Densely Packed Matrices as Rate Determining Features in Starch Hydrolysis
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Abstract

To aid in the design of starch-containing foods with slow and/or incomplete digestion in the upper gastrointestinal tract, the starch structural factors which control the rate of action of alpha-amylase are reviewed. It is concluded that local starch molecular density has the major influence on amylase digestion kinetics, and that density sufficient to either limit enzyme binding and/or slow down catalysis can be achieved by either crystallization or dense amorphous packing.
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1 Introduction

Starch, a major digestible carbohydrate in human diets, is synthesised in a condensed semi-crystalline granular form by the ordered packing of two hydrophilic glucose polymers (amylose and amylepectin) during photosynthesis. It has a complex hierarchical structure, which can be described by at least four levels of organization (i.e., molecular, lamellae, growth ring, and granular levels), ranging in length scale from nanometer to micrometer. Several detailed comprehensive reviews (J-L Jane, 2006; Le Corre, Bras, & Dufresne, 2010; Oates, 1997; Tester, Karkalas, & Qi, 2004) and many research articles (Cheetham & Tao, 1998; Cooke & Gidley, 1992; Gallant, Bouchet, & Baldwin, 1997; Gidley & Bociek, 1985; J.-L. Jane, et al., 1999) on the heterogeneous organized structures of granular starch have been published.

The rate, extent, and location of starch digestion in the small intestine are controlled by intrinsic (e.g., passage rate and multiple enzyme interactions in small intestine, hormonal control, current health status) as well as starch or food structure factors. The undigested starch fraction which exits from the small intestine is defined as resistant starch (RS), and passes to the large intestine where it functions as a prebiotic for bacterial fermentation (Englyst, Kingman, & Cummings, 1992). The undigested starch entering the colon also lowers the calorific value of foods (the energy derived by the host from microbial fermentation being only about 30% of that from mammalian enzyme digestion) (Englyst & Macfarlane, 1986), as well as reducing the glycemic load and insulin responses, associated with reduced risk of developing type II diabetes, obesity, and cardiovascular disease (Behall & Hallfrisch, 2002; Brand-Miller, Holt, Pawlak, & McMillan, 2002). Fermentation of RS into short-chain fatty acid (acetate, propionate, and especially butyrate) in the colon is reported to protect colonic cells from DNA damage and reduce the risk of colon cancer (Topping, et al., 2008; Van Munster, Tangerman, & Nagengast, 1994). These health benefits have stimulated interest in both the quantity and quality of starch necessary to maintain the state of good health of an individual.
Study of starch digestion in human subjects is often expensive, ethically constrained, resource intensive, and needs to take individual diversity into account. Therefore, resistant starch is most commonly measured by *in vitro* methods that simulate *in vivo* conditions of starch digestion and referred to as ‘enzyme-resistant starch (ERS)’ (Chanvrier, et al., 2007) to recognize that *in vitro* methods cannot predict the amount of starch that reaches the large intestine as there are variable host factors which determine this as well as properties of the starch / food.

Based on their origins, ERS have been classified into four categories: (1) physically inaccessible starch; (2) native granular (B- or C-type polymorph) starch; (3) retrograded starch; (4) chemically modified starch (Englyst, et al., 1992). Recently, starch-lipid complex was proposed to be a new type of ERS (Ai, Hasjim, & Jane, 2013; Hasjim, et al., 2010; B. Zhang, Huang, Luo, & Fu, 2012). This traditional classification implies that ERS is a thermodynamically defined physical entity. However considering the complexity of starch hydrolysis, recent evidence suggests that ERS can be better expressed as a kinetic phenomenon. In this way (physiological) resistant starch is understood as that fraction of starch which has not had sufficient time to be digested in the small intestine, rather than being completely resistant to amylolysis (with the possible exception of highly chemically-modified starches).

Understanding factors that influence the kinetics of starch hydrolysis requires identification of relevant rate limiting steps. It has recently been proposed that there are two types of rate-limiting steps, namely (i) barriers that slow down or prevent access/binding of enzyme to starch or (ii) structural features that slow down or prevent amylase action (after initial binding) (Dhital, Warren, Butterworth, Ellis, & Gidley, 2014): Intact plant tissues, whole grains and complex food products are perhaps the best representatives of an ERS material caused by barriers. In these cases, starch is encapsulated by dietary components such as proteins, lipids and plant cell walls, which restrict
enzyme diffusion and hence access to starchy substrate. However, it is not only physical barriers which can limit binding, as the surface of certain granules (e.g. potato) show less binding of fluorescently-labeled amylase than maize starch granules (Dhital, Warren, Zhang, & Gidley, 2014) despite the surfaces of both being dominated by starch polysaccharides; indeed maize starch has more surface proteins and lipids than potato starch. This suggests that the supramolecular structure at exposed surfaces of B- or C- polymorphic starch granules is effectively a hard outer shell and acts as a barrier to limit rate-limiting binding of digestive enzymes, and account for its relatively resistant nature. Therefore, barriers which make binding rate-limiting and lead to ERS character are often found in unprocessed foods such as intact plant tissue, whole or partly milled grains and seeds, raw B-type starch etc.

Similarly, after initial binding, starch structural features such as chemical structure and local molecular density are likely to control the digestion kinetics of starch as these can hinder adoption of enzyme conformations that lead to productive hydrolysis. Examples of chemical structures leading to ERS character include α-limit dextrin (only resistant to α-amylase, not brush-border sucrose/isolmaltase or maltase/glucoamylase), pyrodextrin, chemical modified starches (Ao, et al., 2007; Bai, Cai, Doutch, Gilbert, & Shi, 2014; B. Zhang, Dhital, Flanagan, & Gidley, 2014; B. Zhang, et al., 2011). The currently accepted mechanism for the enzymatic resistance of this sub-category is that either the (introduced) branched glucan structures (e.g., α-limit dextrin, octenylsuccinate starch) or formation of atypical linkages (e.g., dextrinization) render the α-1,4 glucosidic linkages adjacent to the branch points inaccessible to amylase. A further category of the physical state of starch which can affect starch digestion rates is matrices/molecules with high local molecular density. Examples include some processed starches, including retrograded starch, starch-lipid complex, and some specific species/conditions (examples will be discussed later in this review). From the point view of food engineering, most starch-based foods are processed before consuming, and become less ordered
and more accessible to enzyme in most cases after processing. However, the digestibility of processed starch is not always higher than that of (densely-packed) granular starch. Parchure and Kulkarni (1997) reported that the ERS contents of rice and waxy amaranth starch subjected to pressure cooking were increased, compared to those of native starches.

