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ABSTRACT
Paleoseismic studies seek to characterise the signature of pre-historical earthquakes by deriving quantitative information from the geological record such as the source, magnitude and recurrence of moderate to large earthquakes. In this study, we provide a ~16,000 yr-long paleo-earthquake record of the 200 km-long northern Hikurangi Margin, New Zealand, using cm-thick deep-sea turbidites identified in sediment cores. Cores were collected in strategic locations across the margin within three distinct morphological re-entrants – the Poverty, Ruatoria and Matakania re-entrants. The turbidite facies vary from muddy to sandy with evidence for rare hyperpynclines interbedded with hemipelagites and tephra. We use the Oxal probabilistic software to model the age of each turbidite, using the sedimentation rate of hemipelagite deduced from well-dated tephra layers and radiocarbon ages measurements on planktonic foraminifera.

Turbidites are correlated from one core to the other using similarity in sedimentary facies, petrophysical properties and ages. Results show that 46 turbidites are synchronous along the entire margin. Amongst them 41 are interpreted as originating from the upper continental slope in response to earthquake-triggered slope failures between 390 ±170 to 16,450 ±310 yr BP. Using well-established empirical relationships that combine peak ground acceleration, magnitude and location of earthquakes, we calculate that synchronous slope failures were triggered by the rupture of 3 of the 26 known active faults in the region, each capable of generating $M_w$ 7.3 to 8.4 earthquakes – two are crustal reverse faults and one is the subduction interface. The 41 $M_w \geq 7.3$ earthquakes occurred at an average recurrence interval of ~400 yr over the last ~16,000 yr. Among them, twenty are interpreted as subduction interface earthquakes that occurred at a median recurrence interval of ~800 yr, with alternating periods of high activity and low return times (305 – 610 yr) and quiescence periods with high return times (1480 – 2650 yr). Based on turbidite paleoseismology, we propose that subduction interface earthquakes were of lower magnitude during active periods ($M_w > 7.5$) than during quiescence periods ($M_w \geq 8.2$).

1. INTRODUCTION

Submarine paleoseismology provides the means to derive reliable information on the spatial distribution and recurrence of prehistoric earthquakes in the marine environment from the
sedimentary record. The method has been successfully applied at active margins (Adams, 1990; Goldfinger et al., 2003; 2007; Huh et al., 2004; Noda et al., 2008; Gracia et al., 2010; McHugh et al., 2011), in lakes (Strasser et al., 2006; Beck, 2009), and in intraplate domains (St-Onge et al., 2004). However, identification of earthquakes as the triggering mechanism of turbidites often remains equivocal despite careful sedimentological characterization (e.g. Gorsline et al., 2000; Nakajima and Kanai, 2000). Convincing interpretations have been obtained by demonstrating a synchronicity of trigger over long distances and across distinct sedimentary systems (Goldfinger et al., 2003; 2007; Gracia et al., 2010). In some cases, correlations with historical and instrumental records of earthquakes substantiate the interpretations, but in regions where written history does not exceed 200 years like in New Zealand, specific methodologies need to be developed to ascertain the earthquake origin of turbidites (Pouderoux et al., 2012a; 2012b; Barnes et al., 2013).

The northern Hikurangi subduction margin, east of New Zealand’s North Island contains well-developed series of Quaternary turbidites (Lewis, 1973, 1980; Lewis et al., 2004; Pouderoux et al., 2012a). It is characterised by high sediment delivery (Hicks and Shankar, 2003; Hicks et al., 2004), and intense tectonic activity (Reyners and McGinty, 1999; Doser and Webb, 2003), which makes it an excellent location for marine turbidite paleoseismology studies. Three large morphological re-entrants in the margin, the Poverty, Ruatoria and Matakaoa re-entrants (Fig. 1), concentrate gravity flow sedimentation (Lewis et al., 2004; Joanne et al., 2010; Pedley et al., 2010) and record a succession of turbidites emplaced since the Last Glacial Maximum (Pouderoux et al., 2012a). In Poverty re-entrant, Pouderoux et al. (2012b) demonstrated that most of the turbidites were triggered by paleo-earthquakes. However, their study focused on two cores recovered from a single sedimentary system and lacks the margin-scale embrace which may afford the characterisation of earthquakes of great magnitudes ($M_w > 8$) such as those generated along a subduction interface.

The present paper aims at establishing a calendar record of earthquakes that occurred along the northern Hikurangi Margin for the last $\sim16,000$ yr, at identifying the fault sources and estimating the magnitude of earthquakes at the origin of the turbidites. To do so, we use sedimentological and geomorphological observations, chronostratigraphic correlations and peak ground acceleration attenuation models.

## 2. Background and Geological settings

### 2.1. Morphological and tectonic settings

The northern Hikurangi Margin (Fig. 1) comprises from east to west the 3500 m-deep Hikurangi Trough, a narrow sediment-starved accretionary prism, an unstable continental slope and a continental shelf supplied in sediments by the rivers of the Raukumara Peninsula (Lewis, 1980; Lewis and Pettinga, 1993; Collot et al., 1996). The margin extends northwards into the Kermadec subduction margin. Inland, west of the peninsula lies the rhyolitic Central Volcanic Region, which is the main source of geochemically distinct ash layers (tephra) that punctuate the terrestrial and subaqueous Quaternary sedimentary record of the North Island (Lowe et al., 2008). Three morphological re-entrants scar the continental slope (Fig. 1): (1) the 1500 km$^2$ Poverty re-entrant which formed after successive margin collapses since 1500 $\pm$500 ka (Pedley et al., 2010); (2) the 3300 km$^2$ Ruatoria re-entrant and associated Ruatoria Debris Avalanche formed 170 $\pm$40 ka ago (Collot et al., 2001); and (3) the 1000 km$^2$ Matakaoa re-entrant located $\sim$100 km landward of the subduction margin and resulting from multiple mass transport events deposited between 1300 and 35 ka (Lamarche et al., 2008a; Joanne et al., 2010). The three re-entrants (thereafter named Poverty, Ruatoria or Matakaoa for simplicity) include gullies and canyons on the upper slope, mid-slope basins and trench basins, and represent independent Quaternary sedimentary systems.

