https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=19740020090 2020-03-23T07:43:45+00:00Z



N74-28203

NASA CR-134651

SINGLE CRYSTAL MEMBRANES

by R. W. Stormont and A. Morrison

TYCO LABORATORIES, INC.

Prepared for

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

NASA Lewis Research Center Contract No. NAS3-17346

NIASA (R-134651	Z. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.
<u></u>		
4. Title and Subritle		5. Report Date Anril 1974
SINGLE CRYSTAL	MEMBRANES	6. Performing Organization Code
7. Author(s) R. Stormont	8. Performing Organization Report No. C=362	
<u>A. Morrison</u>		10. Work Unit No.
9. Performing Organization Name and Ad Tyco I aboratories.	Inc.	
16 Hickory Drive		11. Contract or Grant No. NAS3-17346
walthain, Massachu		13. Type of Report and Period Covered
2. Sponsoring Agency Name and Addres	55	Contractor Report
NASA-Lewis		14. Sponsoring Agency Code
Project Manager, Al	bert C. Antoine	
Project Manager, Al	bert C. Antoine	
Project Manager, Al Single crystal and sodium magnesium melts. Additional exp nesium β , β '', β ''', and	bert C. Antoine a- and c-axis tubes and rib n beta-alumina were grown eriments grew ribbon cryst nd β '''' aluminas.	bons of sodium beta-alumina from sodium oxide rich tals containing sodium mag-

17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))		18. Distribution Statement			
High Pressure Furnace	•				
Beta-Alumina		Unclassified-unlimited			
Single Crystal Membranes	3				
Batteries EFG Cry	stal Growth	,			
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price	
UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASS	SIFIED	52	1	

* For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151

NASA-C+168 (Rev. 6+71)

ABSTRACT

Single crystal a- and c-axis tubes and ribbons of sodium beta-alumina and sodium magnesium beta-alumina were grown from sodium oxide rich melts. Additional experiments grew ribbon crystals containing sodium magnesium β , β ", β ", β ", and β ''' aluminas.

The crystal growth of beta-alumina is made complicated by its high sodium vapor pressure, peritectic decomposition, and highly reactive melt. However, the use of a high pressure $[2.0 \text{ MN/m}^2 (300 \text{ psi})]$ crystal growth chamber, sodium oxide rich melts, and iridium for all surfaces in contact with the melt were combined with the edge-defined, film-fed growth (EFG) technique to grow the single crystal beta-alumina tubes and ribbons. The crystals were characterized using metallographic and X-ray diffraction techniques, and wet chemical analysis was used to determine the sodium, magnesium, and aluminum content of the grown crystals.

Preceding Page Blank

Table of Contents

Section		Page
	ABSTRACT	iii
L	SUMMARY	1
IL.	INTRODUCTION	3
III.	APPARATUS AND BASIC EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	5
	A. Apparatus	5
	B. Starting Materials	7
	C. Growth Process	11
IV.	CRYSTAL GROWTH EXPERIMENTS	23
	A. Discussion and Objectives	23
	B. Results	25
V.	CONCLUSIONS	49
VI.	REFERENCES	51

v

Preceding Page Blank

List of Illustrations

F i gures		Page
1	Schematic of high pressure crystal growth chamber	6
2	High pressure crystal growth furnace	8
3	Section of the Na ₂ O-Al ₂ O ₃ pseudobinary diagram containing β and β ''-alumina. Taken from reference 15	9
4	Section of ternary system Na ₂ O-Al ₂ O ₃ -MgO at 1700°C. Taken from reference 20	10
5	 (a) Schematic diagram showing crucible and die setup used for growth of ribbons; (b) Schematic diagram showing crucible and die setup used for growth of tubes 	13
6	Compares Debye-Scherrer films of (from top to bottom) 100% beta-alumina, beta-alumina and sodium beta alumina β'' and sodium magnesium beta-alumina and sodium magnesium β'' . Top film: Debye-Scherrer of H.P10AF; middle film: H.P10AF bottom and bottom film: Debye- Scherrer of H.PR24 top	24
7a	Sodium beta-alumina tube H.P1AF front, showing white poly α -Al ₂ O ₃ skin	26
7b	Sodium beta-alumina tube H.P1AF back, showing white poly α -Al ₂ O ₃ skin	26
8	From top to bottom: sodium beta-alumina tube crystal H.P7AF, H.P8AF, H.P9AF, H.P10AF and H.P11AF	28
9	X-ray spectrum of β , β'' , β''' , β'''' compared to X-ray spectrum from Debye-Scherrer films of H.PR24 (top) and H.PR24 (bottom) grown from a melt of sodium magnesium β'' .	29

vii

List of Illustrations (continued)

Figures		Page	د ۲
10	From top to bottom: sodium beta-alumina tube crystal H.P13AF, H.P14AF, H.P19AF and H.P20AF	30	
11	Top: 100% sodium beta-alumina a-axis tube H.P29AF, bottom: 100% sodium beta-alumina c-axis tube H.P31AF	32	
12	Laue back reflection photograph taken (90° to tube axis) of top clear section (facet) of H.P29AF a-axis sodium beta-alumina tube	34	
13	Sodium magnesium beta-alumina c-axis tube	35	
14	Top: sodium beta-alumina single crystal c-axis ribbon; bottom: sodium beta-alumina single crystal a-axis ribbon, notice the poly α -skin patch at the		
15	From top to bottom: sodium beta-alumina ribbon a-axis ribbon crystal H.PR17, H.PR18 and H.PR19.	37 38	
16	From top to bottom: sodium magnesium beta- alumina a-axis ribbon crystal H.PR28, H.PR29, H.PR30 and H.PR31	41	
17	From top to bottom: sodium magnesium beta- alumina a-axis ribbon H.PR32, H.PR33, H.PR34 and H.PR35	42	
18	From top to bottom: sodium magnesium beta- alumina ribbon H.PR37, H.PR36, H.PR38 and H.PR39	43	
19	From top to bottom: sodium magnesium β'' ribbon crystal H.PR24 c-axis, H.PR25 c-axis, H.PR26 a-axis and H.PR27 a-axis	44	
20	Compares Debye-Scherrer X-ray film of Monofrax H. Beta-alumina (top film) with Debye-Scherrer X-ray film of top of H.PR24 β'' (middle film) and Debye-		•
	(bottom film)	46	

Figures		Page
21 a	Laue X-ray photograph (taken 90° to c-axis) of H.PR25 β '' c-axis ribbon. Photograph taken of ribbon face just below where it was seeded. Top of ribbon is to the left of the photograph and the ribbon axis goes from left to right	47
21 b	Laué X-ray photograph (90° to c-axis) of H.PR25 β '' c-axis ribbon. Photograph taken ~2.5 cm below top photograph of ribbon face and shows shift of crystal axis of almost 90° from c to a-axis. Top of ribbon is to the left of the photograph, ribbon a-axis goes from left	47
	to right	
	·	
	·	
	· · ·	

.

Preceding Page Blank

List of Tables

Tables		Page
I	Semi-Quantitative Spectrographic Analysis	12
II	Tube Growth	14
III	Ribbon Growth	18
IV	Composition of Tube Crystals	31
V.	Composition of Ribbon Crystals	39

L SUMMARY

This program was an extension of work begun under Contract Nos. NAS3-14410 and NAS3-15686. Those programs had as their objective the development of single crystal membranes of solid ionic conductors, especially β -alumina, which have potential application in high energy density batteries operating at moderate to ambient temperatures. Using the Tyco-developed EFG melt growth techniques, iridium system components and a high pressure (2 MN/m²) furnace chamber, the programs demonstrated the growth of single phase single crystal β -alumina tubes of the desired orientations. However, the crystals grown under those programs had a tendency to crack along the cleavage plane during growth and very slow growth rates (2 mm/hr) were required to obtain transparent single crystals free of phase inclusions.

The specific objectives of this program were to determine the optimum growth conditions of β -alumina, to obtain non-coated transparent single crystal tubes and ribbons, to eliminate or reduce thermal stresses which lead to cracks, and to grow β -alumina tubes with varying amounts of Na₂O, MgO, and Al₂O₃ to enhance ionic conductivity and mechanical strength.

Single crystal single phase tubes and ribbons of beta-alumina containing Na_2O and Na_2O plus MgO were grown as verified by Debye-Scherrer X-ray powder patterns, Laué back reflection X-ray photographs, and chemical analysis. Magnesium oxide stabilized ribbons which were found to contain β , β'' , β''' , and β'''' were also grown.

Although several uncracked tube crystals were grown, the majority of the crystals were cracked, and depending on the afterheater configuration, they were all invariably coated with either an Al_2O_3 or a Na_2O 'skin'. The crystals also tended to become multi-grained and contained second phase inclusions when grown at speeds greater than 6 mm/hr.

Preceding Page Blank

IL INTRODUCTION

A recent development of great technological potential is the concept of a high energy density battery utilizing a solid, super ionic conductor as the electrolyte.¹ One of the best super ionic conductors for such application is β -alumina (nominally: Na₂O · 11 Al₂O₃)². Crystals of this compound have very low electronic conductivity, negligible ionic conductivity in the direction of the hexagonal crystalline c-axis, and very high sodium ion conductivity in directions normal to the c-axis.²⁻⁵ Obvious advantages will accrue from the use of single crystalline membranes of the correct orientation. Even if the polycrystalline aggregates are of preferred orientation, the presence of grain boundaries provides additional problems, since intergranular processes may occur, resulting in failure of the conducting path. It is possible that lower operating temperatures may result from the use of single crystal *β*-alumina is of considerable interest.

To date, electrochemical studies and prototype batteries have utilized only small single crystal wafers or sintered polycrystalline tubes of β -alumina. Under two preceding contracts, ^{6, 7} Tyco developed techniques for producing c-axis tubes of β -alumina. Clearly, this constituted a major step toward the realization of high energy density batteries such as conceived by Weber and Kummer.¹

This program was an effort to improve the quality of the crystals to the point where useful material could be regularly produced and the effects of composition and growth conditions on ionic conductivity could be measured. In the following sections we describe the program aimed at optimizing and defining the conditions for growth of sound, highly conducting, tubular and ribbon shaped crystals of β -alumina. Work under a previous contract⁶ had established that MgO can be substituted in the β -alumina

crystals during growth. MgO stabilized β ''-alumina (approximate stoichiometry 10.0 MgO 12.5 Na₂O · 77.5 Al₂O₃) was also grown under this program. This phase is also a super ionic conductor with a structure similar to that of β -alumina and with even higher sodium ion conductivity.

