

Lives without imagery – congenital aphantasia

Adam Zeman^a, Michaela Dewar^b, Sergio Della Sala^c

^a Professor of Cognitive and Behavioural Neurology, University of Exeter Medical School, College House, St Luke's Campus, Exeter EX1 2LU (**corresponding author**)

a.zeman@exeter.ac.uk

^bAssistant Professor and Research Leader, Psychology, School of Life Sciences, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh EH14 4AS

m.dewar@hw.ac.uk

^cProfessor of Human Cognitive Neuroscience, Human Cognitive Neuroscience, University of Edinburgh, 7 George Square, Edinburgh EH8 9JZ

sergio@ed.ac.uk

1. Introduction

Visual imagery is, for most of us, a conspicuous ingredient of everyday experience, playing a prominent role in memory, daydreaming and creativity. Galton, who pioneered the quantitative study of visual imagery with his famous ‘breakfast-table survey’, reported a wide variation in its subjective vividness (Galton, 1880). Indeed, some participants described ‘no power of visualising’. This phenomenon has received little attention since, though Faw reported that 2.1-2.7% of 2,500 participants ‘claim no visual imagination’ (Faw, 2009).

The experience of voluntary imagery is associated with activity in fronto-parietal ‘executive’ systems and in posterior brain regions which together enable us to generate images on the basis of our stored knowledge of appearances (Bartolomeo, 2008). The relative contributions of lower and higher order visual regions to the experience of visual imagery are debated (Bartolomeo, 2008). Clinical reports suggest the existence of two major types of neurogenic visual imagery impairment: i) visual memory disorders, causing both visual agnosia and imagery loss, and ii) ‘imagery generation’ deficits selectively disabling imagery (Farah, 1984).

In 2010 we reported a particularly ‘pure’ case of imagery generation disorder, in a 65 year old man who became unable to summon images to the mind’s eye after coronary angioplasty (Zeman et al., 2010). Following a popular description of our paper (Zimmer, 2010), we were contacted by over twenty individuals who recognised themselves in the article’s account of ‘blind imagination’, with the important difference that their imagery impairment had been lifelong. Here we describe the features of their condition, elicited by a questionnaire, and suggest a name – *aphantasia* - for this poorly recognised phenomenon.

2. Results

21 individuals contacted us because of their lifelong reduction of visual imagery. We explored the features of their condition with a questionnaire devised for the purpose and the Vividness of Visual Imagery Questionnaire (VVIQ) (Marks, 1973) (*see supplementary material for further details*). Participants typically became aware of their condition in their teens or twenties when, through conversation or reading, they realised that most people who ‘saw things in the mind’s eye’, unlike our participants, enjoyed a quasi-visual experience. 19/21 were male. 5/21 reported affected relatives. 10/21 told us that all modalities of imagery were affected. Our participants rating of imagery vividness was significantly lower than that of 121 controls ($p < .001$, Mann Whitney U test – see Figure 1). Despite their substantial (9/21) or complete (12/21) deficit in voluntary visual imagery, as judged by the VVIQ, the majority of participants described involuntary imagery. This could occur during wakefulness, usually in the form of ‘flashes’ (10/21) and/or during dreams (17/21). Within a group of participants who reported no imagery while completing the VVIQ, 10/11 reported involuntary imagery during wakefulness and/or dreams, confirming a significant dissociation between voluntary and involuntary imagery ($p < .01$, McNemar Test). Participants described a varied but modest effect on mood and relationships. 14/21 participants reported difficulties with autobiographical memory. The same number identified compensatory strengths in verbal, mathematical and logical domains. Their successful performance in a task that would normally elicit imagery – ‘count how many windows there are in your house or apartment’ - was achieved by drawing on what participants described as ‘knowledge’, ‘memory’ and ‘subvisual’ models.

3. Discussion

φαντασία, *phantasia*, is the classical Greek term for imagination, defined by Aristotle as the ‘faculty/power by which a *phantasma* [image or mental representation] is presented to us’ (Aristotle, 1968). We propose the use of the term ‘*aphantasia*’ to refer to a condition of reduced or absent voluntary imagery. Terms used previously in related contexts include ‘defective revisualisation’ (Botez, Olivier, Vezina, Botez, & Kaufman, 1985) and ‘visual irremembrance’ (Nielsen, 1946).

