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#### Abstract

This research identified potential target segments through the use of four market segmentation bases: demographics, psychographics, product usage, and product benefits. The usage gap between pager users' expectations and the existing products / services provided by their paging companies was also studied. It was found that the services required by users were supplied by some, but not all, paging companies. Hence "not provided by my paging company" appeared to be the most frequent response for not obtaining the service(s) desired. The other two most frequent responses were "too expensive" and "too lazy to apply for". Generally-speaking, about $75 \%$ of the respondents were satisfied with their paging companies, which suggested that there is a "gray area" within which paging companies can improve. Among the four segmentation bases, product usage and product benefits were found to be the most useful. They consistently indicated the opportunities for tapping two major segments: the light / "Sociables" users and the heavy / "Businessmen" users. Individuals in both segments are within the 20 to 39 age range. The former segment mainly consists of students and young professionals, whereas the latter segment is primarily composed of sales representatives and managers / executives. Marketing strategies were formulated with respect to the characteristics of these two segments.
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## CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Reason for Study

The foundation of every marketing strategy is the concept of market segmentation, a process which partitions markets into groups of similar potential customers likely to exhibit similar purchase behaviors. As the market may consist of numerous segments of individuals with diverse characteristics, it is neither feasible nor possible to pursue every market opportunity, given limited organizational resources. Therefore, companies need to analyze the overall market, identify the profitable target markets which match the company's capabilities and resources, and finally capitalize on those niches with the formulation and implementation of unique marketing strategies. First recognized by Wendell R. Smith in 19561, market segmentation has become an indispensable planning tool for today's companies and corporations.

Market segmentation is both an art and a science; throughout the years, numerous approaches have been proposed and developed as alternatives for segmenting markets. According to a review by T.P. Beane and D.M. Ennis in 1987², some thirteen bases for market segmentation have been developed over the last three decades, including geographic, demographic, psychographic, behaviouristic, purchase occasion, benefit, usage incidence, user status, usage rate, loyalty status, buyer readiness, marketing factors, and image. Certainly not all of these bases will be examined in this project; for the sake of simplicity, only four segmentation bases, namely, demographic, psychographic (lifestyle), product usage, and benefit, will be adopted as the bases for this project.

[^0]Actually, the concept of market segmentation has become a key component in formulating marketing strategies for today's businesses, independent of size. The concept has been proven effective in virtually any industry which one can name. There is no exception, we believe, to the industry of paging. The local paging industry is only one of the many industries in Hong Kong which has fierce and intense competition among individual companies. Although strategies such as price cutting and service diversification are commonly used by various paging companies for survival, less, if any, emphasis seems to be placed on segmenting the local market with provision of unique services to target segments. Further, it seems that most companies are targeting their products to broad demographic categories in terms of age and occupation groups. Other segmentation bases, such as psychographic and benefit, are totally ignored. The applicability of these segmentation bases to the local paging market, and the marketing implications for segments disclosed by these segmentation bases, are the major issues to be addressed here.

### 1.2 An Overview of the Local Market

Beginning in 1969, the paging industry in Hong Kong has enjoyed a history of over twenty-four years with dramatic growth and expansion. By the end of 1992, the user density in Hong Kong had reached $17.02 \%$, which is the highest in the world, according to a study done by NEC in Japan ${ }^{3}$ (Figure 1). The local market is a highly dynamic and competitive one, consisting of a large number of competitors in a gradually-saturated market. In the following section, some key aspects of the local paging industry will be addressed and examined with the purpose of providing an overall picture of the current market situation.

[^1]FIGURE 1
TOP TEN PAGING MARKETS IN TERMS OF USER DENSITY


Source: Anonymous, "Statistics of Global Pager Markets: Hong Kong Takes the Lead in Terms of User Rate" (title translated from Chinese), Ming Pao Daily News, Feb. 24, 1993, p.27.

### 1.2.1 Market Size and Growth

During the past fifteen years, the number of pager subscribers in Hong Kong has been growing at an average rate of $36.5 \%$ per annum; by the beginning of 1993 , there were over a million ${ }^{4}$ pager users in Hong Kong. The annual growth rate of the number of paging subscribers is shown in Figure 2. However, the initial growth rate during the period from 1978 to 1980 is stunning, with an average rate of over $100 \%$. After a period of initial fluctuation (from 1981 to 1985), the growth rate become more steady again, with a trend of gradual decline (from 1986 to 1992). Although the opening of the 280 MHz channel by the Hong Kong Post Office in 1990 did bring new entrants into the paging market and thereby attracted more new pager subscribers, the stimulation lasted only a short time; the downward trend of the growth rate resumed in 1992. The paging market in Hong Kong is slowly becoming saturated during these years, and in 1993, it is projected to have a growth rate of about $16 \%$. The projected market growth for the next three years is shown in Figure 3 for reference. A slowly growing market accelerates and intensifies rivalry among competitors, a situation which is expected to become increasingly fierce in the coming years.

[^2]FIGURE 2
GROWTH RATE OF PAGER SUBSCRIBERS FROM 1978 TO 1992


Source: Hong Kong Post Office

FIGURE 3
PROJECTED GROWTH RATE FOR THE NEXT THREE YEARS IN LOCAL PAGING MARKET


### 1.2.2 Market Competitors

The opening of new paging channels in 1990 by the government lowered the industry entry barrier, and succeeded in attracting new entrants into the market. This deregulation brought an influx of new paging companies and thereby aggravates the competition within the paging market. By the end of 1992, the government had issued paging services licenses to a total of thirty-two licensees, as shown in Table 1. Among the thirty-two licensees, some have been acquired by other companies (e.g., Hutchison Paging Ltd., Star Paging Communications Ltd., and ABC Communications Ltd.), while others may not be active in the market for reasons such as new entry, etc: Currently there are about fifteen paging companies in the market, with the top three companies (Hutchison Paging Ltd., Star Paging Communication Ltd., and ABC Communications Ltd.) capturing roughly $74 \%$ of market share. ${ }^{5}$

[^3]TABLE 1
A LIST OF PAGING SERVICE LICENSEES (1992)

1. ABC Communications Ltd.
2. H Advance Telecom (Holdings) Ltd.
3. Asia Paging Co. Ltd.
4. BB Telecom Ltd.
5. A C.C. Communications Ltd.
6. Chevalier (Paging Services) Ltd.
7. H Communication Centre Telecom Ltd.
8. H Concord Paging System Ltd.
9. EPRO Paging Services Ltd.
10. Financial Telecom Ltd.
11. H Good News Paging Services Co. Ltd.
12. Good Rely Limited
13. H Hong Kong Paging System Ltd.
14. HK Telecom CSL Ltd.
15. Hutchison Paging Co. Ltd.
16. In-Touch Paging Service Ltd.
17. S Integrity Computer Services Ltd.
18. S Integrity Paging Communications Co. Ltd.
19. H Inter-City Communications \& Services Ltd.
20. Jetcom International (Holding) Co. Ltd.
21. Kantone Paging Co. Ltd.
22. New Telecom Co. Ltd.
23. New World Paging Ltd.
24. A Perfect Paging Services Ltd.
25. S T Telecom Ltd.
26. Scaventech (Asia) Ltd.
27. H Speedy Paging Service Ltd.
28. Star Paging Communication Ltd.
29. Telecom Service Ltd.
30. Telepaging Ltd.
31. Telesonic Company
32. WOL Communications Ltd.

Source: Hong Kong Post Office
A: Companies acquired by ABC Communications Ltd.
H: Companies acquired by Hutchison Paging Ltd.
S: Companies acquired by Star Paging Communications Ltd.

### 1.2.3 Strategies

For classification purposes, it is more appropriate to label the paging industry as a service industry, since the companies are not merely providing pagers, which are tangible products, but also a wide range of services as well. As there are few manufacturers of pagers (e.g., Motorola, NEC, Casio, etc.), the products offered by different companies are more or less the same with respect to size, shape, and functions. So most paging companies would seek to focus on their services in order to attract new customers. In the following section, some commonly used strategies will be examined and discussed.

### 1.2.3.1 Low Price Strategy

Some companies address the local paging market as a mass market which is comprised of price-sensitive customers. In order to appeal to these customers, and hence expand their market share, these companies pursue a low price strategy which takes several forms. The most popular one is to provide new users with an exemption of service fee for the first three months after the sale. Alternatively, some companies provide the "rent-a-pager" service, and some even reduce their service fee so as to attract new customers. However, the last option is rarely adopted, since most companies have compromised with one another regarding the amount of service fee to be charged.

### 1.2.3.2 Service Differentiation Strategy

Another strategy commonly adopted by local paging companies is to differentiate their services so as to appeal to the needs of different customers. These services are described as follows:

1) Personalized Secretarial Services

In recent years, more and more paging companies have emphasized their personal paging services, which encompass such features as unique personalized paging number, user-defined greeting response, call screening service, phone forwarding service, and so on. With these personalized services, paging companies are appealing to the unique tastes, styles, and needs of individual pager users as a means of attracting new business. For the time being, companies that offer such personalized services include Hutchison Paging, Star Paging, ABC Communications, EPRO Paging, New World Paging, and New Telecom.

## 2) Information Provision

Information provision is another add-in value which paging companies commonly offer to attract pager users. Such information may include financial information such as stock prices, Hang Seng Index, foreign exchange rates and gold prices, gambling information such as Mark Six results and horse racing results, and other general information like traffic reports, typhoon alerts, telephone directory services, daily movie schedules, car towing service information, etc.
3) Cross-Border Paging

The booming China trade greatly promotes the development of this kind of "crossborder" paging service, which commonly involves a paging network encompassing Hong Kong, Guangdong, and Macau. Such a service requires more capital investment and also cooperation with mainland companies or government institutions. At present, only a few paging companies, including ABC Communications, Asia Paging and Hong Kong Telecom CSL Ltd., offer such a service.

## 4) Operator-Free Paging Services

Contrary to the idea of providing a secretary to handle daily appointments and meetings as in the example of personal secretarial paging service, some paging companies are promoting services which are operator-free, i.e., no operator is required; the entire paging process is totally automatic and computerized. At present, this operator-free paging concept is offered in three forms. One is known as "Direct Paging", which uses a computer recording of the caller's message in his / her own voice. The "voice message" can then be replayed to the pager user by calling into the paging centre. City Paging is the first paging company which makes use of this kind of technology to appeal to the market. However, market response has not been too favorable, with many complaints about "malicious messages" left by unknown parties. -Such unfavorable complaints will not only hurt the company's reputation, but also reduce users' confidence and expectations toward this kind of service.

Another paging service which can operate without operators is the "Direct Contact Service" as provided by Hutchison Paging (trademarked as "Meet-Me" service) and Star Paging (trademarked as "Pagephone"). Such a service allows the user to communicate directly with the caller on any available phone nearby. With such direct
contact services, complete confidentiality can be retained, as claimed by both paging companies.

Finally, the "JetPage Service" offered by Hutchison Paging enables the caller to make calls through the use of a touch-tone phone number pad directly. The paging centre number, user identification code, and the numeric message or telephone number can be entered directly into the tone phone, and the pager user can be reached instantly.

### 1.2.3.3 Market Development Strategy

Many companies are looking for new markets; typical examples include the female market, the teenager market, the company or corporation market, and the family market. The paging market is heavily dominated by male users, with a ratio of $9: 1$. Of the 730,000 to 800,000 pager users, there are roughly 73,000 to 80,000 female users, comprising one-tenth of the local market. So the potential for growth among female pager users is extremely high, given the fact that there are about one million working women in Hong Kong at present ${ }^{6}$.

Another market opportunity is the youth market. According to the statistics of paging companies, new pager users falling within the age group of 25 to 28 has shown a significant increase in number over the past few years, indicating a trend that more and more youngsters have started using pagers. To capitalize on this growing segment, various product strategies are being used. Some examples are the "Roadrunner" and "Trump Card" pagers, which are offered by Hutchison Paging

[^4]with a wide selection of colors, and also the "Couple Package", which is targeted at young couples, as promoted by BB Telecom.

The corporation market is another new market segment which paging companies are exploring. Hutchison Paging is only one of the many which try to capitalize on this segment by offering a wide range of paging services like the "Group Call" (which allows the caller to send the same message at the same time to a pre-defined group), "Customer Account Call" (which allows a caller to send the same message to all predefined groups or any one group in a company at the same time), and the "Team Call" (which allows the caller to page a whole roster of pagers one by one with a time interval between calls until one of the subscribers answers the page, or the last pager on the list gets paged). When used by a company as a whole, such services allow efficient and effective communications between the company and its staff who have to work outside their facilities.

The final market opportunity is the family market, which is capitalized by BB Telecom exclusively in its promotion of "Family Package". Sharing one "family secretary", the whole family can access a wide range of paging services, including reservation services in restaurants. The advantage is that while one can call the whole family at only one number, each member still retains his / her own independence by receiving and making calls individually.

### 1.3 Research Objectives

### 1.3.1 Decision Problems

The decision problems of this study are:

1) To find the usage gap (i.e., the difference between users' needs and the services offered by their existing companies).
2) Identify market segment(s) and formulate effective marketing strategy(ies).

### 1.3.2 Research Problems

The following are the research problems:

1) Analysis of the needs of existing pager users and determination of whether they are satisfied or not; if not, identification of the underlying reasons.
2) Market segmentation, using four bases: demographic, psychographic, product usage, and benefit.

### 1.3.3 Research Objectives

The study aimed at achieving the following objectives:

1) To identify various services required by both existing and potential pager users.
2) To identify the paging services currently used by pager subscribers, and compare them with the services that they need, in order to identify any needs (if any) which cannot be satisfied.
3) To identify the demographic profiles of both current and potential pager users.
4) To identify the usage patterns of both current and potential pager users.
5) To identify market segments based on psychographic or lifestyle patterns (using general lifestyle, as well as product specific AIO statements) and characterize the segments' profiles in terms of demographic, product usage, and benefit variables.
6) To identify market segments based on usage patterns and characterize the segments' profiles in terms of demographic, psychographic, and benefit variables.
7) To identify market segments based on benefit patterns, and characterize the segments' profiles in terms of demographic, psychographic, and product usage variables.

# CHAPTER 2 <br> LITERATURE REVIEW 

### 2.1 Definition

First introduced by Wendell Smith in 1956, the concept of market segmentation has undergone a history of over three decades with volumes of literature dedicated to it. Based on the presumption that the market is composed of customers with different expectations and /or different buying climates or situations, the idea of market segmentation allows the partitioning of a market into identifiable segments which can then be targeted using specific marketing programmes.

According to Wendell Smith, "segmentation is based upon developments on the demand side of the market and represents a rational and more precise adjustment of product and marketing effort to consumer or user requirements". The key point in this definition is that market segmentation is a concept which focuses on the demand side of the market, i.e., the needs and requirements of customers. With this type of mentality in mind, companies should first identify the needs of customers and then satisfy them by tailoring their product offerings and other marketing components.

### 2.2 Segmentation Options

In contrast to the strategy of market segmentation, companies may choose to pursue an aggregation strategy, which is virtually equivalent to treating the entire market as one, without any segments identified. The limitation of this strategy is that there are few companies which can minimize their costs and maximize their returns by using such an aggregation, or undifferentiated, strategy.

On the other hand, by pursuing the strategy of market segmentation, companies are open to three options, namely, differentiation, concentration, and atomization, differing in the choice of segments as well as the degree of market breakdown. By using differentiation, companies identify and actively market their products or services to different segments of the market, based on different needs. One should discriminate this strategy from that of product variety, which focuses on producing a large variety of the same product or service and letting the consumers decide which one is more desirable. This shot-gun approach, as opposed to the high-powered rifle approach of market segmentation, is much more supply-side oriented. One should not mistake one for the other.

The second option, concentration, means that companies serve only one of the potential segments of the market. This strategy is less expensive than differentiated marketing, and may be more appropriate for a new business with limited resources.

Finally, the approach of atomization breaks down the market to the finest detail, and often to the individual customer level. Although least used by most companies, this strategy might be an appropriate choice for a manufacturer of costly and specialized equipment.

### 2.3 Advantages of Market Segmentation

The overall objective of using a market segmentation strategy is to improve a company's competitive position and better serve the needs of its customers by looking at their viewpoints. There are four major benefits: ${ }^{7}$

1) Designing responsive products to meet the needs of the marketplace Through researching customer preferences, which is an essential component of segmentation analysis, the company moves toward accomplishing the market concept of achieving customer satisfaction at a profit. The firm places the customer first and designs and refines its product and service mix to satisfy the needs of the market.
2) Determining effective and cost efficient promotional strategies

As a planning tool, segment identification and analysis is extremely valuable in developing a company's promotion mix. Appropriate advertising campaigns can be designed and targeted to the right media vehicles. This marketing investment can be supplemented by public relations initiatives and sales promotions. In addition to mass promotional thrusts, the personal sales process can be greatly improved by providing sales representatives with background customer research, recommended sales appeals, and ongoing support.
3) Evaluating market competition, in particular, the company's market position A segmentation study explores the firm's market position, i.e., how the company is perceived by its customers and potential customers relative to the competition. Segmentation research can provide a competitive intelligence

[^5]mechanism to assess how well a company is performing, as compared with industry standards. Additionally, this analysis is useful for detecting trends in changing markets.
4) Providing insight on present marketing strategies Market segmentation research is useful in exploring new markets (perhaps secondary smaller or fringe markets which might have otherwise been neglected by concentrating on primary markets). Furthermore, effective segmentation provides a systematic approach for controlled market coverage, as opposed to the hit-or-miss effectiveness of mass marketing strategies.

### 2.4 Prerequisites for a Segmentation Scheme

Though identifying diversified needs and requirements of customers in segmentation is helpful to marketers in formulating their marketing programmes, it is important to ensure an effective segmentation in terms of the usefulness of information uncovered. To be considered as effective, Kolter (1988) suggested that useful segments must possess four characteristics, namely, measurability, substantiality, actionability and accessibility ${ }^{8}$.

1) Measurability refers to the degree to which the size, location, and content of a particular segment can be measured. In the present study, the population size of pager users was closely checked by the General Post Office of Hong Kong, and it was estimated by paging companies that there were roughly 730,000 to 800,000 paging subscribers at the end of 1992. They are dispersed over different parts of Hong Kong and can be reached easily.

[^6]2) The segment must be of substantial size to warrant attention. According to Kotler, "a segment should be the largest possible homogeneous group of buyers that it pays to go after with a specially designed marketing program." ${ }^{\text {g }}$ Substantiality is the degree to which the segments are large and profitable enough for attention. This depends on both the number of consumers within the segment and the amount they spend on the products. Hong Kong has the highest penetration rate of pagers among all world countries, and the pager market is flourishing with an alarming growth rate. Pager users pay considerable amounts of monthly service charges for using the telecommunications network, which involves low variable costs. The profitability of the industry did attract a number of new entrants in the past few years.
3) Actionability is the degree to which effective marketing programmes can be formulated for attracting and serving the segments. Once the companies have identified their target market segments, specific marketing mixes which include price, promotion, product and place strategies should be formulated to cater to the specific segments. In the case of the local paging industry, paging companies are currently treating pagers as commodities or mass products, and require only an aggregation strategy with no efforts devoted to market differentiation. It is believed that a higher degree of differentiation in the marketing mix can be achieved once the market is segmented.
4) Accessibility refers to the degree to which the segment can be effectively reached and served. Segments should be accessible through some kind of marketing vehicle, so that marketers can communicate their messages to their customers. Hong Kong is a developed city with a strong information and communications

[^7]network and well-established mass media structure. It is therefore not that difficult to reach various segments and to communicate with those pager users there.

### 2.5 Segmentation Bases

There are different bases for market segmentation. Often several segmentation methods are used to supplement each other. According to Kotler (1980), market segmentation variables are divided into four major areas: geographic, demographic, psychographic, and behavioristic ${ }^{10}$.

### 2.5.1 Geographic Segmentation

Geographic segmentation is the simplest to understand. When a market is segmented geographically, one is presuming that the needs of customers, or the ways to fill those needs, vary geographically, either by region of a country, by population density, or by climate. In the case of Hong Kong, where geographical territory is so limited, it seems that such a base for segmenting the local paging market would not be effective.

### 2.5.2 Demographic Segmentation

Demographic segmentation can be simply described as the segmentation base which seeks to categorize people by using variables such as age, sex, occupation, family size, stage in life cycle, educational level, income, race, nationality, etc. In cases where higher specificity of segments is required, a combination of the variables will be used. It appears to be the most prevalent form of market segmentation, since the

[^8]data related to customers is fairly straightforward to collect, interpret, and transfer from one study to another.