IIL APPARATUS AND BASIC EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

A. Apparatus

Because of the high loss of sodium by volatilization at the growth temperature under atmospheric conditions, ⁷ a furnace chamber (designed and built at Tyco) was used which would allow the growth of crystals under inert gas pressures up to $2MN/m^2$ (300 psi). The addition of excess soda to the melt together with the use of the high pressure furnace was necessary for the successful growth of single crystal beta-alumina. ⁶, 13

The furnace is shown schematically in Fig. 1 and was used for the growth of all beta-alumina tube and ribbon crystals. The pressure vessel consists of a 30 cm diameter by approximately 60 cm high 304 S/S split chamber, designed for 2 MN/cm² at 541 K. The chamber is water jacketed and mounted on a suitable stand with a hand-operated hydraulic mechanism to raise and lower the bottom section approximately 30 cm. The lower section swings away in the lowered position for accessibility.

The furnace was designed to allow the growth of crystal tubes up to 20 cm long and includes the following features:

1. On top is mounted a linear motion device suitable for withdrawal of crystals at rates of up to 2.5 cm/min.*

2. 10 cm port for RF power feedthroughs.

3. 5 cm inner dia sight ports (2) at 20° incline from horizontal.

*A.D. Little Co., Cambridge, Massachusetts



Fig. 1. Schematic of high pressure crystal growth chamber

4. 2.5 cm vacuum port.

5. Feedthroughs complete with manually-controlled x-y and vertical motion device allowing precise location of crucible (x-y motion is ± 6 mm and the vertical motions ± 13 mm).

6. Various 1.3 to 2.5 cm ports required for evacuation; introduction of inert gas and measurement of gas pressure.

The entire high pressure crystal growing furnace is shown photographed in Fig. 2.

In this system, first a 450 kHz 20 kW rf generator and then a 20 kW, 10 kHz, motor generator was used to raise the crucible containing the melt to the necessary growth temperature either by susception directly to the crucible or to a susceptor surrounding it. The advantages of the motor generator over the higher frequency rf set are the low voltage on the coil during use which reduces the tendency for arcing and heating of the power feedthroughs. 7, 13, 14

B. Starting Materials

There is a continuing uncertainty with regard to the exact composition of betaalumina. The material commonly obtainable in such form and known as carborundum Monofrax H beta-alumina is Na₂O \cdot 11 Al₂O₃ (8.34 mole % Na₂O). This material was used as the starting charge for many of the growth experiments. Weber and Venero¹⁵ reported the composition of beta-alumina as being 10 mole % Na₂O with an incongruent melting point at 2240 ± 6°K (Fig. 3). Harata¹⁶ reported that Monofrax H cast bricks contain small amounts of alpha-alumina as a second phase and that single phase β -alumina has the composition range 10.9 to 13.7 mole % Na₂O. Considerable MgO can also be incorporated in the β -alumina phase (up to the composition 6.5 MgO 10.5 Na₂O \cdot 83 Al₂O₃).²⁰ Still higher MgO content (10. mole %) changes the lattice to the β'' -alumina structure (Fig. 4).

Monofrax H beta-alumina was used as the starting material in most betaalumina growth experiments, with excess Na₂O added to vary the composition from 8.4% to 20% Na₂O, with MgO being added up to 10.0 mole % for specific growth runs. Typically, mixtures of Na₂CO₃, * MgO, ** and Monofrax H *** beta-alumina were weighed into 2 to 9 g charges and placed in the iridium crucible and melted under 1.4 MN/m².

*United Mineral Co. 99.999%.

^{}**Fischer Scientific Reagent Grade

^{***}Carborundum Co., Falconer, N.Y.



Fig. 2. High pressure crystal growth furnace



Fig. 3. Section of the Na₂O-Al₂O₃ pseudobinary diagram containing β and β "-alumina. Taken from reference 15.



Fig. 4. Section of ternary system Na₂O-Al₂O₃-MgO at 1700 °C. Taken from reference 20.

A sample of Monofrax H used for starting material was submitted to semi-quantitative spectrographic analysis and the results are listed in Table I, together with the analysis of a 100% beta-alum ina tube crystal grown from a melt of Monofrax H containing 3 mole % excess $Na_2O (\sim Na_2O)_{0.13} (Al_2O_3)_{0.87}$.

C. Growth Process

Tubes and ribbons were grown from beta-alumina melts using the Tyco developed melt growth technique, "edge-defined, film-fed growth" (EFG) ^{17, 18} at speeds from 1 to 250 mm/hr. This technique is a modified pulling technique where the crystal grows remote from the bulk of the melt and crystal cross-section shapes can be arbitrarily chosen.

A crucible and die setup capable of growing sodium beta-alumina tubes was assembled from iridium components. The die used allowed the growth of tubes 5 mm outer dia $\times 3.5$ mm inner dia. All sodium beta-alumina tube growth experiments were made using this size iridium tube setup (see Fig. 5).

All the growth experiments were made in argon at 1.1 to 1.6 MN/m^2 inside a water cooled pressure chamber using a 20 kW, 450 kHz rf or 20 kW, 10 kC, motor generator set as the power supply (see Figs. 1 and 2 and Table II). The 19 mm outer dia \times 19 mm high \times 0.5 mm wall iridium crucible, containing the sodium beta-alumina charge material and the iridium tube die were both placed inside a 2.5 cm outer dia. Mo crucible with W liner and suscepted to directly. Manual temperature control was by a multiturn potentiometer arrangement of the manufacturer's design.

Only iridium crucible and die components were used in contact with the beta-alumina melts. The seeds used to initiate growth were pieces of Monofrax H single crystals orientated in either the a- or c-axis direction (Tables II and III).

The crystals grown were examined using optical microscopy in transmitted and reflected light. The composition and occurrence of second phase in the crystals grown were determined using standard Debye-Scherrer examination of powdered samples and comparing the pattern and line intensities with standard films and literature values. Laue X-ray back reflection photography was used to study the crystallinity of the samples grown. Wet chemical analysis was used to determine the Na, Mg, and Al content of the grown crystals.

Sample: 1 = (Monofrax H) Beta-Alumina Na₂O.11 Al₂O₃ 2 = H.P.-19AF (top) 3 = H.P.-19AF (bottom)

Instrumentation:	3.4 Meter	Mark IV	Spectrograph
------------------	-----------	---------	--------------

	1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3
Li	ND	ND	ND	Zn	ND	ND	ND	Sb	ND	ND	ND	Lu	ND	ND	ND
Be	ND	ND	ND	Ga	Т	300	500	Te	ND	ND	ND	Н f	ND	ND	ND
В	ND	1	3	Ge	ND	ND	ND	Cs	ND	ND	ND	Та	ND	ND	ND
Na	М	M-H	M-H	\mathbf{As}	ND	ND	ND	Ba	ND	ND	ND	w	ND	ND	ND
MG	VFT FT	0.5%	0.5%	Rb	ND	ND	ND	La				Re	ND	ND	ND
A1	Н	Н	Н	Sr	ND	ND	ND	Ce				Os	ND	ND	ND
Si	L-M	1%	М	Y				Pr				lr –	ND	ND	ND
К	ND	ND	ND	Zr	ND	ND	ND	Nd				Pt	ND	ND	ND
Ca	VFT	100	500	Nb	ND	ND	ND	Sm				Au	ND	ND	ND
Ti	\mathbf{FT}	ND	ND	Mo	ND	75	25	Eu				Hg	ND	ND	ND
v	ND	ND	ND	Ru	ND	ND	ND	Gd				Ti	ND	ND	ND
Cr	VFT	0.25	0.25	Rh	ND	ND	ND	Тb				Pb	ND	10	10
Mn	\mathbf{FT}	5	10	Pd	ND	ND	ND	Dy				Bi	ND	ND	ND
Fe	T-L	0.1%	0.2%	Ag	ND	ND	0.1	Ao				Тb			
CO	ND	25		Cd	ND	ND	ND	Er				U			
Ni	ND	10	10	In	ND	ND	ND	Tm				P	ND	ND	ND
Cu	VFT	1	2	Sn	ND	ND	ND	Yb				Se			

*Analysis performed by Jarrell-Ash Division, Fisher Scientific Company Results in ppm except where % is indicated.

KEY:	ND - Not Detected	Т 0.01% - 0.1%
	VVFT < 0.0001%	L 0.1% -1%
	VFT 0.0001% - 0.001%	M 1% - 10%
	FT 0.001% - 0.01%	H > 10%

Table L Semi-Quantitative Spectrographic Analysis



Fig. 5a. Schematic diagram showing crucible and die setup used for growth of ribbons

Fig. 5b. Schematic diagram showing crucible and die setup used for growth of tubes

