that there is “no significant difference” in terms of performance between the two modalities (Russell, 1999; Bernard et al, 2004; Ruiz et al, 2006). Comparative studies also suggest that students are at least as satisfied with eLearning courses (Gibbons and Fairweather, 2000; Chumley-Jones et al, 2002; Suanpang et al, 2003), and that retention and completion rates in online courses are not significantly different (Knight, 2007) or even better (Clark, 2002) than in attendance courses.

This paper is an investigation of two linked hypotheses: 1. can distance learning overcome any of the barriers to traditional learning experienced by potential psychotherapy students? (study 1); and 2. Does distance learning mean accepting a poorer quality alternative to traditional face-to-face learning (study 2) in an area of education where interpersonal factors are likely to be particularly important? The paper discusses the barriers for eLearning in the area of psychotherapy education, focusing on factors preventing accessibility to training programmes and contributing to drop-out rates, and presents a comparison of eLearner and face-to-face students in terms of both satisfaction levels and performance on their respective courses.

The SEPTIMUS course

A survey of European Psychotherapy training (Tantam et al, 2001a, 2001b) has shown that access to psychotherapy for those who are in most need is restricted in many European countries by distance from training centre, childcare responsibilities (which affected almost 1 in 5 of female respondents) and educational background (which affected men more than women). SEPTIMUS is a one year programme in psychotherapy theory available purely by eLearning methods. It was designed to widen accessibility to psychotherapy by increasing access to theoretical education for learners and stimulating flexible arrangements for supervision and personal therapy, which might include block or intensive face-to-face sessions, or supplementation of face to face supervision by telephone or internet based sessions. In particular, SEPTIMUS was designed to increase access for those who:

- lived in geographically isolated areas
- had family/work commitments
- had a disability

The project was coordinated from the UK and the training was made available to learners in Austria, Czech Republic, Ireland, Italy, Poland, Portugal, Romania and UK. These countries

used various models for integrating the SEPTIMUS eLearning programme into their existing programmes, but this paper concentrates on drop-out rates and comparisons of only the eLearning components.

The course consists of 6 units (each of 10 weeks of study) in the following topics: health and well-being, existential and human issues, conflict management, overview of different methods of psychotherapy and personal change, ethics & culture in psychotherapy & counselling and development through the life cycle. In any given week, learners are required to read through the course material, post messages onto the discussion forum in response to prompts in the box at the bottom of each page, and attend a one hour chatroom with fellow learners and tutors to discuss that week's topic. Students are assessed on the following:

- discussion forum and chatroom contributions
- online multiple choice questions
- end of unit essay (3,000 words)

The emphasis in assessment of forum and chatroom contributions is on the student's engagement with the theoretical materials, and the extent to which they have collaborated with others in generating a productive dialogue. Entry to the course is via <http://www.septimus.info/>

The course material was presented in English, although the learning taking place via discussion forum and chatroom was in the language of tutors' and students' choosing (usually their own national language). Worldwide, an estimate 375 million people speak English as a first language (Curtis, 2006), while up to 1 billion speak it as a second language (Wikipedia_ "English_language" _entry 2008). English is the "lingua franca" of psychotherapy, and much of the published research and many of the seminal texts are in English. In addition, the fact that tutoring occurred in each country's language of choice does help to widen accessibility somewhat to those whose English is not as good as it might be.

Study 1- The acceptability and outcomes of an eLearning course

Method

SEPTIMUS students were recruited onto the course by Partner institutes across Europe (who are listed under acknowledgements). All applicants for the academic years 2002-3 and 2003-4 were required to complete a specially designed application form covering basic details (name, age, address, etc), education & psychotherapy experience, employment history, their ability to fulfil course requirements (such as internet access) and accessibility issues. Within this group of eLearners, data was then analysed according to whether the student completed their study or dropped out. Tests of significance on application data were t-tests for parametric data, Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests for ordinal non-parametric data, and chi-square tests for non-ordinal non-parametric data.

Results

A total of 167 eLearners provided data, although not all students responded to every item. Analysis of the data showed that eLearners had significant other commitments on top of their coursework- over 40% of students had children living with them or other family responsibilities, and over 85% of students were working 20 hours per week or above. Data gathered on factors preventing learners from applying for psychotherapy education before (table 1) show that over 50% of eLearners had been previously prevented from accessing psychotherapy education, the most common factors being distance from training centre, finance, lack of practical experience, childcare responsibilities or lack of qualifications.

Factors that have prevented you from applying for Psychotherapy training before	childcare	distance from training centre	lack of practical experience	lack of qualifications	finance	other (detail)	none
Frequency	11	33	19	11	30	8	48
%	19.3	57.9	33.3	19.3	52.6	14.0	45.7

Table 1- Factors preventing eLearners from applying for face-to-face Psychotherapy education

When comparing drop-outs and completers, there was no significant difference between the groups in gender, age, frequency with which training institute could be visited, distance from training institute, disability, English language skills, IT skills, domestic responsibilities, or current working time per week (see Table 2). For both groups, the proportion of students prevented from accessing psychotherapy education previously was similar, and the most common barrier was “distance from the training centre”.

