Optimizing potentiometric ionophore and electrode design for environmental on-site control of antibiotic drugs: Application to sulfamethoxazole

S.A.A. Almeida, Liliana A.A.N.A. Truta, Raquel B. Queirós, M.C.B.S.M. Montenegro, Alexandre L. Cunha, M.G.F. Sales

ABSTRACT

Potentiometric sensors are typically unable to carry out on-site monitoring of environmental drug contaminants because of their high limits of detection (LODs). Designing a novel ligand material for the target analyte and managing the composition of the internal reference solution have been the strategies employed here to produce for the first time a potentiometric-based direct reading method for an environmental drug contaminant. This concept has been applied to sulfamethoxazole (SMX), one of the many antibiotics used in aquaculture practices that may occur in environmental waters.

The novel ligand has been produced by imprinting SMX on the surface of graphitic carbon nanostructures (CN) < 500 nm. The imprinted carbon nanostructures (ICN) were dispersed in plasticizer and entrapped in a PVC matrix that included (or not) a small amount of a lipophilic additive. The membrane composition was optimized on solid-contact electrodes, allowing near-Nernstian responses down to 5.2 µg/mL and detecting 1.6 µg/mL. The membranes offered good selectivity against most of the ionic compounds in environmental water.

The best membrane cocktail was applied on the smaller end of a 1000 µL micropipette tip made of polypropylene. The tip was then filled with inner reference solution containing SMX and chlorate (as interfering compound). The corresponding concentrations were studied for 1 × 10⁻⁵ to 1 × 10⁻¹⁰ and 1 × 10⁻⁶ to 1 × 10⁻⁸ mol/L. The best condition allowed the detection of 5.92 ng/L (or 2.3 × 10⁻⁸ mol/L) SMX for a sub-Nernstian slope of −40.3 mV/decade from 5.0 × 10⁻⁸ to 2.4 × 10⁻⁸ mol/L.

The described sensors were found promising devices for field applications. The good selectivity of the sensory materials together with a carefully selected composition for the inner reference solution allowed LODs near the nanomolar range. Both solid-contact and “pipette tip”-based sensors were successfully applied to the analysis of aquaculture waters.
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1. Introduction

Analytical devices are becoming more and more sophisticated within time, being capable of reaching amazing low detection levels. However, this growing sophistication often increases the price and maintenance expenses around the analytical applications. Moreover, it obstructs portability, which is an emerging requirement among the analytical field. Most controls should be carried on-site and, ideally, via wireless connections.

Considering mainly portability and low-cost, ion-selective electrodes (ISEs) are by far one of the most attractive devices for field applications. The most disseminated way to prepare such detectors is to use a polymeric membrane as active layer consisting of PVC matrix carrying an appropriate ligand and eventually other additional ingredients. Trace level monitoring seems feasible after decreasing the relatively high LODs, typically lying within the micromolar range, and their associated limited selectivity.

The response of most membrane ISEs may be attributed to ion-transfer processes across the membrane/sample solution interfaces. However, the ion flux across the bulk membrane affects the analyte activity at this interface (Amemiya, 2007). The analyte ions leaching from the membrane may reach very high levels at the phase boundary when the concentration of primary ions in the sample solution is very low, which in turn hinders the LODs. Forcing a flux of analyte ions toward the inner solution has been
given as a simple way to prevent this leaching and lowering LODs (Sokalski et al., 1997; Amemiya, 2007). Numerous parameters may be adjusted for this purpose, including the composition of the inner electrolyte and the selective membrane (Sokalski et al., 1999b).

The concentration of the primary ion in the inner compartment should be set to a low value, in order to generate a net flux of primary ions towards this side of the membrane. The exact concentration required for this purpose must be studied, because if a high flux is generated, super-Nernstian responses at intermediate concentrations and insensitivity at very low concentrations are expected (Michalska et al., 2004). Moreover, the ion-exchange with interfering ions at the inner membrane side becomes important under this limiting condition, supporting the need of an interfering compound inside the inner compartment (Bakker et al., 2004). This concept has been proven feasible to inorganic ionic species but never tested in organic trace levels.

