

NASA CR- 139035

A STUDY OF REMOTE SENSING AS APPLIED TO REGIONAL AND SMALL WATERSHEDS

IBM No. 74W-00176

FINAL REPORT

VOLUME II - SUPPORTING TECHNICAL DETAILS

HUNTSVILLE



(NASA-CR-139035) A STUDY OF REMOTE
SENSING AS APPLIED TO REGIONAL AND SMALL
WATERSHEDS. VOLUME 2: SUPPORTING
TECHNICAL DETAILS (International Business
Machines Corp.) 442 p H(

N74-30833

CSCL 08H G3/13 46046
Unclassified

412



Reproduced by
**NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE**
U.S. Department of Commerce
Springfield, VA 22151



412

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
Preface		iii
5 WATERSHED SIMULATION MODELS		5-1
5.1 Watershed Modeling and Streamflow Forecasting		5-1
5.2 The Stanford Watershed Model (SWM)		5-2
5.3 Kentucky Watershed Model (KWM) and OPSET Program		5-3
5.3.1 Kentucky Watershed Model		5-5
5.3.2 OPSET and Calibration		5-5
5.4 The NASA-IBM System for Simulation and Analysis of Watersheds		5-9
5.4.1 Watershed Model Data Base		5-9
5.4.1.1 Historical Data		5-9
5.4.1.1.1 Hourly Precipitation Data		5-11
5.4.1.1.2 Daily Discharge (Streamflow) Data		5-12
5.4.1.1.3 Flood Hydrographs		5-12
5.4.1.1.4 Evaporation Data		5-12
5.4.1.1.5 Temperature Data		5-13
5.4.1.1.6 Snowmelt Data Arrays		5-13
5.4.1.2 Parameters		5-14
5.4.1.3 Calibration		5-15
5.4.1.4 Integrated Data Base		5-17
5.4.2 Simulation Program Outputs		5-17
5.5 Watershed Simulation in This Study		5-19
6 SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS		6-1
6.1 Methodology		6-1
6.1.1 Performance Indices		6-1
6.1.2 Sensitivity Analysis Tabulations		6-2
6.1.3 Sensitivity Plots		6-2
6.2 Summary of Results		6-2
6.3 Detailed Sensitivity Analysis Results		6-9
6.3.1 FIMP, Impervious Fraction of Watershed Area		6-9
6.3.2 FWTR, Water Surface Fraction of Watershed Area		6-26

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
6.3.3	VINTMR, Vegetative Interception Maximum Rate	6-41
6.3.4	BUZC, Basic Upper Zone Capacity	6-57
6.3.5	SUZC, Seasonal Upper Zone Capacity Factor	6-67
6.3.6	LZC, Lower Zone Capacity	6-79
6.3.7	ETLF, Evapotranspiration Loss Factor	6-92
6.3.8	SIAC, Seasonal Infiltration Adjustment Factor	6-104
6.3.9	BMIR, Basic Maximum Infiltration Rate	6-113
6.3.10	Overland Flow Surface Slope	6-125
6.3.11	OFSL Overland Flow Surface Length	6-135
6.3.12	OFMN, Overland Flow Roughness Coefficient	6-146
6.3.13	RGPMB, Recording Gage Precipitation Multiplier	6-154
6.3.14	Evaporation and Mean Number of Rainy Days	6-161
6.3.15	Sensitivity of Small Snowshed Model to Climatological Input Perturbations During Storms	6-176
6.3.16	FIRR, Fraction of Incident Radiation Reflected by Snow	6-181
6.3.17	BODFSM, Basic Degree Day Factor for Snowmelt	6-184
6.3.18	SPBFLW, Snowpack Basic Maximum Fraction in Liquid Water	6-187
6.3.19	SPTWCC, Snowpack Minimum Total Water Content for Complete Basin Coverage	6-190
6.3.20	ELDIF, Elevation Difference Between the Base Thermometer and the Mean Basin Elevation	6-192
6.3.21	FFOR, Fraction of the Watershed Forested	6-195
6.3.22	Fraction of Snow Intercepted	6-198
6.3.23	PXCSA, Precipitation Index for Changing Snow Albedo	6-201
7	RELATED TECHNICAL ARTICLES AND ABSTRACTS	7-1

PREFACE

This volume represents detailed technical material supplementing and supporting Volume I, Summary Report. For the convenience of the reader, some of the material from Volume I has been duplicated here to minimize cross references. Distribution of this volume is very limited in comparison with that of Volume I.

Section 5 describes the application of continuous watershed simulation models in the study, and Section 6 presents detailed results of the sensitivity analysis, the study's principal task. Portions of watershed model simulation run outputs are presented in Appendices A, B, and C. Abstracts of related technical articles appear in Appendix D.

SECTION 5

WATERSHED SIMULATION MODELS

This section is intended to describe how continuous simulation models of watersheds were used in performance of the study. The models' underlying theory and inner workings are discussed only to the extent necessary to explain their application as study tools.

5.1 WATERSHED MODELING AND STREAMFLOW FORECASTING

That aspect of hydrology known as streamflow forecasting undertakes to predict the outflow from a river basin, in terms of flow rate as a function of time, in response to a given precipitation event under given initial conditions. This capability is vital to effective planning for urban/industrial development, flood control, hydroelectric power, navigation, and water resources management.

Figure 5-1 depicts the cross section of a somewhat idealized rural catchment and identifies the principal phenomena at work in the rainfall-runoff relationship. The input (precipitation) is partially intercepted by vegetation and water retention areas. Moisture reaching pervious surfaces divides between overland flow, infiltration, and evaporation. Through subsurface processes, interflow, and groundwater flow contribute ultimately to streamflow, with some losses due to transpiration through plant life. In certain regions, in winter, moisture is stored in the form of snow in portions of the basin, and melts to produce additional moisture movement in spring.

All the phenomena involved in this portion of the hydrologic cycle are widely and well understood qualitatively, and several empirical relationships have been developed from a combination of theory and experiment. The relationships are numerous, many of them are nonlinear, and they are interrelated. Manual solutions for streamflow by manipulation of such a set of equations are inefficient and so time consuming as to be of little value in an operational situation. Individuals and organizations responsible for streamflow forecasting have turned to watershed models as effective tools for their work. Development of such models has been facilitated by the increasing availability of large, high-speed computers.

The study contract required that the model used (1) describe the various hydrologic processes directly involved with or related to runoff and the water balance of a representative watershed, and (2) be of a type that has a capability for providing an assessment of how well remotely sensed measurements from spacecraft or aircraft can be used to study or specify the hydrologic processes occurring within the watershed. The first criterion immediately excludes the entire class of stochastic models, which obscure the cause and effect relationships among the conditions and hydrologic processes in the watershed. The model to be used in the study must therefore be a parametric model, so called because its operation

depends upon quantification of several parameters which represent coefficients and exponents in the equations implemented in the model.




Figure 5-1. Cross Section of Idealized Rural Catchment

5.2 THE STANFORD WATERSHED MODEL (SWM)

The Stanford Watershed Model¹ is probably the best known of the parametric hydrological models and, in all its modifications, is probably the most widely used. Since it was originally published in 1962, several reports have appeared in literature describing modified versions and applications (References 2 through 8, and others). As a proven tool it was attractive to the study team for several projects dealing with applications of remote sensing to hydrology.

The Stanford Watershed Model uses a moisture accounting system to synthesize a continuous hydrograph* from the following:

1. Recorded climatological data, precipitation, evaporation, and (for snowmelt situations) temperature,
2. Measurable watershed characteristics such as drainage area and friction of the watershed in impervious surfaces, and
3. Parameters used in the computation process which are known to vary in magnitude among watersheds but have not been quantitatively tied to specific measurable watershed properties. For example, one parameter indexes the capacity of the soil of the watershed as a whole to retain water.

The third class of inputs requires a trial and error series of calibration runs to quantify a set of model parameters which will synthesize flows with acceptable accuracy.

Figure 5-2 depicts the accounting of moisture entering the watershed until it leaves by streamflow, evapotranspiration, or subsurface outflow. A series of relations, each based on empirical observation or theoretical description of a specific hydrologic process, is used to estimate rates and volumes of moisture movement from one storage category to another, in accordance with current storage states and the calibrated watershed parameters. The model routes channel inflow from the point where it enters a tributary channel to the downstream point for which a hydrograph is required.

5.3 KENTUCKY WATERSHED MODEL (KWM) AND OPSET PROGRAM

The Stanford Watershed Model was originally written in the Burroughs Computer Language (BALGOL) then in use at the Stanford Computer Center. It has subsequently been translated into Fortran IV, and a number of adaptations were introduced in one version to suit the climate and geography of Kentucky as representative of the eastern United States. In a recent (1970) research program a version of the model using an initial set of model parameter values and number of control options was developed for use with a self-calibrating streamlined version of the model. These models are referred to as the Kentucky Watershed Model (KWM) and OPSET (because it estimates the OPTIMUM SET of model parameters). The availability and utility of these models and reports describing them led to their use by IBM in a previous project.

*A hydrograph is simply a plot of streamflow in volume per unit time or river height as a function of time. See Reference 9, Chapter 9.




Figure 5-2. Moisture Accounting in the Stanford Watershed Model

5.3.1 KENTUCKY WATERSHED MODEL


Figure 5-3 lists the principal inputs (exclusive of control options) used by the Kentucky Watershed Model to simulate streamflow. Climatological data can be obtained from precipitation records or can be hypothetical, the latter being useful in generating rainfall-runoff predictions. The inputs classed as "Overland Flow Parameters" and "Watershed Parameters" are readily obtainable from analysis and interpretation of images (maps and/or photographs). The inputs on the right side of the figure are estimated in the calibration phase by OPSET. Some additional manual calibration is necessary to develop a set of model parameters that best represent the watershed.

5.3.2 OPSET AND CALIBRATION

When a user applies a simulation model to a watershed, there are several parameters whose values he must initially guess and subsequently adjust, between trial runs of the model and comparisons of synthesized with observed flows. This trial and error calibration requires ingenuity, understanding of the sensitivity of simulated flows to specific parameter adjustments. The process is aided greatly by a thorough understanding of the hydrologic process and by the guidance published by Crawford and Linsley^{1,2}. Through careful parameter adjustment, one can cause simulated flows to approximate recorded flows but never to match them exactly. Several combinations of parameter values can produce comparable results from an overall viewpoint, and the final choice may well hinge on whether a particular comparison emphasizes flood peaks, annual runoff volume, or some other hydrograph feature. The final acceptance of a set of parameters may depend heavily on subjective factors.

In developing OPSET, Liou¹¹ provided a tool for calibrating the KWM with a minimum of subjective decisions. The parameter optimization concept is depicted in flow chart form in Figure 5-4. The input data consists of control options and initial conditions as well as the inputs listed in Figure 5-5. A simulation is performed, one year at a time, using a "streamlined" KWM. The synthesized flows are compared with the observed flows. An objective function is used to determine when an optimum set of parameters has been found. If the best match has not been achieved, parameters are again adjusted and the simulation run again. This sequence is repeated until a satisfactory parameter set has been quantified.

Figure 5-5 also lists the 13 outputs of OPSET, in addition to simulated streamflow. (Comparison with Figure 5-3 shows the relationship to KWM.) These parameters are the most difficult to measure directly and ones to which simulated flow values are sensitive. The calibration process should be based on three separate water years for the same basin. Simulation model parameters are then derived by averaging the results of the three calibration runs. A minor modification to OPSET has been implemented to generate a more precise Base Flow Recession Constant (BFRC). As it is presently designed, OPSET estimates parameters which produce accurate simulations of major winter storms (with respect to flood peak magnitude and timing) but misses summer and autumn storm peaks by significant factors.



NOTE: M/P - MAPS AND/OR PHOTOGRAPHS

Figure 5-3. Simulation Model (KWM) Inputs and Outputs




Figure 5-4. Parameter Optimization Concept




Figure 5-5. Calibration Program (OPSET) Inputs and Outputs

Manual adjustments are required to achieve accurate simulation in the latter. An improvement in OPSET efficiency could be achieved by modifying it to calibrate on the basis of several consecutive years rather than one year at a time.

5.4 THE NASA-IBM SYSTEM FOR SIMULATION AND ANALYSIS OF WATERSHEDS

It is common experience in the use of sophisticated simulation models that most time and manpower are not consumed by actually running the models but by (1) acquiring and formatting data and parameters, (2) setting up the program and data decks, and (3) searching through printouts to summarize and evaluate results. When sensitivity analysis was first undertaken in a previous study, involving a separate simulation run for every input or parameter perturbation, it was soon evident that the manpower, time, and data card storage requirements would quickly become exorbitant. This problem was overcome by implementing a system of computer programs and operating techniques, built around the OPSET calibration program and the Kentucky Watershed Model (KWM) to provide a high degree of automation.

The system as used in the study is illustrated in Figure 5-6. The input temporal data may be real or hypothetical. (The Tennessee Valley Authority, for instance, has an effective stochastic precipitation generator program.) The input decks for all subwatersheds are generated first; this is a compilation of the hourly precipitation, daily evaporation, reference daily discharge, daily temperature, snow cover, and selected storms for each season. If a regional watershed model is to be implemented, all the subwatershed decks are integrated into a master watershed input deck that represents the regional watershed. The master watershed deck is then committed to disk storage to permit operation from a remote terminal. Reference data sets (instead of observed historical data) can be established by a simulation run for each subwatershed. This composite reference data set will constitute the baseline for the sensitivity analysis.

5.4.1 WATERSHED MODEL DATA BASE

Simulation of a watershed requires (1) acquisition, formatting and integration of a historical data base, (2) quantification of some of the model parameters from direct observation, measurement and application of empirical relationships, and (3) calibration, the adjustment of the remaining parameters to achieve an acceptable match between simulated (synthesized) and actual streamflow. After calibration, the system may be used to predict streamflow resulting from any given precipitation event.

5.4.1.1 Historical Data

The historical data base for the system is constructed from the following types of data.

- Precipitation records - hourly and daily
- Stream stage charts - actual strip chart hydrographs




Figure 5-6. NASA-IBM System for Simulation and Analysis of Watersheds

- Rating tables for conversion of stream stage charts from height (feet) to flow rate (cubic feet per second, cfs)
- Daily streamflow (discharge) records
- Temperature records - maximum and minimum each day (used with snow routine only)
- Evaporation data - three options:
 - Daily evaporation and monthly pan coefficients; or
 - Total annual evaporation, mean annual number of rainy days and estimated potential annual evapotranspiration; or
 - Average daily evaporation values over ten-day periods through the year and monthly pan coefficients.
- Snowmelt data arrays

Data are converted from published documents or charts or magnetic tape, to digital formats suitable for input to the calibration and simulation programs.

5.4.1.1.1 Hourly Precipitation Data

Hourly precipitation data in digital form is the primary input to KWM and OPSET. In a very small watershed having its own hourly precipitation gage one can with reasonable safety assume that the gage reading applies uniformly to the entire watershed. This assumption (which is implicit in both programs) departs from reality more and more with increase in watershed size. It has been necessary to implement a method whereby several precipitation records are used to synthesize a single hourly rainfall history for each watershed or subwatershed.

The number of precipitation stations associated with any given watershed may vary from one station located 20 or 30 miles from the watershed centroid to 5 or 6 stations located within or closely adjacent to the watershed boundaries. Typically, a watershed will have one or two hourly stations, and one or more daily stations. In addition to the varying distances of these stations from the centroid, the reading time for the daily stations might be different. It is also quite likely that data will appear from the several gages in both magnetic tape and tabular formats. The latter must be manually extracted from the tables and converted to punched data card format.

The precipitation gage outputs are assigned weighting factors, using the Thiessen technique^{9,13}, in accordance with their physical locations relative to the basin centroid. A software program developed by IBM automatically performs the interpolation and correlation of the precipitation data. This program accepts all precipitation data, the reading time for each daily station, and the weighting factor developed from the

Thiessen Analysis, and produces an hourly precipitation record for the applicable water years associated with a given watershed. This hourly precipitation data record is then used as one of the climatological inputs required by the models.

5.4.1.1.2 Daily Discharge (Streamflow) Data

Daily discharge data is the average volume in cubic feet of water per second that flows past the stream gage during a 24 hour period. This data exists on magnetic tape and/or written tables for all stream gages in the Tennessee Valley. The data format which exists on magnetic tape must be altered to be compatible with the simulation model. Where the data exists in written tables, it is necessary to manually extract that information, convert to punched card format, and develop a listing compatible with model requirements.

5.4.1.1.3 Flood Hydrographs

For operation of the OPSET program it is necessary to select up to five flood hydrographs for each of the years for which the model is to be calibrated. This requires a manual search of precipitation and discharge records to select storms useful to the calibration. The digitized input data include the number of hydrographs chosen and three parameters related to each hydrograph: day of occurrence of the flood peak, hour of occurrence of the flood peak, and flow rate at the peak. These hydrographs parameters are essential for the OPSET program to determine watershed model routing parameters, so that total flows will represent accurate predictions, with respect to the time of occurrence of hydrograph peaks as well as the total volume of flow for a given period of time. In practice the selected storm hydrograph parameters are not available in daily discharge records. It is necessary to obtain them from the strip charts produced by the stream gage recorders. Rating tables are also digitized and stored for conversion of gage height readings into flow rate.

The procedure employed to obtain this data requires manual analysis of each strip chart and manual recording of the rise and fall of the stream gage on an hourly basis. The time frame should extend from midnight of the day in which the storm occurred until some time at which the stream height returns to or approaches its initial stage. This hourly height recording is then formatted for entry into the computer where a subroutine will fetch the appropriate rating table into memory and convert the data to cubic feet per second. This flood hydrograph data is then in a usable form when required by the simulation model.

5.4.1.1.4 Evaporation Data

Evaporation data appear in Climatological Data publications of the National Weather Service. Unfortunately, the number of pan evaporation stations is too limited to provide complete coverage. The nearest evaporation station may be as much as 100 miles from the watershed. Additionally, the station may be associated with a large lake or reservoir

which has evaporation rates different from those of an interior watershed in a predominately mountainous region. Preparation of the evaporation data is similar to that for daily discharge data in that the rates and pan evaporation coefficients are read from published tables, punched onto cards, and a computer-compatible listing generated for the identified watershed.

The nearest evaporation pan may be too far away for the daily weather-related fluctuations in evaporation totals to be indicative of conditions over the watershed. In that case, or if one simply wishes to avoid having to compile daily evaporation totals, pan evaporation totals may be read as average values over fixed ten-day periods. The model has been programmed to adjust the potential evaporation total during rainy days (rainfall equal to or greater than 0.01 inch) to half what it would be if no rain occurred.

A second alternative is available, useful where a large number of watersheds are to be modeled in an area where a single evaporation pan is used. In this case (as in the regional watershed model), estimates of the potential average annual lake evaporation and the mean annual number of rainy days may be used. A control option causes a special program to calculate measurable rainfall.

5.4.1.1.5 Temperature Data

Minimum and maximum temperature readings are required for each day of the water year, if the snowmelt routine is used. Since air temperatures vary over a watershed, recorded temperatures, preferably from a station within the basin, are adjusted by the main simulation program to mean basin elevation. The temperature data are published by the National Weather Service.

5.4.1.1.6 Snowmelt Data Arrays

If snow and snowmelt are important processes in the watershed modeled, the snowmelt subroutine is used, and the following data arrays are required.

- FIRR - The fraction of incoming radiation reflected by a snow surface as a function of age. This array of 15 values is used to adjust snowmelt rates as snow surface albedo changes with age.
- RICY - Radiation incidence over the calendar year. The RICY data array is an array of 37 values each representing an adjustment factor to the snowmelt rate for each 10-day period during the calendar year. In the snowmelt model of Anderson and Crawford,³ which was used in this study, snowmelt is calculated on the basis of a degree day heat input to the snow pack.
- DPSE - Dated potential snow evaporation. In the Stanford Snowmelt Model, evapotranspiration and evaporation from the snow surface are considered separately. The DPSE data array is the data source for snow evaporation and represents daily snow evaporation for 10-day periods during the water year. In the calculations, snow evaporation

does not occur if the daily minimum temperature is greater than 32° or if the snowpack total water content is less than the daily potential snow evaporation.

5.4.1.2 Parameters

Proper operation of the simulation model requires selection of some 16 control options and 41 parameters, in addition to the historical data and observed streamflow records (essential for calibration and for comparison of simulation results with observations). The parameters are listed below. The methods of quantification are described elsewhere in the literature^{11, 12, 14}.

Snowmelt Parameters

BDDFSM - Basic degree day factor for snowmelt
SPBFLW - Snowpack basic maximum fraction in liquid water
SPTWCC - Snowpack minimum total water for complete basin cover
SPM - Snow precipitation multiplier
ELDIF - Elevation difference between base temperature station and mean basin elevation
XDNFS - Index density of new fallen snow
FFOR - Fraction of the watershed forested
FFSI - Fraction of snow intercepted
MRNSM - Maximum rate of negative snowmelt (chilling)
DSMGH - Daily snowmelt from ground heat
PXCSA - Precipitation index for changing snow albedo

Watershed Parameters

RGPMB - Recording gage precipitation multiplier
AREA - Area of the watershed
FIMP - Fraction of watershed covered by impermeable surfaces
FWTR - Fraction of watershed covered by water surfaces

Soil Water Parameters

VINTMR - Vegetation interception maximum rate
BUZC - Basic upper zone storage capacity factor
SUZC - Seasonal upper zone storage capacity factor
LZC - Lower zone storage capacity
ETLF - ET loss factor
SUBWF - Subsurface water flow out of the basin
GWETF - Ground water evapotranspiration factor
SIAC - Seasonal infiltration adjustment factor
BMIR - Basic maximum infiltration rate with basin
BIVF - Basic interflow volume factor

Overland Flow and Interflow Parameters

OFSS - Overland flow surface slope
OFSL - Overland flow surface length

OFMN - Manning's n for overland flow
OFMNIS - Manning's n for impervious surface
IPRC - Interflow recession constant

Channel Routing and Groundwater Parameters

CSRX - Channel storage routing index
FSRX - Flood plain storage routing index
CHCAP - Channel capacity indexed to basin outlet
EXQPV - Exponent of flow proportional to velocity
BFNLR - Base flow nonlinear recession adjustment factor
BFRC - Base flow recession constant

Starting Moisture Values as of October 1

GWS - Current groundwater storage
UZS - Current upper zone storage
LZS - Current lower zone storage
BFNX - Current value of base flow recession index
IPS - Current interflow storage

5.4.1.3 Calibration

The calibration process has been summarized previously in Paragraph 5.3.2. The activities normally involved in calibration are shown in Figure 5-7. Data pertaining to the watershed to be calibrated are fed into the OPSET program, which is then run to estimate a set of model parameters. This step gets the task "into the ball park." A simulation is then run using KWM and the IBM analysis/evaluation routines. Simulation accuracy is evaluated with respect to total annual runoff, monthly flow, daily flow, statistical indices, and selected storm hydrograph characteristics. Based on these evaluations, parameters are adjusted, and a new simulation run, followed by another evaluation. This process goes through several iterations until simulated flow matches observed flow with acceptable accuracy in all criteria of interest to the analyst. The choice of parameters to adjust, direction and magnitude of the adjustments depend upon the judgment of the analyst. Sensitivity analyses have produced invaluable guidance to the manual-adjustment activity, reducing the subjectivity and eliminating the requirement that the analyst be skilled in hydrology.

At present, it is necessary to repeat the same calibration for the same same basin for two additional water years and then average parameter values from the three sets of results before undertaking simulations for other water years. This procedure could be improved by modifying OPSET to operate on up to four water years.

The full calibration procedure was not necessary in this study and consequently was not used. All watersheds modeled in the study had previously been modeled. Calibrated parameter values were available for both small watersheds and all the subwatersheds of the regional watershed. It was necessary to verify that the models would run with reasonable




Figure 5-7. Calibration Methodology

accuracy but not to "fine tune" the parameters. Additionally, the watersheds modeled were allowed to be hypothetical but had to be realistic. Several debugging and verification runs were necessary to assure that the snowshed and regional watershed models would run in the IBM system.

Totally accurate hypothetical watershed models were created by (1) selecting the water year for which each model ran best; (2) running each model with its "best set" of parameters and input data from its best water year; (3) naming the synthesized hourly and daily streamflow arrays produced by this run the "reference" streamflow; (4) replacing the observed streamflow data in the data base by the reference streamflow; and (5) verifying that the "reference" configuration of the model (using the reference set of parameters, formerly called the "best set") does indeed simulate the reference streamflow exactly.

5.4.1.4 Integrated Data Base

After reference configurations have been established and reference streamflow generated, the entire data base is transferred to an integrated Master Watershed Data Bank on tape. For efficient operation in performing a series of simulation runs, the integrated watershed data base is transferred to disk storage, as indicated in Figure 5-6.

5.4.2 SIMULATION PROGRAM OUTPUTS

There are a variety of outputs available from the simulation program in the NASA-IBM system. The operator and analyst can choose those which best suit his needs from the following.

- A tabulation of hourly synthesized streamflow, with daily values for the following:
 - peak flow and time of peak
 - snowpack depth
 - snow total moisture density
 - snow albedo index
 - total accumulated negative snowmelt
 - snowpack liquid water content
- A table of monthly annual totals, similar to that shown in Figure 5-8.
- A yearly statistical summary, as shown in Figure 5-9.
- A table of mean daily reference streamflow, with monthly and annual totals.

YEARLY STATISTICAL SUMMARY

	MONTHLY		DAILY	
	REFERENCE	SIMULATED	REFERENCE	SIMULATED
MEAN	9914.90	10085.50	325.06	330.65
MAXIMUM	32757.35	34005.84	5430.90	6017.22
VARIANCE	110596272.00	117735280.00	429042.81	601067.06
STANDARD DEVIATION	10516.48	10850.59	655.01	775.29
SUM OF (REFERENCE - SIMULATED)	-2047.31		-2047.32	
ROOT SUM SQUARE	2630.77		3268.44	
SUM SQUARED	0.39		54.14	
SUM SQUARED (IBM METHOD)	0.31		48.38	
CORRELATION COEFFICIENT	0.9979		0.9855	

Figure 5-8. Example of Yearly Statistical Summary

SUMMARY OF MONTHLY AND ANNUAL TOTALS													
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	ANNUAL
PRECIPITATION	0.100	4.580	3.790	6.320	3.570	11.240	9.140	3.670	3.810	7.010	3.090	1.910	58.230 IN
-VP/TRAN-NET	0.317	1.106	0.412	0.451	1.034	1.584	2.583	3.646	3.082	4.368	3.320	1.810	23.714 IN
-POTENTIAL	2.296	1.155	0.412	0.451	1.034	1.584	2.772	4.753	3.836	5.024	4.545	2.891	30.753 IN
SURFACE RUNOFF	0.000	0.347	0.123	3.431	0.834	5.921	3.314	1.405	0.549	1.337	0.232	0.015	17.510 IN
INTERFLOW	0.0	0.0	0.010	0.306	0.268	0.917	1.017	0.214	0.000	0.043	0.0	0.0	2.774 IN
BASE FLOW	0.000	0.212	0.652	1.490	1.230	2.133	2.346	1.315	0.564	1.063	0.530	0.131	11.666 IN
STREAM EVAP.	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.005	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.028 IN
TOTAL RUNOFF(SIM)	0.000	0.558	0.785	5.227	2.331	8.969	6.674	2.929	1.109	2.438	0.758	0.144	31.922 IN
TOTAL RUNOFF(PEF)	0.0	0.370	0.791	4.798	2.434	8.640	6.683	3.248	1.100	2.260	0.861	0.188	31.382 IN
REFERENCE TOTALS	0.0	1401.6	3000.0	18189.9	9228.8	32757.4	25336.6	12314.6	4170.2	8604.3	3264.1	711.6	118978.8 CFS
SIMULATED TOTALS	0.0	2114.8	2978.0	19815.4	8837.7	34005.8	25302.3	11104.3	4206.3	9244.3	2873.1	544.3	121026.1 CFS
PALANCE	-0.0117 INCHES												
MONTHLY FLOW CORRELATION COEFFICIENT	0.9979												
MEAN DAILY FLOW CORRELATION COEFFICIENT	0.9855												

Figure 5-9. Example of Monthly and Annual Totals

- A table of mean daily simulated streamflow, with monthly and annual totals.
- A table of monthly moisture storages and indices.
- A table of flow duration and error statistics.
- A list of the 20 highest clock hour rainfall events in the water year.
- A list of the 20 highest clock hour overland flow runoff events in the water year.
- A table of daily soil moisture.
- A comparison table of storm events, reference and simulated, with respect to peak flow, time of peak, and runoff, one table per storm event.
- Total daily and monthly statistical summary.
- Print-plots for total year, each month and each storm event.
- Tapes of data for SC-4020 plot outputs for total year, each month and each storm event.

Examples of the outputs are included in Appendices A, B, and C.

5.5 WATERSHED SIMULATION IN THIS STUDY

The system described in the previous paragraphs is directly applicable to the Town Creek and Alamosa Creek watersheds. Application to a regional watershed required additional programming.

In order to analyze a regional watershed and maintain a realistic configuration the watershed must be subdivided into subareas. The Hydrology Office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) has lately developed and proven several subroutines which will synthesize a complete river system from a number of "small" watersheds.⁸ The size of the system that can be simulated is virtually unlimited. During a single pass through the programs, the system size is controlled by the dimensions of the climatological input array, model parameter array and channel inflow (runoff from the land phase) array plus the dimensions of the channel reach parameter and storage array, and the simulated and observed flow array. However, by recycling the program and using downstream outflows from one pass as upstream inflows for the next, a very large river system can be simulated.

A representative stream system is shown in Figure 5-10 to illustrate the flowpoint numbering system used in the programs. In this illustration, flow is being computed at seven points during this pass of the program; there are also two upstream inflows from outside the area. For points where flow is computed, the flowpoint number must be greater than that of the points upstream. Then flow needed as inflows to a local area will have previously been computed, since the program computes flows in sequential order. Since upstream inflows from outside the area have been computed previously, they are assigned numbers at the end of the string and can provide the rule that upstream inflow points must have a flowpoint number less than the downstream flowpoint.

The runoff from the land phase of the hydrologic cycle (channel inflow) is computed independently from the channel system. To unite the two systems, it is necessary to assign to each channel reach the area or area from which it is to receive channel inflow.




Figure 5-10. Flowpoint Numbering for River System Simulation

Examples of simulation run results for the Town Creek, Alamosa Creek and Pearl River watersheds are shown in Appendices A, B, and C, respectively.

5.6 REFERENCES, SECTION 5

1. Crawford, Normal H., and Linsley, R. K. Digital Simulation in Hydrology: Stanford Watershed Model IV. Stanford, California: Stanford University, Department of Civil Engineering, Technical Report No. 39, July 1966.
2. Hydrocomp International. "HSP Operations Manual," Palo Alto, California, 1969.
3. Anderson, E. A., and Crawford, N. H. The Synthesis of Continuous Snowmelt Hydrographs on a Digital Computer. Stanford, California: Stanford University, Department of Civil Engineering, Technical Report No. 36, 1964.
4. Hydrocomp International. "Simulation of Continuous Discharge and Stage Hydrographs in the North Branch of the Chicago River," Palo Alto, California, 1970.
5. James L. Douglas. Economic Analysis of Alternative Flood Control Measures. Lexington: University of Kentucky, Water Resources Institute, Research Report No. 16, 1968.
6. Ligon, James T., Law, Albert G., and Higgins, Donald H. Evaluation and Application of a Digital Hydrologic Simulation Model. Clemson, South Carolina: Clemson University, Water Resources Research Institute, Report No. 12, November 1969.
7. Lumb, Alan Mark. Hydrologic Effects of Rainfall Augmentation. Stanford, California: Stanford University, Department of Civil Engineering, Technical Report No. 116, November 1969.
8. Hydrologic Research Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Weather Service, United States Department of Commerce. National Weather Service River Forecast System Forecast Procedures, NOAA TM NWS-HYDRO-14, Washington, D. C., December 1972.
9. Linsley, Ray K., Kohler, Max A., and Paulhus, Joseph L. H. Hydrology for Engineers. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1958.
10. James, L. Douglas. An Evaluation of Relationships between Streamflow Patterns and Watershed Characteristics through the Use of OPSET: A Self-Calibrating Version of the Stanford Watershed Model. Lexington: University of Kentucky, Water Resources Institute, Research Report No. 36, 1970.

11. Liou, Ernest Y. OPSET: Program for Computerized Selection of Watershed Parameter Values for the Stanford Watershed Model. Lexington: University of Kentucky, Water Resources Institute, Research Report No. 34, 1970.
12. Ross, Glendon A. The Stanford Watershed Model: The Correlation of Parameter Values Selected by a Computerized Procedure with Measurable Physical Characteristics of the Watershed. Lexington: University of Kentucky Water Resources Institute, Research Report No. 35, 1970
13. Chow, Ven Te (ed.). Handbook of Applied Hydrology. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1964.
14. Striffler, W. D., User Manual for the Colorado State University Version of the Kentucky Watershed Model, Colorado State University, September 1973.

SECTION 6

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

6.1 METHODOLOGY

The inputs to the Sensitivity Analysis Task are a set of watershed parameters, climatological data, and a "reference" streamflow record from the modeling task. Sensitivity Analysis consists of (1) changing a model parameter or input, (2) running the simulation model and printing out the performance indices which indicate the deviations between the reference record and the simulation output, (3) evaluating the results and then repeating the steps after selecting another input perturbation.

The total number of simulation runs was 442: 166 for Town Creek, 137 for Alamosa Creek, and 139 for Pearl River. In the case of the regional watershed model, a printout was produced for every one of the 12 subwatersheds. The study team analyzed a total of 1971 printouts and an uncounted number of plots. Each one of 46 different inputs and parameters was tested at from two to ten different perturbed values. Not all were tested on all watersheds; the snowmelt parameters, for example, do not apply to the Town Creek and Pearl River models.

6.1.1 PERFORMANCE INDICES

The performance of a watershed simulation model may be judged differently by different potential users, each with a particular application in mind. One may be interested in the effect of a parameter variation on low flow, another on total annual flow, a third on magnitude and timing of hydrograph peaks and total runoff resulting from storm events in a particular season. Varying a particular parameter may have a pronounced effect on some of these indices and not on others. It was therefore deemed advisable in the sensitivity analysis task to provide in the tabular summary outputs indicators of the following:

- Storm runoff and percent variation from reference runoff for a selected storm event in each season, for each headwater SWS of the regional watershed and the two small watersheds.
- Monthly runoff for October, January, April and August and percent variation from reference for each of those months for the regional watershed.
- Variation from reference low flow.
- Variation from reference annual flow.

6.1.2 SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS TABULATIONS

Unit sensitivity is defined as (percent change in performance index) ÷ (percent change in parameter). It was adopted to provide a basis for comparison of the sensitivities of the models to variations in its several different parameters. It departs from corresponding concepts in perturbation theory because many of the percentage perturbations used in the study are large, and the sensitivity curves are non-linear. The concept served well as an initial indicator of the relative influences of the parameters.

Each simulation run was assigned an identification number, and its results were entered into two tables, examples of which are shown in Tables 6-1 and 6-2. In table Type I, one for each of eight watersheds, the effects of all perturbations of a given parameter in one basin model are summarized. In table Type II, the effects of a single parameter perturbation in eight basin models are shown. Tables have been prepared for 26 parameters and are included in this volume under heading 6.3.

6.1.3 SENSITIVITY PLOTS

The numerical results, in terms of unit sensitivities, showed considerable variation from one performance index to another within a given basin as well as from one basin to another for a given performance index; the former are largely seasonal effects. A better assessment of results was achieved by constructing sensitivity plots like those appearing in Figure 6-1. In each of them, the abscissa scale is the percentage variation in the input parameter, and the ordinate scale is the percentage variation in performance indices (runoff). Plots have been prepared in several combinations for 26 parameters and are included in this volume under heading 6.4.

6.2 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Of all the parameters and inputs tested and analyzed in the study, 26 are listed in Table 6-3, which shows the permissible tolerances found for each parameter, the effect of its variation on runoff, its relation to watershed geomorphology, how it can be determined from remote-sensed data or other data source, and the image resolution corresponding to the permissible tolerance, if applicable.

The parameters and inputs tested but not listed in Table 6-3 were found not to produce a meaningful result when varied or to be of negligible effect. An example of the first is the area of the watershed itself. Changing the area by a given factor simply changed the runoff by the same factor for all basins in all seasons. An example of the second (no effect) is the overland flow roughness coefficient (Manning's "n") for impervious surfaces, designated OFMNIS. The range of values it is normally assigned is from 0.013 to 0.017, and varying it from 0.001 (-93%) to 0.5 (+3233%) in the regional watershed model produced negligible change in simulated runoff. This is logical, because in every watershed modeled, the portion of basin area covered by impervious surfaces is less than 10% (as it would be expected

TABLE 6-1

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF FIMP (0.10 REF.)
 SMALL WATERSHED 365 SQ. KM.

