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We study the equation of state (EOS) for dense matter in the core of the compact star with hyperons and calculate the star structure in an effective model in the mean field approach. With varying incompressibility and effective nucleon mass, we analyse the resulting EOS with hyperons in beta equilibrium and its underlying effect on the gross properties of the compact star sequences. The results obtained in our analyses are compared with predictions of other theoretical models and observations. The maximum mass of the compact star lies in the range $1.21 - 1.96 M_\odot$ for the different EOS obtained, in the model.

PACS numbers: 21.65.+f, 13.75.Cs, 97.60.Jd, 21.30.Fe, 25.75.-q, 26.60.+c

I. INTRODUCTION

Dense matter studies have opened up new dimensions in understanding the nature and behavioural aspects of nuclear matter at extremes. An ideal laboratory for such studies can be neutron stars, which contains matter around ten times denser than atomic nuclei. These compact stars are believed to be made in the aftermath of type II supernova explosions resulting from the gravitational core collapse of massive stars. All known forces of nature i.e. strong, weak, electromagnetic and gravitational, play key roles in the formation, evolution and the composition of these stars. Thus the study of dense matter not only deals with astrophysical problems such as the evolution of neutron stars, the supernovae mechanism but also reviews the implications from heavy-ion collisions.

Neutron stars are charge neutral, and the fact that charge neutrality drives the stellar matter away from isospin-symmetric nuclear matter, the study of neutron stars lends important clues in understanding the isospin dependence of nuclear forces. Due to $\beta$-stability conditions, neutron star is much closer to neutron matter than the symmetric nuclear matter $\frac{3}{2}$. However, with increasing densities, the fermi energy of the occupied baryon states reaches eigenenergies of other species such as $\Lambda^0(1116)$, $\Sigma^{0,+}(1193)$ and $\Xi^{-0}(1318)$ and the possibility of these hyperonic states are speculated in the dense core of neutron stars $\frac{2}{2}$. Studies on hypernuclei experiments suggests the presence of hyperons in dense matter such as neutron stars. Theoretically also, it has been found that the inclusion of hyperons in neutron star cores lowers the energy and pressure of the system resulting in the lowering of the maximum mass of neutron stars, in the range of observational limits.

Various hadronic models have been applied to describe the structure of neutron stars. Non-relativistic $\frac{2}{2}$ and relativistic models $\frac{4}{4}$ predicts nearly same maximum mass of neutron star. Relativistic models have been successfully applied to study finite nuclei $\frac{11}{11}$ and infinite nuclear matter $\frac{12}{12}$ where they not only satisfy the properties of nuclear matter at saturation but also the extrapolation to high density is automatically casual. Field theories such as the non-linear $\sigma - \omega$ model $\frac{13}{13}$ has been phenomenal in this respect.

Presently we apply an effective hadronic model to study the equation of state (EOS) for neutron star matter in the mean-field type approach $\frac{14}{14}$. Along with nonlinear terms, which ensures reasonable saturation properties of nuclear matter, the model embodies dynamical generation of the vector meson mass that ensures a reasonable incompressibility. Therefore, one of the motivation for the present study is to check the applicability of the model to the study of high density matter. Secondly, the parameter sets of the model are in accordance with recently obtained heavy-ion data $\frac{10}{10}$. With varying incompressibility and effective nucleon mass the study can impart vital information about their dependency and the underlying affect on the resulting EOS. Also the existing knowledge on the presence of hyperons in the dense core of these compact stars is inadequate, largely because the coupling strength of these hyperons are unknown. So it would be interesting to see the effect of hyperons in the dense core of neutron stars and the predictive power of the present model in establishing the global properties of the resulting neutron star sequences.

The outline of the paper is as follows: First we give a brief description of the ingredients of the hadronic model that we implement in our calculations. After introducing the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkov (TOV) equations for the static star, we present some general features of the equation of state and then look at the gross properties of the neutron stars in our calculations and compare our results with the observed masses of the neutron stars, and also with predictions from some of the field-theoretical models.
tron star mass and radius imposed by recent estimates of the gravitational redshift in the M-R plane. Finally we conclude with outlook on the possible extensions of the current approach.

