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Reviewed by Julie Goldscheid*

Lisa A. Goodman and Deborah Epstein’s book, Listening to Battered Women: A Survivor-Centered Approach to Advocacy, Mental Health and Justice (“Listening to Battered Women”), makes an important and powerful contribution to the literature on domestic violence.¹ It addresses the vexing question of why battered women’s circumstances have not changed substantially in the last forty years through “a feminist perspective,” with an emphasis on “societal responses.”² The authors are respected and experienced advocates and academics who bring deep expertise from the mental health and legal professions.³ The text reflects that expertise with its focus on the response of advocates, the mental health system, and the justice system.⁴

The book adds to the literature in a number of important respects. It critiques system responses from the dual perspectives of the legal and mental health professions, two professions

---

¹ See LISA A GOODMAN & DEBORAH EPSTEIN, LISTENING TO BATTERED WOMEN: A SURVIVOR-CENTERED APPROACH TO ADVOCACY, MENTAL HEALTH, AND JUSTICE (2007).
² Id. at 2.
³ Lisa A. Goodman is an associate professor in the Department of Counseling, Developmental, and Educational Psychology at Boston College and coordinator of the Mental Health Counseling MA Program. Deborah Epstein is a professor of law at Georgetown University Law Center, director of the Domestic Violence Clinic, and associate dean for the Clinical Education and Public Interest & Community Service Programs. Id. (back cover).
⁴ See id. chs. 2-4.
with which domestic violence survivors often interact but which often address the issue of abuse on parallel, rather than coordinated, tracks.\(^5\) It traces the ways the advocacy community, mental health, and justice system responses to intimate partner violence have shifted over time and how those systems have grappled with the unintended consequences of well-intentioned reforms.\(^6\) The text describes the manner in which philosophical debates and shifting approaches translate into policies that affect survivors’ day to day experiences with the mental health and justice systems.\(^7\) Listening to Battered Women grounds its analysis in both the feminist roots of the domestic violence movement and the growing social science research literature, thus providing a valuable resource for readers.\(^8\) Perhaps most critically, it takes stock of the specific responses of these important systems through an explicitly feminist lens, thus documenting the social and political history of the United States’ responses to domestic violence as a social movement.\(^9\) As a text intended to be accessible to laypersons, it does an impressive job of describing nuanced issues from the fields of both psychology and law.\(^10\) With that foundation, it propounds recommendations that chart a progressive future in line with core guiding principles.\(^11\)

This review first summarizes the issues addressed and arguments discussed in Listening to Battered Women and then elaborates on a few of the book’s themes, focusing on the advocacy and justice system responses with which this author is most familiar. In particular, this review examines Goodman and Epstein’s focus on the social context of abuse.\(^12\) Given that emphasis, it is surprising that the book’s proposals center around accommodating women’s individual needs, rather than promoting social change.\(^13\) A fuller discussion of the societal barriers to progressive change, as well as strategies for preven-

5. See id. chs. 3-4.
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9. See id.
10. See id. chs. 3-4.
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12. See id. at 2.
13. See id. ch. 6.
tion and transformation, would complement the text and pave
the way toward a more comprehensive answer to the question of
why battering persists and why battered women’s circum-
stances haven’t substantially changed.

I. Summary

Listening to Battered Women presents a concise and cur-
rent review of the evolution of United States’ legal and policy
reforms that address domestic violence. It explores these re-
forms by focusing on three areas the authors identify as the
“most extensive and furthest developed”—the domestic violence
advocacy community, the mental health profession, and the jus-
tice system.14 For each of those areas, the book provides a com-
prehensive description of policy responses.15 The descriptions
pay particular attention to the manner in which policies have
shifted to better address the needs of those who were inade-
quately served by initial interventions.16 This analysis is writ-
ten from the perspective of two seasoned professionals who
bring an inside knowledge of the advocacy community, mental
health, and criminal justice responses.17

The book makes the case for re-emphasizing core feminist
tenets. Accordingly, the authors identify three principles as or-
organizing themes: centering women’s voices, recognizing the
important role of community, and focusing on those who are most
economically vulnerable.18 In each section, the authors critique
the ways legal and policy responses have shifted away from the
feminist and political values that drove initial reforms.19 In ef-
fect, the book chronicles the mainstreaming of a movement and
some of the perils and pitfalls of successful advocacy.

