74N26364

# NASA TECHNICAL NOTE



NASA TN D-7540

\$

# EFFECT OF OUTDOOR EXPOSURE AT AMBIENT AND ELEVATED TEMPERATURES ON FATIGUE LIFE OF TI-6AI-4V TITANIUM ALLOY SHEET IN THE ANNEALED AND THE SOLUTION-TREATED AND AGED CONDITION

by Edward P. Phillips Langley Research Center Hampton, Va. 23665





NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION • WASHINGTON, D. C. • JUNE 1974

1.	Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.					
	NASA TN D-7540							
4.	Title and Subtitle EFFECT OF OUT	5. Report Date						
	AND ELEVATED TEMPERATI	June 1974						
	THE SOLUTION-TREATED AN	o. renorming Organization Code						
7.	Author(s)	8. Performing Organization Report No.						
	Edward P. Phillips	L-9374						
		10. Work Unit No.						
9.	Performing Organization Name and Address		501-22-02-01					
	NASA Langley Research Cente	r	11. Contract or Grant No.					
1	Hampton, Va. 23665							
i i			13. Type of Report and Period Covered					
12.	Sponsoring Agency Name and Address		Technical Note					
	National Aeronautics and Space	e Administration	14 Sponsoring Agency Code					
	Washington, D.C. 20546		14. Sponsoring Agency code					
15.	Supplementary Notes		<u></u>					
		J						
16.	Abstract	J 						
16.	Abstract Specimens of Ti-6Al-4V ti	tanium alloy sheet in the annealed a	and the solution-treated and					
16.	Abstract Specimens of Ti-6Al-4V to aged heat-treatment condition	itanium alloy sheet in the annealed a	and the solution-treated and and 560 K (550 <sup>0</sup> F) tempera-					
16.	Abstract Specimens of Ti-6Al-4V to aged heat-treatment condition tures to determine the effect o	itanium alloy sheet in the annealed a were exposed outdoors at ambient a f outdoor exposure on fatigue life.	and the solution-treated and and 560 K (550 <sup>0</sup> F) tempera- Effects of exposure were					
16.	Abstract Specimens of Ti-6Al-4V ti aged heat-treatment condition tures to determine the effect o determined by comparing fatig	itanium alloy sheet in the annealed a were exposed outdoors at ambient a f outdoor exposure on fatigue life. ue lives of exposed specimens to th	and the solution-treated and and 560 K (550 <sup>0</sup> F) tempera- Effects of exposure were ose of unexposed specimens.					
16.	Abstract Specimens of Ti-6Al-4V ti aged heat-treatment condition tures to determine the effect o determined by comparing fatig Two procedures for fatigue tes	itanium alloy sheet in the annealed a were exposed outdoors at ambient a f outdoor exposure on fatigue life. ue lives of exposed specimens to the sting the exposed specimens were e	and the solution-treated and and 560 K (550 <sup>0</sup> F) tempera- Effects of exposure were ose of unexposed specimens. valuated: (1) fatigue tests					
16.	Abstract Specimens of Ti-6Al-4V to aged heat-treatment condition tures to determine the effect of determined by comparing fatig Two procedures for fatigue test conducted outdoors by applying	itanium alloy sheet in the annealed a were exposed outdoors at ambient a f outdoor exposure on fatigue life. ue lives of exposed specimens to the sting the exposed specimens were e a 1200 load cycles per week until fa	and the solution-treated and and 560 K (550 <sup>0</sup> F) tempera- Effects of exposure were ose of unexposed specimens. valuated: (1) fatigue tests ilure occurred and (2) conven-					
16.	Abstract Specimens of Ti-6Al-4V to aged heat-treatment condition tures to determine the effect o determined by comparing fatig Two procedures for fatigue test conducted outdoors by applying tional fatigue tests (continuous	itanium alloy sheet in the annealed a were exposed outdoors at ambient a f outdoor exposure on fatigue life. ue lives of exposed specimens to the sting the exposed specimens were en- g 1200 load cycles per week until failure occurred) com-	and the solution-treated and and 560 K (550 <sup>°</sup> F) tempera- Effects of exposure were ose of unexposed specimens. valuated: (1) fatigue tests ilure occurred and (2) conven-					
16.	Abstract Specimens of Ti-6Al-4V to aged heat-treatment condition tures to determine the effect o determined by comparing fatig Two procedures for fatigue tess conducted outdoors by applying tional fatigue tests (continuous exposure under static head.	itanium alloy sheet in the annealed a were exposed outdoors at ambient a f outdoor exposure on fatigue life. ue lives of exposed specimens to the sting the exposed specimens were e g 1200 load cycles per week until fat cycling until failure occurred) com-	and the solution-treated and and 560 K (550 <sup>°</sup> F) tempera- Effects of exposure were ose of unexposed specimens. valuated: (1) fatigue tests ilure occurred and (2) conven- ducted indoors after outdoor					
16.	Abstract Specimens of Ti-6Al-4V to aged heat-treatment condition tures to determine the effect of determined by comparing fatig Two procedures for fatigue test conducted outdoors by applying tional fatigue tests (continuous exposure under static load. T	itanium alloy sheet in the annealed were exposed outdoors at ambient a f outdoor exposure on fatigue life. ue lives of exposed specimens to th sting the exposed specimens were e g 1200 load cycles per week until fat cycling until failure occurred) con- he exposure period ranged from 9 to months for the static load group	and the solution-treated and and 560 K (550° F) tempera- Effects of exposure were ose of unexposed specimens. valuated: (1) fatigue tests ilure occurred and (2) conven- ducted indoors after outdoor to 28 months for the outdoor					
16.	Abstract Specimens of Ti-6Al-4V to aged heat-treatment condition tures to determine the effect of determined by comparing fatig Two procedures for fatigue test conducted outdoors by applying tional fatigue tests (continuous exposure under static load. T fatigue-test group and was 24	itanium alloy sheet in the annealed a were exposed outdoors at ambient a f outdoor exposure on fatigue life. ue lives of exposed specimens to the sting the exposed specimens were en- g 1200 load cycles per week until fat cycling until failure occurred) com- he exposure period ranged from 9 to months for the static-load group.	and the solution-treated and and 560 K (550 <sup>°</sup> F) tempera- Effects of exposure were ose of unexposed specimens. valuated: (1) fatigue tests ilure occurred and (2) conven- ducted indoors after outdoor to 28 months for the outdoor All fatigue tests were constant-					
