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#### Abstract

Laurentide Ice Sheet Meltwater Influences and Millennial-Scale Climate Oscillations on the Northwestern Slope of the Gulf of Mexico During Marine Isotope Stage 6 and Termination II by

Walter Werley O'Hayer


Sub-Milankovitch climate oscillations are well documented phenomena in the Gulf of Mexico during Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 3 and Termination I, however very little is known about equivalent events during older time intervals. Basin 4 is located on the northwest slope of the Gulf of Mexico and has provided a detailed record of late MIS 6 and Termination II. The results of this study show that the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record of planktonic foraminifer G. ruber contains millennial-scale climate oscillations during MIS 6, a series of meltwater spikes, and a climate reversal during Termination II. Paired $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ data across these events reveal that the unusually large amplitudes in the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record cannot be explained by sea surface temperature (SST) or ice volume, but rather are a response to isotopically light glacial meltwater from the paleo-Mississippi river. This conclusion supports the studies of similar oscillations during Termination I and MIS 3.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my co-advisors Dr. André Droxler and Dr. Brandon Dugan for their support and guidance during my time at Rice. Their insight and advice has made me a better scientist and has helped prepare me for future challenges. Many thanks to my thesis and proposal committee, including Dr. Caroline Masiello, Dr. Carlos Pirmez, and Dr. Laurent Labeyrie. Special thanks to the Department of Earth Science staff who helped me during my numerous teaching assistantships.

This research used samples and data provided by IODP. Funding was provided by a JOI USSAC grant. I would like to thank the collaborators at the Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, CNRS, Gif Sur Yvette, France for their help in processing samples and providing insight into my study area. I would also like to thank those at Processus et Bilans des Domaines Sédimentaires, Université de Lille for providing data on additional cores.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT ..... ii
ACKKNOWLEDGEMENTS ..... iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS ..... iv
LIST OF TABLES ..... vi
LIST OF FIGURES. ..... vii
GENERAL INTRODUCTION ..... viii
CHAPTER 1. ..... 1
Abstract ..... 1
1.1. Introduction ..... 2
1.2. Regional Setting ..... 4
1.3. Basin 4 Sediment Sequences ..... 5
1.4. Methods ..... 6
1.4.1. Stable Isotopes and $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ ..... 6
1.4.1.1. Holes 1319A and 1320A ..... 6
1.4.2. Ash Layer and G. menardii Complex. ..... 8
1.4.3. $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ Derived SST and $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {seawater }}$ Calculations. ..... 8
1.4.4. Discussion of $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ ..... 9
1.4.5. Discussion of $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ Method. ..... 10
1.5 Biostratigraphy and Time Markers ..... 11
1.6 Basin $4 \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ Records ..... 13
1.6.1. Hole 1319A ..... 13
1.6.2. Hole MD03-2641 ..... 13
1.6.3. Hole 1320A ..... 15
1.7. $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ and Related Records ..... 15
1.8. Discussion ..... 17
1.8.1. Termination II Meltwater Pulse ..... 17
1.8.2. Termination II Reversal ..... 20
1.8.3. MIS 6/W1 Interstadial ..... 23
1.9. Conclusions ..... 25
REFERENCES ..... 27
FIGURES ..... 33
APPENDIX A. $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Records For Basin 4 Cores ..... 47
APPENDIX B. $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ and Related Data for 1319A ..... 53
APPENDIX C. Additional Figures. ..... 56

## LIST OF TABLES

## CHAPTER 1

Table 1 Basin 4 Core Locations and Descriptions. ..... 36
APPENDIX A.
Table A.1. Data for Hole 1319A. ..... 48
Table A.2. Data for Hole 1320A. ..... 50
Table A.3. Data for MD03-2641. ..... 51
APPENDIX B
Table B.1. Mg/Ca Data for Hole 1319A ..... 54

## LIST OF FIGURES

## CHAPTER 1

Figure 1.1. Gulf of Mexico Location Map ..... 33
Figure 1.2. Late Pleistocene Paleogeographic Map (GoM) ..... 34
Figure 1.3. Basin 4 Bathymetric Map ..... 35
Figure 1.4a. $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ records for Basin 4. ..... 37
Figure 1.4 b . $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ records for Basin 4 (depth corrected) ..... 38
Figure 1.5. $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ Termination II Climate Reversal. ..... 39
Figure 1.6. $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ Hole 1319A with Five-point Average and Interpretation ..... 40
Figure 1.7. $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ Hole MD03-2641 ..... 41
Figure 1.8. MIS 6/TII climate proxy records from Hole 1319A ..... 42
Figure 1.9. Termination I and II $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ Meltwater Responses in the GoM. ..... 43
Figure 1.10. $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {sw }}$ and SST curves for TII and TI. ..... 44
Figure 1.11. $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{sw}}$ and SST curves for MIS 6 and MIS 3 ..... 45
Figure 1.12. $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ from Basin 4 MIS 6 and MIS 3 ..... 46
APPENDIX C
Figure C.1. Hole 1319A d18O and d13C. ..... 57
Figure C.2. Hole 1319A d18O and Average Plots ..... 58
Figure C.3. Global Benthic Stack with G. menardii Zones and Age ..... 59

## GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Millennial-scale climate oscillations have been described, especially for the last 100 ka (Dansgaard et al., 1993; Alley et al., 2000), however the forcing mechanisms behind these cycles are not as well understood as those causing Milankovitch-scale changes. With the aid of ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ dating as well as correlation to high resolution climate records of Greenland and Antarctic ice cores, the timing and magnitude of these rapid climate changes of the last 40 ka are well constrained (Hill et al., 2006).

The Gulf of Mexico (GoM) is particularly sensitive to changes in the Laurentide Ice Sheet (LIS) during these rapid climate changes, as glacial meltwater is routed through the Mississippi (Leventer et al., 1982). The meltwater subsequently changes the isotopic composition of the surface waters, registering a response in the tests of planktonic foraminifers (Flower and Kennett, 1990). These meltwater pulses have been noted for both Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 3 (Hill et al., 2006) as well as the last deglaciation (Flower et al., 2004) in the Gulf of Mexico. Millennial-scale climate oscillations have also been noted for the penultimate glaciation/deglaciation (MIS 6 / Termination II; Cannariato and Kennett, 2005 and references herein), although lack of a viable dating method for these records, as well as the lack of a northern hemisphere ice record with which to correlate, inhibits a chronology as precise as those for the rapid climate oscillations seen during the last deglaciation and MIS 3.

This study focuses on Basin 4, located on the northwest slope of the GoM, in order to understand millennial-scale climate oscillations seen during MIS 6 and Termination II. Two cores from this basin allow us to constrain the timing of these
millennial-scale oscillations with changes in the Laurentide Ice Sheet, as seen in the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record of planktonic foraminifers. A coupling of $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Mg} /$ Ca measurements on the planktonic foraminifers allows us to differentiate changes in sea surface temperature and the isotopic composition of the surface waters in the Gulf of Mexico. Ultimately, this research aims to establish the existence of meltwater events during MIS 6 and Termination II in the GoM and suggest that these records can be used to associate the growth and decay cycles of the Laurentide Ice Sheet (LIS) with worldwide records of millennial-scale oscillations during this time period.

## CHAPTER 1. Laurentide Ice Sheet Meltwater Influences and Millennial-Scale Climate Oscillations on the Northwestern Slope of the Gulf of Mexico During Marine Isotope Stage 6 and Termination II


#### Abstract

Sub-Milankovitch climate oscillations are well documented phenomena in the Gulf of Mexico during Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 3 and Termination I, however very little is known about equivalent events during older time intervals. Basin 4 is located on the northwest slope of the Gulf of Mexico and has provided a detailed record of late MIS 6 and Termination II. The results of this study show that the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record of planktonic foraminifer $G$. ruber contains millennial-scale climate oscillations during MIS 6, a series of meltwater spikes, and a climate reversal during Termination II. Paired $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ data across these events reveal that the unusually large amplitudes in the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record cannot be explained by sea surface temperature (SST) or ice volume, but rather are a response to isotopically light glacial meltwater from the paleo-Mississippi river. This conclusion supports the studies of similar oscillations during Termination I and MIS 3.


### 1.1 Introduction

Milankovitch scale climate forcing is a well documented phenomena (Hays et al., 1976; Imbrie et al., 1984), however climate records spanning the last 100 ky are punctuated by sub-Milankovitch, millennial-scale climate oscillations such as DansgaardOeschger (D/O) cycles seen in Marine Isotope Stage 3 (MIS 3) and the Bölling-Allerød and Younger Dryas events of the Termination I (TI) (Dansgaard et al., 1993; Alley et al., 2000; Flower et al., 2004). Along with accompanied changes of $8-16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the air temperature in Greenland (Landais et al., 2004; Huber et al., 2006), D/O cycles are important because sea level has been shown to rise and fall by up to 30 m during these rapid events (Lambeck and Chappell, 2001; Siddall et al., 2003). Studies of D/O cycles during the second half of MIS 3 can be anchored by ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ dating, and therefore, correlated to Greenland or Antarctic ice core records (Hill et al., 2006)

The detailed structure of late Marine Isotope Stage 6 (MIS 6) and Termination II (TII) has been extensively studied, and analogues for many of the millennial-scale climate changes of the last deglaciation, such as the Younger Dryas, and D/O cycles in MIS 3, are already described during the previous glacial cycle (Cannariato and Kennett, 2005; Siddall et al., 2007 and references therein). The lack of a viable dating method for many of these records, outside of $\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{Th}$ used in coral records, as well as commonly low sedimentation rates that prohibit the detailed analyses of these millennial-scale cycles, makes MIS 6 and TII particularly challenging time intervals for the analysis of rapid climate changes.

Studies attempt to correlate foraminiferal marine $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ records of MIS 6 and TII to the Greenland ice cores (Rasmussen et al., 1999), however the bottom of the GRIP ice
record (Johnsen et al., 1997) has problems such as folding, missing section, and inserted layers in the ice record older than MIS 5.5 (Chappellaz et al., 1997). With no ice record in the Northern Hemisphere representing the MIS 6/TII interval, it is almost impossible to establish or discredit a link between ice volume changes in the Laurentide Ice Sheet (LIS) and the changes seen in the records from around the world. The northern Gulf of Mexico (GoM) planktonic foraminifer $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ records from small anoxic basins, such as the Orca Basin, have been shown to be particularly sensitive to rapid changes in the LIS through the routing of isotopically light meltwater through the paleo-Mississippi River (Kennett et al., 1975; Kennett et al., 1985; Leventer et al., 1982; Flower and Kennett, 1990; Figure 1.1).

Meltwater timing and intensity have been studied, using paired $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ and $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ records, for the last deglaciation (Flower et al., 2004), as well as during MIS 3 abrupt climate changes (Hill et al., 2006). MIS 3-type climate oscillations are postulated to exist during late MIS 6 in Santa Barbara Basin offshore Central California (Cannariato and Kennett, 2005), however a paucity of planktonic foraminifera prohibited a paired $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$, $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ analysis. Basin 4, an upper slope mini basin in the northern GoM, is ideally situated (Figures 1.1 and 1.2) for capturing the meltwater routing in the GoM, as it is 200 km west of the location of the paleo-Mississippi River delta of Suter and Berryhill (1985) during MIS 6 and TII. Close proximity to the sediment source led to very high sedimentation rates, as described further in this study, exceeding $6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{ka}$ along the GoM upper slopes of the western shelf of Louisiana and southeastern shelf of Texas, which allows for a high resolution study of MIS6/TII.

Sub-Milankovitch, abrupt climate changes in the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ records during the MIS $6 / \mathrm{TII}$ time interval are analyzed using paired $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}-\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ measurements to determine if these changes coincide with freshwater routing to the GoM, analogous to cycles observed during MIS 3 (Hill et al., 2006) and the TI (Flower et al., 2004). The phasing of sea surface temperature (SST) and how it relates to changes in $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ of seawater will also be examined.

The timing of a Younger Dryas-like climate reversal observed during TII is studied in relation to changes in the LIS and global sea level using high resolution planktonic foraminifer $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ records as a proxy for higher latitude climate changes. GoM spatial variations in the response to TII meltwater will also be discussed.

### 1.2 Regional Setting

The Brazos-Trinity drainage system is located within the Texas-Louisiana Slope physiographic province of Martin and Bouma (1978) (Figures 1.1 and 1.2). The slope of the Brazos-Trinity system includes four oval mini basins connected by a system of interbasinal channels first described by Satterfield and Beherns (1990). The shelf in this area contains thick lowstand delta deposits which formed during the late Pleistocene glacial stages (Abdulah et al., 2004; Fraticelli and Anderson, 2003). These deltas are interpreted to be the source for the large volumes of sediment that subsequently infilled the Brazos-Trinity intra-slope basin system (Beaubouef and Friedmann, 2000; Mallarino et al., 2006). Basin 4 is the a mini-basin located at the termination of the Brazos-Trinity drainage system on the upper slope in the northwest GoM in waters ranging from 1000 m at the basin rim to 1500 m at its center (Figure 1.3). No outlets to Basin 4 exist,
indicating that Basin 4 is the final sediment sink for the Brazos-Trinity drainage system, however sediments have partially filled the basin via an abandoned western feeder channel, and an inactive eastern feeder channel that creates the connection to the updip basins. The nature of the sedimentary infill of the four linked mini-basins and its timing in relation to sea level fluctuations (Malarino et al., 2006 and references herein) have been studied in great detail.