For example, Chapter 2, the chapter on the domestic vio-


14. Id. at 1.
15. See id. chs. 2-4.
16. See id.
17. See supra note 3.
18. See Goodman & Epstein, supra note 1, at 4-5, 89-90. I also have dis-
cussed why reincorporating victims’ voices is essential for progressive reform. See
Julie Goldscheid, Elusive Equality in Domestic and Sexual Violence Law Reform,
19. See Goodman & Epstein, supra note 1, chs. 2-4.
ship resulted in an eroded feminist orientation.\textsuperscript{20} It chronicles how that partnership marked successful advocacy and resulted in increased visibility and support for services.\textsuperscript{21} At the same time, those advances created pressure to separate from anti-poverty activists,\textsuperscript{22} to develop more traditional and professionalized services,\textsuperscript{23} and to narrow the range of services available to survivors.\textsuperscript{24} The discussion recognizes shifts within the movement that are not often acknowledged in mainstream public discussions but that have had a profound effect on survivors and service providers alike.\textsuperscript{25} By naming the shifts and acknowledging the trade-offs associated with the movement’s mainstreaming, the book lays the foundation for future initiatives that could revive the movement’s original commitment to honoring women’s voices and experiences and center the experience of abuse in its social context.

Chapter 3, which examines the mental health system’s response, traces the evolution of the movement from one dominated by lay advocates rooted in feminist empowerment

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{20} See id. at 36-47.
\item \textsuperscript{21} See id at 38.
\item \textsuperscript{22} Id. at 39-40.
\item \textsuperscript{23} Id. at 40-41.
\item \textsuperscript{24} See id. at 43-47.
\end{itemize}
concepts to an increasingly professionalized response by mental health professionals.\textsuperscript{26} Goodman and Epstein discuss the tension between those two approaches and, in so doing, document an important piece of feminist history.\textsuperscript{27} The chapter reviews the various theories mental health practitioners have applied in an effort to explain both the scope and frequency of partner violence and why many victims stay with their abusive partners, even after an assault.\textsuperscript{28} The chapter reviews mental health treatment approaches by describing the basic tenets of how feminist therapy applies to battering and by assessing the limitations of that approach.\textsuperscript{29}

The book completes its description of the mental health system response by identifying and discussing systemic limitations of mental health practice.\textsuperscript{30} The authors conclude that although psychological theorizing about battered women has made much progress from the victim-blaming theories that dominated in the 1960s, much work remains to be done to more fully integrate domestic violence into professional training programs, to eliminate pathologizing diagnoses as a condition for receipt of services, and to establish more realistic reimbursement criteria.\textsuperscript{31} The chapter calls for increased collaboration between lay advocates and mental health professionals, with a recognition that neither advocacy nor therapy alone can effectively address the issues.\textsuperscript{32}

Chapter 4, the chapter on the justice system’s response to the battered women’s movement, tracks the impact of advocacy efforts, beginning in the 1970s, which demanded that domestic violence be treated “like any other crime,” rather than as a private matter of personal relationships.\textsuperscript{33} This section describes the successful adoption of reforms, including mandatory arrest
laws and no-drop prosecution policies, civil protection order statutes, and expanded criminal justice system responses.34 These advocacy successes are critical and were not easily obtained.

The chapter then analyzes the new challenges created by each of these reforms. For example, it demonstrates how reforms such as mandatory arrest and no-drop prosecution strategies effectively silenced women’s voices.35 It describes how criminal justice responses are inadequate for immigrant women and women of color, who often are justifiably reluctant to call on the criminal justice system and are reasonably skeptical about its ability to produce beneficial results.36 The authors’ discussion of mandatory arrest laws is particularly useful due to its incorporation of recent studies reviewing and questioning the effectiveness of these laws as a means to reduce future violence.37