	Withdrawing	Completing	Significance
Number (%) of learners	40 (24.0%)	127 (76.1%)	NS
Ratio of women : men (% women)	29:11 (72.5%)	85:42 (66.9%)	NS
Average age (standard deviation)	36 years 10 months	34 years 5 months	NS
Estimated frequency with which training institute could be visited per week by most learners	Every two weeks	Every month	NS
Estimated distance (Km) from nearest suitable training centre for most learners	Less than 49	Less than 49	NS
Number (%) with self-declared disability	1 (4%)	6 (5.6%)	NS
Number (%) reporting poor or basic English	7 (29.3%)	25 (24.3%)	NS
Number (%) reporting poor or basic IT skills	6(28.6%)	28 (27.2%)	NS
Number (%) with childcare responsibilities	8 (32.0%)	29 (25.9%)	NS
Estimated hours of main employment per week for most learners	More than 40	More than 40	NS

Table 2- Difference between completing and drop-out eLearners

The 8 participating countries used differing learning models, and these were grouped into 5 categories- Low intensity online tutorial support, Supplementation by F2F tutorials and discussions, eLearning course supplementary to F2F course, Supplementation by intensive F2F tutorials and High intensity online tutorial support. Drop-out rates were compared across these 5 categories but differences between them were found to be not significant (see table 3).

	Withdrawing	Completing	Significance
Low intensity online tutorial support	8 (42.1%)	11 (57.9%)	
Supplementation by F2F tutorials and discussions	5 (21.7%)	18 (78.3%)	
eLearning course supplementary to F2F course	10 (29.4%)	24 (70.6%)	
Supplementation by intensive F2F tutorials	12 (19.4%)	50 (80.6%)	
High intensity online tutorial support	5 (17.2%)	24 (82.8%)	NS

Table 3- Dropout rate of eLearners using different learning models

Study 2- Comparing eLearners and face-to-face students

Method

All eight SEPTIMUS partners were asked whether they had access to groups of face-to-face students who were studying or had recently studied a similar unit to any of the online SEPTIMUS ones. It was decided to use the second unit, “Existential and Human Issues”, as this was a topic which all of the partners had found to be central to the course and also containing new perspectives for many of the students. Three countries, Ireland, Romania and the UK, had access to students who had studied an attendance unit which was similar in content to the online unit, and a total of 61 of these students were recruited as a comparison group. The following data were collected from these face-to-face learners: *Age, Gender, Nationality, Distance from home to training institute, How often would work and personal commitments enable you to visit your training institute?, Do you have a home computer/laptop? Do you have access to the internet? If "Yes", how often do you use the internet or world-wide web? What is your level of IT skills?* This data was combined with the data collected from eLearners’ application forms (see study 1) and is presented in table 4. Students in both groups were also asked about their *Satisfaction with course materials, with tutor and with discussion forums/chatrooms, Understanding of the unit, and Time spent with tutor, on course materials, in forums/chatroom and on Assessment*, and this data is presented in table 5.

Results

	eLearning students	Face to face students	Significance
Number (%) of learners	167 (73.6%)	60 (26.4%)	
Ratio of women to men (% women)	114:53 (68.3%)	50:10 (83.3%)	NS
Estimated frequency with which	Once a month	Twice a week	p<0.01

training institute could be visited per week by most learners			
Ratio of those with computer to those without (% with)	129:5 (96.3%)	58:12 (82.9%)	NS
Ratio of those with access to internet to those without (% with)	126:0 (100%)	55:4 (93.2%)	NS
Estimated frequency of internet use for most learners	Every day	Some day	p<0.01
Self-rating of own IT skills by most learners ^a	Good	Good	p<0.05

^aAlthough the category “Good” was the highest ranked for both eLearners and face-to-face students, there was a significant difference in spread between the two groups

Table 4. Barriers to learning in SEPTIMUS and face-to-face learners

	eLearning students	Face to face students	Significance
Mean satisfaction with course materials (1-5)	3.8	2.63	p<0.00
Mean satisfaction with tutor (1-5)	3.7	3.13	p<0.00
Mean satisfaction with class discussions/discussion forums (1-5)	3.2	2.92	NS
Mean understanding of whole unit (1-5)	3.8	3.72	NS
Mean time on course materials (hours/ week)	4.5	2.82	p<0.00
Mean time with tutor (hours/ week)	1.2	1.73	p= 0.02
Mean time on class discussions/discussion forums (hours/ week)	1.32	1.81	NS
Mean time on assessment ^b (hours/ week)	1.90	2.30	NS

^b “time spent on assessment” comprises time spent answering weekly MCQs and preparing for end of term essay

Table 5 Outcomes in SEPTIMUS students and face-to-face students

We can conclude that at the time of starting their studies, eLearners could visit their training institutes less often than face-to-face students, were more likely to own a computer, used the internet more frequently and rated their IT skills higher. eLearners reported higher satisfaction with the course material and tutors than face-to-face learners; eLearners spent more time on the course materials than face-to-face learners, but less time with tutors. Other differences noted between the two groups were not statistically significant.

Discussion

Potential limitations of the study are that, apart from the information on student performance from tutors, we relied on self-report of eLearners and face-to-face students, and the validity of our findings depends on the accuracy of these self-reports. The study is only of students already applying or enrolled and so we have not been able to consider factors that might stop potential students from applying at all. There may be a bias in the eLearning group to those more in favour of online learning, and those who have more experience and confidence in IT issues, and a significant difference in self-rated *IT skills* was indeed found from application data. However, there was no difference in the level of *IT skills* of those eLearners who completed the course and

those who dropped out, which suggests that having *IT skills* was not a significant factor in the success or otherwise of students who were accepted on to the course. Students (and some tutors) did report difficulties in getting used to the technology at first, but none cited this as a reason for leaving the course. We had anticipated this problem and designed the layout of the pages, including the overall concept of 'islands' corresponding to modules, and locations corresponding to individual weeks of the unit, so as to increase usability. Before the course began we had also developed a week of training material about the technology which students worked through before beginning the course proper. We also arranged training for tutors, and both learners and tutors had access to an online manual about the use of the technology. Tutors responded to technical or navigational difficulties in the forums and the chatrooms, and the core team also used chatrooms with tutors to deal with problems that they were having. Finally, one of us (CMB) was available to deal with any residual student or tutor problems that arose, and could call on a technical consultant to deal with any unexpected glitches in html or php scripting.

