Identified metabolic signature for assessing red blood cell unit quality is associated with endothelial damage markers and clinical outcomes - DTU Orbit (08/11/2017)

Identified metabolic signature for assessing red blood cell unit quality is associated with endothelial damage markers and clinical outcomes

BACKGROUND: There has been interest in determining whether older red blood cell (RBC) units have negative clinical effects. Numerous observational studies have shown that older RBC units are an independent factor for patient mortality. However, recently published randomized clinical trials have shown no difference of clinical outcome for patients receiving old or fresh RBCs. An overlooked but essential issue in assessing RBC unit quality and ultimately designing the necessary clinical trials is a metric for what constitutes an old or fresh RBC unit. STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS: Twenty RBC units were profiled using quantitative metabolomics over 42 days of storage in SAGM with 3- to 4-day time intervals. Metabolic pathway usage during storage was assessed using systems biology methods. The detected time intervals of the metabolic states were compared to clinical outcomes. RESULTS: Using multivariate statistics, we identified a nonlinear decay process exhibiting three distinct metabolic states (Days 0-10, 10-17, and 17-42). Hematologic variables traditionally measured in the transfusion setting (e.g., pH, hemolysis, RBC indices) did not distinguish these three states. Systemic changes in pathway usage occurred between the three states, with key pathways changing in both magnitude and direction. Finally, an association was found between the time periods of the metabolic states with the clinical outcomes of more than 280,000 patients in the country of Denmark transfused over the past 15 years and endothelial damage markers in healthy volunteers undergoing autologous transfusions. CONCLUSION: The state of RBC metabolism may be a better indicator of cellular quality than traditional hematologic variables.

General information

State: Published

Organisations: iLoop, Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Biosustainability, Sinopia Biosciences, University of

Copenhagen, University of Iceland

Authors: Bordbar, A. (Ekstern), Johansson, P. I. (Ekstern), Paglia, G. (Ekstern), Harrison, S. J. (Intern), Wichuk, K. (Ekstern), Magnusdottir, M. (Ekstern), Valgeirsdottir, S. (Ekstern), Gybel-Brask, M. (Ekstern), Ostrowski, S. R. (Ekstern), Rolfsson, O. (Ekstern), Sigurjónsson, O. E. (Ekstern), Hansen, M. B. (Ekstern), Gudmundsson, S. (Ekstern), Palsson, B. O. (Ekstern)

Number of pages: 11

Pages: 852-862 Publication date: 2016

Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

Publication information

Journal: Transfusion

Volume: 56 Issue number: 4 ISSN (Print): 0041-1132

Ratings:

BFI (2017): BFI-level 1

Web of Science (2017): Indexed Yes

BFI (2016): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2016): SJR 1.47 SNIP 1.188 CiteScore 2.52

Web of Science (2016): Indexed yes

BFI (2015): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2015): SJR 1.617 SNIP 1.214 CiteScore 2.42

BFI (2014): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2014): SJR 1.367 SNIP 1.271 CiteScore 2.37

BFI (2013): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2013): SJR 1.951 SNIP 1.364 CiteScore 2.65

BFI (2012): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2012): SJR 1.404 SNIP 1.369 CiteScore 2.59

BFI (2011): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2011): SJR 1.506 SNIP 1.495 CiteScore 2.57

BFI (2010): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2010): SJR 1.145 SNIP 1.339

BFI (2009): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2009): SJR 1.251 SNIP 1.278

BFI (2008): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2008): SJR 1.141 SNIP 1.157

Scopus rating (2007): SJR 1.38 SNIP 1.408
Scopus rating (2006): SJR 1.283 SNIP 1.366
Scopus rating (2005): SJR 1.157 SNIP 1.979
Scopus rating (2004): SJR 1.342 SNIP 2.013
Scopus rating (2003): SJR 0.941 SNIP 1.699
Scopus rating (2002): SJR 0.607 SNIP 1.852
Scopus rating (2001): SJR 1.04 SNIP 1.356
Scopus rating (2000): SJR 1.195 SNIP 1.536
Scopus rating (1999): SJR 1.279 SNIP 1.575
Original language: English

DOIs:

10.1111/trf.13460 Source: FindIt

Source-ID: 2290276154

Publication: Research - peer-review > Journal article - Annual report year: 2016