

Accepted Manuscript

The Relationship Between Obesity and Coronary Artery Disease

Eiman Jahangir, MD, MPH Alban De Schutter, MD, MSc Carl J. Lavie, MD



PII: S1931-5244(14)00112-1

DOI: [10.1016/j.trsl.2014.03.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trsl.2014.03.010)

Reference: TRSL 764

To appear in: *Translational Research*

Received Date: 9 January 2014

Revised Date: 7 March 2014

Accepted Date: 18 March 2014

Please cite this article as: Jahangir E, De Schutter A, Lavie CJ, The Relationship Between Obesity and Coronary Artery Disease, *Translational Research* (2014), doi: 10.1016/j.trsl.2014.03.010.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

The Relationship Between Obesity and Coronary Artery Disease

Eiman Jahangir MD, MPH¹, Alban De Schutter MD, MSc¹, Carl J Lavie MD^{1,2}

¹John Ochsner Heart and Vascular Institute, Department of Cardiovascular Diseases,
Ochsner Clinical School-the University of Queensland School of Medicine, New Orleans, LA

²Department of Preventive Medicine, Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Louisiana
State University System, Baton Rouge, LA

Corresponding Author

Eiman Jahangir, MD, MPH, FACC

John Ochsner Heart and Vascular Institute

Ochsner Clinical School - The University of Queensland School of Medicine

1514 Jefferson Highway, New Orleans, LA 70121-2483

Fax: (504) 842-5875

Email: eimanjahangir@gmail.com

Abstract

Obesity continues to be a growing issue in the United States with an estimated prevalence of 72 million people. There are major health implications associated with obesity including its relationship with hypertension, diabetes mellitus type 2, the metabolic syndrome, and dyslipidemia, all independent risk factors for CAD. Despite the increased risk of developing CAD, in recent years an “obesity paradox” has been described in which moderately obese individuals with established cardiovascular disease, including CAD, appear to have mortality similar to their normal weight counterparts. This review discusses the relationship between obesity and CAD, including the increased risk of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, the metabolic syndrome, and dyslipidemia along with a discussion of the obesity paradox and the benefits of weight reduction.

Running header

Obesity and coronary artery disease

Abbreviations

BF: Body fat

BMI: Body mass index

CABG: Coronary artery bypass graft

CAD: Coronary artery disease

CI: Confidence interval

COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

CV: Cardiovascular

CVD: Cardiovascular disease

DM: Diabetes mellitus

ESRD: End stage renal disease

HDL-C: High density lipid cholesterol

HTN: Hypertension

IRO: Insulin- resistant obese

LDL-C: Low density lipid cholesterol

LMI: Lean mass index

MetS: Metabolic syndrome

MHO: Metabolically healthy obese

MI: Myocardial infarction

PCI: Percutaneous coronary intervention

RR: Relative risk

STEMI: ST elevation myocardial infarction

TG: Triglycerides

Introduction

Obesity, defined as a body mass index (BMI) ≥ 30 kg/m², constitutes a major problem in the United States (US) with an overall prevalence estimated at 72 million people.(1, 2) Due to the numerous health implications associated with obesity, it is currently estimated to be the second leading cause of preventable death.(2, 3) Obesity is associated with increased risk of hypertension (HTN), diabetes mellitus type 2 (DM), the metabolic syndrome (MetS), and dyslipidemia, all risk factors for coronary artery disease (CAD).(4, 5) Obesity, however, is not homogeneous in its effect, with a subset of obese individuals that are relatively insulin sensitive, labeled metabolically healthy obese (MHO), who have a more favorable cardiovascular (CV) profile compared to insulin-resistant obese (IRO) individuals.(6-11) The relationship between obesity and CAD is further complicated by the idea of the “obesity paradox” where mildly obese individuals have similar or lower mortality to their normal weight counterparts. This review discusses the relationship of obesity and CAD, including the possible mechanisms through which obesity increases CAD risk, the MHO individuals, along with a discussion of the “obesity paradox” in CAD and its implications in patient management including weight loss.

Obesity and its association with CAD risk factors

Obesity is associated with increased risk of HTN, DM, MetS, and dyslipidemia.(4, 5) Through its effect on these diseases, obesity increases the risk of developing CAD. In the following section we describe the relationship between obesity and CAD.

Obesity's relationship with HTN has been well described in the literature in large studies such as the Framingham cohort and the Physician's Health Study.(12-14) The Framingham cohort demonstrated that 34% of HTN in men and 62% of HTN in women aged 35-75 years was attributable to excess weight (defined as a BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²). (12) The Physician's Health Study described an 8% increase in risk of incident HTN with each 1-unit increase in BMI during a median follow-up of 14.5 years.(13) Weight loss, on the other hand, decreases the risk of HTN. An analysis of the Framingham cohort demonstrated that sustained weight loss of 1.8 kg or more was associated with a long term HTN risk reduction of 22% in middle-aged patients and a 26% reduction in older patients.(14) The mechanism for the association between obesity and HTN is likely multifactorial. (Table 1) Through the production of angiotensin, a precursor of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, adipose cells have an endocrine effect on a known pathway for the pathogenesis of HTN.(15) Beyond adipose cell's endocrine effect, there is an increase in circulating blood volume and total peripheral resistance seen with increasing BMI, which may lead to HTN.(16)