Although much information is available on factors which impact on in vitro digestibility such as starch characteristics, modification, encapsulation (Oates, 1997; Singh, Dartois, & Kaur, 2010; Thompson, 2000), to the best of our knowledge, nothing similar has been summarized for ERS from densely packed food matrices (particularly for weakly- or non-crystalline forms). This review will focus on the role of local molecular density on starch digestion kinetics, with the principle being that density sufficient to either prevent/limit binding and/or slow down catalysis can be achieved by either re-crystallization or dense amorphous packing. We also briefly discuss enzyme interactions and data interpretation in commonly used in vitro starch digestion models, as this impacts on the characterization of the role of dense packing on starch amylolysis.

2 Starch digestion in vitro: Enzyme interaction and data interpretation

Resistant starch is defined as the sum of starch and products of starch degradation not absorbed in the small intestine of healthy individuals, and supposed to be predicted by physiological techniques (Champ, 2004). Although several in vivo techniques such as ileostomy and intestine intubation have been accepted as a reliable and direct method and performed earlier for the study of carbohydrates and starch digestion (Andersson, 1992; Champ, 2004; Englyst & Cummings, 1985), in vivo models are expensive, ethically constrained, and specialized to nutritional or clinical study. In vivo trials typically use precisely controlled and repetitive meals, whereas humans are used to diverse diets so it is difficult to study a human diet in a well-controlled way to predict health outcomes (Gidley, 2013). The drawbacks also include that limited information is available for understanding the mechanism of
food structural changes during the digestion time course. *In vitro* methods simulating various aspects of the complex human digestion environment are widely used to study the gastro-intestinal behaviour of food under relatively simple conditions and suitable for mechanistic studies and hypothesis building for food scientists.

2.1 Starch digestion in vitro: Enzyme interaction

As a biochemical mimic of *in vivo* conditions, *in vitro* study of starch digestion is normally carried out using two kinds of enzyme: porcine pancreatic or human salivary α-amylase, and fungal amylglucosidase. The reason for the use of (excess) amylglucosidase as a final step to convert all end products of α-amylase action to glucose is that mucosal α-glucosidases extracted from animal models are not yet available commercially, and fungal amylglucosidase has similar functionality. The rate of enzymatic action is very dependent on conditions such as temperature and pH, although they occur generally at the optimal pH of ~5 and at temperatures around 37 °C. In this section, the structure of digestive enzymes and the nature of interaction between α-amylase and amylglucosidase are briefly reviewed.

α-Amylases (α-1,4 glucan-4-glucanohydrolase, EC 3.2.1.1) comprise different kinds of enzymes from animals, plants, and microbes. In mammals, α-amylases are produced mostly by salivary glands and the pancreas. α-Amylases hydrolyze starch by an endo-action at inner α-1,4 linkages of starch molecules, and their products have α-configuration at the anomeric carbon of the newly produced reducing end. However, α-amylases from different sources have different product specificities, which are due to differences in the length, folding and amino acid sequences of the enzyme protein (Robyt & French, 1967). Human salivary and porcine pancreatic α-amylases, two commercial α-amylases commonly used for *in vitro* starch digestion, show similar 3D structures from X-ray crystallography (Gilles, Astier, MarchisMouren, Cambillau, & Payan, 1996; Ramasubbu, Paloth,
Either human salivary or porcine pancreatic α-amylase has three structural domains, about 5 nm in diameter. The domain A has a structure consisting of an eight-stranded alpha/beta barrel that contains the important active site residues (Buisson, Duee, Haser, & Payan, 1987). Domain B, protruding between beta strand 3 and alpha helix 3, probably plays a role in maintaining protein conformation and Ca\(^{+}\) binding. The function of the C-domain is not known, but mutations in the C domain of the α-amylase from Bacillus stearothermophilus suggest that it is involved in enzyme activity (Holm, Koivula, Lehtovaara, Hemminki, & Knowles, 1990).

Human salivary and porcine pancreatic α-amylases also show similar actions on starch (Hizukuri, 2006). They hydrolyze starch to soluble oligosaccharides (G2 (maltose), G3 (maltotriose), G4 (maltotetraose)) and α-limit dextrins that have one or two α-1,6 linkages. Robyt and French (1970) postulated that porcine pancreatic α-amylase has five D-glucose binding subsites and that the catalytic groups are located between the second and third subsites from the reducing-end subsite. This hypothesis has been confirmed by the 3D domain architecture deduced from X-ray crystallography (Buisson, et al., 1987). However, human salivary α-amylase has six D-glucose binding subsites, with catalytic groups located between the second and third subsites (Kandra & Gyemant, 2000). Glucose is a very minor product of α-amylase digestion. Only G3 and G4 can be slowly hydrolyzed into maltose and glucose after prolonged incubation by a subsidiary site (Robyt, 1986). α-Amylases have a high degree of multiple-attack hydrolysis pattern, with an average of seven hydrolytic cleavages occurring per productive encounter for the porcine pancreatic α-amylase, and three for the human salivary α-amylase (Abdullah, French, & Robyt, 1966; Robyt & French, 1967).