II. THE EQUATION OF STATE

We start with an effective Lagrangian generalized to include all the baryon octets:

\[
\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}_B \left( i \gamma_{\mu} \partial^\mu - g_\omega \gamma_{\mu} \omega^\mu \right) \psi_B - g_\sigma \bar{\psi}_B \left( \sigma + i \gamma_5 \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\pi} \right) \psi_B + \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_{\mu} \vec{\tau} \cdot \partial^\mu \vec{\tau} + \partial_{\mu} \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma \right)
\]

\[
- \frac{\lambda}{4} \left( x^2 - x_o^2 \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda b}{6m^2} \left( x^2 - 2x_o^2 \right)^3 - \frac{\lambda c}{8m^4} \left( x^2 - x_o^2 \right)^4
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} g_\omega^2 x^2 \omega \mu \rho^\mu + \frac{1}{2} m_B^2 \bar{\rho}_\mu \cdot \bar{\rho}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} g_\sigma \bar{\psi}_B (\bar{\rho}_\mu \cdot \vec{\tau} \gamma^\mu) \psi_B .
\]

(1)

Here \( F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_{\mu} \omega_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} \omega_{\mu} \) and \( x^2 = \pi^2 + \sigma^2 \), \( \psi_B \) is the baryon spinor, \( \pi \) is the pseudoscalar-isovector pion field, \( \sigma \) is the scalar field. In this model for hadronic matter, the baryons interact via the exchange of the \( \sigma \), \( \omega \) and \( \rho \)-meson. The Lagrangian includes a dynamically generated mass of the isoscalar vector field, \( \omega_\mu \), that couples to the conserved baryonic current \( j_\mu = \psi_B \gamma_\mu \bar{\psi}_B \). The masses of the nucleon, scalar and vector meson are respectively given by

\[
m = g_\sigma x_o, \quad m_\sigma = \sqrt{2} \lambda x_o, \quad m_\omega = g_\omega x_o ,
\]

(2)

where \( x_o \) is the vacuum expectation value of the \( \sigma \) field, \( \lambda = (m_\sigma^2 - m_\pi^2)/(2f_\pi^2) \), with \( m_\pi \), the pion mass and \( f_\pi \) the pion decay constant, and \( g_\omega \) and \( g_\sigma \) are the coupling constants for the vector and scalar fields respectively. In the mean-field treatment we ignore the explicit role of \( \pi \) mesons. The constant parameters \( b \) and \( c \) are included in the higher-order self-interaction of the scalar field to describe the desirable values of nuclear matter properties at saturation point.

The equation of motion for the scalar field \( (\sigma_0) \) in terms of \( m^* / m \equiv x / x_o \equiv Y \) is given by

\[
(1 - Y^2) - \frac{b}{m^2 c_\omega} (1 - Y^2)^2 + \frac{c}{m^4 c^2_\omega} (1 - Y^2)^3 + \sum_B \frac{2c_\omega c_B \rho_B^2}{m_B^2 Y^4} - \sum_B \frac{2c_\omega B \rho_B}{m_B Y} = 0 ,
\]

(3)

where the effective mass of the baryonic species is \( m^*_B \equiv Y m_B \) and \( c_\sigma B \equiv g_\sigma^2 B / m_\sigma^2 \) and \( c_\omega B \equiv g_\omega^2 B / m_\omega^2 \) are the usual scalar and vector coupling constants respectively.

In case of nucleon, we denote the scalar and the vector couplings without any subscript as \( c_\sigma \) and \( c_\omega \) respectively. The scalar density \( (\rho_S) \) and the baryon density \( (\rho_B) \) are,

\[
\rho_S = \frac{\gamma}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_B \int^{k_B}_{\infty} \frac{d^3 k}{(k^2)^{3/2}} ,
\]

(4)

and

\[
\rho_B = \frac{\gamma}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_B \int^{k_B}_{\infty} \frac{d^3 k}{(k^2)^{3/2}} ,
\]