The subduction of the Pacific Plate beneath the Australian Plate, at a present rate of 5 cm/yr (DeMets et al., 1994; Beavan et al., 2002) is resulting in uplifting of the inland axial range of the...
Raukumara Peninsula at an estimated maximum rate of 3 mm/yr (Reyners and McGinty, 1999; Wilson et al., 2007), and intense seismic activity along the margin (e.g. Webb and Anderson, 1998; Reyners and McGinty, 1999). Quantitative estimate of the interseismic coupling (Wallace et al., 2004; 2009), seismological studies (Reyners, 1993; 1998), tectonic investigations (Nicol and Wallace, 2009) and geomorphological characteristics (Collot et al., 1996) all contribute to the interpretation that the 600 km-long Hikurangi Margin divides into three subduction interface segments, namely from south to north, the Wairarapa, Hawke’s Bay and Raukumara segments. The change from accretion to erosion-dominated margin, North of Gisborne marks the transition from Hawke’s Bay to Raukumara segments, where the study area is located. Empirical modelling suggests that rupture of the Raukumara or Hawke’s Bay segment would result in $M_w$ 8.2 – 8.4 earthquakes, whereas a simultaneous rupture of both segments would produce a $M_w$ 8.6 earthquake, and that rupture of the entire Hikurangi Margin would result in a $M_w$ 8.8 earthquake (Wallace et al., 2009; Stirling et al., 2012). Northwards, the Kermadec Margin may trigger $M_w$ ≥ 8.5 earthquakes that can affect the study area (Power et al., 2011). Seismic reflection surveys on the continental shelf have helped to identify a series of upper plate active faults (Barnes et al., 2002; Barnes and Nicol, 2004; Lewis et al., 2004; Fig. 1) and empirical relationships suggest some of these faults are capable of generating $M_w$ ≥ 6.5 earthquakes (Stirling et al., 2012; see also SM1).

The instrumental record of earthquakes in New Zealand since 1940 includes 298 earthquakes of $M_w$ > 5 in the study area, with only six of $M_w$ ≥ 6.5 (http://www.geonet.co.nz, as in December 2011). Two subduction interface earthquakes of $M_w$ 6.9 – 7.1 were recorded in 1947 in the Poverty Bay area (Downes et al., 2000; Doser and Webb, 2003), and the 1931 $M_w$ 7.8 Napier upper plate earthquake is the largest and most damaging historic earthquake recorded in the area (Downes, 1995). Prehistoric $M_w$ ≥ 7 earthquakes over the last 9 kyr are evidenced by uplifted marine terraces (Berryman, 1993; Wilson et al., 2006; 2007), subsided swamps (Cochran et al., 2006) and tsunami deposits (Goff and Dominey-Howes, 2009). Marine terraces uplifts at Pakararua river mouth and Mahia Peninsula are thought to be the result of near-shore fault ruptures (Wilson et al., 2007; Litchfield et al., 2010), namely the Gable End and Lachlan 3 faults (Fig. 1), that might have ruptured coevally. Sudden subsidence episodes at the origin of swamps flooding in Hawke’s Bay were interpreted as the result of large offshore earthquakes either from ruptures of the Lachlan 3 fault or the subduction interface (Fig 1; Cochran et al., 2006). In Poverty, a 18 kyr paleo-earthquake record based on turbidite deposition reveal a mean return time of ~230 years for moderate to large earthquakes and a 90% probability of occurrence ranging from 10 to 570 years (Pouderoux et al., 2012b). Probabilistic seismic hazard assessment suggests that earthquakes in the region with an associated Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA) of 0.3-0.5 g have a 500-year return time in the coastal area and that earthquakes with a PGA of 0.8-1.4 g have a 2500 year average return time (Stirling et al., 2012).

2.2. Sedimentation patterns and turbidites deposition

The ubiquitous gravity flow sedimentation of the northern Hikurangi Margin ranges from fine turbidites deposited on mid-slope basins to margin-scale debris avalanches (Lewis, 1973; Collot et al., 2001; Lamarche et al., 2008a; Mountjoy and Micallef, 2011; Pouderoux et al., 2012a). Quaternary sedimentation is characterised by interlayering of turbidites and hemipelagites infilling slope and trench basins (Lewis, 1980; Lewis and Pettinga, 1993; Lamarche et al., 2008b; Paquet et al., 2011). Over the last ~18 kyr, accumulation rates in mid-slope basins and in the Hikurangi Trough range from ~15 to ~110 cm/ka (Orpin, 2004; Orpin et al., 2006; Pouderoux et al., 2012a). The Waipaoa and Waipu rivers (Fig. 1) provide most of the turbidite material, contributing to an annual sediment delivery of 70 Mt/yr off the Raukumara Peninsula (Hicks and Shankar, 2003). The present day sedimentation rate estimated from $^{210}$Pb activity decreases from ~1,000 cm/ka on the continental shelf to ~100 cm/ka in mid-slope basins (Alexander et al., 2010; Kniskern et al., 2010), but forest clearing during human settlement in New Zealand 500 – 700 yr ago resulted in river sediment fluxes
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110 to 660% greater than during the Holocene interglacial period (McGlone and Wilmshurst, 1999; Kettner et al., 2007; Paquet et al., 2009).

Turbidites along the northern Hikurangi Margin are recognised as cm-thick fining up sandy to silty beds rich in volcaniclastic debris, quartz and bioclasts (Pouderoux et al., 2012a; Fig. 2). Few debrisites, characterised by <35 cm-thick chaotic silty-clay beds with sand to pebble size clasts are also recognised. These two lithotypes alternate with hemipelagites, which consists of 1 – 90 cm-thick layers of olive-grey silty-clay, and tephra layers, composed of volcaniclastic debris, mainly glass shards and pumiceous lapilli, and arranged in <10 cm-thick silty beds (Table 1). Boundaries between lithotypes are usually sharp, except between turbidites tails and hemipelagites.

Turbidites tails and hemipelagites have similar grain-size and texture but differ by their colours, with hemipelagites being lighter than turbidites. Hemipelagites are better sorted than turbidites, with a characteristic single grain-size peak < 10 µm. The composition of the silty fraction in hemipelagites explains the colour variations with abundant volcaniclastic debris and low quartz content when compared to turbidites, which show abundant quartz and low volcaniclastic debris. The differences are confirmed by δ13C and C/N values, with higher δ13C and lower C/N in hemipelagites than in turbidites (Pouderoux et al., 2012b). Erosion at the base of turbidites is considered negligible for those deposited during the Holocene but common during the Late Pleistocene (Pouderoux et al., 2012a). Floods and volcanic eruptions may have occasionally triggered turbidity currents in the area and resulted in the deposition of hyperpycnites and primary monomagmatic turbidites (Pouderoux et al., 2012a). The majority of turbidites contains benthic foraminifera indicative of environments ≥150 m, suggesting an upper slope origin of the reworked material. In Poverty, 67 synchronous turbidites are interpreted as being originated from earthquake-triggered slope failures on the upper continental slope between 820±190 and 17,730±700 yr BP (Pouderoux et al., 2012b).