ANNUAL R/F = 58.23 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 24.24 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 31.38 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/27/64 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				11/4/63 FALL	1/23/64 WINTER	5/1/64 SPRING	8/14/64 SUMMER		
S000	0.002	-100	Δ% OF R/O	-60.9	-4.2	-4.4	-39.5	+3.95	-7.15
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.6 SIM = 7.9	STORM U/S F + 0.609 W + 0.042 SP + 0.044 SU + 0.395
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.46	2.41	2.03	0.43		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.18	2.31	1.94	0.26		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	6.80	1.32	1.41	5.02		
D010	0.05	-50	Δ% OF R/O	-32.6	-2.1	-2.5	—	—	—
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.6 SIM = —	STORM U/S F + 0.652 W + 0.042 SP + 0.050 SU —
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.46	2.41	2.03	0.43		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.31	2.36	1.98	—		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	6.80	1.32	1.41	5.02		
S012	0.20	+100	Δ% OF R/O	+63.0	+4.6	+4.9	+41.9	-1.06	+7.31
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.6 SIM = 7.2	STORM U/S F + 0.630 W + 0.046 SP + 0.049 SU + 0.419
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.46	2.41	2.03	0.43		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.75	2.52	2.13	0.61		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	6.80	1.32	1.41	5.02		
D013	0.30	+200	Δ% OF R/O	+126.1	+8.7	+9.9	—	—	—
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.6 SIM = —	STORM U/S F + 0.631 W + 0.044 SP + 0.050 SU —
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.46	2.41	2.03	0.43		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	1.04	2.62	2.23	—		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	6.80	1.32	1.41	5.02		


Table 6-2. Example of Sensitivity Analysis Tabulation, Table Type II

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS**

FIMP -100% PERTURBATION (0.10 REFERENCE)

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID S000	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	-60.9	-4.2	-4.4	-39.5	+3.95	-7.15
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.6 SIM = 7.9	STORM U/S F +0.609 W +0.042 SP +0.044 SU +0.395
			REF. R/O	0.46	2.41	2.03	0.43		
			PERT. R/O	0.18	2.31	1.94	0.26		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	6.80	1.32	1.41	5.02		
RUN ID 01	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	-63.9	-35.7	-19.1	-72.3	0.0	-13.9
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F +0.636 W +0.357 SP +0.191 SU +0.723
			REF. R/O	0.077	0.058	1.106	0.124		
			PERT. R/O	0.028	0.037	0.895	0.034		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	38.83	—	1.61	8.79		
RUN ID RW06	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	-64.7	-4.9	-13.0	-70.0	-53.8	-16.0
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -44.1	JAN -5.7	APR -7.8	AUG -67.2	9/15/68 REF = 1340 SIM = 619	STORM U/S F +0.647 W +0.049 SP +0.130 SU +0.700
			REF. R/O	0.17	1.02	0.54	0.10		
			PERT. R/O	0.06	0.97	0.47	0.03		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.247	3.785	2.743	0.479		
RUN ID RW06 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	-83.3	-8.0	-20.4	-79.2	+3.0	-15.0
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 33 SIM = 34	STORM U/S F +0.833 W +0.080 SP +0.204 SU +0.792
			REF. R/O	0.24	1.74	0.93	0.24		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.60	0.74	0.05		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.10	3.75	11.6		
RUN ID RW06 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	-85.7	-4.4	-18.0	-75.9	+4.6	-12.5
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 22 SIM = 23	STORM U/S F +0.857 W +0.044 SP +0.180 SU +0.758
			REF. R/O	0.28	2.25	0.61	0.29		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	2.15	0.50	0.07		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	8.96	1.38	2.79	7.62		
RUN ID RW06 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	-90.0	-2.6	-12.0	-90.5	+25	-9.9
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 5	STORM U/S F +0.900 W +0.026 SP +0.120 SU +0.905
			REF. R/O	0.10	1.94	1.00	0.21		
			PERT. R/O	0.01	1.89	0.88	0.02		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	10.8	1.05	1.82	10.57		
RUN ID RW06 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	-82.4	-8.8	-16.4	-72.7	+2.6	-13.8
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 39 SIM = 40	STORM U/S F +0.824 W +0.088 SP +0.164 SU +0.727
			REF. R/O	0.17	1.70	0.73	0.44		
			PERT. R/O	0.03	1.55	0.61	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.29	1.62	2.63	8.70		
RUN ID RW06 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	-81.1	-8.9	-20.6	-75.0	0.0	-17.1
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.36	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F +0.811 W +0.089 SP +0.206 SU +0.750
			REF. R/O	0.18	0.79	0.63	0.04		
			PERT. R/O	0.07	0.72	0.50	0.01		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	7.50	1.73	3.03	12.50		

OVERLAND FLOW SURFACE LENGTH STUDY SMALL WATERSHED



FORESTED AREA STUDY SMALL WATERSHED




Figure 6-1. Examples of Sensitivity Plots

Table 6-3. Permissible Tolerances and Resolutions

INPUT OR PARAMETER	PERMISSIBLE TOLERANCES %	EFFECT ON SIMULATED RUNOFF, %	RELATIONSHIP TO WATERSHED GEO-MORPHOLOGY	DERIVATION FROM REMOTE-SENSED DATA OR OTHER SOURCE	REQUIRED IMAGE RESOLUTION,M
IMPERVIOUS PORTION OF BASIN AREA	±1.4 OF BASIN AREA	±10 (FALL)	ROCK OUTCROP—PINGS, STREETS, HIGHWAYS, URBAN AREAS	IMAGE ANALYSIS; LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	100
WATER SURFACE PORTION OF BASIN AREA	+1.5, -1.6 OF BASIN AREA	±10 (FALL)	LAKES, PONDS, RIVERS	IMAGE ANALYSIS; LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	120
VEGETATIVE INTERCEPTION MAXIMUM RATE (VINTMR)	+ 95 -60	-5 + 5 (SUMMER)	TYPE & DENSITY OF VEGETATIVE COVER, TREES, MEADOWS, ETC.	IMAGE DATA CLASSIFICATION AND INTERPRETATION	200
UPPER ZONE STORAGE CAPACITY (BUZC)	+ 50 -50	-2.5 + 2.5 (SUMMER)	SOIL PERMEABILITY, OVERLAND SLOPES, FOREST COVER	INFERENCE FROM LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	500
SEASONAL FACTOR UPPER ZONE CAPACITY (SUZC)	+ 70 -30	-20 +20 (SUMMER)	SOIL PERMEABILITY, VEGETATIVE COVER	INFERENCE FROM LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	100
LOWER ZONE STORAGE CAPACITY (LZC)	+ 14 -15	-10 +10 (WINTER)	SOIL ASSOCIATION, VEGETATIVE TYPES AND COVERAGE DENSITY	INFERENCE FROM LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	100
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION LOSS FACTOR (ETLF)	+ 15 -15	-10 +10 (SUMMER)	VEGETATIVE COVER, TYPE & DENSITY: ESPECIALLY FOREST	IMAGE ANALYSIS; LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	100
SEASONAL INFILTRATION ADJUSTMENT FACTOR (SIAC)	+ 20 -22	-1 +1 (SUMMER)	VEGETATIVE COVER, SOIL ASSOCIATION	INFERENCE FROM LAND USE CLASSIFICATION (DOUBTFUL; CALIBRATION NEEDED)	300
BASIC MAXIMUM INFILTRATION RATE (BMR)	+ 35 -28	-5 +5 (WINTER)	SOIL PERMEABILITY, VEGETATIVE TYPE AND DENSITY	INFERENCE FROM LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	150
MEAN OVERLAND SURFACE SLOPE (OFSS)	+200 -87	+0.5 -0.5 (WINTER)	TOPOGRAPHY	DIRECT MEASUREMENT IF RELATIVE ELEVATION IS AVAILABLE	100 HORIZONTAL, 20 VERTICAL
MEAN OVERLAND SURFACE LENGTH (OFSL)	+ 40 -35	-0.3 +0.3 (WINTER)	AVERAGE DISTANCE FROM RANDOMLY SELECTED POINTS TO NEAREST STREAMS	DIRECT MEASUREMENT IF STREAM-LINES ARE DISCERNABLE	500
OVERLAND FLOW ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENT (OFMN)	+ 80 -50	-0.5 + 0.5 (WINTER)	SURFACE TYPE; FOREST AND VEGETATIVE COVER	IMAGE ANALYSIS; LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	500
PRECIPITATION MULTIPLIER (RGPMB)	+ 3 -3	+ 10 -10 (FALL)	ADJUSTS FOR BIAS IN PRECIPITATION GAGE DATA; NOMINAL VALUE IS 1.0	ADJUST FIELD INSTRUMENT READINGS FOR BETTER SIMULATION	+3% IN PRECIP. MEASURE (BIAS)

Table 6-3. Permissible Tolerances and Resolutions (Continued)

INPUT OR PARAMETER	PERMISSIBLE TOLERANCES %	EFFECT ON SIMULATED RUNOFF, %	RELATIONSHIP TO WATERSHED GEOMORPHOLOGY	DERIVATION FROM REMOTE-SENSED DATA OR OTHER	REQUIRED IMAGE RESOLUTION,M
EVAPORATION DATA (EPAET)	+5 -4.5	-10 +10 (SUMMER)	POTENTIAL AVERAGE ANNUAL LAKE EVAPORATION	FIELD INSTRUMENTS AND/OR CALCULATION FROM CLIMATE DATA	±4.5%
MEAN NUMBER OF RAINY DAYS (MNRD)	+11.5 -10	-5 +5	CLIMATOLOGICAL STATISTICS	CLIMATOLOGICAL STATISTICS	±10%
(THE REMAINING ENTRIES IN THIS CHART PERTAIN TO THE SNOWSHED MODEL ONLY.)					
PRECIPITATION (PERTURBED ONLY DURING STORMS)	+11 -11	+5 -5	ERRORS IN PRECIPITATION INPUT	FIELD INSTRUMENTS	±11% IN PRECIP. MEASURE (RANDOM)
EVAPORATION (PERTURBED ONLY DURING STORMS)	+20 -20	-5 +5	ERRORS IN EVAPORATION DATA	FIELD INSTRUMENTS AND/OR CALCULATION FROM CLIMATE DATA	±20%
TEMPERATURE (PERTURBED ONLY DURING STORMS)	+2.3 -4.0	+20 -20	ERRORS IN TEMPERATURE DATA	FIELD INSTRUMENTS OR FUTURE REMOTE RADIOMETRY	N/A
FRACTION OF INCOMING RADIATION REFLECTED BY SNOW (FIRRI)	+15 -12	-20 +20	SNOW SURFACE ALBEDO; INDEPENDENT OF GEOMORPHOLOGY	CALCULATION IN THE MODEL FROM SNOW SURFACE AGE; RADIOMETRY IN FUTURE	N/A
BASIC DEGREE DAY FACTOR FOR SNOWMELT (BDDFSM)	+3.6 -1.6	+20 -20	MATHEMATICAL CONSTRUCT; NO RELATION TO WATERSHED GEOMORPHOLOGY	SIMULATION MODEL CALIBRATION; NO REMOTE SENSING APPLICATION	N/A
SNOWPACK BASIC MAXIMUM FRACTION IN LIQUID WATER (SPBFLW)	+16 -13.5	-10 +10	SNOW PHYSICS; NO RELATION TO WATERSHED GEOMORPHOLOGY	SIMULATION MODEL CALIBRATION; NO REMOTE SENSING APPLICATION	N/A
SNOWPACK MINIMUM TOTAL WATER CONTENT (SPTWCC)	+0 -32.5	-1 +1	SNOW PHYSICS; NO RELATION TO WATERSHED GEOMORPHOLOGY	SIMULATION MODEL CALIBRATION; SOME FUTURE REMOTE SENSING APPLICATION	N/A
ELEVATION DIFFERENCE BETWEEN BASE THEROMETER AND MEAN BASIN ELEVATION (ELDIF)	+20 -12.5	-28 +28	BASIN TOPOGRAPHY	DIRECT MEASUREMENT IF RELATIVE ELEVATIONS ARE AVAILABLE	30 VERTICAL
FRACTION OF SNOW INTERCEPTED (FFSI)	+25 -25	+10 -10	TYPE AND DENSITY OF FOREST	IMAGE DATA CLASSIFICATION AND INTERPRETATION	500
FRACTION OF SNOW INTERCEPTED (FFSI)	+25 -21	+2 -2	TYPE AND DENSITY OF FOREST	IMAGE DATA CLASSIFICATION AND INTERPRETATION	200
PRECIP. INDEX FOR CHANGING SNOW ALBEDO (PXCSA)	+50 -11	+2.9 -10	SNOW PHYSICS; NO RELATION TO WATERSHED GEOMORPHOLOGY	SIMULATION MODEL CALIBRATION; RADIOMETRY IN FUTURE	N/A

of nearly any basin except small, highly urbanized ones). This small value of impervious area prevented varying OFMNIS from having any effect.

Several remarks on the information contained in Table 6-3 are in order, and they appear in the following paragraphs.

The permissible tolerances shown in the second column were generally estimated from plots such as the one shown in Figure 6-1. Some judgments and compromises were necessary because of nonlinearities in many of the response curves. The same is true to a greater extent with respect to the effect on simulated runoff appearing in the second column. The image resolutions estimated in the sixth column are believed somewhat conservative, more stringent than actually may be required, pending further study. Most of them depend upon the basin size; if one is interested in observing and simulating watersheds of areas not less than 50 square kilometers, the image resolutions can be relaxed considerably. Another consideration which enters into the estimation of required image resolution is the likelihood that parameters of interest (such as the impervious fraction of basin area) may consist of scattered small areas rather than be concentrated into a single larger one, the latter condition requiring less stringent resolutions.

The comments in the fifth column on the derivation of parameters from remote sensing should generally be regarded as optimistic. Although many of the derivations indicated are feasible, considerable maturing of several image analyses and interpretation techniques will be needed to make the applications operational. The applications are presently practical for quasi-permanent features such as land use and vegetation, but optimistic with respect to inferences about soil characteristics and subsurface conditions.

In the early days of the sensitivity analysis, some problems were encountered with respect to the impervious portion of the basin area (FIMP) and water surface portion of basin area (FWTR). In all the basins used in the study these parameters were of such small value that very large percentage variations in them caused very small variations in simulation model outputs. Because they are both excellent parameters for determination from remote-sensed data, special reference simulation runs were made with each of them separately set to .10 (that is 10% of the total basin area). Sensitivity analysis runs were then made based on departures in FIMP and FWTR from these special reference values, in order to get a more meaningful assessment of their effects on simulation model operation.

In the operation of a simulation model, it is necessary to assume that the measured precipitation inputs accurately represent the actual precipitation over the basin, even though the operator is morally certain that this is not the case. In order to test the effects of errors in precipitation input, several runs were made in which the effect of changing precipitation was achieved by assigning values other 1.0 to the recording gage precipitation multiplier (RGPMB). The unit sensitivities resulting from the simulation runs were much greater than unity. It was concluded that varying RGPMB is

equivalent to introducing biases in the precipitation inputs throughout the year, rather than introducing random errors as would normally be expected in the rain gage network. The effect is one of accumulating errors in soil moisture throughout the water year simulated, causing the errors in simulation output to be greater in percentage than the perturbations in RGPMB. It would be interesting, in a refinement of the study, to test the effect of introducing errors in the precipitation inputs in accordance with some probability density function. A very small step in this direction was taken in the sensitivity analysis involving the Alamosa Creek basin, for which precipitation input was perturbed only during storm events, and left at the reference value for the rest of the year. The results of this experiment appeared to be more reasonable.

6.3 DETAILED SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS RESULTS

The tabulated and plotted sensitivity analysis results are presented in the remaining pages of this section for each of 26 parameters. The set of watershed characteristics of principal interest and their reference values are presented in Table 6-4. Each set of tables and curves related to a parameter is preceded by a brief discussion of the definition of the parameter, how it is normally derived, and its effect on simulation model performance.

6.3.1 FIMP, IMPERVIOUS FRACTION OF WATERSHED AREA

FIMP is the fraction of total watershed surface which is impervious and contributes its runoff directly into channel flow. For most rural and mountain watersheds this factor will be near zero unless there are large areas of rock outcrops adjacent to stream channels. Runoff from scattered impervious areas usually flows onto a pervious area as overland flow; such areas should not be included as a portion of FIMP. Precipitation minus interception is multiplied by the impervious area fraction to determine the impervious area contribution to streamflow.

The impervious area is directly obtainable from remote sensing by land-use classification after the watershed boundary has been established.

Sensitivity analysis results (Tables 6-5 through 6-13) indicate that the FIMP parameter is most influential during fall and summer when soil is relatively dry. FIMP is most influential in light rains with dry soil conditions, and least influential during winter season.

The unit sensitivity on the average is 0.74 for fall and summer seasons, and 0.10 for winter and spring seasons. This average is based on six head water basins and the regional watershed.

Plotted $\Delta\%$ runoff of fall storms (Figure 6-2) indicate a variation in -14% of FIMP results in -10% of runoff which corresponds to a unit sensitivity of 0.71 for fall season. Figures 6-3 through 6-6 show results for four watersheds.

Table 6-4. Principal Watershed Characteristics

Watershed				Soil Moisture Parameters								Channel Routing and Ground Water Parameters				Overland Flow Parameters				
NO.	NAME	AREA	FIMP	FWTR	BMIR	LZC	ETLF	SUZC	SIAC	BUZC	BIVF	CXRX	FSRX	BFRC	BFNLR	OFSL	OFSS	OFMN	IFRC	OFMNIS
PEARL RIVER, MISS. SWS 1	898	.004	.005	10.0	6.0	.20	.40	.60	.60	.60	.96	.96	.96	.80	4974	.019	.056	.30	.015	
	449	.076	.002	10.0	6.0	.20	.40	.60	.60	.60	.96	.96	.96	.80	5238	.021	.081	.30	.015	
	314	.022	.001	10.0	6.0	.20	.40	.60	.60	.80	.94	.94	.96	.80	3415	.028	.070	.30	.015	
	170	.007	.001	8.0	5.0	.20	.40	.60	.60	.80	.94	.94	.96	.80	4505	.018	.048	.30	.015	
	411	.033	.002	10.0	6.0	.20	.40	.60	.60	.80	.96	.96	.96	.80	4692	.020	.068	.30	.015	
	858	.025	.070	10.0	6.0	.20	.40	.60	.60	.80	.96	.96	.96	.80	7754	.012	.076	.30	.015	
	429	.002	.001	12.0	7.0	.20	.40	.60	.60	.80	.96	.96	.96	.80	6915	.015	.065	.30	.015	
	1511	.001	.005	8.0	5.0	.20	.40	.60	.60	.80	.96	.96	.96	.80	6914	.017	.066	.30	.015	
	650	.031	.003	8.0	5.0	.20	.30	.60	.60	.80	.96	.96	.98	.80	6558	.024	.063	.40	.015	
	940	.069	.002	10.0	6.0	.20	.30	.60	.60	.80	.96	.96	.96	.85	19169	.011	.063	.40	.015	
	985	.069	.002	8.0	6.0	.20	.40	.40	.60	.60	.96	.96	.96	.80	5921	.0212	.073	.30	.015	
	975	.069	.080	12.0	7.0	.20	.40	.60	.60	.60	.96	.96	.96	.80	6914	.017	.066	.416	.015	
TOWN CREEK,ALA.	141	.002	.001	7.0	4.0	.20	.20	.25	.20	.50	.94	.94	.93	.85	1550	.062	.05	.184	.014	
ALAMOSA CREEK, COLO.	107	.01	.006	20.0	6.0	.30	1.5	.42	1.0	2.9	.91	.94	.95	.90	1000	.34	.35	.60	.025	

TABLE 6-5

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF FIMP (0.10 REF.)
 SMALL WATERSHED 365 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 58.23 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 24.24 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 31.38 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/27/64 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				11/4/63 FALL	1/23/64 WINTER	5/1/64 SPRING	8/14/64 SUMMER		
S000	0.002	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-80.9	-4.2	-4.4	-39.5	+3.95	-7.15
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.6 SIM = 7.9	STORM U/S F + 0.609 W + 0.042 SP + 0.044 SU + 0.395
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.46	2.41	2.03	0.43		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.18	2.31	1.94	0.26		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	6.80	1.32	1.41	5.02		
D010	0.05	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-32.6	-2.1	-2.5	-----	-----	-----
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.6 SIM = ---	STORM U/S F + 0.652 W + 0.042 SP + 0.050 SU -----
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.46	2.41	2.03	0.43		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.31	2.36	1.98	-----		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	6.80	1.32	1.41	5.02		
S012	0.20	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+63.0	+4.6	+4.9	+41.9	-1.06	+7.31
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.6 SIM = 7.2	STORM U/S F + 0.630 W + 0.046 SP + 0.049 SU + 0.419
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.46	2.41	2.03	0.43		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.75	2.52	2.13	0.61		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	6.80	1.32	1.41	5.02		
D013	0.30	+200	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+126.1	+8.7	+9.9	-----	-----	-----
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.6 SIM = ---	STORM U/S F + 0.631 W + 0.044 SP + 0.050 SU -----
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.46	2.41	2.03	0.43		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	1.04	2.62	2.23	-----		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	6.80	1.32	1.41	5.02		

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF FIMP (0.10 REF.)
 SNOW WATERSHED 277 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-5A

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN-ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
01	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	-63.6	-35.7	-19.1	-72.3	0.0 REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	-13.9 STORM U/S F + 0.636 W + 0.357 SP + 0.191 SU + 0.723
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09		
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.077	0.058	1.106	0.124		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.028	0.037	0.895	0.034		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	38.83	—	1.61	8.79		
02	0.05	-50	Δ% OF R/O	-31.8	-17.9	-9.5	-36.2	0.0 REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	-7.0 STORM U/S F + 0.636 W + 0.358 SP + 0.190 SU + 0.724
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09		
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.077	0.058	1.106	0.124		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.052	0.048	1.0	0.079		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	38.83	—	1.61	8.79		
04	0.15	+50	Δ% OF R/O	+31.9	+18.3	+9.4	+36.2	+3.3 REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.1	+7.0 STORM U/S F + 0.638 W + 0.366 SP + 0.188 SU + 0.724
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09		
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.077	0.058	1.106	0.124		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.101	0.069	1.210	0.169		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	38.83	—	1.61	8.79		
05	0.20	+100	Δ% OF R/O	+63.8	+36.7	+18.8	+72.7	+6.7 REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.2	+14.1 STORM U/S F + 0.638 W + 0.367 SP + 0.188 SU + 0.727
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09		
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.077	0.058	1.106	0.124		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.126	0.079	1.313	0.214		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	38.83	—	1.61	8.79		

TABLE 6-6

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF FIMP (0.10 REF.)
 REGIONAL WATERSHED 22,248 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 41.89 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 32.90 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 15.41 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/15/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/21/68 WINTER	5/9/68 SPRING	8/11/68 SUMMER		
06	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	-64.7	-4.8	-13.0	-70.0	-53.8	-16
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -44.1	JAN -6.7	APR -7.8	AUG -67.2		STORM U/S F +0.647
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.17	1.02	0.54	0.10		REF = 1340 SIM = 619 W +0.049 SP +0.130
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.06	0.87	0.47	0.03		SU +0.700
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.247	3.785	2.743	0.479		
07	0.05	-50	Δ% OF R/O	-35.3	-2.8	-5.6	-30.0	-27.3	-8.0
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 22.3	JAN -2.8	APR -3.9	AUG -34		STORM U/S F +0.706
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.17	1.02	0.54	0.10		REF = 1340 SIM = 974 W +0.058
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.11	0.99	0.51	0.07		SP +0.112
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.247	3.785	2.743	0.479		SU +0.600
09	0.20	+100	Δ% OF R/O	64.7	5.9	11.1	80.0	+55.5	+16.0
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +44.1	JAN +5.6	APR +7.8	AUG +69.7		STORM U/S F +0.647
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.17	1.02	0.54	0.10		W +0.059
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.28	1.08	0.60	0.18		SP +0.111
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)						SU +0.800
10	0.30	+200	Δ% OF R/O	+129.4	+10.8	+22.2	+150.0	+112.9	+32.2
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +88.7	JAN +11.3	APR +15.6	AUG +140.5		STORM U/S F +0.647
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.17	1.02	0.54	0.10		W +0.540
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.39	1.13	0.66	0.25		SP +0.111
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)						SU +0.750

TABLE 8-7

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF FIMP (0.10 REF.)
 SUBWATERSHED NO. 1 2,326 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 59.30 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 40.29 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 19.63 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/25/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/18/68 SUMMER		
06	0.0	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-83.3	-8.0	-20.4	-79.2	+3.0	- 15.0
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 33 SIM = 34	STORM U/S F + 0.833 W + 0.080 SP + 0.204 SU + 0.792
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.24	1.74	0.93	0.24		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.60	0.74	0.05		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.10	3.75	11.6		
07	0.05	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-41.7	-4.0	-9.7	-37.5	0.0	- 7.5
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 33 SIM = 33	STORM U/S F + 0.834 W + 0.080 SP + 0.194 SU + 0.750
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.24	1.74	0.93	0.24		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.14	1.67	0.84	0.15		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.10	3.75	11.6		
09	0.20	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+83.3	+7.5	+20.4	+79.2	0.0	+ 15.0
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 33 SIM = 33	STORM U/S F + 0.833 W + 0.075 SP + 0.204 SU + 0.792
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.24	1.74	0.93	0.24		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.44	1.87	1.12	0.43		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.10	3.75	11.6		
10	0.30	+200	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+166.7	+14.9	+40.9	+162.5	+9.1	+ 30.1
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 33 SIM = 36	STORM U/S F + 0.834 W + 0.750 SP + 0.205 SU + 0.813
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.24	1.74	0.93	0.24		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.64	2.00	1.31	0.63		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.10	3.75	11.6		

TABLE 6-8

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF FIMP (0.10 REF.)
 SUBWATERSHED NO. 3 813 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 63.88 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 37.42 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 26.14 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/2/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	7/31/68 SUMMER		
06	0.0	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-85.7	-4.4	-18.0	-75.9	+4.6	-12.5
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 22 SIM = 23	STORM U/S F +0.857 W +0.044 SP +0.180 SU +0.759
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.28	2.25	0.61	0.29		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	2.15	0.50	0.07		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	8.96	1.38	2.79	7.62		
07	0.05	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-42.9	-2.2	-9.8	-37.9	+4.6	-6.2
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 22 SIM = 23	STORM U/S F +0.858 W +0.044 SP +0.196 WU +0.758
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.28	2.25	0.61	0.29		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.16	2.20	0.55	0.18		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	8.96	1.38	2.79	7.62		
09	0.20	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+82.1	+4.44	+19.7	+75.9	-9.1	+12.5
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 22 SIM = 20	STORM U/S F +0.821 W +0.044 SP +0.197 SU +0.759
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.28	2.25	0.61	0.29		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.51	2.35	0.73	0.51		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	8.96	1.38	2.79	7.62		
10	0.30	+200	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	167.9	+8.9	+37.7	+155.2	-13.7	+25.0
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 22 SIM = 19	STORM U/S F +0.840 W +0.045 SP +0.189 SU +0.776
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.28	2.25	0.61	0.29		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.75	2.45	0.84	0.74		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	8.96	1.38	2.79	7.62		

TABLE 8-9
SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF FIMP (0.10 REF.)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 5 1,064 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 48.24 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 27.87 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 22.36 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/29/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	8/13/68 SUMMER		
06	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	-90.0	-2.6	-12.0	-80.5	+25	-9.9
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 5	STORM U/S F +0.900 W +0.026 SP +0.120 SU +0.905
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.10	1.94	1.00	0.21		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.01	1.89	0.88	0.02		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	10.8	1.05	1.82	10.57		
07	0.05	-50	Δ% OF R/O	-50.0	-1.0	-8.0	-42.9	0.0	-5.0
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 4	STORM U/S F +1.000 W +0.020 SP +0.120 SU +0.858
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.10	1.94	1.00	0.21		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.05	1.92	0.94	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	10.8	1.05	1.82	10.57		
09	0.20	+100	Δ% OF R/O	+80.0	+2.6	+12.0	+90.5	0.0	+9.9
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 4	STORM U/S F +0.800 W +0.026 SP +0.120 SU +0.905
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.10	1.94	1.00	0.21		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.18	1.99	1.12	0.40		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	10.8	1.05	1.82	10.57		
10	0.30	+200	Δ% OF R/O	+170.0	+5.2	+24.0	+181.0	-25.0	+19.9
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 3	STORM U/S F +0.850 W +0.026 SP +0.120 SU +0.905
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.10	1.94	1.00	0.21		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.27	2.04	1.24	0.68		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	10.8	1.05	1.82	10.57		

TABLE 6-10

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 7**

FIMP (0.10 REF.)

ANNUAL R/F = 50.93 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 33.02 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 18.29 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/30/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/14/68 SUMMER		
06	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	-82.4	-8.8	-16.4	-72.7	+2.6	-13.8
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 39 SIM = 40	STORM U/S F +0.824 W +0.088 SP +0.164 SU +0.727
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.17	1.70	0.73	0.44		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.55	0.61	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.29	1.62	2.63	6.70		
07	0.05	-50	Δ% OF R/O	-41.2	-4.7	-8.2	-36.4	+2.6	-6.9
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 39 SIM = 40	STORM U/S F +0.824 W +0.094 SP +0.164 SU +0.366
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.17	1.70	0.73	0.44		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.10	1.62	0.67	0.28		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.29	1.62	2.63	6.70		
09	0.20	+100	Δ% OF R/O	+82.4	+8.2	+17.8	+72.7	+5.1	+13.8
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 39 SIM = 41	STORM U/S F +0.824 W +0.082 SP +0.178 SU +0.727
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.17	1.70	0.73	0.44		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.31	1.84	0.86	0.76		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.29	1.62	2.63	6.70		
10	0.30	+200	Δ% OF R/O	+184.7	+16.5	+35.6	+147.7	0.0	+27.8
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 39 SIM = 39	STORM U/S F +0.824 W +0.082 SP +0.178 SU +0.738
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.17	1.70	0.73	0.44		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.45	1.98	0.99	1.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.29	1.62	2.63	6.70		

TABLE 8-11
SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
FIMP (0.10 REF.)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 11 2,551 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 35.81 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 26.35 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 12.82 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ % PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/14/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	5/8/68 SPRING	8/20/68 SUMMER		
06	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	-61.1	-8.9	-20.6	-75.0	0.0	-17.1
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F +0.611 W +0.089 SP +0.206 SU +0.750
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.18	0.79	0.63	0.04		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.07	0.72	0.50	0.01		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	7.50	1.73	3.03	12.50		
07	0.05	-50	Δ% OF R/O	-27.8	-3.8	-11.1	-25.0	0.0	-8.5
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F +0.556 W +0.076 SP +0.222 SU +0.500
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.18	0.79	0.63	0.04		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.13	0.76	0.56	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	7.50	1.73	3.03	12.50		
09	0.20	+100	Δ% OF R/O	+66.7	+7.6	+19.0	+75.0	0.0	+ 17.1
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F +0.667 W +0.076 SP +0.190 SU +0.750
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.18	0.79	0.63	0.04		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.30	0.86	0.75	0.07		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	7.50	1.73	3.03	12.50		
10	0.30	+200	Δ% OF R/O	+127.8	+16.5	+38.1	+175.0	0.0	+34.3
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F +0.639 W +0.082 SP +0.190 SU +0.875
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.18	0.79	0.63	0.04		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.41	0.92	0.87	0.11		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	7.50	1.73	3.03	12.50		

TABLE 6-12
SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
FIMP -100% PERTURBATION (0.10 REFERENCE)
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID S000	385	45	Δ% OF R/O	-60.9	-4.2	-4.4	-39.5	+3.85	-7.15
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.18	9/27/64 REF = 7.6 SIM = 7.9	STORM U/S F +0.609 W +0.042 SP +0.044 SU +0.396
			REF. R/O	0.46	2.41	2.03	0.43		
			PERT. R/O	0.18	2.31	1.94	0.26		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	6.80	1.32	1.41	5.02		
RUN ID 01	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	-63.9	-35.7	-19.1	-72.3	0.0	-13.9
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F +0.636 W +0.357 SP +0.191 SU +0.723
			REF. R/O	0.077	0.058	1.106	0.124		
			PERT. R/O	0.028	0.037	0.895	0.034		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	38.83	—	1.61	8.79		
RUN ID RW06	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	-64.7	-4.9	-13.0	-70.0	-53.8	-16.0
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -44.1	JAN -5.7	APR -7.8	AUG -67.2	9/15/68 REF = 1340 SIM = 619	STORM U/S F +0.647 W +0.049 SP +0.130 SU +0.700
			REF. R/O	0.17	1.02	0.54	0.10		
			PERT. R/O	0.06	0.97	0.47	0.03		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.247	3.785	2.743	0.479		
RUN ID RW06 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	-83.3	-8.0	-20.4	-79.2	+3.0	-15.0
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.81	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 33 SIM = 34	STORM U/S F +0.833 W +0.080 SP +0.204 SU +0.792
			REF. R/O	0.24	1.74	0.93	0.24		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.60	0.74	0.05		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.10	3.75	11.6		
RUN ID RW06 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	-85.7	-4.4	-18.0	-75.9	+4.6	-12.5
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 22 SIM = 23	STORM U/S F +0.857 W +0.044 SP +0.180 SU +0.759
			REF. R/O	0.28	2.25	0.61	0.29		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	2.15	0.60	0.07		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	8.96	1.38	2.79	7.62		
RUN ID RW06 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	-90.0	-2.6	-12.0	-90.5	+25	-9.9
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 5	STORM U/S F +0.900 W +0.026 SP +0.120 SU +0.905
			REF. R/O	0.10	1.94	1.00	0.21		
			PERT. R/O	0.01	1.89	0.88	0.02		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	10.8	1.05	1.82	10.57		
RUN ID RW06 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	-82.4	-8.8	-16.4	-72.7	+2.6	-13.8
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 39 SIM = 40	STORM U/S F +0.824 W +0.088 SP +0.164 SU +0.727
			REF. R/O	0.17	1.70	0.73	0.44		
			PERT. R/O	0.03	1.55	0.61	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.29	1.62	2.63	6.70		
RUN ID RW06 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	-61.1	-8.9	-20.6	-75.0	0.0	-17.1
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F +0.611 W +0.089 SP +0.206 SU +0.750
			REF. R/O	0.18	0.79	0.63	0.04		
			PERT. R/O	0.07	0.72	0.50	0.01		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	7.50	1.73	3.03	12.60		

TABLE 8-13
SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
FIMP +100% PERTURBATION (0.10 REFERENCE)

SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID S012	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	+63.0	+4.6	+4.9	+41.9	-1.06	+7.31
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.6 SIM = 7.2	STORM U/S F +0.630 W +0.046 SP +0.049 SU +0.419
			REF. R/O	0.46	2.41	2.03	0.43		
			PERT. R/O	0.76	2.52	2.13	0.61	SIM = 7.2 SU +0.419	STORM U/S F +0.630 W +0.046 SP +0.049 SU +0.419
			REF (R/F/R/O)	6.80	1.32	1.41	5.02		
RUN ID 05	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	+63.8	+38.7	+18.8	+72.7	+6.7	+14.1
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.2	STORM U/S F +0.638 W +0.367 SP +0.188 SU +0.727
			REF. R/O	0.077	0.058	1.106	0.124		
			PERT. R/O	0.126	0.079	1.313	0.214	SIM = 3.2 SU +0.727	STORM U/S F +0.638 W +0.367 SP +0.188 SU +0.727
			REF (R/F/R/O)	38.83	--	1.61	8.79		
RUN ID RW09	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+64.7	+5.9	+11.1	+80.0	+55.5	+16.0
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT	JAN	APR	AUG	9/15/68 REF = 1340 SIM = 2083	STORM U/S F +0.647 W +0.059 SP +0.111 SU +0.800
			REF. R/O	0.17	1.02	0.54	0.10		
			PERT. R/O	0.28	1.08	0.60	0.18	SIM = 2083 SU +0.800	STORM U/S F +0.647 W +0.059 SP +0.111 SU +0.800
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.247	3.785	2.743	0.479		
RUN ID RW09	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+83.3	+7.5	+20.4	+79.2	0.0	+15.0
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 33 SIM = 33	STORM U/S F +0.833 W +0.075 SP +0.204 SU +0.792
			REF. R/O	0.24	1.74	0.93	0.24		
			PERT. R/O	0.44	1.87	1.12	0.43	SIM = 33 SU +0.792	STORM U/S F +0.833 W +0.075 SP +0.204 SU +0.792
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.10	3.75	11.6		
RUN ID RW09	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+82.1	+4.44	+19.7	+75.9	-9.1	+12.5
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 22 SIM = 20	STORM U/S F +0.821 W +0.044 SP +0.197 SU +0.759
			REF. R/O	0.28	2.25	0.61	0.29		
			PERT. R/O	0.51	2.35	0.73	0.51	SIM = 20 SU +0.759	STORM U/S F +0.821 W +0.044 SP +0.197 SU +0.759
			REF (R/F/R/O)	8.96	1.38	2.79	7.62		
RUN ID RW09	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	+80.0	+2.6	+12.0	+90.5	0.0	+9.9
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 4	STORM U/S F +0.800 W +0.026 SP +0.120 SU +0.905
			REF. R/O	0.10	1.84	1.00	0.21		
			PERT. R/O	0.18	1.99	1.12	0.40(SIM = 4 SU +0.905	STORM U/S F +0.800 W +0.026 SP +0.120 SU +0.905
			REF (R/F/R/O)	10.8	1.05	1.82	10.57		
RUN ID RW09	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	+82.4	+8.2	+17.8	+72.7	+5.1	+13.8
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 39 SIM = 41	STORM U/S F +0.824 W +0.082 SP +0.178 SU +0.727
			REF. R/O	0.17	1.70	0.73	0.44		
			PERT. R/O	0.31	1.84	0.86	0.76	SIM = 41 SU +0.727	STORM U/S F +0.824 W +0.082 SP +0.178 SU +0.727
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.29	1.62	2.63	6.70		
RUN ID RW09	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	+66.7	+7.6	+19.0	+75.0	0.0	+17.1
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F +0.667 W +0.076 SP +0.190 SU +0.750
			REF. R/O	0.18	0.79	0.63	0.04		
			PERT. R/O	0.30	0.85	0.75	0.07	SIM = 9 SU +0.750	STORM U/S F +0.667 W +0.076 SP +0.190 SU +0.750
			REF (R/F/R/O)	7.50	1.73	3.03	12.50		




Figure 6-2. Impervious Area Study, Fall Storms




Figure 6-3. Impervious Area Study, Small Watershed




Figure 6-4. Impervious Area Study, Regional Watershed




Figure 6-5. Impervious Area Study, Subwatershed No. 1




Figure 6-6. Impervious Area Study, Subwatershed No. 3

6.3.2 FWTR, WATER SURFACE FRACTION OF WATERSHED AREA

FWTR is the fraction of total watershed covered by water surfaces. This parameter refers to the proportion of water surface on the watershed including lakes, ponds, and the area of stream surface. In the simulator it is used to determine the proportion of the watershed at which evaporation occurs at potential rate. The fraction of watershed covered by water is directly obtainable from remote sensing by land-use classification after watershed boundary has been established.

Sensitivity analysis results (Tables 6-14 through 6-23) indicate that FWTR parameter is most influential during fall and summer and least influential during winter when there is little evaporation.

The unit sensitivity on the average is 0.51 for fall and summer seasons, and 0.03 for winter and spring seasons.

Plotted $\Delta\%$ runoff of fall storms (Figure 6-7) indicate that variation in -16% of FWTR results in -10% of runoff which corresponds to a unit sensitivity of 0.63 for fall season. Figures 6-8 and 6-9 show results for the small and regional watersheds.

