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A B S T R A C T

The need for more effective environmental monitoring of the open and coastal ocean has recently led to notable advances in satellite ocean color technology and algorithm research. Satellite ocean color sensors’ data are widely used for the detection, mapping and monitoring of phytoplankton blooms because earth observation provides a synoptic view of the ocean, both spatially and temporally. Algal blooms are indicators of marine ecosystem health; thus, their monitoring is a key component of effective management of coastal and oceanic resources. Since the late 1970s, a wide variety of operational ocean color satellite sensors and algorithms have been developed. The comprehensive review presented in this article captures the details of the progress and discusses the advantages and limitations of the algorithms used with the multi-spectral ocean color sensors CZCS, SeaWiFS, MODIS and MERIS. Present challenges include overcoming the severe limitation of these algorithms in coastal waters and refining detection limits in various oceanic and coastal environments. To understand the spatio-temporal patterns of algal blooms and their triggering factors, it is essential to consider the possible effects of environmental parameters, such as water temperature, turbidity, solar radiation and bathymetry. Hence, this review will also discuss the use of statistical techniques and additional datasets derived from ecosystem models or other satellite sensors to characterize further the factors triggering or limiting the development of algal blooms in coastal and open ocean waters.
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1. Introduction

Over 5000 species of marine phytoplankton have been described worldwide (e.g., Sournia et al., 1991). Typically ranging from less than 1 μm to over 100 μm in size, a phytoplankton cell, also known as an ‘algal’ or ‘algae’ cell, is a planktonic photosynthesizing organism. Increases in phytoplankton cell numbers can result from favorable environmental conditions, which include water column stratification, increase in light availability (e.g., Gohin et al., 2003; Kogeler and Rey, 1999), water temperature (Thomas et al., 2003) and/or nutrient levels (e.g., Santoleri et al., 2003; Siegel et al., 1999).

The global distribution of Chlorophyll-a (Chl-a), the direct proxy for phytoplankton biomass (Cullen, 1982), shows that Chl-a–rich regions are located along the coasts and continental shelves, north of 45° North (Fig. 1a), mostly because of a strong nutrient supply. Moderate Chl-a concentrations are found in the equatorial regions of the Atlantic and Pacific, caused by the upwelling of deep, nutrient-rich, cool waters from the divergence of the ocean water masses along the equator. Moderate Chl-a concentrations are also found in the subtropical convergence zone (south of 45° South), where cool, nutrient-rich sub-Antarctic water masses mix with warm, nutrient-poor subtropical waters. However, most open ocean regions typically appear low in satellite-derived Chl because they are far from land. Ocean color observations are limited to the first optical depth; consequently, deep chlorophyll maxima (DCM) are not always captured by satellites (e.g., Huisman et al., 2006; Cullen, 2002). Many phytoplankton blooms (see Section 2) occurring deep in the water column or with extremely low Chl-a (<0.1 mg m⁻²) remain unreported because they are not always observed in satellite images but yet are known to occur (e.g., Dore et al., 2008; Villareal et al., 2011).

Algal blooms (see Section 2) detected by satellite sensors often cover large areas, but their typically “patchy” distributions make them difficult to model (Martin, 2003) (Fig. 1b–d). The visualization of satellite images (Fig. 1) is the primary technique used to identify their presence, particularly when phytoplankton blooms occur as a regular event in a specific ocean region (e.g., Strkosz and Quarty, 2013) or in regions where they are not usually expected, such as oligotrophic gyres (e.g., Wilson, 2003; Wilson et al., 2008; Wilson and Qiu, 2008). Over the last 13 years, there has been an increase in peer-reviewed publications on the study of algal blooms using ocean color satellite data (Fig. 2). Algal blooms in coastal ocean regions have been the primary focus of those studies, mainly due to the direct connectivity between the land and continental shelf waters (e.g., Gazeau et al., 2004) and the impact of coastal harmful phytoplankton blooms on anthropogenic activities (Frolov et al., 2013). Important technological progress in the design of satellite ocean color sensors from the second and third generations greatly improved coastal water algorithms, resulting in a more accurate retrieval of phytoplankton proxies in coastal waters (see Table 1 of Shen et al., 2012; Fig. 3).

Phytoplankton blooms affect the color of the water by increasing light backscattering with spectrally localized water-leaving radiance minima from generic (Chl-a) and species-specific algal pigment absorption, such as phycobiliproteins for cyanobacteria, fucoxanthin for diatoms and peridinin for dinoflagellates. Ocean color remote sensing, the passive satellite-based measurement of visible light emerging from the ocean surface (Robinson, 2004), has provided more than two decades of near-real-time synoptic and recurrent measurements of global phytoplankton biomass (Figs. 1 and 4), evolving from qualitative (e.g., Gordon et al., 1980) to quantitative estimates (e.g., Kutser, 2009). The accumulation of scientific knowledge on the temporal and spatial dynamics of phytoplankton in the world’s oceans has been largely assisted by rapid advances in marine science technologies (e.g., Babin et al., 2008; Dickey et al., 2006) and has had many global and local applications (Table 1). Recent reviews on satellite ocean color remote sensing have reported on, but are not limited to, scientific advances in this field (e.g., McClain, 2009a, 2009b), its societal benefits (e.g., IOCCG Report 7, 2008) and its valuable applications to coastal ecosystem management (e.g., Kratzer et al., 2013; Klemas, 2011), including that of fisheries (e.g., Wilson, 2011) and in the detection of harmful algal blooms (Shen et al., 2012) (Table 2).

The reviews of Matthews (2011) and Odermatt et al. (2012) discussed the various ocean color models used for the retrieval of water quality parameters in open and coastal ocean waters, from empirical to more complex approaches. More recently, Brody et al. (2013) compared different methods to determine phytoplankton bloom initiation. The present article will complement those recent reviews by providing the following:
1. A comparative analysis of algorithm types specifically used for the detection, mapping and analysis of algal blooms from passive multi-spectral ocean color sensors' imagery. Advantages and limitations in the application of the techniques presented will be discussed.

2. A synthesis of the ocean color remote sensing methods used for the detection of four specific types of blooms. An exception is made for pelagic Sargassum, which are macro-algae and not typically defined as phytoplankton.

3. An examination of the statistical techniques that are often used in combination with an ocean color dataset for the detection and monitoring of algal blooms.

The detection of phytoplankton functional types, phytoplankton size classes and phytoplankton primary production derived from ocean color remote sensing data will not be reviewed in this article. Tables 1–6 classify the peer-reviewed publications published between 2000 and 2014 according to the research application, the ocean color sensor used, the phytoplankton bloom types and the techniques employed to detect those events.

2. Algal blooms in the context of this review

The various definitions of phytoplankton blooms often rely on different, and sometimes arbitrary, criteria, such as the biomass or growth rate, or both. The review article by Kutser (2009) warned in the introduction that the term “bloom” is “relative” because it is used to describe phytoplankton events with contrastingly different biomass concentrations (p. 4402). Richardson (1997) defined an algal bloom as “the rapid growth of one or more species which leads to an increase in biomass of the species” (p. 302). In the context of this review, a phytoplankton bloom will be defined as a biological event composed of micro-algal species (see Bricaud et al. (2004) for phytoplankton cell sizes and ranges of Chl-a concentrations) that is sustained both over time and space and that results in noticeable changes in satellite radiances at wavelengths used for algal bloom proxies due to an increase in biomass (in comparison to surrounding algal bloom-free waters).
3. Ocean color remote sensing algorithms

The need for accurate retrievals of Chl concentrations in open and coastal ocean waters from ocean color data has driven most of the research in algorithm development over the past thirty years. Other algorithms have also been developed, such as those that use specific spectral features of the reflectance spectrum to detect phytoplankton with surface expressions. There is a wide variety of operational ocean color satellite sensors and algorithms to assist in the detection and monitoring of phytoplankton blooms, and this section explores the various forms currently available, which specifically includes reflectance-based classification algorithms, spectral band-ratios, spectral band-difference algorithms and bio-optical models. The limitations and advantages associated with their application in the detection and mapping of algal blooms are discussed.