Lowering LODs is only possible as long as the ISE shows good selectivity for the target ion (Sokalski et al., 1999b). In general, the selectivity of an ISE is reached by doping the membranes with an ionophore or neutral/charged carrier establishing selective and reversible complexes with the target analyte (Amemiya, 2007). In essence, a good ligand should selectively bind the analyte and remain in the membrane phase. Molecular imprinting polymers meet these criteria because they consist on synthetic robust sensors, and vitamins (NHS) are here a major concern because they have been associated to many emerging cases of resistant bacteria (Jones et al., 2001). Thus, sulphamethoxazole (SMX) has been selected as test compound. It is a sulphonamide antibiotic drug for human or veterinary use. Sulphamethoxazole (SMX) has been selected as test compound. It is a sulphonamide antibiotic drug for human or veterinary use.

Emf in steady-state assays was measured by a Crison pH-meter GLP 21 (±0.1 mV sensitivity), at room temperature, and under constant stirring. The reference electrode was a Crison, 5240, of double-junction. When necessary, the pH was measured by a Crison GLP 21 combined glass electrode connected to a Crison pH meter. An SBS vortex, MVOR 03, was used to grant the good mixing of the reacting solutions. Insoluble materials were suspended in a Sonorex digitec sonicator. Infrared spectra were collected by a Nicolet iS10 FTIR spectrometer (Thermo Scientific), coupled to an ATR (Attenuated Total Reflectance) sampling accessory of diamond contact crystal, also from Nicolet. Raman experiments were performed using a JOBIN-YVON LABRAM spectrometer. A scanning electron microscope JEOL JSM 53C/Noran Voyager, was used.

2. Experimental

2.1. Equipment

All chemicals were of analytical grade and deionized water (conductivity < 0.054 µS/cm at 25 °C) was used. SMX, 3-amino phenyl boronic acid (APBA), tris(hydroxymethyl) aminomethane (TRIS), graphite (nanopowder ≤500 nm and mean pore size of 137 Å), N-ethyl-N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carboxydimide hydrochloride (EDAC), tetracyclammonium bromide (TOABr) and 4(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. α-Nitrophenyloctyl ether (oNPOE), poly (vinyl chloride) (PVC) of high molecular weight, sodium persulphate (SPS), and N-hydroxy succinimide (NHS) were obtained from Fluka. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was purchased to Riedel-deHäen and sulphuric acid and nitric acid to Merck.

Working SMX solutions ranged from 1 × 10⁻¹⁰ to 1 × 10⁻⁵ mol/L. They were prepared by accurate dilution of a stock solution of 1 × 10⁻¹⁴ mol/L in 1 × 10⁻¹⁴ mol/L HEPES buffer, pH 5.1.

2.2. Reagents and solutions

All chemicals were of analytical grade and deionized water (conductivity < 0.054 µS/cm at 25 °C) was used. SMX, 3-amino phenyl boronic acid (APBA), tris(hydroxymethyl) aminomethane (TRIS), graphite (nanopowder ≤500 nm and mean pore size of 137 Å), N-ethyl-N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carboxydimide hydrochloride (EDAC), tetracyclammonium bromide (TOABr) and 4(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. α-Nitrophenyloctyl ether (oNPOE), poly (vinyl chloride) (PVC) of high molecular weight, sodium persulphate (SPS), and N-hydroxy succinimide (NHS) were obtained from Fluka. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was purchased to Riedel-deHäen and sulphuric acid and nitric acid to Merck.

Working SMX solutions ranged from 1 × 10⁻¹⁰ to 1 × 10⁻⁵ mol/L. They were prepared by accurate dilution of a stock solution of 1 × 10⁻¹⁴ mol/L in 1 × 10⁻¹⁴ mol/L HEPES buffer, pH 5.1.

2.3. Synthesis of imprinted carbon nanostructures

About 200 mg of graphitic carbon nanostructures (CN) were suspended in a mixture of concentrated sulphuric acid and nitric acid (3:1, v/v), and sonicated for 2h. The nanostructures were then filtered by a 0.45 µm nylon membrane, washed with deionized water until the wash out solution had no acid character and dried at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere for 12h.

