# Observations on the Text of Artemidorus, Onirocritica Book 1 

JOHN A. HOULIHAN

The most recent edition of the Onirocritica, that of Roger A. Pack, appeared in 1963. ${ }^{1}$ An Arabic version had been discovered in 1959, too late for Pack to make use of it, ${ }^{2}$ but he later ${ }^{3}$ established that its Vorlage derived from the archetype independently of the ancestor of the known Greek manuscripts, and is therefore of significant textual value. In this paper I examine Pack's text of Book 1 systematically in conjunction with the Arabic version (henceforward abbreviated as "Ar"), and note all changes to the text warranted by Ar's readings. For the sake of completeness, I have also summarized the textual improvements made by other scholars since Pack's edition appeared. ${ }^{4}$ I have not incorporated the numerous changes to the
${ }^{1}$ Artemidori Daldiani onirocriticon libriv (Leipzig 1963); references to Pack in this paper, unless otherwise specified, are to this edition, and citations of the Greek text are taken from it.
${ }^{2}$ It was eventually edited by Toufic Fahd, under the title Artémidore d'Éphèse: Le Livre des songes traduit du grec en arabe par Hunayn B. Ishäq (Damascus 1964); subsequent references to Fahd are to this edition. Citations of the Arabic version, usually given in translation, have been based upon it, and verified against a facsimile of the manuscript, which Professor G. M. Browne placed at my disposal.
${ }^{3}$ In "On Artemidorus and his Arabic Translator," TAPA 98 (1967) 313-26.
${ }^{4}$ I refer repeatedly to the following: G. W. Bowersock, Fiction as History: Nero to Julian (Berkeley 1994), henceforward "Bowersock"; G. M. Browne, "Ad Artemidorum Arabum," Le Muséon 97 (1984) 207-20 ("Browne I"), "Ad Artemidorum Arabum II," Le Muséon 103 (1990) 267-82 ("Browne II"), "Ad Artemidorum Arabum III," Le Muséon 105 (1992) 173-86 ("Browne 11I"); D. Del Corno, review of Pack, Gnomon 37 (1965) 669-79 ("Del Corno I"), "Appunti al testo di Artemidoro Daldiano," in Studi in onore di Anthos Ardizzoni (Rome 1978) 321-28 ("Del Corno II"); G. Endress and D. Gutas (eds.), A Greek and Arabic Lexicon: Materials for a Dictionary of the Mediaval Translations from Greek into Arabic (Leiden 1992-) ("Endress and Gutas"); A. J. Festugière (trans.), Artémidore. La clef des songes (Paris 1975) ("Festugière"); R. Pack, "On Artemidorus and his Arabic Translator," TAPA 98 (1967) 313-26 ("Pack I"), "Artemidoriana from the Escurial," TAPA 100 (1969) 331-36 ("Pack II"), "Artemidoriana Graeco-Arabica," TAPA 106 (1976) 307-12 ("Pack III"), "Artemidoriana Qualiacumque," BASP I6 (1979) 121-24 ("Pack IV"); F. Rosenthal, "From Arabic Books and Manuscripts XII: The Arabic Translation of Artemidorus," JAOS 85 (1965) 139-44 ("Rosenthal"); E. Schmitt, Lexikalische Untersuchungen zur arabischen Übersetzung von Artemidors Traumbuch (Wiesbaden 1970) ("Schmitt"); H. Schwabl, "Kleinigkeiten zu Artemidor," WS 100 (1987) 85-89 ("Schwabl I"), "Weitere Kleinigkeiten zu Artemidor," WS 101 (1988) 127-80 ("Schwabl II"), "Dritte Kleinigkeiten zu Artemidor," WS 102 (1989) 12332 ("Schwabl III"), "Textkritische Nachlese zu Artemidor," $\operatorname{MCr} 25$ (1992) 343-67 ("Schwabl IV"), "Weitere textkritische Nachlese zu Artemidor," ICS 18 (1993) 249-62 ("Schwabl V").
apparatus which Ar necessitates, but I hope eventually to produce a new edition of the Onirocritica in which they will be included. I wish to express my gratitude to Professor Gerald M. Browne for making available his correspondence with Professor Pack, and for looking over this paper and making suggestions for its improvement (as well as for directing my dissertation, ${ }^{5}$ from which this paper is largely drawn).
3. 9 ह̇vv $\boldsymbol{\pi} v$ íov кんì ỏvعípov: Ar "visions and confused dreams" (7. 6-7); we should probably read $\varepsilon \in v \pi v i \omega v$ к $\alpha i$ ỏveíp $\omega v$, with V .
3. $10 \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ots: Ar "other places" (7. 7). Pack (apud Browne II, 268) suggests reading $\alpha \not \partial \lambda 01 \varsigma<\tau o ́ \pi 0 ı \varsigma>$.
3. $11 \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath}{ }^{* *} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon t \delta \grave{\eta}$ : from here to $\sigma v ́ \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha$ (12) Ar has "and since I assume that you will see that this treatise will not follow what is proper if the beginning in it is not made from this concept" (7. 8-9), i.e. к $\alpha \mathrm{i}$ є่ $\pi \varepsilon ı \delta \grave{\eta}$ $<\mu \grave{\eta} \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \varepsilon \hat{\theta} \theta \varepsilon v \dot{\alpha} \rho \xi \alpha ́ \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o v>$ öкоб $\mu \circ \vee \kappa \tau \lambda$ (Browne II, 267-68). It should be noted, however, that Hans Schwabl (IV, 344-45) takes "if the beginning in it is not made from this concept" as Ar's rather free translation of $\kappa \alpha i$ ढ̈ $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho$ оv̉к $\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \bar{\eta} \zeta \gamma \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$, and suggests merely inserting something like < ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma>$ after $\alpha \kappa \sigma \sigma \mu$ оv.
3. 13 For $\varepsilon i \hat{i} v \alpha \iota$ read $\varepsilon$ ìv $\alpha i ́$.
3. 14 For $\varepsilon ้ v \imath \pi v i ́ o v ~ r e a d ~ \varepsilon ̇ v v \pi v i ́ o v . ~$
3. 17 For $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \cup \tau \alpha ́$ read $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau \dot{\alpha}$.
4. $1 \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma \mu o ́ v o v L^{1} \mathrm{~V}: \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$ LSAr. Pack has concluded (II, 334-35) that $\mu$ óvov is to be deleted.
4. $2<\ddot{\alpha} \ldots \psi \cup \chi \underline{\eta}>$ : Ar here translates "and some of them are from the soul and the body together" (8. 11-12). Browne (I, 208) suggests a
 resulting from homoioteleuton. Where ' $\delta 1 \alpha$ appears in the prior two clauses, Ar renders it مز قبل; the presence of this phrase in the third clause suggests ' $\delta, \alpha$ again. Cf. also 16 . 1, where Ar has "the soul and the body
 As for the transposition of the nouns, Rosenthal (141) attributes a similar instance ("sister and daughter" at 18.16 for $\theta \cup \gamma \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha i \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \eta{ }^{\prime} v$ at 9. 9) to Arabic idiom.
 this passage as an interpolation; therefore, he argues, Reiske's <to ôv> is unnecessary, and Ar shows no trace of it. The passage should be relegated to the apparatus, and Reiske's emendation abandoned.
5. $2 \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi o \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v$ Reiske: $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau 0 \varepsilon \nu$ LV: Ar here (11. 1) reads "he travelled (سار) on the sea and ..." Perhaps $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} o v \tau \iota ~ \delta \hat{\eta} \theta \varepsilon v$ is a likelier reading; cf. Schmitt, 163.
5. 8-9 к $\alpha i$. . . $\tau$ ot $\alpha$ v̂ $\alpha$ : om. $\operatorname{Ar}$ (Fahd 11 n. 9). Hercher was probably correct in considering this passage an interpolation; cf. Del Corno I, 676.

[^0] $\dot{\alpha} v \tau \iota \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \operatorname{Ar}$ ("about which no one will disagree" [11. 14-15]). Ar's reading is to be preferred; see Pack III, 308.
6. 12 દ̌ $\tau v \chi \varepsilon v$ ov̉к $\dot{\alpha} \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \varsigma$ : Browne (III, 173) suggests that, since Ar reads "since it was drawn from imagination and reason, and the dream's manifestation [lit. "what is seen of it"] was in accordance with rationality and reason" (13. 8-9), mentioning "reason" twice, Artemidorus may have