Obesity is an independent risk factor for both DM and MetS, with the prevalence of DM being closely related to the rise in obesity.(17, 18) The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System demonstrated that the prevalence of DM increased 33% throughout follow up, a rise related with increasing rates of obesity.(18) DM increases the risk of CAD through its association with endothelial dysfunction and dyslipidemias, both initial steps in the atherogenic process. (Table 1) Through these mechanisms, DM is one of the strongest CAD risk factors, characterized by very high 10-year risk of CV events.(15, 19) Additionally, DM is a common cause of renal dysfunction, an independent risk factor for CAD.(15) Similarly

MetS increases the risk of CAD and is defined by HTN, dyslipidemia, impaired fasting glucose, and central obesity, all risk factors for CAD.(20-24)

The exact mechanisms linking obesity with insulin resistance and other factors influencing risk of DM and CAD are unclear.(5) (Table 1) Research shows that adipose tissue functions as an endocrine organ and has been associated with elevated levels of circulating proinflammatory cytokines and fat related hormones.(22, 25, 26) A number of inflammatory responses are found to occur with obesity including increased clotting factors such as fibrinogen, von Willebrand factor, and factors VII and VIII; and increasing plasminogen activator inhibitor type-I that are associated with decreased fibrinolysis, all which may lead to increasing CAD.(22, 25, 26) Elevated levels of tumor necrosis factor alpha in obesity have also been implicated in the development of insulin resistance.(15, 27) Finally, leptin levels are higher in obesity and chronically elevated leptin levels have been related to negative CAD outcomes and are associated with in-stent restenosis.(28) Other potential mechanisms for the increase in CAD risk associated with DM include decreased insulin-mediated vasodilation, increased insulin-mediated renal sodium reabsorption, insulin related sympathetic nervous system stimulation, and increased vasoconstriction related to elevated circulating free fatty acids.(25, 26)

Obesity, in addition to increasing the risk of HTN and DM, also increases the risk for dyslipidemia. Higher BMI is associated with lower levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), high levels of triglycerides, in addition to small, dense, atherogenic

low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), all potentially increasing the risk for CAD.(20, 21, 29, 30) (Table 1)

The metabolically healthy obese

Among individuals who are obese, there is a subset of MHO individuals that are relatively insulin sensitive and have a more favorable lipid and inflammatory profile compared to IRO individuals.(6-11) Those with MHO compared to IRO appear to have earlier onset of obesity, fasting plasma insulin levels within normal range, a normal distribution of the excess fat, and other family members with MHO.(7, 8) These individuals also appear to have less visceral adipose tissue and more favorable lipid profiles including lower plasma triglyceride (TG) and higher HDL-C levels than their insulin-resistant counterparts.(8) Compared to normal weight counterparts, MHO persons appear to have higher burden of subclinical CV Disease (CVD) (31) and markers of CAD (32), while their CV risk profile is intermediate between a healthy non-obese individual and someone with IRO.(33) Thus, while MHO may increase the risk of CVD, how it impacts CAD is still unclear and further research needs to be performed to more fully understand the relationship between MHO and CAD.

The obesity paradox

Despite the increased risk of developing CAD and its risk factors, epidemiologic data from recent years has described an “obesity paradox”. The obesity paradox refers to the finding that despite an increased risk of developing CVD in the obese, once CVD has been established, overweight and mildly obese individuals may have a decreased or similar

outcomes and mortality compared to their normal weight counterparts. This paradox has been described in a number of CV diseases such as CAD, heart failure, and atrial fibrillation (1, 5, 34-43), though conflicting data exists in the literature among certain subgroups of CAD individuals.(35, 44, 45) The following is a discussion of the obesity paradox in CAD cohorts and its implication.

There are numerous studies describing the obesity paradox in CAD in a variety of cohorts including hospitalized registries(46, 47), revascularized populations(48, 49), post-coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG) programs(50), ambulatory settings(51, 52), and high-risk patients undergoing stress testing.(53, 54) Das and colleagues demonstrated the obesity paradox in a cohort of 50,000 patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).(49) They described that individuals with moderate obesity (BMI between 30-35 kg/m²) had the lowest mortality compared to other weight groups.(49) Similarly, another study of individuals presenting with both STEMI and non-STEMI, found that in-hospital, adjusted mortality was lowest amongst those with morbid obesity (BMI ≥ 40 kg/m²) when compared to those with a BMI < 40 kg/m².(46)

In post-revascularization patients, those receiving either percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) or CABG, data on the obesity paradox is inconsistent. The Do Tirofiban and ReoPro Give Similar Efficacy and Outcomes Trial (TARGET), a study of over 4,800 individuals designed to compare the use of tirofiban and abciximab in planned PCI with bare metal stents, demonstrated that there was no difference in 30-day and 6-month outcomes of death and MI among obese and non-obese patients, supporting the obesity paradox.(44)

However, among individuals younger than 65 years, those who with a BMI >32 kg/m² had a significantly higher rate of target vessel revascularization at 6 months compared with more lean patients.(44) Another study demonstrated that patients who underwent PCI of single de novo lesion for stable or unstable angina or inducible ischemia found that a BMI >30 kg/m² was an independent predictor of target vessel revascularization and major adverse cardiac event (MACE) at 1 year in those receiving BMS but no paclitaxel-eluting stents.(45) Finally, a study of 6,068 individuals undergoing CABG developed a propensity model that demonstrated that over a 12 year span, mortality was similar between those with a normal BMI and those who are moderately obese (BMI between 32 to 36 kg/m²), but higher among the morbidly obese patients (BMI ≥ 36 kg/m²). (55) These studies support that individuals with CAD and moderate obesity may have similar outcomes to normal weight individuals, but that outcomes worsen among morbidly obese.