Another widely used starch degradation enzyme is amylglucosidase (often called glucoamylase, EC 3.2.1.3, 8 – 10 nm in size), usually from Aspergillus niger (AMG-I). It can produce β-D-glucose
from the non-reducing ends of starch chains by exo-hydrolysis of both α-1,4 glycosidic linkages and,
at a slower rate, α-1,6 glycosidic linkages (Weill, Burch, & Vandyk, 1954). The specific activity
towards the α-1,6 linkage is only 0.2% of that for the α-1,4 linkage (Norouzian, Akbarzadeh,
Scharer, & Young, 2006). Only AMG-I contains an N-terminal starch-binding domain (which is
essential for the enzyme to hydrolyze granular starches) that is distinct from the C-terminal catalytic
domain (active site) present in AMG-I, II and III (Takahashi, Kato, Ikegami, & Irie, 1985). Recent
studies indicate that the starch-binding domain not only binds onto starch, but also disrupts double
helical structures and enhances the rate of hydrolysis (Morris, Gunning, Faulds, Williamson, &
Svensson, 2005; Sorimachi, LeGalCoeffet, Williamson, Archer, & Williamson, 1997). It was
postulated that amyloglucosidase from *Aspergillus niger* has seven subsites for binding near the
active site, and its catalytic site is located between subsites 1 and 2 (Swanson, Emery, & Lim, 1977).
Moreover, the subsites possess variable affinities: the affinity of the first subsite is very low, whereas
subsite 2 has the highest affinity and the affinity of the individual sites decreases from subsite 3 to 7
(Hiromi, Nitta, Numata, & Ono, 1973). Amyloglucosidase has a multi-chain hydrolysis mechanism,
i.e., after the glycosidic bond is cleaved by amyloglucosidase, the remaining starch chain must
dissociate and leave the active sites before glucose can leave (Robyt, 2009). The active sites of the
amyloglucosidase are ‘pocket like’, which ensure that only a single, β-conformational glucose can be
produced.

The conventional view of starch digestion is that α-amylase is the limiting digestive enzyme that
determines overall digestion rate. This is indeed the case for granular starch digestion: α-amylase
supplies new substrates for amyloglucosidase by endo-wise splitting of large molecules (Fujii,
Homma, & Taniguchi, 1988; B. Zhang, Dhital, & Gidley, 2013). However, it was recently found that
the α-amylase and amyloglucosidase have antagonistic effects in digestion of cooked starch, which
was ascribed to the less efficient digestion of low molecular weight oligomers (products from α-
amylase hydrolysis) by amyloglucosidase (B. Zhang, et al., 2013). Similarly, the mucosal α-
glucosidases secreted in intestinal villus do not simply passively convert the end products of α-
amylase digestion (i.e., malto-ologosaccharides) to absorbable glucose, but are capable of acting
directly on polymeric starch (Dhital, Lin, Hamaker, Gidley, & Muniandy, 2013; Lin, et al., 2012).
Therefore, the interdependence between human α-amylase (including salivary amylase and two
forms of pancreatic amylase) and mucosal α-glucosidases need to be further investigated and taken
into account when predicting the digestion rate/extent of starch with different physical structures.

2.2 Starch digestion in vitro: Kinetic data interpretation

Many starch digestion processes are heterogeneous reactions, involving an interaction between solid
substrate (e.g., starch granules, food particles) and soluble enzymes. Although the starch can be
gelatinized/processed, it seldom forms a true solution, and this structure is greatly influenced by the
botanical source and previous processing history. Individual particles e.g. granular starches or
processed starches vary in their response to enzymatic susceptibility (Al-Rabadi, Torley, Williams,
Bryden, & Gidley, 2011; Dhital, Shrestha, & Gidley, 2010), and what behaves as resistant starch in
one person may not behave the same way in another (Englyst, Kingman, Hudson, & Cummings,
1996), presumably because of differences in enzyme secretion levels, passage rates etc. For a given
starch sample, only the mean value of digestion rate/extent for whole populations of particles can be
measured under defined experimental conditions and enzyme concentration. Kinetic models and data
interpretation for evaluating the rate of in vitro starch digestion are summarized below, including the
classical Michaelis-Menten (M-M) kinetics more focusing on the initial rate and the first-order
kinetics for prolonged hydrolysis.

2.3.1 Michaelis-Menten kinetics

The classical M-M kinetics is only appropriate for the initial stages of amylase digestion of starches
(typically up to ~20 min), as represented as following scheme:

\[ \begin{align*}
E + S & \xrightleftharpoons[k_{-1}]{k_1} ES \xrightarrow{k_2} E + P
\end{align*} \]

The enzyme (E) and substrate (S) first combine to give an enzyme-substrate complex (ES). Then the chemical processes take place in a second step to break down ES and produce product (P) with a first-order catalytic constant \( k_{\text{cat}} \) (also called \( k_2 \) or the turnover number). It is found experimentally that the initial rate \( (v) \) of enzyme reaction on starch can be calculated by the M-M equation using three standard assumptions: (a) The enzyme concentration in the reactions is small relative to the substrate concentration; (b) Only initial rate conditions are considered. Thus, there is very little accumulation of P, and the formation of ES from E + P is negligible; (c) Steady-state assumption. The rate of breakdown of ES equals the rate of formation of ES (Menten & Michaelis, 1913).

\[
v = \frac{k_{\text{cat}}E_0S}{K_m + S}
\]

where \( k_{\text{cat}} \) is catalytic constant, \( E_0 \) is the total enzyme concentration, \( K_m \) is the M-M constant which is equivalent to \( (K_{-1}+K_2)/K_{+1} \), and \( S \) is the initial substrate concentration. The \( V_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum rate of the reaction, which equivalent to \( k_{\text{cat}} \) times \( E_0 \). The velocity of liberation of reducing sugars as a function of only initial (low) starch concentrations can be described through a simple M-M equation, because product inhibition and substrate exhaustion might cause the reaction velocity to decay with prolonged hydrolysis time (Butterworth, Warren, & Ellis, 2011).