Table II. Tube Growth

		Melt Composition	Charge Size	Gas Pressure	Growth Sneed	Seed		
Run No.	Afterheater Setup	(mole % Na ₂ O)	(grams)	(MN/m ²)	(mm/hr)	Orientation	Comments	Results
H.P1AF*	2 cm dia × 5 cm long Indium tube	15.0	7.5	1.2	2 - 12	c-axis	Five starts, seeds broke four times	Tube crystal, 5.25 cm long, 100% β with α skin patches, not single, no deposit from growth.
H.P2AF*	Mo tube, 2.5 cm O.D. × 1.9 cm I.D. × 3.2 cm long	Same charge	Same charge 2 n run	1.4	2 - 4.5	c-axis	Crystal clear as it grew just above die ~1 mm, then be- came cloudy	Seed broke, not complete tube 1.9 cm long, 100% β - c-axis, with α skin at top, bottom Na ₂ O rich.
H.P3AF*	Same	Same charge	Same charge	1.2 - 1.4	2 - 12	c-axis	Piece of full tube as seed, sapphire tube around die	Tube crystal 3.7 cm long, 100% β , 3/4 of circum- ference c-axis, rest a-axis with α -skin.
H.P4AF*	Same	Same charge	Same charge	1.2 - 1.4	2	c-axis	No shield on top after . heater, coil lower, seed broke	Piece of tube crystal 4.7 mm long not complete tube, $\alpha + \beta$ poly with α skin
H.P5AF*	Same	Same charge	Same charge	1.4	No growth	-	No growth, held piece of tube above die, then placed on die	Converted piece of β tube to α -Al2O ₃ when held on die for 1.5 hrs.
H.P6AF*	Same	Same charge	Same charge	1.4	No growth	-	Same as above but lower afterheater temperature	Converted piece of single crystal β tube to α -Al ₂ O ₃ 3 hrs.
H . P. -7 AF*	No afterheater, coil even with top of susceptor	18.0	6	1.4	2 - 6	c-axis	Seed almost complete β -tube piece	Tube crystal 4.1 cm long, 100% β , c-axis single, de- posit on tube from growth.
H.P8AF*	No afterheater, coil even with top of susceptor	Same charge	Same charge	1.4	2 - 7	a-axis	Seeded on two sides, diffi- culty spreading to complete tube	Tube crystal 4.1 cm long, 100% β , a-axis single crystal, very clear at top then less clear towards bottom
H.P9AF*	No top shield on susceptor	Same charge	Same charge	1.4	2 - 6	c-axis	Large meniscus during growth, no deposit 1 cm up from bottom, then coated	Tube crystal 4.5 cm long, first 6 mm c-axis then shifts to a-axis 100% β - alumina.
H.P10AF*	Ceramic insulator, sus- cepted to Ir crucible	Same charge	Same charge	1.4 - 1.7	2 - 9	c-axis	Seed broke during seeding, lifted up with α -filament	5 cm long tube crystal, 3/4 dia c-axis, rest a-axis, $100\% \beta$.
H.P11AF	Ceramic insulator, sus- cepted to Ir crucible	Same charge	Same charge	1.0	2 - 3	c-axis	Developed horizontal cracks as it grew	Tube ~6.5 cm long, not single, top 98% β -bottom 2% β

Table IL (continued)

Run No	Afterbester Setup	Melt Composition (mole % Na ₉ O)	Charge Size	Gas Pressure	Growth Speed	Seed	Comments	Regults
Kun No.	Alterneater Setup	4	(grams)	(IVI IN/ III ⁻)	(1111/117)	Orientation	Comments	Nesuits
H.P12AF [†]	Ceramic setup Mo shield in coil	11.0	2	1.0	2 - 9	_ c-axis	Two starts, seed broke first time	X-tal froze to die, 1.5 cm long tube 80% β -not single
H.P13AF	Mo susceptor, low in coil	11.0	2	1.0	2 - 27	c-axis	New crucible + tube die - pulled crucible empty	Tube crystal 6.3 cm long, poly, 70% β -30% α , light blue color
H.P14AF†	Mo susceptor, low in coil	11.0	1.5	0.7	2 - 27	c-axis	Seed broke, froze, re- dipped, cracked above top shield	5.0 cm tube crystal, light blue color, poly, 80% β - 20% α -alumina
H.P15AF†	Lower in coil	13.0	1.5	1.0	2 - 9	c-axis	Difficulty spreading, re- dipped twice	4.8 cm tube crystal, poly 99 - 100% α -Al 2^{O}_{3}
H.P16AF	Lower in coil	13.0	2	1.0	2 - 9	c-axis	Seed broke twice, had to abort run early	6 mm long tube, bluish in color, poly, $\alpha^{i\beta}$ -alumina
H.P17AF†	Same	13.0 +2 w/o MgO	. 2	1.0	2 - 27	c-axis	Grew to empty crucible (new crucible)	6.0 cm long tube crystal, poly, $\alpha \beta$ -alumina
H.P18AF	Same	13.0 +2 w/o MgO	1.5	1.0	2 - 27	c-axis	Grew to empty old crucible	5.0 cm long tube, poly tube, $\alpha - \beta$ alumina
H.P19AF	Mo susceptor, no after- heater	13.0	6	1.0	1 - 27	c-axis	Seed broke on dipping, set- up new seed	10.0 cm long tube crystal, did not start c-axis single, bottom 5.5 cm a-axis, 100% β -alumina
H.P20AF†	Same	Same charge	Same charge	1.0	1 - 63	c-axis	Cracking appeared in tube 3 mm above die, empti ed crucible	11.4 cm tube crystal, light blue color, poly $\alpha + \beta$ - alumina, 80% β
H.P 21 AF†	No shield on top of sus- ceptor	20.0	9.1	1.0	1 - 2	c-axis	Four seeds broke before growth started, horizontal cracks, 3 mm above die	7.0 cm tube crystal 100% β -alumina, 7/8 c-axis at seeding 1/8 a-axis, badly cracked, deposit from growth
H.P22AF†	5-stack heat shield assembly on Mo susceptor	Same charge	Same charge	1.0	1 - 2	c-axis	Seed broke, setup new seed, tube cracked during growth	5.7 cm tube crystal, poly, 85% β - 15% α alumina, deposit from growth
H.P.23AF †	5-stack heat shield assembly on Mo susceptor	Same charge	Same charge	1.0	1 - 27	c-axis	Cracked during growth	10.8 cm tube crystal, poly, badly cracked, 35% β - 75% α -alumina
H.P24AF†	Ceramic setup, no after- heater	15.0	6 (H.P.)**	1.2	1 - 3	c-axis	Bad alignment, caused poor seeding - shield being picked up - aborted run	2.0 cm tube, contains α - patches on surface, poly c + a-axis β , white deposit on crystal

Table IL (continued)

Run No.	Afterheater Setup	Melt Composition (mole % Na ₂ O)	Charge Size (grams)	Gas Pressure (MN/m ²)	Growth Speed (mm/hr)	Seed Orientation	Comments	Results
H.P25AF†	Ceramic setup, two more	15.0	6 (H.P.) **	1.2 - 1.4	1	c-axis	New crucible, seed broke	6 mm tube crystal. α skin
	shields						aborted run	from being frozen to die
H . P 26 AF†	Ceramic setup, two more shields	15.0	Same charge	1.2 - 1.4	1 - 2	a-axis	Developed cracks, above top shield	3.5 cm tube crystal, poly, white deposit from growth, badly cracked $1\% \beta$ - 99% α -alumina
H . P 27 AF	Ceramic setup, Al ₂ O ₃ tube afterheater	15.0	Same charge	1.2	1 - 2	a-axis	Crystal kept freezing, cru-, cible tilted, aborted run, crucible melted near bottom	3 mm piece - 100% $lpha$ - alumina
H . P 28 AF	Mo susceptor, low in coil	15.0	6 (H.P.)**	1.2 - 1.4	1 - 9	a-axis	Seed broke, redipped, patchy area where tube connected, cracking	15.8 cm tube crystal, emptied crucible, poly $\alpha + \beta$, blackish deposit.
H . P 29 AF	Mo susceptor, high in coil	20.0	6.8	1.4	2 - 6	a-axis	Large meniscus, difficulty spreading to complete tube	13.2 cm tube crystal 100% β - alumina a-axis, gray deposit from growth run
H.P30AF	Mo susceptor, higher in coil	20.0	6.8	1.4	1 - 2	c-axis	Horizontal cracking ~2 mm above die, crystal froze, seed broke	1.1 cm long not complete tube, 100% β -alumina c- axis, slight cracks large facet where not connected tube
H.P31AF	Mo susceptor + 5-stack heat shields	20.0	Same charge	1.4	1 - 9	c-axis	Slight horizontal cracks ~2 mm above die, puller stalled, restarted	12.7 cm tube crystal, 100% β alumina c-axis first 10.0 cm; then a-axis, gray deposit from growth
H.P32AF	Mo susceptor + 7-stack heat shields	20.0	6.0	1.3 - 1.4	1 - 6	c-axis	Two seeds broke, slight cracks above top shield, crystal froze, seed broke, aborted run	10.0 cm long tube crystal, 100% β -alumina, started c-axis, α skin nucleated a-axis, deposit from growth
H.P33AF	Mo susceptor + 7 -stack heat shields	Same charge	Same charge	1.3 - 1.4	1 - 4.5	c-axis	Patchy area on tube front before it becomes connected	Difficulty causing tube to close, froze, broke seed, 3 mm long, c-axis cleavage α -skin
H.P34AF	Mo susceptor +5 stack heat shields	Same charge	Same charge	1.4	1 - 18	c-axis	Patchy area formed on tube ~ 2 mm above die	Emptied crucible, 10.0 cm tube crystal, 100% β , a + c-axis, α -patches, deposit on tube

.

Run No.	Afterheater Setup	Melt Composition (mole % Na ₂ O)	Charge Size (grams)	Gas Pressure (MN/m ²)	Growth Speed (mm/hr)	Seed Orientation	Comments	Results
H.P35AF	Mo susceptor + 5 stack heat shields	20.0	6.8	1.3 - 1.4	1 - 2	c-axis	Broke two seed dipping slight horizontal cracking, large meniscus	15.0 cm tube crystal, 100% β -alumina, c-axis single, gray deposit on tube
H . P 36 AF	Mo susceptor +5 stack heat shields	15.0 + 2 w/o MgO	6.8	1.3 - 1.4	1	c-axis	Seed broke at dipping, new seed, kept encountering freezing problem, aborted run	3 mm long, not complete tube, c-axis cleavage, α -skin
H.P37AF	Mo susceptor + 5 stack heat shields	Same charge	Same charge	1.3 - 1.4	1 - 24	c-axis	Seed broke, new seed patchy area on front of tube after 5 cm of growth	16.3 cm tube, 100% β - alumina, first 5 cm, c-axis then α -Al ₂ O ₃ patch nucle- ated a-axis grain, deposit
H.P38AF	Mo susceptor +5 stack heat shields	Same charge	Same charge	1.3 - 1.4	1 - 27	c-axis	X-tal froze, aborted run early	2 cm long tube, 100% β - alumina, poly a + c-axis
H.P39AF	Mo susceptor +5 stack heat shields	Same charge	Same charge	1.3 - 1.4	1 - 27	c-axis	Froze to die, ended run	7 cm tube, coated with gray deposit from growth run, poly, $\alpha + \beta$ -alumina
H.P40AF	Mo susceptor +5 stack heat shields	15.0	5.0 (H.P.) **	1.3 - 1.4	1 - 6	c-axis	Seed broke, kept having freezing problems, aborted run	1 cm long, 3 mm complete tube, poly $\alpha + \beta$ -alumina
H.P41AF	Mo susceptor + 5 stack heat shields	Same charge	Same charge	1.3 - 1.4	2 - 27	c-axis	Run emptied crucible of material	18.0 cm long tube, poly α + β -alumina, gray deposit from growth
								,

.