This chapter’s discussion of the civil justice system and the use of civil protective orders summarizes the debates over the utility of those orders and highlights the challenges that the use of protective orders pose for women.38 The chapter describes the trend toward developing “coordinated community responses,”39 which have been found to be among the most successful of recent interventions.40 Nevertheless, as with all policy interventions, coordinated responses themselves have their limitations, which this chapter ably describes.41 In conclusion, the authors call for increased responsiveness to meet a woman’s individual needs.42

Next, in Chapter 5, the authors critique those system responses through three feminist themes: centering battered women’s individual voices, recognizing relationships, and

34. See id. at 71-74, 78-85.
35. Id. at 75.
36. Id. at 77-78.
37. See id. at 75-78.
38. See id. at 78-82.
39. Id. at 82.
40. See id. at 82-85.
41. See id. at 85-87. These limitations are “the relative subordination of battered women’s advocates and the absence of attention to women’s individual stories and needs.” Id. at 85.
42. Id. at 87.
enhancing economic empowerment. These analyses tellingly describe current challenges. The authors explain, for example, how policy forces, such as the dominance of civil protection orders, and funder preferences for professional staff and observable predetermined outcomes, reduce social service programs’ capacity to effectively serve women who do not fit prescribed models of victim behavior. They argue that future reforms should expand the range of survivors’ choices and autonomy.

The authors also discuss how domestic violence service providers’ focus on having a victim leave the perpetrator runs counter to feminist theorists’ emphasis on the importance of relationships and communities in human development and growth. The text explains how the protective order system effectively assumes that all survivors wish, or should wish, to leave their abusive relationships and describes the increased challenges for women who do not so conform. The system’s focus on leaving also runs counter to the core feminist principle of individual self-determination and privileges civil court judges’ assessment of what will best serve a woman’s interests over her own view. The current focus on leaving is inconsistent with many women’s stated goals and desires and penalizes the victim, while often leaving the perpetrator comfortable in his community.

The book’s focus on economic empowerment emphasizes the ways abuse both causes and perpetuates women’s poverty. This discussion recounts the ramifications of abuse for women on welfare and explains the correlation between battering and homelessness. Thus, Goodman and Epstein call for responses

43. See id. at 89-90.
44. See id. at 90-95.
45. See id. at 95.
46. See id. at 95-105.
47. See id. at 91-93, 96-99. For a discussion of the limitations of civil protection orders, particularly given that many women in abusive relationships do not want to separate from their abusers, see Sally F. Goldfarb, Reconceiving Civil Protection Orders for Domestic Violence: Can Law Help End the Abuse Without Ending the Relationship?, 29 CARDOZO L. REV. 1487 (2008).
48. See GOODMAN & EPTSTEIN, supra note 1, at 93-94.
49. See id. at 92, 93.
50. See id. at 105-09.
51. Id. at 105, 107.
that make economic empowerment a central part of the battered women's movement's mandate.52

Chapter 6, the final chapter, enumerates the authors' recommendations for the future.53 The authors group their recommendations according to the three feminist themes that connect the chapters.54 Accordingly, the first set of recommendations urges the advocacy community, mental health, and justice systems to prioritize women's accounts of their experiences with abuse.55 These recommendations encourage funders and policymakers to recognize the wide range of survivors' backgrounds and experiences and to adopt broader, more inclusive evaluation measures.56 Goodman and Epstein argue that advocates should be able to support women's individual choices, even if those goals are in tension with those of their agencies.57

Recommendations for the mental health system advocate an expanded view of the impact of abuse so that service providers would more fully consider the wide spectrum of challenges survivors face, managed care standards would take into account the range of services for which survivors need treatment, and evaluation criteria would reflect a more realistic and comprehensive understanding of survivors' experiences.58

Justice system recommendations focus on the need to give police and prosecutors greater flexibility when deciding, in collaboration with survivors, whether to arrest and prosecute a batterer.59 The authors advocate increased communication between prosecutors and survivors so that prosecutors have complete and accurate information about survivors' abuse history.60 In addition, they recommend an enhanced role for lay advocates and suggest increased research on the impact of advocates' interventions.61