6. $14 \varphi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha$ L: $\varphi \alpha ́ \sigma \mu \alpha$ VS and possibly Ar ("what we have said" [13. 10], i.e. $\varphi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \alpha$ perhaps interpreted as $\left.\varphi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \iota \varsigma\right)$. The likelier reading, therefore, is $\varphi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \mu \alpha$.
7. $3 \mu o ́ v \omega$ : om. Ar. Hercher was probably correct in deleting the word.
7. $8 \ddot{\alpha}(\tau \grave{\alpha} \mathrm{~L}) \delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ ко七七ó: Ar "And some of the dreams [i.e. $\ddot{\alpha}$ taken as.the anaphoric pronoun, not a relative presumptive to $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ in 8] are those which he previously mentioned as being common" (14. 14-15); the added phrase may support Schwabl's contention (IV, 347-49) that instead of $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon \iota$ in 7.8 we should read $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha i v \varepsilon \imath v$ in indirect discourse.
7. $9 \kappa \alpha \tau$ ' őv $\alpha \rho$ : om. Ar; correctly deleted by Hercher.
7. 11 Before $\dot{\eta} \lambda$ íov, Ar adds "And as for some of them, one sees therein what is seen, like . ." (15. 4-5; Fahd n. 5), perhaps having read (and misunderstood) something like <ö $\sigma \alpha \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \in \rho \alpha, \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha>\dot{\eta} \lambda$ íov $\tau \varepsilon$ (LV) к $\alpha$ i. . .
 described" [15.10]). The reading of V and Ar is to be preferred.
7.21 тov́ $\tau 0 v:$ Ar "to this man" (16.2), i.e. probably $\tau o v ́ \tau \omega$, with L .
7. 22 тo $\hat{}^{1} \mathrm{~V}: \tau \circ \hat{\delta} \delta \varepsilon$ тov̂ LAr ("of his life . . " [16. 3]). Again, the reading of L and Ar should be followed.
7. 23 тov̂ $\pi \alpha v \tau$ ò $\sigma \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \tau \circ \varsigma$ : om. Ar; Hercher was correct to delete the phrase.
7. 23 For к $\alpha i ́ ~ r e a d ~ \kappa \alpha i . ~$
7. $23 \tau \varepsilon \tau v \varphi \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha_{\imath}$ L: $\tau v \varphi \lambda 0 v \bar{\sigma} \theta \alpha_{\imath} \operatorname{VAr}$ ("a person saw in his sleep that his vision was failing" [16.4]). The present infinitive seems more likely.
8. $23 \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \imath \nu$ V: $\delta \varepsilon \imath \pi v \varepsilon \imath ̂ v ~ L A r ; ~ r e a d ~ \delta \varepsilon ı \pi v \varepsilon i ̂ v ~(s o ~ D e l ~ C o r n o ~ I, ~ 674 ~ a n d ~$ Pack I, 321; see also Schwabl II, 132-36).
8. 26 After $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o v ิ \sigma \theta \alpha_{1}$ Ar adds "or that the lightning-bolt fell upon him and burned him" (18. 4-5; Fahd n. 4), i.e. $\left\langle\kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha v v_{0} \sigma \theta_{l}>\right.$, omitted by homoioteleuton; see Pack III, 308.
9. 5-6 $\varphi \wedge \lambda \varepsilon \imath ̂ \ldots \dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \beta \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon ı v: ~ A r ~ " i t ~ i n d i c a t e s ~ w h a t ~ b e f a l l s ~ f r i e n d s ~ a n d ~$ relatives" (18. 12-13); Browne (I, 208) suggests reading $\varphi i \lambda \varepsilon i ̂<\varphi i ́ \lambda o 1 \varsigma>$ $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau о i ̂ \varsigma ~ \pi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha \pi о \beta \alpha i v \varepsilon ı v, ~ e x p l a i n i n g ~ t h e ~ l o s s ~ o f ~ \varphi i ́ \lambda o ı s ~ a s ~ d u e ~ t o ~$ homoiarchon.
9. 6 oíкعıó $\tau \eta \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} v \chi \rho \varepsilon เ \hat{\omega} v$ : Ar "what is suitable to each and every one of them" (18.13), i.e. perhaps $\tau \hat{\omega} v \chi \rho \varepsilon \iota \bar{\omega} v<\alpha v ं \tau \bar{\omega} v>$, with the loss due to homoioteleuton.
10. 21 After tò $\alpha$ ítıov Ar adds "because that was found not to be correct" (21.12-13); Browne (III, 173-74) suggests a Vorlage of ov่ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$

10. 26-27 oï . . . ő $\psi \varepsilon ı$ (ő $\psi \varepsilon \sigma \iota v \mathrm{~L}$ ): Ar "which will comprehend them, and hears that from different people [i.e. "丷天 $\lambda \lambda o r s$, with L ] and in different ways [i.e. $\delta 1 \alpha \varphi o ́ \rho o \imath \varsigma ~ o ̋ \psi \varepsilon \sigma ı v, ~ a g a i n ~ w i t h ~ L] " ~(22 . ~ 6-7) . ~ T h e ~ r e a d i n g s ~ o f ~ L, ~$ supported by Ar, are to be preferred.
 against "the natural affairs" [23. 4] for $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ pú $\sigma \varepsilon 1$ in 11.8 suggests a variation in Ar's Vorlage and in the archetype).
11. $10 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov̉ ( $L^{1}$ : om. L) $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \circ \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v L: \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov̉ $\chi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ VAr ("which does not fit" [23.6]), more likely the correct reading.
11. $12 \gamma i v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$. . † $\dagger$ "́кovoıv $\dagger$ : Ar "like the one who is greedy if he sees in his sleep that he evacuates the bowels" (23. 8); Pack (I, 323) suggests a
 Corno I, 678 and, for an alternative explanation, Schwabl II, 136-38.
11. $19 \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \varsigma$ Reiske: $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ LV: Ar "to every dream, of necessity" (24. 4-5), possibly < $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \varsigma \dot{\varepsilon} \pi i>\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$, a homoiarchon error.
 both those two classes" (24. 10-11); Ar supports reading tov́tov̧ after $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, with V.
12. $12 \delta_{1 \alpha v o i ́ \alpha \varsigma} L^{1}$ V: $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o i ́ \alpha \varsigma ~ L ~ H e r c h e r: ~<\kappa \alpha i \gg \delta ı \nu v ิ \sigma \alpha ı$ Reiske Ar ("and setting out for" [25.7]). Reiske's emendation should be accepted; see also Schwabl II, 138-42.
12. 16-17 $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \eta v \alpha \iota \ldots \pi \tau \eta \sigma \imath v:$ Ar "thereupon he travelled and withdrew from it [his abode]" (25.10); read oikí $\alpha$, with V , for oikeí $\alpha \varsigma$.
12. 21 ov̈ $\tau \omega \varsigma$ V: $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda ı v$ LAr ("then" [26. 2]; cf. Schmitt, 386); read $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{1} \nu$.
12. 21 оікєí $\alpha \nu$ L: oiкí $\alpha \nu \operatorname{VAr}$ ("abode" [26.3]); the reading of V and Ar is preferable.
13. 15-16 عiऽ $\delta \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau t o v ~ \varepsilon i \sigma \delta \rho \alpha \mu \omega ̀ v:$ Ar "and he ran and entered a room that was there" (27.6); Browne has suggested (through personal
 $\delta \rho \alpha \mu \grave{\omega} v$.
14. 14-15 oîov... $\tau$ orov̂tot: Ar "As for the dreams which are commendable in the two areas together: e.g." (29. 2-3); Browne (III, 174) proposes a Vorlage of $0 i<\mu \grave{\varepsilon} v>0<\hat{v}>v \ldots$
 Rosenthal, 141] from the number of days the extent of what was sufficient for him, and that loaf which he saw that he got from the sun [i.e. $\dot{\eta} \lambda$ íov, with L] determines it" (30. 6-8); see G. Strohmaier, OLZ 62 (1968) 134. It seems probable that for $\theta \varepsilon o v ̂$ we should read $\dot{\eta} \lambda$ íov, with $L$ and R.
15. 14 тotov̂toı L: oi toıôtoı $\operatorname{VAr~(30.13],~which~rendered~}$ oi toov̂tor at 15.4 [Ar 30.2]); this more likely reflects the archetype.
15. $15 * * 1 \ldots{ }^{* * 2}$ : for the status of the lacunae in Ar, see Pack I, 314; Schwabl (II, 142) proposes reading < $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o v ิ \sigma \theta \alpha ı>\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o v \tau \alpha \pi \lambda \varepsilon i ̂ v ~ \eta \eta$ < ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \alpha \mu$ ov őv $\tau \alpha$ >.
15. $16 \dot{o} \dot{\circ} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ : Ar "it points out to the poor man" (31.2), which supports reading $\tau \hat{\omega} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ with L (pace Pack's apparatus, L does not read $\tau \hat{\omega}$ here but does read $\tau \omega$ at 15.17 ; see below).
15. 17 ó $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}:$ Ar "and it points out to the slave" (31. 2-3), i.e. $\tau \hat{\varrho} \delta \grave{\varepsilon}$; this is also L's reading, though again Pack's apparatus does not make this clear.
15. $17 \dot{o}^{1}$ : Ar "it points out to the one voyaging on the sea" (31.3), i.e. $\tau \hat{\varphi}$; L here reads $\tau \omega$; see above at 15.16 .
17. 2 ov่ $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$. . . $\ddot{\varepsilon} \varphi$ : Ar "near morning, not to speak of the times before

with the additional words lost in L and V through haplography.
17. 3 "E $\tau ı$ к $\alpha \grave{L}$ : 'E $\pi \varepsilon i ̀ \mathrm{~V}:$ Ar "And further . . . for . . ." (33. 9-10);

17. 7-8 At the beginning of the new sentence, Ar adds "And men have in common" (34. 1), possibly from a Vorlage such as . . . $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, <к $\alpha$

 as for most of them [i.e. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$, with L], their shelter is not under the sky" (34. 4).
17. 11 koıv̀̀: Ar "public and common" (34.5); this probably reflects L's кoוv̀̀ $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega v$, which should be read.
17. 11-12 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \ldots \kappa \alpha \lambda 0 \hat{\nu} \mu \varepsilon v(\kappa \alpha \lambda o v ́ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \mathrm{~L})$ : Ar"and as for the customs that are called [i.e. $\kappa \alpha \lambda o v ́ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$, with L] private, in what is between men, concerning them there is diversity" (34. 5-6). Again L's text is preferable.
17. 17 <к $\alpha$ i>: Ar "And also" (34. 12); Browne (III, 174) suggests a Vorlage of < ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \imath \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha \grave{>}$.
18. 4 ои่к $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \iota \rho$ ovَธı: Ar "that keeps them from the killing of" (35. 2); perhaps Ar read something like vó $\mu$ ı $\mu$ ov $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota$ òv <к $\alpha \theta$ ' ö> $\gamma$ v́ $\pi \alpha \varsigma$ ov̉к òv $\alpha \iota \rho o v ̂ \sigma ı . .$.
19. 9 For $\varepsilon$ ह́ $\pi \varepsilon ı \tau \alpha \alpha^{*} \dot{\alpha} v \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha ı$ Schwabl (II, 150-51) suggests