TABLE 6-14
SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF FWTR (0.10 REF.)
 SMALL WATERSHED 365 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 58.23 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 24.24 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 31.38 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/27/64 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				11/4/63 FALL	1/23/64 WINTER	5/1/64 SPRING	8/14/64 SUMMER		
S000	0.001	-100	Δ% OF R/O	-59.1	-3.4	0.0	-27.8	+119.4	+1.0
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 3.6 SIM = 7.9	STORM U/S F +0.591 W +0.034 SP +0.0 SU +0.278
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.44	2.39	1.94	0.36		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.18	2.31	1.94	0.26		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	7.11	1.33	1.48	6.00		
D014	0.05	-50	Δ% OF R/O	-29.6	-1.7	0.0	-----	-----	-----
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 3.6 SIM = ---	STORM U/S F +0.592 W +0.034 SP +0.0 SU -----
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.44	2.39	1.94	0.36		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.31	2.35	1.94	-----		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	7.11	1.33	1.48	6.00		
S016	0.20	+100	Δ% OF R/O	+63.6	+3.4	+0.6	+38.9	-11.1	+2.9
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 3.6 SIM = 3.2	STORM U/S F +0.636 W +0.034 SP +0.500 SU +0.389
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.44	2.39	1.94	0.36		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.72	2.47	1.95	0.50		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	7.11	1.33	1.48	6.00		
D017	0.30	+200	Δ% OF R/O	+129.8	+7.1	+2.1	-----	-----	-----
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 3.6 SIM = ---	STORM U/S F +0.648 W +0.035 SP +0.010 SU
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.44	2.39	1.94	0.36		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	1.01	2.58	1.98	-----		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	7.11	1.33	1.48	6.00		

TABLE 6-15
SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF FWTR (0.10 REF.)
SNOW WATERSHED 277 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN-ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
06	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	-60.1	-4.2	-13.5	-63.3	+30.4	+3.7
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 2.3 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F +0.601 W +0.042 SP +0.135 SU +0.633
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.075	0.041	1.050	0.113		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.045	0.039	0.908	0.042		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	39.87	---	1.70	9.65		
07	0.05	-50	Δ% OF R/O	-30.1	-3.2	-6.8	-38.9	+8.7	-0.2
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 2.3 SIM = 2.5	STORM U/S F +0.602 W +0.064 SP +0.136 SU +0.778
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.075	0.041	1.050	0.113		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.053	0.040	0.979	0.069		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	39.87	---	1.70	9.65		
09	0.15	+50	Δ% OF R/O	+30.1	+15.9	+6.7	+39.0	+30.4	+2.2
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 2.3 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F +0.602 W +0.318 SP +0.134 SU +0.780
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.075	0.041	1.050	0.113		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.098	0.048	1.121	0.157		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	39.87	---	1.70	9.65		
10	0.20	+100	Δ% OF R/O	+61.3	+34.2	+13.4	+78.2	-8.7	+5.3
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 2.3 SIM = 2.1	STORM U/S F +0.613 W +0.342 SP +0.134 SU +0.782
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.075	0.041	1.050	0.113		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.121	0.055	1.191	0.202		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	39.87	---	1.70	9.65		

(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALY LEFT BLANK)

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
REGIONAL WATERSHED 22,248 SQ. KM**

FWTR (0.10 REF.)

TABLE 6-16

ANNUAL R/F = 41.89 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 32.90 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 15.41 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/15/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/21/68 WINTER	5/9/68 SPRING	8/11/68 SUMMER		
RW11	0.0	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-53.3	-2.0	+8.9	-25.0	REF = 768 SIM = 767	STORM U/S F +0.533 W +0.020 SP -0.089 SU+0.250
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -15.2	JAN -2.1	APR +2.0	AUG -23.2		
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.15	1.00	0.45	0.08		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.07	0.98	0.49	0.06		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.191	3.703	2.560	0.340		
RW12	0.05	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-26.7	-1.0	+4.4	-25.0	REF = 768 SIM = 580	STORM U/S F +0.534 W +0.020 SP -0.088 SU+0.250
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -14.1	JAN -1.1	APR -0.9	AUG -25.6		
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.15	1.00	0.45	0.08		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.11	0.99	0.47	0.06		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.191	3.703	2.560	0.340		
RW14	0.20	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+53.3	+3.0	0.0	+50.0	REF = 768 SIM = 1146	STORM U/S F +0.533 W +0.030 SP 0.0 SU+0.500
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +38.7	JAN +2.1	APR -0.8	AUG +54.1		
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.15	1.00	0.45	0.08		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.23	1.03	0.45	0.12		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.191	3.703	2.560	0.340		
RW15	0.30	+200	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+113.3	+5.0	+4.4	+100.0	REF = 768 SIM = 1523	STORM U/S F +0.566 W +0.025 SP +0.022 SU+0.500
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +80.7	JAN +4.2	APR +0.8	AUG +109.4		
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.15	1.00	0.45	0.08		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.32	1.05	0.47	0.16		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.191	3.703	2.560	0.340		

Preceding page blank

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF

SUBWATERSHED NO. 1 2,326 SQ. KM

 TABLE 8-17
 FWTR (0.10 REF)

 ANNUAL R/F = 59.30 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 40.29 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 19.63 IN

RUN ID	PARAM, VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/25/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/18/68 SUMMER		
RW11	0.0	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-77.8	-5.3	-6.3	-57.1	+118.5	+0.1
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 27 SIM = 59	STORM U/S F +0.778 W +0.053 SP +0.063 SU +0.571
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.18	1.69	0.80	0.14		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.60	0.75	0.06		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	12.00	1.13	4.36	19.93		
RW12	0.05	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-38.9	-2.4	-3.8	-35.7	+3.7	-2.0
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 27 SIM = 28	STORM U/S F +0.778 W +0.048 SP +0.076 SU +0.714
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.18	1.69	0.80	0.14		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.11	1.65	0.77	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	12.00	1.13	4.36	19.93		
RW14	0.20	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+83.3	+5.3	+7.5	+78.6	-11.1	+6.2
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 27 SIM = 24	STORM U/S F +0.833 W +0.053 SP +0.076 SU +0.786
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.18	1.69	0.80	0.14		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.33	1.78	0.86	0.25		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	12.00	1.13	4.36	19.93		
RW15	0.30	+200	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+172.2	+10.7	+16.3	+157.1	-22.2	+14.2
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 27 SIM = 21	STORM U/S F +0.861 W +0.053 SP +0.081 SU +0.785
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.18	1.69	0.80	0.14		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.49	1.87	0.93	0.36		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	12.00	1.13	4.36	19.93		

TABLE 6-18

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF FWTR (0.10 REF)
 SUBWATERSHED NO. 3 813 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 63.88 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 37.42 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 26.14 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/2/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	7/31/68 SUMMER		
RW11	0.0	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-67.9	-2.7	+2.0	-47.8	+116.7	-0.7
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 12 SIM = 26	STORM U/S F +0.679 W +0.027 SP -0.020 SU +0.478
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.28	2.23	0.51	0.23		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.09	2.17	0.52	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	8.96	1.39	3.33	9.61		
RW12	0.05	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-35.7	-1.3	+2.0	-30.4	0.0	-1.8
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 12 SIM = 12	STORM U/S F +0.714 W +0.028 SP -0.040 WU +0.608
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.28	2.23	0.51	0.23		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.18	2.20	0.51	0.16		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	8.96	1.39	3.33	9.61		
RW14	0.20	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+75.0	+2.7	+9.8	+60.9	-16.7	+6.4
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 12 SIM = 10	STORM U/S F +0.750 W +0.027 SP +0.098 SU +0.609
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.28	2.23	0.51	0.23		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.49	2.29	0.56	0.37		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	8.96	1.39	3.33	9.61		
RW15	0.30	+200	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+134.4	+5.8	+17.7	+121.7	-25.0	+14.1
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 12 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F +0.732 W +0.029 SP +0.088 SU +0.608
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.28	2.23	0.51	0.23		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.69	2.36	0.60	0.51		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	8.96	1.39	3.33	9.61		

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 5**

FWTR (0.10 REF)

1,064 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-19

ANNUAL R/F = 48.24 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 27.87 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 22.36 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/29/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	8/13/68 SUMMER		
RW11	0.0	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-55.6	-0.5	-21	-52.6	+166.7	+0.2
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 3 SIM = 8	STORM U/S F +0.556 W +0.005 SP +0.021 SU +0.526
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.09	1.92	0.94	0.19		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.91	0.92	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	12.00	1.06	1.94	11.68		
RW12	0.05	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-33.3	-0.5	-1.1	-31.6	+33.3	-1.0
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 3 SIM = 4	STORM U/S F +0.666 W +0.010 SP +0.022 SU +0.632
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.09	1.92	0.94	0.19		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.06	1.91	0.93	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	12.00	1.06	1.94	11.68		
RW14	0.12	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+66.7	+0.5	+1.1	+63.2	0.0	+4.2
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 3 SIM = 3	STORM U/S F +0.867 W +0.005 SP +0.011 SU +0.632
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.09	1.92	0.94	0.19		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	1.93	0.95	0.31		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	12.00	1.06	1.94	11.68		
RW15	0.30	+200	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+133.3	+1.6	+6.4	+126.3	0.0	+10.0
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 3 SIM = 3	STORM U/S F +0.666 W +0.008 SP +0.032 SU +0.631
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.09	1.92	0.94	0.19		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.21	1.95	1.00	0.43		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	12.00	1.06	1.94	11.68		

TABLE 6-20

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF FWTR (0.10 REF.)
 SUBWATERSHED NO. 7 1,111 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 50.93 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 33.02 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 18.29 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/30/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/14/68 SUMMER		
RW11	0.0	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-78.6	-6.0	-3.2	-56.7	+121.1	-0.2
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 19 SIM = 42	STORM U/S F +0.78F W +0.060 SP +0.032 SU +0.567
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.14	1.66	0.63	0.30		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.56	0.61	0.13		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	11.29	1.66	3.05	9.83		
RW12	0.05	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-42.9	-3.0	-1.6	-33.3	+5.3	-2.0
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 19 SIM = 20	STORM U/S F +0.858 W +0.080 SP +0.032 SU +0.666
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.14	1.66	0.63	0.30		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.08	1.61	0.62	0.20		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	11.29	1.66	3.05	9.83		
RW14	0.20	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+78.6	+6.6	+6.3	+73.3	-10.5	+6.9
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 19 SIM = 17	STORM U/S F +0.786 W +0.066 SP +0.063 SU +0.733
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.14	1.66	0.63	0.30		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.25	1.77	0.87	0.52		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	11.29	1.66	3.05	9.83		
RW15	0.30	+200	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+164.3	+13.3	+19.1	+143.3	-21.1	+15.8
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 19 SIM = 15	STORM U/S F +0.821 W +0.066 SP +0.095 SU +0.716
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.14	1.66	0.63	0.30		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.37	1.88	0.75	0.73		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	11.29	1.66	3.05	9.83		

TABLE 6-21

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 11 2,551 SQ. KM**

FWTR (0.10 REF.)

ANNUAL R/F = 35.81 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 26.35 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 12.82 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/14/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	5/8/68 SPRING	8/20/68 SUMMER		
RW11	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	-31.8	-4.9	-3.3	-25.0	+125.0	+0.2
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 8 SIM = 18	STORM U/S F +0.318 W +0.049 SP +0.033 SU +0.250
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.22	0.81	0.81	0.04		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.77	0.68	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	6.14	1.69	3.13	12.50		
RW12	0.05	-50	Δ% OF R/O	-18.2	-2.5	-1.6	-25.0	0.0	-1.5
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 8 SIM = 8	STORM U/S F +0.364 W +0.050 SP +0.032 SU +0.050
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.22	0.81	0.61	0.04		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.18	0.79	0.60	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	6.14	1.69	3.13	12.50		
RW14	0.20	+100	Δ% OF R/O	+36.4	+4.9	+4.9	+25.0	-12.5	+7.0
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 8 SIM = 7	STORM U/S F +0.386 W +0.048 SP +0.049 SU +0.250
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.22	0.81	0.61	0.04		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.30	0.85	0.64	0.05		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8		16.1		
RW15	0.30	+200	Δ% OF R/O	+77.3	+9.9	+14.8	+75.0	-25.0	+16.2
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 8 SIM = 6	STORM U/S F +0.386 W +0.048 SP +0.074 SU +0.375
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.22	0.81	0.61	0.04		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.39	0.89	0.70	0.07		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	6.14	1.69	3.13	12.50		

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS**

TABLE 6-22
FWTR -100% PERTURBATION (0.10 REFERENCE)

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID S000 SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	-59.1	-3.4	0.0	-27.8	+119.4	+1.0
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 3.6 SIM = 7.9	STORM U/S F +0.591 W +0.034 SP +0.0 SU +0.278
			REF. R/O	0.44	2.39	1.94	0.36		
			PERT. R/O	0.18	2.31	1.94	0.26		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	7.11	1.33	1.48	6.00		
RUN ID 06 SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	-60.1	-4.2	-13.5	-63.3	+30.4	+3.7
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 2.3 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F +0.601 W +0.042 SP +0.135 SU +0.633
			REF. R/O	0.075	0.041	1.050	0.113		
			PERT. R/O	0.045	0.039	0.908	0.042		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	39.87	--	1.70	9.65		
RUN ID RW11 REGIONAL	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	-53.3	-2.0	+8.9	-25.0	-0.1	-1.0
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -15.2	JAN -2.1	APR +2.0	AUG -23.2	9/15/68 REF = 768 SIM = 767	STORM U/S F +0.533 W +0.020 SP -0.089 SU +0.250
			REF. R/O	0.15	1.00	0.45	0.08		
			PERT. R/O	0.07	0.98	0.49	0.06		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.191	3.703	2.560	0.340		
RUN ID RW11 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	-77.8	-5.3	-6.3	-57.1	+118.5	+0.1
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 27 SIM = 59	STORM U/S F +0.778 W +0.053 SP +0.063 SU +0.571
			REF. R/O	0.18	1.69	0.80	0.14		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.60	0.75	0.06		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	12.00	1.13	4.36	19.93		
RUN ID RW11 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	-67.9	-2.7	+2.0	-47.8	+116.7	-0.7
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 12 SIM = 26	STORM U/S F +0.679 W +0.027 SP -0.020 SU +0.478
			REF. R/O	0.28	2.23	0.51	0.23		
			PERT. R/O	0.09	2.17	0.52	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	8.96	1.39	3.33	9.61		
RUN ID RW11 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	-55.6	-0.5	-2.1	-52.6	+166.7	+0.2
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 3 SIM = 8	STORM U/S F +0.556 W +0.005 SP +0.021 SU +0.526
			REF. R/O	0.09	1.92	0.94	0.19		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.91	0.92	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	12.00	1.06	1.94	11.68		
RUN ID RW11 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	-78.6	-6.0	-3.2	-56.7	+121.1	-0.2
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 19 SIM = 42	STORM U/S F +0.786 W +0.060 SP +0.032 SU +0.567
			REF. R/O	0.14	1.66	0.63	0.30		
			PERT. R/O	0.03	1.56	0.61	0.13		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	11.29	1.66	3.05	9.83		
RUN ID RW11 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	-31.8	-4.9	-3.3	-25.0	+125.0	+0.2
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 8 SIM = 18	STORM U/S F +0.318 W +0.049 SP +0.033 SU +0.250
			REF. R/O	0.22	0.81	0.61	0.04		
			PERT. R/O	0.15	0.77	0.59	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	6.14	1.69	3.13	12.50		

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

TABLE 6-23
 FWTR +100% PERTURBATION (0.10 REFERENCE)

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID S016 SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	+63.6	+3.4	+0.5	+38.9	-11.1	+2.9
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 3.6 SIM = 3.2	STORM U/S F +0.636 W +0.034 SP +0.500 SU +0.389
			REF. R/O	0.44	2.39	1.94	0.36		
			PERT. R/O	0.72	2.47	1.95	0.50		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	7.11	1.33	1.48	6.00		
RUN ID 10 SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	+61.3	+34.2	+13.4	+78.2	-8.7	+5.3
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 2.3 SIM = 2.1	STORM U/S F +0.613 W +0.342 SP +0.134 SU +0.782
			REF. R/O	0.075	0.041	1.050	0.113		
			PERT. R/O	0.121	0.055	1.191	0.202		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	39.87	—	1.70	9.65		
RUN ID RW14 REGIONAL	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+53.3	+3.0	0.0	+50.0	+49.2	+7.4
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +38.7	JAN +2.1	APR -0.8	AUG +54.1	9/15/68 REF = 768 SIM = 1146	STORM U/S F +0.533 W +0.030 SP 0.0 SU +0.500
			REF. R/O	0.15	1.00	0.45	0.08		
			PERT. R/O	0.23	1.03	0.45	0.12		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O	0.191	3.703	2.560	0.340		
RUN ID RW14 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+83.3	+5.3	+7.5	+78.6	-11.1	+6.2
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 27 SIM = 24	STORM U/S F +0.833 W +0.053 SP +0.075 SU +0.786
			REF. R/O	0.18	1.69	0.80	0.14		
			PERT. R/O	0.33	1.78	0.86	0.25		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	12.00	1.13	4.36	19.93		
RUN ID RW14 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+75.0	+2.7	+9.8	+60.9	-16.7	+6.4
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 12 SIM = 10	STORM U/S F +0.750 W +0.027 SP +0.098 SU +0.609
			REF. R/O	0.28	2.23	0.51	0.23		
			PERT. R/O	0.49	2.29	0.56	0.37		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	8.96	1.39	3.33	9.61		
RUN ID RW14 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	+66.7	+0.5	+1.1	+63.2	0.0	+4.2
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 3 SIM = 3	STORM U/S F +0.667 W +0.005 SP +0.011 SU +0.632
			REF. R/O	0.09	1.92	0.94	0.19		
			PERT. R/O	0.15	1.93	0.95	0.31		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	12.00	1.06	1.94	11.68		
RUN ID RW14 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	+78.6	+6.6	+6.3	+73.3	-10.5	+6.9
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 19 SIM = 17	STORM U/S F +0.786 W +0.066 SP +0.063 SU +0.733
			REF. R/O	0.14	1.66	0.63	0.30		
			PERT. R/O	0.25	1.77	0.67	0.52		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	11.29	1.66	3.05	9.83		
RUN ID RW14 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	+36.4	+4.9	+4.9	+25.0	-12.5	+7.0
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 8 SIM = 7	STORM U/S F +0.364 W +0.049 SP +0.049 SU +0.250
			REF. R/O	0.22	0.81	0.61	0.04		
			PERT. R/O	0.30	0.85	0.64	0.05		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	6.14	1.69	3.13	12.50		




Figure 6-7. Water Surface Area Study, Fall Storms




Figure 6-8. Water Surface Area Study, Small Watershed




Figure 6-9. Water Surface Area Study, Regional Watershed

6.3.3 VINTMR, VEGETATIVE INTERCEPTION MAXIMUM RATE

VINTMR is the maximum volume of interception by branches and stems of vegetation. This parameter refers to the maximum volume of precipitation which will be caught and held by a vegetation canopy during a period of rainfall.

The study used the following table of values suggested by Crawford and Linsley.

<u>WATERSHED COVER</u>	<u>VINTMR (INCHES)</u>
Grassland	0.10
Moderate Forest Cover	0.15
Heavy Forest Cover	0.20

VINTMR is indirectly obtainable from remote sensing by land-use classification and the use of table such as that above.

Sensitivity analysis results (Tables 6-24 through 6-33) indicates that VINTMR is not particularly influential. In previous sensitivity analysis studies it has been shown that changing VINTMR has negligible effect during all seasons. Large changes in VINTMR showed their most pronounced effect when applied to subwatershed number 5, which experienced a light storm (total rainfall 1.08 inches) during a 7-day period and a total storm runoff of 0.04 inches. Results of this study has been plotted (Figures 6-10 through 6-14) to show that VINTMR has a unit sensitivity of 0.074 during the fall season when perturbed $\pm 50\%$.

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL WATERSHED

VINTMR J(0.15)

366 SQ. KM

TABLE 8-24

ANNUAL R/F = 58.23 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 24.24 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 31.38 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/27/64 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				11/4/63 FALL	1/23/64 WINTER	5/1/64 SPRING	8/14/64 SUMMER		
S018	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.2	-0.9	+0.8	-0.6	+5.1	+0.3
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = 8.3	STORM U/S F -0.002 W +0.009 SP -0.008 SU +0.006
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.180	2.29	1.95	0.253		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
S019	0.30	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.1	+0.7	+1.2	-0.9	-2.5	-0.04
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = 7.7	STORM U/S F -0.001 W +0.007 SP +0.012 SU -0.009
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.174	2.33	1.96	0.250		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
D020 6-42	0.50	+233	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.2	+1.0	+3.5	---	---	---
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = --	STORM U/S F -0.001 W +0.004 SP +0.015 SU --
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.174	2.34	2.01	---		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		

6-42

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SNOW WATERSHED**

VINTMR (0.15)

277 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-25

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
RW11	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+6.9	+17.8	-1.8	+9.5	+10.0	+3.6
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.3	STORM U/S F -0.069 W -0.178 SP +0.018 SU -0.095
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.035	0.046	0.900	0.047		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
RW12	0.075	-50	Δ% OF R/O	+1.1	+4.4	-0.3	+2.4	+3.3	+0.48
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.1	STORM U/S F -0.022 W -0.088 SP +0.006 SU -0.048
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.034	0.041	0.900	0.047		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
RW13	0.225	+50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.6	-2.6	+0.1	-2.2	0.0	-0.39
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F -0.012 W -0.052 SP +0.002 SU -0.044
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.032	0.038	0.917	0.042		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
RW14	0.30	+100	Δ% OF R/O	-0.7	-4.6	+0.1	-4.5	0.0	-0.73
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F -0.007 W -0.046 SP +0.001 SU -0.045
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	-0.6	-8.7	+0.1	-8.1		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
RW15	0.45	+200	Δ% OF R/O	-0.6	-8.7	+0.1	-8.1	0.0	-0.94
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F -0.003 W -0.043 SP +0.005 SU -0.040
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.032	0.036	0.917	0.040		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		

6-43

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
REGIONAL WATERSHED 22,248 SQ. KM**

TABLE 6-26
VINTMR (0.15)

ANNUAL R/F = 41.89 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 32.90 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 15.41 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/15/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/21/68 WINTER	5/9/68 SPRING	8/11/68 SUMMER		
RW16	0.0	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+8.0	+0.4	+2.9	+25.7	+32.1	+2.78
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +4.52	JAN +0.19	APR +1.42	AUG +22.48	REF = 644 SIM = 851	STORM U/S F -0.090 W -0.004 SP -0.029 SU -0.267
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.11	0.981	0.50	0.08		
			REF. MONTHLY R/D (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW138	0.075	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+1.5	+0.2	+0.6	+4.7	+3.42	+0.47
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +1.13	JAN +0.06	APR +0.23	AUG +4.26	REF = 644 SIM = 666	STORM U/S F -0.030 W -0.004 SP -0.012 SU -0.094
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.10	0.982	0.490	0.067		
			REF. MONTHLY R/D (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW139	0.225	+50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-1.4	-0.1	-0.3	-4.3	-1.24	-0.41
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -1.13	JAN -0.06	APR -0.19	AUG -3.88	REF = 644 SIM = 636	STORM U/S F -0.028 W -0.002 SP -0.006 SU -0.086
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.097	0.979	0.486	0.061		
			REF. MONTHLY R/D (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW17	0.30	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-2.6	-0.1	-0.7	-8.5	-2.48	-0.74
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -2.26	JAN -0.03	APR -0.35	AUG -7.36	REF = 644 SIM = 628	STORM U/S F -0.026 W -0.001 SP -0.007 SU -0.085
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.98	0.48	0.06		
			REF. MONTHLY R/D (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW18	0.50	+233	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-5.2	0.0	-1.4	-17.9	-5.12	-1.55
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -4.52	JAN -0.06	APR -2.54	AUG -16.28	REF = 644 SIM = 611	STORM U/S F -0.022 W -0.0 SP -0.006 SU -0.077
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.09	0.98	0.48	0.05		
			REF. MONTHLY R/D (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
6-44									

(e -44)

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF

VINTMR (0.15)

TABLE 6-27

 ANNUAL R/F = 59.30 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 40.29 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 19.63 IN

SUBWATERSHED NO. 1 2,328 SQ. KM

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/25/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/18/68 SUMMER		
RW16	0.0	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+3.6	-0.3	+1.8	+20.7	+26.5	+1.71
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 43	STORM U/S F -0.036 W +0.003 SP -0.018 SU -0.207
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.05	1.60	0.76	0.07		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW138	0.075	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.4	+0.2	+0.2	+1.2	0.0	+0.21
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 34	STORM U/S F -0.008 W -0.004 SP -0.004 SU -0.024
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.612	0.751	0.059		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW139	0.225	+50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.4	0.0	0.0	-0.8	-2.94	-0.13
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 33	STORM U/S F -0.008 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU -0.016
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.044	1.609	0.749	0.058		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW17	0.30	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.8	+0.2	-0.2	-1.6	-2.94	-0.24
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 33	STORM U/S F -0.008 W +0.002 SP -0.002 SU -0.016
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.61	0.74	0.059		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW18 6-45	0.50	+233	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-1.8	+0.3	-0.6	-3.5	-5.88	-0.49
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 32	STORM U/S F -0.007 W +0.001 SP -0.003 SU -0.015
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.747	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.61	0.74	0.06		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		

6-45

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 3 813 SQ. KM**

TABLE 6-28
VINTMR (0.15)

ANNUAL R/F = 63.88 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 37.42 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 26.14 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ % PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/2/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	7/31/68 SUMMER		
RW 16	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+3.5	-0.2	-2.4	+7.3	REF = 23 SIM = 24	+4.35
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -0.035 W +0.002 SP +0.024 SU -0.073
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.10	2.16	0.53	0.13		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW 138	0.075	-50	Δ% OF R/O	+0.4	+0.2	+0.1	+0.8	REF = 23 SIM = 23	0.0
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -0.008 W -0.004 SP -0.002 WU -0.016
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.173	0.522	0.118		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW 139	0.225	+50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.4	0.0	0.0	-0.5	REF = 23 SIM = 23	0.0
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -0.008 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU -0.010
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.094	2.169	0.521	0.116		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW 17	0.30	+100	Δ% OF R/O	-0.9	0.0	-0.2	-1.0	REF = 23 SIM = 23	0.0
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -0.009 W 0.0 SP -0.002 SU -0.010
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.09	2.17	0.52	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW 18 6-46	0.50	+233	Δ% OF R/O	-2.0	-0.5	-0.6	-2.5	REF = 23 SIM = 23	0.0
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -0.009 W -0.002 SP -0.003 SU -0.011
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.09	2.16	0.52	0.11		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.25	18.9		

6-46

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 5 1,064 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-29
VINTMR (0.15)

ANNUAL R/F = 48.24 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 27.87 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 22.36 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/29/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	8/13/68 SUMMER		
RW 16	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+18.4	-0.3	+1.6	+14.8	REF = 4 SIM = 5	+25.0
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22		STORM U/S F -0.184 W +0.003 SP -0.016 SU -0.148
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.90	0.94	0.10		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW 138	0.075	-50	Δ% OF R/O	+3.7	+0.2	+0.2	+2.5	REF = 4 SIM = 5	+25.0
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22		STORM U/S F -0.074 W -0.004 SP -0.004 SU -0.050
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.037	1.912	0.924	0.088		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW 139	0.225	+50	Δ% OF R/O	-3.7	-0.1	-0.2	-2.5	REF = 4 SIM = 4	0.0
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22		STORM U/S F -0.074 W -0.002 SP -0.004 SU -0.050
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.035	1.905	0.920	0.084		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW 17	0.30	+100	Δ% OF R/O	-7.4	-0.1	-0.3	-4.6	REF = 4 SIM = 4	0.0
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22		STORM U/S F -0.074 W -0.001 SP -0.003 SU -0.046
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.91	0.92	0.08		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW 18 6-47	0.50	+233	Δ% OF R/O	-16.1	0.0	+0.7	-11.0	REF = 4 SIM = 4	0.0
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22		STORM U/S F -0.069 W 0.0 SP +0.003 SU -0.050
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.91	0.92	0.08		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		

6-47

TABLE 6-30

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF VINTMR (0.16)

**ANNUAL R/F = 50.93 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 33.02 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 18.29 IN**

6-48

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 11 2,651 SQ. KM

VINTMR (0.16)

TABLE 6-31

ANNUAL R/F = 35.81 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 26.35 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 12.82 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/14/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	5/8/68 SPRING	8/20/68 SUMMER		
RW 16	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+8.3	-1.0	+3.1	+38.8	+22.2	+2.97
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 11	STORM U/S F -0.063 W +0.010 SP -0.031 SU -0.388
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.16	0.76	0.61	0.04		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW 138	0.075	-50	Δ% OF R/O	+1.7	+0.13	+1.0	+9.0	+11.1	+0.70
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 10	STORM U/S F -0.034 W -0.003 SP -0.010 SU -0.090
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.153	0.770	0.593	0.034		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW 139	0.225	+50	Δ% OF R/O	-1.8	0.0	-1.6	-8.7	0.0	-0.60
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F -0.018 W 0.0 SP -0.016 SU -0.087
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.148	0.769	0.578	0.029		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW 17	0.30	+100	Δ% OF R/O	-3.5	+0.2	-3.1	-16.4	-11.1	-1.17
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 8	STORM U/S F -0.035 W +0.002 SP -0.031 SU -0.164
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.14	0.77	0.57	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW 18 6-49	0.50	+233	Δ% OF R/O	-7.3	-0.4	-5.9	-29.5	-11.1	-2.32
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 8	STORM U/S F -0.031 W -0.025 SP -0.002 SU -0.127
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.14	0.77	0.55	0.02		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

6-49

TABLE 6-32
SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF VINTMR +50% PERTURBATION (0.15)
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O					9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM =	STORM U/S F W SP SU
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16		
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O						
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID 13	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	-0.6	-2.6	+0.1	-2.2	0.0 9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	-0.39 STORM U/S F -0.012 W -0.052 SP +0.002 SU -0.044
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09		
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O	0.032	0.038	0.917	0.042		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW139	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	-1.4	-0.1	-0.3	-4.3	-1.25 9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 636	-0.41 STORM U/S F -0.028 W -0.002 SP -0.006 SU -0.086
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -1.13	JAN -0.06	APR -0.19	AUG -3.88		
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.097	0.979	0.486	0.061		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW139 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.4	0.0	0.0	-0.8	-2.94 9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 33	-0.13 STORM U/S F -0.008 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU -0.016
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79		
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.044	1.609	0.749	0.058		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW139 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.4	0.0	0.0	-0.5	0.0 9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 23	-0.04 STORM U/S F -0.008 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU -0.010
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21		
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.094	2.169	0.521	0.116		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW139 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	-3.7	-0.1	-0.2	-2.5	0.0 9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 4	-0.07 STORM U/S F -0.074 W -0.002 SP -0.004 SU -0.050
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22		
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.035	1.905	0.920	0.084		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW139 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	-0.2	+0.1	-0.2	-0.4	0.0 9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 40	-0.13 STORM U/S F -0.004 W +0.002 SP -0.004 SU -0.008
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95		
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.029	1.558	0.608	0.126		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW139 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	-1.8	0.0	-1.6	-8.7	0.0 9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 9	-0.060 STORM U/S F -0.018 W 0.0 SP -0.016 SU -0.087
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50		
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.148	0.769	0.578	0.029		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

TABLE 8-33

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

VINTMR -50% PERTURBATION (0.15)

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O					9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM =	STORM U/S F - W - SP - SU -
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16		
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O						
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID 12 SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	+1.1	+4.4	-0.03	+2.4	+3.3 9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.1	+0.48 STORM U/S F -0.022 W -0.088 SP +0.006 SU 0.048
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09		
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O	0.34	0.041	0.913	0.044		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW138 REGIONAL	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+1.5	+0.2	+0.6	+4.7	+3.42 9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 666	+0.47 STORM U/S F -0.030 W -0.004 SP -0.012 SU -0.094
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +1.13	JAN +0.06	APR +0.23	AUG +4.26		
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	0.982	0.490	0.067		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW138 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+0.4	+0.2	+0.2	+1.2	0.0 9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 34	+0.21 STORM U/S F -0.008 W -0.004 SP -0.004 SU -0.024
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79		
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.045	1.612	0.751	0.059		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW138 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.4	0.0	0.0	-0.5	0.0 9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 23	-0.04 STORM U/S F -0.008 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU -0.010
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21		
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.094	2.169	0.521	0.116		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW138 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	+3.7	+0.2	+0.2	+2.5	+25.0 9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 5	+0.10 STORM U/S F -0.074 W -0.004 SP -0.004 SU -0.050
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22		
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.037	1.912	0.924	0.088		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW138 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	+0.1	-0.2	+0.6	+0.6	+2.5 9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 41	+0.16 STORM U/S F -0.002 W +0.004 SP -0.012 SU -0.012
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95		
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.029	1.554	0.613	0.128		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW138 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	+1.7	+0.13	+1.0	+9.0	+11.1 9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 10	+0.70 STORM U/S F -0.034 W -0.003 SP -0.010 SU -0.090
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50		
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.153	0.770	0.593	0.034		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		




Figure 6-10. Vegetative Interception Study, Fall Storms




Figure 6-11. Vegetative Interception Study, Regional Watershed




Figure 6-12. Vegetative Interception Study, Subwatershed No. 1




Figure 6-13. Vegetative Interception Study, Subwatershed No. 3




Figure 6-14. Vegetative Interception Study, Subwatershed No. 5

6.3.4 BUZC, BASIC UPPER ZONE CAPACITY

BUZC is an index for estimating the storage capacity of the soil surface (upper zone) to store water in depression storage. In the future BUZC can be inferred from land-use classification when a relationship to average slope of watershed, forest cover, and permeability of soil is established. At present, BUZC is quantified by calibration and fine tuning.

Sensitivity analysis results (Tables 6-34 through 6-44) indicates that BUZC parameter is most influential in low flows and during the fall season. The highest unit sensitivity of 0.162 was obtained in a significant fall storm for a -50% perturbation. Plots appear in Figure 6-15.

