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Crustal structure of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge south of the Kane Fracture Zone from seafloor and sea surface gravity data

Valérie Ballu, Jacques Dubois, Christine Deplus, Michel Diament, and Sylvain Bonvalot
Laboratoire de Gravimétrie et Géodynamique, Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris

Abstract. Seafloor and sea surface gravity data are inverted together to construct a model for the near-axis crustal structure of a slow spreading ridge. The seafloor data set offers two main advantages: it allows us to recover shorter-wavelength signal and to constrain the value of a potential field at two different levels. The model we propose here would not have been derived from sea surface data alone. It is based on a dense sea surface gravity coverage and on 121 sea bottom gravity measurements collected in the Mid-Atlantic Ridge at Kane (MARK) area, during the Hydrosnake (1988) and Gravinaute (1993) cruises. The primary goal of the seafloor surveys was to test for the presence of a magma reservoir beneath the axial neovolcanic ridge. First, a forward two-dimensional (2-D) model of the crustal structure across the axis is fit to observed gravity anomalies, using constraints from geological and structural observations. Bouguer anomalies computed from sea bottom measurements and downward continuation of sea surface measurements both constrain the forward modeling. This forward model is the starting point of a 2-D Monte Carlo inversion of seafloor and sea surface data. In addition to the crustal thickness variations along-axis, our data document the amplitude variations of the crustal thickness and/or its density in the across-axis direction. The model resulting from our inversion exhibits several features of the crustal structure in the MARK area: (1) The presence of a low-density (Δρ = -300± 50 kg/m³) body beneath the neovolcanic ridge is suggested and could correspond to a magma chamber, or more probably to a highly hydrothermally fissured zone. (2) Both long- and short-wavelength gravity signals exhibit a difference between the western and eastern sides of the axial domain: the mean value and the amplitude of Bouguer anomalies are higher on the western part. This difference suggests that axial processes, in this area, are very asymmetric. (3) Abyssal hills are not associated with a single gravity signature: for instance, on the west side of the axis, one of the explored hills has no Bouguer anomaly and is interpreted as a neovolcanic ridge, whereas the others are associated with a shifted Bouguer anomaly high and are interpreted as having thinner magmatic crust. (4) The last feature of the crustal fabric we document here is the asymmetric emplacement of some deep rocks outcrops. In the MARK area, we find that "Pink Hill," a topographic high where serpentinitized peridotites are outcropping, is much more serpentinitized on its east flank, toward the axial valley, than on its west flank. Alteration occurring mainly by fluid circulation through faulted zones, the asymmetric serpentification suggests that deep-origin rocks have outcropped by means of a main fault zone and are not emplaced by diapirism.

1. Introduction

Years of sampling and dredging of the oceanic seafloor have revealed that the upper part of the oceanic crust is mainly formed by basalts, but also that ultramafic rocks and deep-origin magmatic rocks are commonly observed.

Knowledge of the oceanic crustal structure at depth would help in understanding its formation. In this paper, the crustal structure is investigated using both seafloor and sea surface gravity data. We document an asymmetry of the ultramafic rocks outcropping mechanism, as well as a difference in the crustal structure between the two flanks of the ridge axis.

Numerous surveys have documented gravity field variations along the mid-ocean ridges. The Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR) large-scale topography creates large amplitude free-air gravity anomalies. Correcting for this topography using assumed constant crustal density and thickness produces mantle Bouguer anomalies (MBA) which show quasi-circular lows correlated with the bathymetric segmentation of the ridge axis [Kuo and Forsyth, 1988; Lin et al., 1990; Blackman and Forsyth, 1991; Morris and Detrick, 1991; Deplus et al., 1992; Rommevaux et al., 1994; Detrick et al., 1995; Maia and Gente, 1997]. When
the estimated gravity effect of the cooling of the lithosphere away from the axis is subtracted from the MBA, a negative residual mantle Bouguer anomalies (RMBA) is commonly observed at the segment centers. This could be due both to the temperature pattern in the upper mantle and to variations of the thickness and/or density of the crust [Lin et al., 1990]. However, quantification of the possible thermal effect on the gravity field [Phipps Morgan and Forsyth, 1988; Lin and Phipps Morgan, 1992; Sparks et al., 1993] comparisons with off-axis MBA amplitudes along an isochron [Deplus et al., 1992; Rommevaux et al., 1994], and observations of variations in crustal thickness by seismic refraction studies show that crustal thickness variations account for almost all the RMBA variations along axis [Tolstoy et al., 1993].

Some studies, based on the analysis of seismic and structural data, propose that the crustal thickness variations, and thus the segmentation, have tectonic origins [Mutter and Karson, 1992]. However, most studies interpret the segmentation as the by product of mantle diapirism [Schouten and Klitgord, 1982; Francheteau and Ballard, 1983; Whitehead et al., 1984; Crane, 1985; Schouten et al., 1985b; Lin et al., 1990]. By showing the deviations from a constant thickness and density crustal model (usually 6 km thick and 2700 kg/m$^3$ dense), the RMBA provides constraints on the accretion processes.

Geological observations clearly show the nonuniformity of the crust: deep origin rocks with a variety of densities have been frequently observed along the MAR [e.g., Nicholls et al., 1964; Christensen and Salisbury, 1975; Shipboard Scientific Party, 1979; Karson and Dick, 1983; Schouten Scientific Party, 1988; Mével et al., 1991; Cannat, 1993]. Cannat et al. [1995] point out that, along the MAR, south of the Kane fracture zone, deep rock exposures (gabbros and serpentinitized peridotites) are located in an area where the MBA is high. This observation reinforces the idea that high MBA zones correspond to thin magmatic crust areas.

To investigate the crustal density pattern requires short-wavelength gravity signals, corresponding to shallow and small bodies. For short-wavelength signals, the amplitude of the anomaly decreases rapidly with increasing observation distance. By getting closer to the gravity sources, seafloor measurements can recover the short-wavelength signal created by crustal bodies. Several previous seafloor gravity studies have demonstrated the ability of these methods to provide reliable estimates of the mean density of the oceanic crust and its fine-scale variations [Luyendyk, 1984; Hildebrand et al., 1990; Dubois et al., 1992; Holmes and Johnson, 1993; Stevenson et al., 1994; Stevenson and Hildebrand, 1996]. In addition, gravity data collection at different altitude levels gives some additional constraints on the depth of sources [Bowin et al., 1986; Dubois and Deplus, 1989].