3.1. Reflectance classification algorithms

It has long been recognized that information about optically active constituents present within a parcel of water can be obtained from its spectral reflectance spectrum (e.g., Steemann Nielsen, 1963, 1937; Steemann Nielsen and Jensen, 1957). Spectral bands located in the blue, green, yellow, red or near-infrared (NIR) portion of the reflectance spectrum can be used in many ways to detect algal blooms (Figs. 5 and 6). Algorithms that rely mostly on the detection of specific spectral features are often well suited for algal blooms with surface expressions. This approach can be sufficient for the discrimination of algal blooms from other naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., Siegel et al., 2007), but the sole use of the reflectance spectrum can often only provide qualitative estimates. The reliability of the measured reflectance is hampered by its sensitivity to, e.g., the thickness of the floating algal layer, suspended particulates, bottom reflectance (although novel correction techniques now exist (e.g., Barnes et al., 2013)) and atmospheric correction errors. This reliability is even more questionable when dealing with coastal waters, where other optically active substances affect the water-leaving radiance. To ensure the validity of the algal bloom information retrieved from reflectance classification algorithms, it is recommended that knowledge of the study region be taken into account. A detailed analysis of the reflectance spectra of the flagged pixels is also required. Section 4.1 provides further discussion for the specific detection of Coccolithophore and Trichodesmium blooms.

Fig. 3. Examples of Chl-a satellite images from the first and second generations of ocean color sensors. Top panel: a CZCS image on October 16, 1979 from Cairns to Broad Sound, Great Barrier Reef, Australia (Gabric et al., 1990); Bottom panel: the same region as observed from MODIS-Aqua on October 3, 2011. Chl-a was derived using the Matrix Inversion Method (Brando et al., 2012) and SeaDAS 6.4. Clouds and Land are masked in gray and the submerged reef matrix is masked in white. Chl-a is expressed in μg/L. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
Fig. 4. Timeline 1970–2030 illustrating past, current, and future global ocean-color satellite missions. Missions after 1999 were extracted from the online CEOS Earth Observation Handbook (http://www.eohandbook.com/). Satellite platforms are indicated. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Table 1
Detecting algal blooms from ocean color remote sensing: applications overview (cited research from 2006 to 2013 is indicative only).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research application</th>
<th>Global scale</th>
<th>Local scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Derivation of long term baseline, and marine ecosystem’s response to i.e., climatic, anthropogenic forcing</td>
<td>Martinez et al. (2009) and Siegel et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Kahrur et al. (2010), Shi and Wang (2007) and Zhao et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biogeochemistry (carbon, nitrogen fluxes, etc.)</td>
<td>Platt et al. (2008)</td>
<td>Chang and Xuan (2011) and Focardi et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major phytoplankton groups (Coccolithophores, Trichodesmium, etc.)</td>
<td>Moore et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Carvalho et al. (2011), Miller et al. (2006), Shuter et al. (2012), McKinna et al. (2011) and Gower and King (2011b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size-class, community composition and physiology</td>
<td>Brewin et al. (2011), Behrenfeld et al. (2009) and Gower and King (2011a)</td>
<td>Pan et al. (2012, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremes (e.g., super-blooms)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Spectral bands (in nm) used in algal bloom indices for SeaWiFS, MODIS and MERIS (cited research is indicative only).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Band 1</th>
<th>Band 2</th>
<th>Band 3</th>
<th>Algal bloom type</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MODIS</td>
<td>FLH</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>Algal blooms, surface algal blooms</td>
<td>Hu et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS</td>
<td>FAI</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>1240 or 1640</td>
<td>Surface algal blooms</td>
<td>Gower et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeaWiFS</td>
<td>CIA</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>Low Chlorophyll concentrations</td>
<td>Hu et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS</td>
<td>MCI</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>708.75</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>High concentration in water and surface algal blooms</td>
<td>Gower et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS</td>
<td>MCI waive</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>708.75</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>High concentration in water and surface algal blooms</td>
<td>Gower et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS</td>
<td>EBI</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>708.75</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Surface algal blooms</td>
<td>(Not peer-reviewed) Amin, R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeaWiFS</td>
<td>ABI</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>Algal blooms</td>
<td>Amin and Shannugam (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeaWiFS</td>
<td>ABI</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>HAB, algal blooms</td>
<td>Shannugam (2011) and Amin and Shannugam (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS</td>
<td>ABI</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>HAB, algal blooms</td>
<td>Shannugam (2011) and Amin and Shannugam (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS</td>
<td>KBBI &amp; RBD</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Surface algal blooms (K. brevis)</td>
<td>Amin et al. (2009b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS</td>
<td>KBBI &amp; RBD</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Surface algal blooms (K. brevis)</td>
<td>Amin et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Reflectance band-ratio algorithms

In open ocean Case 1 waters, phytoplankton is the primary water constituent (Morel, 1980; Morel and Prieur, 1977); thus, Chl-a concentrations can be empirically related to the water-leaving reflectance using relationships of various forms (e.g., Matthews, 2011; Dierssen, 2010). These empirical relationships are often derived using large, sometimes global (e.g., Fargion and McClain, 2003), in situ datasets of coincident Chl-a and reflectance measurements. Empirical blue–green (440–550 nm) spectral band-ratios are the most common types of ocean color algorithms used for Chl-a retrievals because most of the phytoplankton absorption occurs within this portion of the visible spectrum. However, the use of visible wavelengths can be unreliable in coastal waters.

In optically complex, Case 2 waters, blue–green reflectance band-ratios become less sensitive to changes in Chl-a concentrations because increasing concentrations of color dissolved organic matter (CDOM) and total suspended matter (TSM) (e.g., Bowers et al., 1996) require the use of other spectral bands located in the red (620–700 nm) and NIR (>700 nm) (e.g., Gitelson et al., 2009) (Fig. 5).

3.2.1. Blue–green band-ratios for open and coastal ocean waters

Gordon et al. (1983, 1980) and Feldman et al. (1984) were among the first to use empirical band-ratios from CZCS spectral bands for the study of the near-surface distribution of phytoplankton blooms in the open ocean and to explore their relationships with oceanographic conditions. Many other studies used their initial work to derive global phytoplankton maps from CZCS Chl-a imagery (e.g., Banse and English, 2000, 1997, 1994; Nezlin et al., 1999; Tang et al., 1999; Fuentes-Yaco et al., 1997b). The second and third generation of ocean color sensors (Fig. 4; Fig. 5; see Table 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phytoplankton type</th>
<th>Reflectance classification (thresholds, anomalies)</th>
<th>Reflectance band-ratios</th>
<th>Bio-optical model or neural network</th>
<th>Spectral band difference</th>
<th>Satellite product (threshold or anomaly) and climatology, statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

* Merged with SeaWiFS.