We compared eLearners and face-to-face attendees- the advantage of doing this is that it provides direct evidence of whether we have succeeded in making psychotherapy education more accessible, and whether or not we have done so at the cost of satisfaction with learning. However it was difficult to establish exact equivalence of the eLearning and attendance courses, so we cannot be sure what other factors- course content and lecturer experience, for example- may be influencing the differences between them.

Retention of students

The literature is not conclusive on the issue of whether eLearning courses have high drop-out rates. An eLearning Guild publication confidently states, "You've probably heard that people drop out of e-Learning at very high rates and that nobody knows what to do about it" (Martinez 2003). However, O'Connor and Sceiford cite a drop out rate for e-learning of approximately 26%, whilst other estimates range from 20-50% (Frankola 2001), and from 30-75% (McVay-Lynch 2002), although "there have been few credible and systematic studies investigating actual non-completion rates and factors that affect these dropout rates" (O'Connor and Sceiford 2003, p. ii). McVay-Lynch identify technology, the student experience, lack of tutor feedback and online miscommunication as contributing to withdrawal.

Kember (1989) proposed a conceptual model of attrition for distance education, indicating a complex interaction of the following factors: family context and background, personal motivation, abilities and depth of commitment to completion, previous educational experiences and achievement, institutional support, levels of income, gender and geographic distance from the institution. As Tyler-Smith (2006) points out, younger, full-time learners tend to conceptualise education in terms of passing assessments and gaining qualifications that will enable them to apply for paid employment, whereas mature learners are often already in employment, or are studying for reasons of self-development. Employed adults often undertake eLearning programmes in their personal time due to workload pressures in the workplace and/or the availability of fast internet connections at work (Tyler-Smith, 2006). This pressure on personal time, and conflict between priorities, can have negative impacts upon students' home life and family and may contribute to attrition statistics (Thalheimer, 2004); such problems can lead to students dropping out who are otherwise performing well (Ozga and Sukhnandan, 1998). Diaz (2002) posits that the rate of attrition among online learners suggests an informed choice

based on a realistic assessment of competing demands rather than a failure by the learner or education provider. Gibbs went further, suggesting that for eLearners in higher education, this non-progression is “a normal part of life rather than a stressful life-changing event” (Gibbs, 2003, p. 46). As Gaskell (2006, p. 97) suggests, the flexibility and openness of eLearning programmes “allow[s] students more opportunities to engage over longer periods at times to suit themselves.” The slightly lower retention rates may be the price that eLearning programmes pay for their greater flexibility.

The SEPTIMUS course was a new venture, with tutors who, although very experienced psychotherapy trainers, were very inexperienced in delivering material via computer-mediated communication. In addition, tutors were spread across 8 different countries, and their students were spread across many more. One might have expected these factors to contribute to a significant number of drop-outs. In fact, the drop-out rate on the SEPTIMUS course (24.0%) is at the lower end of the normal range quoted above. There were no significant differences between those students who completed their studies and those who dropped out on any of the data collected on application. Notably, the two groups were well-matched for gender and age.

One reason for the good retention rate of students may be that in many countries, additional face-to-face components were delivered alongside the eLearning components of the programme- a “blended learning” model was used. In some countries, students also had face-to-face contact with one another and/or with tutors on other parts of their programme in addition to their eLearning contact; and some countries arranged occasional face-to-face seminars, tutorials, focus groups and residential weekends for students to attend. In other countries, the programme was delivered purely by electronic means, with differing levels of online support from tutors. Whilst the data presented in table 3 does not show significant differences between learning models employed, it is notable that the highest drop-out rate was for those students where there was no face-to-face contact with tutors, and only low intensity online support; the lowest drop-out rate was for those with high intensity online tutorial support, which was even more effective in retaining students than where eLearning was supplemented by intensive face-to-face tutorials. The nature and frequency of tutor engagement with learners is a crucial factor in the success of eLearning programmes (Blackmore et al, 2006), and without high levels of input and support, the dropout rate would probably have been higher.

A second factor in good retention rates is the profile of SEPTIMUS students, many of whom were mature adults with families. eLearners tend to be older than attendance students (Diaz 2002), are more likely to be female and married, to have higher incomes, and family/work responsibilities which prevent/restrain them from attending traditional courses (Ashby, 2002); they naturally tend to be more geographically distant from their training centres, peers and tutors (Whittington & McLean, 2001). Crucially, adult learners have “life experience that becomes an increasing resource for learning” (Knowles, 1984, p.12), and many lifelong learners are better able to generate internal motivation for their learning. A recent comparative study found that eLearning students possess stronger intrinsic motivation than attendance students to know, to accomplish things and to experience stimulation, with no difference in extrinsic motivation (Rovai et al, 2007). Mature learners have greater ability to “integrate the demands of part-time offcampus study with family, work and social commitments” (Kember, 1989, p. 294).