We acquired 121 seafloor gravity data during two submersible cruises, Hydrosnake (1988) and Gravinaute (1993), with a Worden Geodetic and a Scintrex CG3-M gravity meter respectively. We used on-land type meters that we manipulated within a submersible stabilized on the seafloor. A similar data acquisition procedure has been described in previous surveys [Luyendyk, 1984; Dubois and Deplus, 1989; Holmes and Johnson, 1993]. We also have a set of sea surface data from other surveys [Morris and Detrick, 1991; Needham et al., 1992; Gente et al., 1991a].

The Mid-Atlantic Ridge at Kane fracture zone (MARK area) is an intensively surveyed portion of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge; nevertheless, the accretion mechanisms are not fully understood. The available geological and geophysical data, in addition to our gravity data, are combined to construct a model of the MARK area crustal structure. This model is then used as a starting model for the gravity anomaly inversion.

### 2. Geological and Geophysical Settings and Main Questions Raised

Our study (Figures 1 and 2) is focused on a 40-km segment of the MAR, limited to the north by the Kane Fracture Zone and to the south by a nontransform offset at 23°15'N. According to seismic refraction [Purdy and Detrick, 1986] and gravity interpretation [Morris and Detrick, 1991; Deplus et al., 1992; Maia and Gente, 1997], this non-transform offset is the location of a step in the mean value of the crustal thickness: the crust is 6-7 km thick south of the offset and only 4.5 km thick north of it [Purdy and Detrick, 1986]. Morris and Detrick [1991] pointed out that the gravity anomaly pattern just south of the Kane fracture zone is more complex than the simple bull's eyes pattern described for some other parts of the MAR, whereas south of the 23°15'N offset, a distinct circular low of 20 mGal in the residual MBA occurs [Deplus et al., 1992; Maia and Gente, 1997].

The axial domain of our study area is characterized by a 13-km-wide rift valley. The presence of a 600-m-high neovolcanic ridge within the rift valley, the Snake Pit Ridge, exhibiting fresh lava flows, reveals present-day magmatic activity [e.g., Karson et al., 1987; Gente et al., 1991b]. Faults are numerous, with throws of several tens or hundreds of meters, and some of them are active outside of the axial domain [Durand et al., 1996]. The tectonic activity in the axial domain is thought to accommodate an important part of the total seafloor spreading in this area [Karson et al., 1987; Mével et al., 1991; Mutter and Karson, 1992].

The axial valley, as well as the off-axis domain, are clearly asymmetric. The average depth of the western flank is about 500 m shallower than the eastern flank, and the west valley wall culminates at 2600 m, whereas the eastern wall is slightly deeper than 3000 m. Variations in relief are also larger on the west flank than on the east flank. In addition, differences in the petrology (deep origin rocks on the west side of the valley) have been documented [Karson and Dick, 1983; Dick, 1989; Mével et al., 1991]. Magnetic anomalies show a spreading rate of 1.4 cm/yr to the west and 1.1 cm/yr to the east over the past 36 My [Schouten et al., 1985a; Schulz et al., 1988]. This asymmetry may be partly due to axis jumps; such events have been documented by the duplication of the Jaramillo event (0.8 Ma) and/or anomaly 2a (1.9 Ma) on the west flank [Schulz et al., 1988]. The proximity of the Kane Fracture Zone, which offsets the ridge axis over 150 km in a left-lateral sense, may have a key influence on the above described asymmetry. It has been commonly observed that a ridge/transform intersection axis is associated with an asymmetric inside/outside corner geometry, the inside corner being higher and steeper [Searle and Laughton,
Figure 1. Location map of the studied area. Plates limits and main fracture zones are shown on the map.

One of the question we address in the present paper is whether the morphological and geological asymmetry is related to a difference in the crustal structure between the axial flanks.

Abyssal hills, of the order of a thousand of meters high, are spaced ~10 km apart in the across-axis direction on both flanks of the ridge. Several tectonic models have been proposed for the origin of these hills, such as back-tilting [Macdonald and Luyendyk, 1977; Tappinier and Francheteau, 1978], off-axis dismembering by outward facing faults [Van Andel and Bowin, 1968; Macdonald and Awater, 1978] or reverse faulting [Harrison, 1974] of the valley walls. Some models favor the influence of an alternation between magmatic and amagmatic stages in the spreading [Vogt et al., 1969; Kappel and Ryan, 1986; Gente, 1987; Malinverno and Pockalny, 1990; Macdonald et al., 1996].

Deep origin rock exposures, such as gabbros or serpentinized peridotites, are common in the area and have been documented by studies based on drill cores, dredges, and submersible observations [Leg 109 Scientific Party, 1986; Leg 109 Shipboard Scientific Party, 1988; Dick, 1989; Gente et al., 1989; Juteau et al., 1990; Mvel et al., 1991; Auzende et al., 1993; Durand et al., 1996]. The location and the occurrence of these rocks outcrops have been discussed in several papers [Mvel et al., 1991; Cannat, 1993; Durand et al., 1996]. Our main concern here is to better understand the emplacement process by studying the geometry of these massifs. Several emplacement models have been proposed; they can be roughly divided into three classes. In the first class, the mantle rises up by diapirism subsequent to the decrease in density due to the serpentinization [Aumento and Loubat, 1971; Bonatti and Honnorez, 1976; Francis, 1981; Zonenshain et al., 1989]. Serpentinization results from the hydration of olivines in the peridotites; it is induced by the water circulation within the crust, which is mainly driven by the porosity and fissure distribution. Therefore the serpentinization pattern gives evidence of tectonic events and can help provide insight on the mechanism that makes outcrops of mantle rocks. Petrostructural analysis has shown, at least in some places (for instance, at Ocean Drilling Program ODP Site 670, located on the western wall of the MAR at 23°10'N) that serpentinization occurs after the outcrop was emplaced and therefore cannot be responsible for the uplift [Cannat et al., 1990; Cannat, 1993]. In the second class of models, deep rock outcropping is related to axial valley wall formation by either symmetric processes [Sleep, 1969; Osmaston, 1971; Tappinier and Francheteau, 1978] or asymmetric ones [Karson and Dick, 1985; Karson et al., 1987; Karson, 1990; Karson and Rona, 1990; Mutter and Karson, 1992; Tucholke and Lin, 1992; Tucholke and Lin, 1994]. In the third class of models, episodes of very low magma production allow tectonic spreading to dismember the existing lithosphere and thus deep rocks such as gabbros or peridotites may outcrop [Dick et al., 1981; Mével et al., 1991; Cannat, 1993].