### 2.5.3 Psychographic Segmentation

First introduced by Emanuel Demby, the term psychographics has been subject to wide controversy, as to what constitutes psychographics and whether or not it is synonymous with lifestyle research. In its broadest definition, psychographics is any measurement and analysis of the consumer's mind which pinpoints how he or she thinks, feels, reacts, and reflects ${ }^{11}$. Wells called psychographics a quantitative attempt to place consumers on psychological dimensions ${ }^{12}$. Ziff states that attempts to define psychographics narrowly have proved difficult ${ }^{13}$. And Demby himself put forward a three-level definition of psychographics as follows: ${ }^{14}$

1) Generally, psychographics may be viewed as the practical application of the behavioral and social sciences-to marketing research.
2) More specifically, psychographics is a quantitative research procedure that is indicated when demographic, socioeconomic, and user/non-user analyses are not sufficient to explain and predict consumer behavior,
3) Most specifically, psychographics seeks to describe the human characteristics of consumers that may have a bearing on their responses to products, packaging, advertising, and public relations efforts. Such variables may span a spectrum from self-concept and lifestyle to attitudes, interests, and opinions, as well as perceptions of product attributes.
[^9]Although the terms psychographics and lifestyle are now used interchangably, Weinstein pointed out that there is a distinction between these two concepts. "Psychographics relates to consumers' personality traits (e.g., their sociability, selfreliance, assertiveness, etc.), while lifestyles, as described by William D. Wells and Douglas J. Tigert, consist primarily of individuals' attitudes, interests, and opinions, or AIOs" ${ }^{15}$. Finally, Weinstein concludes that psychographics is a combination of personality traits and lifestyles, and that the combination of these two factors provides a more meaningful and robust segmentation base.

Plummer suggested in his paper that psychographic segmentation does a better job in describing segments than demographics alone ${ }^{16}$. His justification is that "the basic premise of lifestyle research is that the more you know and understand about your customers, the more effectively you can communicate with them." He views lifestyle segmentation as a combination of demographics and psychographics.

Lying at the heart of survey instruments in psychographic segmentation studies are the AIO (Activities, Interests, Opinions) statements which are the primary means of gathering information. Such AIO statements may either be general and refer to general activities and motivations, or product-specific and identify benefits associated with the product or brand. A good example of how AIO statements can be used for the purpose of psychographic segmentation can be found in an earlier study by Wells and Tigert in their attempt to segment eye make-up users ${ }^{17}$.

[^10]In another paper ${ }^{18}$, Wells (1975) critically reviews psychographics research at that time and illustrates five different uses of the research method. The five uses are:

1) A psychographic profile based on general lifestyle dimensions;
2) A product specific psychographic profile;
3) Personality traits as descriptors;
4) A general lifestyle segmentation study;
5) A product specific segmentation.

Psychographic segmentation studies have been conducted in a number of different markets. Some examples of recent studies are Miller and Easterling's attempt to examine the US banking market ${ }^{19}$, Bone's study of the mature US market ${ }^{20}$, Greco and Paksoy's study of mature fashion-conscious shoppers ${ }^{21}$, and Sorce et. al.'s study of the older American market ${ }^{22}$. Increasingly, more companies may want to know more about their consumers in terms of their psychographics. By looking inside consumers, these studies help to provide a new dimension for marketers to understand their customers and to formulate effective marketing programs.

The relevance of psychographics to segmenting the local paging market is bound up to the ability of introducing new dimensions of existing customer information. The use of psychographics may help to discover the particular and unique lifestyle patterns of local paging users and hence give a richer insight into their preferences for various products and services.

18 Wells, William D., "Psychographics: A Critical Review", Journal of Marketing Research, Vol. 12, May 1975, pp. 196-213.
19 Miller, Shirley and Easterling, Debbie, "Banking Segmentation Strategy: A Lifestyle Approach", Journal of Professional Services Marketing, Vol. 9, 1991, pp. 177-188.
20 Bone, Paula Fitzgerald, "Identifying Mature Segments", Journal of Consumer Marketing, Vol. 8. Fall 1991, pp. 19-32.
${ }^{21}$ Greco, Alan J. and Paksoy, Christie H., "Profiling the Mature Fashion-Conscious Shopper", Akron Business \& Economic Review, Vol. 20, Summer 1989, pp. 7-23.
22 Sorce, Patricia, Tyler, Philip R., and Loomis, Lynette M., "Lifestyles of Older Americans", Journal of Services Marketing, Vol. 3, Fall 1989, pp. 37-47.

### 2.5.4 Behavioristic Segmentation

Kotler's fourth and final group of segmentation variables are behavioristic. By behavioristic segmentation, consumers are segmented based on knowledge of the product, attitude, or response to the product. This includes such areas as purchase occasion, benefits sought, user status, usage rate, degree of loyalty, readiness stage, and marketing factor sensitivity. However, in this section, only benefit and product usage rate segmentation will be discussed.

Benefit segmentation is a method of dividing up a market based on the benefits derived from or desired in a product. Such benefits, as stated by Weinstein ${ }^{23}$, "are the sum of product advantages or satisfaction that meet an individual's needs or wants. They extend beyond product features and serve to satisfy physical, emotional, or psychological needs." Benefit segmentation probes into users' buying motives and is linked directly to the marketing discipline of consumer behavior. According to Haley24, "the belief underlying this segmentation strategy is that the benefits which people are seeking in consuming a given product are the basic reasons for the existence of true market segments." Unlike most other segmentation bases, benefit segments are based on causal factors, rather than descriptive factors, to describe a direct, or cause and effect, relationship which exists between motivations and purchasing patterns. It determines why a person buys a product and, therefore, why similar people might buy the product if the benefit is communicated to them. Once people have been classified into segments in accordance with the benefits they are seeking, each segment is contrasted with all of the other segments in terms of its demographics, its volume of consumption, its brand perceptions, its media habits, its

[^11]personality and lifestyle, and so on. As such, marketers can obtain a reasonably deep understanding of the people who make up each segment, and hence can design an appropriate marketing mix to capitalize on the opportunities.

Examples of benefit segmentation studies can be found throughout the literature. Some recent studies are Loker and Perdue's study of the US nonresident tourist market ${ }^{25}$, Brown's study of the US fitness market ${ }^{26}$, Dubow's so-called Wine Study ${ }^{27}$, Pilling's study of voter behavior ${ }^{28}$, Soutar and McNeil's study of the financial planning market ${ }^{29}$, Funk and Phillips' table eggs market study ${ }^{30}$, and Wicks' study of the US television news market ${ }^{31}$. Increasingly, benefit segmentation has been used by marketers as a tool in understanding the market and in formulating effective marketing mixes.

Usage rate segmentation divides consumers into specific consumption categories; an often used method is light users vs. medium users vs. heavy users of a particular good or service. After identifying different usage categories, companies may decide to build a share in any one of them, depending on their marketing goals, as well as the relative strengths of brands within the usage groups. Frequently, heavy users may consume a disproportionately large amount of the total consumption of the product, so they become the targets of companies. However, chances are other companies may also recognize the value of this segment, thus leading to keen competition for the

[^12]heavy users. In addition, all heavy users are not purchasers for the same reasons. Demographic data alone can hardly explain purchase behaviors. Since customers have different needs, additional bases such as psychographics and benefits should be employed for further segmentation within the heavy user category.

## CHAPTER 3

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

### 3.1 Research Design

This study involved a combination of exploratory and descriptive research. First hand information concerning the current market situation and paging companies' strategies was obtained through telephone and personal interviews with key personnel from the marketing departments of four paging companies (Hutchison Paging Ltd., Asia Paging Co. Ltd., EPRO Paging Services Ltd., and Telecom Service Ltd.). Secondary data, which aimed at clarifying and defining the research objectives, was collected through a search of newspaper articles, published journals, company reports, etc. The information was used to construct a questionnaire for the descriptive sample survey, in which primary data was obtained with respect to pager user characteristics.

### 3.2 Sampling

Quota sampling was adopted. Interviewees were assigned respective proportions of male and female respondents in accordance with the pager user sex ratio of $9: 1$. The target subjects were those over 15 years of age.

The sample size of 220 is derived from the following formula:

$$
N=\frac{Z^{2}(p)(1-p)}{H^{2}}
$$

where $\mathrm{N}=$ minimum sample size for a representative population of pager users

$$
Z=1.96 \text { (confidence level at } 95 \% \text { ) }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{p}= & \text { proportion of pager users in Hong Kong population } \\
= & 1,000,000 / 5,800,000 \\
= & 0.1724 \\
\mathrm{H}= & \text { maximum allowance for error between population and sample } \\
& \text { proportion } \\
= & 0.05
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N} & =\frac{1.96^{2}(0.1724)(1-0.1724)}{0.05^{2}} \\
& =219.26 \\
& \cong 220
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.3 Data Collection

A structured questionnaire (Appendix A) was used to tap information about existing and potential pager users' characteristics and usage levels. It was composed of 64 questions and contained four sections, addressing the existing paging services to which -respondents subscribed, respondents' psychographics, the benefits which respondents sought, and respondents' demographics. In the section on psychographics, respondents were asked to rate a number of AIO statements (Appendix C) on a 6 - point scale, with 0 representing "strongly disagree" and 5 representing "strongly agree". In the benefits section, respondents rated the importance of each benefit on a 6 - point scale which ranged from 0 to $5 ; 0$ represents "not important at all", whereas 5 represents "extremely important".

Since the target subjects are Chinese, all questions were translated into Chinese and back-translated into English before the pretest. The final version of the questionnaire was administered after several modifications and pretests, which aimed at securing
the use of the correct wording to relay equivalent meanings in both English and Chinese, as well as clarity of the sentences.

Since no comprehensive list of pager users or potential pager users existed from which a sample could be drawn, respondents were contacted on-site at selected attractions such as quays, resting places, shopping arcades, etc. Such places were chosen over busy areas, such as MTR stations and the Star Ferry Pier, to increase the response rate (since our questionnaire was quite lengthy). And respondents were invited to complete a self-administered questionnaire. As the response from field work was not satisfactory, only 200 questionnaires were finally completed.

## CHAPTER 4

## LIMITATIONS

1) Since nonprobability sampling was used, there was difficulty in determining the representativeness of the sample. Without restrictions on the geographic locations where data was collected, geographic dispersion could not be achieved.
2) In order to obtain a realistic profile of existing and potential pager users' psychographics, a long list of questions were added. This lengthened the questionnaire substantially, and subjected it to low response rate. In addition, limited economic resources discouraged the use of incentives to encourage respondents' cooperation. Hence, self-selection bias might have entered into the sample. Those who were willing to complete the questionnaire might possess specific characteristics which were different from those who refused. The nonresponse error might lead to confounding and inadequate results and conclusions.
3) The environment in which the questionnaires were distributed involved a "history" factor, contributed by external stimuli such as people's chatting and walking. Since respondents may have been distracted by such noises, the reliability of their responses may be questionable.
4) In addition, since the questionnaire was quite lengthy, respondents would easily become frustrated and even exhausted when they answered the latter parts of the questionnaires. This "maturation" effect of the respondents might seriously affect the reliability of their responses.
5) With regard to the composition of the sample, there were only 16 potential pager users. Factor and cluster analyses could not be performed with such a small
number of subjects, so they were combined with the pager user group for psychographic and benefit segmentation studies. With the limited number of potential pager users, a separate analysis of their psychographic and benefit profiles could not be made.
6) Since no past research on segmentation of the pager market was found, the researchers had to depend on their intuition and their friends' suggestions in developing product specific AIO statements for psychographic segmentation analysis. Since these questions have never been tested before, their reliability and validity are questionable. And a similar problem was encountered for the benefit items developed for the benefit segmentation analysis. As for those general lifestyle AIO statements, although they have been tested in past research, their selection was also up to the researchers' discretion. As such, there would be bias as to what lifestyle categories were chosen; those categories selected may not be related to the real lifestyle profiles of pager users.
7) With regard to the interpretation and profiling of psychographic and benefit segments, since no official guidelines are available, the interpretations were based on the researchers' observations, subjective judgments, and sometimes creative imagination. As such, the profiles may be subject to researchers' bias and thus may not be reliable.

## CHAPTER 5

# IDENTIFICATION OF MARKET SEGMENTS FOR PSYCHOGRAPHIC AND BENEFIT SEGMENTATION 

### 5.1 Psychographic Segmentation

### 5.1.1 Factor Analysis

In order to identify and classify respondents with respect to some predetermined selection criteria so that they can be segregated into separate and identifiable segments, the statistical technique of cluster analysis is adopted. However, before the use of cluster analysis, one important point to be considered is the number of variables used in computing similarity among objects. In our case, there are 39 AIO statements (excluding the 7 statements which are related to the media preferences and product usage patterns of respondents) to be used for segmentation purposes, implying that if all statements are used for cluster analysis, there are totally 39 variables involved. The problem with such a large number of "untreated" variables is that cluster analysis can be drastically affected by the inclusion of only one or two inappropriate or undifferentiated variables, since this will increase the chance that outliers will be created. Since the technique of cluster analysis provides no means of differentiating the relevant from the irrelevant variables, it becomes a critical task for researchers to select those variables which are distinctive and relevant for cluster analysis.

In order to achieve this objective, another statistical technique, factor analysis, was used. The purpose of using factor analysis is to find a way of condensing or summarizing the information contained in a number of original variables into a smaller set of new composite dimensions (factors) with a minimum loss of
information ${ }^{32}$. Specifically, the technique of R factor analysis was used so as to identify a set of dimensions that are latent in the set of variables, i.e., 39 AIO statements.

Component analysis was used with the objective of summarizing most of the information or variance in a minimum number of factors for prediction purposes. The rotational approach used was orthogonal and VARIMAX. A rotational approach was used to improve the interpretation by reducing some of the ambiguities that often accompany initial unrotated factor solutions, thereby achieving simpler and theoretically more meaningful factor solutions. In an orthogonal factors solution, factors are extracted in such a way that the factor axes are maintained at 90 degrees, meaning that each factor is independent of all other factors. This technique was preferred over the other factor extraction approach, the oblique model, since our objective was to reduce the original 39 variables to a smaller set of uncorrelated variables for subsequent use in cluster analysis.

As for the choice of orthogonal approaches, there are three techniques available in the SPSS/PC+ package: QUARTIMAX, VARIMAX, and EQUAMAX. However, no specific rules have been developed to guide the selection of a particular orthogonal rotational technique. Nevertheless, the VARIMAX method has proved successful as an analytic approach in obtaining an orthogonal rotation of factors. Even in the case where the results do not meet the analyst's concept of a simple structure, the solution is close enough to reduce the labor of finding a satisfactory rotation ${ }^{33}$. It was therefore chosen for the analysis.

Table 2 contains the information regarding the final results of the factor analysis.

[^13]TABLE 2
RESULTS FOR EXTRACTION OF COMPONENTS

| Variable | Communality | Factor | Eigenvalue | Pct of Var | Cum <br> Pct |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AIO10 | .63187 | 1 | 4.43830 | 11.4 | 11.4 |
| AIO11 | .52842 | 2 | 2.91817 | 7.5 | 18.9 |
| AIO12 | .70554 | 3 | 2.26473 | 5.8 | 24.7 |
| AIO13 | .67123 | 4 | 2.08606 | 5.3 | 30.0 |
| AIO14 | .59967 | 5 | 1.74517 | 4.5 | 34.5 |
| AIO15 | .58417 | 6 | 1.58500 | 4.1 | 38.6 |
| AIO16 | .64801 | 7 | 1.52320 | 3.9 | 42.5 |
| AIO17 | .60944 | 8 | 1.34062 | 3.4 | 45.9 |
| AIO18 | .63134 | 9 | 1.32744 | 3.4 | 49.3 |
| AIO19 | .60855 | 10 | 1.26476 | 3.2 | 52.5 |
| AIO20 | .59401 | 11 | 1.20299 | 3.1 | 55.6 |
| AIO22 | .72405 | 12 | 1.13114 | 2.9 | 58.5 |
| AIO23 | .49426 | 13 | 1.05570 | 2.7 | 61.2 |
| AIO24 | .69300 | 14 | 1.02697 | 2.6 | 63.9 |
| AIO25 | .69017 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO26 | .70076 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO27 | .55874 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO28 | .58598 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO29 | .70411 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO30 | .64370 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO31 | .71774 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO32 | .69658 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO33 | .60617 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO34 | .61331 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO36 | .59401 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO37 | .58845 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO38 | .64952 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO39 | .71344 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO40 | .79181 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO41 | .58078 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO43 | .60229 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO44 | .61064 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO45 | .64834 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO46 | .62429 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO47 | .59292 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO49 | .6388 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO51 | .61492 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO53 | .73615 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO54 | .68799 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

The "Variable" column represents the AIO statements; for instance, AIO10 refers to the AIO question number 10 in the questionnaire (see Appendix A). The column labelled "Eigenvalue", also referred to as the latent root, represents the amount of variance accounted for by a factor, i.e., the relative explanatory power of a factor. By the use of a latent root criterion, the SPSS/PC+ package automatically retains all factors which have their latent roots (eigenvalues) greater than one, and consider them to be significant; all factors with latent roots less than one are considered insignificant, and are disregarded. Based on the results, it seems that fourteen factors are optimal.

Another criterion for deciding on the number of factors to extract is the Scree tail test approach, which is derived by plotting the latent roots against the number of factors in their order of extraction, and the shape of the resulting curve is used to evaluate the cutoff point (Figure 4). Starting with the first factor, the plot slopes steeply down initially and then straightens out at the sixth factor, indicating that the maximum number of factors to extract is five.

FIGURE 4
SCREE TEST FOR COMPONENT ANALYSIS


Since these two criteria suggest two different numbers of factors to be extracted, it may be desirable to examine the factor matrix carefully before any decision is made. Appendix D shows the rotated factor matrix with the first fourteen factors extracted. Factor loadings with absolute values greater than 0.20 are shown. The larger the absolute value of the factor loading, the more significant the loading is in interpreting the factor matrix.

A summary of AIO statements for the fourteen factors is shown in Table 3. Generally, only those statements with their factor loadings equal to or greater than $\pm$ 0.50 are included. However, for factors in which only one statement meets the criterion, the AIO statement with the second highest score is also given as a reference.

Actually, all the first five factors show consistent patterns among their AIO statements. Factor 1 summarizes AIO statements with the characteristics of "centre of attention", "confidence", "independence", and "opinion leader", so it is most appropriate to label it "CONFIDENCE". Factor 2 deals with "consulting others", and it is labeled as "ADVICE". Factor 3 deals with "colorful pager" and it is labeled as "COLOR ". Factor 4 deals with "trying new things", and it is labeled as "INNOVATION". Finally, factor 5 deals with "going to parties", and it is labeled as "PARTY".

However, for the remaining 9 factors extracted by the SPSS/PC+ package, labeling seems to be more difficult. An examination of the AIO statements suggests that consistent patterns are difficult to find, with the exception of factor 9 .

TABLE 3
LIST OF AIO STATEMENTS FOR FACTORS 1 TO 14
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\hline \hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { AlO statements }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Factor } 1 & \begin{array}{l}\text { I am usually the centre of attention in a group. } \\ \text { I often can talk others into doing something. } \\ \text { I am more independent than most people. }\end{array} \\ & \text { I think I have more self-confidence than most people. } \\ \text { I sometimes influence what my friends buy. } \\ \text { My friends or neighbors often come to me for advice. }\end{array}\right\}$

Among the remaining 9 factors, 8 of them show no apparent patterns among the AIO statements. Only factor 9 seems to suggest that there is a factor of "risk aversion". As a result, only factor 9 is retained and is labeled "RISK". Taken together with the previous 5 factors, a total of 6 factors are retained, using both objective evaluation and subjective judgement.

## 5.1:2 Cluster analysis

After simplifying or summarizing the thirty-nine AIO statements into six dimensions, the next step is to segment the respondents based on these six dimensions by the use of cluster analysis. For each respondent, the average score on each of the six dimensions is calculated by summing the raw scores of the corresponding AIO statements (with factor loadings equal to or greater than 0.5 ) and then dividing by the number of statements in that dimension. Since AIO54 (I would rather spend a quiet evening at home than go out to a paity) shows a negative loading with the factor "PARTY", its score is recoded in reverse prior to calculations. Table 4 summarizes the six dimensions and their corresponding AIO statements.

For cluster analysis, squared Euclidean distances, which measure the sum of differences for each clustering variable, were chosen as the similarity measure. Also, hierarchical agglomerative cluster procedure was selected so that each object or observation will first start out as its own cluster and then finally all objects join together into one single cluster. Ward's method was chosen to ensure that the withincluster differences were minimized, and to prevent problems with "chaining" of the observations found in linkage methods.

