NASA CR-134544

### ENGINEERING INFORMATION

ON AN

### ANALOG SIGNAL TO DISCRETE TIME INTERVAL CONVERTER (ASDTIC)

Ъy

Francisc C. Schwarz

POWER ELECTRONICS ASSOCIATES

1

T

prepared for

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

NASA Lewis Research Center

Bernard Sater

Program Manager

Contract NAS 3-16791

18839 Timber Lane, Fairview Park, OH 44126

. .

1. Report No.						
NASA	<u>CR 134544</u>	_ <b>_</b>				
4. Title and S Engineering	Subtitle g information on an Ana	log Signal to Discrete Time	Interval	5. Report Date June 19	74	
Converter	onverter (ASDTIC))			6. Performing Organization Code		
7. Author(s)				8. Performing Organi	zation Report No.	
Francisc C.	. Schwarz			10 Work Linit No		
9. Performing	Organization Name and Address			IO. WORK ONE NO.		
Power Electronics Associates 18839 Timber Lane Fairview Park, 0H - 44126			ļ.	11. Contract or Grant No. NAS 3-16791		
				13. Type of Report a	nd Period Covered	
12. Sponsoring	Agency Name and Address	· · ·		Contractor Rep	ort	
National Ae Washington	eronautics and Space Ad DC 20546	ministration		14. Sponsoring Agenc	y Code	
15. Supplemen	tary Notes					
Project Mar Spacecraft NASA-Lewis Cleveland,	nager, Bernard L. Sater Technology Division Research Center Ohio 44135					
16. Abstract						
					•	
	An electronic control which improves (1) the the order of $\pm$ .1% for stability by utilizing	system for nondissipative do routinely attainable static ambient temperatures from - approximately one tenth of	power con output vo 55 to 100 <sup>0</sup> the feedba	verters is pres Itage accuracy C and (2) the d ck gain needed	ented to ynamic othe <b>r</b> wise.	
	An electronic control which improves (1) the the order of $\pm$ .1% for stability by utilizing Performance is due to based on pulse area cc The system can be impl The system serves as a of significant Governm	system for nondissipative do routinely attainable static ambient temperatures from - approximately one tenth of a functional philosophy of " ontrol and to an autocompensa emented with commercially av a multipurpose functional blo nent programs.	power con output vo 55 to 100 <sup>0</sup> the feedba determinis ted signal ailable un ck and is	verters is pres Itage accuracy C and (2) the d ck gain needed tic pulse modul processing pri selected compon being used on a	ented to ynamic otherwise. ation" nciple. ents. number	
	An electronic control which improves (1) the the order of $\pm$ .1% for stability by utilizing Performance is due to based on pulse area co The system can be impl The system serves as a of significant Governm	system for nondissipative do routinely attainable static ambient temperatures from - approximately one tenth of a functional philosophy of " introl and to an autocompensa emented with commercially av multipurpose functional blo pent programs.	power con output vo 55 to 100 <sup>0</sup> the feedba determinis ted signal ailable un ck and is	verters is pres ltage accuracy C and (2) the d ck gain needed tic pulse modul processing pri selected compon- being used on a	ented to ynamic otherwise. ation'' nciple. ents. number	
	An electronic control which improves (1) the the order of <u>+</u> .1% for stability by utilizing Performance is due to based on pulse area co The system can be impl The system serves as a of significant Governm	system for nondissipative do routinely attainable static ambient temperatures from - approximately one tenth of a functional philosophy of " ontrol and to an autocompensa emented with commercially av a multipurpose functional blo nent programs.	power con output vo 55 to 100 <sup>0</sup> the feedba determinis ted signal ailable un ck and is	verters is pres Itage accuracy C and (2) the d ck gain needed tic pulse modul processing pri selected compon being used on a	ented to ynamic otherwise. ation" nciple. ents. number	
	An electronic control which improves (1) the the order of <u>+</u> .1% for stability by utilizing Performance is due to based on pulse area co The system can be impl The system serves as a of significant Governm	system for nondissipative do routinely attainable static ambient temperatures from - approximately one tenth of a functional philosophy of " antrol and to an autocompensa emented with commercially av nultipurpose functional blo ment programs.	power con output vo 55 to 100 <sup>0</sup> the feedba determinis ted signal ailable un ck and is	verters is pres Itage accuracy C and (2) the d ck gain needed tic pulse modul processing pri selected compon being used on a	ented to ynamic otherwise. ation'' nciple. ents. number	
	An electronic control which improves (1) the the order of <u>+</u> .1% for stability by utilizing Performance is due to based on pulse area co The system can be impl The system serves as a of significant Governm	system for nondissipative do routinely attainable static ambient temperatures from - approximately one tenth of a functional philosophy of " ontrol and to an autocompensa emented with commercially av a multipurpose functional blo nent programs.	power con output vo 55 to 100 <sup>0</sup> the feedba determinis ted signal ailable un ck and is	verters is pres Itage accuracy C and (2) the d ck gain needed tic pulse modul processing pri selected compon being used on a	ented to ynamic otherwise. ation" nciple. ents. number	
	An electronic control which improves (1) the the order of <u>+</u> .1% for stability by utilizing Performance is due to based on pulse area co The system can be impl The system serves as a of significant Governm	system for nondissipative do routinely attainable static ambient temperatures from - approximately one tenth of a functional philosophy of " ontrol and to an autocompensa emented with commercially av multipurpose functional blo nent programs.	power con output vo 55 to 100 <sup>0</sup> the feedba determinis ted signal ailable un ck and is	verters is pres Itage accuracy C and (2) the d ck gain needed tic pulse modul processing pri selected compon being used on a	ented to ynamic otherwise. ation'' nciple. ents. number	
	An electronic control which improves (1) the the order of <u>+</u> .1% for stability by utilizing Performance is due to based on pulse area co The system can be impl The system serves as a of significant Governm	system for nondissipative do routinely attainable static ambient temperatures from - approximately one tenth of a functional philosophy of " antrol and to an autocompensa emented with commercially av multipurpose functional blo ment programs.	power con output vo 55 to 100 <sup>0</sup> the feedba determinis ted signal ailable un ck and is	verters is pres ltage accuracy C and (2) the d ck gain needed tic pulse modul processing pri selected compon- being used on a	ented to ynamic otherwise. ation'' nciple. ents. number	
	An electronic control which improves (1) the the order of <u>+</u> .1% for stability by utilizing Performance is due to based on pulse area co The system can be impl The system serves as a of significant Governm	system for nondissipative do routinely attainable static ambient temperatures from - approximately one tenth of a functional philosophy of " ontrol and to an autocompensa emented with commercially av multipurpose functional blo ment programs.	power con output vo 55 to 100 <sup>0</sup> the feedba determinis ted signal ailable un ck and is	verters is pres Itage accuracy C and (2) the d ck gain needed tic pulse modul processing pri selected compon being used on a	ented to ynamic otherwise. ation'' nciple. ents. number	
	An electronic control which improves (1) the the order of <u>+</u> .1% for stability by utilizing Performance is due to based on pulse area co The system can be impl The system serves as a of significant Governm	system for nondissipative do routinely attainable static ambient temperatures from - approximately one tenth of a functional philosophy of " antrol and to an autocompensa emented with commercially av a multipurpose functional blo ment programs.	power con output vo 55 to 100 <sup>0</sup> the feedba determinis ted signal ailable un ck and is	verters is pres ltage accuracy C and (2) the d ck gain needed tic pulse modul processing pri selected compon- being used on a	ented to ynamic otherwise. ation'' nciple. ents. number	
	An electronic control which improves (1) the the order of <u>+</u> .1% for stability by utilizing Performance is due to based on pulse area cc The system can be impl The system serves as a of significant Governm	system for nondissipative do routinely attainable static ambient temperatures from - approximately one tenth of a functional philosophy of " ontrol and to an autocompensa emented with commercially av a multipurpose functional blo ment programs.	power con output vo 55 to 100 <sup>0</sup> the feedba determinis ted signal ailable un ck and is	verters is pres Itage accuracy C and (2) the d ck gain needed tic pulse modul processing pri selected compon being used on a	ented to ynamic otherwise. ation'' nciple. ents. number	
	An electronic control which improves (1) the the order of <u>+</u> .1% for stability by utilizing Performance is due to based on pulse area co The system can be impl The system serves as a of significant Governm	system for nondissipative do routinely attainable static ambient temperatures from - approximately one tenth of a functional philosophy of " ontrol and to an autocompensa emented with commercially av multipurpose functional blo hent programs.	power con output vo 55 to 100 <sup>0</sup> the feedba determinis ted signal ailable un ck and is	verters is pres ltage accuracy C and (2) the d ck gain needed tic pulse modul processing pri selected compon being used on a	ented to ynamic otherwise. ation'' nciple. ents. number	
17. Key Words	An electronic control which improves (1) the the order of <u>+</u> .1% for stability by utilizing Performance is due to based on pulse area co The system can be impl The system serves as a of significant Governm	system for nondissipative do routinely attainable static ambient temperatures from - approximately one tenth of a functional philosophy of " ontrol and to an autocompensa emented with commercially av a multipurpose functional blo nent programs. 18. Distribut	power con output vo 55 to 100 <sup>0</sup> the feedba determinis ted signal ailable un ck and is	verters is pres ltage accuracy C and (2) the d ck gain needed tic pulse modul processing pri selected compon- being used on a	ented to ynamic otherwise. ation" nciple. ents. number	
17. Key Words	An electronic control which improves (1) the the order of <u>+</u> .1% for stability by utilizing Performance is due to based on pulse area co The system can be impl The system serves as a of significant Governm of significant Governm S (Suggested by Author(s)) Control system Electronic Power Converter	system for nondissipative do routinely attainable static ambient temperatures from - approximately one tenth of a functional philosophy of " ontrol and to an autocompensa emented with commercially av multipurpose functional blo nent programs. 18. Distribut Uncla Unlim	power con output vo 55 to 100 <sup>0</sup> the feedba determinis ted signal ailable un ck and is tion Statement ssified ited	verters is pres ltage accuracy C and (2) the d ck gain needed tic pulse modul processing pri selected compon- being used on a	ented to ynamic otherwise. ation" nciple. ents. number	
17. Key Words	An electronic control which improves (1) the the order of <u>+</u> .1% for stability by utilizing Performance is due to based on pulse area co The system can be impl The system serves as a of significant Governm of significant Governm Second Sec	system for nondissipative do routinely attainable static ambient temperatures from - approximately one tenth of a functional philosophy of " ontrol and to an autocompensa emented with commercially av multipurpose functional blo pent programs. 18. Distribut Uncla Unlim	power con output vo 55 to 100 <sup>0</sup> the feedba determinis ted signal ailable un ck and is toon statement ssified ited	verters is pres Itage accuracy C and (2) the d ck gain needed tic pulse modul processing pri selected compon- being used on a	ented to ynamic otherwise. ation" nciple. ents. number	
17. Key Words	An electronic control which improves (1) the the order of <u>+</u> .1% for stability by utilizing Performance is due to based on pulse area co The system can be impl The system serves as a of significant Governm of significant Governm (Suggested by Author(s)) Control system Electronic Power Converter	system for nondissipative do routinely attainable static ambient temperatures from - approximately one tenth of a functional philosophy of " ontrol and to an autocompensa emented with commercially av multipurpose functional blo nent programs. 18. Distribut Uncla Unlim 20. Security Classif. (of this page)	power con output vo 55 to 100 <sup>0</sup> the feedba determinis ted signal ailable un ck and is tion Statement ssified ited	verters is pres Itage accuracy C and (2) the d ck gain needed tic pulse modul processing pri selected compon- being used on a 21. No. of Pages	ented to ynamic otherwise. ation" nciple. ents. number 22. Price*	

mical information 22121

#### ABSTRACT

ŝ,

An electronic control system for nondissipative d.c. power converters is presented which improves (1) the routinely attainable static output voltage accuracy to the order of  $\pm .1$  % for ambient temperatures from -55 to  $100^{\circ}$  C and (2) the dynamic stability by utilizing approximately one tenth of the feedback gain needed otherwise.

Performance is due to a functional philosophy of "deterministic pulse modulation". based on pulse area control and to an autocompensated signal processing principle. The system can be implemented with commercially available unselected components. The system serves as a multipurpose functional block and is being used on a number of significant Government programs.

• •

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	1	page
	LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES	ix
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	1. Historical Background	1
	2. Content	1
II.	PRINCIPLES OF NONDISSIPATIVE D.C. POWER CONVERSION	5
	1. D.C. Power Conversion	5
	2. Non-Dissipative D.C. Conversion Processes	10
	3. Objectives	22
III.	THE D.C. CONVERTER WITH CONVENTIONAL FEEDBACK CONTROL	23
	1. Principles of Control	23
	2. Limitations	28
IV.	DEFINITION AND DESIGN EQUATIONS FOR THE USE OF DETER-	
	MINISTIC PULSE MODULATION (DPM) TECHNIQUES	30
	1. Objectives of DPM	31
	2. Harmonic Content of a Train of Samples (Pulses)	33
	3. The Spectral Transformation Process	39
	a. An Aperiodic Uniform Sampling Process (PFM)	40
	b. A Nonuniform Periodic Sampling Process (PWM)	53
	c. A Nonuniform and Aperiodic Sampling Process	
	(PWM-PFM)	55
	4. Spectral Transformation of Aperiodic Source Functions	58
	5. Design Requirements for Power System Control	62
	a. Attenuation of the Harmonic Content Caused by the	
	Internal Chopping Process	63

.

	b. Requirements for D.C. Output Voltage Error	-36
	Confinment	66
	c. Summary of System Design Requirements	71
V.	APPLICATION OF DPM PROCESS	74
	1. Concepts of Control	.74
	a. Application of DPM Techniques for PFM	78
	b. Pulse Width Modulation with Use of DPM	84
	c. DPM for PW-PFM Processes	88
	d. Choice of the Mode of Operation	89
	2. Interaction with the Second Order Low Pass (LC)	
	Filter	90
	3. Addition of Conventional Feedback Techniques	91
	4. Effects of Quasi DPM Processes	95
	a. Series Inductor Converter	96
	b. DPM Controlled Series Capacitor Inverter-	
	Converter	99
	5. Dynamic Stability Aspects of DPM Systems	103
VI.	ELECTRONIC EMBODIMENT OF DPM CONTROL	110
	1. Functional Objectives	110
	2. The Analog Signal to Discrete Time Interval	
	Converter (ASDTIC)	111
	a. The Functional Mechanism	112
	b. Effects of Physical Limitations	116
	c. The "Outer" Feedback Loop	120
	3. The Principle of Autocompensation	123
	4. Critical Design Aspects.	125
	5. Test Results	126

vi

VII. CONCLUSIONS	VII.	CONCLUSIO	NS
------------------	------	-----------	----

REFERENCES

132

APPENDIX A	.135
Design Example of Converter System and ASDTIC	
Interface	135
1. Statement of Problem	135
a. Description of Process	135
b. Specifications	138
2. System Design	139
3. ASDTIC Interface Design	148
4. Comparison with Conventional Feedback Design	151
APPENDIX B	159
Electronic Analog Signal to Discrete Time Interval	

Converter, Semi-Annual Review of Research and Development, Electronic Components Research, Electronics Research Center, Cambridge, Massachusetts, June 1968 159

APPENDIX C		165	5
Definition of	Symbols	165	5

page

- 1. Transformation of source voltage  $e_s(t_1) \neq e_s(t_2)$ : 5 (a) scaling,  $e_s/e_o = \text{constant for any t}$ , (b)  $e_o = \text{constant for any t}$ .
- Voltage e of the source of electric energy consis 8 ting of a unipolar component e and a periodically varying component e with nonzero average content.
- Effects which cause errors in the output voltage
   of feedback controlled d.c. power converters.
- 4. Pulse train e<sup>\*</sup><sub>s</sub> derived by sampling of source 11 voltage e.
- 5. Forms of pulse modulation frequently used in power 12 processing: (a) pulse frequency modulated (PFM) rectangular wave, (b) rectified square wave, (c) pulse width modulated (PWM) rectangular wave, and (d) mixed PWM-PFM rectangular wave.
- 6. Common series chopper and the associated critical 16 waveforms.
- 7. Typical response characteristics of d.c. converter 17 with second order output filter.
- Biagram of power pulse modulater incorporating
   inversion process.

1 - Charles Process

ix

9.	Preprogrammed (shaded area) and actual pulse.	20
10.	Block diagram of pulse modulator with type 0 feed- back control mechanism.	21
11.	Symbolic diagram of series chopper regulator and its control mechanism.	24
12.	Symbolic diagram of d.c. feedback converter with "Disturbance" D(t).	25
13.	Attenuation of the first harmonic component of the chopping waveforms D(t).	26
14.	Irregularly shaped form of actual pulse train $e_i^*$ with property $A_k/T_{ok} = k_r e_r$ , entering converter output filter.	28
15.	Frequency spectrum of (a) <b>sour</b> ce voltage e <sub>s</sub> ; (b) samples e <sub>s</sub> <sup>*</sup> of figure 14. The vertical scale of line frequency spectra should be assumed to be logarythmic.	32
16.	Frequency spectra $ F_e $ of the source voltage $e_s$ and $ F_e * $ of a train <sup>S</sup> of uniform and periodic samples <sup>S</sup> thereof.	33
17.	Source voltage $e_s$ and the therefrom derived train $e^*$ in width modulated pulses.	34
18.	Irregularly shaped samples $e_s^*$ of source voltage	35

х

function e.

- 19. Rectangular pulses  $e_i^*$  with band limited (-f < f < f\_c) 36 frequency spectrum that is identical with  $e_s^*$  shown in figure 17.
- 20. Output voltage e at filter termination with 38  $B_k/T_{ok} = B_{k+1}/T_{ok+1}$ .
- 21. Pulse frequency mode sampled function e<sub>i1</sub>. 40
- 22. Vectorial presentation of samples e \* shown in 42 figure 20.
- 23. Frequency spectrum of the periodically sampled 45 function shown above; m. = 0.1.
- 24. Frequency sportrum of the aperiodically sampled 46 function shown above;  $m_{\rm c} = 0.1$ , N = 60.
- 25. Frequency spectrum of the periodically sampled 48 function shown above; m. = 0.1.
- 26. Frequency spectrum of the aperiodically sampled 49 functions shown above; m. = 0.1, N ≈ 500.
- 27. Attenuation a<sub>d1</sub> of the first spectral line of the 50 frequency spectrum of the function e<sub>in</sub> = 1 + m.sinβ by an aperiodic sampling process.
- 28. Attenuation per sample a<sub>d1</sub> for the first spectral 52

line of the frequency spectrum of the function  $e_{in} = 1 + m.sin\beta$  by an aperiodic sampling process.

- 29. Pulse modulation of the source voltage e at the 60 occurrence of a step in e.
- 30. Illustration of frequency ranges: f<sub>s</sub> of the sampled 61 function, f<sub>o</sub> the natural filter frequency, f<sub>F</sub> the sampling (pulse) frequency.
- 31. Electronic system for nondissipative conversion of  $e_s \rightarrow e_o$ .
- 32. Residual error  $v_R$  caused by lumped resistance  $R_l$  of 69 output filter inductor  $L_o$  and of its wires.
- 33. Symbolic diagram of DPM controlled d.c. converter. 75
- 34. Block diagram of analog signal to discrete time 79. interval converter ASDTIC for pulse frequency modulation.
- 35. PFM forming process of ASDTIC and the signal flow 80. associated with its block diagram shown in figure 34.
- 36. Block diagram of ASDTIC for pulse width modulation 85 (PWM) and its integration with a symbolically indicated converter system.
- 37. PWM process of ASDTIC and its signal flow associated 86 with its block diagram in figure 36.

xii

- 38. Symbolic diagram of DPM controlled d.c. converter 92 with added conventional "outer" feedback loop.
- Series inductor d.c. converter and its characteristic
   waveforms.
- 40. Symbolic diagram of the load insensitive, controllable 100 series capacitor inverter-converter with DFM control.
- Characteristic voltage and current waveforms of the 101 load insensitive, controllable series capacitor converter.
- 43. Dynamic characteristics of DPM controlled d.c. 108 converter for a ratio  $\omega_{\rm F}/\omega_{\rm O} = 50$ .
- 44. Block and circuit diagram of the basic ASDTIC system. 112
- 45. ASDTIC controlled series chopper d.c. converter. 113
- 46. Normalized variation of output voltage n and 126
   reference voltage n<sub>REF</sub> as a function of ambient
   temperature.
- 47. Normalized deviation of output voltage from refe 128
   rence voltage as a function of ambient temperature
   and input voltage v<sub>in</sub>.

- 48. Accuracy of ASDTIC controlled pulse modulator; test 130 data of microminiaturized prototype.
- A.1 Symbolic diagram of transformer-rectifier-filter 136 regulator system.

LIST OF TABLES.

- I. Methods of Pulse Modulation for Processing of Power. 14
- II. Attenuation a of Spectral Lines by Way of Pulse 57 Modulation at the Input Spectrals of the Output Filter for m < 0.1.</p>
- III. D.C. Error Indicators e<sub>pD</sub> Found in Open Loop DPM 72 Systems and Related to the Same Errors e<sub>pp</sub> of OFECDIC Systems.
- A.1. List of Output Voltage Error Components. 147

#### I. INTRODUCTION.

#### 1. Historical Background.

The presented system was developed in the Power Systems Laboratory of the Electronic Research Center, NASA, Cambridge, Massachusetts during the years 1966 and 1967 by the author [1] (see also Appendix B to this **Report**). Its development builds on previous work [2, 3]. After completion of development and testing of the then new ASDTIC system (Ch. VI) support of industry was engaged to transform it into a microminiaturized form [4]. The microminiaturized ASDTIC performed equally well (Ch. VI) and was first used in NASA programs.

The ASDTIC research program was transferred in July 1970 to the Lewis Research Center, NASA, Cleveland, Ohio. Work on its further development was continued in its laboratories and continues to be supported by indystry. A set of three different types of ASDTIC controlled d.c. power converters was fabricated and is now being evaluated in the Power Electronics Branch of the Lewis Laboratories and at other NASA Centers. Cooperation with the U.S. Electronics Command, Ft. Monmouth, N.J., and with the FAA led to development programs which are utilizing ASDTIC control systems in d.c. power converters.

2. Content.

An electronic control system is described that governs the operation of d.c. converters which employ nondissipative pulse modulation techniques

for scaling and stabilization of d.c. voltage or current.

The system is compatible with most known d.c. power converter circuits and is intended to serve as a multipurpose functional block for design and construction of these systems.

A control system was devised that improves

- a. the readily attainable static stability of d.c. power converters by
   approximately one order of magnitude, from + 1 percent output voltage
   tolerance to + 0.1 percent.
- b. **the dynamic be**havior of these power converters appreciably, based on a redu**ction** of the needed feedback gain by approximately one order of magnitude, and yet achieves an improved static stability.

The principles of nondissipative d.c. power conversion are reviewed in Chapter II. The therewith associated processes and objectives are described and the general terminology is defined.

The significant properties of the pulse modulated d.c. converter with conventional feedback control are reviewed and discussed in Chapter III. The compatibility of static with dynamic stability of this converter is investigated and the therewith associated limitations indicated.