An important related factor is the ability of students to provide support to one another and to form a learning community- a group of people in an educational context who are actively engaged in learning together and learning from one another. Because many of the students are practicing counselors or psychotherapists, they are experienced in community building and have expertise in human relations. They are therefore able to support one another in a way which other student groups may not, demonstrating empathy, sensitivity and understanding of the issues they are facing.

Where drop-outs did occur in the SEPTIMUS programme, they tended to be in the early stages of study. This is in keeping with Simpson's finding that 35% or more of eLearners at the UK Open University withdraw before submitting their first assignment (Simpson, 2004, p. 83). Tyler-Smith (2006) suggests that "the multiple learning curves that confront a learner at the start of any course of online study" contribute to a "cognitive overload"; this can cause learners to drop out early from an eLearning course, depending upon the complexity of the learning tasks that confront a learner engaging with eLearning, especially for the first time. Our own experience supports this theory, and students do report a period at the start of the programme, lasting for several weeks, where everything is new, unfamiliar and potentially overwhelming. A number of students who experienced problems using the online resources would have dropped out were it not for diligent tutor input. This also appears to be a period where students are becoming accustomed to having an "online identity", and to interacting with other people who are physically not present. We have used increased tutorial support to help them through this period, along with Salmon's (2000) suggestion of limiting content specific activity in the early stages to concentrate on activities that allow eLearners to get to know one another and to assist in the development of an online identity. We also limit navigation options in the first week or two, suggesting that students concentrate on posting introductions to the discussion forum.

Barriers to eLearning

There are still considerable barriers to the dissemination and uptake of online learning programmes. Mangania (2003) identifies seven types of barriers: (1) personal or dispositional, (2) learning style (3) instructional, (4) situational, (5) organizational, (6) content suitability, and (7) technological barriers." Mangania cites situational barriers as the most prevalent, and personal barriers as the least common, with four key factors being significant predictors of e-learning barriers: (1) organization, (2) self-efficacy, (3) computer competence, and (4) computer training. Age, gender, ethnicity, marital status, level of education, prior experiences with computers and e-learning, computer ownership, location of study, and job position are not statistically significant predictors of barriers.

A particular barrier for eLearning within the field of psychotherapy is the perception that the internet is not suitable for psychotherapy education. This is likely to change as eLearning becomes a more common component of educational programmes in all subjects- the rise of "blended learning"- and the potential of computer-mediated communication (CMC) for theoretical learning becomes apparent. It is unlikely that clinical psychotherapy training programmes which are available purely by electronic methods will emerge in the near future, as the supervision of training placements and personal therapy are usually prescribed as face-to-face activities by psychotherapy associations, many of whom even set a limit on the amount of online learning which programmes can incorporate. However, the rise in eTherapy, and the growing

possibilities for use of CMC in supervision, suggest that training courses for eTherapists may not be far away, and it is likely that such training programmes will have high, if not complete, levels of online interaction and training. This paper, along with other dissemination activities coming out of the research project (Blackmore et al, 2005; Blackmore et al, 2006; Blackmore& Tantam, 2006; van Deurzen et al, 2006; Tantam et al, 2006; Blackmore et al, 2007), is an attempt to demonstrate the great potential that the internet offers for psychotherapy and psychotherapists, whilst also examining potential difficulties which the internet presents for trainers.

Comparing SEPTIMUS eLearners with face-to-face learners, there were large differences between the groups in many of the factors measured. Firstly, eLearners were able to visit their training institute much less often. Secondly, there was a gender imbalance with nearly double the amount of men on the eLearning course compared to the attendance course. This may reflect the greater confidence which men generally have in using computer-mediated communication and in taking up places on distance learning courses. Weiser comments, “in comparison to women, males use the Internet more, they are more comfortable with it, and their reasons for using it are more extensive” (Weiser, 2000, p.169). There were other differences found relating to technology- eLearners had better access to computers and used their computers much more often. Men have, in general, been earlier adopters of IT than woman and it may be that the excess of male applicants is explained by the greater proportion of men than women with IT skills. There did not appear to be any differences in terms of disability- the rate of 5% of eLearners who reported a disability or mobility problem is lower than the rate for Europe- in 2002, 15.7% of the EU-15's population of 16 to 64 year-olds had a long-term disability or health problem (InfoBASE, 2006). It is not clear whether this low application rate is because there are not so many people with a disability who wish to pursue psychotherapy education, or that people with disabilities do not feel as though they can apply or be accepted. The finding that there is no significance in the difference between drop-out rates for those with or without a disability confirms that this is not a barrier to successful completion of eLearning courses.

Students who do enrol on eLearning courses report satisfaction levels which are comparable to face-to-face courses. When the same courses delivered by the same teachers in either eLearning or traditional formats were compared, no differences were found between student performance and satisfaction (Broudo, White et al. 1997). Given this finding, it is not clear why students are not enrolling for eLearning courses in greater numbers. A “eurobarometer” survey (Chisholm, Larson et al. 2004) suggests that there is currently still significant prejudice against eLearning in Europe, and that many people over-estimate the expertise required to use the internet. SEPTIMUS eLearners and comparable f2f learners differed significantly in IT skills at the start of the programme, suggesting that this is a significant factor in whether or not a student will enrol on the course. However, this difference in *IT skills* did not distinguish between those dropping out and completing the course, and so once they have started on the programme, students discover that a high level of internet expertise is not actually required. The obstacle to eLearning appears to be the perceptions about the use of the internet for study rather than the actual IT skills required to do so; increased use of internet courses may therefore require the breaking down of barriers created by unrealistic expectations of the internet skills required. As the Eurobarometer study suggests, it is people who are most familiar with the internet already who are most likely to choose an internet-based course.