2.3.2 First-order kinetics

When starch or starch-containing foods are digested \textit{in vitro} with amylase or in combination with amylglucosidase, the rate of reaction decreases as the time is extended and plots of the concentration of product formed (or quantity of starch digested) against time are logarithmic. The decrease of the digestion rate over time course is a natural feature of an exponential reaction (Butterworth, Warren, Grassby, Patel, & Ellis, 2012). This substrate decay process fits a single rate
coefficient (i.e., first-order equation) as follows (Goni, Garcia-Alonso, & Saura-Calixto, 1997).

\[ C_t = C_\infty (1 - e^{-kt}) \]

where \( t \) is the digestion time (min), \( C_t \) is digested starch at incubation time \( t \), \( C_\infty \) is digestion at infinite time, and \( k \) is rate constant (\( \text{min}^{-1} \)). One obvious problem in using this simple equation comes from the need for an accurate estimate of \( C_\infty \) (Butterworth, et al., 2012). Unless the enzyme-catalyzed digestion is allowed to run for a long time, digestibility curves cannot be guaranteed to have reached a true end point. In order to solve this problem, Butterworth, et al. (2012) introduced a modified Guggenheim method to calculate the rate constant where \( C_\infty \) is unknown, and the equation is cast in the form:

\[ \ln \left( \frac{dC}{dt} \right) = \ln(C_\infty k) - kt \]

Thus, a plot of \( \ln(dC/dt) \) against \( t \) is linear with a slope of \(-k\), and the \( C_\infty \) can be calculated back from the intercept of the equation and slope \( k \). The rate constant is a function of the fixed amylase and starch concentrations used in the digestion, and is therefore pseudo-first order. In addition, the physical structure of starches also plays an important role in determining the rate constant of starch digestion (B. Zhang, et al., 2013).

Figure 1 shows amylase digestion data and fitting plots of raw and cooked wheat and pea starches (Butterworth, et al., 2012). For the cooked wheat and pea starches, the whole digestion process can be well fitted by first-order behavior with a single rate constant (\( k \) value) under a porcine pancreatic amylase concentration of 0.165 IU/mL (2.25 nM). In contrast, granular starch digestion shows a two-phase kinetic profile at a higher amylase concentration of 0.33 IU/mL (4.5 nM). This suggests that there is a rapid digestion process that takes place in the first 20 min, likely due to hydrolysis of more available polymers attached to the surface of starch granules. The subsequent first-order rate process is believed to be the main single rate process with lower \( k \) value of the pea starch for both processes at an amylase concentration of 0.33 IU/mL (4.5nM) (Figure 1 C, D). Thus, the starch substrates do
not seem to consist of distinct structural fractions such as rapidly digestible and slowly digestible starches that differ in digestion rate. Instead, the amount of starch digested fraction in a given sample is under kinetic more than thermodynamic control (Htoon, et al., 2009; B. Zhang, et al., 2013), so starch fractions described as enzyme-resistant by remaining after digestion using a certain enzyme activity/time/temperature treatment can be further digested by e.g. application of more enzyme (Htoon et al., 2009). The first order model, however, cannot be directly applied in some in vitro cases, such as (i) those which use low catalytic dosages (giving a linear kinetic profile and resulting in zero-order kinetics (Warren, Zhang, Waltzer, Gidley, & Dhital, 2014), (ii) when inhibitory products are allowed to build up (Warren, Butterworth, & Ellis, 2012), and (iii) where structural and molecular changes take place during the digestion process such as in high-amylose maize starch (Htoon, et al., 2009; Lopez-Rubio, Flanagan, Shrestha, Gidley, & Gilbert, 2008).

[Insert Figure 1]

3 ERS from densely packed matrices: mechanisms and categories

As illustrated above, if starch chains are arranged in an appropriate form with high local molecular density, lower digestion rate/extent can be achieved with potential for human health benefit. This can occur either through reductions in the ability of amylase to bind to the substrate and/or reduction in the rate of enzyme action once bound. Two potential ways to produce densely packed ERS are (re-)crystallization and dense amorphous packing, which are reviewed below.

3.1 (Re-)crystallization

Retrogradation

Raw starches contain between 15% and 45% of crystalline material (Zobel, 1988a). The branch chains of amylopectin form double helices and contribute to starch crystallinity, whereas amylose is
considered to be in a largely amorphous state. The double helix packing arrangement and inter-crystalline water of different types of starches might also differ, which can be identified by X-ray diffraction or solid state $^{13}$C NMR (Cheetham & Tao, 1998). The dense A-type crystal form of starches is monoclinic with 8 water molecules per unit cell, whereas the B-type has a hexagonal unit cell with 36 water molecules per unit cell, and is more open compared to monoclinic unit cells (Imberty, Buleon, Tran, & Perez, 1991; Zobel, 1988b). These crystalline unit cells are disrupted during cooking of starch in excess water, with a change from semi-crystalline starch structure to amorphous conformation. However, during cooling and/or storage, gelatinized starch is transformed from initially an amorphous state to a more ordered or crystalline state in a process termed retrogradation.

The typical conformational changes of amylose during retrogradation are shown in Figure 2. Amylose in aqueous solution exists as a random coil (Ring, I'Anson, & Morris, 1985) that can re-crystallize into either A- or B-type double helices during cooling and the aging process of starch dispersions, as a spontaneous process resulting in a metastable state of lower free energy (Gidley, 1989). Infinite aggregation of double helices generates a three-dimentional network with different microstructure features such as cristallinity and porosity, which is based on interchain junction zones of double helices with DP 10 – 100 (Gidley, et al., 1995). Retrograded amylose is thermally very stable with a high melting temperature (120 - 170 °C), and amylose content and ERS yield are normally positively correlated (Berry, 1986; Eerlingen & Delcour, 1995). Amylose re-crystallizes much faster (completed within 24 h) than amylopectin (can continue for weeks) because of the linear glucan structure and higher mobility of amylose (Eerlingen, Deceuninck, & Delcour, 1993; Eerlingen, Jacobs, & Delcour, 1994). The branched nature of amylopectin inhibits its recrystallization to some extent, and the partially crystallized amylopectin tends to form a network in excess water (Fredriksson, Silverio, Andersson, Eliasson, & Aman, 1998; Miles, Morris, Orford, &
A low melting temperature in the range of 40 - 60 °C can be observed, due to the dimensions of the chains involved in the crystallisation process (Leeman, Karlsson, Eliasson, & Bjorck, 2006). However, once debranched by isoamylase or pullulanase, the resulting short linear chains become mobile and can retrograde as linear amylose chains. These retrograded chains were shown to be effective in generating ERS (Cai & Shi, 2010).