Characteristics and commonalities of various pulse modulation systems are treated and analyzed in Chapter IV. The concept of pulse area control is introduced and shown to govern equally the known pulse width (PW) and pulse frequency (PF) modulation processes used for power conversion and control. The response of this type of converters to steady state variations and to continued and sporadic disturbances of the source voltage is analyzed; the capability of this deterministic pulse modulation process (DPM) to suppress the referred to variations and disturbances during open loop operation in the conventional sense is presented with quantitative indication of effectivness and limitations.

The material presented in Chapter IV includes the response of linear networks to recurrent and specifically programmed pulses. This process is mathematically formulated as the response of linear filters to periodic or aperiodic trains of uniform or nonuniform impulses. Formation of these pulses is governed by (1) the desired filter response and (2) the source voltage variations and disturbances. A process of synthesis is applied to arrive at general rules that govern the pulse forming process. The presented material is accordingly related to the concerned aspects of system and network theory.

The theory throughout Chapter IV is presented in engineering terms using intuition and plausibility arguments to introduce the significant system aspects. The existing rigorous mathematical basis was deleted as being beyond the scope of this presentation. Readers concerned, primarily, with circuit design aspects of the presented control system can omit this material and proceed to Chapter V.

One application of DPM processes for stabilization of d.c. power is introduced in Chapter V. The system concepts evolved in the preceding chapter are reformulated and translated into functional concepts. A signal converter is defined which (1) accepts analog signals emanating from the electronic power switch of the power converter's pulse modulator and which (2) translates these analog signals into discrete time intervals, such that the pulse forming electronic power switch is turned on and off to satisfy the intended power control purpose. Interaction of this analog signal to discrete time interval converter (ASDTIC) with the electronics of PW, PF and PW-PF modulated d.c. power converters is presented, including the interaction of the pulse modulation process with the therewith associated linear, passive, time invariant low pass filter.

Addition of conventional feedback techniques to remove residual errors of the output voltage is outlined. So called, "quasi DPM processes" are described which are used for dynamic stabilization of Type I feedback systems which integrate the feedback error. Finally, a brief outline of the dynamic stability problem is given; treatment of this topic is restricted to indication of the advantages of a comparativly small gain of the feedback amplifier, if any.

The embodiment of the functional mechanisms of DPM control as evolved in Chapter V into an electronic network is presented in Chapter VI. The functional objectives are stated. The electronic control system is implemented with application of a principle of autocompensation; it reduces and removes the errors caused by the electronic control mechanism itself by letting each component counteract the error it causes.

The significant sources of residual errors are indicated. Test results of ASDTICs in discrete component and thick film hybrid form are presented showing a tolerance of performance of  $\pm$  100 parts per million over the ambient temperature range from -55 to 100<sup>°</sup> C.

The conclusions presented in Chapter VII compare program goals with results and include recommendations for further work in this area. This includes an extension of the present frequency range of ASDTIC beyond 50 kHz and yet further improvement of the dynamic characteristics which ASDTIC imparts to d.c. power converters.

II. PRINCIPLES OF NONDISSIPATIVE D.C. POWER CONVERSION.

1. D.C. Power Conversion.

The purpose of the d.c. converter is to:

- a. scale the magnitude of the time varying unipolar voltage e(t) of a source of electric energy as illustrated in figure l(a) and/or to
- b. stabilize the resulting output voltage  $e_0(t)$  to remain within prescribed limits, illustrated in figure 1(b)



## Figure 1.

Transformation of source voltage  $e_s(t_1) \neq e_s(t_2)$ : (a) scaling,  $e_s/e_o = \text{constant}$  for any t, (b)  $e_o = \text{constant}$  for any t.

The following discussion applies, in principle, equally to scaling and stabilization of unipolar currents, commonly referred to as d.c.; appropriate modifications of arguments will have to be introduced for that purpose. Application of the described technology for control of unipolar currents is illustrated in chapter IV; the following presentation is, at first, restricted to voltage scaling and, in particular to, stabilization.

All low case letters which follow express functions of time, such as e<sub>s</sub>(t); symbol t in parathesis will be deleted, in general, unless characterization of time dependence of a function is emphasized. Some low case letters, such as k, l, m, n are often used as indices. All time invariant quantities are symbolized by capital letters.

The d.c. power conversion process can be characterized by the transformation of one function,  $e_s$ , to another  $e_o$ . The transformed quantities

$$e_{s}(t) \rightarrow e_{o}$$
(2.1)

are defined

 $e_s = e_t + e_t$ (2.2)

$$e_{o} = v_{o} + v_{o} + v_{F}$$
 (2.3)

and

- e<sub>ac</sub>, v = recurrent variations of e<sub>s</sub> and e<sub>o</sub> respectivly
   (such as line ripple and the resulting system
   response)

  - $E_s = \frac{1}{2}(e_s + e_s)$ , the nominal d.c. input voltage

 $V_0 = \frac{1}{2}(e_0 + e_{0})$ , the nominal d.c. output voltage

Voltage maxima and minima are specified design limits.

Statements (2.2) and (2.3) are rewritten, respectivly, as

$$e_{s} = e_{dc} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{sn} \sin(n\omega_{s}t + \phi_{n})$$
(2.4)

$$e_{o} = v_{o} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{osn} \frac{\sin(n\omega_{t} + \psi_{sn})}{\sin(n\omega_{s} + \psi_{sn})} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} V_{oFm} \frac{\sin(n\omega_{F} + \psi_{Fm})}{\sin(m\omega_{F} + \psi_{Fm})}$$
(2.5)

The second term in (2.4) is usually referred to as the "line ripple", except for temporary disturbances. Its first harmonic dominates the system behavior, as will be clarified further on. This expression is therefore often written as

$$e_{s} = E_{DC} + E_{s} s ine_{s} t$$
(2.6)

where  $E_{DC}$  is a "constant value" of  $e_{dc}(t)$  at a given time.

. . . . <u>.</u>

The above defined input voltage  $e_s$  and its common aspects is illustrated in figure 2.

그는 영향 집에 들었는 것 같 좋는 것



# Figure 2.

Voltage e of the source of electric energy consisting of a unipolar component e and a periodically varying component e with nonzero ac average content.

Relation (2.5) is often written as

$$e_{o} = v_{o} + V_{os1} \sin(\omega_{s}t + \psi_{s1}) + V_{oF1} \sin(\omega_{F}t + \psi_{F1})$$
(2.7)

with indication of the first harmonic components only, which yields useful results for engineering purposes in many cases. These simplifications are used to facilitate the analysis of the d.c. converter systems with respect to its steady state behavior, and may have to be considered for other purposes.



## Figure 3.

Effects which cause errors in the output voltage of feedback controlled d.c. power converters.

Relation (2.7) and the significance of the individual symbols are illustrated in figure 3. The maximum value of the composite ripple  $r_{p max} = V_{os1} + V_{off}$ 

$$\mathbf{v}_{o} = \mathbf{E}_{o} + \Sigma \, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{p} \tag{2.8}$$

where the  $\varepsilon_p$  are defined as maximum deviations of  $v_0$  from its maximal value  $v_{omax}$ , due to

$$R_{s} = \frac{e_{o}(at i_{o \min}) - e_{o}(at i_{o \max})}{i_{o \max} - i_{o \min}} | e_{s} = E_{s}$$

ε<sub>T</sub> yariations of power component characteristics caused by changes of operating temperature and by aging

- ε c limitations of accuracy of the electronic control system caused by intrinsic limitations of its functional mechanism, by temperature effects and aging of control electronics.
- 2. Non-Dissipative D.C. Conversion Processes.

Methods of pulse modulation have been used for non-dissipative d.c. to d.c. conversion for many years. They replaced the formerly used dissipative type series regulator which is now almost exclusivly restricted to low power level (10 Watt) converters and regulators for the supply of electric energy to various electronic systems.

The application of these methods is motivated by the necessity to avoid the generation and concentration of appreciable quantities of heat and the associated problems of heat disposal, and utilize the prime source of electric energy, such as solar, electrochemical or other power in the most cost effective manner.



Figure 4.

Pulse train  $e_s^*$  derived by sampling of source voltage  $e_s$ .

Pulse modulation is a nominally non-dissipative process which transforms a voltage  $e_s$  into a pulse train (see figure 4).

$$e_{s}^{*} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{k}(t)$$
(2.9)

where

$$g_{k}(t) = \begin{cases} e & \text{for } t_{k} < t < t_{k} + T_{k} \\ 0 & \text{everywhere else} \end{cases}$$

$$t_{k} = \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} T_{m} \neq T_{om} \neq T_{om+1}$$
(2.10)

The symbol # means: "not necessarily unequal". The volt-second integral of the kth pulse is indicated by the symbol  $A_k$  and defined by (4.3). A pulse train  $e_s^*$  as indicated in (2.9) and (2.10) is symbolically illustrated in figure 5(a) with de /dt = 0 for simplicity of graphic presentation.



Forms of pulse modulation frequently used in power processing: (a) pulse frequency modulated (PFM)\_rectangular wave, (b)\_rectified square wave, (c) pulse width modulated (PWM) rectangular wave, and (d) mixed PWM-PFM rectangular waye.

The pulse width

$$T_{k} \stackrel{*}{=} T_{k+1} \stackrel{*}{=} T \tag{2.11}$$

is not necessarily, but can be presumed to be equal unless otherwise indicated. If (2.11) holds then  $e_s^*$  represents a frequency modulated pulse train (PFM).

Figure 5(b) illustrates a succession of adjacent rectangular pulses which merels from rectification of the output voltage waveform of a "square wave" inverter. This process is used for scaling only since  $T_k = T_{k+1} = T_{ok} = T_{ok+1} = T_o$ .

. If

$$t_{k} = kT_{0}$$
(2.12)

where

$$T_{o} = T_{ok} = T_{ok+1}$$
 (2.13)

and

$$T_{k} \neq T_{k+1} \neq T$$
(2.14)

as illustrated in figure 5(a) then (2.9) and (2.12) through (2.14) represent a width modulated pulse train (PWM).

Likewise if (2.8) through (2.10) and (2.14) hold, then the pulse train

is both pulse width and pulse frequency modulated (PW-PTM). Such a pulse train is indicated in figure 5(d).

Modus Oper <b>andi</b>	Square Wave	PWM	PFM PWM-PFM	$\begin{array}{ccc} T_m = T & ) \\ T_m \neq T & ) & T_m \neq T \\ T_m \neq T & ) & om \end{array}$
Time In <b>tervels</b>	¢ <sub>k</sub> =∜k−1)% <sub>0</sub>	t <sub>k</sub> =(k-1) <b>%</b> o	1	$\mathbf{r}_{k} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathbf{T}_{oj}$
T <sub>k</sub> , T <sub>ok</sub> , <b>t</b> k	Ik=t	0 <t<b>/Tc&lt;</t<b>	(	) < T <sub>k</sub> /T <sub>ok</sub> < 1
Degrees of Freedom of Control	None	1	PFM	i PWM-PFM 2
k=1,2,3, to n	In Process	of Inversion	$e_{s}^{*}(t_{k})$	_( > 0 for odd ) ( < 0 for even) k

Table I. Methods of Pulse Modulation for Processing of Power.

\*\*T<sub>k</sub> = pulse width, T<sub>ok</sub> = pulse interval, and t<sub>k</sub> = instant of pulse initiation.

The degree of freedom rel**etee to the functions** that can be performed by certain pulse modulation processes:

None Square Wave. Evidently there is no way of altering the enveloppe of the pulse train if they form a continous signal consisting of adjacent blocks. 1 PWM. The enveloppe of the train of periodically repeating pulses can be shaped by varying the width of the individual pulses.

PFM. The same can be achieved by varying the intervals of pulses with equal areas  $A_{L}$ .

2 PWM-PFM. The enveloppe of this aperiodical train of nonuniform pulses can be shaped by varying the pulse width or their repetition rate or both. However, in addition to the requirement to form an enveloppe one can impose one more constraint on the modulation process since either of its features (PWM or PFM) by itself can satisfy this requirement. A second constraint can originate from a component limitation such as the saturation of magnetic core materials contained in network components.

The significant characteristics of pulse modulation processes are summarized in Table I.

If one uses the nominal values  $E_s$  and  $E_o$  for input and output voltage of a chopper and it is desired that

$$E_{o} = k_{e}$$
(2.15)

where

$$e_r = a$$
 reference voltage with property  $de_r/dt = 0$ 

then it is necessary that

$$k_{r} e_{r} T_{ok} = E_{s} T_{k}$$
(2.16)

This relation suffices for steady state conditions and after idealization of the concerned network with its associated voltage wave forms. One of the simplest d.c. converters which uses pulse modulation techniques is the series chopper as illustrated in figure 6. Only the power circuit is shown. The associated critical waveforms are indicate below in an idealized form for simplicity of presentation. The train  $e_s$ would, in reality, not have flat tops on the individual pulses.



Figure 6.

Common series chopper and the associated critical waveforms.

An indication of the static behavior and certain dynamic characteristics of this chopper is illustrated in figure 7. The individual phases of operation are summarized under the figure. It is emphasized that the series chopper is in its operation representative for the embodiment of a d.c. converter utilizing a pulse modulation process for implementation



Typical response characteristics of d.c. converter with second order

(a)\_Steady state ripple caused by:

- i. Continued source variations
- ii. Continued load impedance variations
- iii. Internal mechanisms of converter

- (b) Overshoots caused by delay between the demand of energy supply to the load and the surplus of energy stored in inductive elements anywhere in the power supply or power processing system. These overshoots occur at:
  - i. The start of the system
  - ii. Following sudden load changes
- (c) Load voltage regulation (deviation) caused by a variation in load current with limited steady state error correction capability of the converter control system.
- (d) Undershoot caused by suddenly increased current demand of the load.
- (e) Break down caused by an excessive current demand of the load,
  such as a short circuit current, and due to:

  Inability of the source to supply the demanded energy
  Protective measures taken by the power processor.
- (f) Rise time of output voltage of converter.
- (g) Settling time of the system.

Another significant embodiment operating on the same principle is the parallel inverter illustrated in figure 8. This system is, in essence, a two sided chopper which operates through a transformer and a rectifier into the same output filter as that of the chopper. The filters of both discussed systems "see" at their respective input terminals the same type of pulse train enter.





Diagram of power pulse modulator incorporating inversion process.

The above advantages of pulse modulation cited under this section are counterbalanced by newly introduced problems, such as: (a) an increase in the complexity of control, (b) a substantial increase in the significance of parasitic effects in fast switching power circuits causing new types of stresses on components and compounding the problems of system and circuit analysis, and (c) the occurrence of dynamic phenomena, such as inadequate insensitivity of the systems to steady state or transient disturbances in the primary supply of electric energy, the effects of inadequate and time varying component characteristics on the accuracy of the pulse modulation processes, and the limitation of attainable accuracy of system output control without compromising its needed reaction capability.

Above all, the needed system analysis becomes cumbersome because of

the introduction of techniques that could be characterized by (d) nonuniform sampling processes, such as modulation of the width of trains of periodically reoccuring pulses (PWM), (e) aperiodic sampling processes such as modulation of intervals between pulses of equal width (PFM) and (f) a concurrent combination of the PFM-PFM processes, as indicated before.

The difficulties of analysis with inadequate methods are further compounded by the fact that the actual power pulses do not correspond to the intended control signal, as illustrated in figure 9.



Figure 9.

Preprogrammed (shaded area) and actual pulse.

The preprogrammed "flat top" pulse intended in the time  $t_k \leq t \leq t_k + T_p$ and indicated by the shaded area is implemented in the illustrated form in the time interval  $t_k \leq t \leq t_k + T_p + T_d + T_f$ . Reconcilation of the discrepancy between the intended and the actual power pulse is attempted by application of feedback techniques, however, at the expense of at least two critical elements consisting of: (1) the addition of gain to the feedback amplifier and thus increasing the hazard of unfavorable dynamic system behavior and (2) an inadequate dynamic response capability due to the need for iterative adjustments to changing operating conditions where each iteration is delayed by the time constants of the system output filter. The block diagram of a representative pulse modulator with type 0 feedback control is indicated in figure 10 for clarification of the discussion.





Block diagram of pulse modulator with type 0 feedback control mechanism.

Each correction on the individual pulses of the pulse train  $e_s^*$  has to travel over a finite (and appreciable) time interval through low pass

filter F before influencing the feedback network and causing one of the iterations in response to a disturbance as referred to above. The adverse effects of this time consuming procedure of adjustment are self evident. They compound the inherently existing dynamic stability problem of these systems.

It is, in conclusion, pointed out that almost any feedback system with purely passive load can be "stabilized" by unrestricted application of damping in form of (RC) delays of the feedback signal. However, this occurs at the expense of the reaction capability of the system. The impairment of this capability is, usually, incompatible with the intended use of the system, which as a rule does not energize a purely resistive and time invariant load.

3. Objectives.

Reconcilation of the apparently conflicting characteristics of adequate static and dynamic behavior respectively was the motivation for the development of an alternative method of pulse modulation control.

The primary objective is to eliminate the time delay caused by the output filter when processing signal  $e_s^*$  for purpose of its transformation to  $e_o$ . This signal  $e_o$  should be available undelayed when  $e_s^*$  is being formed.

A secondary objective is to utilize the actual pulse waveforms as discussed with reference to figure 10 as information for the control process rather than to pretend the existence of fictitious ideal rectangular pulses for purpose of implementing actual control functions. III. THE D.C. CONVERTER WITH CONVENTIONAL FEEDBACK CONTROL.

The current state of the art of d.c. converter control is discussed first to provide a reference system for the presentation of more advanced control concepts and technolgy.

1. Principles of Control.

The filter of an electronic d.c. converter, such as a series chopper illustrated in figure 6 is, usually, designed to attenuate the harmonic content caused by the chopping process

$$e_{s}^{*} = a_{m} + \sum_{m}^{\infty} a_{s} \sin(m\omega_{F}t + \psi_{Fm})$$
(3.1)

to remain within acceptable and specified limits, as indicated with reference to (2.3) and illustrated in figure 3. The conventional chopper and its control system is illustrated in figure 11. Its "equivalent" presentation in form of a conventional control system is shown in figure 12. The chopping function is simulated by introducing  $e_{sac}^* = e_s^* - e_{sav}^*$ as disturbance D(t) into the shown port. The low frequency component  $e_{ac}$  of  $e_s$  is considered to be zero for purpose of this consideration.



Figure 11.

Symbolic diagram of series chopper regulator and its control mechanism.

The attenuation  $a_{Fm}$  of the mth harmonic component of  $e_s^*$  by such a filter within a feedback controlled chopper system illustrated in figure 11 is given by (see figure 13).

$$a_{Fm} = (m\omega_{F}/\omega_{O})^{2} \{ [(1 + A)_{(\omega_{O}}/m\omega_{F})^{2} - 1]^{\frac{2}{3}} + [2\xi\omega_{O}/m\omega_{F}]^{2} \}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(3.2)

where

 $\omega_{\rm F} = 2\pi f_{\rm F}$  and  $f_{\rm F}$  is the pulse (chopping) frequency  $\omega_{\rm O} = 1/\sqrt{\rm LC}$  being the undamped resonant filter frequency
$\xi = \sqrt{L/C}/2R$  being the damping constant of the load terminated filter

A = gain of the feedback loop





Symbolic diagram of d.c. feedback converter with "Disturbance" D(t):

Since  $a_2 < a_1$  in (3.1) for any usual "duty cycle"  $T_k/T_{ok}$  and  $a_{Fm}$  increases roughly with the square of  $m = 1, 2, \ldots$ , it follows that the first high frequency  $(f_F)$  harmonic component of  $e_s^*$  dominates the magnitude of the hf ripple of  $e_o$  as indicated in (2.7).

The amplitude  $V_{\phi F}$  of the hf ripple of e is, therefore,

 $V_{oF} \simeq V_{oF1} = a_1/a_{F1}$ 

The magnitude of the transfer function of the same chopper feedback





Attenuation of the first harmonic component of the chopping waveforms D(t).

t

e<sup>r</sup>

system is given by

$$\frac{1}{a_{F1}} = \frac{(\omega_o/\omega_F)^2}{\{[(1 + A)_{-}(\omega_o/\omega_F)^2 - 1]^2 + [2\xi\omega_o/\omega_F]^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
(3.3)

It is seen that this relation increases appreciably and indicates instability unless

$$(1 + A)(\omega_0/\omega_F)^2 << 1$$
 (3.4)

which puts a limit on A for a given  $\omega_0/\omega_F$ . Peaking of  $1/a_{F1}$  can be also avoided if  $(1 + A)(\omega_0/\omega_F)^2 >> 1$  such as 2 or more. It can be shown, that an undesirable condition involving the phase shift of  $v_F$  would occur. The unequality (3.4) imposes, therefore, the indicated restriction. Both,  $\omega_0$  is limited by size, weight and efficiency of filter components, and  $\omega_F$  is limited by an efficient operation of the switching electronic components.

The attenuation  $a_{sn}$  of the low frequency harmonic content  $e_{ac}$  of  $e_{s}$  stated in (2.2) by the same system, and for analogous **reas** one, especially, that of first harmonic component indicated in (2.6) is given with good approximation by

$$a_{sl} = \mathbf{E}_{sl} / \mathbf{v}_{sl} \approx \mathbf{A} \tag{3.5}$$

The capability of the system to suppress a low frequency (line) ripple is limited by the bounds imposed upon A by the effects of the chopping operation as evident in (3.4). Such a system can, therefore, not perform well the suppression of a low frequency harmonic content of  $e_s$  unless a high ratio  $\omega_F^{\prime}/\omega_o$  is used, which in turn is bounded by physical limitations. An analogous argument applies for the system response to the low frequency content of step changes in the source voltage  $e_s$ . High frequency,  $f_{hf}^{\prime}$ , disturbances of  $e_s$  will be attenuated by the system following relation (3.2) when  $\omega_F^{\prime}$  is replaced by  $\omega_{hf}^{\prime}$ .

## 2. Limitations.

The significant physical limitations of the conventional feedback controlled d.c. converter originate in:

a. the discrepancy between the signal which is being applied to the electronic power switch (CSS) and the resulting pulse voltage.
This is illustrated in figure 9 in a semi-idealized form, and presented resembling to its real appearance in figure 14.



### Figure 14.

Irregularly shaped form of actual pulse train  $e_s^*$  with property  $A_k^T = k_r e_r$ , entering converter output filter.

**b.** the need to engage the feedback control systems to reduce all errors  $\varepsilon_p$  in output voltage  $e_o$  illustrated in and discussed with reference to figure 3; the largest possible feedback gain A is required for that purpose.

- c. the risk of subharmonic oscillations (instability) if the feedback loop gain A is enlarged beyond the limitations imposed by (3.4), and the therefore
- d. enforced tolerance of variation of the system output voltage  $e_o$  from its nominal value  $E_o$  or
- e. the slow down of the reaction capability of the system below the various modes of subharmonic oscillation frequencies if a large feedback gain A is required, which compounds the problems of reaction to step changes in source voltage and loading.

Application of feedback stabilization techniques leads, in general, only to modest improvements of the system's dynamic behavior. It is difficult to move two complex conjugate poles in the complex plane to the left, by introduction of poles and zeros on the real axis, thus by use of "lead-lag" feedback networks. Tuning out of poles by superpossition of zeros and creation of **new** poles further to the left in the complex plane is possible by use of bridged T filters |5|. The effectivness of this approach is limited by the temperature dependence of filter components, especially the capacitors; the system becomes easily untuned and its dynamic behavior deteriorates accordingly. IV. DEFINITION AND DESIGN EQUATIONS FOR THE USE OF DETERMINISTIC PULSE MODULATION (DPM) TECHNIQUES.