TABLE 4
SUMMARY OF SIX DIMENSIONS FOR CLUSTER ANALYSIS

| Factor | Label | AIO Statements (Factor Loadings) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CONFIDENCE | AIO47 (.71266) |
|  |  | AIO 24 (.68169) |
|  |  | AIO38 (.67565) |
|  |  | AIO45 (.62398) |
|  |  | AIO41 (.56975) |
|  |  | AIO36 (.56336) |
| 2 | ADVICE | AIO30 (.77042) |
|  |  | AIO10 (.62296) |
| 3 | COLOR | AIO12 (.79622) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{AIO} 19(.71468)$ |
| 4 | INNOVATION | AIO26 (.71033) |
|  |  | AIO17 (.70989) |
|  |  | AIO15 (.55371) |
| 5 | PARTY | AIO25 (.77474) |
|  |  | AIO54 (-.60438) |
| 6 | RISK | AIO51 (.64071) |
|  |  | AIO37 (.64055) |

For the problem of selecting the number of clusters, since no standard objective procedures are provided, the distances between clusters at successive steps, as reflected by the agglomeration coefficient, were used as a guideline. The coefficient is the squared Euclidean distance between the two cases or clusters being formed; small coefficients indicate that fairly homogeneous clusters are being merged, whereas a large coefficient represents the joining of two very different clusters. Therefore, by looking for a large increase in the value, one may decide upon the optimum number of clusters to be chosen.

To help identify large relative increases in the cluster homogeneity, the percentage change in the clustering coefficient for fourteen to two clusters ${ }^{34}$ was calculated, as shown in Table 5.

TABLE 5
ANALYSIS OF AGGLOMERATION COEFFICIENT FOR HIERARCHICAL CLUSTER ANALYSIS

| Number of <br> Clusters | Percentage Change in Agglomeration <br> Coefficient to Next Level |
| :---: | :---: |
| 14 | $4.40 \%^{1}$ |
| 13 | 4.55 |
| 12 | 4.55 |
| 11 | 4.35 |
| 10 | 4.68 |
| 9 | 4.87 |
| 8 | 4.94 |
| 7 | 5.99 |
| 6 | 8.33 |
| 5 | 9.75 |
| 4 | 10.76 |
| 3 | 11.47 |
| 2 | 12.93 |
| 1 | - |

${ }^{1}$ The calculation is shown in footnote 35 .
${ }^{34}$ In the beginning, 199 clusters were generated by the cluster analysis. At each level, the number of clusters was reduced by one, together with a gradual increase in its agglomeration coefficient. A sudden jump in the agglomeration coefficient indicated that two very different ciusters were being merged. To make the identification process easier, the change in agglomeration coefficient from one level to the next was converted into a percentage. In this case, the percentage change in agglomeration coefficient from fourteen to two clusters was shown. The choice of fourteen clusters was arbitrary, and had no relationship to the fourteen factors extracted from the factor analysis.
${ }^{35}$ The percentage change of agglomeration coefficient from N to $(\mathrm{N}-1)$ clusters is calculated by the following formula:
$\frac{\text { Agglomeration coefficient of }(\mathrm{N}-1) \text { clusters }- \text { Agglomeration coefficient of } \mathrm{N} \text { clusters }}{\text { Agglomeration coefficient of } \mathrm{N} \text { clusters }} \times 100 \%$
For example, since the agglomeration coefficients for 14 and 13 clusters are 484.115 and 505.420, respectively, the percentage change of agglomeration coefficient from 14 clusters to 13 clusters is:
$\frac{505.420-484.115}{484.115} \times 100 \%$
$=4.40 \%$

It can be observed from the table that the percentage change in agglomeration coefficient increases steadily from 14 clusters to 7 clusters, and then there is a more significant jump from $5.99 \%$ to $8.33 \%$; afterward, the increase becomes steady again. Since a $8.33 \%$ change in agglomeration coefficient occured when 6 clusters were reduced to 5 clusters, the six-cluster solution was finally selected.

### 5.2 Benefit Segmentation

For benefit segmentation, statistical procedures similar to those previously used for psychographic segmentation were employed. Responses to the seventeen benefits of using pagers (Table 6) were factor analysed to group the benefits into a few categories or dimensions for subsequent use in cluster analysis.

TABLE 6
A LIST OF 17 BENEFITS OF USING PAGERS

| Variable | Benefit |
| :--- | :--- |
| BNF01 | Save money |
| BNF02 | Make money |
| BNF03 | Save time |
| BNF04 | Save effort |
| BNF05 | Be trendy |
| BNF06 | Build up better social life |
| BNF07 | Obtain social approval |
| BNF08 | Enhance my affection for my friends / family |
| BNF10 | Well-informed |
| BNF11 | Superior |
| BNF12 | Have a sense of novelty |
| BNF13 | Have a sense of security |
| BNF14 | Easily reached by friends / family |
| BNF15 | Infomed of emergencies |
| BNF16 | Feel very important |
| BNF17 | Have better job performance |
| BNF18 | Handle my affairs conveniently |

### 5.2.1 Factor Analysis

A component factor analysis of the seventeen benefits was conducted. The rotational approach was orthogonal, using the VARIMAX solution. A total of five factors, accounting for $66.8 \%$ of total variance, was finally extracted by using the latent root criterion (see Table 7). The five-factor solution was confirmed by the Scree test (Figure 5).

TABLE 7
FINAL STATISTICS OF FACTOR ANALYSIS
USING SEVENTEEN BENEFITS SOUGHT

| Variable | Communality | Factor | Eigenvalue | Pct of Var | Cum Pct |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BNF01 | .58653 | 1 | 5.20819 | 30.6 | 30.6 |
| BNF02 | .69823 | 2 | 2.20600 | 13.0 | 43.6 |
| BNF03 | .78642 | 3 | 1.74642 | 10.3 | 53.9 |
| BNF04 | .83002 | 4 | 1.13823 | 6.7 | 60.6 |
| BNF05 | .71054 | .5 | 1.05646 | 6.2 | 66.8 |
| BNF06 | .64231 |  |  |  |  |
| BNF07 | .57971 |  |  |  |  |
| BNF08 | .71872 |  |  |  |  |
| BNF10 | .59869 |  |  |  |  |
| BNF11 | .70492 |  |  |  |  |
| BNF12 | .71540 |  |  |  |  |
| BNF13 | .55319 |  |  |  |  |
| BNF14 | .62720 |  |  |  |  |
| BNF15 | .66901 |  |  |  |  |
| BNF16 | .69384 |  |  |  |  |
| BNF17 | .64257 |  |  |  |  |
| BNF18 | .59801 |  |  |  |  |

FIGURE 5
SCREE TEST FOR COMPONENT ANALYSIS


An examination of the factor matrix suggests consistent patterns among the five factors. A cut-off point of $\pm 0.6$ was used ${ }^{36}$ to select those benefit statements to be retained for subsequent cluster analysis. Table 8 summarizes the five factors extracted and their corresponding factor loadings. Those marked with an asterisk are retained for subsequent analysis.

[^14]TABLE 8
VARIMAX ROTATED COMPONENT ANALYSIS FACTOR MATRIX

|  | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | Factor 3 | Factor 4 | Factor 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BNF12 | .83231* |  |  |  |  |
| BNF11 | .80326* |  |  |  | - |
| BNF05 | .73432* | . 33580 |  |  |  |
| BNF07 | . 55173 |  |  | . 25593 | . 41515 |
| BNF13 | . 51328 |  |  | . 46159 | . 25609 |
| BNF04 |  | .85411* | . 26476 |  |  |
| BNF03 |  | . 82449 * | . 28481 |  |  |
| BNF01 |  | . 65980 * |  | . 31179 |  |
| BNF15 |  |  | .80134* |  |  |
| BNF18 |  | . 26306 | . 71497 * |  |  |
| BNF14 | - |  | . 63070 * |  | . 45436 |
| BNF10 | . 43419 |  | . 56790 | . 28694 |  |
| BNF17 |  |  | . 20730 | .72246* |  |
| BNF02 |  | . 54951 |  | . 61765 * |  |
| BNF16 | . 55205 |  |  | . 56377 | . 25913 |
| BNF08 | -. 27576 |  |  |  | . 77787 * |
| BNF06 |  |  |  |  | . 75069 * |

* Retained for subsequent cluster analysis

Among the five factors extracted, factor 1 accounts for the largest part of the total variance (30.6\%) and is characterized by benefits such as "have a sense of novelty", "superior" and "be trendy"; it is labeled "NOVELTY". Factor 2 deals with benefits such as "save effort", "save time", and "save money", and is labeled "SAVE". Factor 3 deals with benefits such as "informed of emergencies", "handle my affairs conveniently", and "easily reached by friends / family", and is labeled "INFORM". Factor 4 deals with "have better job performance" and "make money", so it is labeled "MONEY". Finally, factor 5 deals with "enhance my affection for my friends / family" and "build up better social life", and is labeled "AFFECTION". A summary of these five factors is given in Table 9.

TABLE 9
A SUMMARY OF FIVE BENEFIT FACTORS

| Factor | Label | Benefits Statements (Factor Loadings) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | NOVELTY | BNF12 (.83231) |
|  |  | BNF11 (.80326) |
|  |  | BNF05 (.73432) |
| 2 | SAVE | BNF04 (.85411) |
|  |  | BNF03 (.82449) |
|  |  | BNF01 (.65980) |
| 3 | INFORM | BNF15 (.80134) |
|  |  | BNF18 (.71497) |
|  |  | BNF14 (.56790) |
| 4 | MONEY | BNF17 (.72246) |
|  |  | BNF02 (.61765) |
| 5 | AFFECTION | BNF08 (.77787) |
|  |  | BNF06 (.75069) |

### 5.2.2 Cluster Analysis

The second step was to conduct a cluster analysis using the five dimensions extracted in the factor analysis. As before, the hierarchical clustering technique, with squared Euclidean distances and Ward's method, was adopted for analysis. Agglomeration coefficients which indicate the distances between clusters at successive steps were used as a guideline for determining the number of clusters to be extracted. Table 10 shows the percentage increase in the clustering coefficient for twelve to two clusters ${ }^{37}$. Since the largest increases were seen in going from two to one cluster, the two-cluster solution was finally adopted.

[^15]TABLE 10
ANALYSIS OF AGGLOMERATION COEFFICIENT FOR HIERARCHICAL CLUSTER ANALYSIS

| Number of <br> Clusters | Percentage Change in Agglomeration <br> Coefficient to Next Level |
| :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 5.15 |
| 11 | 5.76 |
| 10 | 6.94 |
| 9 | 7.95 |
| 8 | 7.56 |
| 7 | 7.47 |
| 6 | 10.55 |
| 5 | 11.06 |
| 4 | 12.69 |
| 3 | 11.26 |
| 2 | 49.26 |
| 1 | -- |

## CHAPTER 6

## INTERPRETATION AND PROFILING OF MARKET SEGMENTS

### 6.1 Demographic Segmentation Analysis

Of the 200 subjects in the sample population, $92 \%$ ( 184 subjects) are existing pager users, while the remaining $8 \%$ ( 16 subjects) are potential users who are planning to buy a pager within the next three months. The demographic information for both users and potential users is shown in Table 11.

The user group is heavily dominated by male users, who comprise $71.2 \%$ of the sample. Also, a large part of the overall users are within the 20 to 29 age group $(73.9 \%)$. For education, the percentage of subjects who have received secondary form 1 to form 5 education ( $41.8 \%$ ) is roughly the same as those who have received university / tertiary education (41.3\%). With respect to occupation, those engaged in the insurance ( $13.6 \%$ ) and trading ( $10.3 \%$ ) industries constitute the largest groups. Also, relatively high percentages can be found in professional (24.5\%) and clerical ( $23.9 \%$ ) positions. More than two-thirds ( $69.6 \%$ ) of the respondents have personal monthly income within the $\$ 5,001$ to 15,000 range.

For the potential user group, the sex ratio is $1: 1$, with 8 males and 8 females. This group is relatively younger than the user group, with $81.3 \%$ within the age range of 20 to 29 , and $12.5 \%$ within the 15 to 19 age range. Over half of the subjects ( $56.3 \%$ ) have received university / tertiary education, and a quarter ( $25.0 \%$ ) have received only secondary form 1 to form 5 level. As this group is relatively younger, a large part of them are currently students $(37.5 \%)$ and besides that, a quarter of them are
clerks $(25.0 \%)$. Finally, roughly one-half of those subjects are within the income range of HK\$ 5,001 to 10,000 (50.3\%).

TABLE 11
DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF USERS AND POTENTIAL USERS

|  | User <br> $(\mathrm{n}=184)$ | Potential User <br> $(\mathrm{n}=16)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| SEX |  |  |
| Male |  |  |
| Female | $71.2 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| AGE | 27.7 | 50.0 |
| 15-19 | 3.3 | 12.5 |
| 20-29 | 73.9 | 81.3 |
| 30-39 | 20.7 | 6.3 |
| 40-49 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| 50-59 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 60 and over | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |
| Primary or below | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Form 1 to 5 | 41.8 | 25.0 |
| Form 6 to 7 | 15.2 | 18.8 |
| University /tertiary | 41.3 | 56.3 |
| CAREER |  |  |
| Construction | 5.4 | 6.3 |
| Wholesale | 3.8 | 0.0 |
| Retailing | 4.9 | 6.3 |
| Trading | 10.3 | 6.3 |
| Restaurants/hotels | 1.6 | 0.0 |
| Communication | 2.7 | 0.0 |
| Insurance | 13.6 | 12.5 |
| Financing | 5.4 | 0.0 |
| Real estate | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Manufacturing | 8.2 | 0.0 |
| Transport | 2.7 | 0.0 |
| Student | 8.2 | 37.5 |
| Others | 31.0 | 31.1 |
| POSITION |  |  |
| Manager/executive | 15.8 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 24.5 | 6.3 |
| Clerk | 23.9 | 25.0 |
| Sales representative | 16.3 | 18.8 |
| Blue color | 3.8 | 0.0 |
| Others | 12.0 | 50.0 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

(to be continued)

TABLE 11 (CONTINUED) DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF USERS AND POTENTIAL USERS

|  | User <br> $(\mathrm{n}=184)$ | Potential User <br> $(\mathrm{n}=16)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| MONTHLY INCOME |  |  |
| \$5,000 or below | 7.1 | 31.3 |
| \$5,001-10,000 | 40.8 | 50.3 |
| \$10,001-15,000 | 28.8 | 6.3 |
| \$15,001 -20,000 | 10.9 | 6.3 |
| \$20,001 -25,000 | 4.3 | 6.3 |
| \$25,001 -30,000 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| Over 30,000 | 3.8 | 0.0 |

For the 184 pager users, statistics regarding the types of pagers used, as well as their subscribing companies, are shown in Table 12 and Table 13, respectively. For pager type, the most common is the numeric pager, with an ownership percentage of $60.9 \%$, and the second most common is the alphanumeric pager, with a percentage of $20.1 \%$. For paging companies, a large percentage of subjects are currently subscribing to the Hutchison Paging Co. Ltd. (40.8\%), followed by Star Paging Ltd. (17.9\%) and Chevalier (Paging Services) Ltd. (9.2\%).

TABLE 12
PERCENTAGE OWNERSHIP OF VARIOUS TYPES OF PAGERS

| Type | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: |
| Tone pager | $15.8 \%$ |
| Numeric pager | 60.9 |
| Alphanumeric pager | 20.1 |
| Card pager | 0.5 |
| Pen pager | 0.5 |
| Others | 0.5 |

## TABLE 13 <br> PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF SUBSCRIBING COMPANIES

| Company | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: |
| ABC Communications Ltd. | $7.6 \%$ |
| BB Telecom Ltd. | 0.5 |
| Chevalier (Paging Services) Ltd. | 9.2 |
| EPRO Paging Services Ltd. | 2.7 |
| HK Telecom CSL Ltd. | 4.3 |
| Hutchison Paging Co. Ltd. | 40.8 |
| Kantone Paging Co. Ltd. | 1.1 |
| New Telecom Co. Ltd. | 0.5 |
| New World Paging | 6.0 |
| Star Paging Ltd. | 17.9 |
| Telecom Paging Ltd. | 4.3 |
| Others | 4.3 |

The number of calls received by pager users is shown in Table 14. It should be noted that nearly three-quarters $(74.6 \%)$ of the subjects receive less than 10 calls each day, while one-quarter ( $24.9 \%$ ) receive 10 to 20 calls per day. Finally, there is only one subject ( $0.5 \%$ ) who receives over 20 calls per day.

TABLE 14
NUMBER OF CALLS RECEIVED EACH DAY

|  | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: |
| Less than 10 | $74.6 \%$ |
| 10 to 20 | 24.9 |
| More than 20 | 0.5 |

Table 15 shows the services which are needed by both current and potential pager users. The figures inside the parentheses represent the percentages of pager users who still cannot have their needs satisfied. For current users, the three most needed services are secretarial service ( $42.9 \%$ ), daily local / world weather report ( $32.6 \%$ ), and financial information display / inquiry (31.0\%). For potential users, what they need the most are daily local / world news headlines display / inquiry service
( $50.0 \%$ ), financial information display / inquiry service ( $43.8 \%$ ), daily local / world weather report display / inquiry service and secretarial service ( $37.5 \%$ in both cases).

Although pager users can report their needs, only a small proportion of them can really have their needs satisfied, which is reflected by the difference between the percentage experiencing the "service needed" and the percentage "still unsatisfied" ${ }^{38}$.

TABLE 15
SERVICES NEEDED BY USERS AND POTENTIAL USERS

|  |  | User <br> $(\mathrm{n}=184)$ | Potential User <br> $(\mathrm{n}=16)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| Secretarial service | $42.9 \%$ | $(23.9 \%)^{1}$ | $37.5 \%$ |
| Direct paging service | 24.5 | $(16.8)$ | 18.8 |
| Call forwarding service | 18.5 | $(14.1)$ | 18.8 |
| Meet-me service | 19.0 | $(18.5)$ | 18.8 |
| International paging service | 10.3 | $(10.3)$ | 18.8 |
| HK / Guangdong / Macau paging service | 22.3 | $(19.0)$ | 18.8 |
| Jetpage service | 8.7 | $(2.2)$ | 0.0 |
| Telephone directory service | 22.8 | $(22.8)$ | 18.8 |
| Daily flight arrival / departure information | 5.4 | $(5.4)$ | 18.8 |
| Daily movie schedule | 18.5 | $(18.5)$ | 31.3 |
| Restaurant reservations | 16.8 | $(16.3)$ | 25.0 |
| Traffic report | 23.9 | $(23.4)$ | 18.8 |
| Horse racing / Mark Six results | 18.5 | $(12.0)$ | 18.8 |
| Financial information | 31.0 | $(27.2)$ | 43.8 |
| Daily local / world weather report | 32.6 | $(22.3)$ | 37.5 |
| Daily local / world news headlines | 20.1 | $(19.0)$ | 50.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Percentage inside parentheses represents those who still cannot have their needs satisfied.

[^16]When examining the reasons why pager users cannot have their needs satisfied (Table 16), the three most commonly cited reasons are "my paging company does not provide such service(s)" (37.5\%), "too expensive" ( $34.2 \%$ ) and "too lazy to apply" (12.0\%).

TABLE 16
REASONS FOR NOT
OBTAINING THE SERVICES DESIRED

| Reason | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: |
| My paging company does not provide such service(s) | $37.5 \%$ |
| Too expensive | 34.2 |
| Too lazy to apply | 12.0 |
| No paging company which can provide such service(s) | 7.1 |
| Don't know how to apply | 7.1 |
| No time for application | 6.5 |
| Others | 4.3 |
| Application procedures too complicated | 1.6 |

### 6.2 Usage Segmentation Analysis

### 6.2.1 Demographic Profile

Of the 184 pager users in the sample, $74.1 \%$ ( 135 subjects) report that they receiveless than 10 calls per day, $25.3 \%$ ( 46 subjects) report that they receive 10 to 20 calls per day, and there is only $0.5 \%$ ( 1 subject) who replies that he receives over 20 calls per day ${ }^{39}$. Thus, we divide the overall group of pager users into those who receive less than 10 calls each day (the "light user group", which contains 135 subjects), and those who receive 10 or more calls each day (the "heavy user group", which contains 47 subjects).

[^17]Both groups of users are quite similar in terms of their sex ratio (Table 17). However, in other demographic categories, distinguishable differences can be found. For the light user group, subjects are likely to be younger ( $78.5 \%$ versus $59.6 \%$ within the 20 to 29 age group) and better educated ( $49.6 \%$ versus $19.1 \%$ have received tertiary education). Also, they are more likely to be managers / executives or professionals ( $43.7 \%$ versus $31.9 \%$ ). In addition, more heavy users are involved in trading ( $17.0 \%$ versus $8.1 \%$ ). However, heavy users are less likely to be students (2.1\% versus $10.5 \%$ ).

For the heavy user group, subjects are likely to be older ( $34.0 \%$ versus $16.3 \%$ within the 30 to 39 age group), less educated ( $51.1 \%$ versus $37.8 \%$ have received only secondary form 1 to form 5 education), and also more likely to be sales representatives ( $27.7 \%$ versus $11.9 \%$ ).