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phytoplankton type</th>
<th>Reflectance classification (thresholds, anomalies)</th>
<th>Reflectance band-ratios</th>
<th>Bio-optical model or neural network</th>
<th>Spectral band difference</th>
<th>Satellite product (threshold or anomaly) and climatology, statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trichodesmium</td>
<td>Miller et al. (2006), Stumpf et al. (2003) and Tomlinson et al. (2004)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5
Detecting phytoplankton blooms with MODIS (cited research is indicative only).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phytoplankton type</th>
<th>Reflectance classification (thresholds, anomalies)</th>
<th>Reflectance band-ratios</th>
<th>Bio-optical model or neural network</th>
<th>Spectral band difference</th>
<th>Satellite product (threshold or anomaly) and climatology, statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface expression (undefined)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coccolithophores</td>
<td>Signorini and McClain (2009), Ida et al. (2012) and Moore et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Balch et al. (2005)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red tides/HAB</td>
<td>Siwanto et al. (2013)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Merged with SeaWiFS.

Table 6
Detecting phytoplankton blooms with MERIS (cited research is indicative only).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phytoplankton type</th>
<th>Reflectance classification (thresholds, anomalies)</th>
<th>Reflectance band-ratios</th>
<th>Bio-optical model or neural network</th>
<th>Spectral band difference</th>
<th>Satellite product (threshold or anomaly) and climatology, statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phytoplankton bloom (undefined)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface expression (undefined)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coccolithophores</td>
<td>Moore et al. (2012)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichodesmium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red tides/HAB</td>
<td>Bernard et al. (2005)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Comparison of the spectral band positions for five ocean color sensors of the first (CZCS), second (SeaWiFS), third (MERIS, MODIS) and fourth (VIIRS) generations. (Figure modified from Fig. 1 of Lee et al. (2007). The authors used 14 remote sensing reflectance spectra from various waters around the world. The potential applications for each spectral region are indicated. See Table 2 as well (this review.) (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
OC4 and adapted to the MODIS spectral bands. The use of global standard ocean color band-ratios has been demonstrated to significantly overestimate Chl-a. Moore et al. (2009) have shown that the nominal uncertainty of 35% for Chl-a retrievals is true in ocean gyres, but the OC3M relative Chl-a error is >50% outside those gyres and can be >100% in coastal waters. Komick et al. (2009) found that MODIS OC3M systematically overestimated Chl-a when lower than 0.13 mg m\(^{-3}\) in Western Canadian waters. Similar results were also found by Radenac et al. (2013) for the equatorial Pacific warm pool. For SeaWiFS OC4, Volpe et al. (2007) found that Chl-a was overestimated by 70% for Chl-a levels lower than 0.2 mg m\(^{-3}\) in the Mediterranean Sea. Such low Chl-a concentrations are encountered in the vast majority of the global ocean (Hu et al., 2012).

### 3.2.2. The relevance of the red-NIR spectral regions in coastal waters

The in vivo absorption peak near 676 nm is minimally affected by the influence of CDOM and TSM when the two are in low concentrations (see Section 3.3; Fig. 5). Spectral bands near 676 nm have been widely used for the retrieval of Chl-a in coastal waters (Odermatt et al., 2012; Gurlin et al., 2011). Gitelson et al. (1999) have shown that reflectance increases in the NIR beyond 700 nm due to increased scattering from algal biomass, correlated to an increase in Chl-a for most phytoplankton groups. The sensitivity analysis conducted by Ruddick et al. (2001) on two red-NIR band-ratio algorithms revealed that the relative error on Chl-a retrievals became more significant at low Chl-a concentrations (<10 mg m\(^{-3}\)) and in low backscatter conditions but also that the choice of paired wavelengths was very important. Their study showed that a band-ratio algorithm that uses the red-NIR band pair 672 and 704 nm would perform best at Chl-a ~ 10 mg m\(^{-3}\), whereas a wider red-NIR band pair spreading further apart (e.g., 667 and 748 nm) would perform best at Chl-a ~ 100 mg m\(^{-3}\).

The “red-edge” is technically defined as an increase in spectral reflectance in the red-NIR (680–750 nm) and often results from the presence of partly submersed vegetation (e.g., Dierssen et al., 2007, 2006; Bostater et al., 2003; Gitelson, 1992) or algal bloom surface expressions (e.g., Shen et al., 2012; Ruddick et al., 2008) (Fig. 5). Only a few ocean color sensors have the spectral requirements that enable the detection of those reflectance features. For SeaWiFS, two of the nine spectral bands are positioned in red-NIR region of the spectrum (namely 670 nm and 765 nm), and these two bands have limited use for the detection of Chl-a. In contrast, MODIS and MERIS provide more spectral bands between 600 and 800 nm (Figs. 5 and 6). Further useful applications of the red-NIR spectral region in reflectance-based algorithms are discussed in Sections 3.3 and 4.

### 3.2.3. Band-ratio algorithms: Limitations and challenges

Most reflectance band-ratios are designed for global applications over optically deep ocean waters (Odermatt et al., 2012). The use of band-ratios often leads to erroneous retrievals in coastal waters, where the optical complexity is highly variable (Dierssen, 2010; Blondeau-Patissier et al., 2004). Additional limitations in the use of band-ratios are regional differences in optical properties and concentrations; the generalized global parameterization of some algorithms is inapplicable in some of the world’s ocean regions (e.g., Volpe et al., 2007; Claustre and Maritorena, 2003; Sathyendranath et al., 2001; Dierssen and Smith, 2000). Many studies have shown that the retrieval accuracy of Chl-a by satellite ocean color sensors, aimed to be within ±35% in oceanic waters, cannot always be met when using band-ratio algorithms (e.g., Moore et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2000). In coastal waters, the quality of this retrieval significantly degrades and is often considered unreliable. The use of blue-green spectral bands for the specific detection of Chl-a in coastal waters is affected by the absorption signal of CDOM and TSM (e.g., Dierssen, 2010; Gower, 2000).
Joint and Groom, 2000). To overcome this limitation, other studies suggested the use of red-NIR band-ratios for Chl-a retrieval in coastal waters (e.g., Moses et al., 2012; Shanmugam, 2011).

3.3. Spectral band difference algorithms

Spectral band difference algorithms exploit spectral regions that feature significant changes in the reflectance spectrum due to the presence of an algal bloom, compared to the nearby bloom-free water. Given that absorption tends to vary more rapidly with wavelength than scattering, two adjacent reflectance spectral bands may have similar backscattering properties but will differ significantly in absorption. Hence, this absorption feature can be quantified by spectral difference. The various forms of spectral band difference algorithms use band triplets from the red-NIR or the blue–green spectral regions (Table 2) depending on whether the algorithm is designed to be sensitive to an algal group, high chlorophyll concentrations or surface bloom expressions (Fig. 7). One of the most used ocean color spectral band difference algorithms is the Fluorescence Line Height (FLH) (see review of Xing et al. (2007)), an index for quantifying solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence. Other similar mathematical expressions are used to derive algal bloom indices from SeaWiFS, MERIS and MODIS (Table 2) and are discussed in this section.