About 100 mg of oxidized CN were dispersed in 5.0 ml of deionized water by 1 min sonication. Then, 2.0 ml of a 0.05 mol/L HEPES buffer solution (pH ~ 5.1) and 5.0 ml of a 50 mg/ml NHS aqueous solution were added to the above suspension. 1.5 ml of fresh EDAC aqueous solution (10 mg/ml) was added quickly, and the mixture was continually stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The suspension was then filtered and rinsed thoroughly with a 0.005 mol/L HEPES buffer solution to remove excess EDAC and NHS.

Reactive CN were re-dispersed in 9.0ml of 0.005 mol/L HEPES buffer and 1.0 ml of 1 mg/ml SMX solution. The nanostructures were then incubated with 1 mol/L TRIS, washed with deionized water and finally added of 1.0 mL of 0.05 mol/L ABPA solution. After 45 min, 1.0 mL of 0.025 mol/L SPS solution was added. The subsequent polymerisation was carried out at room temperature for 1h, and the modified CN were washed again with deionized water. Finally, a diluted acid solution was added to break the amide bond.
binding the template to the modified material. This was made at room temperature for 12 h. The imprinted carbon nanostructures (ICN) were then filtered, thoroughly washed with water and dried.

Non-imprinted carbon nanostructures (NICN) materials were also prepared by following the same steps and excluding the template from the procedure.

2.4. Membrane composition and ISE constructions

SMX selective electrode membranes were prepared by dissolving 15 mg of ICN and 0.4 mg of TOABr, together in PVC and oNPOE (1:3 (w/w)) to give a total cocktail mass of 500 mg. This solution was then mixed with 2.0 ml of THF.

The ICN was tested as potentiometric ionophore in PVC membranes casted over a solid-state contact. This conductive support was prepared by mixing Araldite M and hardener in a 2.5:1.0 (w/w) ratio. This epoxy resin was then mixed with graphite powder in a 2:1 (w/w) ratio and applied at 0.2 cm of the electrode body made of Perspex tube (external ø 12 mm, internal ø 10 mm, length 15 cm).

ISEs of internal reference solutions were used to reach lower LOD. The electrode body consisted on pipette tips of 1000 µL. These were dipped into the membrane solution until the column of the liquid reached ~4 mm. Then, the pipettes were placed in a holder and the THF was left to evaporate overnight, yielding solvent polymeric membranes located at the end of the pipette tip. An Ag wire with a thin layer of AgCl was dipped in the internal electrolyte and used as internal reference material.

A shielded electrical wire was employed to connect the ISEs to the commutation unit. The remaining conducting surfaces were isolated with heat shrink sleeve. The free end of the cable was linked to a BNC (Bayonet Nut Coupling) terminal.

2.5. Potential measurements

All readings were conducted at room temperature (19-21 °C) and in stirred solutions. The assembly of the potentiometric cell was Ag, AgCl(s) / KCl 1 mol/L / buffered samplesolution (1 × 10⁻¹⁴ mol/L HEPES) | SMX selective membrane | inner electrolyte | AgCl(s), Ag. The inner electrolyte had SMX and/or chlorate, of variable concentrations. The electrodes were conditioned in 1 × 10⁻⁸ mol/L SMX to minimize the effect of co-extraction from the sample side during conditioning.

The electrochemical operating characteristics of the ISEs were assessed by calibration curves (n = 3) (Buck and Cossofret, 1993) following IUPAC recommendations (Buck and Lindner, 1994). These were conducted in HEPES buffer, 1 × 10⁻⁴ M, for increasing SMX concentrations. The emf was recorded after stabilization to ±0.2 mV and plotted as a function of logarithm SMX concentration.

Selectivity studies followed the matched potential method (MPM) for background SMX solutions of 8.0 × 10⁻⁵ mol/L that were increased up to 1.4 × 10⁻⁴ mol/L.

The coefficient of variation (CVw) was the ratio of the standard deviation to the mean. The within-day variability was assessed by measuring the closeness of agreement between 5 readings of a known standard concentration during the same day. The between-day variability was assessed similarly but in 5 different days.

The concentrations of SMX were 1.3 × 10⁻⁵ and 5.9 × 10⁻⁵ mol/L for electrodes of solid contact and with inner reference solution, respectively. The percentage recovery was calculated for these concentrations.