TABLE 17
DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF THE TWO USAGE SEGMENTS

|  | Segment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Light User $(\mathrm{n}=135)$ | Heavy User ( $\mathrm{n}=47$ ) |
| SEX |  |  |
| Male | 71.9\% | - 72.3\% |
| Female | 27.4 | 25.5 |
| AGE |  |  |
| 15-19 | 3.0 | 4.3 |
| 20-29 | 78.5 | 59.6 |
| 30-39 | 16.5 | 34.0 |
| 40-49 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 50-59 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| . 60 and over - ... | - 0.0 | $\therefore 0.0$ |
| EDUCATION |  |  |
| Primary or below | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Form 1 to 5 | 37.8 | 51.1 |
| Form 6 to 7 | 11.1 | 27.7 |
| University /tertiary | 49.6 | 19.1 |
| CAREER |  |  |
| Construction | 4.4 | 8.5 |
| Wholesale | 3.7 | 4.3 |
| Retailing | 5.2 | 4.3 |
| Trading | 8.1 | 17.0 |
| Restaurants/hotels | 0.7 | 4.3 |
| Communication | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| Insurance | 11.9 | 14.9 |
| Financing | 6.7 | 2.1 |
| Real estate | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Manufacturing | 7.4 | 10.6 |
| Transport | 1.5 | 6.4 |
| Student | 10.5 | 2.1 |
| Others | 34.5 | 23.4 |
| POSITION |  |  |
| Manager/executive | 16.3 | 14.9 |
| Professional | 27.4 | 17.0 |
| Clerk | 24.4 | 21.3 |
| Sales representative | 11.9 | 27.7 |
| Blue collar | 3.7 | 4.4 |
| Others | 12.6 | 10.6 |

(to be continued)

TABLE 17 (CONTINUED) DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF THE TWO USAGE SEGMENTS

|  | Segment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Light User <br> $(\mathrm{n}=135)$ | Heavy User <br> $(\mathrm{n}=47)$ |
| MONTHLY INCOME |  |  |
| $\$ 5,000$ or below | $8.9 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 5,001-10,000$ | 40.7 | 38.3 |
| $\$ 10,001-15,000$ | 28.9 | 29.8 |
| $\$ 15,001-20,000$ | 11.1 | 10.6 |
| $\$ 20,001-25,000$ | 3.7 | 6.4 |
| $\$ 25,001-30,000$ | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| Over 30,000 | 3.0 | 6.4 |

### 6.2.2 Psychographic Profile

The two user groups exhibit no significant differences in their means for any AIO statements at 0.01 significance level (as tested by one-way ANOVA). However, by observing the percentages of subjects in each user group who "agree" or "strongly agree" with the AIO statements, some patterns seem to be evolving (Appendix E).

For the heavy user group, subjects are likely to be more confident ( $31.9 \%$ versus $19.3 \%$ agree with "I often can talk others into doing something", whereas $46.8 \%$ versus $31.1 \%$ agree with "I think I have more self-confidence than most people") and independent ( $55.3 \%$ versus $34.8 \%$ agree with "I am more independent than most people"). They are likely to be more prudent in selecting which brand to buy and more willing to seek information ( $23.4 \%$ versus $14.8 \%$ agree with "I often seek out the advice of my friends regarding which brand to buy"; $17.0 \%$ versus $8.9 \%$ agree with "choosing a particular brand of pager is time-consuming"). They are also likely to be more risk averse, as $46.8 \%$ versus $31.9 \%$ agree with "I would not participate in dangerous sports" and $61.7 \%$ versus $45.9 \%$ agree with "it is troublesome to switch to another paging company". In addition, roughly a quarter of them $(25.5 \%$ versus
$7.4 \%$ ) are afraid of having their secrets disclosed when using pagers. Financially, they are likely to be more optimistic ( $46.8 \%$ versus $31.1 \%$ agree with "I will probably have more money to spend next year than I have now"; $17.0 \%$ versus $8.1 \%$ agree with "My income is high enough to satisfy nearly all my important desires").

The light user group exhibits the reverse of the above characteristics, and it is also more likely to prefer colorful pagers, as $8.1 \%$ versus $2.1 \%$ agree with "I prefer colorful pagers" and $14.8 \%$ versus $4.3 \%$ agree with "pagers in black color look very dull". Finally, it is also more likely to consider carrying pagers as a fashion ( $15.6 \%$ versus $12.8 \%$ ).

### 6.2.3 Media Preference and Product Usage Profile

The light user group is likely to be more receptive to advertisements for pagers ( $31.9 \%$ versus $23.4 \%$ ); also, it is likely to prefer radio ( $24.4 \%$ versus $19.1 \%$ ) and television ( $30.4 \%$ versus $27.7 \%$ ) to newspapers ( $40.0 \%$ versus $55.3 \%$ ). On the other hand, the heavy user group is more likely to prefer magazines and newspapers ( $55.3 \%$ versus $40 \%$ ), and it is more aware of world affairs ( $38.3 \%$ versus $27.4 \%$ ).

For product (mobile phone / CT2 and car) ownership, the heavy user group shows higher percentages for both products ( $27.7 \%$ versus $15.6 \%$ for mobile phone / CT2; $21.3 \%$ versus $17.8 \%$ for car ).

### 6.2.4 Benefit Profile

Both user groups exhibit similar rankings in their benefits sought (INFORM, SAVE, AFFECTION, MONEY, NOVELTY), and they attach similar degrees of importance to all benefit categories, with the exception of one - "MONEY" (Table 18). The
heavy user group attaches a higher degree of importance to the benefit "MONEY" than does the light user group ( $16.0 \%$ versus $12.4 \%)^{40}$.

TABLE 18
BENEFIT PROFILES
OF THE TWO USAGE SEGMENTS

|  | Segment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Light User $(\mathrm{n}=135)$ | Heavy User $(\mathrm{n}=47)$ |
| NOVELTY | - -- |  |
| Sense of novelty | $3.1 \%^{1}$ | 3.3\% |
| Superior | 2.2 | 2.9 |
| Be trendy | 3.2 | 2.6 |
| subtotal | 8.5 | 8.8 |
| SAVE |  |  |
| Save effort | 8.7 | 8.2 |
| Save time | 9.8 | 8.7 |
| Save money | 3.8 | 4.2 |
| subtotal | 22.3 | 21.2 |
| INFORM |  |  |
| Informed of emergencies | 13.0 | 12.8 |
| Handle my affairs conveniently | 12.8 | 12.2 |
| Easily reached by friends/ family | 13.9 | 12.3 |
| subtotal | 39.7 | 37.3 |
| MONEY |  |  |
| Have better job performance | 6.0 | 7.8 |
| Make money | 6.4 | 8.2 |
| subtotal | 12.4 | 16.0 |
| AFFECTION |  |  |
| Enhance my affection for my friends / family | 7.3 | 7.6 |
| Build up better social life | - 9.9 | 9.0 |
| subtotal | 17.2 | 16.7 |
| grand total | 100\% | 100\% |

[^18][^19]
### 6.2.5 Service-Needs Profile

A comparison between the two usage groups in terms of services needed is shown in Table 19. The percentages inside the parentheses represent those who still cannot have their needs satisfied. By looking at the percentages, distinctive differences in terms of services needed can be identified. The light user group exhibits a greater need for the following services: secretarial service ( $44.4 \%$ versus $38.3 \%$ ), daily movie schedule inquiry ( $21.5 \%$ versus $10.6 \%$ ), restaurant reservations service $(20.0 \%$ versus $8.5 \%$ ), horse racing / Mark Six results ( $20.0 \%$ versus $14.9 \%$ ), daily local / world weather report display ( $34.8 \%$ versus $25.5 \%$ ) and daily local / world news headlines display ( $23.7 \%$ versus $10.6 \%$ ). The heavy user group, on the other hand, has a greater need for call-forwarding service ( $25.5 \%$ versus $15.6 \%$ ), Hong Kong / Guangdong / Macau paging service ( $42.6 \%$ versus $14.8 \%$ ), direct paging service ( $31.9 \%$ versus $21.5 \%$ ), and finally, telephone directory service ( $31.9 \%$ versus $20.0 \%$ ). The pattern seems to reflect that light users are more likely to have a greater demand for services which relate to entertainment (e.g., restaurant reservations, horse racing results, daily movie schedule, etc.), while heavy users require services which relate to business (e.g., call forwarding, cross-border paging service). This may be consistent with what has been mentioned above: heavy users are using pagers primarily for business (money-earning) purposes, which are reflected in the services needed.

Although subjects in both usage groups can articulate the services they need, only a minor portion of them actually have their needs satisfied. This is reflected by gaps between the percentages of "service needs" and the percentages of "unsatisfied needs" for most of the benefit items.

TABLE 19
SERVICE-NEEDS PROFILE OF THE TWO USAGE SEGMENTS

|  | Segment |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Light User <br> $(\mathrm{n}=135)$ |  |  | Heavy User <br> $(\mathrm{n}=47)$ |
| Secretarial service | $44.4 \%^{1}$ | $(25.2 \%)^{2}$ | $38.3 \%$ | $(21.3 \%)$ |
| Direct paging service | 21.5 | $(14.1)$ | 31.9 | $(23.4)$ |
| Call forwarding service | 15.6 | $(11.9)$ | 25.5 | $(21.3)$ |
| Meet-me service | 20.0 | $(20.0)$ | 17.0 | $(14.9)$ |
| International paging service | 9.6 | $(9.6)$ | 12.8 | $(12.8)$ |
| HK / Guangdong / Macau paging service | 14.8 | $(11.9)$ | 42.6 | $(38.3)$ |
| Jetpage service | 9.6 | $(1.5)$ | 4.3 | $(4.3)$ |
| Telephone directory service | 20.0 | $(20.0)$ | 31.9 | $(31.9)$ |
| Daily flight arrival / departure information | 5.2 | $(5.2)$ | 6.4 | $(6.4)$ |
| Daily movie schedule | 21.5 | $(21.5)$ | 10.6 | $(10.6)$ |
| Restaurant reservations | 20.0 | $(19.3)$ | 8.5 | $(8.5)$ |
| Traffic report | 23.0 | $(22.2)$ | 27.7 | $(27.7)$ |
| Horse racing / Mark Six results | 20.0 | $(13.3)$ | 14.9 | $(8.5)$ |
| Financial information | 31.1 | $(28.1)$ | 31.9 | $(25.5)$ |
| Daily local / world weather report | 34.8 | $(23.7)$ | 25.5 | $(17.0)$ |
| Daily local / world news headlines | 23.7 | $(23.0)$ | 10.6 | $(8.5)$ |

[^20]To analyze the reasons why the subjects cannot have their needs satisfied, the first three reasons for each segment are provided (Table 20). It is noted that for light users, the reason "too expensive" ranked first with a high percentage (37.0\%), while for heavy users, this reason only takes second place, with a much lower percentage $(27.7 \%)$. This is quite consistent with the discussion above that heavy users are likely to be more financially optimistic than light users. Also, $34.8 \%$ of the light users and $42.6 \%$ of the heavy users reported that their paging companies do not provide the services that they need. The large percentages may indicate that current users are not well informed of the services provided by their companies.

TABLE 20
TOP THREE REASONS FOR NOT OBTAINING THE SERVICES DESIRED BY THE TWO USAGE SEGMENTS

| Segment | Reason | Percentage |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Light User | Too expensive | $37.0 \%$ |
| (n=135) | My paging company does not provide such service(s) | 34.8 |
|  | Too lazy to apply | 11.1 |
| Heavy User <br> (n=47) | My paging company does not provide such service(s) | 42.6 |
|  | Too expensive | 27.7 |
|  | Too lazy to apply | 14.9 |

Finally, a summary of the charracteristics of the two usage groups is provided in Table 21.

TABLE 21
A SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF THE TWO USAGE SEGMENTS

|  | Segment | Characteristics |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | | Light User |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(74.2 \%)$ | | A large fraction of the sample. Receive less than 10 calls each |
| :--- |
| day. More likely to be younger ( $78.5 \%$ versus $58.2 \%$ within the |
| 20 to 29 age group) and better educated. There is a higher |
| percentage of managers/ executives and professionals. |
| More likely to prefer colorful pagers and consider carrying |
| pagers as a fashion. More likely to prefer radio and television to |
| newspapers and magazines. Greater need for services related to |
| entertainment, such as restaurant reservations, horse racing, |
| daily movie schedule inquiry, etc. |

### 6.3 Psychographic Segmentation Analysis

### 6.3.1 Interpretation of Segments

Information concerning the comparison of group means (centroids) of the six clusters along the six AIO variables are provided in Table 22. By looking at the level of significance for the six variables, it is noted that all of them exhibit significantly different patterns among the six clusters.

TABLE 22
GROUP MEANS AND SIGNIFICANCE
LEVELS FOR THE SIX CLUSTERS

|  | Segment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variables | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $F$ ratio | Significance |
| Confidence | 2.26 | 2.61 | 2.98 | 2.36 | 3.27 | 3.17 | 11.698 | 0.000 |
| Advice | 3.00 | 3.04 | 2.03 | 1.42 | 3.29 | 4.03 | 26.215 | 0.000 |
| Color | 1.39 | 2.29 | 1.06 | 1.22 | 0.77 | 3.18 | 35.776 | 0.000 |
| Innovation | 1.90 | 3.09 | 3.11 | 2.76 | 2.43 | 2.98 | 16.958 | 0.000 |
| Party | 1.51 | 2.63 | 1.81 | 2.89 | 3.61 | 2.13 | 29.072 | 0.000 |
| Risk | 2.60 | 2.55 | 3.43 | 1.14 | 2.89 | 3.90 | 28.140 | 0.000 |

Another way of analyzing the AIO information is to break down the variables into their original AIO statements and observe any underlying patterns. Appendix F summarizes the percentage of respondents in each segment who "agree" or "strongly agree" with the corresponding AIO statements. To help interpret and profile the segments, a demographic profile for each segment is also summarized in Appendix $G$ for reference.

After considering the AIO percentages (of those who "agree" or "strongly agree" with the AIO statements), and the demographic profiles of the six segments, the following descriptions for each of the six segments are derived.

Segment 1 - "Dependents"
This segment, which consists of 46 individuals, constitutes $23.1 \%$ of the sample population. Individuals in this segment exhibit the lowest level of self-confidence (only $15.2 \%$ agree with "I think I have more self-confidence than most people") and are the most dependent (only 19.6\% agree with "I am more independent than most people") among all subjects. They are the "homebodies" and are likely to be the least sociable (only $2.2 \%$ agree with "I like parties where there is lots of music and talk"). They are also most likely to be the "late majority" or even "laggards" in trying new brands, since they often buy new brands only after their friends or neighbors do ( $15.2 \%$ and $2.2 \%$ agree with "I like to try new and different things" and "I often buy new brands before my friends and neighbors do", respectively). Since they are not innovators, they tend to occasionally seek advice from their friends regarding what brands to buy, and $63.0 \%$ say that they consult others before they consider buying or renting pagers.

An examination of this segment reveals that the subjects are mostly within the age range of 20 to 29 ( $78.3 \%$ ), and over half of them have attended tertiary institutions ( $52.2 \%$ ). Also, a large percentage are holding clerical (28.3\%) or professional ( $23.9 \%$ ) positions. With respect to monthly income, over half (54.3\%) have personal monthly income within the range of HK\$5,001 to 10,000 , and one-quarter (26.1\%) are within the $\mathrm{HK} \$ 10,001$ to 15,000 income range.

Segment 2 - the "Innovators"
This segment, which consists of 54 subjects, constitutes $27.1 \%$ of the sample population. Individuals in this segment report the highest percentages of "agree" or "strongly agree" with AIO statements such as "I like to use pagers with technologically advanced functions" $(72.2 \%)$ and "I like to try new and different things" $(53.7 \%)$, indicating that they are innovators who are eager to try new products. However, they still seek information or advice from their friends before making their purchasing decisions. This is especially true when they consider buying or renting their pagers, and $59.3 \%$ agree with "When I buy / rent a pager, I will consult others".

An examination of the demographic data suggests that a large percentage of individuals in this segment are within the 20 to 29 age group ( $72.2 \%$ ), and over half of them have received secondary school form 1 to form 5 education (53.7\%), as compared to tertiary education (25.9\%). As a whole, individuals in this segment have received lower education than those in segment 1 . The relatively lower education level of this segment may account for the relatively higher percentage of clerical and blue collar workers ( $37.0 \%$ and $9.3 \%$, respectively) and comparatively smaller percentage of managers / executives and professionals (13.0 \% and 16.7\%, respectively), as compared with other segments. Individuals in this segment are likely to have the lowest income, as $61.2 \%$ of them earn less than HK $\$ 10,000$ per month.

Segment 3 - the "Matured"
This segment, which is composed of 34 subjects, represents $17.1 \%$ of the sample population. Individuals in this segment exhibit close resemblance with those in segment 2 in terms of their willingness to try new things, as reflected in their similar group means ( 3.11 versus 3.09 for segments 3 and 2, respectively). However, the difference between these two segments is that those in segment 3 tend to be less
active and sociable than those in segment 2. This is reflected in the percentage agreeing with statements such as "I would rather spend a quiet evening at home than go out to a party (reverse coded)" ( $5.9 \%$ versus $13.0 \%$ ) and "I like parties where there is lots of music and talk" ( $8.8 \%$ versus $24.1 \%$ ). Also, when compared with segment 2 , individuals in segment 3 are less willing to seek information from their friends before making their purchasing decisions ( $2.9 \%$ versus $16.7 \%$ agree with "I often seek out the advice of my friends regarding which brand to buy"), suggesting that they are less socially active.

On the other hand, individuals in this segment are likely to be more independent ( $55.9 \%$ versus $25.9 \%$ agree with "I am more independent than most people"), more self-confident ( $47.1 \%$ versus $22.2 \%$ agree with "I think I have more self-confidence than most people") and more risk averse ( $70.6 \%$ versus $24.1 \%$ agree with "I would not participate in dangerous sports"; $35.3 \%$ versus $9.3 \%$ agree with "Once I find a brand, I like to stick with it") when compared with those in segment 2 .

Putting all these observations together, it can be concluded that individuals in this segment are likely to be less sociable, more self-confident, less willing to seek information or advice, and more risk averse, as compared to those in segment 2 . In a word, they are likely to be more mature mentally. Although they are willing to try new brands, they tend to be more brand loyal than others. This may imply that they seek new brands when they become dissatisfied with the ones which they are presently using. Actually, a large percentage agree with "It is worth it to pay more for prestigious brand products" ( $41.2 \%$ agree with this statement, with the highest group mean of 3.21 ), and virtually none of them "agree" or "strongly agree" with the statement "I think that the price of a product is more important than its quality". This shows that this group of people is more likely to believe in prestigious brands than others.

An examination of the demographic data suggests that individuals in this segment are likely to be older and better off financially. They exhibit the highest percentage of individuals within the 30 to 39 age group (29.4\%). When compared with segment 2 , individuals in this segment receive a higher level of education ( $47.1 \%$ have received tertiary education while $32.4 \%$ have received secondary form 1 to form 5 education). Also, this segment shows a distinctively higher percentage of managers / executives and professionals than other segments (a combined $52.9 \%$ ), and a generally higher level of income ( $8.8 \%$ with monthly income greater than HK $\$ 30,000$ ), suggesting that they are more affluent and mature. This may provide a partial explanation as to why this group of individuals is more independent and self-confident, and can afford to pay for prestigious brands.

Segment 4 - "Adventurers"
This segment consists of 18 subjects and it represents $9.0 \%$ of the sample population. A review of the group means suggests that individuals in this segment are the least likely to seek information (overall lowest score of 1.42 for the variable "ADVICE") and are risk-takers (lowest score of 1.14 for the variable "RISK"). An examination of the AIO statements provides further hints which support this preliminary conclusion. Indeed, no individuals in this segment "agree" or "strongly agree" with either one of the two statements under the variable "ADVICE": "I often seek out the advice of my friends regarding which brand to buy" and "When I buy / rent a pager, I will consult others". Nor do any subjects agree with "Once I find a brand, I like to stick with it" or "I would not participate in dangerous sports", implying that this group of individuals are undoubtedly risk-takers and also the least brand loyal. They are also innovators who like to try new and different things (50\% and $16.7 \%$ agree with the statements "I like to try new and different things" and "I often buy new brands before my friends and neighbors do", respectively). They try new brands just because they like to take risk and enjoy the sense of "newness" or novelty, and not necessarily because of dissatisfaction or other reasons. Another interesting finding is
that this group of individuals does not "agree" or "strongly agree" with the statements concerning the variable of "COLOR" ("Pagers in black color look very dull" and "I prefer colorful pagers"), implying that they prefer the traditional black color of pagers rather than colorful ones. This is quite inconsistent with the adventurous behaviors exhibited by these people.