.

*450 kHz 20 KW induction unit
†10 Kc 20 KW motor generator
**High purity laboratory prepared starting material 99.999% Al₂O₃ microspheres and 99.999 sodium carbonate.

Table III. Ribbon Growth

Run No.	Afterheater Setup	Melt Composition (mole % Na ₂ O)	Charge Size (grams)	Gas Pressure (MN/m ²)	Growth Speed (mm/hr)	Seed Orientation	Comments	Results
H.PR1	Mo susceptor,5-stack heat shields	20.0	6.8	1.3 - 1.4	1	c-axis	Ribbon kept freezing, broke seed	6 mm long ribbon crystal, c-axis single, α-skin patch, rest 100%β
H.PR2	Mo susceptor,5-stack heat shields	Same charge	Same charge	1.4	1	c-axis	Freezing caused seed to break, ended run	3 mm long piece of c-axis, single, $100\% \beta$ -alumina very clear
H.PR3	Mo susceptor, 5-stack heat shields	Same charge	Same charge	1.4	1 - 3 - 1	c-axis	Faster speed caused large meniscus and ribbon to grow smaller than die	3.6 C:n ribbon crystal. 100% β -alumina. c-axis single. very clear at bottom, gray deposit from growth
H. P. - R 4	Mo susceptor, 5-stack heat shields	Same charge	Same charge	1.3 - 1.4	2 - 6 - 2	a-axis*	c-axis 90° to ribbon edge, ribbon has opaque patch on top front face	10.0 cm ribbon crystal. 100% β -alum ina a-axis single, small crack up mid- dle, α -patch at top, gray deposit.
H.PR5	Mo susceptor, 5-stack heat shields	Same charge	Same charge	1.4	1 - 27	c-axis	Seed broke upon dipping. Set new seed, ribbon does not appear clear as it grows.	17.7 cm long ribbon, emptied crucible, poly α + β -alumina. gray deposit from growth.
H.PR6	Mo susceptor, 5-stack heat shields	30.0	6.8	1.35 - 1.4	1.0	c-axis	Ribbon did not look clear while growing, seed broke	3.8 cm long ribbon, opaque in color, not single, gray deposit from growth
H.PR7	Mo susceptor, 5-stack heat shields	Same charge	Same charge	1.55	1-2	c-axis	Ribbon does not look clear, will not grow size of die	6.0 cm long, opaque two phase, gray deposit from growth run
H.PR8	Mo susceptor, 5-stack heat shields	Adjusted charge to 20.0	6.8 Adjusted charge	1.35 - 1.4	1 - 9	c-axis	Ribbon appeared to be growing clear for 3.8 cm then less clear, froze	9.5 cm long ribbon. gray deposit from growth. c- axis β for 3.8 cm., then poly a and c-axis. crystal badly cracked
H.PR9	Mo sus ce ptor, 5-stack heat shields	Same charge	Same charge	1.4	6 - 27	c-axis	Grew to empty crucible, ran out of pulling stroke	20.0 cm long ribbon. started c-axis single first 2.5 cm then poly $\alpha + \beta$. gray coating from growth run
H.PR10	Mo susceptor, 5-stack heat shields	Same charge	Same charg e	1.1 - 1.4	6 - 27	c-axis	X-tal froze, redipped, cru- cible emptied	8.4 cm long ribbon. $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ -alumina, cracked up mid- dle, deposit from growth

.

.

								1
Run No.	Afterheater Setup	Melt Composition (mole % Na ₂ O)	Charge Size (grams)	Gas Pressure (MN/m ²)	Growth Speed (mm/hr)	Seed Orientation	Comments	Results
H.PR11	Mo susceptor, 5-stack heat shields	20.0	6.8	1.3 - 1.4	1	c-axis	Seeded, left to grow over night, seed caught on shield, broke	No crystal, 14 hrs at growth temperature
H.PR12	Mo susceptor, 5-stack heat shields	Same charge	Same charge	1.3 - 1.45	1 - 2	c-axis	Cleaned gray deposit from chamber. patchy area on top two sides of ribbon face	3.2 cm long ribbon, 100% β -alumina, poor seeding nucleated a-axis poly growth transparent patches at top gray deposit from growth.
H.PR13	Mo susceptor, 5-stack heat shields	Same charge	Same charge	1.5	1 - 3	c-axis	Patchy area on right side of ribbon face just after	3 mm long. exhibits c-axis cleavage, α -skin on right front side
H.PR14	Mo sus ce ptor - one shield	Same charge	Same charge	1.55	2 - 275	c-axis	Grew very clear, small meniscus, some bubbles in crystal, increased speed after 1.2 cm of growth	Did not start single c-axis, two a-axis grains nucleated, poly $100\% \beta$ -alumina at high growth speed. gray deposit on ribbon, 22.0 cm long. clear area cracked.
H.PR15	Mo susceptor - one shield	Same charge	Same charge	1.55 - 1.5	12 - 27	a-axis*	Grew very clear until speed increased, ribbon froze	5.7 cm long ribbon, not single, as three grains nu- cleated on seeding. $100\% \beta$ - alumina, very clear 1.9 cm. then cloudy, covered with gray deposit. cracked where grains meet
H.P. - R16	Mo susceptor - one shield	Same charge	Same charge	1.6 - 1.5	12 - 38	a-axis*	Increased speed after 6 mm of growth, ribbon froze, seed broke, aborted run	5.5 cm long ribbon. 100% β -alumina, not single, several a-axis grains, very clear 6 mm. then milky. gray deposit on ribbon
H.PR17	Mo susceptor - one shield	20.0	6.8	1.45	1 - 18	a-axis*	Freezing problems, ribbon developed cracks ~ 2 mm above Mo shield, developed vertical cracks when growth terminated	5.0 cm ribbon crystal, fairly transparent. $100\% \beta$ - alumina, several a-axis grains large vertical crack. gray deposit on ribbon
H.PR18	3-stack Mo heat shield assembly	Same charge	Same charge	1.55 - 1.45	1 - 18	a-axis*	Heated ribbon crystal to melt off of die and terminate run, caused internal flaws	5.0 cm ribbon. fairly transparent, $100\% \beta$ -alumina. not single, several a-axis grains, cracked. gray coating

,

Table IIL (continued)

Run No.	Afterheater Setup	Melt Composition (mole % Na ₂ O)	Charge Size (grams)	Gas Pressure (MN/m ²)	Growth Speed (mm/hr)	Seed Orientation	Comments	Results
H.PR 19	3-stack Mo heat shield assembly	Same charge	Same charge	1.55 - 1.45	1 - 18	a-axis*	Poor seeding, started again, would not grow size of die at faster speed, aborted run	3.2 cm ribbon, $100\%\beta$ - alumina, a-axis single, clear, not uniform width, slight vertical cracks. gray deposit on ribbon
H.PR20	Set up higher in coil - 6 mm	Same charge 15.0 +2 w/o MgO	Same charge	1.55 - 1.45	1	a-axis* tube seed	Seed broke on dipping, new seed c-axis tube on side, could not grow, freezing problems, crucible over- heated - melted	2 mm long piece, very clear, single a-axis, $100\% \beta$ -alumina
H.P. -21	3-stack Mo shields, higher in coil	0.15 +2 w/o MgO	6.8	1.4	1 - 4.5 - 27	a-axis* tube seed	Tube seed prevented clear view at seeding, grew first 10.0 cm at 4.5 mm/hr, ran out of pulling stroke	19.5 cm long ribbon. 100% β -alumina, not single, cold start nucleated several a-axis grains, clear, two large cracks, gray surface deposit
H.PR 22	3-stack Mo shields, 6 mm lower in coil	Same charge	Same charge	1.4	1 - 27	a-axis* tube seed	Dipped hot, after 6 mm of growth cloudy area formed on ribbon edge	10.0 cm long ribbon, started a-axis single for 1.9 cm, α -Al ₂ O ₃ patch. slight crack rest α + β alumina, gray deposit
H.PR 23	3-stack Mo shields, 3 mm higher in coil	Same charge	Same charge	1.55 - 1.45	1 - 27	a-axis*	Cloudy area on ribbon face + edges, difficulty growing size of die, emptied cru- cible	5.0 cm long ribbon, poly $\alpha + \beta$ -alumina. α -skin patches + opaque inclusions. not size of die, gray depo- sit
H.PR 24	3-stack Mo shields, lower in coil	16.3 +5 w/o MgO	6.8	1.55 - 1.45	1 - 3 - 27	a-axis*	Ribbon cracked as it grew above top shield, turned poly at 27 mm/hr, 6 mm long	3.8 cm long ribbon, cracked up middle top to bot tom. not single. several a-axis grains. very clear. gray surface deposit
H.PR25	3-stack Mo shields, lower in coll	Same charge	Same charge	1.55 - 1.45	1 - 27	c-axis	Grew in c-axis to see if this would prevent vertical cracking after 1.2 cm of growth ribbon did not appear to be c-axis	13.3 cm ribbon, ribbon cracked when removed from die. c-axis first 6 mm then shifted a-axis . after 1.9 cm single a-axis. quite clear. gray deposit
				-				