2.6. FTIR and Raman analysis

The chemical modification of the CN was followed by FTIR analysis. The infrared spectra were collected after background correction.

The number of scans was 32 for both sample and background. X-axis was wavenumber, ranging 500-4000 cm⁻¹, and Y-axis was % transmittance. Resolution was 4000.

Raman experiments were conducted with a He–Ne laser of monochromatic red light with 632.8 nm and 20 mW. Raman spectra were measured with a density filter to avoid thermal decompositon of samples by the laser. A 50× air objective lens of an Olympus optical microscope was used to focus the laser beam on the sample and also to collect the scattered radiation. A highly sensitive CCD camera was used to collect the Raman spectra. Extended scans from 100 to 3500 cm⁻¹ in several sampling points were performed on each sample. All samples were deposited in powder form on a glass slide, without using any solvent.

2.7. Analysis of aquaculture waters

Aquaculture water samples were obtained in several points of sweet water aquaculture units in the Douro River. All samples were collected in duplicate to amber glass bottles, with polypropylene open-top screw caps and Teflon-silicon septa. The samples were labelled immediately after collection and kept chilled in the laboratory.

The samples had no antibiotic and were spiked with SMX up to 18,100 or 181 ng/L when the analysis was conducted by ISEs of solid or liquid-contact, respectively. The analysis was carried out immediately after calibration of the potentiometric units.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Imprinting on carbon nanostructures

Graphitic CN are relatively inexpensive materials, displaying suitable features for application in electrical devices. It consists of layers of sp² hybridized carbon atoms, mostly arranged in a hexagonal lattice with a distance between planes of 0.335 nm. These layers are not evident under regular scanning electron microscopy and carry a random packing mostly in hexagonal form, as may be seen in Fig.S1.

CN may act as a physical support to the imprinted layer, providing extensive surface area for carrying out surface imprinting, and thus allowing a nanostructured controlled process. The overall process of SMX surface imprinting on CN may be found in Fig. 1. The CN were first oxidized to ensure carboxylic acid groups on its surface. These groups were then activated by EDC and added of NHS to form a stable ester. The resulting ester reacted by nucleophilic substitution with the amine group on SMX, linking the CN materials to the target analyte by an amide bond. TRIS was used next to block carboxylic acid functions that remained active. It carries an amine group that reacts with the active carboxylic acid producing an amide. The resulting CN were then let stand in APBA to let organize the monomers around the SMX structure through electrostatic interactions. In addition, the vicinal hydroxyl functions coming from the TRIS layer were able to react with the boronic acid function in the APBA monomers, binding these to the CN. These bound monomers were subsequently able to participate in the polymerization reaction taking place after, when more APBA and initiator are added, bonding the imprinting layer to the CN. This was indeed the imprinting stage. The imprinted sites were obtained by removing SMX from the structure and these sites should be able to rebind again to another molecule of SMX.

At a first glance, this may seem a similar process to that presented by Almeida et al. (2011). However, the chemical path follows a completely different scheme, accounting for the great chemical differences between the silica spheres employed there and the CN used here. Overall, the silica spheres were linked to
sol–gel materials and modified with a glutaraldehyde layer to enable introducing the protein on top of it, while the graphitic carbon nanostructures were oxidized and the carboxylic groups activated by EDAC/NHS prior to protein binding. Comparing these two imprinting schemes, this last one turns out more effective because the imprinting layer is constructed immediately over the oxidized carbon material and has less monolayers. Evidently, each additional monolayer is an additional critical step to the overall procedure.

All modification steps were followed by FTIR experiments. The spectra of bare, oxidized, imprinted and non-imprinted CN are presented in Fig. 2(top). In general, the oxidation of the carbon material to carboxylic acid groups was confirmed by the appearance of broad and small absorption band near 3300 cm\(^{-1}\). The small absorption peak at 1694 cm\(^{-1}\) corroborated with this observation, being attributed to the stretch of the double bond on the carbonyl group. The presence of the polymeric layer (both in CN and NICN) was confirmed by the large increase of the broad band centered at ∼3200 cm\(^{-1}\). This band has been attributed to the O–H stretching motions of the \(\text{B(OH)}_2\) of the APBA (Peak et al., 2003). It may also account for the stretching of N–H bonds in the synthetic polymer. The peaks at 1034.0 and 1207.5 cm\(^{-1}\) are present only in ICN and NICN materials, showing the plane bending of \(\text{B-O-H}\) and/or the C–N stretch. No differences could be observed on the FTIR spectra of ICN and NICN materials, because they differed only on the spatial arrangement of the molecular structure of the outer layer and presented the same chemical composition.

