Running Head: Sexual Pathogen Politics

Is the Relationship Between Pathogen Avoidance and Ideological Conservatism Explained by Sexual Strategies? In Press: Evolution and Human Behavior

See <u>http://www.ehbonline.org/</u> for publisher version.

Joshua M. Tybur^a*

VU University Amsterdam

Yoel Inbar^b

University of Toronto Scarborough

Ezgi Güler^a and Catherine Molho^a

VU University Amsterdam

Author Addresses

- VU University Amsterdam
 Department of Social and Organizational Psychology
 Van der Boechorststraat 1
 1081 BT Amsterdam
 The Netherlands
- ^a University of Toronto
 Department of Psychology
 1265 Military Trail
 Toronto, Ontario M1C 1A4, Canada

Corresponding Author: Joshua M. Tybur j.m.tybur@vu.nl Phone: +31205988718

Word Count:

Abstract = 167 Main Text = 6433 References = 1566

Author Note

We thank Steve Gangestad, Mariko Visserman, and Peter Dekker for helpful comments on an earlier form of this article. We also thank Daniel Balliet for guidance in conducting metaanalysis.

Abstract

Multiple recent studies report that measures of pathogen avoidance (e.g., disgust sensitivity) correlate with political ideology. This relationships have been interpreted as suggesting that certain political views (specifically, those views that are categorized as socially conservative) function to mitigate the pathogen threats posed either by intergroup interactions or departures from traditional societal norms, which sometimes evolve culturally for anti-pathogen functions. We propose and test the alternative hypothesis that pathogen avoidance relates to conservatism indirectly via sexual strategies (e.g., relatively monogamous versus relatively promiscuous). Specifically, we argue that individuals who are more invested in avoiding pathogens follow a more monogamous mating strategy to mitigate against pathogens transmitted during sexual contact, and individuals following a more monogamous mating strategy adopt socially conservative political ideologies to support their reproductive interests. Results from three studies (N's = 819, 238, and 248) using multiple measures of pathogen avoidance, sexual strategies, and ideology support this account, with sexual strategies fully mediating the relationship between measures of pathogen avoidance and conservatism in each study.

Keywords: Political attitudes, sexual strategies, pathogen avoidance, disgust, individual differences

Is the Relationship Between Pathogen Avoidance and Ideological Conservatism Explained
 by Sexual Strategies?

3

4 **1.Introduction**

5 In recent years, political scientists and social, personality, and political psychologists 6 have contributed to a growing field of Evolutionary Political Science (Lopez & McDermott, 7 2012). Investigations in this area have used insights from evolutionary psychology to better 8 understand preferences for political leaders (Spisak et al., in press) as well as positions on 9 politically relevant issues such as recreational drug use (Kurzban et al., 2010), social welfare 10 (Aarøe & Petersen, 2013), and progressive taxation (Petersen et al., 2013).

Attitudes toward discrete political issues such as these often bundle into packages and 11 vary along dimensions referred to as ideological liberalism versus conservatism. Political 12 scientists and psychologists argue that two primary dimensions underlie variation in ideology: 1) 13 advocating for social change (left-wing) versus advocating for tradition (right-wing), and 2) 14 advocating for equality between individuals and groups (left-wing) versus tolerating inequality 15 (right-wing; for overviews, see Duckitt and Sibley, 2009; Jost et al., 2003, 2009). Much of the 16 research investigating how and why individuals vary along these dimensions has concluded that 17 ideological conservatism functions to generally neutralize or manage unpleasant sensations, such 18 as those accompanying fear and uncertainty (Jost et al., 2003). Evolutionary approaches to 19 ideology have similarly suggested that conservatism functions to neutralize threats, though they 20 have emphasized specific, fitness-relevant threats rather than internally generated, 21 22 phenomenological ones. We discuss two of these evolutionary perspectives here.

23

24 1.1.Pathogen avoidance and ideological conservatism

Researchers have suggested that certain elements of conservative ideology function at 25 least in part to reduce individuals' exposure to infectious microorganisms (Inbar & Pizarro, 26 27 2014; Terrizzi et al., 2013). For individuals who are more invested in avoiding pathogens, the reasoning goes, the putatively pathogen-mitigating aspects of right-wing ideologies make these 28 ideologies more appealing. Most of the support for this account comes from studies reporting a 29 positive relationship between political attitudes and individual differences in pathogen 30 avoidance. These studies typically operationalize pathogen avoidance using self-report 31 instruments that either (a) ask participants to report the extent to which they agree with 32 statements such as "I do not like to write with a pencil someone else has obviously chewed on" 33 (referred to as "germ aversion" or "contamination sensitivity"); or (b) ask participants the degree 34 to which they would be disgusted by experiences such as "stepping in dog poop" (referred to as 35 "disgust sensitivity"). A recent meta-analysis of studies using these methods suggests that the 36 relationship between pathogen avoidance and conservatism is statistically robust and moderate in 37 size, *r*=.26 (Terrizzi et al., 2013). 38

Multiple potentially pathogen-neutralizing aspects of conservatism have been proposed to 39 explain this empirical relationship. One account suggests that interactions with outgroup 40 members might pose a greater pathogen threat than interactions with ingroup members if 41 outgroups carry—and are adapted to—pathogens from different ecologies (see Thornhill and 42 Fincher, 2014, for an overview). Given that ingroup favoritism is a hallmark of ideological 43 conservatism (Duckitt & Sibley, 2009), researchers have proposed that variation in conservatism 44 in part results from variation in effort to neutralize the putative pathogen threats posed by 45 46 intergroup interactions (Terrizzi et al., 2013). Another account points out that cultural evolution

47 might favor traditions and rituals (e.g., in terms of hygiene or food preparation) that are adapted to neutralizing ecologically-specific pathogens (Billing & Sherman, 1998). Adherence to 48 tradition-and advocating for others in the community to also adhere to tradition-might thus 49 partially serve pathogen-neutralizing functions (Murray et al., 2011). Importantly, researchers 50 favoring both of these explanations have suggested that only the social conservatism dimension 51 (i.e., advocating for change versus favoring long-standing cultural traditions) reflects a pathogen 52 avoidance strategy (Terrizzi et al., 2013). For example, Terrizzi and colleagues (2010) suggest 53 that favoring versus disfavoring the legality of stem cell research, abortion, and medical 54 marijuana use reflects pathogen avoidance, whereas opinions regarding minimum wage, 55 environmental protection, and government-funded health care do not. 56 These accounts are consistent with empirical results showing bivariate relationships 57 between conservatism and pathogen avoidance. However, a growing body of theory and research 58 on the behavioral immune system suggests that myriad aspects of human psychology and 59 behavior might serve anti-pathogen functions (Schaller & Park, 2011; Thornhill & Fincher, 60 2014). This raises the possibility that there are alternative accounts that might explain the 61 empirical relationship between pathogen avoidance and conservatism. Here, we present and test 62 63 such an alternative explanation—that the relationship between measures of pathogen avoidance

- 64 and conservatism reflects sexual strategies.
- 65 *1.2. Pathogen avoidance and sexual strategies*

The costs imposed by pathogens have shaped the evolution of several aspects of human
sexuality and mate preferences (Tooby, 1982; Ridley, 1993; Tybur & Gangestad, 2011)
including, potentially, orientation toward monogamous versus promiscuous sexual strategies
(Schaller & Murray, 2008). Each new sexual partner presents a risk of exposure to novel