However, until the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) 2005 Expedition 308, little attention was given to the thick package of muddy sediments blanketing the entire region and underlying the turbidite basin infill sequences within Basin 4. The seismic images of this package show continuous and parallel reflections with slight thickening to the north, indicating that either the source of these deposits lies in a northward direction or at the very least that sedimentation was concentrated in that area. Deposition does not appear affected by the local basin topographic changes (Mallarino et al., 2006) and therefore sedimentation possibly occurred prior to the basin formation itself if it is assumed that topography would affect the sedimentation processes depositing the muddy drape.

### 1.3 Basin 4 Sediment Sequences

Data used in this study were collected during two different research cruises. IODP Expedition 308, GoM Hydrogeology, was conducted on the D/V JOIDES Resolution in May-July 2005 (Flemings et al., 2006). Two drill sites, 1319A and 1320A, were cored in Basin 4, both of which have been sampled for this study. Hole 1319A is located on Basin 4 southern margin whereas Hole 1320A was drilled in the central part of
the Basin (Figure 1.3; Table 1.1). In addition to the IODP Holes, a Calypso giant piston core MD03-2641 (herein referred to as MD-41) was recovered on the Basin 4 southwestern margin in a very similar setting as, and 3 km northwest of Hole 1319A, as part of the IMAGES program during an earlier (2003) research cruise of the French research vessel Marion Dufresne (Figure 1.3: Mallarino et al., 2006).

Hole 1319A and Hole 1320A were drilled and cored to depths of 157.5 m and 299.6 below sea floor (mbsf), respectively. MD-41, a giant piston core, penetrated down to 40 mbsf on Basin 4 slope (Figure 1.3). Slumping and turbidite accumulation disrupt the record starting in the middle of MIS 5 (Mallarino et al., 2006), however there is neither evidence of slumping nor turbidite disruption for the MIS 6/TII interval in the three cores analyzed in this study.

### 1.4 Methods

Three research groups involved in this study include Rice University in Houston, the Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (LSCE) in Gif sur Yvette, and at Lille University; all were involved in the analyses of Basin 4 sedimentary sequences. The group at Rice University focused on the analyses of IODP Holes 1319A and 1320A, while LSCE and Lille University established the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ analyses of MD-41 and generously provided the data for this paper.

### 1.4.1 Stable Isotopes and $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$

### 1.4.1.1 Holes 1319A and 1320A

For isotope stratigraphy and $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ analyses, $80 \sim 22 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ samples were analyzed at a 1.5 m interval in Hole 1320A whereas 262 samples at a 0.75 m interval down Hole

1319A. The samples were disaggregated with Calgon solution and washed over a $63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ sieve. The $>63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ fraction was dried and dry sieved to retain the $>150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ fraction. From this fraction, 6 to 30 Globigerinoides ruber tests (preferably the white variety), a planktonic foraminifer, were picked and washed in an ultrasonic cleaner with distilled water for less than 5 seconds. After being dried, the samples were crushed and mixed, and then split into two subsamples; one for $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}-\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and the other for $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ analyses.

The $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}-\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ subsamples were sent to U.C. Davis for $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotopic analyses. For stable isotope $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ analyses, specimens were ultrasonically cleaned in distilled water after being carefully crushed to release potential sediment infilling. Samples were then heated under vacuum at $375^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ to remove organic contaminants. Using a common $100 \%$ phosphoric acid bath at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20-50$ micrograms of sample were reacted and analyzed using a GV Instruments Optima isotope ratio mass spectrometer at University of California, Davis. Isotope values are reported in delta notation relative to VPDB. Repeated analyses of a marble working standard (calibrated against the international standard NBS-19) indicate an accuracy and precision of $0.05 \%$ (1 $\sigma$ ).

The $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ subsamples were performed at the Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, Gif sur Yvette. For the $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ analyses, samples were carefully cleaned following Barker(2003). His method involves an initial sonication to remove fine clays, oxidation of organic matter with a buffered peroxide solution, and a dilute acid leach that eliminates any adsorbed contaminants. Samples were dissolved in weak $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ to yield calcium concentrations of $\sim 20 \mathrm{ppm}$ to minimize calcium concentration effects. Foraminiferal Mg and Ca were analyzed on a Varian-VistaPro inductively coupled
plasma - atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES) with a cyclonic mini-room and an very low flow atomizer $(200 \mu 1 / \mathrm{mn})$. Precision is better than $0.6 \%$ on ratios between 1 and $5 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mol}$.

### 1.4.2 Ash Layer and Menardii Complex

The presence or absence of the planktonic foraminifers G. menardii was noted for each sample. Trends in abundances were compared to known and dated patterns for the GoM late Quaternary (Kennett and Huddlestun, 1972; Mallarino et al., 2006). One clear ash layer was identified in each Hole, and this ash layer was used, as in Mallarino et al.'s (2006) previous Basin 4 study, to anchor the sedimentary sequence and aid in the correlation between Basin 4 three individual sedimentary sections included in this study

### 1.4.3 $\mathrm{Mg} /$ Ca Derived SST and $\delta^{18} O_{\text {seawater }}$ Calculations

From the $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ analyzed in G. ruber planktonic foraminifers, sea surface temperature (SST) is calculated using a relationship obtained by core top calibration in cores from the tropical Atlantic, and proven valid for the GoM in Flower et al.(2004); $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}=0.38 \exp (0.090 \mathrm{SST})$ where $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ is in $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mol}$ and SST is in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Dekens et al., 2002). The pooled standard deviation of $70 \%$ replicate $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ analyses is $\pm 2.5 \%$ or $\sim 0.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To subtract out the temperature effect from $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$, the equation $\mathrm{T}=14.9-4.8$ $\left(\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{c}}-\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {sw }}\right)$, is used; where T is SST in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the c subscript indicates carbonate, and the sw subscript indicates seawater (from Thunell et al., 1999). Solving the equation for $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{sw}}$ and adding $0.27 \%$ to convert to the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW) standard gives $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {sw }}$ (VSMOW). Total error in this sequence of calculations
is $\pm 3.1 \%$. This sequence of calculations follows the procedure set in Flower et al. (2004) and Hill et al. (2006).

### 1.4.4 Discussion of $\delta^{18} O$

In stable isotope geochemistry, differences in the isotopic ratios of two samples are generally small and hard to visualize. The $\delta$ notation is commonly used to aid in showing these small differences. In this paper, the oxygen isotopic ratio from foraminiferal samples is compared to the ratio from a standard by the expression (Krauskopf and Bird, 1995):

$$
\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {foram }}=\left\{\left[\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O} /{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\text {foram }}-\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O} /{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\text {standard }}\right] /\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O} /{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\text {standard }}\right\} \times 1000 \%
$$

Where a $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {foram }}$ positive value indicates enrichment of the sample in ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ relative the standard and a negative value indicates depletion.

Changes in the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ of seawater is driven by fractionation due to water evaporation and precipitation at ocean surface and in the atmosphere, accumulation and loss of ice at high latitude regions, the mixing of waters with different $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values such as meltwater and river runoff, as well as the isotopic content of the oceans (Craig and Gordon, 1965; Broecker, 1982). When looking at the changes in $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {foram }}$ values through time, it is assumed that those values reflect the changes in the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values of the seawater in which the foraminifers were living. Experiments have shown this relationship to hold true, with a few caveats (Erez and Luz, 1983). Several factors create conditions where the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {foram }}$ is not in equilibrium with seawater values. These factors include temperature, salinity, vital effects, and the isotopic composition of the water in the location in which the foraminifers are living (Bickert, 2000). Bcause fresh water can have $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values as
low as about $-60 \%$ (VSMOW), freshwater can become a major influence on the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values recorder in the foraminifer calcite, obviously in relation with the amount of freshwater mixed with the ocean surface waters (Millero, 1996).

Because Basin 4 is located adjacent to the mouth of the paleo-Mississippi (Fig. 1.2), and therefore the potential effects of fresh water input on the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values in planktonic foraminifers can become significant, $\mathrm{a}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ curve alone is not sufficient for analyzing the paleo-climatologic conditions for the time period of interest in this study. We used, therefore, a second geochemical relationship, $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$, to attempt to subtract out factors creating disequilibrium between seawater and planktonic foraminifers.

### 1.4.5 Discussion of $\mathrm{Mg} /$ Ca Method

$\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ has been recognized to be a good paleotemperature proxy; once the paleotemperature proxy is combined with $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ analyses in paired samples, the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ of sea water can be calculated substracting the temperature effect on the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ measured in the foraminifer calcite (Lea et al., 2002, Schmidt et al., 2004). When using $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ paleothermometry to Pleistocene sample material, the possible changes in $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ of seawater must be considered. Because the residence time of Mg in seawater is $\sim 13$ million years (Broecker and Peng, 1982), while the residence time of Ca is $\sim 1$ million years (Chester, 2000), the paleo-sea surface temperature records were not affected by a change in $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ of seawater on shorter timescales. Local Mg and Ca concentrations can be affected by several factors including carbonate deposition (Wilkinson and Algeo, 1989), varying continental weathering rates (Berner et al., 1983), and hydrothermal alteration of basalt at mid-ocean ridges (Elderfield and Schultz, 1996). Because the
timescale on which this study is focused is much smaller than the residence times of both Mg and Ca , and because the local effects on these concentrations is assumed to be minimal, we consider changes to $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ to be negligible to the data in this study.

### 1.5 Biostratigraphy and Time Makers

Determining the age-depth profiles for the sediment underlying the turbidites-rich infill in the three Basin 4 sedimentary sections, too old for ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ dating, is possible using several distinct markers. A distinctive ash layer found in all three studied sections is used as first marker to correlate the three sediment sections relative to one another (Figure 1.4a). On the basis of the Emiliania huxleyii Acme Zone, the ash layer is identified as the Chocoyos Y8 Ash (Flemings et al., 2006) and dated at 84 ka by Drexler (1980). The second marker is based upon the abundances of G. menardii, a species of foraminifers that live in warm tropical waters. Within the Atlantic Ocean, G. menardii often disappear during late Pleistocene glacial stages, and re-appears during interglacial stages (Ericson and Wollin, 1956). Zone X represents most of MIS 5 and is observed in the interval just below the 84 ka-old ash layer. Late MIS 6 is a glacial stage during which G. menardii tests are known to be completely absent in a sub-zone W1, that lasted only 21 ky , and occurred from 150 to 129 ka according to Kennett and Huddlestun (1972). Figure C. 3 shown in the Appendix illustrates background information on terms used throughout this paper to describe the different time subdivisions and the comparisons between these different time intervals. Based on the G. menardii sub zonation (Kennett and Huddlestun, 1972), W1 (150-129 ka) is represented in Holes 1319 and 1320A by two sediment intervals at least 127 m and 150 m thick, respectively, corresponding to a time
span not exceeding 21 ky , with the base of both sections being not older than 150 ka . Because G. menardii is totally absent in the bottom 6 meters of MD-41, this interval corresponds to at least part of the MIS-6 W1 sub-Zone. The W1 subzone upperboundary is identified at 33.5 mbsf in MD-41 where Zone X begins .

Previous work conducted on Basin 4 established an isotope stratigraphic framework for the turbidite-rich sediment units and extended further down the cores only a few meters below the Y8 ash layer (Mallarino et al., 2006). Because this study looks at the isotope record from the ash layer downward, it becomes an excellent complement to the Mallarino et al. (2006) Basin 4 study.

The three Basin $4 \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ records were plotted with respect to depth (mbsf) due to the age uncertainties associated with MIS 6 and TII (Figure 1.4a). The three records were then hung at each three sites on the W1/X menardi zonation boundary, corresponding to an age of 129 ka , to visually aid the presentation of and the correlation between the three $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ records (Figure 1.4b). Because of the total absence of $G$. menardii at the base of Basin 4 three records, G. menardii zonation indicates that only the W1 zone is present and the MIS 6/TII records span no greater than 21 ky (Kennett and Huddlestun, 1972). In addition to the G. menardii W1/X zone boundary, the Y8 tephra layer clearly identified at the three sites is also an excellent marker to correlate the three $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ records (Figure 1.4b). Between the two definite markers, the three different $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ records were correlated using the best visual match, by aligning the records next to each other and correlating $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ peaks of similar amplitude and value of millennial-scale $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ oscillations.

### 1.6 Basin $\mathbf{4} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\mathbf{1 8}} \mathbf{O}$ Records

In this study, three $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ curves were produced in Holes 1319A and 1320A, and in core MD03-2641 at least for the sediments immediately underlying the Y8 ash layer (Figures 1.4a and 1.4b).

### 1.6.1 Hole 1319 A

The $1319 \mathrm{~A} \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record displays at 26.5 and 31.5 mbsf two unusually light values of $-3.4 \%$ and $-3.79 \%$ (VPDB), respectively (Fig. 1.4a) Moreover, the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record shows a TII climate reversal from 34 to $\sim 32 \mathrm{mbsf}$ (Figure 1.5). Although more apparent in MD41, values in 1319A reverse themselves from $-2 \%$ at 35 mbsf to close to $0 \%$ at 34 mbsf . The reversal interval ends with a rapid decrease of the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values, reaching a minimum of $-3.5 \%$ slightly below the X/W1 $G$. menardii boundary at 31.6 mbsf . Below this, $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values quickly become heavier, trending towards 0\%. Overall, TII spans from 36 to 31 mbsf and has an amplitude of $\sim 3 \%$.