(5)

where the sum is over all the baryon octets. The equation of motion for the \( \omega \) field is then calculated as

\[
\omega_0 = \sum_B \frac{\rho_B}{g_\omega B X^2} ,
\]

(6)

where in the mean-field limit \( \omega = \omega_0 \). The quantity \( k_B \) is the Fermi momentum and \( \gamma = \omega_0 \) is the spin degeneracy factor.
and is equal to 2. Similarly, the equation of motion for the $\rho$-meson is obtained as:

$$\rho_{03} = \sum_B \frac{2\rho B}{m^2} I_{AB} \rho B ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $I_{AB}$ is the isospin 3-component of each baryon species. Traditionally, neutron stars were believed to be composed mostly of neutrons, some of which eventually $\beta$-decays until an equilibrium between neutrons, protons and electron is reached. The respective chemical potentials then satisfy the generic relationship, $\mu_p = \mu_n - \mu_e$ among them. Along with charge neutrality condition, $n_p = n_e$, the various particle composition is then determined and the neutron star is believed to be composed of neutrons, protons and electrons. Muons comes into picture when $\mu_e = \mu_\mu$, which happens roughly around nuclear matter density, and the charge neutrality condition is altered to $\rho_p = \rho_e + \rho_\mu$. Hyperons can form in neutron star cores when the nucleon chemical potential is large enough to compensate the mass differences between nucleon and hyperons, which happens roughly around two times normal nuclear matter density, when the first species of the hyperon family starts appearing.

Similarly, all the baryon octets can be generalized in terms of two independent chemical potentials, i.e., $\mu_n$ and $\mu_e$ and is given by,

$$\mu_B = \mu_n - Q_B \mu_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $\mu_B$ and $Q_B$ are the chemical potentials and electric charge of the concerned baryon species. The neutron and electron chemical potentials are then constrained by the requirements of conservation of total baryon number and the charge neutrality condition,

$$\sum_B Q_B \rho_B + \sum_i Q_I \rho_i = 0 ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

with $\rho_B$ and $\rho_i$ are the baryon and lepton densities respectively. These two conditions combine to determine the appearance and concentration of these particles in the dense core of compact objects.

After achieving the solution to these conditions, one obtains the total energy density $\varepsilon$ and pressure $P$ for a given baryon density as:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \sum_B \int_0^{k_B} k^2 dk \sqrt{k^2 + m_B^2} + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{\lambda=e,\mu} \int_0^{k_\lambda} k^2 dk \sqrt{k^2 + m_\lambda^2} + \frac{m^2(1 - Y^2)^2}{8c_\sigma}$$

$$- \frac{b}{12c_\sigma} (1 - Y^2)^3 + \frac{c}{16m^2c_\sigma^2} (1 - Y^2)^4 + \frac{1}{2Y^4} \sum_B c_{\omega B} \rho_B^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_{\rho 03}^2 ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$P = \frac{1}{3} \sum_B \int_0^{k_B} \frac{k^4 dk}{\sqrt{k^2 + m_B^2}} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\lambda=e,\mu} \int_0^{k_\lambda} \frac{k^4 dk}{\sqrt{k^2 + m_\lambda^2}} - \frac{m^2(1 - Y^2)^2}{8c_\sigma}$$

$$+ \frac{b}{12c_\sigma} (1 - Y^2)^3 - \frac{c}{16m^2c_\sigma^2} (1 - Y^2)^4 + \frac{1}{2Y^4} \sum_B c_{\omega B} \rho_B^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_{\rho 03}^2 ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The meson field equations ((3), (6) and (7)) are then solved self-consistently at a fixed baryon density to obtain the respective fields along with the requirements of conservation of total baryon number and charge neutrality condition given in equation (9) and the energy and pressure is computed for the neutron star matter. Using the computed EOS for the neutron star sequences, we calculate the properties of neutron stars.

The equations for the structure of a relativistic spherical and static star composed of a perfect fluid were derived from Einstein’s equations by Oppenheimer and Volkoff [17]. They are

$$\frac{dP}{dr} = -G \frac{[\varepsilon + p] [M + 4\pi r^3 p]}{r^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

with $G$ as the gravitational constant and $M(r)$ as the enclosed gravitational mass. We have used $c = 1$. Given an EOS, these equations can be integrated from the origin as an initial value problem for a given choice of central energy density, $(\varepsilon_c)$. The value of $r (= \bar{R})$, where the pressure vanishes defines the surface of the star.