TABLE 6-34

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BUZC (0.20)
 SMALL WATERSHED 365 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 58.23 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 24.24 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 31.38 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/27/64 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				11/4/63 FALL	1/23/64 WINTER	5/1/64 SPRING	8/14/64 SUMMER		
D022	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	—	—
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = —	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU —
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.18	2.31	1.94	—		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
S023	0.10	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	+1.2	+1.27	+0.06
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = 8.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU -0.024
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.18	2.31	1.94	0.26		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
S024	0.30	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.6	0.0	0.0	-1.3	0.0	-0.08
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = 7.9	STORM U/S F -0.012 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU 0.026
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.18	2.31	1.94	0.25		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
D025 6-58	0.40	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	—	—
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = —	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU —
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	—	—	—	—		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	3.19	1.47	8.3		

6-58

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BUZC (1.0)
SNOW WATERSHED 277 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-36

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
118	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+12.9	+9.3	+0.6	+0.1	+13.3	+0.40
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.4	STORM U/S
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		F -0.129
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.037	0.043	0.922	0.043		W -0.093
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		SP -0.006
119	2.0	+100	Δ% OF R/O	-9.0	-7.2	-0.6	-0.1	-10.0	-0.39
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.7	STORM U/S
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		F -0.090
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.030	0.037	0.911	0.043		W -0.072
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		SP -0.006
									SU -0.001

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BUZC (0.60)
REGIONAL WATERSHED 22,248 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-36

ANNUAL R/F = 41.89 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 32.90 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 15.41 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/15/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/21/68 WINTER	5/9/68 SPRING	8/11/68 SUMMER		
RW20	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+3.5	+0.4	+1.1	+3.5	+15.37	+0.95
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +2.26	JAN +0.50	APR +0.19	AUG +4.26	REF = 644 SIM = 743	STORM U/S
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		F -0.035
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.10	0.98	0.49	0.07		W -0.004
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN.)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		SP -0.011
RW22	0.30	- 50	Δ% OF R/O	+1.7	+0.2	+0.6	+1.7	+7.14	+0.48
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +1.13	JAN +0.22	APR +0.12	AUG +1.94	REF = 644 SIM = 690	STORM U/S
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		F -0.034
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.10	0.98	0.49	0.07		W -0.004
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN.)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		SP -0.012
RW21	0.90	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	-1.5	-0.2	-0.6	-1.5	-6.60	-0.44
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -1.13	JAN -0.25	APR -0.12	AUG -1.55	REF = 644 SIM = 605	STORM U/S
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		F -0.030
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.10	0.98	0.48	0.06		W -0.004
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN.)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		SP -0.012
6-59									SU -0.030

6-59

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BUZC (0.60)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 1 2,326 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-37

ANNUAL R/F = 59.30 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 40.29 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 18.33 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/25/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/10/68 SUMMER		
RW20	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+7.4	+0.3	+0.8	+7.9	+17.65	+0.88
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79		STORM U/S
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.058	REF = 34	F -0.074
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.05	1.61	0.75	0.06	SIM = 30	W -0.003
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.35	46.5		SP -0.008
RW22	0.30	-50	Δ% OF R/O	+3.6	+0.1	+0.4	+3.8	+8.32	+0.42
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79		STORM U/S
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.058	REF = 34	F -0.072
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.05	1.61	0.75	0.06	SIM = 31	W -0.002
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.35	46.5		SP -0.008
RW21	0.90	+50	Δ% OF R/O	-3.5	-0.2	-0.4	-3.5	-8.82	-0.43
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79		STORM U/S
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.058	REF = 34	F -0.070
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.61	0.75	0.06	SIM = 31	W -0.004
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.35	46.5		SP -0.008
									SU -0.070

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BUZC (0.60)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 3 913 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-38

ANNUAL R/F = 63.88 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 37.42 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 26.14 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/2/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	7/31/68 SUMMER		
RW20	0.3	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+6.1	+0.1	+1.2	+4.6	+8.70	+0.74
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117	REF = 23	F -0.061
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.10	2.17	0.52	0.12	SIM = 23	W -0.001
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		SP -0.012
RW22	0.30	50	Δ% OF R/O	+2.8	0.0	+0.6	+2.2	+4.35	+0.30
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117	REF = 23	F -0.056
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.10	2.17	0.52	0.12	SIM = 24	W -0.0
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		SP -0.012
RW21	0.80	+50	Δ% OF R/O	-2.7	0.1	-0.6	-2.0	-4.35	-0.40
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117	REF = 23	F -0.054
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.09	2.17	0.52	0.11	SIM = 22	W -0.002
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		SP -0.012
6-60									SU -0.040

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BUZC (0.60)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 5 1,064 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-39

ANNUAL R/F = 48.24 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 27.87 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 22.36 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/29/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	8/13/68 SUMMER		
RW20	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+4.3	+0.5	+0.8	+1.8	+25.0	+0.74
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 5	STORM U/S F -0.043 W -0.005 SP -0.008 SU -0.018
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.92	0.93	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW22	0.30	-50	Δ% OF R/O	+1.8	+0.2	+0.4	+0.8	+25.0	+0.34
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 5	STORM U/S F -0.036 W -0.004 SP -0.008 SU -0.016
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.91	0.93	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW21	0.90	+50	Δ% OF R/O	-1.3	-0.2	-0.4	-0.8	0.0	-0.29
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 4	STORM U/S F -0.026 W -0.004 SP -0.008 SU -0.016
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.90	0.92	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BUZC (0.60)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 7 1,111 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-40

ANNUAL R/F = 50.93 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 33.02 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 18.29 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/30/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/14/68 SUMMER		
RW20	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+15.0	+0.3	+1.3	+7.0	+12.50	+0.85
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 45	STORM U/S F -0.150 W -0.003 SP -0.013 SU -0.070
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.56	0.62	0.14		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW22	0.30	-50	Δ% OF R/O	+8.1	+0.1	+0.6	+3.4	+10.0	+0.43
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 44	STORM U/S F -0.162 W -0.002 SP -0.012 SU -0.068
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.56	0.61	0.13		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW21	0.90	+50	Δ% OF R/O	-5.4	-0.2	-0.7	-3.2	-5.0	-0.45
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 38	STORM U/S F -0.108 W -0.004 SP -0.014 SU -0.064
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.55	0.61	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BUZC (0.60)
 SUBWATERSHED NO. 11 2,551 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-41

ANNUAL R/F = 35.81 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 26.35 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 12.82 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ % PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/14/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	5/8/68 SPRING	8/20/68 SUMMER		
RW20	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+2.4	+0.6	+2.0	+2.6	+22.2	+0.92
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 11	STORM U/S F -0.024 W -0.006 SP -0.020 SU -0.026
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.77	0.60	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW22	0.30	-50	Δ% OF R/O	+1.1	+0.3	+1.0	+1.2	+11.11	+0.46
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 10	STORM U/S F -0.022 W -0.006 SP -0.020 SU -0.024
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.77	0.59	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW21 6-62	0.90	+50	Δ% OF R/O	-1.0	-0.3	-1.0	-1.1	-11.11	-0.46
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 8	STORM U/S F -0.020 W -0.006 SP -0.020 SU -0.022
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.77	0.58	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

6-62

TABLE 6-42

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BUZC -100% PERTURBATION (0.20 - 1.0)
 SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID D022 SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = —	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU —
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16		
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.265		
			PERT. R/O	0.18	2.31	1.94	—		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID 118 SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	+12.9	+9.3	+0.6	+0.1	+13.3 9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.4	+0.40 STORM U/S F -0.129 W -0.093 SP -0.006 SU -0.001
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09		
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O	0.037	0.043	0.922	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW20 REGIONAL	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+3.5	+0.4	+1.1	+3.5	+15.37 9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 734	+0.95 STORM U/S F -0.035 W -0.004 SP -0.011 SU -0.035
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +2.26	JAN +0.50	APR +0.19	AUG +4.26		
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	0.98	0.49	0.07		
			REF. MONTH-LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW20 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+7.4	+0.3	+0.8	+7.9	+17.65 9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 40	+0.88 STORM U/S F -0.074 W -0.003 SP -0.008 SU -0.079
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79		
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.05	1.61	0.76	0.06		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW20 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+6.1	+0.1	+1.2	+4.6	+8.70 9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 25	+0.70 STORM U/S F -0.061 W -0.001 SP -0.012 SU -0.046
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21		
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	2.17	0.53	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW20 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	+4.3	+0.5	+0.8	+1.8	+25.0 9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 5	+0.74 STORM U/S F -0.043 W -0.005 SP -0.008 SU -0.018
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22		
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.92	0.93	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW20 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	+15.0	+0.3	+1.3	+7.0	+12.50 9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 45	+0.85 STORM U/S F -0.150 W -0.003 SP -0.013 SU -0.070
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95		
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.03	1.56	0.82	0.14		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW20 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	+2.4	+0.6	+2.0	+2.6	+22.2 9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 11	+0.92 STORM U/S F -0.024 W -0.006 SP -0.020 SU -0.026
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50		
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.15	0.77	0.60	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

TABLE 6-43

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS**

BUZC -50% PERTURBATION (0.20 - 1.0)

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID S023 SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	+1.2	+1.27	+0.06
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = 8.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU-0.024
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	0.18	2.31	1.94	0.26		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID SNOW SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O						
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM =	STORM U/S F W SP SU
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O						
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW22 REGIONAL	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+1.7	+0.2	+0.6	+1.7	+7.14	+0.48
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +1.13	JAN +0.22	APR +0.12	AUG +1.94	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 690	STORM U/S F -0.034 W -0.004 SP -0.012 SU -0.034
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	0.98	0.49	0.07		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW22 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+3.6	+0.1	+0.4	+3.8	+8.82	+0.42
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 37	STORM U/S F -0.072 W -0.002 SP -0.008 SU -0.076
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.05	1.61	0.75	0.06		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW22 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+2.8	0.0	+0.6	+2.2	+4.35	+0.30
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 24	STORM U/S F -0.056 W 0.0 SP -0.012 SU -0.044
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	2.17	0.52	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW22 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	+1.8	+0.2	+0.4	+0.8	+25.0	+0.34
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 5	STORM U/S F -0.036 W -0.004 SP -0.008 SU -0.016
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.91	0.93	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW22 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	+8.1	+0.1	+0.6	+3.4	+10.0	+0.43
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 44	STORM U/S F -0.162 W -0.002 SP -0.012 SU -0.068
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.03	1.56	0.61	0.13		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW22 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	+1.1	+0.3	+1.0	+1.2	+11.11	+0.46
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 10	STORM U/S F -0.022 W -0.006 SP -0.020 SU -0.024
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.15	0.77	0.59	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

TABLE 8-44
SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID S024	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	+0.6	0.0	0.0	-1.3	0.0	-0.08
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = 7.9	STORM U/S F -0.012 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU 0.026
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	0.18	2.31	1.94	0.25		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O					9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM =	STORM U/S F W SP SU
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09		
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O						
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW21	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	-1.5	-0.2	-0.6	-1.5	-6.06	-0.44
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -1.18	JAN -0.25	APR -0.12	AUG -1.55	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 605	STORM U/S F -0.030 W -0.004 SP -0.012 SU -0.030
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	0.98	0.48	0.06		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW21 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	-3.5	-0.2	-0.4	-3.5	-8.82	-0.46
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 31	STORM U/S F -0.070 W -0.004 SP -0.008 SU -0.070
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.61	0.75	0.06		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW21 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	-2.7	-0.1	-0.6	-2.0	-4.35	-0.40
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 22	STORM U/S F -0.054 W -0.002 SP -0.012 SU -0.040
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.09	2.17	0.52	0.11		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW21 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	-1.3	-0.2	-0.4	-0.8	0.0	-0.29
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 4	STORM U/S F -0.026 W -0.004 SP -0.008 SU -0.016
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.90	0.92	0.90		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW21 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	-5.4	-0.2	-0.7	-3.2	-5.0	-0.45
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 38	STORM U/S F -0.108 W -0.004 SP -0.014 SU -0.064
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.03	1.55	0.61	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW21 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	-1.0	-0.3	-1.0	-1.1	-11.11	-0.46
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 8	STORM U/S F -0.020 W -0.006 SP -0.020 SU -0.022
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.15	0.77	0.58	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		




Figure 6-15. Basic Upper Zone Storage Study, Summer Storms

6.3.5 SUZC, SEASONAL UPPER ZONE CAPACITY FACTOR

SUZC is an index for estimating soil surface moisture storage capacity. Its primary purpose is to adjust the BUZC index for seasonal variation to account for increases caused by summer vegetation and cultivation. BUZC and SUZC are used to compute the upper soil zone nominal storage capacity (UZC).

$$UZC = SUZC (AEX90) + BUZC (e^{-2.7 \frac{LZS}{LZC}})$$

where AEX90 is an antecedent evaporation index, LZS/LZC is an index of the moisture content of the underlying soil.

The parameter LUZC can be inferred from land-use classification once a relationship similar to that maintained for BUZC is established. At present, it is quantified by calibration and fine tuning.

SUZC is a very influential parameter in low flows and during the summer season. In an example in subwatershed 1 the unit sensitivity in low flows is 4.52 and in a significant summer storm it is 1.8 for a -50% perturbation of the parameter. Results are shown in Tables 6-45 through 6-55 and Figure 6-16.

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF SUZC (0.20)
SMALL WATERSHED 365 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-46

ANNUAL R/F = 58.23 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 24.24 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 31.38 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/27/64 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				11/4/63 FALL	1/23/64 WINTER	5/1/64 SPRING	8/14/64 SUMMER		
D027	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	-----	-----	-----
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = ---	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU ---
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.18	2.31	1.94	-----		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
S028	0.10	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	+3.7	+2.53	+0.37
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16		STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU -0.074
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.18	2.31	1.94	0.26		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
S029	0.30	+50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	0.0	-1.7	-12.3	-5.06	-1.88
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16		STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP -0.034 SU -0.246
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.18	2.31	1.90	0.22		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
D030	0.40	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-8.57	0.0	-3.61	-----	-----	-----
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = ---	STORM U/S F - 0.086 W 0.0 SP - 0.036 SU
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.16	2.31	1.87	-----		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	3.19	1.47	8.3		

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF SUZC (1.5)
SNOW WATERSHED 277 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-46

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
120	0.0	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+34.3	+10.9	+7.7	+42.7	400.0	+ 15.41
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09		STORM U/S F - 0.343 W - 0.109 SP - 0.077 SU - 0.427
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.044	0.044	0.987	0.062		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
121 6-68	3.0	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-15.8	-15.0	-12.9	-7.2	-33.33	- 9.98
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09		STORM U/S F - 0.158 W - 0.150 SP - 0.129 SU - 0.072
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.028	0.033	0.798	0.040		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		

6-68

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF SUZC (0.40)
REGIONAL WATERSHED 22,248 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-47

ANNUAL R/F = 41.89 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 32.90 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 15.41 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/15/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/21/68 WINTER	5/9/68 SPRING	8/11/68 SUMMER		
RW24	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+9.1	+0.8	+14.9	+79.6	REF = 644 SIM = 2586	+9.91
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +4.52	JAN +1.18	APR +0.54	AUG +98.45		STORM U/S F -0.091 W -0.008 SP -0.149 SU -0.796
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.11	0.99	0.56	0.12		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW26	0.20	- 50	Δ% OF R/O	+5.9	+0.5	+14.7	+31.1	REF = 644 SIM = 1294	+5.67
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +2.82	JAN +0.74	APR +0.46	AUG +36.82		STORM U/S F -0.118 W -0.010 SP -0.294 SU -0.622
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.10	0.99	0.56	0.08		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW25	0.60	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	-3.8	-0.3	-13.8	-12.2	REF = 644 SIM = 413	-4.11
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -1.69	JAN -0.44	APR -2.96	AUG -14.34		STORM U/S F -0.076 W -0.006 SP -0.276 SU -0.244
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.10	0.98	0.42	0.06		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW27 6-69	0.95	+138	Δ% OF R/O	-8.1	-0.4	-32.1	-21.5	REF = 644 SIM = 228	-10.40
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -3.95	JAN -1.29	APR -9.65	AUG -25.19		STORM U/S F -0.059 W -0.003 SP -0.233 SU -0.156
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.093	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.09	0.98	0.33	0.05		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		

6 ~ 69

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF SUZC (0.40)

SUBWATERSHED NO. 1 2,326 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-48

ANNUAL R/F = 59.30 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 40.29 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 19.63 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ % PERTUR BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/25/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/18/68 SUMMER		
RW24	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+32.3	+1.0	+15.4	+319.0	+891.18	+14.85
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 337	STORM U/S F -0.323 W -0.010 SP -0.154 SU -0.319
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.06	1.63	0.86	0.25		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW26	0.20	- 50	Δ% OF R/O	+19.9	+0.6	+14.3	+90.2	+226.47	+6.89
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 111	STORM U/S F -0.398 W -0.012 SP -0.286 SU -1.804
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.05	1.62	0.86	0.11		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW25	0.60	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	-11.1	-0.2	-11.4	-34.9	-50.0	-5.33
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 17	STORM U/S F -0.222 W -0.004 SP -0.228 SU -0.698
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.61	0.66	0.04		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW27 6-70	0.95	+138	Δ% OF R/O	-23.5	-0.9	-26.5	-55.2	-67.65	-12.80
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 11	STORM U/S F -0.470 W -0.018 SP -0.530 SU -1.104
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.59	0.55	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		

6-70

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF SUZC (0.40)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 3 813 SQ. KM

TABLE 8-49

ANNUAL R/F = 63.88 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET ≈ 37.42 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 26.14 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ % PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/2/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	7/31/68 SUMMER		
RW24	0.0	- 100	Δ% OF R/O	+24.7	+0.4	+27.8	+153.6	REF = 23 SIM = 358	+1456.52
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		+12.87
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		STORM U/S F -0.247 W -0.004 SP -0.278 SU -1.536
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.12	2.18	0.67	0.30		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW26	0.20	- 50	Δ% OF R/O	+14.4	+0.3	+27.7	+49.7	REF = 23 SIM = 43	+86.96
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		+7.06
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		STORM U/S F -0.288 W -0.006 SP -0.554 WU -0.994
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.11	2.18	0.67	0.18		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW25	0.60	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	-9.4	0.0	-17.5	-18.0	REF = 23 SIM = 15	-34.78
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		-4.97
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		STORM U/S F -0.188 W 0.0 SP -0.350 SU -0.360
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.09	2.17	0.43	0.10		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW27 6-71	0.95	+138	Δ% OF R/O	-19.6	+0.3	-35.7	-28.4	REF = 23 SIM = 11	-52.17
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -0.142 W +0.002 SP -0.259 SU -0.206
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.08	2.18	0.34	0.08		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		

6-71

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 5**

SUZC (0.40)

1,064 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-50

ANNUAL R/F = 48.24 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 27.87 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 22.36 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/29/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	8/13/68 SUMMER		
RW24	0.0	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+8.7	+0.8	+12.1	+21.8	250.0	+5.19
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22		REF = 4 SIM = 14
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.92	1.03	0.10		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW26	0.20	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+3.9	+0.5	+12.0	+9.4	+100.0	+3.66
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22		REF = 4 SIM = 8
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.92	1.03	0.091		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW25	0.60	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-2.1	-0.4	-13.3	-5.5	-25.0	-3.33
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22		REF = 4 SIM = 3
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.90	0.80	0.08		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW27	0.95	+138	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-3.9	-0.1	-28.7	-10.1	-50.0	-7.85
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22		REF = 4 SIM = 2
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.90	0.65	0.08		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		

6-72

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF SUZC (0.40)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 7 1,111 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-51

ANNUAL R/F = 50.93 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 33.02 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 18.29 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/30/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/14/68 SUMMER		
RW24	0.0	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+35.7	+0.7	+13.3	+110.3	+167.5	+9.48
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 107	STORM U/S F -0.357 W -0.007 SP -0.133 SU -1.103
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.57	0.69	0.27		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW26	0.20	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+24.4	+0.5	+13.2	+54.1	+77.5	+5.99
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 71	STORM U/S F -0.488 W -0.010 SP -0.264 SU -1.082
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.56	0.69	0.20		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW25	0.60	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-15.0	-0.3	-15.0	-28.2	-32.5	-4.68
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 27	STORM U/S F -0.300 W -0.006 SP -0.300 SU -0.564
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.02	1.55	0.52	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW27	0.95	+138	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-32.9	-0.4	-34.4	-56.9	-62.5	-11.56
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 15	STORM U/S F -0.238 W -0.003 SP -0.249 SU -0.412
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.02	1.55	0.40	0.05		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF SUZC (0.40)
 SUBWATERSHED NO. 11 2,551 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-52

ANNUAL R/F = 35.81 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 26.35 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 12.82 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ % PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/14/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	5/8/68 SPRING	8/20/68 SUMMER		
RW24	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+3.1	+0.7	+20.5	+53.5	+400.0	+5.79
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 45	STORM U/S F -0.031 W -0.007 SP -0.205 SU -0.535
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.77	0.71	0.05		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW26	0.20	- 50	Δ% OF R/O	+1.9	+0.5	+20.4	+23.6	+144.4	+4.03
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 22	STORM U/S F -0.038 W -0.010 SP -0.408 SU -0.472
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.77	0.71	0.04		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW25	0.60	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	-1.3	-0.4	-17.7	-7.2	-22.2	-3.14
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 7	STORM U/S F -0.026 W -0.008 SP -0.354 SU -0.144
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.77	0.48	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW27	0.95	+138	Δ% OF R/O	-2.7	-0.3	-37.7	-12.5	-33.33	-7.88
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 6	STORM U/S F -0.020 W -0.002 SP -0.273 SU -0.091
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.77	0.37	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
6-74									

6-74

TABLE 6-53
SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUZC -100% PERTURBATION (0.20 - 1.5)
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID D027	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = -	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU --
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	0.18	2.31	1.94	-		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID 120	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	+34.3	+10.9	+7.7	+42.7	+400.0	+15.41
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM = 15.0	STORM U/S F -0.343 W -0.109 SP -0.077 SU -0.427
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O	0.044	0.044	0.987	0.062		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	81	--	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW24	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+9.1	+0.8	+14.9	+79.6	+301.6	+9.81
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +4.52	JAN +1.18	APR +0.54	AUG +98.45	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 2586	STORM U/S F -0.091 W -0.008 SP -0.149 SU -0.796
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.11	0.99	0.56	0.12		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW24	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+32.3	+1.0	+15.4	+319.0	+891.18	+14.85
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 337	STORM U/S F -0.323 W -0.010 SP -0.154 SU -0.319
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.06	1.63	0.86	0.25		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW24	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+24.7	+0.4	+27.8	+163.6	+1456.52	+12.87
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 358	STORM U/S F -0.247 W -0.004 SP -0.278 SU -1.536
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.12	2.18	0.67	0.30		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW24	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	+8.7	+0.8	+12.1	+21.8	+250.0	+5.19
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 14	STORM U/S F -0.087 W -0.008 SP -0.121 SU -0.218
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.92	1.03	0.10		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW24	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	+35.7	+0.7	+13.3	+110.3	+167.5	+9.48
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 107	STORM U/S F -0.357 W -0.007 SP -0.133 SU -1.103
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.57	0.69	0.27		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW24	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	+3.1	+0.7	+20.5	+53.5	+400.0	+5.79
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 45	STORM U/S F -0.031 W -0.007 SP -0.205 SU -0.535
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.15	0.77	0.71	0.05		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

TABLE 6-54
SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID S028	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	+3.7	+2.53	+0.37
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = 8.1	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU -0.074
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	0.18	2.31	1.94	0.26		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O						
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM =	STORM U/S F W SP SU
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O						
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW26	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+5.9	+0.5	+14.7	+31.1	+100.9	+5.67
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +2.82	JAN +0.74	APR +0.46	AUG +36.82	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 1294	STORM U/S F -0.118 W -0.010 SP -0.294 SU -0.622
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	0.99	0.56	0.08		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW26 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+19.9	+0.6	+14.3	+90.2	+226.47	+6.89
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 111	STORM U/S F -0.398 W -0.012 SP -0.286 SU -1.804
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.05	1.62	0.86	0.11		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW26 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+14.4	+0.3	+27.7	+49.7	+86.96	+7.06
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 43	STORM U/S F -0.288 W -0.006 SP -0.554 SU -0.994
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.11	2.18	0.67	0.18		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW26 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	+3.9	+0.5	+12.0	+9.4	+100.0	+3.66
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 8	STORM U/S F -0.078 W -0.010 SP -0.240 SU -0.188
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.92	1.03	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW26 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	+24.4	+0.5	+13.2	+54.1	+77.5	+5.99
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 71	STORM U/S F -0.488 W -0.010 SP -0.264 SU -1.082
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.56	0.69	0.20		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW26 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	-1.3	-0.4	-17.7	-7.2	-22.2	-3.14
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 7	STORM U/S F -0.038 W -0.010 SP -0.408 SU -0.472
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.15	0.77	0.48	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS**

TABLE 6-55
SUZC +50% PERTURBATION (0.20 - 1.5)

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID S029 SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	-1.7	-12.3	-5.06	-1.88
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = 7.5	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP -0.034 SU -0.246
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	0.18	2.31	1.90	0.22		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID SNOW SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O						
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM =	STORM U/S F W SP SU
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O						
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW25 REGIONAL	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	-3.8	-0.3	-13.8	-12.2	-35.87	-4.11
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -1.69	JAN -0.44	APR -2.96	AUG -14.34	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 413	STORM U/S F -0.076 W -0.006 SP -0.276 SU -0.244
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	0.98	0.42	0.06		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW25 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	-11.1	-0.2	-11.4	-34.9	-50.0	-5.33
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 17	STORM U/S F -0.222 W -0.004 SP -0.228 SU -0.698
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.61	0.66	0.04		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW25 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	-9.4	0.0	-17.5	-18.0	-34.78	-4.97
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 15	STORM U/S F -0.188 W 0.0 SP -0.350 SU -0.360
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.09	2.17	0.43	0.10		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW25 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	-2.1	-0.4	-13.3	-5.5	-25.0	-3.33
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 3	STORM U/S F -0.042 W -0.008 SP -0.266 SU -0.110
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.90	0.80	0.08		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW25 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	-15.0	-0.3	-15.0	-28.2	-32.5	-4.68
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 27	STORM U/S F -0.300 W -0.006 SP -0.300 SU -0.564
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.657	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.02	1.65	0.52	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW25 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	-1.3	-0.4	-17.7	-7.2	-22.2	-3.14
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 7	STORM U/S F -0.026 W -0.008 SP -0.354 SU -0.144
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.15	0.77	0.48	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		




Figure 6-16. Seasonal Upper Zone Storage Study, Summer Storms

6.3.6 LZC, LOWER ZONE CAPACITY

LZC is the soil moisture storage capacity index which approximately equals the average water holding capacity of the soil. A larger ratio of soil moisture to capacity decreases the rate of infiltration, increases the availability of moisture to plant roots for evapotranspiration, and means more of the incoming moisture will percolate to groundwater. The percentage of either direct or delayed infiltration that enters the groundwater storage (the rest of the water is held in the soil) is a function of the dimensionless storage ratio Lzs/Lzc, where Lzs is the quantity of water in the lower zone storage and Lzc is the storage level at which fifty percent of all incoming moisture moves to groundwater storage.

Ross states that decreasing LZC in the model has the same effect as would reducing the ability of the soil to store water. Thus, the total synthesized runoff will increase. The sensitivity analysis that decreases LZC in the model increases the total annual flow, and the flows during fall, winter and spring. But summer flows and low flows are all diminished; this occurs in all watersheds analyzed. The explanation is in the calculations of CMIR which is the current maximum infiltration rate during the period of calculations.

$$CMIR = \frac{1}{4} SIAM * BMIR / 2^{**}(4.*LZSR)$$

where $LZSR = \frac{Lzs}{Lzc}$

As an example, consider subwatershed 7 with -50% perturbation in LZC (7.0 is the reference value).

	<u>August 15</u>	<u>November 5</u>
Lzs	1.0	3.0
Lzc	3.5	3.5
BMIR	12.0	12.0
SIAM	1.6	1.02
Lzs/Lzc	0.29	0.86

August 15 $CMIR = \frac{1}{4} \times 1.6 \times 12.0 / 2^{**} 1.14$
 $= 4.8 / 2.2 = 2.18$

November 5 $CMIR = \frac{1}{4} \times 1.02 \times 12.0 / 2^{**3.44}$
 $= 3.06 / 10.85 = 0.28$

The above calculations explain the change in polarity of the summer flows.

The parameter LZC can be inferred from land-use classification once a relationship of soil associations, slope of watershed, type and density of vegetation and forest cover is related to LZC. At present, it is quantified by calibration and manual adjustment.

Sensitivity analysis results indicate that LZC is a very influential parameter for all seasons. The unit sensitivity is 1.4 for the fall seasons and 0.5 for the winter seasons. Results are shown in Tables 6-56 through 6-65 and Figure 6-17.

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL WATERSHED** LZC (4.0)
 365 SQ. KM

TABLE 8-66

ANNUAL R/F = 58.23 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 24.24 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 31.38 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/27/84 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				11/4/63 FALL	1/23/64 WINTER	5/1/64 SPRING	8/14/64 SUMMER		
D032	0.001	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+1151.4	+24.9	+7.1	-	-	-
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = -	STORM U/S F -11.514 W - 0.249 SP - 0.071 SU -
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	2.19	2.89	2.08	-		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
S033	2.0	- 50	Δ% OF R/O	+300.2	+13.1	+1.8	-33.4	-16.46	+7.34
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = 6.6	STORM U/S F -6.004 W -0.261 SP -0.036 SU +0.668
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.70	2.62	1.97	0.17		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
S034	6.0	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	-53.6	-12.7	-2.9	+32.7	+16.46	-6.82
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = 9.2	STORM U/S F -1.072 W -0.254 SP -0.058 SU +0.654
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.08	2.02	1.88	0.34		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
D035	8.0	+100	Δ% OF R/O	-69.9	-24.7	-5.9	-	-	-
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = -	STORM U/S F -0.699 W -0.247 SP -0.059 SU -
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.05	1.74	1.82	-		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	3.19	1.47	8.3		

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SNOW WATERSHED** L2C (6.0)
277 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-57

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.78 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR- BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/58	4/21/58	5/10/58	8/3/58		
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
58	3.0	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+93.7	+82.9	+43.4	+7.0	-20.0	+33.0
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.6	STORM U/S F -1.874 W -1.658 SP -0.836 SU -0.014
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.035	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.063	0.072	1.314	0.065		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
59	4.8	- 20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+18.2	+27.9	+16.9	+3.5	-6.67	+12.18
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.6	STORM U/S F -0.810 W -1.400 SP -0.750 SU -0.173
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.038	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.038	0.050	1.032	0.045		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
60	7.2	+ 20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-8.4	-28.1	-12.4	-4.2	+3.33	-10.85
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.1	STORM U/S F -0.420 W -1.460 SP -0.670 SU -0.210
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.030	0.028	0.794	0.041		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
61	9.0	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-14.7	-55.7	-31.9	-11.7	+3.33	-25.3
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.1	STORM U/S F -0.290 W -1.110 SP -0.340 SU -0.230
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.028	0.017	0.324	0.038		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		

6-82

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
REGIONAL WATERSHED 22,248 SQ. KM**

TABLE 6-68
LZC (7.0)

ANNUAL R/F = 41.89 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 32.90 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 15.41 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/15/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/21/68 WINTER	5/9/68 SPRING	8/11/68 SUMMER		
31	3.5	- 50	Δ% OF R/O	+35.2	+23.0	+2.0	-14.2	REF = 644 SIM = 469	+18.11
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +15.25	JAN +27.02	APR +9.12	AUG -17.05		STORM U/S F -0.704 W -0.460 SP -0.040 SU +0.284
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.134	1.205	0.497	0.055		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
30	6.3	- 10	Δ% OF R/O	+2.9	+4.5	+0.9	-2.6	REF = 644 SIM = 595	+3.42
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +1.13	JAN +5.34	APR +1.88	AUG -3.10		STORM U/S F -0.290 W -0.450 SP -0.090 SU +0.260
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.10	1.02	0.49	0.06		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
28	7.7	+ 10	Δ% OF R/O	-2.1	-4.5	-1.1	+2.3	REF = 644 SIM = 691	-3.34
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -1.13	JAN -5.28	APR -1.96	AUG +2.71		STORM U/S F -0.210 W -0.450 SP -0.110 SU +0.230
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.94	0.48	0.07		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
29	10.5	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	-7.0	-21.7	-6.3	+8.0	REF = 644 SIM = 851	-15.76
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -2.82	JAN -25.4	APR -10.0	AUG +10.47		STORM U/S F -0.140 W -0.434 SP -0.126 SU +0.160
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.092	0.767	0.457	0.069		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
6-83									

6-83

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF LZC (6.0)
 SUBWATERSHED NO. 1 2,326 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-59

ANNUAL R/F = 59.30 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 40.29 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 19.63 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ % PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/25/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/18/68 SUMMER		
31	3.0	- 50	Δ % OF R/O	+89.5	+25.1	-1.4	-36.4	-50.0	+15.83
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 17	STORM U/S F -1.790 W -0.502 SP +0.028 SU +0.728
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.085	2.013	0.738	0.037		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
30	5.4	- 10	Δ % OF R/O	+7.7	+4.8	+0.6	-7.4	-14.71	+3.11
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 29	STORM U/S F -0.770 W -0.480 SP -0.060 SU +0.740
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.05	1.69	0.75	0.05		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
28	6.6	+ 10	Δ % OF R/O	-5.9	-4.8	-0.9	+6.9	+14.71	-3.03
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 39	STORM U/S F -0.590 W -0.480 SP -0.090 SU +0.690
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.63	0.74	0.06		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
29	9.0	+ 50	Δ % OF R/O	-19.5	-23.1	-5.8	+28.3	+61.76	-14.67
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 55	STORM U/S F -0.390 W -0.462 SP -0.116 SU +0.566
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.237	0.706	0.075		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
6-84									

6-84

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF LZO (6.0)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 3 813 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-60

ANNUAL R/F = 63.88 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 37.42 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 26.14 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/2/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	7/31/68 SUMMER		
RW 31	3.0	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+90.6	+16.2	+0.3	-19.8	REF = 23 SIM = 14	+12.96
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -1.812 W -0.324 SP -0.006 SU +0.396
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.181	2.521	0.523	0.094		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW 30	5.4	- 10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+7.8	+3.1	+0.8	-4.3	REF = 23 SIM = 21	+2.51
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -0.780 W -0.310 SP -0.080 WU +0.430
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.10	2.24	0.53	0.11		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW 28	6.6	+ 10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-5.8	-3.0	-0.9	+4.1	REF = 23 SIM = 25	-2.48
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -0.580 W -0.300 SP -0.090 SU +0.410
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.09	2.10	0.52	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW 29	9.0	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-18.7	-14.8	-5.6	+17.4	REF = 23 SIM = 31	-11.95
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -0.374 W -0.296 SP -0.112 SU +0.348
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.077	1.848	0.492	0.138		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
6-85									

b-85

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 5**

LZC (8.0)

TABLE 6-61

ANNUAL R/F = 48.24 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 27.87 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 22.36 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/29/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	8/13/68 SUMMER		
RW 31	3.0	- 50	Δ% OF R/O	+9.6	+23.8	+2.5	-5.3	-25.0	+15.24
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 3	STORM U/S F -0.192 W -0.472 SP -0.050 SU +0.106
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.039	2.358	0.844	0.082		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW 30	5.4	- 10	Δ% OF R/O	+0.9	+4.5	+0.9	-1.2	0.0	+2.98
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 4	STORM U/S F -0.090 W -0.450 SP -0.090 SU +0.024
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.99	0.93	0.9		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW 28	8.6	+ 10	Δ% OF R/O	-0.7	-4.4	-1.1	+1.2	+25.0	-2.92
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 5	STORM U/S F -0.070 W -0.440 SP -0.110 SU +0.120
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.82	0.91	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW 29	9.0	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	-2.3	-20.9	-6.1	+5.6	+75.0	-13.85
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 7	STORM U/S F -0.046 W -0.418 SP -0.122 SU +0.112
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.508	0.865	0.091		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		

6 - 86

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 7**

LZC (7.0)

1,111 SQ. KM.

TABLE 6-62

ANNUAL R/F = 50.93 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 33.02 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 18.29 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/30/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/14/68 SUMMER		
RW 31	3.6	- 50	Δ% OF R/O	+185.6	+30.3	+9.2	-39.9	-42.50	+19.58
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 23	STORM U/S F -3.710 W -0.606 SP -0.184 SU +0.798
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.084	2.029	0.666	0.076		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW 30	6.3	- 10	Δ% OF R/O	+14.9	+5.6	+2.1	-8.0	-7.50	+3.68
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 37	STORM U/S F -1.490 W -0.112 SP -0.042 SU +0.800
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.64	0.62	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW 28	7.7	+ 10	Δ% OF R/O	-9.1	-5.6	-2.3	+7.3	+7.50	-3.63
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 43	STORM U/S F -0.910 W -0.560 SP -0.230 SU +0.730
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.47	0.60	0.14		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW 29 6-87	10.5	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	-29.2	-26.2	-11.4	+28.5	+30.0	-17.31
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 52	STORM U/S F -0.584 W -0.524 SP -0.228 SU +0.570
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.021	1.149	0.540	0.163		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		

6 - 87

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 11**

LZC (6.0)

2,551 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-63

ANNUAL R/F = 35.81 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 26.35 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 12.82 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ % PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/14/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	5/8/68 SPRING	8/20/68 SUMMER		
RW 31	3.0	-50	Δ% OF R/O	+11.4	+31.7	+6.4	-11.3	-33.33	+21.92
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 6	STORM U/S F -0.228 W -0.634 SP -0.128 SU +0.226
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.167	1.013	0.625	0.028		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW 30	5.4	+ 10	Δ% OF R/O	+0.9	+5.9	+1.7	-1.6	-11.11	+4.13
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 8	STORM U/S F -0.090 W -0.118 SP -0.034 SU +0.032
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.82	0.60	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW 28	6.6	+ 10	Δ% OF R/O	-0.7	-5.9	-1.8	+1.2	+11.11	-3.99
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 10	STORM U/S F -0.070 W -0.118 SP -0.036 SU +0.024
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.72	0.58	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW 29 6-88	9.0	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	-2.2	-28.7	-9.8	+2.9	+33.33	-18.46
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 12	STORM U/S F -0.044 W -0.574 SP -0.196 SU -0.058
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.147	0.546	0.530	0.032		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

6-88

TABLE 8-64
SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF LZO -50% PERTURBATION (4.0 - 7.0)
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID S033	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	+300.2	+13.1	+1.8	-33.4	-16.46	+7.34
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9	STORM U/S F -6.004
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		W -0.261
			PERT. R/O	0.70	2.62	1.97	0.17	SIM = 6.6 SU +0.668	SP -0.036
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		SU +0.668
RUN ID 58	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	+93.7	+82.9	+43.4	+7.0	-20.0	+33.0
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0	STORM U/S F -1.874
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		W -1.658
			PERT. R/O	0.063	0.072	1.314	0.046	SIM = 2.4 SU -0.014	SP -0.868
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		SU -0.014
RUN ID RW31	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+35.2	+23.0	+2.0	-14.2	-27.17	+18.11
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +15.25	JAN +27.02	APR +9.12	AUG -17.05	9/15/68 REF = 644	STORM U/S F -0.204
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		W -0.460
			PERT. R/O	0.134	1.205	0.497	0.055	SIM = 469 SU -0.284	SP -0.040
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		SU -0.284
RUN ID RW31 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+89.5	+25.1	-1.4	-36.4	-50.0	+15.83
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34	STORM U/S F -1.790
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		W -0.502
			PERT. R/O	0.085	2.013	0.738	0.037	SIM = 17 SU +0.728	SP +0.028
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		SU +0.728
RUN ID RW31 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+90.6	+16.2	+0.3	-19.8	-39.13	+12.96
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23	STORM U/S F -1.812
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		W -0.324
			PERT. R/O	0.181	2.521	0.523	0.094	SIM = 14 SU +0.396	SP -0.006
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		SU +0.396
RUN ID RW31 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	+9.6	+23.6	+2.5	-5.3	-25.0	+15.24
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4	STORM U/S F -0.192
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		W -0.472
			PERT. R/O	0.039	2.358	0.944	0.082	SIM = 3 SU +0.106	SP -0.050
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		SU +0.106
RUN ID RW31 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	+185.5	+30.3	+9.2	-39.9	-42.50	+19.58
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40	STORM U/S F -3.710
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		W -0.606
			PERT. R/O	0.084	2.029	0.666	0.076	SIM = 23 SU +0.798	SP -0.184
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		SU +0.798
RUN ID RW31 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	+11.4	+31.7	+6.4	-11.3	-33.33	+21.92
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9	STORM U/S F -0.228
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		W -0.634
			PERT. R/O	0.167	1.013	0.625	0.028	SIM = 6 SU +0.226	SP -0.128
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		SU +0.226

TABLE 6-85

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF

SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

LZC +50% PERTURBATION (4.0 - 7.0)

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID S034 SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	-53.6	-12.7	-2.9	+32.7	+16.46	-6.82
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = 9.2	STORM U/S F -1,072 W -0.254 SP -0.058 SU +0.654
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	0.08	2.02	1.88	0.34		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID 61 SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	-14.7	-55.7	-31.9	-11.7	+3.33	-25.3
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.1	STORM U/S F -0.290 W -1.110 SP -0.640 SU -0.230
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O	0.028	0.017	0.624	0.038		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW29 REGIONAL	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	-7.0	-21.7	-6.3	+8.0	+32.14	-15.76
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -2.82	JAN -25.4	APR -10.0	AUG +10.47	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 851	STORM U/S F -0.140 W -0.434 SP -0.126 SU +0.160
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.092	0.767	0.457	0.069		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW29 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	-19.5	-23.1	-5.8	+28.3	+61.76	-14.67
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 55	STORM U/S F -0.390 W -0.462 SP -0.116 SU +0.566
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.036	1.237	0.706	0.075		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW29 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	-18.7	-14.8	-5.6	+17.4	+34.78	-11.95
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 31	STORM U/S F -0.374 W -0.296 SP -0.112 SU +0.348
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.077	1.848	0.492	0.138		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW29 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	-2.3	-20.9	-6.1	+5.6	+75.0	-13.85
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 7	STORM U/S F -0.046 W -0.418 SP -0.122 SU +0.112
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.035	1.508	0.865	0.091		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW29 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	-29.2	-26.2	-11.4	+28.5	+30.0	-17.31
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 52	STORM U/S F -0.584 W -0.524 SP -0.228 SU +0.570
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.021	1.149	0.540	0.163		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW29 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	-2.2	-28.7	-9.8	+2.9	+33.33	-18.46
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 12	STORM U/S F -0.044 W -0.574 SP -0.196 SU -0.058
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.147	0.548	0.530	0.032		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

1691




Figure 6-17. Lower Zone Storage Capacity Study, Winter Storms

6.3.7 ETLF, EVAPOTRANSPIRATION LOSS FACTOR

ETLF is an index used to estimate the maximum rate of evapotranspiration which could occur within the watershed under current conditions of soil moisture content. This maximum rate is then used to estimate current actual evapotranspiration. A higher value of ETLF should be used for watersheds containing many large trees because transpiration will continue from trees long after more shallow rooted vegetation withers. The parameter ETLF logically relates to verland slope and forest cover. Forest cover enters because trees are the primary deep rooted plants able to keep transpiration continuing during long dry periods, and slope enters because moisture would normally drain faster by gravity from steeper slopes and thus be available for a lesser time to plants for transpiration. Watersheds with steep slopes are also more likely to have large areas in shaded north slopes where evaporation rates are lower.

The parameter ETLF can be indirectly obtainable from land-use classification after a good relationship between slope and forest cover to ETLF is established. It is presently quantified by calibration and manual adjustment.

Sensitivity analysis results indicate that ETLF is influential throughout the year, but during summer flows and low flows it is very sensitive. The average unit sensitivity is 0.14 for the fall seasons and 1.03 for the summer seasons. Results are shown in Tables 6-66 through 6-75 and Figure 6-18.

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF ETLF (0.20)
SMALL WATERSHED 366 SQ. KM.