From 35 to 155 mbsf , the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record exhibits a series of rapid high amplitude oscillations between 0 and $-3 \%$. Because of these rapid high amplitude oscillations, the interpretation of the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ 1319A record during MIS 6/W1 interval was made easier in using a 5-point moving average, plotted over the original data in Figure 1.6. Although the 5-point average curve mutes the rapid and high amplitude $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ oscillations observed during MIS 6/W1, 5 longer term oscillation events, labeled E1-E5 from the youngest to the oldest, can now be identified. These oscillation events have thicknesses ranging from 15 to 30 m . Each event consists first of a series of rapid high amplitude oscillations followed by a sequence of heavier and lower amplitude values.

### 1.6.2 MD03-2641

Below the Y8 Ash layer, 10 m of section was recovered in MD-41. The $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record in this interval shows many of the same characteristics as the ones observed in Hole 1319A, but in much higher resolution. However the bottom 10 m of MD-41 represents only the very end of MIS 6/W1 subzone, the complete TII, and most of MIS-5 (Figure 1.7). The two -3.8 and $-3.3 \%$ lightest $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values in MD-41, at 32.5 and 33.5 mbsf, respectively, agree with the corresponding two lightest $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values observed in Hole 1319A, with less than $0.3 \%$ difference in values between the two MD-41 and 1319A records (Figure 1.5). The G. menardii X/W1 zone boundary correlation between the two records further demonstrates that these two $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ minima are equivalent in time. A third $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ light value of $-3.3 \%$ observed in MD-41 at 36 mbsf , apparently is not appearing in 1319A most likely due to the greater resolution of the MD-41 record.

The TII climatic reversal interval observed in this record spans from 36 to 33.5 mbsf, with $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values ranging from $<-3 \%$ at 36 mbsf , falling to $\sim-1 \%$ at 34 mbsf . Overall, TII spans from 37.5 to 32.5 mbsf with an overall amplitude of $\sim 3.2 \%$. The amplitude of the rapid climatic reversal reaches $\sim 2.25 \%$, while in comparison the $3.75 \%$ amplitude for the entire deglaciation is quite larger. The thickness and amplitude of the climate reversal observed in MD-41 are very similar to the values seen in 1319A. The very end of the MIS 6/W1 sub-zone is recorded at the bottom of MD-41, with values near $0 \%$ from 39 to 37.5 mbsf.

The MD- $41 \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record above the ash layer is important to this study because it enables to compare more recent events to those of the MIS 6/W1/TII interval. This
includes the MIS 3 climate oscillations from 21 to 14 mbsf , and the TI meltwater spike at 4 mbsf (Figure 1.7).

### 1.6.3 1320A

The $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record for Hole 1320 A , used as a complement to the other two high resolution records, displays only one very light $-3.2 \%$ value at 157 mbsf . Because the lack, or paucity of foraminifers from 183 to 157 mbsf in Hole $1320 \mathrm{~A}, \boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ analyses could not be generated in this particular interval. From 298 to 183 mbsf, Hole 1320A shows a series of rapid $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ oscillations much like those observed in Hole 1319A in terms of their overall amplitudes of up to $\sim 2.5 \%$, although the resolution of Hole 1320A $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record is much lower. The 1320 A record displays $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values, $0.4 \%$ and $0.8 \%$ at 290 and 268 mbsf, respectively,, that are heavier than any of the values observed in the 1319A record. (Figure 1.4b).

Although Hole 1320A $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record lacks resolution, this record is important to show that the lightest $-3.2 \%$ value is similar to the two minima observed in the other two 1319A and MD-41 records. Moreover, the overall $\sim 2.5 \%$ amplitudes, for individual events, during the late MIS 6/W1 interval are identical in both Holes 1319A and 1320A.

## $1.7 \mathbf{~ M g} / \mathrm{Ca}$ and Related Records

1319A was sampled at 73 individual core levels between 96 and 27 mbsf (an average spacing of 75 cm , except where a lack of foraminifers prohibited the dual analyses) and were split into two aliquots for paired $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ ( $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{mg}$ ) (Figure 1.8a) $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}(\sim 0.3 \mathrm{mg})$ (Figure 1.8b) analyses. The paired analyses of these samples cover the
upper half of E3, all of E2 and E1, up through TII and MIS 5.5 (Figure 1.6). $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ ratios range between 3.0 and $5.8(\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mol})$, with a trend of decreasing values from E3 through E1, while the onset of TII marks a rapid increase in values. Because temperatures are directly derived from the $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ ratios, the trends in the temperature curve (Figure 1.8 c ) obviously mimic the $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ curve, with overall SST temperatures ranging from 22.7 to $30.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A general cooling trend from E 3 to E 1 , from $29.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $23.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then a rapid warming, rising from $23.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $30.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during TII are observed. Fairly constant and average temperature values $\left(26.5\right.$ to $\left.28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ are displayed within the first half of MIS 5.
$\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {sw }}$ (Figure 1.8d) reflects sea water oxygen isotopic composition after the temperature effect has been subtracted out. Isolating the data in this way, the excursion during the E3 event increases to $\sim 2.2 \%$, a new event exceeding $1.2 \%$ appears during E2, and while the overall amplitude of the E1 sequence remains about the same at $1.9 \%$, the events become more orderly, with a trend of decreasing amplitude with time. The $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{sw}}$ range during TII displays a total amplitude of $2 \%$ and is one of the three largest amplitude events in the 1319A record. A lack of data points during the TII climate reversal prohibits the analysis of this event using the paired data. The end of TII marks an equally dramatic drop of $1.8 \%$, and represents the end of MIS 5.5 and a transition into MIS 5.4. The last rise in values of $1.5 \%$ corresponds to the transition from MIS 5.4 to MIS 5.3.

### 1.8 Discussion

In this study, TII and MIS 6/W1 millennial-scale climate oscillations have been described for Basin 4. The TII meltwater pulse and MIS 6/W1 climate oscillations display close similarities to rapid climate changes observed in the GoM (in particular the Orca Basin) during younger and better constrained by absolute dating TI and MIS 3 time intervals. (Flower et al., 2004; Hill et al., 2006). analysis and comparison of the Basin 4 record. The Red Sea record (Siddall et al., 2007) of the TII climatic reversal provides a chronology for the event, and the Basin 4 record links the changes in sea level observed in the Red Sea to meltwater pulses of the LIS.

### 1.8.1 Termination II Meltwater Pulse

During Termination I, the transition from Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) to the current interglacial MIS 1, or Holocene, large volumes of glacial meltwater were flushed into the Gulf of Mexico via the paleo-Mississippi River in rapid and distinct pulses that appear as istopically light values in the planktonic foraminiferal $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record (Kennett and Shackleton, 1975; Aharon, 2003 and references therein). The influence of this isotopically light melt water derived from the North American Ice Sheet on the Gulf of Mexico surface water $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record is especially well established in the Orca Basin for the last deglaciation (Shakleton and Opdyke, 1973; Flower and Kennett, 1990; Flower et al., 2004) and can be seen as a $-4 \%$ sharp minimum in the Basin 4 record of MD-41 (Figures 1.7 and 1.9). Williams (1982) predicted that the magnitude of the response to pulses of melt water in the Gulf of Mexico had to be a function of distance from the source of the fresh water discharge and the overall prevailing current direction, with a linear decrease
in meltwater response with increasing distance away from the discharge source and a prevailing westward flowing current muting the response eastward of the discharge point during Termination I (Figures 1.2, 1.9). To explain this discrepancy, modern surface ocean currents in the northern GoM are assumed to be similar to currents in the gulf during TI. Discharge from the Mississippi River is carried west by currents (Smith, 1980) and mixed with the isotopically heavier gulf waters. By the time the discharge water is carried to the eastern side of the gulf, the isotopic signature of the meltwater is muted due to the mixing effect (Figure 1.9; Williams, 1984).

The Termination I meltwater response for Basin 4, using the Williams (1984) data, would predict $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values which should not exceed $\sim 2.5 \%$ and stipulates that values for any point in the Gulf of Mexico should not exceed $-4.0 \%$. The actual response in Basin 4 however is much greater than the predicted value, reaching $-4.0 \%$ in cores MD-41 (this study), MD03-2642, and MD03-2633, and the largest value in core MD032637 at $-6.0 \%$ (Mallarino et al., 2006; Figure 1.3). Because these values greatly exceed the predicted response, currents must obviously play a more important role in focusing the meltwater signal than was previously suggested (Figures 1.2 and 1.9).

Termination II also displays a meltwater response in the GoM $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ planktonic foraminiferal records (Figure 1.9). Three records show a similar response, with values around $-2.0 \%$ (Falls, 1980; Tripsanas et al., 2007; Joyce et al., 1990). Basin $4 \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values for the same TII meltwater event are much lighter than these studies, the data from Hole 1319A and MD-41 agree closely with each other, at $-3.5 \%$ to $-3.79 \%$ respectively (Figure 1.4b). This is especially surprising given the location of the Bryant Canyon core JPC 31 (Figures 1.2 and 1.9), less than $\sim 200 \mathrm{~km}$ SSE from the mouth of the paleo-

Mississippi river (Tripsanas et al., 2007), nearly the same distance to Basin 4; ESE at $\sim 200 \mathrm{~km}$. Westward trending surface currents, observed in this part of the modern GoM and thought to have occurred during Termination I (Williams, 1984), must have also existed during Termination II. The plume of isotopically light $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ meltwater was most likely pushed westward, across the Basin 4 area before mixing with the gulf waters. Only a very small plume of isotopically light meltwater, relatively isolated from the main freshwater plume by the westward currents, had to reach the Bryant Canyon area to explain the muted signal in core JPC 31 (Figures 1.2 and 1.9). These observations also agree with circulation patterns established in the modeling of Gulf of Mexico currents during the last glacial period (Brunner and Cooley, 1976). Alternatively, though not likely, the muted response in the Bryant Canyon cores could be at least partially explained by the observed lower sedimentation rates in core JPC 31 relative to the high sedimentation rates observed in the Basin 4 sediment accumulation. Relatively light $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values in the surface of the GoM at the location of core JPC 31 , would be averaged (muted) over a greater time span than the in the Basin 4 cores. This averaging effect can occur where sedimentation rates are low enough that one of these events is either much thinner than the 0.5 cm sample thickness used in this study or/and effect of bioturbation is enhanced by the low sedimentation rates.

In Basin $4, \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ derived SST at the beginning of TII in 1319 A is $\sim 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the meltwater pulse itself; the temperatures quickly rise during the pulse to more than $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by a perceived precipitous fall (which is due to the condensed section of hemipelagic sediment that begins at $\sim 32$ mbsf and marks a rapidly reduced sedimentation rate when compared to the clay sediments below it), and leveling off at $\sim 27.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after the
meltwater pulse episode. In the Orca Basin, SSTs for TI are $\sim 24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the meltwater pulse, and rise to $\sim 28-29^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during and after the meltwater pulse episode (Flower, 2004). Therefore, the two records show similar character and values (Figure 1.10a) with temperatures increasing a total of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during TI in the Orca Basin and $\sim 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during TII in Basin 4. $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {sw }}$ meltwater values for TII show a total amplitude of $2 \%$ as compared to the $\sim 3 \%$ amplitude of TI (Figure 1.10b). While TII is more muted than TI, much of this difference might have to do with the resolution in 1319A. MD-41 has a $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ amplitude of $\sim 4 \%$, the lower resolution 1319A record used for the paired $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ only has an amplitude of $\sim 3 \%$. It is therefore possible that higher resolution sampling, planned in a follow up study, would yield similar amplitudes as seen in the high resolution, $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {sw }}$ Orca Basin record. Because the 1319A and MD-41 TII $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ meltwater values are similar to meltwater $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ minimum values for TI in MD-41 (minimum values near $-4 \%$ ) (Figure 1.7), and the TII SST and $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {sw }}$ curves for 1319A are comparable to those from TI in the Orca Basin (Figure 1.10), we conclude that similar millennial-time scale climate oscillations affecting the GoM during TI were also occurring during TII, and can be explained as pulses of isotopically light LIS meltwater (Flower et al., 2004).

### 1.8.2 Termination II Reversal

Various records, both terrestrial and oceanic, show that a Younger Dryas-like climate reversal occurred during Termination II (Seidenkrantz et al., 1996; Cannariato and Kennett, 2005; Siddall et al., 2007 and references therein). This climate reversal is recognized as being global, with records from the previous references showing a significant, correlatable cooling event in the northern hemisphere. Quantifying the
duration and magnitude of the reversal is difficult, and each study conducted on this time period has weaknesses such as discontinuous records, lack of dating or dating with significant error bars. Speleothem hiatuses in Norway date the duration of this event from $132 \mathrm{ka} \pm 6.0 \mathrm{ka}$ to $128 \mathrm{ka} \pm 8.8 \mathrm{ka}$ (Lauritzen, 1995). These dates largely agree with other studies which show the end of an early Termination II highstand, using U/Th dates on Barbados corals, beginning after 133.5 ka (Thompson and Goldstein, 2005) and the end of a Termination II sea level fall, using U/Th dating on Aladdin's Cave corals, to occur at $126 \mathrm{ka}-134 \mathrm{ka}$ (Stirling et al., 1998) or an average of 130 ka (Siddall et al., 2007).