A method was devised which forms a train of actual power pulses in a specific manner: when impressed upon a non-dissipative low pass filter, than the output of that filter will behave in a predetermined manner without a need in principle to utilize the filter output signal for application of corrective measures. This method distinghuishes itself from the conventional forms of pulse modulation, where a pulse of nominal width is programmed and this width is then modified by a feedback signal consisting of the amplified error  $\varepsilon$  between the reference signal  $e_r$  and the output voltage  $e_o$ . The designation of the presented method as deterministic pulse modulation is derived from this distinction.

The method applied for the purpose under consideration, its targets of achievements, and its limitations will be described in a qualitative manner. Sufficient information on quantitative results will be provided to allow the treatment of technical mechanisms which embody a functional philosophy governed by this method. A detailed quantitative treatment of the material under this chapter IV is not presented as explained under I.2.

A reconciliation of the requirements of (2.16) related to "well behaved" rectangular flat top pulses with the type of pulses shown in figure 14 is achieved if:

$$k_{r}e_{r}T_{ok} = \int e_{s}^{t}dt$$

$$t_{k}$$
(4.1)

The question arises: if in the time interval  $t_k < t < t_{k+1}$ 

as is the case in actual power electronics converters, and if

 $de_{dt} \neq 0$  at times or at all times

what is the effect on  $e_0$  defined in (2.3)? Or rephrased: What pulse modulation process has to be applied to transform the source voltage **as** defined by (2.2) via an actual pulse train  $e_s^*$  as shown in figure 14 and with the limitations indicated in figure 9, into a converter output voltage  $e_0$  defined by (2.3) and illustrated in figure 2, within the limitations associated therewith?

1. The Objectives of DPM.

These objectives are stated as:

a. "generation of a pulse train  $e_s^*(t)$  derived from a source voltage  $e_s$  in such a manner that all harmonic coefficients  $E_{sn}$  of  $e_s$  indicated in (2.4) and with order number  $n < n_c$  are reduced in magnitude below a prescribed level; the order number  $n_c$  corresponds to the cut off frequency of the filter which accepts the pulse train."



Figure 15.

Frequency spectrum of (a) source voltage  $e_s$ ; (b) samples  $e_s$  of figure 14. The vertical scale of line frequency spectra should be assumed to be logarithmic.

This intended purpose is illustrated (see figure 15) in form of the frequency spectrum of  $e_s$  and that of the therefrom derived train of pulses  $e_s^*$ . The spectral lines of  $e_s$  indicating the magnitude of the harmonic coefficients with frequency  $nf_s < n_c f_s = f_c$  are reduced by the pulse modulation process itself before the pulse train  $e_s^*$  enters the low pass filter as illustrated in figure 14. The filter then removes the high frequency components of  $e_s^*$  with order number  $n > n_c$ ; it follows that only the in size reduced remnents of the original frequency components of the source voltage  $e_s$  appear as components of the system output voltage  $e_s$ .

b. a continued retention of a constant average value of  $e_s^*$  as stated with (2.4) under all static and dynamic conditions of converter systems operation.

The underlying principles of this transformation  $e_s \rightarrow e_o$  are discussed in the following section.

2. The Harmonic Content of a Train of Samples (Pulses).

If a function  $e_s$  with the frequency spectrums  $|F_e|$  as shown in figure 16(a) is uniformly and periodically sampled with  $\frac{1}{8}$  sampling frequency  $f_F$ , then its frequency spectrum will repeat itself indefinitely in frequency intervals  $f_F$  as indicated in figure 16(b) [6].



Figure 16. Frequency spectra  $|F_e|$  of the source voltage  $e_s$  and  $|F_e*|$  of a train of uniform and periodic samples thereof.

If, however, (the Fourier transformable) function  $e_s$  as illustrated in figure 17 is sampled in agnonuniform and/or aperiodic way, then the frequency spectrum of the ensuing train of samples within the limited band  $-f_c < f < f_c$  is altered and neither the original spectrum of  $e_s$  nor that of  $e_s^*$  repeats itself at any frequency interval of the spectrum. There are spectral lines located outside the indicated band limits, as illustrated in figure 15(b); they are of little significance for the intended transformation, since they are cut off by the low pass filter indicated by a dotted line in same figure.

Pulse width modulation such as discussed with reference to figure 5(c) can be characterized as a nonuniform periodic sampling process. Such a process is illustrated in figure 17. This is, however, an idealized



Figure 17.

Source voltage  $e_s$  and the therefrom derived train  $e^*$  in width modulated pulses.

presentation as is recalled from study of figure 14. The argument will be made that although the energy for generation of the secondary voltage source  $e_0$  is derived from the source of electric energy with voltage  $e_s$ , it is not necessary that  $e_s^{*}(R) = e_s(t_k < t < t_k + T_k)$  in order to satisfy relation (4.1). In other words  $e_s^{**}(t)$  which derives its voltage from  $e_s$  need not have any resemblance to  $e_s$  as long as it fulfills other requirements such that the prescribed transformation of  $|F_{e_s}| < |F_{e_s}*|$ 

is attained for every line of the spectrum within the band limit  $-f_c < f < f_c$  governed by the low pass filter.

The preceding statement is discussed with reference to figure 18. Several pulsessoff a train  $e_s^*$  are shown, with individually different form, shape and pulse areas  $A_k$ , and apparently, derived from an



Figure 18. Irregularly shaped samples  $e_s^*$  of source voltage function  $e_s$ .

envelope e. A number of characteristics of this pulse train are significant. The pulse train e<sup>\*</sup> contains frequency components related to: a. its average value (zero frequency component) according to relation (4.1);

- b. its envelope e<sub>s</sub>;
- c. its sampling frequency  $f_F$ , or of its sampling frequency band  $f_F = f_F = f_F = f_F$ , if frequency modulated;

d. the shape and the location of the individual pulses.

The frequency components indicated under c. and d. are, apparently, of no practical interest because they are outside the filter cut off band limit. However, the shape of the individual pulses is entirely irrelevant, as long as (4.1) is satisfied, which in turn satisfies a. above. This means that from that point of view these pulses could have - mathematically speaking - any shape including rectangular shapes or that of impulses. This last form is very convenient for mathematical operations and is therefore chosen to discuss the transformation of the frequency components emanating from the source voltage  $e_s$  to conform to the limitation specified for  $e_o$ , concurrent with satisfaction of (4.1).

It is consistent with the preceding statement d. that a train of rectangular pulses  $e_s^*$  shown in figure 19 can replace the pulse train  $e_s^*$ shown in figure 18 as long as the respective individual pulse areas  $A_k$ and the thereto relate pulse intervals  $T_k$  are equal in magnitude with



It was implied above that the effects caused by the sampling frequency  $f_F$  lie outside the filter cut off limit. This interpretation of statement c. has only limited validity. There are two types of effects caused by the sampling process that should be distinguished. These are the effects caused by:

- cl. the mechanics of sampling and the therewith associated harmonic components with frequency  $f > f_c$ , and
- c2. the sampling mode and rate which reflect themselves into the harmonic components with frequency  $f < f_c$ , even though the pulse train satisfies the requirements of (4.1).

The first statement cl. is self evident for  $f_F > f_c$ . The last statement c2. is further clarified.

First, the objectives of the sampling process are recalled with reference to the discussion associated with figure 3. These objectives can be restated in the idealized form that the individual volt-second areas  $B_k$  of the system output voltage  $e_o$  in the time intervals  $T_o$  should have the property

$$E_{o} = B_{k}/T_{ok} = A_{k}/T_{ok}$$
(4.2)

with the tacit assumption that

$$A_{k} = \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} (4.3)$$

and (4.1) is satisfied for every closed time interval  $T_{ok}$ . The areas  $B_k$  are required to have (1) the appropriate average value and (2) a

harmonic content that falls within the limits discussed with reference to figure 3. A sketch of areas  $B_k$  within intervals  $T_{ok}$  is shown in figure 20 with some exaggeration of the indicated harmonic content for purpose of illustration.



## Figure 20.

Output voltage  $e_0$  at filter termination with  $B_k/T_{ok} = B_{k+1}/T_{ok+1}$ .

For purpose of the following argument it is assumed that the system output filter does not attenuate the harmonic components of  $e_s^*$  with frequency  $f < f_c$ . The mechanism by which the sampling process transforms the frequency spectrum of the sampled function  $e_s$  is discussed next.

a state of the

3. The Spectral Transformation Process.

The transformation

$$e_{s}(t) \rightarrow e_{0}$$
(2.1)

is accomplished by sampling  $e_s$  in such a manner that

- (a) (4.1) be satisfied over every closed time interval  $T_{ok}$ , and
- (b) all low frequency harmonic component  $V_{osn} \sin(n\omega_s t + \psi_{sn})$  in (2.5) fall within the limit discussed with reference to figure 3.

Attainment of condition (a) appears, at least in principle, plausible. Condition (b) would require, that all  $V_{osn}$  fall within these limits, preferrably, approaching zero.

The necessary requirements for attainment of these conditions will be stated, and the quantitative results given without proof, as cited in I.2.

A normalized source voltage function

$$e_{il} = 1 + m_i \sin\beta \tag{4.4}$$

and the therefrom derived train of samples

$$e_{i1}^{*}(\beta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Qe_{i1}(\beta_k)\delta(t - t_k)$$
(4.5)

as illustrated in figure 21 are considered first. Q is an arbitrary con-

stant and will be defined further on.



Figure 21. Pulse frequency mode sampled function e<sub>i1</sub>.

The index 1 of  $e_{i1}$  indicates that only the first harmonic component of a function  $e_i$  containing a number of such harmonic components is being considered. The ensuing results can be expanded to cover the other harmonic components of that function by application of the principle of superposition.

Function  $e_{11}$ , as defined in (4.4) is normalized to (1) an average value of unity, (2) a first harmonic frequency of  $1/2\pi$  and (3) a modulation index  $m_1 << 1$ . A modulation index  $m_1 > 0.5$  would not address itself in a meaningfull way to the technical problem at hand. The results are, of course, applicable to any problem of that kind.

a. An Aperiodic Uniform Sampling Process (PFM).

A number of N samples is chosen for each normalized time period of

 $2\pi$  seconds. The samples succeed each other in time intervals  $\Delta\beta_k$  such that

$$\beta_{k} = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \Delta \beta_{j}$$

analogous to (2.10). Pulse train (4.5) can be rewritten in form of an ordinary complex Fourier series

$$e_{i1}^{*}(\beta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{in}^{*} e^{jn\beta}$$
(4.6)

where the

$$c_{in}^{*} = \frac{Q}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e_{i1}^{*} e^{-jn\beta} d\beta$$
(4.7)

It is the objective to choose all time intervals  $\beta_k$  for k = 1, 2, ...Nin such a manner that all  $c_{in}^*$  approach zero for  $n \neq 0$ , and  $c_{io}^* = 1$ . All  $c_{in}^*$  with  $n \neq 0$  should be at least small enough to meet the required characteristic discussed with reference to figure 3. Constant Q is chosen so that  $c_{io}^* = 1$ .

The problem as stated in the preceding paragraph is further elucidated by performing the integration (4.7), using (4.5), resulting in

$$2\pi c_{in}^{*} = Q\{e_{i1}(\beta_{1})e^{-jn\beta_{1}} + e_{i1}(\beta_{2})e^{-jn\beta_{2}} + \dots + e_{i1}(\beta_{N})e^{-jn\beta_{N}}\}$$
(4.8)

since  $e_{i1}^{*}(\underline{\beta}) > 0$  at instant  $\beta_k$  only.

Letting

$$A_{k} = Q e_{i1}(\beta_{k})$$

$$(4.9)$$

leads (for n = 1) to

$$2\pi c_{\hat{1}\hat{1}}^{*} = A_{1}e^{-j\beta_{1}} + A_{2}e^{-j\beta_{2}} + \dots + A_{k}^{-j\beta_{k}} + \dots + A_{k}^{-j\beta_{k}} + \dots + A_{k}^{-j\beta_{k}}$$

$$+ A_{N}e^{-j\beta_{N}}$$
(4.10)

Relation (4.10) can be represented in vector form as illustrated in figure 22, where  $m_1 = E_1$ . The objective stated with relation (4.7)



requires that the sum of the projections of all vectors  $A_k$  on the horizontal and on the vertical axes, respectivly, be zero; this objective requires furthermore that the same be true if all angles  $\beta_k$  be doubled, tripled, and so on for  $n \approx 2, 3, \ldots, according$  to (4.8), whereby the associated  $A_k$  remain unaltered in magnitude and the origin be encircled n times by  $\beta_N$ .

A number of N transcendental equations can be formed from (4.8) to calculate the N angles  $\beta_k$  to meet concurrently the stated objectives.

It can be shown (as referred to under I.2.) that a solution of the stated problem exists, requiring that

$$\frac{A_k}{\Delta\beta_k} = \frac{A_{k+1}}{\Delta\beta_{k+1}} \tag{4.11}$$

and that under these conditions the first harmonic component in form of the modulation index  $m_i = E_i$  is attenuated by

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{J}} = \mathbf{N}/\pi \tag{4.12}$$

It can be shown, furthermore, that the higher harmonics of

$$\dots e_{in}^{*} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{n} e_{in} \sin(n\beta + \psi_n)$$
(4.13)

are attenuated through the described sampling process by

$$a_{dn} = N/\pi n \tag{4.14}$$

The diminishing attenuation  $a_{dn}$  of the harmonic coefficients in (4.13) with increasing n is offset by the, usually, even faster decrease of the magnitude of harmonic coefficients of a Fourier transformable function which may describe the source voltage  $e_s$  in its normalized form  $e_{in}$ . Primary attention is therefore given to the attenuation  $a_{d1}$  of the first harmonic component  $E_{i1}$ .

Examples of the effectivness of this process of pulse modulation are presented in a number of graphs which follow. Figure 23 illustrates the frequency spectrum of the periodically sampled function  $e_{in} = e_{i1}$  as defined in (4.4), the magnitude of spectral lines being indicated by  $|F_{e_{i1}P}|$ . In this case is  $c_{i1} = c_{i1}^{*}$ , the c's being the first harmonic coefficients of  $e_{i1}$  and  $e_{i1}$ , respectivly. The following figure 24 shows the frequency spectrum  $|F_{e_{i1}}| e_{i1}$  of an aperiodical train of samples generated in accordance with (4.8) and (4.11). It is seen that the first harmonic component of  $e_{i1}$  with relative magnitude  $m_i = 0.1$  was reduced in accordance with (4.12); yet other spectral lines of appreciable magnitude were generated at the order number of frequencies  $n = f/f_s = 50$ . The indices p and a refer to periodic and aperiodic sampling processes, respectivly. The subscript n of  $e_{in}$  signifies the normalization of the function.

The advantage of the described process demonstrates itself in form of a frequency shift of the required filter cut off boundary, which was moved from  $f_{corr} < f_{corr}$  to  $f_{ca}/f_{s} \simeq 40$ . If it is, furthermore, realized that the cut off frequency of a simple LC filter as indicated in figure 15(b) decreases with the square of the order number n of the spectral lines, then one significant aspect of the effectivness of the applied powerful tool reveals itself distinctly; the requirements for a filter to attenuate low frequency line disturbances (line rigele) are, therewith, drastically reduced in electrical



Frequency spectrum of the periodically sampled function shown above;  $m_i = 0.1$ .

.

45

Į



1

Figure 24.

Frequency spectrum of the aperiodically sampled function shown above;  $m_i = 0.1$ , N = 60.

values and, therefore, both in weight and in size.

The significance of the described process is rooted in the fact that the band limited  $(-f_c < f < f_c)$  spectral content of the original source voltage function  $e_s$  is transformed by the sampling process itself before even entering the low pass filter. This filter removes only the high frequency components caused by the mechanics of the sampling (pulse modulation) process as referred to under cl. above.

A further insight into the effectivness of this process is gained by analysis of another wave form with a more extended harmonic content, such as

$$e_{in} = 1 + (-1)^{h} g(\beta)$$
 (4.15)

where

$$m_{i} \text{ for } \pi h < \beta < \pi (h + 1)$$

$$g(\beta) = \{$$

$$0 \text{ everywhere else}$$

and

$$h = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
  
2h = k = 0, 1, 2; each k is related to one cycle of e...  
in

The frequency spectrum of the periodically taken uniform samples of this function is shown in figure 25. The same function is then sampled in an aperiodic manner (N = 500) such as discussed with



Frequency spectrum of the periodically sampled function above;  $m_i = 0.1 F_i$ , N= 60.



.

Frequency spectrum of the aperiodically sampled function shown above;  $m_i = 0.1$ , N = 500.



# Figure 27.

Attenuation  $a_{d1}$  of the first spectral line of the frequency spectrum of the function  $e_{in} = 1 + mms \sin\beta$  by an aperiodic sampling process.

.

reference to figure 24 (N = 60), but this time with a higher relative sampling frequency. The result is shown in figure 26.

It is seen that the spectral lines with order number n < 180 have all but disappeared, which is due to the high sampling rate as indicated by (4.12) and (4.14).

The attenuation  $a_{d1}$  of the first spectral line of  $e_{in}$  as defined in (4.4) as a function of the number N of samples per period is shown in figure 27.

It is seen that relation (4.12) holds for a given modulation index m and for the various N. A change of  $a_{d1}$  occurs when the modulation index increases to 0.5 as seen on the same graph, although this new value of  $a_{d1}$  remains linarly proportional to N. An investigation of the effects of the modulation index m. shows that the attenuation  $a_{d1}$  of the first harmonic coefficient of  $e_{in}$  remains proportional to N once the appropriate factor for the given modulation index is established.

The results of this investigation are shown in figure 28 where  $a_{d1}$  is related to  $m_i$ .



Figure 28.

Attenuation per sample  $a_{d-1}$  for the first spectral line of the frequency spectrum of the function  $e_{in} = 1 + m \cdot \sin\beta$  by an aperiodic sampling process.

Lt follows that once the frequency spectrum of the source voltage function  $e_s$  is known one can chose the sampling rate in such a manner that the band limited frequency spectrum of  $e_o$  will have a specific and predictable content. The designation of this pro-

cess as deterministic pulse modulation is based on this property of the described process.

b. A Nonuniform Periodic Sampling Process (PWM).

The spectral transformation can be also implemented by application of a pulse width modulation process, described in the discussion with reference to figure 5.

The mathematical treatment of this process can be carried out by describing it in form of a nonuniform periodic sampling process. Similar and analogous reasoning is applied for that purpose, consistent with the arguments presented in the initial phase of this chapter; these were used to justify the mathematical treatment of a process of pulse frequency modulation by way of uniform and aperiodic sampling.

Analysis shows (referred to under I.2.) that the first harmonic component can be, ideally, completely suppressed with application of that process. The ensuing vector diagram can be viewed to be similar with the one depicted in figure 22 indicating the intrinsic mechanics of a uniform and aperiodic sampling process which lead to the ensuing effects as illustrated in figures 24 and 26 through 28. However, the new vector diagram, applicable to PWM would contain a set of individually weighed vectors  $A_k$  which are spaced with equal angles in the complex plane  $nk\Delta\beta = n2\pi k/N$ . The time intervals  $\Delta\beta$ between samples are uniform and given by

 $\Delta \beta = 2\pi/N \tag{4.16}$ 

The vectors  $A_k$  follow the same (broken curve) contour  $e_{i1}(\beta)$ ,

however, with weights signifying the respective pulse width such that an analogous effect is achieved as pursued with the process of aperiodic uniform sampling (PFM), namely to let constant Q introduced with (4.7) assume a value such that i.

$$\frac{Q}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N} e_{11}^{*}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N} A_{k} = 1$$

ii. all sums of the projections of the vectors  $A_k$  on the horizontal and on the vertical axes approach zero for any n, except for n = 0.

The nth Fourier coefficient  $c_{in}^{*}$  of  $e_{in}$  as defined by (4.7) and (4.13) in a general manner is given in this case by:

$$c_{in}^{*} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N} A_{k} e^{-jn2\pi k/N}$$
(4.17)

For reasons of symmetry it is possible to weigh the vectors  $A_k$  which are equally spaced in time with intervals  $\Delta\beta$  in such a manner that ii. above can be, indeed, satisfied for n=1, not only by way of approximation, but completely.

The technical effectivness of this process is, however, curtailed by the inadequacies of physical electronic switches, as discussed with reference to figure 9.

The complete removal of the first harmonic component of  $e_{in}$  as indicated above is, in theory, only possible if the number of N samples fits exactly into one normalized period  $2\pi$ . This is, in turn, predicated on the requirement stated in (4.16). It will be seen in chapter V that once the electronic control mechanism is established, then a satisfaction that  $N\Delta\beta = 2\pi$  for each cycle would require an almost prohibitive electronic complexity. Fortunately, nature is gracious and strict satisfaction of neither of the theoretical requirements is necessary in order to obtain engineering results well within acceptable limits.

Preference could be given to PWM over PFM in cases where suppression of the first harmonic component of  $e_s$  is of paramount importance, provided that physical limitations of the power electronic mechanisms and certain system stability considerations are compatible with that approach.

c. A Nonuniform and Aperiodic Sampling Process (PWM-PFM).

The spectral transformation discussed in this chapter can be implemented by combining the two described processes of pulse width and pulse frequency modulation to one composite process (PW-PFM) as discussed with reference to figure 5(d) in chapter II. The combination of these two processes has several advantages:

- cl. The attenuation of the first harmonic component of  $e_{in}(\beta)$  can be improved over the results given for PFM with relation (4.12) and discussed with reference to figures 24, and 26 through 28.
- c2. The improvement cited under c1. above can be implemented under mitigation of the restrictions imposed on the attenuation of higher order harmonics by application of PWM, as indicated under IV.3.b. above. In other words: a reduction of the band limited (-f<sub>c</sub> < f < f<sub>c</sub>) spectral lines of  $e_s$  shown in figure 15

by at least the measure indicated by (4.14) is possible, concurrent with the above cited (c1.) improvement.

- c3. A second degree of freedom inherent in this method of pulse modulation, described in the discussion with reference to figure 5(d) and summarized in Table I can be used to:
  - i. Overcome a physical limitation of the power electronics system such as the saturation limit of a magnetic device (transformer) containing a saturable core material, or the inadequency of electronic switching devices illustrated in figures 9 and 14, or
  - ii. Satisfy dynamic system stability requirements concurrent with satisfaction of the static system stability requirements, meaning that control of the system output voltage regulation remain within the limits of tolerance indicated and discussed with reference to figure 3. One of two degrees of freedom of the composite PW-PFM process is used, respectivly and independently, in support of the objectives of static and of dynamic system stability, as referred to under this letter ii.

A disadvantage of the composite PW-PFM system is that the power converter cannot be synchronized to an external periodic signal because it contains the frequency modulated element. This limitation restricts its use to so called "free running" systems, where the internal frequency of operation is irrelevant.

The question of whether it is necessary at all to synchronize the internal workings of a d.c. converter is in itself a contraversial one. Yet, the indicated technical restriction of the PW-PFM remains an inherent property of that, otherwise, advantageous system.

The material was, so far, largely discussed in terms of normalized quantities such as the voltage  $e_{i1}$ , containing only one single harmonic component with coefficient  $E_{i1}$ , a voltage  $e_{in}$ containing an infinite number of harmonic components with coefficients  $E_{sn}$ , the time  $\beta$  in radians and the normalized frequency of  $1/2\pi$  Hz. All results stated in normalized form are equally applicable to the analogous common values of source voltage  $e_s$ , its harmonic coefficients  $E_{sn}$  and any common source yoltage frequency  $f_s = \omega_s/2\pi$  Hz.