3.3.1. Fluorescence Line Height (FLH)

The literature published on this topic since the 1960s (Yentsch and Menzel, 1963) has shown that estimating fluorescence is greatly beneficial to studies of phytoplankton biomass (Falkowski and Kiefer, 1985), physiology (e.g., Westberry et al., 2013; Behrenfeld et al., 2009), and composition (e.g., Hu et al., 2005). The remote sensing approach used to retrieve FLH was originally developed by Neville and Gower (1977), and its first application to an ocean color sensor was on MODIS-Terra (Abbott and Letelier, 1999; Letelier and Abbott, 1996). It is well known, however, that MODIS-Terra suffers from uncertainties and instabilities, particularly the radiometric response of the 412-nm band, which has significantly (>40%) degraded since the start of the mission (Franz et al., 2008). The significant striping in MODIS-Terra water-leaving radiances makes the data largely unusable. Thus, MODIS mostly refers to the sensor on the Aqua satellite. The spectral band positions of MERIS (Gower et al., 1999) and MODIS (Hoge et al., 2003) allow...
for the computation of FLH, but this product cannot be derived from CZCS, SeaWiFS and VIIRS because of the lack of spectral bands in the 670–690 nm range. Gower and Borstad (2004) and Zhao et al. (2010) compared FLH results between MODIS and MERIS and concluded that MERIS bands were better positioned for measuring fluorescence. The use of MODIS FLH to detect HAB has been successfully used by many (Frolov et al., 2013; Cannizzaro et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2005), providing more reliable information than a standard Chl algorithm. MERIS FLH was found to be successful at detecting high biomass phytoplankton in sediment-dominated coastal waters (e.g., Gower et al., 2005). However, others studies have led to inconclusive results on the benefits of FLH in the detection of algal blooms (e.g., Tomlinson et al., 2008).

3.3.2. Maximum Chlorophyll Index (MCI)

The Maximum Chlorophyll Index (MCI) can only be applied to MERIS because of its use of the 708.75 nm band. This band is more responsive to strong reflectance in the NIR, and the lack of similar bands in MODIS and VIIRS may hamper the detection of high-concentration bloom events. The MCI is mainly designed for the detection of high-concentration algal blooms, and it was successfully used to globally monitor phytoplankton blooms in the world’s oceans by Gower et al. (2008). The minimum Chl-a concentration required for a phytoplankton bloom to be detected by the MCI is $\sim 30$ mg m$^{-3}$ (Gower et al., 2005), but phytoplankton blooms can have much higher concentrations, with some studies reporting Chl-a $> 200$ mg m$^{-3}$ (e.g., Gower and King, 2007a; Sasamal et al., 2005).

3.3.3. Floating Algae Index (FAI) and Scaled Algae Index (SAI)

Hu et al. (2010c) and Hu (2009) proposed the Floating Algae Index (FAI) to detect large ($>4000$ km$^2$) surface-floating algae from MODIS 250 and 500 m bands in both fresh and marine environments. The FAI uses a functional form similar to FLH and MCI where the height of the NIR peak is estimated relatively to a linear baseline from adjacent bands in the red and short wave infrared (SWIR) wavelengths (Table 2). Thus, the FAI is sensitive to the red-edge and is robust to the influence of CDOM, aerosols and sun glint because of the use of NIR bands. However, similarly to MCI, the FAI is likely sensitive to turbid waters and shallow depths. It is used in combination with pre-determined thresholds to help separate land, cloud and high concentrations of submersed algae or sediments from pixels associated with surface algae scums. FAI was originally applied to the detection of cyanobacteria and macro-algae in the freshwater lake Taihu and the Yellow Sea (China). Its global applicability remains untested. Building on this research, Garcia et al. (2013) developed an automatized image processing algorithm, the Scaled Algae Index (SAI), which is a necessary intermediate product for quantifying the spatial coverage of the floating macro-algae observed in satellite imagery based on FAI.

3.3.4. Color Index Algorithm (CIA)

A recent development in algal bloom indices is the Color Index Algorithm (CIA) proposed by Hu et al. (2012). This empirical algorithm was originally developed to estimate surface Chl-a concentrations in oligotrophic ($<0.25$ mg m$^{-3}$) waters. The CIA is a three-band reflectance difference algorithm (443 nm, 555 nm and 670 nm bands), making it applicable to SeaWiFS, MODIS and MERIS. Its accuracy has not yet been fully validated because of the lack of low-concentration, high-quality in situ Chl-a data from the world’s oceans. The CIA was successfully applied to the waters of the Red Sea by Brewin et al. (2013), where it was found to perform better than the MODIS OC3 at retrieving Chl-a because of the low concentrations typically encountered in those waters.

3.3.5. Spectral band difference algorithms: Limitations and challenges

Many limitations in the use of red-NIR bands have been raised in this section. The robust application of FLH for the detection of algal blooms remains under discussion. McKee et al. (2007) suggested that the FLH signal could be masked in complex coastal waters due to the influence of CDOM and TSM. Similarly, Gilerson et al. (2007, 2008) found that MODIS FLH retrieved fluorescence with reasonable accuracy only for waters with Chl $< 4$ mg m$^{-3}$ but that the signal was masked by particulate backscattering in turbid waters with high CDOM and TSM concentrations. The authors also questioned the performance of the MERIS FLH algorithm in coastal waters where large errors could be introduced via the linear baseline between the 665 nm and 708.75 nm bands. The use of a linear baseline between the two NIR MERIS bands for the computation of FLH has been demonstrated to work in coastal waters with Chl-a concentrations of up to $20$ mg m$^{-3}$ (Gower and King, 2007b) (Fig. 8). For higher chlorophyll concentrations however, due to the combined effects of water absorption and Chl-a distortion, the Chl-a spectrum and a linear baseline can no longer be used. Gower et al. (1999) also challenged the theory that the scattering by TSM had a significant reducing effect on the relative fluorescence height above the baseline. The influence of CDOM on the FLH is often considered small in relatively low concentrations due to its negligible absorption in the NIR. Hu (2009) acknowledged that limitations in the MODIS FAI included the questionable reliability of the MODIS atmospheric correction and the lack of a MODIS-cloud masking algorithm that would reliably flag all cloud and/or sun-glint contaminated pixels while keeping (floating) algal pixels. Although the first issue can be easily solved using Rayleigh-corrected reflectance (Hu et al., 2010b), the second remains a problem, and the author suggested the use of true-color FAI-paired images to separate the clouds from the ocean surface features. Several ocean color algal bloom indices can be combined to enhance our interpretation of algal bloom phenomena and their underlying mechanisms (see Section 5.3).

3.4. Bio-optical models

Bio-optical models are based on various fundamental theories of marine optics and, because they rest on firm theoretical bases and rigorous equations, they are often robust (e.g., Morel, 2001). Coastal systems are generally shallow (<100 m), dynamic, transitional environments that receive considerable amounts of freshwater inputs carrying nutrients, dissolved and particulate organic matter, sediment and contaminants (Blondeau-Patissier et al., 2009; Babin et al., 2003). Extracting detailed and accurate information from ocean color imagery is a more challenging task in coastal
waters in comparison to the open ocean (Tilstone et al., 2011b; Qin et al., 2007). Inversed modeling algorithms (Odermatt et al., 2012) are often necessary to enable the accurate retrieval of Chl-a concentrations in optically complex waters, although optically active constituents (CDOM, TSM) may show discernible patterns of their own during algal bloom conditions (e.g., Chari et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2009b).

3.4.1. Retrieval of taxa-specific pigment concentrations from bio-optical models

Taxonomic phytoplankton groups contain different combinations of pigments (e.g., Uitz et al., 2006), but the spectral features of individual pigments are often similar (e.g., Aiken et al., 2008; Richardson, 1996). There is still some debate about which phytoplankton pigments can be reliably identified because many water quality parameters confine the algorithms to specific conditions. However, the use of the (specific) absorption coefficient of phytoplankton indexed to the composition of the phytoplankton community sampled in situ has brought promising results in open ocean waters (e.g., Claustre et al., 2005; Sathyendranath et al., 2004). Such techniques are deployed to detect phytoplankton community structures from ocean color datasets (e.g., Brewin et al., 2011), but significant progress is yet to be made, both in the bio-optical models and databases, for their use in coastal waters.

