## Robust design principles for reducing variation in functional performance - DTU Orbit (08/11/2017)

## Robust design principles for reducing variation in functional performance

This paper identifies, describes and classifies a comprehensive collection of variation reduction principles (VRP) that can be used to increase the robustness of a product and reduce its variation in functional performance. Performance variation has a negative effect on the reliability and perceived quality of a product and efforts should be made to minimise it. The design principles are identified by a systematic decomposition of the Taguchi Transfer Function in combination with the use of existing literature and the authors' experience. The paper presents 15 principles and describes their advantages and disadvantages along with example cases. Subsequently, the principles are classified based on their applicability in the various development and production stages. The VRP are to be added to existing robust design methodologies, helping the designer to think beyond robust design tool and method application, towards forming product variation management strategies.

## General information

State: Published Organisations: Department of Mechanical Engineering, Engineering Design and Product Development Authors: Christensen, M. E. (Intern), Howard, T. J. (Intern) Pages: 75-92 Publication date: 2016 Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

## **Publication information**

Journal: Journal of Engineering Design Volume: 27 Issue number: 1-3 ISSN (Print): 0954-4828 Ratings: BFI (2017): BFI-level 1 Web of Science (2017): Indexed yes BFI (2016): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2016): SJR 0.64 SNIP 1.491 CiteScore 2.19 Web of Science (2016): Indexed yes BFI (2015): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2015): SJR 0.792 SNIP 1.607 CiteScore 2.12 Web of Science (2015): Indexed yes BFI (2014): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2014): SJR 1.172 SNIP 1.254 CiteScore 1.74 Web of Science (2014): Indexed yes BFI (2013): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2013): SJR 1.089 SNIP 1.64 CiteScore 2.06 ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes BFI (2012): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2012): SJR 1.082 SNIP 2.059 CiteScore 1.54 ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes Web of Science (2012): Indexed yes BFI (2011): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2011): SJR 0.528 SNIP 1.341 CiteScore 1.14 ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed yes Web of Science (2011): Indexed yes BFI (2010): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2010): SJR 0.548 SNIP 1.022 Web of Science (2010): Indexed yes BFI (2009): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2009): SJR 0.687 SNIP 1.221 Web of Science (2009): Indexed yes BFI (2008): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2008): SJR 0.423 SNIP 0.929 Scopus rating (2007): SJR 0.673 SNIP 1.429

Scopus rating (2006): SJR 0.537 SNIP 1.482 Scopus rating (2005): SJR 0.291 SNIP 0.663 Scopus rating (2004): SJR 0.644 SNIP 0.83 Web of Science (2004): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2003): SJR 0.421 SNIP 0.676 Scopus rating (2002): SJR 0.867 SNIP 0.933 Scopus rating (2001): SJR 0.273 SNIP 0.675 Scopus rating (2000): SJR 0.259 SNIP 0.754 Scopus rating (1999): SJR 0.399 SNIP 1.252 Original language: English Robust design, Design strategies, Quality management Electronic versions: Robust\_Design\_Principles\_for\_Reducing\_Variation\_in\_Functional\_Performance\_FINAL\_v2.docx. Embargo ended: 07/01/2017 DOIs:

10.1080/09544828.2015.1103844 Source: FindIt Source-ID: 2290155786 Publication: Research - peer-review > Journal article – Annual report year: 2016