Additional insights may be obtained from the remaining AIO statements as well. Individuals in this segment report the highest group mean (3.44) for the statement "I seldom read / watch advertisements for pagers", with $66.7 \%$ answering "agree" or "strongly agree" to it, confirming the fact that they dislike seeking information from outside sources. Also, none of them agree or strongly agree with "Choosing a particular brand of pager is time-consuming", and they actually report the lowest group mean (1.17) for this statement. This group of subjects may consider a pager as an extremely low involvement product which requires the least amount of effort in selecting which brand to purchase.

An examination of the demographic data indicates that they are overwhelmingly within the 20 to 29 age range ( $77.8 \%$ ), and have received a relatively lower level of education ( $27.8 \%$ have received tertiary education and $50 \%$ Form 1 to Form 5). Roughly one-third (33.3\%) are sales representatives, and approximately one-quarter ( $27.8 \%$ ) are professionals, with personal monthly incomes primarily (44.4\%) within the $\mathrm{HK} \$ 5,001$ to 10,000 income range.

## Segment 5 - "Outgoing"

Composed of 28 subjects, this segment constitutes another $14.1 \%$ of the sample population. Individuals in this segment exhibit the highest level of self-confidence ( $60.7 \%$ agree with "I think I have more self-confidence than most people") and independence ( $60.7 \%$ think that "I am more independent than most people") among all sample subjects. They are the influencers ( $46.4 \%$ agree with "I sometimes
influence what my friends buy") who "often can talk others into doing something" ( $53.6 \%$ agree or agree strongly). When making purchase decisions, they actively seek out information from their friends or other sources, which is reflected in the high percentages of those who "agree" or "strongly agree" with the following statements: "I often seek out the advice of my friends regarding which brand to buy" (28.6\%), "When I buy / rent a pager, I will consult others" (75.0\%), and, "I seldom read / watch advertisements for pagers (reverse coded)" (35.7\%). Also, they are more likely to be active and outgoing, as reflected by the highest percentage of subjects who agree with "I like parties where there is lots of music and talk" (64.3\%) and "I would rather spend a quiet evening at home than go out to a party (reverse coded)" (42.9\%).

An examination of the individuals' demographic information suggests that a large percentage are within the 20 to 29 age range ( $75.0 \%$ ): When compared with segments 2 and 4 , individuals in this segment have a relatively higher level of education ( $53.6 \%$ have received tertiary education). Similar to segment 4, a large percentage are professionals ( $28.6 \%$ ) and sales representatives ( $32.1 \%$ ). They also exhibit the highest monthly income among all segments ( $46.4 \%$ within the HK\$10,001 to 15,000 income range, and over $70 \%$ over $\mathrm{HK} \$ 10,000$ ).

## Segment 6 - "Smart Buyers"

The last segment, which consists of 19 subjects, constitutes the final $9.5 \%$ of the sample population. Individuals in this segment exhibit the strongest tendency to seek information and advice from friends regarding which brand to buy, which is reflected by the highest percentage of subjects who agree / strongly agree with "I often seek out the advice of my friends regarding which brand to buy" (57.9\%) and "When I buy / rent a pager, I will consult others" (94.7\%). Since they ask for their friends' opinions and make careful comparisons, roughly one-third of them (31.6\%) agree with "Choosing a particular brand of pager is time-consuming". Also, they are more
likely to be opinion leaders, since a large percentage ( $36.8 \%$ ) of them agree / strongly agree with the statement "My friends or neighbors often come to me for advice". They are quite conscious of prices, as roughly one-fourth (26.3\%) of them agree with "I think that the price of a product is more important than its quality", and this percentage is the highest among all segments.

Contrary to the case in segment 4 , individuals in this segment show a high degree of preference toward colorful pagers, as indicated by the highest percentages of "agree" or "strongly agree" with the statements "Pagers in black color look very dull" and "I prefer colorful pagers" (both with $52.6 \%$ ). And over half of them ( $57.9 \%$ ), the highest among all segments, agree with "The beeping sound of a pager is very annoying". Finally, they are the most risk averse and brand loyal subjects among all segments, since a large percentage ( $78.9 \%$ in both cases) agree with "I would not participate in dangerous sports" and "Once I find a brand, I like to stick with it".

An examination of the demographic information indicates that individuals in this segment are primarily within the 20 to 29 age group ( $78.9 \%$ ), and with the highest percentage of females (57.9\%). As a whole, they are also the group with the highest education level (over two-thirds with education level above Form 5). The segment contains $42.1 \%$ managers / executives or professionals who have relatively high income ( $31.6 \%$ within the HK $\$ 10,001$ to 15,000 income range). However, roughly one-third of the subjects in this segment are students ( $31.6 \%$ ) who have lower incomes, and they account for the portion of the subjects (26.3\%) who earn less than HK $\$ 5,000$ per month.

### 6.3.2 Media Preference and Product Usage Profile

An examination of the media preference profile of the six segments (Appendix F ) provides some general insights concerning the media usage preference of subjects in these six segments. Firstly, with the exception of AIO21 (I am always attracted by the advertising boards at MTR or KCR stations), the group means of all other AIO statements concerned with media preference show no significant differences at the 0.01 significance level (as tested by one-way ANOVA). As for AIO21, subjects in segment 2 indicate the highest (37.0\%) percentages of "agree" or "strongly agree", while those in segment 1 show the lowest $(19: 6 \%)$. The group means between these two segments are also significantly different at the 0.01 significance level (as tested by one-way ANOVA). As discussed in the previous section, segment 4 (the "Adventurers") represents the group which is likely to be the least brand loyal and the most thoughtless in making purchasing decisions. As it generally dislikes seeking information from outside sources, it is not at all strange to find that these individuals pay little attention to advertising boards at MTR or KCR stations.

Secondly, for segments 1,3 and 6, individuals generally prefer newspapers over radio ( $47.8 \%$ versus $30.4 \%$ for segment $1,50.0 \%$ versus $38.2 \%$ for segment 3 , and $42.1 \%$ versus $36.8 \%$ for segment 6 ). On the other hand, for segments 2 and 4 , the reverse is true ( $38.9 \%$ versus $44.4 \%$ for segment 2 and $44.4 \%$ versus $50.0 \%$ for segment 4). For segment 5 , both media are equally favoured (42.9\%).

Thirdly, subjects as a whole generally do not consider watching television to be more interesting than reading magazines. For segments 1 to 4 , only about one-fourth of the subjects agree or strongly agree with "Watching television is more interesting than reading magazines", while the percentage is higher for segments 5 and $6(35.7 \%$ and $42.1 \%$, respectively).

A similar pattern is observed for AIO52. For segments 1 to 4 , about one-fourth of subjects agree or strongly agree with "I keep myself abreast of world affairs", and higher percentages are observed for segments 5 and $6(46.4 \%$ and $36.8 \%$, respectively). This may imply that subjects in segment 5 and 6 are likely to be more mature.

Information related to product usage (mobile phone / CT2 and car ownership) patterns is summarized in Table 23.

TABLE 23
PRODUCT OWNERSHIP PATTERNS AMONG THE SIX SEGMENTS

|  | Segment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| Mobile phone / CT2 owner | $19.6 \%$ <br> 13.0 | $13.0 \%$ <br> 11.1 | $23.5 \%$ <br> 29.4 | $5.6 \%$ <br> 27.8 | $14.3 \%$ <br> 7.1 | $31.6 \%$ <br> Car owner |  |

Segment 6 shows the highest percentages of ownership of both mobile phones /CT2 and cars ( $31.6 \%$ for both cases), whereas segment 5 indicates much lower percentages ( $14.3 \%$ and $7.1 \%$ for mobile phones / CT2 and cars, respectively): This seems inconsistent with the previous discussion, since segment 5 contains individuals with the highest overall monthly income (71.4\% earn more than HK\$10,000 each month). As for segment 2, it shows a low ownership of mobile phones /CT2 and cars ( $13.0 \%$ and $11.1 \%$, respectively), which is quite consistent with the fact that individuals in this segment generally earn lower incomes ( $61.2 \%$ earn less than HK\$ 10,000 per month). For segment 3 , a consistent pattern can be observed again, since over half of the subjects in this segment are either managers / executives or professionals ( $52.9 \%$ in total) with higher incomes ( $61.8 \%$ with incomes greater than HK $\$ 10,000$ ), so they are also more likely to be able to afford cars (29.4\%).

Actually, by looking at the demographic data alone, it is difficult to discriminate between segments $1,4,5$, and 6 , since they exhibit similar patterns in terms of age, career, position and monthly income. The information about pager usage patterns cannot help either, since all six segments demonstrate indistinguishable patterns (see Table 24). Only through the use of psychographic information can one obtain some insights in order to distinguish between subjects in various segments.

TABLE 24
NUMBER OF CALLS RECEIVED
EACH DAY BY THE SIX SEGMENTS

|  | Segment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| Less than 10 | $69.6 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ | $60.7 \%$ | $63.2 \%$ |  |
| $10-20$ | 19.6 | 24.1 | 23.5 | 16.7 | 35.7 | 15.8 |  |
| More than 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 |  |

### 6.3.3 Benefit Profile

The benefits sought by individuals in the six segments show no distinguishable patterns (Table 25). Actually, all six segments except segment 6 attach the same order of importance to the five major benefit categories, that is, INFORM (easily reached by friends /family, informed of emergencies, handle my affairs conveniently), AFFECTION (build up better social life, enhance my affection for my friends / family), SAVE (save time, save effort, save money), MONEY (make money, have better job performance) and lastly, NOVELTY (be trendy, sense of novelty, superior). For segment 6, the order is a bit different: MONEY is rated higher than SAVE. It implies that subjects in this segment emphasize this benefit more than their counterparts in other segments.

TABLE 25
BENEFIT PROFILE OF THE SIX SEGMENTS

|  | Segment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $F$ ratio | Significance |
| INFORM | 4.22 | 4.16 | 4.04 | 3.94 | 4.36 | 4.42 | 1.20 | 0.31 |
| SAVE | 2.28 | 2.60 | 2.12 | 1.98 | 2.57 | 2.12 | 1.18 | 0.32 |
| AFFECTION | 2.48 | 2.71 | 2.61 | 2.67 | 3.20 | 3.34 | 2.08 | 0.07 |
| MONEY | 1.83 | 2.45 | 2.06 | 1.67 | 2.24 | 2.61 | 1.74 | 0.13 |
| NOVELTY | 0.73 | 1.17 | 0.73 | 0.72 | 0.95 | 1.26 | 1.84 | 0.11 |

### 6.3.4 Service-Needs Profile

To make it simple, the three most important services needed by subjects in the six segments are shown in Table 26. The percentages of those who still cannot have their needs satisfied are also listed alongside for comparison. It is found that secretarial service and financial service are the two services most desired by subjects in the six segments. Also, it can be observed that there is a large percentage of subjects in each segment who still cannot have their needs satisfied, which is indicated by the small differences between the percentages of "service needs" and the percentages of "still unsatisfied".

TABLE 26
COMPARISON OF SERVICES NEEDED BY THE SIX SEGMENTS

| Segment | Service | "In Need" <br> Percentage | "Unsatisfied" <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Secretarial service | 47.8\% | 28.3\% |
|  | Direct paging service | 26.1 | 19.6 |
|  | Telephone directory service | 26.1 | 26.1 |
| 2 | Financial information | 38.9 | 31.5 |
|  | Secretarial service | 33.3 | 24.1 |
|  | Daily local / world weather report | 29.6 | 18.5 |
| 3 | Secretarial service | 44.1 | 20.6 |
|  | Traffic report | 26.5 | 26.5 |
|  | Financial information | 26.5 - | 26.5 |
| 4 | Traffic report | 38.9 | 38.9 |
|  | Direct paging service | 33.3 | 22.2 |
|  | Financial information | 27.8 | 27.8 |
| 5 | Secretarial service | - 57.1 | 35.7 |
|  | Financial information | 39.3 | 35.7 |
|  | Daily local / world weather report | 35.7 | 32.1 |
| 6 | Secretarial service | 57.9 | 31.6 |
|  | Daily local / world weather report | 42.1 | 42.1 |
|  | Daily local / world news headlines | 36.8 | 36.8 |

The first three reasons why the subjects in each segment cannot obtain the services that they want are shown in Table 27. It should be noted that the reason "my paging company does not provide such service(s)" is ranked first by segments $1,3,5$, and 6 , and is ranked second by segments 2 and 4 . The second most common reason which appears in the top three list for every segment is "too expensive", which is ranked first by segments 2 and 4.

TABLE 27 REASONS FOR NOT OBTAINING THE
DESIRED SERVICES FOR THE SIX SEGMENTS


Finally, a summary of the characteristics of the six segments is provided in Table 28.

TABLE 28
A SUMMARY OF SIX PSYCHOGRAPHIC SEGMENTS

|  | Label | Description | Demographic Characteristics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | "Dependents" (23.1\%) | Lack of self-confidence, heavy dependent on others, reluctant to try new things, likely to be "home-body" and not socially active. | $52.2 \%$ have received tertiary educational level, $54.3 \%$ with income within the HK\$ 5,001 to 10,000 range. Hard to distinguish from segments 4,5 , and 6 . |
| 2 | "Innovators" (27.1\%) | Very eager to try new things, willing to seek information, low degree of brand loyalty. | Lower educational level ( $53.7 \%$ with form 1 to 5 standard), high percentage of clerks and blue collars (46.3\%) with lower monthly income. |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "Matured" } \\ & (17.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | Self-confident, independent, willing to try new things, not eager to seek advice or information, not socially active, risk averse. | High percentage of managers/ executives and professionals (52.9\%) with higher income. |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "Adventurers" } \\ & (9.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | Frequent brand-switcher, loves to take risk, eager to try new things, dislikes seeking advice and information from friends or other outside sources. | Lower educational level ( $50.0 \%$ with form 1 to form 5 standard), hard to distinguish from segments 1,5 , and 6 . |
| 5 | "Outgoing" <br> (14.1\%) | Highly confident and independent, active, outgoing, and sociable. | Higher income (71.4\% with monthly income more than HK $\$ 10,000$ ), hard to distinguish from segments 1,4 , and 6 . |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "Smart Buyers" } \\ & (9.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | Strong tendency to seek advice or information, opinion leader and influencer, conscious of price, very brand loyal, highly risk averse. | High percentage (57.9\%) of females, $31.6 \%$ students who are likely gaining tertiary education, hard to distinguish from segments 1,4 , and 5 . Likely to have the highest ownership of mobile phones /CT2 and / or cars. |

### 6.4 Benefit Segmentation Analysis

### 6.4.1 Interpretation of Segments

As mentioned in Section 5.2.1, the seventeen benefits of using pagers were reduced to five factors (NOVELTY, SAVE, INFORM, MONEY, and AFFECTION) by use of factor analysis. A cluster anlaysis of the 200 subjects was then conducted, using the five factors mentioned above. After the cluster analysis, two clusters or segments were finally identified. Their group means for the five benefit categories are significantly different from each other (Table 29).

TABLE 29
COMPARISON OF GROUP MEANS AND SIGNIFICANCE LEVELS FOR THE TWO SEGMENTS IDENTIFIED

|  | Segment <br> (total number of subjects = 196) $)^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 <br> $(\mathrm{n}=117)$ | 2 <br> $(\mathrm{n}=79)$ | $F$ ratio | Significance |  |
| NOVELTY | 1.35 | 0.30 | 68.430 | 0.000 |
| SAVE | 3.09 | 1.23 | 194.424 | 0.000 |
| INFORM | 4.37 | 3.91 | 18.061 | 0.000 |
| MONEY | 2.96 | 0.94 | 167.361 | 0.000 |
| AFFECTION | 3.12 | 2.24 | 25.412 | 0.000 |

${ }^{1}$ Due to missing values, 4 subjects were excluded from the cluster analysis.

To help interpret and profile the two benefit segments, the five benefit categories are further broken down into their specific components (Table 30).

TABLE 30
COMPARISON OF GROUP MEANS FOR DIFFERENT BENEFITS SOUGHT

|  | Segment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (n=117) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (\mathrm{n}=79) \end{gathered}$ |
| NOVELTY |  |  |
| Sense of novelty | 1.47 | 0.35 |
| Superior | 1.18 | 0.18 |
| Be trendy | 1.40 | 0.38 |
| SAVE |  |  |
| Save effort | 3.61 | 1.44 |
| Save time | 3.82 | 1.92 |
| Save money | 1,84 | 0.32 |
| INFORM - |  |  |
| Informed of emergencies | 4.36 | 3.89 |
| Handle my affairs conveniently | 4.36 | 3.63 |
| Easily reached by friends/ family | 4.40 | 4.20 |
| MONEY |  |  |
| Have better job performance | 2.87 | 0.87 |
| Make money | 3.04 | 1.01 |
| AFFECTION |  |  |
| Enhance my affection for my friends / family | 2.77 | 1.68 |
| Build up better social life | 3.47 | 2.62 |

It should be noted that all the means for segment 2 are lower than those for segment 1, so it is difficult to conclude that members of the two segments are seeking different benefits. Indeed, the pattern becomes clearer when the means are converted into their relative percentages. It is found that the subjects of the two segments are attaching different degrees of importance to each of the five benefit categories. Figure 6 provides such a graphical illustration, and Table 31 shows the breakdown of the benefit items.

FIGURE 6
IMPORTANCE ATTACHED TO EACH OF THE BENEFIT CATEGORIES BY THE TWO BENEFIT SEGMENTS


Some conclusions can be drawn by observing the figure above. Firstly, members of both segments attach the greatest importance to the benefit "INFORM" $(29 \%$ and $46 \%$ for segments 1 and 2, respectively), implying that they all perceive this as the most important benefit of using pagers. Secondly; although subjects in both segments rank the five ben sfit categories more or less in the same order, they attach different degrees of importance to them. Subjects in segment 1 place the greatest weight on the benefit "INFORM" (29\%), and equal weights on the benefits "AFFECTION"( $21 \%$ ), "SAVE" (21\%), and "MONEY" (20\%). Individuals in segment 2 , however, place the most importance on the benefits "INFORM" $(46 \%)$ and "AFFECTION" $(26 \%)$, and comparatively less on others.

TABLE 31
BENEFIT PROFILE OF THE TWO SEGMENTS

|  | Segment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (\mathrm{n}=117) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (\mathrm{n}=79) \end{gathered}$ |
| NOVELTY |  |  |
| Sense of novelty | 3.8\% | 1.6\% |
| Superior | 3.1 | 0.8 |
| Be trendy | 3.6 | 1.7 |
| SAVE |  |  |
| Save effort | 9.4 | 6.4 |
| Save time | 9.9 | 8.5 |
| Save money | 4.8 | 1.4 |
| INFORM - |  |  |
| Informed of emergencies | 11.3 | 17.3 |
| Handle my affairs conveniently | 11.3 | 16.1 |
| Easily reached by friends/ family | 11.4 | 18.7 |
| MONEY |  |  |
| Have better job performance | 7.4 | 3.9 |
| Make money | 7.9 | 4.5 |
| AFFECTION |  |  |
| Enhance my affection for my friends / family | 7.2 | 7.5 |
| Build up better social life | 9.0 | 11.6 |
|  | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

In order to further understand the segments, their demographic profiles are summarized in Appendix H. The profiles of the two benefit segments are described as follows:

Segment 1 - "Businessmen"
Composed of 117 subjects, this segment represents $59.7 \%$ of the sample population. Subjects of this segment show a higher percentage of males (70.1\%), implying that this segment is more male-dominated. And the difference between the two segments in terms of age is only minor. There is a higher percentage of students $(12.8 \%$ versus $7.6 \%$ ) and sales representatives ( $20.5 \%$ versus $10.1 \%$ ) in this segment. In addition, a
significantly higher percentage of subjects engaging in retailing ( $6.8 \%$ versus $2.5 \%$ ), financing ( $6.0 \%$ versus $2.5 \%$ ) and insurance ( $16.2 \%$ versus $10.1 \%$ ) industries is also observed. For education and income level, the difference between the two segments is minor.

As for the benefits sought, subjects in this segment place the greatest weight on the benefit "INFORM" (29\%), and similar weights are placed on the benefits "AFFECTION" ( $21 \%$ ), "SAVE" (21\%) and "MONEY" (20\%). An examination of the benefit components (Table 31) shows that when compared with those in segment 2, subjects in this segment put greater emphasis on the benefits "save money" $(4.8 \%$ versus $1.4 \%$ ), "save effort"( $9.4 \%$ versus $6.4 \%$ ), "have better job performance"( $7.4 \%$ versus $3.9 \%$ ), and "make money" ( $7.9 \%$ versus $4.5 \%$ ). This suggests that subjects in this segment are more "money oriented"; they use pagers primarily because pagers can bring business opportunities and / or enhance their work performance.

Segment 2 - "Sociables"
This segment consists of 79 subjects and represents the other $40.3 \%$ of the sample population. This segment has a higher percentage of females ( $32.9 \%$ ), and there is also a higher percentage of subjects engaging in the wholesale ( $6.3 \%$ versus $1.7 \%$ ) industry. As for positions, it is observed that there is a higher percentage of managers / executives ( $19.0 \%$ versus $12.8 \%$ ) and professionals $(24.1 \%$ versus $23.9 \%$ ), although the difference with respect to professionals is not significant.