70 pathogens, either those commonly categorized as "sexually transmitted" (e.g., chlamydia) or those that are transmitted via close physical contact, sexual or otherwise (e.g., influenza, 71 tuberculosis). Indeed, across primate groups, those species with greater promiscuity also invest 72 73 more energy in immune function, possibly to combat the pathogens transmitted during sexual contact (Nunn et al., 2000). If the pathogen costs are greater than the benefits of multiple sexual 74 75 partners (including increased reproductive output for males and increased offspring genetic diversity or quality for females; see Buss & Schmitt, 1993), mating systems might evolve to be 76 relatively monogamous (Loehle, 1995). Modeling simulations support this hypothesis, though 77 78 they also suggest that, rather than leading to homogenous monogamy throughout a population, pathogen costs of sex can lead to increases in variability in monogamous versus promiscuous 79 mating strategies, with some individuals favoring a pathogen-risky sexual strategy (non-80 monogamous) and others favoring a pathogen-risk-averse strategy (monogamous; Boots & 81 Knell, 2002; Kokko et al., 2002). 82

Empirical investigations of humans are consistent with the idea that more pathogen-83 avoidant individuals adopt more monogamous mating strategies. For example, Murray and 84 colleagues (2013) found that the Germ Aversion subscale of the Perceived Vulnerability to 85 86 Disease scale (Duncan et al., 2009) relates negatively to short-term mating orientation (β =-.19), meaning that individuals who are more avoidant of situations that are likely to transmit 87 pathogens are also less open to sex outside of a long-term, committed relationship. Similarly, the 88 89 sexual and pathogen factors of the Three Domain Disgust Scale (TDDS; Tybur et al., 2009) are moderately correlated (β =.40), meaning that individuals who report being more disgusted by 90 pathogen cues also report being more disgusted by a variety of sexual acts and situations outside 91 92 of intercourse in a pair bond. Other research also indicates a relationship between pathogen

avoidance and sexual attitudes (e.g., Duncan et al., 2009; Olatunji, 2008). Hence, the same
operationalizations of pathogen avoidance used in investigations of the relationship between
pathogen avoidance and conservatism (i.e., disgust sensitivity and germ aversion; see Terrizzi et
al., 2013) also relate to sexual strategies. And, as it happens, recent research suggests that sexual
strategies may also relate to conservatism for reasons apart from pathogen avoidance.

98 1.3. Sexual strategies and ideological conservatism

Individuals following relatively monogamous versus relatively non-monogamous mating 99 strategies are helped or harmed by different social rules (Weeden et al., 2008; Weeden & 100 Kurzban, 2013). Rules that allow or even facilitate promiscuous sexual behavior in the social 101 ecology threaten the fitness interests of individuals following monogamous, high investment 102 reproductive strategies. Men who invest heavily in a single pair-bond have more to lose (e.g., via 103 104 cuckoldry) if the social ecology presents more opportunities for mate poaching via "nontraditional" activities that present opportunities for casual, extra-pair sex (e.g., drug use, parties, 105 sexual exploration; Kurzban et al., 2010), and women who are highly dependent upon a pair-106 107 bonded male's investment encounter similar threats in environments where promiscuous sexual behavior is condoned and partner resources might be reallocated from parenting effort to mating 108 effort (Price et al., in press). Therefore, individuals following relatively monogamous mating 109 strategies have a strategic interest in endorsing rules proscribing sexual promiscuity-rules that 110 characterize many ideological aspects of social conservatism (Weeden & Kurzban, 2014). 111 112 Results from several studies are consistent with the sexual strategies hypothesis of conservatism. Using large U.S. samples, Weeden and colleagues (2008) and Kurzban and 113 colleagues (2010) find that the causal path flows from sexual strategies to ideological 114 115 conservatism rather than from ideological conservatism to sexual strategies. These empirical

116	patterns do not appear to be unique to the U.S.; indeed, they have been replicated in Japan, the
117	Netherlands, and Belgium (Quintelier et al., 2013). Further, data from the World Values Survey,
118	which includes nearly 300,000 individuals from 90 countries, indicate that religiosity
119	consistently relates to endorsement of rules that facilitate or interfere with sexual strategies (e.g.,
120	casual sex, prostitution, sexual infidelity), whereas it does not uniquely relate to endorsement of
121	rules unrelated to sexual strategies (Weeden & Kurzban, 2013). In the U.S., individuals living in
122	communities in which females are more economically dependent on males find sexual
123	promiscuity more wrong than individuals living in communities with greater sex egalitarianism
124	(Price et al., 2014). Finally, Li et al. (2010) find that, in an American university sample,
125	participants who view dating profiles depicting highly attractive members of their own sex (i.e.,
126	intrasexual competitors who could threaten investment in a monogamous mating strategy via
127	mate poaching) endorse greater religiosity.
120	1 4 De servert structuris en andrés de soutetiens bie bretoren en adores en ancidans en al servici

128 *1.4.Do sexual strategies explain the relationship between pathogen avoidance and social*129 *conservatism?*

130 There are, then, multiple possible explanations for the relationship between pathogen avoidance and social conservatism. We have proposed that individuals invested in avoiding 131 infectious disease develop a high investment, relatively monogamous sexual strategy to mitigate 132 133 the pathogen costs associated with increasing numbers of sexual partners. Investment in this sexual strategy then motivates a suite of socially conservative attitudes that are strategically 134 advantageous for those who have invested in monogamous pair bonds (we call this the sexual 135 strategies account of the relationship between pathogen avoidance and social conservatism). 136 Some existing evidence is consistent with this account. One recent study found that, out of 137 138 attitudes toward 14 groups, the Disgust Scale Revised (Olatunji et al., 2007) related most

139 strongly to attitudes toward groups that were seen as violating or promoting traditional sexual 140 rules (Crawford et al., 2014). Other studies that have been interpreted as supporting the pathogen avoidance function of social conservatism are also consistent with this account. For example, 141 142 although resistance to stem cell research, abortion, and homosexual marriage has been interpreted as a strategy for socially excluding outgroups who pose pathogen threats (Terrizzi et 143 al., 2010), other accounts suggest that such attitudes serve strategic reproductive functions 144 (Weeden & Kurzban, 2014). Hence, the existing empirical relationship between pathogen 145 avoidance variables and social conservatism variables could reflect a shared relationship between 146 147 pathogen avoidance and sexual strategies. Naturally, the pathogen avoidance and sexual strategies explanations need not be 148

mutually exclusive—in fact, to the extent that people have coherent political ideologies, political 149 150 sentiments related and unrelated to sexual strategies might covary (Jost et al., 2003, 2009). However, a strong version of the sexual strategies account, according to which the relationship 151 between pathogen avoidance and conservatism is entirely due to sexual strategies, makes a 152 153 testable prediction about the relationship between these variables. Namely, if this account is correct, then sexual strategies should fully mediate the relationship between pathogen avoidance 154 and conservatism-that is, there should be no residual relationship between pathogen avoidance 155 and social conservatism after accounting for sexual strategies. In contrast, if conservatism 156 functions to neutralize pathogens in the ways detailed above, then pathogen avoidance should 157 relate to conservatism independently of sexual strategies. There are myriad ways of interacting 158 159 with and acquiring pathogens from outgroups that are seemingly unrelated to sex (e.g., exchanging goods), and there are myriad traditions (e.g., food preparation) that are similarly 160 161 unrelated to sex. Further, individuals following more versus less monogamous sexual strategies

162 would seemingly face the same threat of pathogens from outgroups or deviations from tradition.

163 Hence, this perspective can be used to predict that individual differences in pathogen avoidance

would covary with the residual variation in social conservatism not accounted for by sexualstrategies.

We aim to test these accounts across three studies by using a broad array of measures ofpathogen avoidance, sexual strategies, and ideological conservatism.

168 **2.Study 1**

Much of the literature on pathogen avoidance and conservatism operationalizes pathogen avoidance using disgust sensitivity instruments. Indeed, even before the term "behavioral immune system" entered the evolutionary psychological lexicon, political psychologists suggested that conservatives are disgusted more easily than liberals (Jost et al., 2003). Hence, we began our investigation by examining the relationship between conservatism and disgust sensitivity. We chose to use the TDDS as a measure of disgust sensitivity, since it includes separate pathogen and sexual disgust factors.