16.	Abstract Specimens of Ti-6Al-4V to aged heat-treatment condition tures to determine the effect o determined by comparing fatig Two procedures for fatigue test conducted outdoors by applying tional fatigue tests (continuous exposure under static load. T fatigue-test group and was 24 amplitude bending of specimen	itanium alloy sheet in the annealed a were exposed outdoors at ambient a f outdoor exposure on fatigue life. ue lives of exposed specimens to the sting the exposed specimens were e g 1200 load cycles per week until fa cycling until failure occurred) con the exposure period ranged from 9 to months for the static-load group. s containing a drilled hole (stress of	and the solution-treated and and 560 K (550° F) tempera- Effects of exposure were ose of unexposed specimens. valuated: (1) fatigue tests ilure occurred and (2) conven- ducted indoors after outdoor to 28 months for the outdoor All fatigue tests were constant- concentration factor of 1.6).					
16.	Abstract Specimens of Ti-6Al-4V to aged heat-treatment condition tures to determine the effect of determined by comparing fatig Two procedures for fatigue tess conducted outdoors by applying tional fatigue tests (continuous exposure under static load. T fatigue-test group and was 24 amplitude bending of specimen The results of the tests in	itanium alloy sheet in the annealed a were exposed outdoors at ambient a f outdoor exposure on fatigue life. ue lives of exposed specimens to the sting the exposed specimens were e g 1200 load cycles per week until fat cycling until failure occurred) com he exposure period ranged from 9 the months for the static-load group. Is containing a drilled hole (stress of dicate that the fatigue lives of solut	and the solution-treated and and 560 K (550° F) tempera- Effects of exposure were ose of unexposed specimens. valuated: (1) fatigue tests ilure occurred and (2) conven- ducted indoors after outdoor to 28 months for the outdoor All fatigue tests were constant- concentration factor of 1.6). ion-treated and aged specimens					
16.	Abstract Specimens of Ti-6Al-4V to aged heat-treatment condition tures to determine the effect of determined by comparing fatig Two procedures for fatigue test conducted outdoors by applying tional fatigue tests (continuous exposure under static load. T fatigue-test group and was 24 amplitude bending of specimen The results of the tests in were significantly reduced by	itanium alloy sheet in the annealed a were exposed outdoors at ambient a f outdoor exposure on fatigue life. ue lives of exposed specimens to the sting the exposed specimens were e g 1200 load cycles per week until fat cycling until failure occurred) com he exposure period ranged from 9 to months for the static-load group. Is containing a drilled hole (stress of dicate that the fatigue lives of solut the outdoor exposure at 560 K but n	and the solution-treated and and 560 K (550 <sup>°</sup> F) tempera- Effects of exposure were ose of unexposed specimens. valuated: (1) fatigue tests ilure occurred and (2) conven- ducted indoors after outdoor to 28 months for the outdoor All fatigue tests were constant- concentration factor of 1.6). iion-treated and aged specimens ot by the exposure at ambient					
16.	Abstract Specimens of Ti-6Al-4V ti aged heat-treatment condition tures to determine the effect of determined by comparing fatig Two procedures for fatigue test conducted outdoors by applying tional fatigue tests (continuous exposure under static load. T fatigue-test group and was 24 is amplitude bending of specimen The results of the tests in were significantly reduced by temperature. Fatigue lives of	itanium alloy sheet in the annealed a were exposed outdoors at ambient a f outdoor exposure on fatigue life. ue lives of exposed specimens to the sting the exposed specimens were er g 1200 load cycles per week until fat cycling until failure occurred) com he exposure period ranged from 9 to months for the static-load group. A s containing a drilled hole (stress of dicate that the fatigue lives of solut the outdoor exposure at 560 K but m the annealed specimens were esse	and the solution-treated and and 560 K (550 <sup>°</sup> F) tempera- Effects of exposure were ose of unexposed specimens. valuated: (1) fatigue tests ilure occurred and (2) conven- ducted indoors after outdoor to 28 months for the outdoor All fatigue tests were constant- concentration factor of 1.6). ion-treated and aged specimens ot by the exposure at ambient ntially unaffected by the outdoor					
16.	Abstract Specimens of Ti-6Al-4V ti aged heat-treatment condition tures to determine the effect o determined by comparing fatig Two procedures for fatigue test conducted outdoors by applying tional fatigue tests (continuous exposure under static load. T fatigue-test group and was 24 amplitude bending of specimen The results of the tests in were significantly reduced by temperature. Fatigue lives of exposure at either temperature	itanium alloy sheet in the annealed a were exposed outdoors at ambient a f outdoor exposure on fatigue life. ue lives of exposed specimens to the sting the exposed specimens were er g 1200 load cycles per week until fat cycling until failure occurred) com he exposure period ranged from 9 to months for the static-load group. Is containing a drilled hole (stress of dicate that the fatigue lives of solut the outdoor exposure at 560 K but no the annealed specimens were esse e. The two test procedures — outdo	and the solution-treated and and 560 K (550° F) tempera- Effects of exposure were ose of unexposed specimens. valuated: (1) fatigue tests ilure occurred and (2) conven- ducted indoors after outdoor to 28 months for the outdoor All fatigue tests were constant- concentration factor of 1.6). ion-treated and aged specimens of by the exposure at ambient ntially unaffected by the outdoor bor fatigue test and indoor					
16.	Abstract Specimens of Ti-6Al-4V ti aged heat-treatment condition tures to determine the effect o determined by comparing fatig Two procedures for fatigue tess conducted outdoors by applying tional fatigue tests (continuous exposure under static load. T fatigue-test group and was 24 amplitude bending of specimen The results of the tests in were significantly reduced by temperature. Fatigue lives of exposure at either temperature fatigue test after outdoor expos	itanium alloy sheet in the annealed a were exposed outdoors at ambient a f outdoor exposure on fatigue life. ue lives of exposed specimens to the sting the exposed specimens were e g 1200 load cycles per week until fat cycling until failure occurred) com- he exposure period ranged from 9 t months for the static-load group. Is containing a drilled hole (stress of dicate that the fatigue lives of solut the outdoor exposure at 560 K but m i the annealed specimens were esse e. The two test procedures – outdout sure – led to the same conclusions	and the solution-treated and and 560 K (550° F) tempera- Effects of exposure were ose of unexposed specimens. valuated: (1) fatigue tests ilure occurred and (2) conven- ducted indoors after outdoor to 28 months for the outdoor All fatigue tests were constant- concentration factor of 1.6). ion-treated and aged specimens of by the exposure at ambient ntially unaffected by the outdoor oor fatigue test and indoor about exposure effects.					