19. 12-13 $\mathfrak{\eta} \ldots$. . v́ $\lambda \eta v:$ Ar "or essence and matter" (37. 8); see Schmitt, 30. Fahd, however, takes only "or essence" to render $\eta$ $\varepsilon i \varsigma ~ v i \lambda \eta \nu$, and "and matter" to be an addition ( 37 n .7 ). Pack (per litteras) has accepted Meineke's deletion of $\varepsilon i \varsigma^{2}$, which Ar supports.
19. 13 After "and matter" Ar adds "and so alters them" (37.8), possibly having read $\dddot{\eta}$ [ $\varepsilon i \varsigma$ del. Meineke] $\ddot{\imath} \lambda \eta \nu<\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha v>$; the verb used is حول, perhaps a pun on $v i \lambda \eta v$.
19. 16-17 $\dot{\jmath} \gamma \rho \hat{\alpha} \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha i$ $\xi \eta \rho \hat{\alpha} \varsigma ~ L: ~ \xi \eta \rho \alpha \hat{\varsigma} \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha i$ í $\gamma \rho \hat{\alpha} \varsigma \operatorname{VAr}$ ("dry and wet" [37.11]); this is more likely the correct order.
19. $18 \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \ldots \beta$. . $\beta \lambda \lambda_{0 \varsigma}: A r$ "And so [i.e. perhaps $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v<o v ̉ v>$ ] this is what is in the first treatise of this work" (37. 12-13); Ar supports V's

19. $19 \dot{\eta} \ldots \delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha<^{*}$ *>: Ar "And as for what is in the second treatise, we describe in it" (37. 13-14). Schwabl (II, 150-51) is supported by Ar in his unwillingness to accept Hercher's assumption of a lacuna.
 reflected L , which should be followed.
 $\varepsilon$ है $\sigma \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{l}$ : Ar "thinks that every art [lit. "an art of the arts"] becomes perfect for him and straight without his nature's being agreeable to that" (39.9-10); Ar follows V, probably correctly.
 "one must not interpret them" (40. 1-2). Browne (II, 268) suggests a Vorlage of < $<\varepsilon i ́>\ddot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \tau \alpha$ voцíלとıv; see also Schmitt (258), who points out
 401. 3]).
21. $15 \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \mathrm{~V}: \tau o ́ \delta \varepsilon \operatorname{LAr}$ ("this dream" [41. 14]), which should be read.
 will" (42. 4); this tends to support Del Corno's suggestion (II, 324-25) that we delete $\hat{\dot{\omega} v}$ and read $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} v$ with $L$.
22. 2-3 ö $\pi \mathrm{ov} \ldots \delta v v^{2} \alpha \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$ : Ar "and it is not possible for them to go where they want, nor to carry themselves" (42. 12-13); Browne (III, 175)

22. 3 оі̉ккоv LV: $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ оíкعí $\alpha v$ Hercher: Ar "his country" (42. 15), which probably supports Hercher's emendation (but cf. 45. 19 [Ar 85. 12], where oikov is rendered as it is here).
 frequently indicates that their litter becomes lighter" (45. 5-6). Ar supports Hercher's addition; see Pack III, 308-09. However, $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta$ óv is not expressed; nor is $\beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon 1$ in 23. 9. Browne (through personal communication) suggests a possible Vorlage of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \circ v \omega ́ \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \varphi о \rho \tau i ́ \alpha$ $\pi \circ \lambda<\lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \varsigma$ б $\eta \mu \alpha$ íveı. $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda>\lambda$ oi . . . ; cf. Schmitt, 276.


 6). If we assume that Ar's Vorlage read $\theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$, with $L$, in 23. 24, and followed V in 24.1 by reading ö $\varsigma$ for $\alpha \hat{v}$ and omitting $\tau 1 \varsigma \tau \eta ̀ v$, then the remaining loss is easily explained by homoiarchon and homoioteleuton: ös
 є้ $\delta \circ \xi \varepsilon>\theta \cup \gamma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \lambda$.
24. 3-4 [oü $\omega \omega \varsigma ~ \not \alpha \rho \alpha$ (oú $\tau \omega \varsigma \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \mathrm{L}$ ) . . . $\theta v \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$ ]: Ar "for this is the relation of the daughter" (46. 9-10); i.e. Ar did not omit the passage. We should probably read $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, with L; cf. Schmitt, 289.
24. 6-7 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} v . . . \dot{\eta} \delta v v_{: ~ A r ~ " f o r ~ t h i s ~ d r e a m ~ i n d i c a t e s ~[i . e . ~}^{\delta \eta} \lambda \lambda o i ̂$, with V] a good thing that will be given to them and the time in which they expect [i.e. $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \pi i$ ¢, with V] that and have need of it" (46. 12-13). Ar does not construe $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} v$ with $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\omega} v$, and supports Hercher in his decision to follow $L$ in omitting $\tau \ldots \ldots \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v}$.
24.13 o V: om. LAr ("a son" [47. 4]), perhaps correctly.
24. 22-23 $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \dot{\tau} \iota \delta 1$. . . $\delta \alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \varsigma$ : garbled by Ar ("And if the woman is old, wealthy and the possessor of property, that indicates that she will become poor and her wealth will be destroyed" [47. 13-15]). Ar favors L's word-order ( $\varepsilon \dot{\lambda} \pi \circ \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \alpha v$ ov̋øฺ̣ $\pi \varepsilon v \downarrow \chi \rho \hat{\alpha}$ ), and seems to have understood モ̇ $\chi$ ov́on for ov̋øn.
25. $5 \kappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ ( $\kappa \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota \mathrm{L}$ ): Ar "his age will become great" (48.4). Rosenthal (141) suggests reading not ${ }^{2}$, شنه but, "his things"; cf. Schmitt, 169. But Browne (through personal communication) argues that the archetype may have been к $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota v$ [om. Ar, by homoioteleuton] < $\omega \varsigma \dot{v} \pi \grave{\varepsilon} \rho$ $\dot{\eta} \lambda 1 \kappa i ́ \alpha v>;$ cf. 61.17 ( $\operatorname{Ar} 114.2$ ) and 61.21 ( $\operatorname{Ar} 114.8$ ).
25. 9-10 $\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau \underline{1} \ldots \pi \rho o \alpha \gamma o \rho \varepsilon$ úci: Ar "And as for wrestlers and workers [i.e. кגi $\dot{\varepsilon} p \gamma \dot{\alpha} \tau!!$, with V ] and those who fight alone and everyone who works with his hand and exerts himself, this dream warns them ..." (48. 10-12); Ar omits vóбov (Fahd 48 n. 9). Browne (III, 175) suspects that Ar wrote not ببده, "with his hand," but ببدنه, "with his body." In any case, for $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ read $\delta \grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha i \mathfrak{\varepsilon} p \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau!$, with V.
25. 17 हis ${ }^{2}$ om. Ar, del. Pack (per litteras); cf. 19. 12.
 and 118. Reiske seems to have been correct in deleting this passage.
26. 9-10 к $\alpha i \ldots$. . к $\lambda$ 立it $\alpha$ : Ar's version of this ("for if he possesses something, he has control over it" [50. 1-2]) follows the translation of ... $\mu \varepsilon i \zeta o v \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} v \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \grave{\eta} v$ ह̈б $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \downarrow$ (26. 5-6 [Ar 50. 2] "so that with it they may promote their affair"), as in L and V ; for an argument that this (and not 26. 9-10, where Pack, following Gomperz, transposes it) is the proper position, see Del Corno II, 326-27 and Schwabl IV, 354-55.
 travel" (50. 10), i.e. perhaps $\pi$ óvov kai vóøtov.
 "than its natural size" (50. 11-12), which suggests that V should be followed.
 prophets" [51. 7]); the latter should be read.
27. 2 عvjopíav: Ar "a good condition and wealth" (51. 11-12). Browne suggests (through personal communication) that the Vorlage may have been something like $\varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \pi о \rho i ́ \alpha v ~ \sigma \eta \mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon ı<\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \kappa \tau \eta \sigma ı v>; ~$ cf. Schmitt, 172.
 ("And it also indicates arrest and imprisonment in the case of the one in whom that is feasible" [51. 16]). L's text should be read; see Schmitt, 180.

27． $19 \dot{\omega} \varsigma \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \mathrm{~V}: \kappa \alpha \grave{i} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \operatorname{LAr}$（＂for＂［52．11］）；the latter is preferable．
27． 21 к $\grave{i}$ סı̀ $\tau 0$ v̂тo：Ar＂for＂（52．15），which lends support to Reiske＇s $\delta$ ı̀̀ tò tòv．

28． $4 \dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{\prime} \rho \hat{i} \alpha \nu \mathrm{~L}: \dot{\alpha} \tau v \chi i \alpha v \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{Ar}$＂bad conditions＂（53．13－14）；this more likely supports reading $\dot{\alpha} \tau v \chi i \alpha v$ ，since $\alpha \pi 0 \rho i \alpha \rho$ at $28.5-6$ is rendered differently（＂the falling away of wealth＂［53．15－16］）．

28． $10 \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{LV}$ ：＜$\alpha$ v̇兀ò $\gg \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ Hercher $\operatorname{Ar}$（＂the harm will be given to him himself＇$[54.4]$ ）．Hercher＇s suggestion is probably correct；see Pack III， 309.

28． 11 ovi $\tau \omega \mathrm{V}$（om．L）：$\alpha$ v̇兀òs $\operatorname{Ar}$（＂the harm will be given to him himself＂［54．7］）．Again $\alpha$ v̇tòs should be read；see Pack III， 309.
 8－9］）．The entire sentence（28．13－15），deleted by Hercher，is not omitted by Ar．The brackets should remain，but L＇s text should be printed．

 correct．

28． 20 öv $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi$ v́ $о \iota$ V：$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi$ v́үoı L：Ar＂he will be saved ．．．if he flees＂（54．14－15）．Browne（II，269）suggests that this reflects a Vorlage of
 do Endress and Gutas（178）．

29．12－13 For $\sigma \eta \mu \varphi о \rho \hat{\alpha}$ read $\sigma v \mu \varphi о \rho \hat{\alpha}$ ．
29．16－17 $\tau \hat{\omega} v i \delta i \omega v$ ：Ar＂or one of his family who is not a barber or one other than his family who is not a barber＂（56．5－6）；Browne（I，208－


29．17－18 $\pi \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \theta \eta \ldots$ ．．$\sigma \eta \mu \alpha$ íveı：Ar＂that indicates sadness or great harm and great afflictions in which he will become involved，and prisons＂（56．6－ 8）．Breen（Le Muséon 101 ［1991］179－80）argues that＂the scribe of Ar had $\eta$ そ̀ $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega \tau \eta \dot{p}$ ıov after $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \eta$ in his text．This would have dropped out subsequently through homoioteleuton with $\alpha i \varphi v i \delta i o v . "$
 that indicates harm that will be done to them either by one whom they see or have seen cutting their nails or by someone else＂（56．12－14）；Browne（III， 175－76）suggests a Vorlage of $\tau 0 i ̂ \varsigma ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \lambda o u \pi 0 i ̂ \varsigma \beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \nu<\eta \geqslant>$ ن́ $\pi$ ò［cf．V］$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\alpha ้ \lambda \lambda \omega v \tau \tau \omega \bar{\nu}$ ．

30． $2 \varphi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \mathrm{~V}: \varphi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \mathrm{L}$ and perhaps Ar （＂it has become customary for it to be said＂［57．1］）．The likelier reading，then，is $\varphi \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ．
 ［58．4］）．Hercher＇s conjecture should be accepted；see Browne II， 269.