52. See id. at 109.
53. Id. at 111.
54. See supra note 18 and accompanying text.
55. See GOODMAN & EPSTEIN, supra note 1, at 112.
56. Id. at 112-14.
57. Id. at 114.
58. Id.
59. Id. at 118.
60. Id. at 119.
61. See id. at 120.
The next set of recommendations aims to restore and support survivors’ relationships with their communities. These recommendations are particularly important because they challenge traditional assumptions within advocacy and service provider communities about best practices. For example, the authors question the conventional wisdom that shelter locations be kept absolutely confidential. They also challenge policies that require victims to leave their communities in order to obtain shelter, which are couched in a concern for victim safety but instead may deter women from seeking services. They advocate peer support groups and increased collaboration with community leaders as alternative ways to sustain communities.

The authors further recommend that the mental health system support community networks by expanding non-traditional approaches to services, such as hosting informal discussions about domestic violence through local businesses. Recommendations for the justice system urge an overhaul of policies that reflexively encourage battered women to leave their abusive partners. Additionally, the authors support consideration of how alternative approaches, such as restorative justice, could be implemented without ignoring the dynamics of abuse and pathologizing and potentially endangering survivors.

Recommendations for increasing opportunities for economic empowerment range from highly targeted interventions to broad economic and employment policies. Goodman and Epstein support expanded programs that would provide short term financial assistance, such as immediate financial relief and bridge funds from lenders. The recommendations include workplace flexibility policies that would allow survivors to

62. Id.
63. See id. at 120-21.
64. Id. at 121-22.
65. See id.
66. Id. at 122-23.
67. Id. at 123-24.
68. Id. at 124.
69. See id. at 124-27.
70. See id. at 127-34.
71. Id. at 129.
maintain their jobs while managing the myriad arrangements and appointments survivors must juggle, including court proceedings and housing and child care arrangements. The recommendations advocate increased awareness and responsiveness by employers and landlords, as well as programs that assist with credit issues, increase relief for those on public assistance, and make child support available without exposing a survivor or her children to further violence. The authors encourage mental health professionals to stretch beyond their traditional role and address survivors’ practical and financial needs, even if doing so requires collaboration with lay advocates. The recommendations end with suggestions for ways the justice system might facilitate survivors’ access to financial assistance, including, for example, crime victims’ compensation funds and other economic assistance programs.

These recommendations reflect comprehensive, concrete, and practical approaches that would enable each system to be more responsive to victims’ individual needs, improve community ties, and increase economic security. The next section of this review picks up on the authors’ focus on social context. It argues that a fuller discussion of the question of why battering continues would include an analysis of the ways societal factors, such as enduring but outdated stereotypes, perpetuate abuse. This discussion offers additional examples of the importance of economics and argues that dialogue about the difficult and often emotionally laden differences in opinion about policies and interventions may be key to future reform.

II. Social Context and Social Change

One of the book’s themes is framing domestic violence in its social context rather than through the lens of individual psychopathology that has traditionally shaped responses to the problem. The text builds on foundational works, such as those by

72. Id.
73. See id. at 130-32.
74. Id. at 133.
75. Id. at 134.
76. See id. at 2.
77. See id.
Susan Schechter,78 Elizabeth Schneider,79 and Kimberlé Crenshaw,80 by reminding readers of the feminist theory driving the movement that began in the 1970s and describing both the broad arch of the movement and the specific reforms the movement has produced.81 Listening to Battered Women is informed by the important critiques challenging the white, middle class, heterosexual perspectives that have limited the reach of reform efforts.82 As the authors remind readers, intimate partner violence is a problem of society, not of individual psychopathology.83 To support their argument that domestic violence should be prioritized as a social issue, the authors provide a valuable compilation of studies and anecdotes that address recurring debates, such as whether women are predominantly the victims of domestic violence (or whether it is a gender-neutral phenomenon),84 what terminology should be used to describe the problem,85 the statistical disputes over the prevalence of the problem,86 and the impact of intimate partner violence on women’s health, work opportunities, families, and communities.87 This discussion offers a particularly useful resource for readers and paints a compelling picture of the depth and breadth of the impact of domestic violence.