Over the last 10 years, more and more people have become familiar with the internet, mainly through shopping, and this trend will increase as increasing numbers of people take up these new ways of interacting. Although eLearning has been used here to overcome barriers and widen accessibility, it is not an approach entirely without barriers of its own. However, it was notable that prior to starting the course, around a quarter of eLearners (n=34) rated their IT skills as only basic, and that there were some users (n=5) who used the internet only rarely or never; nevertheless, these users quickly grew in ability and confidence and were able to complete the course. The data on IT skills and drop-out rates confirms that those with little or no prior experience in using the internet can become successful eLearners given the right support and encouragement.

Potential for psychotherapy

As well as improving access to psychotherapy education and showing good retention rates, eLearning has some unexpected benefits for psychotherapy. Recent research (van Deurzen et al, 2006) has shown the ways in which online relationships between students, and between tutors and students, are quite different to those in face-to-face education situations. Relationships and interactions are in some ways more distant and aloof- since no direct face-to-face contact is possible- which might seem to be a barrier to the type of genuine connection necessary for the growth of an online community where self-development can occur. However, what appears initially to be the inaccessibility of fellow eLearners can, with some facilitation from tutors, be a learning opportunity- students are encouraged to reflect on their interpersonal processes and to find new ways of establishing contact with one another.

i. Self-disclosure

One particularly effective way of harnessing the potential of eLearning for psychotherapy is to facilitate online self-disclosure- the examination of sensitive or painful issues, in combination with learning about psychotherapy theory, is a vital component of any psychotherapy training programme. Both experimental and anecdotal evidence suggests that “CMC and general Internet-based behaviour can be characterised as containing high levels of self-disclosure” (Joinson, 2001, p. 178), and indeed, consistently high levels of self-disclosure have been observed in the SEPTIMUS programme (van Deurzen et al, 2006). Such self-disclosure comes about, at least in part, as a result of disinhibition which is known to be a characteristic of web-users (Suler, 2004). Web-users may display increases in their “willingness to post aggressive (‘flames’), offensive or sexually explicit messages in chatrooms, discussion groups, and elsewhere on the web” and to gamble or visit pornographic sites (Tantam, 2006). Online learners such as the SEPTIMUS students, who are not linked via webcams, are not subject to “the look” of the other, which induces self-consciousness and therefore the possibility of shame (Morris, 2003).

ii. Tutor facilitation

The reduction of shame allows eLearners to feel more comfortable disclosing sensitive information about themselves, although there do need to be other conditions in place for self-disclosure to occur. For example, the online environment where disclosure takes place must feel secure to users, and they need to have high levels of confidence that their self-disclosure will be sensitively received and quickly responded to. This suggests that along with awareness of how to

facilitate self-reflection and self-disclosure, tutors need to be aware of what constitutes healthy disclosure, and how and when to respond to it.

iii. “Psychological mindedness”

Online courses can also facilitate self-reflection, and there are links between this and self-disclosure- Joinson states that “one well-established outcome of heightened private self-awareness is increased self disclosure” (2001, p. 180). In a study on undergraduate level “Health and social care” education, Morgan et al (2006) report that learners developed more extensive reflective accounts than they did previously when reflecting in traditional face-to-face environments; eLearners reflected more deeply, spent longer reflecting, self-managed their reflective learning and recognised significant learning achievements through reflection, although varying levels of engagement in the online reflective process were observed, with not all learners achieving “a deep level of critical analysis”. Therefore eLearning in psychotherapy education may be particularly appropriate for students who would otherwise find it difficult to disclose certain feelings and experiences and who in this mode of relating find an outlet for otherwise suppressed experiences, reactions and reflections. Students do, however, need to be “psychologically minded”, i.e. students need to have access to their own motivations, to have some ability to think about these in psychological terms and to have an awareness of the intrinsic value of self-reflection and self-disclosure. Where students have not had such abilities, or have had low levels of self-awareness, they have tended to find it difficult to engage in genuine self-disclosure and its accompanying self-development; students who do have these qualities seem to revel in the opportunities for self-development, both personally and for the whole learning community.


iv. Transformative learning

Even where the pre-conditions for online self-disclosure are in place, it does not often happen spontaneously- opportunities for self-disclosure will need to be built into the structure of a course. SEPTIMUS students are prompted in the course materials- at regular intervals- to reflect on an issue, such as bereavement, divorce or depression, and to share their own experiences via the discussion forum. Where a learning community has developed, students will quickly respond to such personal postings, thanking the student for the self-disclosure, commenting on the posting and adding their own experience. As the group begins to examine the psychotherapy theory together in the light personal experience, self-disclosure and the ability of the student group to deal with experience, sometimes quite traumatic experience, becomes linked to learning. Students engage in “deep learning” (Marton & Säljö, 1976), which involves “the critical analysis of new ideas, linking them to already known concepts and principles, and leads to understanding and long-term retention of concepts so that they can be used for problem solving in unfamiliar contexts” (HEA Engineering Subject Centre, 2007). Students may also experience glimpses of “transformative learning” (Mezirow, 1975, 1978) which involves experiencing “a deep, structural shift in the basic premises of thought, feelings, and actions” (O’Connor, 2003).

v. Group learning

In the experience of tutors on the programme, the potential for transformative learning online depends not only on the demands of the course and the facilitation of tutors but also on the expectations of students, on their personal characteristics and the way that disembodiment impacts upon them- Kang (2007) defines disembodiment succinctly as the “transcendence of body constraints in cyberspace”. If there are early examples of self-disclosure during the module (see figure 1 below), and these are positively reinforced by the tutor and the group as a whole, there is a sense in which, as learners on a psychotherapy studies course, students feel an expectation to engage in this kind of behaviour, and to be open and honest (and supportive of others doing so), even when the self-disclosure may involve weakness or failure (and thus present a threat to one’s own reputation or identity). Thus the group is able to work with difficult, even traumatic material, to learn from this and to transform it into a positive experience.