30．15－16 عט้ $\mu о \rho \varphi \alpha<\frac{1 .}{\top} \tau \dot{\alpha}>\hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ ：Ar＂the ears are good in goodness of forms＂（58．6－7）；instead of Hercher＇s supplement，Browne（II，269） suggests $\varepsilon v ̋ \mu о \rho \varphi \alpha<\eta \mathfrak{j} \kappa \alpha \grave{~} \varepsilon v ้ \rho v \theta \mu \alpha$ т $\alpha>\hat{\grave{\omega}} \tau \alpha$（though Schwabl［IV，356］ favors simply ．．$\langle\tau \dot{\alpha}>\ldots$ ．．．
31. 8 After $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta$ v́ov $\tau \alpha \mathrm{Ar}$ adds "just as the dead enter the hollow of the earth" (59. 10), which corresponds to V's $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \varepsilon i \zeta \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \gamma \alpha \iota \omega \mu$ vov [sic] ov̉v 兀ó $\pi$ ov $\tau 0 \cup \tau \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota v ~ \varepsilon i \varsigma ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi$ o $\theta \alpha v$ óv $\tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta$ v́ov $\tau \alpha$. Browne (I, 209) suggests an archetype of $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \varepsilon i \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \gamma \alpha \iota \dot{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ \tau o ́ \pi o v ~ o i ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \theta \alpha v o ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta$ v́ov $\alpha \boldsymbol{1}$ (but Schwabl [IV, 357] prefers $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \varepsilon i \varsigma ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \gamma \alpha \iota \omega ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ov̂v $\alpha v ̉ \tau o ́ v, \tau 0 v \tau \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota v$ عí̧ $\alpha \pi \circ \theta \alpha v o ́ v \tau \alpha, \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta v ́ o v \tau \alpha ı)$.
 L's text is to be preferred; see Browne I, 209.
31. $12 \delta_{i} \grave{\alpha} \ldots \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v o ́ \mu o \varsigma: ~ A r ~ " A n d ~ a s ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ m e s s e n g e r ~ o f ~ d e a t h, ~ i t ~$ was on account of the ears; and as for the inheritance, it was on account of the wheat" (59. 13-14); Browne (II, 269-70) reconstructs the Vorlage as
 [cf. L], with V's к $\lambda \eta \rho \circ$ оó $\mu$ о丂 instead of к $\lambda \eta \rho о v o \mu i ́ \alpha$ in the archetype; Schwabl (IV, 357-58) is skeptical, as are Endress and Gutas (129).
31. 17-21 غ่ $\pi \iota \beta \circ v \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \ldots \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha ı$ (Reiske: for L and V see Pack's apparatus): Ar "that indicates an affair in which he will be deceived, which will befall him from slander, and that he will hear extraordinary news. And as for the ears of the other animals, when one sees them in sleep, the interpretation accords with the supposition regarding that animal" (60. 2-6). This suggests a Vorlage (and archetype) similar to V : $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \beta$ ov $\lambda \grave{\eta} v$
 $\delta i \grave{\alpha} \tau \eta \uparrow \varsigma \dot{o} \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ (either translated later as "when one sees them in sleep" or omitted entirely) $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha_{1}$ (interpreted as future, or perhaps
 oỉкยîov $̇ \kappa \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ v \varepsilon ı \nu ~(~ \pm \delta \varepsilon \hat{i})$.
 money, and that he will be in unemployment, for money is in the position of the eyes" (61.7-8). Browne (III, 176-77) reconstructs the archetype as to


32. 11 oi (om. L) $\dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \lambda v \dot{\tau} \tau \tau 0 v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma: \mathrm{Ar}$ "from the weakness of his sight" (61. 9); Browne (III, 177) suggests that Ar's Vorlage lacked oi, with L, and that it should be deleted.
$33.3 \dot{o} \ldots \alpha v ่ \tau \hat{\varphi}:$ Ar "it indicates that he will find one who will liberate him and serve him" (62.7-8). Browne (II, 270-71) suggests restoring the
 $\dot{\cup} \pi \eta \rho \varepsilon \tau \dot{\prime} \sigma o v \tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha \cup \mathfrak{\varrho} \hat{\varrho}$. (Schwabl [IV, 359-60], however, considers "one who will liberate him and serve him" "offenbar nicht mehr als die falsche Verdeutlichung von $\left.\tau 0 \grave{\varsigma} \varsigma \dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha v ̉ \tau \hat{\varrho} . "\right)$
 for it indicates that he will not succeed" [62.13-14]). The additional words should be included in the text.
34. $12 v \varepsilon \omega \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu \mathrm{~V}: \nu \varepsilon \omega \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha i ̉ \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \eta ̀ v \operatorname{LAr}$ ("the younger . . . or the younger of the two sisters" [64.15]). Again the additional words should be included.
 [66. 1]); L's text is the more likely.
 position of the eye in that place, and so he cannot bring anything close to it" (66. 5-6). According to Browne (II, 271), "Ar's Vorlage may have read $\hat{\eta}$

 (Schwabl [IV, 360-61], however, considers emendation unnecessary.)
35. 12 к $\alpha i$. . . $1 \tau \tau 0 \sigma \iota v:$ Ar "and thus the lowest part coöperated with
 probably the correct reading.
 11]). V's text is to be preferred; cf. Schmitt, 383.
35. $24 \beta \varepsilon \lambda \tau i ́ v \alpha$ [o้v $\tau \omega \varsigma$ ]: Ar "since the nose is good in condition" (67. 3). Browne (III, 177) suggests that the Vorlage was $\beta \varepsilon \lambda \tau i o v \alpha$ óv $\tau \alpha$, misunderstood as $\beta \varepsilon \lambda \tau i ́ o v o \varsigma ~ o v ̋ \sigma \eta \varsigma . ~ S c h w a b l ~(I V, ~ 361-62) ~ i s ~ p r o b a b l y ~$ correct in emending to $\beta \varepsilon \lambda \tau$ íov $\alpha<$ őv $\tau \alpha>$ őv $\tau \omega \varsigma$.
 he has two noses, that indicates a difference that will occur between him and the one who is better than he, or between him and the people of his house. And I said 'a difference occurs' precisely because what one sees double without its being naturally thus indicates a double opposition" (67. 7-12). Browne (II, 271-72) suggests that Ar read $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \iota v$, with L, at 36. 2;

 36. 4. Schwabl (IV, 362-63) disputes the need for the last emendation, but

 $<\delta ı \pi \lambda \eta ̄ \varsigma>\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega ́ \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ่ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \sigma \eta \mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́$.
36. $21 \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon i ́ \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \gamma \alpha \mu \eta \theta \varepsilon i \sigma \alpha \mathrm{~V})$ : Ar "and as for the married woman" (69. 10), which suggests that we should read $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \alpha \mu \eta \theta \varepsilon i \sigma \alpha$.
 [69. 11]), probably the correct order.
37. 9 í عîv Hercher: $\dot{\eta}$ í $\delta$ ooı L: om. V: Ar "if a man sees" (70. 3), supporting Pack's suggestion (ad loc.) of $\varepsilon$ i ’ $\delta$ ©ot.
$38.17 \eta$ ŋ̋ $\delta \eta \mathrm{L}$ : है $\tau \mathfrak{V A r}$ ("also" [72.9]); the latter should be read.
38. 23 [ $\kappa \alpha i ̀ . . . \dot{\alpha} \pi \kappa \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon \imath$ ] del. Hercher: Ar does not omit this passage ("And if a person sees that his teeth are broken, he will pay off his debt little by little" [72. 17-73. 1]); this confirms Del Corno's opinion (II, 322) that it should not be bracketed.
38. 23 Ar "little by little" (قلبلا قليلا, 73. 1) more likely reflects V's $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu$ ıкоòv than L's $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau o ̀ v ;$ cf. Schmitt, 356 and 367. The text should follow V .
39. $1 \delta_{i \alpha}^{\alpha}$ дó ${ }^{\prime}$ ov: Ar "with speech and word" (73. 3), perhaps $\delta i \grave{\alpha}$ $<\varphi \omega v \eta ̄ \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha i>\lambda o ́ \gamma o v ; ~ c f . ~ 38 . ~ 15 ~(A r ~ 72 . ~ 7-8), ~ w h e r e ~ \delta i \alpha ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ к \alpha i ~ \varphi \omega v \eta ̄ \varsigma ~$ was rendered "with word and speech."
39. $13 \dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\sigma} \alpha_{1}\left(\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha_{1} \mathrm{~L}\right)$ : Ar "fall out" (73.17); this more likely reflects L's text, which should be printed.
39. 19-22 $\alpha \cup \mathfrak{j} \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma . . . \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \pi i ́ \pi \tau \omega \sigma \iota \nu]$ : Ar "And if one sees that some of the teeth have become long and have increased in size, that indicates contention and controversy that will occur in the dreamer's house, for harmony is not associated with them [i.e. the teeth]. And if he sees that they move but do not fall out, that indicates the same thing" (74. 6-10). Browne