3. METHODS

3.1. Sediment core analyses

The present study is based on detailed stratigraphic correlation of sediment cores collected in Poverty, Ruatoria and Matakoaua (Fig. 1; Table 2). Cores were recovered from strategic locations to sample turbidites deposition since the Last Glacial Maximum (Proust et al., 2006). Four giant piston cores, 12 to 20 m long, were collected during the MD152 MATACORE voyage of R.V. Marion-Dufresne (Proust et al., 2006; 2008) in Poverty and Ruatoria in water depth ranging from 1400 to 3500 m. In Poverty, MD06-3003 and MD06-3002 targeted two mid-slope basins in water depths of 1390 m and 2300 m respectively. In Ruatoria, MD06-3009 was collected in 2940 m water depth on top of the Ruatoria Debris Avalanche and ~250 m above main sediment pathways. MD06-3008 was collected in 3520 m water depth in the Hikurangi Trough. Nine short piston cores were acquired onboard R.V. Tangaroa during research voyages TAN0314 (Carter et al., 2003) and TAN0810 (Lamarche et al., 2008b) in Ruatoria and Matakoaua in water depth ranging from 650 to 3400 m. In Ruatoria, Tan0810-2 and -3 were collected on the upper continental slope in water depth of ca. 1080 m, and Tan0810-6 was retrieved from the floor of the 3400 m-deep Hikurangi Trough. In Matakoaua, Tan0810-9 to -13 were collected within the channel/levee complex of the Matakoaua Turbidite System in water depth ranging from 1090 to 1260 m (Fig. 1). More specifically, Tan0810-9 and -12 were collected within the Matakoaua channel, Tan0810-10 on the left hand levee and Tan0810-11 and -13 on the right hand levee, all less than 2 km away from the channel. Tan0314-8 was collected within the deep-sea fan in 2030 m water depth.

Petrophysical analyses, including continuous gamma density, magnetic susceptibility and P-wave velocity, were obtained on split cores at 1 cm intervals using a Geotek Multi-Sensor Track. These measurements tied to the main lithofacies and lithofacies successions proved to be essential for core-to-core correlation. P-wave velocities tends to be underestimated (1200-1500 m/s) but the
downcore fluctuations, which were used for core-to-core correlations are similar to those in the
density and magnetic susceptibility measurements as well as facies variations so that they are
considered usable.

3.2. Age model

The stratigraphic framework is based on tephrachronology and AMS $^{14}$C radiochronology with an
average frequency of 0.7 to 2 ages per meter of core (Pouderoux et al., 2012a). Tephra were
characterised by glass chemistry, mineralogy and their stratigraphic position and tied to the well-
established regional charts of volcanic eruptions to get their precise calibrated ages (Shane, 2000;
Lowe et al., 2008). The cores contain one to six tephra layers correlated to the nine large volcanic
eruptions that occurred in the Central Volcanic Region. Radiocarbon dating was performed on hand-
picked mixed planktonic foraminifers from hemipelagite samples collected 0.7-1.0 cm below
turbidite layers. Raw radiocarbon ages are calibrated using the Oxcal 4.1 software (Bronk Ramsey,
2008) with a regional reservoir age of 395 ±57yr (ΔR= -5 ±57yr) calculated from the published East
Cape reservoir ages (Kalish, 1993; Higham and Hogg, 1995). A reservoir age of 800 ±110 yr (ΔR= 400
±110yr) was applied for $^{13}$C dates between 12,400 and 12,900 $^{13}$C yr BP, as temporal variations of the
reservoir age were identified during this period (Carter et al., 2008; Sikes et al., 2000).

We derive the age model for each core by interpolating hemipelagite sedimentation rates between
time markers (tephra and $^{14}$C ages) according to the P_Sequence deposition model of the Oxcal 4.1
software, following the approach developed by Pouderoux et al. (2012b). The model provides the
68% and 95% probability age ranges (1σ and 2σ) of each turbidite. In the following sections, ages are
reported with 2σ uncertainties. The 2σ ages are considered reliable for Holocene turbidites as basal
erosion is negligible, but the age may be overestimated during the Late Pleistocene when basal
erosion is likely to have occurred (Pouderoux et al., 2012a).

3.3. Correlation criteria

We use four criteria to correlate turbidites from core to core (see SM2). (1) Tephra layers form
absolute time-lines and robust stratigraphic markers. Their identification in distinct cores constitutes
the first criteria for correlating events across the margin. (2) The estimated age of each turbidite is
used as second criteria to make stratigraphic correlations between two tephra layers. However,
because of the high number of turbidites and their age uncertainties, correlation from on core to
another can be ambiguous at time. (3) We use the similarity in petrophysical properties (gamma
density, magnetic susceptibility and P-wave velocity) and facies as third criteria, and (4) the thickness
of turbidites and hemipelagite as fourth criteria to ascertain the correlations. Petrophysical
properties are good correlation criteria (Patton et al., 2013; Goldfinger et al., 2012; Gracia et al.,
2010) because turbidite coarse grain-size or volcaniclastic compositions typically result in high
densities, magnetic susceptibilities and P-wave velocities and correlate well from core to core (Fig. 2).

3.4. Terminology

In this study, the term “turbidite event” (Tx) refers to a single, well-dated depositional episode under-
and overlain by hemipelagite. Stacked turbidites with no intertwined hemipelagite are considered as
a single depositional event, as only the presence of hemipelagite guarantees the occurrence of
significant time between two successive events. Two turbidites separated by a tephra layer represent
two distinct events as usually tephra settle down within days to months after the volcanic eruptions
(Wiesner et al., 1995).

“Basin events” represent synchronous turbidite events recorded in at least two cores in a single re-
entrant. Basin events are labelled Px in Poverty, Rx in Ruatoria and Mx in Matakaoa, x being the
event sequential number in the re-entrant from the youngest to the oldest.
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Hikurangi “margin events” (Hx) are synchronous basin events recorded in at least two re-entrants along the northern Hikurangi Margin. The age of a margin event is determined by the intersection of the age ranges shared by the synchronous basin events. Non-correlative events are called “isolated events”.

4. Results

4.1. Petrophysical properties of sediments

The downcore variability in gamma density, magnetic susceptibility (MS) and P-wave velocity (Vp) depends on sediment lithology and the alternation of hemipelagites, turbidites and tephra (Fig. 2; Table 3). Hemipelagites usually have constant low density (1.1 to 1.8 g/cm³), MS (10 to 60 SI) and Vp (1225 to 1775 m/s). Turbidites have systematically higher density (1.2 to 2.2 g/cm³), MS (10 to 120 SI) and Vp (1225 to 1950 m/s) with a decreasing trend from base to top. When preserved, tephra show values similar to turbidites, but higher than hemipelagites, with characteristic sharp variations at their base and top boundaries.

The boundary between turbidites and hemipelagites is progressive and difficult to identify using petrophysical properties alone. Turbidites show generally a progressive decrease while hemipelagites have stable values. Usually the decreasing trend of turbidite sequence is in good agreement with grain-size measurements, and coarser beds are noticed by a sharp increase of the density, MS and Vp (Fig. 2).

4.2. Age model and time-lines

Cores in Ruatoria cover a continuous age range from 630 ±10 yr BP to 15,360 ±70 ¹⁴C yr, with the oldest sediments contained in cores MD06-3008 and MD06-3009 (Pouderoux et al., 2012a). In Matakoaa, cores from the turbidite plain (Tan0810-9 to -13) cover a continuous age range from 630 ±10 yr BP to 4,710 ±40 ¹⁴C yr. In core Tan0314-8, two basal ¹⁴C ages complement the tephra identification of Joanne et al. (2010), and show that the core contains a truncated record from 5,530 ±60 yr BP to 13,910 ±70 ¹⁴C year.