The magnitude of sea level fall during the TII climate reversal has been estimated at 55 to 75 m in relative sea level change using Huon Peninsula corals (Esat et al., 1999), and between $30 \mathrm{~m} \pm 12 \mathrm{~m}$ and $40 \pm 12 \mathrm{~m}$ in relative sea level change in the Red Sea using $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ of $G$. ruber (Siddall et al., 2007). The error in these estimates is potentially significant due to the tectonic activity and uncertainty in uplift rates of the Huon Peninsula, and the fact that the Red Sea method has previously been shown to underestimate sea level changes during Termination I (Antonioli et al., 2004). While understanding this uncertainty, we bracket the actual sea level fall between 30 and 75 m for this event using the smallest and largest values from these studies as our upper and lower bounds to bracket the magnitude of sea level change during this time.

The cooling during Termination II created a two-step deglaciation during the glacial MIS-6 to interglacial MIS-5 transition and demonstrates that the Younger Dryas cooling interval was not a unique phenomenon (Seidenkrantz et al., 1996). However, these two events do not have a direct one-to-one correlation. Termination I records are
punctuated by a series of three very rapid, meltwater pulse-derived sea level rises (Alley et al., 2005) and countering cooling events, however there is no evidence for events with measurable sea level falls (Fairbanks, 1989; Bard et al., 1990). This contrasts to the tens of meters of sea level fall believed to be associated with the Termination II reversal (Siddall et al., 2007).
$\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ records from MD-41 and Hole 1319A clearly show the Termination II climate reversal (Figure 1.5). While many studies have noted the existence of this event, it has never before been described in a GoM climate record. The Basin 4 records reinforce the argument that a significant worldwide cooling event occurred in marginal basins such as in the Santa Barbara Basin (Cannariato and Kennett, 2005), open oceans (Seidenkrantz et al., 1996), and on the continents (Schweger and Matthews, 1991) during this time by adding the GoM to the list of locations where this cooling event has occurred.

The beginning and end of the Termination II cold reversal in the MD-41 records is marked by meltwater pulses with $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values of $-3.25 \%$ and $-3.15 \%$, which is much lighter than the other points in the MIS 6/Termination II record in Basin 4. The very end of Termination II is marked by the largest meltwater pulse, recording values up to $\mathbf{- 3 . 8 \%}$ in MD-41 (Figure 1.5).

The cold reversal is bracketed between two large meltwater pulses into the Gulf of Mexico, which is a contrast to the Younger Dryas, and event that came after the major Termination I meltwater pulse events into the Gulf of Mexico had ended, with Laurentide Ice Sheet meltwater subsequently redirected into the North Atlantic (Marchitto and Wei, 1995). The cause of the Younger Dryas is thought to be linked to the eastward routing of
the Laurentide meltwater and shutdown of the North Atlantic deep water formation (Berger, 1990; Muscheler et al., 2000). If this series of events is also the cause for the Termination II cold reversal, the Basin 4 record of the reversal between two large meltwater pulses (Figure 1.5) provides an important chronology to the deglaciation and implies an early routing of meltwater to the North Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico, followed by cooling with associated sea level drop and ice sheet buildup, abruptly ending with a second large flux of meltwater into the Gulf of Mexico.

### 1.8.3 MIS 6/W-1 Interstadial

Greenland ice cores archive dramatic and abrupt climatic changes at millennial to decadal time scales during the last 80 ka in the northern hemisphere (Alley et al., 2000). Abrupt climate changes observed during MIS 6/W-1 are similar in character and duration to the MIS 3 oscillations, and are found globally (Cannariato and Kennett, 2005). Basin 4 records include an expanded interval preceding TII (Figure 1.4 b ), with oscillations similar to the MIS 6 interstadials of the Santa Barbara Basin (Kennett et al., 2000) and the MIS 3 climate oscillations in the Orca Basin (Hill et al, 2006). We use the higher resolution record in 1319A for discussion; however, 1320A confirms that high amplitude oscillations do exist in Basin 4.

Sea level was $\sim 80$ to 120 m below present during MIS 6/W1 (Chappell, 2002; Shackleton, 2000; Martinson et al., 1987; Imbrie et al., 1984; with $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ records converted to sea level in Bard et al., 2002) expected $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment values are from $\sim 0.7$ to $1.0 \%$ based on the relationship $0.083 \%$ per 10 m sea level change (Adkins and Schrag, 2001). Values $\leq-1.6 \%$ would indicate that sea surface temperatures were more than $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ higher
than the average modern Gulf of Mexico summer temperatures of $29^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Levitus, 2005), which is prohibitively large to explain such $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ anomalies (Flower, 2004) (using the equation $T=14.9-4.8\left[\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{c}}-\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{sw}}\right]$ where T is in degrees centigrade, the subscript c indicates carbonate, and the subscript sw indicates seawater; Thunell et al., 1999).

SSTs from $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ measurements verify that maximum temperature during MIS $6 / \mathrm{W} 1$ was a maximum of $28.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a minimum of $23.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during MIS $6 / \mathrm{W} 1$ (Figure 1.8 c ). This is, as expected, relatively colder than the today's mean of $29^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Levitus, 2005), but is in agreement with temperature variations observed in Orca Basin climate oscillations during MIS 3 (Figure 1.11a). $\quad \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {sw }}$ values for MIS $6 / \mathrm{Ml}$ in Basin 4 also fall within the range of those calculated in the Orca Basin during MIS 3 (Figure 1.11b). MD-41 has a detailed history of MIS 3 (Figure 1.7) and when compared to the MIS 6/W1 record in 1319A, there are striking similarities (Figure 1.12). MIS 6/W1 $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values are slightly heavier than the MIS $3 \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values, which can be attributed to the end of MIS 6 being a fully glacial interval, whereas the MIS 3 is an interstadial, and has interstadial $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values. The Orca Basin record during MIS 3 has shown how isotopically light Laurentide Ice Sheet meltwater affected the GoM planktonic foraminifer $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values. These MIS 3 meltwater events in the Orca Basin do not correlate to D/O cycles seen in the Greenland air temperature record, but rather to Antarctic warming events (Hill et al., 2006) as this conclusion had been already to be the case for TI (Flower et al., 2004).

Because the amplitudes and character of the events seen in Basin 4 are similar to those in MD-41 during MIS 3, and also Orca Basin MIS 3 melting events as seen in the SST and $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {sw }}$ records, the Basin 4 MIS 6 events must be a record of LIS melting during MIS 6. The amplitude of the MIS $6 \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{sw}}$ events is largely a response to the meltwater
draining from the paleo-Mississippi river and pushed by surface currents into the area of Basin 4.

Chronology can be estimated for the record using the analogs previously discussed. MIS 3 events lasted anywhere from 2-4 ka in the Orca Basin (Hill et al., 2006), while MIS 6 events in the Santa Barbara Basin (Cannariato and Kennett, 2005) lasted from $2.5-4 \mathrm{ka}$, depending on different chronologies. Applying this to our record, the bottom 120 m of the1319A core represents approximately $13-20 \mathrm{ka}$ of MIS 6. This largely agrees with the age constraints using G. menardii zonation, which bracketed the MIS 6 record at no longer than 21 ka . The age of the oldest part of 1319A lies between 148 and 156 ka using these constraints. These age constraints allow us to calculate sedimentation rates in Basin 4 during MIS 6 , which were fairly rapid; on the order of $\sim 6$ to 9 m per thousand years.

### 1.9 Conclusions

The greatly expanded record of Basin 4 has provided a detailed history of TII/Late MIS 6 using $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ of planktonic foraminifer $G$. ruber (white variety) with paired $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ measurements. In the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record, a series of millennial-scale climate oscillations are identified throughout MIS 6. Also, rapid climate oscillations during TII show a climate reversal with a $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ amplitude greater than $1.2 \%$, and several meltwater spikes approaching values of $-4 \%$. Paired $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ measurements are used to estimate variations in SST. These SST values are used to correct $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ for SST variabilities and derive $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{sw}}$, which gives information on the meltwater events entering the northern Gulf of Mexico.

SST based on $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ ratios show an overall cooling trend through the end of MIS 6 , reaching $\sim 24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at its coolest. Temperatures during TII quickly increased, reaching a peak values at $\sim 29-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {sw }}$ record shows several features that were not clear in the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ curve, including higher amplitudes during MIS 6 event E3, a second peak in E 2 , and a tapering of events during E1. In the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{sw}}$ record during TII, meltwater pulse amplitude decreases to $2 \%$ due to the large temperature increase during this time, however only $\sim 1 \%$ of this amplitude can be explained by the increase in sea level. The $\delta^{18}$ O MIS $6 /$ TII record in Basin 4 is intimately linked to millennial-scale events, namely meltwater pulses, originating from the LIS and routed into the northern Gulf of Mexico through the paleo-Mississippi river.

These results confirm other study's descriptions of MIS 6/TII millennial-scale climate oscillations (Cannariato and Kennett, 2005) and link them to changes in the LIS via meltwater routing into the GoM. $\quad \boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{SST}$, and $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {sw }}$ records during MIS $3 / \mathrm{TI}$ (Flower et al., 2004; Hill et al., 2006) are comparable to the results found in this study and we hypothesize that the sub-Milankovitch forcing mechanisms at work during MIS 3/ TI also explain the Basin 4 record of MIS $6 / \mathrm{TII}$, although this is nearly impossible to ascertain without a northern hemisphere ice sheet record. Because a northern hemisphere ice record older than MIS 5 does not exist, we conclude that the Basin 4 MIS 6/TII record could be used as a proxy for the growth and decay cycles of the LIS via the timing of meltwater events seen in the planktonic foraminiferal $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values.
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## Figures



Figure 1.1. Map of the Gulf of Mexico showing the location of Basin 4 and the Orca Basin. This figure is modified from http://ocean.explorer.noaa.gov.


Figure 1.2. Late Pleistocene paleogeógraphic map of the northwest Gulf of Mexico, showing locations of Basin 4 and Bryant Canyon cores in relation to the two main depositional systems influencing the area. The Mississippi River Delta during Termination II and late MIS 6 (Mississippi River delta information from Tripsanas et al., 2007), and the Brazos-Trinity (B-T) Delta during MIS 5 - MIS 2 (B-T delta information from Anderson et al., 2004). Numbered lobes in the B-T delta indicate chronological order of lobe formation. Prevailing surface currents in this part of the gulf explain the reason for the sensitivity of Basin 4 to meltwater fluxes and large volumes of fine sediment from the Mississippi River during MIS 6. From MIS 5 to MIS 2 the BrazosTrinity system became the dominant influence on Basin 4 (Mallarino et al., 2006).


Figure 1.3. A bathymetric map with the location for the three Basin 4 cores. The map is modified from Mallarino et al. (2006).

Table 1.1 Location and depth information for cores used in this study. Information for 1319A and 1320A from Flemings et al., 2006 information for MD03-2641 from Laj (2006).

| Core | Total Cored <br> Section $(\mathrm{m})$ | Latitude | Longitude | Water <br> Depth $(\mathrm{m})$ | Environment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1319A | 157.5 | $27^{\circ} 15.9751 \mathrm{~N}$ | $94^{\circ} 24.1908 \mathrm{~W}$ | 1429.6 | Basin slope |
| 1320A | 299.6 | $27^{\circ} 18.0809 \mathrm{~N}$ | $94^{\circ} 23.2537 \mathrm{~W}$ | 1480.4 | Basin floor |
| MD03-2641 | 39.6 | $27^{\circ} 17.352 \mathrm{~N}$ | $94^{\circ} 25.902 \mathrm{~W}$ | 1427 | Basin slope |



Figure 1.4a. Planktonic $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ records based upon Globigérinoides ruber for Basin 4 cores annotated with correlations of the ash layer Y8 and G. menardii zone X/W1 boundary.


Figure 1.4b. Planktic $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ records for Basin 4 cores, depth corrected to zero at the lightest meltwater peak in each record. Also, a Basin 4 bathymetric map with core locations (modified from Mallarino et al., 2006).


Figure 1.5. TII climate reversal (gray shaded area) observed in $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record of planktonic foraminifer $G$. ruber (white variety) cores 1319A and MD03-2641. G. menardii zone boundary (red line) seen in cores 1319A and MD03-2641, dated to approximately 129 ka (Kennett and Huddlestun, 1972). Correlation to Red Sea data, from Siddall et al. (2007), Aladdin's Cave data from McCullock and Esat (1999), and Gulf of Corinth data from Andrews et al. (2007).


Figure 1.6. $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ on $G$. ruber (white) from Basin 4 core 1319A. All G. ruber $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ measurements for core 1319A, shown with five-point smooth fit, and segmented into Eevents referred to in the text.

MD03-2641


Figure 1.7. $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ record of planktonic foraminifera $G$. ruber in Basin 4, core MD032641. This record is important for using its MIS 3 climate oscillations from 21 to 14 mbsf, and the TI meltwater spike at 4 mbsf for comparing to older $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ high amplitude variations observed in the other two sedimentary sequences analyzed in this study.


Figure 1.8. MIS 6/TII climate proxy records from Hole 1319A. Events E1 to E3, earlier identified in the high resolution $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ data (Figure 5), are shown. Sample spacing is generally every 75 cm , except from 30 to 32 mbsf , where sample spacing can be as narrow as 30 cm . (a) $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values measured from $G$. ruber (white variety). (b) $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ data versus depth in core. (c) Sea surface temperature calculated from $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ ratios. (d) $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {seawater }}$ after subtracting out the temperature effect and converting to VSMOW. Total error in calculating $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {sw }}$ is $\pm 3.1 \%$ or less than $\pm 0.06 \%$. For errors in previous calculations refer to Methods.


