Indiana University - Purdue University Fort Wayne Opus: Research & Creativity at IPFW

Mathematical Sciences Faculty Publications

Department of Mathematical Sciences

7-2015

Escaping from Predators: an Integrative View of Escape Decisions

William E. Cooper Jr.

Indiana University - Purdue University Fort Wayne, cooperw@ipfw.edu

Daniel T. Blumstein

University of California, Los Angeles

This research is a product of the Department of Mathematical Sciences faculty at Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne.

Follow this and additional works at: http://opus.ipfw.edu/math facpubs



Part of the <u>Animal Sciences Commons</u>, and the <u>Mathematics Commons</u>

Opus Citation

William E. Cooper Jr. and Daniel T. Blumstein (2015). Escaping from Predators: an Integrative View of Escape Decisions. Cambridge University Press.

http://opus.ipfw.edu/math_facpubs/154

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mathematical Sciences at Opus: Research & Creativity at IPFW. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematical Sciences Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Opus: Research & Creativity at IPFW. For more information, please contact admin@lib.ipfw.edu.



Escaping From Predators

An Integrative View of Escape Decisions

When a predator attacks, prey are faced with a series of "if," "when," and "how" escape decisions – these critical questions are the foci of this book.

Cooper and Blumstein bring together a balance of theory and empirical research to summarize over 50 years of scattered research and benchmark current thinking in the rapidly expanding literature on the behavioral ecology of escaping. The book consolidates current and new behavior models with taxonomically divided empirical chapters that demonstrate the application of escape theory to different groups. The chapters integrate behavior with physiology, genetics, and evolution to lead the reader through the complex decisions faced by prey during a predator attack, examining how these decisions interact with life history and individual variation. The chapter on best practice field methodology and the ideas for future research presented throughout ensure this volume is practical as well as informative.

Electronic supplementary material is available for download at www.cambridge.org/9781107060548.

William E. Cooper, Jr. is Professor Emeritus of Biology at Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne, and a Research Associate in the Department of Ecology and Evolution at University of Arizona. A behavioral ecologist by background, he has over 35 years of teaching and research experience in behavior, ecology, and evolution. He has specialized in escape behavior for the past 20 years, with particular interest in reptilian behavior.

Daniel T. Blumstein is Professor and Chair of the Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology at UCLA, and a Professor in UCLA's Institute of Environment and Sustainability. He has studied animal behavior throughout the world, with research focusing on the evolution of social and antipredator behavior and the effects that mechanisms of behavior have on higher-level ecological processes and for wildlife conservation.





Escaping From Predators

An Integrative View of Escape Decisions

EDITED BY

WILLIAM E. COOPER, JR.

Department of Biology, Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne, and Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Arizona, Tucson, USA

DANIEL T. BLUMSTEIN

Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology and Institute of the Environment & Sustainability, University of California, Los Angeles, USA





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107060548

© Cambridge University Press 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

Printing in the United Kingdom by TJ International Ltd. Padstow Cornwall

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Escaping from predators: an integrative view of escape decisions / edited by William E. Cooper, Jr., Department of Biology, Indiana University – Purdue University Fort Wayne, and Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Arizona, Tucson, USA, Daniel T. Blumstein, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology and Institute of the Environment & Sustainability, University of California, Los Angeles, USA.

pages cm Includes index.

ISBN 978-1-107-06054-8

1. Animal defenses. 2. Predation (Biology) 3. Escape (Psychology)

I. Cooper, William E., Jr. II. Blumstein, Daniel T.

QL759.E833 2015

591.47-dc23

2014046687

ISBN 978-1-107-06054-8 Hardback

Additional resources for this publication at www.cambridge.org/9781107060548

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



"Should I stay or should I go now?

If I go there will be trouble

An' if I stay it will be double"

The Clash, "Should I Stay Or Should I Go"
(Lyrics reproduced with permission)*

^{*} Words and music by Mick Jones and Joe Strummer. Copyright © 1982 Nineden Limited. Universal Music Publishing Limited. All Rights in the U.S. and Canada Controlled and Administered by UNIVERSAL – POLYGRAM INTERNATIONAL PUBLISHING, INC. All Rights Reserved. International Copyright Secured. Reprinted by Permission of Music Sales Limited and Hal Leonard Corporation.