The significant results indicating the attenuation  $a_{dn}$  of the nth harmonic coefficient  $E_{sn}$  of a source function  $e_{s}$  which is chopped into N pulses per period  $P_{s} = 1/f_{s}$  for a given mode of pulse modulation, remain unaltered. They are directly applicable to any related engineering problem at hand.

The results of this chapter are for convenience summarized in Table II below.

#### Table II.

Attenuation a of Spectral Lines by Way of Pulse Modulation at the Input Terminals of the Output Filter for  $m_i << 1^*$ 

	<sup>a</sup> d I	$a_{dn} n > 1$
PFM	$\sim N/\pi$	$\sim N/\pi n$
PWM	> N/π	< $N/\pi n$
PW-PFM	$> N/\pi$	$\sim N/\pi n$

 $m_{i} = \frac{E_{s1}}{E_{s}} = \frac{E_{s1}}{E_{s}}$  where **is** the periodic content of the **source voltage e** and  $E_{s}$  is its nominal value as stated with (2.2) and the therewith associated definitions.

N = number of pulses per period  $P_s = 1/f_s$  of the fundamental frequency  $f_s$  of  $e_s$ ; the number  $f_{Fav}$  of pulses per second is Nf<sub>s</sub>.

\*for other values of m < 0.65 see figure 28.

4. Spectral Transformation of Aperiodic Source Functions.

So far, two significant aspects of transformation of the function  $e_s \rightarrow E_o$  stated by (2.1) have been considered with the objectives to

1a. scale and stabilize a d.c. voltage  $e_s$  by means of time ratio  $T_k/T_{ok}$  control of a pulse train  $e_s^*$  derived from  $e_s^*$ 2a. remove the low frequency harmonic components contained in  $e_s$ 

It was assumed that

$$e_{s}(t) = e_{s}(t + kP_{s})$$

with

No time restriction was imposed on the magnitude of  $P_s$ . It appears that the reasoning used for analysis should be independent of the magnitude of the period  $P_s$ , even if that period

 $P_s \rightarrow \infty$ 

The fundamental frequency  $f_s$  would indeed become smaller and smaller, but by the same token would the number N of pulses per period increase for given  $T_{ok min} < T_{ok} < T_{ok max}$ . The attenuation  $a_{dl}$  of the first harmonic component  $E_{sl}$  remains given by (4.12) and will therefore increase with the number **# of pulses per "period"** of  $e_s$ , whatever the length of the period **#**. The length of **P** remains finite for any practical system and the analysis will hold for that reason.

It can be shown that the results summarized in Table II will hold also, if the number N of samples (pulses) per period  $P_s$  increase without limit.

The attenuation of the spectral lines under discussion will then take place even if  $P_s \rightarrow \infty$  and  $f_s \rightarrow 0$ ; nevertheless, they remain finite in magnitude because of physical limitations.

One classical case in which an aperiodicity of the source function becomes significant is that of the occurrence of a step in e<sub>s</sub>, as illustrated in figure 29. The high frequency content contained in



# Figure 29.

Pulse modulation of the source voltage,  $e_s$  at the occurrence of a step in  $e_s$ .

the step of  $e_s$  which occurs in the interval  $t_k < t < t_k + T_k$  is never "seen" by the low pass filter which accepts the pulse train, because the pulse behaves according to the requirements of (4.1); its pecular shape is entirely irrelevant under these conditions as stated under and discussed with reference to IV.2.d.

One aspect that gains significance is the low frequency content in the step, which is the classical cause for over- and undershoots in the output voltage  $e_0$ . It is one of the fortunate properties of the described pulse modulation systems that the harmonic components with frequency  $f_F$  that mayybe generated by the step in  $e_s$ and which lie outside the filter cut off frequency, and which will not be removed as part of  $\hat{a}$  "peculiar" pulse shape as indicated above, will be suppressed by the filter. Those harmonic components Swith frequency  $f_s$  that lie inside the frequency band limit
$(-f_c < f < f_c)$  of the filter will be suppressed by the modulation process itself as described throughout this chapter. The location of the various spectral lines and the associated groups of frequency components are indicated in figure 30 for convenience.



Figure 30.

Illustration of frequency ranges:  $f_s$  of the sampled function,  $f_o$  the natural filter frequency,  $f_F$  the sampling (pulse frequency).

Physical limitations of the power apparatus may impair the indicated advantages of the described pulse modulation systems, especially the distributed shunt capacitance of the filter series inductor and the series inductance and resistance of the shunt capacitor. Appropriate design can mitigate these effects and will be indicated in the following chapters. ŧ

5. Design Requirements for Power System Control.

A control system which implements the pulse modulation processes that were described in this chapter will acquire information on the size and shape, or more specifically the area  $A_k$  of each indiyidual pulse which enters the low pass filter. This system will, in principle be of the form shown in figure 31.



# Figure 31.

Electronic system for nondissipative conversion of  $e_s \rightarrow e_o$ .

The kth pulse  $e_s^{*}(k)$  is "observed" by control block H during the process of its formation and compared with the requirements of the control signal  $e_r$ , which is here sketched as a curve for purpose of generality. Block H governs the controlled sampling switch CSS and operates it in such a way, based on signals  $e_r$  and  $e_s^{*}$  for  $t_k < t < t_{k+1}$  that relation (4.1) is satisfied for each of the

62

individual intervals. Satisfaction of (4.1) is requisite to any of the previously discussed forms of deterministic pulse modulation; the specific form depends on the internal mechanism of block H; it will be discussed in more detail in the following chapter.

The significant aspect of the symbolic diagram shown in figure 31 is the fact that the pulses are being formed in the presence and under the influence of the control signal  $e_r$  and of the pulse itself there and then, and without delay by any filter.

If block H can, indeed, operate switch CSS in the described manner, then the needed minimum interval frequency of operation of a planned d.c. power converter to reduce the harmonic content of  $e_s$  stated in (2.4) to be confined within the required limits stated in (2.5), can be determined by selection of the appropriate number N of pulses from Table II.

a. Attenuation of the harmonic content caused by the internal chopping process.

The high frequency content of  $e_0$  caused by the pulse modulation process is contained by a second order (LC) low pass filter within specified limits for a given case by letting

$$a_{\rm m}/V_{\rm oFm} = a_{\rm Fm} \stackrel{\rm v}{=} (m\omega_{\rm F}/\omega_{\rm o})^2$$
(4.18)

where

a = the coefficient of the mth harmonic frequency component of e \* caused by the lowest chopping rate v<sub>oFm</sub> = the maximum allowable filter response to this mth harmonic component, as specified

$$\omega_F = 2\pi f_F$$
, where  $f_F$  is the lowest frequency component caused  
by the chopping process

- $\omega_{o} = 1/\sqrt{LC}$ , being the natural undamped resonant frequency of the second order LC filter
  - m = the order number of the harmonic component under consideration

To calculate the harmonic coefficient  $a_m$  defined in and by the discussion with reference to (3.1), it is convenient to normalize numerator and denominator of the ratio stated in (4.18) for convenience without causing any change in its meaning or magnitude. This ratio is rewritten

$$a_{mn}/V_{oFmn} = \frac{a_m/a_o}{V_{oFm}/E_o} = a_{Fm}$$
 (4.19)

where index n indicates a normalization.

If  $a_0 \approx E_0$  as in the case of a simple chopper as illustrated in figure 11, then (4.19) is reduced to (4.18). If a transformer effect causes a ratio  $a_0/E_0 \neq 1$  then (4.19) becomes more meaningful since it expresses the desired ripple attenuation in terms of a fraction, as specified in most cases.

The relative (normalized) magnitude of the mth harmonic a of  $\min_{mn}$ 

 $e_s^*$  caused by the chopping process is given by

$$a_{mn} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{m\pi} \left\{ 1 - (-1)^m \cos \gamma \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(4.20)

where

$$\gamma = \pi (2d - 1)$$

and

d = the smallest of the largest steady state duty cycle  $T_k/T_o$  of the planned pulse train  $e_s^*$ , whichever would cause the largest a mn

In most cases it suffices to consider the first harmonic component only, which results in

$$a_{1n} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \left\{ 1 + \cos\gamma \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(4.21)

For the approximation of a "standard" duty cycle d = 0.5, is

$$a_{\ln} = 4/\pi$$

$$(4.22)$$

If it is specified that V / E be less than p percent then

$$a_{Fm} > 10^2 \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{p\pi} \{1 - (-1)^m \cos \eta \}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (4.23)

$$a_{F1} > 4 10^2 / \pi p$$
 (4.24)  
d = 0.5

The undamped natural frequency  $\omega_0$  of the filter is then readily calculated from (4.19), whichever expression is used to calculate the needed attemmation of the high frequency harmonic components with the minimum fundamental frequency  $f_{\rm F}$  min.

b. Requirements for d.c. output voltage error confinement.

The material contained in this chapter and its significance for the output voltage  $e_0$  can be reviewed qualitativly in connection with a reinspection of figure 3., which is here reproduced for convenience.

- bl. The high frequency ripple consisting primarily of the first harmonic component with amplitude V of an open loop DPM system will not differ significantly from that of an ordinexy feedback controlled d.c. power converter (OFECDIC), provided (3.4) is satisfied. The term "öpen loop" is used to indicate that no signal is being fed back from the output terminals of the converter system to affect in any manner the pulse modulation process.
- b2. The low frequency component of e<sub>s</sub> is reduced by the open loop DPM system according to the relations indicated in Table II under IV.3.c. unlike the case of the OFECDIC system which requires a gain A in the feedback loop for that purpose. The effectivness of the DPM systems low frequency ripple reduction

and





٩,

Effects which cause errors in the output voltage of feedback controlled d.c. power converters.

67

depends on the number N of pulses per period P<sub>s</sub> and is limited by the practicality of high frequency chopping operation in the light of system efficiency and reliability.

- b3. All output voltage level errors  $\varepsilon_i$  which are due to variations of the input voltage level indicated by  $e_{dc}$  in (2.2) are eliminated by adjustment of the average value of the pulse train  $e_s^*$  entering the low pass filter, stated in (4.17). The d.c. error component  $\varepsilon_i$  indicated in figure 2 is therewith reduced to zero.
- b4. Error components  $\varepsilon_R$  and  $\varepsilon_T$  caused by effects that precede the input terminals of the output filter in the sense of power flow are, likewise, eliminated by virtue of (4.17) which governs the pulse train actually entering that filter. This comprises most of the causes of  $\varepsilon_R$  and  $\varepsilon_T$  error components in a converter.

However, a residual cause of errors  $\varepsilon_R$  and  $\varepsilon_T$  is located in the resistive components of the output filter inductor and the wiring between input filter terminals and the load. The effect of this resistive component can be estimated from the specified or chosen design efficiency of the output filter inductor, and if applicable, the resistance of the (ground) power return wire. See figure 32.

If a relative power loss of  $1 - n_{1}$  at full load is designed into the output filter inductor then the ensuing no load-full load voltage variation, is determined.

The error  $\varepsilon_{RL}$  caused by the series resistance R<sub>0</sub> of the induc-



69

\_ **is** 

tor is given by the ratio

$$\epsilon_{\rm RL}^{\prime}/E_{\rm o} = \rho_{\rm i}^{2}R_{\rm l}^{\prime}/R_{\rm L}$$
(4.25)

identifying the cause of  $\varepsilon_{\rm RL}$  as the effect of a resistive yoltage divider. At no load is  $R_{\rm L} \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\varepsilon_{\rm RL} \rightarrow 0$ . Symbol  $\varrho_{\rm i}$  signifies the current form factor  $i_{\rm rms}/i_{\rm av}$  as defined in the literature |6|. When  $R_{\rm L}$  assumes its smallest value  $R_{\rm Lf.l.}$  at full load then is

$$1 - \eta_{\ell} = \rho_{i}^{2} R_{\ell} / R_{Lf.1.} = \epsilon_{RL max} / E_{o}$$
(4.26)

which shows that the maximum relative "no load - full load" error caused by the inductor is identical with the relative power loss in that inductor.

If, for instance, 1 - n = 0.02 and the nominal output voltage I. is "contoured" about its average value 0.01 then the relative error  $c_{\rm RL}/2L$  caused by the resistance R<sub>2</sub> of the inductor will be  $\pm 1$  %. Pomprful tools will be shown further on to remove this residual error which is not eliminated by the pulse modulation methods in the discussed form.

The efficiency  $n_{\ell}$  of the inductor at full load can be calculated at the highest wire temperature. The effect  $\varepsilon_{TL}$  concerning the inductor alone is then included. It is, again, only a small fraction of the former  $\varepsilon_{T}$  of the OFECDIC system because the pulse train  $e_{s}^{*}$  removes the effects caused by variations of temperature in all components preceding the formation of that pulse train.

One significant effect caused by changing ambient temperature is the voltage variation of the voltage reference source, usually a Zener diode which at times is temperature compensated. This voltage variation cannot be remedied by any known electronic mechanism. Fortunately the error caused by the reference voltage source is usually small compared to the other temperature caused errors of the OFECDIC systems.

- b5. The effect  $\varepsilon_c$  of variations in the accuracy of the control system can be all but eliminated by devising the concerned electronics in an appropriate manner which applies a principle of autocompensation; this principle will be treated further on. The error  $\varepsilon_c \rightarrow 0$  under these conditions.
- c. Summary of system design requirements.

The system characteristics which result from the application of a deterministic pulse modulation process are compared to conventional feedback methods; they are summarized in Table III. The index D has been added to the d.c. error indices  $\varepsilon_{\rm p}$  to indicate the association of these terms with the discussed DPM method; suffixes F have been added to the indices of the errors  $\varepsilon_{\rm p}$  associated with conventional feedback systems.

71

Table III.

D.C. Error Indicators  $\epsilon_{pD}^{}$  Found in Open Loop DPM Systems and Related to the Same Errors  $\epsilon_{pF}^{}$  of OFECDIC Systems.

## Remark

V <sub>of</sub> id = V <sub>of</sub> if	No change in h.f. ripple, provided $A(\omega_0/\omega_F^2)^2 << 1$
E <sub>s1</sub> + V <sub>os1</sub> * E <sub>si</sub> */W	Attenuation attained without conventional feedback loop; A = 0.
CD C CF	c this error component by at least one order of magnitude
ε <sub>n</sub> → k ε <sub>n</sub>	inductor resistance only k << 1 Autocompensation reduces
$\epsilon_{\rm TD} \stackrel{\Rightarrow}{\to} {}^{k}{\rm T}^{\epsilon}{\rm TF}$	k <sub>T</sub> << 1 Reference Zener diode and
$\epsilon_{\rm RD} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow} {}^{\rm k}{}_{\rm i} \epsilon_{\rm RF}$	k. << ! Inductor resistance only i
$\epsilon_{iD} \rightarrow 0$	Feed forward effect

An examination of Table III indicates that the open loop results of the DPM system are comparable with the closed loop results of the OFECDIC system. If one attaches quantities to the improvement, then the advantages of the DPM system become even more obvious.

- cl. The DPM system can attain an open loop static system stability that is comparable to the same stability of an OFECDIC system. If an outer loop were added to the DPM system, then the magnitude of the feedback gain A would be at least one order of magnitude smaller in order to attain an equal d.c. accuracy.
- c2. Alone the fact of a smaller feedback gain A, if any, makes the dynamic behavior of the DPM system superior to the OFECDIC systems, not counting the aspects of prevention of source voltage noise penetration into the system and its output yoltage.

## V. APPLICATION OF DPM PROCESS.

The process of deterministic pulse modulation was, originally, conceived to control the power flow into second order filters as customary with a larger class of d.c. converters. These comprise d.c. choppers in form of so called "mack" or "most" regulators, and systems containing parallel or series inverters, followed by rectifiers and second order (LC) filters.

During work on the application of DPM processes it became apparent that they could be used with advantage to control other types of d.c. converters including those terminating in first order filters. This includes "fly back or energy ladling" circuits, also referred to a series inductor converters or to series capacitor converters.

Control of the named systems by DPM processes is introduced, and the significant aspects are discussed.

1. Concepts of Control.

The pulse train  $e_s^*$  entering a low pass filter such as illustrated in figure 14 is being fed into an electronic control system. Signal  $e_s^*$  is sensed by establishing a connection to the input terminal of the output filter; it is being attenuated by a factor  $k_s$  and then **enters** the control system. This is symbolically indicated in figure 33.



# Figure 33.

Symbolic diagram of DPM controlled d.c. converter.

Another signal fed to the electronic control system is that  $\hat{o}f$ a d.c. reference source  $e_r$ , attenuated by a factor  $k_r$ . These two signals are added algebraically to form the sum

$$x(t) = k_{s}e_{s}^{*} - k_{r}e_{r}$$
 (5.1)

This sum if fed into an <u>analog</u> (signal) to <u>discrete</u> (time) <u>inter-val</u> A/DI converter which transforms this information into an unbroken succession of alternating discrete time interval 0101..... signals; this A/DI converter governs the controlled sampling switch CSS in such a manner that it is closed during a one (1) position, and opened during a zero (0) position of the DI signal (see figure 33.).

Xs.

It is emphasised that this DI signal <u>is not</u> a digital signal in the currently accepted sense of electrical engineering language. Digital signals are assumed to be clock driven and to occupy a succession of periodic time intervals. This DI signal does consist of a succession of 0101.... values, but the time spaces of this succession vary according to the intended pulse time ratio  $T_k/T_{ok}$  prominently used throughout the treatment of the presented methods and contained in relations (2.16) and (4.11). The time pattern of this signal can contain periodic elements such as a fixed time interval from one 1 to the next 1 for PWM; but the width of J's and O's will vary. PFM and PW-PFM processes do not introduce any periodic elements into the DI signal. It is, therefore, referred to as a DI signal rather than a digital signal.

It depends on the programming of the DI converter whether the system will operate in the PWM, PFM or PW-PFM mode.

Purpose of the DI converter is to implement the pulse modulation methods described throughout this work and summarized in Table I to achieve the results listed in Tables II and III. One of the primary requisites to achieve these results is satisfaction of (4.1) which can be put into a more rigorous form:

$$t_{k+1} = t_{k+1}$$

$$k_r f e_r dt = k_s f e_s^* dt$$

$$t_k = t_k$$

This relation is, certainly satisfied if

$$f_{k}^{k+1} = 0$$
 (5.2)  
 $f_{k}^{t} = 0$  (5.2)

It is concluded that if (5.2) holds for each individual time interval  $T_{ok} = t_{k+1} - t_k$ , then the ensuing pulse modulation process will materialize all results listed in the tables cited above, which summarize the reasoning and discussions associated with the individual goals in terms of intended effects.

Relation (5.2) does not impose any restriction on the shape of the individual pulses  $e_s^{*}(k)$ , nor does it rely on a process of iterativly improving approximation for purpose of its eventual satisfaction. It states the requisite condition that has to be satisfied unconditionally over each closed interval  $T_{ok}$ .

The DPM system derives from compliance with the just stated requirement its favorable properties which will become apparents as the technical significance of the more fundamental considerations contained in the preceding material will unfold.

One of the striking aspects contained in (5.2) is the fact that, if satisfied, there will be a zero system's error. This fact typifies the PDM method as a Type I control system |7| up to the input terminals of the output filter. The steady state error  $\varepsilon_s$  of such a system is zero, because it integrates the error over an extended time. Its integral

$$\int_{S}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{s} dt < M$$
(5.3)

where M is a very finite quantity. Type I control systems suffer usually from stability problems, unlike the DPM system which enjoys a static and dynamic stability exceeding that of conventional Type I and Type O systems. The aspects of dynamic stability can be quantitativly formulated based on rigorous analysis. This analysis in not presented here, as exce**ding the scope of t**his work.

Reduction of the residual error caused by the resistance  $R_{\ell}$  of the inductor under varying load conditions will be discussed further on.

a. Application of DPM Techniques for PFM.

The block diagram of an electronic control system H as illustrated in figure 31 which contains the A/DI converter applied for PFM control of a d.c. converter and incorporates the DPM concept is shown in figure 34.

Signals  $e_s^*$  and  $e_r$  are derived from a system as indicated in figures 31 through 33. The cited symbolic diagrams of parts or entire d.c. converter systems can represent any chopper illustrated in figure 11 or any type of inverter-converter which would work into a second order filter. The algebraic sum indicated in (5.1) is formed and fed into an integrator. A signal x(t) is being formed which is identical with  $k_s e_s^*$  except that its average value is reduced by  $k_{rr}e_r$  as a result of the cited algebraic sum formation defined by (5.1). This is shown in figure 35, which illustrates the voltage waveforms associated with the system depicted as block diagram in figure 34. Signal x enters the indicated integrator and an integral

$$y(t) = y(t_k) - \int_{t_k}^{t} (k_s e_s^* - k_r e_r) dt$$
 (5.4)



Block diagram of analog signal to discrete time interval converter ASDTIC for pulse frequency modulation.

79

ſ

~



١



مر را ۲۰ مر

PFM forming process of ASDTIC and the signal flow associated with its block diagram shown in figure 34.

.

۰. ۲

results. Attention is given to the fact that  $e_s^*$  is at time  $t_k < t < t_k + T_k$  not a definite pulse  $e_s^*(k)$  with a given shape, width or area  $A_k$ . It is a pulse which is in the state of generation by the power electronics system following initiation at time  $t_k$  and whose shape is determined by parameters that depend on the physical disposition of the system. These parameters include, the value of  $e_s$  for  $t_k < t < t_k + T_k$ , the specific system load with the associated dynamic conditions at that time, the time varying "lumped parameter" characteristics of the concerned components, and the associated parasitic effects.

Pulses

$$e_{s}^{*}(k) \neq e_{s}^{*}(k+1)$$
 (5.5)

for the reasons given above. It follows that

$$A_{k} \neq A_{k+1}$$
(5.6)

The electronic system would be physically unable to generate equalities for (5.5) and (5.6), even if it were so desired. Fortunately, this is not at all necessary. The pulses e<sup>\*</sup>(k) come similar in form as preprogrammed (figure 9), but in actuality unpredictibly different "as they please" and as indicated in figure 14.

The voltage waveforms shown in figure 35 are idealized for purpose of clarity of presentation. Pulse train  $e_s^*$  assumes, in reality, a form which resembles the one shown in figure 14. Likewise will other voltage waveforms be deformed accordingly.

It is recalled for completeness of presentation that for PFM

$$T_{ok} \neq T_{ok+1}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$T_{k} \stackrel{*}{=} T_{k+1} \tag{2.11}$$

and that

$$\frac{A_{k}}{T_{ok}} = \frac{A_{k+1}}{T_{ok+1}}$$
(4.11m)\*

The integration signal y has the following characteristics

$$dy(k)/dt = dy(k+1)/dt$$
 for  $dy(k)/dt > 0$  (5.7)

and

$$\frac{dy(k)}{dt} \neq \frac{dy(k+1)}{dt} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{dy(k)}{dt} < 0 \quad (5.8)$$

This integration signal starts at time  $t_k$  from an initial condition

$$y(t_k) = v_T$$
(5.9)

where  $v_T$  is a reference voltage which is compared to y(t) by a high gain discriminator. This voltage discriminator fulfills the

\* m = modified, using different symbols; otherwise unaltered.

function of a threshold senser x which emits a signal  $z(t_k)$ ; this signal z triggers a **massible mitivi**brator MM, which then by virtue of its intrinsic characteristic emits a continous succession of 1010...signals in response to each trigger  $z(t_k)$  that energize the controlled sampling switch CSS.