Table IIL (continued)

				the second s				
		Melt Composition (mole % Na_O)	Charge Size	Gas Pressure	Growth Speed	Seed		Paquita
Run No.	Afterheater Setup	(11010 1012)	(grams)	(MN/m ⁻)	(mm/hr)	Orientation	Comments	Kesuits
H.P R26	Mo susceptor, 5-stack heat shield	Same charge	Same charge	1.55 - 1.45	1 - 12	a-axis*	3 mm between, first and second shield to try and pre- vent vertical cracking	5.5 cm ribbon, started single but after 5 mm sec phase nucleated three grains, cracked where grains met, very clear, gray coating on ribbon
H.P R27	Slotted 6-stack heat shield assembly	Same charge	Same charge	1.45	1 - 27	a-axis*	Slotted heat shields to try and even temperature gradient, looks cloudy where seeded	Emptied crucible, 12.7 cm ribbon, second phase nu- cleated several a-axis grains cracked at top middle, un- cracked at bottom, clear, gray deposit
H.PR28	Slotted 6-stack heat shield assembly	16.8 +2 w/o MgO	6.8	1.55 - 1.45	1 - 6	a-axis*	Top of ribbon cloudy, diffi- cult to grow size of die, did not crack	 3.8 cm ribbon, first 4 mm contains second phase, started single, then it developed two a-axis grains, 1.5 cm size of die, rest not, uncracked, gray deposit from growth.
H.P R 29	Slotted 6-stack heat shield assembly	Same charge	Same charge	1.55 - 1.45	3	a-axis*	Ribbon does not appear single after 1.2 cm	10.0 cm ribbon, cracked where two a-axis grains meet, last 3.8 cm very clear, above gray coating, 100% β
H.PR30	Slotted 6-stack heat shield assembly	Same charge	Same charge	1.55 - 1.45	• 6	a-axis*	White area on right hand edge of ribbon just after seeding, does not appear single, seed broke	5.1 cm ribbon, quite clear, contains internal second phase, not cracked, not single, gray coating, before anneal.
H.PR31	Slotted 6-stack heat shield assembly	Same charge	Same c harge		3 - 12	a-axis*	Does not appear single, growth emptied crucible	16.0 cm ribbon, not single, contains second phase. $\alpha + \beta$ - alumina, not cracked, gray coating from growth
H.PR32	Slotted 6-stack heat shield assembly - 6 mm higher in coil	16.9 +2 w/o MgO**	5.8**	1.55 - 1.45	- 3 - 12	a-axis*	Seed broke dipping, new seed, spread slowly	10.0 cm long ribbon, a-axis single, 100% & -alumina, uncracked, fairly clear, gray deposit from growth

Run No.	Afterheater Setup	Melt Composition (mole % Na ₂ O)	Charge Size (grams)	Gas Pressure (MN/m ²)	Growth Speed (mm/hr)	Seed Orientation	Comments	Results
H.PR33	Slotted 6-stack heat shield assembly - 6 mm higher in coil	Same charge	Same charge	1.55 - 1.45	3 - 15	a-axis*	Ribbon froze after 3.5 cm, heated and increased pulling speed to 15 mm/hr. grew overnight	15.2 cm ribbon crystals, not size of die for more than 6.3 cm. then tapered to point. a-axis single. $100\% \beta$ -alumina. gray de- posit on surface. before anneal. uncracked.
H.PR 34	Slotted 6-stack heat shield assembly - 6 mm higher in coil	Same charge	Same charge	1.55 - 1.45	3 - 20	a-axis*	Ribbon froze during night. causing seed to break	4.5 cm ribbon. uncracked. not single. $\alpha + \beta$ -alumina. α -skin coatings
H.PR35	Slotted 6-stack heat shield assembly - 6 mm higher in coil	Saine charge	Same charge	1.55 - 1.45	6 - 27	a-axis*	Growth emptied crucible	11.4 cm ribbon, whitish opaque, poly $\alpha + \beta$ -alumina annealed to remove gray coating
H.PR 36	Iridium susceptor, 5-stack slotted shields	16.9 +2 w/o MgO**	6.8**	1.55 - 1.45	3 - 6	a-axis*	5-stack shield assembly be- came tilted, shut down to realign, part of seed broke, redipped, ribbon picking up shield, aborted run	0.47 cm ribbon, single, very clear, a-axis, 100%β- alumina, not size of die
H.P R 37	Iridium susceptor, 5-stack slotted shields	Same charge	Same charge	1.55 - 1.45	3 - 12 - 6	a-axis*	After ~ 2.5 cm, ribbon started to cut in at 12 mm/hr slowed to 6 mm/hr	6.3 cm ribbon. size of die for 2.5 cm, then smaller for 0.9 cm, then size of die. a-axis single, clear, slight crack, white coating from growth, before anneal
H.PR38	One less iridium shield	Same charge	Same charge	1.55 - 1.45	3 - 6	a-axis*	Ribbon froze, seed broke, aborted run	2.5 cm ribbon, a-axis single, clear, uncracked, $100\% \beta$ -alumina, white coating before anneal
H.PR 39	One less iridium shield - 6 mm higher in coil	Same charge	Same charge	1.55 - 1.45	3 - 6 -18	a-axis*	Ribbon started to freeze, nucleated 2nd grain, slight vertical crack in middle	6.2 cm long ribbon. two a-axis grains. $100\%\beta$ - alumina, slight vertical crack in middle, clear, white coating from growth run.

*c-axis 90° to ribbon edge **Monofrax H was selected high quality clear crystal.

IV. CRYSTAL GROWTH EXPERIMENTS

A. Discussion and Objectives

Crystal structure analysis of β -alumina indicates an "ideal" stoichiometry Na₂O · 11 Al₂O₃ (8.33 mole % Na₂O). However, analyses by many investigators have shown that the compound usually contains more than the "ideal" quantity of Na₂O. In the NaAlO₂- Al₂O₃ diagram of Weber and Venero (Fig. 3), for example, the β -alumina phase field is shown with a breadth corresponding to 10.0 to 11.2 mole % Na₂O. More recently, Harata¹⁶ reported that the single phase region extends from 10.9 to 13.7 mole % Na₂O.

There are considerable difficulties associated with the crystal growth of sodium beta-alumina including its peritectic formation from slightly soda-rich liquid and α -alumina at about 2240 ± 6°K^{15, 19} and the ~5 torr pressure of Na₂O vapor over β -alumina² at the peritectic temperature. To limit the loss of Na₂O from the melt and hot crystal, growth was conducted in a high pressure chamber containing 1.1 to 1.6 MN/m² argon (Figs. 1, 2). Excess Na₂O was placed in the crucible charge (see Table III). This compensated for vapor losses and depressed the freezing temperature below the peritectic temperature.

To grow crystals of different compositions, both melt composition and chamber pressure were varied (Table II). Because the solidus in the soda-rich region has a finite slope (Fig. 3), it was also possible to obtain crystals with differing Na₂O content by varying the freezing temperature via melt composition in the range of 10 to 35 mole % Na₂O. The Na₂O concentration in the liquid of the meniscus was determined by the balance of the rates of rejection from the interface and depletion by vaporization. The composition of crystals grown by this technique is therefore extremely rate dependent (see Fig. 6).

Fig. 6. Compares Debye-Scherrer films of (from top to bottom) 100% betaalumina, beta-alumina and sodium beta alumina β " and sodium magnesium beta-alumina and sodium magnesium β ". Top film: Debye-Scherrer of H.P.-10AF; middle film: H.P.-10AF bottom and bottom film: Debye-Scherrer of H.P.-R24 top



Some of the crystals grown under the preceding contracts^{6, 7} cracked in the steep temperature gradient above the die. Under this program an attempt to alleviate the cracking problem was made by the use of an afterheater. The afterheater in several cases was a metal tube heated by induction from the same rf source that heated the crucible and in some cases was a series of stacked disk shields. Numerous growth trials were necessary to achieve an optimum design (see Table II, III).

Another problem encountered in the preceding work was the condensation of Na_2O vapor on the cooler regions of the crystal. The afterheater was effective in reducing this problem by increasing the distance between the source of the Na_2O vapor and the cool crystal surfaces. Baffles or shields were also used to try and trap the condensing vapor

Both a-axis and c-axis tubes of β -alumina have been grown. Two phase mixtures of α and β -alumina resulted when the melt was soda-poor. Because the presence of a high tensile strength second phase such as α -alumina was hoped might strengthen a β -alumina crystal without seriously degrading its conductivity, some mixed α - β crystals were prepared for study.

B. Results

1. Sodium β -alumina tubes

Table II lists all the afterheater arrangements and growth variables used for the growth of beta-alumina tubes. It can be seen from Table II, that although the use of a suscepting tube afterheater prevented cracking and the soda-rich deposit from forming on the tubes, it had the detrimental effect of causing opaque white skin patches to form on the tubes. Figs. 7a, and 7b, show a tube with the opaque white skin patch formed at the top. At faster growth speeds the opaque no longer formed, but the tube crystal started to pick up a second phase. The isolated opaque skin patch was found by the Debye-Scherrer X-ray technique to be $\alpha - Al_2O_3$. The rest of the tube was beta-alumina.

Several growth experiments were performed from an 18 mole % soda-rich melt using no afterheater (Table II). The tubes were grown in both the a- and c-axis and all but one resulted in 100% beta-alumina. All the tubes were covered with a grayish-white deposit which was removed by heat treating at 1523°K (e.g., above the decomposition temperature of Na₂O). Fig. 8 shows the results of these growth runs. As can be seen from the photograph the tube crystals became less transparent with



Fig. 7a. Sodium beta-alumina tube H.P.-1AF front, showing white poly α -Al₂O₃ skin







Fig. 8. From top to bottom: sodium beta-alumina tube crystal H.P.-7AF, H.P.-8AF, H.P.-9AF, H.P.-10AF and H.P.-11AF

increasing length and growth speed. Debye-Scherrer X-ray samples, taken from the top of the first four tubes in this photograph showed 100% beta-alumina, samples taken from the bottom showed beta alumina plus weak lines of a second phase. These lines were found to belong to the soda-rich β'' phase (Figs. 6 and 9). Although the phase diagram by Weber and Venero¹⁵ (Fig. 3) shows soda-rich β'' to be a metastable compound which does not exist above 1873°K, we have found it to co-exist with β -alumina grown above 2200°K in several of our growth experiments. This is apparently due to the fact that the growth process does not take place at equilibrium.

Although several advantages using 10 kHz instead of rf induction heating were realized, some difficulties were encountered in reestablishing the original optimized gradients (due in part to the new coil configuration and in part to the deeper skin effect induced by the lower frequency field).

In order to establish the optimum conditions for the growth of single crystal beta-alumina tubes several growth experiments were performed using 1.5 to 2 g charges. It was found that the soda loss was too high from these small charges to obtain 100% beta-alumina (Table II). A 100% β -alumina tube (H.P.-19 AF) was grown from a 6-g charge of 13 mole % Na₂O at ~1.0 MN/m². It was seeded in the c-axis direction but was found after ~1.2 cm to have spontaneously changed orientation and grown in the a-axis direction (Fig. 10). The second tube crystal grown from this charge resulted in a mixture of ~80% β - 20% α -alumina (Fig. 10). Table IV shows that tube crystal H.P.-20 AF contains less soda then required for 100% beta-alum ina and tube crystal H.P.-19AF contains slightly more.