Contents

	List of contributors	page 1x
	Foreword Ronald D. Ydenberg and Lawrence M. Dill	X
	Acknowledgments	xiv
Part I	Overview and behaviors preceding and following initiation of escape	1
1	Escape behavior: importance, scope, and variables William E. Cooper, Jr. and Daniel T. Blumstein	3
Part II	Escape and refuge use: theory and findings for major taxonomic groups	15
Part IIa	Escape theory	15
2	Theory: models of escape behavior and refuge use William E. Cooper, Jr.	17
Part IIb	Escape decisions prior to pursuit	61
3	Mammals Theodore Stankowich and Eigil Reimers	63
4	Birds Anders Pape Møller	88
5	Reptiles William E. Cooper, Jr.	113
6	Fish and amphibians Patricia A. Fleming and Philip W. Bateman	152
7	Invertebrates Philip W. Bateman and Patricia A. Fleming	177



Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-06054-8 - Escaping From Predators: An Integrative View of Escape Decisions Edited by William E. Cooper and Daniel T. Blumstein Frontmatter More information

viii	Contents	
Part IIc	Escape trajectories and strategies during pursuit	197
8	Prey behaviors during fleeing: escape trajectories, signaling, and sensory defenses Paolo Domenici and Graeme D. Ruxton	199
Part IId	Refuge use	225
9	Hiding time in refuge José Martín and Pilar López	227
Part III	Related behaviors and other factors influencing escape	263
10	Vigilance, alarm calling, pursuit deterrence, and predation inspection Guy Beauchamp	265
11	Determinants of lizard escape performance: decision, motivation, ability, and opportunity Kathleen L. Foster, Clint E. Collins, Timothy E. Higham, and Theodore Garland, Jr.	287
12	Sensory systems and escape behavior Luke P. Tyrrell and Esteban Fernández-Juricic	322
13	The physiology of escape Yoav Litvin, D. Caroline Blanchard, and Robert J. Blanchard	343
14	Maternal and genetic effects on escape: a prospective review Lesley T. Lancaster	360
15	The personality of escape Pilar López and José Martín	385
Part IV	The applications and study of escape	405
16	Best practice for the study of escape behavior Daniel T. Blumstein, Diogo S. M. Samia, Theodore Stankowich, and William E. Cooper, Jr.	407
17	Afterword Daniel T. Blumstein and William E. Cooper, Jr.	420
	Index	427



Contributors

Philip W. Bateman

Department of Environment and Agriculture, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Curtin University, Perth, Australia

Guy Beauchamp

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Montreal, Canada

D. Caroline Blanchard

Pacific Biomedical Research Center, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, HI, USA

Robert J. Blanchard

Department of Psychology, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, HI, USA

Daniel T. Blumstein

Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Clint E. Collins

Department of Biology, University of California Riverside, Riverside, CA, USA

William E. Cooper, Jr.

Department of Biology, Indiana University Purdue University Fort Wayne, Fort Wayne, IN, USA and Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

Lawrence M. Dill

Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada

Paolo Domenici

C.N.R. – Istituto per L'Ambiente Marino Costiero, Oristano, Loc. Sa Mardini, 09170 Torregrande (OR), Italy

Esteban Fernández-Juricic

Department of Biological Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA



x List of contributors

Patricia A. Fleming

School of Veterinary and Life Sciences, Murdoch University, Perth, Australia

Kathleen L. Foster

Department of Biology, University of California Riverside, Riverside, CA, USA

Timothy E. Higham

Department of Biology, University of California Riverside, Riverside, CA, USA

Theodore Garland, Jr.

Department of Biology, University of California Riverside, Riverside, CA, USA

Lesley T. Lancaster

School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Abeerdeen, UK

Yoav Litvin

Laboratory of Neuroendocrinology, Rockefeller University, New York, NY, USA

Pilar López

Departamento de Ecología Evolutiva, Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, CSIC. Madrid, Spain

José Martín

Departamento de Ecología Evolutiva, Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, CSIC. Madrid, Spain

Anders Pape Møller

Laboratoire d'Ecologie, Systématique et Evolution, CNRS UMR 8079, Université Paris-Sud, France

Eigil Reimers

Department of Biosciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

Graeme D. Ruxton

School of Biology, University of Saint Andrews, Saint Andrews, Fife, UK

Diogo S. M. Samia

Departamento de Ecologia, Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiânia, Brazil

Theodore Stankowich

Department of Biological Sciences, California State University Long Beach, Long Beach, CA, USA

Luke P. Tyrrell

Department of Biological Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

Ronald C. Ydenberg

Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada



Foreword

Our 1986 paper "The economics of fleeing from predators" had a difficult birth. It was originally written for an edited volume on behavior, stillborn when the publisher reneged on the contract. Subsequently it was negatively reviewed for the *American Naturalist*. The reviewer's comments on the manuscript consisted of seven question marks, two marginal notes ("I disagree" and "too simplistic"), and the remark "The predictions are more extensions of the model's definitions than deductions" – which we still do not understand. Fortunately, others gave our model a more sympathetic hearing. Jay Rosenblatt and Colin Beer, who ran the annual publication *Advances in the Study of Behavior*, invited us to submit a manuscript after hearing LMD speak at the 1984 Animal Behavior Society meeting in Cheney, Washington. We accepted, and are still grateful to them.