We solve the above equations to study the structural properties of the neutron star, using the EOS derived for the electrically charge neutral hyperon rich dense matter.
TABLE I: Parameter sets for the model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>set</th>
<th>$c_{\sigma}$</th>
<th>$c_{\omega}$</th>
<th>$b/m^2$</th>
<th>$c/m^3$</th>
<th>$K$</th>
<th>$m^*/m$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(fm$^2$)</td>
<td>(fm$^2$)</td>
<td>(fm$^3$)</td>
<td>(fm$^3$)</td>
<td>(MeV)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>-12.24</td>
<td>-31.59</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>-4.32</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>22.01</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>-9.26</td>
<td>-40.73</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>9.59</td>
<td>46.99</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II: Table of baryon octets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B</th>
<th>Mass (MeV)</th>
<th>$Q_B$</th>
<th>$I_A$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Σ$^{-}$</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1/2-1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ$^{-}$</td>
<td>1116</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ$^{-}$</td>
<td>1193</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ$^{-}$</td>
<td>1318</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>-1/2, 1/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The parameter set for the present model is listed in Table-1, which is in accordance with recently obtained heavy-ion collision data. With varying effective masses ($m^* = 0.8-0.9 m_n$) and incompressibility ($K = 210-380$ MeV), the study can give us informations on nuclear equation of state and its affect on the properties of neutron stars. The parameter sets satisfies the nuclear saturation properties, $E_B$, energy per nucleon, $-16$ MeV at saturation density $0.153$ fm$^{-3}$, effective nucleon landau mass $0.8-0.9 m_n$, incompressibility, and asymmetry energy coefficient value ($\approx 32$ MeV), so that our extrapolation to higher density remains meaningful.

We fix the coupling constant $c_{\sigma}$ by requiring that $a_{\text{sym}}$ correspond to the empirical value, $32 \pm 6$ MeV$^2$. This gives $c_{\sigma} = 4.66$ fm$^2$ for $a_{\text{sym}} = 32$ MeV.

The baryon octets under consideration are summarized in Table-2, with their respective masses, charge ($Q_B$) and isospin ($I_A$). The electric charge and isospin determines the exact conditions for each hyperon species to appear in the matter. In the absence of any relevant data for hyperon-nucleon or hyperon-hyperon interaction, the understanding of dense matter under these extreme conditions heavily depends on the hypernuclei experiments. The experiment shows bound states of $\Lambda$ in nuclear medium, although nothing can be said about other baryon species.

In order to include hyperons, one needs to specify the hyperon coupling strength, which is more or less unknown. The EOS at high density is very sensitive to the underlying hyperon couplings, as hyperons are the majority population at high densities and is turn reflected in the structural properties of the compact stars. The ratio of hyperon to nucleon couplings to the meson fields are set defined by the ground state of nuclear-matter, but are chosen on other grounds such as,

1. Universal coupling scheme (UC): $x_\sigma = x_\omega = 1$, where the hyperons and nucleons couples to the meson fields with equal strength
2. Moszkowski coupling (MC): $x_\sigma = x_\omega = \sqrt{(2/3)}$, which is based on the quark sum rule approach and
3. Binding of $\Lambda$ in nuclear matter: $(B/A)_\Lambda = x_\omega g_{\Lambda}\omega_0 + m_\Lambda - m_\Lambda \approx -30$ MeV.

However prescription (3) restricts the equation of state of neutron star matter following the constraint of $\Lambda$ binding in nuclear matter. The choice of $x_\sigma < 0.72$ has been emphasized and also from studies based on hypernuclear levels, the choice ($x_\sigma < 0.9$) is bounded from above. In our Present work, following prescription (3), we take $x_\sigma = g_{\sigma H}/g_{\sigma N} = 0.7$, $x_\omega = g_{\omega H}/g_{\omega N} = 0.783$ and $x_\sigma = x_\rho$, to calculate the EOS for the neutron star matter and gross properties of neutron stars.