As for benefits sought, subjects in this segment attach greater weights to the benefits INFORM ( $46 \%$ ) and AFFECTION ( $26 \%$ ), as compared to their counterparts in segment 1. For other benefits, much lower weights are attached. A further analysis of the benefit components reveals that subjects in this segment, when compared with those in segment 1, put a greater emphasis on benefits such as "informed of
emergencies" ( $17.3 \%$ versus $11.3 \%$ ), "handle my affairs conveniently" ( $16.1 \%$ versus $11.3 \%$ ), "easily reached by friends / family" ( $18.7 \%$ versus $11.4 \%$ ), and "build up better social life" ( $11.6 \%$ versus $9.0 \%$ ). It is also noted that under the "NOVELTY" category, all three component benefits are rated significantly lower that those of segment 1 (for "sense of novelty", $1.6 \%$ versus $3.8 \%$; "superior", $0.8 \%$ versus $3.1 \%$; "be trendy", $1.7 \%$ versus $3.6 \%$ ). Taking all these observations together, they suggest that subjects in this segment are likely to use pagers primarily because they can make them more sociable, i.e., more easily reached by their friends, and hence build up a better social life. This major benefit is distinctively different from the money earning benefit primarily sought by subjects in segment 1 .

### 6.4.2 Psychographic Profile

A comparison of the two segments with respect to the forty-eight AIO statements is provided in Appendix I. When comparing the group means for the statements, it is found that only AIO 22 is significantly different at the 0.01 significance level (as tested by one-way ANOVA). Also, for most of the AIO statements, the percentages of those who "agree" or "strongly agree" in each of the two segments are quite close to each other. Thus, it seems that the psychographic profiles of both segments are very similar.

For those AIO statements which exhibit a larger difference in their percentages (of those who agree or strongly agree with the statements), it is observed that subjects in segment 1 are likely to be more financially optimistic, since $41.9 \%$ versus $27.8 \%$ agree with "I will probably have more money to spend next year than I have now", and also another $12.8 \%$ versus $8.9 \%$ agree with "My income is high enough to satisfy nearly all my important desires". With regard to pagers, subjects in segment 2 are more likely to agree with "Pagers should be as small as possible" $(54.7 \%$ versus
$64.6 \%$ for segments 1 and 2 , respectively) and "The beeping sound of a pager is very annoying" ( $23.9 \%$ versus $34.2 \%$ ). However, subjects in segment 1 are likely to have a biased image toward card pagers, since $35.0 \%$ versus $22.8 \%$ agree with "Card pagers fail easily".

### 6.4.3 Media Preference and Product Usage Profile

For media preference, some conclusions can be drawn after an examination of their comparative percentages. Firstly, newspapers are the most popular media for subjects in both segments ( $46.2 \%$ and $43.0 \%$ for segments 1 and 2, respectively). Secondly, subjects in segment 1 are distinctively more receptive to advertising boards at MTR and KCR stations than those in segment 2 ( $34.2 \%$ versus $17.7 \%$ ). Finally, television is more popular among subjects in segment 1 ( $33.3 \%$ versus $26.6 \%$ ), whereas the reverse is true for radio ( $37.6 \%$ versus $43.0 \%$ ). However, the differences between the two segments for these two media is not as significant as that for advertising boards at MTR or KCR stations.

For product usage, subjects in segment 1 report a significantly higher percentage of mobile phone / CT2 ownership than those in segment 2 (21.4\% versus $12.7 \%$ ). However, for car ownership, both segments show similar percentages of ownership (17.1\% versus $17.7 \%$ ).

Finally, an examination of the pager usage patterns of both segments (Table 32) suggests that subjects in segment 1 are more likely to be frequent users with a higher percentage of those who receive 10 to 20 calls per day ( $25.6 \%$ versus $17.7 \%$ ).

TABLE 32
NUMBER OF CALLS RECEIVED PER DAY BY THE TWO SEGMENTS

|  | Segment |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 <br> $(\mathrm{n}=117)$ | 2 <br> $(\mathrm{n}=79)$ |
| Less than 10 | $64.1 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ |
| 10 to 20 | 25.6 | 17.7 |
| More than 20 | 0.0 | 1.3 |

### 6.4.4 Service-Needs Profile

Table 33 summarizes the percentage of subjects who are in need of a particular paging service. Figures inside the parentheses indicate the percentages of those who still cannot have their needs satisfied.

TABLE 33
SERVICE-NEEDS PROFILE OF THE TWO SEGMENTS

|  | Segment |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |
| $(\mathrm{n}=117)$ | 2 |  |  |  |
| $(\mathrm{n}=79)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Secretarial service | $49.6^{1}$ | $(29.9)^{2}$ | $32.9 \%$ | $(19.0)$ |
| Direct paging service | 22.2 | $(15.4)$ | 26.6 | $(20.3)$ |
| Call forwarding service | 20.5 | $(14.5)$ | 15.2 | $(13.9)$ |
| Meet-me service | 17.9 | $(17.1)$ | 20.3 | $(20.3)$ |
| International paging service | 11.1 | $(11.1)$ | 10.1 | $(10.1)$ |
| HK / Guangdong / Macau paging service | 22.2 | $(20.5)$ | 21.5 | $(16.5)$ |
| Jetpage service | 9.4 | $(2.6)$ | 6.3 | $(1.3)$ |
| Telephone directory service | 25.6 | $(25.6)$ | 19.0 | $(19.0)$ |
| Daily flight arrival / departure information | 11.1 | $(11.1)$ | 0.0 | $(0.0)$ |
| Daily movie schedule | 17.9 | $(17.9)$ | 20.3 | $(20.3)$ |
| Restaurant reservations | 17.9 | $(17.1)$ | 16.5 | $(16.5)$ |
| Traffic report | 24.8 | $(23.9)$ | 21.5 | $(21.5)$ |
| Horse racing / Mark Six results | 18.8 | $(12.8)$ | 16.5 | $(10.1)$ |
| Financial information | 35.9 | $(32.5)$ | 26.6 | $(22.8)$ |
| Daily local / world weather report | 35.0 | $(28.2)$ | 29.1 | $(16.5)$ |
| Daily local / world news headlines | 23.9 | $(23.1)$ | 21.5 | $(20.3)$ |

[^21]An examination of the table reveals several key points. Firstly, secretarial service is most desired by subjects in both segments ( $49.6 \%$ versus $32.9 \%$ for segments 1 and 2 , respectively); subjects in segment 1 generally have a greater demand for this service than those in segment 2, as reflected by the difference between the two percentages. Although some of them have already been satisfied, there is still quite a high percentage of subjects who cannot have their needs satisfied (29.9\% versus $19.0 \%$ ).

Secondly, subjects in segment 1 have a distinctively greater need than those in segment 2 for the following two services: daily flight arrival/departure information inquiry ( $11.1 \%$ versus $0.0 \%$ ) and financial information inquiry $(35.9 \%$ versus $26.6 \%)$. Actually, a large percentage of subjects still do not have their needs satisfied ( $11.1 \%$ versus $0.0 \%$ for the former and $32.5 \%$ versus $22.8 \%$ for the latter).

The three most commonly cited reasons why subjects in both segments cannot have their needs satisfied are presented in Table 34. Actually, the top three reasons for both segments are the same, and there are only small differences between their relative percentages.

TABLE 34
REASONS FOR NOT OBTAINING THE NEEDED SERVICES

| Segment | Reason | Percentage |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | My paging company does not provide such service(s) | $35.9 \%$ |
|  | Too expensive | 31.6 |
|  | Too lazy to apply | 12.8 |
| 2 | Too expensive | 32.9 |
|  | My paging company does not provide such service(s) | 31.6 |
|  | Too lazy to apply | 8.9 |

Finally, the characteristics of the two benefit segments are summarized in the following table.

TABLE 35
A SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF THE TWO BENEFIT SEGMENTS

|  | Label | Descriptions | Characteristics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "Businessmen" } \\ & (59.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | Money-oriented users; they use pagers primarily because pagers can bring them business opportunities, can help them to save money / effort, to make money, and to enhance their job performance. | There are more students ( $12.8 \%$ versus $7.6 \%$ ) and sales representatives ( $20.5 \%$ versus $10.1 \%$ ) than in segment 2. Likely to use pagers more frequently than those in segment 2. More receptive to ad boards at MTR or KCR stations. Likely to prefer newspapers and TV to radio. Higher percentage of mobile phone /CT2 ownership (21.4\%). <br> Likely to be more financially optimistic. Greater demand for secretarial service, financial information and daily flight arrival / departure information. |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "Sociables" } \\ & (40.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | Socially-oriented users; they use pagers primarily because pagers can make them more informed and more easily reached by their friends / family, thus building up better social life and enhancing their social relationships with others: | Higher percentage of females (32.9\%). Higher percentage of managers or executives ( $19.0 \%$ versus $12.8 \%$ ). Use pagers less frequently than those of segment 1 . Likely to prefer newspapers and radio to TV. Greater demand for meet-me service, direct paging service and daily movie schedule inquiry service. |

## CHAPTER 7 <br> IMPLICATIONS FOR MARKETING STRATEGIES

### 7.1 Discussion

This study aims to provide information about the characteristics of pager users and the services they need. Such information would be useful to all paging companies for the sake of formulating appropriate marketing strategies. However, selection of a particular target segment will not be recommended. It is suggested that individual companies which would like to explore any one of the target segments mentioned should consider their company objectives and market positions.

### 7.1.1 General Demographics of Pager Users

The findings are not very different from general expectations about typical pager users. The paging market is still dominated by male customers. Obviously, the majority of the pager users are the young workforce who are within the 20 to 39 age range. They are actively engaged in industries such as trading, insurance, manufacturing, financing, retailing, etc.

### 7.1.2 Services Needed by Pager Users

Overall speaking, these people have demand for the services shown in Table 36.

TABLE 36
SERVICES DEMANDED BY PAGER USERS

| Paging Services | Percentage <br> $(\mathrm{n}=184)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Secretarial service | $42.9 \%$ |
| Weather report | 32.6 |
| Financial information | 31.0 |
| Direct paging | 24.5 |
| Traffic report | 23.9 |
| Telephone directory | 22.8 |
| Cross-border paging | 22.3 |

However, about $37.5 \%$ of the users cannot obtain the services because they are not provided by their paging companies. More than one-third ( $34.2 \%$ ) of the people feel that the services are too expensive, while $12 \%$ of them are too lazy to apply for the services. This indicates the trends of paging companies which provide diversified services and emphasize low charges. Since secretarial service is highly demanded, it is often included in the subscription package as a special benefit offered by most of the paging companies.

### 7.1.3 An Evaluation of the Four Segmentation Bases Used in the Study

In this section, the usefulness of demographics, psychographics, product usage, and benefits as bases for segmenting the paging market in Hong Kong is evaluated, using Kotler's four criteria (as discussed in Section 2.4): measurability, substantiality, actionability and accessibility ${ }^{41}$.

## 1. Measurability

Measurability refers to the degree to which the size, location, and purchasing power of all segments can be measured. The study has identified certain market segments by using the bases of product usage ("Light Users" and "Heavy Users"), *psychographics ("Dependents", "Innovators", "Matured", Adventurers", "Outgoing", and "Smart Buyers"), and benefits ("Businessmen" and "Sociables"). However, it is still impossible to determine the size, location, and purchasing power of these segments, since there are no reliable and regular sources which can provide such information. On the other hand, it may be possible to measure the sizes of the segments uncovered through demographic segmentation, since data such as sex, age, educational level, career, position, and monthly income can be readily obtained from publications of the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department.
2. Substantiality

A market segment's substantiality depends on both the number of consumers within it and the volume of their purchases. With regard to demographic segmentation, the census of 1991 showed that, in 1990, there were about $1,069,200(18.7 \%)$ and $1,102,900$ (19.3 \%) people within the 20-29 and 30-39

[^22]age ranges, respectively ${ }^{42}$. About one-third (34.5\%) of them are current pager users. This indicates the opportunities for increasing sales and profits by the paging companies.
3. Actionability

Actionability refers to the degree to which effective programs can be formulated for attracting and serving the segments. Though the size, location, and characteristics of the segments identified through product usage, psychographic, and benefit segmentation are difficult to determine, the information obtained from these segmentation bases can be incorporated with the users' demographics to generate more detailed descriptions and profiles of the segments. In the study, segments identified through product usage segmentation exhibit distinctive differences in their demographic profiles; however, for those identified through psychographic and benefit segmentation, profiling in terms of their demographics is found to be much more difficult. Demographics, in isolation, are not as useful as their combination with psychological and behavioral measures in profiling the users, since demographics have very low correlation with behaviors.
4. Accessibility

Accessibility refers to the degree to which the segment can be effectively reached and served. In the study, it appears that newspapers, radio, and TV are the three most popular types of media for both existing and potential pager users. However, differentiation between segments in terms of their media preferences is difficult, since the percentages are quite close to one another. This will make the work of targeting a specific segment more difficult.

[^23]On the whole, no one single segmentation criterion renders useful segments which fulfil the four measures mentioned by Kotler. Indeed, more useful segments can be identified by integrating the information generated from demographic, product usage, psychographic, and benefit segmentation.

### 7.1.4 Similar Segments Identified by Both Usage and Benefit Segmentation

It is interesting to note that the average number of calls per day is below ten. Hence those who have more than ten calls per day are considered to be heavy users. The light users and the heavy users differ along several dimensions: demographics, psychographics, benefits sought, and services demanded. Besides, the light users share common characteristics with the "Sociables" segment, whereas the heavy users resemble the "Businessmen" identified through benefit segmentation (Tables 21 and $35)^{43}$. The light users are relatively younger and have higher educational level. They consist of a large number of students and young professionals. On the other hand, the heavy users are older, i.e., over 30 , and not many of them have tertiary education. Most of them are sales representatives or executives in trading, insurance, or transport industries. Risk taking and not seeking for information are the characteristics of the light / "Sociables" users. They prefer colorful pagers to the dull black ones. Though the heavy users or "Businessmen" are very confident of themselves, they are prone to get advice from others and are risk averse. The conventional type of pager suits them best.

These results suggest that there are two distinctive types of pager users, who have different reasons for using pagers. It is observed that the "Sociables" users treasure the benefits of reachability and trendiness brought on by pagers. They need to build

[^24]up better social relations with their friends and families. Thus, the pager becomes a close intermediate link between them and their companions. The "Businessmen", on the other hand, depend on pagers to bring them business and money. They seek the benefits of better job performance, superiority, getting informed in case of emergencies, and establishing affection with their relations. Consistently, the "Sociables" users express great demand for services like daily movie schedule, restaurant reservations, weather report, and news headlines display / inquiry, whereas the "Businessmen" demand international paging, Hong Kong / Guangdong / Macau paging, direct paging, call forwarding and financial information.

From the above findings, it can be generalized that the usage patterns and benefits sought are relevant and useful criteria for segmenting the paging market. Though demographic criteria facilitate measurement and access to the targets, they provide little information about the behavioral aspects of the targets. This is always the pitfall of using demographics to segment the market. In this case, without the behavioral data, it is difficult to know what types of pagers and services the people within the 20 to 29 age range demand.

On the other hand, psychographics help to portray the characteristics of pager users which bear some relationship to explicit behavior. Unfortunately, the psychographic segments which have been identified pose difficulties with respect to measurement and accessibility. Clear and distinctive demographic profiles for the six segments are difficult to generate.

Integration of the data collected by using the four segmentation bases generates a more comprehensive picture of pager users than the use of any one basis of segmentation alone. This project's results have several implications for marketing strategy, and are presented in the following section.

### 7.2 Implications for Marketing Strategy

### 7.2.1 Target Market

There will be two major target segments. One is the "job-oriented" segment, and the other is the "social-oriented" segment. The relevant age range for these segments will be 20 to 39 . Within the "social-oriented" segment, students will be an important sub-group. However, marketers should closely monitor these clients, because the students are also potential businessmen after graduation, whose needs may have differed by that time. The "social-oriented" people are the less frequent users who want to keep close contact with their companions and widen their social circles. These people may or may not be actively employed. Housewives, students, clerical staff, professionals (e.g., lawyers, doctors, accountants, etc.), and executives / managers comprise this segment. For the "job-oriented" category, people working in trading and distribution, insurance, transport, and construction are the major targets. Besides, corporate clients in these industries should also be targeted. People in this category emphasize the importance of making money and outstanding job performance.

### 7.2.2 Product Strategy

Limited by the small number of product suppliers, there are not many choices for the color and shape of pagers. However, product differentiation can help to attract more customers, especially those who are "sociable" users. Since there is an interesting trend of students using pagers, and many young people treasure close communication with their peers, it may be the appropriate time to introduce colorful and fancy pagers. As the results suggest that these people seek the benefit of being trendy, pagers can be projected as a kind of accessory. Instead of wearing them around their
waists, they can be hung on school bags or handbags as decorations. Thus the designs must be attractive. An example would be pagers which are embedded into the popular items dangling from their bags.

For the "Businessmen", reliability of the pager is more important than the design. They are more satisfied with the conventional design and color. Therefore, reliability and a variety of services will be emphasized for this segment. Over $60 \%$ of the people in this segment feel that it is troublesome to switch to another paging company. This implies that they will be loyal customers once they are satisfied with the services provided. Moreover, these people are more likely to be mobile phone/ CT2 or car owners. It would be very-attractive to these people if the pagers could be packaged with the mobile phones or car phones.

### 7.2.3 Price Strategy

As mentioned in the previous section, the "Businessmen" strive for outstanding job performance, which in turn leads to greater money earning capacity. They should be more, willing to pay a higher price for better services, so premium pricing can be adopted for this segment. On the other hand, the "Sociable" users are usually students and low income earners who do not need as many services as the "Businessmen" do. Lower prices can be charged in this segment. Actually, the students in this group usually have tertiary education, and they are potential "Businessmen" in a few years' time. So it will be advantageous to establish their brand loyalty to the company by providing well designed pagers and high quality services at a lower price.

### 7.2.4 Promotional Strategy

From the findings, the "Businessmen" always seek advice from their friends, especially when they want to buy a pager. Hence, word-of-mouth is very important for this segment. In order to encourage positive word-of-mouth, the company should maintain an efficient customer service team and well-qualified operators. The "Businessmen" may be attracted by the billboards at the MTR or KCR stations, and they like to read newspapers and magazines. As a result, advertisements through these media channels will be very effective in reaching these targets. Listening to radio and watching television are popular hobbies of young adults; paging advertisements on the radio and television can be easily received by the "Sociables" users. Furthermore, a pager as a gift can be emphasized for the "Sociables" segment. In the advertisements directed toward "Businessmen", better job performance associated with the use of a pager should be emphasized, whereas for "Sociables" users, the advertisements must emphasize the happy social life and harmonious peer relationships which result from the use of a pager.

### 7.2.5 Distribution Strategy

A comprehensive marketing strategy should include an aspect related to physical distribution issues. However, since the survey instrument did not include any questions relating to distribution, these issues will not be addressed in detail. It is suggested that paging companies could cooperate with food or clothing chain stores which are heavily visited by students and the young adults in distributing their products (for example, they may set up counters in these stores to promote their pagers).

## CHAPTER 8

## CONCLUSION

The paging market in Hong Kong has long been considered by local paging companies to be a mass market, and few efforts have been made to differentiate their marketing mixes in order to appeal to different market segments. By providing various kinds of products or services, they are practicing only the strategy of product differentiation, but not true market segmentation. For the strategy of market segmentation to be feasible, the first step is to segment the market and identify any profitable and actionable segments. Without a clear target in mind, companies would easily lose their direction, thus leading to a waste of efforts and resources. Our research has successfully demonstrated that the local paging market, although long regarded as a mass and undifferentiated one, can actually be separated into distinguishable and identifiable segments using various bases such as demographics, psychographics, product usage and benefits. By using product usage and benefit segmentation, it appears that two groups of users exist in the market: the "joboriented" segment, and the "social-oriented" segment. These two groups of customers seek distinguishable benefits, and have clear usage and demographic profiles. The implication of this finding is that although the pager seems to be a mass and undifferentiated product, marketing differentiation, particularly with respect to advertising, could be adopted. Paging companies can refine and tailor their marketing efforts to appeal to these two distinguishable segments, so as to grasp larger market shares of these segments.

This project is only an exploratory study of the potential segments which may exist in the paging industry. Further studies should be conducted to obtain a more comprehensive and clearer profile of these two benefit segments in terms of their
demographics, product usage, and psychographics in order to provide better support for the formulation of marketing strategies.
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## APPENDIXA QUESTIONNAIRE (ENGLISH VERSION)

We are a group of graduate students from The Chinese University of Hong Kong. We are now conducting research on the paging industry; can you spare a few minutes to complete this questionnaire?