Finally, we will investigate the possible existence of a magma chamber beneath the Snake Pit. With the possible exception of the Reykjanes Ridge [Sinha et al., 1997], so far, magma chambers have been evidenced only in fast or intermediate spreading contexts [Herron et al., 1978; 1980; Hale et al., 1982; Mutter et al., 1984; Morton and Sleep, 1985; Detrick et al., 1986; 1987; Kent et al., 1990; Vera et al., 1990; Cares et al., 1992]. If some stable magma chambers could exist in slow spreading ridge contexts, the MARK area, beneath the Snake Pit ridge, would be a good candidate for the location of such a body. Here, there is evidence for present-day volcanic activity and the
thermal flux induced by a magma body could be the driving mechanism for the intense hydrothermal activity at the summit of the ridge. However, Detrick et al. [1990] reported no evidence from seismic refraction data for the presence of a magma chamber; their experiment was conducted from the sea surface and thus is subject to diffraction and scattering due to the topography. New insights on these seismic data, associated with geochemical evidence, suggests that a magma reservoir is likely to be located beneath the Snake Pit neovolcanic ridge [Calvert, 1995]. Sea bottom gravity measurements may provide supporting evidence for magma bodies, as shown by previous studies [Hildebrand et al., 1990]. Our seafloor gravity survey was conducted to test for the presence of a possible magma chamber beneath the Snake Pit.

In summary, the main questions we address in the present paper are (1) Is the morphological asymmetry observed between the western and the eastern part of the axial domain related to an asymmetry in the crustal structure? (2) What crustal thickness variations are associated with small off-axis hills and how are these hills constructed? (3) What is the structural geometry of hills where peridotites are exposed and how were these rocks emplaced? (4) Is there a magma chamber beneath the Snake Pit neovolcanic ridge as suggested by the recent magmatic activity and hot hydrothermal fluids?

3. Data Acquisition and Reduction

3.1. Multibeam Bathymetry and Sea Surface Gravity Data

The MARK area has been extensively surveyed for geological and geophysical studies. Multibeam bathymetry and sea surface gravity data were collected with the R/V Conrad in 1984 as part of the ODP predrilling site survey of the axial area [Detrick et al., 1984; Morris et al., 1989; Morris and Detrick, 1991]. We complemented these data by those collected in 1991 during the SIGMA [Needham et al., 1992] and SEADMA [Gente et al., 1991a] cruises of the R/V L'Atalante. On both cruises, navigation was constrained by nearly continuous Global Positioning System (GPS) data recording and gravity measurements were performed with a KSS30 Bodenseewerk gravimeter. We also add some older gravity data available in the National Geophysical Data Center (NGDC) data bank. The shipboard gravity data tracks are shown on Figure 2. All the cruises are tied to the International Gravity Standardization Net 71 (IGSN 71) network, and free-air anomalies were calculated using a theoretical field estimated with International Association of Geodesy (IAG) 67 formula, compatible with the IGSN 71 gravity network [Milson, 1989]; the final cross-over standard deviation is estimated to be 2 mGal [Maia and Gente, 1997].

3.2. Seafloor Gravity Data

Seafloor gravity data were collected during two cruises with the French R/V Nadir and the submersible Nautilus: the Hydrosnake cruise in 1988 [Mével et al., 1989] and the Gravinaute cruise in 1993 [Dubois et al., 1994]. Thirty-nine gravity measurements were performed during 12 dives on the Hydrosnake cruise, which had mostly geological objectives. The Gravinaute cruise was devoted to a seafloor geophysical survey of this area: 16 dives were devoted to seafloor gravity measurements and 3 dives were devoted to both magnetotelluric and gravity measurements, resulting in 82 gravity stations. The total number of measurements in the area is 121 conducted at 115 different sites (Figure 2). The stations are mainly located along two profiles: a 15-km-long profile along the summit of the Snake Pit neovolcanic ridge and a 75-km long profile across the axis.

3.2.1. Gravity measurement. Each gravity measurement required the submersible to be stabilized on the seafloor (weighting it by rock samples when necessary). The
Hydrosnake cruise measurements were made with a Geodetic Worden meter, whereas a Scintrex CG3-M gravity meter was used during the Gravinaute cruise. Each measurement made with the Scintrex CG3-M meter resulted in the average of 120 measurements made over 2 minutes. Continuous automatic tilt corrections, useful in unstable areas, were performed [Hugill, 1984]. In addition, a LaCoste and Romberg (G type) gravity meter was on board during each dive of the Gravinaute cruise and some measurements were duplicated using both meters to better control the instrumental drift and the measurement repeatability. Finally, the measurement uncertainty is estimated to be lower than 0.5 mGal and 0.1 mGal when measurements are performed with a Worden or a Scintrex type gravimeter, respectively. These values are large compared with on-land values (less than 0.05 mGal) but are low with respect to the crossover errors in multitrack sea surface free-air anomaly maps.

3.2.2. Positioning. The Nautilė was navigated on the seafloor by long baseline acoustic signals from an array of beacons. Two or three beacons were emplaced every day; the positioning of each beacon was first taken at the landing point and then adjusted by triangulation from the shipboard Global Positioning System (GPS) navigation. The absolute positioning accuracy is limited by the GPS to be of the order of 50 m. Three relative positions are acquired simultaneously: two long base acoustic navigation systems (one received on the mother ship and the other on the submersible) and an integrated navigation using the measured speed and heading of the submersible. For the more recent Gravinaute cruise, we reprocessed the navigation after the cruise, making from IGSN 71 reference stations and from La roue for Gravinaute and Hydrosnake cruises; Hydrosnake data were adjusted to be in agreement with Gravinaute ones.