The authors’ emphasis on social context is key to an accurate and comprehensive approach to domestic violence. Internationally, sources, including human rights treaties, frame domestic violence as a form of sex discrimination, inextricably linked to a history of patriarchy and sanctioned male violence.

---

78. SUSAN SCHECHTER, WOMEN AND MALE VIOLENCE 235-38, 267-81 (1982).
81. See GOODMAN & EPSTEIN, supra note 1, at 31-47.
82. See id. at 46. See also COLOR OF VIOLENCE (Incite! Women of Color Against Violence ed., 2006); DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AT THE MARGINS, supra note 25, pt. I (presenting several articles that address the movement’s limitations based on race, class, sexual orientation, gender, immigration status, and poverty); Jenny Rivera, Domestic Violence Against Latinas by Latino Males: An Analysis of Race, National Origin, and Gender Differentials, 14 B.C. THIRD WORLD L.J. 231 (1994).
83. See GOODMAN & EPSTEIN, supra note 1, at 2.
84. Id. at 8-12.
85. Id. at 12-14.
86. Id. at 14.
87. Id. at 17.
directed towards women. Yet, the United States’ public dialogue about intimate partner violence is increasingly framed in a politically neutral manner and, as the authors point out, focuses on individual and psychological, rather than social, factors.

The authors maintain “that intimate partner violence is rooted in . . . gender-based power hierarchies and the societal norms and institutions that support and reinforce women’s subordination.”

Given this focus on social context, it is surprising that the book does not elaborate on current dynamics that perpetuate the social conditions that foster abuse. For example, despite common perceptions that we are in a post-feminist society and that domestic violence now is widely and sufficiently addressed, examples of victim blaming and stereotyping of survivors persist. A discussion of the persistence of those stereotypes and the gender subordination associated with abuse could support efforts to destabilize these root causes of abuse.

An analysis of the social issues surrounding intimate partner violence could include examples of ways that law enforcement authorities continue to diminish the severity and impact of sexual violence and denigrate, rather than support, victims. Two recent examples illustrate the continued role law enforcement officials play in perpetuating stereotypes and blaming the victim. In one case, a judge in Spokane, Washington denied a

88. See, e.g., Declaration on the Elimination of Violence Against Women, G.A. Res. 104, U.N. GAOR, 48th Sess., U.N. Doc. 1/49/104 (1993) (“[V]iolence against women is an obstacle to the achievement of equality . . . constitutes a violation of the rights and fundamental freedoms of women . . . [and] is a manifestation of historically unequal power relations between men and women, which have led to domination over and discrimination against women by men . . . .”); Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, Dec. 18, 1979, 1249 U.N.T.S. 13 (“[D]iscrimination against women violates the principles of equality of rights and respect for human dignity, is an obstacle to the participation of women, on equal terms with men, in the political, social, economic and cultural life of their countries, hampers the growth of the prosperity of society and the family and makes more difficult the full development of the potentialities of women in the service of their countries and of humanity . . . .”).

89. See GOODMAN & EPSTEIN, supra note 1, at 2.
90. Id.
woman’s application to divorce her husband after he was jailed for beating her because she was pregnant.\(^{92}\) Apparently, it was more important to the judge that the child “not be illegitimized” than that the woman and her child be allowed to sever a relationship with an abusive partner.\(^{93}\) Another case illustrates law enforcement’s insensitivity to the ramifications of sexual assault. There, police jailed, instead of assisted, a twenty-one year old woman who came to the police to report being raped.\(^{94}\) The authorities based their actions on an accusation that she had failed to pay restitution for a theft arrest that had occurred four years earlier.\(^{95}\) To compound the problem, a jail worker refused to give her a second dose of a morning-after pill to prevent pregnancy, citing his religious convictions.\(^{96}\) These are just two examples that illustrate the persistent, victim-blaming attitudes that deter women from seeking help and that limit the redress available for those who do seek assistance.

Another facet of the social context of abuse is the debate over the role of gender. Differing views of the role gender plays in intimate partner violence inform practitioners’ and policymakers’ understandings of intimate partner violence and the solutions they craft to end it. On the one hand, many researchers, scholars, and advocates, including the authors of *Listening to Battered Women*, view intimate partner violence as inherently gendered, reflecting a patriarchal society in which men use violence and abuse to maintain power and control over female victims and in which system responses, including those of the justice system and social services, reflect and perpetuate gender bias.\(^{97}\) At the other extreme, fathers’ rights groups


\(^{93}\) Id.


