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INTRODUCTION

The Networked Digital Library of Theses and Dissertations (NDLTD) (http://www.ndltd.org) aims to ensure that all future researchers and scholars have understanding and skills in electronic publishing and have used and submitted a work of their own to a digital library. If all graduate students who are interested in research prepare an electronic thesis or dissertation (ETD) and upload it to NDLTD then electronic publishing and digital libraries will be firmly established in the world of the academy and scholarship. If NDLTD receives proper support, it will become a vast, heterogeneous, federated, multilingual, multimedia digital library with more than 200,000 new works added yearly.

NDLTD is a model digital library project with "something for everyone." Students learn important skills, save money by not having to prepare multiple paper copies, and reach a much larger audience with their theses or dissertations. Universities become involved in work with digital libraries by way of a modest investment of time and resources, which in the long run saves money relative to conventional practices, prepares them for other digital library and electronic publishing projects, and makes their research results more visible and accessible worldwide. Scholars benefit by having a large new collection available with detailed information about university investigations, with known quality, large bibliographies, and careful literature reviews. Digital library enthusiasts have a new collection to experiment with regarding problems in the field (Fox & Sornil, 1999). In subsequent sections, we explore recent progress. For additional information, see the online file of papers and publications at http://www.ndltd.org/pubs/ (NDLTD 1998d).
Graduate education is an important part of the world of higher education in the United States and around the globe (Berelson, 1960). The Council of Graduate Schools (1991) has pinpointed dissertations as playing a key role in the training of researchers; more than 50,000 are completed each year in North America. There are over 350,000 master's degrees awarded yearly in the United States many of which lead to a thesis or substantive report. Hence we adopt the goal for the Networked Digital Library of Theses and Dissertations of collecting at least 200,000 electronic theses or dissertations per year to help improve graduate education (Eaton, Fox, & McMillan, 1997) and extend knowledge sharing on a global level. This will play a role in the move toward a worldwide digital library (Fox & Marchionini, 1998).

The vision behind the Networked Digital Library of Theses and Dissertations is to accomplish multiple objectives through one collaborative effort that will scale to include every university and every graduate student:

- prepare all future scholars for the Information Age (Fox, Hall, & Kipp, 1997) so that they understand important concepts and practices related to electronic publishing, digital libraries, and intellectual property rights, and so the scholarly community will adopt these practices more quickly, smoothly, and effectively;
- help universities to enhance graduate education and to develop expertise regarding digital libraries; and
- build an important digital library that supports scholarship and that improves as a result of new research in the field.

Scalability allows the Networked Digital Library of Theses and Dissertations to expand rapidly and results from:

- having each student, as part of ensuring their education is effective for the Information Age, create their own electronic thesis or dissertation, provide required metadata for it, decide about intellectual property and access rights, and upload it into the digital library;
- having each university run its own part of NDLTD as part of its support for graduate education and its delving into digital libraries;
- applying automation to improve workflow and streamline processing of ETDs so a more efficient scheme results that is cheaper than the old way; and
- federating the various collections and servers in NDLTD so users may view the entire collection as a whole or obtain views based on geography, level, topic, or other criteria.
Other key ideas include:

- demonstrating that, for many purposes, people really can switch fully to electronic documents;
- mandating submission to NDLTD once a university is ready for that step, since then the benefits and collections increase more quickly;
- adopting standards to facilitate preservation and federated access; and
- encouraging universities to work together and share their tools and information, in this domain where competition is minimal while mutual benefit is additive (Fox, 1997, 1998; Fox, Hall, Kipp, Eaton, McMillan, & Mather, 1997).

Since each university can have its own part of the Networked Digital Library of Theses and Dissertations, it is simple to adopt local policies and procedures. In addition, local groups interested in any of the myriad aspects of digital library research can experiment locally to perfect their methods. Thus, at Virginia Tech there are a number of development efforts (Fox, Kipp, & Mather, 1998). These involve applying diverse technologies including OpenText's search system, IBM's digital library product, OCLC's SiteSearch software, a locally developed federated search system (Powell, 1998), and even an experimental system extracting the images in electronic theses and dissertations for display in a virtual reality environment (Bayraktar et al., 1998).