Storage time and temperature are critical factors in the formation of retrograded starch in an excess of water and hence, a determinant of the rate of starch digestion. Thus, manipulation of starch crystallization conditions is widely applied to control the digestibility of starch-based foods. Eerlingen, Crombez, and Delcour (1993) found that ERS yields of retrograded wheat starch strongly depend on the storage temperature and time, as shown in Figure 3. They found that initially (~15 min) formation of ERS is favored at 0 °C (yield about 4%), whereas the ERS content (~10%) after prolonged incubation was higher at 100 °C. The level of ERS at 68 °C had an intermediate formation rate at either initial or extended stages. The initial fast formation of ERS was explained by nucleation rate increases with decreasing temperature below the melting temperature ($T_m$, ~ 150 °C) and above the glass transition temperature ($T_g$, ~ -5 °C). However, over a longer time period, crystal growth was favored at 100 °C, closer to the $T_m$ of the crystals. The theoretical maximum value of crystallization rate (both nucleation and growth) is expected at a temperature $T \approx 1/2 (T_g + T_m)$, which is close to 68 °C (Slade & Levine, 1987), whereas the real aggregation rate is faster at lower temperatures due to decreased chain mobility (Gidley & Bulpin, 1989). A more effective way to increase crystallization is to temperature cycle between low nucleation temperatures and high crystal growth temperatures (Slade & Levine, 1987). It should be noted that ERS content did not increase remarkably after reaching a plateau (Figure 3B), although the crystallinity increased with storage.
time at higher temperatures (68 and 100 °C). The storage temperature also influenced the type of
crystal: a B-type crystal formed at 0 and 68 °C, whereas A-type polymorph structure formed at 100
°C. The A-type polymorph is suggested to be a thermodynamic product with dense crystals, whereas
the B-type polymorph is the kinetic product requiring the least entropy change from solution (Gidley,
1987). The B-type crystallites may form temporarily, but this structure may rearrange to form the
more stable A-type structure. A general rule is that A-type crystallites are favored at high
temperatures, short average chains, higher concentrations, and presence of salts, water-soluble
alcohols, organic acids (Gidley, 1987; Montesanti, et al., 2010).

Gidley and Bulpin (1989) found that re-crystallization and gelation behavior of amylose in aqueous
solution (0.2 – 5.0 %) show a dependence on chain length (synthesized in vitro using potato
phosphorylase, degree of polymerization (DP) ranging from 40 to 2800). The maximum re-
crystallization rate was found for chain lengths of ~ 100 residues in dilute (< 0.1 %) solution at initial
stages of the process, corresponding to the so-called “dissolving gap” for amylose in the DP range
35-900 (Burchard, 1963). Short-chain amylose (DP < 110) can be re-crystallized at all concentration
up to 5.0 % upon cooling hot aqueous solution (70 – 80 °C). More specifically, amylose with DP 40
and 65 results in fine and dense re-crystallized precipitates, whereas precipitates from DP 90 and 110
are less dense. For the amylose with DP from 250 to 600, both re-crystallization and gelation occur
for chain lengths of 250-660 residues, depending on the amylose concentration. For long-chain
amylose (DP > 1100), gelation predominates over re-crystallization at all concentrations, due to the
formation of a macromolecular network with extensive cross-linking (via hydrogen bonding and/or
hydrophobic interactions). Eerlingen, Deceuninck, et al. (1993) found that the chain length (DP 19 -
26) and crystalline structure (type and crystallinity level) of the ERS obtained is independent to the
amylose chain length (DP 40 - 610). A minimum DP of 10 and a maximum of 100 seems to be necessary to form the double helix (Gidley, et al., 1995). However, according to Eerlingen, Deceuninck, et al. (1993), the yield of ERS increased with DP (~19 %, DP 40) to plateau values of 23 – 28 % (DP 100 - 610). It was postulated that short-chain amylose (DP 40 - 100) contains a relatively high concentration of chains that do not have dimensions critical for incorporation in the crystalline structure.

Although it is well understood that the molecular basis for amylose aggregation is the adoption of a left-handed, parallel-stranded double helical conformation followed by helix-helix aggregation (Gidley, 1989), mesoscopic information on retrograded starch is limited, particularly for the amorphous fraction. The amorphous fraction can be more easily degraded by acid than the crystalline fraction. It was proposed to consist of dangling chains (6 < DP < 30) and linked to double helices in the macroporous network, and proposed to be mainly responsible for the hydrodynamic behavior and the network porosity (Leloup, Colonna, Ring, Roberts, & Wells, 1992). Cairns, Sun, Morris, and Ring (1995) prepared retrograded amylose gels and studied their ERS fraction after 24 h enzyme hydrolysis at 37 °C. The storage time (1 or 7 day) and enzyme hydrolysis did not affect the average molecular weight (DP 66) and size (8.3 nm) of retrograded crystallites, although the crystallinity of amylose gels with 7 days of storage was ca. 2 times higher than that of 1 day storage. They found that the ERS yield non-linearly increased with the level of crystallinity, due to a slow formation of perfect crystals from some internal defects. One model that was postulated is that the crystals (~10 nm long) may be discontinuous, with a substantial amorphous portion shielded from enzyme digestion by entrapment within the crystal structure (Cairns, et al., 1995; J. L. Jane & Robyt, 1984).

In principle, if starch polymers are arranged in a dense enough form (i.e., high local molecular density), they can decrease the digestion rate even if the food matrices are amorphous. G. Y. Zhang, Ao, and Hamaker (2006) reported that the crystalline and amorphous contents of partially digested...
granular starches were unchanged from the native values. This could either mean that (as suggested by the authors) both crystalline and amorphous regions are digested side-by-side, suggesting that local density of non-order structures formed by plant biosynthesis is as high as that of crystalline regions, or that the rate-limiting step for enzymic hydrolysis of granules occurs prior to active digestion i.e. binding is rate-limiting and any differences between the intrinsic rate of digestion of crystalline and amorphous fractions are small compared to a slower binding step (Dhital, Warren, Butterworth, et al., 2014). In either case, non-crystalline material apparently contributes to the rate-limiting step, again illustrating the concept that it is not only crystalline material that can achieve sufficiently high molecular density to slow down amylase digestion.