TABLE 8-68

ANNUAL R/F = 58.23 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 24.24 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 31.38 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/27/64 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				11/4/63 FALL	1/23/64 WINTER	5/1/64 SPRING	8/14/64 SUMMER		
D036	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+24.8	+1.6	+3.5	---	---	---
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = ---	STORM U/S F -0.246 W -0.016 SP -0.035 SU ---
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.22	2.35	2.01	---		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
S037	0.10	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+9.4	+0.4	+1.1	+89.7	+43.0	+4.68
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = 11.3	STORM U/S F -0.188 W -0.008 SP -0.022 SU -1.794
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.19	2.32	1.96	0.48		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
S038	0.30	+50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-5.9	-0.2	-0.4	-30.2	-20.3	-2.17
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = 6.3	STORM U/S F +0.118 W +0.004 SP +0.008 SU +0.604
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255*		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.17	2.31	1.93	0.18		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
D039	0.40	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-9.8	-0.4	-0.6	---	---	---
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = ---	STORM U/S F -0.098 W -0.004 SP -0.006 SU ---
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.18	2.30	1.93	---		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	3.19	1.47	8.3		
D040	1.0	+400	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-16.6	-0.7	-1.0	---	---	---
			STORM R/F	3.13	1.38	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = ---	STORM U/S F -0.042 W -0.002 SP -0.002 SU ---
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.15	2.30	1.92	---		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		

6-93

6-93

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SNOW WATERSHED**

ETLF (0.30)

277 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-87

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
RW16	0.15	-50	Δ% OF R/O	+1.6	+2.0	+0.8	+4.2	+113.3	+2.4
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 6.4	STORM U/S F -0.032 W -0.040 SP -0.016 SU -0.084
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.040	0.923	0.046		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
RW17	0.225	-25	Δ% OF R/O	+0.5	+0.7	+0.3	+1.2	+30.0	+0.77
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.9	STORM U/S F --0.020 W --0.028 SP --0.012 SU --0.048
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.040	0.919	0.044		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
RW18	0.375	+25	Δ% OF R/O	-0.3	-0.4	-0.2	-0.6	0.0	-0.43
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F --0.010 W --0.016 SP --0.008 SU --0.024
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.032	0.039	0.915	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
RW19	0.45	+50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.5	-0.7	-0.3	-1.0	-20.0	-0.69
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.4	STORM U/S F --0.010 W --0.014 SP --0.006 SU --0.020
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.914	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
6-94									

6-94

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
REGIONAL WATERSHED 22,248 SQ. KM**

ETLF (0.20)

TABLE 6-68

ANNUAL R/F = 41.89 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 32.90 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 15.41 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/15/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/21/68 WINTER	5/9/68 SPRING	8/11/68 SUMMER		
RW32	0.0	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+9.1	+8.3	+8.6	+79.3	+429.5	+16.7
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +3.95	JAN +12.52	APR +3.77	AUG +106.6	REF = 644 SIM = 3410	STORM U/S F -0.091 W -0.083 SP -0.086 SU -0.793
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.11	1.06	0.53	0.11		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW 34	0.10	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+3.7	+3.2	+2.2	+32.0	+152.2	+5.84
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +1.69	JAN +4.73	APR +0.88	AUG +41.1	REF = 644 SIM = 1624	STORM U/S F -0.074 W -0.064 SP -0.044 SU -0.640
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.102	1.011	0.498	0.085		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW 33	0.30	+50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-2.5	-2.1	-0.9	-11.1	-41.6	-2.92
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -1.13	JAN -3.05	APR -0.54	AUG -13.6	REF = 644 SIM = 376	STORM U/S F -0.050 W -0.042 SP -0.018 SU -0.222
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.096	0.960	0.483	0.057		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW 35	0.95	+375	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-8.7	-6.8	-2.4	-21.0	-71.3	-7.91
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -2.82	JAN -9.77	APR -1.62	AUG -25.58	REF = 644 SIM = 185	STORM U/S F -0.018 W -0.018 SP -0.006 SU -0.056
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.09	0.91	0.48	0.05		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
6-95									

6-95

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF

ETLF (0.20)

SUBWATERSHED NO. 1

2,326 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-69

ANNUAL R/F = 59.30 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 40.29 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 19.63 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/25/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/18/68 SUMMER		
RW 32	0.0	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+24.2	+7.5	+18.8	+249	+573.6	+17.6
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 229	STORM U/S F -0.242 W -0.075 SP -0.188 SU -0.249
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.06	1.73	0.89	0.20		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW 34	0.10	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+8.8	+2.9	+4.8	+105.5	+238.2	+7.06
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 115	STORM U/S F -0.176 W -0.058 SP -0.096 SU -0.211
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.049	1.657	0.785	0.121		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW 33	0.30	+50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-6.6	-2.0	-1.8	-35.2	-50.0	-3.43
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 17	STORM U/S F -0.132 W -0.040 SP -0.036 SU -0.704
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.042	1.577	0.736	0.038		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW 35 6-96	0.95	+375	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-21.9	-7.2	-4.7	-62.3	-79.4	-9.68
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 7	STORM U/S F -0.058 W -0.019 SP -0.013 SU -0.166
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.49	0.71	0.02		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		

6-96

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 3**

ETLF (0.20)

TABLE 8-70

ANNUAL R/F = 63.88 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 37.42 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 26.14 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/2/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	7/31/68 SUMMER		
RW 32	0.0	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+19.5	+2.9	+14.2	+182.5	REF = 23 SIM = 68	+14.7
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -0.195 W -0.029 SP -0.142 SU -1.625
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.11	2.23	0.60	0.31		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW 34	0.10	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+8.4	+1.2	+3.5	+56.4	REF = 23 SIM = 47	+5.38
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -0.168 W -0.024 SP -0.070 WU -1.308
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.103	2.186	0.539	0.184		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW 33	0.30	+50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-6.1	-0.8	-1.3	-15.9	REF = 23 SIM = 16	-2.40
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -0.122 W -0.016 SP -0.026 SU -0.318
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.089	2.152	0.515	0.099		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW 35 6-97	0.95	+375	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-19.8	-3.0	-3.3	-27.5	REF = 23 SIM = 10	-6.78
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -0.053 W -0.008 SP -0.009 SU -0.074
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.08	2.11	0.50	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		

6-17

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 5 1,064 SQ. KM

ETLF (0.20)

TABLE 6-71

ANNUAL R/F = 48.24 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 27.87 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 22.36 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/29/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	8/13/68 SUMMER		
RW 32	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+2.8	+5.9	+7.1	+93.3	+826.0	+9.4
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 37	STORM U/S F -0.028 W -0.059 SP -0.071 SU -0.933
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	2.02	0.99	0.17		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW 34	0.10	-50	Δ% OF R/O	+1.2	+2.4	+1.4	+20.5	+225.0	+3.29
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 13	STORM U/S F -0.024 W -0.048 SP -0.028 SU -0.410
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.954	0.934	0.104		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW 33	0.30	+50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.9	-1.6	-0.6	-3.8	-25.0	-1.80
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 3	STORM U/S F -0.018 W -0.032 SP -0.012 SU -0.076
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.876	0.916	0.083		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW 35 6-98	0.95	+375	Δ% OF R/O	-2.9	-5.8	-1.6	-6.5	-50.0	-5.72
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 2	STORM U/S F -0.008 W -0.015 SP -0.004 SU -0.017
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.80	0.91	0.08		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		

6-98

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF ETLF (0.20)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 7 1,111 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-72

ANNUAL R/F = 50.93 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 33.02 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 18.29 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/30/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/14/68 SUMMER		
RW 32	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+30.9	+10.3	+11.9	+386.7	+270.0	+18.9
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 148	STORM U/S F -0.309 W -0.103 SP -0.119 SU -3.867
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.72	0.68	0.62		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW 34	0.10	- 50	Δ% OF R/O	+14.6	+3.7	+2.7	+126.1	+125.0	+7.35
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 90	STORM U/S F -0.292 W -0.074 SP -0.054 SU -0.522
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.034	1.615	0.626	0.287		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW 33	0.30	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	-8.6	-2.3	-1.3	-39.4	-40.0	-3.65
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 24	STORM U/S F -0.172 W -0.046 SP -0.026 SU -0.788
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.027	1.521	0.602	0.077		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW 35	0.95	+375	Δ% OF R/O	-21.0	-6.2	-3.0	-73.5	-82.5	-8.63
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 7	STORM U/S F -0.056 W -0.017 SP -0.008 SU -0.196
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.02	1.46	0.59	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		

6-99

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 11 2,551 SQ. KM**

ETLF (0.20)

TABLE 6-73

ANNUAL R/F = 35.81 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 26.35 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 12.82 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ % PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/14/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	5/8/68 SPRING	8/20/68 SUMMER		
RW 32	0.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+3.9	+11.7	+7.7	+44.3	+444.4	+12.3
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 49	STORM U/S F -0.039 W -0.117 SP -0.077 SU -0.443
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.16	0.86	0.63	0.05		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW 34	0.10	- 50	Δ% OF R/O	+1.6	+4.2	+1.9	+17.7	+144.4	+4.17
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 22	STORM U/S F -0.032 W -0.084 SP -0.038 SU -0.354
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.153	0.802	0.599	0.037		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW 33	0.30	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.9	-2.6	-0.8	-5.9	-22.2	-2.33
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 7	STORM U/S F -0.018 W -0.052 SP -0.016 SU -0.118
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.149	0.750	0.583	0.029		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW 35 6-100	0.95	+375	Δ% OF R/O	-2.0	-6.9	-2.1	-11.7	-44.4	-5.89
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 5	STORM U/S F -0.005 W -0.018 SP -0.006 SU -0.031
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.72	0.58	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

6-100

TABLE 6-74

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF ETLF +50% PERTURBATION (0.20 – 0.30)
 SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID S038 SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	-5.9	-0.2	-0.4	-30.2	-20.3	-2.17
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = 6.3	STORM U/S F +0.118 W +0.004 SP +0.008 SU +0.604
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	0.17	2.31	1.93	0.18		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID 19 SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	-0.5	-0.7	-0.3	-1.0	-20.0	-0.69
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.4	STORM U/S F -0.010 W -0.014 SP -0.006 SU -0.020
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.914	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW 33 REGIONAL	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	-2.5	-2.1	-0.9	-11.1	-41.6	-2.92
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -1.13	JAN -3.05	APR -0.54	AUG -13.6	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 376	STORM U/S F -0.050 W -0.042 SP -0.018 SU -0.222
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.096	0.960	0.483	0.057		
			REF. MONTH-LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW 33 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	-6.6	-2.0	-1.8	-35.2	-50.0	-3.43
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 17	STORM U/S F -0.132 W -0.040 SP -0.036 SU -0.704
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.042	1.577	0.736	0.038		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW 33 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	-6.1	-0.8	-1.3	-15.9	-30.4	-2.40
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 16	STORM U/S F -0.122 W -0.016 SP -0.026 SU -0.318
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.089	2.152	0.515	0.099		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW 33 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	-0.9	-1.6	-0.6	-3.8	-25.0	-1.80
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 3	STORM U/S F -0.018 W -0.032 SP -0.012 SU -0.076
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.036	1.876	0.916	0.083		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW 33 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	-8.6	-2.3	-1.3	-39.4	-40.0	-3.65
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 24	STORM U/S F -0.172 W -0.046 SP -0.026 SU -0.788
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.027	1.521	0.602	0.077		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW 33 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	-0.9	-2.6	-0.8	-5.9	-22.2	-2.33
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 7	STORM U/S F -0.018 W -0.052 SP -0.016 SU -0.118
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.149	0.750	0.583	0.029		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

TABLE 6-75

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS**

ETLF -50% PERTURBATION (0.20 – 0.30)

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID S037 SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	+9.4	+0.4	+1.1	+89.7	+43.0	+4.68
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = 11.3	STORM U/S F -0.188 W -0.008 SP -0.022 SU -1.794
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	0.19	2.32	1.96	0.48		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID 16 SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	+1.6	+2.0	+0.8	+4.2	+113.3	+2.4
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM = 6.4	STORM U/S F -0.032 W -0.040 SP -0.016 SU -0.084
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O	0.033	0.040	0.923	0.045		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW 34 REGIONAL	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+3.7	+3.2	+2.2	+32.0	+152.2	+5.84
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +1.69	JAN +4.73	APR +0.88	AUG +41.1	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 1624	STORM U/S F -0.074 W -0.064 SP -0.044 SU -0.640
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.102	1.011	0.498	0.085		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW 34 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+8.8	+2.9	+4.8	+105.5	+238.2	+7.86
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 115	STORM U/S F -0.176 W -0.058 SP -0.096 SU -0.211
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.049	1.657	0.785	0.121		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW 34 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+8.4	+1.2	+3.5	+56.4	+104.4	+6.38
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 47	STORM U/S F -0.168 W -0.024 SP -0.070 SU -1.308
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.103	2.196	0.539	0.184		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW 34 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	+1.2	+2.4	+1.4	+20.5	+225.0	+3.29
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 13	STORM U/S F -0.024 W -0.048 SP -0.028 SU -0.410
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.036	1.954	0.934	0.104		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW 34 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	+14.6	+3.7	+2.7	+126.1	+125.0	+7.35
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 90	STORM U/S F -0.292 W -0.074 SP -0.054 SU -2.522
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.034	1.615	0.626	0.287		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW 34 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	+1.6	+4.2	+1.9	+17.7	+144.0	+4.17
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 22	STORM U/S F -0.032 W -0.084 SP -0.038 SU -0.354
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.153	0.802	0.599	0.037		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		




Figure 6-18. Evapotranspiration Loss Factor Study, Summer Storms

6.3.8 SIAC, SEASONAL INFILTRATION ADJUSTMENT FACTOR

SIAC is an index to adjust infiltration rates for seasonal variation. It logically varies with seasonal variation in vegetative cover. Growing root systems and waste vegetative matter loosen the soil surface and growing plants take water from the soil and thereby cause fine soils to more quickly dry and crack during dry periods.

The parameter SIAC can be indirectly obtainable from land-use classification after a relationship of the type and density of vegetation and forest covers are related to SIAC parameter. At present, it is quantified by calibration and manual adjustment.

Sensitivity analysis shows that SIAC is most influential during the winter season, when its average unit sensitivity is 0.11. Results appear in Tables 6-76 through 6-86 and Figure 6-19.

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF SIAC (0.26)
SMALL WATERSHED **365 SQ. KM**

TABLE 6-76

ANNUAL R/F = 58.23 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 24.24 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 31.38 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/27/64 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				11/4/63 FALL	1/23/64 WINTER	5/1/64 SPRING	8/14/64 SUMMER		
D053	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-2.86	-9.52	+1.55	---	---	---
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = ---	STORM U/S F +0.029 W +0.095 SP -0.016 SU ---
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.17	2.09	1.97	---		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
S054	0.125	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.8	-4.8	+0.8	+3.9	-1.27	+0.01
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = 7.8	STORM U/S F +0.016 W +0.096 SP -0.016 SU -0.078
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.17	2.20	1.95	0.27		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
T055	0.375	+50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.8	+4.5	-0.8	-3.6	+2.53	-0.01
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = 8.1	STORM U/S F +0.016 W +0.090 SP -0.016 SU -0.072
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.18	2.42	1.92	0.25		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
D056	0.50	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	+9.09	-1.55	---	---	---
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = ---	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.091 SP -0.015 SU ---
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.175	2.52	1.91	---		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	3.19	1.47	8.3		

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF SIAC (0.42)
SNOW WATERSHED **277 SQ. KM**

TABLE 6-77

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
122	0.0	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.2	-2.2	-17.8	+3.1	+3.33	-0.59
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.1	STORM U/S F -0.002 W +0.022 SP +0.178 SU -0.031
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.038	0.75	0.045		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
123	0.84	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.2	+0.4	+18.0	-3.9	-6.67	+0.63
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.8	STORM U/S F -0.002 W +0.004 SP +0.180 SU -0.039
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	1.081	0.041		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
6-105									

b-105

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF SIAC (0.60)
REGIONAL WATERSHED 22,248 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-78

ANNUAL R/F = 41.89 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 32.90 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 15.41 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/15/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/21/68 WINTER	5/9/68 SPRING	8/11/68 SUMMER		
RW44	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.1	-8.0	+8.1	+2.2	+7.30	-0.89
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN -4.4	APR -1.50	AUG +3.10	REF = 644 SIM = 691	STORM U/S F -0.001 W +0.080 SP -0.061 SU -0.022
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.10	0.90	0.52	0.07		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN.)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW46	0.30	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.1	-4.4	+3.3	+1.2	+3.57	-0.49
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN -2.42	APR -0.85	AUG +1.55	REF = 644 SIM = 667	STORM U/S F -0.002 W +0.088 SP -0.066 SU -0.024
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.10	0.94	0.50	0.06		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN.)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW45	0.90	+50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.1	+4.7	-3.5	-1.3	-3.26	+0.56
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN +2.67	APR +0.85	AUG -1.55	REF = 644 SIM = 623	STORM U/S F +0.002 W +0.094 SP -0.070 SU -0.026
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.10	1.03	0.47	0.06		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN.)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF SIAC (0.60)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 1 2,326 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-79

ANNUAL R/F = 59.30 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 40.29 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 19.63 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/25/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/18/68 SUMMER		
RW44	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	-14.5	+5.7	+3.1	+2.94	-0.77
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 35	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.145 SP -0.057 SU -0.031
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.38	0.79	0.06		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW46	0.30	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	-8.5	+3.5	+1.8	+2.94	-0.44
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 35	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.170 SP -0.070 SU -0.036
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.47	0.78	0.06		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW45	0.90	+50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	+8.7	-4.0	-2.2	-5.88	+0.56
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 32	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.174 SP -0.080 SU -0.044
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.75	0.72	0.06		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		

6-106

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF SIAC (0.60)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 3 613 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-80

ANNUAL R/F = 63.88 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 37.42 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 28.14 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ % PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/2/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	7/31/68 SUMMER		
RW44	0.001	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+0.6	-11.3	+4.3	+3.7	REF = 23 SIM = 24	-0.58
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -0.006 W +0.113 SP -0.043 SU -0.037
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.10	1.92	0.54	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW46	0.30	-50	Δ% OF R/O	+0.3	-6.3	+2.5	+1.8	REF = 23 SIM = 23	-0.34
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -0.006 W +0.126 SP -0.050 WU -0.036
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.10	2.03	0.53	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW45	0.90	+50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.3	+6.3	-3.1	-1.8	REF = 23 SIM = 23	+0.38
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -0.006 W +0.126 SP -0.062 SU -0.036
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.09	2.31	0.50	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF SIAC (0.60)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 5 1.064 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-81

ANNUAL R/F = 48.24 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 27.87 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 22.36 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/29/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	8/13/68 SUMMER		
RW44	0.001	-100	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	-10.0	+6.0	+0.9	REF = 4 SIM = 5	-0.70
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22		STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.100 SP -0.060 SU -0.009
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.72	0.98	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW46	0.30	-50	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	-4.8	+3.4	+0.5	REF = 4 SIM = 5	-0.35
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22		STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.096 SP -0.068 SU -0.010
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.82	0.95	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW45	0.90	+50	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	+4.7	-4.2	-0.7	REF = 4 SIM = 4	+0.48
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22		STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.094 SP -0.084 SU -0.014
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	2.00	0.88	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		

6-107

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 7**

SIAC (0.60)

1,111 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-82

ANNUAL R/F = 50.93 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 33.02 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 18.29 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/30/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/14/68 SUMMER		
RW44	0.001	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+0.1	-12.2	+4.2	+18.2	+5.0	-1.09
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 42	STORM U/S F -0.001 W +0.122 SP -0.042 SU -0.182
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.37	0.64	0.15		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW46	0.30	- 50	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	-6.2	+2.4	+8.1	+2.5	-0.61
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 41	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.124 SP -0.048 SU -0.162
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.46	0.62	0.14		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW45	0.90	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	+0.2	+5.8	-2.5	-6.3	-2.5	+0.63
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 39	STORM U/S F +0.004 W +0.116 SP -0.050 SU -0.126
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.65	0.59	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 11**

SIAC (0.60)

2,551 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-83

ANNUAL R/F = 35.81 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 26.35 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 12.82 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ % PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/14/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	5/8/68 SPRING	8/20/68 SUMMER		
RW44	0.001	-100	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	-7.1	+5.2	+1.8	+11.11	-0.97
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 10	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.071 SP -0.052 SU -0.018
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.71	0.62	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW46	0.30	- 50	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	-3.4	+2.8	+1.0	+11.11	-0.53
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 10	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.068 SP -0.056 SU -0.020
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.74	0.60	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW45	0.90	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	+3.3	-2.8	-1.0	0.0	+0.59
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.066 SP -0.056 SU -0.020
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.79	0.67	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

6-108

TABLE 6-84

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF SIAC -100% PERTURBATION (0.25 – 0.60)
 SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID D053 SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	-2.86	-9.52	+1.66	-	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = -	STORM U/S F +0.029 W +0.095 SP -0.016 SU -
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16		
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	0.17	2.09	1.97	-		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID 122 SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	+0.2	-2.2	-17.8	+3.1	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.1	STORM U/S F -0.002 W +0.022 SP +0.178 SU -0.031
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09		
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O	0.033	0.038	0.75	0.045		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	26		
RUN ID RW44 REGIONAL	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+0.1	-8.0	+6.1	+2.2	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 691	STORM U/S F -0.001 W +0.080 SP -0.061 SU -0.022
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN -4.4	APR -1.50	AUG +3.10		
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	0.90	0.52	0.07		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW44 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	-14.5	+5.7	+3.1	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 35	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.145 SP -0.057 SU -0.031
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79		
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.38	0.79	0.06		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW44 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+0.6	-11.3	+4.3	+3.7	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 24	STORM U/S F -0.006 W +0.113 SP -0.043 SU -0.037
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21		
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	1.92	0.64	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW44 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	-10.0	+6.0	+0.9	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 5	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.100 SP -0.060 SU -0.009
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22		
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.72	0.98	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW44 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	+0.1	-12.2	+4.2	+18.2	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 42	STORM U/S F -0.001 W +0.122 SP -0.042 SU -0.182
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95		
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.03	1.37	0.64	0.15		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW44 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	-7.1	+5.2	+1.8	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 10	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.071 SP -0.052 SU -0.018
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50		
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.15	0.71	0.62	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

TABLE 6-85

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

SIAC -50% PERTURBATION (0.25 - 0.60)

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID S054 SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	-0.8	-4.8	+0.8	+3.9	-1.27	+0.01
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = 7.8	STORM U/S F +0.016 W +0.086 SP -0.016 SU -0.078
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	0.17	2.20	1.95	0.27		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O						
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM =	STORM U/S F W SP SU
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O						
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW46 REGIONAL	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+0.1	-4.4	+3.3	+1.2	+3.57	-0.49
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN -2.42	APR -0.85	AUG +1.55	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 667	STORM U/S F -0.002 W +0.088 SP -0.066 SU -0.024
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	0.94	0.50	0.06		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW46 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	-8.5	+3.5	+1.8	+2.94	-0.44
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 35	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.170 SP -0.070 SU -0.036
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.47	0.78	0.06		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW46 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+0.3	-6.3	+2.5	+1.8	0.0	-0.34
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 23	STORM U/S F -0.006 W +0.126 SP -0.050 SU -0.036
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	2.03	0.53	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW46 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	-4.8	+3.4	+0.5	+25.0	-0.35
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 5	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.096 SP -0.068 SU -0.010
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.82	0.95	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW46 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	-6.2	+2.4	+8.1	+2.5	-0.61
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 41	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.124 SP -0.048 SU -0.162
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.03	1.46	0.62	0.14		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW46 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	-3.4	+2.8	+1.0	+11.11	-0.53
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 10	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.068 SP -0.056 SU -0.020
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.15	0.74	0.60	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

TABLE 8-86

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS**

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID T055	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	+0.8	+4.5	-0.8	-3.6	+2.53	-0.01
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = 8.1	STORM U/S F +0.016 W +0.090 SP -0.016 SU -0.072
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	0.18	2.42	1.92	0.26		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O						
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM =	STORM U/S F W SP SU
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O						
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW45	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+0.1	+4.7	-3.5	-1.3	-3.26	+0.56
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN +2.67	APR +0.85	AUG -1.55	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 623	STORM U/S F +0.002 W +0.094 SP -0.070 SU -0.026
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	1.03	0.47	0.06		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW45 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	+8.7	-4.0	-2.2	-5.88	+0.56
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 32	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.174 SP -0.080 SU -0.044
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.75	0.72	0.06		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW45 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.3	+8.3	-3.1	-1.8	0.0	+0.38
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 23	STORM U/S F -0.006 W +0.126 SP -0.062 SU -0.036
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.09	2.31	0.50	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW45 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	+4.7	-4.2	-0.7	0.0	+0.48
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 4	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.094 SP -0.084 SU -0.014
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	2.00	0.88	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW45 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	+0.2	+5.8	-2.5	-6.3	-2.5	+0.63
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 39	STORM U/S F +0.004 W +0.116 SP -0.050 SU -0.126
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.03	1.65	0.59	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW45 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	+3.3	-2.8	-1.0	0.0	+0.59
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.066 SP -0.056 SU -0.020
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.15	0.78	0.57	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		




Figure 6-19. Seasonal Infiltration Study, Summer Storms

6.3.9 BMIR, BASIC MAXIMUM INFILTRATION RATE

BMIR is the basic infiltration index used to control the rate of infiltration. Since infiltration rate varies considerably from point to point in a watershed and most runoff will be from points with smaller rates, an average infiltration value is not appropriate for use in watershed modeling. Instead a cumulative frequency distribution of infiltration capacity is used in the model.

The distribution of moisture supply among surface detention, interflow detention and infiltration is assumed to be linear from zero (equalled or exceeded by all points in the watershed) to a maximum value (reached only at a single point in the watershed). Hence, at times when moisture supply is larger, the fraction of new moisture entering overland flow and interflow is larger because the infiltration capacity of larger portions of the watershed is exceeded.

The parameter BMIR would logically relate to the "A" horizon permeability; the permeability of the surface layer of the watershed soil will most often influence the infiltration capacity of the watershed.

The parameter BMIR is important since it determines the basic division between surface runoff and infiltration to interflow, soil water, and ground water. As such it is influential in all seasons.

The average unit sensitivity is 0.20 during the winter seasons and 0.13 during the summer seasons. Results appear in Tables 6-87 through 6-96 and Figure 6-20.

The parameter can be inferred from land-use classification after a relationship of soil associations, type and density of vegetation and forest cover is related to BMIR. At present, the parameter is quantified by calibration and manual adjustment.

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BMIR (7.0)
 SMALL WATERSHED 365 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-87

ANNUAL R/F = 58.23 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 24.24 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 31.38 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/27/64 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				11/4/63 FALL	1/23/64 WINTER	5/1/64 SPRING	8/14/64 SUMMER		
D058	0.001	-100	Δ% OF R/O	+1420.0	+36.6	+14.4	-----	-----	-----
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = -----	STORM U/S F - 14.200 W - 0.366 SP - 0.144 SU -----
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	2.86	3.16	2.22	-----		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
S059	3.5	-50	Δ% OF R/O	+129.9	+13.4	+4.1	+32.8	-27.85	+1.71
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = 5.7	STORM U/S F - 2.598 W - 0.268 SP - 0.082 SU - 0.656
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.40	2.62	2.02	0.34		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
S060	10.5	+50	Δ% OF R/O	-26.5	-9.0	-3.3	-12.0	+13.92	-0.65
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = 9.0	STORM U/S F - 0.530 W - 0.180 SP - 0.066 SU - 0.240
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.13	2.11	1.87	0.22		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
D061	14.0	+100	Δ% OF R/O	-37.14	-15.58	-6.19	-----	-----	-----
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = -----	STORM U/S F - 0.371 W - 0.156 SP - 0.070 SU -----
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.11	1.95	1.82	-----		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	3.19	1.47	8.3		

6-114

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BMIR (20.0)
SNOW WATERSHED 277 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-88

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/58 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
62	10.0	-50	Δ% OF R/O	+0.5	+5.8	+27.7	-8.6	-16.67	+1.11
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.5	STORM U/S F - 0.010 W - 0.112 SP - 0.554 SU + 0.132
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.042	1.170	0.040		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
63	18.0	-20	Δ% OF R/O	+0.2	+1.3	+8.5	-1.8	-6.67	+0.29
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.8	STORM U/S F - 0.010 W - 0.065 SP - 0.425 SU + 0.090
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.040	0.984	0.042		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
64	24.0	+20	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	-1.2	-6.2	+1.2	0.0	-0.20
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F - 0.0 W - 0.060 SP - 0.310 SU + 0.060
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.038	0.859	0.044		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
65	30.0	+50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.5	-2.9	-12.6	+2.5	+3.3	- .37
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.1	STORM U/S F - 0.010 W - 0.058 SP - 0.252 SU - 0.050
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.032	0.038	0.800	0.044		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		

6-115

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BMIR (12.0)
 REGIONAL WATERSHED 22,248 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-89

ANNUAL R/F = 41.89 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 32.90 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 15.41 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/15/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/21/68 WINTER	6/9/68 SPRING	8/11/68 SUMMER		
RW51	6.0	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.2	+8.0	-2.2	-8.8	-8.39	+ 1.79
			% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN +4.71	APR +2.96	AUG -8.98	REF = 644 SIM =	STORM U/S F - 0.004 W - 0.180 SP + 0.044 SU + 0.132
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.099	1.068	0.476	0.059		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW50	10.8	-10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.1	+1.3	+0.3	-1.0	-1.55	+ 0.26
			% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN +0.74	APR +0.46	AUG -1.16	REF = 644 SIM = 634	STORM U/S F - 0.010 W - 0.130 SP - 0.030 SU + 0.100
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.10	0.99	0.49	0.06		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW48	13.2	+10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.1	-1.2	-0.3	+0.9	+1.40	- 0.21
			% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN -0.59	APR -0.42	AUG +1.16	REF = 644 SIM = 653	STORM U/S F + 0.010 W - 0.120 SP - 0.030 SU + 0.090
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.10	0.97	0.49	0.06		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW49	18.0	+50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.4	-4.9	+0.5	+3.5	+6.06	- 0.76
			% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 9.0	JAN -2.70	APR -1.69	AUG +3.88	REF = 644 SIM = 683	STORM U/S F + 0.008 W - 0.098 SP + 0.010 SU + 0.070
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.932	0.490	0.066		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		

6-116

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BMIR (10.0)

SUBWATERSHED NO. 1 2,326 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-90

ANNUAL R/F = 59.30 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 40.29 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 19.63 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/25/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/18/68 SUMMER		
RW51	5.0	-50	Δ% OF R/O	+0.9	+17.2	+1.5	-10.1	-20.59	+ 1.30
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 27	STORM U/S F - 0.018 W - 0.344 SP - 0.030 SU + 0.202
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.887	0.761	0.053		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW50	9.0		Δ% OF R/O	-0.5	+2.8	+0.2	-1.5	- 2.94	+0.19
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 33	STORM U/S F + 0.050 W - 0.280 SP - 0.020 SU + 0.150
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.65	0.75	0.06		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW48	11.0	+10	Δ% OF R/O	-0.4	-2.6	-0.1	+1.3	+2.94	- 0.14
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM =	STORM U/S F - 0.040 W - 0.260 SP - 0.010 SU + 0.130
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.57	0.75	0.06		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW49	15.0	+50	Δ% OF R/O	+1.9	-10.1	-0.6	+5.5	+8.82	- 0.46
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 37	STORM U/S F + 0.038 W - 0.202 SP - 0.012 SU + 0.110
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0456	1.4473	0.7445	0.0619		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		

6-117

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BMIR (10.0)
 SUBWATERSHED NO. 3 813 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-91

ANNUAL R/F = 63.88 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 37.42 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 26.14 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ % PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/2/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	7/31/68 SUMMER		
RW51	5.0	-50	Δ% OF R/O	+4.6	+9.6	+8.4	-7.2	REF = 23 SIM = 19	+ 1.60
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F - 0.092 W - 0.192 SP - 0.168 SU+ 0.144
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.099	2.377	0.565	0.109		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW50	9.0	-10	Δ% OF R/O	+0.3	+1.5	+1.0	-1.1	REF = 23 SIM = 22	+ 0.22
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F - 0.030 W - 0.150 SP - 0.100 WU+ 0.110
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.10	2.20	0.53	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW48	11.0	+10	Δ% OF R/O	-0.3	-1.5	-0.8	+1.0	REF = 23 SIM = 24	- 0.21
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F - 0.030 W - 0.150 SP - 0.080 SU+ 0.100
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.09	2.14	0.52	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW49 6-118	15.0	+50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.6	-6.0	-2.7	+4.2	REF = 23 SIM = 26	- 0.73
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F - 0.012 W - 0.120 SP - 0.054 SU+ 0.084
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0943	2.0398	0.5069	0.1222		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BMIR (10.0)
 SUBWATERSHED NO. 5 1,064 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-92

ANNUAL R/F = 48.24 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 27.87 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 22.36 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/29/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	8/13/68 SUMMER		
RW61	5.0	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.8	+9.7	+1.9	-5.2	-25.0	+ 1.67
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 3	STORM U/S F + 0.016 W - 0.194 SP - 0.038 SU + 0.104
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.036	2.092	0.939	0.082		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW50	9.0	-10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.1	+1.5	+0.4	-0.7	0.0	+ 0.18
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 4	STORM U/S F - 0.010 W - 0.150 SP - 0.040 SU + 0.070
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.83	0.92	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW48	11.0	+10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	-1.3	-0.3	+0.6	+25.0	- 0.14
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = S	STORM U/S F 0.0 W - 0.130 SP - 0.030 SU + 0.060
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.88	0.92	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW49	15.0	+50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.6	-6.4	-1.9	+2.4	+25.0	- 0.57
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 5	STORM U/S F + 0.012 W - 0.128 SP - 0.038 SU + 0.048
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0361	1.7844	0.9037	0.0882		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		

6-119

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BMIR (12.0)
 SUBWATERSHED NO. 7 1,111 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-93

ANNUAL R/F = 50.93 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 33.02 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 16.29 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/30/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/14/68 SUMMER		
RW51	6.0	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+2.4	+11.4	+13.3	+6.0	-15.0	+ 2.02
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 34	STORM U/S F - 0.048 W - 0.228 SP - 0.266 SU- 0.120
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.030	1.735	0.691	0.135		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW50	10.8	-10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.5	+1.7	+1.8	+0.2	-2.50	+ 0.28
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 39	STORM U/S F - 0.050 W - 0.170 SP - 0.180 SU- 0.020
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.58	0.62	0.13		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW48	13.2	+10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.3	-1.9	-1.6	0.0	+2.50	- 0.29
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 41	STORM U/S F + 0.030 W - 0.190 SP - 0.160 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.53	0.60	0.13		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW49	18.0	+50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+1.5	-7.4	-4.7	+0.1	+7.5	- 0.91
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 43	STORM U/S F + 0.030 W - 0.148 SP - 0.094 SU+ 0.002
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0299	1.4412	0.581	0.1271		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
6-120									

6 - 120

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BMIR (8.0)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 11 2,551 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-94

ANNUAL R/F = 35.81 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 26.35 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 12.82 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/14/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/68 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	5/8/68 SPRING	8/20/68 SUMMER		
RW51	4.0	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.4	+4.0	+1.3	-4.4	REF = 9 SIM = 8	STORM U/S F + 0.008 W - 0.080 SP - 0.026 SU+ 0.088
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50		
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.800	0.595	0.030		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW50	7.2	-10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.1	+0.8	+0.1	-0.6	REF = 9 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F + 0.010 W - 0.016 SP - 0.010 SU + 0.060
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50		
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.78	0.59	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW48	8.8	+10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	-0.8	-0.2	+0.6	REF = 9 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F 0.0 W - 0.080 SP - 0.020 SU+ 0.060
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50		
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.76	0.59	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW49	12.0	+50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.3	-3.6	-0.7	+2.2	REF = 9 SIM = 10	STORM U/S F + 0.006 W - 0.072 SP - 0.014 SU+ 0.044
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50		
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.1508	0.7414	0.5835	0.0319		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
6-121									

TABLE 6-95

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF

SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

BMIR -50% PERTURBATION (7.0 - 20.0)

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID S059 SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	+129.9	+13.4	+4.1	+32.8	-27.85	1.71
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = 5.7	STORM U/S F -2.598 W -0.268 SP -0.082 SU -0.656
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	0.40	2.62	2.02	0.34		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID 62 SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	+0.5	+5.6	+27.7	-6.6	-16.67	+1.11
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.5	STORM U/S F -0.010 W -0.112 SP -0.554 SU +0.132
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O	0.033	0.042	1.170	0.040		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
RUN ID 51 REGIONAL	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+0.2	+9.0	-2.2	-6.6	-8.39	+1.79
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN +4.71	APR +2.96	AUG -6.98	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 590	STORM U/S F -0.004 W -0.180 SP +0.044 SU +0.132
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.099	1.068	0.476	0.059		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID 51 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+0.9	+17.2	+1.5	-10.1	-20.59	+1.30
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 27	STORM U/S F -0.018 W -0.344 SP -0.030 SU +0.202
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.069		
			PERT. R/O	0.045	1.887	0.761	0.053		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID 51 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+4.6	+9.6	+8.4	-7.2	-17.39	+1.60
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 19	STORM U/S F -0.092 W -0.192 SP -0.168 SU +0.144
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.099	2.377	0.565	0.109		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID 51 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	-0.8	+9.7	+1.9	-5.2	-25.0	+1.67
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 3	STORM U/S F +0.016 W -0.194 SP -0.038 SU +0.104
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.036	2.092	0.939	0.082		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID 51 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	+2.4	+11.4	+13.3	+6.0	-15.0	+2.02
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 34	STORM U/S F -0.048 W -0.228 SP -0.266 SU -0.120
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.030	1.735	0.691	0.135		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID 51 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	-0.4	+4.0	+1.3	-4.4	-11.11	+1.65
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 8	STORM U/S F +0.008 W -0.080 SP -0.026 SU +0.088
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.150	0.800	0.595	0.030		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

TABLE 8-96

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF BMIR +50% PERTURBATION (7.0 - 20.0)
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID SO 60 SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	-26.5	-9.0	-3.3	-12.0	+13.92	-0.65
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = 9.0	STORM U/S F -0.530 W -0.180 SP -0.066 SU -0.240
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	0.13	2.11	1.87	0.22		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID 65 SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	-0.5	-2.9	-12.6	+2.5	+3.3	-0.37
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.1	STORM U/S F -0.010 W -0.058 SP -0.252 SU -0.050
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O	0.032	0.038	0.800	0.044		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW 49 REGIONAL	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+0.4	-4.9	+0.5	+3.5	+6.06	-0.76
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN -2.70	APR -1.89	AUG +3.88	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 683	STORM U/S F +0.008 W -0.098 SP +0.010 SU +0.070
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.099	0.932	0.490	0.066		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW 49 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+1.9	-10.1	-0.6	+5.5	+8.82	-0.46
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 37	STORM U/S F +0.038 W -0.202 SP -0.012 SU +0.110
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.0456	1.4473	0.7445	0.0619		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW 49 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.6	-6.0	-2.7	+4.2	+13.04	-0.73
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 26	STORM U/S F -0.012 W -0.120 SP -0.054 SU +0.084
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.0943	2.0398	0.5069	0.1222		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW 49 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	+0.6	-6.4	-1.9	+2.4	+25.0	-0.57
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 5	STORM U/S F +0.012 W -0.128 SP -0.038 SU +0.048
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.0361	1.7844	0.9037	0.0882		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW 49 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	+1.5	-7.4	-4.7	+0.1	+7.5	-0.91
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 43	STORM U/S F +0.030 W -0.148 SP -0.094 SU +0.002
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.0299	1.4412	0.581	0.1271		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW 49 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	+0.3	-3.6	-0.7	+2.2	+11.11	-0.82
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 10	STORM U/S F +0.006 W -0.072 SP -0.014 SU +0.044
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.1506	0.7414	0.5835	0.0319		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		




Figure 6-20. Basic Maximum Infiltration Rate Study, Winter Storm

6.3.10 OVERLAND FLOW SURFACE SLOPE

OFSS is the average slope in meters per meter of the overland flow surfaces perpendicular to the receiving channels and may be estimated by averaging a series of measurements made for a randomly selected group of points on a topographic map of the watershed.