Evidently y(t) returns to the same initial condition  $v_T$  at any instant  $t_k$  at the initiation of each cycle. It follows that (5.2) is indeed satisfied if

$$v_{T}(t_{k}) = v_{T}(t_{k+1})$$
 (5.10)

However, there is no guarantee that this be absolutely true in any physical system. The relative error  $\varepsilon_x$  that would be caused by variations of  $v_T$  will be shown to be irrelevant for all practical purposes (Chapter VI).

The indicated sequence of events is caused by signals e<sup>\*</sup><sub>s</sub> and e<sup>r</sup> which operate on the electronic control system and which in turn form the pulse train a<sup>\*</sup> by way of gross approximation of the conditions imposed by (2.10) and (2.11), yet satisfying accurately the requirement (5.2) are therewith the unconditional compliance with (4.11m).

The objectives of the pulse modulation process as presented throughout this work and as discussed with reference to (5.2) are attained accordingly. The validity of this statement <u>depends</u> <u>solely on</u> the ability of <u>the electronic control system</u> to perform its task as described and is independent of all distortions, delays, regulation characteristics and parasitic effects rooted in the power electronics system. So far, however, with exception of the resistive element  $R_{\ell}$  incorporated in the series inductor of the output filter.

b. Pulse Width Modulation with Use of DPM.

Pulse width modulation is implemented by application of the same principles stated in this chapter preceding the material under letter a. above. However, the electronic mechanization of the DI block within H used for PFM and shown in figure 33 is altered to fit the purpose.

A diagram of block H (fig. 31) and suited for PWM is shown in figure 36. Its mode of operation is discussed with reference to the associated waveforms shown in figure 37.

A clock pulse emanating at time  $kT_0$  from any suitable source such as an astable multivibrator AM (shown) or from a counted down crystal oscillator, or from an external synchronization signal, triggers the bistable multivibrator BM "on", meaning from its previous state zero (0) to one (1). The controlled sampling switch CSS is closed in this process and the kth pulse  $e_s^*(k)$  starts to be formed. Signals  $k_{ss}e_s^*$  and  $k_{rr}e_r$  are added (5.1) and the ensuing signal x is integrated forming signal y. Threshold sensor TS emits a trigger pulse z, whenever  $y = v_T$ at time  $kT_0 + T_k$ . This trigger pulse z turns the bistable multivibrator BM "off" to change its state from one (1) to zero (0) and switch CSS is opened. Pulse  $e_s^*(k)$  is then completed.

If (5.10) holds true, then (5.2) is satisfied. It follows that for



١.

85 🚊

1



# Figure 37.

PWM process of ASDTIC and its signal flow associated with its block diagram in figure 36.

86

$$t_{k} = kT_{0}$$
(2.12)

and

$$T_{ok} = T_{ok+1} = T_{o},$$
 (2.13)

but

$$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{k}} \neq \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{k}+1} \tag{2.14}$$

yet

$$\frac{A_{k}}{T_{o}} = \frac{A_{k+1}}{T_{o}}$$
 (4.11m)

even though all quantities involved, and in particular  $e_s^{*}(k)$ ,  $T_k$  and  $T_{k+1}$  are by no means precisely defined or predictable. The mere fact that (5.10) guarantees the validity of (5.2) suffices to satisfy (4.11m). The objectives of DPM processes are, again, secured as discussed at the end of the material under letter a. above.

The integration signal y has the same properties as stated with (5.7) and (5.8).

Attention is directed to an unobtrusive but significant subtlety. Pulse  $e_s^*(k)$  does not terminate at the instant  $t_k + T_k = kT_k + T_k$ because of physical limitations of the electronic switch performing the function of the CSS, and of the therewith associated circuit. Signal y will therefore over- (under)shoot the threshold level v towards a new level  $v_T$ ' which may, again, be time invariant as a whole, but could vary from pulse to pulse according to changes in supply line voltage, including a supply line ripple, loading and other external or internal effects. The, possibly, therewith associated dynamic effects are obvions.

An analogous process of PWM can be achieved if the functions of the clock signal an signal z which govern the state of PM are interchanged. The threshold signal z will then initiate the individual pulses  $e_s^{*}(k)$  and the clock signal will cause its termination. The functional inaccuracies, referred to in the previous paragraph, are eliminated, accordingly; an improved insensitivity against the described effects can be expected.

c. DPM for PW-PFM Processes.

The process of composite PW-PFM is illustrated in figure 5(d). It is used to advantage whenever a second degree of freedom to control the pulse modulation process becomes necessary or desirable. This mode of operation has also another valuable property: the controlled system remains for all practical purpose and within the limits of the intended frequency band, almost unconditionally stable.

This process can be mechanized by replacing the clock signal operating on BM shown in figure 36 by another signal derived from converter operation. The classical example is the warning signal of impending saturation of a transformer core, such as transformer X of the pulse modulated parallel inverter indicated in figure 8. Detection of impending transformer saturation is described in the literature [8, 9, 10, 11]. The ensuing signal flow is similar with the one discussed with reference to figure 37, except that the trigger signals shown under AM are not equally spaced but depend on the characteristics of the functional mechanics that generate these signals.

It follows that:

$$T_{ok} \neq T_{ok+1}$$
(2.10)

$$T_{k} \neq T_{k+1}$$
 (2.14)

but,

$$\frac{A_{k}}{T_{ok}} = \frac{A_{k+1}}{T_{ok+1}}$$
(4.11m)

still guarantees the equality (5.1) based on the necessary satisfaction of (5.2). The therewith associated considerations were discussed under letter a. above.

d. Choice of the Mode of Operation:

Choice between the modes of pulse modulation indicated in figures 5 (a) through (d) depends, primarily, on external constraints.

dl. System synchronization with an external signal which is dla. periodic, requires application of PWM dlb. aperiodic, requires application of PW-PFM

- d2. Minimization of the output filter in the absence of constraints d1. points to use of PW-PFM
- d3. Simplicity of control electronics can be achieved by application of PFM, provided constraints d1. and d2. are absent.

These general guidelines for the choice of systems are, furthermore, restricted by stability considerations including the cases of quasi DPM processes.

Certain significant functional concepts for use of DPM processes to control d.c. converters have been indicated. Their effect has been related to the requirements stated in chapters II and III, and the relations developed in chapter IV to meet these requirements. Limitations imposed by stability considerations will be briefly outlined under letter 5. of this chapter. Significant physical limitations are indicated in chapter VI.

2. Interaction with the Second Order Low Pass (LC) Filter.

The attenuation of low frequency components with order number  $n < n_c$  of the source voltage function  $\bullet_c$  cannot by the process of deterministic pulse modulation is summarized in Table III and further elaborated on, in the therewith associated discussion. The open loop attenuation of the effects of the pulse modulation mechanism (h.f. components) is given by (4.18) through (4.20) with further expansion in (4.21) through (4.24).

The relative regulation effect  $\varepsilon_{RL}$  caused by the resistive element R, contained in the output filter inductance L is quantized in (4.25) and related to the filter inductor efficiency  $\eta_{\ell}$  in (4.26). Means for its mitigation will be indicated under number 3. of this chapter.

An important consideration is the magnitude of the high frequency components and their effect on the system stability. One of the significant effects of a high ratio  $\omega_{\rm F}/\omega_{O}$  is, indeed, the mitigation of that h.f. output ripple, which enhances system stability.

The normalized harmonic coefficients a caused by the chopping "disturbance" decrease with a ratio

$$a_m/a_1 = 1/m$$
 (5.11)

as indicated in and discussed with reference to (4.20).

In view of (4.18) will therefore

$$v_{ol} / v_{oFm} \simeq m^3$$
 (5.12)

which puts the significance of the first harmonic component of  $v_{\rm F}$  defined in (2.3) once more in evidence.

3. Addition of Conventional Feedback Techniques.

There are several prominent reasons to want to add conventional feedback techniques to a d.c. converter system governed by deterministic pulse modulation techniques. One is to reduce the relative output voltage error caused by resistance  $R_{\ell}$  of the filter inductor and, possibly, of other connecting wires. Related to this reasoning is the meeting of requirements for remote control which "extend" the resistive voltage drop effect beyond the terminals of the output filter capacitor  $C_{\rho}$ .

Another is the need to improve the dynamic characteristics of the system, especially when exposed to sudden load changes.

Figure 38 shows the symbolic diagram of a DPM controlled d.c. converter as shown in figure 33 to which an other feedback loop has been added. This "outer" feedback loop reduces the relative



#### Figure 38.

Symbolic diagram of DPM controlled d.c. converter with added conventional "outer" feedback loop.

92

steady state error indicated in (2.26) and caused through the voltage drop  $v_R$  over  $R_l$  illustrated in figure 32, by a factor |A + 1| such that the remaining relative system error

$$\varepsilon_{\rm RLA}/E_{\rm o} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\rm RL}}{E_{\rm o}(A+1)} = \frac{1-\eta_{\rm l}}{A+1}$$
(5.13)

where

The contribution to the output voltage error caused by the **physical limitations of the control electromics** is designated by  $t_{ce}$ . Its effect is reduced by the factor A + 1 if an "outer" feedback loop is applied. Where is no known way to correct an error  $\varepsilon_{cr}$  caused by variations of the reference source voltage  $e_r$ .

The cumulative effect of relative "d.c." output voltage errors

$$\varepsilon_{o \max} / \varepsilon_{o} = \{\sum_{1}^{p} \varepsilon_{pA}\} / 2\varepsilon_{o}$$
(5.14)

in analogy with (2.8) where

$$\varepsilon_{\text{o max}} = \frac{1}{2} (v_{\text{o max}} - v_{\text{o min}})$$
(5.15)

being the maximum deviation from the average level of output voltage and the individual error components

$$\Sigma \varepsilon_{pA} = 2\varepsilon_{omax} = \varepsilon_{RLA} + \varepsilon_{ce}$$
(5.16)

The first component is defined by (5.13), the last one is due to inaccuracies of the electronic control system itself and will be elaborated on in chapter VI.

No other contributing effects than these cited above causing static errors in the output voltage e are apparent.

The area of static errors will be given further attention in chapter VI with reference to the discussion of experimentally obtained and recorded results.

The needed feedback gain A to attain a certain  $\varepsilon_{0 \text{ max}}/E_{0}$  as defined in (5.16) can be expressed in an explicit form as:

$$A = \frac{1 - n_{\ell} + \varepsilon_{ce}/E_{o}}{2\varepsilon_{o \max}/E_{o}} - 1$$
(5.17)

It was indicated previously in the discussion with reference to relation (4.26) that an "open loop" DPM controlled system with a 2 percent power loss in the inductor of its output filter would have a  $\pm$  1 percent output voltage variation only. No significance was then attached to the voltage variation  $\varepsilon_{\rm cr}$  of the reference source and to the effects  $\varepsilon_{\rm ce}$  of the control electronics network.

It is added that a fairly well compensated reference source will not vary by more than 0.001 % per <sup>o</sup>C temperature variation, in the average, and thus not more than 0.1 percent over a temperature range of 100<sup>o</sup>C which includes the room temperature.

It is, further, assumed at this time that for a given system

$$\varepsilon_{ce} < \varepsilon_{cr}$$
 (5.18)

such as  $\varepsilon_{ce}/E_{o} \approx 2.10^{-4}$  and  $\varepsilon_{cr}/E_{o} \approx 10^{-3}$  as indicated above. For these values and for  $\eta_{l} = 0.98$  is according to (5.17)

$$A \simeq 9 \tag{5.19}$$

in order that  $\varepsilon_{o \max}/E_o \approx 0.1$  percent, all other possible variations of external influences and changes in component characteristics included. This is an extremely modest feedback gain, considering the achieved accuracy. More will be said in section 5 about the effects of the feedback loop.

#### 4. Effects of Quasi DPM Processes.

The DPM processes were primarily developed to control the power flow into second order filters, as stated at the outset of this chapter and as evident from the applied philosophy of control developed throughout chapter IV. It so happens, that this control system can be also applied to control the power flow into first order filters.

A customary LC low pass filter is meant here to be of second order if its characteristics can be described by a second order differential equation and if the energy storage capability of the constituting  $L_{o}$  and  $C_{o}$ , respectivly, are comparable under full load conditions. A type 0 feedback system is commonly used to control a chopper system incorporating such a filter. It is here for completeness briefly indicated that a pulse modulated parallel inverter-converter shown in figure 8 can be considered as being nothing else but a double ended chopper that feeds in that sense a second order filter through a transformer-rectifier. This type converter is also widely used for concurrent voltage scaling and stabilization. It provides, furthermore ohmic isolation between its input and its output terminals.

A low pass filter is defined here to be the first order if its characteristics can be described by a second or higher order differential equation but the energy storage capability of one single element utilized at full load systems operation exceeds that of each of the others by approximately one order of magnitude.

These conditions are found in converter systems in which electric energy is conveyed intentionally in discrete quantities to a capacitor C<sub>o</sub> which maintains a relatively invariant voltage e<sub>o</sub> at the systems output terminals.

a. The Series Inductor Converter.

A system that works according to that principle is illustrated in figure 39 in form of the series inductor d.c. converter, also often referred to as "fly back" or "energy ladling" circuit. A split inductor SI with polarity of windigs as indicated by dots is "charged" with energy by closing the controlled sampling switch CSS. Current  $i_1$  increases during time  $T_{k1}$  up to a given maximum value, when CSS is opened. The inductor terminals reverse polarities and the formerly back biased diode in the secondary circuit conducts current  $i_2$  toward capacitor  $C_F$  and the load. This capa-


١



2.1

Series inductor d.c. converter and its characteristic waveforms.

citor is large enough that the output voltage  $e_0$  vary only moderately during this operation. The ensuing output voltage is shown in the lowest curve, with its ripple exaggerated for purpose of clarity of presentation. When the output voltage decays from its maximum and reaches a threshold value  $v_{Th}$ , then the control system calls for another pulse and "charging" of split inductor SI by means of current  $i_1$  is reinitiated. The split inductor derives its name from the fact at no time do the two windings carry current simultanously which would create counteracting magnetomotive forces, a necessary requisite for transformer operation.

The just described type of control operation is often referred to as a "ripple regulator". Indeed, it is a regulator system and <u>not</u> <u>a type 0 feedback system</u>. The regulator distinguishes itself from the feedback system in that it calls for a resupply of energy for a given condition of its operational state. This call for energy is independent of the magnitude of output voltage deficiency and no measure at all for an oversupply.

The regulator system-is therefore clearly distinguished from ordinary feedback systems |7|. The energy storage capability of inductor SI is necessarily much smaller than that of capacitor  $C_F$  and its current  $i_2$  has rather the form of a triangle with a negatively inclined hypothenuse, than to indicate remotely a resonance with capacitor  $C_F$ .

Such a regulator system possesses, necessarily, favorable dynamic characteristics. An over- or undershoot of the output voltage e o is for all practical purposes impossible within the limitations of intended operation and beyond negligible output voltage ripple

variations caused by loading effects. These properties provide it with a high dynamic stability.

A signal which would be suited to acquire information on the volt-seconds per second that enter the output filter is not readily apparent. The system appears, therefore <u>per se</u> not suited for application of the DPM method. The system characteristics that are obtainable with that process make it, however, attractive to apply this method: for one that possibility to get average voltage under all conditions of loading, not readily attainable otherwise; and then the zero steady state error availability, not to speak of hopes for improved dynamic characteristics.

A substitute is used for the missing signal referred to in the preceding paragraph [12]. The applied method of control is in principle identical with the one used to control a series capacitor converter by the DPM method. Therefore, the description of this method is continued in the next sections for that type of converter.

b. The DPM Controlled Series Capacitor Inverter-Converter.

A symbolic diagram of this converter and of the associated significant waveforms are illustrated in figures 40 and 41, respectivly. This system can be controlled by a "ripple regulator system" just as the previously discussed series inductor d.c. converter; it is likewise suited for DPM control. The controllable - frequency modulated - series capacitor inverter-converter was first introduced with a second order filter in the secondary circuit [2, 3, 13, 14]. The forerunner of the DPM system was









2)

Characteristic voltage and current waveforms of the load insensitive, controllable series capacitor converter.

**İ**01

ŝ

1.1

used for its control. The inability of that system to operate under open circuit conditions, as all second order filter terminated systems do, led to the development of the illustrated system that would operate under open circuit conditions [15].

This system is operated through its inner control loop (see figure 38) as a current is sensed by current transformer CT and fed in considerably reduced magnitude into the integrating capacitor C. (figure 40). This can be done with or without the interposition of a series resistor in the loop formed by the secondary winding of CT and the operational amplifier. The transformer operates as a current source into the "low impedance" point at the minus entry to the operational amplifier. The average voltage of that point is, necessarily, almost equal to the reference voltage e. All advantages of dynamic system behavior apply here analogously to the described cases of secondary voltage sources, but the output voltage e would remain undetermined. This is remedied by feeding the attenuated output voltage through a divider formed by resistors  $R_3$  and  $R_4$  to<sup>7</sup>the operational amplifier, thus establishing a definite output voltage level using a stable Type I system.

The details of this technique will become more evident, after discussion of the physical aspects of the electronics which implement the DPM system. An amplifier which would limit the feedback signal can be used instead of the  $R_3$ ,  $R_4$  divider for better starting conditions.

At this time it is sufficient to say that DPM is used in the absence of a suitable signal, to operate the system as a controlled current source, and then to ascribe to the same system a definite output voltage by application of direct outer loop feedback. The designation "quasi" DPM processes is used to describe this type of operation.

5. Dynamic Stability Aspects of DPM Systems.

One of the significant motivations for the development of DPM controlled d.c. converters was the desire to improve the dynamic characteristics of these systems, concurrent with their static stability (II.3). The powerful tool devised for that purpose is the process which forms the pulse train based on undelayed information available at the output filter input terminals to secure to a large extent, both, favorable static and dynamic characteristics as described throughout this presentation.

The advantage gained with this process is twofold:

- a. The pulse train e<sup>\*</sup><sub>s</sub>(t) is shaped precisely at the spot of its formation and without relying on a process of successive convergence due to the delay time caused by the low pass filter, and
- b. A substantially lower feedback gain A is needed, if at all, to attain a required degree of static stability. This is, primarily, due to the mitigation and elimination of a number of effects requiring correction by feedback action in conventional systems, and due to PDM's tolerance of a Type 1 error integrating system without impairment of dynamic system stability (see number 3. above).

However, for all practical purposes, only the PW-PFM system is not

susceptible to subharmonic oscillations when controlling the input to a second order filter. Instabilities which manifest themselves in the form of subharmonic oscillations do occur in DPM controlled PWM and PFM systems under certain conditions which will be further described; they are characterized by a recurrent sequence of pulses that are too wide and too narrow for the PDM system. Yet the cummulative effect of two successive pulses is still in compliance with relations (5.1) and (5.2); the second relation is modified to read:

$${}^{t}k+2$$
  
 $\int (k_{r}e_{r} - k_{s}e_{s}^{*})dt = 0$  (5.20)  
 ${}^{t}k$ 

which is valid for PWM and PFM under conditions to be defined further on.

The static stability of the systems remains unimpaired. However, the h.f. ripple attenuation capability of the output filter is reduced by a factor  $2^2 = 4$  and a certain unrest is introduced into the system which may affect its dynamic characteristics adversely.

One characteristic by which the indicated subharmonic oscillations can be recognized is the output waveform y(t) of the integrator of the DPM system, as discussed with reference to figures 35 and 37. The subharmonic oscillation causes an alteration of the previously depicted waveforms and is indicated in figure 42. It is seen that a

1 1 32



Figure 42.

Integrator output signal y(t) during subharmonic oscillations of DPM systems.  $T_{ok} = T_{ok+2} \neq T_{ok+1} = T_{ok+3}$ .

pattern of unequal time intervals  $T_{ok}$  repeats itself regularly in pairs, while the intervals  $t_{k+2} - t_k$  remain alike, with the tacit assumption that everything else remains equal for the sake of argument.

It can be shown that a DPM controlled d.c. converter will not develop subharmonic oscillations in the mode of

PWM if  $d_{ss} > 0.5$ PFM if  $d_{ss} < 0.5$  (5.21) PW-PFM for any  $d_{ss}$ 

where

$$d_{ss} = the steady state duty cycle T_k/T_{ok}$$

Many applications for d.c. converters do not require a steady state range for d<sub>ss</sub> that approaches or executes 0.5; they can be used in these cases without alterations. Evidently, one vill use the PW-PFM systems wherever compatible with externally imposed constraints, as listed under letter 1.d. above.

The subharmonic oscillations under discussion can be avoided by injection of extraneous functions with zero average value into the signal flow discussed under 1.a. and b. above. This could consist of a ramp function r(t) where

$$\frac{1}{T_{o}} \int r dt = 0$$

$$kT_{o}$$
(5.22)

which is added to  $k_{re_r}^{e}$  or to  $k_{ss}^{e}$  respectivly |16|. This procedure is, in general, successful although the cause-effect relationship has not been analytically formulated. Injection of the signal and the therewith associated effects, especially under conditions of dynamic operation, can affect the functional mechanism of the DPM system, unless comprehensive precautionary measures are taken.

It is emphasized that the problem of subharmonic oscillations is characteristic to <u>open loop</u> operation of PWM and PDM systems under the conditions indicated under (5.21). It is, of course, found in the same systems, after "outer" loop feedback control is added as indicated under number 3 above. The solution of the problem of subharmonic oscillations for PWM systems of the DPM type for any d remains one of the desirable goals of further development.

Outer loop feedback control added to DPM systems leads to stability limitations which are comparable with those formed in conventional OFECDIC systems. The magnitude of the problem is considerably reduced, because only a fraction of the otherwise needed feedback gain A is required to obtain comparable results.

A comprehensive study into the feedback controlled DPM system, using PWM was carried out [17]. A sample of the results is shown in figure 43. The ratio  $\omega_{\rm F}/\omega_{\rm O}$  = 50, defined with reference to (3.2), governs the data shown in that figure, which are otherwise self explanatory. Symbol & designates the damping ratio of the output filter. The solid curves toward the right of the diagram indicate the "isotopes" of amplitudes of the steady state oscillations of the system; they are shown as far as they were discernible from other output voltage effects, such as the ripple caused by the internal converter mechanism. The smallest indicated amplitude is marked with 0.0003 or 0.03 percent, well within, usually, acceptable limits. These curves with increasing amplitudes give the impression of a topological ridge that builds up toward the right of the graph, and quite rightly so, since it is "forbidden territory" where increasing steady state oscillations indicate decreasing dynamic stability.

A brief review of the material under number 3. above indicates that a gain of approximately 10 in an outer feedback loop may suffice generously for most practical applications. It is seen from the graph shown in figure 43 that an appreciable distance



Figure 43.

Dynamic characteristics of DPM controlled d.c. converter for a ratio  $\omega_{\rm F}/\omega_{\rm o}$  = 50.

can be maintained from the "mountain ridge" for any damping coefficient for a gain A = 10. It is added that the "isotopes" of oscillations move to the right for increasing  $\omega_{\rm F}/\omega_{\rm o}$ , which is, in general, more common in modern d.c. converters.

A comprehensive treatment of this area, including a "design procedure for stable pulse modulated d.c. converters" could be carried out, based largely an existing knowledge.