17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))		18. Distribution Statement								
Ti-6Al-4V titanium Bending fatigue Corrosion fatigue Outdoor exposure Elevated temperature Fatigue life		Unclassified – Unlimited STAR Category 32								
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of this Unclassified	page)	21. No. of Pages 22	22. Price* \$3.00						

\*For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151

# EFFECT OF OUTDOOR EXPOSURE AT AMBIENT AND ELEVATED TEMPERATURES ON FATIGUE LIFE OF Ti-6Al-4V TITANIUM ALLOY SHEET IN THE ANNEALED AND THE SOLUTION-TREATED AND AGED CONDITION

By Edward P. Phillips Langley Research Center

## SUMMARY

Specimens of Ti-6Al-4V titanium alloy sheet in the annealed and the solution-treated and aged heat-treatment condition were exposed outdoors at ambient and 560 K ( $550^{\circ}$  F) temperatures to determine the effect of outdoor exposure on fatigue life. Effects of exposure were determined by comparing fatigue lives of exposed specimens to those of unexposed specimens. Two procedures for fatigue testing the exposed specimens were evaluated: (1) fatigue tests conducted outdoors by applying 1200 load cycles per week until failure occurred and (2) conventional fatigue tests (continuous cycling until failure occurred) conducted indoors after outdoor exposure under static load. The exposure period ranged from 9 to 28 months for the outdoor fatigue-test group and was 24 months for the static-load group. All fatigue tests were constant-amplitude bending of specimens containing a drilled hole (stress concentration factor of 1.6).

The results of the tests indicate that the fatigue lives of solution-treated and aged specimens were significantly reduced by the outdoor exposure at 560 K but not by the exposure at ambient temperature. Fatigue lives of the annealed specimens were essentially unaffected by the outdoor exposure at either temperature. The two test procedures – outdoor fatigue test and indoor fatigue test after outdoor exposure – led to the same conclusions about exposure effects.

# INTRODUCTION

Materials used as aircraft exterior skins are continuously exposed to the corrosive action of an outdoor environment. Although it is widely recognized that the outdoor environment could, and probably does, degrade the fatigue properties of these materials over the long years of service, only a few outdoor-exposure fatigue studies have been conducted in the past (refs. 1 to 4). Admittedly, definitive test results which could be included in

structural fátigue-life estimates would be almost impossible to obtain because the environment varies with geographical location and with time and because of the long test times needed, 10 to 20 years. Although generation of definitive data may not be feasible shorter-term tests (1 to 4 years) in an outdoor environment should, at the least, lead to more accurate corrosion-fatigue performance ranking of materials than the artificial environments used in current accelerated tests. Data of this kind would be especially useful for newer materials for which there is no vast backlog of service experience such as is available for aluminum alloys.

The materials studied in the present investigation were Ti-6Al-4V titanium alloy sheet in the annealed and the solution-treated and aged (STA) heat-treatment condition. Titanium alloys, especially Ti-6Al-4V, are being used increasingly in aircraft structures. They are particularly attractive for the structure of supersonic aircraft where elevated temperatures in the range of 450 to 650 K (350° to 700° F) will be experienced. The effects of outdoor exposure at both ambient and 560 K (550° F) temperatures were evaluated in the present study. Two methods of conducting the outdoor-exposure tests were investigated. In one test method, the specimens were fatigue tested outdoors by applying a continuous static load and cyclic loads at regular intervals until failure occurred. In the second method, specimens were exposed under static load but were fatigue tested in the laboratory at the end of the exposure period. In both test methods, the effects of outdoor exposure were determined by comparing the fatigue lives from exposed specimens to those of unexposed specimens. All fatigue tests were constant-amplitude bending of sheet specimens containing a drilled-hole stress-raiser, stress concentration factor of 1.6. Environmental conditions for the outdoor tests were those prevalent at the NASA Langley Research Center, which is located near the Chesapeake Bay on the eastern coast of the United States.

The physical quantities in this paper are given in both the International System of Units (ref. 5) and U.S. Customary Units. The measurements and calculations were made in U.S. Customary Units. Appendix A presents factors relating these two systems.

#### TESTS

# Materials and Specimens

Specimens were fabricated from nominally 1.3-mm (0.050-in.) thick sheets of Ti-6Al-4V titanium alloy in two heat-treatment conditions, the annealed and the solutiontreated and aged (STA) condition. Two sheets of material in each heat-treatment condition were used. Material chemical analyses and processing histories furnished by the manufacturer are given in table I. The average longitudinal tensile properties were obtained at the Langley fatigue research laboratory and are given in table II. The configuration of the cantilever bending specimen used in the fatigue tests is shown in figure 1. The dashed lines indicate the shape of a constant-stress cantilever.



Figure 1.- Fatigue-specimen configuration.

Maximum bending stress occurs in the section at which these lines are tangent to the boundary of the specimen. The specimen contained a drilled hole of 6.35-mm (1/4 in.) diameter in the maximum-stress section. Holes were deburred before testing. The stress concentration factor  $K_T$  for this specimen loaded in bending is approximately 1.6 (ref. 6).