Age models provide an age estimate for every single turbidite event (Fig. 3). Overall the average 2σ age range is 410 years (13 – 1141) in Ruatoria and 327 years (13 – 970) in Matakoaa, comparable to the 300 year (25 – 757) 2σ age range calculated in Poverty (Pouderoux et al., 2012b). The ages of turbidite events in Ruatoria and Matakoaa correspond to the ages calculated at each corrected depth and ranges from 170 ±140 to 18,150 ±150 yr BP, similar to what was determined in Poverty (820 ±190 to 17,730 ±700 yr BP). From 0 to 6 kyr, 11 cores (all but MD06-3002 and Tan0314-8) are usable to correlate turbidite events along the margin, while from 6 to 17 kyr, only five cores provide potential for turbidite events correlations.

4.3. Core-to-core correlations

4.3.1. At basin scale

In Ruatoria, turbidite events are thick, commonly >10cm, and correlate well from the upper slope to the top of the debris avalanche and the Hikurangi Trough (Fig. 4; see also SM2 Fig. SM2.01). Cores on the upper slope (Tan0810-2 and -3) and on the top of the Ruatoria Debris Avalanche (MD06-3009) contain only basin events whereas the cores in the Hikurangi Trough (MD06-3008 and Tan0810-6) contain basin events and scattered isolated events. Two of these isolated events are primary monomagmatic turbidites deposited directly after the Taupo and Waimihia eruptions (Pouderoux et al., 2012a). We identified 30 basin events in Ruatoria, from 390 ±250 to 15,940 ±580yr BP. Basin events younger than 6 ka (R1 to R14) correlate in all five cores, whereas events from 6 to 17 ka (R15 to R30) correlate only in cores MD06-3008 and MD06-3009 (R15 to R30). The mean recurrence
intervals of these 30 basin events is 520 years. Only MD06-3009 contains material older than ~17 ka with a recurrence intervals of turbidite events of 97 years. This important difference in return time suggests that these turbidite events cannot be used as proxy for basin events for the period older than ~17 kyr.

In Matakaoa, turbidite events are commonly <5cm-thick, and often homogenised in the hemipelagite background because of severe bioturbation, hence a higher uncertainty in our interpretation of thin events as isolated or basin events than for Ruatoria and Poverty. We identify 19 basin events (M1 to M19) deposited in Matakaoa between 170±140 and 16,400±780 yr BP (Fig. 5; see also SM2 Fig. SM2.02). Basin events younger than 5 ka are only recognised in the turbidite plain (M1 to M9). Cores Tan0810-9, -10 and -13 mostly record basin events while cores Tan0810-11 and -12 are scattered with isolated events. Basin event M10 dated at 5,130±290 yr BP is the only event that correlates in the turbidite plain (Tan0810-10) and the deep-sea fan (Tan0314-8). No other events in the deep-sea fan correlate with upslope events because of the lack of age overlap in cores. Although this characterises them as isolated events, they likely represent basin events as the core was collected in the deep-sea fan at the outlet of the Matakaoa Turbidite System.

4.3.2. At margin-scale

Twenty-eight basin events are synchronous in two or more re-entrants over the last ~16 kyr, and therefore fulfil the criteria of margin events (Fig. 6; see also SM2 Fig. SM2.03). Ten margin events are documented in the three re-entrants (H3, H5, H8, H12, H23, H30, H33, H35, H41, and H43; Table 4). H4 and H6 are identified from correlative basin events in Poverty and Ruatoria but also correlate to isolated events in Matakaoa. The remaining 16 margin events are only correlative in Poverty and Ruatoria (H7, H9-11, H13-14, H16, H18-19, H22, H26-28, H31-32, and H34; Table 4). All basin events in Ruatoria, except for the two youngest R1 and R2 dated at 390 ±250 and 790 ±200 yr BP, fulfill the criteria of margin events. Originally, R1 and R2 were not recognised as margin events since they did not correlate to basin events in Poverty. However, because R1 and R2 correlate to isolated events in Matakaoa and because material younger than 820 yr BP was not retrieved in Poverty cores, we interpret them as margin events as all basin events in Ruatoria are margin events (H1 and H2 in Table 4).

A further 15 basin events in Poverty, dated from 6 to 16 kyr (P24, P26, P30, P33, P38, P40, P49, P57-61, P63, P65 and P66), correlate to 16 isolated events in core MD06-3008, and are interpreted as margin events (H15, H17, H20, H21, H24, H25, H29, H36-40, H42 and H44-46; Table 4). That specific period recorded in Ruatoria only by cores MD06-3008 and MD06-3009 is characterised by an unknown number of MD06-3008 isolated events not recognised as Ruatoria basin events due to a lack of data, as core MD06-3009 is located on a topographic high. In addition, during the period 0-6 kyr recorded in all cores, seven basin events not recorded in MD06-3009 (R1-2, R8-9, R11-12 and R14) fulfill the criteria of margin events. These observations confirm that the 15 correlated basin events in Poverty with isolated events in MD06-3008 are margin events.

Overall, the margin-scale correlation results in the identification of 46 margin events deposited from 390 ±170 to 16,450 ±310 yr BP, with an average age uncertainty of ~170 years (ranging from 6 to 400 years; Table 4).

5. Discussion

5.1. Earthquake’s origin of turbidites

The associations of benthic foraminifers contained in basin and isolated events suggest a shelf edge origin of the reworked material within 150-200 m of water depth in Ruatoria and Poverty, and 150-600 m of water depth in Matakaoa (Pouderoux et al., 2012a; 2012b). Isolated events in the trench interpreted as margin events also rework material from the upper slope, which corroborates that
turbidity currents originate from the upper slope and suggests a slope failure origin of most gravity
flows. This suggestion is supported by the present day high state of instability of the Hikurangi
Margin’s upper slope associated with gas and fluids (Lewis and Marshall, 1996; Orpin, 2004; Faure et
al., 2006), and high sediment supply during the Holocene (Hicks and Shankar, 2003; Addington et al.,
2007; Lewis et al., 2004). At margin-scale, five margin events are interpreted as triggered by
mechanisms other than slope failure: H9, H22, H23 and H28 which contain at least one hyperpycnite,
and H5 which is a primary monomagmatic turbidite. The remaining 41 margin events are related to
synchronous slope failures along the 100 km-long northern Hikurangi Margin and all originated
within 150-600 m of water depth since ~16ka (Table 4; see also SM2 Fig. SM2.03).