This statement is supported by a large systematic review and meta-analysis of 40 cohort studies and over 250,000-pooled participants with CAD completed by Romero-Corral and colleagues.(35) In their study, they describe that when evaluating all individuals with CAD (PCI, MI and CABG), moderately obese individuals (BMI 30-35 kg/m²) and those with morbid obesity (≥ 35 kg/m²) had no increased risk for total mortality (relative risk (RR)=0.93, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.85-1.03 and RR= 1.10, 95% CI 0.87-1.41, respectively). (Figure 1) However, in regards to CV mortality, while moderately obese patients had no increase in CV mortality (RR= 0.97, 95% CI 0.82-1.15) compared to the normal weight group, those with severe obesity had the highest risk (RR= 1.88, 95% CI 1.05-3.34). (Figure 2) When stratified by MI, PCI, or CABG, only obese and severely obese

patients in the CABG group had significantly higher total mortality than normal weight patients.(35) (Figure 1) This systematic review and meta-analysis by Romero-Corral is important in the discussion of obesity and CAD as it demonstrates that obese patients do not have a significantly higher risk unless further stratified as moderate obese and morbid obesity. Furthermore, only CV mortality is worsened among the severely obese. What was not seen was an inverse association between obesity and death in patients with CAD, and thus caution should be used in discounting obesity as a risk factor for CAD progression and complications.

Potential mechanisms for the obesity paradox

While the mechanism for the potential protective effect of obesity among those with CAD remains unclear, there have been several possibilities proposed.(36, 56-58) (Table 2) It has been speculated that before a CVD event, positive caloric balance leading to adiposity may result in pathogenic adipose tissue responses that cause metabolic diseases, increasing cardiovascular disease risk. Paradoxically, during a time of negative caloric balance, as may occur during a CV event, adipose tissue may respond with enhanced function, which may improve clinical outcomes.(36, 56) Additionally, individuals with higher body fat (BF) leading to their obesity may have increased muscular strength which has been associated with better prognosis and survival in several populations.(57, 58)

Another potential mechanism for the obesity paradox may lie in the treatment and management of patients based upon BMI. Patients with a BMI above normal may be more likely to be treated with American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association

(AHA)-recommended medications than normal or lower BMI individuals.(59) Additionally, obese patients were also shown in one study to undergo more diagnostic and revascularization procedures than patients with low or normal BMI.(59) Thus, obese individuals may receive more aggressive treatment such as better medical management and more revascularization than their normal weight counterparts, leading to better outcomes.(59) Finally, there has been some criticism that the paradox only occurs due to the inaccurate nature of using BMI to define obesity.(1, 34, 36, 60-64) Critics argue that a more meaningful measure of obesity, as shown by various studies, should be measured via waist circumference, waist/hip ratio, or BF.(1, 34, 36, 60-64)

Others have proposed that fitness is more important than obesity in improving mortality among CAD patients. Fitness alters the relationship between adiposity and all-cause mortality in patients with CAD and only those with low levels of fitness seem to have an obesity paradox.(65-67) A study by McAuley et al. described that in a population with CAD referred for exercise stress testing, fitness altered the obesity paradox.(66) Overweight and obese men with moderate fitness had mortality rates similar to the highly fit normal-weight reference group.(66) (Figure 3) In fact, in most CV disorders, patients with high fitness have lower mortality than those with lower fitness (68-70) and fitness appears to improve CV mortality when added to other traditional risk factors.(69) Fitness, also appears to predict the development of some of the risk factors for CAD such as HTN, MetS, and dyslipidemia.(71) Therefore, using adiposity to assess mortality risk in patients with CAD may be misleading unless fitness is considered. (65) These studies are limited by confounders such as smoking history, undiagnosed chronic disease, the catabolic effects of

illness, or having a shorter duration of follow up than is optimal which may both affect the ability to be fit while also affecting CV mortality. Despite the findings of the obesity paradox, current data does not support increasing weight among individuals with CAD, but appear to indicate that fitness is an important part of preventing obesity.(56, 72-76)

Weight loss and CAD

While obesity appears to demonstrate improved mortality benefit in those with CAD, there is still significant evidence supporting weight loss as a way to reverse CAD risk factors, including HTN, DM, and dyslipidemia and potentially reduce mortality.(36, 74, 75, 77-86)

Weight loss has been associated with marked improvements in arterial pressure and LV geometry, potentially improving HTN.(86) Additionally, exercise, a potential tool for weight loss, has been associated with increases in cardioprotective HDL-C, increased insulin sensitivity, and a decrease in the prevalence of MetS and DM.(78-80)