In addition to the goals listed above, it is hoped that the Networked Digital Library of Theses and Dissertations will improve the culture of scholarship. By having a common environment wherein new research is freely shared, there can be greater interchange among students, faculty, and other scholars. Since this environment can be shared worldwide, there can be more understanding internationally and development of larger invisible colleges—all aided through the many tools provided for computer-supported cooperative work. Since universities will support NDLTD locally, it will be possible for undergraduates to connect with research efforts and even to submit their own theses and major papers for inclusion. Finally, all of this may have a profound effect on the world of publishing as universities become more directly involved in the collection and dissemination of research results.

CONCERNS, PROBLEMS, OPPOSITION

On the technical side, there are many digital library problems that relate to improving NDLTD. Since solutions exist or are under development for these, most are discussed in the next section. Here, however, it is appropriate to mention three examples. First, there is the problem of facing the incredibly diverse and fragmented world of electronic publishing, where a myriad of tools, packages, and versions are employed. Some-
how one must translate from these to a sensible number of standard forms
that can be effectively preserved (Datta & Fox, 1993). This problem,
couched in terms of using SGML (Standard Generalized Markup Lan-
guage, an ISO standard), led to the first workshop about ETDs hosted by
UMI in November 1987. Approaching this problem is at the heart of
projects that have been called electronic archives (Fox, 1990), electronic
libraries and, most recently, digital libraries (Fox, Aks cyn, Furuta, & Leggtt,
1995).

Second, there are problems related to cataloging and classifying the
contents of digital libraries (McMillan, 1996). One aspect of this concerns
standards, too. The Machine-Readable Bibliographic Information Com-
mittee (1996) approach leads to the MARC family adopted by many who
do cataloging. Recent meetings and activities have led to refinement of a
simpler alternative, the Dublin Core, and to crosswalks between it and
MARC (Library of Congress, 1997).

Third, there are problems related to searching and accessing a large
distributed collection like NDLTD. A closely related problem is that of
handling technical reports. This has been examined in the computer sci-
ence field (Fox, 1995), first through projects like WATERS (French, Fox,
Maly, & Selman, 1995), and recently through the Networked Computer
Science Technical Report Library (NCSTRL) (Lagoze, 1998). At present,
federated searching for ETDs can be handled with a simple system devel-
oped at Virginia Tech (NDLTD, 1998a), but more advanced systems will
be warranted as the collection expands.

On the social side, there are even more problems that relate to large
distributed digital library projects (Borgman, 1996). Since NDLTD calls
for widespread change, uses advanced technologies, relates to the
Internet and WWW, involves universities and publishers in new relation-
ships, and is emerging at a time of turmoil in the field of scholarly pub-
brishing, it has been quite visible in the news (NDLTD, 1998b). Universi-
ties are concerned about their expenditures for journals and seek to
play a more active role in the process of scholarly publishing (Assoca-
tion of Research Libraries et al., 1998). NDLTD aims to help in this arena,
including assisting students, faculty, and universities to understand the
issues more thoroughly. Thus it must deal with diverse policies regard-
ing prior publication, derivative works, inclusion of copyrighted materi-
als in ETDs, and tradeoffs among modes of publication (e.g., NDLTD
versus journal versus university press). In addition, there are tradeoffs
for ETDs regarding collecting, archiving, and accessing—what are the
roles of corporations (e.g., UMI), organizations run by libraries (e.g.,
OCLC), universities, university consortia, and national projects/libraries?

Ultimately, these social issues lead to actions by individuals and uni-
versities. Though members of NDLTD (1998c) include supporting orga-
nizations and consortia as well as some divisions of universities, most that
have joined represent the entire university, so we focus on that group. First, these organizations must identify those interested in the technical, policy, educational, research, and social issues. Volunteers, people assigned, or representatives of the various constituencies (especially the graduate school and library) must join in the local ETD team. Second, there must be discussion on campus so that the general aims of NDLTD can be specialized for the campus. Finally, these ongoing activities must lead to an implementation project that will evolve over the years.

**SOLUTIONS, IMPLEMENTATION**

With origins dating back to 1987, and with funded research and development led by the NDLTD team at Virginia Tech since 1996, many solutions have emerged. At Virginia Tech, where ETDs have been required since the start of 1997, over 1,100 were in the collection by mid-1998. By that point, there were over 230,000 downloads from around the world of the HTML pages that cover the content of the cover sheet and abstract and almost 200,000 downloads of the full documents.