Synchronicity of trigger over such wide areas is recognised as the most likely signature of large
earthquakes in other regions of the world (Gracia et al., 2010; Goldfinger et al., 2003; 2012),
although storms and tsunami waves may occasionally trigger gravity flows and slope failures (Mulder
et al., 2001; Puig et al., 2004). Repeated storms have occurred along the northern Hikurangi Margin
over the Late Holocene (Page et al., 2010), and are likely to have affected the seafloor by
remobilising surficial sediments of the shelf or to have triggered sediment liquefaction (Lee and
Edwards, 1986; Ma et al., 2010; Goldfinger et al., 2012). Puig et al. (2004) characterized sediment
gravity flows directed down-canyon during storms on the California margin, and Mulder et al. (2001)
described a storm-generated turbidite at 650 m water depth in a canyon head few months after a
large historical storm in the Bay of Biscay. Furthermore, turbidity currents generated during storms
are typically less voluminous and spread over smaller geographic areas than those triggered by
earthquakes (Gorsline et al., 2000; Goldfinger et al., 2007; Blumberg et al., 2008). They also usually
settle in water depth < 1000 m (Puig et al., 2004). The Matakaoa canyon’s head contains a stack of
recent cm-thick turbidites recorded in 650 m water depth, sedimentologically similar to the storm-
induced turbidites found in the Bay of Biscay (Pouderoux, 2012; Pouderoux et al., 2012a). Even if
confirmed along the Hikurangi Margin, these storm-related turbidites are likely to be restricted to
canyon heads and not observed at water depth > 1000 m where we found deep-sea synchronous
turbidites. Tsunami waves may also generate slope failures or turbidity currents directed down-slope
and initiated on the continental shelf (Shanmugam, 2006). The largest historical tsunami affecting
the region produced a run-up of ~10 m north of Gisborne and was triggered by the local Ms 7.1
Gisborne earthquake of 25 March 1947 (Downes et al., 2000; Doser and Webb, 2003). Other tsunami
run-ups of 10 m interpreted as likely generated by local earthquakes appear in the New Zealand
paleo-tsunamis record (Goff and Dominey-Howes, 2009). The tsunami generated by the 23 May 1960
Mw 9.5 Chilean earthquake, the largest earthquake ever recorded worldwide, resulted in a 3 m-high
run-up at Gisborne and 4.5 m in Hawke’s Bay (geonet.org.nz). This suggests that tsunamis potentially
able to trigger slope failures are more likely to be generated by local earthquakes, which ground-
shaking is far more likely to trigger slope failures than the tsunami wave itself.

Earthquakes are therefore the most likely triggering mechanism during the last sea-level highstand
for the generation of synchronous slope failures at the origin of margin events, as inferred in other
active margins (e.g. Noda et al., 2008; Gracia et al., 2010; Goldfinger et al., 2012). Storms and
tsunamis are possibly secondary players during the marine transgression. Even if the average
recurrence interval of margin events varies slightly between the Late Holocene highstand (~440 yr
over the last 7.5 kyr) and the Late Pleistocene – Early Holocene marine transgression (~375 yr from
7.5 to 16ka), it is possible that earthquake ground shaking was not the sole triggering mechanism of
synchronous slope failures before 7.5 ka. It is extremely difficult to estimate the impact of storms
and tsunamis waves on slope stability during the marine transgression. Consequently, if the turbidite
record of the northern Hikurangi Margin is considered a good proxy for paleo-earthquakes during the
Late Holocene and provides a calendar of 17 large earthquakes that occurred in the region between
390 ±170 and 7480 ±120 yr BP with an average return time of ~440 years, the Late Pleistocene –
Early Holocene part of this record incurs an increased uncertainty. Nevertheless, the turbidite record
during the marine transgression could be use complementary to the Late Holocene highstand to
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constraint the earthquake hazard on the area, knowing that the recurrence intervals may be underestimated and the hazard overestimated.

### 5.2. Source and magnitude estimation of paleo-earthquakes

The 9 kyr-long coastal paleo-earthquake record is time correlative to margin events (Fig. 7). The 2σ age range of margin events overlays the age of all marine terraces uplifts except one at Pakarake river mouth and one at Mahia peninsula. These uplifts were interpreted as the result of the rupture of near-shore Gable End and Lachlan 3 faults (Wilson et al., 2007; Litchfield et al., 2010). Conclusions are however sometimes equivocal: H4 and H10 are time correlative to the rupture of these two faults suggesting a simultaneous rupture of the two faults or a rupture of the subduction interface; H1 correlates with uplifts at the Pakarake river mouth and Mahia Peninsula and with a paleo-tsunami.

Over the last 7.5 kyr, ten margin events correlate to the paleo-earthquake record onland. These margin events are part of a group of 20 particularly large margin events identified over the last 16 ka (Table 4; see also SM2 Fig. SM2.03), characterized by a ~40 cm-thick turbidite layer deposited on a topographic high in Ruatoria, ~250 m above the main sediment pathways (core MD06-3009); such thickness is twice that of turbidites in other cores. They correspond to exceptionally voluminous turbidity currents triggered simultaneously in the three re-entrannts. Such sedimentological evidences coupled with the systematic correlation with onland record are consistent with a triggering by subduction earthquakes initiated on the Raukumara segment of the subduction interface.

Slope failure triggering and turbidite deposition depend more on the shaking intensity felt on the slope than on the magnitude of the earthquake itself. The shaking intensity can be evaluated by calculating the peak ground acceleration (PGA) (Lee and Edwards, 1986; Douglas, 2000). The PGA threshold for slope failure and turbidity current generation in conditions similar to the upper slope of the northern Hikurangi Margin ranges from 0.08 to 0.6 g and more likely from 0.08 to 0.15 g (Lee et al., 1999; Lykousis et al., 2002; Strasser et al., 2007; Noda et al., 2008; Dan et al., 2009; see also SM3). By using the PGA empirical attenuation relationships of Cousins et al. (1999) and Si and Midoriwaka (1999), which are best suited for the region, we estimated the earthquake magnitude (Mw) and the location of the hypocentre (depth and distance from the upper slope) of paleoearthquakes responsible for the triggering of upper slope failures. This enabled us to create isomagnitude maps and to identify areas where an earthquake of a given magnitude and origin has to occur to trigger synchronous slope failures and turbidity currents (Fig. 8; see also SM3 Fig. SM3). Superposing these isomagnitude maps over the known active faults (Stirling et al., 2012; Litchfield et al., in press) provides the means to infer the sources of paleo-earthquakes capable of generating our deep-sea turbidite record. (Table 5; see also SM3 Fig. SM3).

We disregard using a PGA threshold of 0.15 g for the triggering of turbidity currents as this would suggest that the 17 Late Holocene margin events were all subduction interface earthquakes, which is very unlikely (Table 5). A more reasonable PGA threshold between 0.08 and 0.1 g suggests that these events were associated with ruptures of upper plate faults or the subduction interface, all capable of generating Mw ≥ 7.3. This latter interpretation is more consistent with our findings that only 10 out of these 17 margin events correspond to large subduction interface earthquakes. These values of PGA are also extremely closed to that determined along the Japan (Noda et al., 2008) and Algerian margins (Dan et al., 2009).