This parameter is used to calculate the rate of discharge from overland flow. This rate is based on the Chezy-Manning equation

$$q = \frac{1.486}{n} (y^{5/3})(s^{1/2})$$

where q is discharge in cfs/ft, y is the depth in feet at the lower edge of the flow plane, and s is the slope of the surface in ft/ft. The outflow depth (y) is expressed empirically in terms of surface detention in order that continuous overland flow can be calculated.

Sensitivity analysis results indicate that the small watershed has a unit sensitivity of 0.21 for the fall season for a -50% perturbation of OFSS. In all other basins and seasons the unit sensitivity is less. This is due to a combination of factors: (1) overland flow (a concept useful in modeling but not believed by all hydrologists actually to exist) contributes only a portion of streamflow; (2) in the fall, after a dry summer season, infiltration is maximum, and the proportion of streamflow due to overland flow is greater; (3) overland flow rate in the model is proportional to $(OFSS)^{1/2}$, causing the parameter to be more influential, in terms of unit sensitivity, in basins for which the reference value of OFSS is small. In the regional watershed, all the initial values of OFSS were initially very low. They were raised to a reference value of 0.10 for all subwatersheds to provide for wider parameter variations at reasonable percentage variations.

Results are shown in Tables 6-97 through 6-106, and in Figures 6-21 and 6-22.

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL WATERSHED**

OFSS (0.062)

365 SQ. KM

TABLE 8-97

ANNUAL R/F = 58.23 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 24.24 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 31.38 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/27/64 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				11/4/63 FALL	1/23/64 WINTER	5/1/64 SPRING	8/14/64 SUMMER		
D067	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-38.8	-6.0	0.0	-	REF = 7.9 SIM = -	STORM U/S F +0.388 W +0.060 SP 0.0 SU -
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16		
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.11	2.18	1.94	-		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
T068	0.031	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-10.5	-0.7	+0.4	-3.9	REF = 7.9 SIM = 8.2	STORM U/S F +0.210 W +0.014 SP -0.008 SU +0.078
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16		
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.16	2.30	1.95	0.25		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
T069	0.093	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+6.2	+0.4	-0.2	+2.2	REF = 7.9 SIM = 7.8	STORM U/S F +0.124 W +0.008 SP -0.004 SU +0.044
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16		
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.19	2.32	1.93	0.26		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
D070 6-126	0.124	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+10.8	+0.7	-0.4	-	REF = 7.9 SIM = -	STORM U/S F +0.108 W +0.007 SP -0.004 SU -
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16		
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.19	2.33	1.93	-		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	3.19	1.47	8.3		

6-126

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SNOW WATERSHED** OFSS (0.34)
277 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-98

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
20	0.0001	-100	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.01
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
22	0.170	- 50	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.01
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
25	0.510	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.01
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
27	0.680	+100	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.01
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
6-127									

6-127

TABLE 6-99

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
 REGIONAL WATERSHED 22,248 SQ. KM

OFSS (0.10 REF.)

 ANNUAL R/F = 41.89 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 32.90 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 15.41 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/15/68	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/21/68 WINTER	5/9/68 SPRING	8/11/68 SUMMER		
RW 56	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.10	-0.72	+0.06	+0.63	+0.84	-0.23
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN +0.03	APR +0.15	AUG +0.78		STORM U/S F +0.001
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.0988	0.9824	0.4874	0.0634	REF = 641	W +0.007
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0987	0.9753	0.4877	0.0643	SIM = 647	SP -0.001
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN.)	0.177	3.631	2.599	0.257		SU -0.006
RW 60	0.30	+200	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	+0.07	+0.14	-0.31	-0.47	+0.10
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN -0.06	APR 0.0	AUG -0.39		STORM U/S F 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.0988	0.9824	0.4874	0.0634	REF = 641	W +0.001
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.988	0.9831	0.4881	0.0637	SIM = 638	SP -0.0
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN.)	0.177	3.631	2.599	0.257		SU -0.007

TABLE 6-100

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
 SUBWATERSHED NO. 1 2,326 SQ. KM

 ANNUAL R/F = 59.30 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 40.29 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 19.63 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/25/68	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/18/68 SUMMER		
RW 56	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	-2.22	+0.09	+0.51	0.0	-0.10
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79		STORM U/S F 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.0447	1.6242	0.7486	0.0585	REF = 34	W +0.022
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0447	1.5881	0.7503	0.0588	SIM = 34	SP -0.001
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48.32	1.18	4.66	47.69		SU -0.006
RW 60	0.30	+200	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.22	+0.50	+0.20	-0.17	-2.94	+0.05
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79		STORM U/S F +0.001
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.0447	1.6242	0.7486	0.0585	REF = 34	W +0.002
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0448	1.6323	0.7511	0.0584	SIM = 33	SP +0.001
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48.32	1.18	4.66	47.69		SU -0.001

TABLE 6-101

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
 SUBWATERSHED NO. 3 813 SQ. KM

 ANNUAL R/F = 63.88 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 37.42 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 26.14 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/2/68	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	7/31/68 SUMMER		
RW 56	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.21	+0.46	+0.31	+0.26	0.0	-0.08
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F +0.002
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.0949	2.1655	0.5205	0.1171	REF = 23	W -0.005
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0947	2.1754	0.5221	0.1174	SIM = 23	SP -0.003
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.45	1.44	3.27	18.87		SU -0.003
RW 60	0.30	+200	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.21	-0.18	-0.13	-0.17		+0.05
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F +0.001
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.0949	2.1655	0.5205	0.1171	REF = 23	W -0.001
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0951	2.1617	0.5198	0.1169	SIM =	SP -0.0
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.45	1.44	3.27	18.87		WU -0.001

TABLE 6-102

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF OFSS (0.10 REF.)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 5 1,064 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 48.24 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 27.87 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 22.36 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/29/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	8/13/68 SUMMER		
RW 56	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	-0.89	-0.98	+0.12	+25.0	-0.04
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 5	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.009 SP +0.010 SU -0.001
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.0358	1.9169	0.9269	0.0860		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0358	1.8999	0.9178	0.0861		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30.17	1.06	1.96	25.81		
RW 60	0.30	+200	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	+0.52	+0.61	0.0	0.0	+0.03
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 4	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.003 SP +0.003 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.0358	1.9169	0.9269	0.0860		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0358	1.9269	0.9326	0.0860		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30.17	1.06	1.96	25.81		

TABLE 6-103

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF OFSS (0.10 REF.)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 7 1,111 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 50.93 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 33.02 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 18.29 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/30/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/14/68 SUMMER		
RW 56	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	-0.40	+0.18	-0.08	0.0	-0.06
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 40	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.004 SP -0.002 SU -0.001
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.0294	1.5612	0.6088	0.1270		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0294	1.5550	0.6099	0.1269		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	53.74	1.77	3.15	23.23		
RW 60	0.30	+200	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	+0.31	-0.05	+0.08	0.0	+0.04
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 40	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.001 SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.0294	1.5612	0.6088	0.1270		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0294	1.5660	0.6085	0.1271		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	53.74	1.77	3.15	23.23		

TABLE 6-103A

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF OFSS (0.10 REF.)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 11 2,551 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 35.81 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 26.35 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 12.82 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/14/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	5/8/68 SPRING	8/20/68 SUMMER		
RW 56	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	-2.12	-4.84	+0.96	0.0	-0.06
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.021 SP +0.048 SU +0.001
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.1502	0.7786	0.6033	0.0311		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.1502	0.7621	0.5741	0.0314		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	8.99	1.76	3.17	16.08		
RW 60	0.30	+200	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.07	+1.44	+2.80	-0.64	0.0	0.0
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.028 SP +0.056 SU -0.003
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.1502	0.7786	0.6033	0.0311		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.1503	0.7898	0.6202	0.0309		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	8.99	1.76	3.17	16.08		

TABLE 6-104

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF OFSS-100% PERTURBATION
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA ^a (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID DO 67 SMALL (0.062 REF)	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	-38.8	-6.0	0.0	--	--	--
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64	STORM U/S F +0.388 W +0.060 SP 0.0 SU --
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255	REF = 7.9	
			PERT. R/O	0.11	2.18	1.94	--	SIM = --	
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3	SU --	
RUN ID 20 SNOW (0.34 REF)	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.01
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043	REF = 3.0	
			PERT. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043	SIM = 3.0	
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	26	SU 0.0	
RUN ID RW 56 REGIONAL (0.10 REF)	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	-0.10	-0.72	+0.06	+0.63	+0.94	-0.23
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN +0.03	APR +0.15	AUG +0.78	9/15/68	STORM U/S F +0.001 W +0.007 SP -0.001 SU -0.006
			REF. R/O	0.0988	0.9824	0.4674	0.0639	REF = 641	
			PERT. R/O	0.0987	0.9753	0.4877	0.0643	SIM = 647	
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.631	2.599	0.257	SU -0.006	
RUN ID RW 56 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1 (0.10 REF)	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	-2.22	+0.09	+0.51	0.0	0.0
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.022 SP -0.001 SU -0.005
			REF. R/O	0.0447	1.6242	0.7496	0.0585	REF = 34	
			PERT. R/O	0.0447	1.5881	0.7503	0.0588	SIM = 34	
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48.32	1.18	4.66	47.69	SU -0.005	
RUN ID RW 56 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3 (0.10 REF)	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.21	+0.46	+0.31	+0.26	0.0	-0.08
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/26/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68	STORM U/S F +0.002 W -0.005 SP -0.003 SU -0.003
			REF. R/O	0.0949	2.1655	0.5205	0.1171	REF = 23	
			PERT. R/O	0.0947	2.1754	0.5221	0.1174	SIM = 23	
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.45	1.44	3.27	18.87	SU -0.003	
RUN ID RW 56 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5 (0.10 REF)	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	-0.89	-0.98	+0.12	+25.0	-0.04
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/26/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.009 SP +0.010 SU -0.001
			REF. R/O	0.0358	1.9169	0.9269	0.0860	REF = 4	
			PERT. R/O	0.0358	1.8999	0.9178	0.0861	SIM = 5	
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30.17	1.06	1.96	25.81	SU -0.001	
RUN ID RW 56 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7 (0.10 REF)	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	-0.40	+0.18	-0.08	0.0	-0.06
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.004 SP -0.002 SU -0.001
			REF. R/O	0.0294	1.5612	0.6088	0.1270	REF = 40	
			PERT. R/O	0.0294	1.5560	0.6099	0.1269	SIM = 40	
			REF (R/F/R/O)	53.74	1.77	3.15	23.23	SU -0.001	
RUN ID RW 56 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11 (0.10 REF)	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	-2.12	-4.84	+0.96	0.0	-0.06
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.021 SP +0.048 SU +0.001
			REF. R/O	0.1502	0.7786	0.6033	0.0311	REF = 9	
			PERT. R/O	0.1502	0.7621	0.5741	0.0314	SIM = 9	
			REF (R/F/R/O)	8.99	1.76	3.17	16.08	SU +0.001	

TABLE 8-105
SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
 OFSS +100% PERTURBATION
 SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID DO 70 SMALL (0.062 REF)	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	+10.8	+0.7	-0.4	--	--	--
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64	STORM U/S
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255	REF = 7.9	F +0.108
			PERT. R/O	0.19	2.33	1.93	--	SIM = --	W +0.007
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		SP -0.004
RUN ID 27 SNOW (0.34 REF)	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.01
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58	STORM U/S
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043	REF = 3.0	F 0.0
			PERT. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043	SIM = 3.0	W 0.0
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		SP 0.0
RUN ID REGIONAL	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O						
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT	JAN	APR	AUG	9/15/68	STORM U/S
			REF. R/O					REF =	F
			PERT. R/O					SIM =	W
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O						SP SU
RUN ID SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O						
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68	STORM U/S
			REF. R/O					REF =	F
			PERT. R/O					SIM =	W
			REF (R/F/R/O)						SP SU
RUN ID SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O						
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68	STORM U/S
			REF. R/O					REF =	F
			PERT. R/O					SIM =	W
			REF (R/F/R/O)						SP SU
RUN ID SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O						
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68	STORM U/S
			REF. R/O					REF =	F
			PERT. R/O					SIM =	W
			REF (R/F/R/O)						SP SU
RUN ID SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O						
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68	STORM U/S
			REF. R/O					REF =	F
			PERT. R/O					SIM =	W
			REF (R/F/R/O)						SP SU
RUN ID SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O						
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68	STORM U/S
			REF. R/O					REF =	F
			PERT. R/O					SIM =	W
			REF (R/F/R/O)						SP SU

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS**

TABLE 6-106
OFSS +200% PERTURBATION

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O					9/27/64 REF = SIM =	STORM U/S F W SP SU
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16		
			REF. R/O						
			PERT. R/O						
			REF (R/F/R/O)						
RUN ID SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O					9/7/58 REF = SIM =	STORM U/S F W SP SU
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09		
			REF. R/O						
			PERT. R/O						
			REF (R/F/R/O)	—					
RUN ID RW 60 REGIONAL (0.10 REF)	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	+0.07	+0.14	-0.31	-0.47	+0.10
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN -0.06	APR 0.0	AUG -0.39	9/15/68 REF = 641 SIM = 638	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.001 SP 0.0 SU -0.007
			REF. R/O	0.0988	0.9824	0.4874	0.0639		
			PERT. R/O	0.0988	0.9831	0.4881	0.0637		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.631	2.599	0.257		
RUN ID RW 60 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1 (0.10 REF)	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+0.22	+0.50	+0.20	-0.17	-2.94	+0.05
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 33	STORM U/S F +0.001 W +0.002 SP -0.001 SU -0.001
			REF. R/O	0.0447	1.6242	0.7496	0.0585		
			PERT. R/O	0.0448	1.6323	0.7511	0.0584		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48.32	1.18	4.66	47.69		
RUN ID RW 60 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3 (0.01 REF)	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+0.21	-0.18	-0.13	-0.17		+0.05
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM =	STORM U/S F +0.001 W -0.001 SP -0.0 SU -0.001
			REF. R/O	0.0949	2.1655	0.5205	0.1171		
			PERT. R/O	0.0951	2.1617	0.5198	0.1169		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.45	1.44	3.27	18.87		
RUN ID RW 60 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5 (0.10 REF)	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	+0.52	+0.61	0.0	0.0	+0.03
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 4	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.003 SP +0.003 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O	0.0358	1.9169	0.9269	0.0860		
			PERT. R/O	0.0358	1.9269	0.9326	0.0860		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30.17	1.06	1.96	25.81		
RUN ID RW 60 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7 (0.10 REF)	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	+0.31	-0.05	+0.08	0.0	+0.04
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 40	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.001 SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O	0.0294	1.5612	0.6088	0.1270		
			PERT. R/O	0.0294	1.5660	0.6085	0.1271		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	53.74	1.77	3.15	23.23		
RUN ID RW 60 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11 (0.10 REF)	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	+0.07	+1.44	+2.80	-0.64	0.0	0.0
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.028 SP +0.058 SU -0.003
			REF. R/O	0.1502	0.7786	0.6033	0.0311		
			PERT. R/O	0.1503	0.7698	0.6202	0.0309		
			REF (R/F/R/O)						




Figure 6-21. Overland Flow Surface Slope Study, Winter Storms




Figure 6-22. Overland Flow Surface Slope Study, Small Watershed

6.3.11 OFSL OVERLAND FLOW SURFACE LENGTH

OFSL is the mean overland flow length in feet. That is, the average distance that surface runoff in the watershed travels before reaching a channel defined in the same manner as was used for OFSS in the preceding section. OFSL may also be estimated by averaging randomly measured distances on topographic maps.

Hydrologically, the larger value of OFSL increases infiltration opportunity and thereby slightly increases the base flow and interflow, and also decreases the direct runoff. The sensitivity analysis results of the small watershed is in agreement. A +50% perturbation in OFSL results in a -0.24 unit sensitivity during the fall season. (Storm runoff has decreased by 12.2%). The response of the low flows to the same perturbation is opposite to that of the fall season.

Results appear in Tables 6-107 through 6-117 and Figures 6-23 and 6-24.

TABLE 6-107

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL WATERSHED **OFSL (1650)**
365 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 58.23 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 24.24 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 31.38 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/27/64 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				11/4/63 FALL	1/23/64 WINTER	5/1/64 SPRING	8/14/64 SUMMER		
D074	0.01	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+91.9	+2.50	-0.6	-	-	-
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = -	STORM U/S F -0.919 W -0.025 SP +0.006 SU -
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.34	2.37	1.93	-		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
T075	775	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+22.9	+1.3	-0.6	+7.9	-5.1	+0.24
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = 7.5	STORM U/S F -0.458 W -0.026 SP +0.012 SU -0.158
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.22	2.34	1.93	0.28		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
T076	2325	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-12.2	-0.8	+0.4	-4.7	+3.80	-0.14
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = 8.2	STORM U/S F -0.244 W -0.016 SP +0.008 SU -0.094
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.15	2.29	1.95	0.24		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
D077	3100	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-19.7	-1.4	+0.7	-	-	-
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = -	STORM U/S F -0.197 W -0.014 SP +0.007 SU -
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.14	2.28	1.95	-		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	3.19	1.47	8.3		

TABLE 6-108

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF OFSL (1000.)
SNOW WATERSHED 277 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
28	1.0	-100	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	+3.2	-0.2	0.0	+0.01
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP -0.032 SU +0.002
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.946	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
30	500.	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.01
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
31	1500.	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.01
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
33	2000.	+100	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.01
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		

6 -137

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF OFSL (6914)
REGIONAL WATERSHED 22,248 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-109

ANNUAL R/F = 41.89 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 32.90 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 15.41 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/15/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/21/68 WINTER	5/9/68 SPRING	8/11/68 SUMMER		
RW61	691.4	- 90	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.2	+0.6	+0.6	-1.3	REF = 644 SIM = 631	-2.02
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN -0.22	APR +0.04	AUG -1.55		STORM U/S F -0.002 W -0.007 SP -0.001 SU +0.014
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.0989	0.9861	0.490	0.0633		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW63	3457	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.02	+0.24	+0.02	-0.27	REF = 644 SIM = -	--
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +0.01	JAN -0.05	APR -0.05	AUG -0.29		STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.005 SP 0.0 SU +0.002
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.0988	0.9822	0.4873	0.06395		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW62	10371	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.01	-0.17	+0.01	+0.12	REF = 644 SIM = -	--
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN +0.01	APR +0.03	AUG +0.05		STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.004 SP 0.0 SU +0.002
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.0987	0.9782	0.4872	0.0642		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF OFSL (4974)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 1 2,326 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-110

ANNUAL R/F = 59.30 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 40.29 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 19.63 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/25/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/18/68 SUMMER		
RW61	497.4	- 90	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.7	+2.7	+1.2	-1.2	REF = 34 SIM = 33	-2.94
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79		STORM U/S F -0.008 W -0.030 SP -0.013 SU +0.013
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0450	1.6522	0.7580	0.0580		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW63	2487	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.07	+0.80	+0.04	-0.16	REF = 34 SIM = -	--
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79		STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.016 SP 0.0 SU +0.032
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0447	1.6221	0.7494	0.0586		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW62	7461	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.03	-0.55	+0.03	+0.09	REF = 34 SIM = -	--
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79		STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.011 SP 0.0 SU +0.001
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0447	1.600	0.7493	0.0587		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF OFSL (3415)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 3 813 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-111

ANNUAL R/F = 63.88 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 37.42 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 26.14 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/2/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	7/31/68 SUMMER		
RW61	341.5	- 90	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+1.4	-0.3	-0.1	-1.0	0.0	+0.22
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 23 SIM = 23	STORM U/S F -0.016 W +0.004 SP +0.001 SU +0.011
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.096	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0961	2.1635	0.5203	0.1161		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW63	1707	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.12	-0.21	-0.11	-0.17	-	-
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 23 SIM = -	STORM U/S F -0.002 W +0.004 SP +0.002 WU +0.003
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0949	2.1650	0.5204	0.1170		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW62	5123	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.05	+0.11	+0.05	+0.05	REF = 23 SIM =	
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21		STORM U/S F -0.001 W +0.002 SP +0.001 SU +0.001
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0947	2.1720	0.5213	0.1173		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		

TABLE 6-112

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF OFSL (4692)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 5 1,064 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 48.24 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 27.87 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 22.36 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/29/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	8/13/68 SUMMER		
RW61	469.2	- 90	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	+2.5	+2.7	-0.3	0.0	+0.13
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 4	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.028 SP -0.030 SU +0.003
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.9542	0.9466	0.0858		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW63	2346	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.04	+0.42	+0.48	-0.07	-	-
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = -	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.008 SP -0.010 SU +0.001
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0358	1.9150	0.9259	0.0860		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW62	7038	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.04	-0.16	-0.17	-0.02	REF = 4 SIM =	
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22		STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.003 SP -0.003 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0358	1.9039	0.9199	0.0861		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		

6-139

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 7 1,111 SQ. KM**

OFSL (6915)

TABLE 6-113

ANNUAL R/F = 50.93 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 33.02 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 18.29 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/30/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/14/68 SUMMER		
RW61	691.5	- 90	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	+1.6	-0.3	+0.5	0.0	+0.18
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 40	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.018 SP +0.003 SU -0.005
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.5820	0.6082	0.1276		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW63	3457	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.06	+0.17	-0.14	+0.04	—	—
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = —	STORM U/S F +0.001 W -0.003 SP +0.002 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0294	1.5586	0.6089	0.1269		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW62	10373	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.07	-0.06	+0.01	-0.03	REF = 40 SIM = —	STORM U/S F +0.001 W -0.001 SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95		
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0294	1.5580	0.6098	0.1268		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		

TABLE 6-114

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 11 2,551 SQ. KM**

OFSL (5921)

ANNUAL R/F = 35.81 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 26.35 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 12.82 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/14/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	5/8/68 SPRING	8/20/68 SUMMER		
RW61	592.1	- 90	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.1	+6.7	+12.3	-2.4	REF = 9 SIM = 8	STORM U/S F -0.001 W -0.074 SP -0.137 SU +0.027
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50		
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.1504	0.8210	0.6597	0.0305		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW63	2955	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.01	+1.06	+2.31	-0.42	REF = 9 SIM = —	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.021 SP -0.046 SU +0.008
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50		
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.1502	0.7775	0.6012	0.0311		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW62 6-140	8887	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.01	-0.41	-1.0	+0.17	REF = 9 SIM = —	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.008 SP -0.020 SU +0.003
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50		
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.1502	0.7662	0.5818	0.0313		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

6-140

TABLE 6-115

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF OFSL - 90% PERTURBATION
 SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O						
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM =	STORM U/S F W SP SU
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O						
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O						
			STORM R/F	10/18/67 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM =	STORM U/S F W SP SU
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O						
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW 61	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+0.2	+0.6	+0.6	-1.3	-2.02	+0.42
REGIONAL (6914 REF)			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN -0.22	APR +0.04	AUG -1.55	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 831	STORM U/S F -0.002 W -0.007 SP -0.001 SU +0.014
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.0989	0.9861	0.490	0.0633		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW 61 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1 (4974 REF)	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+0.7	+2.7	+1.2	-1.2	-2.94	+0.25
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 33	STORM U/S F -0.008 W -0.030 SP -0.013 SU +0.013
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.0450	1.6522	0.7580	0.0580		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	-1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW 61 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3 (3415 REF)	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+1.4	-0.3	-0.1	-1.0	0.0	+0.22
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 23	STORM U/S F -0.016 W +0.004 SP +0.001 SU +0.011
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.0961	2.1635	0.5203	0.1161		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW 61 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5 (4692 REF)	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	+2.5	+2.7	-0.3	0.0	+0.13
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 4	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.028 SP -0.030 SU +0.003
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.036	1.9542	0.9466	0.0858		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW 61 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7 (6915 REF)	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	+1.6	-0.3	+0.5	0.0	+0.18
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 40	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.018 SP +0.003 SU -0.005
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.029	1.5820	0.6082	0.1276		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW 61 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11 (5921 REF)	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	+0.1	+6.7	+12.3	-2.4	-11.11	+0.30
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 8	STORM U/S F -0.001 W -0.074 SP -0.137 SU +0.027
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.1604	0.8210	0.6597	0.0305		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

TABLE 6-116

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF OFSL -50% PERTURBATION

SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID TO 75 SMALL (1550 REF)	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	+22.9	+1.3	-0.6	+7.9	-5.1	+0.24
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = 7.5	STORM U/S F -0.458 W -0.026 SP +0.012 SU -0.158
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	0.22	2.34	1.93	0.28		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID 30 SNOW (1000 REF)	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.01
			STORM R/F	10/18/67 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW 63 REGIONAL (6914 REF)	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+0.02	+0.24	+0.02	-0.27	--	--
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +0.01	JAN -0.05	APR -0.05	AUG -0.29	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = --	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.005 SP 0.0 SU +0.005
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.988	0.9822	0.4873	0.06395		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW 63 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1 (4974 REF)	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+0.07	+0.80	+0.04	-0.16	--	--
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = --	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.016 SP 0.0 SU +0.032
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.0447	1.6221	0.7494	0.0586		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.85	46.5		
RUN ID RW 63 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3 (3415 REF)	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+0.12	-0.21	-0.11	-0.17	--	--
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = --	STORM U/S F -0.002 W +0.004 SP +0.002 SU +0.003
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.0949	2.1650	0.5204	0.1170		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW 63 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5 (4692 REF)	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	+0.04	+0.42	+0.48	-0.07	--	--
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = --	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.008 SP -0.010 SU +0.001
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.0358	1.9150	0.9259	0.0860		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW 63 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7 (6915 REF)	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	-0.06	+0.17	-0.14	+0.04	--	--
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = --	STORM U/S F +0.001 W -0.003 SP +0.002 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.0294	1.5596	0.6089	0.1269		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW 63 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11 (5921 REF)	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	+0.01	+1.06	+2.31	-0.42		
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM =	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.021 SP -0.046 SU +0.008
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.1502	0.7775	0.6012	0.0311		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

TABLE 6-117

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF OFSL +50% PERTURBATION
 SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID TO 76 SMALL (1550 REF)	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	-12.2	-0.8	+0.4	-4.7	+3.80	-0.14
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	REF = 7.9	STORM U/S F -0.244 W -0.016
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		SP +0.008 SU -0.094
			PERT. R/O	-0.15	2.29	1.95	0.24	SIM = 8.2	
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID 31 SNOW (1000 REF)	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.01
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.89	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	REF = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			PERT. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043	SIM = 3.0	
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW 62 REGIONAL (6914 REF)	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	-0.01	-0.17	+0.01	+0.12	--	--
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN +0.01	APR +0.03	AUG +0.05	REF = 644	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.004
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		SP 0.0 SU +0.002
			PERT. R/O	0.0987	0.9782	0.4872	0.0642	SIM = --	
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW 62 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1 (4974 REF)	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.03	-0.55	+0.03	+0.09	--	--
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	REF = 34	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.011
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		SP 0.0 SU +0.001
			PERT. R/O	0.0447	1.600	0.7493	0.0587	SIM = --	
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW 62 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3 (3415 REF)	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.05	+0.11	+0.05	+0.05		
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	REF = 23	STORM U/S F -0.001 W +0.002
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		SP +0.001 SU +0.001
			PERT. R/O	0.0947	2.1720	0.5213	0.1173	SIM =	
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW 62 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5 (4692 REF)	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	+0.04	-0.16	-0.17	-0.02		
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	REF = 4	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.003
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		SP -0.003 SU 0.0
			PERT. R/O	0.0358	1.9039	0.9199	0.0861	SIM =	
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW 62 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7 (6915 REF)	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	-0.07	-0.06	+0.01	-0.03		
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	REF = 40	STORM U/S F +0.001 W -0.001
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			PERT. R/O	0.0294	1.5560	0.6098	0.1268	SIM =	
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW62 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11 (5921 REF)	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	-0.01	-0.41	-1.0	+0.17		
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	REF = 9	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.008
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		SP -0.020 SU +0.003
			PERT. R/O	0.1502	0.7662	0.5818	0.0311	SIM =	
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		




Figure 6-23. Overland Flow Surface Length Study, Winter Storms




Figure 6-24. Overland Flow Surface Length Study, Small Watershed

6.3.12 OFMN, OVERLAND FLOW ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENT

OFMN is Manning's n roughness coefficient for overland flow on soil surfaces and may be estimated from Table 6-118.

The parameter is indirectly obtainable from land-use classification using the above table.

Sensitivity analysis results of the small watershed shows that OFMN is most influential during the fall season, when it has a unit sensitivity of -0.458 for a perturbation of -50%. For all practical purposes it has no influence in the other watersheds.

The overland flow contribution to streamflow is inversely proportional to OFMN, as it is to OFSL. In the fall the relative contribution of overland flow to streamflow is greater than in other seasons. The influence of OFMN is accordingly greater in the fall.

Results appear in Tables 6-119 through 6-127 and Figure 6-25. For Tables of OFMN perturbed by -50% and +50%, the results are approximately the same as for OFSL, Table 6-116 and 6-117.

Table 6-118. Manning's Roughness Coefficient for Overland for Various Surface Types (Chow)

WATERSHED SURFACE	MANNING'S n
Smooth Asphalt or Concrete (Trowel Finish)	.013
Rough Asphalt	.016
Concrete (Unfinished)	.017
Smooth Earth	.018
Firm Gravel	.020
Cemented Rubble Masonry	.025
Pasture (Short Grass)	.030
Pasture (Heavy Grass) or Cultivated Area (Row Crops)	.035
Cultivated Area (Field Crops)	.040
Scattered Brush, Heavy Weeds, or Light Brush & Trees (Winter)	.050
Light Brush & Trees (Summer)	.060
Dense Brush (Winter)	.070
Dense Brush (Summer) or Heavy Timer	.100

TABLE 6-11B

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL WATERSHED** OFMN (.05)
365 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 58.23 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 24.24 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 31.38 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/27/64 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				11/4/63 FALL	1/23/64 WINTER	5/1/64 SPRING	8/14/64 SUMMER		
D079	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+90.7	+2.6	-0.6	-	REF = 7.9 SIM = -	STORM U/S F -0.907 W -0.026 SP +0.006 SU --
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16		
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.33	2.37	1.93	-		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
T080	0.025	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+22.9	+1.3	-0.6	+7.9	REF = 7.9 SIM = 7.5	+0.24
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16		STORM U/S F -0.458 W -0.026 SP +0.012 SU -0.158
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.22	2.34	1.93	0.28		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
T081	0.075	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-12.2	-0.8	+0.4	-4.7	REF = 7.9 SIM = 8.2	-0.14
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16		STORM U/S F -0.244 W -0.016 SP +0.008 SU -0.094
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.15	2.28	1.95	0.24		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
D082	0.10	+100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-19.7	-1.4	+0.7	-	REF = 7.9 SIM = -	--
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16		STORM U/S F -0.197 W -0.014 SP +0.007 SU --
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.14	2.28	1.95	-		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	3.19	1.47	8.3		

6-147

6-147

TABLE 6-120

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF OFMN (0.35)
SNOW WATERSHED 277 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
37	0.0001	-100	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	+3.2	-0.2	0.0	+0.01
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP -0.032 SU +0.002
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.945	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
39	0.175	- 50	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.01
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
42	0.525	+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.01
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
44	0.70	+100	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.01
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		

6-148

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF OFMN (0.066)
REGIONAL WATERSHED 22,248 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-121

ANNUAL R/F = 41.89 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 32.90 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 15.41 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/15/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/21/68 WINTER	5/9/68 SPRING	8/11/68 SUMMER		
64	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+5.4	+1.4	+1.7	-3.6	-7.45	+1.25
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +1.69	JAN -0.85	APR +0.50	AUG -3.49	REF = 644 SIM = 596	STORM U/S F -0.054 W -0.014 SP -0.017 SU -0.036
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.1040	0.9937	0.4953	0.0618		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN.)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
66	0.033	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.02	+0.24	+0.01	-0.27	-	-
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +0.01	JAN -0.05	APR -0.05	AUG -0.29	REF = 644 SIM = --	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.005 SP 0.0 SU -0.005
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0988	0.9822	0.4872	0.0639		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN.)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
65	0.099	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.01	-0.17	+0.02	+0.12	-	-
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT 0.0	JAN 0.01	APR +0.03	AUG +0.13	REF = 644 SIM = --	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.003 SP 0.0 SU +0.002
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0987	0.9782	0.4873	0.0642		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN.)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF OFMN (0.056)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 1 2,326 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-122

ANNUAL R/F = 59.30 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 40.29 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 19.63 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/25/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/18/68 SUMMER		
64	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+21.5	+5.9	+8.3	-5.4	-8.82	+0.86
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 31	STORM U/S F -0.215 W -0.059 SP -0.083 SU -0.054
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0543	1.7041	0.8115	0.0555		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
66	0.028	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.07	+0.80	+0.04	-0.16	-	-
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = --	STORM U/S F -0.001 W -0.016 SP 0.0 SU +0.003
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0447	1.6221	0.7494	0.0586		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
65 6-149	0.084	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.03	-0.55	+0.03	+0.09	-	-
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = --	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.011 SP 0.0 SU +0.002
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0447	1.6005	0.7493	0.0587		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		

6-149

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 3**

OFMN (0.07)

813 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-123

ANNUAL R/F = 63.88 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 37.42 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 26.14 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/2/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	7/31/68 SUMMER		
64	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+22.7	+1.3	+3.6	+2.6	-4.35	+0.62
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 23 SIM = 22	STORM U/S F -0.227 W -0.013 SP -0.036 SU -0.026
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.1163	2.1988	0.5388	0.1204		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
66	0.036	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.11	-0.21	-0.11	-0.17	-	-
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 23 SIM = -	STORM U/S F -0.002 W +0.004 SP +0.002 WU +0.003
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0949	2.1650	0.5204	0.1171		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
65	0.105	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.05	+0.11	+0.05	+0.05	-	-
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 23 SIM = --	STORM U/S F -0.001 W +0.002 SP +0.001 SU +0.001
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0948	2.1720	0.5213	0.1173		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		

TABLE 6-124

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 5**

OFMN (0.068)

1,064 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 48.24 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 27.87 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 22.36 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/29/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	8/13/68 SUMMER		
64	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	+3.8	+5.1	-1.6	0.0	+0.36
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 4	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.038 SP -0.051 SU +0.016
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.9808	0.9688	0.0847		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
66	0.034	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.04	+0.42	+0.48	-0.07	-	-
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = -	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.008 SP -0.010 SU +0.001
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0358	1.9150	0.9259	0.0860		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
65	0.102	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.04	-0.16	-0.17	-0.02	-	-
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = -	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.003 SP -0.003 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0358	1.9039	0.9199	0.0861		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
6-150									

6-150

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 7** OFMN (0.065)
1,111 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-126

ANNUAL R/F = 50.93 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 33.02 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 18.29 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/30/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/14/68 SUMMER		
64	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+6.7	+6.4	+5.0	+25.9	-5.0	+1.01
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 38	STORM U/S F -0.067 W -0.064 SP -0.050 SU -0.259
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0314	1.6573	0.6408	0.1597		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
66	0.033	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.06	+0.17	-0.14	+0.04	-	-
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = -	STORM U/S F +0.001 W -0.003 SP -0.003 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0294	1.5596	0.6089	0.1270		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
65	0.098	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.07	-0.06	+0.01	-0.03	-	-
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = -	STORM U/S F -0.001 W -0.001 SP 0.0 SU 0.0
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0294	1.5560	0.6098	0.1269		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SUBWATERSHED NO. 11** OFMN (0.073)
2,551 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-126

ANNUAL R/F = 35.81 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 26.35 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 12.82 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/14/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	5/8/68 SPRING	8/20/68 SUMMER		
64	0.001	-100	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+3.8	+10.7	+17.3	-5.7	-22.2	+1.63
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 7	STORM U/S F -0.038 W -0.107 SP -0.173 SU -0.057
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.1560	0.8519	0.6895	0.0295		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
66	0.037	- 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.01	+1.05	+2.30	-0.42	-	-
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = -	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.021 SP -0.046 SU +0.008
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.1502	0.7775	0.6011	0.0311		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
65	0.11	+ 50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.01	-0.41	-0.99	+0.17	-	-
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = -	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.008 SP -0.020 SU +0.003
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.1502	0.7662	0.5818	0.0313		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

6-157


TABLE 6-127

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS**

OFMN

-100% PERTURBATION (0.048 - 0.35)

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID D079 SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	+90.7	+2.8	-0.6	---	---	---
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = --	STORM U/S F -0.907 W -0.026 SP +0.006 SU --
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	0.33	2.37	1.93	---		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID 37 SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	+3.2	-0.2	0.0	+0.01
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP -0.032 SU +0.002
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.945	0.043		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW64 REGIONAL	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+5.4	+1.4	+1.7	-3.6	-7.45	+1.25
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +1.69	JAN -0.85	APR +0.50	AUG -3.49	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 596	STORM U/S F -0.054 W -0.014 SP -0.017 SU -0.036
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.1040	0.9937	0.4963	0.0618		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW64 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+21.5	+5.9	+8.3	-5.4	-8.82	+0.86
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 31	STORM U/S F -0.215 W -0.059 SP -0.083 SU -0.054
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.0543	1.7041	0.8115	0.0555		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW64 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+22.7	+1.3	+3.6	+2.6	-4.35	+0.62
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 22	STORM U/S F -0.227 W -0.013 SP -0.036 SU -0.026
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.1163	2.1988	0.5398	0.1204		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW64 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	+3.8	+5.1	-1.6	0.0	+0.36
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 4	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.038 SP -0.051 SU +0.016
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.036	1.9808	0.9688	0.0847		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW64 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	+6.7	+6.4	+5.0	+25.9	-5.0	+1.01
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 38	STORM U/S F -0.067 W -0.064 SP -0.050 SU -0.259
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.0314	1.6573	0.6408	0.1697		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW64 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	+ 3.8	+10.7	+17.3	-5.7	-22.2	+1.63
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 7	STORM U/S F -0.038 W -0.107 SP -0.173 SU -0.057
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.1560	0.8519	0.6895	0.0295		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		



* A FUNCTION OF TYPE OF SURFACE, TYPE AND DENSITY OF VEGITATION AND FOREST COVERS

Figure 6-25. Overland Flow Manning's N Study, Winter Storms

6.3.13 RGPMB, RECORDING GAGE PRECIPITATION MULTIPLIER

This parameter permits adjustment of the precipitation data. If, during the calibration procedure, it is observed that simulated stream discharge values are consistently high or low, it may be due to a consistent under or over estimation of the precipitation gage data which may be corrected by this parameter.