Figure 1.9. Termination I and II $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ meltwater responses in planktonic foraminifers throughout the Gulf of Mexico (yellow and blue highlighted areas respectively). Data from each of the cores were taken from the following: core 1, TR126-23 from Falls, 1980; core 2, MD03-2641 from this study; core 3, JPC-31 from Tripsanas et al., 2007; core 4, EN32-PC6 from Flower, 2004; core 5, ODP 625B from Joyce et al., 1990.


Figure 1.10. $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {sw }}$ and SST curves for TII in 1319A (Basin 4) and TI in the Orca Basin covering comparable meltwater events. The $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {sw }}$ TII signal in 1319A does not show quite the magnitude as the TI event, however the values to fall within the same range as the TI Orca Basin. Temperature changes are also comparable with warming through the meltwater event. Orca Basin values from Flower et al. (2004).


Figure 1.11. $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {sw }}$ and SST curves for MIS 6 in 1319A (Basin 4) are plotted with respect to depth, and MIS 3 in the Orca Basin, which are plotted with respect to age (ka), cover comparable meltwater events. The values from MIS 6 are generally comparable to those from MIS 3, with some variation in the oldest part of MIS 6. Orca Basin values from Hill et al. (2006).


Figure 1.12. $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ on G. ruber (white variety) from Basin 4 cores MD03-2641 and 1319A. The MIS 3 record from MD-41 (with clarifying top right corner figure) is overlain on top of the MIS 6 record from 1319A. Amplitudes of anomalous excursions for both time periods are similar to one another. Troughs in 1319A are slightly heavier than MD-41, possibly because MIS 6 is a fully glacial time period.

## APPENDIX A. Basin 4 Core Data

Table A.1. Data for Hole U1319A Latitude: $27^{\circ} 15.9751^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude: $94^{\circ} 24.1908^{\prime}$ W. Hole, Depth (mbsf), $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ (VPDB) (per mill), $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ (VPDB) (per mill).

| Hole | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (mbsf) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta^{18} \mathbf{0} \\ \text { per mill } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta^{13} \mathrm{C} \\ \text { per mill } \end{gathered}$ | Hole | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (mbsf) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{\delta}^{18} \mathbf{0} \\ \text { per mill } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta^{13} \mathrm{C} \\ \text { per mill } \end{gathered}$ | Hol | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (mbsf) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta^{18} \mathbf{O} \\ \text { per mill } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta^{13} \mathrm{C} \\ \text { per mill } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1319A | 22.58 | -2.2481 | 1.1760 | 1319A | 44.58 | -0.9587 | 0.4599 | 1319A | 65.08 | -0.4157 | 0.2297 |
| 1319A | 23.08 | -1.5053 | 1.6879 | 1319A | 45.32 | -1.0416 | 0.8504 | 1319A | 65.08 | -0.6844 | 0.6188 |
| 1319A | 23.58 | -0.7215 | 0.9876 | 1319A | 45.67 | -2.4505 | 0.5840 | 1319A | 65.81 | -1.2696 | 0.9881 |
| 1319A | 23.87 | -0.8225 | 1.2751 | 1319A | 46.07 | -1.6985 | 0.6755 | 1319A | 66.57 | -1.1002 | -0.3847 |
| 1319A | 24.09 | -1.8732 | 0.9064 | 1319A | 46.08 | -1.3038 | 0.7284 | 1319A | 66.57 | -0.4499 | 0.8072 |
| 1319A | 25.59 | -1.6372 | 0.3080 | 1319A | 46.83 | -1.5114 | 0.6496 | 1319A | 67.37 | -1.2657 | 0.9082 |
| 1319A | 27.09 | -2.4520 | -0.2710 | 1319A | 47.02 | -1.4120 | 0.9727 | 1319A | 68.05 | -1.2041 | -0.4770 |
| 1319A | 27.44 | -3.2358 | 0.3310 | 1319A | 7.56 | -1.2664 | 1.1483 | 1319A | 68.15 | -1.4868 | 0.7891 |
| 1319A | 27.81 | -1.2719 | 0.6379 | 1319A | 47.58 | -1.2060 | 1.1322 | 1319A | 68.86 | -1.2116 | 0.6474 |
| 1319A | 28.59 | -1.5882 | 0.7795 | 1319A | 48.31 | -1.4428 | 1.0002 | 1319A | 69.59 | -0.6087 | 0.3773 |
| 1319A | 29.53 | -1.3114 | 0.8411 | 1319A | 49.08 | -0.7449 | 1.0627 | 1319A | 69.58 | -1.2894 | -0.3075 |
| 1319A | 30.09 | -1.1863 | 1.0176 | 1319A | 49.09 | -1.1089 | 1.0633 | 1319A | 70.33 | -1.3620 | 0.2400 |
| 1319A | 30.13 | -2.1366 | 0.7167 | 1319A | 49.84 | -1.6920 | 0.7803 | 1319A | 71.07 | -2.0285 | 0.2447 |
| 1319A | 30.84 | -3.7207 | 0.7237 | 1319A | 50.57 | -1.2400 | 0.8525 | 1319A | 71.08 | -0.9234 | 0.0674 |
| 1319A | 31.06 | -3.0889 | 0.5509 | 1319A | 50.58 | -2.3302 | 1.2769 | 1319A | 71.60 | -1.6760 | 0.6041 |
| 1319A | 31.33 | -2.3402 | 0.257 | 1319A | 51.8 | -1.4321 | 0.8416 | 1319A | 71.60 | -1.6628 | 0.7392 |
| 1319A | 31.56 | -2.6798 | 0.1 | 1319A | 52.03 | -0.5072 | 0.9260 | 1319A | 72.31 | -1.4018 | 0.5441 |
| 1319A | 31.59 | -3.4816 | 0.1298 | 1319A | 52.58 | -1.7273 | 0.9464 | 1319A | 73.11 | -1.6956 | 0.7065 |
| 1319A | 31.67 | -2.6016 | 0.7547 | 1319A | 53.39 | -1.6046 | 0.6301 | 1319A | 73.10 | -1.2105 | 0.4037 |
| 1319A | 31.93 | -2.3318 | 0.3690 | 1319A | 54.07 | -1.0912 | 1.0821 | 1319A | 74.14 | -1.1664 | 0.8804 |
| 1319A | 32.24 | -2.1362 | 0.4673 | 1319A | 54.08 | -1.0073 | 0.8612 | 1319A | 74.15 | -0.8439 | 0.3727 |
| 1319A | 32.83 | -2.5042 | 0.573 | 1319A | 54.83 | -1.0231 | 1.12 | 1319A | 74.67 | -2.1506 | 0.8144 |
| 1319A | 33.52 | -1.4240 | 0.4451 | 1319A | 55.15 | -1.4089 | 1.1243 | 1319A | 75.42 | -1.3970 | 1.1136 |
| 1319A | 33.59 | -1.0135 | -0.1426 | 1319A | 55.15 | -0.8633 | 1.0676 | 1319A | 76.11 | -2.3264 | 0.7874 |
| 1319A | 34.21 | -0.2595 | 0.2226 | 1319A | 55.58 | -0.6441 | 0.6902 | 1319A | 76.10 | -2.1452 | 0.0454 |
| 1319A | 35.02 | -1.4610 | 0.7746 | 1319A | 55.59 | -2.1184 | 0.4970 | 1319A | 76.79 | -1.0582 | 0.8148 |
| 1319A | 35.09 | -1.9052 | 0.4985 | 1319A | 56.31 | -0.3595 | 0.9751 | 1319A | 77.59 | -1.4902 | 0.7017 |
| 1319A | 35.83 | -1.0050 | 0.6340 | 1319A | 57.07 | -1.0791 | 0.9736 | 1319A | 77.60 | -0.5638 | 0.3644 |
| 1319A | 36.15 | -0.7556 | 0.742 | 1319A | 57.08 | -1.0269 | 0.57 | 1319A | 78.34 | -0.9999 | 0.8153 |
| 1319A | 36.65 | -0.6070 | 0.8121 | 1319A | 57.82 | -1.6879 | 0.6925 | 1319A | 79.09 | -1.0927 | 0.4909 |
| 1319A | 37.28 | -0.8549 | 0.8989 | 1319A | 58.57 | -0.8450 | 0.7068 | 1319A | 79.10 | - -0.3382 | 0.3055 |
| 1319A | 38.09 | -1.8777 | 0.8968 | 1319A | 58.58 | -0.1199 | 0.1074 | 1319A | 79.60 | -1.1591 | 0.5081 |
| 1319A | 38.14 | -0.8647 | 0.885 | 1319A | 59.32 | -1.6999 | 0.8749 | 1319A | 80.52 | -1.0794 | 0.5275 |
| 1319A | 38.83 | -1.0302 | 1.0 | 1319A | 60.09 | -0.7039 | 0.8558 | 1319A | 81.09 | -1.3836 | 0.4796 |
| 1319A. | 39.58 | -1.9340 | 1.2 | 1319A | 60.1 | -0.8134 | 0.5679 | 1319A | 81.10 | -1.1109 | 0.6106 |
| 1319A | 39.59 | -0.4068 | 0.9450 | 1319A | 60.84 | -1.0273 | 0.9837 | 1319A | 81.84 | -1.5988 | 0.6533 |
| 1319A | 40.33 | -1.5956 | 0.8191 | 1319A | 61.54 | -2.2794 | 0.7069 | 1319A | 82.59 | -2.1447 | 0.6970 |
| 1319A | 41.08 | -1.5806 | 0.8101 | 1319A | 61.55 | -0.9129 | 0.8923 | 1319A | 82.60 | -1.2535 | -0.1138 |
| 1319A | 41.09 | -1.9454 | 0.8975 | 1319A | 62.08 | -1.4806 | 0.8881 | 1319A | 84.08 | -1.0395 | 0.4913 |
| 1319A | 41.83 | -1.1609 | 0.474 | 1319A | 62.085 | -0.8286 | 0.5468 | 1319A | 84.09 | -1.1961 | 0.0948 |
| 1319A | 42.52 | -1.4064 | 0.150 | 1319A | 62.83 | -1.5562 | 0.6535 | 1319A | 84.80 | -1.2758 | 0.7911 |
| 1319A | 42.6 | -2.4617 | 0.6721 | 1319A | 63.58 | -1.5011 | 0.9695 | 1319A | 85.57 | -0.5646 | -0.6501 |
| 1319A | 42.59 | -1.8613 | 0.5515 | 1319A | 63.585 | -1.1583 | 0.6666 | 1319A | 85.58 | -0.0166 | 0.2628 |
| 1319A | 43.08 | -0.7331 | 0.2989 | 1319A | 64.31 | -1.0282 | 1.0194 | 1319A | 86.40 | -0.7772 | 0.5956 |
| 1319A | 43.09 | -1.0338 | 0.6019 | 1319A | 64.66 | -1.1200 | 0.7190 | 1319A | 87.09 | -0.8698 | 0.1434 |
| 1319A | 43.84 | -1.2932 | 0.7827 | 1319A | 64.65 | -1.0894 | 0.3055 | 1319A | 87.10 | -1.1906 | 0.4910 |


