TRN AUBSO6443

AAEC/E568



# AUSTRALIAN ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT

## LUCAS HEIGHTS RESEARCH LABORATORIES

ZAPP-A COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR SIMULATION OF REACTOR POWER TRANSIENTS

 $by<sub>1</sub>$ 

B.E. CLANCY

June 1983

## AUSTRALIAN ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT

LUCAS HEIGHTS RESEARCH LABORATORIES



## ZAPP - A COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR SIMULATION OF REACTOR POWER TRANSIENTS

by

B.E. CLANCY

## ABSTRACT

This report describes a computer program which simulates power excursions in experimental fission reactors. A point reactor kinetics model is coupled with a one-dimensional heat conduction capability which allows the code to determine reactivity feedback produced as a result of density and temperature variations within the reactor. External reactivity step insertions and ramps may be included in the calculation. A simple treatment of coolant flow is available. Test cases are provided, including one which simulates a run from the SPERT series of experiments.

National Library of Australia card number and ISBN 0 642 59776 6

The following descriptors have been selected from the INIS Thesaurus to describe the subject content of this report for information retrieval purposes. For further details please refer to IAEA-INIS-12 (INIS: Manual for Indexing) and IAEA-INIS-13 (INIS: Thesaurus) published in Vienna by the International Atomic Energy Agency.

COMPUTERIZED SIMULATION; EXCURSIONS; FEEDBACK; FLUID FLOW; HEAT TRANSFER; REACTIVITY; REACTOR KINETICS; REACTOR KINETICS EQUATIONS; TRANSIENTS; Z CODES

## **CONTENTS**



 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ 

## 11. REFERENCES 28



## 1. INTRODUCTION

ZAPP was originally designed to simulate power excursions in experimental arrays of solid fuel elements in plane geometry and so be a tool for safety assessments of proposed experiments in the AAEC critical facility. However, it was quickly realised that, with little modification (principally the inclusion of a cylindrical geometry option), the code could be more generally applied to problems where simulation of the coupled kinetics and temperature behaviour of reactor systems is important. It transpires that almost all experience with the code has been gained with simulation of liquid cooled experimental reactors.

The code\* has been used successfully by Connolly and Harrington [1977] to analyse a number of feedback-terminated transients in the SPERT series of experiments and is being used in safety related studies for the AAEC research reactor HIFAR.

## 1.1 Reactor Model for Simulation

For simulation purposes the power behaviour of the reactor is treated with a point kinetics model. The reactive part of the core is assumed to be divided into a number of zones, each generating a constant fraction of the reactor power, and having a constant 'statistical weight' for the purpose of calculating temperature-dependent feedback. Thus the product of the power and the total adjoint flux has been assumed separable in space and time.

Next, each zone is assumed to consist of a specified (integral) number of identical units called cells and each one of these is composed of regions. Each region contains a single specified material.

<sup>\*</sup> Two versions of the ZAPP code are being maintained. The formally correct version uses SI units throughout, whereas the other version uses calorie c.g.s. units. The second version is retained because there is a substantial amount of available data used for safety assessment and work with the AAEC research reactor HIFAR, and conversion of these input data to SI units is inappropriate. In this report, the calorie - c.g.s. units version is the one described since it is only in such a version that units need to be spelt out in detail. For the SI version, all units of input or output quantities are automatically defined. The FORTRAN sources of the two versions differ only in the value defined for Joule's constant in the main routine of the program.

The cells in any one zone must be identical in shape and size but can be totally different from the cells in another zone. Because all cells within a zone are assumed to generate power identically, the number of cells in the zone determines the fraction of the zone power produced within a cell. The temperature behaviour of all cells within a zone is thus assumed to be identical.

Two one-dimensional geometry options are available - plane or cylindrical. If the plane geometry option is selected, each cell is taken to າັ consist of an array of 1 cm<sup>e</sup> plane homogeneous regions. The thickness of each region must be specified, as well as the thicknesses of the 'void' gaps assumed to be present at the right-hand face of each region, including that at the right-most region. It is assumed that there is another identical cell beyond this right-most gap and that periodic boundary conditions apply between the cells. The length of a cell then is just the sum of the region thicknesses and gaps, and the volume of the cell is numerically equal to its length. Heat conduction is along the length of the cell and no transverse heat conduction is allowed. The void gaps, which modify heat conduction between regions, can be of zero thickness. A reflective boundary condition at the ends of the cells can be selected for appropriate systems. To do this, the user nominates the gap size at the right-most face of the array as greater than  $10^{20}$  cm in thickness, i.e. effectively infinite.

If the cylindrical geometry option is selected, each cell can be thought of as a section, 1 cm high, through a vertical cylinder composed of homogeneous regions, again separated radially by void gaps. Again the gaps can, if desired, be of zero thickness. Heat conduction is assumed to be in the radial direction and the boundary condition at the outermost face of the cylinder is that which asserts zero temperature gradient at this face.

Initially, the whole reactor is assumed to be at a constant temperature (300 K unless otherwise specified) and tanperature variations are calculated during a power transient. The temperature history is established for one cell per zone, all other cells in the zone being assumed to follow identical histories.

Heat conduction within a cell is not the only process modelled for the transfer of heat energy within the reactor. The input data allow the user to specify 'flow loops', each with a nominated 'flow velocity'. When nominating a flow loop, the user specifies a sequence of zone region pairs which are

supposed to be connected such that material from one zone region pair flows at the nominated flow velocity to the next zone region pair in the loop, displacing material which flows on to the third pair and so on until the loop is closed with flow back into the first zone region pair. A zone region pair in this context is simply a nominated region in a nominated zone. The flow velocity is actually a volumetric flow rate expressed as cubic centimetres per second; it can be changed by the user at any stage of the calculation, but is not affected by any of the quantities calculated by the code. For the flow loops to be valid, the materials specified as filling each zone region pair must be the sane so that no mixing of materials is allowed. When material flows from one pair to another, it is assumed that complete thermal mixing of the flowing material occurs between leaving one pair and reaching the next.

If, for example, a volume X at average temperature Tl is transferred to a region of volume Y at average temperature T2, the second region takes up an average temperature

$$
T2' = (X.T1 + (Y-X).T2)/Y
$$
  
so that 
$$
dT2 = (T2' - T2)
$$

$$
= X(T1 - T2)/Y
$$

The temperature profile established earlier within the second region is then modified by adding dT2 to each of the temperatures within the region.

An example of a problem for which the flow loop concept provides a simple, though primitive, way of modelling a complex situation is given in Section 8.2.

Reactivity changes for the whole reactor can be of two kinds:

- (a) user-supplied ramps or steps which can be introduced at the beginning of the excursion or at any time during the excursion; and
- (b) feedback changes which are calculated by the code. Two options are available here, either
	- (i) a feedback of the form  $d.k(eff) = CSHUT$  . ENERGY RELEASE, where CSHUT is the user supplied feedback coefficient, or

(ii) feedback produced by averaging the various zonal reactivity changes determined from changes in the region temperatures and/or densities and from user supplied temperature and density coefficients. The averaging uses the statistical weights nominated for the different zones.

## 2. SOLUTION OF POINT KINETICS EQUATIONS

## 2.1 Solution with Delayed Neutrons

The equations to be solved may be written - see, for example, Templin [1961, Section 5]:

$$
dP/dt = a(t) \cdot P(t) + \Sigma \lambda_i C_i(t) + S \qquad (2.1)
$$

$$
dC_{i}/dt = b_{i}(t) \cdot P(t) - \lambda_{i}C_{i}(t) , i=1, \text{NBETA}
$$
 (2.2)

where



The power and precursor concentrations will be known at the beginning of a time step but their values at the end of the time step must be calculated, as well as the energy generated during the step.

 $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ 

The kinetics time step DTRY is broken into a suitable number of equal sub-intervals of duration 'h' and a simple integration scheme adopted to solve the kinetics equations across these sub-intervals. The scheme is a modification of that implemented in various versions of the AIREK code [Schwartz 1959].

The precursor equations admit of the solution

$$
C_i(j) = C_i(0)e^{-h\lambda}i + e^{-h\lambda}i \int_0^h b_i(t) P(t)e^{t\lambda}i dt
$$

which is approximated by assuming that  $b_i(t)$ .  $P(t)$  varies linearly through the sub-interval, so that we write

$$
b_i(t)P(t) = b_i(0)P(0) + t(b_i(h)P(h) - b_i(0)P(0))/h
$$

and then

$$
C_{i}(h) = C_{i}(0) \exp(-h\lambda_{i}) + b_{i}(0)P(0)U_{i}/h\lambda_{i}^{2} + b_{i}(h)P(h)V_{i}/h\lambda_{i}^{2},
$$
\n(2.3)

where  $U_i = 1 - (1+h\lambda_i) \exp(-h\lambda_i)$ , and

$$
V_j = exp(-h\lambda_j) - (1-h\lambda_j) .
$$

Denoting dP/dt by P'(t), we have an approximation for P(h) as

$$
P(h) = P(0) + h(P'(0) + P'(h))/2
$$
  
= P(0) + hS + h[a(0)P(0) + a(h)P(h) + \Sigma{\lambda\_i C\_i(0) + \lambda\_i C\_i(h)}]/2

Substitution of equation (2.3) leads, after some algebra, to the result

$$
P(h) = \frac{2hs + P(0) [2 + ha(0) + zbj(0)Uj/\lambdaj] + hz\lambdajCj(0)(1+exp(-h\lambdaj))}{2-ha(h) - zbj(h)Vj/\lambdaj}
$$
(2.4)

This last equation is used to advance the reactor power through the subinterval 0 < t < h.