176 This investigation is similar to that described by Tybur and colleagues (2010), with two important modifications. First, whereas Tybur and colleagues surveyed only undergraduate 177 university students, this study surveyed a larger sample of individuals with a broader range of 178 ages, educational background, and geographic locations. Second, in contrast with Tybur and 179 colleagues, who used broad measures of ideological conservatism that did not differentiate 180 181 between social conservatism and economic conservatism (e.g., level of agreement with the statement "I consider myself to be politically liberal"), we separately asked participants how 182 liberal versus conservative they are on economic and social issues. Although endorsements of 183 "social" and "economic" conservatism are correlated, they differentially relate to personality 184

variables (Gerber et al., 2010), and they might differentially relate to reproductive or pathogen
avoidance strategies (Weeden & Kurzban, 2014). Indeed, this shortcoming (i.e., not
differentiating between social and economic conservatism) has cast doubt on the validity of
results reported by Tybur and colleagues for testing the pathogen avoidance hypothesis of
conservatism (see Terrizzi et al., 2013).

190 *2.1.Methods*

191 Participants were 819 adults (423 male; M_{age} = 33.37, SD=12.33) recruited via Mechanical Turk, the SPN network website, and the Psychology Research on the Net website. Only 192 Mechanical Turk users registered in the United States were allowed to participate. Further 193 information about our samples can be found in the supplementary materials (see also Berinsky et 194 al., 2012, and Paolacci et al., 2010, for more details on Mechnical Turk users). After completing 195 196 other measures irrelevant to the current investigation, participants completed the following: Three Domain Disgust Scale (Tybur et al., 2009): This is a 21-item measure that includes 197 a seven-item *pathogen* factor, a seven-item *sexual* factor, and a seven-item *moral* factor. The 198 199 pathogen factor of the TDDS has frequently been used to operationalize pathogen avoidance (e.g., Debruine et al., 2010; Park et al., 2012), and the sexual factor has been used to test sexual 200 strategies hypotheses (e.g., Kurzban et al., 2010; Quintelier et al., 2013) and shows similar sex 201 differences and correlations with personality traits as other measures of sexual strategies (see 202 Tybur et al., 2011; Tybur & DeVries, 2013; compare with Bourdage et al., 2007). We only 203 204 included scores on the pathogen ($\alpha = .84$) and sexual ($\alpha = .88$) factors. *Individual ideology items:* Participants answered three questions concerning ideology: 205 1) How would you describe your political orientation when it comes to social issues? 206 207 2) How would you describe your political orientation when it comes to economic issues?

3) Do you tend to agree more with the Democratic Party or with the Republican Party?
Each of these items was measured on a seven point, likert-type scale. The first two items were
anchored by "Very Liberal" and "Very Conservative." The third item was anchored by "Much
more with Democrats" and "Much more with Republicans."

212 *2.2.Results*

Consistent with past findings that pathogen avoidance is related to social conservatism, 213 we found that social conservatism related to the pathogen domain of the TDDS (r=.16, p<.05). 214 Lee and Preacher's (2013) test for differences between dependent correlations suggested that this 215 relationship was stronger than those between the pathogen domain of the TDDS and economic 216 conservatism (r=.08, p<.05) and agreement with the Democratic versus Republican parties 217 (r=.09, p<.05). The sexual domain of the TDDS also related to all conservatism variables, though 218 219 the magnitudes of the correlations were stronger (r's=.34, .16, and .19, p's<.05, for social 220 conservatism, economic conservatism, and party identification, respectively). We used structural equation modeling (via EQS 6.1) to test the sexual strategies account 221 222 of the relationship between pathogen avoidance and conservatism. This approach allows for a direct statistical comparison of models in which effects of pathogen avoidance on conservatism 223

operate only via sexual strategies (i.e., mediation hypotheses) versus models in which pathogen

avoidance relates to conservatism independent of sexual strategies. Our approach involved
specifying a model in which (1) the sexual domain of the TDDS was regressed on participant sex
and the pathogen domain of the TDDS and (2) the three conservatism variables were regressed
onto participant sex and the sexual domain of the TDDS. Participant sex and the pathogen
domain of the TDDS were allowed to covary, as were error variances between conservatism
items (that is, variance in ideology items that was not accounted for by participant sex or the

231 sexual domain of the TDDS). Hence, the only relationships that were not modeled were direct 232 effects of pathogen avoidance on conservatism (that is, the direct relationships between pathogen avoidance and conservatism were constrained to zero). A poor-fitting model would suggest that 233 234 pathogen avoidance relates to conservatism independently of its relationship with sexual strategy. A well-fitting model would provide support for the strong version of the sexual 235 strategies hypothesis-that pathogen avoidance relates to conservatism only because it relates to 236 sexual strategies. As is standard in structural equation modeling, a significant chi-square value 237 rejects the null hypothesis that the covariance matrix implied by the model is identical to the 238 covariance matrix observed in the data. Other model fit indices, including comparative fit index 239 (CFI), root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), and standardized root mean residual 240 (SRMR) are also routinely reported, since significant chi square values can result from trivial 241 misfit. All statistics are based on robust maximum likelihood estimates, which correct for biases 242 that might occur under violations of multivariate normality (Bentler, 2006). 243

244

-- Figure 1 here --

The model fit the data well, regardless of which criterion was used to evaluate model fit, 245 S-B $\chi^2(3)=1.56$, p=.67, CFI=1.00, RMSEA=.00, SRMR<.01. That is, the model in which direct 246 relationships between the pathogen domain of the TDDS and the three conservatism items were 247 constrained to zero was statistically indistinguishable from the observed data (see Figure 1 for 248 standardized coefficients). Each of the three indirect effects of the pathogen domain of the TDDS 249 on conservatism via the sexual domain of the TDDS was statistically significant at the p < .05250 251 level (see supplementary materials for effect sizes). To illustrate the independent effects of pathogen avoidance on conservatism, we also examined a saturated model in which direct effects 252 253 from pathogen avoidance to conservatism were freely estimated. Consistent with the near perfect

254	fit of the constrained model, all of these coefficients were close to zero (β 's=01, .02, and02
255	for social conservatism, economic conservatism, and party affiliation, respectively), with 95%
256	confidence intervals that overlapped with zero (see Table 1).

257

-- Table 1 here --

258 *2.3.Discussion*

Results from Study 1 offered initial support to the sexual strategies account of the 259 relationship between pathogen avoidance and conservatism, and were not straightforwardly 260 consistent with alternative accounts. However, two aspects of Study 1 might have limited our 261 ability to detect a relationship between pathogen avoidance and conservatism independent of 262 sexual strategies. First, the variables we used to measure conservatism explicitly mentioned 263 political attitudes and political party affiliation. Substantial variance in these items might have 264 265 related to issues (e.g., firearm regulations) only peripherally related to the purported prophylactic aspects of conservatism. Other constructs that more directly relate to intergroup bias or 266 traditionalism might relate to pathogen avoidance independently of sexual strategies. Second, we 267 268 used only one of several measures that have been used to operationalize pathogen avoidance in this literature—the pathogen domain of the TDDS. We address these two limitations in Study 2. 269

270 **3.Study 2**

Given the limited breadth of conservatism measures included in Study 1—and the sole reliance on the TDDS to operationalize pathogen avoidance—we broadened our coverage of both constructs in Study 2. Specifically, we included (1) the Disgust Scale-Revised (DS-R), another instrument that is commonly used to operationalize pathogen avoidance in this literature, and (2) instruments to measure religiosity, traditionalism, and tolerance of inequality, the latter two of which are considered to be core dimensions of ideological conservatism (Jost et al., 2003), and both of which relate to negativity toward outgroups (Duckitt & Sibley, 2009).