The precipitation data can be directly obtainable from climatological data recorders, and eventually it will telemetered through communication satellites.

Sensitivity analysis (Tables 6-128 through 6-137 and Figure 6-26) show the models to be very sensitive to changes in RGPMB, the effect of which is to introduce a constant percentage bias in the precipitation input. Over a long period of time, this bias accumulates a large excess or deficiency in soil moisture, compounding the effects on output accuracy. It would be more realistic to introduce random errors in precipitation input, according to some probability density function, to represent the inability of the precipitation gages and preprocessing functions to synthesize a mean basin precipitation record. That would be a worthwhile undertaking for some future investigation. A small step in that direction was taken in the snowshed sensitivity analysis, when precipitation data was perturbed only during selected storms, as reported in Paragraph 6.3.15.

TABLE 6-128

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF RGPMB (1.0)
 SMALL WATERSHED 365 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 58.23 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 24.24 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 31.38 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/27/64 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				11/4/63 FALL	1/23/64 WINTER	5/1/64 SPRING	8/14/64 SUMMER		
S005	0.9	- 10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-40.3	-16.1	-14.4	-35.7	REF = 7.9 SIM = 6.1	-22.78
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16		-16.19
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		STORM U/S F +4.030 W +1.610 SP +1.440 SU +3.570
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.10	1.94	1.66	0.16		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
S006	1.1	+ 10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+66.5	+15.8	+14.5	+45.4	REF = 7.9 SIM = 9.9	+25.32
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16		+16.55
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		STORM U/S F +6.650 W +1.580 SP +1.450 SU +4.540
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.29	2.68	2.22	0.37		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		

TABLE 6-129

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF RGPMB (1.0)
 SNOW WATERSHED 277 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
45	0.9	- 10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-9.6	+19.3	-8.3	-25.2	REF = 3.0 SIM = 1.5	-50.0
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09		-18.56
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		STORM U/S F +0.960 W +1.930 SP +0.830 SU +2.520
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.030	0.047	0.840	0.032		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
46	1.1	+ 10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+10.7	-13.5	+9.3	+28.2	REF = 3.0 SIM = —	—
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09		+18.99
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		STORM U/S F +1.070 W +1.350 SP +0.930 SU +2.820
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.036	0.034	1.002	0.055		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		

TABLE 6-130

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF RGPMB (1.0)
 REGIONAL WATERSHED 22,248 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 41.89 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 32.90 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 15.41 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/15/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/21/68 WINTER	5/9/68 SPRING	8/11/68 SUMMER		
RW03	0.9	- 10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-11.7	-18.2	-18.2	-22.5	REF = 644 SIM = 361	-43.94
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -6.21	JAN -18.93	APR -17.15	AUG -23.64		STORM U/S F +1.170 W +1.820 SP +1.820 SU +2.250
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.09	0.80	0.40	0.05		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW02	1.1	+ 10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+12.6	+18.3	+18.5	+27.9	REF = 644 SIM = 1165	+80.90
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +6.21	JAN +19.07	APR +17.31	AUG +31.40		STORM U/S F +1.260 W +1.830 SP +1.850 SU +2.790
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.11	1.16	0.58	0.08		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF RGPMB (1.0)

SUBWATERSHED NO. 1 2,326 SQ. KM

TABLE 6-131

ANNUAL R/F = 59.30 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 40.29 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 19.63 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/25/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/18/68 SUMMER		
RW03	0.9	-10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-13.6	-20.7	-18.7	-37.3	-47.06	-19.57
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 18	STORM U/S F +1.360 W +2.070 SP +1.870 SU +3.730
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.28	0.61	0.04		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW02	1.1	+10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+19.1	+21.1	+19.7	+49.7	+50.0	+20.53
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79	REF = 34 SIM = 68	STORM U/S F +1.910 W +2.110 SP +1.970 SU +4.970
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.05	1.95	0.90	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		

TABLE 6-132

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF RGPMB (1.0)

SUBWATERSHED NO. 3 813 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 63.88 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 37.42 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 26.14 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/2/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	7/31/68 SUMMER		
RW03	0.9	-10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-14.4	-16.3	-19.6	-22.0	-30.43	-17.71
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 23 SIM = 16	STORM U/S F +1.440 W +1.630 SP +1.960 SU +2.200
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.08	1.82	0.42	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW02	1.1	+10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+16.6	+16.4	+21.9	+27.6	+43.48	+18.37
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 23 SIM = 33	STORM U/S F +1.660 W +1.640 SP +2.190 WU +2.760
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.11	2.53	0.64	0.15		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		

TABLE 6-133

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF RGPMB (1.0)

SUBWATERSHED NO. 5 1,064 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 48.24 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 27.87 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 22.36 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/29/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	8/13/68 SUMMER		
RW03	0.9	-10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-12.2	-17.6	-17.3	-14.6	-25.0	- -16.80
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 3	STORM U/S F +1.220 W +1.760 SP +1.730 SU +1.460
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.57	0.76	0.07		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW02	1.1	+10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+12.5	+17.9	+18.0	+15.3	+75.0	+17.26
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 7	STORM U/S F +1.250 W +1.790 SP +1.800 SU +1.530
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	2.25	1.09	0.10		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		

TABLE 6-134

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF RGPMB (1.0)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 7 1,111 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 50.93 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 33.02 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 18.29 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/30/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW	
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/14/68 SUMMER			
RW03	0.9	-10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-13.7	-19.0	-20.4	-37.5	REF = 40 SIM = 24	-40.0	-19.0
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95			STORM U/S
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127			F +1.370
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.26	0.49	0.08			W +1.900
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2			SP +2.040
RW02	1.1	+10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+17.7	19.1	+22.5	+55.4	REF = 40 SIM = 63	+57.5	+19.9
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95			STORM U/S
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127			F +1.770
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.85	0.75	0.20			W +1.910
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2			SP +2.250
										SU +5.540

TABLE 6-135

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF RGPMB (1.0)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 11 2,551 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 35.81 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 26.35 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 12.82 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/14/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW	
				10/28/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	5/8/68 SPRING	8/20/68 SUMMER			
RW03	0.9	-10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-7.1	-19.1	-20.8	-21.3	REF = 9 SIM = 6	-33.33	-18.07
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50			STORM U/S
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031			F +0.710
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.14	0.62	0.47	0.02			W +1.910
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1			SP +2.080
RW02	1.1	+10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+7.3	+19.1	+22.4	+26.0	REF = 9 SIM = 17	+88.9	+18.92
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50			STORM U/S
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031			F +0.730
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.16	0.92	0.72	0.04			W +1.910
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1			SP +2.240
6-157										SU +2.600

6 - 157

TABLE 6-136

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF

SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

RGPMB - 10% PERTURBATION (1.0)

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID S005 SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O	-40.3	-16.1	-14.4	-35.7	-22.78	-16.19
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = 6.1	STORM U/S F +4.030 W +1.610 SP +1.440 SU +3.570
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	1.94	1.66	0.16		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID 46 SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	-9.6	+19.3	-8.3	-25.2	-50.0	-18.56
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM = 1.5	STORM U/S F +0.960 W +1.930 SP +0.830 SU +2.520
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O	0.030	0.047	0.840	0.032		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW 03 REGIONAL	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	-11.7	-18.2	-18.2	-22.5	-43.94	-18.83
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -6.21	JAN -18.93	APR -17.15	AUG -23.64	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 361	STORM U/S F +1.170 W +1.820 SP +1.820 SU +2.250
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.09	0.80	0.40	0.05		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW 03 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	-13.6	-20.7	-18.7	-37.3	-47.06	-19.57
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 18	STORM U/S F +1.360 W +2.070 SP +1.870 SU +3.730
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.28	0.61	0.04		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW 03 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	-14.4	-16.3	-19.6	-22.0	-30.43	-17.71
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 16	STORM U/S F +1.440 W +1.630 SP +1.960 SU +2.200
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.08	1.82	0.42	0.08		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW 03 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	-12.2	-17.6	-17.3	-14.6	-25.0	-16.80
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 3	STORM U/S F +1.220 W +1.760 SP +1.730 SU +1.460
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.03	1.57	0.76	0.07		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW 03 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	-13.7	-19.0	-20.4	-37.5	-40.0	-19.0
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 24	STORM U/S F +1.370 W +1.900 SP +2.040 SU +3.750
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.03	1.26	0.49	0.08		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW 03 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	-7.1	-19.1	-20.8	-21.3	-33.33	-18.07
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 6	STORM U/S F +0.710 W +1.910 SP +2.080 SU +2.130
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.14	0.62	0.47	0.02		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

TABLE 8-137

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF RGPMB +10% PERTURBATION (1.0)
 SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID S006	365	46	Δ% OF R/O	+66.5	+15.8	+14.5	+45.4	+25.32	+16.55
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM = 9.9	STORM U/S F +6.650 W +1.580 SP +1.450 SU +4.540
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	0.29	2.68	2.22	0.37		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID 46	277	32	Δ% OF R/O	+10.7	-13.5	+9.3	+28.2	--	+18.99
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM = --	STORM U/S F +1.070 W +1.350 SP +0.930 SU +2.820
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O	0.036	0.034	1.002	0.055		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW 02	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+12.6	+18.3	+18.5	+27.9	+80.90	+19.82
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT	JAN	APR	AUG	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 1165	STORM U/S F +1.260 W +1.830 SP +1.850 SU +2.790
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.11	1.16	0.58	0.08		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW 02 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 1	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+19.1	+21.1	+19.7	+49.7	+50.0	+20.53
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 68	STORM U/S F +1.910 W +2.110 SP +1.970 SU +4.970
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.05	1.95	0.90	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW 02 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 3	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+16.6	+16.4	+21.9	+27.6	+43.48	+18.37
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 33	STORM U/S F +1.660 W +1.640 SP +2.190 SU +2.760
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.11	2.53	0.64	0.15		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW 02 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 5	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	+12.5	+17.8	+18.0	+15.3	+75.0	+17.26
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 7	STORM U/S F +1.250 W +1.790 SP +1.800 SU +1.530
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	2.25	1.09	0.10		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW 02 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 7	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	+17.7	+19.1	+22.5	+55.4	+57.5	+19.9
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 63	STORM U/S F +1.770 W +1.910 SP +2.250 SU +5.540
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.03	1.85	0.76	0.20		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW 02 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 11	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	+7.3	+19.1	+22.4	+26.0	+88.9	+18.92
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 17	STORM U/S F +0.730 W +1.910 SP +2.240 SU +2.600
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.16	0.92	0.72	0.04		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		




Figure 6-26. Precipitation Multiplier Study Fall Storms

6.3.14 EVAPORATION AND MEAN NUMBER OF RAINY DAYS

The model uses lake evaporation data to estimate evapotranspiration losses from the upper zone or, in the event all upper zone moisture has evaporated, from soil moisture storage.

Information on evaporation is the most difficult climatological data to obtain for most watersheds. So few evaporation pan records are available that only by coincidence will one be found close to a watershed being modeled. If one does have good information, daily pan evaporation totals and monthly pan evaporation coefficients can be read directly.

The evaporation data used for the small watershed is daily pan evaporation totals and monthly pan evaporation coefficients. All the monthly pan evaporation coefficients were perturbed $\pm 10\%$.

If the closest evaporation pan is too far away for the daily weather-related fluctuations in evaporation totals to be indicative of conditions over the watershed, or if it is desired to shorten the job of estimating evaporation from climatological data, pan evaporation totals may be read as average values over fixed ten-days periods (Table 6-138). The model has been programmed to adjust the potential evaporation total during rainy days (rainfall equal to or greater than 0.01 inch) to half what it would be if no rain occurred.

The evaporation data used for the snow watershed is average ten-days periods and monthly pan evaporation coefficients. The ten-day averages were perturbed by $\pm 20\%$ and $\pm 50\%$, but only during storms.

Where evaporation data is particularly sparse or a large number of watersheds are to be modeled in an area where a single evaporation pan must be used to distributed a geographically variable total annual pan evaporation over the year, a further data simplification is possible by using an estimate of the potential average annual lake evaporation (EPAET) and the mean annual number of days with measurable rainfall (MNRD).

The evaporation data used for the regional watershed and all its subwatersheds is the potential average annual lake evaporation (EPAET) and the mean annual number of days (MNRD) with measurable rainfall calculated in a special program.

The evaporation data can be directly obtainable from climatological data recorders, and eventually it will be telemetered through communication satellites from data collection sites.

Sensitivity analysis indicate that the evaporation input data is most influential during the fall and summer seasons. The average unit sensitivity is +2.0 for the evaporation data during summer, and -0.5 for the mean number of rainy days. The results appear in Tables 6-139 through 6-150 and Figures 6-27 and 6-28.

Table 6-138. Ten-Day Intervals for Averaging Evaporation Data

OCTOBER	1-OCTOBER	10	APRIL	1-APRIL	10
OCTOBER	11-OCTOBER	20	APRIL	11-APRIL	20
OCTOBER	21-OCTOBER	30	APRIL	21-APRIL	30
OCTOBER	31-NOVEMBER	9	MAY	1-MAY	10
NOVEMBER	10-NOVEMBER	19	MAY	11-MAY	20
NOVEMBER	20-NOVEMBER	29	MAY	21-MAY	30
NOVEMBER	30-DECEMBER	9	MAY	31-JUNE	9
DECEMBER	10-DECEMBER	19	JUNE	10-JUNE	19
DECEMBER	20-DECEMBER	31	JUNE	20-JUNE	29
JANUARY	1-JANUARY	10	JUNE	30-JULY	9
JANUARY	11-JANUARY	20	JULY	10-JULY	19
JANUARY	21-JANUARY	30	JULY	20-JULY	29
JANUARY	31-FEBRUARY	9	JULY	30-AUGUST	8
FEBRUARY	10-FEBRUARY	19	AUGUST	9-AUGUST	18
FEBRUARY	20-MARCH	1*	AUGUST	19-AUGUST	28
MARCH	2-MARCH	11	AUGUST	29-SEPTEMBER	7
MARCH	12-MARCH	21	SEPTEMBER	8-SEPTEMBER	17
MARCH	22-MARCH	31	SEPTEMBER	18-SEPTEMBER	27
			SEPTEMBER	28-SEPTEMBER	30

*This is an eleven-day interval on leap years.

TABLE 6-139

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF EVAPORATION (ALL PERTURBATION COEFFS)
 SMALL WATERSHED 365 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 58.23 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 24.24 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 31.38 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/27/64 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				11/4/63 FALL	1/23/64 WINTER	5/1/64 SPRING	8/14/64 SUMMER		
T157		-10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+5.8	+1.4	+3.4	+32.1	+16.46	+4.11
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = 9.2	STORM U/S F -0.580 W -0.140 SP -0.340 SU -3.210
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.19	2.35	2.00	0.34		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
T162		+10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-5.1	-1.4	-2.4	-21.0	-12.66	-3.78
			STORM R/F	3.13	3.19	2.87	2.16	REF = 7.9 SIM = 6.9	STORM U/S F -0.510 W -0.140 SP -0.240 SU -2.100
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.17	2.28	1.89	0.20		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		

TABLE 6-140

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF STORM EVAPORATION (10 DAY PERIODS)
 SNOW WATERSHED 277 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
50A		-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+11.3	+27.0	+2.3	+7.1	+463.3	+5.66
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 16.9	STORM U/S F -0.226 W -0.540 SP -0.046 SU -0.142
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.036	0.050	0.937	0.046		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
51A		-20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+4.4	+8.8	+0.9	+2.7	+123.33	+1.74
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 6.7	STORM U/S F -0.220 W -0.440 SP -0.045 SU -0.135
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.034	0.043	0.925	0.044		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
52A		+20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-4.3	-8.0	-0.9	-2.6	-30.0	-1.26
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.1	STORM U/S F -0.215 W -0.400 SP -0.045 SU -0.130
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.031	0.036	0.908	0.042		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
53A		+50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-8.6	-15.1	-2.1	-6.0	-36.67	-2.36
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 1.9	STORM U/S F -0.172 W -0.302 SP -0.042 SU -0.120
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.030	0.033	0.897	0.041		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		

6-163

TABLE 6-141A

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF EPAET (38)
 REGIONAL WATERSHED 22,248 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 41.89 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 32.90 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 15.41 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/15/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/21/68 WINTER	5/9/68 SPRING	8/11/68 SUMMER		
RW123	30.4	-20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+6.1	+5.3	+16.3	+46.4	+170.19	+13.27
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +3.39	JAN +6.85	APR +8.96	AUG +55.81	REF = 644 SIM = 1740	STORM U/S F -0.305 W -0.265 Sp -0.815 SU -2.320
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.10	1.03	0.57	0.09		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW122	45.6	+20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-5.2	-4.9	-14.2	-20.0	-49.5	-10.03
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -3.39	JAN -6.11	APR -8.46	AUG -22.48	REF = 644 SIM = 325	STORM U/S F -0.260 W -0.245 SP -0.710 SU -1.000
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.09	0.93	0.42	0.06		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		

TABLE 6-141B

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF MNRD (107)
 REGIONAL WATERSHED 22,248 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 41.89 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 32.90 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 15.41 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/15/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/21/68 WINTER	5/9/68 SPRING	8/11/68 SUMMER		
RW125	86	-20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+1.4	+1.8	+4.1	+7.7	+26.1	+3.09
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +0.56	JAN +1.95	APR +2.42	AUG +8.91	REF = 644 SIM = 812	STORM U/S F -0.070 W -0.090 SP -0.205 SU -0.385
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.10	1.00	0.51	0.07		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RW124 6-164	128	+20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-1.3	-1.7	-3.9	-6.2	-17.4	-2.84
			$\Delta\%$ OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -1.13	JAN -1.87	APR -2.38	AUG -6.98	REF = 644 SIM = 532	STORM U/S F -0.065 W -0.085 SP -0.195 SU -0.310
			REF. R/O (IN)	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O (IN)	0.10	0.96	0.47	0.06		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O (IN)	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		

6-164

TABLE 6-142A

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF EPAET (50)

SUBWATERSHED NO. 1 2,326 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 59.30 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 40.29 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 19.63 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/25/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/18/68 SUMMER		
RW123	40	-20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+11.9	+8.6	+18.5	+96.8	+226.47 REF = 34 SIM = 111	+14.61 STORM U/S F -0.595 W -0.325 SP -0.925 SU -4.845
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79		
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.05	1.71	0.89	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW122	60	+20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-9.6	-5.9	-15.2	-45.4	-55.9 REF = 34 SIM = 15	-11.43 STORM U/S F -0.475 W -0.295 SP -0.760 SU -2.270
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79		
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.51	0.64	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		

TABLE 6-142B

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF MNRD (128)

SUBWATERSHED NO. 1 2,326 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 59.30 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 40.29 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 19.63 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/25/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/18/68 SUMMER		
RW125	102	-20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+2.6	+2.4	+4.6	+16.8	+35.3 REF = 34 SIM = 46	+3.48 STORM U/S F -0.130 W -0.120 SP -0.230 SU -0.840
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79		
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.05	1.65	0.78	0.07		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RW124 6-165	154	+20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-2.5	-2.3	-4.3	-14.2	-26.5 REF = 34 SIM = 25	-3.27 STORM U/S F -0.125 W -0.115 SP -0.215 SU -0.710
			STORM R/F	2.16	1.91	3.49	2.79		
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.57	0.72	0.05		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		

6-165

TABLE 6-143A

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF EPAET (50)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 3 813 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 63.88 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 37.42 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 26.14 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ % PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/2/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	7/31/68 SUMMER		
RW12*	40	-20	Δ% OF R/O	+8.2	+3.5	+21.9	+46.7	+82.61	+11.17
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 23 SIM = 42	STORM U/S F -0.410 W -0.175 SP -1.095 SU -2.335
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.10	2.26	0.63	0.17		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RW127	60	+20	Δ% OF R/O	-6.1	-3.3	-16.1	-21.1	-39.1	-9.04
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 23 SIM = 14	STORM U/S F -0.305 W -0.165 SP -0.805 WU -1.055
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.09	2.10	0.44	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		

TABLE 6-143B

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF MNRD (116)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 3 813 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 63.88 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 37.42 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 26.14 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ % PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/2/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	7/31/68 SUMMER		
125	93	-20	Δ% OF R/O	+1.7	+1.3	+5.1	+7.1	+13.0	+2.56
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 23 SIM = 26	STORM U/S F -0.085 W -0.065 SP -0.255 SU -0.355
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.10	2.20	0.55	0.13		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
124 6-166	131	+20	Δ% OF R/O	-1.1	-0.8	-3.1	-4.3	-8.7	-1.64
			STORM R/F	2.51	3.11	1.70	2.21	REF = 23 SIM = 21	STORM U/S F -0.055 W -0.040 SP -0.155 WU -0.215
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.09	2.15	0.51	0.11		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		

6-166

TABLE 6-144A

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF EPAET (37)
 SUBWATERSHED NO. 5 1,064 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 48.24 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 27.87 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 22.36 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/29/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	8/13/68 SUMMER		
RW123	29.6	-20	Δ% OF R/O	+5.4	+3.6	+13.6	+12.9	+125.0	+8.23
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F - 0.270 W - 0.180 SP - 0.680 SU - 0.645
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.98	1.05	0.10		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RW122	44.4	+20	Δ% OF R/O	-4.8	-3.2	-11.8	-8.4	-25.0	-7.1
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 3	STORM U/S F - 0.240 W - 0.160 SP - 0.590 SU - 0.420
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.85	0.81	0.08		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		

TABLE 6-144B

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF MNRD (150)
 SUBWATERSHED NO. 5 1,064 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 48.24 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 27.87 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 22.36 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/29/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/9/68 WINTER	4/25/68 SPRING	8/13/68 SUMMER		
125	120	-20	Δ% OF R/O	+1.4	+1.5	+3.8	+2.7	+25.0	+ 2.35
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 5	STORM U/S F - 0.070 W - 0.075 SP - 0.190 SU - 0.135
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.94	0.96	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
124 6-167	180	+20	Δ% OF R/O	-1.4	-1.4	-3.6	-2.4	0.0	- 2.21
			STORM R/F	1.08	2.04	1.82	2.22	REF = 4 SIM = 4	STORM U/S F - 0.070 W - 0.070 SP - 0.180 SU - 0.120
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.04	1.88	0.89	-0.08		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		

6-167

TABLE 6-145A

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF EPAET
SUBWATERSHED NO. 7 1,111 SQ. KM (40)**

ANNUAL R/F = 50.93 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 33.02 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 18.29 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/30/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/14/68 SUMMER		
RW123	32	-20	Δ% OF R/O	+14.2	+4.9	+17.4	+99.2	+112.50	+13.11
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 85	STORM U/S F -0.710 W -0.245 SP -0.870 SU-4.960
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.63	0.72	0.25		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RW122	48	+20	Δ% OF R/O	-9.9	-4.7	-15.0	-43.1	-47.5	-10.26
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 21	STORM U/S F -0.495 W -0.235 SP -0.750 SU-2.155
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.48	0.52	0.07		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		

TABLE 6-145B

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF MNRD (102)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 7 1,111 SQ. KM**

ANNUAL R/F = 50.93 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 33.02 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 18.29 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/30/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/15/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	4/26/68 SPRING	8/14/68 SUMMER		
125	82	-20	Δ% OF R/O	+1.8	+1.4	+4.1	+15.1	+17.5	+ 2.59
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 47	STORM U/S F -0.095 W -0.070 SP -0.205 SU-0.755
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.58	0.63	0.15		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
124 6-168	122	+20	Δ% OF R/O	-2.0	-1.4	-4.0	-12.2	-12.5	- 2.49
			STORM R/F	1.58	2.76	1.92	2.95	REF = 40 SIM = 35	STORM U/S F -0.100 W -0.070 SP -0.200 SU-0.610
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.03	1.53	0.59	0.11		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		

6-168

TABLE 6-146A

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF EPAET (30)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 11 2,551 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 35.81 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 26.35 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 12.82 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ % PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/14/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	5/8/68 SPRING	8/20/68 SUMMER		
RW123	24	-20	Δ % OF R/O	+2.1	+6.1	+20.2	+40.5	+244.4	+11.44
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 31	STORM U/S F -0.105 W -0.305 SP -1.010 SU -2.025
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.82	0.71	0.04		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
RW122	36	+20	Δ % OF R/O	-1.6	-5.7	-16.8	-16.5	-33.3	-8.86
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 6	STORM U/S F -0.080 W -0.285 SP -0.840 SU -0.825
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.73	0.49	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

TABLE 6-146B

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF MNRD (115)
SUBWATERSHED NO. 11 2,551 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 35.81 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 26.35 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 12.82 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ % PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/14/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/28/67 FALL	1/8/68 WINTER	5/8/68 SPRING	8/20/68 SUMMER		
125	92	-20	Δ % OF R/O	+0.4	+1.6	+4.6	+6.5	+77.8	+2.49
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 16	STORM U/S F -0.020 W -0.080 SP -0.230 SU -0.325
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.78	0.61	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		
124 6-169	138	+20	Δ % OF R/O	-0.4	-1.6	-4.5	-4.9	-11.11	-2.40
			STORM R/F	1.35	1.37	1.91	0.50	REF = 9 SIM = 8	STORM U/S F -0.020 W -0.080 SP -0.225 SU -0.245
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.15	0.76	0.56	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

6-169

TABLE 6-147

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF EPAET -20% PERTURBATION
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O					9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM =	STORM U/S F W SP SU
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16		
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O						
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O					9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM =	STORM U/S F W SP SU
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09		
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O						
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW 123 REGIONAL (37)	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+6.1	+5.3	+18.3	+46.4	+170.19	+13.27
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +3.39	JAN +6.85	APR +8.96	AUG +55.81	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 1740	STORM U/S F -0.305 W -0.265 SP .815 SU -2.320
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	1.03	0.57	0.09		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW 123 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1 (50)	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+11.9	+6.5	+18.6	+96.9	+226.47	+14.61
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 111	STORM U/S F -0.595 W -0.325 SP -0.925 SU -4.845
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.05	1.71	0.89	0.12		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW 123 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3 (50)	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+8.2	+3.5	+21.9	+46.7	+82.81	+11.17
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 42	STORM U/S F -0.410 W -0.175 SP -1.095 SU -2.335
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	2.25	0.63	0.17		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW 123 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5 (37)	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	+5.4	+3.6	+13.6	+12.9	+125.0	+8.23
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 9	STORM U/S F -0.270 W -0.180 SP -0.680 SU -0.645
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.98	1.05	0.10		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW 123 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7 (40)	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	+14.2	+4.9	+17.4	+99.2	+112.50	+13.11
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 85	STORM U/S F -0.710 W -0.245 SP -0.870 SU -4.960
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.03	1.63	0.72	0.25		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW 123 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11 (30)	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	+2.1	+6.1	+20.2	+40.6	+244.4	+11.44
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 31	STORM U/S F -0.105 W -0.305 SP -1.010 SU -2.025
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.16	0.82	0.71	0.04		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	18.1		

TABLE 8-148

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS**

EPAET +20% PERTURBATION

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW	
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER			
RUN ID SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O							
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16	9/27/64 REF = 7.9	STORM U/S F W SP SU	
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255			
			PERT. R/O					SIM =		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3			
RUN ID SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O							
			STORM R/F	10/18/67 2.99	4/21/68 0.0	5/10/68 1.78	8/13/68 1.09	9/7/58 REF = 3.0	STORM U/S F W SP SU	
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043			
			PERT. R/O					SIM =		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25			
RUN ID RW 122 REGIONAL (37)	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	-5.2	-4.9	-14.2	-20.0	-49.5	-10.03	
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -3.39	JAN -8.11	APR -8.46	AUG -22.48	9/15/68 REF = 644	STORM U/S F -0.260 W -0.245 SP -0.710 SU -1.000	
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064			
			PERT. R/O	0.08	0.93	0.42	0.05	SIM = 325		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258			
RUN ID RW 122 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1 (50)	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	-9.5	-5.9	-15.2	-45.4	-55.9	-11.43	
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34	STORM U/S F -0.475 W -0.295 SP -0.760 SU -2.270	
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059			
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.51	0.64	0.03	SIM = 15		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5			
RUN ID RW 122 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3 (50)	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	-6.1	-3.3	-16.1	-21.1	-39.1	-9.04	
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/8/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23	STORM U/S F -0.305 W -0.165 SP -0.805 SU -1.055	
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117			
			PERT. R/O	0.09	2.10	0.44	0.09	SIM = 14		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9			
RUN ID RW 122 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5 (37)	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	-4.8	-3.2	-11.8	-8.4	-25.0	-7.1	
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4	STORM U/S F -0.240 W -0.160 SP -0.590 SU -0.420	
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086			
			PERT. R/O	0.03	1.85	0.81	0.08	SIM = 3		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8			
RUN ID RW 122 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7 (40)	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	-9.9	-4.7	-15.0	-43.1	-47.5	-10.26	
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40	STORM U/S F -0.495 W -0.235 SP -0.750 SU -2.155	
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127			
			PERT. R/O	0.03	1.48	0.52	0.07	SIM = 21		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2			
RUN ID RW 122 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11 (30)	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	-1.6	-5.7	-16.8	-16.5	-33.3	-8.86	
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9	STORM U/S F -0.080 W -0.285 SP -0.840 SU -0.825	
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031			
			PERT. R/O	0.15	0.73	0.49	0.03	SIM = 6		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	18.1			

TABLE 8-149

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF MNRD -20% PERTURBATION
 SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O					9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM =	STORM U/S F .W .SP .SU
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16		
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O						
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O					9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM =	STORM U/S F .W .SP .SU
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09		
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O						
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW 125 REGIONAL (107)	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	+1.4	+1.8	+4.1	+7.7	+26.1	+3.09
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT +0.56	JAN +1.95	APR +2.42	AUG +8.91	9/15/68 REF = 644 SIM = 812	STORM U/S F -.070 W -.090 SP -.205 SU -.385
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	1.00	0.51	0.07		
			REF. MONTHLY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW 125 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 1 (128)	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	+2.6	+2.4	+4.6	+16.8	+35.3	+3.48
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79	9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 46	STORM U/S F -.130 W -.120 SP -.230 SU -.840
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.05	1.65	0.78	0.07		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW 125 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 3 (116)	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	+1.7	+1.3	+5.1	+7.1	+13.0	+2.55
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21	9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 26	STORM U/S F -.085 W -.065 SP -.255 SU -.355
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	2.20	0.55	0.13		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW 125 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 5 (150)	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	+1.4	+1.5	+3.8	+2.7	+25.0	+2.35
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22	9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 5	STORM U/S F -.070 W -.075 SP -.190 SU -.135
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.94	0.96	0.09		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW 125 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 7 (102)	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	+1.9	+1.4	+4.1	+15.1	+17.5	+2.59
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95	9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 47	STORM U/S F -.095 W -.070 SP -.205 SU -.755
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.03	1.58	0.63	0.15		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW 125 SUB-WATERSHED NO. 11 (115)	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	+0.4	+1.6	+4.6	+6.5	+77.8	+2.49
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50	9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 16	STORM U/S F -.020 W -.080 SP -.230 SU -.325
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.15	0.78	0.61	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		

TABLE 8-150

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF MNRD +20% PERTURBATION
SMALL, SNOW & REGIONAL WATERSHEDS

WATERSHED	AREA (SQ. KM)	EPAET (IN)	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				FALL	WINTER	SPRING	SUMMER		
RUN ID SMALL	365	45	Δ% OF R/O					9/27/64 REF = 7.9 SIM =	STORM U/S F W SP SU
			STORM R/F	11/4/63 3.13	1/23/64 3.19	5/1/64 2.87	8/14/64 2.16		
			REF. R/O	0.175	2.31	1.94	0.255		
			PERT. R/O	-	-	-	-		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	17.9	1.38	1.47	8.3		
RUN ID SNOW	277	32	Δ% OF R/O					9/7/58 REF = 3.0 SIM =	STORM U/S F W SP SU
			STORM R/F	10/18/57 2.99	4/21/58 0.0	5/10/58 1.78	8/13/58 1.09		
			REF. R/O	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O	-	-	-	-		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
RUN ID RW 124 REGIONAL (107)	22,248	41	Δ% OF R/O	-1.3	-1.7	-3.9	-6.2	-17.4 REF = 644 SIM = 532	-2.84 STORM U/S F -0.065 W -0.085 SP -0.195 SU -0.310
			Δ% OF MONTHLY R/O	OCT -1.13	JAN -1.87	APR -2.38	AUG -6.98		
			REF. R/O	0.099	0.980	0.487	0.064		
			PERT. R/O	0.10	0.96	0.47	0.06		
			REF. MONTH- LY R/O	0.177	3.634	2.600	0.258		
RUN ID RW 124 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 1 (128)	2,326	50	Δ% OF R/O	-2.5	-2.3	-4.3	-14.2	-26.5 9/25/68 REF = 34 SIM = 25	-3.27 STORM U/S F -0.125 W -0.115 SP -0.215 SU -0.710
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.16	1/8/68 1.91	4/26/68 3.49	8/18/68 2.79		
			REF. R/O	0.045	1.609	0.749	0.059		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.57	0.72	0.05		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	48	1.18	4.65	46.5		
RUN ID RW 124 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 3 (116)	813	50	Δ% OF R/O	-1.1	-0.8	-3.1	-4.3	-8.7 9/2/68 REF = 23 SIM = 21	-1.64 STORM U/S F -0.055 W -0.040 SP -0.155 SU -0.215
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 2.51	1/9/68 3.11	4/25/68 1.70	7/31/68 2.21		
			REF. R/O	0.095	2.170	0.521	0.117		
			PERT. R/O	0.09	2.15	0.51	0.11		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	26.4	1.43	3.26	18.9		
RUN ID RW 124 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 5 (150)	1,064	37	Δ% OF R/O	-1.4	-1.4	-3.6	-2.4	0.0 9/29/68 REF = 4 SIM = 4	-2.21 STORM U/S F -0.070 W -0.070 SP -0.180 SU -0.120
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.08	1/9/68 2.04	4/25/68 1.82	8/13/68 2.22		
			REF. R/O	0.036	1.907	0.921	0.086		
			PERT. R/O	0.04	1.88	0.89	-0.08		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	30	1.06	1.97	25.8		
RUN ID RW 124 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 7 (102)	1,111	40	Δ% OF R/O	-2.0	-1.4	-4.0	-12.2	-12.5 9/30/68 REF = 40 SIM = 35	-2.49 STORM U/S F -0.100 W -0.070 SP -0.200 SU -0.610
			STORM R/F	10/15/67 1.58	1/8/68 2.76	4/26/68 1.42	8/14/68 2.95		
			REF. R/O	0.029	1.557	0.610	0.127		
			PERT. R/O	0.03	1.53	0.59	0.11		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	54.5	1.77	3.14	23.2		
RUN ID RW 124 SUB- WATERSHED NO. 11 (115)	2,551	30	Δ% OF R/O	-0.4	-1.6	-4.5	-4.9	-11.11 9/14/68 REF = 9 SIM = 8	-2.40 STORM U/S F -0.020 W -0.080 SP -0.225 SU -0.245
			STORM R/F	10/28/67 1.35	1/8/68 1.37	5/8/68 1.91	8/20/68 0.50		
			REF. R/O	0.150	0.769	0.588	0.031		
			PERT. R/O	0.15	0.76	0.56	0.03		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	9.0	1.8	3.24	16.1		




Figure 6-27. Evaporation Data Study, Summer Storms




Figure 6-28. MNRD Study, Summer Storms

6.3.15 SENSITIVITY OF SMALL SNOWSHED MODEL TO CLIMATOLOGICAL INPUT PERTURBATIONS DURING STORMS

- Precipitation - Sensitivity analysis results indicate that the precipitation is sensitive during fall (October), spring (May), and summer (August) as was expected. The highest unit sensitivity occurs during spring at +0.90, and the low flows show +1.33. Hydrological consideration would suggest a unit sensitivity near unity.
- Evaporation - Sensitivity analysis shows the evaporation input data is most sensitive during April with a unit sensitivity of 0.40, and large sensitivity during low flows.
- Temperature - Daily maximum and minimum air temperature are required in the model if the snowmelt subroutine is called. These values are read in as an array of alternating maximum and minimum values for each day of the water year. Since air temperatures vary over a watershed, recorded temperatures from a station (preferably within the watershed) are adjusted by the main program to the mean elevation of the basin. Adjusted temperatures are then used for the remainder of the calculations involving temperature.

Sensitivity analysis results show that the temperature input data is very influential as was expected. It shows strong effects during April and May when the snow is melting. Results for all the seasons are presented in Table 6-151 and Figures 6-29 through 6-31.

TABLE 6-161

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF STORM (PRECIP, EVAP, TEMP.)
 SNOW WATERSHED 277 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
48 (PRECIP.)	—	-10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-5.5	-0.1	-8.6	-4.6	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.6	-13.33
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09		STORM U/S F +0.550 W +0.010 SP +0.860 SU+0.460
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0309	0.0393	0.8376	0.0412		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
49 (PRECIP.)	—	+10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+5.6	+0.4	+9.2	+7.3	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.6	+20.0
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09		STORM U/S F +0.560 W +0.040 SP +0.920 SU+0.730
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0346	0.0395	1.0003	0.0464		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
51A (EVAP.)	—	-20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+4.4	+8.8	+0.9	+2.7	REF = 3.0 SIM = 6.7	+123.33
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09		STORM U/S F -0.220 W -0.440 SP -0.045 SU -0.135
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0342	0.0428	0.9245	0.0444		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
52A (EVAP.)	—	+20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-4.3	-8.0	-0.9	-2.6	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.1	-30.0
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09		STORM U/S F -0.215 W -0.400 SP -0.045 SU -0.130
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0314	0.0362	0.9081	0.0421		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
55A (TEMP.)	—	-10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-15.6	-28.4	-26.0	+11.4	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.6	+20.0
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09		STORM U/S F +1.560 W +2.840 SP +2.600 SU -1.140
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0276	0.0281	0.6777	0.0481		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
56A (TEMP.)	—	+10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.8	+182.1	+37.0	-11.1	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.3	-23.33
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09		STORM U/S F +0.800 W +18.210 SP +3.700 SU -1.110
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0330	0.1109	1.2553	0.0384		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		




Figure 6-29. Storm Precipitation Study, Small Snowshed




Figure 6-30. Storm Evaporation Study, Small Snowshed




Figure 6-31. *Storm Temperature Study, Small Snowshed*

6.3.16 FIRR, FRACTION OF INCIDENT RADIATION REFLECTED BY SNOW

This is an array of 15 values which are used to adjust snowmelt rates as snow surface albedo changes. It is well known that snow surface albedos change with age and also with rainfall on the surface. Snow albedos have been shown to vary from a maximum of about 0.80 for new fallen snow to a minimum of about 0.40 for a ripe snowpack during the melt season. Under melting conditions, the albedo can change from the maximum to the minimum in about 15 days (Figure 6-32). This relationship is the basis for the FIRR array.