With both P(0) and P(h) available, the various precursor concentrations can then be advanced through the same sub-interval by using equations (2.3).

This process is then repeated again and again until either the reactor power has changed by the factor PWERFR, read in as data, or else the full kinetics time step DTRY has been covered.

For the energy release

$$
dE = \int_0^h P(t) dt
$$

during the sub-interval , the approximation

$$
dE = h(4P(0) + 2P(h) + hP'(0))/6
$$
 (2.5)

is used, all of the terms being available from the calculation of the power during the sub-interval.

Since  $h\lambda$ , is small, there is ample opportunity for round-off error to occur in equations (2.3) and (2.4). The terms which would suffer from this defect are therefore approximated by fifth-order polynomials.

#### 2.2 Solution Without Delayed Neutrons

The solutions described by equations (2.3) and (2.4) are invalid if there are no delayed groups and the prompt kinetics approximation is required. In this event, the appropriate one of five analytic solutions is selected to advance the power through the complete kinetics time step  $0 \lt t \lt \text{H} = \text{DTRY}$ . Throughout the time step

$$
a(t) = a(0) + tr
$$

where  $r = (dk/dt)/\ell$ , and, for convenience, we write

$$
\langle a \rangle
$$
 =  $(a(0) + a(H))/2$   
 $p = 1/\sqrt{2|r|}$ 

The five analytic solutions are

(i) if  $S \neq 0$   $P(H) = P(0)E$ , (ii) if  $S \neq 0$ ,  $a(0) = r = 0$   $P(H) = P(0) + HS$ , (iii) if  $S \neq 0$ ,  $a(0) = 0$ ,  $r \neq 0$   $P(H) = P(0)E + S(E-1)/\langle a \rangle$ , (iv) if  $S \neq 0$ ,  $r > 0$   $P(H) = P(0)E + pS\sqrt{\pi}[erf(pa(H))] - erf(pa(0))]$ , (v) if  $S \neq 0$ ,  $r < 0$   $P(H) = P(0)E+2pS[E.F(pa(0)) - F(pa(H))]$ .

Here E = exp(H<a>), erf(x) = (2/ $\sqrt{\pi}$ )  $\int_{0}^{x}$  exp(-t<sup>2</sup>)dt is the usual error  $x = \frac{J_0}{2}$ function, and F(x) = exp(-x<sup>2</sup>)  $\int^{\infty}$  exp(t<sup>2</sup>)dt is Dawson's integral. Both are  $J_{\rm O}$ given in the notation of Abramowitz and Stegun [1965], who described methods for numerical evaluation of the functions.

## 3. SOLUTION OF HEAT CONDUCTION EQUATIONS

After completion of a kinetics time step, the energy generated during the step can be allocated to the various reactor zones and specifically to the various regions within the zones. A heat conduction subroutine within ZAPP is then used to follow the diffusion of this heat energy.

The temperature profile within a region is modelled by calculating the temperatures at discrete nodes within the regions. These nodes are each at the centre of one of the equal thickness mesh intervals into which the region is assumed to be divided. The number and thickness of the mesh intervals are specified in the problem input.

#### 3.1 Plane Geometry Problem

For a plane geometry problem, part of a typical layout of nodes fs shown below:



#### FIGURE 1

At the beginning of a time step, an average density is known for each region. A preliminary assumption is made that the region will, during one time step, receive, on average, half the heat energy released directly from the region heat source computed in the kinetics subroutine. From this, from the known mass of the region and from the material-specific heat tables provided in the input data, the code predicts an average region temperature which could reasonably be expected to apply during the time step. Values of the material specific heat and thermal conductivity at this temperature are then calculated. The region density selected is that which applies to the original temperature. These nominal values are then assumed to apply, throughout the kinetics time step, to all mesh intervals within the region. The kinetics time step is divided into a number of heat conduction time steps of length dt, and an implicit solution method is then used to advance the original temperatures  $T_i^0$  to the values  $T_i^1$  appropriate to the time dt later.

For an interior node such as that labelled 'm' in the preceding figure, the implicit form of the finite difference equation to be solved can be written as

$$
\frac{\Gamma_{m}^{i} - \Gamma_{m}^{O}}{dt} = S_{j} + \frac{k_{j}}{d_{j}c_{j}\rho_{j}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_{m+1}^{i} - 2\Gamma_{m}^{i} + \Gamma_{m-1}^{i}}{d_{j}} \right]
$$

$$
= S_{j} + \frac{1}{c_{j}\rho_{j}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_{m+1}^{i} - \Gamma_{m}^{i}}{d_{j}R_{m,m+1}} - \frac{\Gamma_{m}^{i} - \Gamma_{m-1}^{i}}{d_{j}R_{m,m-1}} \right]
$$
(3.1)

where k.c.p.S. are the conductivity, specific heat, material density and heat source density in region 'j', all being constant in space over the region and constant in time over the kinetics time step dt. The symbol  $R_{m,m+1}$ introduced temporarily to denote the heat resistance between the nodes  $m, m+1$ and is simply equal to  $d_j/k_j$ . For a node, such as that labelled 'n', which is not surrounded by two nodes in the same region the finite difference equation to be solved is still of the form (3.1) provided that the heat resistance between nodes n, n-1 is interpreted as

$$
R_{n,n-1} = d_j/2k_j + h_j + d_{j+1}/2k_{j+1}
$$

and  $1/h_j$  is the gap conductance of the gap  $g_j^{}$ .

In the case where only one node occurs within a region, both inter-node resistance terms in equation (3.1) will include a gap resistance contribution.

For the gap conductance, ZAPP assumes a simple correlation and a gap jctance which varies inverse conductance varies inversely with the gap size g., and linearly with the \j gap temperature'  $T^{gap}_{j}$ , so that

$$
g_j/h_j = GCONA \cdot T_j^{gap} + GCONB \qquad (3.3)
$$

and the constants GCONA, GCONB are part of the input data.

If there are N nodes in a particular cell, the periodic boundary condition forces the final necessary modification of equation (3.1) and we interpret  $T_{\text{o}}$  as  $T_{\text{N}},$  and  $T_{\text{N+1}}$  as  $T_{1}.$ 

## 3.2 Cylindrical Geometry Problem

If a cylindrical geometry problem is specified, then equation (3.1) is modified in an obvious fashion and becomes

$$
\frac{T_{m}^{'} - T_{m}^{0}}{dt} = S_{j} + \frac{1}{c_{j}\rho_{j}} \left[ \frac{T_{m+1}^{'} - T_{m}^{'}}{\Delta_{m+1/2} R_{m,m+1}} - \frac{T_{m}^{'} - T_{m-1}^{'}}{\Delta_{m-1/2} R_{m-1,m}} \right]
$$

in which

$$
\Delta_{m+1/2} = r_m d_j / (r_m + d_j / 2)
$$
  

$$
\Delta_{m-1/2} = r_m d_j / (r_m - d_j / 2)
$$

and node m is supposed at a distance  $r_m^{\dagger}$  from the cylindrical axis of the cell. These coefficients are just the ratios of the volume of the mesh interval to its outer or inner surface areas.

Repeated solution of equation (3.1) provides predicted nodal temperatures  $\mathsf{T}^{\scriptscriptstyle\bullet}_i$  at the end of the kinetics time step and these, in turn, lead to a first estimate of the final region temperatures  $\theta_{\texttt{\tiny S}}^{\texttt{\tiny I}}$ . From the average region temperatures Q°. which applied at the beginning of the time step, the nominal temperature rise  $\theta^f_i$  -  $\theta^0_i$  is computed. Multiplication of this by the nominal specific heat  $\langle\mathbb{G}_i\rangle$ , which is used in the heat conduction calculation, gives the measure of the heat energy density increase in the region. To allow for the variation of the specific heat  $C_i(T)$  with temperature, a revised estimate  $\theta_{\star}$  of the final region average temperature is then calculated from the equation

$$
\int_{\theta_{j}^{0}}^{\theta_{j}^{+*}} C_{j}(T)dT = CC_{j} \left\{ \theta_{j}^{f} - \theta_{j}^{0} \right\}
$$

The ratio,  $\alpha$  , of the revised temperature rise ( $\theta_i^{\dagger}$  -  $\theta_i^{\dagger}$ ) to the original estimate ( $\theta_i^f$  -  $\theta_i^0$ ) is then used to modify the first estimates T $_i^f$  of the nodal temperatures at the end of the time step and to give

$$
T_i^{f*} = T_i^0 + \alpha \left[ T_i^f - T_i^0 \right]
$$

 $\sim$ 

which are the nodal temperatures retained by the code. This correction procedure ensures conservation of energy for the time step.

#### 3.3 Choice of Heat Conduction Time Steps

In the preceding section, it was stated that the kinetics time step was subdivided into a number N (say) of heat conduction time steps, all of size dt, through each of which an implicit solution procedure is used to advance the temperature profile. Apart from the requirement that N.dt be equal to the kinetics time step, the value of N to be used is as yet unspecified.