The nucleon effective mass and incompressibility strongly influence the EOS of neutron-rich and neutron star matter. Figure 1 displays the equation of state for the five parameter sets listed in Table 1.

![Equation of state (P vs ε) of neutron star matter with hyperons for the parameter sets listed in Table 1.](image-url)
Similarly, successive appearance of each of the hyperon species contributes to the softening of the EOS. Similar feature is noticeable in most of the other relativistic field theoretical models [23]. Here, it can be seen that a higher effective mass value (Set V) results in a softer EOS and vice-versa, whereas difference in incompressibility is visible at higher densities only.

The nucleon effective mass \( m^*_n \equiv Y m_n \) as a function of baryon density up to ten times normal nuclear matter density is displayed in figure 2. In case of set I, II and III, the nucleon effective mass follows similar trend, where the nucleon sheds around 22-25 \% of its mass in the matter up to 10\( \rho_0 \). Set IV follows the same trend till 6-7\( \rho_0 \) but then the mass increases slowly, the strong repulsive component is responsible for the feature. In case of set V, the nucleon sheds only 15 \% of its mass up to ten times nuclear density, but the decrease is rather much slower after a steep decrease till 2\( \rho_0 \). This gradual decrease is as a result of strong scalar component in set V.

To show the sensitivity of EOS to that of the hyperon couplings, we compare in figure 3, EOS corresponding to three different coupling strength for parameter set II. From the figure, it can be seen that Universal coupling predicts a stiff EOS followed by Moszkowski coupling. The coupling strength employed here for the present calculation (PC) is closer to that of MC and is the softest among the three prescriptions. Thus it is conclusive that weaker hyperon coupling leads to softer equation of state because of the underlying weak repulsion in the matter. Similar feature has been noticed in works by Glendenning [24] and Ellis et al. [25].

For the sake of completeness we plot in figure 4 the respective particle population of \( n, p, e \) and \( \mu^- \) matter in beta equilibrium up to 10\( \rho_0 \). Muons appear when the chemical potential of the electrons exceeds the rest mass of the muons (106 MeV), which happens roughly at around normal nuclear matter density and becomes one of the particle species in the composition. Consequently, the relative particle population \( Y_i = n_i/n_B \) is shown in figure 4.

**FIG. 2:** Effective nucleon mass as a function of baryon density in the neutron star matter up to 10\( \rho_0 \).

**FIG. 3:** Equation of state for SET II corresponding to different hyperon coupling schemes, as described in the text.

**FIG. 4:** Relative Particle Population for \( \beta \)-equilibrated matter without hyperons.
the proton fraction increases with appearance of muons in the medium to maintain charge neutrality of the matter. The proton fraction and the electron chemical potential have been found to be important in assessing the cooling rates of neutron stars \[26\], and the possibility of Kaon condensation in neutron star interiors \[27, 28\]. We refrain ourselves from further details in this direction.

Figure 5, 6 and 7 displays the relative particle composition of neutron star matter for parameter sets I, II and III with all baryon octets in equilibrium rendering a charge neutral hyperon rich matter. From the plots, it is noteworthy that the difference in the incompressibilities doesn’t manifest in the particle composition of the matter very much.

In all three cases, the hyperons start appearing at around \(2\rho_0\), where \(\Sigma^-\) appears first, closely followed by \(\Lambda^0\). However the former gets saturated because of isospin dependent forces and \(\Lambda^0\) exceeds \(\Sigma^-\) roughly at about \(4\rho_0\). \(\Lambda^0\) density further increases with increasing baryon density and is infact, the dominant particle in the matter composition along with the nucleons. At higher densities other baryon thresholds are attained and they also start appearing. Only noticeable difference in the three cases are the relative population density of the higher octets namely \(\Xi^-\) and \(\Sigma^+\) states. With increasing incompressibility the density at which they appear is pushed further and the population of these states decreases accordingly, although not appreciably.