3.2.3. Depth estimation. The depth estimates are derived taking into account information extracted from the three seafloor measurements at a base-station called "La roue." La roue is a train wheel mark, left at an ODP hole on the summit of the Snake Pit ridge, which has been removed for the two sets of data, using both meters to better control the instrumental drift and the measurement repeatability. The absolute positioning accuracy is limited by the GPS to be of the order of 50 m. Three relative positions are acquired simultaneously: two long base acoustic navigation systems (one received on the mother ship and the other on the submersible) and an integrated navigation using the measured speed and heading of the submersible. For the more recent Gravinaute cruise, we reprocessed the navigation after the cruise, taking into account information extracted from the three navigation sources. A navigation check was given by the comparison between seafloor summits observed from the submersible and those derived from a bathymetric map. The comparison exhibits a difference smaller than 50 m in position.

3.2.4. Instrumental drifts. The instrumental drift effect has been removed for the two sets of data, using both the drift estimation from IGSN 71 reference stations at the beginning and at the end of each cruise and the drift estimation from seafloor measurements at a base-station called "La roue." La roue is a train wheel mark, left at an ODP hole on the summit of the Snake Pit ridge, which has been used as a reference station during Hydrosnake cruise (three measurements) and reoccupied during the Gravinaute cruise (four measurements). For the Gravinaute cruise, we obtained nearly exactly the same value for both drift estimates (0.0003 mGal/d difference between the estimates made from IGSN 71 reference stations and from La roue station measurements). For the Hydrosnake cruise, the sea bottom reference station La roue has been occupied only in the first half of the cruise (dive HSN 4 and dive HSN 9), thus we used the drift from IGSN 71 stations. A difference of only 1.3 mGal was observed between the mean value at La roue for Gravinaute and Hydrosnake cruises; Hydrosnake data were adjusted to be in agreement with Gravinaute ones.

3.2.5. Tidal effects. Tidal effects can be divided into an ocean tide and an Earth tide components. In the studied area, the water column thickness variations are less than 30 cm [Schwiderski, 1980], and thus the ocean tide has a negligible gravity effect (less than 0.06 mGal) compared to our data accuracy. The Earth tide effects are larger, but we choose not to apply any tide corrections, since they are within the gravity measurement errors bars for sea surface data [Stevenson et al., 1994] and within the terrain effect estimation errors bars for sea-floor data [Luyendyk, 1984]. For drift estimation at the reference station La roue, a higher accuracy is required and we took into account a solid Earth tide correction [Longman, 1959].

3.2.6. Free-water anomalies. The free-water reduction is applied to estimate the theoretical gravity field on the seafloor. It can be written as a free-air correction plus twice the effect of a slab of water [Luyendyk, 1984; Dubois and Deplus, 1989; Hildebrand et al., 1990]. As for on-land surveys, we used the free-air vertical gradient of 0.3086 mGal/m [Heiskanen and Vening Meinesz, 1958]. The mean density of the water slab increases with the slab thickness; it varies from 1032 kg/m$^3$ for a depth of 2000 m to 1041 kg/m$^3$ for a depth of 6000 m. Considering the mean depth of the seafloor measurements, we used a seawater density of 1035 kg/m$^3$ and thus a free-water gradient of 0.2218 mGal/m.

4. Bouguer Anomalies
Seafloor free-water anomalies, as well as sea surface free-air anomalies, are dominated by the gravity signal due to the bathymetry. The Bouguer correction consists of removing the gravity effect of the seafloor topography from the free-water anomalies and requires a precise 3-D knowledge of the surrounding bathymetry and the associated density contrast.

4.1. Computation of a Digital Terrain Model
We use two different digital terrain models (DTM). The first is a 500-m grid spacing DTM computed from surface multibeam bathymetric data, complemented on the edge with data from the worldwide file ETOPO-5. We use a least squares smooth fitting method [Inoue, 1986] to smooth the junction between the two sets of data. The grid extends in longitude from 47°W to 43°30'W and in latitude from 22°N to 25°N and was used to estimate the contribution of the terrain gravity effect on the surface measurements and of the far terrain on the sea-floor measurements. A second DTM, with a smaller 150-m grid spacing, was used to estimate the fine scale terrain contribution on the seafloor measurements. This DTM was computed from a combination of lower-resolution but higher coverage data from surface multibeam bathymetric surveys (tens of meters precision) and of seafloor higher-resolution bathymetry data along the dive profiles (meter precision). It extends in longitude from 45°30'W to 44°30'W and in latitude from 23°N to 23°45'N. After reprocessing the submer-
sible navigation and analyzing the video recordings, we obtained accurate bathymetry and navigation data along the dive tracks with an elevation precision better than 10 m. Most of the dive tracks were perpendicular to the structural direction; thus, for 13 of 16 across axis dives, we assumed that the bathymetry was locally two-dimensional and generated 300 m-wide bands of high-resolution bathymetry centered on the dive tracks. We then computed a DTM using a weighted least squares smooth fitting interpolation [Inoue, 1986] of the sea surface and seafloor bathymetric data. The precision ratio between seafloor and sea surface bathymetric data used in the weighted interpolation is 1 to 5. The improvement in the estimation of the nonslab gravity contribution to the seafloor gravity field may be of the order of 2 mGal in steep bathymetry areas.

4.2. Density Contrast at the Water/Crust Interface

Seafloor densities depend on the igneous structure but may be more closely related to changes in porosity and alteration [e.g., Carlson and Herrick, 1990]. One way of estimating a mean density is to derive it from the gravity and bathymetry data [Parasnis, 1962; Nettleton, 1976]. These methods require the Bouguer anomaly to be quite constant and statistically independent of the seafloor topography. Using the Nettleton [1976] visual method on the seafloor across-axis profile, we have found a high variability of the density contrast between the crust and the water, ranging from about 1300 to 1900 kg/m$^3$, with 1600 kg/m$^3$ being a representative mean value (Figure 3). The Parasnis [1962] method (including a terrain correction term and applied where the Bouguer anomaly gradient is low) yields a mean density contrast of 1630 kg/m$^3$ for the water/crust interface; we used this value in subsequent analyses. It is lower than the density measured from samples, which is expected due to megascopic voids and is consistent with gravity derived densities for other ridge crest sites [Luyendyk, 1984; Hildebrand et al., 1990; Holmes and Johnson, 1993; Stevenson and Hildebrand, 1996]. Deviations from this uniform density are analyzed further in sections 6.3 and 6.4.