After fabrication, all specimens were chemically cleaned according to the procedures given in appendix B. After cleaning, each specimen that was to be exposed at 560 K  $(550^{\circ} \text{ F})$  was instrumented with a thermistor and a thermocouple for temperature control and monitoring, respectively (fig. 2). The specimens were exposed outdoors in a horizon-tal position under downward static loads. To avoid extraneous failure sites and clutter on the top surface, the instrumentation (sensors, sensor shield, and wire tie-down straps) was spotwelded to the bottom surface.

# **Test Conditions**

Groups of specimens from each heat treatment were subjected to test conditions selected to determine the effects of outdoor exposure, exposure temperature, and test method. Specimens for each test group were selected from locations within the two sheets



Figure 2.- Location of thermistor, thermocouple, and sensor shield on bottom surface of specimen.

according to a randomization procedure based on a table of random numbers. The test conditions are summarized in the following table:

Outdoor-exposure conditions	Fatigue-test conditions
No exposure	Conventional indoor fatigue test (static and constant- amplitude cyclic loading applied continuously at room temperature until failure occurred)
Ambient temperature	Conventional indoor fatigue test conducted after out- door exposure
Continuous static load	Fatigue test conducted outdoors by applying 1200 constant-amplitude load cycles each week until failure occurred
Ambient and 560 K (550 <sup>0</sup> F), about half time at each temperature	Conventional indoor fatigue test conducted after out- door exposure
Continuous static load	Fatigue test conducted outdoors by applying 1200 constant-amplitude load cycles at ambient tem- perature each week until failure occurred

The no-exposure test group provided the base-line fatigue-life data to which the results of all other test groups were compared in order to determine the effects of the outdoor test conditions. The two temperatures are roughly representative of subsonic and of Mach 3 supersonic aircraft. For the latter condition, the specimens were at ambient temperature for about half the time and at 560 K ( $550^{\circ}$  F) for the remainder. The specimens were at

ambient temperature during an 8-hour period each night, when rain or snow was falling, when cyclic loads were applied, and during maintenance work. The two outdoor test procedures were selected to determine if simple, static-load exposure tests would lead to the same conclusions as the more difficult outdoor fatigue tests. From a test cost standpoint, the static-load exposure method is obviously desirable, especially for test programs with long exposure times.

The first day of the outdoor exposure period for all test groups was October 7, 1970. The static-load exposure group remained exposed until median lives were achieved in all the outdoor fatigue test groups. Thus, the exposure period for all static-load groups was 2 years. The exposure period for individual specimens in the outdoor fatigue tests ranged from 9 to 28 months. Meteorological data for the duration of the tests were taken from records of the Langley Flight Service Office and are summarized in table III.

All fatigue tests were of the constant-amplitude loading type. A single-stress-level condition was used for all tests on each material. In terms of outer-fiber net-section stresses, the test stresses were  $172 \pm 517$  MPa ( $25 \pm 75$  ksi) for STA material and  $172 \pm 538$  MPa ( $25 \pm 78$  ksi) for annealed material. The mean stress level was selected on the basis of published information (ref. 7), which indicated that that level was a realistic 1g design stress for a titanium-alloy lower-wing skin of a transport aircraft. The alternating stresses were chosen to produce failures in approximately  $10^5$  cycles on the basis of preliminary indoor bending fatigue tests.

# **Test Apparatus**

Outdoor tests.- The outdoor-exposure test apparatus was located in an open area adjacent to the Langley fatigue research laboratory. The test area is about 5 km (3 miles) from salt water in the Chesapeake Bay. The outdoor fatigue-testing machine and the static-load exposure apparatus were within 10 m (30 ft) of each other.

The outdoor fatigue tests were conducted with a machine that accommodates 76 specimens at one time. A photograph of the testing machine is shown as figure 3 and a diagram of the machine is shown as figure 4. Basically, this machine consists of a vibrating table supported on coil springs and restricted to vertical motion by a system of flexure arms (not shown). The table has a natural frequency of vibration in the vertical direction of approximately 7.2 Hz (430 cpm) and was excited to vibrate at this frequency by an adjustable crank and a clutch mechanism. The electric drive motor was started with the clutch disengaged. When the motor reached operating speed, the clutch was engaged until the table vibrated at an amplitude equal to the throw of the crank. A preset counter was used to stop the machine automatically after a predetermined number of load cycles had been applied to the specimens.



Figure 3. - Outdoor fatigue-testing machine.



Figure 4.- Diagram of outdoor fatigue-testing machine.

The magnitude of the stresses induced in the specimens by the vibrating table was predetermined by sizing two masses which were attached to the specimen. One mass was rigidly attached to the free end of the specimen. Adjustment of the magnitude of this mass was the primary method of controlling alternating stresses in the specimen. Another mass was suspended from the first by a soft coil spring. The suspended mass was adjusted so that the sum of the two masses produced the desired mean stress in the specimen. The suspended mass was submerged in oil to damp out transient vibrations during starting and stopping. A correction was made for the buoyant force of the oil.

The static-load exposure specimens were mounted and statically loaded in essentially the same way as were the outdoor fatigue test specimens. The only difference was the use of a stiff rod, instead of a spring, to suspend the mass.

Each specimen exposed outdoors at 560 K ( $550^{\circ}$  F) had a separate and complete heating and temperature-control system. The system was composed of two 200-watt quartz-tube radiant heating lamps and a reflector, a thermistor temperature sensor on the specimen, and a solid-state temperature controller. Each specimen also had a thermocouple attached to it for temperature monitoring purposes. The temperature of each specimen was recorded at regular intervals by a central temperature monitoring system. In addition to the radiant heating from the lamps, the specimens were heated in the gripped area by conduction from cartridge heaters which were inserted into drilled holes in the mounting plates. Heating the gripped portion of the specimen reduced the load on the lamps and thus helped to achieve long lamp life and closer temperature control, especially on windy days.

A precipitation sensor was used to automatically turn off the heaters when rain or snow was falling and turn it back on when the precipitation ended. The heaters were programed to deenergize at 8 p.m. and energize at 4 a.m. each day.

Indoor tests. - Both the no-exposure and static-load exposure groups were tested indoors on a small nonresonant vibration table which accommodated only one specimen at a time. The stresses induced in the specimen were controlled by the same mass-adjustment procedure that was used in the outdoor tests. Tests were conducted at a frequency of 10 Hz (600 cpm).