A growth experiment was performed from a 9.0-g melt of $(Na_2O)_{0.2}$ $(Al_2O_3)_{0.8}$ duplicating as closely as possible the conditions that had previously produced single crystal 100% beta-alumina tubes.^{6, 13} The only deviation was that the pressure during growth was 1.0 MN/m² instead of 1.4 MN/m². The tube crystal that resulted (H.P.-21AF) was 100% beta-alumina and mostly c-axis but was badly cracked. A second growth run (H.P.-22AF) from the same melt and using a Mo heat shield arrangement to prevent cracking, along with the previous growth parameters. resulted in a tube of ~85% β , 15% α -alumina (Table IV). A growth pressure of at least 1.4 MN/m² appears necessary to obtain more than one 100% beta-alumina tube crystal 4.0 cm long, from at least a 6.0 g charge.

Fig. 11 shows two 100% beta-alumina tube crystals grown from a 6.8 g charge with the composition $(Na_2O)_{0.2} (Al_2O_3)_{0.8}$ The tube crystal H.P.-29AF was grown



Fig. 9. X-ray spectrum of β , β ", β ", and β "" compared to x-ray spectrum from Debye-Scherrer films of H.P.-R24 (top) and H.P.R24 (bottom) grown from a melt of sodium magnesium β "





Fig. 10. From top to bottom: sodium beta-alumina tube crystal H.P.-13AF, H.P.-14AF, H.P.-19AF and H.P.-20AF

		Analy	ys i s (w	t %)	Mole %		
Crystal		Na	A1	0	Na ₂ O	A12 ^O 3	
H.P. -19 AF	Charge				13.0	87.0	
	Тор	4.1	51.4	(44.4)	8.56	91.4	
	Bottom	4.49	51.2	(44.31)	9.33	90.6	
H.P. -20 AF	Charge				13.0	87.0	
	Тор	3.72	53.1	43.18	7.6	92.4	
	Bottom	3.37	53.3	43.33	6.9	93.1	
H.P. -22 AF	Charge				20.0	80.0	
	Тор	3.23	51.7	(45.07)	6.8	93.2	
	Bottom	3.63	53.0	(43.37)	7.4	92 .6	
H.P. 29 AF	Charge [,]	•			20.0	80.0	
	Top	4.30	51.6	(44.1)	8.9	91.1	
	Bottom	4.40	52.0	(43.6)	9.0	91.0	
H.P. -31 AF	Charge				20.0	80.0	
	Тор	4.33	50.4	(45.27)	9.2	90.8	
	Bottom	4.33	50.8	(44.82)	· 9.1	90.9	
H.P 35 AF	Charge				20.0	80.0	
	Тор	5.10	51.8	(43.1)	10.4	89.6	
۰.	Bottom	5.40	50.4	(44.2)	11.2	88.8	

Table IV. Composition of Tube Crystals



Fig. 11. Top: 100% sodium beta-alumina a-axis tube H.P.-29AF, bottom: 100% sodium beta-alumina c-axis tube H.P.-31AF

in the a-axis direction at 6.3 mm/hr after it became a full tube. No afterheater was used and it exhibited slight vertical cracking. Fig. 12 is a Laué back-reflection photograph taken of a facet on the top area of tube H.P.-29AF, 90° to the tube axis which exhibits the c-plane. The second crystal H.P.-31AF was grown in the c-axis direction at a speed of 2 mm/hr for 6 cm, then 6 mm/hr for the next 2.5 cm and finally 9 mm/hr for the remainder of the crystal. The use of a heat shield assembly did not prevent slight horizontal cracking of the tube crystal which was, however, single and orientated in the c-axis direction. Tube crystal (H.P.-35AF) with a higher sodium content then H.P.-29AF or H.P.-31AF was also grown from a melt of (Na₂O)_{0.2} (Al₂O₃)_{0.8} but at a growth speed of only 1 to 5 mm/hr (Table II and IV).

Although the use of an excess Na₂O melt composition and a heat shielding arrangement, together with a high inert gas overpressure allowed the growth of single crystal sodium beta-alumina tubes at speeds up to 12 mm/hr, the majority of the crystals did develop slight cracks and all had grayish white surface deposits which had to be removed by heat treating.

2. Sodium magnesium beta-alumina tubes

A considerable proportion of MgO can be incorporated into the β alumina structure (up to the composition 6.5 MgO \cdot 10.5 Na₂O \cdot 83 Al₂O₃).²⁰ Higher MgO content (~ 10.0 mole %) changes the lattice to the β ''-alumina structure (Fig. 4). Because of this phase latitude and the sensitivity of the conductivity to the Na⁺ environment, particularly as affected by Mg ions, this effort included the growth of tubes with various amounts of MgO.

The techniques and equipment used to grow beta-alumina plus magnesium oxide tubes were the same as those mentioned above the growth of sodium betaalumina (Table II). Growth was performed in the c-axis direction using a piece of Monofrax H single crystal as a seed, and growth was performed under 1.4 MN/m² argon overpressure at pulling speeds from 2 to 20 mm/h. The starting charge was 1.8 g made up of Monofrax H beta-alumina, Na₂O (in the form of Na₂CO₃) and MgO. The starting composition was (Na₂O) 15.67 (MgO) 4.61 (Al₂O₃) 79.92. Fig. 13 shows an MgO doped beta-alumina tube (H.P.-37AF). The tube is essentially a single crystal with the c-axis parallel to the growth direction. It is apparently no more difficult to grow beta-alumina with magnesium oxide than it is to grow undoped beta-alumina.

33

÷



Fig. 12. Laué back reflection photograph taken (90° to tube axis) of top clear section (facet) of H.P. 29AF a-axis sodium beta-alumina tube



Fig. 13. Sodium magnesium beta-alumina c-axis tube

3. Sodium-beta-alum ina ribbons

The growth of sodium beta-alumina ribbons was performed using the same growth techniques as those employed for the growth of sodium beta-alumina tubes (Table III). An iridium crucible and an iridium die designed to yield ribbons 6 mm wide \times 0.8 mm thick were used. Fig. 14 shows two sodium beta-alumina ribbon single crystals grown at growth speeds of 1 to 6 mm/hr from the same 6.8 g charge of $(Na_2O)_{0,2}$ $(Al_2O_3)_{0,8}$. As can be seen from the photograph, difficulty was encountered with keeping the ribbon crystals a uniform width at the faster growth speeds. This is attributed to the particular heat shield arrangement used. The c-axis crystal (H.P.-R3) developed cleavage cracks normal to the c-axis and the a-axis ribbon a- c-plane crack down the middle. There was an α -Al₂O₃ skin patch on the top of the a-axis ribbon. The ribbon crystals were less transparent after the heat treatment used to remove the gravish surface deposit. This can be seen by comparing the bottom clear section of H.P.-R3 (Fig. 14) with the rest of the ribbon. As this portion was still in the heat shields when growth was terminated it did not have a surface deposit and therefore was not heat treated. Fig. 15 is a photograph of three ribbon crystals grown at faster growth speeds (1 to 18 mm/hr) using two different shielding arrangements (Table III). Cracking was still a problem and the crystals also had horizontal bands of soda rich material. Table V lists the chemical composition of three 100% sodium beta-alumina ribbon crystals grown at different growth speeds. These ribbons are the third, fourth, and fifth growth runs from the same 6.8 g charge. As can be seen from Table V, ribbon crystal H.P.-R15 contains more Na_2O than the single phase limit for beta-alumina stated by Harata.¹⁶ This is due to second phase inclusions trapped in the ribbon crystal during growth and was apparent by the ribbon crystals' cloudy appearance. Crystal H.P.-R14 also had second phase inclusions and was completely opaque.

These experiments seem to indicate that properly orientated single crystal sodium beta-alumina ribbons can regularly be produced at $\sim 2 \text{ mm/hr}$ but cracking is still a problem and faster growth rates tend to cause several crystal grains to nucleate.

4. Sodium magnesium beta-alumina ribbons

Table III lists the parameters used for the growth of the sodium magnesium beta-alumina ribbon crystals. The iridium crucible and die had the same dimensions as those used for the growth of the previous ribbon crystals. A melt of



Fig. 14. Top: sodium beta-alumina single crystal c-axis ribbon; bottom: sodium beta-alum ina single crystal a-axis ribbon, notice the poly α -skin patch at the top of the ribbon above the 4 in. mark



Fig. 15. From top to bottom: sodium beta-alumina ribbon a-axis ribbon crystal H.P.-R17, H.P.-R18 and H.P.-R19

		Analysis (wt %)			Mole %			
Crystal		Na	Mg	Å1	0	Na ₂ O	MgO	A1203
H.PR14	Charge					20.0		80.0
	Top	6.8		47.3	(45.9)	14.4		85.6
	Bottom	7.3		45.6	(47.1)	15.8		84.2
H.PR15	Charge [.]	·				20.0		80.0
	Тор	8.3		47.9	(43.8)	16.9		83.1
	Bottom	8.4		50.0	(41.6)	16.5		83.5
H.PR16	Charge					20.0		80.0
	Тор	5.7		44.8	(49.5)	13.0		87.0
	Bottom	4.3		48.8	(46.9)	9.4		90.6
H.PR25	Charge Top					16.3	11.0	72.7
	Bottom	5.6	1.14	. -	-	-	-	-
H.P R 32	Charge					16.9	4.6	78.5
	Тор	6.9	0.69		-	-	-	-
	Bottom	5.7	0.44		-	-	-	-
H.PR33	Charge					16.9	4.6	78.5
	Тор	6.9	0.77		-	-	-	- ·
	Bottom	5.4	0.30		-	- .	-	-

Table V. Composition of Ribbon Crystals

the composition $(Na_2O)_{0.1426} (MgO)_{0.0452} (Al_2O_3)_{0.8121}$ and weighing 6.8 g was used for the growth of the first four ribbon crystals. This resulted in a badly cracked 100% beta-alumina ribbon. The next 6.8 g charge was richer in Na₂O and had the composition $(Na_2O)_{0.1694} (MgO)_{0.0458} (Al_2O_3)_{0.7848}$. Two 100% sodium magnesium beta-alumina ribbons were grown from this melt, they were not single and the second ribbon H.P.-R29 was badly cracked (Fig. 16). A third 6.8 g charge of the same composition as the previous was prepared using select single crystals of Monofrax H. From this two uncracked 100% sodium magnesium beta-alumina crystals were grown. The chemical compositions of two Na-Mg- β -alumina crystals (H.P.-R32) and H.P.-R33) are listed in Table V and Fig. 17. All of the ribbon crystals still had a grayish white surface deposit from growth which had to be removed by heating to ~1523°K.