## SECTION I

1. Do you have a pager now?
${ }^{1}$ ___ yes (go to Q.3)
2 $\qquad$ no

1 $\qquad$
2. Do you plan to buy / rent a pager within the next three months?

1 $\qquad$ yes
2 $\qquad$ no (end of questionnaire)
3. Are you over fifteen?

1 $\qquad$ yes (if the respondent has no pager, go to $Q .7$ )
2 $\qquad$ no (end of questionnaire)

3 $\qquad$
4. What type of pager do you use? (you may choose only one item)

1 $\qquad$ tone pager

2 $\qquad$ numeric pager
3 $\qquad$ alphanumeric pager
4 $\qquad$ card pager
5 $\qquad$ pen pager
6 $\qquad$ others (please specify )

4 $\qquad$
5. To which paging company are you now subscribing?
$\qquad$ ABC Communications Ltd.

7
8
2 __BB Telecom Ltd.
3 _—Chevalier (Paging Services) Ltd.
9 $\qquad$ Kantone Paging Co. Ltd.
$\qquad$ EPRO Paging Services Ltd.
5 $\qquad$ HK Telecom CSL Ltd.

10 $\qquad$ New World Paging
$\qquad$ Hutchison Paging Co. Ltd.

11 Star Paging Ltd.
12 - Telecom Paging Ltd. $\qquad$ ) 5 $\qquad$
6. How many calls do you receive each day?

1 less than 10
2 __ 10 to 20
${ }^{3}$ __ more than 20 $\qquad$
7. Which of the following services do you need? (you may choose more than one item)

1 $\qquad$ secretarial service
2 direct paging service (computer recording)

3 call forwarding service
4 $\qquad$ meet-me service
5 international paging service
6 ___ Hong Kong / Guangdong / Macau paging service
7 __ Jetpage service (offered exclusively by Hutchison)
8 $\qquad$ information inquiry / display service telephone directory service
10 __ daily flight arrival / departure information
11 $\qquad$ daily movie schedule
12 $\qquad$ restaurant reservations
$\qquad$ horse racing / Mark Six results
15 $\qquad$ financial information (gold prices / Hang Seng Index / forex rates, etc.)
16 $\qquad$ daily local / world weather report
17 $\qquad$ daily local / world news headlines
18 $\qquad$ others (please spēcify )
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(If you have no pager, go to Section II)
8. What service(s) is / are provided by your pager now? (you may choose more than one item)

1 $\qquad$ secretarial service $\qquad$
2 $\qquad$ direct paging service (computer recording) call forwarding service
$\qquad$
5 meet-me service
$\qquad$ international paging service
6 Hong Kong / Guangdong / Macau paging service
7 ___ Jetpage service (offered exclusively by Hutchison)
8 ___ information inquiry / display service
telephone directory service
daily flight arrival / departure information
10 $\qquad$ daily movie schedule restaurant reservations traffic report horse racing / Mark Six results financial information (gold prices / Hang Seng Index / forex rates, etc.) daily local / world weather report 17 __ daily local / world news headlines 18 $\qquad$ others (please specify $\qquad$

37
38 $\qquad$
39
40
41
41
42 $\qquad$
(If you have no need or if all your need(s) is /are satisfied, go to Section II)
9. What is / are your reason(s) for not obtaining the service(s) that you want? (you may choose more than one item)
1 too expensive
2 _ my paging company does not provide such service(s)
4 _ there is no paging company which can provide such service(s)
5 _ no time for application
6 _application procedures too complicated
7 too lazy to apply
8 don't know how to apply
others (please specify
$\qquad$

## SECTION II

Read the following statements and then give your opinion on each statement, using a sixpoint scale. Point 0 represents Strongly Disagree, while point 5 represents Strongly Agree.

| Strongly |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disagree | Disagree | Slightly | Slightly | Agree | Strongly |
| Disagree | Agree |  | Agree |  |  |

10. 
11. 

12
13.
14.
16.
$\qquad$ When I buy / rent a pager, I will consult others.
$\qquad$ Different brands of pagers appeal to people of different personalities.
$\qquad$ Pagers in black color look very dull.
$\qquad$ I seldom read / watch advertisements for pagers.
$\qquad$ Each time I receive a page, I find it annoying to find a phone.
. I often buy new brands before my friends and neighbors do.
$\qquad$ I would be afraid of having my secrets disclosed when using a pager.
__ I like to try new and different things.
__ Investing in the stock market is too risky.
19. _ I prefer colorful pagers.
20. _ The servicing attitude of pager operators of all paging companies is the same.
21. _ I am always attracted by the advertising boards at MTR or KCR stations.
22. __ I think card pagers fail easily.
23. _ I think that the price of a product is more important than its quality.
24. _ I often can talk others into doing something.
25. _ I like parties where there is lots of music and talk.
26. _ I like to use pagers with technologically advanced functions.
27. _ My income is high enough to satisfy nearly all my important desires.
28. _ I will probably have more money to spend next year than I have now.
29. __ I think that pagers should be as small as possible.
30. _ I often seek out the advice of my friends regarding which brand to buy.

51
52
53
53
54
54
55
50
$\qquad$
58
59
60
61
62

I think that it is disrespectful to consumers when pager operators make mistakes.
$\qquad$ I buy / rent a pager because most of my friends have one.
$\qquad$ Choosing a particular brand of pager is time-consuming. $\qquad$
75
76
77
78
79
80
40.
__ I like to pay cash for everything I buy. $\qquad$
(If you have no pager, go to Section III)
56. $\qquad$ I feel very satisfied with the products and services of my paging company.
57. $\qquad$ It is troublesome to switch to another paging company.
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## SECTION III

58. The following are the benefits of using pagers; how important are these benefits to you? Show the importance by using a six-point scale. Point 0 represents Not Important At AII, while point 5 represents Extremely Important.


A pager helps me to:
___save money
99
$100 \square$
$101 \square$
102
103
104
105
106
107
$\square$

A pager makes me:
$\qquad$ well-informed
superior
have a sense of novelty
have a sense of security
easily reached by friends / family
informed of emergencies
feel very important
have better job performance handle my affairs conveniently others (please specify )

108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117 $\qquad$

## SECTION IV

59. Your sex:

1 $\qquad$ male
$\qquad$ female $\qquad$
60. Your age:

1 15-19
2 20-29
3 30-39
4 40-49
5 50-59
6 - 60 and over
61. Your educational level:

1 $\qquad$ primary or below
2 form 1 to 5
3 form 6 to 7
$\qquad$ university / tertiary $\qquad$
62. Your career:

1 $\qquad$ construction
2 wholesale

3 retailing
4 trading
5 restaurants and hotels
6 communication
7 -_insurance
8 -_financing
9 real estate
10 $\qquad$ manufacturing
11 transport
12 $\qquad$ student (go to Q.64)
13 $\qquad$ others (please specify $\qquad$
$\qquad$
63. Your position:

1 $\qquad$ manager / executive
2 $\qquad$ professional
3 $\qquad$ clerk
$\qquad$ sales representative
5 $\qquad$ blue collar
$\qquad$ others (please specify $\qquad$
$\qquad$
64. Your personal monthly income:

1 $\qquad$ $\$ 5,000$ or below
2 $\qquad$ \$5,001-10,000
3 \$10,001-15,000
$\qquad$ \$15,001-20,000
$\qquad$ \$20,001-25,000
6 \$25,001-30,000
7 ___over 30,000 $\qquad$

## APPENDIX B <br> QUESTIONNAIRE（CIIINESE VERSION） <br> 香港傳呼機市場研究

我哋係一班中文大學的硏究生，現在正進行一個有關傳呼機市場的研究。請問你可否用幾分鐘時間同我哋做一份問卷呢？

## 第一部份

此欄不用填藛

1．你現在有沒有傳呼機？
有（跳至第 3 題）
沒有

2．你有否計劃在未來三個月內購買／租用一部傳呼機呢？
有

2 $\qquad$沒有（問卷完單）

2 $\qquad$

3．請問你是否大過 15 歲呢？
$\qquad$是（如被訪者沒有傳呼機，跳至第 7 題）
$\qquad$否（問卷完畢） $\qquad$

4．你的傳呼機屬於那一類型？（只可選擇一項）
$\qquad$聲响機
$\qquad$數字機
3中文／英文顯字機
4咭片型傳呼機

5筆型傳呼機
6其他（請註明 $\qquad$ ） $\qquad$

5．你現在用那一間傳呼公司的服務？

7
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
11看通傳呼新系電訊新世界傳訊星光傳呼電訊傳呼
12 ——其他（請註明 $\qquad$ ）

6．你每天收到多少個值呼？
少個 10 個

2 10個至20個

3 $\qquad$多過 20 個
$\qquad$

7．你需要以下甚麿服務？（可樈擇多過一項）
秘書服務
2直接傳呼（電腦錄音）服務

3預先通知轉跤電話服務
4即時對話服務（聯線通／王者之Phone）
5國際傳呼服務
6香港，廣東，澳門傳呼服務
7 Jetpage 特快線傳呼服務
8各樣資料查詢／顯示服務

9 $\qquad$各大機構電話號碼查詢
10每日飛機抵港及離港資料
11電影上映地點指南
12 $\qquad$餐廳及酒樓訂位服務
13交通報告
14 $\qquad$赛馬／六合彩結果
15財經消息（黃金價／恆生指數／外匯兌換率等）
16每日本地／世界天氯報告
17 $\qquad$每日本地／世界新聞頭條

18 $\qquad$其他（請註明 $\qquad$ ＿）
（若你沒有偠呼㙨，跳至第二部份）
秘㫷服務

2直接傅呼（電腦錄音）服務
3
${ }^{3}$ ——預先通知轉駇電話服務
4即時對話服務（聯線通／王者之Phone）
5國際傳呼服務
6香港，度東，澳門傳呼服務
7 $\qquad$ Jetpage 特快線傳呼服務（適用於和記用戶）
$\qquad$

8各樣資料查詢／顯示服務
9各大機構電話號碼查詢
10每日飛機抵港及離港資料
11電影上映地點指南
12 餐廳及酒樓訂位服務
13 —交通報告
14賽馬／六合彩結果
15 $\qquad$財經消息（黃金㑑／恆生指數／外匯兌換率等）
16每日本地／世界天氣報告
17
18 $\qquad$其他（請註明 $\qquad$ $!$

29
30）


31
$\qquad$
32
33

## 每日本地／世界新聞頭條 <br> 7

（若你不需要以上服務，或你需要的服務已由現公司提供，跳至第二部份）

9．甚隉原因令你未能獲得你需要的服務呢？（可選擇多過一項）
1收費太貴
2自己傳呼公司未能提供
3 ＿現在沒有傳呼公司提供
4沒有時間申請

5 $\qquad$申請煩複攧得申請
7不知道怎樣申請

8其他（請註明 $\qquad$ ）


## 第二部份

請閱設下面句字，然後用0至5分法示你靶每句句于的意見。0分代表完全不同意，而分代春完全同意。


此欄不用塡寫

10．——當我買／租用一部傳呼機，我會請敎別人。
11. $\qquad$不同牌子的傅呼機吸引不同性格的人。
12. $\qquad$黑色的傳呼機看來很沉悶。
13. $\qquad$我甚少閱頭／收看傳呼機的廣告。
14. $\qquad$我賢得每次找電話覆機是很㽿煩的事。
15. $\qquad$我時常比朋友和鄰居快一步購買新牌子的產品。
16. $\qquad$當我用傳呼機時，我會害怕自己的秘密被揭露。
17. $\qquad$我喜歡嘗試新穎和不同的東西。
18. $\qquad$投資在股票市場的風險太大。
19. $\qquad$我較喜歡色彩繽紛的傳呼機。
20. $\qquad$所有傳呼公司傳呼員的服務態度都是差不多。
21. $\qquad$我時常被地鐵站或火車站的廣告板所吸引。
22. $\qquad$我覺得咭片型的傳呼機很容易壤。
23. $\qquad$我賢得一件貨品的價鉞重要過它的品質。
24. $\qquad$我時常能說服別人做某些事。
25. $\qquad$我喜歡參加熱閙的派對。
26. $\qquad$我喜歡使用功能先進的傳呼機。
27. $\qquad$我的收入足以滿足機乎我所有重要的慾望。
28. $\qquad$比起今年，明年我很可能會有更多錢用。
29. $\qquad$我認爲傅呼機應該愈細愈好。
30. $\qquad$我時常詢問朋友關於購買那一種牌子的意見。
31. $\qquad$我斆得傳呼員落錯＂call＂是對顧客不尊重。
32. $\qquad$因爲我大多數朋友都擁有傳呼機，所以我也購買／租用一部。
33. $\qquad$選擈作呼機的牌于是需要悵時洔的。
34. $\qquad$我留得選擇大集罒經營的㑬呼公司比較有保障。
35. $\qquad$我很少德收音機。
36. $\qquad$我的朋友和鄰居時常向我訽問意見。
37．——我不會参與危險的運動。
38. $\qquad$我比大多数人更猲立。

39．——女性不應掉帶佐呼機。
40. $\qquad$我喜敬用現金買任何東西。
41．——我有時會影響到朋友買東西的決定。
42. $\qquad$我是第一代／第二代手提無線電話的用戶。
43. $\qquad$傳呼機的＂BB＂聲很令人討厭。
44. $\qquad$付出多些金銭購買名牌子產品是値得的。
45. $\qquad$我認爲我比大多數人有更多自信。
46. $\qquad$我希望我有更多金錢。
47. $\qquad$我通常是一群人社最引人注目的一個。
48. $\qquad$我喜敬䦎謮報紙。
49. $\qquad$我對現時的工作感到滿意。
50. $\qquad$看電視比閱譈雑誌更有趣。
51. $\qquad$一旦我找到自己喜歡的牌子，我便以後都會選用它。
52. $\qquad$我每日都留意世界大事。
53. $\qquad$攜帶傳呼機是一種潮流。
54. $\qquad$我寧願在家中過一個寧靜的晩上而不去派對。
55. $\qquad$我擁有一部汽車。
（若你沒有供呼機，跳至第三部份）
56. $\qquad$我對自己傳呼公司的產品和服務感到滿意。
57. $\qquad$更換另一間専呼公司是一件非常珢煩的事。 $\qquad$

## 第三部份

 0 至5分丰示出來。0分代表完全不菦要。5分代裴極爲重要。


傳呼機㬱我：
$\qquad$慳錢
$\qquad$賺錢
$\qquad$慳時間

$$
0
$$

慳功夫
$\qquad$追上潮流
$\qquad$建立更好的社交生活
$\qquad$得到朋友認同
$\qquad$增進和朋友／家人感情
$\qquad$其他（請註明 $\qquad$ ）

99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107 $\qquad$

108

109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117

## 第四部份

59．你的性別：


60．你的年䕜


61．你的教育程度：
$\qquad$小學或以下中一至中五中六至中七大學／專上學院

62．你的職業：

|  | 建築 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 批發 |
| 3 | 零售 |
| 4 | 出入口貿易 |
| 5 | 酒樓／酒店 |
| 6 |  |
| 7 | 保險 |
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63．你的職位：
${ }^{1}$ ——經理／行政人員
${ }_{3}$ ——專業人士
${ }^{\text {——文員 }}$
$\qquad$營業代表
5藍領
$\qquad$ 122 $\qquad$

64．你個人每月收入：
1
$2 \_\$ 5,000$ 或以下
$2-\$ 5,001-10,000$
$3 \_\$ 10,001-15,000$
$4 \_\$ 15,001-20,000$


## APPENDIX C LIST OF AIO QUESTIONS

## 1) Product Specific Questions

AIO10. When I buy / rent a pager, I will consult others.
AIO11. Different brands of pagers appeal to people of different personalities.
AIO 12. Pagers in black color look very dull.
AIO13. I seldom read / watch advertisements for pagers.
AIO14. Each time I receive a page, I find it annoying to find a phone.
AIO16. I would be afraid of having my secrets disclosed when using a pager.
AIO19. I prefer colorful pagers.
AIO20. The servicing attitude of pager operators of all paging companies is the same.
AIO22. I think card pagers fail easily.
AIO26. I like to use pagers with technologically advanced functions.
AIO29. I think that pagers should be as small as possible.
AIO31. I think that it is disrespectful to customers when pager operators make the wrong call.
AIO32. I buy / rent a pager because most of my friends have one.
AIO33. Choosing a particular brand of pager is time-consuming.
AIO34. I think that choosing paging companies operated by large corporations is more secure.
AIO 39. Females should not carry pagers.
AIO43. The beeping sound of a pager is very annoying.
AIO53. Carrying a pager is a fashion.
AIO56. I feel very satisfied with the products and services of my paging company.
AIO57. It is troublesome to switch to another paging company.

## 2) General Lifestyle Questions

Price Conscious
AIO23. I think that the price of a product is more important than its quality.

## Homebody

AIO25. I like parties where there is lots of music and talk.
AIO54. I would rather spend a quiet evening at home than go out to a party.

## Self-Confidence

AIO38. I am more independent than most people.
AIO45. I think I have more self-confidence than most people.

## Information Seeker

AIO30. I often seek out the advice of my friends regarding which brand to buy.

## New Brand Tryer

AIO15. I often buy new brands before my friends and neighbors do.
AIO17. I like to try new and different things.

## Satisfied with Finances

AIO27. My income is high enough to satisfy nearly all my important desires.
AIO46. I wish I had a lot more money.

## Financial Optimist

AIO28. I will probably have more money to spend next year than I have now.

## Risk Averse

AIO18. Investing in the stock market is too risky.
AIO37. I would not participate in dangerous sports.

Credit User
AIO40. I like to pay cash for everything I buy.

Personality Expressive
AIO24. I often can talk others into doing something.
AIO47. I am usually the centre of attention in a group.

Functionalist
AIO44. It is worth it to pay more for prestigious brand products.

Satisfaction with Present Job
AIO49. I feel satisfied with my present job.

## Brand Switcher

AIO51. Once I find a brand, I like to stick with it.

## Media Preference

AIO21. I am always attracted by the advertising boards at MTR or KCR stations.
AIO35. I seldom listen to the radio.
AIO48. I like reading the newspaper.
AIO50. Watching television is more interesting than reading magazines.
AIO52. I keep myself abreast of world affairs.