4.3. Computation Method

Bouguer corrections include both slab and nonslab contributions of the topography to the gravity signal. Separating these two terms allows us, when required, to use a different origin depth to calculate slab and nonslab corrections. For seafloor measurements, the slab term has been computed using the submersible measured depth at the station, whereas the nonslab term is estimated from the DTM described in section 4.1, interpolating from a rectangular grid.

We estimated the water/crust interface contribution to the gravity signal by a numerical integration using Gaussian-Legendre quadratures [Parker, 1996]. Terrain corrections for sea bottom measurements have been separated into nearby and remote effects. For the nearby corrections, we used the 150-m step computed DTM, described above. The remote terrain contribution on seafloor and surface measurements has been estimated from the 500-m grid step DTM by a simple Gauss quadrature integration.

The sea surface Bouguer anomalies were then interpolated onto a 500-m rectangular grid, with the smooth surface fitting method of Inoue [1986]. Performing a unique inversion with Bouguer anomalies computed both on the sea bottom and on the sea surface requires a single reference level, chosen at the deepest gravity station.

![Figure 3. Density estimation from Nettleton [1976] method on an east-west sea bottom profile (located in Figure 2). The bathymetric profile from submersible observations. (top) The Bouguer anomaly calculated with different crust/water density contrasts (ranging from 1000 to 2000 kg/m$^3$); 1600 kg/m$^3$ has been chosen as the mean density contrast which best decorrelates gravimetric and bathymetric signals at short wavelengths.](image-url)
### 4.4. Error Estimation in the Resulting Bouguer Anomalies

The Bouguer anomaly uncertainty depends on the initial uncertainty of the measurements and on the various corrections. The uncertainty is about 2-3 mGal for the sea surface free-air anomalies [Maia and Gente, 1997] and less than 0.5 mGal and 0.1 mGal for Hydrosnake cruise and Gravinaute cruise sea floor measurements, respectively.

Neglecting the Earth sphericity, as well as taking an approximate value for the free-water gradient leads to an error proportional to the water depth. On the other hand, the density used for the Bouguer reduction is derived from the relationship between gravity and depth. Therefore all the discrepancies related to depth-type correction are balanced by the use of a "pseudo-density" contrast in the slab correction. Thus depth-related errors should not be reflected in the Bouguer anomalies. Finally, the relative error due to the depth uncertainty of the measurements and on the various corrections in the mean depth of gravity sources between the two cruises is better than 1.5 mGal.

Another source of uncertainty is introduced by the nonslab part of terrain corrections and is not directly related to depth uncertainty. The contribution of the topography to the gravity field increases when the distance between the relief and the measurement location decreases; as often as it was possible, we did not locate the gravity stations close to fault scarps. Errors in the nonslab terrain contribution are estimated to be of the order of 1.0 mGal for both Gravinaute and Hydrosnake data.

### 4.5. Resulting Seafloor and Sea surface Bouguer Anomalies

The main features of Bouguer anomalies computed from the sea floor and sea surface measurements are similar, with higher amplitudes for those computed at the sea floor (Plate 1). The principal characteristics of Bouguer anomalies are as follows:

1. The axial valley is associated with a negative Bouguer anomaly. In the southern half of the studied area, the minimum is located in the active part of the axis. It is shifted toward the east in the northern part, where the minimum is located almost 15 km southeast of the nodal basin. At 23°35'N, the neovolcanic activity located from morphological data is at an equal distance from the nodal basin and the minimum in Bouguer anomaly. Our result supports a difference in the mean crustal thickness between the two sides of the axis; a thermal effect alone could probably not create this asymmetry. In addition, here we do not observe a correlation between negative Bouguer areas (hotter areas) with shallower bathymetric zones, as is usually seen.

2. From the surface anomalies, the ridge transform intersection massif is clearly associated with a positive Bouguer anomaly of 20 mGal.

3. The surface Bouguer anomalies reveal a pronounced asymmetry between the flanks of the ridge. On average, the anomalies are more negative on the east flank and do not present high-amplitude variations. On the west side the anomalies are about 20 mGal higher and have greater variations.

The asymmetry in the Bouguer anomaly between the two sides of the axis can be partly explained at a regional scale. In section 5.1, we analyze the regional gravity field by performing a spectral analysis to examine if there are differences in the mean depth of gravity sources between the two axis flanks.

### 5. Computation of Residual Sea Surface and Seafloor Bouguer Anomalies

#### 5.1. Spectral Analysis of Sea Surface Data

The depth of crustal density contrasts can be derived from the study of the gravity energy spectrum. When \( E(k) \) is the gravity signal energy as a function of the wavenumber \( k \), if the source distribution is statistically homogeneous (an assumption that may have merit but is not strictly proven), then the depth \( d \) from the top of the sources to the measurement can be expressed as [Spector and Grant, 1970; Poudjom Djomani et al., 1995]

\[
d = \frac{\text{Alog}E(k)}{2\Delta k}
\]

We performed a spectral analysis of the sea surface Bouguer anomalies from two regions in the study area. The first one, on the west flank, is 50 km in longitude by 75 km in latitude; it is limited on the north by the south wall of the Kane fracture zone and on the east by the summit of the west valley wall. The second one, on the east flank, is 35 km in longitude by 75 km in latitude; it is limited on the north by the south wall of the Kane fracture zone and on the west by the summit of the east valley wall. We calculated the spectra with the ring method [Hahn et al., 1976], on 500 m step grids. We are aware that the grid sizes are small for spectral analysis, but due to the high stability of the values when tuning the radius of the ring and slightly changing the size of the grid, we are confident in the result [Poudjom Djomani, 1993]. The comparison between the east and the west axial valley flanks shows that the interface which creates the main gravity anomalies is 6-7 km below sea surface on the west and 11-12 km below sea surface on the east, respectively (Figure 4). Other studies have also documented a crustal thickening of about 2 km on the east flank of the ridge axis [Morris and Detrick, 1991; Maia and Gente, 1997]; the values derived from the spectral analysis are larger but in the same sense as previous studies.

Our result supports a difference in the mean crustal thickness between the two sides of the axis; a thermal effect alone could probably not create this asymmetry. In addition, here we do not observe a correlation between negative Bouguer areas (hotter areas) with shallower bathymetric zones, as is usually seen.