It should be emphasised that the ERS is a measurement- and method-oriented concept, i.e., the enzyme resistance is explained by the limited time and concentration that the enzymes act on the starch substrate. Bird, Lopez-Rubio, Shrestha, and Gidley (2009) suggested that the ERS yield of retrograded starch depends on the competition between the retrogradation kinetics (influencing local density of starch chains) and the kinetics of enzyme digestion. It seems likely that crystallization is only one route to achieving a dense packing of starch chains which hinders the enzyme accessibility or catalytic action, and dense packing of non-crystalline starch polymers may also be an effective mechanism for slowing digestion.

Amylose-lipid complex

Complexes between amylose and lipids, such as monoglycerides, fatty acids, lysophospholipids and surfactants, can significantly reduce the digestion rate and extent both in vitro and in vivo, representing another source of resistant starch (Ai, et al., 2013; Hasjim, et al., 2010). Amylopectin probably binds only one lipid per individual chain, and the complex formation retards the retrogradation process (A. C. Eliasson & Ljunger, 1988; B. Zhang, et al., 2012). Two distinct forms
of amylose-lipid complexes have been defined based on the transition peak temperature: an
amorphous form (Form I) that melts at a lower temperature ($T_p < 100 \, ^\circ C$) in differential scanning
calorimetry thermograms, and a crystalline form (Form II) that has the V-type crystalline structure
with a characteristic X-ray diffraction pattern with peaks around $7.5^\circ$, $13^\circ$, and $20^\circ (2\theta)$ and a higher
melting temperature ($T_p, 115 - 125 \, ^\circ C$) (Tufvesson, Wahlgren, & Eliasson, 2003a, 2003b). Form I
appears to have randomly oriented helices, whereas Form II has an ordered organization of amylose
complexes. The amorphous form is less rigid and stable, and can be converted to the crystalline form
through annealing at a temperature above the melting temperature of Form I but lower than that of
Form II. Both the lipid/starch used and incubation conditions affect the complex formation: a general
rule is that crystalline form are favored at higher temperatures, longer incubation time, longer
amylose chain lengths, longer chain lengths of saturated lipids, lower unsaturation degree of lipids,
lower number of cis- double bonds in the complexing lipid, as summarized by A.-C. Eliasson and
Wahlgren (2004). Ionic head groups of lipids and chemically modified starch will not favor the
formation of ordered type II structures (Kowblansky, 1985).

Godet, Bouchet, Colonna, Gallant, and Buleon (1996) proposed a two-stage formation mechanism of
the crystalline amylose-lipid complexes (Form II): (1) the formation of amylose-lipid complexes, in
which each amylose chain is complexed with one or more lipid molecules and (2) the aggregation of
complexes in a fringed micellar arrangement or a U-shaped folding. The crystalline complexes have
helical chain segments ordered in structures with dimensions up to $14.5 \, \text{nm}$ (Galloway, Biliaderis, &
Stanley, 1989). The densely packed crystallized amylose-lipid complexes are supposed to be
resistant to digestive enzymes. The enzymatic susceptibility of amylose has been ranked in the
following way by Tufveson et al (2001): amorphous amylose $>$ amylose-lipid complex $>$ retrograded
amylose (Tufvesson, Skrabanja, Björck, Elmståhl, & Eliasson, 2001). Seneviratne and Biliaderis
(1991) found that the crystallinity level of the complex matrices was inversely related to the
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digestion rate and extent. However, this is not always the case as Tufvesson, et al. (2001) reported that there was no difference in digestibility between amorphous Form I and crystalline Form II complex. It is therefore likely that it is the amylose-lipid complex that is important for enzyme digestion resistance rather than crystallization. The concept that single helices of complexed molecules are oriented perpendicular to the plane of the lamellae has been agreed (Buleon, Duprat, Booy, & Chanzy, 1984; J. L. Jane & Robyt, 1984). However, what the differences are between how the amorphous and crystalline forms are organized which further affects the local molecular density of the complex matrices, is not clear. We suggest that the nature of enzyme resistance of complex matrices has its origin in local chain density at the nanometer length scale which is relevant to binding/catalysis by amylase, rather than an average value of crystallinity.

Hydrothermal treatment

Annealing and heat-moisture treatment are two hydrothermal treatments that modify starch properties such as digestibility. Both processes involve incubation of starches in excess (> 60%) or intermediate (40 – 55%) water (annealing) or at low (< 35%) moisture levels (heat-moisture treatment) for a certain period of time, at a mobile rubbery state with a temperature above the glass transition temperature but below the gelatinization temperature (Jacobs & Delcour, 1998). Heat-moisture treatment is carried out at higher temperatures (90 - 120 °C), while annealing occurs below the gelatinization temperature of starches. Annealing does not change the overall repeat distance of crystalline and amorphous lamellae (Jacobs & Delcour, 1998; Jacobs, et al., 1998), but allows individual molecular reorganization and improves the crystalline perfection between starch chains (Tester & Debon, 2000). The crystallinity level (judged by X-ray diffraction) and interactions between starch chains in the amorphous and crystalline regions are increased after annealing treatment (Lan, et al., 2008), which may be expected to affect the digestion properties. A slight decrease in enzyme susceptibility after annealing was found for wheat, lentil, high-amylose maize...
and potato starches, presumably due to increased crystallite perfection and enhanced amylose–amylose and/or amylose–amylopectin interactions (Brumovsky & Thompson, 2001; Hoover & Vasanthan, 1993). We note that the enhanced ordering of double helices and improved alignments of starch chains is a route to achieve higher local density of helical structure through annealing. However, it was found that the impact of annealing on enzyme susceptibility can depend on starch botanical origin. Annealed barley, oat and sago starches are more easily hydrolyzed by $\alpha$-amylases than native starches (Hoover & Vasanthan, 1993; Lauro, Suortti, Autio, Linko, & Poutanen, 1993). Although the molecular reorganization of starch is slightly improved during annealing, the original starch architectures such as granule size, surface features may be more important with respect to digestion pattern/rate/extent in some cases.

