## NOTE

## ON THE DETERMINATION OF PERIODIC FUNCTIONS BY MEANS OF THEIR INITIAL values

## 1. Purpose of this Note

Consider a trigonometric series containing (for greater simplicity) only cosine terms,

$$
\Sigma a_{n} \cos n x .
$$

We know, by what has preceded, that if the coefficients $a_{n}$ satisfy a law of decrease which is sufficiently rapid, the sum of the series is an analytic function of $x$. Suppose that we assign a priori the initial values $C_{0}, C_{2}, C_{4}, \ldots$ of a function $f(x)$ and its successive even derivatives for $x=0$; it will in general be impossible to satisfy these conditions with a periodic function whose Fourier coefficients decrease rapidly enough to make it analytic. In fact, an analytic function is defined at once in terms of the initial values by means of its Taylor development, and this power series represents a periodic function only exceptionally.

On the other hand, if we do not assign a special law of decrease for the Fourier coefficients, it is always possible to construct a periodic function which, for $x=0$, takes on, with its successive derivatives of even order, the sequence of given values $C_{0}, C_{2}, \ldots$, whatever they may be.

It is our plan to prove this statement, and to outline a method of constructing such a function. We shall add some remarks which relate naturally to this question.
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## 2. Theorem $I$

Whatever initial values $C_{0}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{2 n}, \ldots$ are given, it is always possible to form an even periodic function $f(x)$, such that the differences

$$
f^{(2 n)}(0)-C_{2 n} \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots)
$$

are bounded for all $n$.
Let $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots m_{n}, \ldots$ be an increasing sequence of integers and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{n}, \ldots$ an arbitrary sequence of coefficients. We wish to determine them one after another. We write

$$
f(x)=C_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \frac{\cos m_{n} x}{m_{n}^{2 n-1}} .
$$

From this, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -f^{\prime \prime}(0)=m_{1} a_{1}+\frac{a_{2}}{m_{2}}+\frac{a_{3}}{m_{3}{ }^{3}}+\ldots \\
& f^{\mathrm{sv}(0)=m_{1}{ }^{3} a_{1}+m_{2} a_{2}+\frac{a_{3}}{m_{3}}+\ldots}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so on.
Let us form the system of successive equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-C_{2} & =m_{1} a_{1} \\
C_{4} & =m_{1}{ }^{3} a_{1}+m_{2} a_{2} \\
-C_{6} & =m_{1}{ }^{5} a_{1}+m_{2}{ }^{3} a_{2}+m_{3} a_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

and so forth. This is a recurrent system which determines successively the products $m_{1} a_{1}, m_{2} a_{2}, \ldots m_{n} a_{n}, \ldots$. When these products are known it is obvious that we can always take for the first factors $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots m_{n}, \ldots$ an increasing sequence of integers, and moreover one that increases fast enough so that the second factors $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{n}, \ldots$ are all contained between -1 and +1 . The function $f(x)$ is then completely determined by the above trigonometric series, and it is evident that this series satisfies the conditions of the theorem.
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Not only are the differences $f^{(2 n)}(0)-C_{2 n}$ bounded for all $n$, but in our case they also approach 0 as $n$ becomes infinite.

The preceding theorem reduces the determination of a function which is even and periodic and with its successive derivatives takes on a sequence of given initial values to the same problem where the initial values $C_{0}, C_{2}, \ldots$, $C_{2 n}, \ldots$ are bounded. This problem will be solved in the proof of the following theorem.

## 3. Theorem II

Let $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots m_{n}, \ldots$ be a sequence of positive integers, increasing rapidly enough so that for all $n$,

$$
\frac{m_{n+1}}{m_{n}}>\lambda>1
$$

where $\lambda$ is a fixed positive number. Let then $C_{0}, C_{2}, \ldots$, $C_{2 k}, \ldots$ be a sequence of bounded numbers. It is always possible to determine the coefficients of the trigonometric series $f(x)=\alpha_{1} \cos m_{1} x+\alpha_{2} \cos m_{2} x+\ldots+\alpha_{n} \cos m_{n} x+\ldots$ in such a way that this series and all its derivatives will converge, and the sum function and its derivatives of even order will take on respectively the given values $C_{0}, C_{2}, \ldots$ for $x=0$.