#### Procedure

The loads to produce the desired stresses in the test section of each specimen were computed with the flexure formula and cross-section measurements taken to the nearest 3  $\mu$ m (0.0001 in.). These loads were applied statically to the specimens by deadweight loading and the specimen deflections were measured. The deflections were then reproduced in the tests by adjusting the masses attached to the specimen. Deflections were measured with a stroboscope, a scale graduated in 0.3-mm (0.01-in.) increments, and a

low-power microscope. The large deflections of 30 to 36 mm (1.2 to 1.4 in.) associated with the maximum-stress levels facilitated adjustment to within  $\pm 2$  percent of the desired level.

In setting up the tests to achieve the desired cyclic stress levels in the outdoor fatigue tests, all specimens were first mounted on the testing machine and connected to a specimen restraint fixture which prevented the specimens from being loaded when the vibrating table was in motion. Then, one at a time the specimens were disconnected from the restraint system and the masses attached to the specimen were adjusted to produce the correct deflections. Approximately 2000 cycles were applied to each specimen during the mass-adjustment process. When this process had been completed, all specimens were disconnected from the restraint fixture and the test machine was operated for about 6000 cycles while deflection checks were made for several specimens around the periphery of the machine.

Specimen positions on the outdoor-exposure test apparatus were filled by alternating between specimens of the two heat treatments. Specimens were segregated according to exposure-temperature condition.

For those specimens that were to be heated, a temperature of 560 K ( $550^{\circ}$  F) was desired on the top (tensile mean stress) surface. Since the temperature sensors were located on the bottom surface, a correlation had to be established between thermocouple readings on the two surfaces. To do this, specimens having the usual instrumentation on the bottom surface and an array of thermocouples on the top surface were mounted on the machine. The temperature indication of the bottom thermocouple corresponding to a 560 K ( $550^{\circ}$  F) indication on the top surface was recorded and used as the control point in the ensuing test. Temperature was controlled to within  $\pm 20$  K ( $\pm 30^{\circ}$  F) of the desired 560 K temperature on calm days. Temperature fluctuations due to wind were generally no more than an additional 20 K ( $30^{\circ}$  F).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Test Results

The results of all of the fatigue tests are presented in tables IV and V for the STA and annealed materials, respectively. For each specimen, the number of load cycles required to produce failure (separation into two pieces), the days of exposure, and the number of hours exposed at 560 K ( $550^{\circ}$  F) are listed when appropriate.

The fatigue lives obtained in all tests are plotted in figures 5 and 6 for the STA and annealed materials, respectively.



heat-treatment condition.

# Discussion of Test Results

Examination of figures 5 and 6 indicates that all of the test groups exhibited large scatter in fatigue lives and some groups exhibited a tendency for the data to divide into short- and long-life groups. Specimen failures originated at one of four locations: (1) at the hole on the tensile mean stress surface (the normal failure location), (2) at the hole on the compressive mean stress surface, (3) at spotwelds on the compressive mean stress surface where instrumentation had been attached, and (4) at accidental-damage sites (scratches, dents, etc.) on the edges of the specimens. Two interesting points concerning those specimens that did not fail in the normal location are that: first, they failed at lives which were always longer than the lives of the majority of the normal-failure specimens and, second, they occurred in the no-exposure test group as well as the outdoor-exposure test group. In view of the latter point, failure location cannot be related to test environment, and, therefore, tests in which the specimens failed in a non-normal location can be treated as discontinued tests of the normal failure location in the analysis of test results.





As has already been mentioned, some of the test groups exhibited a bimodal life distribution behavior in figures 5 and 6. Treating the non-normal failures as discontinued tests and considering that these specimens would have had longer lives if the tests had continued, a bimodal life behavior seems reasonable for all test groups rather than just some of them.

To further examine the life-grouping tendencies of the data, the data in figures 5 and 6 are replotted on log-normal probability paper in figures 7 and 8, respectively. For the sake of clarity, some of the discontinued-test data points are not plotted. The number of discontinued tests with lives beyond the longest life that is plotted is indicated for each test group. Dashed lines have been used in figures 7 and 8 to indicate that the actual paths are unknown because some tests were discontinued. Even with this uncertainty, the plots provide sufficient information to clearly indicate that the life data from most of the test groups cannot be adequately described by a single log-normal life distribution. The abrupt changes in slope apparent in the data plots, for example in the no-exposure test



Figure 8.- Fatigue-life data given in figure 6 for annealed material replotted on log-normal probability paper.

group in figure 7, indicate that all of the data do not belong to the same statistical population. Even for those test groups which do not exhibit the abrupt slope change in figures 7 (squares) and 8 (squares and triangles), the bimodal behavior appears reasonable, considering the number and long lives of the discontinued tests. Replotting the data on a Weibull probability scale led to the same conclusions as did the log-normal plots. Interpretation of the data in terms of life groups had an important impact on the analysis of the data, as explained in the following paragraph.

As stated previously, the effects of the different test conditions can be determined by comparing the results from the test groups. The conventional method of comparing results from two test conditions is to perform some type of statistical test to determine if the mean or median lives of the two groups are significantly different. Usually, the results from all specimens tested under a given test condition are included in making the statistical test. However, if the data for the various test conditions consistently divide into two or more groups, an unconventional analysis approach is necessary. A conventional approach will not effectively detect environmental effects which result in life reductions smaller than the life separation between groups. But, detection of relatively small differences between test groups can reveal trends which may be significant when extrapolated to longer exposure periods. The consistent occurrence of the bimodal behavior for the various test conditions indicates that, regardless of the reason for the bimodal behavior, the specimens for each test condition must be considered as having come from two populations. Therefore, for the best analysis approach for data such as in the current study, comparisons should be made between the corresponding short- and long-life groups for each test condition.

The data in this study were divided into short- and long-life groups at the point of gross slope changes in figures 7 and 8. In cases where a discontinued test could conceivably belong to either life group, it was arbitrarily assigned to the long-life group. Since the discontinued-test data prevented a quantitative definition of the long-life group, only the short-life data were evaluated for effects of the outdoor test conditions.