 <, $\tau \alpha$ v̇兀̀ $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha i ́ v o v \sigma ı v>$. The inclusion of the phrase deleted by Hercher confirms Del Corno's opinion (II, 322-23) that it should not be bracketed.
39. $23 \eta^{1}{ }^{1}$ L: к $\alpha i$ V: Ar has asyndeton ("black, corroded . . ." [74. 11]); this probably reflects V , which should be followed.
 "corroded, bent and rotten" (74. 11-12), i.e. $\beta \varepsilon \beta \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v \varsigma ~ к \alpha i ~ к о \lambda о \beta о v ̀ \varsigma ~$ $\kappa \alpha i \quad \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \eta \pi o ́ \tau \alpha \varsigma$; see Schmitt, 101 with n. 5 . V's text should probably be followed.
40. 4 нóvots Hercher: $\mu$ óvov L: $\mu$ ह̀v V: Ar gives no direct equivalent for any of these (cf. Fahd 75 n .5 ); $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ may have appeared in the Vorlage, in confirmation of Del Corno's opinion (II, 325): "fra le due letture offerte dalla tradizione manoscritta, si può propendere per $\mu \varepsilon$ と̀v."
 óסóv $\tau \alpha \varsigma \ldots \sigma \eta \mu$. . $\sigma$ veı V): Ar "and if he sees that his teeth are silver, that indicates" (75. 14-15); this probably reflects V's text, which should be followed.
40. 20-21 $\kappa \alpha i ́ ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \pi \rho \alpha ́ ~ \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon: ~ A r ~ " a n d ~ h i s ~ t o n g u e ~ w i l l ~ u t t e r ~ t h e ~ w o r d " ~(76 . ~$ 10). Browne (III, 178) suggests that this points to an archetype of $\kappa \alpha i \geqslant n$ [cf. $\tau \hat{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{LV}]<\gamma \lambda \omega ́ \sigma \sigma \eta!\tau \imath>\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \lambda o ́ \gamma o v \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \varepsilon ı$.

At the end of this chapter, Ar adds "And if a person sees that his teeth fall out and he takes them and gets them with his hand or with his chest, that indicates that his children will cease and none will be begotten for him after that, and his children will not continue, or they will not be brought up. And if he sees that he sees with his teeth and with his tongue, that indicates that the affairs of his upright house will become bad with words that he will utter" (76. 10-15). The clumsiness of the Arabic here, especially toward the end, may point to a Greek Vorlage, though probably a rather corrupt one. If "he will utter" (76.15) represents Greek $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon$, then the passage could have been lost through homoioteleuton with $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \xi \varepsilon$ (40.21). The Vorlage may be hypothetically reconstructed as follows: $\varepsilon i ̉ \delta \varepsilon ́ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̇ \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \grave{\omega} v \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ o ́ \delta o ́ v \tau \alpha \varsigma$



 $\lambda$ о́үoчя $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon$.
41. 6 Pack notes (per litteras) that the punctuation after $\delta$ óv $\alpha \tau \alpha$ should be a comma.
41. 8-9 к $\alpha i$... $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha i v \varepsilon$ : Ar "And thus also is the state of the tongue that falls from the mouth in wickedness, for whenever this condition of the tongue indicates damage that befalls in words, there is from it degradation" (77. 11-14). Browne (through personal communication) reconstructs the following possible archetype: к $\alpha i \grave{\eta}$ (with V) í $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho$ tò $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha$ $\pi i ́ \pi \tau 0 v \sigma \alpha$
 бпикíveı.
 (". . . grows on his tongue" [77. 16]); the latter is more likely correct.
41. 14 For к $\alpha \kappa \grave{\alpha} v$ read какòv.
42. 2 ن $\pi \varepsilon \rho \dot{\varphi} \alpha \varsigma$ : expanded by Ar as "throat or palate" (79. 1); see Schmitt, 88. Browne (III, 178) suggests that this may reflect a Vorlage of <غ̇к $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \varphi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \cup \gamma \gamma \circ \varsigma \mathfrak{\eta}>\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \dot{\jmath} \pi \varepsilon \rho \dot{\varphi} \alpha \varsigma$, with the absence of the additional words from L and V due to homoiarchon.
42. 12-13 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi$ о́т $\varepsilon$ роı L: $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi$ о́тєроı $\tau \alpha \chi \grave{v} \operatorname{VAr~("both~of~them~.~.~}$ quickly" [79. 15-16]); V's text should be read.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon \propto \lambda \eta \eta_{\zeta}(\tau \eta \nu \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \nu \mathrm{V}$ ): Ar "that his head is struck" (82. 6-7); this probably reflects V , which is to be preferred.
43. 23 tòv: Ar "what" (83. 1), i.e. an inanimate pronoun, which supports Schwabl (IV, 364-65) in his contention that tò should be read.
44. 12 After őv $\tau$ Ar adds "with a thing in which he is trusted" (83.15). There may be a lacuna here; cf. V, which adds $\tau \hat{\jmath} \varsigma$ oikía $\varsigma$, perhaps correctly.
 is recognized" (84.1); this probably reflects L , which should be followed; see Schmitt, 184.
 infinitive is to be preferred.
 should be read; see Schmitt, 349.
45. 21 oikcí $\alpha v$ L: oikí $\alpha v$ VAr ("dwelling" [85. 13]), the more likely reading.
46. 4-5 $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \mathrm{\lambda} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}$. . . étuxov: Ar "And many of those who see this dream have proceeded to leadership and direction" (86.5-6). Fahd in his notes on this passage suggests that Ar omits $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \ldots . . \dot{o} \rho \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, and that "to leadership and direction" supplies < $\bar{\eta} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ к \alpha \dot{i} \dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu о v i ́ \alpha \varsigma>$ for the lacuna noted by Hercher in 46. 5. Strohmaier (OLZ 62 [1967] 275 n .1 ) rejects this suggestion, and Del Corno (II, 325) and Schwabl (II, 153-54) argue persuasively against the need to assume a lacuna. It seems likely that

Ar took $\dot{o} \rho \mu \eta \eta^{\sigma} \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ as a form of $\dot{o} \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$, with $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i$ tov́toıs depending upon it; this would account for "who see this dream."
46. $11 \tau \grave{\eta} v^{1} \ldots \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau 0 v \hat{\text { : }} \mathrm{Ar}$ "When one sees that his head is" (87. 3);
 preferred.
 ("death by force" [88. 1]), probably correctly.
46. 21 بорои̃бı V: ह́ $\chi$ ovo兀 LAr ("which has" [88. 2]; cf. Schmitt, 119 and 331). Again L should be followed.
46. 23 عiрктṇ V: عipкг $\alpha$ is LAr ("those arrested" [88. 7]). L again.
47. $2 \dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o \hat{\mathrm{~L}}$ : $\dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi 0 i ̂$ VAr ("of brothers" [88. 14]). V preserves the likelier reading.
47.3 vóбov L: vóбov $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha i v \varepsilon ̇ \imath ~ V A r ~(" i t ~ i n d i c a t e s ~ d i s e a s e s " ~[88 . ~ 13]) ; ~ ;$ V's text should be read.
47. 9 qıv $\gamma$ í $\gamma$ voıvto $\mathrm{L}: ~ \varphi \alpha$ ívoıv V V: Ar "one sees that they become" (89. 7-8), which perhaps more likely reflects V ; cf. Schmitt, 434. The text should read $\tau \iota v ı$ qaívoıvto .
47. $10 \sigma \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega v \mathrm{~L}: ~ \kappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega v$ VAr ("things" [89. 10]), which should be read; cf. Del Corno II, 674.
47. 11-12 $\pi \alpha$ Oóv $\varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma \ldots \sigma \eta \mu$ ívovaıv om. Ar (Fahd 89 n. 7). If Ar "they indicate" (89.9) renders not L's $\pi \rho \rho \alpha \gamma 0 \rho \varepsilon$ v́ovaı (47. 10-11) but V's $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha i v o v \sigma l$, then the omission is explained by homoioteleuton; $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha i v o v \sigma t$, therefore, is to be preferred.
 the case of women" [89. 13]), which should be read.
48. 1 ő $\tau \mathrm{c}$ ( $\delta$ ' add. L) $\ddot{\alpha} v:$ Ar "And so I will say that if" (90. 14); this follows L, with $\ddot{\alpha} v$ understood as $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$. For $\ddot{\alpha} v$, then, $\delta^{\prime} \grave{\alpha} v$ should be read.
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon v_{0} \mathrm{Ar}$ ("... of the hands, if they are destroyed" [92. 3]), probably the correct reading.
49.8 $8 \dot{\alpha} v \alpha v \tau i \alpha$ : Ar "the like" (92. 12); Reiske's suggestion of <oú> $\tau \dot{\alpha} v \alpha v \tau i ́ \alpha$ should be accepted.
49. $11 \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma 0$ v̀s: expanded by Ar to "idle and not active" (92. 15); see Schmitt, 279. Browne suggests (through personal communication) that this

49. 15 For $\alpha \gamma \rho i ́ \alpha v$ read $\dot{\alpha} p \gamma i ́ \alpha v$.
 should be read.
49. 22 For $\gamma$ ò $\operatorname{read} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$.
 reflects V , which is therefore to be preferred; see Schmitt, 427.
50. 5 After ó ò $\mu \varphi \alpha \lambda$ òs, Ar adds "when a man sees it sick" (94. 6), perhaps having read ó ò $\mu \varphi \alpha \lambda$ òs <voō̂v>.
50. 8 Before $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \eta \theta \eta \uparrow v \alpha ı$, Ar adds "either" (94. 9), i.e. <ì>. Cf. V:


50． 11 解 $\pi \alpha \mathrm{t} \delta \mathrm{t}$ ：Ar＂everyone who is childless＂（95．1－2）；therefore，as Browne（II，272）points out，we should read＜ö́ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \iota>\ddot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \delta ı$ ．
 ．．．together with what we have said＂［96．1－2］）．The fuller text should be printed．

51． 2 то仑̂ $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$ ：Ar＂that the man possesses＂（96．4）；Breen（Le Muséon 101 ［1988］180）suggests that we should read $\tau 0 \hat{\text { v }} \kappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$.