We determine first $n$ coefficients $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{n}$, by the system of $n$ equations
(1) $\quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}C_{0}=a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{n} \\ -C_{2}=m_{1}{ }^{2} a_{1}+m_{2}{ }^{2} a_{2}+\ldots+m_{n}{ }^{2} a_{n} \\ \pm C_{2 n-2}=m_{1}{ }^{2 n-2} a_{1}+m_{2}^{2 n-2} a_{2}+\ldots+m_{n}^{2 n-2} a_{n}\end{array}\right.$ and write

$$
f_{n}(x)=a_{1} \cos m_{1} x+a_{2} \cos m_{2} x+\ldots+a_{n} \cos m_{n} x
$$

Evidently, it suffices to show that as $n$ becomes infinite, $f_{n}(x)$ approaches a limit function $f(x)$ which satisfies the theorem. For this purpose, we shall show that $a_{1}, a_{2}$,
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$a_{3}, \ldots$ approach determinate limits $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots$ respectively, and are contained respectively within limits (whatever the value of $n$ ) which insure the convergence of the sum (which changes with $n$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} \cos m_{1} x+a_{2} \cos m_{2} x+\ldots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its successive derivatives.
We solve first the system (1). Its determinant $\Delta$ is a Vandermonde determinant which may be resolved into a product of factors

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta= & \left|\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\
m_{1}^{2} & m_{2}^{2} & \ldots & m_{n}^{2} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
m_{1}^{2 n-2} & m_{2}^{2 n-2} & \ldots & m_{n}^{2 n-2}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\left(m_{2}^{2}-m_{1}^{2}\right)\left(m_{3}^{2}-m_{1}^{2}\right) \ldots\left(m_{n}^{2}-m_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& \left(m_{3}^{2}-m_{2}^{2}\right) \ldots\left(m_{n}^{2}-m_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left(m_{n}^{2}-m_{n-1}^{2}\right)
$$

Denote by $\Delta_{1}(z)$ the polynomial in $z$ obtained by replacing the letter $m_{1}$ in $\Delta$ by $z$; we shall have

$$
\frac{\Delta_{1}(z)}{\Delta}=\frac{\left(m_{2}^{2}-z^{2}\right)\left(m_{3}^{2}-z^{2}\right) \ldots\left(m_{n}^{2}-z^{2}\right)}{\left(m_{2}^{2}-m_{1}^{2}\right)\left(m_{3}^{2}-m_{1}^{2}\right) \ldots\left(m_{n}^{2}-m_{1}^{2}\right)} .
$$

According to the theory of equations, the value of the unknown $a_{1}$ is equal to a fraction of which the denominator is the determinant $\Delta$ and the numerator is obtained by replacing in this determinant the elements of the first column $1, m_{1}^{2}, \ldots$ by $C_{0},-C_{2}, \ldots$ Evidently we arrive at the same result by ordering the numerator of the fraction written above according to powers of $z^{2}$ and replacing $z^{0}$, $z^{2}, \ldots z^{2 n-2}$ by $C_{0},-C_{2}, \ldots, \pm C_{2 n-2}$ respectively. The result of this substitution may be given explicitly with the help of a complex definite integral. This will now be shown.

Let $M$ be a positive quantity greater than the absolute
values of all the quantities $C_{2 k}$. We describe a circle ( $C$ ) of radius $M$ around the origin of the $z$ 's. Outside and on the boundary of this circle we may define

$$
\psi(z)=\frac{C_{0}}{z}-\frac{C_{2}}{z^{3}}+\frac{C_{4}}{z^{5}}-\ldots,
$$

since this series is convergent in that region. If now we integrate along the circle ( $C$ ) we have (according to the theory of residues)

$$
a_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c} \frac{\Delta_{1}(z)}{\Delta} \psi(z) d z .
$$

In order to study this expression and its analogs, we write

$$
\phi_{n}(z)=\left(1-\frac{z}{m_{1}^{2}}\right)\left(1-\frac{z}{m_{2}^{2}}\right) \ldots\left(1-\frac{z}{m_{n}^{2}}\right)
$$

whence we have, without difficulty, $\phi^{\prime}$ being the derivative of $\phi$,

$$
\frac{\Delta_{1}(z)}{\Delta}=\frac{\phi_{n}\left(z^{2}\right)}{\left(z^{2}-m_{1}^{2}\right) \phi_{n}^{\prime}\left(m_{1}^{2}\right)},
$$

and accordingly

$$
a_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c} \frac{\phi_{n}\left(z^{2}\right) \psi(z) d z}{\left(z^{2}-m_{1}^{2}\right) \phi_{n}^{\prime}\left(m_{1}^{2}\right)} .
$$