On several occasions the coating was not completely removed by the heat treatment and a white powdery film remained. A part of this deposit problem appeared to have been caused by the Mo susceptor. A susceptor was fabricated out of iridium with the same dimensions as the Mo susceptor and a series of growth runs was made. Table III lists the parameters used and the results. Fig. 18 is a photograph of the results of these experiments. The average growth speed for the ribbons was > 6 mm/hr, the ribbons became less transparent with increased growth speed. There was very little cracking from the growth runs but the ribbons were coated with a white deposit where they grew above the heat shields. This deposit appeared to be slightly easier to remove by heating to 1523°K than the grayish white deposit. Compare Fig. 19 with Fig. 18.

5. Sodium magnesium β ''-alumina Ribbons

Equilibrium phase information on Mg stabilized β'' alumina is limited. The phase is stable at least to 1800 °C and has very little compositional freedom at 1700 °C (Fig. 4).²⁰ Weber and Venero²⁰ grew crystals of Mg- β'' from NaO₂ rich melts by an isothermal treatment at 1650 °C allowing the slow evaporation of Na₂O. Experience with the EFG growth of sodium magnesium beta-alumina tubes indicated that it was also necessary to grow magnesium β'' from Na₂O rich melts. The sodium magnesium β'' ribbon crystal growth runs were made from 6.8 g charges of $(Na_2O)_{0.1626} (MgO)_{0.1099} (Al_2O_3)_{0.7274}$ (Table III). Cracking due to thermal strain was observed in all of these crystals (see Fig. 19). This may have been due to the presence of more than one sodium aluminate phase. Fig. 9 shows Debye-



Fig. 16. From top to bottom: sodium magnesium beta-alumina a-axis ribbon crystal H.P.-R28, H.P.-R29, H.P.-R30 and H.P.-R31



Fig. 17. From top to bottom: sodium magnesium beta-alumina a-axis ribbon H.P.-R32, H.P.-R33, H.P.-R34 and H.P.-R35.



Fig. 18. From top to bottom: sodium magnesium beta-alumina ribbon H.P.-R37, H.P.-R36, H.P.-R38 and H.P.-R39



Fig. 19. From top to bottom: Sodium magnesium β " ribbon crystal H.P.-R24 c-axis, H.P.-R25 c-axis, H.P.-R26 a-axis and H.P.-R27 a-axis

Scherrer X-ray spectra of β , β'' , β''' and β'''' . In Fig. 20 the actual Debye-Scherrer films are compared with a 100% sodium beta-alumina film. As can be seen from these figures the number of phases present increased with crystal length. The phase diagram of Weber and Venero²⁰ (Fig. 4) does not allow the coexistence of the four phases. That it occurred may be due either to the growth technique which allows segregation to occur at the growth interface or the fact that no part of this is at equilibrium. Two Laue X-ray photographs of the top ribbon face of crystal H.P.-R25 are shown in Fig. 20. This β'' ribbon crystal was seeded in the c-axis direction and Fig. 21a was taken ~ 6 mm below where it was seeded. As can be seen from Fig. 21b the crystal axis has shifted almost 90° to the a-axis direction after ~2.5 cm of growth. Table V lists the chemical composition of this β'' ribbon crystal. These growth experiments did not yield single phase single crystalline sodium magnesium β'' alumina ribbon crystals.



Fig. 20. Compares Debye-Scherrer X-ray film of Monofrax H. Beta-alumina (top film) with Debye-Scherrer X-ray film of top of H.P.-R24 β " (middle film) and Debye-Scherrer X-ray film of bottom of H.P.-R24 β " (bottom film)



Fig. 21a. Laué X-ray photograph (taken 90° to c-axis) of H.P.-R25 β " c-axis ribbon. Photograph taken of ribbon face just below where it was seeded. Top of ribbon is to the left of the photograph and the ribbon axis goes from left to right



Fig. 21b. Laué X-ray photograph (90° to c-axis) of H.P.-R25 β " c-axis ribbon. Photograph taken ~2.5 cm below top photograph of ribbon face and shows shift of crystal axis of almost 90° from c to a-axis. Top of ribbon is to the left of the photograph, ribbon a-axis goes from left to right

Preceding Page Blank

V. CONCLUSIONS

Although single crystal tubes and ribbons of sodium and sodium magnesium beta-alumina and magnesium β'' alumina were grown, the problem of consistently growing useful transparent, uncoated ribbons at speeds > 6 mm/hr remains.

Material grown under this contract has been delivered to NASA-Lewis for ionic conductivity measurements.

Preceding Page Blank

VL REFERENCES

1.	N. Weber and J. T. Krummer, Advances in Energy Conversion Engineering, 1967 Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, p. 913.
2.	J. T. Kummer, Progress in Solid State Chemistry, 7, (1972).
3.	M. S. Wittingham and R. A. Huggins, J. of Chem. Phys., 54 (1971).
. 4.	Yung-Fong Yee Sao and J. T. Kummer, J. Inog. Nucl. Chem. 29, 2453 (1967).
5.	M. J. Rice and W. L. Roth, J. of Solid State Chem., <u>4</u> , 294-310 (1972).
6.	R. W. Stormont and F. H. Cocks, Final Report, NASA-Lewis Research Center, Contract NAS3-15685, October 1972.
7.	J. T. A. Pollock, R. Stormont, and F. Wald, Final Report, NASA-Lewis Research Center, Contract NAS3-14410, June 1971.
8.	R. J. Gelsing, et al., Rec. Trav. Chim., <u>84</u> , 1452 (1965).
9.	A. D. Wadsley in "Non-stoichiometric Compounds," L. Mandelcorn (ed.), p. 99, Academic Press, N. Y. (1964).
10.	K. Norrish, Min. Mag., <u>29</u> , 496 (1951).
11.	G. Bayer and W. Hoffman, Am. Mineral, <u>51</u> , 511 (1966).
12.	A. Bystrom and A. M. Bystrom, Acta Cryst., 3, 146 (1950).
13.	F. H. Cocks and R. W. Stormont, J. Elec. Chem. Soc., 121, Vol. 4 (1974).
14.	A. D. Morrison, R. W. Stormont and F. H. Cocks, Am. Cer. Soc., 76th Annual Meeting, Abstract in Am. Cer. Soc. Bull. 53, Vol. 4 (1974).
15.	N. Weber and A. F. Venero, Revision of the Phase Diagram NaAlO ₂ - Al_2O_3 , Annual Meeting of Am. Cer. Soc., May 1970
16.	Mituo Harata, Mat. Res. Bull., Vol.6, p. 461-464 (1971).

17.	A. L. Mlavsky and H. E. LaBelle, Jr., Mat. Res. Bull, 6, 571 (1971).
18.	H. E. LaBelle, Jr., Mat. Res. Bull., <u>6</u> , 581 (1971).
19.	R. C. DeVries and W. L. Roth, J. of the Am. Cer. Soc., <u>52</u> , 364 (1969).
20.	N. Weber, and A. F. Venero, Paper 1-JV-70, 72nd Annual Mtg. Am. Cer.

Soc., Abstract in Am. Cer. Soc. Bull. <u>49</u>, 499 (1970).

DISTRIBUTION LIST

NASA

NASA-Lewis Research Center Attn: A.C. Antoine (M.S. 309-1) 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, OH 44135 (3 copies)

NASA-Lewis Research Center Attn: L.W. Schopen (M.S. 500-206) 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, OH 44135

NASA-Lewis Research Center Attn: N.T. Musial (M.S. 500-113) 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, OH 44135

NASA Scientific and Technical Information Facility
Attn: Acquisitions Branch
P. O. Box 33
College Park, MD 20740 (10 copies)

NASA-Lewis Research Center Attn: Library (M.S. 60-3) 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, OH 44135 (2 copies)

NASA-Lewis Research Center Attn: Report Control Offices (M.S. 5-5) 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, OH 44135

National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Scientific and Technical Information

Facility
P. O. Box 33
College Park, MD 20740
(2 copies and 1 repro)

Mr. Simon Manson, Code ES National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546 Mr. Floyd Ford, Code 761.z Goddard Space Flight Center National Aeronautics and Space Administration Greenbelt, MD 20771

Mr. Gerald Halpert, Code 761.z Goddard Space Flight Center National Aeronautics and Space Administration Greenbelt, MD 20771

Mr. Thomas Hennigan, Code 761 Goddard Space Flight Center National Aeronautics and Space Administration Greenbelt, MD 20771

Dr. Louis Rosenblum, (M.S. 302-1)
Lewis Research Center
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
21000 Brookpark Road
Cleveland, OH 44135

Mr. Harvey Schwartz, (M.S. 309-1)
Lewis Research Center
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
21000 Brookpark Road
Cleveland, OH 44135

Dr. J. Stuart Fordyce, (M.S. 309-1)
Lewis Research Center
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
21000 Brookpark Road
Cleveland, OH 44135

Mr. Charles B. Graff, S & E-ASTR-EP George C. Marshall Space Flt. Center National Aeronautics and Space Administration Huntsville, AL 35812

Mr. Hoyt McBryar, EP5 Johnson Space Center National Aeronautics and Space Administration Houston, TX 77058

JPL

Mr. Daniel Runkle, (M.S. 198-220) Jet Propulsion Laboratory 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91103

Dr. R. Lutwack, (M.S. 198-220) Jet Propulsion Laboratory 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91103

Mr. Aiji A. Uchiyama, (M.S. 198-220) Jet Propulsion Laboratory 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91103

ARMY

Harry Diamond Laboratories Room 300, Bldg. 92 Connecticut Ave. & Van Ness St., N.W. Washington, DC 20438

U. S. Army Electronics Command Attn: AMSEL-TL-P Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Commanding Officer U. S. Army Mobility Equip. Research & Development Center Electrotechnology Department Electrochemical Division Attn: SMEFB-EE Fort Belvoir, VA 22061

NAVY

Director, Power Program, Code 473 Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217

Dr. George A. Neece, Code 472 800 N. Quincy St. Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217