#### 5.2. The Regional Contribution to the Surface and the Seafloor Gravity Signal

The gravity effect of the lithospheric cooling away from the axis and the presence of the Kane fracture zone are thought to be the main contributions to the regional trend. The gravity effect of the lithospheric cooling away from the axis can be modeled directly, using the seafloor age based method of Parsons and Sclater [1977] [Rommevaux et al., 1994] or a 3-D passive mantle flow modeling [Pliss Morgan and Forsyth, 1988], or it can be approximated by low-pass filtering the data [Defies et al., 1992; Maia and Gente, 1997]. Each method presents some disadvantages: the first one allows asymmetric spreading but neglects ridge-axis offsets, whereas the second one takes into account axis discontinuities but requires a simple and symmetric pattern of the spreading. The studied area is
Plate 1. Bouguer anomalies calculated (top) at the sea surface and (bottom) on the seafloor. Isobaths are contoured every 500 m. Both sets of Bouguer anomalies exhibit comparable features, with higher amplitudes on the sea bottom anomalies. Note the asymmetry between the two flanks of the ridge, Bouguer anomalies are lower on the east side, with smoother variations.
that the asymmetry observed in the morphology is of deep origin.

The crustal thickness difference remains as a contribution in effect have been removed with the regional anomaly, but Part of the crustal thickness difference and the thermal noted can be observed in the residual Bouguer anomalies.

is centered on the axial valley. The asymmetry previously remove regional contributions from the seafloor data that
tive than the west one. The residual Bouguer anomalies are
differences between the data sets, we extracted a profile of (44°/47°W and 22°/24°N). The regional anomaly obtained displays a clear asymmetry, the east part being more negative anomaly superimposed on a positive medium wavelength anomaly). In both cases, the associated gravity anomaly peak is shifted about 5-6 km westward with regard to the outcrops (Figure 5). On the east flank, no strong gravity anomaly was exhibited associated with the abyssal hills; it is likely that small Bouguer anomalies with short-to-median wavelengths are caused by minor fault zones and petrological variations (metabasalts have been collected during dive GRN 6, and they are associated with a discrete negative anomaly superimposed on a positive medium wavelength anomaly).

6. Modeling Residual Bouguer Anomalies

6.1. Downward Continuation of the Sea Surface Map

Knowledge of both sea surface and seafloor gravity measurements gives more information about the crustal...
Plate 2. Two-dimensional modeling of the sea surface and seafloor data. (bottom) The a priori model constructed from direct gravity calculation and from a priori geophysical, geological, and structural information. (middle) The a posteriori model, obtained after a stochastic inversion starting from the a priori model. In order to avoid only deep (for the longer wavelengths) and shallow (for the shorter ones) bodies being changed, we use a standard deviation on the a priori density distribution that varies with depth: the standard deviation on the model is 0.5 above 10 km and 0.3 below 10 km. Note the asymmetry in the determined crustal structure: the eastern side can essentially be modeled by a three horizontal layers geometry (basalts, gabbros, peridotites), whereas the crustal structure on the west side is more complex. The asymmetric serpentinization on the ultramafic massifs can also been noticed.
The information we can derive from the gravity field at various altitudes is an indirect one, coming from the increased signal/noise ratio on the seafloor. At the sea surface, high-frequency signals have a low amplitude, within the measurement noise level and cannot therefore be recovered; the gravity signal collected on the sea surface is more sensitive to long-wavelength deeper sources. To investigate the relative information contained in both data sets, we downward continued the surface anomaly map to 3000 m depth. The downward continuation has been done using the algorithm of Gibert and Galdeano [1985], using a convolution product, to avoid several drawbacks of the FFT method [Gibert and Galdeano, 1985]. The continuation equation is based on the harmonic properties of potential fields outside the sources: the formulation is incorrect when there are sources between the initial data level and the continuation level. We low-pass-filtered the data with a cutting wavelength of 5 km in order to prevent instabilities in the continuation.

The continuation is performed in 3-D on the surface map (interpolated with Inoue's [1986] smoothing algorithm). Values are extracted from the downward continued map at the location of seafloor measurements. The comparison on an east/west profile (Figure 6) between the gravity field recorded on the seafloor and the one estimated by downward continuing the sea surface gravity shows a good agreement between the two sets of measurements. It may be due to the quantity and quality of the available marine gravity data and may not be the rule for less extensively surveyed areas. Beyond the first-order agreement, the results are not the same between the two flanks: on the east, there is no significant difference, and on the west, there is a shift of the positive anomaly located directly to the west of the axial valley. Keeping in mind the fundamental instability of downward continuation, we interpret this spatial offset as resulting from an eastward dip of the boundary between a deeper high-density body and a shallower low-density body. This interpretation is equivalent to saying that the high-frequency signal due to the boundary between dense and less dense bodies in the upper crust is lost in the surface data because of the poor signal/noise ratio and of the data processing. Beyond this, we previously observed that the positive gravity signature of mantle rocks outcrops is shifted 5-6 km west with regard to the serpentinized peridotites outcrops themselves [Karson et al., 1987; Mêvel et al., 1991; Durand et al., 1996]. These two observations lead us to propose that the mantle rock massif has been serpentinized in an asymmetric way: it is more altered on the east flank of the massif than on its west flank.
Figure 6. Comparison on the east/west profile, between Bouguer anomalies computed from seafloor measurements (triangles), computed from sea surface measurements (circles) and downward continued from surface measurements to a depth 3000 m (stars). The “measured” Bouguer anomaly on the sea surface results from an interpolation, above sea bottom data points. The downward continuation has been performed to a single level in order to avoid uncertainties introduced by the mixing of downward continued maps. The results show a good coherence between the Bouguer anomaly observed on the seafloor and the one obtained by downward continuing the sea surface measurements. The positive Bouguer anomaly related to the eastern ultramafic rock massif (Pink Hill) is shifted at least 1 km between the observed seafloor and the downward continued anomalies. The downward continuation is an unstable filter; the discrepancy may not be significant. However, we propose an interpretation where this eastward shift is an additional indication that the massif may be more serpentinized on its east flank and that the serpentinization boundary may be oblique. In this interpretation, the center of mass of anomalous bodies is not located on the same vertical plane in the upper crust and in the lower crust. Such an obliquity results in shorter wavelength components of the signal being shifted with regard to the longer-wavelength components.