Similarly figure 8 and figure 9 displays the relative particle population for parameter set IV and V respectively. These two parameters differ in their effective nucleon mass, \(m^* = 0.85 \, m_n\) for set IV and \(m^*_n = 0.90 \, m_n\) for set V but with same incompressibility \((K=300 \, \text{MeV})\). The difference in effective mass is very much pronounced and is reflected in the respective particle composition of the neutron star matter. The matter composition in case of set IV is more or less similar to first three sets, except that the deleptonisation occurs rather differently. Set V
seem to enjoy the privilege of being the dominant species in par with nucleons in the matter as in case of other parameter sets. Even at $10\rho_0$ nucleons comprise $\approx 52\%$ of the total matter.


![FIG. 8: Relative particle population for the neutron star matter with hyperons for Set IV (K=300 MeV, $m^* = 0.80 m_n$).](image)

![FIG. 9: Relative particle population for the neutron star matter with hyperons for Set V (K=300 MeV, $m^* = 0.90 m_n$).](image)

![FIG. 10: Electron chemical potential as a function of baryon density up to $10 \rho_0$.](image)

However for all the five cases, the negatively charged particles are found to be highly favoured species in dense matter as evident from their respective order of appearance. At higher density, it can be seen that hyperons forms a sizable population in neutron star matter. They clearly softens the equation of state at high densities. The two independent chemical potentials, $\mu_n$ and $\mu_e$ along with the charge neutrality condition decides the particle composition. The electron chemical potentials for the five sets are displayed in Figure 10. It can be seen that $\mu_e$ follows similar pattern for parameter sets I, II and III, but in case of set IV, it follows similar pattern until $\approx 6\rho_0$ after which it increases again, whereas for set V, it saturates at $\approx 6\rho_0$ and thereby remains constant. For all the sets at $\approx 2\rho_0$, we see a sharp turn in the electron potential, where the first charged hyperon species, $\Sigma^-$ appears. At that point $\mu_e$ compensates the mass difference between $\Sigma^-$ and $\Lambda^0$ thereby triggering the appearance of the former. Leptons primarily maintain charge neutrality of the matter, and since set V does not predict any charged hyperon species after $\approx 6\rho_0$, the electron potential remains constant thereafter.

As stated, the properties of neutron star is unique to the EOS considered. Using these EOS, we now calculate some of the global properties of neutron star by solving the TOV equation. Figure 11 shows the maximum baryonic mass $M_b (M_\odot)$ obtained as a function of star mass for the five parameter sets. The curves for set I, II, III, and IV are nearly indistinguishable, indicating that the EOS for these sets predict similar properties. The curve for set V shows a slightly higher mass, suggesting that the EOS for set V predicts a slightly higher maximum mass for neutron stars.
II and III coincides with each other, whereas set IV and V are distinctly apart, the reason can be attributed to their different effective mass values. However the baryonic mass always exceeds the gravitational mass, which is typical of compact objects. The difference between the two is defined as the gravitational binding of the star. The baryonic masses obtained for set I, II and III are 1.83$M_{\odot}$, 1.81$M_{\odot}$ and 1.79$M_{\odot}$ respectively. Whereas sets IV (stiff) and V (soft) EOS represents the two extremes among all the parameter sets. The corresponding baryon masses obtained are 2.18$M_{\odot}$ and 1.31$M_{\odot}$.

Gravitational mass of the neutron star as a function of central density of the star is plotted in Figure 12. Stable neutron star configurations are the regions where $\frac{dM}{dc}$ > 0. Beyond the maximum mass, gravity overcomes the source spectrum of the IE 1207.4-5209 neutron star, based on the observation of two absorption features in matter. It is perticularly important to constrain the EOS of dense neutron star being placed by different models, it is therefore important to impose constraints that can put stringent condition in the M-R plane. Constraints on the mass-radius plane can be obtained from accurate measurements of the gravitational redshift of spectral lines produced in neutron star photospheres. Measuring M/R is particularly important to constrain the EOS of dense matter.