For an interior node, such as that labelled 'm' in Figure 1, the heat conduction equation solved in plane geometry is a finite difference representation of

$$
dT/dt = S + q \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2}
$$

where q is constant. If it is assumed that the second derivative term is proportional to the temperature, this equation may be written

$$
dT/dt = S + pT \tag{3.4}
$$

with the initial condition

 $T = T(0)$  at  $t = 0$ 

The quantity required is the temperature at the later time  $t = Ndt$ . The analytic solution of equation (3.4) with the initial condition gives

$$
DT = T(Mt) - T(0)
$$
  
= (T(0) + S/p)(exp(pNdt) - 1) (3.5)

The implicit solution method applied to equation (3.4) yields

$$
DT' = (T(0) + S/p)((1-pdt)^{-N} - 1), \qquad (3.6)
$$

which naturally converges to (3.5) as N tends to infinity. The proportional error in the temperature change caused by using (3.6) instead of (3.5) is

$$
E = (DT'-DT)/DT
$$

$$
= \frac{p^2 a^2 \exp(pa)}{2N (\exp(pa)-1)} = 0\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)
$$

where  $a = Ndt$ .

If we assume that pa is small

$$
E = pa/2N (approx.)
$$
 (3.7)

To estimate pa, we note that

$$
\frac{T(Ndt) - 2T(Ndt/2) + T(0)}{T(Ndt) - T(0)} = \frac{T(a) - 2T(a/2) + T(0)}{T(a) - T(0)}
$$
  

$$
= (\exp(pa/2) - 1)^{2}/(\exp(pa) - 1)
$$
  

$$
= pa/4 (approx.)
$$
(3.8)

These last two results can be used to estimate the error E which will occur when the N implicit time steps are used.

The technique implemented in the code is to make a preliminary calculation covering the kinetics time step in just two implicit steps. These provide, for each node, estimates for  $T(a)$  and  $T(a/2)$  from the known starting temperature  $T(0)$ . Equations  $(3.7)$  and  $(3.8)$  then allow a value of N to be selected such that the error at any node is as low as required. The accuracy requirement selected in the code is that no temperature change should be in error by more than 5 per cent unless any predicted change is less than 1 K, in which case an error of 0.05 K is tolerated. Should the resulting value for N exceed two, the heat conduction calculation is repeated with this value of N.

## 4. MATERIAL MOVEMENT

#### 4.1 Material Expansion with Temperature

Following the calculation of new nodal temperatures resulting from heat conduction, the code then attempts a simple simulation of the resultant expansion/contraction. This simulation is correct only for plane geometry problems but has been retained for cylindrical geometry ones as an approximation.

After the heat conduction solution, the nodal temperatures are used to determine average temperatures for each material region. As part of the case input data, the user will have supplied material-property tables which inter alia specify the material densities as a function of temperature. From these tables and from the region temperatures before and after the heat conduction step, a density change for each region is computed and, from this, a volume change. The expansion is assumed to be isotropic; a width change for each region can then be calculated and new inter-nodal spacings  $(d_i$  of Figure 1) deduced. Expansion or contraction of the regions reduces or increases any gaps between the regions and these modified gap sizes are used at the next time step. However, if any gap closes to zero, it is assumed to remain closed during the remainder of the transient. Density and volume changes are correlated by the requirement that the region mass stays constant.

## 4.2 Material Flow Loops

Following the regi jn expansion/contraction simulation, the code then goes on to simulate the way in which material is transported around the reactor by flow loops, provided that these have been nominated in the input data. The concept of flow loops was discussed briefly in Section 1.1.

As a result of one or other of the two material movement calculations expansion/contraction of flow in a loop - the code finally yields new temperatures and densities for each region in each zone at the end of the kinetics time step. To complete the time step simulation, it only remains to determine the reactivity effects which result from these changes.

#### 5. REACTIVITY FEEDBACK

Feedback effects to be calculated are usually the changes in k(eff) and in k(excess) produced as a result of the region temperature and density changes already calculated. If a non-zero value for the input item CSHUT has been entered, the feedback will have to be calculated solely in terms of the total energy released. If an external reactivity ramp is in operation, then it will also be necessary to modify the multiplication factors to allow for this.

It is obvious that insertion of the feedback reactivity changes as small steps at the end of the simulated time step is not correct. Preliminary testing of the code showed that this procedure also produced spurious fluctuations in the calculated reactor period and even the reactor power. To obviate this, the code keeps track of the reactivity feedback produced during two previous time steps and attempts a prediction of the feedback which will be produced during the next time step. This is done by fitting a quadratic function of time and extrapolating forward. An artificial ramp is then inserted into the kinetics calculation with a ramp-rate which would produce the predicted feedback at the end of the next time step.

If the computed temperature and density changes in region i of zone j are denoted by  $dT_{1,1}$  and  $d\rho_{1,1}$ , the resultant change in reactivity dk/k for the reactor is calculated from the formula

$$
dk/k = \sum_{j} W_{j} \sum_{i} (C_{ij}^{\rho} d\rho_{ij} + C_{ij}^{T} dT_{ij})
$$
 (5.1)

where  $\mathbb{C}^P$ , are density coefficients of reactivity specified as part of the<br>input data,  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathsf{T}}_{\mathsf{t}\mathsf{t}}$  are temperature coefficients of reactivity evaluated by interpolation in tables provided as part of the input data, and W<sub>i</sub> are constants - called the zone statistical weights - also supplied as input.

The interpolation within the temperature coefficient tables is linear with temperature but, if extrapolation is needed beyond the range of the supplied tables, the value used is that corresponding to the nearest temperature available. It follows that, if no variation in the temperature coefficient is required, i.e. (dk/k) is constant, then the input tables need contain only one entry.

## 6. INPUT DATA

Except for the title card(s), all input items are read by the free input subroutine SCAN [Bennett and Pollard 1967] and only the first 72 columns of any input line are examined. In the description following, the FORTRAN names of the input items which can be entered are given together with their meanings. Naming of the input items follows the standard FORTRAN convention that variables whose names begin with I, J, K, L, M or N shall be integers while other names refer to real (floating point) variables. Because the SCAN routine 'skips over' unwanted alphabetic items when searching for numeric items, the user can annotate the input almost at will by inserting descriptive words between numeric items. In a very few places, the code will examine any alphabetic input items in case they correspond to significant keywords and in these areas the user should be careful that a keyword is not inadvertently included.

## 6.1 General Input

x

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

 $\mathbf{k}$ 

Input items should be given in the order specified except where the description below allows differently.





NBETA=11

-11





the next item to be entered is item 49, else items 17-48 appear.

17 NFLAG(14) ± the number of time steps between each printing of average region temperatures. If entered as positive,





 $\blacktriangle$ 

18

 $\ddot{\phantom{1}}$ 

volume of the particular region, and the code corrects this by multiplying the entered values by the region volume. This mode of entry makes it easier for the user to change the input data from one case to another in which, say, the number of regions and their widths are to be altered.

## 6.2 Saved Temperatures

Before describing the next three input items, we remark that an optional part of the output for a case is a graph against reactor time of the temperature of a particular region or of a particular node. If this option is to be utilised, it is necessary to specify the zone number and the region/node number for which the temperatures are to be saved for later plotting. The necessary information is contained in input items 42-44. To signal to the code that these items are being provided, the keyword SAVE should be given and followed by items 42, 43 and 44.



## 6.3 Flow Loop Data

If it is desired to define any flow loops in the reactor - as discussed in Section 1 - the user should insert the keyword FLOW in the input and follow this with items 45-48. If no loops are to be defined, go directly to item 49.



keeps reading pairs of items 47 and 48 until a pair identical with the first pair of the loop is detected. The loop is then completed. For a valid loop the zoneregion pairs must all be filled with the same material and the code checks this as the data are read.

## 6.4 Interrupt Facilities

The final four input items provide a means of specifying changes to the kinetics parameters so that external control of the reactor may be simulated. Alternatively the code can be requested to produce graphical output of some feature of interest at an appropriate stage of the reactor excursion.

The course of the calculation defined by the input items already read is allowed to continue until an event specified by input items 49 and 50 occurs. The simulation is then interrupted and items 51 and 52 are read to determine what action is to be taken., After this interrupt action, items 49 and 50 are then re-specified and the calculation continues until a new interrupt occurs.

48 INTOPT The interrupt option specified  $= 0$  means that no more interrupts are to be scheduled. Data for the case is complete  $=$   $\pm 1$  means that an interrupt is to occur when the reactor power exceeds/is less than the parameter PARINT read in at item 50 = 2 means that an interrupt is to occur after a reactor time lapse of PARINT seconds. The time is counted from the occurrence of the last interrupt (or time zero if no previous interrupts have occurred) = 3 means an interrupt is to occur when the total energy release exceeds PARINT joules  $=$   $\pm$ 4 means an interrupt is to occur when k(excess) exceeds/is less than PARINT = ±5 means an interrupt is to occur when the instantaneous reactor period exceeds/is less than PARINT seconds  $=$   $\pm$ 6 means an interrupt is to occur when the saved temperature exceeds/is less than PARINT (K)  $=$   $\pm$ 7 means that an interrupt is to occur immediately. PARINT is not needed and the next input item read is



 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

21



55 NOWZON The number of tha zone for which a temperature profile plot is required.

## 6.5 Unserviced Interrupts

Frequently, a particular case will terminate before all planned interrupts have been serviced. This termination will happen if the maximum allowable number of time steps has been reached, if a particular variable fails to reach the value for which an interrupt was planned, or if the allowed computer time for the case is insufficient. In such an event, the code will service all the planned interrupts as if they had occurred at the last time step. This ensures that graphical output for a particular case is not lost.