Mr. S. Schuldiner, Code 6160 Naval Research Laboratory 4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W. Washington, DC 20375 Mr. J. H. Harrison, Code 2724 Naval Ship R & D Center Annapolis, MD 21402

Commanding Officer Naval Ammunition Depot (305, Mr. D. G. Miley) Crane, IN 47522

Mr. Phillip B. Cole, Code 232 Naval Ordnance Laboratory Silver Spring, MD 20910

Mr. Albert Himy, 6157D Naval Ship Engineering Center Center Bldg., Prince Georges Center Hyattsville, MD 20782

Dr. H. E. Ruskie, NISC-4321 4301 Suitland Road Suitland, MD 20390

Arthur M. Diness, Code **471** Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA **22217**

AIR FORCE

AFAPL/POE-1/D. R. Warnock Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433

Air Force Aero Propulsion Lab. POE-1/W. S. Bishop WPAFB, OH 45433

Mr. Edward Raskind, LCC, Wing F U. S. AF Cambridge Research Lab. L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, MA 01731

Rome Air Development Center Attn: TUGG/F. J. Mollura Griffiss AFB, NY **13441**

SAMSO/DYAE P. O. Box 92960 Worldway Postal Center Los Angeles, CA 90009

OTHER GOVERNMENT ORGANIZATION

Dr. Leonard Topper NSF, RANN Washington, DC 20550

PRIVATE ORGANIZATIONS

Dr. E. A. Heintz Technical Department Airco Speer Carbon-Graphite P. O. Box 828 Niagara Falls, NY 14302

Dr. R. T. Foley Chemistry Department American University Massachusetts & Nebraska Aves., N.W. Washington, DC 20016

Mr. R. A. Knight Research Division AMF Inc. 689 Hope Street Stamford, CT 06907

Dr. H. Shalit ARCO Chemical Co. Div. of Atlantic Richfield Co. 500 South Ridgeway Avenue Glenolden, PA **19036**

Dr. James D. Birkett Arthur D. Little, Inc. Acorn Park Cambridge, MA 02140

Dr. H. L. Recht Atomics International Division International Corp. P. O. Box 309 Canoga Park, CA 91304

Mr. R. F. Fogle, GA 28 North American Rockwell Autonetics Division, NAR P. O. Box 4192 Anaheim, CA 92803 Mr. J. E. Clifford, Technical Representative
Electrochemical Eng. Technical Div.
Battelle
Columbus Laboratories
505 King Avenue
Columbus, OH 43201

Mr. D. O. Feder Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc. Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Dr. Carl Berger 13401 Kootenay Drive Santa Ana, CA 92705

Mr. Sidney Gross, (M.S. 8E-37) The Boeing Company P. O. Box 3999 Seattle, WA 98124

Professor T. P. Dirkse Calvin College **3175** Burton Street, S.E. Grand Rapids, MI **49506**

Prof. Ernest Yeager Department of Chemistry Case Western Reserve Univ. Cleveland, OH **44106**

Mr. C. E. Thomas Chrysler Corporation Space Division Dept. 2730 P. O. Box 29200 New Orleans, LA 70189

Mr. E. P. Broglio Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc. P. O. Box 47, Couples Dept. Joplin, MO 64801

Dr. J. M. Williams Experimental Station, Bldg. 304 Engineering Technology Lab. E. L duPont de Nemours & Co. Wilmington, DE 19898 Mr. R. P. Mikkelson
Electrical Systems Dept. 623-2
General Dynamics/Convair Aerospace Division
P. O. Box 80847
San Diego, CA 92138

Xerox Corporation Electro-Optical Systems 800 North Halstead Street Pasadena, CA 91107

Mr. Martin Klein Energy Research Corporation 15 Durant Avenue Bethel, CT 06801

Dr. J. G. Cohn Engelhard Industries Menlo Park Edison, NJ 08817

Mr. L. Berkowitz Government Research Lab. Esso Research and Engineering Co. P. O. Box 8 Linden, NJ 07036

Dr. Arthur Fleischer 466 South Center Street Orange, NJ 07050

The Garrett Corporation Suite 515, Cafritz Building 1625 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

Dr. J. B. Bush, Jr. Research and Development Center General Electric Company Bldg. K-1 Rm. 4A28 P. O. Box 8 Schenectady, NY 12301

Mr. Kenneth Hanson General Electric Company Valley Forge Space Technology Center P. O. Box 8555 Philadelphia, PA 19101 Mr. J. A. Keralla Delco Remy Division General Motors Corporation 2401 Columbus Avenue Anderson, IN 46011

Dr. John McCallum, President Invention Talents, Inc. 1149 Chesepeake Avenue Columbus, OH 43212

Mr. L. J. Nuttall General Electric Company 930 Western Avenue, 274A4 Lynn, MA 01910

Mr. F. T. O'Brien Direct Energy Conversion Programs General Electric Company 930 Western Avenue Lynn, MA 01910

Dr. E. I. Simons Environmental Protection Operation -Bldg. 36 General Electric Company Schenectady, NY 12345

Dr. G. Goodman Globe-Union, Inc. P. O. Box **591** Milwaukee, WI **53201**

Dr. J. E. Oxley Dr. B. B. Owens Gould Inc., Gould Lab. P. O. Box 3140 St. Paul, MN 55165

Grumman Aerospace Corp. S. J. Gaston, Plant 35, Dept. 553 Bethpage, Long Island, NY 11714

Gulton Battery Corporation 212 Durham Ave., Middlesex County Metuchen, NJ 08840

Dr. P. L. Howard Millington, MD 21651 Dr. M. E. Ellion, Manager Propulsion & Power Systems Lab. Bldg. 366, MS 524 Hughes Aircraft Company El Segundo, CA 90245

Mr. R. Hamilton Institute for Defense Analyses 400 Army-Navy Drive Arlington, VA 22202

Mr. James R. Hunt International Nickel Company 1000-16th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Dr. A Moos Leesona Corporation Warwick, RI 02887

Dr. R. A. Wynveen, President Life Systems, Inc. 23715 Mercantile Road Cleveland, OH 44122

Mr. Robert E. Corbett Department 62-25, Bldg. 151/1 Lockheed Aircraft Corporation P. O. Box 504 Sunnyvale, CA 94088

Mr. S. J. Angelovich Chief Engineer Mallory Battery Company South Broadway Tarrytown, NY **10591**

Mr. A. D. Tonelli Dept. A3-833, MS 22-2 McDonnell Douglas Astronautics Co. 5301 Bolsa Avenue Huntington,Beach, CA 92647

Dr. Robert C. Shair 4921 Sanayer Drive Hollywood, FL 33021

Rocketdyne Division North American Rockwell Corp. Attn: Library 6633 Canoga Avenue Canoga Park, CA 91304 National Center for Energy Management & Power
113 Towne Building
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, PA 19104

Dr. C. Bocciarelli 112 E 2nd Street Moorestown, NJ 08057

Mr. D. C. Briggs WDL Division Philco-Ford Corporation **3939** Fabian Way Palo Alto, CA **94303**

Dr. Per Bro P. R. Mallory & Company, Inc. Northwest Industrial Park Third Avenue Burlington, MA 01801

P. R. Mallory & Company, Inc.
Library
P. O. Box 706
Indianapolis, IN 46206

Mr. V. D'Agostino RAI Research Corporation 225 Marcus Blvd. Hauppauge, LI, NY 11787

Southwest Research Institute Attn: Library P. O. Drawer 28510 San Antonio, TX 78284

Library Sanford Research Institute 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025

Dr. W. R. Scott (M1-1208) TRW Systems, Inc. One Space Park Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Dr. Herbert P. Silverman (R-1/2094) TRW Systems, Inc. One Space Park Redondo Beach, CA 90278 Union Carbide Corporation Battery Products Division Development Laboratory Library P. O. Box 6056 Cleveland, OH 44101

Dr. Robert Powers Consumer Products Division Union Carbide Corporation P. O. Box 6116 Cleveland, OH 44010

United Aircraft Corporation Attn: Library 400 Main Street East Hartford, CT 06108

Power Information Center University City Science Institute 3401 Market Street, RM 2210 Philadelphia, PA 19104

Dr. Frederick Morse Dept. of Mechanical Engineering University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742

Yardney Electric Corporation Power Sources Division 3850 Olive Street Denver, CO 80207

Yardney Electric Division 82 Mechanic Street Pawcatuck, CT 02891

Dr. Eugene Y. Weissman, Director Inorganic-Electrolytic R & D BASF Wyandotte Corporation Wyandotte, MI 48192

Dr. Charles Levine Dow Chemical U.S.A. Walnut Creek Research Center 2800 Mitchell Drive Walnut Creek, CA 94598

Prof. Donald M. Smyth Materials Research Center Lehigh University Bethlehem, PA 18015 Prof. John W. Patterson Dept. of Metallurgy Iowa State University Ames, IA 50010

Prof. Rustum Roy Materials Science Dept. Pennsylvania State University University Park, PA 16802

Prof. John H. Kennedy Univ. of Calif. at Santa Barbara Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Dr. Paul Jorgensen Stanford Research Institute Menlo Park, CA 94025

Dr. L. Topper Div. of Advanced Technology Applications National Science Foundation Washington, DC 20550

Mr. L. R. Rothrock Union Carbide Corp. 8888 Balboa Avenue San Diego, CA 92123

Prof. K. E. Cox Dept. of Chem. & Nucl. Eng. Tech. Appl. Center University of New Mexico Albuquerque, NM 87131

Dr. Robert A. Huggins
Dept. of Materials Science and Engineering
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. M. Stanley Whittingham Esso Research and Engineering Co. Linden, NJ 07036

Dr. Neill T. Weber Ford Motor Co. Research Lab Dearborn, MI 48121

Prof. James L Mueller Ceramic Engineering Div. University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195 Dr. Douglas O. Raleigh North American Rockwell Science Center Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

Dr. R. H. Doremus Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Materials Division Troy, NY **12181**

Dr. Robert S. Roth National Bureau of Commerse Washington, DC 20234

Prof. Alexander F. Wells Dept. of Chemistry University of Connecticut Storrs, CT 06268

Dr. Elton J. Cairns Electrochemistry Dept. General Motors Research Lab 12 Mile and Mound Roads Warren, MI 48090

Dr. John B. Goodenough Massachusetts Institute of Technology Lincoln Laboratory Lexington, MA 02173