Figure 1. The impact of disembodiment on “deep learning”



vi .Dialogue

SEPTIMUS students, through previous experience and maturity, tend to be highly psychologically minded, and place a high value on transformative learning. They are more likely to have an interest in dialogue- finding the common ground that might underlie apparent difference- and therefore to be more tolerant of diverse opinions, perspectives, or attitudes. They are consequently more likely to be willing to take such risks- so long as they perceive that appropriate boundaries are in place- and their self-disclosures are more likely to be appropriate to the setting and welcomed by the group.

The increased levels of self-disclosure and self-reflection reported by students on the SEPTIMUS programme was an important benefit of the online experience and facilitated an intimacy and directness rarely equalled in face-to-face education. Where such openness is highly “attuned” to the group, self-disclosures are usually welcome, are often reciprocated, and will potentially go on to facilitate transformative learning. Where self-disclosure is not well attuned to the group, it will be unwelcome and will increase the likelihood of conflict occurring online. If this conflict is based upon miscommunication, as can easily happen online, it may be relatively straightforward to resolve, and this tends to make transformative learning more likely than if no conflict had occurred at all. Where conflict is based on a difference in underlying values, it is much harder to resolve, and indeed the online context may exacerbate this, even to the point of making resolution impossible. Unresolved conflict makes it more likely that a student will be rejected from the group and eventually drop out, and is a real barrier to transformative learning for the whole learning community. There is a clear need for online tutors to know how and when to intervene in conflict when it emerges.

Conclusion

We have shown that eLearning itself does not seem to create demands which result in high drop-out rates when compared to rates found in the research literature. Our results also show that accessibility to education was widened by the SEPTIMUS course, with many students who had previously been denied access to education being able to complete this programme. Most students reported the factors *Distance from training centre* and *Finance* as the obstacles preventing them from accessing education in the past. eLearning has been found to be suitable for people in full or part-time employment as it allows them to study whilst maintaining their careers. eLearning is particularly attractive to mature learners, who enjoy the flexibility of fitting studies around other commitments, are better able to handle the competing demands of studying and work/home commitments and more motivated to complete the course for their own self-development. eLearning has some particular benefits for psychotherapy programmes in that, with appropriate tutor facilitation, it can promote self-disclosure and transformative learning.

When compared to students on similar face-to-face courses, we have shown that there is, if anything, higher satisfaction with eLearners and greater engagement with the eLearning materials. It is also attractive to those who already have IT skills (these people are sometimes known as “early adopters” or “pioneers”), and it is likely that there are many more potential students who do not yet have the confidence in eLearning methods and in their own IT skills to enrol on a course. Ironically, there is probably an overestimation of the level of IT skills needed to undertake an eLearning course, and this has been borne out by the experience of working on

the SEPTIMUS programme. For the reasons outlined above, electronic elements of psychotherapy education can be an excellent complement to face-to-face training and if carefully delivered will achieve some aspects of learning that face-to-face education alone would not achieve. The best educational approach in psychotherapy education is likely to be a combination or “blend” of eLearning and face-to-face learning.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the funding and support of the Leonardo da Vinci fund of the EU for providing funding for the SEPTIMUS project. We would also like to thank all of the staff and students who participated in the SEPTIMUS programme. In particular, we would like to thank the following Partners and tutors- Alfred Pritz and Elisabeth Vykoukal (Austria), Zbynek Vybiral and David Skorunka (Czech Republic), Ed McHale and Tessa Norman (Ireland), Riccardo Zerbetto and Antonio Iannazzo (Italy), Jerzy Aleksandrowicz (Poland), Telmo Mourinho Baptista and Joaoa Andrade (Portugal) and Ileana Botezat (Romania). We would also like to acknowledge staff at the following training institutes:

Austria	Österreichischer Arbeitskreis für Gruppentherapie und Gruppendynamik
Czech Republic	Pražská vysoká škola psychosociálních studií Pražský psychoterapeutický ústav
Ireland	Clanwilliam Institute Institute of Creative Counselling and Psychotherapy
Italy	Scuola di Psicoterapia Comparata-SPC Associazione per lo Sviluppo Psicologico dell'Individuo e della Comunità-ASPIC CSTG
Poland	Department of Psychotherapy, Jagiellonian University Medical College Institute of Psychiatry and Neurology, Warsaw
Portugal	Associação Portuguesa de Terapias Comportamental e Cognitiva Lisboa Sociedade Portuguesa de Psicoterapia Centrada Lisboa
Romania	Romanian Federation of Psychotherapy Department of Psychology, Babes-Bolyai University
UK	University of Sheffield New School of Psychotherapy & Counselling, London

Finally we acknowledge the support and guidance of the Leonardo da Vinci fund for SEPTIMUS (project no. UK/01/B/F/PP/129_387, 2000-2003) the SOCRATES ERASMUS programme for DEEP (project no 28686-IC-2002-1-UK-ERASMUS-PROGUC-2) and also ECOTEC Research and Consulting, UK.