The designer of DPM system will, in general, find that the problem of devising a stable converter is greatly reduced compared to OFECDIC systems, because of the relative smallness of the required feedback gain.

The dynamic stability of first order systems, discussed under number 4. above is considerably more favorable than the one of second order systems. This is simply so because (a) no continously closed LC circuit exists that could oscillate and (b) the intermittently connected L's and C's are connected during time intervals that are much shorter than their resonant period. They are nearly always stable when controlled in a regulator mode.

Use of a Type I error integrating system with these converters is solely possible because of the stabilizing effect of the current source control by the PDM mechanism.

Comprehensive analytical studies should be undertaken: to shed light on this area beyond classical engineering considerations, to provide the designer with tangible tools for the construction of systems with known margins of dynamic stability, or to prove unconditional stability.

#### VI. ELECTRONIC EMBODIMENT OF DPM CONTROL.

The electronics which implement the control philosophy of d.c. converters governed by DPM Techniques are presented throughout this chapter.

1. Functional Objectives.

An electronic control system for pulse modulated d.c. power converters is devised that satisfies:

- a. the requirements stated in (5.2) and can thereby attain the performance characteristics listed in Tables II and III.
- b. the necessary dynamic characteristics including source-load isolation as discussed under sections IV.4 and V.5.
- c. the requirement of time invariant performance of the control electronics. This includes, especially, a far going independence of the control electronics from the variations of the characteristics of its own components due to aging and changes in environmental conditions.
- d. the need for versatility for application to a wide variety of d.c. converter systems with various internal functional mechanisms.

Application of the principle of deterministic pulse modulation to a number of systems was explained throughout this presentation. It is recognized that the block diagrams indicating the various control systems contained largely the same elements.

An electronic control system that contains these common elements

and that could be programmed for these various purposes was devised. Its intrinsic functional mechanism, its properties and limitations are discussed in the following.

The primary objective is to clarify its functions and to relate them to the underlying principles presented in the preceding chapters. It is, furthermore, intended to provide engineering information for the design of PDM control systems and to identify areas of criticality that can exert a significant influence on the successful operation of the control system. A considerable amount of information on specific engineering aspects is described elsewhere |12|.

2. The Analog Signal to Discrete Time Interval Converter (ASDTIC).

The results of mathematical analysis outlined in chapter IV in conjunction with the functional requirements described in chapter V formed the basis of a process of synthesis which resulted in the embodiment of an electronic control system, now known under the acronym ASDTIC.

The ASDTIC system which is one embodiment of control electronics for DPM applications to d.c. converters is discussed with reference to its operation within a PFM system. This discussion covers all essential aspects of this type control system. The various control configurations are obtained by rearrangement of certain functional blocks and appropriate interface elements. Figure 44 illustrates an ASDTIC system in its elementary form, yet containing all essential functions.



'' [ F



Block and circuit diagram of the basic ASDTIC system.





a. The Functional Mechanism.

The ASDTIC control system as shown in figure 44 and, possibly, augmented by a buffer to drive the controlled sampling switch CSS constitutes the control block H shown to govern a common series chopper illustrated in figure 45. Operation of the d.c. converter as a whole, and specifically that of ASDTIC will be discussed with reference to these two figures.

Signal  $e_s^*$  is fed through the voltage divider consisting of resistors  $R_i$  and  $R_2$  inste the one terminal of the operational amplifier consisting of the differential amplifier DA1 and the integrating capacitor  $C_i$ . Signal  $e_r$  is connected to the other terminal of the

same operational amplifier. This amplifier serves thus concurrently as summer and as integrator as symbolically shown in figures 34 and 35;  $R_2/(R_1 + R_2) = k_s$ , being the attenuation constant used in (5.1). The threshold sensor is implemented by the differential amplifier DA2, which compares signal y emanating from the integrator (operational amplifier) with the threshold voltage level  $v_T$ . Threshold sensor DA2 emits a positive signal when  $y > v_T$  and triggers the monostable multivibrator MM, which in turn emits a one (1) signal with duration  $T_k$  as indicated in (2.11). This signal closes the controlled sampling switch and a new pulse  $e_s^*(k)$  is initiated.

A current  $i_c^+$  will flow at that time  $t_k$  into capacitor  $C_i$  with magnitude

$$\mathbf{i}_{c}^{+} = (\mathbf{e}_{s} - \mathbf{I}_{s})/\mathbf{R}_{1} \qquad \mathbf{e}_{s \text{ min}} < \mathbf{e}_{s \text{ max}} \qquad (6.1)$$

where

$$R = R_1 R_2 / (R_1 + R_2)$$
(6.2)

This current will cease when the monostable multivibrator MM releases CSS after its inherent pulse time  $T_k$ . Inductor  $L_F$  of the second order filter shown in figure 45 to which the upper terminal of resistor  $R_1$  is connected will reverse its formerly positive polarity as soon as the pulse  $e_s^{*}(k)$  ceases since  $L_F di/dt < 0$  for CSS open with direction of current flow assumed toward capacitor  $C_F$ . The voltage at the input terminal of the low pass filter will fall until diode  $D_F$  is forward biased and closes the circuit consisting of itself, inductor  $L_F$  and the parallel combination  $C_F$  and  $Z_L$ . Integrator capacitor  $C_i$  will during this conducting state of  $D_F$  discharge with a current

$$i_{c} = e_{r}/R$$
 (6.3)

The input terminal of DA1 to which the capacitor  $C_i$  is connected is a "low impedance point" which does not change its potential perceptibly |18|. The current  $i_c$  will continue to flow from the capacitor  $C_i$  until the output voltage y of the operational amplifier has risen to y >  $v_T$  and MM starts a new cycle.

Under conditions of cyclic stability must

$$T_k i_c^{+} = (T_{ok} - T_k) i_c^{-}$$
 (6.4)

or y(t) would not return recurrently to the trigger level  $v_T$ . It is, of course, assumed that the current needed to operate DA1 such as a FET differential input voltage - is so small that it is entirely negligible.

A nominal input voltage E as defined with reference to (2.3) is used here in place of  $e_s^*$  for clarity of presentation of the computation process. This input voltage is related to the nominal output voltage by

$$E_{\mathbf{s}} T_{\mathbf{k}} / T_{\mathbf{s}} = E_{\mathbf{0}}$$
(6.5)

14. 10

Relation (6.1) is rewritten in the form

$$R_{1} = (e_{s} - E_{o})i_{c}^{+} e_{s} = E_{s}$$
 (6.6)

and

$$R_{2} = \frac{e_{r}^{R} I}{E_{o} - e_{r}}$$
(6.7)

The capacitor

$$C = \frac{e_{r}T_{k}}{\Delta y R}$$
(6.8)

where

$$\Delta y = y_{\text{max}} - y_{\text{min}} \tag{6.9}$$

as arbitrarily chosen between approximately one to several volts (see figures 35 and 37).

## b. Effects of Physical Limitations.

A further requisite that (6.4) may hold is the virtual absence of a capacitor leakage current. Such a leakage current is always present, even in the best capacitor. It is desirable to keep i at least at a magnitude of approximately 20  $\mu$ a to minimize the effects of parasitic phenomena. A leakage current will be relativly balanced if the output terminal of DA1 is approximately balanced with respect to the reference voltage level  $e_r$ . Small enough leakage currents may be entirely irrelevant.

It was indicated that one of the significant requirements to satisfy (5.2) on which the success of the ASDTIC system hinges is to satisfy concurrently (5.10). The validity of this expectation will be now investigated. The relative error  $\varepsilon_x$  that would be caused in terms of fractions in (5.2) is given by

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\left| \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{t}_{k+1}) - \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{t}_{k}) \right| \mathbf{T}_{ok} / \Delta t}{\mathbf{t}_{k+1}}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{t}_{k+1}}{\frac{\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{r}}}{\mathbf{T}_{ok}}} \int \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}} dt$$

$$\mathbf{t}_{k}$$
(6.10)

where

$$\Delta t$$
 = the time over which a monotonic drift  
 $\Delta v_{T} = v_{T}(\Delta t) - v_{T}(0)$  is recorded, such as caused  
by a monotonic change in ambient temperature

Relation (6.10) can be simplified if it is assumed that  $k_r e_r$ remain constant during the interval  $\Delta t$  in order to examine the effect  $e_x$  caused by the control electronics, excluding the contributing aspects emanating from the reference source  $e_r$ . Relation (6.10)then becomes

$$\epsilon_{x} = \frac{\Delta v_{T} T_{ok} / \Delta t}{k_{r} e_{r}}$$
(6.11)

where

$$\Delta \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{t}_{k+1}) - \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{t}_{k})$$

If  $v_T$  has a nominal value of, say, 10 volts and would drift monotonically by 0.1 percent over a time interval of 10 minutes due to a monotonic change in ambient temperature; and if the effective reference voltage were  $k_{rr} = 6$  Volts and the converter were to operate at an internal frequency of 10 kHz, then

$$\varepsilon_{\rm x} = 10^{-9}/396 \simeq 2.5 \ 10^{-12}$$

It can be appreciated that even if  $v_T$  were to vary by one percent over seconds, a quite unfavorable assumption, then  $\varepsilon_x$  would still amount only to a few parts per million.

It is noticed in review that the change in  $v_T per cyclic interval T_{ok}$  determines the error  $\varepsilon_x$  and that even appreciable changes of  $v_T$  over finite time intervals would be negligible compared to other effects.

It is recognized, however, that periodic signals superimposed on  $v_T$  such as a 120 Hz ripple caused by a rectified a.c. supply line could have an effect on the performance of ASDTIC and introduce a comparable line ripple disturbance in the converter system output if the ripple signal on  $v_T$  were to carry such a ripple. Threshold level  $v_T$  should, therefore, be kept free of appreciable periodic disturbances, although a d.c. drift would have virtually no effect at all on the accuracy of an ASDTIC controlled d.c. converter system.

Another significant aspect of ASDTIC is the fact that all time delays in operating the threshold sensor TS, triggering the monostable multivibrator MM, turning on and turning off switch CSS <u>can not cause an error</u> in the system's static stability because

$$\int_{k}^{t} (k_{r}e_{r} - k_{s}e_{s}^{*}) dt < \varepsilon_{x}$$

$$(6.12)$$

$$\int_{k}^{t} (k_{r}e_{r} - k_{s}e_{s}^{*}) dt < \varepsilon_{x}$$

using (5.2) at its worst. The cyclic error  $\varepsilon_x$  defined by (6.10) and (6.11) is here extended to include all varying functional errors of the system; it amounts to fractions of one part of a million of  $e_r$  if any reasonable and by no means extensive precautions are taken. These could include the use of a voltage divider  $(v_T)$  with resistors made of similar material and stabilizing the control electronics supply voltage roughly by means of a simple series regulator. The fact that (5.2) and (5.10) are being satisfied, removes all errors caused by any mechanism in ASDTIC since the integrals of the scaled reference voltage  $k_r e_r$ and of the scaled pulse train  $k_s e_s^*$  must be and remain equal over every individual cycle and over any indefinite length of time. This fact guarantees unconditional static stability of the control system itself, and over appreciable and critical parts (CSS) of the power electronics system.

In order to improve the system's response to sudden load changes, it can be of advantage to add "steps" into the integration process of the operational amplifier. This is accomplished by feeding current from the converter output terminal with voltage e through a feedback capacitor  $C_f$  directly to point "a". The otherwise ensuing normal integration process is sped up in form of a voltage jump on the integrating capacitor  $C_i$  and appears to have beneficial effects on the system behavior [16].

# c. The "Outer" Feedback Loop.

The application of an "outer" feedback loop for further improvement of the system performance was indicated in V.2. The feedback effect is implemented by injecting a positive or negative current into point "a" at the junction of resistors  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  with the operational amplifier. The effect of a positive current  $i_F$  which is added to  $i_c$ , but which is, in general, undirectional for a given cycle is that the total positive capacitor current

$$i_{ct}^{+} = i_{c}^{+} + i_{F}^{+}$$
 (6.13)

is now larger than the current caused by  $e_s^+$  and current  $i_c^-$  becomes smaller than  $e_r/R$  as indicated in (6.3). The effect is that the integrator "sees" both  $e_s^*$  and  $e_r$  in modified magnitudes and the ampereseconds balance indicated by (6.4) remains true with the appropriate substitutions of  $i_{ct}^+$  and  $i_{ct}^-$  for  $i_c^+$  and  $i_c^-$ , respectivly, in order to achieve the intended feedback effect.

One way to inject such a current  $i_F$  into a point "a", is to attach a voltage divider consisting of resistors  $R_3$  and  $R_4$  to the converter system output terminals.

The ratio of these resistors is chosen so that

$$E_0 R_4 / (R_3 + R_4) = e_r$$
 (6.14)

No current  $i_F$  will flow through resistor  $R_F$  shown in figure  $\frac{1}{4}4$ under these conditions if the right hand terminal a' of that resistor is tied to point "a".

The current  $i_0$  through resistors  $R_3$  and  $R_4$  is then chosen to be much greater than current  $i_F$  through resistor  $R_F$ , which is

$$i_F \simeq \epsilon e_r / R_F$$
 (6.15)

where

$$\varepsilon = \left[ e_{0} - E_{0} \right] / E_{0} \tag{6.16}$$

The feedback gain A is given by

$$A = \frac{i_{F}T_{ok}/E}{i_{c}^{+}T_{k}}$$
(6.17)

Resistor  $R_F$  is then calculated by

$$R_{\rm F} = \frac{e_{\rm s}}{E_{\rm o}} \frac{R_{\rm l}}{A} \frac{e_{\rm r}}{e_{\rm s} - E_{\rm o}} e_{\rm s} = E_{\rm s}$$
 (6.18)

Resistor  $R_F$  can be deleted completely if the appropriate Thevenin equivalent is used in calculating resistors  $R_3$  and  $R_4$ ; and so can resistor  $R_2$  be combined with  $R_4$ . In practice it is preferred to use separate resistors for purpose of circuit check out.

If it is desired to delete resistor  ${\boldsymbol{R}}_{{\boldsymbol{F}}},$  then

$$R_{3}' = \frac{R_{1}}{A} \frac{e_{s}}{e_{s} - E_{o}}$$
(6.19)

and

$$R_{4}' = \frac{e_{r}}{E_{o} - e_{r}} \frac{R_{1}}{A} \frac{e_{s}}{e_{s} - E_{o}}$$
(6.20)

The junction a' of  $R_3'$  is then directly connected to point "a".

The critical aspects for accuracy are:

- cl. A high quality reference source e in form of a temperature compensated Zener diode.
- c2. A good quality differential amplifier ADI with minimum variation of the "zero" input voltage differential.
- c3. A high quality integrating capacitor C<sub>i</sub>, such as the types made with mica dielectric materials.
- c4. Equal temperature tracking of resistor combinations  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , and  $R_3$  and  $R_4$ , respectivly.

All other component and material properties are of secondary importance.

For high feedback gains A > 10 it may be necessary to limit the current  $i_F$  derived by divider  $R_3$ ,  $R_4$  from capacitor  $C_i$  during the starting phase of the system.

The reason for this precaution is the necessity to get the input terminal "a" of DA1 into a position where its voltage approaches  $e_r$  to get the system operating. Standard "artifices" consisting of "pull up" transistors, delaying capacitors and diodes that are cut off eventually can be used for that purpose. The choice of such mechanisms rests with the designer.

A convenient technique to facilitate start up is to interpose between the junction of resistors  $R_3$  and  $R_4$  and resistor  $R_F$  an amplifier with unity gain, but with almost "perfect" tracking of input and output voltage. This amplifier serves as an impedance transformer. But above all it should have a current limiting output circuit, which could supply or accept no more than approximately thirty percent of the nominal value of i. Such an amplifier will facilitate the starting process, since it will permit initiation of systems operation. The feedback resistor is under these conditions given by (6.18).

The dynamic behavior of a DPM system with "outer" loop feedback as indicated in figure 43, with use of the characteristics of an amplifier is described in section V.5.

3. The Principle of Autocompensation.

The concept of an electronic mechanism was devised which eliminates the effects of most of the error causing phenomena in the control network.

It was indicated under 2.b. above that the system corrects its own errors due to the bounded nature of integral (5.20), which in fact amount to fractions of a part per million, although the cumulative error of the control system itself is considerably larger when considered over an appreciable temperature range.

There is yet another aspect which strenghtens the static stability of this control system: Once signals  $k_{s}e_{s}^{*}$  and  $k_{r}e_{r}$  have entered the operational amplifier terminals (DA1), they are <u>both being</u> <u>processed concurrently</u> by the same components and enduring the same delays, distortions and whatever may happen to them until the final signal 0101.... emerges carrying its control information in form of analog discrete time intervals.

It will be, therefore, of little significance whether the total resistance of the voltage divider consisting of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  varies with time or with temperature, whether  $C_i$  changes its value or whether  $v_T$  wares, since the integration path  $RC_i$  (6.2) is the same for charging and discharging capacitor  $C_i$ ; the amplitudes of the triangles in figures 34 and 36 may vary, but not the ratios  $T_k/T_{ok}$  as they would if the signals were processed separately. Such is, indeed, the case when an amplified feedback error signal is compared with a ramp function, as is usually the case; these who signals are then processed by different components with different variation of characteristics due to ageing and temperature and processed by different mechanisms with their individual idiosyncrasies.

It is, primarily, due to the facts that  $\varepsilon_x \rightarrow 0$  in (5.20) and that the compared signals are processed concurrently by the same mechanisms, that ASDTIC performs with a degree of accuracy, which is unusual for any electronic system (see section 5. of this chapter). This principle of autocompensation was first applied to magnetic amplifiers |19, 20| and later extended to electronic control systems.

## 4. Critical Design Aspects.

A number of significant critical design areas were outlined under 2.b. above. Several practical physical limitations are added.

One of the most cumbersome areas of physical electronics is the resistive voltage divider, especially when it should be adjustable and would carry high frequency components (MHz) of pulse signals with repetition rates in the order of tens of kHz. The first requirement is the use of uniform resistive material throughout such a divider, including the potentiometer needed for adjustment. Then follows the noninductivity of the resistors. A temperature stability is, fortunately, not necessary in this case; it is, however, desirable to choose resistors with dissipation ratings that are proportional to the actually dissipated electric energy, to enhance the development of comparable resistor temperatures.

Another source of errors can be found in the input current to the operational amplifier as indicated under 2.b. Variation of each 1 na for  $i_{c \text{ min}}^{+} = 20 \ \mu a$  over an extended temperature range can amount to an error of 0.01 percent, an appreciable error for a system with excellence of performance.

The electronic control system is presently limited by a maximum operating frequency of 50 kHz. It should be realized that the harmonic content of the voltage waveforms in and outside ASDTIC include frequency components reaching into the MHz range which gain significance, when measured against the high standards of accuracy of the system. Further development of electronic circuits, possibly, paired with improved functional concepts will be necessary to overcome the indicated limitation.

## 5. Test Results.

An experimental breadboard of a DPM controlled d.c. power converter was built in 1967 in the laboratories of the Electronics Research Center, NASA Cambridge, Mass. from unselected commercially available components and tested (see Appendix B). The results are shown in figure 46. The voltage  $v_z$  of a precision Zener reference diode with





a temperature coefficient of 0.001  $\%/^{\circ}C$  was measured over the ambient temperature range from -55 to +100  $^{\circ}C$ . The results were normalized in the indicated form as

$$\eta_{\text{REF}} = \frac{e_{\text{REF}} - e_{\text{REF}_{AVE}}}{e_{\text{REF}_{AVE}}}$$

where

 $e_{REF} = e_r$  used throughout this presentation

and

$$e_{REF_{AVE}}$$
 = the average value of  $e_{REF}$ .

The output voltage  $e_0$  of the system was measured over the same temperature range; the results were normalized in a similar form, as indicated in figure 46. The results are recorded in units of  $10^4 n_0$  or in 100 parts per million (ppm) for each indicated unit. The total variation of the maximum of the normalized reference source amounts to approximately 0.17 percent over the indicated temperature range. The curve indicating the normalized system output voltage voltages, expressed in equal units, coincides with the curve of the reference source, except at its left low temperature end where it follows it closely. No distinction between the results for an input voltage  $E_s$  of 28 and 40 Volts is apparent on that graph. It means that the output voltage variations due to a change in input voltage by approximately 40 percent were for a given temperature and loading not clearly discernible.

The absolute accuracy of the ASDTIC system was, therefore, more closely investigated, holding the reference voltage  $e_r$  constant (outside the environmental chamber) and then recording the variations in output voltage  $e_o$  of the converter system over the same ambient temperature range. The results are shown in figure 47. The indicated functions are here similar with those shown in figure 46.





Normalized deviation of output voltage from reference voltage as a function of ambient temperature and input voltage v. .

The units are expressed in 100 ppm. A difference between the response to 28 and 40 Volts is now clearly discernible. The shownovoltage variations are within a tolerance of  $\pm$  100 ppm. The larger variation at an input voltage of 28 V at low temperature resulted, primarily, from an appreciable base current variation of the DA1 from 10 na at room temperature to 50 na at -55° C which caused more "damage" for larger  $T_k/T_{ok}$  at the low input voltage than for smaller  $T_k/T_{ok}$  at the higher input voltage.

The ASDTIC system was subsequently microminiaturized in hybrid thick film form |4|. The resulting flat pack contains the essential ASDTIC functions: the operational amplifier, threshold sensors

for positive and for negative trigger levels, a feedback amplifier and a regulated internal power supply. External terminals allow tailoring of the functional block to the various applications by selecting the  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  divider, the integrating capacitor  $C_i$ , the  $R_3$ ,  $R_4$  feedback divider and the feedback gain determining. resistor  $R_F$ .

The test results of the microminiaturized flat pack are shown in figure 48. The flatpacks were provided with trimming resistors that could be burned out by external action for improvement of performance. The results are shown for the same unit before and after trimming; they are consistent with the results obtained with unselected discrete components, shown in figure 47.



VII. CONCLUSIONS.

The analog signal to discrete time interval converter effort has achieved some of its goals. It has provided a functional block for the control of pulse modulated d.c. power convertors that can operate many of the currently used converters and provide them concurrently with considerably improved static and dynamic characteristics.

It has brought output voltage tolerances of 0.1 percent within the realm of common design, where 1 % was the accepted common standard before, and it provides umparalleled dynamic isolation from input voltage step or pulse disturbances (60 db). Through these properties it has found its use throughout U.S. Government programs carried out for NASA, DoD (U.S. Army, USAF) and DoT (FAA) in discrete part or in microminiaturized form.

Further improvements appear desirable: (1) to remove the barrier of subharmonic oscillations of PWM systems for  $d_{ss} < 0.5$  (V.5), (2) to provide one functionally homogeneous system for bipolar operation with unequivocally determined (no dead band) conditions during zero cross over and reconciliation of mutual bipolar history, and (3) to increase the useful frequency range from the present 50 kHz to, at least, 100 kHz in anticipation of forthcoming faster and efficient converters.