3.4.2. Derivation of inherent optical properties from bio-optical models for the detection of algal blooms

The principal phytoplankton pigments that mostly contribute to absorption are the photosynthetic pigments consisting of the chlorophylls, carotenoids and biliproteins. The phytoplankton absorption coefficient is an important parameter in ocean color algorithms and is increasingly used to parameterize algal bloom algorithms (e.g., Goela et al., 2013). To study the phytoplankton dynamics characterizing the coastal waters of the Taiwan Strait, Shang et al. (2010) used the Quasi-Analytical Algorithm (QAA) from Lee et al. (2002) to retrieve the phytoplankton absorption coefficient from MODIS. Their findings promoted the use of the phytoplankton absorption coefficient over Chl-a because the latter can suffer from the contamination of non-biotic optically active material.

3.4.3. Bio-optical models: Limitations and challenges

Bio-optical forward and inverse modeling is developing rapidly as an essential tool to understand the effects of dense algal concentrations on light absorption and backscattering coefficients. False positive bloom detections in CDOM- or TSM-rich waters and uncertainties due to the quality of the atmospheric correction are still hampering accurate retrievals of optical properties and biogeochemical concentrations in coastal waters. The use of specific inherent properties now has a central role in bio-optical modeling (e.g., Brando et al., 2012; Tilstone et al., 2011a), but the identification of specific phytoplankton groups on the basis of inherent optical properties remains a challenge in coastal waters. For future parameterizations of taxa-specific bio-optical models, Claustre et al. (2005) stressed the need to make coincident measurements of the phytoplankton taxonomic composition, photophysiological parameters and phytoplankton absorption to improve local, regional and global in situ databases. As suggested by Dierssen (2010) and later by Sen Gupta and McNeil (2012), the water properties of the world’s oceans are changing. It is probable that algorithm parameterizations derived from in situ data collected over the past decades might not be applicable in the near future due to the possible change in the CDOM-Chl relationships in coastal waters. One of the latest reports on future ocean color mission requirements, IOC/SCO Report 13 (2012b), stated that “phytoplankton blooms’ timing, frequency, composition and intensity are expected to change with climate in ways that may be hard to predict. (…) Thus reliable and accurate detection of algal blooms is an objective for future missions” (p. 14).

4. The detection of specific types of algal blooms

4.1. Algal blooms with surface expressions

Algal blooms with surface expressions, such as coccolithophores, cyanobacteria and Sargassum, are observable in satellite imagery due to the large areas they often cover (Fig. 1). Spectral characteristics specific to those taxa may be exploited for their mapping, or masking, by using empirically derived, rule-based reflectance classification algorithms; bio-optical models can also be used.

4.1.1. Coccolithophore blooms

Coccolithophores, with the globally dominant Emiliania huxleyi, are calcifying phytoplankton species that form large, dense blooms occurring at most latitudes (Brown, 1995). Coccolithophore blooms play an important role in ocean biogeochemistry (Harlay et al., 2011) and the upper ocean light field (e.g., Balch et al., 2005). The senescent stage of Coccolithophore blooms is often identifiable in an ocean color remote sensing image by its milky blue–green color (Fig. 1), accompanied by the typical high scattering across all spectral bands (400–800 nm) that results from the detached coccoliths (Voss et al., 1998). Following the early work of Holligan et al. (1983), who reported on the higher reflectance values found for CZCS satellite image pixels within Coccolithophore-rich waters, Brown and Yoder (1994a) and Brown (1995) pioneered research on the detection of Coccolithophore blooms. Using a reflectance classification technique to map those events in the global ocean, Brown and Yoder (1994a), Ackleson et al. (1994) and, later, Merico et al. (2003) identified many limitations that were associated with this technique, including false positives resulting from various sources, such as poor satellite coverage, atmospheric correction errors, reflectance signal contamination from CDOM and scattering from resuspension of TSM. These contaminating sources, whether individual or combined, could bias the results obtained not only for the detection of Coccolithophore blooms but also those of Chl-a (e.g., Blondeau-Patissier et al., 2004). Nonetheless, the acceptable accuracy of the classification results led to the documentation of Coccolithophore bloom events at both global (e.g., Brown, 1995) and basin scales (e.g., Brown and Pedesta, 1997; Brown and Yoder, 1994b). Since this early work with CZCS (Table 3), novel methods have been developed using reflectance anomalies (e.g., Shutler et al., 2010) and reflectance classifiers (e.g., Moore et al., 2012) with SeaWIFS (Table 4), MODIS (Table 5) and MERIS (Table 6). These new approaches allowed for the mapping of Coccolithophore blooms in ocean regions where previously such blooms were either not detected or underestimated, such as shelf or polar seas (e.g., Holligan et al., 2010; Hegseth and Sundfjord, 2008). The use of existing standard Coccolithophore masks in those regions is often unsuitable, and some regional adjustments are required. Iida et al. (2002) demonstrated that the thresholds used in the NASA standard SeaWIFS Coccolithophore mask algorithm were too high to map the events in the Bering Sea and lower threshold values were necessary.

4.1.2. Trichodesmium blooms

Blooms of cyanobacterium Trichodesmium fix atmospheric nitrogen into ammonium, making it usable for other organisms (e.g., Laroche and Breitbarth, 2005). Subramaniam and Carpenter (1994) qualitatively mapped Trichodesmium sp. blooms from CZCS satellite imagery of the Atlantic, Indian and Pacific Oceans using an
empirical reflectance algorithm based on their high reflectivity. Due to the strong absorption by phycoerythrin, *Trichodesmium* blooms have a characteristic spectral feature at 550 nm that makes them potentially identifiable in satellite reflectance spectra. Bio-optical properties specific to *Trichodesmium* were also used by Subramaniam et al. (1999a,b) for the large-scale detection of such events in AVHRR imagery off the Somali coast from a reflectance-based model. Those properties were subsequently used in a semi-empirical bio-optical model by Subramaniam et al. (2002) to detect *Trichodesmium* events in SeaWiFS imagery off the South Atlantic Bight, demonstrating that *Trichodesmium* blooms could be detected in optically complex coastal waters when in sufficient quantity. However, this model had some limitations, including sensitivity to bottom reflection and corals and generating false positives from other similarly reflective sources (such as Coccolithophore or *Phaeocystis* blooms, TSM). Recent studies employ criterion-based reflectance techniques to detect and quantify *Trichodesmium* floating mats using reflectance anomalies (Dupouy et al., 2011, 2008), high-resolution NIR bands (McKenna et al., 2011) and blue–green reflectance bands with MODIS. Hu et al. (2010a) demonstrated the potential of MODIS FAI to distinguish *Trichodesmium* mats from the background influence of both TSM and CDOM in the coastal waters of Florida, on the condition that *Trichodesmium* was in sufficiently high concentration (i.e., Chl-a > 4 mg m\(^{-3}\)). Mostly a qualitative approach (e.g., presence/absence), very few of these reflectance-based studies provide quantitative estimates of *Trichodesmium* blooms from satellite imagery. The quantitative estimate of *Trichodesmium* sp. abundances can be achieved with the use of taxa-specific pigment retrieval models. Westberry et al. (2005) used an extension of the bio-optical model of Garver and Siegel (1997) and Maritorena et al. (2002) (GSM) to map the abundance and biomass of *Trichodesmium* on a global scale from SeaWiFS imagery. These two studies helped reveal many aspects of the distribution of *Trichodesmium* sp. in the global ocean, with the largest annual spatio-temporal occurrence of *Trichodesmium* sp. in the Pacific Ocean.