278 Measures of religiosity, traditionalism, and social dominance orientation have been used in

several of the studies testing for relationships between pathogen avoidance and social

conservatism (Terrizzi et al., 2013).

281 *3.1.Methods*

Participants were 238 adults (100 female; M_{age} =32.75, SD=11.00) recruited via 282 Mechanical Turk. After completing other measures irrelevant to the current investigation, 283 participants completed the TDDS (pathogen α =.89; sexual α =.89) and the following instruments: 284 Disgust Scale-Revised (DS-R; Olatunji et al., 2007): Olatunji and colleagues modified the 285 Disgust Scale (Haidt et al., 1994) by removing seven items, including the four items that were 286 originally intended to form a "sexual" factor. Thirteen items ask participants to indicate the 287 288 degree to which they agree with statements such as "It would bother me tremendously to touch a dead body" on a 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) likert scale, and 12 items ask 289 participants how disgusting they find statements such as "A friend offers you a piece of 290 291 chocolate shaped like dog doo" on a 1 (not disgusting at all) to 5 (extremely disgusting) scale (α=.89). 292

Social Dominance Orientation (Pratto et al., 2013): This is a four-item measure in which participants indicate the degree to which they oppose versus favor statements (e.g., "Group equality should be our ideal") on a 1 (*extremely oppose*) to 7 (*extremely favor*) likert scale $(\alpha = .82)$.

Traditionalism (Duckitt et al., 2010): This is a six-item measure of traditionalism in
which participants are asked to indicate their agreement with statements such as "The 'old-

fashioned ways' and 'old-fashioned values' still show the best way to live'' on a 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree) scale (α =.90).

301	Religiosity: We also included three religiosity items recently used in an international Pew
302	Research Survey. Participants indicated "no" (which was coded as 0) or "yes" (which was coded
303	as 1) to the following items: "I believe faith in God is necessary for morality," "Religion is very
304	important to my life," and "I pray at least once per day." Item responses were averaged (α =.91).
305	Individual ideology items: As in Study 1, participants answered individual items that
306	straightforwardly asked them to self-report their ideology. In contrast to Study 1, the "social" and
307	"economic" conservatism items did not explicitly mention "politics." The four items were:
308	1) In general, how liberal (left-wing) or conservative (right-wing) are you on economic
309	issues?
310	2) In general, how liberal (left-wing) or conservative (right-wing) are you on social
311	issues?
312	3) When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as liberal, moderate,
313	conservative, or something else?
314	4) In political matters, people talk of "the left" and "the right." How would you place
315	your views on this scale?
316	The first and second items were measured on a 1 (very liberal) to 7 (very conservative)
317	scale with additional options for "don't know" and "can't pick one label." The third item was
318	measured on a 1 (very liberal) to 7 (very conservative) scale with additional options for "don't
319	know/not political," "libertarian," and "other." The fourth item was measured on a 1 (left) to 10
320	(right) scale, with an additional option for "Don't know/not applicable." We treated responses
321	from participants who selected one of these additional options (between five and ten percent of

responses, depending on the item) as missing data. These missing values were imputed using the

323 EQS EM algorithm for estimating missing data by considering participants' social dominance

orientation, traditionalism, age, sex, and the four political ideology items. Results were

325 unchanged when missing values were not imputed.

326 *3.2. Results*

We report analyses using both the pathogen domain of the TDDS and the DS-R 327 separately, with the TDDS correlation before the slash and the DS-R correlations after. Both 328 instruments were related to social conservatism (r=.23/.22, p's<.05), economic conservatism 329 (r=.15/.14, p's<.05), left versus right placement (r=.19/.23, p's<.05), general political 330 identification (r=.22/.22, p's<.05), traditionalism (r=.25/.36, p's<.05), social dominance 331 orientation (r=.08/.13, p's=.23/.05), and religiosity (r=.26/.34, p's<.05). As in Study 1, though, 332 the sexual domain of the TDDS was more strongly correlated with each measure of conservatism 333 than were either the DS-R or the pathogen domain of the TDDS (see Table 2). 334 -- Table 2 here --335 336 We proceeded to test path models similar to that described in Study 1. We tested two models separately—one in which the DS-R was used to operationalize pathogen avoidance, and 337 one in which the TDDS pathogen domain was used to operationalize pathogen avoidance. In 338 both models, we (1) regressed the sexual domain of the TDDS on participant sex and the 339 pathogen avoidance variable, and (2) regressed all seven ideology variables on the sexual domain 340

of the TDDS and on participant sex. Participant sex and pathogen avoidance were allowed to
covary, as were all error variances of conservatism items. Hence, as in Study 1, the model did
not allow any direct effects of pathogen avoidance on conservatism; it only allowed pathogen

avoidance to relate to conservatism indirectly via the sexual domain of the TDDS.

345	Replicating results from Study 1, the model in which pathogen avoidance was
346	operationalized using the TDDS pathogen domain-and in which direct relationships between
347	pathogen avoidance and conservatism were constrained to zero-fit the data well, S-B
348	$\chi^2(7)=10.84$, $p=.15$, CFI=1.00, RMSEA=.05, SRMR=.02. Results were virtually identical when
349	the DS-R was used, S-B $\chi^2(7)$ =9.80, p=.20, CFI=1.00, RMSEA=.04, SRMR=.02. All indirect
350	effects of pathogen avoidance variables via the sexual domain of the TDDS were statistically
351	significant (see supplementary materials for effect sizes). As in Study 1, we also examined
352	saturated models in which all direct relationships between pathogen avoidance and conservatism
353	variables were freely estimated. Only one of the 95% confidence intervals for these direct effects
354	failed to overlap with 0 (that between the pathogen domain of the TDDS and traditionalism), and
355	this effect was in the opposite direction of that predicted by the pathogen avoidance model. In
356	sum, any positive relationships between pathogen avoidance variables and conservatism were, as
357	in Study 1, fully mediated by the sexual domain of the TDDS.

358 *3.3.Discussion*

359 Results from Study 2 provided further support for the strong version of the sexual strategies hypothesis. This was the case across a broad array of instruments that have been used 360 to test the hypothesis that conservatism is a pathogen avoidance strategy, including 361 traditionalism, social dominance orientation, religiosity, political party identification, and 362 explicit endorsements of social and economic conservatism. Nevertheless, inferences based on 363 Studies 1 and 2 might be limited by two aspects of our designs. First, we used only the sexual 364 domain of the TDDS as a measure of sexual strategy. Although this measure includes items that 365 straightforwardly relate to monogamous orientations (e.g., "Bringing someone you just met back 366 367 to your room to have sex"), it also includes items that are less straightforwardly related to

monogamy (e.g., "Hearing two strangers having sex"). Second, we used only disgust sensitivity
instruments to operationalize pathogen avoidance. We sought to address both of these potential
limitations in Study 3.

371 **4.Study 3**

In addition to measuring the same variables used in Study 1 (i.e., identical conservatism variables and the TDDS), we included the Germ Aversion factor of the Perceived Vulnerability to Disease scale (Duncan et al., 2009) as an additional measure of pathogen avoidance, and the attitudes factor of the revised Sociosexual Orientation Inventory (SOI; Penke & Asendorpf, 2008) as an additional measure of sexual strategies.

377 *4.1.Methods*

Participants were 254 adults recruited via Mechanical Turk. Six participants who either 378 379 did not report their sex or reported being neither male nor female were excluded from all analyses. In the remaining sample (N=248; 150 male; M_{age} =31.92, SD=11.31), seven participants 380 had missing values on no more than three variables. As in Study 2, we imputed these missing 381 382 values using an EM algorithm. Measures for the study included the pathogen (α =.83) and sexual $(\alpha = .85)$ domains of the TDDS, the three ideology items described in Study 1 (i.e., social 383 conservatism, economic conservatism, and party identification), and the following: 384 Germ Aversion (Duncan et al., 2009): This is an eight-item measure in which participants 385

were asked to indicate their agreement with statements such as "I prefer to wash my hands pretty soon after shaking someone's hand" on a 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree) scale (r = 76)

388 (α=.76).