Handling ETDs is established practice there, supported by staff in the graduate school, library, computing laboratories, and departments. Students carry out their investigations, present their results to their committee in a final defense, complete their ETD, and submit it through a tailored WWW form that captures relevant metadata. The graduate school checks the submission and requests revision until an acceptable form is provided. The library makes the work available through the digital library NDLTD (1998a), also adding cataloging information for the MARC record (which in the 856 field directs people to the online full-text copy) that goes into the campus catalog system.

There is a WWW site for students to help them understand policies and technical issues (NDLTD, 1998f). There are detailed instructions on how to prepare an ETD, explanations of standards and practices related to multimedia and hypermedia, files of questions and answers, templates, and checklists. Most students provide one or more Portable Document Format (PDF) files, while some supply SGML or XML instead; multimedia content is included in supplementary files.

There is an approval form (NDLTD, 1998g) that is printed and goes on file for each ETD to handle the concerns of publishers. It records the decision of the author and faculty committee regarding the breadth of access (e.g., locked up for patent purposes, restricted to campus while awaiting publication in a journal, or open for worldwide downloading). The WWW site includes letters from publishers that allow worldwide ETD access as well as inclusion of derivative articles in their journals. However, students completing the approval form and thinking about other publishers of their results must consult their policies. It is hoped that NDLTD
files will record these policies to reduce the need for future inquiries, and more publishers will endorse worldwide access through NDLTD.

NDLTD received funding in September 1996 as a national project (Fox, Eaton, McMillan, Kipp, Weiss, Arce, & Guyer, 1996). Within a year it expanded to an international effort with rapid growth (Fox, Eaton, McMillan, Kipp, Mather, McGonigle, Schweiker, & DeVane, 1997). By summer 1998, thirty-five universities had joined NDLTD. Ongoing efforts to involve more universities will continue to require discussion, visits to campuses, and presentations. Reaching all universities worldwide will take years but should accelerate as larger and more prestigious universities join and a critical mass is achieved.

On the international scene there are promising signs for growth. NDLTD members are on all continents. Groups of universities are joining including three in Canada. Australia has a funded national project involving seven universities. St. Petersburg State Technical University has submitted several proposals for funding to expand efforts in Russia. The National Library of Portugal has joined, and can represent all the universities in the nation. Several universities in Korea and Singapore are members with particular interest in supporting multilingual collections.

Since most graduate studies are carried out in local languages, it is essential that NDLTD include works in all languages and support all fonts. Some groups supporting NDLTD have active research programs in this area (Cao, Lu & Low, 1998; Leong, Cao, & Lu, 1998). These could lead to refinement of the existing federated search system, which uses translation of English query terms through a dictionary database for current multilingual searching (Powell, 1998).

To expand the capabilities of the NDLTD software, a requirements study has been underway in connection with work on an honors thesis. In addition to focus groups and brainstorming in a decision support facility, the effort includes developing and refining a number of scenarios (Carroll, 1995). By spring 1999, there should be a prototype to illustrate the advanced capabilities possible in a digital library focused on aiding graduate students who find, use, and produce electronic theses or dissertations.

CONCLUSION

It is now clear that, eventually, graduate education will shift from more traditional forms to electronic theses or dissertations. Various related projects have emerged (NDLTD, 1998e) and it is hoped will all fit into the broad umbrella of NDLTD. More and more institutions are a part of this federation, and as each develops its own local program, the collection size will grow rapidly.

Issues regarding widespread access and harmonizing with the practices of publishers will remain, since NDLTD aims to effect both change
and to extend understanding of policies and practices. Other social concerns will be dealt with by local institutions, the NDLTD Steering Committee, and the implementation team at Virginia Tech as they continue to arise in the normal course of the evolution of this expanding digital library initiative.

On the technical side, ensuring interoperability and preservation, handling a large multilingual collection, and expanding services are key goals. Several proposals for research support have been submitted, and work will continue to deal with the challenges of this model digital library project.

In conclusion, NDLTD has had considerable growth throughout its short history, has dealt with many diverse problems, and has ambitious plans for future activity. It is hoped that new universities will join and contribute not only their ETDs but also share their findings, information, and developments so all can benefit from this broad university collaboration.
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