51． 5 After $\varphi \rho o v \tau i ́ \delta \alpha \varsigma$（VAr：$\varphi \rho o v \tau i ́ \delta \alpha \mathrm{~L}$ ），Ar adds＂and if one sees that he eats his liver，he killed his son and took his money＂（96．8）；Breen （Le Muséon 101 ［1988］180－81）reconstructs this as $<\hat{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \delta \dot{\eta}$［read $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}]$ $\varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon i ̂ v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ v i o ̀ v ~ \alpha ̇ \pi о к \tau \varepsilon i ́ v \alpha v \tau \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \chi \rho \eta ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i ̂ v>$ ．

51．7 After $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ ，Ar adds＂the cleverness of a man and the place where he hoards his money＂（96．11）；Browne（through personal communication） suggests reconstructing this as＜$\sigma$ v́v $\varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ к $\alpha i \not \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \varepsilon \iota \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda_{1} \alpha>$ ．

51．8－9 $\dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о$ ѝ ．．．$\tau \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \nu \alpha$ ：Ar＂brothers and sisters and the rest of the relations and children＂（96．14－15）；Browne（I，209）proposes reading


51． $12 \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda u ́ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \ldots \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \lambda \lambda u ́ \sigma \theta \alpha ı \operatorname{VAr}$（＂And if they are lost，they indicate that the thing that they indicate will be lost＂［97．3－4］）：om．L． Pack（per litteras）suggests emending $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \hat{v} \sigma \theta \alpha ı$ to $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \varepsilon i \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{l}$ ．
 Ar suggests to Browne（II，272－73）that the Vorlage was V＇s દ̇ $\pi \varepsilon i ̀ . ~ . ~ . ~ o ̈ \tau ı . ~$

51． 14 т ́ккข $\omega$ v：Ar＂procreation＂（97．9）；Browne（II，272－73）suggests reading＜$\tau \circ$ û＞$\tau \varepsilon \kappa v o u ̂ v$.

53． 11 үóvv $\tau \varepsilon$ LV：$\gamma o ́ v \alpha \tau \alpha$ Hercher：Ar＂knees＂（if we read ركب；see Schmitt，80），which tends to support Hercher．

53． $12 \pi$ о $\lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\kappa} \kappa \iota \varsigma .$. what the knees indicate frequently happens and their interpretation is connected with brothers＂（100．15－16），perhaps more likely reflecting L＇s кגì $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ t h a n ~ V ' s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s . ~$

53． 14 ह̌ $\tau 1$ ．．$\sigma \eta \mu \alpha$ íveı：Ar＂and they are interpreted to refer to freed slaves＂（101．1）；Browne（III，178－79）proposes a Vorlage of है $\tau \iota ~ \kappa \alpha i ~ \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~$ ［cf．V］$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \cup \theta \varepsilon ́ \rho o v \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i ̀ ~[c f . ~ L] ~ \lambda \eta \pi \tau \varepsilon ́ \alpha . ~$

54． $1 \tau \eta ิ \varsigma$ vè̆ $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \sigma \sigma o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta \varsigma:$ Ar＂when the ship travels on the sea， being calm＂（102．1）；this may suggest $\tau \eta \eta_{\varsigma} v \varepsilon \grave{\omega} \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ́ \rho \varepsilon \sigma \sigma o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta \varsigma<\delta \iota \alpha ̀$ $\gamma \alpha \lambda$ ńvŋऽ＞，with the phrase lost in L and V through homoioteleuton；cf． 140． 19.

54． 3 тoîc：Ar＂many feet＂（102．5）；Browne（III，179）suggests that $<\pi \lambda \varepsilon i ́ o \sigma \imath>$ was present in the Vorlage before $\pi \lambda \varepsilon$ íovas（54．4）．

54．11 Pack（per litteras）suggests that દ̇кモî was originally present after $\beta \alpha \delta^{\prime} \zeta o v \sigma \iota v$ ，and was lost through visual similarity to $\alpha \dot{\alpha}$ ．

54．12 At the end of the sentence，Ar adds＂because they walk upon that reel which is there＂（102．16）；see Strohmaier，OLZ 62 （1967）274．Browne （through personal communication）suggests a Vorlage of $\left\langle\dot{\omega} \varsigma \beta \alpha \delta^{\prime} \zeta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma\right.$ غ̇ $\pi \grave{\imath} \tau \rho \circ \chi \hat{\varphi}>;$ cf．Schmitt， 195.
54. 13 к $\alpha i$ тov́ $\omega \mathrm{L}: \alpha \cup ̉ \tau \varrho ิ \mathrm{~V}:$ Ar "him also" (103. 1), which suggests $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \alpha v \jmath \varrho$.
55. 7 т $\rho$ ítov ( $\delta \grave{\varepsilon} \mathrm{L}$ ): Ar "then . . . after that" (104. 10). After the omission of $\delta \varepsilon v ́ \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$ in 55.6 , Ar could hardly have said "thirdly"; Ar may, however, support the contention of Schwabl (IV, 365-67) that instead of $\tau \rho i ́ \tau o v( \pm \delta \dot{\varepsilon}) ~ \tau o ̀, ~ w e ~ s h o u l d ~ r e a d ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ . ~$
55.8 tò . . . है $\sigma \tau \iota v$ Hercher: đò $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ \pi o \sigma o ̀ v ~ \tau o u ̂ \tau o ~ L: ~ \tau o i ̂ ̧ ~ \mu દ ̀ v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi o \sigma o ̀ v ~$
 . . . , with tov̂to taken before $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \gamma \theta$ òv in 55.9 ("that is commendable" [104. 12-13]), i.e. $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta$ òv.
55. 8-9 غ่к $\mu \mathrm{t} \kappa \rho \circ \hat{\mu} \mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \nu(\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \mathrm{~L}) \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{l}$ : Ar "as if the small thing becomes large" (104. 11-12), i.e. $\mu \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\gamma} \alpha$, with L.
$55.9 \mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega \mathrm{~V}: \mu \varepsilon i \zeta o v \operatorname{LAr}$ ("larger than it is" [104. 12], i.e. غ่к om.Ar) should be read. Pack's apparatus omits the accent on $\mu \varepsilon i \zeta o v$, but it is present in the manuscript.
55. $14 \beta \rho \alpha \chi$ v̀ V: $\mu$ ıкро̀v L: Ar $\quad$ "small" (105. 4), which more likely reflects $\mu$ ккрòv; cf. Schmitt, 288 and 367.
56. 9 oikov $\rho$ v̂бtv $\alpha i \gamma u v \alpha i ̂ \kappa \varepsilon \varsigma$ : Ar "their vision is in the interior of houses, and they have not power" (106. 5-6); see Schmitt, 128. Browne (through personal communication) suggests that the Vorlage may have
 кирıєv́ov $\gg \alpha \_\{\gamma v v \alpha i ̂ \kappa \varepsilon \varsigma\}$.
56. 24-25 $\pi \alpha \nu \tau i ̀ . . \gamma \varepsilon \gamma o v \varepsilon ́ v \alpha$ : misunderstood by $\operatorname{Ar}$ ("And as for what the significance is of the recollection of gold and silver: when it becomes copper, it also indicates the like of that" [107. 5-7]); see Rosenthal, 143. Ar omits $\pi \alpha \nu \tau i \quad \delta \dot{\eta} \pi o v \theta \varepsilon v$ (Fahd 107 n. 3), which is probably an incorporated marginal gloss.
57. $10 \lambda i ́ \theta$ ıvóv V: $\lambda i ́ \theta o v$ LAr ("stone" [substantive; 108. 4]), which should be read.
59. $15 \lambda \underline{\sim} \pi \alpha \varsigma$ L: $\delta i ́ \kappa \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{̣}$ i $\delta o ́ v \tau ı$ VAr ("a dispute in which the possessor of the dream will fall" [109. 11-12]); the latter is preferable.
59. 20-21 After iסóvios Ar adds "and what those crafts indicate as regards the condition of the one who sees them" (110.6). Browne (through personal communication) suggests a Vorlage of ... íoóv $\tau \circ \varsigma$, к $\alpha i$ í $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \kappa \varepsilon i ̂ v<\alpha \iota$ б $\eta \mu \alpha$ ívovoı $\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ i ́ \delta o ́ v \tau o \varsigma ~ \kappa>\alpha i$ ö $\sigma \alpha \ldots$
 "contradiction and difference of opinion" (110.11); this probably reflects a Vorlage of $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma ı v$ к $\alpha i$ ì $\chi o ́ v o r \alpha v$; see Schmitt, 301 and 414.
60. 19-20 ov่ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \ldots \delta t \delta \alpha \dot{\sigma} \kappa \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$ : Ar "for one who learns the writing of the Greeks does not go into slavery" (112.2). Pack (per litteras) suggests
 $\delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$. Cf. Festugière, 61 n. 3.
60. $25 \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa o ́ \psi \alpha \iota ~ L: ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa o ́ \psi \alpha ı \operatorname{VAr}$ ("will become exhausted from his illness" [112. 7-8]), which should be read.
61.8 tò толıкòv L：tòv тó $\begin{gathered}\text { ov } \mathrm{V}: \text { Ar＂the nature of the place＂（113．6），}\end{gathered}$ more likely reflecting V＇s text．
62.1 tò $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \hat{\omega} \vee \alpha$ ：Ar＂the place of wrestling in the time of wrestling＂ （114．10－11），i．e．$\tau \grave{o} v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \hat{\omega} v \alpha<\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ 亢òv $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \hat{\omega} v \alpha>$ ，with the loss occurring through homoioteleuton．
 ＂is similar to the love of a profligate woman＂（115．2－3），as if $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \iota$ were read and taken with हैo七кع．Ar then adds＂and her spending secret hours in adulterous love＂（115．3）．This could possibly reflect $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha i \rho \alpha \varsigma<\varepsilon i \varsigma$ $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho o v \varsigma>\kappa \tau \lambda$ ；cf．L and V．Ar omits $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ，with L．
 （＂indicates＂［115．9］）more likely reflects L．

62． $15 \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \pi \imath \gamma \gamma \iota \mathrm{~V}: \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \pi \imath \gamma \gamma \iota \mathrm{L}: \mathrm{Ar}$＂with the instrument called salpinx＂（115．14）；the use of the article probably means that Ar reflects L．

62． 17 оiккє七 $\omega v$ LV：oikєí $\omega v$ Hercher Ar（＂relations＂［116．3］）；see Schmitt，127．Hercher＇s emendation should be accepted．

63． $2 \alpha \vee \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon ı \mathrm{~V}: \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon ı \operatorname{LAr}$（＂wind around＂［116．12］），which should be read．

63． $8 \pi \varepsilon v \theta$ ıкоі̆ऽ Hercher：$\pi v \theta$ ıкоїя LVAr（＂used in divination＂［117．3－ 4］）Robert；see Festugière， 64 n． 7 and Pack IV，121－22．

63． $16 \dot{\alpha} v \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ L：$\pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \operatorname{VAr}$（＂a book of fabrication of poetry＂［117．13－14］），which should be read；see Bowersock，146－47．

63． 19 סov $\lambda \varepsilon i ̂ \alpha \iota$ Hercher：$\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda i ́ \alpha ı$ L：om．V：$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega v i \alpha \iota$ Pack（III，309）： $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha \_$Ar（＂misery＂［117．16］），which should be read；see Bowersock， 147.