SOI (Penke & Asendorpf, 2008): This is a three-item measure of attitudes toward sex
 outside of a monogamous relationship that is based on Simpson and Gangestad's (1991) SOI.

391 Participants were asked to indicate their agreement with statements such as "I can imagine

- 392 myself being comfortable and enjoying 'casual' sex with different partners" on a 1 (strongly
- 393 disagree) to 7 (strongly agree) scale (α =.87).

394 *4.2. Results*

- 395 The two pathogen avoidance instruments (Germ Aversion and TDDS pathogen)
- correlated r=.55, and the two sexual instruments (SOI attitudes and TDDS sexual) were similarly
- 397 correlated, *r*=-.54. Germ aversion and TDDS pathogen had similar relationships with social

conservatism (r's=.09 and .11, p's=.15 and .08), economic conservatism (r's=.03 and .11,

p's=.03 and .11), and political party identification (r's=.10 and .11, p's=.14 and .09).

400 Sociosexual attitudes and TDDS sexual also had similar relationships with social conservatism

401 (r's=-.23 and .26, p's<.05), economic conservatism (r = -.09 and .13, p's=.18 and .05) and

402 political party identification (r's=-.18 and .20, p's<.05).

- 403 -- Table 3 here --
- 404 -- Table 4 here --

We conducted four path analyses similar to the one described in Study 1. In each model, 405 we (1) regressed one of the two sexual strategy variables on participant sex and one of the two 406 pathogen avoidance variables, and (2) regressed the three ideology variables on participant sex 407 and sexual strategy. As in Study 1, we also allowed the pathogen avoidance variable and 408 participant sex to covary, and we allowed error variances for the three ideology variables to 409 covary. Hence, in all four models, the direct effects of the pathogen avoidance variable on all 410 three ideology variables were constrained to zero; that is, pathogen avoidance was only allowed 411 to relate to conservatism indirectly via sexual strategy. Each model fit the data well, with all S-B 412 χ^2 s between 0.89 and 3.22 (p's between .36 and .82), all CFI's equal to 1.00, all RMSEAs 413

between .00 and .017, and all SRMR's less than or equal to .013. In each model, the indirect
effects from the pathogen avoidance to social conservatism via sexual strategies were significant
at the .05 level, as were five of eight the indirect effects on economic conservatism and party
affiliation (see supplementary materials for effect sizes). Further, in saturated models, all 95%
confidence intervals of the direct relationship between pathogen avoidance and conservatism
variables overlapped with zero.

420 *4.3.Discussion*

In all four combinations of pathogen avoidance and sexual strategies measures, we observed no direct effect of pathogen avoidance on ideological conservatism. That is, any relationship between pathogen avoidance and conservatism was fully mediated by sexual strategies, regardless of which of two instruments of pathogen avoidance were used, and which of two instruments of sexual strategy was used. Hence, results from Study 3 further supported the sexual strategies explanation for the relationship between pathogen avoidance and conservatism.

428 **5.General Discussion**

Results were clear and consistent across three studies—although instruments designed to assess pathogen avoidance related to measures of ideological conservatism at a bivariate level, these relationships were fully mediated by instruments measuring sexual strategies. We now briefly describe how these results inform pathogen avoidance and sexual strategies perspectives on ideology, and we discuss directions for future research.

434 *5.1.Pathogen avoidance and ideology*

Recent work has highlighted the myriad ways in which human psychology might
function to neutralize the infectious disease threats posed by pathogens (Schaller & Park, 2011;

437 Thornhill & Fincher, 2014). Adopting a conservative ideology—specifically, a socially conservative ideology—has been proposed as an example of a pathogen-neutralizing strategy, 438 either because conservatism inhibits contact with outgroups (Terrizzi et al., 2013), or because 439 440 departures from traditional norms increase pathogen exposure (Murray et al., 2011; Schaller & Murray, 2012). Results from the current studies seem difficult to reconcile these perspectives. 441 Sensitivity to sexual disgust accounted for 12%, 21%, and 7% of the variance in social 442 conservatism in Studies 1-3, respectively, and sociosexual attitudes accounted for 5% of the 443 variance in social conservatism in Study 3. Hence, there was substantial variance in social 444 conservatism not accounted for by sexual strategies in all three studies. If individuals adopt a 445 generally (socially) conservative ideology to reduce exposure to pathogens, it is not clear why 446 this variance in social conservatism not accounted for by sexual strategies was unrelated to 447 pathogen avoidance. 448

We point out that, despite our large samples (N's=819, 238, and 248), it is possible that 449 we failed to detect very small direct effects of pathogen avoidance on conservatism independent 450 451 of sexual strategies. Future research could replicate these tests to further inform whether sexual strategies partially versus fully mediate the relationship between pathogen avoidance and 452 conservatism. Further, these results cannot rule out the possibility that, even if general 453 dimensions of conservatism do not directly relate to pathogen avoidance, some specific 454 politically-relevant sentiments might have direct anti-pathogen functions. For example, our data 455 do not necessarily rule out the possibility that attitudes toward, say, immigration, relate to 456 pathogen avoidance independent of sexual strategies, perhaps especially in parts of the world 457 where immigration is a more salient issue than in the United States (see, e.g. Brenner and Inbar, 458 459 2014) or under conditions of especially high investment in avoiding pathogens (e.g., Faulkner et al., 2004; Navarrete et al., 2007). Nevertheless, we again point out that we failed to detect any
relationship between pathogen avoidance and the sizeable variance in social conservatism that
was unaccounted for by sexual strategies in these samples from the United States, where most
studies on pathogen avoidance and ideology have been conducted.

464 *5.2.Pathogen avoidance and sexual strategies*

Sexual strategies, just like anti-pathogen strategies, affect myriad behaviors. The fact that 465 the two relate to each other presents theoretical and methodological challenges and opportunities. 466 Regarding theory, existing research has suggested that a number of factors shape sexual 467 strategies, including an individual's sex (Buss & Schmitt, 1993), the ratio of men to women in 468 the ecology (Schmitt, 2005), ecological harshness and, hence, survival prospects for offspring 469 lacking strong paternal investment (Gangestad & Simpson, 2000), and ability to convert mating 470 471 effort into reproductive output (Lukaszewski et al., 2014). Like others before us (Murray et al., 2013; Schaller & Murray, 2008), we suggest that investment in avoiding infectious disease also 472 partially shapes sexual strategies. The empirical patterns observed in this paper and elsewhere 473 474 are consistent with this proposal. That said, more work (especially modeling work) is needed in this area to understand the relationship between pathogen avoidance and sexual strategies. As 475 one example, relatively restricted sexual strategies might function to avoid specifically sexually 476 transmitted infections, or they might function to avoid pathogens that are transmitted via close, 477 though not necessarily sexual, physical contact. Future empirical tests might inform which of 478 479 these costs a restricted sexual strategy guards against.