6.2. A Priori Geological and Structural Knowledge

A geological and structural analysis of this portion of the MARK area, mostly based on the video recordings of Gra-vinaute and Hydrosnake dives, has previously been performed [Durand et al., 1996]. We locate the main zones of crustal heterogeneities by their surface expressions and infer that a hot and high-porosity area is likely to be located beneath the neovolcanic ridge, considering its present-day magmatic and hydrothermal activity. Concerning ultramafic rocks outcrops, we assume that there is a spatial continuity between the outcrops and their deep source, which is equivalent to saying that serpentinized outcrops are not just isolated slivers.

6.3. Direct Modeling

To a first order and on the scale of this study, the ridge structure is two-dimensional. In fact, the bidimensional hypothesis is a good approximation for the east-west profile from dive GRN 6 to dive GRN 9; it is approximate for dives GRN 12-13-14 where the profile crosses the off-axis trace of the 23°15'N axial discontinuity. A 2-D direct model was constructed using Hypermag software [Saltus and Blakely, 1993]. We find a simple density distribution which both includes the constraints described above and creates a gravity field close to the one observed at the surface and on the seafloor (Plate 2). An improvement to the fit is found by inverting the data, as described in section 6.4. There is a range of possible models which can fit the data; for most of them, sea surface and seafloor data are fitted by deep and shallow sources, respectively. However, the number of models which fit the data is strongly decreased when shallow and deep sources are connected.

6.4. Gravity Inversion

Hussenoeder et al. [1995] recently pointed out that most current methods of potential field inversion require measurements to be reduced onto a unique level plane, resulting in a loss of resolution. On a magnetic profile example, they show how a direct inversion using the approximately equivalent geometry can better resolve fine-scale features characteristic of slow spreading ridges. The Monte Carlo type stochastic inversion technique, used in the present study, allows data inversion without first reducing them to a unique level [Bonvalot, 1995]. It also allows addition of a priori information [Tarantola and Valette, 1982a,b]. The inverse problem is solved using constraints from the data, the a priori parameters, and a theoretical relationship between the data and the parameters expressed by the Green's functions. We assume that the density probability functions representing the data, as
well as the parameters, are Gaussian functions. Then, from Tarantola and Valette [1982a,b], the a posteriori state of knowledge $\sigma_p(p)$ can be written

$$\sigma_p(p) = \left[ (2\pi)^{NP} \text{det}(C_p) \right]^{1/2} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2}(p - \langle p \rangle)^T C_p^{-1}(p - \langle p \rangle) \right]$$

where $NP$ is the number of parameters, $C_p$ is the covariance matrix on the a posteriori parameters, and $\langle p \rangle$ represents the mean value of parameters $p$, which are determined to maximize $\sigma_p(p)$. The unknown $\langle p \rangle$ and $C_p$ can be expressed as a function of the a priori information on the parameters $p_{\text{prior}}$ and their a priori covariance $C_{p_{\text{prior}}}$, the data $d_{\text{obs}}$ and their associated covariance $C_{D_{\text{obs}}}$, and the theoretical relationship between observations and model parameters $G$,

$$\langle p \rangle = p_{\text{prior}} + C_{p_{\text{prior}}} G^T \left( G C_{p_{\text{prior}}} G^T + C_D \right)^{-1} (d_{\text{obs}} - G p_{\text{prior}})$$

The a priori information on the parameters $p_{\text{prior}}$ is derived from the direct modeling.

The forward model has been quantized in different size cells. Above 4.5 km below sea level and up to the bathymetry interface, the square cells have sides of 500 m. Between 4.5 km and 6 km, the cells are 1 km long and 500 m wide, and between 6 km and 10 km below sea level, they are square with sides of 1 km. Below 10 km, down to the bottom limit of the model at 12 km, there is only one layer of 4 km square cells.

Plate 2 shows the inversion result for an a priori model in which the standard deviation on the density distribution is 300 kg/m$^3$ above 10 km and 100 kg/m$^3$ below 10 km, and the standard deviation on the gravity data is 1.5 and 2 mGal for seafloor and sea surface measurements, respectively. The resulting model is sensitive to the starting one, although in our case, this sensitivity arises primarily from the standard deviation assigned to the different cells in the starting model. When the standard deviation is uniform, the inversion tends to accommodate the two levels of measurements by different sources: sea surface variations are accommodated by the deep cells and seafloor anomalies are adjusted by modifying the uppermost layer of cells. In our final model, we tried to prevent this effect by reducing the standard deviation at depth so the sea surface anomalies would also be accommodated by midcrustal sources and not only deep ones.

6.5 Resulting Gravity Model

Plate 2 shows the model obtained after the inversion. The main characteristic highlighted by this model is the fundamental difference between the two sides of the ridge axis. The crustal structure obtained on the east side is close to a simple three-layer crust. On the west side, the inverse model shows that the crustal architecture is more complex, with two positive density contrast massifs bordered by less dense material especially on the east side. Even if part of the crustal thickness difference between the two sides of the ridge has been removed with the regional signal, the model still shows that the mantle/crust interface is deeper or that the averaged density of the crust is lower on the east side than on the west side. The geometry of the crustal structure we obtain also shows that a low-density body is required to explain the short-wavelength negative Bouguer anomaly observed at the ridge axis.

7. Discussion and Geological Implications

7.1. Is There an Axial Magma Chamber?

The gravity data inversion we performed at the Snake Pit site (Plate 2) shows that a low-density zone, with a reduced density of around 300 kg/m$^3$, is located beneath the axial valley at a shallow depth; this low-density body can be attributed, at least partly, either to a magmatic body or to a hydrothermally altered fault zone. Although there is no general agreement on the possible density contrast associated with silicate melts relative to the residual solids (peridotites), values found in the literature range from 300 to 500 kg/m$^3$ [Niu and Batiza, 1991]. The gravity inverse solution revealed that a negative density contrast up to 300 kg/m$^3$, relative to the surrounding basalts, can explain the observed anomalies.