## 7. OUTPUT

#### 7.1 Printed Output

Printed output for any case appears on two data sets. That on FORTRAN logical unit 6 is simply a listing of the input cards produced as they are read by the SCAN input routine. In the event that an input error is detected by SCAN, the card in error will be the last one listed in this output data set.

must appear as the ntxt numeric input quantity in the

The major part of the output is written on logical unit 3. This falls naturally into two components - of which the first is a description of the problem as defined by the input items 1-48. For a large problem, this output will be voluminous unless use is made of the output restriction provided with input item 30.

The second component of the output written to logical unit 3 describes the course of the reactor transient. At the end of each time step, a monitor line is printed listing the reactor time, reactor power, the excess multiplication factor, the cumulative energy released, an estimate of the instantaneous reactor period and the cumulative reactivity feedback calculated to date; this last item is broken into components resulting from temperature and density changes.

At intervals determined by input item 17, the average temperatures of the various regions in each zone are printed, together with the nodal temperatures, if item 17 specifies this.

Independently of item 17, a printout of the region temperatures is produced on the first occasion that the reactor power reaches a local maximum and starts to fall. This additional printout is also produced on each occasion that an interrupt is serviced.

#### 7.2 Graphical Output

Output in this form is produced in accordance with the user's requests as described in input item 51.

## 7.3 Other Output

At each time step, the code can write an unformatted record containing the nodal temperatures for each zone on a dump file suitable for interactive plotting with the MOVIES and other programs of Turner [1982]. This output will automatically be produced if the user's job control language (JCL) allocates a dataset to FORTRAN logical unit 8.

When using Turner's program, it can be convenient to have the node positions also available on a data set. If the user's JCL allocates a data set to logical unit 9, the node positions for each zone will be written on that data set in card image format.

If either of these datasets is not allocated, the code notes this fact and no attempt to write the information is made.

#### 8. TEST PROBLEMS

The two test cases discussed in this section serve two purposes:

- (a) to illustrate how the input procedures are used to model a problem; and
- (b) to serve as a partial validation of the numerical procedures implemented in the code.

## 8.1 Case 1

This case is a partial solution of the Benchmark Problem 7-A1 defined and documented by the Benchmark Problem Committee of the American Nuclear Society (Mathematics and Computation Division) in their 1972 report. It was selected as an easy introductory model and because it serves to validate the coding of one of the solutions to the prompt kinetics equations described in Section 2.2.

The benchmark problem equations may be written



ZAPP solves these equations if the prompt kinetics approximation is chosen, there is no source term, the initial power is set to 10 watts and the input item 10 (CSHUT) is set to -0.00005.

 $\bullet$ 

ă.

The input for this test case is shown in Appendix A. In preparing the input, liberal use has been made of the capacity of the SCAN input routine to skip over alphabetic items when it is searching for a numeric item. The input

has thus been extensively annotated to make it largely self-descriptive. Columns 73-80 of each input record are omitted from the data scan and would typically be sequence numbered if the input were a true deck of cards. As indicated in the input lines, the time steps used in the calculation are largely controlled by interrupt specifications - chosen to ensure small time steps in the neighbourhood of the power maxima and minima. These were selected so that the period of the power oscillations could easily be deduced from the monitor lines as could the peak power values and the energy release per period.

Several pages of the case output are reproduced in Appendix B, from which we deduce



The values in parentheses are the analytic solutions of the problem given in the benchmark report. The plot of reactor power produced by the code is shown in Appendix C.

It is tempting to tackle the same problem using a direct simulation of the system as a small slab of material with thermodynamic properties described in the benchmark problem solution. This would be an error since ZAPP treats the temperature coefficients of reactivity of equation  $(5.1)$  as  $(1/k)(dk/dT)$ . The benchmark specifies a reactivity coefficient as (dk/dT). The multiplication factor k swings far enough from unity to make the 1/k factor significant and this results in a solution which is not a simple oscillation. As a one off check on the code validity, the 1/k factor was removed from the calculation of reactivity feedback. Not unexpectedly, this produced a result identical with that found using the data of Appendix C. The required modification to the code is to delete the statement numbered 9999 from SUBROUTINE FEEDBK.

## 8.2 Case 2

This case is a simulation of a power transient in the SPERT II reactor with the close packed core, designated B16/68 which is moderated and cooled by heavy water. This core has been described by Johnson et al. [1965] and the experiment simulated in this test case is the one which they designate Run 29. The methods of analysis used to produce the reactivity coefficients are those described by Connolly and Harrington [1977].

The active volume of the pseudo-cylindrical core was divided into eight zones as shown in Figure 2. The extra zones, 9 and 10, simulate coolant volume above and below the active core, and the forced coolant flow is indicated by the arrows in the figure. The coolant loop flow rates for that loop passing through zone 1 is simply the volumetric rate at which coolant enters zone 1 and this is the product of linear coolant velocity and that cross-sectional area of zone 1 which is occupied by flowing coolant. For the linear flow speed of 732 mm/s this gives a volumetric flow rate of 86 litres per second into zone 1. The rate into zone 2 is similarly found to be 47.5 litres per second.



FIGURE 2

The input for this test case is given in Appendix D and again we have annotated the input data items so that their meaning is clear. Of interest will be:

(i) the use of the keyword PERIOD and then input item 7b to specify the initial reactivity of the system and to specify the state of the delayed neutron precursors;

- (ii) the nomination of a region temperature to be saved (this corresponds to an average fuel meat temperature in the centre of the core); and
- (iii) the use of INTACT=12 to delete from all plots the first second of the transient during which the power is simply rising on a steady period.

Several pages of the case output are given in Appendix E and the graphical output produced in shown in Appendix F.

## 9. EXECUTION OF THE PROGRAM

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

On the Lucas Heights Research Laboratories' IBM3033 computer, the program ZAPP is invoked through a catalogued procedure, itself named ZAPP. The procedure is listed below.



#### 10. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank W.J. Turner for making available his subroutines for writing dump files of zone temperatures, and for his advice in using them to visualise the time development of temperature profiles. Thanks are due to R.J. Cawley for providing his clever subroutine which determines at execution time whether data sets have been allocated to units 8 or 9. A particular debt of gratitude is owed to J.W. Connolly for his advice and interest in development of the code. He willingly served as a guinea-pig user of the code and not- only attempted to prepare input for it but also suffered the consequences of running versions of it before it had been properly debugged.

## 11. REFERENCES

- Abramowitz, M. and Stegun, I.A. [1965] Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Dover Publications Inc., New York.
- •' American Nuclear Society - Benchmark Problem Committee [1972] - Report ANL-7416, Supplement 1.
- Bennett, N.W. and Pollard, J.P. [1967] SCAN a free input subroutine for the IBM360. AAEC/TM399.
- Connolly, J.W. and Harrington, B.V. [1977] An analysis of power transients observed in the SPERT II  $D_2$ 0 moderated close packed core. AAEC/E418.
- Johnson, R.L., Larson, H.A., McClure, J.A. and Norberg, J.A. [1965] An analysis of the excursion behaviour of a highly enriched plate-type D<sub>2</sub>0 moderated core in SPERT II. IDO 17109.
- Schwartz, A. [1959] Generalised reactor kinetics code AIREK-II. NAA-SR-MEMO 4980.
- Templin, L.J. [1961] Reactor physics constants. ANL 5800 (revised).
- Turner, W.J. [1982] MOVIES, plotting and printing of output from serial calculations. AAEC/E529.

APPENDIX A

#### INPUT FOR CASE 1

**ANL BENCHMARK 7-A1 POWER SOLUTION ONLY CPUTIME ALLOWED 1.0 MINUTES 990 TIMESTEPS MAXIMUM DTRY 0.002 MAXIMU M TRANSIENT TIME 1.75** PWERFR 1.5 **EXCESK 0.0 AT START crrp o« <sup>f</sup> t T NSFRTED** DKDT 0.05 RAMP INSERTED AT START **CSHUT -5.0E-5** STARTPOWER 10.0 **SOURCE 0.0 ELL 5.E-4** NBETA -1 RETALL 0.0 FORCES PROMPT SOLUTION INTOPT 2 AFTER TIME .4076 INTACT 3 SET DT= .0001 INTOPT -5 WHEN PERIOD LESS THAN 0. INTACT 3 SET  $DT = 002$ INTOPT 2 AFTER TIME .4076 INTACT  $3$  SET DT= .0001 INTOPT 5 WHEN PERIOD GREATER THAN 0. INTACT 3 SET DT= .002 INTOPT 2 AFTER TIME .4076 INTACT 3 SET DT= .0001 INTOPT -5 WHEN PERIOD LESS THAN 0. INTACT 3 SET DT= .002 INTOPT 2 AFTER TIME .4076 INTACT 3 SET DT= .0001 INTOPT 5 WHEN PERIOD GREATER THAN 0. INTACT 3 SET DT= .002 INTOPT 2 AFTER TIME .4 INTACT 4 PLOT LOG POWER INTOPT 7 IMMEDIATE THEN INTACT 13 EXIT