<u>Ti-6Al-4V STA.</u>- Data for the short-life groups are plotted on log-normal probability paper in figure 9. All of the specimens represented in figure 9 exhibited about the same failure characteristics; that is, number of cracks, extent of cracking before failure, and fracture surface appearance at a magnification of 60 times.

The data in figure 9 reveal a consistent trend related to exposure condition: the noexposure condition produced the longest lives, the outdoor exposure at ambient-temperature conditions produced somewhat shorter lives, and the outdoor exposure at 560 K ( $550^{\circ}$  F) produced the shortest lives. The outdoor exposure at 560 K reduced median life to almost 1/2 of that obtained for the no-exposure group. These differences between test groups were tested for statistical significance using the unpaired rank test (ref. 8) for differences between medians. These comparisons indicated that at the 5-percent significance level both of the 560 K exposure groups were significantly different from the no-exposure group, but that neither of the ambient-temperature exposure groups were significantly different from the no-exposure group. Moreover, the static-load group exposed at 560 K was significantly different from that exposed at ambient temperature.

The results from the two test methods (outdoor fatigue test and fatigue test after exposure) did not indicate a consistent trend. The outdoor fatigue test method resulted in shorter lives than the static-load exposure method for the ambient-temperature exposure but resulted in longer lives than the static-load exposure method for the 560 K exposure. At the 5-percent significance level, the unpaired rank test indicated no significant difference between the results from the two fatigue test methods at either temperature condition.



Figure 9.- Short-life data population identified in figure 7 for STA material replotted on log-normal probability paper.



Figure 10.- Short-life data population identified in figure 8 for annealed material replotted on log-normal probability paper.

<u>Ti-6Al-4V annealed.</u> - Data for the short-life groups are plotted in figure 10 in the same way as was done for the STA material data in figure 9. As for the STA material, no differences in failure characteristics were noted among the test groups. The data for all of the test groups are so closely bunched that no consistent trends are evident. Accordingly, the unpaired rank test for difference between medians also indicated no significant differences between test groups at the 5-percent significance level.

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

The effects of an outdoor exposure at ambient and 560 K ( $550^{\circ}$  F) temperatures on the fatigue life of Ti-6Al-4V titanium alloy sheet in the STA and the annealed condition have been determined. All fatigue tests were constant-amplitude bending of specimens containing a drilled hole (stress concentration factor of 1.6). Effects of exposure were

determined by comparing fatigue lives of exposed specimens to those of unexposed specimens. Two procedures for fatigue testing the exposed specimens were evaluated: (1) fatigue tests were conducted outdoors by applying 1200 load cycles per week at ambient temperature until failure occurred and (2) fatigue tests were conducted indoors at room temperature after outdoor exposure under static load. The exposure period ranged from 9 to 28 months for the outdoor fatigue-test group and was 24 months for the static-load group. Comparisons of the results from the various test groups were limited to the short-life ends of the life distributions because the life data tended to divide into shortand long-life groups and the long-life groups contained discontinued tests. From the data presented, the following were concluded:

1. The outdoor exposure at 560 K reduced the fatigue life of the STA material to about 1/2 of the no-exposure life. The outdoor exposure at ambient temperature also reduced the fatigue life of STA material, but by a smaller amount. Statistical comparisons indicated that, at the 5-percent significance level, the reduction in life caused by the 560 K exposure was statistically significant but that the reduction caused by the ambient-temperature exposure was not significant.

2. The outdoor exposures at both ambient and 560 K temperatures had essentially no effect on the fatigue life of the annealed material. Statistical comparisons at the 5-percent significance level indicated no significant differences between medians of the test groups.

3. The two test procedures – outdoor fatigue test and indoor fatigue test after outdoor exposure – led to the same conclusions about exposure effects.

# Langley Research Center,

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Hampton, Va., February 20, 1974.

# APPENDIX A

# CONVERSION OF SI UNITS TO U.S. CUSTOMARY UNITS

The International System of Units (SI) was adopted by the Eleventh General Conference on Weights and Measures held in Paris in 1960 (ref. 5). Conversion factors required for units used herein are given in the following table:

Physical quantity	SI Unit (**)	Conversion factor (*)	U.S. Customary Unit
Force	newtons (N)	0.2248	lbf
Length	meters (m)	39.37	in.
Stress	pascals (Pa)	$1.450 imes10^{-7}$	ksi = $10^3 \text{ lbf/in}^2$
Temperature	kelvin (K)	9/5	( <sup>O</sup> F + 459.67)
Volume	cubic meters $(m^3)$	264.2	gallon
Frequency	hertz (Hz)	60	cpm

\*Multiply value given in SI Unit by conversion factor to obtain equivalent in U.S. Customary Unit.

\*\*Prefixes to indicate multiple of units are as follows:

Prefix	Multiple
giga (G)	10 <sup>9</sup>
mega (M)	10 <sup>6</sup>
kilo (k)	10 <sup>3</sup>
centi (c)	10-2
milli (m)	10 <sup>3</sup>
micro (µ)	10 <sup>-6</sup>

# APPENDIX B

# SPECIMEN CLEANING PROCEDURE

1. Remove metal markings such as manufacturer's stamp, crayon, and so forth, with acetone or alcohol and cloth.

2. Perform alkaline cleaning consisting of six steps, using a separate tank for each solution or rinse as follows:

a. Immerse in sodium hydroxide base alkaline cleaner,  $45 \text{ kg/m}^3$  (6 ozm/gallon) water, at a temperature of 360 to 370 K (180<sup>o</sup> to 200<sup>o</sup> F) for 10 minutes.

b. Rinse in hot water for 2 to 3 minutes.

c. Immerse in nitric acid solution, 20 percent nitric acid and 80 percent water by volume, for 30 seconds.

d. Rinse in agitated hot water.

e. Rinse in agitated cold water.

f. Rinse in agitated cold water with continuous supply of fresh water.