\(^{95}\) Id.

\(^{96}\) Id.

claim that men and women are equally represented as victims and perpetrators and that legislative and policy responses focusing on services for women unfairly and illegally discriminate against men.98

Researchers increasingly distinguish among different types of abuse, ranging from the chronic and systematic battering referenced by anti-domestic violence advocates, to episodic situational self-defense or separation-related abuse, which occur on less clearly gendered lines.99 This emerging and nuanced view accommodates both a recognition that not all abuse falls along gender lines and that gender plays a dominant role in many, if not most, instances of the abuse that services are designed to target.100 Nevertheless, there is great resistance in the advocacy community to acknowledging that not all intimate partner violence tracks a traditional feminist analysis.101 This debate polarizes advocates and complicates efforts to situate gender in the social context of abuse.102 In a related vein, although the authors acknowledge the complex effects that race, culture, and class have on the experience of abuse,103 their analysis does not detail the continued challenges of providing culturally sensitive


100. See, e.g., Salem & Dunford-Jackson, supra note 97, at 446.

101. See id. See also supra note 98 and accompanying text.

102. See Salem & Dunford-Jackson, supra note 97, at 447.

103. See GOODMAN & EPSTEIN, supra note 1, at 3, 91-92.
services.104 A discussion of those complexities would add to the analysis and could lay the groundwork for improved services going forward.

The authors’ concern with the social context of abuse could be explicitly tied to their argument about the centrality of women’s voices.105 A number of survivor-driven, innovative grassroots advocacy initiatives draw on women’s experiences of abuse in devising social change and advocacy strategies.106 By addressing the role of gender and other sources of subordination that define and shape women’s experiences of abuse, such as race, class, sexual orientation, and immigration status, those initiatives can lay a broad foundation for future reforms.

III. Women’s Voices and Difficult Conversations

The authors’ commitment to basing policy and programmatic responses on women’s voices and experiences serves as a valuable reminder to those engaged in domestic violence policy reform.107 Their descriptions of the ways in which uniform policy approaches trump women’s individual needs bring these nuances of policy implementation to light and underscore the dangers inherent in mainstream programmatic responses.108 In addition, the authors’ recommendations for increased flexibility in the range of approaches available to advocates, mental health professionals, and justice system employees would certainly increase effective service provision to individual women.109

105. See supra note 18 and accompanying text.
106. See, e.g., Color of Violence, supra note 82, pt. III (collecting articles describing a range of organizing approaches and efforts); Domestic Violence at the Margins, supra note 25, pt. III (collecting articles proposing alternative approaches for organizing and social change). See also Communities Against Rape and Abuse, http://www.cara-seattle.org/programs.html (last visited Apr. 6, 2009) (addressing rape and abuse by building social change movement); CONNECT, http://www.connectnyc.org/ (last visited Apr. 6, 2009) (employing community empowerment model to domestic violence); Voices of Women Organizing Project (VOW), http://www.vowbwrc.org/ (last visited Apr. 6, 2009) (addressing domestic violence through empowering women’s voices).
107. See supra notes 55-61 and accompanying text.
108. See supra notes 20-52 and accompanying text.
109. See supra notes 53-75 and accompanying text.
Although the authors may have chosen to focus on possibilities rather than barriers, a discussion of the challenges to fully integrating women’s voices would paint a fuller picture of the opportunities for progressive reform. The authors correctly challenge funders and policymakers to support advocates’ ability to provide services to women regardless of whether they comport with traditional notions of victim behavior. Other recommendations encourage more broadly conceived approaches by domestic violence programs and advocates, mental health practitioners, and justice system personnel. These recommendations are important and significant.

However, in some cases, survivors’ voices are in tension with the views of advocates. For instance, survivors may not share advocates’ political analysis of the dynamics of abuse. They also may not agree with advocates’ or service providers’ suggestions that they should leave the batterer. Other tensions, either between the views of survivors and advocates or among advocates and services providers, are rarely addressed directly. Future reform efforts would benefit from difficult conversations about those differences.