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

#### APPENDIX B

#### PART OF PRINTED OUTPUT FOR CASE 1

»«\*\*»»\*\*\*•\*•«\*\*•\*\*\*»\*\*•» ZAPP UNITS«CALORTE C.O-S ••••« TEMPERATURES WILL NOT BE DUMPED NODE POSITIONS WILL NOT BE DUMPED ANL BENCHMARK 7-A1 POWER SOLUTION ONLY 1.0 MINUTES CPU TIME AND 990 TIMESTEPS ALLOWED FOR THIS CASE KINETICS TIME STEP » 2.000E-03 SECS  $ATT = 0-$ K.EFF K.EXCESS RAMP DK/SEC POWER(WATTS) SOURCE PARAM.  $= 5$  0.0 5.00000E-02 = \$\*\*\*\*\*\* /SEC 1.00000E+01 0.0 1.00000D+00 0.0 MAX. POWER CHANGE PER TIME STEP 1.50000E+00 MAX. TRANSIENT TIME I.75000E+00 PROMPT LIFETIME = 5.00000E-04 PROMPT KINETICS SOLUTION SHUTDOWN COEFFT. = CSHUT = -5.0000E-05 = \$\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* DK SHUT  $=$  CSHUT  $+$  ENERGY PROBLEM NOW DEFINED. CALCULATION BEGINS OPTION 2 INTERRUPT WILL OCCUR WHEN TIME IS OREATFR THAN 4.0760E-01 TIME 0.0 MINS. TIME POWER K.EFF K.I K.FXCFSR ENERGY OK/K TFMP PERIOD DK/K EXPAND OK TOTAL SEC. WATTS JOULES **CUMULATIVE** CUMULATIVE CUMULATIVE SEC. 2.00000E-03 1 .00020E+01 1 .00010D+00 9 9.90000-05 2.00013F-02 0.0 0.0 -1.00010-06 1.0035E+01 4.00000E-03 1 .00079E+01 1 •000200+00 1 1.9BOOO-04 4.00106E-02 0.0 0.0 -2.0005D-06 3.3999E+00 6.00000E-03 1 .00178E+01 1 .000300+00 2 2.9700D-04 6.00356E-02 0.0 0.0 -3.00180-06 2.0156E+00 8.00000E-03 1 .00317E+01 1 .000400+00 3 3.9600D-04 8.00845E-02 0.0 0.0 -4.0042D-06 1.4433E+00 l.OOOOOE-02 1 .00496E+01 1 .000490+00 4, 4.94990-04 1.00165F-01 0.0 -5.0083D-06 1.1225E+00 0.0 1.20000E-02 1.00715E+01 1.<sup>0</sup>0059D+00 5.9399D-04 1.20286E-01 0.0 -6.01430-06 9.1839E-01 0.0 1.40000E-02 1 .00075E+01 1 .000690+00 6 6.9298D-04 1.40454E-01 0.0 0.0 -7.02270-06 7.7715E-01 1.60000E-02 1 .01275E+01 1 .000790+00 7 7.9197D-04 1.60678E-01 0.0 -8.03390-06 6.7360E-01 0.0 1.80000E-02 1 .01617E+01 1 •000890+00 8 B.90950-04 1.B0967E-01 0.0 -9.04B3D-06 5.9425E-01 0.0 2.00000E-02 1 .02000E+01 1 .000990+00 9, 9.8993D-04 2.01328F-OI 0.0 0.0 -1.0066D-05 5.3179E-01 2.20000E-02 1 .02425E+01 1 .001090+00 1. 1.08B9D-03 2.21769E-01 0.0 -1.10880-05 4.8112E-01 0.0 2.40000E-02 1 .02892E+01 1 •001190+00 1, 1.1B79D-03 2.42300F-01 0.0 0.0 -1.21150-05 4.392BE-01 2.60000E-02 1 .03403E+01 1 .001290+00 1, 1.28690-03 2.62929E-01 0.0 0.0 -1.31460-05 4.0414E-01 2.80000E-02 1 .03957E+01 1 .001390+00 1 3P5SD-03 2.83664E-01 0.0 0.0 -1.4183D-05 3.7422E-01 3.00000E-02 1 .04555E+01 1 .001480+00 1, 4B4RD-03 3.04514E-01 0.0 -1.52260-05 3.483BE-01 0.0 3.20000E-02 1 .05199E+01 1 .°.0158D + 00 1, 1.5837D-03 3.25489E-01 0.0 0.0 -1.62740-05 3.Z594E-01 3.40000E-02 1 .05888E+01 1 .0016BD+00 1 1.68270-03 T.46597E-01 0.0 0.0 -1.73300-05 3.0617E-01 3.60000E-02 1 .06625E+01 1 •001780+00 1, 1.7816D-03 3.67B4RE-01 0.0 0.0 -1.83920-05 2.«867E-01 3.80000E-02 1 .07409E+01 1 .001880+00 1, 1.88050-03 3.89250F-01 0.0 -1. 94620-05 2.1307E-01 0.0 4.00000E-02 1 .08241E+01 1 •001980+00 1, 97950-03 4.10fli4E-0] 0.0 -2. 05410-05 2.E.908E-01 0.0 4.20000E-02 1 .09123E+01 1 .002060+00 2, 0784D-03 4.32550E-01 o.o 0.0 -2.16270-05 2.4645E-01 4.40000E-02 1 .10056E+01 1 .002180+00 2, 1773D-03 4.54467E-01 0.0 -2.27230-05 2.3500E-01 0.0 4.60000E-02 1 .11040E+01 1 .002280+00 2, 27620-03 4.76575F-01 0.0 0.0 -2.38290-05 2.Z.'455E-01 4.80000E-02 1 .12078E+01 1 .002380+00 2, 37510-03 4.988R6E-01 0.0 -2. 49440-05 2.1502E-01 0.0 5.00000E-02 1 .13170E»01 1 .002470+00 2, 2.47390-03 5.21410E-01 0.0 -2.6070D-05 2.0624E-01 0.0 5.20000E-02 1 .14318E+01 1 .002570+00 2. 2.57280-03 5.44158E-01 0.0 0.0 -2.720SD-05 1.<'815E-01 5.40000E-02 1 .15524E+01 1 .002670+00 2, 2.67160-03 5.67141E-01 0.0 -2.83570-05 1.906BE-01 0.0 5.60000E-02 1 .16788E+01 1 .002770+00 2. 2.77050-03 5.90371E-01 0.0 0.0 -2.9?]9D-05 1.JI376E-01 -3.06930-05 1.T732E-01 5.80000E-02 1 .18113E+01 1 .002870+00 2, 2.8693D-03 6.13860E-01 0.0 0.0 6.00000E-02 1 .19500E+01 1 .002970+00 2, 2.96B1D-03 6.37621E-01 0.0 0.0 -3.18810-05 1.T132E-01 0.3980 OMITTED \* MONITOR OUTPUT FOR TIMFS 0.0620 - 0 4.00000E-01 6.31366E+03 1 •002680+00 2. 2.68140-03 3.46372E+02 0.0 -1.73190-02 1.I.976E-01 0.0 4.02000E-01 (, .37493E+03 1 .002150+00 2. 2.14690-03 3.59063E+02 o.o -1.79530-02 -1.85930-02 2.0711E-01 2.(>636E-01 0.0 4.04000E-01 6 .42298E+03 1 .001610+00 1. 1.6069D-03 3.71863E+02 0.0

 $\bullet$ 

 $\rightarrow$ 

0.0

 $\bullet$ 

4.06000E-01 6.45737E+03 1 .001060\*00 1.06270-03 3.84745E+02 0.0 4.08000E-01 6.47780E+03 1 ,000520+00 5.15860-0\* 3.97683E+02 0.0 0.0 0.0 -1.9237D-02 3.7456E-01 -1.98840-02 6.3324E-01

INTERRUPTING BECAUSE  $\qquad$  TIME  $\approx$   $\qquad$  4.08000E-01 IS GREATER THAN  $\qquad$  4.07600E-01 MAXIMUM KINETICS TIME STEP NOW = 1.0000E-04 SEC.

OPTION -5 INTERRUPT WILL OCCUR WHEN PERIOD IS LFSS THAN 0.0



INTERRUPTING BECAUSE PERIOD = −2.75036E+01 IS LESS THAN 0.0 MAXIMUM KINETICS TIME STEP NOW = 2.0000E-03 SEC.

OPTION 2 INTERRUPT WILL OCCUR WHEN TIME IS GREATER THAN 8.1760E-01



INTERRUPTING BECAUSE TIME \* 8.18000E-01 IS GREATER THAN MAXIMUM KINETICS TIME STEP NOW = 1.0000E-04 SEC. B.17AOOE-01

OPTION 5

INTERRUPT WILL OCCUR WHEN PERIOD IS GREATER THAN 0.0



INTERRUPTING BECAUSE PERIOD =  $0.0$ MAXIMUM KINETICS TIME STEP NOW 2.0000E-03 SEC. 0.0 IS GREATER THAN  $0.0$ 

OPTION 2 INTERRUPT WILL OCCUR WHEN TIME IS GREATER THAN 1.2274E+00

TIME POWER K.EFF K.EXCFSS FMEPGY DK/K TFMP OK/K EXPANO OK TOTAL PERIOD CUMULATIVE CUMULATIVE CUMULATIVE SEC.<br>0.0 0.0 0.0 -4.09880-02 9.6210E+00 8.21800E-01 1.00041E+01 1.00010D+00 1.015BD-04 8.19769E+02 0.0<br>Sessessessessessesses -4.0001TOD OUTPUT FOR TIMES 0.821 »••«••••\*\*»\*•»«\*\*\* MONITOR OUTPUT FOR TIMES 0.8Z3R - 1.2258 OMITTED •••»««\*••»»\*•••»\*•••»» 1.22780E+00 6.47846E+03 1.00050D+00 4.9729D-04 1.21785F+03 0.0