3. Dry with clean cloth or paper wipers.

## REFERENCES

- Leybold, Herbert A.; Hardrath, Herbert F.; and Moore, Robert L.: An Investigation of the Effects of Atmospheric Corrosion on the Fatigue Life of Aluminum Alloys. NACA TN 4331, 1958.
- 2. Leybold, Herbert A.: The Effects of Combined Prior Stress and Atmospheric Corrosion on the Fatigue Life of Aluminum Alloys. NASA TN D-2359, 1964.
- Schijve, J.; and De Rijk, P.: The Crack Propagation in Two Aluminum Alloys in an Indoor and an Outdoor Environment Under Random and Programmed Load Sequences. NLR-TR M.2156, Nat. Lucht-Ruimtevaartlab. (Amsterdam), Nov. 1965.
- Phillips, Edward P.: Effect of Outdoor Exposure at Elevated Temperature on the Fatigue Life of Ti-8Al-1Mo-1V Titanium Alloy and AM 350 Stainless Steel Sheet. NASA TN D-5362, 1969.
- 5. Mechtly, E. A.: The International System of Units Physical Constants and Conversion Factors (Second Revision). NASA SP-7012, 1973.
- Peterson, R. E.: Stress Concentration Design Factors. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., c.1953, p. 102.
- Peterson, John J.: Fatigue Behavior of AM-350 Stainless Steel and Titanium-8Al-1Mo-1V Sheet at Room Temperature, 550<sup>o</sup> F and 800<sup>o</sup> F. NASA CR-23, 1964.
  - Comm. E-9 on Fatigue: A Guide for Fatigue Testing and the Statistical Analysis of Fatigue Data. Spec. Tech. Publ. No. 91-A (Second ed.), Amer. Soc. Testing Mater., 1963.

# TABLE I. - CHEMICAL ANALYSIS AND HEAT TREATMENT OF MATERIALS

Element	Percent by weight
С	0.02
N	.010
Fe	.17
Al	6.2
V	4.4
0	.123
Н	50 PPM

Ti-6Al-4V (STA)

Heat treatment:

(1) 1185 K (1675° F) for 9 minutes, water quenched

(2) 950 K ( $1250^{\circ}$  F) for 4 hours, air cooled

Element	Percent by weight
C	0.02
Ν	.010
Fe	17
Al	6.2
V	4.4
0	.142
Н	69 PPM

Ti-6Al-4V (annealed)

Heat treatment:

1075 K (1475<sup>o</sup> F) for 1 hour, furnace cooled to 980 K (1300<sup>o</sup> F), air cooled

# TABLE II.- AVERAGE<sup>a</sup> ROOM-TEMPERATURE LONGITUDINAL

## TENSILE PROPERTIES OF Ti-6Al-4V IN THE

# ANNEALED AND THE STA CONDITION

Heat treatment	Ultin tens strer	nate ile ngth	Yie strei (0.2% d	ld ngth offset)	Mc el	odulus of asticity	Elongation in 5 cm (2 in.),			
	MPa	ksi	MPa	ksi	GPa	ksi	percent			
Annealed STA	1010 1040	147 151	972 1020	141 148	121 121	$\frac{17.6 \times 10^3}{17.6 \times 10^3}$	13.9 14.7			

<sup>a</sup>Based on nine tests.

# TABLE III.- METEOROLOGICAL DATA FOR TEST PERIOD

Month and	Aver temper	age ature	To precipi	tal itation	Days of		
year	К	٥F	mm	in.	precipitation		
Oct. 1970	290	63	29.0	1.14	9		
Nov.	284	52	68.3	2.69	11		
Dec.	280	44	<b>98</b> .6	3.88	7		
Jan. 1971	276	37	107.2	4.22	14		
Feb.	280	44	100.3	3.95	12		
Mar.	281	47	79.2	3.12	11		
Apr.	286	56	60.5	2.38	6		
May	291	65	178.8	. 7.04	13		
June	297	75	58.9	2.32	8		
July	299	78	134.9	5.31	13		
Aug.	298	77	137.7	5.42	6		
Sept.	296	74	156.0	6.14	3		
Oct.	293	67	318.8	12.55	16		
Nov.	284	52	60.5	2.38	6		
Dec.	284	51	63.5	2.50	6		
Jan. 1972	280	45	. 93.5	3.68	11		
Feb.	278	41	106.7	4.20	14		
Mar.	282	48	82.3	3.24	9		
Apr.	286	56	89.9	3.54	12		
May	291	64	90.7	3.57	11		
June	295	71	154.7	6.09	12		
July	298	77	129.3	5.09	10		
Aug.	297	75	92.7	3.65	9		
Sept.	295	72	291.8	11.49	11		
Oct.	289	60	128.3	5.05	8		
Nov.	283	50	134.9	5.31	13		
Dec.	281	47	131.8	5.19	16		
Jan. 1973	278	40	88.4	3.48	11		
Feb.	276	38	77.5	3.05	10		

.

.

TABLE IV.- RESULTS OF FATIGUE TESTS ON CENTRAL-HOLE SPECIMENS  $(K_T = 1.6)$  OF Ti-6A1-4V TITANIUM

ALLOY IN THE STA CONDITION AT A STRESS LEVEL OF 172  $\pm$  517 MPa (25  $\pm$  75 ksi)