Weight loss also appears to impact mortality in CAD.(36, 74, 75, 77) Lavie et al demonstrated that in a cohort of overweight and obese individuals with CAD who underwent cardiac rehabilitation after a CV event, greater weight loss lead to improvements in metabolic profiles, exercise capacity and a trend towards lower mortality.(36) In a study of 1,500 CAD patients, intentional weight loss produced a lower incidence of CAD events over 4-year follow-up.(74) Similar findings have also been demonstrated in other studies, including a reduction in all-cause and CV mortality with weight loss through cardiac rehabilitation and exercise programs(77) and a decrease in major CV events with weight loss, including even in individuals with a BMI < 25 kg/m².(75)

Despite these findings, others have described increased mortality with weight loss (87) and the benefit of weight loss, except among the morbidly obese, is unclear.(1, 88-90) What is certain is that fitness is important to improve prognosis among CAD patients (81-83) and, therefore, physical activity, exercise training and fitness improvements, with potentially accompanying purposeful weight loss, should be incorporated into treatment plans of overweight or obese patients with CAD.(84, 85)

Conclusion

Obesity is related to multiple risk factors of CAD including HTN, DM, MetS, and dyslipidemia, while also likely being an independent risk factor for CAD. Through multiple pathways including increased angiotensin, circulating blood volume, and total peripheral resistance excess weight increases HTN risk. (15, 16) Through changes in insulin sensitivity, obesity increases the risk of DM and MetS, which lead to changes in endothelial function, increased dyslipidemias, and other inflammatory responses all of which increase the risk of CAD.(15, 22, 25-28) Obesity also leads to an unfavorable lipid profile, leading to elevated CAD risk. (20, 21, 29, 30) Despite these findings, a subset of obese individuals remains insulin-sensitive, the MHO, and maintain a CAD risk profile that is intermediate between a healthy non-obese individual and someone with IRO.(33)

While obesity increases the risk for CAD, it appears that in those with established disease, a paradox exists where the moderately obese with CAD appear to have improved mortality then those with normal weight. Current standards including weight loss and a physical activity and exercise program remain the recommendation as they have been associated

with reductions in blood pressure, triglycerides, an increase in insulin sensitivity and decrease in HDL-C.(77, 89) Therefore, physical activity as a means to improve fitness and health outcomes should be promoted throughout the healthcare system despite BMI.(91)

Acknowledgements

The authors have no conflicts on interests to disclose and have read the journal's policy on disclosure of potential conflicts of interest. All authors have read the journal's authorship agreement and have reviewed and approved of this manuscript.

References

1. Lavie CJ, Milani RV, Ventura HO. Obesity and cardiovascular disease: risk factor, paradox, and impact of weight loss. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2009;53(21):1925-32.
2. Obesity Society. Obesity Society Fact Sheets: What is Obesity. The Obesity Society Website. Available at: <http://www.obesity.org/resources-for/what-is-obesity.htm>. Accessed December 13, 2013.
3. Masters RK, Reither EN, Powers DA, Yang YC, Burger AE, Link BG. The impact of obesity on US mortality levels: the importance of age and cohort factors in population estimates. *American journal of public health*. 2013;103(10):1895-901.
4. Hubert HB, Feinleib M, McNamara PM, Castelli WP. Obesity as an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease: a 26-year follow-up of participants in the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 1983;67(5):968-77.
5. Todd Miller M, Lavie CJ, White CJ. Impact of obesity on the pathogenesis and prognosis of coronary heart disease. *Journal of the cardiometabolic syndrome*. 2008;3(3):162-7.
6. Ferrannini E, Natali A, Bell P, Cavallo-Perin P, Lalic N, Mingrone G. Insulin resistance and hypersecretion in obesity. European Group for the Study of Insulin Resistance (EGIR). *The Journal of clinical investigation*. 1997;100(5):1166-73.
7. Sims EA. Are there persons who are obese, but metabolically healthy? *Metabolism: clinical and experimental*. 2001;50(12):1499-504.
8. Brochu M, Tchernof A, Dionne IJ, Sites CK, Eltabbakh GH, Sims EA, et al. What are the physical characteristics associated with a normal metabolic profile despite a high level of obesity in postmenopausal women? *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism*. 2001;86(3):1020-5.
9. Karelis AD, St-Pierre DH, Conus F, Rabasa-Lhoret R, Poehlman ET. Metabolic and body composition factors in subgroups of obesity: what do we know? *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism*. 2004;89(6):2569-75.
10. Karelis AD, Brochu M, Rabasa-Lhoret R. Can we identify metabolically healthy but obese individuals (MHO)? *Diabetes & metabolism*. 2004;30(6):569-72.
11. Karelis AD, Faraj M, Bastard JP, St-Pierre DH, Brochu M, Prud'homme D, et al. The metabolically healthy but obese individual presents a favorable inflammation profile. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism*. 2005;90(7):4145-50.
12. Wilson PW, D'Agostino RB, Sullivan L, Parise H, Kannel WB. Overweight and obesity as determinants of cardiovascular risk: the Framingham experience. *Archives of internal medicine*. 2002;162(16):1867-72.
13. Gelber RP, Gaziano JM, Manson JE, Buring JE, Sesso HD. A prospective study of body mass index and the risk of developing hypertension in men. *American journal of hypertension*. 2007;20(4):370-7.
14. Moore LL, VISIONI AJ, Qureshi MM, Bradlee ML, Ellison RC, D'Agostino R. Weight loss in overweight adults and the long-term risk of hypertension: the Framingham study. *Archives of internal medicine*. 2005;165(11):1298-303.
15. De Schutter A, Lavie CJ, Milani RV. The Impact of Obesity on Risk Factors and Prevalence and Prognosis of Coronary Heart Disease - The Obesity Paradox. *Progress in cardiovascular diseases*. 2013.