INTERRUPTING BECAUSE MAXIMUM KINETICS TIME STEP NOW = 1.0000E-04 SEC. 1.227BOE+00 IS GREATER THAN l.?27\*OE+00

OPTION -5

 $\bullet$ 

 $\sim 10$  M

INTERRUPT WILL OCCUR WHEN PERIOD IS LESS THAN 0.0



 $\sim 100$  km s  $^{-1}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\blacktriangle$ 

 $\overline{A}$ 



OPTION 2<br>INTERRUPT WILL OCCUR WHEN TIME IS GREATER THAN 2.0396E+00



APPENDIX C GRAPHICAL OUTPUT FOR CASE 1



## APPENDIX D

#### INPUT FOR CASE 2

```
B16/6B 800PS10 2.5FPS ALPHA 3.5T RUN 29
MAX CPUTIME 3.0 ALLOW 900 TIMESTEPS OF DTRY .04<br>MAX TRANSIENT TIME 10. SECONDS PWF3FR= 1.5<br>PERIOD .28 SECONDS<br>STEP 0.0 RAMP 0.0
CSHUT=0,0 POWER 100.0 SOURCES 0.0 LIFETIME 4.8E-4
M°ETA 31
BETAS ARE
 2.IE-* 1.42E-3 1.27E-3 2.56E-3 7.5E-4 2.7E-4 6.5E-4 2.02E-4 6.9SF.-5
3.33E-5 2.05E-5
LAMDAS ARE<br>0.0124  0.0305 0.111 0.301 1.136 3.013 0.277 0.0169 0.0048 0.00ī5<br>0.0004
PRINT EACH 400 STEPS
6COMAX l.E+50 6CONA 0.0 GCONB l.T+^0
NMATERIALS 4
FUEL<br>7 TEMPS 293. 373.(100.)873.<br>CONDS .413 .405(-.01).355<br>S.HEATS .541 .566 .596 .623 .647 .668 .686
 DENS 7*1.0
CLAD
 7 TEMPS 293. 373.(100.)873.
CONDS .394 .409 .421 .429 .434 .437 .44
S.HEATS .58 .602 .63 .657 .685 .712 .739
 DENS 7*1.0
WATER
 4 TEMPS 300.0 445.0 445.5 600.0<br>SMEATS 0.0015 0.0015 1.0 1.0<br>SHEATS 1.108 1.10 1.0934 1.0934<br>DENS 4*1.0<br>WATERA
 4 TEMPS 300.0 458.0 458.5 600.0
CONDS 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
SHEATS 1.108 1.10 1.0934 1.0934
 DENS 4*1.0
TEMPST 299.0
 10 ZONES<br>* DATA FOR ZONE 1 (* IN COLUMN 1 MEANS THÌS CARD IGNORED RY SCAM)<br>STATWT 1.0 NCELL 150475 NNR 4 FRP 0.1315<br>MATS 1 2 3 4<br>NODES 5 5 5 10<br>GAPS 3*0.0 l.OE+50<br>GAPS 3*0.0 l.OE+50<br>QFACT 0.949 0.011 0.0 0.04
ERHO 4*0.0
 1 300.0 -2.12E-7
1 300.0 -4.23E-7
 1 300.0 0.0
4 293.0 313.0 353.0 423.0 -1.26E-5 -2.31E-5 -3.R4E-5 -5.64E-5
* DATA FOR ZONE 2
NOPR
 STATWT 1.0 NCELL 150475 NNR 4 FRP 0.1315
MATS 123 4
NODES 5 5 5 10
 DX 0.00508 0.01016 0.0006 0.01164
GAPS 3*0.0 l.OE+50
QFACT 0.949 0.011 0.0 0.04
ERHO 4*0.0
1 300.0 -2.12E-7
```
(Continued)

 $1.300 \cdot 0 - 4.23E - 7$ \* DATA FOR ZONE 3 **NOPR** STATWT 1.0 NCELL 150475 NNR 4 FRP 0.1315 MATS 1 2 3 4<br>NODES 5 5 5 10<br>NODES 5 5 5 10<br>NX 0.00508 0.01016 0.0006 0.01164  $0.00508$  0.01016 0.0006 6<br>
GAPS 300.0 1.0E+50<br>
ERHO 400.0<br>
1 300.0 -2.''E-7<br>
1 300.0 -4.23E-7<br>
1 300.0 0.0 4 293.0 313.0 353.0 423.0 -1.26E-5 -2.31E-5 -3.84E-5 -5.64E-5 4 293,0 313,0 353,0 423,0 -1.26E-5 -2.31E-5<br>
\* DATA FOR ZONE 4<br>
MATS 1 2 3 4<br>
MATS 1 2 3 4<br>
NODES 5 5 5 10<br>
DX 0,00508 0.01016 0.0006 0.01164<br>
GAPS 3\*0.0 1.0E+50<br>
QFACT 0.949 0.011 0.0 0.04<br>
ERHO 4\*0.0 -0.04<br>
ERHO 4\*0.0 -0  $1.300.0 -2.11E-7$ <br>
1.300.0 -2.11E-7<br>
1.300.0 0.0<br>
4.293.0.313.0.353.0.423.0 -6.30E-6 -1.15E-5 -1.93E-5 -2.83E-5 - DATA FOR ZONE 5<br>STATWT 1.0 NCELL 82075 NNR 4 FRP 0.118 STATWT 1.0 NCELL 82975 NNR 4 FRP (<br>
MATS 1 2 3 4<br>
NODES 5 5 5 10<br>
DX 0.00508 0.01016 0.0006 0.01164<br>
GAPS 3\*0.0 1.0E+50<br>
QFACT 0.949 0.011 0.0 0.04<br>
ERHO 4\*0.0 ERHO 4\*0.0<br>1 300.0 -7.3E-8<br>1 300.0 -1.47E-7<br>4 293.0 313.0 353.0 423.0 -8.10E-6 -1.18E-5 -1.72E-5 -2.34E-5<br>\* DATA FOR ZONE 6 **NOPR** STATWT 1.0 NCELL 82075 NNR 4 FRP 0.118<br>MATS 1 2 3 4<br>NODES 5 5 5 10 DX 0.00508 0.01016 0.0006 0.01164 GAPS 3\*0.0 1.0E+50<br>GFACT 0.949 0.011 0.0 0.04 ERHO 490.0<br>
1 300.0 -7.3E-8<br>
1 300.0 -7.3E-8<br>
1 300.0 -1.47E-7<br>
1 300.0 0.0<br>
4 293.0 313.0 353.0 423.0 -8.10E-6 -1.18E-5 -1.72E-5 -2.34E-5 \* DATA FOR ZONE 7 **NOPR** NOPR<br>
STATWT 1.0 NCELL 82075 NNR 4 FRP 0.118<br>
MATS 1 2 3 4<br>
NODES 5 5 5 10<br>
DX 0.00508 0.01016 0.0006 0.01164<br>
GAPS 3\*0.0 1.0E+50<br>
QFACT 0.949 0.011 0.0 0.04

 $\blacksquare$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{1}}$ 

0.0006 L.01164 0.04 ERHO 4\*0.0 1 300.0 -7.3E-8 1 300.0 -1.47E-7 1 300.0 0.0<br>4 293.0 313.0 353.0 423.0 -8.10E-6 -1.18E-5 -1.72E-5 -2.34E-5<br>- DATA FOR ZONE 8<br>STATWT 1.0 NCELL 82075 NNP & FRP 0.118<br>MATS 1 2 3 4<br>NODES 5 5 5 10<br>DX 0.00508 0.01016 0.0006 t.01164 GAPS 3\*0.0 l.OE\*50<br>QFACT 0.949 0.011 0.0 0.04<br>ERHO 4\*0.0<br>1 300.0 -3.9E-8<br>1 300.0 0.0<br>4 293.0 313.0 353.0 423.0 -4.30E-6 -6.30E-6 -9.20E-6 -1.25E-5<br>4 DATA FOR ZONE 9<br>STATWT 1.0 NCELL 10000 NNR 1 FRP 0.0<br>MATS 4 NODES 5 DX 1000.0 GAP i.OE+50 QFACT 0.0  $0.0$ 1 300,0 0.0 • DATA FOR ZONE 10 STATWT 1.0 NCELL 10000 MATS 4 NODES 5 DX 1000.0 GAP l.OE+50 QFACT 0.0 0.0 1 300,0 0.0 SAVE ZONE 4 REGION 1 FLOW 2 LOOPS 8.60E+4 1 0 1 1424344 4 9 1 1 0 1 4.75E+\* 1 0 1 5464748 4 9110 1 INTOPT INTOPT INTOPT INTOPT INTOPT NNR 1 FRP 0.0 INTOPT INTOPT 2 AFTER TIME 2.0 INTACT 12 RESAVE<br>2 AFTER TIME 3.18 INTACT 4 PLOT LOG POWER<br>7 (IMMEDIATE) INTACT 5 PLOT LIN POWER<br>7 (IMMEDIATE) INTACT 7 PLOT LIN REACTIVITY<br>7 (IMMEDIATE) INTACT 9 PLOT LIN TSAVED<br>7 (IMMEDIATE) INTACT 11 PL