The magnitude of the low-density body modeled beneath the ridge axis is highly dependent on estimation of the regional trend due to the lithospheric cooling away from the axis. The thermal contribution probably contains more short wavelengths, associated with rapid initial thickening, close to the axis. The filter cutoff of 100 km that was used in the regional trend withdrawal is convenient but may be too large at the axis. The negative residual found in the axial valley may be thus partly explained by an inadequate estimation of the thermal cooling of the lithosphere. Aside from reservations about the actual density contrast, our data suggest the presence of a low-density body beneath the Snake Pit neovolcanic ridge. This low-density body could, at least in part, correspond to a crustal magma chamber. However, we think that the negative density required to account for the anomaly is too high to be only due to a magma chamber. Thus we think, in agreement with Luyendyck [1984] on the East Pacific Rise, that this negative density contrast instead corresponds to a fractured crust filled with heated seawater or to a combination of both effects.

7.2. Origin of Off-Axis Abyssal Hills

Structural analysis of off-axis hills in the MARK area [Durand et al., 1996] suggests a horst and graben organization in the tectonic expression. However, the detailed gravity study clearly points out that different off-axis hills may have distinct origins. Submersible observations conducted during the Gravinaute cruise show that the west flank of the hill explored during dives GRN 8 and GRN 9 (Figure 2) is at least in part magmatically built [Durand et al., 1996]; this massif is interpreted as a possible old neovolcanic ridge produced by a ridge axis jump. Such jumps have been documented close to the area [Schulz et al., 1988]. The gravity profile over this hill shows that the crust is neither thin nor thick beneath it. Considering the relatively fresh basalt lava flows deepening on both flanks of the hill [Durand et al., 1996] and referring to the gravity signature, we propose that this abyssal hill is inherited from an ancient neovolcanic ridge. The presence of past hydrothermal activity and a negative Bouguer anomaly on the seafloor at the top of Hydrosnake dive HS16, in the continuation of the studied elongated hill (Figure 2), further supports this interpretation.
Concerning the westernmost hill (dive GRN12), where both flanks show serpentinized peridotites [Durand et al., 1996], the positive gravity anomaly, thought to be related to the crustal thinning, is shifted at least 6 km to the west with respect to the hill summit. In this region, the ridge is far from being a two-dimensional structure, and interpretation should consider the three-dimensional features revealed by the surface gravity anomaly map and the structural analysis. Dive GRN 14, which explored the flank of this abyssal hill farther south, and its transition into the 23°15’N discontinuity off-axis trace, revealed that the main fault trends southeast, perpendicular to the dive tracks in this part of the profile. The downward continued anomaly profile (Figure 6) does not exhibit the same shift with regards to the seafloor observations, as on Pink Hill; we propose that this difference comes from an asymmetrical serpentinization, not only between the west and east flanks but also between the south and north flanks. The east flank of the ridge axis (dives GRN2 to GRN6) exhibits variations in the Bouguer anomaly of smaller amplitude than the west flank. Our data do not show a clear gravity signature associated with the three off-axis hills explored during the Gra- vinaute cruise (dives GRN2-4, GRN5, and GRN6).

7.3. Outcropping of Mantle Rocks

As evidenced by the good correlation between the positive gravity anomalies near the end of segments and the outcrops of ultramafic rocks, the outcropping mechanism is interpreted to be related to the 20-100 km segmentation of the ridge axis [Cannat et al., 1994; Tucholke and Lin, 1994; Cannat et al., 1995; Durand, 1996; Durand et al., 1996]. In addition, Tucholke and Lin [1994] and Escartin and Lin [1995] have documented that in most cases the positive anomaly is associated with the inside corner geometry of a fracture zone. The characterization of magma bodies at the ridge axis is still highly debated question. We cannot settle the debate: our inverse solution indicates the presence of a low-density body (\(\rho_0 \sim -300 \text{ kg/m}^3\)) beneath the Snake Pit neovolcanic ridge. This low-density body could result from a magma chamber, a highly hydrothermally fractured fault zone, or a combination of both.

One of the most striking feature observed in the seafloor and sea surface gravity field in the MARK area is the fundamental asymmetry across the axis, which is also seen in bathymetric and magnetic data. This asymmetry seems to be related to amagmatic periods of spreading and is accomplished, at least partly, through low-angle normal faulting (around 45° dip, according to the gravity direct and forward modeling). The Kane Fracture Zone and the inside/outside corner geometry may control the direction of fault dips and may be responsible for the strong asymmetry in the sense that faults deepen always toward the east.

Detailed constraints on the fine-scale crustal structure provided by seafloor gravity data, in conjunction with extensive sea surface data, have brought new insights on accretion mechanisms at a slow spreading ridge. Such results could not have been obtained from sea surface data alone, and thus our study shows the usefulness of collecting data close to the seafloor and comparing potential field values collected at different altitudes. The high cost of seafloor gravity data acquisition results in a small number of measurements, and the ability of the technique to observe high-frequency signals is limited by the spacing of the data collected. Future seafloor gravity experiments would benefit from a denser survey coverage, which could be obtained using a continuously sampling instrument operated close to the sea bottom.

8. Conclusion

The analysis of seafloor and sea surface gravity anomalies allows us to propose a model for the crustal structure in the MARK area, at the Snake Pit latitude. If the long-wavelength bathymetric features are solely related to the lithospheric structure (subsidence away from the axis or thickening of the crust beneath the east part of the rift valley associated with a dynamically supported deeper bathymetry), the shorter-wavelength features, such as the different small hills, are interpreted to reflect varied phenomena such as tectonic expression of the spreading or magmatic construction.

Geological and structural analyses provide constraints on the surface expression of deep mechanisms but do not fully explain the inner structure of the crust. The structural expression is not very different from one abyssal hill to another; however, the fine-scale gravity study shows that their origin and their significance may be quite different. Many models have been proposed to explain the formation of abyssal hills; two end-members are the tectonic model proposed, for example, by Macdonald and Atwater [1978] or Mutter and Karson [1992] and the magmatic construction model proposed by Vogt et al. [1969]. According to our interpretation, the MARK area exhibits at least one hill of each type: the two hills, where serpentinized peridotites are exposed, have been constructed through an asymmetric tectonic process (occurring probably on a crust with an already thin magmatic layer), and the hill where only basaltic rocks are exposed (dive GRN 8-9) has been, at least in part, magmatically built.

The characterization of magma bodies at the ridge axis is a still highly debated question. We cannot settle the debate: our inverse solution indicates the presence of a low-density body (\(\rho_0 \sim -300 \text{ kg/m}^3\)) beneath the Snake Pit neovolcanic ridge. This low-density body could result from a magma chamber, a highly hydrothermally fractured fault zone, or a combination of both.
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