Electron microscopy observation was also tried out (Fig S1). The graphitic nanostructures and their chemically modified materials were however too small to be observed either by scanning or transmission electron microscopes. The transmission images showed a different packing for the CN, but this could not be attributed to a successful modification of the ICN/NICN forms, because the observed matrix was heterogeneous and this pattern was not regular. Still, their chemical modification seemed clear by the different behaviours of these materials when they were suspended for observation under transmission: while CN dispersed readily in chloroform, ICN and NICN dispersed immediately in ethanol but deposited in the tube when chloroform was used.

Raman scattering analysis was also tried out, searching for the structural differences within CN, ICN and NICN materials. The obtained Raman spectra are shown in Fig. 2(bottom). The spectra of graphitic CN showed three main peaks at 1332.7, 1577.1 and 2665.9 cm\(^{-1}\) (Table S1), assigned to the D, G and 2D bands of graphite (Fig. 2). The G Peak represented \(\text{sp}^2\) bonds (planar configurations) and is inherent in graphite lattices. The D band was induced from a decrease of the domain size of graphite crystals (Sun et al., 1999), showing the introduction of the \(\text{sp}^2\)-type structural disorder. The small shoulder in G band was identified as D\(_2\) band and, in conjunction with D, shows well crystallized graphite (Wopenka and Pasteris, 1993). The band at 2665.9 cm\(^{-1}\) has been assigned to the 2D band (Qian et al., 2009).

ICN and NICN materials showed the same D and G bands as the CN (Table S1). These bands are however much broader than those of the CN (Fig. 2), indicating the existence of mainly amorphous carbon, where more phonons are allowed and broadening the discrete spectral lines is expected. The relative intensity of D and G bands was also obviously changed, with G band becoming greater than D band (Table S1). This observation corroborated well with the presence of a polymeric layer on the overall structure, which increased the \(\text{sp}^2\) hybridization bonding. Furthermore, these bands appear with a significant shift to the CN. While the D band shifted to ∼2.8 and to +1.2 cm\(^{-1}\) in ICN and NICN materials, respectively, the G band shifted +17.2 cm\(^{-1}\) in both materials. In general, these shifts have been attributed to the structural changes introduced on the material organization by growing a polymeric layer over the CN. Furthermore, the D bands from ICN and NICN were shifted by 4 cm\(^{-1}\), thus confirming the existence of minor structural changes.

Fig. 1. Design of the plastic antibodies over graphitic carbon nanostructures.
between these materials. These changes corroborated also with the differences in 2D and S3 bands (Fig. 2). Overall, the obtained results confirmed the existence of slight structural differences between ICN and NICN materials and major differences between these and the CN.

3.2. Selective membrane composition

3.2.1. General components

The above materials were used as ionophores for the potentiometric transduction of SMX on PVC membrane ISEs. The selective sensors were prepared by dispersing the active ingredients (ICN or NICN) in a high dielectric constant plasticizer and PVC. A cationic additive was also added to some of the membranes (Table 1), selected according to previous experiments (Almeida et al., 2012).

All the membranes were applied over a solid-contact conductive material made of graphite and epoxy resin. The best composition was set after varying the amount of cationic additive and electroactive material, and checking the analytical performance of the corresponding devices by means of consecutive and periodic calibrations. These studies were conducted for SMX concentrations ranging from $2 \times 10^{-5}$ to $2 \times 10^{-3}$ mol/L (Table 1).
3.2.2. Cationic additive

The cationic additive was employed to improve the permselectivity of the membranes, thus favouring the electrode performance. However, it was important to check that the observed behaviour could be attributed mostly to the novel designed ligand and not to the additive itself. For this purpose, several ISEs were tested having only additive (VII–IX) in different minimal amounts. The results pointed out that the additive was unable to provide a Nernstian response. The lower amounts of additive decreased the sensitivity of the response, but widened the linear concentration range. Thus, an amount of 0.2 mg of additive was selected to test the behaviour of both ICN and NICN materials.