63． $22 \dot{\alpha} v \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ LVAr（＂representation＂［118．4］）；Bowersock （147）is probably right in suggesting that the correct reading is $\delta \rho \alpha, \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \geqslant$ $\pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \alpha \alpha$（cf．63．16），though Schwabl（I，86－87）argues for $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ in both instances．

63． 22 そ$\beta_{1} \beta \lambda_{i} \alpha \mathrm{LV}$ ：Ar＂in a book＂（118．4），i．e．$\varepsilon v \beta_{1} \beta \lambda_{i} \varphi$ ；in either case，as Bowersock（147）argues，＂a gloss to explain $\pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ．＂

64． 3 चıoi L：$\tau \iota i \mathrm{VAr}$（＂a man＂［118．12］），which should be read．
64．3－4 кєр $\delta \hat{\omega} v \ldots$ ．．$\sigma \varepsilon \mu v v$ vovov：Ar＂the poets，because of what is given to them of benefit，praise＂（118．11－12），which seems to suggest that

 also＂［120．11］）．L＇s text should be read；see Strohmaier，OLZ 62 （1967） 271 n． 3.

65． 18 ŋ$\ldots \gamma \omega^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ del．Reiske（correctly），om．Ar；cf．Fahd 121 n． 11.
65．24－66． 1 ह̌л $\pi \iota \tau \alpha$ ．．vó $\sigma o v:$ Ar＂and this dream also indicates a quarrel that will take place between the rich and poor people because of land，and it is for the rich and the poor that it indicates illness＂（122．3－5）． Browne（III，179－80）suggests a Vorlage of ．．．$\pi \rho$ ó $\tau \imath v \alpha \varsigma<\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ópouৎ＞

66. $3 \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda_{0} \hat{v} v \mathrm{~L}: \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \ldots \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} \varsigma \operatorname{VAr}$ ("And . . . in short" [122. 8-9]) should be read. Ar does not add anything here, pace Fahd 122 n. 3.
66.7 tò $\zeta \hat{\eta} v$ del. Hercher (correctly), om. Ar.
 that he is tested in wrestling" [123. 1]). The latter is to be preferred; cf. Schmitt, 191.
66. $12 \dot{\eta} \lambda ı k i \alpha v$ V: $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta o \kappa i \alpha v$ LAr ("when they expect it" [123.3]), more likely the correct reading; see Pack III, 309-10.
66. 15-16 $\mu \varepsilon \in \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda$ ov: Ar "the place of one who is not fit for it" (123. $6-7$ ); this seems to support Krauss's emendation, $\dot{\alpha} \tau \mu \mu^{\prime} \alpha v$. Cf. Fahd (123 n. 7) and Schmitt, 147.
66. 18 П $\alpha \lambda \alpha i \varepsilon ı v$ L: П $\alpha \lambda \alpha i ́ \varepsilon ı v ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \operatorname{VAr}$ ("And if he sees that he wrestles" [123.8]), which is perhaps more likely.
66. $18 \varphi i \lambda \omega$ L: $\varphi i ́ \lambda \omega v$ VAr ("of his friends" [123. 8]), which should be read.
 more likely reflecting L .
67. $10 \tau \hat{n} \gamma \hat{n}$ Soûval: Ar "he will fall thrown to the ground" (124. 6); Browne (II, 273) suggests a Vorlage of . . . $\tau$ ñn $\delta$ סov̂v $\alpha \mathrm{l}<\pi \varepsilon \sigma o ́ v \tau \alpha>$.
67. 13 After $\tau \imath \alpha \dot{\alpha}, \mathrm{Ar}$ adds "of his relatives" (124. 10), i.e.
 4 (Ar 337. 11) and Schmitt, 408.

 < $о$ о́ $\varepsilon>\kappa \tau \lambda$.
68. $3<\tau \hat{n} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ [Pack (per litteras) corrects this to $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta]$ ] $\alpha \dot{i}>$ Hercher: om. Ar (Fahd 125 n. 9); Del Corno (II, 325-26) argues persuasively against the inclusion of the phrase.
68. $10 \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \hat{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$ LV: $\tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda$ ov Hercher (tentatively, in his apparatus) Ar ("the competition" [126.2]). Hercher's reading was correct.
69. 8 к $\alpha \mathrm{i}$ غ̇ $\mu \varphi \alpha \gamma$ óv $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma:$ understood by Ar as "when they bathe and eat" (127.15), possibly < $\lambda$ ov́ov $\tau \alpha 1>~ \kappa \alpha i$ è $\mu \varphi \alpha \gamma o ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.
 L: Ar "his entry into the bath, and that he did not find water in it" (129. 7-

71. 10 [i $\delta \rho о \pi о \tau \varepsilon i ̂ v]$ : Ar "to drink warm water" (131. 8-9), i.e. Өгриототєîv (see Pack III, 310).
 sees that he is . . that is bad" [132. 10-11]), which should be read.
73. $14 \dagger$ кítpı $\alpha \dagger$ LVAr ("citron" [135. 7]); see Rosenthal, 143. Pack (I, 314) suggests that the word should not be obelized. Cf. also Schwabl II, 155-56.
 should be read.
74. 3 For к $\kappa \tau \alpha \tau о \mu \alpha ́ \varsigma ~ r e a d ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha \tau о \mu \alpha ̀ \varsigma . ~$
 should be read.
74. 5 After $\tau \alpha \grave{\alpha} \tau 0 \imath \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau$, Ar adds "by iron" (136. 6); Browne (II, 27374) suggests a Vorlage of $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \circ \imath \alpha v ิ \tau \alpha<\sigma ı \delta \eta ́ \rho \varphi>$.
 13), i.e. perhaps $<\pi о \vee \eta \rho o i ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha i>\not \partial \not \approx \rho \alpha \kappa \tau о$.
 (137. 5), with cognate accusative, may suggest $\delta \alpha \kappa \rho v v^{\prime}{ }^{2} \sigma ı \ldots$ ỏ $\lambda i ́ \gamma \alpha$ $<\delta \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho v \alpha>$, which would resolve the lacuna in L noted in Pack's apparatus.
 "they indicate . . . they bring to light" (138.13); this more likely reflects V, which should be followed.
75. 17 $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha i ́ v o v \sigma ı v ~ L: ~ \sigma \eta \mu \alpha i ́ v o v \sigma ı v ~ \eta ᄁ ~ \tau \alpha ́ ~ \gamma \varepsilon ~ v v ิ v ~ غ ̇ \lambda \pi ı \zeta o ́ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha ~ o v ̉ ~$ $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ı 0 \hat{\sigma} \iota \iota \operatorname{VAr}$ ("indicates ... or that what they hope for will not be accomplished for them" [139.6-8]), which should be read.

76. 5 After $\beta$ ó $\varepsilon ı \alpha$, Ar adds "it indicates toil" (140. 2), reflecting Hercher's conjecture of $\beta$ ó $\varepsilon \iota \alpha<\pi 0 \vee \eta \rho \alpha ̀>$ (see Pack III, 310), or perhaps more likely $<\mu \circ \chi \theta \eta \rho \dot{\alpha}>$; cf. 169. 15 (Ar 303. 14), where $\mu \circ \chi \theta \eta \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ i s$ similarly rendered.
76. 18 ой $\tau \omega \varsigma$ ט́ $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ò óчолоı $\omega \mathrm{v}$ : Ar "as cooks prepare it" (141. 2); this reflects Festugière's emendation: oú $\tau \omega \varsigma<\dot{\omega} \varsigma>$ ن́ $\pi$ ò ó $\psi о \pi o ı \omega ิ \nu(75 \mathrm{n} .21)$.
76. 24-77. 2 ő $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \ldots \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \iota \dagger:$ Ar "for he does not eat the meat of a man from the people of his house, and it indicates that he himself will be satisfied and will not need and that which is eaten will starve, because everything that is eaten is destroyed" (141.9-11). Pack (per litteras) corrects $\varphi \alpha \gamma \omega \dot{\omega}$ (76. 24) to $\varphi \alpha \gamma \omega े v$. Endress and Gutas (241) propose the


 a homoioteleuton error is tempting, but it is hard to imagine why Artemidorus would have written anything so redundant as $\dot{\alpha} v \delta \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ o v ̉$
 Reiske suggested $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha<\mu \varepsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}>$ iòv $\varepsilon$ غ́ $\theta$ tó $\mu \varepsilon v o v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ í; Festugière (76 n. 23) omits $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha$ from this, and Schwabl (I, 87) has a similar suggestion:
 hears an echo of Homeric language ("vgl. Il. 6, 131 und 139 f., auch 5, 407"), which may account in part for Ar's difficulty with the passage.
77. 2 єiкós $\gamma \varepsilon$ : Ar "and especially because" (141. 11), which may