References

- Ashby, C. M. (2002). *Distance education: Growth in distance education programs and implications for federal education policy* (GAO Report GAO-02-1125T). Washington, DC: United States General Accounting Office.
- Bernard, R., Abrami, P.L., Lou, Y. & Borokhovski, E. (2004) How does distance education compare with classroom instruction? a meta-analysis of the empirical literature. *Review of Educational Research*, 74, pp. 379–439.
- Biner, P. M., Dean, R. S., and Mellinger, A. E. (1994). Factors underlying distance learner satisfaction with televised college-level courses. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 8(1), pp. 60-71.
- Blackmore, C., van Deurzen, E. & Tantam, D. (2005) A comparative evaluation of eLearning versus traditional "face-to-face" teaching methods in a psychotherapy training programme, in: S. Dasgupta (Ed) *Encyclopedia of Virtual Communities and Technologies* (George Washington University, USA), pp. 174- 178.
- Blackmore, C., Tantam, D., & van Deurzen, E. (2006) The role of the eTutor- Evaluating tutor input in a virtual learning community for psychotherapists and psychologists across Europe. *International Journal of Psychotherapy*, special edition, 10(2), pp. 35-46.
- Blackmore, C., van Deurzen, E. & Tantam, D. (2007) Therapy training online- using the internet to widen access to training in mental health issues. In: T. Stickley and T. Basset (Eds) *Teaching and learning about mental health* (Wiley). 337-352.
- Blackmore, C. & Tantam, D. (2006) Editorial introduction. *International Journal of Psychotherapy*, special edition, 10(2), pp. 3-6.
- Broudo, M. & White, M. (1997). The Effectiveness of Interactive Multimedia as an Instructional Aid for Learning Basic Clinical Skills and Knowledge, in: A. J. J. A. Scherpbier, C. P. M. van der Vleuten, J. J. Rethans (Eds) *Advances in Medical Education* (New York: Kluwer Academic Press) pp. 321–326.
- Chisholm, L., Larson, A. & Mossoux A-F (2004). Lifelong learning: citizens' views in close-up. Findings from a dedicated Eurobarometer survey Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.
- Chumley-Jones, H.S., Dobbie, A. & Alford, C.L. (2002) Web-based learning: sound educational method or hype? A review of the evaluation literature. *Academic Medicine*, 77 (10 suppl):S86- S93.
- Clark, D. (2002) Psychological myths in e-learning. *Medical Teacher*, 24, pp. 598–604.
- Curtis, A. & Romney, M. (2006) *Color, Race, And English Language Teaching: Shades of Meaning* (Routledge). p. 192.
- van Deurzen, E., Blackmore, C., & Tantam, D. (2006) Distance and Intimacy in Internet Psychotherapy Training. *International Journal of Psychotherapy*, special edition, 10(2), pp. 15-34.
- Diaz, D.P. (2002). Online drop rates revisited. *The Technology Source*. (May/June) Retrieved August 2nd 2007, from http://technologysource.org/article/online_drop_rates_revisited/
- Europa (2005) Europa.eu Press Release. Retrieved August 2nd 2007, <http://europa.eu/rapid/pressReleasesAction.do?reference=IP/05/1513&format=HTML&aged=0&language=EN&guiLanguage=en>

- Flood, J. (2002) Read all about it: online learning facing 80% attrition rates, *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 3(2) Retrieved August 2nd 2007, from <http://tojde.anadolu.edu.tr/tojde6/articles/jim2.htm>
- Frankola, K. (2001) Why online learners dropout. *Workforce*, 10, pp. 53-63.
- Gaskell, A. (2006) Rethinking access, success and student retention in Open and Distance Learning, *Open Learning*, 21(2), pp. 95 – 98.
- Gibbs, G. (2003) The future of student retention in Open and Distance Learning, in: A. Gaskell & A. Tait (Eds) *The future of Open and Distance Learning*, collected conference papers from the 10th Cambridge International Conference on Open and Distance Learning (Cambridge, The Open University), 37–48.
- Gibbons, A. & Fairweather, P. (2000) Computer-based instruction, in: S. Tobias and J. Fletcher (Eds) *Training & Retraining: A Handbook for Business, Industry, Government, and the Military* (New York: Macmillan Reference USA), 410–42.
- Griffiths, M. & Cooper, G. (2003) Online therapy: implications for problem gamblers and clinicians. *British Journal of Guidance & Counselling*, 31(1), pp. 113-135.
- HEA Engineering Subject Centre (2007) Deep and Surface Approaches to Learning Retrieved August 12th 2007, from <http://www.engsc.ac.uk/er/theory/learning.asp>
- Johnson, S. D., Aragon, S. R. Shaik, N. & Palma-Rivas, N. (2000) Comparative Analysis of Learner Satisfaction and Learning Outcomes in Online and Face-to-Face Learning Environments, *Journal of Interactive Learning Research* 11(1), pp. 29-49.
- Joinson, A. (2001) Self-disclosure in computer-mediated communication: The role of self-awareness and visual anonymity, *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 31. pp. 177-192.
- Kang, S. (2007) Disembodiment in Online Social Interaction: Impact of Online Chat on Social Support and Psychosocial Well-Being. *CyberPsychology & Behavior* 10:3, 475-477.
- Kember, D. (1989) A Longitudinal-Process Model of Drop-Out from Distance Education, *Journal of Higher Education*, 60(3), pp. 278-301.
- Knight, P. (2007) Promoting retention and successful completion on Masters courses in education: a study comparing e-tuition using asynchronous conferencing software with face-to-face tuition, *Open Learning*, 22(1), pp. 87 – 96.
- Knowles, M. (1984) *The Adult Learner: A Neglected Species*, 3rd Ed, (Houston, TX: Gulf Publishing).
- McVay-Lynch, M. (2002). *The Online Educator – A Guide to creating the Virtual Classroom* (Routledge, London).
- Marton, F. & Säljö, R. (1976) On Qualitative Differences in Learning: Outcomes and Process, *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 46, pp. 4-11.
- Martinez, M. (2003) High attrition rates in e-learning: Challenges, predictors, and solutions, *The eLearning Developers' Journal* (July).
- Mezirow, J. (1975) *Education for perspective transformation: women's reentry programs in community colleges* (New York: Center for Adult Education Teachers College, Columbia University).
- Mezirow, J. (1978). Perspective Transformation. *Adult Education*, pp. 100-110.
- Morgan, J., Rawlinson, M. & Weaver, M. (2006) Facilitating online reflective learning for health and social care professionals, *Open Learning*, 21(2), pp. 167–176.
- Morris, K. (2003) The phenomenology of body dysmorphic disorder: a Sartrean analysis, in: B.Fulford, K. Morris, J. Sadler, & G. Stanghellini (Eds.), *Nature and narrative* (Oxford: Oxford University Press).