16. Dorresteijn JA, Visseren FL, Spiering W. Mechanisms linking obesity to hypertension. *Obesity reviews : an official journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity*. 2012;13(1):17-26.
17. Fox CS, Pencina MJ, Meigs JB, Vasan RS, Levitzky YS, D'Agostino RB, Sr. Trends in the incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus from the 1970s to the 1990s: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2006;113(25):2914-8.
18. Mokdad AH, Ford ES, Bowman BA, Nelson DE, Engelgau MM, Vinicor F, et al. Diabetes trends in the U.S.: 1990-1998. *Diabetes care*. 2000;23(9):1278-83.
19. Haffner SM, Lehto S, Ronnema T, Pyorala K, Laakso M. Mortality from coronary heart disease in subjects with type 2 diabetes and in nondiabetic subjects with and without prior myocardial infarction. *The New England journal of medicine*. 1998;339(4):229-34.
20. National Cholesterol Education Program Expert Panel on Detection E, Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in A. Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) final report. *Circulation*. 2002;106(25):3143-421.
21. Grundy SM, Brewer HB, Jr., Cleeman JI, Smith SC, Jr., Lenfant C, American Heart A, et al. Definition of metabolic syndrome: Report of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute/American Heart Association conference on scientific issues related to definition. *Circulation*. 2004;109(3):433-8.
22. Rader DJ. Effect of insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, and intra-abdominal adiposity on the development of cardiovascular disease and diabetes mellitus. *The American journal of medicine*. 2007;120(3 Suppl 1):S12-8.
23. Akosah KO, McHugh VL, Mathiason MA, Kulkarni A, Barnhart SI. Metabolic syndrome and coronary heart disease equivalent conditions in predicting cardiovascular events in young to middle-aged adults. *Journal of the cardiometabolic syndrome*. 2006;1(3):173-7.
24. Iribarren C, Go AS, Husson G, Sidney S, Fair JM, Quertermous T, et al. Metabolic syndrome and early-onset coronary artery disease: is the whole greater than its parts? *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2006;48(9):1800-7.
25. Lee YH, Pratley RE. Abdominal obesity and cardiovascular disease risk: the emerging role of the adipocyte. *Journal of cardiopulmonary rehabilitation and prevention*. 2007;27(1):2-10.
26. Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ. The metabolic syndrome. *Lancet*. 2005;365(9468):1415-28.
27. Kershaw EE, Flier JS. Adipose tissue as an endocrine organ. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism*. 2004;89(6):2548-56.
28. Piatti P, Di Mario C, Monti LD, Fragasso G, Sgura F, Caumo A, et al. Association of insulin resistance, hyperleptinemia, and impaired nitric oxide release with in-stent restenosis in patients undergoing coronary stenting. *Circulation*. 2003;108(17):2074-81.
29. Krauss RM, Winston M, Fletcher BJ, Grundy SM. Obesity : impact on cardiovascular disease. *Circulation*. 1998;98(14):1472-6.
30. Menuet R, Lavie CJ, Milani RV. Importance and management of dyslipidemia in the metabolic syndrome. *The American journal of the medical sciences*. 2005;330(6):295-302.
31. Khan UI, Wang D, Thurston RC, Sowers M, Sutton-Tyrrell K, Matthews KA, et al. Burden of subclinical cardiovascular disease in "metabolically benign" and "at-risk"