Recently a constraint to M-R plane was reported based on the observation of two absorption features in the source spectrum of the IE 1207.4-5209 neutron star, which limits M/R=(0.069 - 0.115) $M_{\odot}$/km. The region enclosed in figure 13 by two solid lines denotes the area enclosed in accordance with the observed range. All the parameter set of the present model satisfies the criterian very well. Another important aspect of compact objects is perticularly important to constrain the EOS of dense matter.
FIG. 13: Maximum mass of the neutron star (in solar mass) as a function of radius (in Km) for the five Sets. The two solid curves correspond to $M/R = 0.069$ and $M/R = 0.115$. (The solid circles represent the values at maximum mass.)

TABLE III: Properties of Neutron star as predicted by the model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SET</th>
<th>$M(M_{\odot})$</th>
<th>$E_c(10^{14} \text{gcm}^{-3})$</th>
<th>$R(\text{Km})$</th>
<th>$M_b(M_{\odot})$</th>
<th>$Z$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>16.78</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>16.70</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>16.62</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>15.03</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

is the observed gravitational redshift, which is given by

$$Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2GM/Rc^2}}$$

The gravitational redshift interpreted by the $M/R$ ratio comes out to be in the range $Z = 0.12 - 0.23$, which is plotted in figure 14. For Set I, II and III, the redshift is nearly same because redshift primarily depends on the mass to radius ratio of the star, which in case of first three sets is nearly same.

For all the parameter sets, the redshift obtained at maximum mass lies in the range $(0.15 - 0.22)$, which corresponds to $R/M = (8.8 - 14.2) \text{Km}/M_{\odot}$. Our calculations predicts $R/M$ in the range $(8.90 - 12.40) \text{Km}/M_{\odot}$, which is consistent with the observed value. The predictive power of the model is evident from figure 14, where we compare the gravitational redshift as a function of the star mass for the five parameter sets. The overall results of our calculations are presented in Table 3.

FIG. 14: Gravitational Redshift ($Z$) as a function of Maximum mass of the neutron star for the five parameter sets. (The solid circles represent the values at maximum mass). The area between solid horizontal lines represents the redshift values $Z = (0.12 - 0.23)$.

IV. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

We studied the equation of state of high density matter in an effective model and calculated the gross properties for neutron stars like mass, radius, central density and redshift. We analysed five set of parameters with incompressibility values $K=210, 300$ and $380 \text{MeV}$ and effective masses $m^* = 0.80, 0.85$ and $0.90 \text{m}_n$, that satisfies the nuclear matter saturation properties. The results are then compared with some recent observations and also a few field theoretical models. It was found that the difference in nuclear incompressibility is not much reflected in either equation of state or neutron star properties, but nucleon effective masses were quite decisive. At maximum mass, the central density of the star for sets I, II, III and IV was found to be $\approx 3 \rho_{nm}$ (nuclear matter density) but for set V, it was found to be $\approx 3.5 \rho_{nm}$, which has the highest effective mass value. Similarly the maximum mass obtained for the the five EOS lies in the range $1.21 - 1.96 M_{\odot}$. Set V, which is softest among all parameter sets, predicts lowest maximum mass $1.21 M_{\odot}$, whereas set IV (stiff) predicts the maximum mass to be $1.96 M_{\odot}$ and also is the star with the largest radius. The difference in maximum mass and radius of the star in case of set I, II and III is negligible, and so the predicted redshift comes out nearly same, whereas set IV and V presents the two extremes in overall properties, which is the reflection of their different effective mass values. Overall, mass predicted by all the parameter sets agrees well with most
of the theoretical work and observational limits.

The results were also found to be in good agreement with recently imposed constraints on neutron star properties in the M–R plane, and the redshift interpreted therein. Further, the precise measurements of mass of both neutron stars in case of PSR B1913+16 \cite{37}, PSR B1534+12 \cite{38} and PSR B2127+11C \cite{39} are available which can put constraints on the nuclear equation of state. Masses of neutron stars in X-ray pulsars are also consistent with these values, although are measured less accurately. In case of radii, the values are still unknown, however some estimates are expected in a few years, which would further constrain the EOS of neutron star in the M–R plane. In future, we intend to study the effect of rotation to neutron star structure and also the phase transition aspects in the model.
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