77. 7 тoù $\pi$ кó $\delta \alpha \varsigma$ : Ar "the foot of the child" (142. 3), i.e. perhaps $\tau 0$ 气 $\varsigma$ $\pi o ́ \delta \alpha \varsigma<\tau 00 ิ \pi \alpha \iota \delta o ̀ \varsigma>$.
77. 11 d่ $\gamma \alpha \theta \alpha i ́:$ Ar "very good" (142. 5), perhaps $<\pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega \varsigma>\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \alpha i$ (cf. 76. 15 [Ar 140. 15]) or $<\sigma \varphi o ́ \delta \rho \alpha>\alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \beta \theta \alpha$ í (cf. 109. 2 [Ar 201. 4]).
77. 16 そ̀ $\delta \iota \kappa \overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{v}$ : Ar "who themselves procured it" (142. 10); Schwabl

78.5 ن́nò . . . $\tau \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\eta} \chi \theta \alpha \mathrm{l}$ : Ar "another than he subdues him, just as salted fish is pressed" (143. 15-16). Browne (II, 274) suggests a Vorlage of < $\dot{\omega}\rangle$
 noted, demurs.
78.7 $\sigma \eta \mu$ ívovat: expanded by Ar to "it is bad, indicating" (144.5),

78. 13 Before $\kappa \alpha \pi \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \omega$, Ar adds "vinegar-sauce and" (144. 12), perhaps <ő $\xi \varepsilon \omega v \kappa \alpha i>\kappa \alpha \pi \pi \alpha ́ \rho \varepsilon \omega v$.
78. 20 After $\varphi \uparrow \lambda$ ov $\varepsilon ı к i \alpha \varsigma$, Ar adds "and shouting" (145. 7); see Schmitt, 436. Possibly Ar read $\varphi \uparrow \lambda o v \varepsilon ı \kappa i ́ \alpha \varsigma ~<\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \alpha \grave{\varsigma>; ~ c f . ~ 78 . ~} 23$ (Ar 145. 10).
79. $19 \pi \rho \circ \sigma к \alpha$ ípous: Ar "in its time" (146.13); see Schmitt, 408. Fahd (146 n. 12) compares Hercher's conjecture, <к $\alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\varepsilon} v \tau \grave{̀} v$ öp $\alpha v>$. Robert (Hellenica: Recueil d'épigraphie, de numismatique et d' antiquités grecques XII [Paris 1960] 602) suggests $\pi$ pòs кגц $\rho o ́ v$, and Pack (I, 318 n. 15) notes perhaps the most likely possibility, <к $\alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu$ ह̀v $\tau \grave{v}$ к $\alpha \iota \rho o ̀ v>$.
80.2 пótov: Ar "a sweet beverage" (147. 6-7), possibly <í $\delta u ̀>~ \pi o t o ̀ v ; ~$ cf. 21.9 ( $\operatorname{Ar} 41.3$ ).
80. 23 After $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$, Ar adds "benefits and" (148. 16). Browne (III,


81. 23-25 ov̉ ... $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \delta \bar{\omega} \sigma \mathrm{t}$ : simplified by Ar to "do not dance except from joy and sufficiency" (150. 9-10); the corrupt $\dagger \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} \dagger \dagger$ may have suggested a form of $\alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ to Ar, but more likely Ar supports Festugière's $\tau \eta \geqslant v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta v<\tau \eta \eta \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \rho i>(80 \mathrm{n} .1)$; see also Endress and Gutas, 253.
 illness" (82.12). Ar has omitted $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varphi \rho o v \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma$ l $\kappa \alpha i$ (which is probably an intrusive gloss; note the absence of $\kappa \alpha i$ in L ), and understood $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa o ́ \psi \alpha 1$ as $\pi \rho о к о ́ \psi \alpha$ а.
82. 13 After ó ó $\rho \chi \eta \sigma \tau \eta ́ \varsigma, ~ A r ~ a d d s ~ " a s ~ l o n g ~ a s ~ h e ~ d a n c e s " ~(151 . ~ 14), ~$ possibly <ò $\rho \chi o v ́ \mu \varepsilon v o s ~(~ \pm \mu \grave{\varepsilon v})>$.
82. $18 \pi 010 \hat{v} v \tau \alpha 1$ : Ar "it indicates" (152. 2); this seems to support Hercher's emendation, $\mu \alpha v \tau \varepsilon$ v́ع $\alpha \alpha$.
84.4 к $\alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} .$. . к $\alpha \iota \rho o ̀ v: ~ A r ~ " n o t ~ i n ~ t h e ~ s e a s o n ~ i n ~ w h i c h ~ t h e r e ~ a r e ~ r o s e s, ~$ they are bad, and if one sees them in the season of roses" (156. 4-5); see Rosenthal, 143 and Pack I, 318, who suggests $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ (sic LVAr) $\mu$ èv tòv

86. $12 \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \grave{\alpha} \ldots{ }^{2} \lambda_{1 \kappa} \alpha \varsigma$ : Ar "they are bad because of their envelopment" (159. 15); Browne (through personal communication) suggests a Vorlage of $\alpha \not \mu \alpha$ (cf. L) <к $\alpha \kappa \grave{\alpha}>\sigma \eta \mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon ı ~ к \tau \lambda$.
87. $19 \tau \hat{\omega} v \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha i ́ \rho \omega v$ [read $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \rho \rho \hat{v}$; see Schwabl II, 157]: Ar "than those who stand in the brothels" (161. 16); Browne (I, 210) suggests a


89． $10<\tau \iota v \alpha>$ ：Ar＂a slave＂（ 164.11 ），i．e．perhaps＜$\tau \imath v \alpha$ $\delta o u ̂ \lambda o v>; ~ c f . ~$ Del Corno I， 677.
$90.9<\varepsilon ँ \chi \theta \rho \alpha v>$ Hercher Ar（＂treat as an enemy＂［166．2］）：$\chi \omega$ ต́p $\alpha v$ LV． Since the word is present，if only in Ar ，for $<\varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon} \chi \theta \rho \alpha v>$ read $\bar{\varepsilon} \chi \theta \rho \alpha v$ ．

90． 18 Before $\delta i \grave{\alpha} \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \varsigma$ oikoupías，Ar adds＂filled her mother＇s place and＂（166．11）；Browne（III，181）suggests a Vorlage of＜$\dot{\omega} \varsigma \dot{\eta} \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau \eta َ$ $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho>\delta_{1} \grave{\alpha} \kappa \tau \lambda$ ；Endress and Gutas（337）favor＜$\ddot{\sigma} \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \dot{\tau} \tau \rho>\delta i \grave{\alpha} \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．

91． 4 For $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \rho \rho o \delta i ́ \sigma \iota \omega v$ read $\dot{\alpha} \varphi p o \delta \iota \sigma i \omega v$.
91． $17<\dot{o} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho>$ Hercher：Ar＂and the＂（168．10）；Browne（I，210） suggests a Vorlage of＜ó $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}\rangle$ ．

93．5－6 Before $\kappa \alpha i$, Ar adds＂because the land is like the mother＂（171． 3）．Browne suggests（through personal communication）that Ar may have read $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i ̀ ~(c f . ~ V) ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ o i k \varepsilon i ́ \alpha v ~<\dot{\omega} \varsigma \mu \eta \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha>\alpha \dot{\alpha} v \alpha \kappa о \mu \iota \sigma \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$ ．But the additional phrase may have been suggested by 93．26－27．

93． 21 ［ $\check{\tau} \tau \imath$（ $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon i L$ ）．．$\beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ ］，deleted by Hercher，is not omitted by $\operatorname{Ar}$（＂because of its shame and the shame of the like of this sleep＂［172． $1-2]$ ）．Ar read $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon i$ ，with L ，and interpreted $\dot{v} \pi \tau i ́ \alpha$ as if it were from $\ddot{\pi} \pi v o \varsigma$ ． The text should include $\begin{gathered}\pi \\ \varepsilon ⿺ ⿻ ⿻ 一 ㇂ ㇒ 丶 i l, ~ a n d ~ \\ \text { étı should be relegated to the apparatus．}\end{gathered}$
 pain and toil［an expansion of $\dot{o} \mu \bar{\varepsilon} v$ $\pi$ óvoc］befalls the woman，and the man continues without effort，and pleasure takes possession of him［a simplification of $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v \varphi \ldots \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \pi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota]$ ，and his toil becomes less ［cf．Reiske＇s $\eta$ ŋ̈ $\tau \tau 0 \mathrm{a} \varsigma$ ］in the like of this position，for it is without movement from the man＂（172．14－173．1）．The text should read ó $\mu \bar{\varepsilon} v$



 ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\omega} \omega \theta \varepsilon v \operatorname{VAr}$（＂when they have intercourse，have intercourse on their back， like the camels，and some of them as to the fact that the male is above the female＂［173．13－15］，i．e．Ar om．кגi кúvȩ）．The text should follow V．

95． 15 то仑̂ ．．$\varphi$ i $\lambda i \alpha \varsigma$ ：Ar＂his love to her［i．e．V＇s $\alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega}]$ ，and the invalidation of the marriage＂（175．5），i．e．inversion．Ar probably read k $\alpha$ i， with V ，rather than $\eta$ ，with L ．V should be followed in both points．

96．9－10 $\delta \grave{\alpha}$ бтó $\mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma:$ Ar＂in their mouths＂（176．12），suggesting a Vorlage of $\delta \grave{\alpha}$ tov̂ $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma$ ，with V．
 expect＂（177．14），which should be read．

97． $10 \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha i ́ v ற ̣$ L：$\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon ı v$ סoкற̣̂ V：Ar＂sees ．．．that she has intercourse＂（178．11），probably reflecting V．

97．12 After $\gamma \cup v \eta$ ，Ar adds＂is married and＂（178．15）．Browne（II， 275）suggests restoring the Vorlage as ．．．$\gamma \cup v \grave{\eta}<\alpha<้ v \delta \rho \alpha$ é $\chi o v \sigma \alpha>$ or ．．． $\gamma \vee v \grave{~}\langle\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta>$ ．
 likely reflects oủv; cf. Schmitt, 293 and 382.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{5}$ Artemidorus Arabus: Toward a New Edition of the Onirocritica (Urbana 1997).