The corresponding values of $a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots$ are obtained by a simple permutation of the letters. Permuting $m_{1}$ and $m_{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c} \frac{\phi_{n}\left(z^{2}\right) \psi(z) d z}{\left(z^{2}-m_{k}^{2}\right) \phi_{n}{ }^{\prime}\left(m_{k}^{2}\right)} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The denominators, only, depend on $k$.
As $n$ grows indefinitely great, the product denoted by $\phi_{n}\left(z^{2}\right)$ acquires new factors and is developed as a product with an infinite number of factors, which we may denote by $\phi(z)$,

$$
\phi(z)=\left(1-\frac{z}{m_{1}^{2}}\right)\left(1-\frac{z}{m_{2}^{2}}\right) \ldots .
$$
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Since this product converges, $a_{k}$ approaches a definite limit $\alpha_{k}$ which is expressed by the integral

$$
\alpha_{k}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c} \frac{\phi\left(z^{2}\right) \psi(z) d z}{\left(z^{2}-m_{k}^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime}\left(m_{k}^{2}\right)} .
$$

In order to complete the demonstration it remains to show that as $n$ varies the sum (2) and its derivatives are uniformly convergent. For this purpose we shall seek an upper bound of the $\left|a_{k}\right|$, which, when put in place of $a_{k}$ in this sum, will insure the absolute convergence of this sum (extended to infinity) and its successive derivatives.

We return to the expression (3) for the $a_{k}$. In order to obtain an upper bound for this expression we must look for a lower bound of the absolute value of its denominator, or (what amounts to the same thing) of

$$
m_{k}^{2} \phi_{n}^{\prime}\left(m_{k}^{2}\right)
$$

This expression, like $\phi\left(z^{2}\right)$, is a product of factors. We divide them into two groups. The first group, formed from the first $k-1$ factors, has the form

$$
\left(\frac{m_{k}^{2}}{m_{1}^{2}}-1\right)\left(\frac{m_{k}^{2}}{m_{2}^{2}}-1\right) \ldots\left(\frac{m_{k}^{2}}{m_{k-1}{ }^{2}}-1\right) .
$$

It can be put in the form

$$
\left(\frac{m_{k}^{k-1}}{m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{k-1}}\right)^{2}\left(1-\frac{m_{k-1}^{2}}{m_{k}^{2}}\right)\left(1-\frac{m_{k-2}^{2}}{m_{k}^{2}}\right) \ldots,
$$

and consequently exceeds in value the expression

$$
\left(\frac{m_{k}^{k-1}}{m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{k-1}}\right)^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda^{4}}\right) \ldots
$$

The second group, made up of the remaining factors, has the form

$$
\left(1-\frac{m_{k}^{2}}{m_{k+1}^{2}}\right)\left(1-\frac{m_{k}^{2}}{m_{k+2}^{2}}\right) \ldots\left(1-\frac{m_{k}^{2}}{m_{n}^{2}}\right),
$$

and exceeds the definite quantity defined by the convergent infinite product

$$
\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda^{4}}\right) \ldots
$$

From this it follows that we can assign a constant $h$, and then a second constant $h^{\prime}$ (independent of $k$ and $n$ ) so that we have

$$
\left|m_{k}{ }^{2} \phi_{n}{ }^{\prime}\left(m_{k}{ }^{2}\right)\right|>h\left(\frac{m_{k}^{k-1}}{m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{k-1}}\right)^{2}
$$

and consequently

$$
\left|a_{k}\right|<h^{\prime}\left(\frac{m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{k-1}}{m_{k}^{k-1}}\right)^{2} .
$$

This upper bound (for $k$ infinite) is an infinitesimal of higher order than any negative power of the $m_{k}$; it guarantees therefore the conditions of convergence which we have demanded.

We notice finally that the $\alpha_{k}$, which are limits of the $a_{k}$, have the same upper bounds.