| Hole | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (mbsf) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta^{18} 0 \\ \text { per milil } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta^{13} \mathrm{C} \\ \text { per mill } \end{gathered}$ | Hole | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (mbsf) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta^{18} \mathbf{O} \\ \text { per mill } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8^{83} \mathrm{C} \\ \text { per mill } \end{gathered}$ | Hole | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (mbsf) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta^{18} \mathbf{O} \\ \text { per mill } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta^{13} \mathrm{C} \\ \text { per mill } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1319A | 87.67 | -1.0156 | 0.5239 | 1319A | 111.18 | -0.8664 | 0.3958 | 1319A | 134.78 | -2.7485 | 0.4090 |
| 1319A | 88.58 | -0.8890 | 0.8848 | 1319A | 111.93 | -0.8438 | 0.2582 | 1319A | 138.32 | -0.4582 | 0.7254 |
| 1319A | 88.57 | -1.1165 | 0.6324 | 1319A | 111.93 | -2.8111 | 0.0422 | 1319A | 138.88 | -0.9099 | 0.7954 |
| 1319A | 89.99 | -2.3591 | 0.7211 | 1319A | 112.68 | -1.1099 | 0.3470 | 1319A | 139.63 | -1.9331 | 0.7515 |
| 1319A | 90.16 | -0.3932 | 0.3542 | 1319A | 113.43 | -1.4938 | 0.4519 | 1319A | 140.38 | -1.4676 | 0.5007 |
| 1319A | 90.7 | -1.2691 | 0.4446 | 1319A | 114.62 | -2.5188 | 0.1538 | 1319A | 141.45 | -0.4880 | 0.4488 |
| 1319A | 90.71 | -2.1383 | 0.3191 | 1319A | 115.18 | -1.9140 | 0.5505 | 1319A | 141.45 | -2.0056 | 0.9301 |
| 1319A | 91.78 | -1.3752 | 0.8243 | 1319A | 115.93 | -2.4365 | 0.3740 | 1319A | 141.88 | -0.5718 | 0.1462 |
| 1319A | 91.77 | -2.4007 | 1.2290 | 1319A | 116.68 | -1,2384 | 0.5454 | 1319A | 142.63 | -0.8408 | 1.0898 |
| 1319A | 92.2 | -0.9363 | 0.2839 | 1319A | 117.40 | -0.9882 | 0.3478 | 1319A | 143.37 | -1.9909 | 0.6158 |
| 1319A | 92.2 | -1.1270 | 0.5704 | 1319A | 118.18 | -1.7137 | 0.4754 | 1319A | 143.38 | -0.4538 | 0.6010 |
| 1319A | 92.95 | -1.0894 | 0.4227 | 1319A | 118.18 | -1.7934 | 0.4305 | 1319A | 144.88 | -0.4068 | 0.1565 |
| 1319A | 93.69 | -2.0318 | 0.6486 | 1319A | 118.62 | -1.7251 | 0.4902 | 1319A | 144.89 | -0.7680 | 0.5278 |
| 1319A | 93.7 | -2.4436 | 0.8559 | 1319A | 119.18 | -1.1781 | 0.8119 | 1319A | 145.88 | -1.2977 | 0.9773 |
| 1319A | 94.45 | -0.6264 | 0.7973 | 1319A | 119.69 | -1.4042 | 1.2111 | 1319A | 148.00 | -1.6439 | 0.6182 |
| 1319A | 95.19 | -1.2445 | 0.6995 | 1319A | 119.69 | -1.1629 | 0.3065 | 1319A | 148.48 | -1.9165 | 0.7960 |
| 1319A | 95.2 | -0.8810 | -0.0898 | 1319A | 120.29 | -0.1109 | 0.5278 | 1319A | 148.48 | -2.8746 | 0.4217 |
| 1319A | 95.94 | -1.2065 | 0.6808 | 1319A | 121.18 | -1.9026 | 0.1073 | 1319A | 149.23 | -0.8004 | 0.6637 |
| 1319A | 96.7 | -0.5187 | 0.5516 | 1319A | 121.18 | -2.3408 | 0.4705 | 1319A | 149.97 | -1.8136 | 1.0208 |
| 1319A | 97.45 | -1.8329 | 0.4113 | 1319A | 121.93 | -1.1511 | 0.7537 | 1319A | 151.05 | -0.7045 | 0.8460 |
| 1319A | 98.64 | -1.2047 | 0.3258 | 1319A | 122.24 | -2.6415 | 0.3267 | 1319A | 151.05 | -1.3024 | 0.4892 |
| 1319A | 99.2 | -1.7411 | 0.8004 | 1319A | 122.24 | -2.1141 | 0.8837 | 1319A | 151.50 | -0.5487 | 0.3836 |
| 1319A | 99.79 | -0.7329 | 0.2857 | 1319A | 122.68 | -1.3004 | -0.1415 | 1319A | 152.20 | -1.3100 | 0.9623 |
| 1319A | 99.78 | -1.3813 | 0.1447 | 1319A | 122.68 | -0.4554 | 0.4457 | 1319A | 153.00 | -1.2117 | 0.6413 |
| 1319A | 100.53 | -1.1608 | 1.0004 | 1319A | 123.45 | -2.1337 | 0.4052 | 1319A | 153.75 | -1.1888 | 0.8073 |
| 1319A | 101.28 | -1.1029 | 0.4300 | 1319A | 124.18 | -1.1867 | 1.1214 | 1319A | 155.42 | -1.5276 | 0.1885 |
| 1319A | 102.01 | -0.8227 | 0.4558 | 1319A | 124.18 | -0.0809 | 0.1762 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 102.35 | -1.6383 | 0.2224 | 1319A | 124.92 | -1.0240 | 0.9491 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 102.36 | -2.0934 | 0.4614 | 1319A | 125.68 | -0.7757 | 0.5252 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 102.78 | -1.8141 | 0.7956 | 1319A | 125.68 | -1.3447 | 1.3603 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 102.79 | -1.4049 | 0.4736 | 1319A | 128.77 | -2.3647 | 0.5239 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 103.53 | -1.0972 | 0.9426 | 1319A | 129.27 | -1.7014 | 0.9618 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 104.31 | -0.4905 | 0.3245 | 1319A | 129.28 | -1.0618 | 0.6385 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 104.3 | -0.6000 | 0.4588 | 1319A | 130.02 | -1.3535 | 1.3230 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 105.06 | -0.4195 | 0.2220 | 1319A | 130.78 | -2.0136 | 0.6529 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 105.78 | -1.1559 | 0.3903 | 1319A | 130.78 | -0.5790 | 0.7541 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 105.79 | -2.7408 | 0.5922 | 1319A | 131.53 | -1.4478 | 0.6686 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 106.72 | -1.9962 | 0.8212 | 1319A | 131.83 | -1.6047 | 1.0956 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 107.58 | -0.4282 | 0.6957 | 1319A | 132.28 | -1.1792 | 0.6377 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 108.03 | 0.0771 | 0.0207 | 1319A | 132.28 | -0.8075 | 0.6801 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 108.87 | -1.4573 | -0.1980 | 1319A | 132.88 | -0.9619 | 0.9980 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 109.1 | -1.2734 | 0.4992 | 1319A | 133.27 | -1.1948 | 0.7370 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 109.11 | -0.5286 | 0.0197 | 1319A | 133.77 | -1.7342 | 0.7823 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 109.53 | -0.4574 | 0.2558 | 1319A | 133.78 | -0.3122 | -0.1051 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 109.87 | -1.4046 | 0.1022 | 1319A | 134.23 | -2.0239 | 0.8485 |  |  |  |  |
| 1319A | 110.44 | -0.2563 | 0.8755 | 1319A | 134.77 | -1.7943 | 1.0919 |  |  |  |  |

Table A.2. Data for Hole U1320A Latitude: $27^{\circ} 18.0809^{\prime}$ N, Longitude: $94^{\circ} 23.2537^{\prime}$ W. Hole, Depth (mbsf), $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ (VPDB) (per mill), $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ (VPDB) (per mill).

| Hole | Depth (mbsf) | $\begin{gathered} \delta^{18} 0 \\ \text { per mill } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta^{13} \mathrm{C} \\ \text { per mill } \end{gathered}$ | Hole | Depth (mbsf) | $\begin{gathered} \delta^{18} \mathrm{O} \\ \text { per mill } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta^{13} \mathrm{C} \\ \text { per mill } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1320A | 138.82 | -1.8787 | 1.2689 | 1320A | 258.1 | -0.9907 | 0.3485 |
| 1320A | 140.29 | -0.7381 | 0.9488 | 1320A | 259.6 | -1.6766 | 0.5204 |
| 1320A | 141.8 | -0.4497 | 0.9955 | 1320A | 261.7 | -1.2776 | 0.3721 |
| 1320A | 143.28 | -2.1487 | 1.1789 | 1320A | 263.2 | -1.6774 | 0.6038 |
| 1320A | 144.79 | -1.3069 | 0.9701 | 1320A | 264.24 | -0.7431 | 1.0470 |
| 1320A | 146.19 | -2.6640 | 0.9197 | 1320A | 267.7 | 0.8217 | 0.2338 |
| 1320A | 158.79 | -3.4591 | 0.7364 | 1320A | 271.3 | -0.6647 | 0.0836 |
| 1320A | 184.72 | -0.6708 | 0.7561 | 1320A | 272.8 | 0.1090 | 0.0704 |
| 1320A | 187.72 | -0.8185 | 0.5091 | 1320A | 275.8 | -0.7878 | 0.5898 |
| 1320A | 189.22 | -0.6459 | 1.1187 | 1320A | 277.31 | -1.2063 | 0.4741 |
| 1320A | 190.72 | -2.0673 | 0.6668 | 1320A | 282.38 | -0.5320 | -0.4595 |
| 1320A | 193.22 | -0.9739 | 0.7666 | 1320A | 283.88 | -2.2402 | 0.3656 |
| 1320A | 194.39 | -1.8354 | 0.1726 | 1320A | 285.38 | -1.2893 | 0.5720 |
| 1320A | 195.88 | -0.8969 | 0.2611 | 1320A | 286.93 | -0.2338 | -0.0456 |
| 1320A | 197.39 | -2.1446 | 0.8090 | 1320A | 290.49 | 0.3754 | 0.0074 |
| 1320A | 198.88 | -0.8005 | 0.9359 | 1320A | 291.99 | -0.4714 | 0.3948 |
| 1320A | 200.38 | -1.0304 | 0.4041 | 1320A | 293.04 | -1.6063 | -0.7205 |
| 1320A | 203.98 | -1.2134 | 0.7463 | 1320A | 293.49 | -1.9165 | 0.6852 |
| 1320A. | 205.48 | -0.6774 | 0.8380 | 1320A | 294.98 | -0.5051 | 0.2168 |
| 1320A | 206.98 | -1.6393 | 0.8531 | 1320A | 296.51 | -0.7955 | 0.7515 |
| 1320A | 208.49 | -1.3746 | 1.0005 | 1320A | 297.98 | -0.8906 | 0.2317 |
| 1320A | 209.99 | -2.2671 | 0.8145 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 211.48 | -1.3792 | 1.0373 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 213.58 | -1.0072 | 0.6241 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 215.08 | -1.4438 | 0.7584 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 216.58 | -1.6329 | 0.8080 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 218.08 | -1.7085 | 0.5552 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 219.58 | -0.6911 | 0.4283 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 221.08 | -0.6386 | 0.4263 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 223.18 | -2.3130 | -0.1700 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 225.99 | -1.3081 | 0.6899 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 227.49 | -0.7113 | 0.2976 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 228.99 | -0.6771 | 0.2222 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 230.49 | -2.0591 | 0.6485 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 232.78 | -1.3303 | 0.5628 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 234.28 | -0.3899 | 0.4328 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 235.78 | -0.1254 | -0.0274 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 237.28 | -0.8550 | 0.2991 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 238.78 | -1.2001 | 0.5738 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 242.48 | -2.9534 | 0.3739 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 243.98 | -0.9305 | 0.6871 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 245.48 | -1.7119 | 0.7398 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 246.98 | -2.1372 | 0.1218 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 249.91 | -2.3093 | 0.5518 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 252.1 | -1.1774 | 0.6083 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 255.1 | -0.3611 | 0.4240 |  |  |  |  |
| 1320A | 256.6 | -0.4941 | 0.0394 |  |  |  |  |

Table A.3. Data for MD03-2641, Basin 4, Latitude: $27^{\circ} 17.352 \mathrm{~N}$, Longitude: $94^{\circ} 25.902^{\prime}$ W. Hole, Depth (mbsf), $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ (VPDB) (per mill).