#### REFERENCES

"An analog signal to discrete time interval 1. Schwarz, F.C., converter", U.S. Patent 3,659,184, 1972. "Switch modulation techniques", Parts I and 2. Schwarz, F.C., II, Proceedings of the Power Sources Conference, Atlantic Cîty, N.J., 1962 and 1963. "Switch modulation techniques", Technical 3. Schwarz, F.C., Reports, Parts I through VI, USAECOM, DA 36-059-SC87353, ASTIA, 1961 through 1965. : "Design, development and fabrication of a 4. Schoenfeld, A.D. and microminiaturized electronic analog signal Schuegraf, K.K., to discrete time interval converter", NASA CR-120905, TRW Systems Group, 1972. 5. Shannon, C.E. and "The mathematical theory of communication", Weaver, W., University of Illinois Pross, Urbana, Ill. 1949. "A time domain analysis of the power factor 6. Schwarz, F.C., for a rectifier filter system with over- and subcritical inductance", Trans. IEEE-IECI, Vol. 20, May 1973. "Feedback control system analysis and synthesis", 7. d'Azzo, J.J. and Houpis, C.H., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- Schwarz, F.C., "A nonorthodox transformer for free running parallel inverters", IEEE-MAG, Vol. 5, Dec. 1969.
- 9. Schwarz, F.C., "Saturation current protection apparatus for saturable core transformers", U.S. Patent No. 3,539,905, 1970.
- Schwarz, F.C., "A nonsaturating saturable core transformer",
   U.S. Patent No. 3,541,478, 1970; patented in
   U.K., France, West Germany, Canada, Italy,
   The Netherlands and Japan.
- 11. Lalli, V.R. and "ASDTIC'duty cycle controller for power con-Schoenfeld, A.D., verters", NASA TMX-68066, 1972.
- 12. Yu, Y., Biess, J.J. "The application of standardized control and and Schoenfeld, A.D., interface circuits to three d.c. to d.c. power Lalli, V.R., converters", Proceedings of the 4th IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference, Pasadena 1973.
- 13. Schwarz, F.C., "Frequency modulated self-stabilizing inverter",U.S. Patent No. 3,303,405, 1967.
- Schwarz, F.C., "Self-stabilizing series inverter-amplifier, pulse duration modulation amplifier", U.S.
   Patent No. 3,311,808, 1967.
- 15. Schwarz, F.C., "A method of resonant current pulse modulation for power converters", IEEE-IECI, Trans. Vol. 17,

No. 3, May 1970.

- Schoenfeld, A.D. and "ASDTIC control and standardized control
   Yu, Y., circuits applied to buck, parallel and buck hoost, converters", NASA CR-121106, 1973.
- 17. Schwarz, F.C., "Power processing", NASA Special Publication SP-244, 1971.
- 18. Millman, J.J. and "Pulse, digital and switching waveforms", Taub, M., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965.
- 19. Schwarz, F.C., "Self-stabilizing pulse duration modulated amplifier", U.S. Patent No. 3,332,001, 1967.
- 20. Schwarz, F.C., "The self-stabilizing pulse duration modulated amplifier and its application to a 4.2 kW power supply", Engineering Report, General Electric, Electronics Laboratories, Syracuse, N.Y., 1961.

APPENDIX A.

Decim Example of Converter System and ASDTIC Interface.

1. Statement of Problem.

a. Description of Process. ,

A resistive load  $R_L$  is to be energized from a secondary voltage source. The voltage  $e_0$  of this source is required to vary within close tolerances, as specified.

The prime energy is derived from one phase of an ordinary 60 Hz generator with appreciable variations of its voltage eace.

The process of voltage waveform transformation and the used implementary techniques are illustrated in figure A.1. The voltage  $e_{acs}$  of a.c. power, derived from the single phase supply line is first scaled by a transformer, then processed by a full wave rectifier, and subsequently smoothed by an "input filter" (IF). This input filter is so dimensioned as to cause a relativly high a.c. ripple content in its output voltage  $e_s$ . Filter IF is intentionally underdesigned for purpose of keeping its physical weight and size low, yet to provide it with an acceptable efficiency of power processing.

The converter-regulator which succeeds filter IF processes the power emanating from this filter with voltage  $e_s$ . Its pulse modulator performs a sampling process which decreases the low frequency components of order number n contained in  $e_s$  with coefficients  $E_{sn}$  (see 2.4) in such a manner that each harmonic component of  $e_s^*$  of



Transformer rectifier filter regulator system.

ī

, <sup>,</sup> , <sup>,</sup>

the same order number n is reduced at least to a prescribed level (discussed with reference to figure 15). Or, that the cumulative rms content of  $e_s^*$  for any frequency component with order number  $n < n_c$  be confined to the given specifications of  $e_o$ . The output filter OF will have to reduce only the harmonic content of  $e_s^*$  which is caused by the sampling process itself at frequencies  $f_F \max > f_F > f_F \min > n_c f_s$  where  $f_s$  is the fundamental frequency of  $e_s$ .

The result of this power processing method is the reduction of the size of filter IF by a factor  $a_d$  which signifies the attenuation of the harmonic content of  $e_s$  by the pulse modulation process. Application of this process is necessitated by the required control of voltage  $e_o$ . Attenuation of the  $E_{sn}$  and a drastic reduction of If is obtained by adding an additional task to the modulation process at no expense of physical weight and size of power processing efficiency.

b. Specifications.

b.1. Input voltage.

 $v_{\text{rec nom.}} = 32\{1 + 2\lfloor (1/3) \cos 2\pi 120t - (1/(3.5))\cos 4\pi 120t \}$ 

 $-(1/(5.7))\cos 6\pi 120t + \dots$ 

Average:  $e_{dc} = 32+6$  V.d.c.

Continous harmonic content:  $e_{ac} = 10 \%$  rms of  $e_{dc} = 120 < nf_{s} < 360$  v is the nominal rectified source voltage e acs

b.2. Output voltage.

Average: 
$$v_0 = 20(1 + 001)$$
 V.d.c.

Continuus harmonic content:  $v_{os} = 0.00025 E_{o} rms$  120 < nf<sub>s</sub> < 360

$$v_F = 0.00018 E_o rms$$

Absolute maximum steady state instantanous output voltage variation:

$$10^{2} [e_{o}/E_{o} - 1]_{max} < 0.175 \text{ percent}$$

- b.3. Output current: 2 to 10 Ampere average.
- b.4. Ohmic isolation between input and output terminals not gequired.
- b.5. Operating ambient temperature range -55 to  $100^{\circ}$  C.
- 2. System Design.

A pulse frequency modulated chopper system is designed to derive electric energy with a voltage  $e_s$  as indicated in figure A.1. This chopper will convert voltage  $e_s$  into an output voltage  $e_o$  with maximum variations

as required by the specifications under letter 1.b.2. and consistent with the other output power requirements.

The lowest chopping frequency  $f_{F \min}$  with which the specifications can be met is established first. This chopping frequency is determined by the needed attenuation to reduce the low frequency harmonic content of  $e_s$  according to (4.14) to fit within the tolerances given for  $v_{os}$ in the specifications.

Voltage  $e_s$  has the average content  $e_{dc}$  as specified. Its harmonic content  $e_{ac}$  caused by the first three harmonic components of  $v_{rec}$  is indicated with 10 percent.

Voltage  $v_{rec}$  is related to  $e_{acs}$  by |6|

 $\dot{v}_{rec} = \frac{2E_{acs}}{\pi} + \frac{4E_{acs}}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{\cos 2\omega_s t}{3} - \frac{\cos 4\omega_s t}{3.5} + \frac{\cos 6\omega_s t}{5.7} - \dots \right\} (A.1)$ 

The relative magnitude of the individual harmonic coefficients is listed as

The second order input filter IF will attenuate the individual harmonic components of  $v_{rec}$  proportionally to the square of the order number n of their frequencies (3.2); n being 1, 2, and 3 in this case. The relative magnitude of these harmonic coefficients of  $e_s$  attenuated by IF is given by

1, 1/20, 1/105

Their relative contribution to the rms content of  $e_s$  is indicated by calculating the composite rms content of  $e_s$  in a conventional manner:

$$e_{ac rms} = 0.1 E_{s} = \frac{E_{s1}}{\sqrt{2}} \{1 + (1/20)^{2} + (1/105)^{2}\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (A.3)

Relation (A.3) reveals that the contributions of the harmonic components with order number higher than 1 is approximately 1/1000.

This harmonic content of  $e_s$  will be even further reduced by the ASDTIC controlled pulse modulation process according to relation (4.14). Again, if  $E_{s1}$  is reduced by the pulse modulation process to a specific magnitude  $V_{os1}$ , then the relative magnitudes of the first three harmonic frequency components will follow (4.14) and be given by

$$1, 2/(20), 3/105$$
 (A.4)

such that proper interpretation of (A.3) and (A.4) and the specifications under 1.b.2. yields that

$$v_{os rms} = 0.00025 E_o = \frac{V_{os1}}{\sqrt{2}} \{1 + (1/10)^2 + (1/35)^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (A.5)

The relative significance of the harmonic components for n > 1has increased, but their cummulative effect is still near 5/1000; it will be seen, further on, that an error of  $\frac{1}{2}$  % becomes irrelevant for the accuracy of the design process itself. It follows from the statements contained under 1.b.1. and 1.b.2. that

$$e_{ac} = 0.1 e_{dc} rms$$
(A.6)

and that

$$v_{os} = 0.00025 E_{o} rms$$
 (A.7)

respectivly require that the first harmonic coefficient E of e be attenuated by way of the pulse modulation process by a factor

$$a_{\rm T} = 10/0.025 = 400$$
 (A.8)

with allowance of another  $\frac{1}{2}$  % of that number to account for the second harmonic component. The filter IF is designed to meet the requirements of (A.6); this design is not considered part of the problem at hand; relation (A.6) is simply postulated for purpose of this treatment.

The total attenuation  $a_{T1}$  of the first harmonic component of  $e_s$  is found by forming the product

$$a_{T1} = a_{s1} a_{d1} (A + 1) \simeq 402$$
 (A.9)

where

 $a_{s1}$  = attenuation of  $E_{s1}$  by the low pass converter output filter;

assumed is in this case that  $a_{sl} = 1$  (no attenuation)

 $a_{d1} = N/\pi$  defined by (4.12)

A = amplification of the converter's error feedback signal

The gain A of the feedback error signal  $\varepsilon$  is determined from its contribution to the static stability of the converter system expressed in terms of  $|v_0 - E_0|_{max}$ . This is preceded by evaluation of the other factors affecting the converter's static stability, being the error  $\varepsilon_{cen}$  of the electronic control system itself and the relative error  $\Delta e_{r max}/e_r = \varepsilon_{crn}$  of the systems reference voltage source.

The maximum absolute relative  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{cen}$  error of the electronic ASDTIC control system

$$\left|\varepsilon_{\rm cen}\right| = 0.0001 \tag{A.9}$$

as indicated in figures 47 and 48.

A compensated Zener reference diode with a temperature coefficient of 0.0003  $\%/^{\circ}$ C for the indicated temperature range centered around 25° C, is used for this design. The maximum relative deviation  $\varepsilon_{\rm crn}$ of this voltage reference device from its value in percent is then

$$10^2 \varepsilon_{\rm crn} = 10^2 (0.0003) (100 - 25) = 0.0225 \,\,{\rm percent}$$
 (A.10)

The maximum relative steady state d.c. error  $\varepsilon_v$  of the output voltage e

$$\varepsilon_{v_{o}}/E_{o} = |v_{o}/E_{o} - 1|_{max} = \varepsilon_{cen} + \varepsilon_{crn} + v_{R_{l}}/2(A + 1)E_{o} \quad (A.11)$$

as indicated with (A.9), (A.10), (4.26) and the therewith associated discussions. Relation (4.26) is introduced here in accordance with the discussion with reference to figure 32. It is assumed that the power loss in the inductor L is 2 % of the total power output (200 W) of the converter when fully loaded. The output voltage  $e_0$ would vary by 2 % between full load and no load conditions. This total error  $v_R_0$  over the loading range of the converter which is due to the inductor resistance  $R_0$  is halved after "centering" of  $v_0$  with respect to  $E_0$ , as discussed with reference to (4.26). The relative maximum "centered" normalized error due to  $R_0$ ,

$$\epsilon_{R_{l}n} = v_{R_{l}} \max^{2E_{o}} = 0.01.$$

In a closed loop feedback system with gain A is

$$v_{R_{l} max}/2(A + 1)E_{o} = \varepsilon_{v_{o}}/E_{o} - \varepsilon_{cen} - \varepsilon_{crn}$$
 (A.12)

or

$$\epsilon_{R_{l}n} / (1 + A) = 0.001 - 0.0001 - 0.000225$$
(A.13)

= 0.000675

It follows that

$$A + 1 = \frac{\varepsilon_{R_{\ell}n}}{0.000675} = \frac{0.01}{0.000675}$$

and

$$A \simeq 14 \tag{A.14}$$

With  $a_{s1} = 1$  defined under (A.9) is

$$a_{d1} = a_{T1}/(A + 1) = 402/15 \approx 27$$
 (A.15)

The lowest pulse frequency  $f_{F min}$  is given by

$$f_{F \min} = N.f_{s}$$
(A.16)

N being the number of pulses per period P with frequency f. Use of (A.15) and (4.12) to solve (A.16) shows that

$$f_{\rm F min} = \pi a_{\rm d1} f_{\rm s} \tag{A.17}$$

and thus

$$f_{\rm F min} = (3.14)(27)(120) \approx 10,250$$
 (A.18)

pulses per second (pps). The lowest average pulse frequency of a PFM system occurs at the highest input voltage and at the lowest

load (2A); in this case when  $e_{dc} = 38$  V. The highest pulse frequency  $f_{F max}$  occurs at the lowest input voltage and the highest load (10 A). If it is assumed that the system develops a series voltage drop differential of 5 % of the nominal output voltage  $E_{o}$  between lowest and highest loading, then it is necessary to increase the pulse frequency to a maximum

$$f_{F \max} = f_{F \min} (e_{dc \max}/e_{dc \min})(1.05)$$
 (A.19)

yielding

$$f_{\rm F max} = 10,250(3.8/26)(1.05) \approx 15,700 \text{ pps}$$
(A.20)

In conclusion it is summarized that the 10 % source ripple is contained within the prescribed limits of 0.025 % rms of the d.c. output voltage and this d.c. voltage is stabilized within 0.1 % if (1) the converter is equipped with a maximum pulse frequency of 15,700 pps and an outer loop feedback gain A = 14. Reference is made to figures 2 and 3 and Table 3, and to the discussion with reference to these figures for elucidation of the treatment of this problem.

The lowest pulse frequency  $f_{F \min}$  imposes in conjunction with the required processing of the first harmonic component of  $e_s$  an upper limit on the undamped frequency  $f_o$  of the system output filter. It is necessary that

$$\frac{a_{1}/a_{o}}{V_{oF1}/E_{o}} < (\omega_{F}/\omega_{o})^{2} \left\{ \left| (A+1)(\omega_{o}/\omega_{F})^{2} - 1 \right|^{2} + (2\xi\omega_{o}/\omega_{F})^{2} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(A.21)

with  $\omega_{\rm F} = \omega_{\rm F min}$ . This is consistent with (3.1) through (3.3) and (4.19)

including the associated discussions.

The effect of the term under the one half power sign is neglected at first to arrive at a zero order approximation:

$$\omega_{o} < \omega_{F} \min \left\{ \frac{a_{1}/a_{o}}{V_{oF1}/E_{o}} \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (A.22)

or

$$\omega_0 < 2\pi 10,250 \{(4/\pi)/0.00025\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
  
 $\omega_0 < 2\pi 144 \simeq 904$ 

using the worst case expressed by  $\gamma = 0$  in (4.20).

The ratio

$$\omega_{\rm F min}/\omega_{\rm o} \approx 71 \tag{A.24}$$

(A.23)

accordingly.

Introduction of the calculated value for  $\omega_{\rm F}/\omega_{\rm O}$  into (A.21) verifies the assumed neglection of the factor with the half power factor. The values of the components L<sub>o</sub> and C<sub>o</sub> are readily calculated from (A.24) and another design requirement such as inductor current continuity for the containment of ohmic losses [6] or any other requirement at the designers option. The various converter output voltage components which cause deviations from its nominal value  $E_0$  are summarized for clarity in Table A.I.

Table A.1. List of Output Voltage Error Components.

(a) D.C. voltage level variations:

$$10^2 \epsilon_{R_{l}} = 0.066 \text{ percent}$$

$$10c_{cen}^{22} = 0.01$$
 percent

$$10^2 \varepsilon_{\rm crn} = 0.024$$
 percent

$$10^2 \Delta v_{o max} / E_o = 0.1$$
 percent

(b) Harmonic content

i. rms values:

$$10^2 v_{os}/E_o = 0.025$$
 percent rms

$$10^2 v_F / E_o = 0.018$$
 percent rms

ii. amplitudes:

$$10^2 |v_{os ampl}|/E_o = 0.038$$
 percent

$$10^2 |v_{F ampl}|/E_o = 0.025$$
 percent

(c) Summary

$$10^{2} \{ |\Delta v_{o \max} + |v_{o s \min}| + |v_{F \min}| \} / E_{o} = 0.163 \text{ percent}$$

3. ASDTIC Interface Design.

The value of the significant interface components with ASDTIC, namely  $R_1, R_2, R_3'$  and  $R_4'$  is established next. The minimum integrator capacitor current i that will be large enough as not to be appreciably affected by parasitic (leakage) currents, dominates these considerations. The lowest positive capacitor current

$$i_{c \min}^{+} = (e_{s}^{*} - E_{o})/R_{1} | e_{s}^{*} = e_{s\min}$$

The positive capacitor current  $i_c^+$  is at a minimum for the lowest value of  $e_s$ . This value

$$e_{s\min} = e_{dc\min} (1 - E_{sl}/e_{dc\min}) - v_{ce}$$
(A.25)

where  $v_{ce} = 1.2$  is the assumed voltage drop of the electronic switch during conduction. In numbers is

$$e_{s \min} = 26(1 - 0.1\sqrt{2}/26) - 1.2 \approx 21.1 \text{ V}$$
(A.26)

The lowest positive integrator capacitor current is calculated from (6.1) and (A:26):

$$i_{c \min}^{+} = 1.1 \ \mu a \text{ for each } 10^6 \text{ ohm of } R_1$$
 (A.27)

From practice it has been learned that  $i_c + 20 \mu a$  is adequate to pbscure the effects of leakage currents. The observed performance characteristics of ASDTIC contained in figure 46 has been obtained with a minimum current of that magnitude. This emperical experience is used to calculate

$$R_1 = \frac{1 \cdot 1}{20} 10^6 = 55 10^3 \text{ ohm}$$
 (A.28)

A resistor

- . '

$$R_1 = 62 \ 10^3 \text{ ohm}$$
 (A.29)

is chosen for this purpose for a current  $i_{c,min}^+$  of slightly less than 20 µa. Resistor

$$R_2 = (8/(20 - 8))(62 \ 10^6) = 41.3 \ 10^3 \text{ ohm}$$
 (A.30)

according to (6.7), assuming  $e_r = 8$  Volt. This value of  $R_2$  may have to be provided in exact magnitude unless  $e_r$  is adjustable by way of a resistive divider.

Capacitor current  $i_c$  is calculated from (6.3) using (A.29) and (A.30):

$$i_c = 8/(25 \ 10^3) \approx 320 \ \mu a$$
 (A.31)

for  $e_s^* = 0$ . In actuality is  $e_s^* < 0$  at the time when the "free wheeling" diode shown in figure 45 conducts the current i of inductor  $L_0$ . However, the integrator "sees" then the correct filter input voltage.

The nominal positive capacitor current

$$i_{c \text{ nom}}^{+} = (32 - 20)/(62 \ 10^3) = 193 \ \mu a$$
 (A.32)

The feedback resistors are calculated from (6.19) and (6.20) respectivly

$$R_{3}' = \frac{62 \ 10^{3}}{14} \ \frac{32}{32 - 20} = 11.8 \ 10^{3} \text{ ohm}$$
(A.33)

$$R_4' = \frac{8}{20 - 8} \frac{62 \ 10^3}{14} \frac{32}{32 - 20} = 7.9 \ 10^3 \text{ ohm}$$
 (A.34)

Appropriate provisions have to be taken to prevent the feedback voltage divider to load down the integrator mechanism during the starting phase. The designer can use any of the common circuit techniques available for that purpose with special attention devoted to the prevention of leakage currents that would vary with temperature or with ageing of components. The most effective manner to secure starting of the system with feedback in place is to mechanise it such that the sampling switch CSS remains closed for

$$e_0 \frac{R_4'}{R_3' + R_4'} < e_r$$
 (A.35)

Voltage  $e_0$  then builds up from zero to some value which reduces this inequality (A.35) to an extent where converter operation can gradually start.

4. Comparison with Conventional Feedback Design.

¢

An analogous systems design process as presented under section 2. above for the problem stated in section 1. is now carried out for a converter regulator with conventional feedback control (see figure 10).

For purpose of comparison of results it is assumed that both systems:

- a. meet the same specifications in each of their individual requirements.
- b. employ the same pulse modulation philosophy, PFM with the same maximum frequency  $f_{F max}$ , which constitutes one of the critical physical limitations for any of the two systems.
- c. employ control systems:

- i. which are constructed of unselected commercially available components.
- ii. whose circuits do not incorporate special techniques for the compensation of temperature effects.
- d. employ the same quality voltage reference source.

The accuracy of a conventional control system as described under 4.b. and c. above over the required temperature range is estimated with a maximum static stability of 0.02 percent. This appears as a favorable assumption, if for no other reason than the lack of application of a principle of autocompensation (VI.3) in the signal processing mechanism.

The errors  $\varepsilon_{p}$  which signify the deviations  $v_{omax} - v_{omin}$  due to individual causes are defined in and discussed with reference to figure 3, Table III, and relation (5.15). These errors are normalized with respect to  $E_{o}$  and "centered" about  $E_{o}$  for purpose of the following treatment. The normalized (centered) error

$$\varepsilon_{\rm pn} = \frac{+}{-} \varepsilon_{\rm p} / 2E_{\rm o} \tag{A.36}$$

These "centered" errors will be used with the positive sign only for worst case analysis. The maximum normalized deviation of  $v_0$  from  $E_0$  is given by the summ

$$\Sigma_{pn} = \varepsilon_{n} + \varepsilon_{Rn} + \varepsilon_{Tn} + \varepsilon_{crn} + \varepsilon_{cen}$$
(A.37)

The specifications require under 1.b.2. that  $\Sigma_{pn} \leq 0.001$ . It was assumed above that  $\varepsilon_{cen} = 0.0002$ ; a normalized error  $\varepsilon_{crn} = \Delta e_{r max}/e_r = 0.000225$  is indicated in relation (A.10) for analysis of the DPM system. The summ of the first three terms on the right hand side of (A.38) is found to be

$$\varepsilon_{1n} + \varepsilon_{Rn} + \varepsilon_{Tn} = 0.0001 - 0.000255 - 0.0002 = 0.000575$$
 (A.38)

The cause of each of the error components on the left hand side of (A.38) is considered next for purpose of finding a feedback gain A which will reduce their summ to the limit given by (A.38).

Error  $\varepsilon_i$  is caused by variations of the input voltage  $e_s$ ; its maximum total variation is expressed by

$$e_{s max} - e_{s min} = 38(1 + 0.1\sqrt{2}) - 26(1 - 0.1\sqrt{2})$$
  
= 43.39 - 22.31 = 21.08 V
  
(A.39)

using the given specifications under 1.b.1. This system needs the capability to process all the recurrent variations of  $e_s$  including the excursions of the low frequency ripple symbolized by  $e_{ac}$ . It processes these variations as a "slowly varying d.c." which is a prerequisite for its functional capability to suppress or mitigate this harmonic content through the effectivness of its feedback loop.