For example, a recent conference convened by the Association of Family and Conciliation Courts and the Family Violence Department of the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges (the “Wingspread Conference”) aimed to address some of these differences through a multidisciplinary discussion of challenges professionals face in assessing and adjudicating domestic violence cases in the family court system. The conference was notable for exposing the tensions that create impediments to change and for attempting to facilitate constructive conversations.

110. See Goodman & Epstein, supra note 1, at 113.
111. See supra notes 53-75 and accompanying text.
112. See, e.g., Laura Nichols & Kathryn M. Feltey, “The Woman is Not Always the Bad Guy”: Dominant Discourse and Resistance in the Lives of Battered Women, 9 Violence against Women 784 (2003) (surveying women living in a battered women’s shelter and finding that most, especially the women of color, defined domestic violence in terms of inadequate services and a complexity of political issues, rather than as a problem of gender).
113. See supra notes 46-49 and accompanying text.
114. The conference was the subject of a special issue of the Family Court Review. See 46 Fam. Ct. Rev. 431 (2008).
dialogue and foster solutions.115 The resulting papers reflect a refreshingly honest, though admittedly difficult dialogue, and the tensions discussed will be familiar to those involved in domestic violence service provisions or advocacy.116 According to Peter Salem and Billie Lee Dunford-Jackson, the differences reflect underlying barriers to successful collaboration including: “(1) a complex entanglement of ideology, identity, livelihood and turf; (2) differences in defining domestic violence including gender issues; (3) an [sic] historic lack of trust; and (4) resistance to moving ahead.”117 Those authors concluded that “[i]f we are to overcome these challenges, we must first acknowledge them together.”118

Those underlying tensions account for at least some of the difficulties in achieving productive reform. For example, the debate over the definition of domestic violence informs program structure and what it means to address the social context of abuse.119 As participants in the Wingspread Conference acknowledged, recent research differentiating among various types of intimate partner violence challenges closely held ideological views of domestic violence as a form of gender discrimination.120 As mentioned above, some advocates have resisted a nuanced conception that recognizes that some domestic violence may not be gender driven.121 They fear both a diffusion of the political message about the role of gender in intimate partner


116. Olson and Ver Steegh identified the tensions as:

[H]ow to differentiate among families who experience domestic violence[,] . . . effective screening of families who enter the court system and consideration of how to accomplish appropriate triage and assessment of cases involving or potentially involving domestic violence . . . [,] whether to include, modify, or exclude families who have experienced domestic violence from various court processes and social services . . . [,] assuring appropriate outcomes for children . . . that appropriately balance safety and access . . . .[and] the increasing demands made on the family court during a time of declining resources.

Id. at 435-36.

117. Salem & Dunford-Jackson, supra note 97, at 444.

118. See id.

119. See id. at 444-47.

120. See id. at 444-45.

121. See supra notes 98, 101-02 and accompanying text.
abuse and the possibility that recognizing men as victims will jeopardize funding and resources currently directed to women.\textsuperscript{122} Yet, a failure to collaborate across different ideological approaches will jeopardize the effectiveness of policies and programs in the future.

Another issue in which emotionally-laden ideological differences thwart productive collaboration is the debate over the value of restorative justice approaches for domestic violence survivors. Goodman and Epstein discuss the importance of developing viable alternatives to the criminal justice system.\textsuperscript{123} At the same time, they acknowledge advocates’ “deep reservations” about applying these models to domestic violence cases.\textsuperscript{124} The authors state that most of advocates’ resistance is based on the fact that the perpetrator is an active participant in most restorative justice models.\textsuperscript{125} They seem to resolve this tension by recommending a “family group conferencing model” in which batterers’ participation is optional.\textsuperscript{126} The authors may be correct that the approach they recommend adequately addresses advocates’ concerns. Nevertheless, the book’s description does not capture the depth of advocates’ resistance, the difficulty of engaging in discussion of the utility of restorative justice models,\textsuperscript{127} or the polarization surrounding related alternative ap-

\textsuperscript{122} See id. at 445.
\textsuperscript{123} See GOODMAN & EPESTIN, supra note 1, at 124-27.
\textsuperscript{124} Id. at 125.
\textsuperscript{125} Id.
\textsuperscript{126} Id. at 126.
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proaches. The Wingspread approach suggests that careful, constructive dialogue that acknowledges the depth of emotion these issues trigger could productively help move the debate forward.