4.1.3. Floating Sargassum

*Sargassum* sp. are surface-floating macro-algae distributed throughout the temperate and tropical oceans. *Sargassum* sometimes cover large areas (tens of kilometers), which may provide a useful proxy for tracking convergent or divergent oceanographic processes (e.g., Zhong et al., 2012). MERIS MCI and MODIS FLH have been shown to be very effective in monitoring their distributions in the Gulf of Mexico and the North Atlantic Ocean (Gower and King, 2011b, 2008; Gower et al., 2006). Using those surface expression indices, Gower and King (2011b) have shown that *Sargassum* sp. seasonal occurrences are characterized by a migration from the Gulf of Mexico in spring to the North Atlantic in July, ending near the Bahamas in February of the following year. An estimated biomass of floating *Sargassum* of 1 g Chl kg\(^{-1}\) wet algae (Gower et al., 2006) corresponds to 10\(^6\) ton yr\(^{-1}\) sourced from the Gulf of Mexico, which may have large implications for carbon fluxes (Gower and King, 2011b). The spatial and seasonal distributions of *Sargassum* have, overall, been well mapped using satellite imagery, but Gower et al. (2013) recently showed a large drift in their distributions for the year 2011, the cause of which is unclear.

4.1.4. Harmful Algal blooms – Example of dinoflagellate *Karenia brevis*

The outbreak of a single, dominating phytoplankton species can alter coastal ecosystems, often causing costly damages to both the local economy and the marine ecosystem (e.g., Babin et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2004). Harmful algal blooms are often referred to as red tide events, but a reddish appearance of the ocean water can be caused by any phytoplankton species, with the only variable being its concentration (Kutser, 2009; Dierssen et al., 2006). Red-tide-type phytoplankton species, such as dinoflagellate *Karenia brevis*, absorb radiations in the blue and lower green regions (450–500 nm) of the visible spectrum while strongly reflecting radiations in the yellow region (570–580 nm). Bernard et al. (2005) used an empirical Chl-a algorithm based on the ratio between the MERIS red bands 665 and 709 nm to detect high biomass (up to 200 mg m\(^{-3}\)) dinoflagellate-dominated HAB events in the Southern Benguela. Another band-ratio was proposed by Ahn and Shanmugam (2006). The Red Tide Index (RI) is specifically designed to detect red-tide blooms (Table 2). The Red Tide Index Chlorophyll Algorithm (RCA) empirically relates RI to Chl-a for concentrations of up to 70 mg m\(^{-3}\) (see Fig. 6 from Ahn and Shanmugam (2006)). Their findings showed that spatial patterns of red-tide blooms as mapped from the RI and RCA indices were more consistent with field observations than when standard band-ratio algorithms were used. In this case, Ahn and Shanmugam’s (2006) study demonstrated the successful application of the band-ratio approach over bio-optical models such as the one developed by Cancraro et al. (2008) for the Gulf of Mexico from SeaWiFS and MODIS imagery. Band difference algorithms are also used. The Red Band Difference (RBD) proposed by Amin et al. (2009a, 2009b) is an index specifically designed to detect *K. brevis*. The spectral region used for the RBD (665–681 nm) is sensitive to both phytoplankton absorption and scattering from suspended sediment; thus, an additional discrimination algorithm is required, the *K. brevis* Bloom index (KBDI) (Amin et al., 2008; Table 2). KBDI is the ratio of the RBD to the sum of the same two normalized water reflectance bands. This procedure can be applied to both MODIS and MERIS and has been demonstrated to work in waters other than the Gulf of Mexico (e.g., Gulf Stream, mid-Atlantic).

5. Statistical techniques and data assimilation to assess phytoplankton bloom dynamics

The mapping of the seasonal, inter-annual, or decadal cycle of phytoplankton growth, which can include its spatial patterns, timing and magnitude, is key to understanding algal bloom dynamics in a specific ocean region. The sole use of an ocean color dataset is often insufficient for accurately resolving ocean color constituents (e.g., Mélin et al., 2011; Zingone et al., 2010; Uz, 2007), and additional data sources from models (e.g., Mouw et al., 2012) or non-ocean color satellite sensors (e.g., Robinson et al., 2004; Saitoh et al., 2002) can be necessary. In addition, statistical techniques are often used as a post-processing step to unravel the temporal and/or spatial patterns of those events (e.g., Kurekin et al., 2014). The comprehensive reviews of Bierman et al. (2011) and Kitsiou and Karydis (2011) already described the univariate and multivariate statistical techniques that can be applied to water reflectance bands. This procedure can be applied to both MODIS and MERIS and has been demonstrated to work in waters other than the Gulf of Mexico (e.g., Gulf Stream, mid-Atlantic).

5.1. Statistical partitioning of marine ecosystems

To analyze the dynamics of phytoplankton blooms in a study region, it is often necessary to first partition it into sub-regions (IOCCG Report 9, 2009). The fundamental difference between the partition of terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems is that aquatic ecosystems usually have dynamic boundaries between biomes to account for seasonal or inter-annual variations (Platt and Sathyendranath, 1999). Longhurst (1995) was among the first to provide a classification of the oceanic pelagic environment based...
on the spatial variability of the ocean’s physical properties. Other work has followed using satellite-derived Chl-a as the main variable to characterize the spatial distribution, areal extent and dynamics of phytoplankton blooms using multivariate statistical analysis (e.g., Brickley and Thomas, 2004; Fuentes-Yaco et al., 1997a). Hardman-Mountford et al. (2008) used six years of SeaWiFS Chl monthly global composites and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to characterize broad-scale ecological patterns in the world’s oceans. Their classification, based on the Chl spatial distribution and variability, was consistent with Longhurst’s grouping of four primary biomes, although a major difference emerged for the equatorial biome. The delineation of ecosystem boundaries can be performed using clustering methods (e.g., K-means and Empirical Orthogonal Functions) to describe the spatial and temporal variability of a study region (e.g., Bergamino et al., 2010; Henson and Thomas, 2007; Brickley and Thomas, 2004) (Fig. 9).

5.2. Time-series, fitted models and signal processing techniques

The statistical analysis of algal biomass cycles using time-series can help detect trends in a dataset or reveal correlations between the dynamics of phytoplankton biomass and environmental factors that may be inherent to a study region.

A special issue of the journal Estuaries and Coasts (Zingone et al., 2010) was dedicated to the analysis of multi-scale phytoplankton variability for 22 coastal ecosystems around the world by means of 84 timeseries, some of which were computed from remote sensing data. This special issue highlighted the power of time-series analysis as a statistical technique for deriving environmental baselines. Although SeaWiFS, MODIS-Aqua and MERIS individually have at least a decade of ocean color data, multiple-sensor data merging is often required to significantly extend the timeseries. Maritorena et al. (2010) showed that over a seven-year-period, the average daily coverage of the world’s ocean was ~25% when a merged satellite product was used, almost double the global coverage of any single mission. Kahru et al. (2010) merged data from SeaWiFS, MERIS and MODIS-Aqua to examine the timing of the annual phytoplankton bloom maximum in the Arctic. The data merging of satellite-derived surface Chl-a extended their time series as far back as 1997 (Tables 1 and 5). The authors found significant trends towards earlier phytoplankton blooms in ~11% of their study area.