Errors  $\varepsilon_R$  and  $\varepsilon_T$  are due to the varying average voltage drops caused by the obmic resistance of the conventer between source and load. These effects have been assumed to cause a cumulative maximum relative voltage drop of 7 % in the DPM system as expressed by relation (A.12) and (A.19). This is related to the respective output voltage error components by

A 
$$10^{2}(\varepsilon_{R} + \varepsilon_{T})/E_{o} = 7 \text{ percent}$$
 (A.40)

since the feedback gain A will reduce the 7 % error to the allowable levels for  $\epsilon_{\rm R}$  +  $\epsilon_{\rm T}$ . Relations (A.39) and (A.40) are normalized and centered with respect to their average values in the form

$$\epsilon_{in} + \epsilon_{Rn} + \epsilon_{Tn} = (e_{s max} - e_{s min})/2AE_s + (\epsilon_R + \epsilon_T)/2E_o$$
 (A.41)

This is reexpressed in numbers using (A.38) through (A.41) by

$$\epsilon_{in} + \epsilon_{Rn} + \epsilon_{Tn} = 21.08/64A + 0.035/A = 0.000575$$
 (A.42)

Solving (A.42) yields

$$A = 0.365/0.000575 = 635$$
 (A.43)

A feedback gain indicated in (A.43) will guarantee that  $(v_{o max} - v_{o min})/2E_{o} \leq 0.1$  % as required by the specifications under 1.b.2.

The lowest pulse frequency

$$f_{F \min} = f_{F \max} (e_{s \min} / e_{s \max}) / (1.07)$$
 (A.44)

The last factor in (A.44) signifies the contribution of (A.40)

to the required range since the series voltage drops expressed by (A.40) increase the effective input voltage ratio as viewed from the system's output terminals.

The requirement of equal  $f_{F \max}$  stated under 4.b. and use of (A.39) leads to a numerical expression for (A.44) in the form

$$f_{\rm F min} = 15,700 \ (22.31/43.39)/(1.07) = 7,540 \ \rm pps$$
 (A.45)

The needed attenuation  $a_{F1} = (a_1/a_0)/(V_{oF1}/E_0)$  of the relative magnitude of the first harmonic coefficient  $a_1/a_0$  caused by the chopping process requires satisfaction of relation (A.21). The second term under the half power sign is, again, initially neglected and reasoned that

$$\omega_{\rm F min}/\omega_{\rm o} > (a_{\rm Fl} + A + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (A.46)

It follows from use of (A.43), (A.45), (A.46) and the last factor above relation (A.23) that

$$f_{o} < \frac{f_{F \min}}{(a_{F1} + A + 1)^2} = \frac{7,450}{(5000 + 635)^2} \approx 100$$
 (A.47)

The same value a was used in the DPM case to contain the output F1 voltage ripple  $v_{\rm oF}$  within specified limits.

The frequency ratio

$$f_{\rm F min}/f_{\rm o} \approx 76 \tag{A.48}$$

A recheck of (A.21), using the numerical values contained in (A.45), (A.47), (A.48) and assuming that  $\xi \approx 1$ , confirms the validity of (A.46) and the therefrom derived results.

The relative low frequency content of  $e_0$  is restricted to

$$v_{os}/E_{o} \simeq \frac{E_{s1}/E_{s}}{A} = \frac{0.141}{635} = 0.0222 \ 10^{-2}$$
 (A.49)

as indicated by (3.5). It is thus well within specifications. The critical condition for calculation of A was in this case the attainment of the required d.c. output voltage control accuracy.

Both systems studied in this Appendix have shown to meet the specifications of the example problem.

A comparison between selected critical functional aspects of the DPM and the conventional system follows next:

Symbol	DPM	Conventional
A	14	635
f	144	100 Hz

The LC product of an L type filter used, predominantly, in d.c. power supplies increases with the square of decreesing f since  $(2\pi f_0)^2 = 1/LC$ . It follows that the filter of the conventional

feedback controlled system will be  $(144/100)^2 \approx 2$  times heavier than that of the DPM controlled system, everything else being equal.

The disparity of the needed feedback gains 635 vs. 14 entails more serious considerations. This increased gain is the cause for lowering the resonant filter frequency  $f_0$  in order that its attenuation capability in the presence of A, indicated by (3.3) be maintained. But, the most important effect of the increased feedback gain is exerted on the system's dynamic behavior. This is discussed with reference to figure 43.

The feedback gain A = 14 associated with the DPM control system can be thought of as generating a vertical line on the graph in figure 43. which ends on the respective value indicated on the abcissa.

The significance of the individual curves is defined in and discussed with reference to figure 43. The vertical A = 14 is at a comfortable distance from the solid lines which indicate **setting** system oscillations. The respective relative **setting fitudes**  $\sqrt{2V_o}/E_o$ are given in absolute values. A relative storp **gradient of 6.003** or 0.03 % from line to line can be interpreted **setting** from right to left. The last line to the left of the group indicating a total amplitude of 0.03 % eliminates three such steps and points to a complete cessation of the unstable area which lies securely to the right of it. The system employing a feedback gain A = 14 will thus remain securely stable.

A choice of dynamic characteristics such as rise time, overshoot,

tical line A = 14. This point determines the loaded filter's damping factor  $\xi$ . Once  $\xi$  is chosen, then the second condition for determination of the filter component L<sub>o</sub> and C<sub>o</sub> as discussed under (A.24) is given. The results of this determination are unique for a given load R<sub>L</sub>. This method can be used for design of d.c. converters with unequivocally predictible dynamic characteristics.

Application of a feedback gain A = 635 for the given frequency ratio  $f_{F \text{ min}}/f_{O}$  appears to be marginally fessible, at best. A. high degree of damping of the filter appears necessary for that purpose which leads to the expectation of a system with a sluggish response capability as often found in practice. APPENDIX B

# Semi-Annual Review of Research and Development

JANUARY 1 TO JUNE 30, 1968

## Electronic Components Research

Component Technology Laboratory Douglas M. Warschauer, Chief

Qualifications and Standards Laboratory Robert L. Trent, Chief

Power Conditioning and Distribution Laboratory Francisc C. Schwarz, Chief

#### SEPTEMBER 1968

APPROVED: W, Cenuford Dunlap

W. Crawford Dunlap Assistant Director for Electronic Components Research



National Aeronautics and Space Administration Electronics Research Center James C. Elms, Director Cambridge, Massachusetts

PM-59

## <u>TITLE</u>: Electronic Analog Signal to Discrete Time Interval Converter (ASDIC)

NASA Work Unit: 120-33-08-11-25

Responsible Individual: F. C. Schwarz

#### **OBJECTIVE:**

Establishment of an electronic circuit technique that converts analog electrical signals into a succession of discrete time intervals according to a specific conversion philosophy. Control of pulse modulation mechanism in pertinent power systems. Variance in the characteristics of integrators, including ferromagnetic integrators saturable reactors - should be substantially reduced by use of readily reproducible miniaturized electronic circuits. Improvement of accuracies by at least one or two orders of magnitude at higher frequencies of operation and considerable cost reduction are expected.

#### APPROACH:

The voltage waveform of a signal under consideration will be transformed into an analogous and linearly proportional current wave= form. A capacitor will accumulate the latter in form of charge, or ampere-seconds; the time needed to charge the capacitor to a reference level is used to control the pulse forming switch. The nonlinear characteristics of circuit components including those due to temperature variations and aging will be used by way of autocompensation to counter balance the nonlinear effects within the circuit that are due to the characteristics of these components.

#### PROGRESS:

The objective of this program is to devise an electronic intergrator to be used wherever conversion of analog to digital signals is required, in particular for the purpose of transmission of an analog signal by use of pulse modulation and subsequent detection. Integrators of this kind are well known in the art, in particular the saturable magnetic reactors. This nonlinear inductive device is extensively described in the pertinent literature. These reactors do not appear well suited for high frequency applications because of apparent parasitic circuit parameters associated with wire wound electromagnetic devices that gain necessarily in significance when integration over shorter time intervals is required. Electronic integrators - usually RC integrators - are widely used. The volt-seconds of a sample of a function are converted to a corresponding time interval in the application under consideration. This process is, however, largely dependent on the invariance of component characteristics due to variations in environmental conditions, including ambient temperature and aging of components. It is the purpose of this program to devise

CP-120-33-08-11-25

an integrator as discussed so far, but with the distinction of maintaining its accuracy of expected operation notwithstanding variations in its components characteristics, and variations of applied voltage waveforms and supply voltages within practical limits.

A circuit philosophy was developed that will vary the time base of its cyclic operation concurrent with the effects on the processing of signals that are due to variations of the characteristics of components included in this network. The read out of information from this network occurs against the same time varying time base. The former referred to effects of variations of components characteristics are in this way undone and the read out information is obtained as if the components had maintained their characteristics in an invariant form. It is this novel functional mechanism that distinguishes this approach from other networks of this kind which operate against preprogrammed time bases and are therefore, unable to cope with variations in the characteristics of their components. It is emphasized that this network philosophy utilizes the time variant characteristic of the same component to undo the effect of its own variation in characteristics rather than to call for compensation of these effects of its own variation in characteristics rather than to call for compensation of these effects by another component.

This circuit has been breadboarded and tested. It yielded remarkable accuracies of response over an ambient temperature range from -55 to  $100^{\circ}$ C, when operated in conjunction with the DC to DC converter. The deviations of the normalized output voltage from its average were recorded in the order of 10 parts per million of the variations of the normalized input voltage over the entire temperature range as indicated above. Review of limitations of instrumentation will be undertaken for purpose of consolidation of results. The normalized output voltage tracks the normalized reference voltage to a tolerance of less than .02% over the entire temperature range as indicated above. This indicates that DC power supplies with substantially higher accuracies than presently available could be devised when utilizing this novel method of control, provided better reference sources can be made available. A detailed treatment of the functional mechanism of this new network is contained in the ERC Invention Disclosure "Autocompensated Electronic Integrator" of February 21, 1967. Figs. 1-4 summarize test data.

A functional block diagram of the regulatory part of the control system is indicated in Figure 5 identifying the individual elements of operation. The elements shown within the dotted line are presently being reduced to microminiaturized form by an industrial contractor. A multi-purpose control system for power supplies that utilizes the network under consideration and could be applied to electric power converters employing either pulse width or pulse frequency modulator or so-called fly-back circuits has been devised. It consists of the network indicated in Figure 1 and another network that accepts, processes and finally channels the appropriate signals to the respective power circuit. The latter network, being called the "peripheral network" is

presently being hardened up and should enter the phase of microminiaturization during FY '69. Both networks and certain critical discrete components will be included in one electromagnetically shielded box with appropriate terminals for admission and transfer of signals. This complete network should make it possible to operate any of the pulse modulated power systems indicated before and reduce the cost of such power supply design considerably. It should also free the power engineer from the unfamiliar task of designing delicate and accurate analog and digital pulse circuitry for the purpose of control.

و مرکز او ا









the second state of the state of the second st

#### CP-120-33-08-11-25







Figure 4.- Normalized deviation of output voltage from reference voltage as a function of ambient temperature and input voltage V<sub>IN</sub> 163



APPENDIX C. Definition of Symbols.

.

Symbol	Definition
A	amplification of the feedback error signal $\varepsilon = k e - k e$
<sup>a</sup> dn	attenuation of the nth harmonic component with amplitude $E_{sn}$ contained in the low frequency content $e_{ac}$ of the source voltage $e_{s}$
A/DI	analog to discrete interval converter
A <sub>k</sub>	area of the kth pulse in volt-seconds or ampere-seconds
a <sub>Fm</sub>	attenuation of the mth harmonic coefficient $a_m$ by the converter's low pass filter
a m	mth harmonic coefficient of the pulse train e <sup>*</sup> solely due to the mechanics of the sampling or pulse modulation process
a <sub>mn</sub>	a normalized with respect to the average value of $e_s^*$ in terms of $a_o$
AM	astable multivibrator
a o	average value of the pulse train $e_s^*$ over the time interval (period) P of e s
<sup>a</sup> l <u>n</u>	first harmonic component of the chopped wanteform normalized for: $m_i = 0$ ; $T_k = T_{k+1}$ ; $T_{ok} = T_{ok+1}$
, BM	bîstable multîvibrater

165

,

Symbol Definition

<sup>B</sup> k	volt-seconds (or ampere-seconds) area of output voltage e o for t <sub>k</sub> < t < t <sub>k+1</sub>
β	angle in radians; aqual to time in seconds for normalized voltage e il
Δβ	time invariant, normalized time intervals with duration $2\pi/N$
β <sub>k</sub>	sum of all normalized intervals $\Delta\beta_{\mathbf{R}}$ for $\mathbf{n} = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$
<sup>Δβ</sup> k	kth normalized time interval $\beta_{k+1} - \beta_k$
CCS	controlled sampling switch
°in	nth complex harmonic coefficient of the function $e_{il}^*$
C <sub>o</sub>	capacitance of low pass output filter
d	ratio $T_k/T_k$ , or "duty cycle" of pulse modulation process
d <sub>ss</sub>	steady state "duty cycle" d
DA	differential amplifier
e ac	recurrent variations of the source voltage $e_s$ with zero average component in the steady state
<sup>e</sup> dc	slowly and irregularly varying d.c. voltage component of source of electric energy
e <sub>in</sub>	normalized voltage of source of electric energy $e_s/E_s$
e <sup>*</sup> in	train of samples derived from e. in

### Symbol Definition

Ein	amplitude of the nth harmonic component of e. in
e <sub>il</sub>	normalized voltage of source of electric energy including the fundamental component
e* il	train of samples derived from e <sub>il</sub>
e <sub>o</sub>	output voltage of the d.c. converter
Е о	nominal value of e o
eomax	absolute steady state maximum value of e o
e <sub>omin</sub>	absolute steady state minimum value of e o
e r	reference voltage of d.c. converter
se r	maximum variation of reference voltage
e <sub>r</sub> (1)	<b>volta</b> ge of reference source as function of its operating temperature
e <sub>r</sub> (T) e <sub>s</sub>	<b>volta</b> ge of reference source as function of its operating temperature voltage of source of electric energy
e <sub>r</sub> (T) e <sub>s</sub> e <sub>s</sub> *	<pre>voltage of reference source as function of its operating temperature voltage of source of electric energy train of samples derived from e s</pre>
e <sub>r</sub> (T) e <sub>s</sub> e <sub>s</sub> * e <sub>s</sub> max	<pre>voltage of reference source as function of its operating temperature voltage of source of electric energy train of samples derived from e<sub>s</sub> absolute maximum steady state value of e<sub>s</sub></pre>
er(T) es es es smax esmin	<pre>voltage of reference source as function of its operating temperature voltage of source of electric energy train of samples derived from e<sub>s</sub> absolute maximum steady state value of e<sub>s</sub> absolute minimum steady state value of e<sub>s</sub></pre>
er(T) es es es smax esmin es sav	<pre>voltage of reference source as function of its operating temperature voltage of source of electric energy train of samples derived from e<sub>s</sub> absolute maximum steady state value of e<sub>s</sub> absolute minimum steady state value of e<sub>s</sub> average value of e<sub>s</sub>*</pre>
er(T) es es es esmax esmin esav esac	<pre>voltage of reference source as function of its operating temperature voltage of source of electric energy train of samples derived from e<sub>s</sub> absolute maximum steady state value of e<sub>s</sub> absolute minimum steady state value of e<sub>s</sub> average value of e<sub>s</sub>* e<sup>*</sup><sub>s</sub> - e<sup>*</sup><sub>sav</sub></pre>

.

### Symbol Definition

Esn	nth harmonic coefficient of e ac
ε	feedback error signal
ε <sub>c</sub>	maximum variation of e <sub>o</sub> due to physical limitations of the governing electronic control system
<sup>E</sup> ce	variation of output voltage e <sub>o</sub> due to variations in the control electronics
εcr	variation of output voltage e due to variations e $r$
ε. i	maximum variation of $e_0$ in response to the maximum variation of $e_0$
emax	absolute maximum steady state deviation of voltage e <sub>o</sub> from its nominal value E <sub>o</sub>
ε p	maximum variation of voltage $e_0$ due to individual causes charac- terized by the subscripts $p = c$ , i, R, T, respectivly to
ε <sub>pD</sub>	d.c. error indicators in open loop DPM converter
ε <sub>p</sub> F	d.c. error indicators for open loop conventional feedback controlled converters
ε <sub>R</sub>	maximum variation of e due to maximum loading of converter $_{O}$
ε <sub>RL</sub>	output voltage error component caused by resistive voltage drop in L (maximum) o
<sup>E</sup> RLA	remaining maximum error of DPM controlled system after addition of "outer" feedback loop with feedback error amplification A

168

.
ε. s	steady state system error
ε <sub>T</sub>	maximum variation of e <sub>o</sub> due to cumulative effects of ambient temperature on converter power system components
ε <sub>TL</sub>	output voltage error component caused by temperature effects on R
εx	output voltage error caused by drift of $v_{T}$
n <sub>l</sub>	efficiency of output filter inductor L o
f	frequency
f <sub>hf</sub>	high frequency in the harmonic content of sporadic disturbances of the source voltage e <sub>s</sub>
F	time invariant, passive, linear low pass filter
fc	filter cut off frequency
f <sub>cp</sub>	filter cut off frequency for the periodically sampled function <sup>e</sup> in
fca	filter cut off frequency for the aperiodically sampled function <sup>e</sup> in
F <sup>*</sup> einp	magnitudes of the spectral lines of a periodically sampled nor- malized function e in
F eina	magnitudes of the spectral lines of an aperiodically sampled function e. in
f <sub>F</sub>	pulse or sampling frequency

F <sub>h</sub>	spectral lines of the Fourier expansion of a function h
fo	undamped frequency of the converter's output filter
fp	constant pulse or sampling frequency
fs	fundamental frequency of component e being a part of the source voltage e s
fsn	frequency of the nth harmonic component of e ac
f <sub>1</sub>	fundamental frequency of the Fourier expansion of a periodic function
• n	phase angle of the nth harmonic component of e ac
g <sub>k</sub>	time function describing the kth pulse
γ	asymmetry of square wave with period $2\pi$ in radians
Н	control signal processing function
i	current in output filter inductor L o
I a	amplitude of current in series resonant circuit of series capacitor converter
i <sub>av</sub>	average current
irms	r.m.s. current
ic	current flowing out of integrating capacitor
i <sup>+</sup> c	current flowing into integrating capacitor
i <sup>+</sup> ct	total positive capacitor current
170	

170

i <sub>F</sub>	feedback current
i <sub>l</sub>	resonant current in series capacitor converter
k p	$k_{i}$ , $k_{T}$ , $k_{c}$ ; constants relating errors $\epsilon_{pD}$ to same errors $\epsilon_{pF}$
<sup>k</sup> o	time invarying attenuation of $e_0$ for control operation
<sup>k</sup> r	time invarying attenuation of reference voltage $e_r$ for control operation
k <sub>s</sub>	time invarying attenuation of $e_s^*$ for control operation
m	index assuming the value of succeding integers; often used as order number for frequency components of a function
М	an arbitrary constant of finite value
m. i	modulation index of e il
MM	monostable multivibrator
n	index assuming the value of succeding integers with limits as indicated; often used as order number for frequency components of a function
N	number of samples or pulses per period $P_s = 1/f_s$ of the fundamen- tal harmonic component of $e_s$
<sup>n</sup> c	order number of the harmonic component $n_c f_s$ of the Fourier expansion with fundamental frequency $f_s$ where $n_c f_s = f_c$ being the cut off frequency of a filter
<sup>ω</sup> F	fundamental radial frequency of the effects of the pulse modula- tion process itself

ωs	fundamental radial frequency of the source voltage component e ac
$\omega_{\rm hf}$	radial frequency of f <sub>hf</sub>
ω <sub>o</sub>	undamped resonant frequency of the converter's low pass filter
р	percent
PFM	pulse frequency modulation
PF-PWM	mixed pulse frequency - pulse width modulation
P s	period of the fundamental frequency component of $e_{ac}$ , a part of $e_{s}$
PWM	pulse width modulation
$\Psi_{Fm}$	phase angle of the mth harmonic component of $v_{\overline{F}}$
<sup>∜</sup> sn	phase angle of the nth harmonic component of the converter's response to the nth component of e ac
Q	a constant such that
	$Q \sum_{k=1}^{N} e_{i1}(\beta_k) \delta(t - t_k) = 1$
r	ramp function
R	parallel combination of $R_1$ and $R_2$
R <sub>F</sub> 1	feedback resistor
R <sub>L</sub>	resistive component of output inductor L

172

.

- R<sub>1</sub> resistance of converter load
- R<sub>L</sub> f.1. R<sub>L</sub> at full load
- $R_1, R_2$  resistors of voltage divider at input to integrator
- $R_3, R_4$  resistors of voltage divider providing voltage feedback signal
- $R'_3, R'_4$  Thevenin's equivalent of voltage divider  $R_3, R_4$  feeding resistor  $R_F$

- t time in seconds
- T constant width of idealized pulse (PFM)
- T<sub>k</sub> width of kth idealized pulse
- T<sub>D</sub> delay time between pulse turn on signal and attainment of 10 % of the nominal pulse height
- T<sub>F</sub> pulse fall time from 90 to 10 % of its height
- T intrapulse time
- t<sub>k</sub> sum of all time intervals T for m = 1, 2, ..., k 1
- T constant time base
- T<sub>ok</sub> time base of kth pulse
- T pulse rise time from 10 to 90 % of its height

- T<sub>d</sub> delay time between pulse turn off signal and its decay from 90 % of its height
- TS threshold detector
- v<sub>ax</sub> transformer voltage amplitude
- v<sub>c</sub> capacitor voltage (series converter)
- $\Delta v_c$   $v_{cmax} E_s; v_{cmin}$  (series capacitor converter)
- v<sub>F</sub> a.c. component of converter system response to internal pulse modulation process
- v slowly and irregularly varying d.c. voltage component at converter output terminals
- $V_{oFm}$  mth harmonic coefficient of  $v_F$
- $V_{oFmn}$   $V_{oFm}$  normalized with respect to  $E_o$
- v converter response to a.c. source voltage component e

V nth harmonic component of v os

- voltage drop in the resistive component R of the output filter inductor L
- $v_{T}$  threshold voltage
- v transformer voltage (series capacitor converter)
- x feedback error of d.c. converter
- X non-linear active filter

174

- ξ damping constant of the converter's load terminated low pass filter
- y output signal of electronic integrator in control system
- $\Delta y$  peak to peak value of y
- z output signal of threshold detector

4. run

#### RECIPIENT

Concerned NASA Lewis program manager (3)

Concerned NASA Lewis procurement manager (1)

Patent counsel (1)

NASA Headquarters technical information abstracting and dissemination facility (10)

Lewis Library (2)

Lewis Management Services Division (1) ADDRESS

NASA-Lewis Research Center Attention: Bernard L. Sater (M.S. 54-4) 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, OH 44135

NASA-Lewis Research Center Attention: John C. Liwosz, Jr., (M.S. 500-313) 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, OH 44135

NASA-Lewis Research Center Attention: N.T. Musial (M.S. 500-311) 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, OH 44135

NASA Scientific and Technical Information Facility Attention: Acquisitions Branch P.O. Box 33 College Park, MD 20740

NASA-Lewis Research Center Attention: Library (M.S. 60-3) 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, OH 44135

NASA-Lewis Research Center Attention: Report Control Office (M.S. 5-5) 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, OH 44135