Regarding methods, the current results suggest care in interpreting measures that include
both sexual and pathogen content. For example, the original Disgust Scale (Haidt et al., 1994)
includes items concerning condemnation of third-party sexual behaviors (e.g., "I think

483 homosexual activities are immoral"). Although some have suggested that these items increase 484 the validity of the Disgust Scale as a measure of pathogen avoidance (e.g., Terrizzi et al., 2013), such items might inflate estimates of the relationship between pathogen avoidance and a criterion 485 486 variable (e.g., conservatism) if that criterion variable also relates to sexual strategies. The revision of the Disgust Scale (Olatunji et al., 2007) eliminated the four items from this 487 488 instrument that were intended to capture sexual disgust during instrument development, but it nevertheless include one item that references sex ("As part of a sex education class, you are 489 required to inflate a new unlubricated condom, using your mouth"). The correlation between 490 491 conservatism and this individual item with sexual content is markedly higher than that between conservatism and the other items on the revised Disgust Scale (Inbar et al., 2012). Indeed, using 492 measures of pathogen avoidance that were not confounded with sexual strategies, we observed 493 weaker relationships between pathogen avoidance and social conservatism than those reported in 494 a recent meta-analysis (Terrizzi et al., 2013)¹. 495

Although removing most of the sex-related items from the Disgust Scale was a 496 497 methodological improvement, it removes the possibility of allowing for separate tests of pathogen avoidance versus sexual strategies hypotheses with a single instrument. In contrast, the 498 TDDS offers an efficient method for doing this, since it has both sexual and pathogen factors 499 (see, e.g., DeBruine et al., 2010, for an example). Other readily available instruments (e.g., the 500 SOI; the PVD Germ Aversion factor) do not confound pathogen and sexual content. Just as our 501 interpretation of the relationship between pathogen avoidance and conservatism changes if we 502 take into account sexual strategies, other findings in the pathogen avoidance literature might 503 similarly be revisited by also examining sexual strategies. 504

¹ A meta-analysis of the effect sizes of pathogen avoidance and sexual strategies on conservatism within these three studies can be found in the supplementary materials.

505 5.3. Sexual strategies and ideology

Results lend further support to proposals that sexual strategies relate to ideological 506 conservatism (Kurzban et al., 2010; Weeden & Kurzban, 2014) and that they relate differently to 507 508 different categories of political sentiments. The difference in the magnitude of the correlations between the sexual domain of the TDDS and different conservatism variables in Study 2, where 509 we measured the most conservatism variables, was striking. Whereas sensitivity to sexual disgust 510 related strongly to religiosity, traditionalism, and social conservatism (r's=.48, .59, and .46, 511 respectively), it related only weakly to social dominance orientation and economic conservatism 512 (r's=.15 and .25, respectively). This is consistent with the hypothesis that moral sentiments (at 513 least partially) function to shape rules that favor individual fitness interests (DeScioli & Kurzban, 514 2013). Whereas rules relevant to how social conservatism is defined in the population from 515 516 which we sampled (e.g., abortion, recreational drug use) can facilitate or disincentivize sex outside of a pair-bond, rules relevant to how economic conservatism is defined (e.g., progressive 517 taxation, economic aid to the poor) presumably have less influence on individuals' ability to 518 519 pursue their sexual strategies.

520 *5.4.Concluding remarks*

The fact that pathogen avoidance relates to political ideology has been established in the literature. The time now seems ripe to move on to second-generation topics—such as generating and testing competing accounts of why this relationship exists. We hope that these studies contribute to a clearer understanding of the relationship between sexual strategies, pathogen avoidance, and political ideology.

526	References
527	Aarøe, L., & Petersen, M.B. (2013). Hunger games: Fluctuations in blood glucose levels
528	influence support for social welfare. Psychological science, 24, 2550-2556.doi:
529	10.1177/0956797613495244.
530	Berinsky, A.J., Huber, G.A., & Lenz, G.S. (2012). Evaluating online labor markets for
531	experimental research: Amazon.com's Mechanical Turk. Political Analysis, 20, 351-368.
532	Billing, J., & Sherman, P.W. (1998). Antimicrobial function of spices: Why some like it hot.
533	Quarterly Review of Biology, 73, 3-49.doi: 10.1086/420058
534	Boots, M. & Knell, R.J. (2002). The evolution of risky behaviour in the presence of a sexually
535	transmitted disease. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological
536	Sciences, 269, 585-589.doi: 10.1098/rspb.2001.1932
537	Bourdage, J.S., Lee, K., Ashton, M.C., & Perry, A. (2007). Big Five and HEXACO model
538	personality correlates of sexuality. Personality and Individual Differences, 43, 1506-
539	1516.doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2007.04.008
540	Brenner, C.J. & Inbar, Y. (in press). Disgust sensitivity predicts political ideology and policy
541	attitudes in the Netherlands. European Journal of Social Psychology.
542	Buss, D.M., & Schmitt, D.P. (1993). Sexual Strategies Theory: An evolutionary perspective on
543	human mating. Psychological Review, 100, 204-232.doi: 10.1037//0033-295X.100.2.204
544	Crawford, J., Inbar, Y., & Maloney, V. (2014). Disgust sensitivity selectively predicts attitudes
545	toward groups that threaten (or uphold) traditional sexual morality. Personality and
546	Individual Differences, 70, 218-223.doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2014.07.001
547	DeBruine, L.M., Jones, B.C., Tybur, J.M., Lieberman, D., & Griskevicius, V. (2010). Women's

preferences for masculinity in male faces are predicted by pathogen disgust, but not by 548

- 549 moral or sexual disgust. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, *31*, 69-74.doi:
- 550 10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2009.09.003
- 551 DeScioli, P., & Kurzban, R. (2013). A solution to the mysteries of morality. *Psychological*552 *Bulletin*, *139*, 477-496.doi: 10.1037/a0029065
- Duckitt, J., Bizumic, B., Krauss, S.W., & Heled, E. (2010). A tripartite approach to Right-Wing
 Authoritarianism: The Authoritarianism-Conservatism-Traditionalism Model. *Political Psychology*, *31*, 685-715.doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9221.2010.00781.x
- Duckitt, J., & Sibley, C.G. (2009). A dual process motivational model of ideology, politics, and
 prejudice. *Psychological Inquiry*, 20, 98-109.doi: 10.1080/10478400903028540
- 558 Duncan, L.A., Schaller, M., & Park, J.H. (2009). Perceived vulnerability to disease:
- 559 Development and validation of a 15-item self-report instrument. *Personality and* 560 *Individual Differences*, 47, 541-546.doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2009.05.001
- 561 Faulkner, J., Schaller, M., Park, J.H., & Duncan, L.A. (2004). Evolved disease-avoidance
- processes and contemporary xenophobic attitudes. *Group Processes and Intergroup Behavior*, 7, 333-353.doi: 10.1177/1368430204046142
- Gangestad, S.W., & Simpson, J.A. (2000). The evolution of human mating: Trade-offs and
 strategic pluralism. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 23, 573-587.doi:
- 566 10.1017/S0140525X0000337X

Gerber, A.S., Huber, G.A., Doherty, D., Dowling, C.M., & Ha, S.E. (2010). Personality and

- 568 political attitudes: Relationships across issue domains and political contexts. *American*
- 569 *Political Science Review, 104,* 111-133.doi: 10.1017/S0003055410000031

570	Haidt, J., McCauley, C., & Rozin, P. (1994). Individual differences in sensitivity to disgust: A
571	scale sampling seven domains of disgust elicitors. Personality and Individual
572	Differences, 16, 701-713.doi: 10.1016/0191-8869(94)90212-7
573	Inbar, Y., & Pizarro, D.A. (2014). Pollution and purity in moral and political judgment. In J.
574	Wright and H. Sarkissian (Eds.), Advances in Experimental Moral Psychology: Affect,
575	Character, and Commitments (pp. 111-129). Continuum Press.
576	Inbar, Y., Pizarro, D.A., Iyer, R., & Haidt, J. (2012). Disgust sensitivity, political conservatism,
577	and voting. Social Psychological and Personality Science, 3, 537-544.doi:
578	10.1177/1948550611429024
579	Jost, J.T., Federico, C.M., & Napier, J.L. (2009). Political ideology: Its structure, functions, and
580	elective affinities. Annual Review of Psychology, 60, 307-333.doi:
581	10.1146/annurev.psych.60.110707.163600
582	Jost, J., Glaser, J., Kruglanski, A., & Sulloway, F. (2003). Political conservatism as motivated
583	social cognition. Psychological Bulletin, 129, 339-375.doi: 10.1037/0033-
584	2909.129.3.339
585	Kokko, H., Ranta, E., Ruxton, G. & Lundberg, P. (2002). Sexually transmitted disease and the
586	evolution of mating systems. Evolution, 56, 1091-1100.doi: 10.1111/j.0014-
587	3820.2002.tb01423.x
588	Kurzban, R., Dukes, A., & Weeden, J. (2010). Sex, drugs and moral goals: Reproductive
589	strategies and views about recreational drugs. Proceedings of the Royal Society B:
590	Biological Sciences, 277, 3501–3508.doi: 10.1098/rspb.2010.0608