The analysis of timeseries can be approached using time-domain methods or mixed time-and frequency-domain methods. The first technique analyzes data series in the same space in which they were observed, whereas the second decomposes data series at different time scales and frequencies. The sole use of frequency-domain approaches for time-series analyses of phytoplankton biomass is not common. In the timedomain, the timeseries is decomposed into individual, periodic oscillations, the sum of which matches the original signal. Platt et al. (2009) and Song et al. (2010) qualitatively analyzed the timeseries of SeaWiFS data of Chl-a for 10 regions of the Northwest Atlantic Ocean over a 10-year period (1998–2007) with a time-domain approach. To quantify the timing of the spring and summer blooms, they fitted a shifted-Gaussian model to the satellite-derived chlorophyll data using a non-linear least-squares method. They found that blooms in some regions occurred consistently earlier than their expected latitudinal norm.

Mixed time–frequency statistical techniques include the Empirical Mode Decomposition (EMD) (Huang et al., 1998), a signal processing technique that is datadriven and decomposes an initial signal into several high- and low-frequency oscillation components, or wavelets (e.g., Demarcq et al., 2012; Nezlin and Li,

Fig. 9. Use of multivariate statistical analysis and satellite ocean color data to define biogeographic zones. The biogeographic zones of the Irminger Basin (top panel) are determined by an EOF analysis of the SeaWiFS chlorophyll-a concentration. The four modes are presented as homogenous correlation maps. Only contours for which the correlation is statistically significant (p < 0.01) are plotted. Figs. 1 and 2 from Henson et al. (2006).
Fig. 10. Detecting *Karenia brevis* blooms in a MODIS-Aqua image of November 13, 2004 in west Florida shelf waters using FLH and RBD (both in W m$^{-2}$ μm$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$) (Amin et al. (2009b), Fig. 6).

Fig. 11. Combining multiple satellite products to identify algal blooms: Top panel: Detecting a red-tide bloom in Monterey Bay, California on August 25, 2004 from MODIS and MERIS products (Fig. 4 from Ryan et al. (2009)); Bottom panel: detecting a surface algal bloom (~500 km long), possibly of *Trichodesmium* sp., off the Fitzroy River on December 12, 2008 (Great Barrier Reef, South east coast of Australia; 22–26°S) from two MERIS satellite products and a band-stretched image (Blondeau-Patissier (2011)). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
These components are used to reveal temporal features in
noisy timeseries. Wavelet- and variance-preserving spectra, as well
as Lomb-Scargle periodograms (Scargle, 1982), are similar to the
Fourier Transform. They are used for the detection of cyclic periods
of unevenly spaced datasets (e.g., Yoo et al., 2008), which typically
include those from ocean color satellites because data gaps can
originate from the lack of ocean color sensors (Fig. 4) or from the
presence of clouds.

As ocean color satellite data time-series become longer
(>10 years of continuous data), time-series analysis techniques
will make it possible to more reliably assess the periodicity
characterizing the datasets of a specific region. As such, trends in

**Fig. 12.** Seasonal timing of phytoplankton on a global scale. The spatial distributions are averaged over 10 years of SeaWiFS data (1998–2007). The right panels show the data averaged longitudinally and smoothed latitudinally with a 5° running average. The negative sign in panels (a–c) is due to the growing period spanning the calendar year. Fig. 1 from Racault et al. (2012).
satellite-derived information on phytoplankton are used as a link to possible climate and ecosystem changes.

5.3. Satellite product climatologies and merging data from multiple sources

Satellite data climatologies are commonly derived from a three-dimensional datacube (latitude, longitude, time) of a satellite product, allowing the analysis of the selected product through both time and space. Each layer of the datacube is mapped over the same longitude–latitude grid and can be composed of daily satellite images, or composites of various lengths. The use of chlorophyll climatology maps alone can already provide significant information on the spatio-temporal heterogeneity of phytoplankton biomass for a specific region. Yoo et al. (2008) compiled eight years of SeaWiFS Chl data to examine seasonal, inter-annual and event-scale variation in phytoplankton in the North Pacific Ocean. They found that the seasonal progression of the timing of the annual Chl peak showed a different pattern when compared with the Atlantic or Indian Ocean, particularly for the North Pacific High Nutrient–Low Chlorophyll (HNLC) regions, which had distinctive autumnal Chl peaks. HNLC regions comprise the eastern equatorial Pacific Ocean, the sub-arctic North Pacific Ocean, and the Southern Ocean. All three regions combined represent a significant portion (30%) of the global oceanic waters and are typically characterized by low spatio-temporal chlorophyll variability with concentrations <0.3 mg m$^{-3}$ (Boyd et al., 2004). However, higher chlorophyll levels can be observed over the generally shallower continental shelves (Tyrell et al., 2005).

Several phytoplankton bloom detection techniques make use of satellite product climatologies. Some derive concentration thresholds (Park et al., 2010b; Siegel et al., 2002) for a specific region or pixel. Others involve the use of background subtraction methods (Miller et al., 2006; Stumpf et al., 2003) or space–time plots (e.g., Quartly and Srokosz, 2004). Despite being effective techniques for flagging bloom anomalies, possible bias can arise from distorted images that may have been unscreened in the compiled climatology. For instance, the uncorrected influence of the sea bottom in shallow clear waters (Barnes et al., 2013) or the persistence of a bloom for a longer period than the timewindow itself may skew the results obtained from those techniques.

The combined use of several remote sensing products can bring a wealth of information that will help understand the environmental factors that may trigger the onset or the termination of algal bloom events in a specific region (Figs. 10 and 11). Satellite Sea Surface Temperature (SST) data are often used in combination with chlorophyll to relate bloom events to mixed layer depths (Villareal et al., 2012) or upwelling zones (e.g., Thomas et al., 2012; Shi and Wang, 2007). In many cases, however, the sole addition of SST does not suffice; Peñaflor et al. (2007) examined the timing and position of a recurrent, seasonal phytoplankton bloom in the Luzon Strait off the Philippines. Using MODIS Chl and SST, in addition to QuickSCAT wind data and bathymetry, they investigated the possible driving forces behind the bloom occurrence. Their study revealed a complex physical–biological interaction for this area and concluded that high phytoplankton biomass resulted from a combination of intense currents, winds and, possibly, freshwater inputs from nearby rivers, rather than upwelling alone, as previously thought. Other recent studies were unable to detect any long-term trend that could characterize phytoplankton dynamics in the world’s oceans from the analysis of global, decadal, multi-source ocean color satellite datasets. Martinez et al. (2009) used global Chl datasets from CZCS and SeaWiFS between 1979 and 2002 and concluded that multidecadal Chl changes appear to be related to “basin-scale oscillations in the physical environment. These oscillations can alternately weaken or emphasize the possible effects of global warming, making difficult the identification of trends over short time series” (p. 1254). Some studies reported an increase in the magnitude of phytoplankton blooms at a regional (e.g., Kahrui and Mitchell, 2008; Richardson and Schoemann, 2004) or global scale (Antoine et al., 2005), whereas others claimed an overall long-term decline in phytoplankton abundance (e.g., Boyce et al., 2010). The latest development in this research is the detection and monitoring of ocean phytoplankton bloom seasonality or phylogenetics (e.g., Demarcq et al., 2012; Racault et al., 2012; Kahrui et al., 2010). An example of phytoplankton bloom seasonality at a global scale derived from 10 years of SeaWiFS data is shown in Fig. 12.