## Users of Other Products

AIO42. I am a mobile phone / CT2 user.
AIO55. I have a car.
VARIMAX ROTATED COMPONENT ANALYSIS FACTOR MATRIX

| AIO <br> Statements | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | Factor 3 | Factor 4 | Factor 5 | Factor 6 | Factor 7 | Factor 8 | Factor 9 | Factor 10 | Factor 11 | Factor 12 | Factor 13 | Factor 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AIO47 | . 71266 * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIO24 | . 68169 * |  |  |  | . 27730 | -. 23493 |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
| AIO38 | . 67565 * |  | -. 30021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Al045 | . 62398 * |  |  | . 23897 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25668 |  | 21231 |
| AIO41 | . 56975 * | . 25625 |  |  |  | -. 28231 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIO36 | . 56336 * | . 27489 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -. 25448 |  |  |
| AIO44 | . 49239 | -. 21872 |  |  |  |  | . 22509 | -. 36602 |  | . 25174 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO27 | . 44820 |  | . 20300 | . 24246 |  | . 35922 |  |  |  |  |  | . 21837 |  |  |
| AIO30 |  | . 77042 * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 2183 |  |  |
| AIOIO |  | . 62296 * |  |  |  |  | . 26169 |  |  |  |  | -. 21443 |  |  |
| AlO12 |  |  | .79622* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AlO19 |  |  | . 71468 * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIO26 AIO17 |  |  |  | .71033** | . 24850 | -. 22727 |  |  |  |  | - |  | . 22448 |  |
| AlO17 AIOIS |  |  |  | . 70989 * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIO25 |  |  |  |  | .77474* | . 37643 |  |  |  | . 20633 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO54 |  |  |  |  | -.60438* |  | . 21212 |  | . 27594 | . 22618 |  | . 22443 |  |  |
| AIO11 |  |  | . 35512 |  | . 47597 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 25033 |  |
| AlO32 | -. 24872 . | . 24743 |  |  | . 33338 | $\begin{gathered} -.72159 \\ -47251 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | . 33338 |  |  |  |  | . 30587 | . 21540 |  |  |  |

APPENDIX D (CONTINUED)
VARIMAX ROTATED COMPONENT ANALYSIS FACTOR MATRIX

| AIO <br> Statements | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | Factor 3 | Factor 4 | Factor 5 | Factor 6 | Factor 7 | Factor 8 | Factor 9 | Factor 10 | Factor 11 | Factor 12 | Factor 13 | Factor 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AIO29 |  | . 32075 |  | . 26444 | -. 23656 | -. 33539 |  |  | -. 32398 |  | 29076 | -31462 |  |  |
| AIOI4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 69772 |  |  |  |  | -.31462 |  |  |
| AIO18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 54672 |  |  | -. 21619 |  |  |  | -. 20286 |
| AIO31 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 52803 |  |  |  | -. 23047 |  | 50186 |  |
| AIO16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 26423 | 1 | -. 23047 |  | . 50186 |  |
| AIO23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 56338 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIO28 | . 41128 | . 32869 |  | ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |  |  | -. 45484 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIO33 |  | . 23423 | . 33010 | -. 22676 |  |  |  | . 40350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AlO51 | . 25795 | . 20202 |  |  |  |  |  |  | .64071* |  | . 33252 |  | . 20695 |  |
| A1037 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 64055 * |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIO13 |  | -. 43173 | . 30747 |  |  |  | . 21594 |  | -. -45521 |  | . 26248 |  |  |  |
| AIO20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 21594 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIO39 | . 27502 | . 20589 |  |  |  | . 35376 |  | . 25721 |  | 50075 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO53 |  |  |  |  | . 21716 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIO49 |  |  |  | . 33626 | -. 26976 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .78390 \\ & .45155 \end{aligned}$ |  | - |  |
| AIO40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -. 42287 |  |  |  |  |
| AIO34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 85985 |  |  |
| AIO43 | . 25623 |  |  |  |  |  | 32949 |  |  |  |  |  | . 75521 |  |
| AIO22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -. 25803 | -. 40618 | $\begin{aligned} & .22321 \\ & 81417 \end{aligned}$ |

* Loadings with absolute values greater than 0.5 .
APPENDIX E
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|  | AIO Statement | Segment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Light user $(\mathrm{n}=135)$ | Heavy user ( $\mathrm{n}=47$ ) |
| CONFIDENCE |  |  |  |
| AIO24 | I often can talk others into doing something. | 19.3\% ${ }^{1}$ | 31.9\% |
| AIO36 | My friends or neighbors often come to me for advice. | 10.4 | 23.4 |
| AlO38 | I am more independent than most people. | 34.8 | 55.3 |
| AIO41 | I sometimes influence what my friends buy. | 29.6 | 27.7 |
| AIO45 | I think I have more self-confidence than most people. | 31.1 | 46.8 |
| AIO47 | I am usually the centre of attention in a group. | 14.8 | 17.0 |
| ADVICE |  |  |  |
| AIO10 | When I buy / rent a pager, I will consult others. | 52.6 | 57.4 |
| AIO30 | I often seek out the advice of my friends regarding which brand to buy. | 14.8 | 23.4 |
| COLOR |  |  |  |
| AIO12 | Pagers in black color look very dull. | 14.8 | 4.3 |
| AIO19 | I prefer colorful pagers. | 8.1 | 2.1 |
| INNOVATION |  |  |  |
| AIO15 | I often buy new brands before my friends and neighbors do. | 9.6 | 14.9 |
| AIO17 | I like to try new and different things. | 33.3 | 48.9 |
| AIO26 | I like to use pagers with technologically advanced functions. | 54.8 | 53.2 |
| PARTY |  |  |  |
| AIO25 | I like parties where there is lots of music and talk. | 25.2 | 21.3 |
| AIO54 | I would rather spend a quiet evening at home than go out to a party (reverse coded). | 14.1 | 14.9 |

PSYCHOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF THE TWO USAGE SEGMENTS

|  |  | Segment |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | AIO Statement | Light user <br> $(\mathrm{n}=135)$ | Heavy user <br> $(\mathrm{n}=47)$ |
| RISK |  |  |  |
| AIO37 | I would not participate in dangerous sports. | $31.9 \%^{1}$ | $46.8 \%$ |
| AIO51 | Once I find a brand, I like to stick with it. | 23.7 | 23.4 |
| MEDIA |  |  |  |
| AIO21 | I am always attracted by the advertising bonids at MTR or KCR stations. | 25.2 | 29.8 |
| AIO35 | I seldom listen to radio (reverse coded). | 24.4 | 19.1 |
| AIO48 | I like reading the newspaper. | 40.0 | 55.3 |
| AIO50 | Watching television is more interesting than reading magazines. | 30.4 | 27.7 |
| AIO52 | I keep myself abreast of world affairs. | 27.4 | 38.3 |
| PRODUCTS 2 |  |  | 15.6 |
| AIO42 | I am a mobile phone / CT2 user: | 17.8 | 27.7 |
| AIO55 | I have a car. | 21.3 |  |
| OTHERS |  | 39.3 | 31.9 |
| AIOI1 | Different brands of pagers appeal to people of different personalities. | 31.9 | 23.4 |
| AO13 | I seldom read / watch advertisements for pagers (reverse coded). | 57.8 | 66.0 |
| AIO14 | Each time I receive a page, I find it annoying to find a phone. | 7.4 | 25.5 |
| AIO16 | I would be afraid of having my secrets disclosed when using a pager. | 30.4 | 34.0 |
| AIO18 | Investing in the stock market is too risky. | 10.4 | 14.9 |
| AIO20 | The servicing attitude of pager operators of all paging companies is the same. | 30.4 | 31.9 |
| AIO22 | I think card pagers fail easily. |  |  |

APPENDIX E (CONTINUED)
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|  |  |  | Segment |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | AIO Statement | Light user <br> $(\mathrm{n}=135)$ | Heavy user <br> $(\mathrm{n}=47)$ |
| OTHERS |  |  | $4.4 \%{ }^{1}$ |
| AIO23 | I think that the price of a product is more important than its quality. | $8.5 \%$ |  |
| AIO27 | My income is high enough to satisfy nearly all my important desires. | 8.1 | 17.0 |
| AIO28 | I will probably have more money to spend next year than I have now. | 31.1 | 46.8 |
| AIO29 | I think that pagers should be as small as possible. | 57.0 | 55.3 |
| AIO31 | I think that it is disrespectful to consumers when pager operators make mistakes. | 40.7 | 38.3 |
| AIO32 | I buy / rent a pager because most of my friends have one. | 6.7 | 8.5 |
| AIO33 | Choosing a particular brand of pager is time-consuming. | 8.9 | 17.0 |
| AIO34 | I think that choosing paging companies operated by large corporations is more secure. | 54.1 | 55.3 |
| AIO39 | Females should not carry pagers. | 5.2 | 2.1 |
| AIO40 | I like to pay cash for everything I buy. | 27.4 | 31.9 |
| AIO43 | The beeping sound of a pager is very annoying. | 25.9 | 27.7 |
| AIO44 | It is worth it to pay more for prestigious brand products. | 26.7 | 34.0 |
| AIO46 | I wish I had a lot more money. | 82.2 | 83.0 |
| AIO49 | I feel satisfied with my present job. | 31.1 | 27.7 |
| AIO53 | Carrying a pager is a fashion. | 15.6 | 12.8 |
| AIO56 | I feel very satisfied with the products and services of my paging company. | 28.9 | 34.0 |
| AIO57 | It is troublesome to switch to another paging company. | 45.9 | 61.0 |
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| * | Segment (total number of subjects $=199)^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (n=46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (\mathrm{n}=54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (n=34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (n=18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (\mathrm{n}=28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (n=19) \end{gathered}$ |
| CONFIDENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIO47 I am usually the centre of attention in a group. | 6.5\% ${ }^{1}$ | 5.6\% | 17.6\% | 16.7\% | 21.4\% | 47.4\% |
| AIO24 I often can talk others into doing something. | 6.5 | 13.0 | 26.5 | 16.7 | 53.6 | 36.8 |
| AIO38 I am more independent than most people. | 19.6 | 25.9 | 55.9 | 50.0 | 60.7 | 52.6 |
| AIO45 I think I have more self-confidence than most people. | 15.2 | 22.2 | 47.1 | 27.8 | 60.7 | 47.4 |
| AIO41 I sometimes influence what my friends buy. | 19.6 | 22.2 | 29.4 | 27.8 | 46.4 | 42.1 |
| AIO36 My friends or neighbors often come to me for advice. | 8.7 | 9.3 | 20.6 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 36.8 |
| ADVICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIO30 I often seek out the advice of my friends regarding which brand to buy. | 17.4 | 16.7 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 57.9 |
| AIO10 When I buy / rent a pager, I will consult others. | 63.0 | 59.3 | 29.4 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 94.7 |

(to be continued)
${ }^{1}$ Percentage of subjects who agree or strongly agree with the AIO statement.
${ }^{2}$ Due to missing values, one subject was excluded from the analysis.
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|  |  | Segment (total number of subjects $=199)^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (\mathrm{n}=46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (\mathrm{n}=54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (\mathrm{n}=34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (n=18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (n=28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (n=19) \end{gathered}$ |
| COLOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIO12 | Pagers in black color look very dull. | 2.2\% | 18.5\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.6\% |
| AIO19 | I prefer colorful pagers. | 2.2 | 5.6 | 2.9 | 0:0 | 0.0 | 52.6 |
| INNOVATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIO26 | I like to use pagers with technologically advanced functions. | 32.6 | 72.2 | 67.6 | 50.0 | 39.3 | 68.4 |
| AIO17 | I like to try new and different things. | 15.2 | 53.7 | 44.1 | 50.0 | 21.4 | 36.8 |
| AlOI5 | I often buy new brands before my friends and neighbors do. | 2.2 | 16.7 | 11.8 | 16.7 | 7.1 | 21.1 |
| PARTY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIO25 | 1 like parties where there is lots of music and talk. | 2.2 | 24.1 | 8.8 | 38.9 | 64.3 | 31.6 |
| AIO54 | I would rather spend a quiet evening at home than go out to a party. (reverse coded) | 4.3 | 13.0 | 5.9 | 22.2 | 42.9 | 5.3 |
| RISK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIO51 | Once I find a brand, I like to stick with it. | 15.2 | 9.3 | 35.3 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 78.9 |
| A1037 | I would not participate in dangerous sports. | 30.4 | 24.1 | 70.6 | 0.0 | 28.6 - | 78.9 |
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|  |  | Segment (total number of subjects $=199)^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (n=46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (\mathrm{n}=54) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{3 \\(\mathrm{n}=34)}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (\mathrm{n}=18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (n=28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (\mathrm{n}=19) \end{gathered}$ |
| MEDIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIO21 | I am always attracted by the advertising boards at MTR or KCR stations. | 19.6\% ${ }^{1}$ | 37.0\% | 20.6\% | 22.2\% | 35.7\% | 21.1\% |
| AIO35 | I seldom listen to radio (reverse coded). | 30.4 | 44.4 | 38.2 | 50.0 | 42.9 | 36.8 |
| AIO48 | I like reading the newspaper. | 47.8 | 38.9 | 50.0 | 44.4 | 42.9 | 42.1 |
| AIO50 | Watching television is more interesting than reading magazines. | 26.1 | 29.6 | 23.5 | 27.8 | 35.7 | 42.1 |
| AIO52 | I keep myself abreast of world affairs. | 28.3 | 24.1 | 26.5 | 27.8 | 46.4 | 36.8 |
| OTHERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIOI1 | Different brands of pagers appeal to people of different personalities. | 32.6 | 40.7 | 32.4 | 38.9 | 39.3 | 42.1 |
| AIO13 | I seldom read/watch advertisements for pagers (reverse coded). | 23.9 | 24.1 | 32.4 | 16.7 | 35.7 | 26.3 |
| AIO14 | Each time I receive a page, I find it annoying to find a phone. | 52.2 | . 57.4 | 61.8 | 55.6 | 53.6 | 73.7 |
| AIO16 | I would be afraid of having my secrets disclosed when using a pager. | 8.7 | 11.1 | 14.7 | 5:6 | 14.3 | 26.3 |
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${ }^{2}$ Dercentage of subjects who agree or strongly agree with the AIO statement.
Due missing values, one subject was excluded from the analysis.
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## APPENDIX G <br> DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF THE SIX PSYCHOGRAPHIC SEGMENTS

|  | Segment |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| SEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 67.4\% | 77.8\% | 73.5\% | 77.8\% | 64.3\% | 42.1\% |
| Female | 30.4 | 22.2 | 23.5 | 22.2 | 35.7 | 57.9 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 3.6 | 0.0 |
| 20-29 | 78.3 | 72.2 | 67.6 | 77.8 | 75.0 | 78.9 |
| 30-39 | 17.4 | 16.7 | 29.4 | 11.1 | 21.4 | 21.1 |
| 40-49 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | $0.0=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 50-59 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 60 and over | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary or below | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Form 1 to 5 | 32.6 | 53.7 | 32.4 | 50.0 | 39.3 | 31.6 |
| Form 6 to 7 | 13.0 | 18.5 | 17.6 | 22.2 | 7.1 | 15.8 |
| University /tertiary | 52.2 | 25.9 | 47.1 | 27.8 | 53.6 | 52.6 |
| CAREER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction | 2.2 | 7.4 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 5.3 |
| Wholesale | 6.5 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Retailing | 8.7 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 5.6 | 14.3 | 0.0 |
| Trading | 6.5 | 11.1 | 11.8 | 16.7 | 7.1 | 10.5 |
| Restaurants/hotels | 2.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Communication | 0.0 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 5.6 | 3.6 | 0.0 |
| Insurance | 15.2 | 14.8 | 11.8 | 16.7 | 10.7 | 10.5 |
| Financing | 4.3 | 7.4 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 3.6 | 0.0 |
| Real estate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 |
| Manufacturing | 2.2 | 9.3 | 14.7 | 5.6 | 3.6 | 5.3 |
| Transport | 2.2 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 5.3 |
| Student | 10.9 | 5.6 | 8.8 | 5.6 | 10.7 | 31.6 |
| Others | 37.0 | 31.5 | 26.5 | 22.2 | 39.3 | 21.1 |
| POSITION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manager/executive | 10.9 | 13.0 | 29.4 | 11.1 | 14.3 | 15.8 |
| Professional | 23.9 | 16.7 | 23.5 | 27.8 | 28.6 | 26.3 |
| Clerk | 28.3 | 37.0 | 17.6 | 11.1 | 10.7 | 26.3 |
| Sales representative | 15.2 | 9.3 | 14.7 | 33.3 | 32.1 | 10.5 |
| Blue collar | 0.0 | 9.3 | 2.9 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 5.3 |
| Others | 17.4 | 14.8 | 2.9 | 5.6 | 10.7 | 15.8 |
| MONTHLY INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 or below | 2.2 | 5.6 | 8.8 | 11.1 | 14.3 | 26.3 |
| \$5,001-10,000 | 54.3 | 55.6 | 29.4 | 44.4 | 14.3 | 26.3 |
| \$10,001-15,000 | 26.1 | 20.4 | 20.6 | 27.8 | 46.4 | 31.6 |
| \$15,001-20,000 | 6.5 | 9.3 | 14.7 | 11.1 | 17.9 | 5.3 |
| \$20,001-25,000 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 5.3 |
| \$25,001-30,000 | 4.3 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 |
| Over 30,000 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 8.8 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 5.3 |

## APPENDIX H <br> DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF THE TWO BENEFIT SEGMENTS

|  | Segment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (\mathrm{n}=117) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (\mathrm{n}=79) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| SEX |  |  |  |
| Male | 70.1\% | 67.1\% |  |
| Female | 28.2 | 32.9 |  |
| AGE |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 5.1 | 2.5 |  |
| 20-29 | 72.6 | 78.5 |  |
| 30-39 | 18.8 | 19.0 |  |
| 40-49 | 1.7 | 0.0 |  |
| 50-59 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 60 and over | ט̂.v̂ | 0.0 |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |
| Primary or below | 0.0 | 1.3 |  |
| Form 1 to 5 | 39.3 | 41.8 |  |
| Form 6 to 7 | 17.1 | 12.7 |  |
| University/tertiary | 41.9 | 44.3 |  |
| CAREER |  |  |  |
| Construction | 4.3 | 6.3 |  |
| Wholesale | 1.7 | 6.3 |  |
| Retailing | 6.8 | 2.5 |  |
| Trading | 9.4 | 11.4 |  |
| Restaurants/hotels | 1.7 | 1.3 |  |
| Communication | 2.6 | 2.5 |  |
| Insurance | 16.2 | 10.1 |  |
| Financing | 6.0 | 2.5 |  |
| Real estate | 0.0 | 1.3 |  |
| Manufacturing | 6.8 | 8.9 |  |
| Transport | 2.6 | 1.3 |  |
| Student | 12.8 | 7.6 |  |
| Others | 26.5 | 38.0 |  |
| POSITION |  |  |  |
| Manager/executive | 12.8 | 19.0 |  |
| Professional | 23.9 | 24.1 |  |
| Clerk | 23.1 | 27.8 |  |
| Sales representative | 20.5 | 10.1 |  |
| Blue color | 3.4 | 3.8 |  |
| Others | 12.0 | 12.7 |  |
| MONTHLY INCOME |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 or below | 10.3 | 7.6 |  |
| \$5,001-10,000 | 41.0 | 43.0 |  |
| \$10,001-15,000 | 28.2 | 25.3 |  |
| \$15,001-20,000 | 9.4 | 12.7 |  |
| \$20,001-25,000 | 5.1 | 1.3 |  |
| \$25,001-30,000 | 1.7 | 3.8 | * |
| Over 30,000 | 2.6 | 5.1 | - |
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## APPENDIX I (CONTINUED)

PSYCHOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF THE TWO BENEFIT SEGMENTS

|  |  | Segment |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | AIO Statement | 1 | 2 |
| $(\mathrm{n}=117)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=79)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| RISK |  | $34.2 \%^{1}$ | $41.8 \%$ |
| AIO37 | I would not participate in dangerous sports. | 23.1 | 27.8 |
| AIO51 | Once I find a brand, I like to stick with it. |  |  |
| MEDIA |  | 34.2 | 17.7 |
| AIO21 | I am always attracted by the advertising boards at MTR or KCR stations. | 37.6 | 43.0 |
| AIO35 | I seldom listen to radio (reverse coded). | 46.2 | 43.0 |
| AIO48 | I like reading the newspaper. | 33.3 | 26.6 |
| AIO50 | Watching television is more interesting than reading magazines. | 29.9 | 30.4 |
| AIO52 | I keep myself abreast of world affairs. | 21.4 | 12.7 |
| PRODUCTS 2 |  | 17.1 | 17.7 |
| AIO42 | I am a mobile phone / CT2 user. |  |  |
| AIO55 | I have a car. | 39.3 | 32.9 |
| OTHERS |  | 29.1 | 22.8 |
| AIO11 | Different brands of pagers appeal to people of different personalities. | 59.8 | 57.0 |
| AIO13 | I seldom read / watch advertisements for pagers (reverse coded). | 12.0 | 13.9 |
| AIO14 | Each time I receive a page, I find it annoying to find a phone. | 34.2 | 29.1 |
| AIO16 | I would be afraid of having my secrets disclosed when using a pager. | 10.3 | 12.7 |
| AIO18 | Investing in the stock market is too risky. | 35.0 | 22.8 |
| AIO20 | The servicing attitude of pager operators of all paging companies is the same. |  |  |
| AIO22 | I think card pagers fail easily. |  |  |

[^29]${ }_{2}^{1}$ Percentage of subjects who agree or strongly agree with the AIO statement.
For this category "Product", the percentages represent ownership of mobile phones / CT2 or cars.
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|  | AIO Statement | Segment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (\mathrm{n}=117) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (\mathrm{n}=79) \end{gathered}$ |
| OTHERS |  |  |  |
| AIO23 | I think that the price of a product is more important than its quality. | 5.1\% ${ }^{1}$ | 5.1\% |
| AIO27 | My income is high enough to satisfy nearly all my important desires. | 12.8 |  |
| AIO28 | I will probably have more money to spend next year than I have now. | 41.9 | 27.8 |
| AIO29 | I think that pagers should be as small as possible. | 54.7 | 64.6 |
| AIO31 | I think that it is disrespectful to consumers when pager operators make mistakes. | 45.3 | 36.7 |
| AIO32 | I buy / rent a pager because most of my friends have one. | 45.3 10.3 | 36.7 5.1 |
| AIO33 | Choosing a particular brand of pager is time-consuming. | 12.8 | 11.4 |
| AIO34 | I think that choosing paging companies operated by large corporations is more secure. | 59.8 | 54.4 |
| AIO39 | Females should not carry pagers. | 3.4 | 54.1 |
| AIO40 | I like to pay cash for everything I buy. | 27.4 | 29.1 |
| AIO43 | The beeping sound of a pager is very annoying. | 27.4 23.9 | 29.1 |
| AIO44 | It is worth it to pay more for prestigious brand products. | 31.6 | 25.3 |
| AIO46 | I wish I had a lot more money. | 84.6 | 25.3 |
| AIO49 | I feel satisfied with my present job. | 84.6 31.6 | 82.3 26.6 |
| AIO53 | Carrying a pager is a fashion. | 17.1 | 12.7 |
| AIO56 | I feel very satisfied with the products and services of my paging company. | 31.6 | 12.7 |
| Al057 | It is troublesome to switch to another paging company. | 47.0 | 24.1 46.8 |
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