- overweight and obese women: the Study of Women's Health Across the Nation (SWAN). *Atherosclerosis*. 2011;217(1):179-86.
32. Roberson LL, Aneni EC, Maziak W, Agatston A, Feldman T, Rouseff M, et al. Beyond BMI: The "Metabolically healthy obese" phenotype & its association with clinical/subclinical cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality -- a systematic review. *BMC public health*. 2014;14(1):14.
33. Marini MA, Succurro E, Frontoni S, Hribal ML, Andreozzi F, Lauro R, et al. Metabolically healthy but obese women have an intermediate cardiovascular risk profile between healthy nonobese women and obese insulin-resistant women. *Diabetes care*. 2007;30(8):2145-7.
34. Lavie CJ, Milani RV, Ventura HO, Romero-Corral A. Body composition and heart failure prevalence and prognosis: getting to the fat of the matter in the "obesity paradox". *Mayo Clinic proceedings Mayo Clinic*. 2010;85(7):605-8.
35. Romero-Corral A, Montori VM, Somers VK, Korinek J, Thomas RJ, Allison TG, et al. Association of bodyweight with total mortality and with cardiovascular events in coronary artery disease: a systematic review of cohort studies. *Lancet*. 2006;368(9536):666-78.
36. Lavie CJ, Milani RV, Artham SM, Patel DA, Ventura HO. The obesity paradox, weight loss, and coronary disease. *The American journal of medicine*. 2009;122(12):1106-14.
37. Uretsky S, Messerli FH, Bangalore S, Champion A, Cooper-Dehoff RM, Zhou Q, et al. Obesity paradox in patients with hypertension and coronary artery disease. *The American journal of medicine*. 2007;120(10):863-70.
38. Lavie CJ, Osman AF, Milani RV, Mehra MR. Body composition and prognosis in chronic systolic heart failure: the obesity paradox. *The American journal of cardiology*. 2003;91(7):891-4.
39. Badheka AO, Rathod A, Kizilbash MA, Garg N, Mohamad T, Afonso L, et al. Influence of obesity on outcomes in atrial fibrillation: yet another obesity paradox. *The American journal of medicine*. 2010;123(7):646-51.
40. Galal W, van Gestel YR, Hoeks SE, Sin DD, Winkel TA, Bax JJ, et al. The obesity paradox in patients with peripheral arterial disease. *Chest*. 2008;134(5):925-30.
41. Lavie CJ, Milani RV, Ventura HO, Cardenas GA, Mehra MR, Messerli FH. Disparate effects of left ventricular geometry and obesity on mortality in patients with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. *The American journal of cardiology*. 2007;100(9):1460-4.
42. Lavie CJ, Milani RV, Patel D, Artham SM, Ventura HO. Disparate effects of obesity and left ventricular geometry on mortality in 8088 elderly patients with preserved systolic function. *Postgraduate medicine*. 2009;121(3):119-25.
43. McAuley P, Myers J, Abella J, Froelicher V. Body mass, fitness and survival in veteran patients: another obesity paradox? *The American journal of medicine*. 2007;120(6):518-24.
44. Martin JL, Jia G, Martin SS, Shapiro TA, Herrmann HC, Dibattiste PM, et al. The relationship of obesity to ischemic outcomes following coronary stent placement in contemporary practice. *Catheterization and cardiovascular interventions : official journal of the Society for Cardiac Angiography & Interventions*. 2006;67(4):563-70.
45. Nikolsky E, Kosinski E, Mishkel GJ, Kimmelstiel C, McGarry TF, Jr., Mehran R, et al. Impact of obesity on revascularization and restenosis rates after bare-metal and drug-eluting stent implantation (from the TAXUS-IV trial). *The American journal of cardiology*. 2005;95(6):709-15.

46. Dhoot J, Tariq S, Erande A, Amin A, Patel P, Malik S. Effect of morbid obesity on in-hospital mortality and coronary revascularization outcomes after acute myocardial infarction in the United States. *The American journal of cardiology*. 2013;111(8):1104-10.
47. Fonarow GC, Srikanthan P, Costanzo MR, Cintron GB, Lopatin M, Committee ASA, et al. An obesity paradox in acute heart failure: analysis of body mass index and inhospital mortality for 108,927 patients in the Acute Decompensated Heart Failure National Registry. *American heart journal*. 2007;153(1):74-81.
48. Oreopoulos A, Padwal R, Norris CM, Mullen JC, Pretorius V, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Effect of obesity on short- and long-term mortality postcoronary revascularization: a meta-analysis. *Obesity*. 2008;16(2):442-50.
49. Das SR, Alexander KP, Chen AY, Powell-Wiley TM, Diercks DB, Peterson ED, et al. Impact of body weight and extreme obesity on the presentation, treatment, and in-hospital outcomes of 50,149 patients with ST-Segment elevation myocardial infarction results from the NCDR (National Cardiovascular Data Registry). *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2011;58(25):2642-50.
50. Stamou SC, Nussbaum M, Stiegel RM, Reames MK, Skipper ER, Robicsek F, et al. Effect of body mass index on outcomes after cardiac surgery: is there an obesity paradox? *The Annals of thoracic surgery*. 2011;91(1):42-7.
51. Hamer M, Stamatakis E. Overweight and obese cardiac patients have better prognosis despite reporting worse perceived health and more conventional risk factors. *Preventive medicine*. 2013;57(1):12-6.
52. Lavie CJ, De Schutter A, Patel DA, Milani RV. Body composition and fitness in the obesity paradox--body mass index alone does not tell the whole story. *Preventive medicine*. 2013;57(1):1-2.
53. Uretsky S, Supariwala A, Gurram S, Bonda SL, Thota N, Bezwada P, et al. The interaction of exercise ability and body mass index upon long-term outcomes among patients undergoing stress-rest perfusion single-photon emission computed tomography imaging. *American heart journal*. 2013;166(1):127-33.
54. Lavie CJ, De Schutter A, Patel DA, Milani RV. Does fitness completely explain the obesity paradox? *American heart journal*. 2013;166(1):1-3.
55. Habib RH, Zacharias A, Schwann TA, Riordan CJ, Durham SJ, Shah A. Effects of obesity and small body size on operative and long-term outcomes of coronary artery bypass surgery: a propensity-matched analysis. *The Annals of thoracic surgery*. 2005;79(6):1976-86.
56. Bays HE. Adiposopathy is "sick fat" a cardiovascular disease? *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2011;57(25):2461-73.
57. Artero EG, Lee DC, Lavie CJ, Espana-Romero V, Sui X, Church TS, et al. Effects of muscular strength on cardiovascular risk factors and prognosis. *Journal of cardiopulmonary rehabilitation and prevention*. 2012;32(6):351-8.
58. Artero EG, Lee DC, Ruiz JR, Sui X, Ortega FB, Church TS, et al. A prospective study of muscular strength and all-cause mortality in men with hypertension. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2011;57(18):1831-7.
59. Diercks DB, Roe MT, Mulgund J, Pollack CV, Jr., Kirk JD, Gibler WB, et al. The obesity paradox in non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes: results from the Can Rapid risk stratification of Unstable angina patients Suppress ADverse outcomes with Early