Theorem II is thus completely proved.
4. On the law of limitation for the Fourier coefficients

We shall make the calculation of the last section more definite in a particular case. Let the numbers $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots$, $m_{k}, \ldots$ be successive powers of the same integer $\lambda>1$.
We consider in this case the trigonometric series

$$
f(x)=\alpha_{1} \cos \lambda x+\alpha_{2} \cos \lambda^{2} x+\ldots+\alpha_{k} \cos \lambda^{k} x+\ldots
$$ in which the upper bound of the $\alpha_{k}$, found at the end of the preceding section, takes the form

$$
\left|\alpha_{k}\right|<h^{\prime}\left(\frac{\lambda \cdot \lambda^{2} \ldots \lambda^{k-1}}{\lambda^{k(k-1)}}\right)=\frac{h^{\prime}}{\lambda^{k(k-1)}}=h^{\prime} e^{-k(k-1) \log \lambda} .
$$
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The series which we have just considered comes under the general type of trigonometric series

$$
a_{1} \cos x+a_{2} \cos 2 x+\ldots+a_{n} \cos n x+\ldots
$$

where all the coefficients vanish except when $n=\lambda^{k}$, in which case $a_{n}=\alpha_{k}$. Let us express the law of limitation of the $a_{n}$ as a function of $n$. We have $k=\log n / \log \lambda$; consequently

$$
\left|a_{n}\right|<h^{\prime} e^{-\log n\left(\frac{\log n}{\log \lambda}-1\right)} .
$$

We can accordingly fix two constants $A$ and $a$ so that we shall have for any $n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right|<A e^{-a(\log n)^{2}} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives us the following theorem:
There are always an infinity of periodic functions which with their successive derivatives take on for $x=0$ an arbitrarily given bounded sequence of values, and such that their Fourier coefficients $a_{n}$ obey a law of limitation of the form (4).

## 5. On certain classes of functions determined by the system of initial values

It is possible to define, in terms of the form of their trigonometric development, certain classes of functions which are neither analytic nor quasi-analytic, and yet such that a function of the class is determined by its value and that of its successive derivatives at $x=0$.

We have, in fact, the following theorem:
Let $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots m_{n} \ldots$ be a sequence of positive integers, increasing sufficiently fast so that the quotient

$$
\frac{m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{n}}{m_{n+1}}
$$

approaches 0 as $n$ becomes infinite. The functions which are representable by the trigonometric series

$$
a_{1} \cos m_{1} x+a_{2} \cos m_{2} x+\ldots+a_{n} \cos m_{n} x+\ldots
$$

with the condition of limitation

$$
\left|a_{n}\right|<h^{\prime}\left(\frac{m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{n-1}}{m_{n}^{n-1}}\right)^{2} \quad \text { ( } h^{\prime} \text { const.) }
$$

form a class in which every function is determined by its value and those of its derivatives at $x=0$. Moreover we find that these values remain arbitrary, provided they are bounded.

We notice first that the sum of two functions of the class considered belongs to the same class. With this fact, it is enough to prove that a function of this class vanishes identically if all its initial values are zero.

Suppose then that all the initial values are zero. The $n$ first coefficients $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ must satisfy the equations (1) of section 3 , provided we consider there the first members $C_{0},-C_{2}, \ldots$ as representing, with opposite signs, the portions of infinite series discarded from the second members (or the remainders of those series). The calculation made in section 3 shows (on letting $n$ become infinite) that $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ are all zero, if these remainders themselves approach zero.

The two conditions in the statement of the theorem allow us to satisfy ourselves easily that the first term of the remainder in the last equation of the system approaches zero. The whole remainder also approaches zero on account of the rapidity of decrease of the coefficients. All the remainders approach zero a fortiori in the other equations of the system. The theorem is therefore proved.

For example, the class of functions which may be given by trigonometric series
$a_{1} \cos x+a_{2} \cos 2^{2} x+a_{3} \cos 3^{3} x+\ldots+a_{n} \cos n^{n} x+\ldots$ with the limitation condition

$$
\left|a_{n}\right|<h\left(\frac{1 \cdot 2^{2} \cdot 3^{3} \ldots(n-1)^{n-1}}{n^{(n-1) n}}\right)^{2} \quad(h \text { const. })
$$
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is of the preceding kind: a function of this class is completely determined by its value and that of its successive derivatives for $x=0$.

The functions of this class are neither analytic nor quasianalytic.

Charles de la Vallée Poussin.