The 17 margin events provide a meaningful calendar of Mw ≥ 7.3 paleo-earthquakes that have affected the region between 390 ±170 and 7,480 ±120 yr BP: 10 subduction interface earthquakes and 7 upper plate earthquakes (Tables 4 and 5). The results show that only three out of the 26 known active fault sources recognized by Stirling et al. (2012) in the offshore northern Hikurangi Margin (faults 2, 6 and 7, namely the Raukumara subduction interface segment, Ruatoria South 1 and Areil Bank; Table 5) are responsible for this deep sea turbidite record.
5.3. Recurrence intervals of large earthquakes

Our turbidite paleoseismology approach reveals that the recurrence interval (RI) of $M_w \geq 7.3$ earthquakes ranges from 150 to 1240 years with an average of 440 years during the Late Holocene (Figs. 9 and 10). Seismological modelling shows that the three active faults identified as the potential sources of our paleo-earthquakes have an empirical RI of rupture of 1300-1670, 3340, and 720 years (Table 5; see also SM1; Stirling et al., 2012). Assuming that upper plate faults rupture independently from the subduction interface (Stirling et al., 2012), the average RI of fault ruptures would be comprised between 390 and 460 years, which fits well with the 440 years RI of margin events. During the Late Pleistocene – Early Holocene, margin events had an average RI of 350 years, ranging from a few years to up to 1100 years, which is in good agreement with the estimated average RI of fault ruptures from Stirling et al. (2012).

The RI of the 10 large margin events triggered by subduction interface earthquakes varies from 370 to 2090 years during the Late Holocene with alternating periods of high and low RI, and an average RI of ~800 years. A similar pattern is recorded for the Late Pleistocene – Early Holocene period. Assuming that subduction interface earthquakes are the sole triggering mechanism of these large margin events over the last 16 kyr, this pattern of RI suggests two different tectonic regimes with periods of intense activity separated by periods of relative quiescence (Fig. 9). Such scenarios have been suggested by Berryman et al. (1989) for the Hikurangi Margin, Patton et al. (2009) for the Sumatra margin and Goldfinger et al. (2009; 2013) for the Cascadia margin. Active periods exhibit shorter durations (0.6-3 kyr-long) and drastically shorter RI (305-610 years) than quiescence ones (1.5-3.2 kyr-long with RI range of 1480-2650 years). These RIs differ from the predicted 1300-1670 years calculated using seismological modelling by Stirling et al. (2012). The latter RIs are closer to that observed during periods of quiescence than that during active periods. The RI and $M_w$ of Stirling et al. (2012) are maximum values determined from empirical relationships and represent the time needed for the subduction interface to accumulate enough strain to rupture the full length of the Raukumara segment. Active seismic periods recorded in the sedimentary record suggest that in a stable tectonic regime (convergence rate, slip rate, etc...), the deformation and energy released by the subduction interface may be partitioned with multiple ruptures generating earthquakes less that $M_w$ 8.2 – 8.4.

Isomagnitude maps suggest that a $M_w$ 7.5 – 8 earthquake on the subduction interface segment would be enough to generate a PGA of 0.08 – 0.1 g on the upper slope and therefore trigger simultaneous turbidity currents along the northern Hikurangi Margin (see SM3 Fig, SM3). Considering the constraint given by core MD06-3009 and the presence of turbidites on topographic highs, we propose that during active periods the Raukumara segment of the subduction interface produced regular large $M_w$ 7.5 – 8 earthquakes. These earthquakes may not rupture the full length of the Raukumara segment or release the total accumulated strain. This is in good agreement with the two inferred moderate subduction interface earthquake $M_w$ 7 and 7.1 which affected the Gisborne district in 1947 (Doser and Webb, 2003).

6. Conclusion

This study presents the first chrono-stratigraphic correlation of deep-sea turbidites along the northern Hikurangi Margin of New Zealand, from the detailed description and age dating of sixteen sediment cores collected in the Poverty, Ruatoria and Matakaoa re-entrants. Age models provide a precise age estimate for every single turbidite deposited since the Last Glacial Maximum. The age of turbidites ranges from 170 ±140 to 18,150 ±150 yr BP.

Basin-scale correlations of turbidites result in the identification of 30 basin events (synchronous turbidites) deposited in Ruatoria between 390 ±250 and 15,940 ±580yr BP, and 19 basin events deposited in Matakaoa between 170 ±140 and 16,400 ±780 yr BP. Previous studies have recognised 73 basin events in Poverty, deposited between 820 ±190 and 17,730 ±700 yr BP.
Margin-scale correlations result in the identification of 46 margin events (synchronous basin events) deposited from 390 ±170 to 16,450 ±310 yr BP, among which four are recognised as catastrophic floods deposits (hyperpycnites) and one a primary monomagmatic turbidite. The remaining 41 margin events are related to synchronous slope failures along the margin. Earthquakes are the triggering mechanism of these slope failures during the sea-level highstand, and are also likely to be the main triggering mechanism during the marine transgression. The turbidite record of the northern Hikurangi Margin is therefore a good proxy for paleo-earthquakes, corroborated by the time correlation with onland paleo-earthquake evidences.

The use of empirical relationships evaluating the upper slope stability allows us to estimate magnitude 8_5 and location (depth and distance from the upper slope) of paleo-earthquake. The 41 margin events correspond to 8_5 = 7.3 earthquakes that have affected the region from 390 ±170 to 16,450 ±310 yr BP, involving 3 of the 26 known active faults in the region (10%). Recurrence interval deduced from turbidite chronology is similar to the estimated activity of these three active faults. Twenty margin events are interpreted as subduction interface earthquakes of 8_5 > 7.5 and up to 8.4 affecting the three re-entrants and able to trigger coeval voluminous turbidity currents together with onland paleoseismic evidences.

Our study shows that large earthquake of 8_5 ≥ 7.3 occurring on the northern Hikurangi Margin are more likely to occur with a RI of 200±100 years, while large to great subduction interface earthquakes of 8_5 > 7.5 to occur every 550±50 years. RI of subduction interface earthquakes suggests alternating periods of intense activity with frequent but smaller earthquakes separated by periods of relative quiescence characterized by rare but more powerful earthquakes.
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9. Figure Captions

Figure 1 - Morpho-tectonic settings of the northern Hikurangi Margin, New Zealand. Red dots show sediment cores used in this study. The present day average sediment deliveries of the three main rivers, which catchments are highlighted in grey shade, are indicated in dark grey (from Hicks and Shankar et al., 2003). White squares are locations of coastal paleo-earthquakes evidences in (A) Pakarai river mouth, (B) Mahia Peninsula, and (C) northern Hawke’s Bay. Bold lines indicate the main earthquake sources identified by Stirling et al. (2012) and Litchfield et al. (in press) (see also SM1 Table SM1). Bold teeth-line indicates the subduction front. Insert: the Kermadec-Hikurangi margin with the Pacific (PAC) and the Australian (AUS) plates, the Wairarapa (W), Hawke Bay (HB) and Raukumara (R) regions, and the Central Volcanic Region (CVR). Dark arrow is relative plate motion at the plate boundary from Beavan et al. (2002).