In contrast to the frustration of pushing it out into the world, the gestation of the model was fun and exciting. The model's working title was "The economics of the third F," referring to the position of "fleeing" among the 4 Fs of behavior (feeding, fighting, fleeing, and reproduction). It was conceived at a time when behavioral ecology was just coming into its own at our university and at many others. As we noted in the introduction to the paper, "economic" (that is, costs and benefits in a fitness framework) ideas were being applied to feeding, fighting, reproductive and social behavior: the application to antipredator behavior seemed to us a natural step.

It was a happy and busy time. The Behavioral Ecology Research Group (BERG) at SFU was coalescing, and we were engaged with plans to host in Vancouver the 1988 meeting of the brand new International Society for Behavioral Ecology. We would like to thank the many colleagues who gave us useful input on our model, and we submitted a manuscript to "Advances" in April 1985, which was duly published the following year.

Thereafter, things went quiet – for a decade. The paper was not cited at all for a few years, then sporadically, and did not receive more than ten annual citations until 1997. Thereafter it has been cited more often in each subsequent year, as in the mid-90s the number of papers on escape began to climb. This increase was just one part of the swelling interest in antipredator behavior.

In spite of having been cited many hundreds of times, the basic model in "The economics of fleeing from predators" has not received much rigorous scrutiny. It does so in this volume, and we are a little embarrassed to agree that, strictly speaking, it is wanting. Perhaps the referee whose comments we castigated above had a point after all! But if the criticisms were justified, they also missed the bigger picture, something that



Ronald D. Ydenberg & Lawrence M. Dill

Professors Rosenblatt and Beer saw more clearly. Our model expressed the predator—prey interaction in a simple way that captured the essence of an idea that was in the air. In spite of its shortcomings, it provided a conceptual framework and suggested an empirical approach in a way that inspired many investigators to undertake their own studies.

The benefit of escape from predators has always seemed obvious – in fact, blindingly so. Few thought of fleeing as a "decision," or saw that there were costs, most notably lost opportunity, i.e., a fleeing animal cannot perform other fitness-enhancing activities. Many prey escape to a refuge, and it seemed natural to us to use the same approach to ask how long animals should remain before re-emerging. This interesting question requires a game theoretic approach, of which we anticipate much more in future work.

These two prey decisions – flight initiation distance and hiding time – have been the subject of most work and represent the majority of the research summarized in the present volume. But the field has expanded to consider other sorts of escape decisions, such as how far and in what direction to flee, prey strategies while being pursued by predators, and alternative tactics when fleeing is not feasible. The range of species in which these issues has been addressed is impressive, as Part II amply demonstrates. Particularly interesting is the recent work on genetics of escape, and the role of individual personality differences (the shy–bold continuum). Research described in Part III, on the physiology of escape, holds the promise of a more quantitative predictive theory than has existed to date. This will benefit the application of this work to animal welfare and conservation, as discussed in Chapter 16.

We are gratified that our work led to such an explosion of empirical and theoretical studies and contributed to the growth and maturation of an important subdiscipline of behavioral ecology. As the editors say in their introductory chapter, "The story is still unfolding, and many aspects of our topic have not yet been addressed theoretically and many generalizations and exceptions remain to be discovered through empirical studies." This book should go a long way to furthering the development of this exciting field.

We had always intended that "escape" be interpreted more broadly, as a general metaphor for decisions about antipredator behavior, with costs and benefits. The importance of antipredator behavior was first grasped in foraging, and is now beginning to be appreciated in the evolution of a broad range of phenomena including migration, metamorphosis, hibernation, clutch size, and others — classical topics that have rarely or never in their historical development considered that the risk of predation might be vital. Basic ecological topics such as population dynamics and community structure are being reshaped by the realization that facultative adjustments to danger have profound impacts that can be enormous in extent (kilometers and years) relative to the small (minutes and meters) behavioral changes that individuals make.