Data assimilation can be used either for parameter optimization or for state, or flux, estimation. In the context of remote sensing, data assimilation often refers to satellite data being combined with ecosystem models. Zhao et al. (2009a) used several satellite products along with modeled surface current data and geostrophic velocities to explain the occurrence of a near-shore and an offshore phytoplankton bloom in the China Sea following a typhoon. The use of these complementary datasets helped the authors conclude that the near-shore bloom event was induced by terrestrial inputs, whereas the offshore bloom event was triggered by wind-induced upwelling of nutrients. Because ocean color data provide information about water quality variables only (i.e., Chl, TSM, CDOM), they may be limited in their ability to constrain some ecosystem model parameters. This limitation, however, is offset by the volume of data available, covering the full range of biogeochemical responses to the widely varying physical conditions (e.g., Kidston et al., 2013; Wan et al., 2013). Fully operational algal bloom prediction systems using satellite–ecosystem model data assimilation do exist (e.g., the NOAA Harmful Algal Bloom Operational Forecast System (HAB-OPS) for the Gulf of Mexico). Carvalho et al. (2011) provided a comparison study that reported on the sensitivity and effectiveness of empirical (e.g., Anderson et al., 2011), bio-optical (Cannizzaro et al., 2008) and operational (e.g., Banks et al. (2012)) methods to detect HAB. The operational method was found to have an elevated frequency of false-negative cases, whereas both the empirical and bio-optical approaches performed similarly, being equally specific and sensitive.

6. Conclusions and future directions

We have shown that a wide variety of operational ocean color algorithms currently exist to assist in the detection of phytoplankton blooms in most ocean and coastal regions. For simplistic approaches, such as the sole use of the reflectance spectrum, it is recommended that knowledge of the study area and a further detailed analysis of the pixels’ reflectance spectra are taken into account to ensure the validity of the algal bloom information retrieved. Band–ratio algorithms are shown to be adequate for open ocean waters, but their use in complex coastal waters is limited, particularly when band-ratios use blue and green bands because the influence of CDOM and TSM at those wavelengths affects their retrievals. Alternatively, many studies have shown the great potential of band-ratios using red and NIR bands to detect Chl-a and algal blooms in coastal waters (e.g., Le et al., 2013) because this spectral region is less affected by those two optically active constituents. Spectral band difference ocean color indices have also been shown to provide reliable information on algal blooms in both open and coastal ocean waters. Ocean color indices are often used in combination with other descriptors to enhance the interpretation of satellite imagery that possibly features algal bloom events (e.g., Yuan et al., 2005). The MERIS MCI in particular is “a versatile tool” (Binding et al., 2012, 2013), but the FLH and FAl, as well as other indices, can be used in conjunction with satellite estimates of Chl-a and SST to improve our image analysis in a very efficient way.
Significant progress has been made in the use and parameterization of bio-optical models in coastal waters, with a new tendency towards using specific inherent optical properties for the detection of phytoplankton blooms instead of the historically used Chl-a proxy (e.g., Tiwari and Shanmugam, 2013). Assessing the accuracy of bio-optical algorithms for the detection, mapping and monitoring of phytoplankton blooms in coastal waters is a prerequisite for the future. There are currently few optical and biogeochemical in situ data characterizing pre- and post-blooms conditions. Automated in situ sensors, such as Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUV) equipped with bio-optical sensors, may provide a solution (IOCCG Report 11, 2011). In addition to parameters derived from ocean color, today’s satellite-derived variables also include Photosynthetic Active Radiations (PAR), wind speed and direction, rainfall, salinity and sea surface height (SSH). These variables can all be combined to provide a more complete assessment of the underlying factors of algal bloom events (e.g., Srokosz et al., 2004; Urquhart et al., 2013). The use of multi-source datasets from in situ data, satellite products or ecosystem models and their analysis with statistical models is a prerequisite for fully understanding algal blooms’ onset mechanisms and dynamics.

Looking back to the potential of ocean color remote sensing, Cracknell et al. (2001) noted that “operational real-time monitoring of the location, extent, movement and growth rate of a phytoplankton bloom is an important challenge at present” (p. 221). The capabilities of ocean color remote sensing in providing real-time monitoring of a phytoplankton bloom are progressing but continue to remain a challenge in coastal waters (e.g., Malone, 2008). Coastal ocean covers less than 10% of the global ocean surface but accounts for approximately one third of all marine biological productivity (e.g., Burke et al., 2001). As Siegel et al. (2013) reminded the ocean color community, the future of remote sensing lies in our ability to plan beyond a single sensor mission and to maintain long-term, high-quality, traceable satellite reflectance measurements with sensors’ vicarious calibrations, which will make the use of reliable multi-decadal datasets possible. Future satellite instruments and missions should work towards coordinated global coverage of the oceans. Common sets of spectral bands among sensors and a tighter coupling between missions, calibration and validation exercises are desirable (IOCCG Report 13, 2012b). Ocean color satellite mission requirements must be re-evaluated and take into account aspects other than the instrument itself, such as common data processing (e.g., software packages) and management (e.g., centralized data portals). More specifically related to algal blooms, the objectives of future missions are to provide accurate monitoring of HAB, algal bloom timings and magnitudes in optically complex coastal waters, together with the assimilation of satellite-retrieved phytoplankton size or taxa into biogeochemical ecosystem models (e.g., Brewin et al., 2012).

Remote sensing ocean color imagery has provided, and still provides, an invaluable source of frequent, synoptic information. Combined satellite datasets from SeaWiFS, MERIS and MODIS ocean color sensors are equivalent to almost two decades of ocean color imagery for the open and coastal ocean from 1997 to the present, with a brief window of earlier data provided by CZCS from 1979 to 1986. The result is an unprecedented global time series of satellite-derived parameters relevant to algal bloom studies. These ocean color datasets allow for the derivation of ecological baselines, which can then be used to detect and anticipate changes in the ocean system’s dynamics (e.g., Siegel et al., 2013; Wong et al., 2009; Smetacek and Coern, 2008). In addition, archived earth observation data can be used in hindsight to assess prevailing bloom conditions and to identify the biological and physical parameters (e.g., Kahruest et al., 1993) that triggered or terminated an algal event. New missions are often delayed, lost, or cancelled, which results in devastations that likely generate satellite data gaps, such as the 10-year gap between CZCS and SeaWiFS (Fig. 4). This lack of data affected the possibility of answering many environmental questions, one of which was whether the volcanic eruption of Mount Pinatubo in the Philippines in 1991 caused large phytoplankton blooms. The 10 cubic kilometers of material ejected by Mount Pinatubo contained trace metals (Gabrielli et al., 2008), especially iron, that were spread by the winds over the world’s oceans. These atmospheric depositions are likely to have generated large-scale phytoplankton blooms, but no ocean color satellite records for those events exist.

Hyperspectral ocean color sensors (Chang et al., 2004) have larger sets of wavelengths that can be used for a more detailed analysis of a remotely sensed signal, whether for chlorophyll retrievals or for the determination of phytoplankton taxa (e.g., Craig et al., 2012; Torrecilla et al., 2011). Geostationary imagers are the new generation of ocean color sensors (IOCCG Report 12, 2012a). By providing ocean color images for a single region several times a day, datasets from geostationary satellites can help resolve the effects of tides and wind events on coastal currents, which are harder to capture with satellite sensors with a daily repeat cycle. The Geostationary Ocean Color Imager (GOCI), the world’s first geostationary orbit satellite, was launched in June 27, 2010, acquiring its first image on July 13, 2010. GOCI is designed to monitor the ocean color around the Korean Peninsula with a spatial resolution of 500 m and a temporal resolution of eight images per day.

It is reasonable to predict that hyperspectral satellite sensors with a full set of spectral bands and higher spectral and temporal resolutions (e.g., Lou and Hu, 2014) will enable the development of effective ocean color algorithms and detection schemes for detecting and monitoring phytoplankton blooms in the open and coastal ocean.
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