Figure 2 - Characterisation of turbidites and hemipelagite from visual (photo), internal structures (X Ray), Geotek petrophysical properties (gamma density, magnetic susceptibility and P-wave velocity) and grain size (sand, silt and clay percentage). Peaks in grain size correspond to peaks in the Geotek; the turbidites’ fining up trend is illustrated by the Geotek measurements.

Figure 3 - Example of the Oxcal age model from a giant piston core (MD06-3009) and two short piston cores (Tan0810-2 and -6), showing the sedimentation rate of hemipelagite through time. The k parameter is used to define the regularity of the sedimentation rate along the core: the higher the k parameter, the more linear the sedimentation rate and the smaller the turbidite age uncertainties. Since hemipelagite settles at an assumed roughly constant rate, the highest k parameter was chosen for each core. All ages are plotted with their 2σ age range.

Figure 4 - Simplified correlation diagram of turbidites between cores in Ruatoria (upper slope, Ruatoria Debris Avalanche and Hikurangi Trough). Detailed correlations in SM2 Fig. SM2.01. Dashed lines are tephra. Basin events are labelled Rx. The c. 6 ka boundary (thin black line) is the average basal boundary of short cores. Black stars on isolated events show events correlated to basin events in Poverty and indicated unrecognised basin events in Ruatoria (see text for details). Number on the left of logs are turbidite facies as in Table 1.

Figure 5 - Simplified correlation diagram of turbidites between cores in Matakanau (channel and levees in the turbidite plain and fan). Detailed correlations in SM2 Fig. SM2.02. Cores are arranged upstream to downstream from left to right; see caption and legend in figure 4.

Figure 6 - Example of margin-scale correlation of turbidites using one core from Poverty, two from Ruatoria and two from Matakanau. Each re-entrant is c. 100 km apart. Detailed correlations in SM2 Fig. SM2.03. Turbidites are indicated by basic core log, facies (number from 1 to 5) and Geotek petrophysical properties (density and magnetic susceptibility). See legend and caption in figure 4. Margin events are labelled Hx. The age of tephra layers (purple) is reported in cal. yr BP.

Figure 7 - Temporal correlation between margin events and onland evidences of paleo-earthquakes from the Pakarai river mouth (A; Wilson et al., 2006; 2007), Mahia Peninsula (B; Berryman et al., 1993), and northern Hawke’s Bay (C; Cochran et al., 2006), and paleo-tsunamis identified along the east coast of the North Island East Coast (Goff and Dominey-Howes, 2009). Purple bands are age range of correlated margin events. Large margin events interpreted as the record of subduction interface earthquakes are framed in red.
Figure 8 - Examples of isomagnitude maps defining the area shaken by an earthquake of a given magnitude, which can trigger synchronous slope failures in the source areas of the turbidites (blue area in the upper slope). Grey dots show the location of sediment cores. Each map is generated from an empirical relationship that defines the Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA) from the magnitude, depth, distance and type of earthquakes (see SM3 for details). Faults capable of producing earthquake shaking large enough to generate turbidity currents in the source area are shown in bold red (epicentre shown by red dots) and summarized in Table 5. Blue dots are epicentres of earthquakes that cannot generated ground-shaking capable of generating turbidites in the source area. In each map, the isomagnitude $M_w$ 7.5 is shown as an example of the methodology used to define the $M_w$ 7.5 area. (A) Isomagnitude map built from the relationship of Cousins et al. (1999), labelled Eq. (1). PGA = 0.08 g is reached simultaneously in the upper slope of Poverty and Ruatoria for a $M_w$ ≥ 6.5 earthquake located between both re-entrants. This corresponds to the activity of only two upper plate faults (red bold lines). See SM3 Fig. SM3 for subduction interface earthquakes isomagnitude maps. (B) Isomagnitude map built from the relationship of Si and Midoriwaka (1999), labelled Eq. (2). PGA = 0.1 g is reached simultaneously in the three re-entrants for $M_w$ ≥ 7.2 earthquakes located between Poverty and Ruatoria. This corresponds to the rupture of the subduction interface. No upper plate faults can trigger synchronous slope failures in the three re-entrants.

Figure 9 - Recurrence intervals (RI) of large paleo-earthquakes at the origin of margin events vs time. (A) RI of $M_w$ ≥ 7.3 earthquakes at the origin of the 41 margin events identified over the last c. 16.5 kyr (grey line). Dots correspond to the average age of the margin event $H_x$ vs the average time span since the last one. The recurrence intervals of $M_w$ > 7.5 earthquake at the origin of the 20 large margin events is indicated by dashed blue line. (B) Distribution of RI of $M_w$ > 7.5 earthquakes showing an alternation of active periods in light yellow with low RI and numerous earthquakes, and quiescence periods in light blue during which larger RI are noted (for each period, average RI are noted in italic and their duration noted in bold).

Figure 10 - Frequency diagrams of paleo-earthquakes during (A) the Late Holocene and (B) the Late Pleistocene – Early Holocene. The latter is likely to be underestimated due to uncertainties discussed in the paper. $M_w$ > 7.5 earthquakes correspond to large margin events and $M_w$ ≥ 7.3 earthquakes to all margin events (see text for details). Histograms (grey bars) show the frequency of recurrence interval (RI) within each bin of 100 years, with their statistical distribution estimated for each centile (black line). The median RI of each plot is noted in white for histograms and in black for the statistical distribution (cross).

10. Tables

Table 1 – Lithotype characteristics of deep-sea sediments along the northern Hikurangi Margin (summarized from Poudreux et al., 2012a).

Table 2 – Location and characteristics of sediment cores used in this study. T: gravity flow deposits (turbidites); H: hemipelagite.

Table 3 – Range of petrophysical properties of hemipelagite and turbidites calculated from the cores.