- implementation of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Guidelines Quality Improvement Initiative. *American heart journal*. 2006;152(1):140-8.
60. Romero-Corral A, Somers VK, Sierra-Johnson J, Jensen MD, Thomas RJ, Squires RW, et al. Diagnostic performance of body mass index to detect obesity in patients with coronary artery disease. *European heart journal*. 2007;28(17):2087-93.
61. Romero-Corral A, Lopez-Jimenez F, Sierra-Johnson J, Somers VK. Differentiating between body fat and lean mass-how should we measure obesity? *Nature clinical practice Endocrinology & metabolism*. 2008;4(6):322-3.
62. Poirier P. Adiposity and cardiovascular disease: are we using the right definition of obesity? *European heart journal*. 2007;28(17):2047-8.
63. See R, Abdullah SM, McGuire DK, Khera A, Patel MJ, Lindsey JB, et al. The association of differing measures of overweight and obesity with prevalent atherosclerosis: the Dallas Heart Study. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2007;50(8):752-9.
64. de Koning L, Merchant AT, Pogue J, Anand SS. Waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio as predictors of cardiovascular events: meta-regression analysis of prospective studies. *European heart journal*. 2007;28(7):850-6.
65. McAuley PA, Artero EG, Sui X, Lee DC, Church TS, Lavie CJ, et al. The obesity paradox, cardiorespiratory fitness, and coronary heart disease. *Mayo Clinic proceedings Mayo Clinic*. 2012;87(5):443-51.
66. McAuley PA, Kokkinos PF, Oliveira RB, Emerson BT, Myers JN. Obesity paradox and cardiorespiratory fitness in 12,417 male veterans aged 40 to 70 years. *Mayo Clinic proceedings Mayo Clinic*. 2010;85(2):115-21.
67. Goel K, Thomas RJ, Squires RW, Coutinho T, Trejo-Gutierrez JF, Somers VK, et al. Combined effect of cardiorespiratory fitness and adiposity on mortality in patients with coronary artery disease. *American heart journal*. 2011;161(3):590-7.
68. Kodama S, Saito K, Tanaka S, Maki M, Yachi Y, Asumi M, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness as a quantitative predictor of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events in healthy men and women: a meta-analysis. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association*. 2009;301(19):2024-35.
69. Gupta S, Rohatgi A, Ayers CR, Willis BL, Haskell WL, Khera A, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness and classification of risk of cardiovascular disease mortality. *Circulation*. 2011;123(13):1377-83.
70. Lee DC, Sui X, Artero EG, Lee IM, Church TS, McAuley PA, et al. Long-term effects of changes in cardiorespiratory fitness and body mass index on all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality in men: the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study. *Circulation*. 2011;124(23):2483-90.
71. Lee DC, Sui X, Church TS, Lavie CJ, Jackson AS, Blair SN. Changes in fitness and fatness on the development of cardiovascular disease risk factors hypertension, metabolic syndrome, and hypercholesterolemia. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2012;59(7):665-72.
72. Lavie CJ, Milani RV. Effects of cardiac rehabilitation, exercise training, and weight reduction on exercise capacity, coronary risk factors, behavioral characteristics, and quality of life in obese coronary patients. *The American journal of cardiology*. 1997;79(4):397-401.
73. Lavie CJ, Church TS, Milani RV, Earnest CP. Impact of physical activity, cardiorespiratory fitness, and exercise training on markers of inflammation. *Journal of cardiopulmonary rehabilitation and prevention*. 2011;31(3):137-45.