591	Lee, I.A., & Preacher, K.J. (2013, September). Calculation for the test of the difference between
592	two dependent correlations with one variable in common [Computer software].
593	Available from <u>http://quantpsy.org</u> .
594	Li, Y.J., Cohen, A.B., Weeden, J., & Kenrick, D.T. (2010). Mating competitors increase
595	religious beliefs. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 46, 428-431.doi:
596	10.1016/j.jesp.2009.10.017
597	Loehle, C. (1995). Social barriers to pathogen transmission in wild animal populations. <i>Ecology</i> ,
598	76, 326-335.doi: 10.2307/1941192
599	Lopez, A.C., & McDermott, R. (2012). Adaptation, heritability, and the emergence of
600	evolutionary political science. Political Psychology, 33, 343-362.doi: 10.1111/j.1467-
601	9221.2012.00880.x
602	Lukaszewski, A.W., Larson, C.M., Gildersleeve, K.A., Roney, J.R., & Haselton, M.G. (2014).
603	Condition-dependent calibration of men's uncommitted mating orientation: Evidence
604	from multiple samples. Evolution and Human Behavior, 35, 319-326.doi:
605	10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2014.03.002
606	Murray, D.R., Jones, D.N., & Schaller, M. (2013). Perceived threat of infectious disease and its
607	implications for sexual attitudes. Personality and Individual Differences, 54, 103-
608	108.doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2012.08.021
609	Murray, D.R., Trudeau, R., & Schaller, M. (2011). On the origins of cultural differences in
610	conformity: Four tests of the pathogen prevalence hypothesis. Personality and Social
611	Psychology Bulletin, 37, 318-329.doi: 10.1177/0146167210394451

- 612 Navarrete, C.D., Fessler, D.M.T., & Eng, S.J. (2007). Elevated ethnocentrism in the first
- 613 trimester of pregnancy. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 28, 60-65.doi:
- 614 10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2006.06.002
- Nunn, C.L., Gittleman, J.L., & Antonovics, J. (2000). Promiscuity and the primate immune

616 system. *Science*, *290*, 1168-1170.doi: 10.1126/science.290.5494.1168

- Paolacci, G., Chandler, J., & Ipeirotis, P.G. (2010). Running experiments on amazon mechanical
 turk. *Judgment and Decision Making*, *5*, 411-419.
- Park, J.H., van Leeuwen, F., & Stephen, I.D. (2012). Homeliness is in the disgust sensitivity of
- 620 the beholder: relatively unattractive faces appear especially unattractive to individuals
- higher in pathogen disgust. *Evolution and Human Behavior, 33*, 569-577.doi:
- 622 10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2012.02.005
- 623 Penke, L., & Asendorpf, J.B. (2008). Beyond global sociosexual orientations: A more
- 624 differentiated look at sociosexuality and its effects on courtship and romantic
- relationships. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 95, 1113-1135.doi:
- 626 10.1037/0022-3514.95.5.1113
- Petersen, M.B., Sznycer, D., Sell, A., Cosmides, L., & Tooby, J. (2013). The ancestral logic of
 politics upper-body strength regulates men's assertion of self-interest over economic
 redistribution. *Psychological Science*, *24*, 1098-1103.doi: 10.1177/0956797612466415
- 630 Pratto, F., Çidam, A., Stewart, A.L., Zeineddine, F.B., Aranda, M., Aiello, A., ... & Henkel, K.E.
- 631 (2013). Social dominance in context and in individuals: Contextual moderation of robust
- effects of Social Dominance Orientation in 15 languages and 20 countries. *Social*
- 633 *Psychological and Personality Science*, *4*(5), 587-59.doi: 10.1177/1948550612473663

634	Price, M.E., Scott I., Pound N. (in press). Female economic dependence and the morality of
635	promiscuity. Archives of Sexual Behavior.doi: 10.1007/s10508-014-0320-4
636	Olatunji, B.O. (2008). Disgust, scrupulosity and conservative attitudes about sex: Evidence for a
637	mediational model of homophobia. Journal of Research in Personality, 42, 1364-
638	1369.doi: 10.1016/j.jrp.2008.04.001
639	Olatunji, B.O., Williams, N.L., Tolin, D.F., Sawchuck, C.N., Abramowitz, J.S., Lohr, J.M., et al.
640	(2007). The disgust scale: Item analysis, factor structure, and suggestions for refinement.
641	Psychological Assessment. 19, 281-297.doi: 10.1037/1040-3590.19.3.281
642	Quintelier, K.J., Ishii, K., Weeden, J., Kurzban, R., & Braeckman, J. (2013). Individual
643	differences in reproductive strategy are related to views about recreational drug use in
644	Belgium, the Netherlands, and Japan. Human Nature, 24, 196-217.doi: 10.1007/s12110-
645	013-9165-0
646	Ridley, M. (1993). The Red Queen: Sex and the evolution of human nature. London, England:
647	Viking.
648	Schaller, M., & Murray, D.R. (2008). Pathogens, personality and culture: Disease prevalence
649	predicts worldwide variability in sociosexuality, extraversion, and openness to
650	experience. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 95, 212-221.doi:
651	10.1037/0022-3514.95.1.212
652	Schaller, M., & Murray, D.R. (2012). Mechanisms by which parasites influence cultures, and
653	why they matter. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 35, 91-92.doi:
654	10.1017/S0140525X11001038
655	Schaller, M., & Park, J.H. (2011). The behavioral immune system (and why it matters). Current

656 *Directions in Psychological Science*, 20, 99-103.doi: 10.1177/0963721411402596

- 657 Schmitt, D.P. (2005). Sociosexuality from Argentina to Zimbabwe: A 48-nation study of sex,
- 658 culture, and strategies of human mating. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 28, 247-
- 659 275.doi: 10.1017/S0140525X05000051
- 660 Simpson, J.A., & Gangestad, S.W. (1991). Individual differences in sociosexuality: Evidence for
- convergent and discriminant validity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 60,
 870-883.doi: 10.1037//0022-3514.60.6.870
- Spisak, B.R., Grabo, A.E., Arvey, R.D., & van Vugt, M. (in press). The age of exploration and
 exploitation: Younger-looking leaders endorsed for change and older-looking leaders
 endorsed for stability. *The Leadership Quarterly*.
- Terrizzi, J.A., Shook, N.J., & McDaniel, M.A. (2013). The behavioral immune system and social
 conservatism: A meta-analysis. *Evolution & Human Behavior*, *34*, 99-108.doi:
- 668 10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2012.10.003
- 669 Terrizzi, J.A., Shook, N.J., & Ventis, W.L. (2010). Disgust: A predictor of social conservatism
- and prejudicial attitudes toward homosexuals. *Personality and Individual Differences*,
- 671 *49*, 587-592.doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2010.05.024
- Thornhill, R., & Fincher, C.L. (2014). *The Parasite-Stress theory of values and sociality: Infectious disease, history, and human values worldwide*. Springer.
- Tooby, J. (1982). Pathogens, polymorphism, and the evolution of sex. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 97, 557–576.doi: 10.1016/0022-5193(82)90358-7
- Tybur, J.M., Bryan, A.D., Lieberman, D., Caldwell Hooper, A.E., & Merriman, L.A. (2011). Sex
- 677 differences and sex similarities in disgust sensitivity. *Personality and Individual*
- 678 *Differences*, 51, 343–348.doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2011.04.003