Heat-moisture treatment under higher temperatures and low moisture promotes disruption of the crystalline structure and dissociation of the double helical structure in the amorphous region, followed by the rearrangement of the disrupted crystals (Gunaratne & Hoover, 2002). The extent of these structural changes normally depends on botanical origin, accompanying changes to crystalline pattern (B to A + B) and level, physicochemical and digestion properties. Tuber or root starches are more sensitive to heat-moisture treatment than legume or cereal starches (Zavareze & Dias, 2011). Normally, an increased digestibility of starch granules has been shown to occur following heat-moisture treatment, depending on treatment conditions and quantitatively varying among starch sources. In the case of potato and yam starches, crystalline disruption near the granule surface can degrade the outer physical barrier of these starch granules, decreasing the local molecular density of starch chains, consequently facilitating enzyme access and binding to starch granules (Gunaratne & Hoover, 2002). Furthermore, the decreased digestibility also could result from the disruption of the double helices within the granules.
Although there are relationships between re-crystallization and densification of starch matrices, which would be expected to impact the enzymatic susceptibility (Dhital, Warren, Butterworth, et al., 2014), it seems that crystallization is probably not only one route to achieving a dense packing of starch chains. This suggests that locally-dense non-crystalline structures could also decrease/prevent accessibility or action of enzymes. The factors affecting the formation of amorphous matrices may also impact on re-crystallization processes, although this is less studied and understood up to now.

3.2 Non-crystalline dense packing

Although it is generally accepted that crystalline type and level of crystallinity must play some role in determining digestion rate and extent of starches, recent reports have shown that crystallinity may not be directly linked with the percentage of ERS obtained (Htoon, et al., 2009; Lopez-Rubio, Htoon, & Gilbert, 2007). Even for native starches, crystallinity alone also cannot explain the resistance to digestion. For example, the limited digestion rate of B-type polymorphic starches is controlled by surface barriers more than crystallinity (Dhital, et al., 2010). On the other hand, some almost amorphous starch materials provide high levels of the resistant fraction (Chanvrier, et al., 2007; Htoon, et al., 2009). Thus, although crystallinity is one way to achieve local molecular density, it appears that non-crystalline chains can also pack in an enzyme-resistant form that is currently poorly understood and brings a new research challenge for food/polymer chemists.

Amorphous (also called ‘non-crystalline’) state is essentially a negative definition based on the absence of detectable molecular order, therefore making it difficult to quantify the molecular conformation of the matrices. From the evidence presented above, the measurement of local molecular density of starch matrices is the key to understanding the fundamental mechanism(s) of ERS from non-crystalline dense packing. However, the current technical ability to measure sub-micron variability of local density in starch/food matrices remains limited. From the current data...
available, non-crystalline starch with lower digestion rate and extent can be achieved by either (1) dense molecular structures at nanometer length scale or (2) densely packed matrices at (sub)micrometer length scale.

Dense molecular structures

Although the dense molecular structures leading to ERS character are often found in retrograded starch and starch-lipid complex as an aggregated/crystallized form, the double/single helices not involved in crystallites also can render the $\alpha$-1,4 glucosidic linkages inaccessible to starch degrading enzymes. A- and B-type single crystals exhibit a 6-fold, left-handed double helical conformation with repeat distances of 2.13 and 2.08 nm respectively (Hsein-Chih & Sarko, 1978; Hsien-Chih & Sarko, 1978; Imberty & Perez, 1988). Aside from the differences in the amount of water discussed previously, the A- and B- type crystals differ only in that the former has a denser packed-structure, whereas the latter is more open. In aqueous solution at room temperature, starch chains with DP $< 10$ do not crystallize, while the A-type crystals resulted from starch chains with DP from 10 to 12; chains longer than 12 crystallize as B-type (Pfannemüller, 1987). The crystalline type can also be affected by crystallization at various water/alcohol concentrations, for example, A-, B- and V-type polymorph single crystals are precipitated at 15%, 0%, and 40% of ethanol concentration respectively (Buleon, et al., 1984).

In a recent study, we found that there is a small fraction of single crystals (2 - 4 %, calculated by weight) present in starch granule ‘ghosts’ (the insoluble remnant after low shear cooking of starches), and which could be enzyme resistant (B. Zhang, Dhital, et al., 2014). The single crystals can be either V-type order based on amylose (for maize ghosts) or B-type order from amylopectin for potato ghosts. From investigation of molecular components and glucan conformation for ghosts and ghost remnants after enzyme hydrolysis, we found that starch ghosts are enriched in amylopectin
within ghost remnants (B. Zhang, Dhital, et al., 2014). Therefore, we concluded that the ghost structure originates primarily from physical entanglements of highly-branched and large molecular size amylopectin molecules. This not only confirms that double helices or crystallites are not necessary to strengthen ghost structure but also illustrates the possibility of achieving enzyme resistance from essentially amorphous (96 - 98%) matrices.