- Mungania, P. (2003). *The seven e-learning barriers facing employees*, Retrieved August 2nd 2007, from http://www.masie.com/researchgrants/2003/Mungania_Exec_Summary.pdf
- O'Connor, C. & Sceiford, E. (2003) Departure, Abandonment, and Dropout of E-learning: Dilemma and Solutions, *MASIE E-learning Consortium* (James Madison University).
- O'Sullivan, E. (2003) Bringing a perspective of transformative learning to globalized consumption. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 27 (4), pp. 326–330.
- Rovai, A. P., Ponton, M. K., Wighting, M. J. & Baker, J. D. (2007) A Comparative Analysis of Student Motivation in Traditional Classroom and E-Learning Courses, *International Journal on ELearning*, 6(3): pp. 413-432.
- Ruiz, J.G., Mintzer, M. J. & Leipzig, R.M. (2006) The Impact of E-Learning in Medical Education, *Academic Medicine*, 81 (3), pp. 207-212.
- Russell, T. L. (1999). *The no significant difference phenomenon: as reported in 355 research reports, summaries, and papers* (Raleigh: North Carolina State University).
- Salmon G. (2000) *E-moderating: a guide to tutoring and mentoring on line* (London: Kogan Page).
- Schutte, J. G. (1997) *Virtual teaching in higher education: The new intellectual superhighway or just another traffic jam*, Retrieved August 2nd 2007, from <http://english.ttu.edu/kairos/3.2/features/rodrigues/comparison.htm>
- Suanpang, P., Petocz, P. & Kalceff, W. (2003) *Student Attitudes to Learning Business Statistics Online vs. Traditional Methods*, Learning for unknown future, New Zealand, HERSA, 6–9 July 2003.
- Suler, J. (2004). The online disinhibition effect, *Cyberpsychology & Behaviour*, 7, pp. 321-326.
- Takiya, S., Archbold, J. & Berge, Z. (2005) Flexible Training's Intrusion On Work/Life Balance. *Turkish Online Journal Of Distance Education*, 6 (2), pp. 87-94. Retrieved August 2nd 2007, from http://tojde.anadolu.edu.tr/tojde18/pdf/article_5.pdf
- Tantam, D, van Deurzen, E., McHale, E, Pritz, A., Szafran, W., Zerbetto, R., & Osterloh, K. (2001a) The Survey of European Psychotherapy Training. 1. Information provided in the National Reports, *International Journal of Psychotherapy*, 6, pp. 142-144.
- Tantam, D, van Deurzen, E., McHale, E, Pritz, A., Szafran, W., Zerbetto, R., & Osterloh, K. (2001b) The Survey of European Psychotherapy Training 2: questionnaire data, *European Journal of Psychotherapy, Counselling & Health*, 4(3), pp. 379-395.
- Tantam, D. (2006) Opportunities and risks in e-therapy, *Advances in Psychiatric Treatment*, 12, pp. 368–374.
- Tantam, D., Blackmore, C. & van Deurzen, E. (2006) eLearning and traditional “face-to-face” teaching- a comparative evaluation of methods in a psychotherapy training programme, *International Journal of Psychotherapy*, special edition, 10(2), pp. 7-14.
- Thalheimer, W. (2004) *Elearning's burden on work-life balance. What should we do?* Retrieved August 2nd 2007, from www.work-learning.com/catalog/
- Weiser, E. B. (2000). "Gender Differences in Internet Use Patterns and Internet Application Preferences: A Two-Sample Comparison, *Cyberpsychology & Behaviour*, 3(2), pp. 167-178.
- Whittington, D. & McLean, A. (2001) Vocational Learning outside Institutions: online pedagogy and deschooling, *Studies in Continuing Education*, 23(2), pp. 153-158.
- Wikipedia_ "English_language" _entry (2007)" Retrieved March 26th 2008, from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/English_language