 $\bar{\chi}$ 

 $\lambda$ 

٠

 $\bullet$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\bullet$ 

 $\bullet$ 

 $\bullet$ 

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

#### APPENDIX F

#### PART OF PRINTED OUTPUT FOR CASE 2

енененьенноемененное ZAPP UNITS=CALORTE C.G.S ######################## TEMPERATURES WILL NOT BE DUMPED NODE POSITIONS WILL NOT BE DUMPED 816/68 200PS1G 2.5FPS ALPHA 3.57 RUN 29 3.0 MINUTES CPU TIME AND 900 TIMESTEPS ALLOWED FOR THIS CASE KINETICS TIME STEP = 4.000E-02 SECS AT T =  $0-$ K.EFF K.EXCESS RAMP DK/SEC POWER(WATTS) SOURCE PARAM.  $1.008630+00$   $8.626290-03$  = \$ 1.15 0.0  $= 5$  0.0 /SEC 1.00000E+02 0.0 MAX. POWER CHANGE PER TIME STEP 1.50000E+00 MAX. TRANSTENT TIME 1.00000E+01 PROMPT LIFETIME =  $4.80000E-04$ 11 DELAYED GROUPS **LAMBDA BETA** TOTAL 7.45528E-03 2.10000E-04 1.24000E-02  $\mathbf{1}$ 1.42000E-03 3.05000E-02  $\overline{ }$  $\mathbf{3}$ 1.27000E-03 1.11000E-01  $\blacktriangle$ 2.56000E-03 3.01000E-01  $\blacksquare$ 7.50000E-04 1.13600E+00 2.70000E-04 3.01300E+00 6. 6.50000E-04 2.77000E-01  $\overline{ }$ 2.02000E-04 1.69000E-02  $\mathbf{R}$  $\bullet$ 6.95000E-05 4.80000E-03 10  $3.33000E - 05$  1.50000E-03  $11$ 2.05000E-05 4.00000E-04 ASYMPTOTIC PERIOD =  $2.8000p-01$  SECONDS REGION & NODAL TEMPERATURES PRINTED EVERY 400 TIME STEPS GAP HEAT CONDUCTANCE DATA MAXIMUM GAP CONDUCTANCE =  $1.0000E+50$ CONDUCTANCE =  $(A*GAPTEMP + B)/GAPSIZE$ COEFFTS A, B = 0.0  $1.0000F + 20$ MATERIAL PROPERTY TABLES \* MATERIAL 1 FUEL **TEMP** 2.9300E+02 3.7300E+02 4.7300E+02 5.7300E+02 6.7300E+02 7.7300E+02 R.7300E+02 8.7300E+52 4.1300E-01 4.0500E-01 3.9500E-01 3.8500E-01 3.7500E-01 3.6500E-01 3.5500E-01 3.5500E-01  $\kappa$  $\mathbf{c}$ 5.4100E-01 5.6600E-01 5.9600E-01 6.2300E-01 6.4700F-01 6.6800E-01 6.8600E-01 6.8600E-01  $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000F+00$   $\overline{1}.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$ **RHO** MATERIAL 2 CLAD **TEMP**  $2.9300E+02$  3.7300E+02 4.7300E+02 5.7300F+02 6.7300F+02 7.7300F+02 8.7300E+02 8.7300E+52  $\kappa$  $3.9400E-01$  4.0900E-01 4.2100E-01 4.2900E-01 4.3400E-01 4.3700E-01 4.4000E-01 4.4000E-01 5.8000E-01 6.0200E-01 6.3000E-01 6.5700E-01 6.8500E-01 7.1200E-01 7.3900E-01 7.3900E-01  $\mathbf{C}$  $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000F+00$   $\overline{1}.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$ **RHO** MATERIAL 3 WATER  $3,0000E+02$  4.4500E+02 4.4550E+02 6.0000E+02 6.0000E+52 **TEMP**  $\kappa$  $1.5000E - 03$   $1.5000E - 03$   $1.0000E + 00$   $1.0000E + 00$   $1.0000E + 00$  $\mathbf{c}$  $1.1080E+00$   $1.1000E+00$   $1.0934E+00$   $1.0934E+00$   $1.0934E+00$ **RHO**  $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000F+00$   $1.0000F+00$ MATERIAL 4 WATERA **TEMP** 3.0000E+02 4.5800E+02 4.5850E+02 6.0000E+02 6.0000E+52  $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$  $\kappa$ 1.1080E+00 1.1000E+00 1.0934E+00 1.0934F+00 1.0934E+00 **C**  $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$   $1.0000E+00$ RHO.

ALL REACTOR TEMPERATURES ARE INITIALLY 299.0 DEO. K

 $\bullet$ 

 $\bullet$ 



 $\blacksquare$ 

 $\bullet$ 

ł

 $\bullet$ 

 $\bullet$ 







REACTIVITY COEFFICIENTS



ZONE 4 NODE POSITIONS



 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$  .

 $\Delta$ 



 $\bullet$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

 $\sim 10^{11}$  km  $^{-1}$ 

ZONE 7 STATISTICAL WEIGHT 1.00000E+00 GENF, ATES 1.1B000E-01 OF POWFR<br>CONTAINS 82075 CELLS. EACH OF 4 REGIONS

 $41$ 

(Continued)

and the control of the control of the

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 



 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{A})$  .

ZONE B NODE POSITIONS 0.00254 0. 0.07650 0. 0.14322 0. 00762 0-01270 0.0177B 0.02286 0.0304S 0.04064 0.05080 0.06096 0.07112 07710 0.07770 0.07830 0.07B90 6.0R^02 0.09666 0.10830 0.11994 0.13158 ين - 0.01270 0.01778 0.02286<br>- 0.07710 0.07770 0.07830 0.07890<br>- 0.15486 0.16650 0.17814 0.18978

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$  . The  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ 

 $\bullet$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$ 



 $\sim$ 

WARNINGI ZONE (CELL) POWER FRACTION SUM  $\approx$  0.0 »»»»»\*\* **(NON-UNITV)**



REACTIVITY COEFFICIENTS REGION **<1/K >** DK/ORHO TEMP U/K> OK/OT

 $1 \t 0.0$ 300.000 0.0

 $\pmb{\gamma}$  , and  $\pmb{\gamma}$ 

ZONE 9 MODE POSITIONS 500.00000 1500.00000 2500. 00000 3500.00000 4600.00000

(Continued)

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L$ 



TOTAL FOR ALL ZONES

 $\blacktriangle$ 

 $\bullet$ 



 $\blacktriangle$ 

2 FLOW LOOPS LOOP 1 PUMPING RATE (VOL/SEC) 8.60000F+04 ZONE REGION MAT.\* 10 -1. 1 2 3 4 9 -1 10 LOOP 2 PUMPING RATE (VOL/SEC) = 4.75000F+04 ZONE REGION MAT.# 10 1 4 5 4 4 6 4 7 a 4  $\circ$ 1 10 1 PROBLEM NOW DEFINED, CALCULATION BEGINS OPTION 2<br>INTERRUPT WILL OCCUR WHEN TIME IS GREATER THAN 2.0000E+00 TIME O.0 MINS. PERIOD TIME POWER K.EFF K.EXCFSS ENERGY DK/K TEMP<br>JOULES CUMULATIVE DK/K EXPAND DK TOTAL SEC. WATTS JOULES CUMULATIVE CUMULATIVE CUMULATIVE SEC. 4.00000E-02 1.15384E+02 1. 008630+00 B.6263D-03 4.30036E+00 -1.8929F-10 -1.9092D-10 2.7953E-01 0.0 8.00000E-02 1.33134E+02 1.008430+00 8.62630-03 9.26227E+00 -5.5646E-10 -5.61270-10 2.7955E-01 0.0 1.20000E-01 1.53612E+02 l."0863D+00 8.62630-03 1.4S874E+01 -1.0S13F-09 -1.0604D-09 2.7957E-01 0.0 1.60000E-01 1.77240E+02 .008630+00 8.62630-03 2.10932E+01 -1.6508F-09 -1.66500-09 H.7958E-01 0.0 2.00000E-01 2.04501E+02 .008630+00 8.62630-03 2.92151E+01 -2.3475F-09 0.0 -2.3678D-09 2.7959E-01 2.40000E-01 2.35954E+02 1.00863D+00 8.6263D-03 3.80092E+01 -3.1437F-09 -3.170HD-09 2.7960E-01 0.0 2.80000E-01 2.72243E+02 1.00863D+00 8.6263D-03 4.81558E+01 -4.0477E-09 -4.0827D-09 2.7960E-01 0.0 3.20000E-01 3.14113E+02 •00863D+00 8.62630-03 5.98630E+01 -5.0727F-09 -5. 11640-09 2.7961E-01 0.0 3.60000E-01 3.62422E+02 .008630+00 8.62630-03 7.33707E+01 -6.2354F-09 -6. 28920-09 2.7961E-01 0.0 4.00000E-01 4.18160E+02 1.008630+00 8.62630-03 8.89558E+01 -7.5564F-09 -7.62160-09 2.7961E-01 0.0 4.40000E-01 4.82469E+02 1.008630+00 8.62630-03 1.0693BE+02 -9.0599F-09 -9.13810-09 2.7962E-01 0.0 4.80000E-01 5.56668E+02 1.00863D+00 8.62630-03 1.27685E+02 -1.0774E-OB -1.08670-08 2.7962E-01 0.0 5.20000E-01 6.42277E+02 1. 008630+00 8. 62630-03 1.51623E+02 -1.2733F-OB -1.28430-08 2.7962E-01 0.0 5.60000E-01 7.41051E+02 1.008630+00 8.62630-03 1.79243E+02 -1.4973F-08 -1.51030-08 2.7962E-01 O.P 6.00000E-01 8.55015E+02 1.008630+00 8. 62630-03 2.11110F+02 -1.7540F-OP -1.7691D-08 2.7962E-01 0.0 6.40000E-01 9.86504E+02 1.008630+00 8.62630-03 2.47R78E+02 -2.04B4E-08 -2.0661D-08 2.7963E-01 0.0 6.80000E-01 1.13821E+03 1.008630+00 B. 62630-03 2.90300E+02 -2.3864E-08 0.0 -2.40690-08 2.7963E-01 8.62630-03 3.39246E+02 -2.7747F-08 -2.79B6D-08 2.7963E-01 7.20000E-01 1.31325E+03 1.008630+00 0.0 -3.2490D-08 2.7963E-01 7.60000E-01 1.51521E+03 1.008630+00 8.6263D-Q3 3.95719E+02 -3.2213E-08 0.0 -3.76730-08 2.7963E-01 8.00000E-01 1.74B22E+03 1.008630+00 8.62630-03 4.60876E+02 -3.7351F.-08 0.0 8.40000E-01 2.01707E+03 1.008630+00 8.62630-03 5.36054E+02 -4.326SF-08 -4.3638D-08 2.7963E-01 0.0 8.80000E-01 2.32726E+03 1.008630+00 8.62620-03 6.22793E+02 -5.0076E-Ofl -5.05080-08 2.7963E-01 0.0 -5. 84230-08 2.7963E-01 8.62620-03 7.22B70E+02 -5.792TF-OB 9.20000E-01 2.68515E+03 1.008630+00 0.0 -6.7543D-08 2.7964E-01 9.60000E-01 3.09807E+03 1.008630+00 8. 62620-03 B.38338E+02 -6.6966E-08 0.0 1.00000E\*00 3.57449E+03 1.008630+00 8.62620-03 9.71563E+02 -7.73B8E-OB 0.0 -7. 80560-08 2.7964E-01 •«•»\*»\*\*»\*\*• >\*«»•»«\*•»\* MONITOR OUTPUT FOR TIMES 7.00 - 1.92 OMITTED -2.4315D-06 2.7995E-01 1.96000E+00 1.10585E+05 1\*008620+00 8. 62390-03 3.09137E+04 -2.4107E-06 0.0 -2.80620-06 2.BOOOE-01 2.00000E+00 1.27568E+05 1.008620+00 8.62350-03 3.56687E+04 -2.7822E-06 0.0 2.04000E+00 1.47154E+05 1.008620+00 8. 62310-03 4.11538E+04 -3.2105F-OC, 0.0 -3.23820-06 2.8005E-01