74. Eilat-Adar S, Eldar M, Goldbourt U. Association of intentional changes in body weight with coronary heart disease event rates in overweight subjects who have an additional coronary risk factor. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2005;161(4):352-8.
75. Sierra-Johnson J, Romero-Corral A, Somers VK, Lopez-Jimenez F, Thomas RJ, Squires RW, et al. Prognostic importance of weight loss in patients with coronary heart disease regardless of initial body mass index. *European journal of cardiovascular prevention and rehabilitation : official journal of the European Society of Cardiology, Working Groups on Epidemiology & Prevention and Cardiac Rehabilitation and Exercise Physiology*. 2008;15(3):336-40.
76. Artham SM, Lavie CJ, Milani RV, Ventura HO. Value of weight reduction in patients with cardiovascular disease. *Current treatment options in cardiovascular medicine*. 2010;12(1):21-35.
77. Taylor RS, Brown A, Ebrahim S, Jolliffe J, Noorani H, Rees K, et al. Exercise-based rehabilitation for patients with coronary heart disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *The American journal of medicine*. 2004;116(10):682-92.
78. Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Lachin JM, Walker EA, et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2002;346(6):393-403.
79. Tuomilehto J, Lindstrom J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Hamalainen H, Ilanne-Parikka P, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2001;344(18):1343-50.
80. Milani RV, Lavie CJ. Prevalence and profile of metabolic syndrome in patients following acute coronary events and effects of therapeutic lifestyle change with cardiac rehabilitation. *The American journal of cardiology*. 2003;92(1):50-4.
81. Lavie CJ, De Schutter A, Patel D, Artham SM, Milani RV. Body composition and coronary heart disease mortality--an obesity or a lean paradox? *Mayo Clinic proceedings Mayo Clinic*. 2011;86(9):857-64.
82. Martin BJ, Arena R, Haykowsky M, Hauer T, Austford LD, Knudtson M, et al. Cardiovascular fitness and mortality after contemporary cardiac rehabilitation. *Mayo Clinic proceedings Mayo Clinic*. 2013;88(5):455-63.
83. Franklin BA, Lavie CJ, Squires RW, Milani RV. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation and improvements in cardiorespiratory fitness: implications regarding patient benefit. *Mayo Clinic proceedings Mayo Clinic*. 2013;88(5):431-7.
84. Balady GJ, Arena R, Sietsema K, Myers J, Coke L, Fletcher GF, et al. Clinician's Guide to cardiopulmonary exercise testing in adults: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2010;122(2):191-225.
85. Ades PA, Savage PD, Toth MJ, Harvey-Berino J, Schneider DJ, Bunn JY, et al. High-calorie-expenditure exercise: a new approach to cardiac rehabilitation for overweight coronary patients. *Circulation*. 2009;119(20):2671-8.
86. MacMahon S, Collins G, Rautaharju P, Cutler J, Neaton J, Prineas R, et al. Electrocardiographic left ventricular hypertrophy and effects of antihypertensive drug therapy in hypertensive participants in the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. *The American journal of cardiology*. 1989;63(3):202-10.
87. Allison DB, Zannolli R, Faith MS, Heo M, Pietrobelli A, VanItallie TB, et al. Weight loss increases and fat loss decreases all-cause mortality rate: results from two independent

cohort studies. *International journal of obesity and related metabolic disorders : journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity*. 1999;23(6):603-11.

88. Lavie CJ, Alpert MA, Arena R, Mehra MR, Milani RV, Ventura HO. Impact of obesity and the obesity paradox on prevalence and prognosis in heart failure. *JACC: Heart Fail*. 2013;1(2013):93-102.

89. Ades PA, Savage PD. Potential Benefits of Weight Loss in Coronary Heart Disease. *Progress in Cardiovascular Disease*. 2013.

90. Swift DL, Johannsen NM, Lavie CJ, Earnest CP, Church TS. The role of exercise and physical activity in weight loss and maintenance. *Progress in cardiovascular diseases*. 2013.

91. Vuori IM, Lavie CJ, Blair SN. Physical activity promotion in the health care system. *Mayo Clinic proceedings Mayo Clinic*. 2013;88(12):1446-61.

Table 1: Potential mechanisms by which obesity increases coronary artery disease risk factors

Risk factor	Mechanism
Hypertension	Production of angiotensin a precursor of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system Increase in circulating blood volume Increase in total peripheral resistance
Diabetes mellitus & metabolic syndrome	Dietary indiscretion that leads to obesity Endocrine activity of adipose tissue: Increased clotting factors: Fibrinogen, von Willebrand factor, factors VII and VIII Increased plasminogen activator inhibitor type-I Increased leptin Increased tumor necrosis factor alpha Endothelial dysfunction: Decreased insulin-mediated vasodilation Increased insulin-mediated renal sodium resorption Insulin related sympathetic nervous system stimulation Increased vasoconstriction related to elevated circulating free fatty acids Increased risk of dyslipidemia Increased risk of renal dysfunction
Dyslipidemia	Low levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol High levels of triglycerides High levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol Elevated total cholesterol

Table 2: Potential mechanisms for the obesity paradox in coronary artery disease

- A. Greater metabolic reserves
 - B. Less cachexia
 - C. Younger presenting age
 - D. More aggressive medical therapy
 - E. More aggressive diagnostic and revascularization procedures
 - F. Increased muscle mass and strength
 - G. Possible improved cardiorespiratory fitness despite obesity
 - H. Attenuated hormonal response including the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system
 - I. Unmeasured confounders, including selection bias*
-

* Selection bias may occur in that obese individuals may develop coronary artery disease (CAD) due to weight, whereas the etiology of CAD in lean patients may be different and associated with worse prognosis.

Figure 1. Unadjusted and adjusted relative risks (RR) for total mortality in patients with coronary artery disease by different body mass index (BMI) groups compared to normal BMI for all groups together and by myocardial infarction (MI), percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), and coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) subgroups. Reproduced with permission from Romero-Corral et al.(35)

Figure 2. Unadjusted and adjusted relative risk (RR) for cardiovascular mortality in patients with coronary artery disease by body mass index (BMI) groups compared to normal BMI groups. After grouping studies with adjusted risks, obese patients had no increased risk and severely obese patients had a significantly higher risk compared to normal BMI group. Reproduced with permission from Romero-Corral et al.(35)

Figure 3. 9,563 subjects with known or suspected coronary heart disease stratified by body mass index (BMI) category (A), waist circumference (WC), and body fat percent (BF) tertiles ((B) and (C) respectively) on all cause mortality. Normal BMI, low WC and low BF in the high fitness group were used as reference groups. Hazard ratios (boxes) and 95% confidence intervals (error bars represent values) after adjusting for age, baseline examination year, physical activity, smoking hyperlipidemia, diabetes and family history of cardiovascular disease. Reproduced with permission from McAuley et al.(65)