| Hole | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (mbsf) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{\delta}^{180} \mathbf{O} \text { (VPDB) } \\ & \text { (per mill) } \end{aligned}$ | Hole | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (mbsf) } \end{aligned}$ | $8^{18} 0$ (VPDB) (per mill) | Hole | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (mbsf) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathbf{O} \text { (VPDB) } \\ \text { (per mill) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MD03-26 | 0.3 | -1.62946 | MD03-2641 | 5.7 | -2.2057119 | MD03-2641 | 15.8 | -1.5388505 |
| MD03-2641 | 0.4 | -1.5869861 | MD03-2641 | 5.8 | -1.3694222 | MD03-2641 | 15.9 | -1.2519916 |
| MD03-2641 | 0.5 | -1.6144119 | MD03-2641 | 6 | -2.4565951 | MD03-2641 | 16 | -1.926632 |
| MD03-2641 | 0.6 | -1.365796 | MD03-2641 | 6.1 | -1.9444712 | MD03-2641 | 16.1 | -1.4822506 |
| MD03-2641 | 0.7 | -1.6279004 | MD03-2641 | 6.3 | -1.4989124 | MD03-2641 | 16.2 | -1.0904937 |
| MD03-2641 | 1.5 | -1.2578348 | MD03-2641 | 6.5 | -0.79310601 | MD03-2641 | 16.3 | -1.3807937 |
| MD03-2641 | 1.6 | -1.5816119 | MD03-2641 | 6.6 | -1.0922647 | MD03-2641 | 16.4 | -0.92579632 |
| MD03-2641 | 1.7 | -1.5160129 | MD03-2641 | 6.7 | -1.8564487 | MD03-2641 | 16.5 | -1.4967052 |
| MD03-2641 | 1.8 | -1.311053 | MD03-2641 | 6.9 | -1.4071503 | MD03-2641 | 16.6 | -1.165793 |
| MD03-2641 | 1.9 | -1.6038466 | MD03-2641 | 7 | -2.1702826 | MD03-2641 | 16.7 | -1.2614053 |
| MD03-2641 | 2 | -1.456989 | MD03-2641 | 7.1 | -0.95915871 | MD03-2641 | 16.8 | -1.630796 |
| MD03-2641 | 2.1 | -1.5120996 | MD03-2641 | 7.2 | -1.0937675 | MD03-2641 | 16.9 | -0.63506442 |
| MD03-2641 | 2.2 | -1.7170783 | MD03-2641 | 7.3 | -1.3366153 | MD03-2641 | 17 | -0.53175383 |
| MD03-2641 | 2.3 | -1.4080891 | MD03-2641 | 7.4 | -2.222799 | MD03-2641 | 17.1 | -1.6419265 |
| MD03-2641 | 2.4 | -1.1479791 | MD03-2641 | 7.5 | -1.2269005 | MD03-2641 | 17.1 | -2.5041064 |
| MD03-2641 | 2.5 | -1.2839707 | MD03-2641 | 7.8 | -1.8127098 | MD03-2641 | 17.2 | -1.8348154 |
| MD03-2641 | 2.6 | -1.5616047 | MD03-2641 | 7.9 | -1.373873 | MD03-2641 | 17.3 | -1.6211733 |
| MD03-2641 | 2.7 | -1.8181355 | MD03-2641 | 8 | -0.80741333 | MD03-2641 | 17.4 | -0.86071115 |
| MD03-2641 | 2.8 | -1.8889896 | MD03-2641 | 8.2 | -1.8707367 | MD03-2641 | 17.5 | -1.8395009 |
| MD03-2641 | 2.9 | -1.5216723 | MD03-2641 | 8.3 | -1.7398607 | MD03-2641 | 17.6 | -1.0314293 |
| MD03-2641 | 3 | -1.3605618 | MD03-2641 | 8.4 | -1.7074094 | MD03-2641 | 17.7 | -1.2684744 |
| MD03-2641 | 3.1 | -1.6370031 | MD03-2641 | 8.5 | -1.8041339 | MD03-2641 | 17.8 | -2.0117685 |
| MD03-2641 | 3.2 | -1.4854279 | MD03-2641 | 8.6 | -1.7400763 | MD03-2641 | 17.9 | -1.3916841 |
| MD03-2641 | 3.3 | -1.7648658 | MD03-2641 | 8.8 | -1.7161859 | MD03-2641 | 18 | -0.8728488 |
| MD03-2641 | 3.4 | -1.6490325 | MD03-2641 | 9 | -0.87321013 | MD03-2641 | 18.1 | -1.2883479 |
| MD03-2641 | 3.5 | -2.1264842 | MD03-2641 | 9.1 | -1.1610379 | MD03-2641 | 18.2 | -1.517878 |
| MD03-2641 | 3.6 | -1.4950655 | MD03-2641 | 9.3 | -1.0351303 | MD03-2641 | 18.3 | -1.0284831 |
| MD03-2641 | 3.7 | -2.1319493 | MD03-2641 | 9.4 | -1.666319 | MD03-2641 | 18.4 | -1.1367215 |
| MD03-2641 | 3.8 | -1.5595734 | MD03-2641 | 9.5 | -1.0897531 | MD03-2641 | 18.5 | -1.8184074 |
| MD03-2641 | 3.9 | -1.3429598 | MD03-2641 | 9.7 | -2.293599 | MD03-2641 | 18.6 | -0.85263929 |
| MD03-2641 | 4 | -3.472135 | MD03-2641 | 9.8 | -2.6253899 | MD03-2641 | 18.7 | -1.1178317 |
| MD03-2641 | 4.1 | -4.0767693 | MD03-2641 | 13.8 | -0.99605196 | MD03-2641 | 18.8 | -1.2586579 |
| MD03-2641 | 4.2 | -3.5884585 | MD03-2641 | 13.9 | -1.8436199 | MD03-2641 | 18.9 | -1.750495 |
| MD03-2641 | 4.3 | -3.6576861 | MD03-2641 | 14 | -1.7709431 | MD03-2641 | 19 | -1.2426647 |
| MD03-2641 | 4.4 | -2.8049832 | MD03-2641 | 14.2 | -1.1823563 | MD03-2641 | 19.1 | -1.3848877 |
| MD03-2641 | 4.5 | -2.9136198 | MD03-2641 | 14.3 | -1.1819049 | MD03-2641 | 19.2 | -2.078637 |
| MD03-2641 | 4.6 | -2.2655706 | MD03-2641 | 14.5 | -1.2646278 | MD03-2641 | 19.3 | -1.449614 |
| MD03-2641 | 4.7 | -1.6160422 | MD03-2641 | 14.6 | -1.6268466 | MD03-2641 | 19.4 | -1.4774413 |
| MD03-2641 | 4.8 | -1.034552 | MD03-2641 | 14.8 | -1.6039308 | MD03-2641 | 19.5 | -1.8661177 |
| MD03-2641 | 4.9 | -1.571979 | MD03-2641 | 14.9 | -1.4705151 | MD03-2641 | 19.6 | -1.8494928 |
| MD03-2641 | 5 | -1.777216 | MD03-2641 | 15 | -1.1723505 | MD03-2641 | 19.7 | -1.3273522 |
| MD03-2641 | 5.1 | -1.1489544 | MD03-2641 | 15.2 | -1.1009672 | MD03-2641 | 19.8 | -0.58590189 |
| MD03-2641 | 5.2 | -1.43176 | MD03-2641 | 15.3 | -1.6750958 | MD03-2641 | 19.9 | -1.1698122 |
| MD03-2641 | 5.3 | -1.2641502 | MD03-2641 | 15.4 | -0.61063528 | MD03-2641 | 20 | -1.8097255 |
| MD03-2641 | 5.4 | -1.9584786 | MD03-2641 | 15.5 | -1.6123357 | MD03-2641 | 20.1 | -1.7945565 |
| MD03-2641 | 5.5 | -1.5140117 | MD03-2641 | 15.6 | -1.7879197 | MD03-2641 | 20.2 | -1.2138404 |
| MD03-2641 | 5.6 | -1.1429583 | MD03-2641 | 15.7 | -1.9193843 | MD03-2641 | 20.3 | -0.65283505 |


| Hole | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (mbsf) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O} \text { (VPDB) } \\ \text { (per mill) } \end{gathered}$ | Hole | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (mbsf) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O} \text { (VPDB) } \\ \text { (per mill) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hole | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (mbsf) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O} \text { (VPDB) } \\ \text { (per mill) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MD03-2641 | 20.4 | -1.5698965 | MD03-2641 | 25.1 | -1.3658917 | MD03-2641 | 32.1 | -2.8471532 |
| MD03-2641 | 20.5 | -1.5316564 | MD03-2641 | 25.2 | -1.2551471 | MD03-2641 | 32.2 | -3.2338622 |
| MD03-2641 | 20.6 | -1.2206191 | MD03-2641 | 25.3 | -1.5651196 | MD03-2641 | 32.3 | -3.813805 |
| MD03-2641 | 20.7 | -1.3163456 | MD03-2641 | 25.4 | -1.5926418 | MD03-2641 | 32.4 | -2.8460613 |
| MD03-2641 | 20.8 | -1.748122 | MD03-2641 | 25.5 | -1.3689732 | MD03-2641 | 32.6 | -1.6359432 |
| MD03-2641 | 20.9 | -1.2837934 | MD03-2641 | 25.6 | -1.2586975 | MD03-2641 | 32.7 | -2.0104104 |
| MD03-2641 | 21 | -0.5317829 | MD03-2641 | 25.7 | -1.6531008 | MD03-2641 | 32.8 | -2.0121929 |
| MD03-2641 | 21.1 | -0.43936876 | MD03-2641 | 25.8 | -1.4305539 | MD03-2641 | 33 | -1.4506879 |
| MD03-2641 | 21.2 | -0.73343471 | MD03-2641 | 25.9 | -1.9364142 | MD03-2641 | 33.1 | -3.1750616 |
| MD03-2641 | 21.3 | -1.1092498 | MD03-2641 | 26 | -1.8586659 | MD03-2641 | 33.3 | -0.96433375 |
| MD03-2641 | 21.4 | -0.84067783 | MD03-2641 | 26.1 | -1.5561528 | MD03-2641 | 33.6 | -2.0819721 |
| MD03-2641 | 21.5 | -0.86189429 | MD03-2641 | 26.3 | -1.4666065 | MD03-2641 | 33.8 | -2.1552662 |
| MD03-2641 | 21.6 | -0.23297799 | MD03-2641 | 26.4 | -2.0993718 | MD03-2641 | 34 | -2.2301146 |
| MD03-2641 | 21.7 | -0.40039769 | MD03-2641 | 26.5 | -2.0934316 | MD03-2641 | 34.1 | -2.6626459 |
| MD03-2641 | 21.8 | -0.71736315 | MD03-2641 | 26.6 | -2.2681576 | MD03-2641 | 34.3 | -2.5047177 |
| MD03-2641 | 21.9 | -1.3125396 | MD03-2641 | 26.7 | -2.3462438 | MD03-2641 | 34.4 | -1.0971849 |
| MD03-2641 | 22 | -0.88581566 | MD03-2641 | 26.8 | -2.5786626 | MD03-2641 | 34.6 | -2.0688598 |
| MD03-2641 | 22.1 | -0.28473214 | MD03-2641 | 27.1 | -3.0520096 | MD03-2641 | 35 | -1.7680453 |
| MD03-2641 | 22.2 | -1.6587706 | MD03-2641 | 27.6 | -2.2321963 | MD03-2641 | 35.7 | -1.2173545 |
| MD03-2641 | 22.3 | -1.0526049 | MD03-2641 | 27.7 | -3.0639633 | MD03-2641 | 35.8 | -2.1597485 |
| MD03-2641 | 22.4 | -0.68861697 | MD03-2641 | 28.6 | -2.8769722 | MD03-2641 | 35.9 | -3.2352328 |
| MD03-2641 | 22.5 | -0.8806021 | MD03-2641 | 28.7 | -3.2832354 | MD03-2641 | 35.9 | -1.7340082 |
| MD03-2641 | 22.6 | -1.4217253 | MD03-2641 | 28.9 | -2.3206784 | MD03-2641. | 36.7 | -2.519314 |
| MD03-2641 | 22.7 | -0.92122159 | MD03-2641 | 29 | -2.516779 | MD03-2641 | 36.8 | -2.0375383 |
| MD03-2641 | 22.8 | -1.429892 | MD03-2641 | 29.1 | -2.4405908 | MD03-2641 | 36.9 | -1.8525775 |
| MD03-2641 | 22.9 | -1.3497555 | MD03-2641 | 29.6 | -2.4160597 | MD03-2641 | 37 | -1.1914902 |
| MD03-2641 | 23 | -1.7022256 | MD03-2641 | 29.9 | -2.2821593 | MD03-2641 | 37.4 | -0.19412499 |
| MD03-2641 | 23.1 | -1.9584638 | MD03-2641 | 30 | -2.4171704 | MD03-2641 | 37.8 | -0.71301863 |
| MD03-2641 | 23.2 | -2.2950303 | MD03-2641 | 30.1 | -2.1914121 | MD03-2641 | 38 | -0.82075617 |
| MD03-2641 | 23.3 | -2.8584966 | MD03-2641 | 30.2 | -1.9190162 | MD03-2641 | 38.2 | -0.057981358 |
| MD03-2641 | 23.4 | -2.5837839 | MD03-2641 | 30.4 | -1.6763493 | MD03-2641 | 38.4 | -1.3185424 |
| MD03-2641 | 23.5 | -2.3222856 | MD03-2641 | 30.5 | -1.2061886 | MD03-2641 | 38.6 | -0.076920746 |
| MD03-2641 | 23.6 | -2.4495429 | MD03-2641 | 30.6 | -1.4149815 | MD03-2641 | 38.7 | -0.24119682 |
| MD03-2641 | 23.7 | -2.1919529 | MD03-2641 | 30.7 | -1.5993678 | MD03-2641 | 38.8 | -0.58666173 |
| MD03-2641 | 23.8 | -1.9364364 | MD03-2641 | 30.8 | -1.5873462 | MD03-2641 | 39.1 | 0.002667473 |
| MD03-2641 | 23.9 | -1.0591259 | MD03-2641 | 30.9 | -1.8428415 | MD03-2641 | 39.2 | -0.30211574 |
| MD03-2641 | 24 | -1.820406 | MD03-2641 | 31 | -1.9715698 | MD03-2641 | 39.4 | -0.16397326 |
| MD03-2641 | 24.1 | -2.4236125 | MD03-2641 | 31.1 | -1.4578448 |  |  |  |
| MD03-2641 | 24.2 | -2.1659368 | MD03-2641 | 31.2 | -2.2138917 |  |  |  |
| MD03-2641 | 24.3 | -1.4180794 | MD03-2641 | 31.3 | -2.3262918 |  |  |  |
| MD03-2641 | 24.4 | -1.6805595 | MD03-2641 | 31.4 | -1.8762751 |  |  |  |
| MD03-2641 | 24.5 | -1.3363933 | MD03-2641 | 31.5 | -2.7484348 |  |  |  |
| MD03-2641 | 24.6 | -1.2969437 | MD03-2641 | 31.6 | -2.9081961 |  |  |  |
| MD03-2641 | 24.7 | -1.0554105 | MD03-2641 | 31.7 | -2.313039 |  |  |  |
| MD03-2641 | 24.8 | -1.2574541 | MD03-2641 | 31.8 | -3.2443008 |  |  |  |
| MD03-2641 | 24.9 | -1.4331907 | MD03-2641 | 31.9 | -2.9848125 |  |  |  |
| MD03-2641 | 25 | -1.3159272 | MD03-2641 | 32 | -2.9474395 |  |  |  |