In general, the response of the electrodes pointed out that the additive was essential to reach an acceptable slope, especially for ISEs with ICN materials. The addition of this compound, even in a very low amount, resulted in a significant slope increase, around 40% (comparing ISE IV with II and VI with V). This behaviour was attributed to the existence of neutral-ionophore material: the anionic analyte in the membrane formed negatively charged structures with the neutral ionophore SMX receptor sites (Fig. 1), thus requiring the additional incorporation of lipophilic ions of opposite charge to ensure permselectivity. The anionic SMX was bound to the imprinted sites most probably by hydrogen bond formation with the amine or borate groups of the imprinted layer.

3.2.3. Amount of SMX ligand

Nernstian responses are obtained when the primary ion is the only major ion being transferred between samples and membrane phases (Amemiya, 2007) and the free primary ion activity in the membrane is buffered by its receptor (Antonisse and Reinholdt, 1999). For neutral anion receptors, this constant ion activity is achieved by ensuring a constant ratio between the concentration of the anion-receptor complex and the free receptor, which in turn depends on the amount of lipophilic positive sites added to the membrane to compensate the charge of the complexed anions (Antonisse and Reinholdt, 1999). In the present study, the ratio of cationic additive/receptor site is impossible to calculate, because the chemically modified CN are polymeric materials of unknown molar mass per number of binding sites.

Thus, no molar ratio may be established and the best amount of ionophore can only be found by experimental testing. The amount of electroactive material acting as SMX ligand added to the membrane ranged from 5 to 30 mg (ISEs I to III). An amount of 15 mg was the one leading to the highest slopes. The other conditions tested gave lower sensitivities, displaying a slope decrease of about 13 and 8%, respectively. The linear concentration ranges were narrower only for the minimum amount tested. So, the ISEs used in further experiments included 15 mg of ICN. Overall, the time taken by the electrodes to reach a steady potential (±1 mV) was small, always less than 30 s, even for the highest concentrations tested.

3.3. Imprinting effect

The imprinting effect could be observed by comparing ISEs differing only on this membrane ingredient: ISEs II with V and ISEs IV with VI. The main differences displayed by each pair of ISEs regarded mostly slope, limit of detection and linear range. All membranes relying on imprinted materials showed much higher slopes and lower limits of detection than those with non-imprinted ones, as may be seen in Table 1. The enhanced performance of the imprinted-based devices may be therefore attributed to the ability of carrying out stereochemical recognition of the analyte. The small response observed for NICN was attributed to non-specific electrostatic interactions between SMX and this material.

3.4. Effect of pH

The effect of pH on the response of the ISEs was studied by checking the emf of a 1 × 10⁻² mol/L SMX solution over the pH range of 3–10. Each pH test was obtained by adding small amounts of concentrated sulphuric acid or saturated sodium hydroxide solutions. In general, the potential remained within ± 5 mV from pH 5 to 8. Increasing pHs gave decreasing emfs that were attributed to hydroxyl interference. Decreasing pHs gave also rise to decreasing emfs, this time attributed to a chloride interference, occurring simultaneously with the deprotonation of SMX.

This operational pH range was confirmed later by calibrating ISEs II and V in pHs 4.0, 5.1, 6.5 or 9.0. As expected, the more extreme conditions were outside the above range. The analytical performance was completely opposite for pHs 4.0 and 9.0, with ISEs changing from super-Nernstian (104 mV/decade) to sub-Nernstian (44 mV/decade) behaviour and the detection limits decreasing from 63.8 to 3.29 μg/mL, respectively. The pH condition displaying a closest behaviour to that expected by the Nernst equation was pH 5.1. This was the one selected for further studies.

3.5. Sensor selectivity

Selectivity studies followed the matched potential method (MPM) and were conducted against PO₄³⁻, CH₃COO⁻, BO₂⁻, CN⁻, SO₄²⁻, F⁻, CO₂⁻, Cl⁻, HCO₃⁻, NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻, ClO₃⁻ and tartrate. The initial concentration of primary ion was set to 8.0 × 10⁻⁵ mol/L and the activity of the primary ion solution added into this was 1.4 × 10⁻⁴ mol/L. The average corresponding potential change was about 13 mV. The interferences were assessed by adding small aliquots of the corresponding solutions into the primary ion solution of Aₜ until the same potential change was observed.