In closing we'd like to draw attention to an important point that continues to be misunderstood. How should "predation risk" be assessed? Many ecologists evidently think that risk can be assessed by the level of mortality. Accordingly, situations with low mortality are considered "safe." But, is this so? In previous commentaries we have made analogies with pedestrians (as prey) and cars (as predators), and noted that one could observe a busy downtown street for a long time and never observe a "predation event"

Χİİ



Foreword

xiii

(i.e., pedestrian struck by car). The situation is obviously dangerous for pedestrians, but they are struck infrequently because they are cautious. The analogy is imperfect (unlike real predators, drivers are generally careful of pedestrians) but it makes the point that mortality per se is a poor measure of risk. The "true" level of risk is the mortality that would occur if pedestrians were completely heedless. It must be assessed by techniques such as "flight initiation distance" or "giving-up density" (or, to pursue our analogy, how far a pedestrian would go to use a crosswalk rather than to jaywalk). This insight has turned the meaning of risk upside down. Rather than mortality setting the level of antipredator behavior, we see now that antipredator behavior sets the mortality rate. And because danger management also influences reproduction, an animal population, through the behavioral decisions of its members, in effect sets its own life table! It would be too much to claim that this startling conclusion could be deduced solely from our model's simple premises. As in all science, the cross-fertilization of ideas and data, such as those presented in this volume, is essential. However, we feel it is not too much to claim that this simple model, with all its shortcomings, opened a window that gave a different perspective on an everyday phenomenon. Read this volume and enjoy the view.

> Ronald C. Ydenberg Lawrence M. Dill



Acknowledgments

It has been extremely fun and educational to work with our contributors; we've learned a lot and we thank them for their contributions to what we believe is an exciting volume that summarizes past research and sets the stage for future discoveries! We're extremely grateful to Ron Ydenberg and Larry Dill for writing their historical preface that so nicely frames the book. Book chapters were thoughtfully reviewed by a mix of contributors and external reviewers (Peter Bednekoff, Don Kramer, Michael Jennions, Simon Lailvaux, Julien Martin, Sandrine Meylan, Mats Olsson, Terry Ord, and Matt Petelle). We are grateful for their incisive comments that helped us all improve individual contributions.

We were saddened by the passing of Robert J. Blanchard during the writing of this book. Bob was a pioneer in the field of defensive behavior and his loss is notable.

Of course, this book would not have seen the light of day without incredible support from Cambridge University Press. We're particularly grateful for guidance from Martin Griffiths, Megan Waddington, Ilaria Tassistro, and Renee Duncan-Mestel.

Bill appreciates the support of his wife who thought he had retired. Dan thanks Janice and David for tolerating the all too often "please wait just a minute longer while I respond to Bill!"

William E. Cooper, Jr.

Daniel T. Blumstein

Philip W. Bateman thanks Curtin University for financial assistance.

D. Caroline Blanchard thanks the National Science Foundation for supporting some of the work reported (NSF IBN97-28543).

Robert J. Blanchard was supported in preparation of Chapter 13 by the National Institutes of Health (R01MH81845).

Daniel T. Blumstein thanks the Australian Research Council, the US National Science Foundation (current support through NSF DEB-1119660), Macquarie University, and the University of California Los Angeles for support over the years. Long-term collaborations and conversations with my colleagues and co-authors Esteban Fernández-Juricic, Theodore Stankowich, Anders Pape Møller, William E. Cooper, Jr., and Diogo S. M. Samia have been invaluable.

Clint E. Collins thanks UCR and The Desert Legacy Fund for supporting his work.

William E. Cooper, Jr. is grateful for support for portions of the work represented here by Indiana University, Indiana University Purdue University Fort Wayne, the Cleveland Zoo, the John Ellerman Foundation, and my generous colleagues.



Acknowledgments

X۷

- **Esteban Fernández-Juricic** thanks the National Science Foundation for support of his work by grant IOS-1146986 and thanks Daniel T. Blumstein and William E. Cooper for useful comments on drafts of Chapter 12.
- Patricia A. Fleming thanks Murdoch University for financial assistance.
- **Kathleen L. Foster** is grateful for support from NSERC (postgraduate scholarship 405019-2011).
- **Timothy E. Higham** thanks the National Science Foundation for supporting this work (NSF IOS-1147043).
- **Theodore Garland, Jr.** thanks the National Science Foundation for past support that was essential for development of ideas presented in this volume.
- **Pilar López** thanks "El Ventorrillo" MNCN field station for use of their facilities and financial support provided by the project MICIIN-CGL2011-24150/BOS.
- **José Martín** thanks "El Ventorrillo" MNCN field station for use of their facilities and financial support provided by the project MICIIN-CGL2011-24150/BOS.
- **Anders Pape Møller** would like to thank Daniel T. Blumstein, L. Z. Garamszegi, J. T. Nielsen and numerous other collaborators for inspiration over the years. Esteban Fernández-Juricic kindly helped find some papers; comments by Diogo S. M. Samia helped improve the manuscript.
- **Diogo S. M. Samia** is very grateful for support from CAPES and to Thiago Rangel and Ronaldo Bastos Francini for constant inspiration over the years and for fruitful discussions.
- **Theodore Stankowich** thanks California State University Long Beach for support and Diogo S. M. Samia, Daniel T. Blumstein, and William E. Cooper, Jr. for helpful comments on previous versions of Chapter 3.