

A Rapid Selection Procedure for Simple Commercial Implementation of omega-Transaminase Reactions - DTU Orbit (09/11/2017)

A Rapid Selection Procedure for Simple Commercial Implementation of omega-Transaminase Reactions

A stepwise selection procedure is presented to quickly evaluate whether a given omega-transaminase reaction is suitable for a so-called "simple" scale-up for fast industrial implementation. Here "simple" is defined as a system without the need for extensive process development or specialized equipment. The procedure may be used when investment in intensive process development cannot be justified or when rapid execution is paramount, for applications such as small singular batches. The three step evaluation procedure consists of: (1) thermodynamic assessment, (2) biocatalyst activity screening, and (3) determination of product inhibition. The method is exemplified with experimental work focused on two products: 1-(4-bromophenyl)ethylamine and (S)-(+)-3-amino-1-Boc-piperidine, synthesized from their corresponding prochiral ketones each with two alternative amine donors, propan-2-amine, and 1-phenylethylamine. Each step of the method has a threshold value, which must be surpassed to allow "simple" implementation, helping select suitable combinations of substrates, enzymes, and donors. One reaction pair, 1-Boc-3-piperidone with propan-2-amine, met the criteria of the three-step selection procedure and was subsequently run at 25 mL scale synthesizing (S)-(+)-3-amino-1-Boc-piperidine at concentrations up to 75 g/L. However, the highest product yield (70%) was obtained at a lower substrate concentration of 50 g/L.

General information

State: Published

Organisations: Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, CAPEC-PROCESS, Dr. Reddy's Chirotech Technology Centre

Authors: Gundersen Deslauriers, M. (Intern), Tufvesson, P. (Intern), Rackham, E. J. (Ekstern), Lloyd, R. C. (Ekstern), Woodley, J. (Intern)

Pages: 602-608

Publication date: 2016

Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

Publication information

Journal: Organic Process Research and Development

Volume: 20

Issue number: 3

ISSN (Print): 1083-6160

Ratings:

BFI (2017): BFI-level 1

Web of Science (2017): Indexed Yes

BFI (2016): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2016): CiteScore 2.48 SJR 1.062 SNIP 0.859

Web of Science (2016): Indexed yes

BFI (2015): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2015): SJR 1.318 SNIP 1.029 CiteScore 2.54

Web of Science (2015): Indexed yes

BFI (2014): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2014): SJR 1.027 SNIP 0.99 CiteScore 2.38

Web of Science (2014): Indexed yes

BFI (2013): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2013): SJR 1.13 SNIP 0.977 CiteScore 2.44

ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2013): Indexed yes

BFI (2012): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2012): SJR 1.185 SNIP 1.12 CiteScore 2.32

ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2012): Indexed yes

BFI (2011): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2011): SJR 1.212 SNIP 0.914 CiteScore 2.22

ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2011): Indexed yes

BFI (2010): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2010): SJR 1.114 SNIP 0.97

Web of Science (2010): Indexed yes

BFI (2009): BFI-level 1
Scopus rating (2009): SJR 1.046 SNIP 0.922
BFI (2008): BFI-level 1
Scopus rating (2008): SJR 0.943 SNIP 0.901
Web of Science (2008): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2007): SJR 1.012 SNIP 0.875
Web of Science (2007): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2006): SJR 1.083 SNIP 0.882
Web of Science (2006): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2005): SJR 0.847 SNIP 0.821
Scopus rating (2004): SJR 0.701 SNIP 0.787
Scopus rating (2003): SJR 0.421 SNIP 0.67
Scopus rating (2002): SJR 0.548 SNIP 0.869
Web of Science (2002): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2001): SJR 0.636 SNIP 0.748
Scopus rating (2000): SJR 0.46 SNIP 0.768
Scopus rating (1999): SJR 0.533 SNIP 0.634
Original language: English

DOIs:

10.1021/acs.oprd.5b00159

Source: FindIt

Source-ID: 2303618734

Publication: Research - peer-review › Journal article – Annual report year: 2016