Overall, the log Kᵢᵢ values ranged from −3.6 to 0.47, showing negligible interference for most of the observed species. Only chlorate showed a positive log Kᵢᵢ and was taken as a severe interference. The relative order of interference was ClO₃⁻ > NO₃⁻ > NO₂⁻ > tartrate > CN⁻ > Cl⁻ > CH₃COO⁻ >

Table 1
Membrane composition of SMX sensors evaluated in solid contact electrodes and their potentiometric features in 1 × 10⁻⁴ mol/L HEPES buffer, pH 5.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ISE I</th>
<th>ISE II</th>
<th>ISE III</th>
<th>ISE IV</th>
<th>ISE V</th>
<th>ISE VI</th>
<th>ISE VII</th>
<th>ISE VIII</th>
<th>ISE IX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMX ligand (mg)</td>
<td>ICN (5)</td>
<td>ICN (15)</td>
<td>ICN (30)</td>
<td>ICN (15)</td>
<td>NICN (15)</td>
<td>NICN (15)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additive (mg)</td>
<td>TOABr (0.2)</td>
<td>TOABr (0.2)</td>
<td>TOABr (0.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>TOABr (0.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>TOABr (0.2)</td>
<td>TOABr (0.5)</td>
<td>TOABr (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope, mV/decade</td>
<td>−0.25 ± (±1.3)</td>
<td>−0.52 ± (±0.4)</td>
<td>−0.48 ± (±1.1)</td>
<td>−0.39 ± (±0.6)</td>
<td>−0.38 ± (±0.5)</td>
<td>−0.27 ± (±2.9)</td>
<td>−0.30 ± (±1.6)</td>
<td>−0.53 ± (±1.0)</td>
<td>−0.35 ± (±0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R² (n = 3)</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOD, ng/mL</td>
<td>0.2 ± 10³</td>
<td>1.6 ± 10³</td>
<td>5.19 ± 10⁸</td>
<td>8.64 ± 10³</td>
<td>1.57 ± 10⁴</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>0.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery, %</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>100.8</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LOD: limit of detection, LLLR: lower limit of linear range; R²: squared correlation coefficient; RSD: relative standard deviation; CVᵦᵦ: reproducibility;


HCO$_3^-$ > F$^-$ > SO$_4^{2-}$ > PO$_4^{3-}$ > CO$_3^{2-}$ > BO$_3^{3-}$. This sequence deviated from the Hofmeister series, indicating that the mechanism of action was not governed by ion-exchange.

In general terms, the more interfering ion was chlorate. Thus, this specific ion was used as interfering compound in the inner electrolyte of ISEs made from pipette tips.

3.6 Liquid contact ISEs

3.6.1 Inner electrolyte composition

The composition of the inner electrolyte should be set in such a way that the net flux of primary ions should be forced towards the membrane side contacting with this electrolyte. A low activity of primary ion and a sufficiently high activity of an interfering ion should favour this process. Thus, the inner electrolyte composition was tested for SMX concentrations ranging from $1 \times 10^{-3}$ to $1 \times 10^{-10}$ mol/L and chlorate from $1 \times 10^{-4}$ to $1 \times 10^{-8}$ mol/L. An electrolyte with just HEPES was also used and tested as control. The general analytical features of such electrolytes are indicated in Table 2 and the general set-up may be seen in Fig. 3.

The concentration of SMX in the inner electrolyte was studied for a solution containing only HEPES with variable amounts of SMX (Table 2). No interfering species was added at this stage. The obtained results pointed out that for concentrations of SMX lower than $1 \times 10^{-8}$ mol/L no significant improvement was observed. The lower limit of linear range (LLLR) followed a similar behaviour. The need to add SMX (in a low concentration) to the inner electrolyte was confirmed by checking the analytical performance of the electrodes carrying only HEPES (ISEs M, Table 2). These devices presented a similar behaviour to those carrying a higher amount of SMX (ISE A, Table 2).