Sceptics could claim that *aphantasia* is itself a mere fantasy: describing our inner lives is difficult and undoubtedly liable to error (Hurlburt & Schwitzgebel, 2007). We suspect, however, that *aphantasia* will prove to be a variant of neuropsychological functioning akin to *synaesthesia* (Barnett & Newell, 2008) and to congenital *prosopagnosia* (Gruter, Gruter, Bell, & Carbon, 2009). Indeed, *aphantasia* may have some specific relationship to these disorders, as congenital *prosopagnosia* is associated with unusually low (Gruter et al., 2009), and *synaesthesia* with unusually high (Barnett & Newell, 2008), VVIQ scores.

The participants described here were self-selected and some of our findings, such as the male predominance, may reflect the readership of a science magazine like *Discover*. There is a need, therefore, for further study in a more representative sample. The existence of lifelong ‘*aphantasia*’ raises numerous additional questions. How commonly does congenital *aphantasia* occur? Existing data suggest a frequency of around 2% but there is no fully reported large scale study. The evidence of familial occurrence should be investigated further. Does congenital *aphantasia* have objective neuropsychological associations? Correlations between imagery vividness and cognitive functioning have been elusive in the past, but recently developed measures of autobiographical memory (Levine, Svoboda, Hay, Winocur, & Moscovitch, 2002), imaginative thinking and ‘visual-object intelligence’ (Blazhenkova &

Kozhevnikov, 2010) open up new avenues for exploration. Personality and mood may also be relevant variables. Are there subtypes of congenital aphantasia? The descriptions given by our participants suggest that in some cases visual memory is preserved even if visual imagery is absent, while others may rely entirely on non-visual representations in memory tasks; the relationship between aphantasia and congenital prosopagnosia also deserves further study. If, as we hypothesise, the absence or reduction of visual imagery has neural correlates, can we discover these? We are optimistic that modern structural and functional brain imaging may help to answer questions about the nature of visual imagery that were first posed in ancient Greece and first quantified at Sir Francis Galton's breakfast table over a hundred years ago.

Figure legend

Figure 1: Distribution of Vividness of Visual Imagery Questionnaire (VVIQ) scores in participants with aphantasia and control participants (VVIQ range extends from 16, lowest imagery score to 80, highest imagery score).

Reference List

- Aristotle, t. b. D. W. Hamlyn. (1968). *De Anima. Books II and III (with certain passages from Book I)*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Barnett, K. J. & Newell, F. N. (2008). Synaesthesia is associated with enhanced, self-rated visual imagery. *Conscious.Cogn*, *17*, 1032-1039.
- Bartolomeo, P. (2008). The neural correlates of visual mental imagery: an ongoing debate. *Cortex*, *44*, 107-108.
- Blazhenkova, O. & Kozhevnikov, M. (2010). Visual-object ability: a new dimension of non-verbal intelligence. *Cognition*, *117*, 276-301.
- Botez, M. I., Olivier, M., Vezina, J. L., Botez, T., & Kaufman, B. (1985). Defective revisualization: dissociation between cognitive and imagistic thought case report and short review of the literature. *Cortex*, *21*, 375-389.
- Farah, M.J. (1984). The neurological basis of mental imagery: a componential analysis. *Cognition*, *18*, 245-272.
- Faw, B. (2009). Conflicting intuitions may be based on differing abilities - evidence from mental imaging research. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, *16*, 45-68.
- Galton, F. (1880). Statistics of mental imagery. *Mind*, *5*, 301-318.
- Gruter, T., Gruter, M., Bell, V., & Carbon, C. C. (2009). Visual mental imagery in congenital prosopagnosia. *Neurosci.Lett.*, *453*, 135-140.

Hurlburt, R. T. & Schwitzgebel, E. (2007). *Describing Inner Experience: proponent meets sceptic*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.

Levine, B., Svoboda, E., Hay, J. F., Winocur, G., & Moscovitch, M. (2002). Aging and autobiographical memory: dissociating episodic from semantic retrieval. *Psychol.Aging, 17*, 677-689.

Marks, D.F. (1973). Visual imagery differences in the recall of pictures. *British Journal of Psychology, 64*, 17-24.

Nielsen, J. (1946). *Agnosia, apraxia, aphasia: their value in cerebral localisation*. (2nd ed.) New York: Hoeber.

Zeman, A. Z., Della Sala, S., Torrens, L. A., Gountouna, V. E., McGonigle, D. J., & Logie, R. H. (2010). Loss of imagery phenomenology with intact visuo-spatial task performance: a case of 'blind imagination'. *Neuropsychologia, 48*, 145-155.

Zimmer, C. (2010). The Brain: Look deep into the mind's eye. *Discover*, March Issue, 28-29.