Table 4 – Margin events ($H_x$) modelled age deduced from basin events correlation in Poverty, Ruatoria and Matakaoa.
Table 5 – Earthquake sources and estimated magnitude deduced from the overlap of isomagnitude maps (Fig. 8; see also SM3 Fig. SM3) and the known active faults complied by Stirling et al (2012). Different scenarios are considered according to the two type of margin events, the three PGA thresholds for slope failures triggering and the two empirical relationships used to build isomagnitude maps.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithotype</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Grain size (microns)</th>
<th>Thickness (cm)</th>
<th>Composition (sand fraction)</th>
<th>Depositional process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hemipelagite</td>
<td>Homogenised, heavily bioturbated silty-clay</td>
<td>Olive-grey</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>0.5–&gt; 50 cm</td>
<td>Volcaniclastic grains, quartz, planktonic organisms</td>
<td>Marine sedimentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tephra</td>
<td>Graded silts</td>
<td>Pinkish</td>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>&lt;10 cm</td>
<td>Volcaniclastic debris (glass shards and pumiceous lapilli)</td>
<td>Airfall volcanic ash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debrisite</td>
<td>Chaotic silty-clay with sand to pebble size particles or deformed stratified lithoclasts</td>
<td>Dark olive-grey</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&lt;35 cm</td>
<td>Quartz, volcaniclastic debris, bivalve and gastropod shells, clasts of laminated silty-clays</td>
<td>Debris flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidite</td>
<td>Sandy to silty base grading up to silty-clay</td>
<td>Dark olive-grey</td>
<td>10–&gt; 200</td>
<td>0.5–75 cm</td>
<td>Volcaniclastic debris, light minerals, rock fragments, foraminifers, shell fragments</td>
<td>Turbidity current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Muddy turbidite</td>
<td>Thin silt lamina overlain by massive silty-clay</td>
<td>Dark olive-grey</td>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>1-40 cm</td>
<td>Quartz, foraminifers</td>
<td>Very low density turbidity current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Silt laminae turbidite</td>
<td>Interbedded, thinning and fining up clay and silt lamina</td>
<td>Dark olive-grey</td>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>1-40 cm</td>
<td>Quartz, volcaniclastic debris</td>
<td>Low density turbidity current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Silty turbidites</td>
<td>Fining upward clayey silt sequence</td>
<td>Dark olive-grey</td>
<td>10–&lt; 100</td>
<td>0.5-55 cm</td>
<td>Volcaniclastic debris, quartz, foraminifers, micas</td>
<td>Low to medium density turbidity current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Sandy turbidites</td>
<td>Sand base grading up to silty-clay</td>
<td>Dark olive-grey</td>
<td>10–&gt; 100</td>
<td>1-75 cm</td>
<td>Quartz, volcaniclastic debris, rock fragments, micas, heavy minerals</td>
<td>Medium density turbidity current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Hypercynite</td>
<td>Basal reverse graded turbidite</td>
<td>Olive-grey</td>
<td>10–&lt; 100</td>
<td>5-45 cm</td>
<td>Foraminifers, wood fragments, quartz, volcaniclastic debris</td>
<td>Hypercynal flow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Water depth (m)</th>
<th>Core length (m) *</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Number of gravity-flow deposits</th>
<th>Thickness of gravity-flow deposits (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>deg.</td>
<td>deg.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD-06-3002</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>2305</td>
<td>20 (12)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD-06-3003</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>1398</td>
<td>12.88</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD-06-3008</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>3520</td>
<td>25.4 (19)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD-06-3009</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>2940</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN0810-2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN0810-3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN0810-6</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN0314-8</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>2034</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN0810-9</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN0810-10</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>1159</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN0810-11</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>1089</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN0810-12</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>1255</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN0810-13</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* full recovered length, when core deformation is too high, the used core length is given between brackets.

** total number of turbidite layers identified in the core.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Re-entrant</th>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Core depth (m)</th>
<th>Gamma density (g/cm²)</th>
<th>Magnetic susceptibility (SI)</th>
<th>P-Wave velocity (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruatoria</td>
<td>MD06-3009</td>
<td>2940</td>
<td>1.4 - 1.6</td>
<td>1.4 - 2</td>
<td>10 - 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MD06-3008</td>
<td>3520</td>
<td>1.1 - 1.4</td>
<td>1.2 - 1.7</td>
<td>10 - 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tan0810-6</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>1.7 - 1.8</td>
<td>1.7 - 2</td>
<td>10 - 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matakana</td>
<td>Tan0810-10 to Tan0314-8</td>
<td>11688;82</td>
<td>1.6 - 1.8</td>
<td>1.6 - 2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tan0314-8</td>
<td>2034</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8 - 2.1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty</td>
<td>MD06-3003</td>
<td>1398</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8 - 2.2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MD06-3002</td>
<td>2305</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8 - 2.2</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H: hemipelagic; T: turbidites

Table 3
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EVENT</th>
<th>Poverty re-enterant</th>
<th>Baseline re-enterant</th>
<th>Matakanu re-enterant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>name</td>
<td>name</td>
<td>name</td>
<td>name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean age</td>
<td>MD03</td>
<td>MD03</td>
<td>MD03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>3305</td>
<td>175</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>1588</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5 **</td>
<td>1761</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6</td>
<td>2286</td>
<td>139</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7</td>
<td>2935</td>
<td>122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H8</td>
<td>3596</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H9 **</td>
<td>3419</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H10</td>
<td>5435</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H11</td>
<td>4672</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H12</td>
<td>5344</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H13</td>
<td>9525</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H14</td>
<td>6921</td>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H15</td>
<td>6489</td>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H16</td>
<td>6464</td>
<td>179</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H17</td>
<td>6696</td>
<td>238</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H18</td>
<td>7106</td>
<td>159</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H19</td>
<td>7400</td>
<td>114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H20</td>
<td>3890</td>
<td>157</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H21</td>
<td>8663</td>
<td>262</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H22 *</td>
<td>9328</td>
<td>181</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H23 *</td>
<td>9969</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H24</td>
<td>9766</td>
<td>145</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H25</td>
<td>10129</td>
<td>183</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H26</td>
<td>16329</td>
<td>173</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H27</td>
<td>10900</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H28 *</td>
<td>13466</td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H29</td>
<td>13179</td>
<td>289</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H30</td>
<td>32169</td>
<td>291</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H31</td>
<td>21258</td>
<td>242</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H32</td>
<td>12099</td>
<td>264</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H33</td>
<td>13597</td>
<td>238</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H34</td>
<td>15467</td>
<td>218</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H35</td>
<td>15088</td>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H36</td>
<td>15883</td>
<td>187</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H37</td>
<td>14661</td>
<td>271</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H38</td>
<td>16061</td>
<td>271</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H39</td>
<td>14990</td>
<td>295</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H40</td>
<td>16069</td>
<td>288</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H41</td>
<td>19988</td>
<td>357</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H42</td>
<td>16140</td>
<td>398</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H43</td>
<td>16792</td>
<td>295</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H44</td>
<td>16646</td>
<td>314</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ** are margin events related to catastrophic floods or volcanism (after Proudfrock et al., 2012a)
Isolated events are in italic grey
### All margin events (n=41)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PGA</th>
<th>Cousins et al. (1999) - Eq. (1)</th>
<th>Si and Midoriwaka (1999) - Eq. (2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Faults *</td>
<td>RI **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2; 6; 7</td>
<td>390-460 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2; 6</td>
<td>890-1235 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1300-1670 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Numbers correspond to faults detailed in Table SM1; ** Recurrence intervals calculated for the duration of our turbidite record (16,060 years, from 390 to 16,450 yr BP)

Average recurrence interval deduced from the turbidite record: 400 years

### Large margin events (n=20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PGA</th>
<th>Cousins et al. (1999) - Eq. (1)</th>
<th>Si and Midoriwaka (1999) - Eq. (2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Faults *</td>
<td>RI **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1300-1670 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>ø</td>
<td>ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ø</td>
<td>ø</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Numbers correspond to faults detailed in Table SM; ** Recurrence intervals calculated for the duration of our turbidite record (16,060 years, from 390 to 16,450 yr BP)

Average recurrence interval deduced from the turbidite record: 800 years

---

Table 5