## Appendix B: Mg/Ca Data

Table B.1. $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ Data for Hole 1319A
Core IODP-1319A $\quad$ Species: $\quad$ G. ruber $\quad$ Size Specimen: $\mathbf{1 5 0 - 2 5 0} \boldsymbol{\mu m}$

| Depth (mbsf) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca} \\ (\mathrm{mmol} / \\ \mathrm{mol}) \end{gathered}$ |  | AI/Ca mmol/ mol | $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Ca}$ mmol mol | $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Mg}$ mol/mol | $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{Ca}$ mmol mol | $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{Fe}$ mol/ mol | $\underset{\text { temp }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{\text { SST }}$ | $\delta^{18} O$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{sw}} \\ & \text { (VSMOW) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27.44 | 4.895 | 1.481 | 0.129 | 0.264 | 0.055 | 0.783 | 2.969 | 28.39 | -3.23 | -0.153 |
| 27.81 | 4.259 | 1.518 | 0.115 | 0.105 | 0.025 | 0.723 | 6.869 | 26.85 | -1.27 | 1.488 |
| 29.53 | 4.386 | 1.479 | 0.143 | 0.274 | 0.064 | 1.674 | 6.106 | 27.17 | -1.31 | 1.516 |
| 30.13 | 4.883 | 1.468 | 0.112 | 0.369 | 0.077 | 2.116 | 5.738 | 28.36 | -2.13 | 0.939 |
| 30.84 | 4.990 | 1.477 | 0.110 | 0.268 | 0.055 | 1.046 | 3.906 | 28.61 | -3.72 | -0.594 |
| 31.06 | 4.637 | 1.452 | 0.119 | 0.261 | 0.057 | 0.957 | 3.663 | 27.79 | -3.08 | -0.131 |
| 31.33 | 4.571 | 1.473 | 0.108 | 0.220 | 0.049 | 0.655 | 2.985 | 27.63 | -2.34 | 0.583 |
| 31.56 | 4.034 | 1.460 | 0.123 | 0.343 | 0.087 | 0.713 | 2.077 | 26.24 | -2.67 | -0.045 |
| 31.67 | 5.815 | 1.437 | 0.130 | 0.294 | 0.051 | 0.560 | 1.901 | 30.31 | -2.601 | 0.879 |
| 31.93 | 5.457 | 1.460 | 0.118 | 0.251 | 0.047 | 0.506 | 2.013 | 29.60 | -2.33 | 1.001 |
| 32.24 | 4.769 | 1.467 | 0.099 | 0.675 | 0.145 | 0.434 | 0.643 | 28.10 | -2.13 | 0.885 |
| 32.83 | 4.502 | 1.454 | 0.127 | 0.626 | 0.143 | 0.615 | 0.983 | 27.46 | -2.50 | 0.384 |
| 33.52 | 3.576 | 1.449 | 0.112 | 0.599 | 0.173 | 0.582 | 0.971 | 24.90 | -1.42 | 0.931 |
| 35.02 | 4.122 | 1.464 | 0.060 | 0.574 | 0.142 | 0.378 | 0.658 | 26.48 | -1.46 | 1.223 |
| 35.83 | 3.763 | 1.446 | 0.104 | 0.719 | 0.197 | 0.473 | 0.658 | 25.47 | -1.00 | 1.468 |
| 36.65 | 3.431 | 1.453 | 0.119 | 0.763 | 0.230 | 0.345 | 0.452 | 24.45 | -0.60 | 1.652 |
| 37.28 | 3.740 | 1.443 | 0.124 | 0.660 | 0.182 | 0.580 | 0.879 | 25.40 | -0.85 | 1.604 |
| 38.14 | 3.925 | 1.435 | 0.124 | 0.654 | 0.171 | 0.388 | 0.593 | 25.94 | -0.86 | 1.706 |
| 38.83 | 3.381 | 1.439 | 0.108 | 0.608 | 0.186 | 0.499 | 0.821 | 24.28 | -1.03 | 1.195 |
| 39.58 | 4.216 | 1.459 | 0.072 | 0.727 | 0.176 | 0.398 | 0.548 | 26.73 | -1.93 | 0.802 |
| 40.33 | 4.004 | 1.508 | 0.081 | 0.888 | 0.227 | 0.416 | 0.468 | 26.16 | -1.59 | 1.021 |
| 41.08 | 3.776 | 1.455 | 0.106 | 0.740 | 0.201 | 0.393 | 0.531 | 25.51 | -1.58 | 0.900 |
| 42.52 | 3.807 | 1.478 |  | 0.818 | 0.220 | 0.215 | 0.263 | 25.60 | -1.40 | 1.093 |
| 43.84 | 3.446 | 1.447 |  | 0.778 | 0.226 | 0.152 | 0.195 | 24.49 | -1.29 | 0.976 |
| 44.57 | 3.158 | 1.439 | 0.161 | 0.933 | 0.298 | 0.220 | 0.236 | 23.52 | -1.21 | 0.849 |
| 45.32 | 3.416 | 1.456 | 0.138 | 0.766 | 0.226 | 0.212 | 0.277 | 24.40 | -1.04 | 1.207 |
| 47.56 | 3.454 | 1.479 | 0.056 | 0.786 | 0.234 | 0.307 | 0.390 | 24.52 |  |  |
| 48.31 | 4.344 | 1.475 | 0.066 | 0.761 | 0.179 | 0.143 | 0.188 | 27.07 | -1.44 | 1.362 |
| 49.84 | 3.686 | 1.446 | 0.091 | 0.853 | 0.237 | 0.308 | 0.361 | 25.24 | -1.69 | 0.733 |
| 50.58 | 3.412 | 1.494 |  | 0.697 | 0.210 | 0.224 | 0.321 | 24.38 | -1.24 | 1.006 |
| 52.03 | 3.220 | 1.464 | 0.147 | 0.843 | 0.264 | 0.195 | 0.231 | 23.74 | -. 507 | 1.6051 |
| 52.58 | 3.893 | 1.451 | 0.116 | 0.704 | 0.183 | 0.170 | 0.242 | 25.85 | -1.72 | 0.824 |
| 55.15 | 4.272 | 1.485 | 0.078 | 0.788 | 0.188 | 0.289 | 0.367 | 26.88 | -1.40 | 1.358 |
| 55.58 | 4.332 | 1.457 | 0.117 | 0.897 | 0.211 | 0.185 | 0.206 | 27.043 | -2.11 | 0.681 |
| 56.31 | 3.728 | 1.484 | 0.067 | 0.728 | 0.200 | 0.202 | 0.278 | 25.371 | -0.35 | 2.092 |


| Depth (mbsfi) | Mg/Ca (mmol/ mol) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Sr} / \mathrm{Ca} \\ (\mathrm{mmol} / \\ \mathrm{mol}) \end{gathered}$ | AI/Ca mmol/ mol | Fe/Ca mmol mol | $\underset{\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Mg}}{\mathrm{mol}}$ | $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{Ca}$ mmol mol | Mn/Fe mol/ mol | $\underset{\operatorname{temp}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{\text { SST }}$ | $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {sw }} \\ & \text { (VSMOW) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58.57 | 3.785 | 1.480 | 0.086 | 0.766 | 0.204 | 0.184 | 0.240 | 25.544 | -0.84 | 1.642 |
| 59.32 | 3.338 | 1.453 | 0.137 | 0.746 | 0.226 | 0.169 | 0.227 | 24.14 | -1.69 | 0.496 |
| 60.09 | 3.449 | 1.469 | 0.102 | 0.677 | 0.198 | 0.121 | 0.178 | 24.50 | -0.70 | 1.567 |
| 61.54 | 3.692 | 1.483 | 0.066 | 0.657 | 0.183 | 0.100 | 0.151 | 25.263 | -2.27 | 0.1499 |
| - 62.08 | 3.984 | 1.467 |  | 0.940 | 0.241 | 0.131 | 0.139 | 26.11 | -1.48 | 1.124 |
| 63.58 | 3.827 | 1.471 |  | 0.868 | 0.232 | 0.151 | 0.174 | 25.66 | -1.50 | 1.01 |
| 64.31 | 3.609 | 1.445 | 0.135 | 0.679 | 0.190 | 0.103 | 0.152 | 25.013 | -1.02 | 1.34 |
| 64.65 | 3.525 | 1.458 | 0.144 | 0.677 | 0.194 | 0.091 | 0.134 | 24.75 | -1.12 | 1.202 |
| 65.08 | 3.351 | 1.463 | 0.131 | 0.642 | 0.193 | 0.090 | 0.140 | 24.18 | -0.68 | 1.520 |
| 65.81 | 3.711 | 1.462 | 0.117 | 0.625 | 0.170 | 0.099 | 0.159 | 25.32 | -1.26 | 1.17 |
| 68.86 | 4.254 | 1.520 | 0.068 | 0.602 | 0.144 | 0.101 | 0.168 | 26.83 | -1.21 | 1.545 |
| 69.58 | 3.720 | 1.478 | 0.087 | 0.659 | 0.182 | 0.090 | 0.137 | 25.34 | -0.60 | 1.8379 |
| 70.33 | 3.478 | 1.460 | 0.078 | 0.670 | 0.198 | 0.102 | 0.152 | 24.60 | -1.36 | 0.928 |
| 71.07 | 3.687 | 1.451 | 0.108 | 0.719 | 0.197 | 0.096 | 0.134 | 25.24 | -2.02 | 0.397 |
| 71.6 | 4.025 | 1.466 | 0.095 | 0.690 | 0.173 | 0.115 | 0.167 | 26.22 | -1.67 | 0.953 |
| 72.31 | 4.141 | 1.458 | 0.117 | 0.654 | 0.159 | 0.081 | 0.124 | 26.53 | -1.40 | 1.292 |
| 74.14 | 3.522 | 1.454 | 0.092 | 0.602 | 0.173 | 0.067 | 0.111 | 24.73 | -1.16 | 1.153 |
| 76.79 | 4.004 | 1.500 | 0.104 | 0.681 | 0.174 | 0.155 | 0.228 | 26.16 | -1.05 | 1.558 |
| 77.59 | 4.360 | 1.491 | 0.092 | 0.746 | 0.174 | 0.146 | 0.195 | 27.11 | -1.49 | 1.324 |
| 78.34 | 3.635 | 1.494 |  | 0.666 | 0.188 | 0.149 | 0.224 | 25.09 | -0.99 | 1.393 |
| 79.09 | 3.265 | 1.463 | 0.142 | 0.784 | 0.242 | 0.248 | 0.316 | 23.89 | -1.09 | 1.05 |
| 79.6 | 2.955 | 1.444 | 0.114 | 0.791 | 0.270 | 0.226 | 0.286 | 22.785 | -1.15 | 0.754 |
| 80.52 | 3.139 | 1.472 | 0.112 | 0.902 | 0.290 | 0.403 | 0.446 | 23.46 | -1.07 | 0.974 |
| 81.09 | 3.254 | 1.466 | 0.170 | 0.733 | 0.227 | 0.611 | 0.835 | 23.85 | -1.38 | 0.752 |
| 84.8 | 4.814 | 1.514 | 0.094 | 0.602 | 0.127 | 0.200 | 0.332 | 28.21 | -1.03 | 2.004 |
| 85.57 | 3.862 | 1.511 | 0.085 | 0.697 | 0.185 | 0.157 | 0.225 | 25.76 | -0.56 | 1.968 |
| 86.4 | 3.961 | 1.522 | 0.079 | 0.581 | 0.150 | 0.197 | 0.339 | 26.04 | -0.77 | 1.8142 |
| 87.1 | 3.341 | 1.475 | 0.109 | 0.639 | 0.193 | 0.231 | 0.361 | 24.15 | -1.19 | 1.007 |
| 87.67 | 3.415 | 1.477 | 0.109 | 0.589 | 0.174 | 0.240 | 0.408 | 24.39 | -1.01 | 1.233 |
| 88.57 | 3.606 | 1.470 | 0.120 | 0.561 | 0.157 | 0.207 | 0.369 | 25.003 | -0.88 | 1.485 |
| 89.99 | 3.920 | 1.424 | 0.131 | 0.530 | 0.136 | 0.202 | 0.382 | 25.928 | -2.35 | 0.208 |
| 92.2 | 5.342 | 1.515 | 0.173 | 0.784 | 0.148 | 0.278 | 0.354 | 29.36 | -0.93 | 2.347 |
| 92.95 | 3.909 | 1.520 |  | 0.538 | 0.141 | 0.073 | 0.135 | 25.89 | -1.08 | 1.472 |
| 93.7 | 4.151 | 1.479 | 0.069 | 0.611 | 0.150 | 0.246 | 0.403 | 26.56 | -2.03 | 0.668 |
| 94.45 | 4.118 | 1.506 | 0.121 | 0.632 | 0.155 | 0.078 | 0.123 | 26.47 | -0.62 | 2.055 |
| 95.19 | 4.051 | 1.492 |  | 0.647 | 0.160 | 0.113 | 0.175 | 26.29 | -1.24 | 1.39 |
| 95.94 | 4.137 | 1.490 | 0.119 | 0.562 | 0.137 | 0.117 | 0.209 | 26.52 | -1.20 | 1.48 |
| 96.7 | 3.894 | 1.506 | 0.086 | 0.584 | 0.151 | 0.107 | 0.183 | 25.85 | -0.51 | 2.032 |

## Appendix C: Additional Figures



Figure C.1. Comparison of $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ from Hole 1319A.


Figure C.2. $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ data for Hole 1319A versus a variety of running averages. The five point moving average was used for this study.


Figure C.3. A combination diagram showing the global benthic $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ stack (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005), with corresponding Marine Isotope Stages, and G. menardii zones for the Gulf of Mexico (Kennett and Huddlestun, 1972).