- Tybur, J.M., & de Vries, R.E. (2013). Disgust sensitivity and the HEXACO model of
 personality. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *55*, 660-665.doi:
- 681 10.1016/j.paid.2013.05.008
- Tybur, J.M., & Gangestad, S.W. (2011). Mate preferences and infectious disease: Theoretical
 considerations and evidence in humans. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society*,
- 684 *366*, 3375-3388.doi: 10.1098/rstb.2011.0136
- Tybur, J.M., Lieberman, D.L., & Griskevicius, V. (2009). Microbes, mating, and morality:
- Individual differences in three functional domains of disgust. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 29, 103-122.doi: 10.1037/a0015474
- Tybur, J.M., Merriman, L.A., Caldwell, A.E., McDonald, M.M., & Navarrete, C.D. (2010).
- Extending the behavioral immune system to political psychology: Are political
 conservatism and disgust sensitivity really related? *Evolutionary Psychology*, *8*, 599 616.
- Weeden, J., Cohen, A.B., & Kenrick, D.T. (2008). Religious attendance as reproductive support.
 Evolution and Human Behavior, 29, 327–334.doi: 10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2008.03.004
- 694 Weeden, J., & Kurzban, R. (2013). What predicts religiosity? A multinational analysis of
- reproductive and cooperative morals. *Evolution and Human Behavior, 34*, 440-445.doi:
- 696 10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2013.08.006
- Weeden, J., & Kurzban, R. (2014). *The hidden agenda of the political mind: How self-interest shapes our opinions and why we won't admit it.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University
- 699 Press.

Figure Caption

- Figure 1. Model constraining the direct relationship between pathogen avoidance and political
- variables to zero, S-B $\chi^2(3) = 1.56$, p = .67, CFI = 1.00, RMSEA = .00, SRMR < .01.Error
- variances for the three political variables are allowed to covary.

Table 1. Study 1 (N=819) bivariate correlations (r) and standardized regression coefficients, (β) unstandardized regression coefficients (b), and 95% confidence intervals between conservatism variables, pathogen disgust, and sexual disgust in the saturated model.

		Pat	hogen TD	<u>DS</u>	Sexual TDDS						
	r	β	b	95% CI	r	β	b	95% CI			
Social conservatism	.16	-0.01	-0.01	-0.22 0.10	.34	0.42	0.46	0.37 0.55			
Economic conservatism	.09	0.02	0.03	-0.09 0.14	.16	0.23	0.24	0.14 0.33			
Party affiliation	.08	-0.02	-0.03	-0.13 0.08	.19	0.28	0.29	0.19 0.38			

Table 2. Study 2 (N=238) bivariate correlations (r) and standardized regression coefficients, (β) unstandardized regression coefficients (b), and 95% confidence intervals between conservatism variables, pathogen disgust, DS-R, and sexual disgust in the saturated models. Sexual disgust values left of the slash refer to the model in which pathogen disgust is used to operationalize pathogen avoidance, and values right of the slash refer to the model in which the DS-R is used to operationalize pathogen avoidance.

		Pat	hogen TD	DS			<u>DS-R</u>		Sexual TDDS			
	r	β	b	95% CI	r	β	b	95% CI	r	β	b	95% CI
Right/Left	.19	-0.04	-0.07	-0.35 0.22	.23	0.08	0.30	-0.27 0.88	.36	0.49/ 0.43	0.78/ 0.68	0.53 1.03 / 0.44 0.92
Political ideology	.22	-0.03	-0.04	-0.23 0.14	.22	0.03	0.07	-0.29 0.44	.41	0.53/ 0.49	0.55/ 0.51	0.44 - 0.66 / 0.35 0.66
Social conservatism	.23	-0.06	-0.08	-0.26 0.09	.22	.00	.00	-0.37 0.36	.46	0.67/ 0.57	0.31/ 0.62	0.14 – 0.48 / 0.46 0.78
Economic conservatism	.15	.00	.00	-0.24 0.23	.14	0.01	0.02	-0.38 0.43	.25	0.27/ 0.26	0.66/ 0.31	0.46 – 0.86 / 0.13 0.49
Traditionalism	.25	-0.17	-0.20	-0.350.06	.36	0.09	0.21	-0.07 0.49	.59	0.80/ 0.65	0.78/ 0.65	0.65 - 0.91 / 0.51 0.78
SDO	.08	-0.01	-0.01	-0.16 0.14	.13	0.09	0.16	-0.12 0.44	.15	0.26/ 0.21	0.20/ 0.16	0.07 - 0.33 / 0.04 0.28
Religiosity	.26	-0.04	-0.01	-0.05 0.03	.34	0.13	0.08	.00 0.17	.48	0.56/ 0.47	0.15/ 0.13	0.11 – 0.18 / 0.09 0.17

Table 3

Study 3 (N=248) bivariate correlations (r) and standardized regression coefficients, (β) unstandardized regression coefficients (b), and 95% confidence intervals between conservatism variables, pathogen disgust, PVD germ aversion, and sexual disgust in the saturated models. Sexual disgust values left of the slash refer to the model in which pathogen disgust is used to operationalize pathogen avoidance, and values right of the slash refer to the model in which PVD germ aversion is used to operationalize pathogen avoidance.

		TDD	<u>S Pathogen</u>			<u>PVD G</u>	erm Aversion	L		TDDS Sexual				
	r	β	b	95% CI	r	β	b	95% CI	r	β	b	95% CI		
Social conservatism	.10	-0.07	-0.11	-0.27 0.09	.10	0.02	0.03	-0.17 – 0.22	.26	0.39 / 0.35	0.46 / 0.42	0.29 0.65 / 0.27 0.56		
Economic conservatism	.10	0.02	0.03	-0.20 – 0.25	.05	0.00	0.00	-0.22 - 0.22	.12	0.21 / 0.23	0.26 / 0.28	0.09 0.45 / 0.13 0.43		
Party affiliation	.10	-0.02	-0.04	-0.24 – 0.18	.11	0.04	0.07	-0.15 – 0.29	.20	0.29 / 0.27	0.36 / 0.33	0.16 0.56 / 0.16 0.50		

Study 3 (N=248) bivariate correlations (r) and standardized regression coefficients, (β) unstandardized regression coefficients (b), and 95% confidence intervals between conservatism variables, pathogen disgust, PVD germ aversion, and sociosexuality in the saturated models. SOI values left of the slash refer to the model in which pathogen disgust is used to operationalize pathogen avoidance, and values right of the slash refer to the model in which PVD germ aversion is used to operationalize pathogen avoidance.

	TDDS Pathogen					PVD Germ Aversion					<u>SOI</u>			
	r	β	b	95% CI	r	β	b	95% CI	r	β	b	95% CI		
Social conservatism	.10	0.07	0.11	-0.08 – 0.29	.10	0.07	0.11	-0.08 – 0.29	23	-0.27 / -0.27	-0.18 / -0.18	-0.260.10 / -0.260.10		
Economic conservatism	.10	0.10	0.10	-0.09 – 0.31	.05	0.04	0.07	-0.13 – 0.27	09	-0.14 / -0.15	-0.09 / -0.10	-0.180.01 / -0.180.01		
Party affiliation	.10	0.08	0.13	-0.06 – 0.34	.11	0.08	0.13	-0.07 – 0.34	18	-0.22 / -0.21	-0.15 / -0.15	-0.230.06 / -0.230.06		