(a) No exposure

(b) Outdoor fatigue test<sup>a</sup>

(c) Outdoor static-load exposure<sup>a</sup>

					_			_												
F)	Hours exposed at 560 K	6400	8500	8400	8500	0006	8000	8300	8700	8100	8700	0006	8700						_	
K (550 <sup>0</sup>	Days of exposure	558	703	688	705	732	677	704	724	688	705	731	716							_
560	Cycles to failure	41 800	47 400	66 200	67 800	80 300	c213 600	225 100	d356 700	d365 100	d387 000	d453 600	d530 000							
ient rature	Days of exposure	732		_																732
Amb temper	Cycles to failure	63 400	79 100	85 700	95 700	98 400	99 800	103 300	103 400	105 600	138 300	149 500	<sup>b</sup> 242 700	262 500	b384 800	972 900	f>10 <sup>6</sup>	f>106	f>10 <sup>6</sup>	f>10 <sup>6</sup>
F)	Hours exposed at 560 K	3 000	3 400	4 000	5 100	5 700	6 100	10 200	006 6	9 200	10 200									
) K (550 <sup>0</sup>	Days of exposure	284	317	343	434	485	516	840	827	810	848							•		
560	Cycles to failure	48 800	57 600	60 100	75 400	85 400	90 200	e181 900	c,e235 600	c,e246 500	<sup>c,e</sup> 253 400	•								
ent ature	Days of exposure	322	329	399	399	483	497	511	511	552	706	840	840	846	846	848	867	867	867	867
Ambie tempera	Cycles to failure	57 800	58 800	70 900	71 500	86 100	87 700	006 06	91 000	97 600	124 700	e159 100	<sup>c,e</sup> 161 800	<sup>c,e</sup> 190 100	e217 200	c,e237 800	c,e309 300	c,e588 600	f>10 <sup>6</sup>	f>10 <sup>6</sup>
	Cycles to failure	71 300	88 200	89 200	92 700	98 900	<b>600</b>	101 300	110 100	115 700	116 300	148 000	149 300	165 000	184 900	190 200	c315 900	c410 000	908 600	f>10 <sup>6</sup> -

<sup>b</sup>Cracking initiated at site of accidental damage to specimen. <sup>a</sup>Test exposure initiated on Oct. 7, 1970.

<sup>c</sup>Cracking initiated on compression mean stress surface at hole.

<sup>d</sup>Cracking initiated at instrumentation spotweld.

<sup>e</sup>Schedule of applying 1200 cycles per week was abandoned on Jan. 16, 1973; thereafter, large numbers of cycles were

applied on days when weather permitted.

fNo failure.

TABLE V. - RESULTS OF FATIGUE TESTS ON CENTRAL-HOLE SPECIMENS  $(K_T = 1.6)$  OF TI-6AI-4V TITANIUM

ALLOY IN THE ANNEALED CONDITION AT A STRESS LEVEL OF 172  $\pm$  538 MPa (25  $\pm$  78 ksi)

(b) Outdoor fatigue test<sup>a</sup>

(a) No exposure

(c) Outdoor static-load exposure<sup>a</sup>

٢

	r																				
(	Hours exposed at 560 K	8100	8800	8800	8300	8600	8800	8800	8700	8500	7700										
K (550 <sup>0</sup> ]	Days of exposure	645	704	719	715	714	732	732	716	685	686										
560	Cycles to failure	48 200	80 000	93 200	<sup>c</sup> 164 800	<sup>c</sup> 176 200	<sup>b</sup> 243 700	<sup>c</sup> 248 700	<sup>c</sup> 256 200	d266 100	d451 000										
lent ature	Days of exposure	732																_,	732		
Ambi temper	Cycles to failure	63 000	63 900	66 100	66 700	72 600	78 400	82 300	89 400	002 06	95 900	<sup>b</sup> 265 000	c347 000	c373 700	c510 500	614 300	<sup>c</sup> 660 200	f>106	f>10 <sup>6</sup>		
	Hours exposed at 560 K	4 100	5 000	6 300	6 400	7 300	9 300	9 800	9 100	9 500	10 000			<u> </u>							
K (550 <sup>0</sup> F	Days of exposure	370	416	531	577	621	762	816	788	833	867										
560	Cycles to failure	65 000	77 300	93 300	97 000	110 100.	c130 100	<sup>d,e</sup> 140 000	<sup>c,e</sup> 156 100	<sup>d</sup> , <sup>e</sup> 264 500	<sup>d,e</sup> 320 900										
ent ature	Days of exposure	231	336	357	377	392	441	448	517	832	832	839	840	840	840	840	840	846	846	848	
Ambie tempera	Cycles to failure	40 800	59 900	62 900	67 600	69 800	77 700	79 400	92 100	146 100	<sup>c</sup> 146 600	147 900	e157 000	<sup>c,e</sup> 162 200	<sup>c,e</sup> 168 200	c,e175 900	<sup>c,e</sup> 181 400	<sup>c,e</sup> 188 900	<sup>c,e</sup> 221 800	<sup>c,e</sup> 258 400	
	Cycles to failure	49 600	68 800	73 100	74 800	77 300	81 600	90 500	90 600	92 700	93 200	103 600	110 700	111 700	161 600	b322 400	C799 800	p976 600	f>10 <sup>6</sup>	f>10 <sup>6</sup>	

<sup>a</sup>Test exposure initiated on Oct. 7, 1970.

<sup>b</sup>Cracking initiated at site of accidental damage to specimen.

<sup>c</sup>Cracking initiated on compression mean stress surface at hole.

dCracking initiated at instrumentation spotweld.

<sup>e</sup>Schedule of applying 1200 cycles per week was abandoned on Jan. 16, 1973; thereafter, large numbers of cycles were applied on days when weather permitted.

f<sub>N</sub>o failure.



"The aeronautical and space activities of the United States shall be conducted so as to contribute ... to the expansion of human knowledge of phenomena in the atmosphere and space. The Administration shall provide for the widest practicable and appropriate dissemination of information concerning its activities and the results thereof."

-NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ACT OF 1958

# NASA SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

TECHNICAL REPORTS: Scientific and technical information considered important, complete, and a lasting contribution to existing knowledge.

TECHNICAL NOTES: Information less broad in scope but nevertheless of importance as a contribution to existing knowledge.

#### TECHNICAL MEMORANDUMS:

Information receiving limited distribution because of preliminary data, security classification, or other reasons. Also includes conference proceedings with either limited or unlimited distribution.

CONTRACTOR REPORTS: Scientific and technical information generated under a NASA contract or grant and considered an important contribution to existing knowledge. TECHNICAL TRANSLATIONS: Information published in a foreign language considered to merit NASA distribution in English.

SPECIAL PUBLICATIONS: Information derived from or of value to NASA activities. Publications include final reports of major projects, monographs, data compilations, handbooks, sourcebooks, and special bibliographies.

# TECHNOLOGY UTILIZATION

PUBLICATIONS: Information on technology used by NASA that may be of particular interest in commercial and other non-aerospace applications. Publications include Tech Briefs, Technology Utilization Reports and Technology Surveys.

Details on the availability of these publications may be obtained from: SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION OFFICE

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION Washington, D.C. 20546