Densely packed matrices

Generally, starch supramolecular and granular structures are disrupted by thermal, moisture and energy inputs during extrusion cooking, which would be expected to increase the accessibility of starch-acting enzymes to starch polymers. However, among extrudates from different starch species, high-amylose maize starch after extrusion and storage shows a relatively high yield of ERS (>20%) (Chanvrier, et al., 2007). A number of extrusion parameters such as feed moisture, temperature, screw speed and storage conditions are known to affect the ERS content of extrudates. Extrusion of starch in the presence of sufficient water triggers a number of physicochemical and functionality changes in starch granules, such as the loss of granular structure associated with melting of crystallites and underlying helices, and generating an amorphous structure (Bird, et al., 2009; Faraj, Vasanthan, & Hoover, 2004). This would be expected to increase the vulnerability of starch to amylase digestion. Upon cooling, hydrated amylose (and amylopectin) chains may undergo retrogradation by molecular re-association into double helices, and may consequently acquire resistance to enzyme digestion (Htoon, et al., 2009). Therefore, extruded products may also lead to a higher RS content. Htoon, et al. (2009) reported that almost amorphous extrudate (~5% crystallinity) from high-amylose maize starch could deliver high ERS contents (~20%) in vitro, and that more generally there was no apparent correlation between ERS and crystallinity level from X-ray diffraction (Figure 4). The presence of amorphous material in the enzyme-resistant fractions is also consistent with resistance based on a kinetic mechanism rather than a specific crystalline structure.
that is completely undigested (Lopez-Rubio, et al., 2008). Shrestha, et al. (2010) suggested that enzyme-resistance might be associated with a dense solid phase structure that is even non-/weakly-crystalline. X-ray scattering studies showed that the preferred characteristic dimension of the crystals formed was ~5 nm, suggesting that resistant crystals could be formed from chains with a maximum DP of ~13 and ~17 glucose units for double and single helices respectively with potential amorphous fringed ends (Lopez-Rubio, et al., 2008). We suggest that the local density of packing of starch chains controls its digestibility rather than just crystallinity, which represents just one mechanism of achieving high chain density. If these molecularly dense structures are aligned rigidly they could resist digestion and become ERS with health benefits.

Amorphous amylose-lipid complex (Form I) is another good example of non-crystalline ERS from densely packed matrices. Although the structure without obvious X-ray diffraction peaks is less rigid and thermo-stable, Tufvesson, et al. (2001) found that there was no difference in digestibility between amorphous Form I and crystalline Form II complex under the preparation conditions used. That suggests that amorphous matrices can escape digestion under certain enzyme concentrations if the starch polymers are densely enough packed, which can be an effective mechanism for slow digestion rate/extent.

Other potential methods to achieve high ERS yields from largely amorphous granular starches include freeze-drying, dense protein network formation et al. Recently, we reported that the crystallinity and molecular order of B-type polymorphic starches can be greatly degraded (e.g., potato starch lost ~50% crystallinity and ~40% double helical order) by freeze-drying, possibly due to higher amount of intracrystalline water or longer branch chains in B-type starches (B. Zhang, Wang, et al., 2014). The dense protein network formed in pasta can also limit the access and binding...
of enzyme to embedded starch granules, and restrict the diffusion of water to the granules that
reduces the starch gelatinisation to some extent (Colonna, et al., 1990).

Apart from processed starchy food, non-crystalline dense packing also exists in nature. The
amorphous growth rings within starch granules are perhaps the best representative. In contrast to
semi-crystalline layers consisting of amylopectin clusters that in turn contain alternating crystalline
and amorphous lamellae, amorphous growth rings are thought to contain amylose and amylopectin
molecules in apparently unordered conformation. The number and thickness of amorphous layers
depends on the botanical origin and amylose content (Yuryev, et al., 2004). According to Cameron
and Donald (1992), the amorphous growth ring is at least as thick as the semi-crystalline one, which
is thought to be 120~500 nm (Cameron & Donald, 1992). As discussed previously, G. Y. Zhang, et
al. (2006) reported that the crystalline and amorphous growth rings of granular starches are
apparently digested side-by-side, suggesting local density of amorphous growth rings is enough high
to limit enzyme binding therefore achieve similar digestion rates as crystalline materials.

[Insert Figure 4]

4 Concluding remarks and future directions
Understanding the fundamental mechanism of ERS from dense matrices either by recrystallization or
non-crystalline packing is useful for designing the next-generation of starch-containing foods to be
more available to consumers/industry in response to many diet-related diseases including type II
diabetes and obesity. This review summarized the role of local molecular density on starch digestion
kinetics, with the emphasis being that density sufficient to either prevent/limit binding and/or slow
down catalysis can be achieved by either re-crystallization or dense amorphous packing. The M-M
and first order kinetics and data interpretation commonly used for in vitro starch digestion were also
briefly discussed. Whilst considerable progress has been made, further studies will need to be conducted, including

1. Amorphous state is essentially a negative definition based on the absence of detectable molecular order. Further work is required to better understand the nature of non-crystalline matrices that result in slow digestion rate/extent, such as the local density and entanglement of starch chains through application of material and polymer science principles.

2. Methods such as positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy may provide improved methods for determining local molecular densities of starch matrices in a non-destructive manner (Liao, et al., 2011; Liu, et al., 2012). This will be a key challenge in fundamental starch research.

3. Methods to increase the molecular densities of starch matrices independent of crystallinity should be developed. This will provide practical outcomes including better methods for increasing RS in processed starches. It will also be a significant advance in starch theory, and the understanding of non-crystalline dense packing.

4. Determine what aspects of high-amylose starches contribute to their relative susceptibility to dense packing during extrusion. This will advance our theoretical understanding of the physical packing of amylose in amorphous matrices, importantly within granules.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1. Digestion profiles and fitting plots of raw and cooked wheat and pea starches. Notes:

Digestion profiles of raw and cooked wheat (A) and pea (B) starches; Fitting plots for raw wheat (C),
raw pea (D), cooked wheat (E), and cooked pea (F) starches (Butterworth, et al., 2012).

Figure 2. Conformational changes occurring during retrogradation (Colonna, Leloup, & Buleon,

Figure 3. Kinetics of enzyme-resistant starch formation during wheat starch retrogradation at
different temperatures (0, 68 and 100 °C) as a function of time (A, first 200 min; B, extended time
period) (Eerlingen, Crombez, et al., 1993).

Figure 4. Enzyme-resistant starch levels compared with crystallinity from X-ray diffraction for
arrange of high amylose maize samples (Htoon, et al., 2009). (H, Hylon 7 starch; G, Gelose80
starch; R, raw starch; M, mild processed; E, extreme processed; RS, isolated resistant starch fraction).
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Highlights

• Rate limiting step in starch digestion controls levels of amylase resistance.
• Local starch molecular density major rate-controlling structural feature.
• High density achieved by (re-)crystallization or dense amorphous packing