(Continued)



 $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{w}$ 

 $\bullet$ 

 $\blacktriangle$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\bullet$ 

 $\bullet$ 

REGION 3 LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 7.191F+00 7.103F+00  $316.702$   $313.846$ 322.444 319.568 311.005 REGION 4 LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 6.355E+00 6.922E-01 307.523 307.449 307.383 307.325 307.276 307.234 307.201 307.177 307.160  $307.152$ ZONE 2 REGION TEMPERATURES  $330.991$   $330.342$   $3^23.130$ 314.815 ZONE 2 HEAT FLUXES (CALS/CH##2/SEC) & NODE TEMPS (K) REGION 1 LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 1.573E+00 6.077E+00  $331.069$   $331.049$ 331,010 330,951 330,876 REGION 2 LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 7.583E+00<br>330.717 330.525 330.337 330.154 7.015E+00 329.976 REGION 3 LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 6.780E+00  $6.631E+00$ 328,529 325,817 323,119 320,418 317.766 REGION 4 LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 5.789E+00 6.712E-01  $314.967$   $314.904$ 315.035 314.850 314.803 314.764 314.733 314.710 314.695 314.687 ZONE 3 REGION TEMPERATURES 334.508 333.869 326.974 319.485 ZONE 3 HEAT FLUXES (CALS/CHO+2/SEC) & NODE TEMPS (K) REGION I LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 1.533E+00 6.072F+00<br>334.584 334.565 334.527 334.469 334.394 REGION 2 LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 7.442E+00  $6.766E+00$  $334.234$   $334.046$ 333.863 333.686 333.515 REGION 3 LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 6.497E+00  $6.107E+00$  $332.131$ 329.532 326.932 324.358 321,915 REGION 4 LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 5,306E+00 6.292F-01<br>319.691 319.630 319.572 319.519 319.474 319.437 319.407 319.385 319.370 319.363 essessessessessesses TEMPERATURE QUIPUT FOR ZONES 4 -7 OMITTED SPESSOSSESSESSESSESSES ZONE 8 REGION TEMPERATURES 360.431 359.392 348.333 336.969 ZONE 8 HEAT FLUXES (CALS/CM\*\*2/SEC) & NODE TEMPS (K) REGION 1 LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 2.577F+00 1.101F+01  $360.563$   $360.531$ 360.466 360.366 360.228 REGION 2 LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 1.255E+01 1.083E+01 359.673 359.379 359.987 359.096 358.826 REGION 3 LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 1.033E+01 1.074E+01 356.622 352.492 348.370 344.240 339.943 REGION 4 LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 9.942E+00  $1.007E + 00$ 337.103 337.020 336.773  $337,315$   $337,200$ 336,785 336.949 336,890 336.844 336,808 ZONE 9 REGION TEMPERATURES 299.032 ZONE 9 HEAT FLUXES (CALS/CH\*\*2/SEC) & NODE TEMPS (K) REGION 1 LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 0.0  $0 - 0$  $299.032$  299.032 299.032 299.032 299.032 ZONE 10 REGION TEMPERATURES 299.000 ZONE 10 HEAT FLUXES (CALS/CH\*\*2/SEC) & NODE TEMPS (K) REGION 1 LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 0.0  $0.0$ 299.000 299.000 299.000 299.000 299.000

ZONE 1 REGION TEMPERATURES

325.099 325.080

324.746 324.548

 $325.020$   $324.357$   $316.713$ 

REGION 2 LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 7.793E+00

ZONE 1 HEAT FLUXES (CALS/CM\*\*2/SFC) & NODE TEMPS (K) REGION I LEFT & RIGHT FLUXES 1.595E+00 6.342E+00

307.288

324.902

7.360E+00

323.975

325.040 324.981

 $3^24.353$   $324.162$ 

(Continued)

POWER **TTHE**  $K.EFF$ K.EXCESS **FNFRAY** DK/K TFMP DK/K EXPAND DK TOTAL **PERTON** SEC. **WATTS** CUMULATIVE CUMULATIVE CUMULATIVE SEC. **JOULES** 4.08000E+00 4.15745E+07 1.00610D+00 6.1041D-03 2.66463E+07 -2.5038F-03 0.0  $-2,5222D-03 -4,4462E+00$ 4.12000E+00 4.09333E+07 1.00595D+00 5.9488D-03 2.82973E+07 -2.6581F-03 0.0  $-2,67750-03$  -2.5735E+00 4.16000E+00 4.00869E+07 1.00580D+00 5.8031D-03 2.991A2E+07 -2.8030E-03 0.0  $-2.8232D-03 -1.9144F+00$  $4,20000E+00$  3.90998E+07 1.00567D+00 5.6689D-03 3.15023E+07 -2.9364E-03 0.0  $-2.95740 - 03 - 1.6044E + 00$ MONITOP OUTPUT FOR TIMES 4.24 - 5.12 OMITTED RESERVANCEMENT ASSAULT \* 5.16000E+00 3.41852E+07 1.00504D+00 5.0386D-03 6.32150E+07 -3.5633E-03 0.0  $-3.58770 - 03$  3.5675E+00 5.20000E+00 3.45597E+07 1.00503D+00 5.0272D-03 6.45900E+07 -3.5746F-03 0.0  $-3.59900 - 03$  3.6713E+00 5.24000E+00 3.49230E+07 1.00501D+00 5.0134D-03 6.59797E+07 -3.5884F-03 0.0  $-3,61290-03$  3.8247E+00 ZONE 1 REGION TEMPERATURES  $323.171$ 316.416 307.733 323.731 ZONE 2 REGION TEMPERATURES 334.566 334,005 327.246 318,563 ZONE 3 REGION TEMPERATURES 345.309 344.750 338.005 329.346 ZONE 4 REGION TEMPERATURES 355.383 354.829 348.179 339,711 ZONE 5 REGION TEMPERATURES 338.529 339.450 327.426 313.143 ZONE 6 REGION TEMPERATURES 357.055  $356.137$ 345.026 330.744 ZONE 7 REGION TEMPERATURES 374.544 373.632 362.545 348.291 ZONE 8 REGION TEMPERATURES  $379.151$ 390.989 390.083 365,204 ZONE 9 REGION TEMPERATURES 299.179 ZONE 10 REGION TEMPERATURES 299.000 INTERRUPTING BECAUSE TIME =  $5.24000E+00$  IS GREATER THAN  $5.22000E+00$ PLOTTING POWER AGAINST TIME IMMEDIATE INTERRUPT PLOTTING POWER AGAINST TIME IMMEDIATE INTERRUPT PLOTTING COMPENSATED REACTIVITY AGAINST TIME IMMEDIATE INTERRUPT PLOTTING SAVED TEMPERATURE AGAINST TIME IMMEDIATE INTERRUPT PLOTTING TEMPERATURES IN ZONE 1 IMMEDIATE INTERRUPT CASE TERMINATING

 $d\mathcal{E}$ 

**RR** 

APPENDIX F GRAPHICAL OUTPUT FOR CASE 2



 $\mathbf{r}$ 

 $\bar{z}$ 







 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

52

A.