IV. Economic Empowerment and the Hidden Coercion of Public Policy

The authors’ emphasis on the importance of economic empowerment reflects a critical shift from the traditional focus on criminal justice interventions. The text makes important contributions by explaining the need for short and long term assistance and by discussing the possibilities for meaningful intervention in the context of employment and housing, as well as in credit practices and public assistance. The discussion correctly identifies many of the work-related initiatives that help survivors maintain employment in the face of abuse. Readers may also be interested in the recent activity in state legislatures and the pending federal legislative initiatives that address these concerns.

The text identifies the availability of crime victim compensation funds as one of several short term resources for survivors but dismisses the impact of those and other public assistance

128. For discussion of the analogous tensions surrounding the use of mediation with domestic violence survivors, see Olson & Ver Steegh, supra note 115, at 434; and Salem & Dunford-Jackson, supra note 97, at 444-45.
129. See supra notes 114-22 and accompanying text.
130. For additional discussion of this shift, see Cahn, supra note 25; Coker, Crime Control, supra note 25; Richie, supra note 25; and Sack, supra note 25.
131. See GOODMAN & EPSTEIN, supra note 1, at 127-34.
132. See id. at 129-30.
funds due to the volume of victims who avail themselves of the courts.\textsuperscript{135} Although the authors are correct that victims often are not informed of the availability of victim compensation and public assistance resources and that stronger connections are needed to address the links between violence and poverty,\textsuperscript{136} victim compensation funds can be more widely tapped as a resource for victims.\textsuperscript{137} Unlike public assistance, crime victim compensation funds are financed primarily, if not exclusively, by defendants’ fines and fees and therefore do not rely on public tax dollars.\textsuperscript{138} The funds could reach more survivors if resources were devoted to publicizing and facilitating applications and processing.\textsuperscript{139}

Additional examples bolster the authors’ concern with the economic impact of abuse. Nuanced dynamics reflect both the paradoxical effects of policy advances and the economic challenges victims face. For example, some states, such as New York, base shelter funding on public assistance grants, thus expanding the availability of shelter beds.\textsuperscript{140} However, in order to be eligible to stay at a shelter, a survivor either must apply for public assistance or, if ineligible, must pay for all or part of the shelter stay.\textsuperscript{141} This has the effect of discouraging women who seek shelter from working, since they then would have to pay the shelter for their stay. And it discourages shelters from accepting working women, since the shelter would then be put in the awkward position of being its client’s creditor.

This example can be seen as another way that bureaucratization limits flexibility and reduces the effectiveness of services. It also illustrates the more nuanced and often hidden ramifications of well-intentioned policies that have the effect of thwarting survivors’ safety and economic independence. The system should acknowledge the importance of women’s economic empowerment so that women in need of domestic violence shelter services can put all of their financial resources
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towards moving themselves and their families to independence and safety.

V. Conclusion

In sum, Listening to Battered Women adds an important account to the literature on domestic violence by combining theory and practice and by reconnecting current policies and practices with the domestic violence movement’s feminist roots. Its tripartite focus on reincorporating women’s voices, on recognizing the importance of community, and on the centrality of economic empowerment highlights valuable guideposts for future reform.142 A renewed focus on changing attitudes and stereotypes that create the social context in which abuse continues would complement the authors’ recommendations for reform in the particular contexts of the advocacy community, mental health, and justice systems.143 Overall, the book describes a movement at a transitional point, in which much progress has been made. Yet, advocates and reformers must make conscious efforts to retain the benefits of its initial feminist principles. Listening to Battered Women offers a foundation for renewed and informed movement forward.

142. See GOODMAN & EPSTEIN, supra note 1, at 4-5.
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