The conditioning solution was set by evaluating the effect of different concentrations of primary ion upon the LOD of ISED. Concentrations of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ mol/L and $1 \times 10^{-8}$ mol/L were selected for this purpose. The electrodes were let stand in these solutions for at least 16 h. The subsequent calibration curves were carried by washing the electrodes with water, transferring them to HEPES buffer $1 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/L and adding small aliquots of $1 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/L SMX

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>SMX (mol/L)$^2$</th>
<th>LOD, ng/mL</th>
<th>Slp (mV/dou)</th>
<th>Corr, R</th>
<th>Within-day variability, %</th>
<th>Between-day variability, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A $10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B $10^{-8}$</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

* Without chloride and prepared in HEPES buffer.

---

Fig. 3. Schematic diagram of the potentiometric cell. (a) Ion-selective electrode; (b) inner reference (Ag/AgCl); (c) inner filling solution; (d) ion-selective membrane; (e) reference electrode; (f) reference electrolyte; (g) bridge electrolyte. (Insert: photography of the pipette-tip electrode.)
standard solution. The LODs were nearly identical in both conditioning conditions, equal to 39.2 and 39.1 ng/mL, respectively. The conditioning of all electrodes was therefore set to 1 × 10⁻⁸ mol/L, thus avoiding the “saturation” of the ligand sites on the membrane interface when the calibration is carried out at really low SMX concentrations.

The chloride concentration on the inner electrolyte was tested for ISEs having a fixed amount of SMX (1 × 10⁻⁸ mol/L) and HEPES. The use of a 1 × 10⁻⁴ mol/L chloride concentration favoured the electrode performance, leading to a LOD of 2.3 × 10⁻⁸ mol/L. All other conditions tested gave similar results. The exact effect of chloride was identified by preparing additional electrodes with inner electrolyte having only chloride (1 × 10⁻⁸ mol/L) in HEPES buffer. These electrodes displayed higher LOD than IS-E H (125 ng/mL) and lower slopes (−38.7 mV/decade), thus confirming the need to include an interfering species in the inner electrolyte.

Overall, the concentration of SMX was set to 1 × 10⁻⁸ mol/L and the interfering species to 1 × 10⁻⁴ mol/L. The observed behaviour seems to indicate that the LOD is mainly dependent on the interfering species, as long as a sufficiently low concentration of primary ion is ensured. Moreover, a small amount of SMX is necessary for a slight slope increase.

### 3.7. Analysis of aquaculture waters

The applicability of the SMX electrodes was tested in aquaculture waters collected on commercial fish farms on the north region of Portugal. The obtained results are summarized in Table 3 and correspond to the mean of at least 3 independent determinations. The standard deviations were always below 5.4%.

A good agreement was found between added and found amounts of the antibiotic. For solid contact electrodes, the results showed recoveries ranging from 96.6 to 99.1%, and for pipette tips electrodes from 94.6 to 102%. The t-Student and F tests indicated no significant statistical differences between the means of claimed and potentiometric amounts of both types of electrodes. The calculated t values (p) were 1.2 and 1.5 and the F values were 5.3 and 3.9, for solid contact ISEs and electrodes with inner reference solution, respectively. In both cases, p values were below the tabulated critical value (t 1.8 and 1.9 and F 6.4 respectively) for 95% confidence level, demonstrating that there are no significant differences between claimed and found amounts.

### 4. Conclusions

A new SMX ligand was successfully introduced as an ionophore in potentiometric transduction. The imprinting process was effective and used inexpensive material. Improved LODs were enabled by managing the composition of the inner electrolyte with regard to primary and interfering ion concentrations. This was obtained for the first time for an organic anionic species. The devices were coupled to simple construction, low power requirements and low manufacturing costs, relying on pipette tips. The obtained sensors showed suitable analytical performance to carry out on-site analysis.
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Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Solid-contact ISEs</th>
<th>ISEs with inner electrolyte solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Taken (ng/mL)</td>
<td>Found (ng/mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.1 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>17.6 × 10⁻³ + 0.809 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>97.8 × 10⁻³ + 2.06 × 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.1 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>17.9 × 10⁻³ + 0.404 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>96.6 × 10⁻³ + 1.31 × 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>