Results of the sensitivity analysis (Table 6-152) show that it is most influential during the snowmelt period. Results of all four seasons and annual and low flows are all plotted and presented in Figure 6-33. The average unit sensitivity is -1.48.




Figure 6-32. FIRR Array

TABLE 6-152

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF FIRR (15)
SNOW WATERSHED 277 SQ. KM

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
66		- 50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.1	+125.9	+24.6	-10.2	-23.33	+2.66
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.3	STORM U/S F +0.002 W -2.518 SP -0.492 SU +0.204
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0327	0.0888	1.1411	0.0388		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
67		- 20	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	+36.8	+9.6	-4.1	-10.0	+1.13
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.7	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -1.840 SP -0.480 SU +0.205
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.0538	1.0044	0.0414		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
68		+ 20	Δ% OF R/O	+0.1	-24.0	-9.4	+4.3	+10.0	-1.33
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.3	STORM U/S F +0.005 W -1.200 SP -0.470 SU +0.215
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.0299	0.8304	0.0451		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
69		+ 50	Δ% OF R/O	+0.2	-29.2	-23.7	+12.1	+26.67	-3.41
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.8	STORM U/S F +0.004 W -0.584 SP -0.474 SU +0.242
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.0279	0.6995	0.0485		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
6-182									

6-182




Figure 6-33. Incoming Radiation Study, Small Snowshed

6.3.17 BDDFSM, BASIC DEGREE DAY FACTOR FOR SNOWMELT

Although defined by Liou as a degree day melt factor, this parameter is actually a degree hour melt factor since the melt calculation is done hourly throughout the melt season. The parameter value represents the amount of melt which will occur in one hour for every degree F above a base temperature, usually 32°F, during the maximum melt rate season. The maximum melt rate calculated is reduced by several other factors since it is known that degree day melt factors are not uniform over a melt season. This parameter is important in determining the timing of snowmelt runoff and the height of peak runoff events during the snowmelt season. It is difficult to determine for any particular watershed. However, the values used by Anderson and Crawford ranged from .0035 to .0085. This parameter is usually optimized for a best fit.

Sensitivity analysis results indicate that it is very influential when perturbed at +10% and +20% during May. The unit sensitivities for those perturbations are +6.82 and +8.22, respectively (Table 6-153). Results of all four seasons are plotted and presented in Figure 6-34.

TABLE 6-153

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SNOW WATERSHED**

BDDFSM (0.0033)

277 SQ. KM

 ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR- BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/68 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/67 FALL	4/21/68 WINTER	5/10/68 SPRING	8/3/68 SUMMER		
75	0.00264	-20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.3	-29.5	-29.4	+15.9	+36.67	-4.36
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 4.1	STORM U/S F +0.015 W +1.475 SP +1.470 SU -0.795
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.0277	0.6470	0.0501		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
76	0.00297	-10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.1	-28.7	-14.8	+6.0	+13.33	-2.98
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.4	STORM U/S F +0.010 W +2.870 SP +1.480 SU -0.600
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0328	0.0280	0.7808	0.0458		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
77	0.00363	+10	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	+68.2	+15.3	-7.3	-16.67	+1.04
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.5	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +6.820 SP +1.530 SU -0.730
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.0661	1.0566	0.0401		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
78 6-185	0.00396	+20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.1	+164.4	+31.1	-11.9	-26.67	+3.88
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.2	STORM U/S F -0.005 W +8.220 SP +1.555 SU -0.595
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.1040	1.2015	0.0381		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		

6-185




Figure 6-34. Degree Day Factor Study, Small Snowshed

6.3.18 SPBFLW, SNOWPACK BASIC MAXIMUM FRACTION IN LIQUID WATER

This parameter refers to the liquid water holding capacity of the snowpack expressed as a proportion of its total water content. Anderson and Crawford report literature values ranging from 4 to 7 percent depending on the density and other characteristics of the snowpack. This parameter is important in that it determines the timing of snowmelt runoff. In the snowmelt subroutine, no melt water will be released from the snowpack until water holding capacity has been filled. SPBFLW is generally estimated and optimized for a best fit value.

Results of sensitivity analysis (Table 6-154) show that it is most influential during May, and the average unit sensitivity to a +50% perturbation is -0.74.

Results for all four seasons are plotted and presented in Figure 6-35.

TABLE 6-154. 156

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SNOW WATERSHED**
277 SQ. KM

SPBFLW (0.040) AND SPTWCC (4.0)

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
80 SPBFLW	0.02	-50	Δ% OF R/O	+3.1	+47.9	+1.2	-1.0	-3.33	+0.13
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.9	STORM U/S F -0.062 W -0.958 SP -0.024 SU +0.020
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0338	0.0581	0.9268	0.0428		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
81 SPBFLW	0.06	+50	Δ% OF R/O	-2.8	-25.9	-1.5	+0.9	0.0	-0.14
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F -0.058 W -0.518 SP -0.030 SU +0.018
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0318	0.0291	0.9025	0.0436		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
			Δ% OF R/O						
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM =	STORM U/S F W SP SU
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)						
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
82 SPTWCC	2.0	-50	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	+2.1	+0.8	+6.7	3.33	+7.95
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.1	STORM U/S F 0.0 W -0.042 SP -0.016 SU -0.134
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.0401	0.9238	0.0461		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
83 SPTWCC	6.0	+50	Δ% OF R/O	0.0	0.0	0.0	-7.2	-3.33	-7.97
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.9	STORM U/S F 0.0 W 0.0 SP 0.0 SU -0.144
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.0401		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		
			Δ% OF R/O						
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM =	STORM U/S F W SP SU
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)						
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	—	1.94	25		




Figure 6-35. Snowpack Liquid Water Fraction Study

6.3.19 SPTWCC, SNOWPACK MINIMUM TOTAL WATER CONTENT FOR COMPLETE BAŞIN COVERAGE

In mountain watersheds with large elevation differences, considerable snow accumulation can take place on the upper watershed before the entire watershed is covered. This parameter attempts to define the water content at the point the entire basin becomes covered with snow. The parameter is used to adjust snowmelt for incomplete snow cover on the basin. When the water equivalent is less than the parameter index value, it is assumed that the snow covered area is proportionately less and melt is adjusted accordingly. This parameter is generally estimated and optimized for a best fit. A knowledge of snowpack water contents and accumulation patterns on the watershed is essential to assign a realistic value to the parameter.

Results of sensitivity analysis (Table 6-155) show that it is not an influential parameter. It shows some sensitivity during the summer season, and the annual flow. The unit sensitivity for $\pm 50\%$ perturbation during summer is -0.14.

Results for all four seasons are plotted and presented in Figure 6-36.

6-191




Figure 6-36. Snowpack Minimum Total Water Study

6.3.20 ELDIF, ELEVATION DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE BASE THERMOMETER AND THE MEAN BASIN ELEVATION

This parameter provides an elevation adjustment for temperature data. Since temperature stations on mountain watersheds are generally located at more accessible sites, usually low on the watershed, a temperature adjustment to estimate mean basin temperature from measured station temperature is required. The parameter, expressed in thousands of feet, is easily determined from a topographic map of the watershed. The parameter is positive if the station is below the mean basin elevation. It is obtainable from topographic maps.

Sensitivity analysis results (Table 6-156) indicate that it is very influential when perturbed -20% and -50% during May. The unit sensitivities for those perturbations are -2.75 and -4.67, respectively. Results for all four seasons are plotted and presented in Figure 6-37.

TABLE 6-156

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SNOW WATERSHED**

ELDIF (1.113)

277 SQ. KM

 ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
86	0.5565	-50	Δ% OF R/O	-1.7	+233.7	+35.5	-16.4	-30.0	+1.96
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.1	STORM U/S F +0.034 W -4.674 SP -0.710 SU +0.328
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0322	0.1312	1.2418	0.0361		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
87	0.8904	-20	Δ% OF R/O	-2.6	+55.0	+11.5	-5.1	-10.0	+0.42
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.7	STORM U/S F +0.130 W -2.750 SP -0.575 SU +0.255
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0319	0.0609	1.0217	0.0410		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
88	1.3356	+20	Δ% OF R/O	-0.4	-27.8	-12.8	+5.7	+10.0	-1.0
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.3	STORM U/S F -0.020 W -1.390 SP -0.640 SU +0.285
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0326	0.0284	0.7987	0.0457		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
89	1.6695	+50	Δ% OF R/O	+21.7	+25.8	-26.4	+12.0	+23.33	-1.69
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.7	STORM U/S F +0.434 W +0.516 SP -0.508 SU +0.240
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0398	0.0292	0.6838	0.0484		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
6-193									

6-193

6-194




Figure 6-37. Elevation Difference Study, Small Snowshed

6.3.21 FFOR, FRACTION OF THE WATERSHED FORESTED

This parameter is defined as the area of forest cover times the average cover density. Thus, a knowledge of the proportion of forest cover plus the average density of the forest is required to estimate this parameter. The proportion of forest cover on a watershed can easily be determined from cover type maps or aerial photographs. For larger watersheds, satisfactory estimates can be obtained from the USGS topographic quadrangle maps with the green forest cover overprint. An estimate of the average canopy density on the watershed is more difficult, although satisfactory estimates can be obtained from cover type maps and aerial photographs. This parameter is important in that it determines snow interception losses and snowmelt adjustments due to reflected radiation. It can be directly obtained from land-use classification in remotely-sensed image analysis.

This parameter is influential during winter, spring, summer, low flows and annual flows. Only during an October storm has it shown no significant influence.

The results of all four seasons, the low flows, and the annual flow appear in Table 6-157 and in Figure 6-38.

TABLE 6-157

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SNOW WATERSHED**

FFOR (0.40)

277 SQ. KM

 ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTURBATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
94	0.20	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.3	-15.5	+9.1	+14.9	+30.0	+10.48
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.9	STORM U/S F +0.006 W +0.310 SP -0.182 SU -0.298
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0327	0.0332	0.9996	0.0497		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
95	0.32	-20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	-0.1	-10.6	+2.6	+6.1	+10.0	+4.55
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.3	STORM U/S F +0.005 W +0.530 SP -0.130 SU -0.305
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0327	0.0351	0.9401	0.0459		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
96	0.48	+20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.1	+4.5	-1.6	-5.4	-10.0	-4.08
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.7	STORM U/S F +0.005 W +0.225 SP -0.080 SU -0.270
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0328	0.0411	0.9015	0.0409		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		
97 6-196	0.60	+50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	+0.3	+22.0	-2.3	-13.9	-26.67	-9.80
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.2	STORM U/S F +0.006 W +0.440 SP -0.046 SU -0.278
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0329	0.0480	0.8950	0.0372		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	---	1.94	25		

6-196




Figure 6-38. Forested Area Study, Small Snowshed

6.3.22 FRACTION OF SNOW INTERCEPTED

This parameter is defined as the proportion of snow which would be intercepted by a forest canopy if the cover density were 100%. This assumes that the amount of snow intercepted is a linear function of the total snowfall. This may be true to a point but for very large snowfalls or snowfall events accompanied by strong winds, the assumption does not hold. Leaf and Brink calculated snow interception for spruce-fir and lodgepole pine forests as 0.15 and 0.10, respectively of the snowfall for canopy densities up to a maximum of 0.30 and 0.20. Anderson and Crawford use a value of 0.15 for a sub-alpine watershed in the Sierras.

This parameter shows some influence during storms in May and August. The unit sensitivity to a -50% perturbation is +0.16 in May and -0.12 in August. Note that the polarity of unit sensitivity from May to August changes.

Results of all seasons, low and annual flows, appear in Table 6-158 and Figure 6-39.

TABLE 8-158

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SNOW WATERSHED**

FFSI (0.15)

277 SQ. KM

 ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
 TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	Δ% PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
102	0.075	-50	Δ% OF R/O	-0.4	-7.9	-0.6	+6.8	+10.0	+3.93
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.3	STORM U/S F +0.008 W +0.158 SP +0.012 SU -0.116
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0326	0.0362	0.9108	0.0457		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
103	0.120	-20	Δ% OF R/O	-0.2	-1.5	-0.1	+2.4	+3.33	+1.72
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.1	STORM U/S F +0.010 W +0.075 SP +0.005 SU -0.120
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0327	0.0387	0.9149	0.0442		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
104	0.180	+20	Δ% OF R/O	+0.2	+1.1	+0.1	-2.1	-6.67	-1.50
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.8	STORM U/S F +0.010 W +0.055 SP +0.005 SU -0.105
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0328	0.0398	0.9174	0.0423		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
105 6-199	0.225	+50	Δ% OF R/O	+0.4	+4.2	+0.5	-6.5	-13.33	-4.97
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 2.6	STORM U/S F +0.008 W +0.084 SP +0.010 SU +0.130
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0329	0.0410	0.9206	0.0404		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		

6-199




Figure 6-39. Intercepted Snow Study

6.3.23 PXCSA, PRECIPITATION INDEX FOR CHANGING SNOW ALBEDO

In the snowmelt subroutine the maximum snowmelt rate as determined by the basic degree hour factor is adjusted for shortwave radiation inputs and changes in the albedo of the snowpack. Various processes will affect the albedo of the snowpack including aging and the deposition of fresh snow or rain on the pack. The deposition of fresh snow deposit on the snowpack will increase the albedo while a rain on a snowpack will decrease the albedo. In the accounting procedure, the snowmelt adjustment factor (FIRR) is increased or decreased whenever an index value of new snow or rain is reached. The parameter, PXCSA, represents the index value for determining when changes in the albedo occur. Since the parameter is empirical and does not necessarily represent actual conditions, it is best determined by estimating and optimizing for a best fit. Anderson and Crawford used an index of 0.2 inches.

Results of sensitivity analysis indicate that the parameter PXCSA is influential when it is reduced from its normal value during the May storm. It has no effect during fall and low flows.

The unit sensitivity to a -20% perturbation in May is +0.93.

Results appear in Table 6-159 and Figure 6-40.

TABLE 6-159

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF
SNOW WATERSHED**

277 SQ. KM

PXCSA (0.199)

ANNUAL R/F = 33.38 IN
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION NET = 18.79 IN
TOTAL OBSERVED ANNUAL R/O = 10.03 IN

RUN ID	PARAM VALUE	$\Delta\%$ PERTUR-BATION	OUTPUT	SIGNIFICANT STORMS				9/7/58 LOW FLOW	ANNUAL FLOW
				10/18/57 FALL	4/21/58 WINTER	5/10/58 SPRING	8/3/58 SUMMER		
114	0.0995	-50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	-27.7	-3.3	+1.5	0.0	-0.27
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.0554 SP +0.066 SU -0.030
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0327	0.0284	0.8860	0.0439		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
115	0.1592	-20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	-18.5	-1.4	+0.8	0.0	-0.01
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.925 SP +0.070 SU -0.040
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0327	0.0320	0.9034	0.0436		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
116	0.2388	+20	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	+1.9	+0.2	-0.1	0.0	+0.03
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.095 SP +0.010 SU -0.005
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0327	0.0401	0.9179	0.0432		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
117	0.2985	+50	$\Delta\%$ OF R/O	0.0	+2.4	+0.2	-0.1	0.0	+0.03
			STORM R/F	2.99	0.0	1.78	1.09	REF = 3.0 SIM = 3.0	STORM U/S F 0.0 W +0.048 SP +0.004 SU -0.002
			REF. R/O (IN.)	0.033	0.039	0.916	0.043		
			PERT. R/O (IN.)	0.0327	0.0403	0.9183	0.0432		
			REF (R/F/R/O)	91	--	1.94	25		
6-202									

6-202




Figure 6-40. Snow Albedo Index Study

6.4 REFERENCES, SECTION 6

1. Anderson, Eric A. and Norman H. Crawford, 1964: "The synthesis of continuous snowmelt runoff hydrographs on a digital computer." Technical Report No. 36, I.D. 71-72-11, Department of Civil Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California, 103 p.
2. Chow, V. T., 1964: "Handbook of applied hydrology." New York: McGraw-Hill.
3. Liou, E. Y., OPSET: Program for Computerized Selection of Watershed Parameter Values for the Stanford Watershed Model, Lexington: University of Kentucky, Water Resources Institute, Research Report No. 34, 1970.
4. Ricca, Vincent T., 1972: "The Ohio State University version of the Stanford streamflow simulation model: Part I - Technical Aspects, May 1972." Office of Water Resources Research, U. S. Department of the Interior, 144 p.
5. Ricca, Vincent T., 1972: "The Ohio State University version of the Stanford streamflow simulation model: Part III - User's Manual, August 1972." Office of Water Resources Research, U. S. Department of the Interior, 68 p.
6. Ross, G. A., The Stanford Watershed Model: The Correlation of Parameter Values Selected by a Computerized Procedure with Measurable Physical Characteristics of the Watershed, Lexington: University of Kentucky Water Resources Institute, Research Report No. 35, 1970.
7. Striffler, W. D., "Users Manual for the Colorado State University Version of the Kentucky Watershed Model," Colorado State University, published under NASA Contract NAS9-13142, September 1973.

SECTION 7

RELATED TECHNICAL ARTICLES AND ABSTRACTS

In previous studies, the study team has collected a small library of books and technical articles dealing with environmental applications of remote sensing and the multitude of disciplines involved in all its aspects. Appendix D of this volume contains a nearly complete listing ("nearly complete," because additions have been made since the index was updated) of literature items and abstracts. Listings by keyword are also available.

APPENDIX A

SAMPLE SIMULATION RUN OUTPUT, SMALL WATERSHED MODEL

The watershed designated "Town Creek near Geraldine, Alabama," was one of a number studied by IBM under a previous NASA contract. Six years of usable historical data had previously been collected, using two hourly and five daily precipitation stations, and model calibration had been completed. The basin is representative of temperate-climate rural areas of moderate topography.

The basin is located in northeast Alabama, at the edge of the Tennessee River Valley, in the Cumberland Plateau physiographic region. Its area (see Figure A-1) is 365 square kilometers (141 square miles), approximately 65% moderately forested and 35% cultivated. Impervious surfaces and water surfaces represent approximately 0.2% and 0.1%, respectively, of the entire watershed area. Surface soil is predominately sandy loam; the watershed is, in fact, located on top of what is known as "Sand Mountain." The stream channels are generally deep and steep-sided, without well-defined flood plains; overflows have not occurred, even after the heaviest of recent precipitation events (e.g., March 1973).

Most accurate simulation was achieved using climatological data for water year 1964 (October 1963 through September 1964). A comparison of some single-year and long-term statistics is included in Figure A-1. Although October was one of the driest months ever recorded, total precipitation was approximately 8% over the long-term average. Some heavy rains occurred in March 1964 (approximately 10 inches on March 25) but did not cause damage.

The observed daily discharge used in original model calibration is that recorded by USGS Gage 3-5729. The data was tabulated in Table A-1. Mean basin hourly precipitation was synthesized from two hourly and five daily precipitation stations.

Page A-4 and subsequent pages of this appendix contain a reproduction of the printout from one simulation run in which the parameter BMIR was perturbed by -50%, from a reference value of 7.0.

Print plots have been omitted from the report, in favor of SC4020 plots. In the plots, "P," "R," and "S" indicate precipitation (unscaled), reference and simulated.

STATISTICAL DATA		
STATISTIC	LONG TERM	1964*
Average Discharge, m ³ /s	8.04	9.20
Peak Discharge, m ³ /s	500.9	243.2
Least Discharge,	0	0
Annual Precipitation, cm	137	148

* Reference values used in sensitivity analysis

Area 335 km²
 Minimum Elevation 305 m
 Maximum Elevation 594 m
 Mean Surface Slope 0.062 m/m




Figure A-1. Town Creek Watershed

Table A-1. Town Creek Observed Discharge Data, WY 1964

TENNESSEE RIVER BASIN

3-5729. Town Creek near Geraldine, Ala.

Location.--Lat 34°22'42", long 85°59'25", in SE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 34, T. 7 S., R. 6 E., on downstream side of bridge on State Highway 75, 1,600 ft downstream from Reedy Creek, 4,500 ft upstream from Traylor Branch, 2 miles north northeast of Geraldine, and 15 miles northeast of Albertville.

Drainage area.--141 sq mi.

Records available.--July 1957 to September 1964.

Gage.--Water-stage recorder. Altitude of gage is 1,000 ft (from topographic map).

Average discharge.--7 years, 284 cfs.

Extremes.--Maximum discharge during year, 10,700 cfs Mar. 25 (gage height, 15.72 ft); no flow Oct. 5 to Nov. 23.

1957-64: Maximum discharge, 17,700 cfs Apr. 29, 1963 (gage height, 21.70 ft); no flow Sept. 6-13, 1957; July 17, 19-21, 24-29, 1960; Sept. 23, 24-27, 28, Oct. 5 to Nov. 23, 1963.

Remarks.--Records good.

Discharge, in cubic feet per second, water year October 1963 to September 1964

Day	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	
1	0.1	0	42	129	424	307	430	4,940	28	6.1	304	13	
2	.1	0	*26	124	358	963	*379	1,400	31	3.6	131	11	
3	.1	0	19	139	316	1,450	340	3,040	31	2.2	75	8.8	
4	.1	0	16	272	288	1,160	550	1,160	25	1.9	48	7.3	
5	0	0	12	346	295	2,340	556	759	19	2.2	75	6.4	
6	0	0	11	415	424	984	1,970	574	32	*4.2	46	5.5	
7	0	0	9.2	774	379	742	2,230	469	60	3.4	36	4.7	
8	0	0	10	*586	322	662	1,730	391	54	2.6	28	3.9	
9	0	0	11	1,770	293	602	984	337	32	2.4	22	3.2	
10	*80	0	11	1,070	271	686	726	310	22	25	18	2.5	
11	0	0	206	694	258	528	581	266	18	124	15	2.2	
12	0	0	882	558	233	457	607	223	14	326	*37	1.8	
13	0	0	436	467	321	406	3,070	190	10	429	27	1.4	
14	0	0	372	366	478	2,280	2,280	160	9.0	169	19	1.3	
15	0	0	287	320	517	7,980	1,020	140	15	107	15	1.1	
16	0	0	217	290	764	2,220	746	118	14	68	35	*1.0	
17	0	0	176	271	556	1,060	598	101	9.0	50	451	.8	
18	0	0	154	246	*782	785	497	86	7.2	36	166	.8	
19	0	0	126	222	718	638	427	72	5.5	28	94	.8	
20	0	0	108	290	567	620	367	62	4.0	320	61	.8	
21	0	0	97	292	472	630	313	54	3.0	390	42	.7	
22	0	0	88	244	421	542	274	46	2.4	164	33	.6	
23	0	0	110	225	363	466	271	42	5.2	132	29	.5	
24	0	.1	116	1,700	331	412	595	50	56	299	30	.4	
25	0	.3	106	5,380	367	4,710	881	*41	34	155	27	.4	
26	0	.3	118	1,470	394	6,120	795	33	15	178	22	.4	
27	0	.4	131	872	334	1,470	1,560	28	9.0	116	18	.4	
28	0	.8	129	658	349	939	1,080	25	5.5	70	89	.3	
29	*80	78	116	528	343	710	813	25	3.6	48	31	.9	
30	0	90	104	457	-----	-----	567	602	28	2.6	40	20	2.0
31	0	-----	106	430	-----	525	-----	26	-----	214	15	-----	
Total	0.4	169.9	4,332.2	21,615	11,942	43,964	27,272	10,746	576.0	3,516.6	2,059	84.9	
Mean	0.01	5.66	140	697	412	1,418	909	347	19.2	113	66.4	2.83	
Cfsm	0.000071	0.993	4.94	2.92	10.1	6.45	2.46	0.136	0.801	0.471	0.020		
In.	0.0001	0.04	1.14	5.70	3.15	11.60	7.19	2.83	0.15	0.93	0.54	0.02	

Calendar year 1963: Max 9,620 Min 0 Mean 244 Cfsm 1.73 In. 23.48
Water year 1963-64: Max 7,980 Min 0 Mean 345 Cfsm 2.45 In. 33.29

Peak discharge (base, 4,800 cfs)

* Discharge measurement made on this day.

Date	Time	Gage height	Discharge	Date	Time	Gage height	Discharge
1-25	0400	13.00	8,000	3-25	2400	15.72	10,700
3-15	0730	14.85	9,850	4-13	0600	9.70	4,800

Reproduced from
best available copy:

S059 *TOWN CREEK NR, GERALDINE ALA. (141.0 SQ. MI.) WY64 STUDY
 *CONTROL OPTIONS (BALANCE OF DATA VARIES WITH SPECIFIED OPTIONS)
 ~ (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) (15) (16)
 ~ 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 2 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1
 * TIME - AREA HISTOGRAM DEFINITION
 * NRTRI-BTRI(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8)
 16 0.043 0.061 0.071 0.086 0.054 0.079 0.071 0.085
 * BTRI- (9) (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) (15) (16)
 0.085 0.083 0.069 0.026 0.023 0.070 0.049 0.045
 0.0 * OUTPUT PARAMETER - RMPF, IF ANY DAILY FLOW EXCEEDS RMPF
 * WATERSHED PARAMETERS - RGPMB - AREA - FIMP - CHTP
 1.0 141.0 .002 .001
 * SOIL MOISTURE PARAMETERS
 * VINTMR-BUZC- SUZC - LZC - ETLF - SUBWF - GWETF- STAC - BMIR - BIVF
 0.15 0.20 0.20 4.0 .20 0.0 0.0 .25 3.5 0.50
 * OVERLAND FLOW PARAMETERS - QFSS - QFSL - QFMN - QFMNIS - IFRC
 0.062 1550.0 0.05 0.014 0.184
 * CHANNEL ROUTING AND GROUND WATER PARAMETERS
 * CSRX - FSRX - CHCAP - EXPV - BFNLR - BFRC
 0.94 0.94 4800.0 0.25 0.85 0.93
 * MOISTURE STORAGE VALUES - GWS - UZS - LZS - BFNX - IFS
 0.0 0.0 0.40 0.04 0.0
 6 *(JULDI) NUMBER OF JULIAN DATES REQUESTED FOR RUNOFF PLOTS
 308 121 23 121 46 121 73 121 122 121 227 121
 * LAST TWO DIGITS IN CALENDAR YEAR OF THE WATER YEAR TO BE RUN
 63 64 * YEAR1 - YEAR2
 * EVAPORATION DATA
 * IF CONOPT(3)=0 DAILY EVAPORATION DATA ARE READ 50
 0.18 0.13 0.07 0.07 0.10 0.12 0.09 0.12 0.15 0.13 *1 OCT'63
 0.12 0.10 0.15 0.13 0.17 0.13 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.10 *2 OCT'63
 0.03 0.04 0.09 0.08 0.09 0.12 0.11 0.09 0.09 0.08 0.07 *3 OCT'63
 0.13 0.15 0.13 0.07 0.03 0.03 0.07 0.09 0.03 0.11 *1 NOV'63
 0.06 0.04 0.03 0.01 0.01 0.06 0.10 0.05 0.03 0.02 *2 NOV'63
 0.05 0.01 0.01 0.04 0.11 0.02 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.04 *3 NOV'63
 0.03 0.02 0.00 0.04 0.01 0.13 0.09 0.02 0.06 0.02 *1 DEC'63
 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.08 0.03 0.01 0.00 0.04 0.09 0.06 *2 DEC'63
 0.03 0.02 0.04 0.07 0.01 0.02 0.00 0.03 0.03 0.02 0.02 *3 DEC'63
 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.00 0.09 0.00 0.01 0.01 *1 JAN'64
 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.08 0.04 0.02 0.02 *2 JAN'64
 0.02 0.00 0.04 0.04 0.03 0.03 0.06 0.02 0.02 0.12 0.10 *3 JAN'64
 0.04 0.03 0.10 0.07 0.07 0.04 0.06 0.03 0.11 0.15 *1 FEB'64
 0.05 0.06 0.07 0.13 0.10 0.03 0.08 0.06 0.12 0.13 *2 FEB'64
 0.03 0.04 0.11 0.11 0.07 0.03 0.06 0.08 0.05 *3 FEB'64
 0.05 0.06 0.09 0.04 0.05 0.00 0.01 0.03 0.03 0.04 *1 MAR'64
 0.05 0.11 0.28 0.06 0.15 0.11 0.10 0.11 0.08 0.04 *2 MAR'64
 0.14 0.10 0.05 0.12 0.11 0.06 0.09 0.14 0.12 0.17 0.05 *3 MAR'64
 0.06 0.13 0.07 0.12 0.13 0.05 0.01 0.03 0.16 0.22 *1 APR'64
 0.08 0.08 0.07 0.10 0.15 0.15 0.16 0.09 0.18 0.29 *2 APR'64
 0.03 0.24 0.25 0.11 0.16 0.18 0.18 0.21 0.07 0.20 *3 APR'64
 0.15 0.25 0.20 0.21 0.13 0.23 0.24 0.22 0.23 0.17 *1 MAY'64
 0.21 0.08 0.21 0.24 0.26 0.27 0.26 0.22 0.24 0.24 *2 MAY'64
 0.23 0.27 0.24 0.27 0.19 0.20 0.23 0.24 0.31 0.22 0.13 *3 MAY'64
 0.16 0.18 0.16 0.17 0.21 0.12 0.20 0.23 0.22 0.18 *1 JUN'64
 0.17 0.12 0.02 0.27 0.20 0.26 0.23 0.26 0.24 0.24 *2 JUN'64
 0.16 0.24 0.22 0.23 0.16 0.19 0.12 0.04 0.14 0.14 *3 JUN'64
 0.23 0.15 0.30 0.15 0.20 0.18 0.06 0.09 0.22 0.17 *1 JUL'64
 0.25 0.21 0.24 0.27 0.26 0.22 0.24 0.19 0.29 0.24 *2 JUL'64
 0.26 0.21 0.27 0.25 0.23 0.04 0.26 0.24 0.16 0.07 0.21 *3 JUL'64
 0.24 0.19 0.15 0.13 0.15 0.21 0.22 0.15 0.18 0.27 *1 AUG'64
 0.21 0.19 0.17 0.14 0.22 0.20 0.22 0.12 0.19 0.19 *2 AUG'64
 0.11 0.18 0.16 0.22 0.20 0.21 0.20 0.24 0.25 0.22 *3 AUG'64
 0.19 0.17 0.11 0.19 0.12 0.15 0.14 0.13 0.14 0.13 *1 SEP'64
 0.11 0.23 0.20 0.19 0.16 0.14 0.02 0.14 0.20 0.13 *2 SEP'64
 0.22 0.08 0.11 0.17 0.13 0.03 0.02 0.17 0.10 0.11 *3 SEP'64
 * IF CONOPT(3)=0 MONTHLY PAN COEFFICIENTS ARE READ 51
 0.70 0.70 0.40 0.48 0.49 0.60 0.70 0.70 0.70 0.79 0.76 0.70

* STREAMFLOW DATA - TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141 SQ. MILES) NY 64

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*OCT
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*OCT
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*OCT
0.0											*OCT
8.9	5.0	1.3	17.7	427.9	82.6	71.9	67.1	62.5	57.9		*NOV
54.0	50.2	46.7	43.5	40.4	37.4	34.7	32.4	30.1	28.0		*NOV
26.0	24.3	22.6	20.9	19.2	18.1	16.8	15.6	20.6	17.3		*NOV
16.0	18.0	19.5	17.7	16.7	15.5	14.4	29.3	24.6	24.2		*DEC
76.8	166.4	138.5	173.4	159.7	149.3	139.3	129.9	120.9	112.5		*DEC
104.6	107.7	190.2	160.4	145.4	134.8	125.4	116.7	108.5	100.9		*DEC
142.8											*DEC
512.6	254.0	204.8	185.5	171.8	295.2	440.9	268.6	2523.1	480.3		*JAN
305.8	276.5	267.5	248.1	231.1	215.3	200.3	186.2	179.0	321.0		*JAN
240.6	197.3	178.4	2377.4	5271.7	582.2	379.6	328.9	301.1	278.7		*JAN
296.4											*JAN
289.9	253.9	232.2	215.2	233.4	371.3	280.4	237.4	215.9	200.3		*FEB
187.3	173.9	162.8	152.8	943.0	1268.7	303.4	680.9	438.4	290.3		*FEB
248.9	227.1	210.1	195.1	249.8	262.1	216.0	248.9	239.4			*FEB
209.0	1585.9	2093.4	506.7	1563.6	451.0	338.9	339.3	373.9	1077.2		*MAR
358.1	283.2	254.6	3832.7	3175.9	847.6	512.8	427.1	407.4	446.9		*MAR
536.8	411.1	350.4	319.3	3855.7	5430.9	928.5	556.3	467.0	424.2		*MAR
392.0											*MAR
363.6	337.2	313.2	555.8	517.5	1834.9	3055.8	1928.4	661.6	499.9		*APR
443.7	567.6	2702.8	1845.3	708.1	540.5	480.4	441.8	408.9	379.0		*APR
352.3	326.3	331.8	573.0	1114.5	1020.6	1408.4	721.4	498.8	403.5		*APR
363.1	2015.6	3765.1	733.0	490.4	421.9	385.3	356.2	330.6	307.1		*MAY
284.8	264.6	245.2	227.5	211.0	195.8	181.7	168.8	156.6	145.3		*MAY
134.9	125.1	116.2	107.8	100.2	93.0	86.3	81.3	79.7	72.1		*MAY
68.5											*MAY
73.4	66.6	61.8	57.3	53.1	510.7	272.0	159.7	144.4	133.1		*JUN
123.6	114.9	560.7	151.9	122.6	112.7	104.7	97.2	90.3	83.8		*JUN
78.0	72.3	89.2	204.8	124.5	114.8	108.9	101.6	94.2	87.4		*JUN
94.5	94.6	95.6	90.9	82.7	76.9	73.8	94.2	86.9	332.4		*JUL
186.6	880.9	770.6	368.6	309.8	284.3	261.3	242.7	225.1	1203.4		*JUL
686.6	295.9	261.3	240.2	222.8	207.5	192.2	178.5	166.0	154.5		*JUL
143.0											*JUL
132.8	123.4	114.8	106.7	99.0	91.8	85.2	79.3	73.6	68.1		*AUG
63.4	59.0	54.8	51.0	298.0	337.9	153.5	141.2	131.0	121.7		*AUG
113.3	105.1	98.0	91.0	84.7	78.8	73.2	67.9	63.0	58.5		*AUG
54.4											*AUG
50.6	47.1	43.9	40.5	37.8	35.1	32.6	30.3	28.1	26.1		*SEP
24.3	22.3	20.7	19.2	17.9	16.7	15.8	16.3	14.4	13.0		*SEP
11.8	11.3	10.4	9.5	8.9	8.5	7.9	7.0	30.3	53.3		*SEP

★ RAINFALL DATA - TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141 SQ. MILES) HY 64

NSGRD																
0957	63	10	12	2	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0957	63	10	13	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0957	63	10	31	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0957	63	10	31	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0957	63	11	1	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.21	0.35	0.22	0.11	
0957	63	11	1	2	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0957	63	11	4	2	0.00	0.04	0.12	0.16	0.11	0.19	0.21	0.14	0.41	0.71	0.37	0.21
0957	63	11	5	1	0.16	0.14	0.06	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0957	63	11	5	2	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0957	63	11	23	2	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0957	63	11	22	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00
0957	63	11	25	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0957	63	11	25	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0957	63	11	26	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0957	63	11	26	2	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0957	63	11	27	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0957	63	11	27	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0957	63	11	29	1	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

0957	63	11	29	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0957	63	11	30	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0957	63	12	2	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.04		
0957	63	12	2	2	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0957	63	12	8	1	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.23	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0957	63	12	10	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.06	0.13	0.12		
0957	63	12	11	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.00		
0957	63	12	11	2	0.03	0.05	0.15	0.06	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.19	0.02	0.05	0.02			
0957	63	12	12	1	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.02			
0957	63	12	12	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
*NWS	3043	63	12	13	3PM-MIDNITE	0.36	PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED											WF .045	
0957	63	12	13	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02			
0957	63	12	13	2	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.03				
*NWS	3043	63	12	14	1AM-4AM	0.11	PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED											WF .045	
0957	63	12	14	1	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
*NWS	0957	63	12	22	5PM-MIDNITE	0.51	PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED											WF .136	
0957	63	12	22	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.13	0.14	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02			
*NWS	0957	63	12	23	1AM-7AM	0.27	PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED											WF .136	
0957	63	12	23	1	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	63	12	23	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	63	12	24	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	63	12	26	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	63	12	27	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	63	12	27	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
*NWS	3043	63	12	31	2PM-11PM	1.00	PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED											WF .045	
0957	63	12	31	2	0.00	0.13	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.17	0.18	0.01	0.02	0.13	0.17	0.00			
*NWS	3043	64	01	01	1AM-7AM	0.23	PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED											WF .045	
0957	64	1	1	1	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	1	3	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	1	4	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	1	4	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
*NWS	3043	64	01	06	10AM-10PM	0.52	PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED											WF .045	
0957	64	1	6	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.11		
0957	64	1	6	2	0.15	0.16	0.07	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.00			
0957	64	1	7	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	1	7	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	1	8	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	1	9	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.24	0.09	0.47		
0957	64	1	9	1	0.31	0.09	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	1	9	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	1	10	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.11		
0957	64	1	11	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	1	11	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	1	12	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.00		
0957	64	1	12	2	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	1	13	1	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	1	13	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	1	14	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
*NWS	3043	64	01	19	11PM-MIDNITE	0.23	PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED											WF .045	
0957	64	1	19	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.03	0.08	0.05			
*NWS	3043	64	01	20	1AM-3AM	0.07	PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED											WF .045	
0957	64	1	20	1	0.10	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	1	24	1	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.14	0.18	0.33	0.19	0.09	0.07	0.07			
0957	64	1	24	2	0.01	0.07	0.29	0.06	0.08	0.86	0.60	0.05	0.15	0.03	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	1	25	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	1	31	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.02		
0957	64	1	31	2	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	2	5	2	0.08	0.10	0.06	0.03	0.00	0.08	0.03	0.11	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05		
0957	64	2	6	1	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	2	6	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	2	7	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	2	7	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	2	10	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	2	13	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		

0957	64	2	13	2	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00									
0957	64	2	15	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.15	0.18												
0957	64	2	15	2	0.37	0.25	0.11	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	2	16	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
*NWS 3043 64 02 17 10PM-MIDNITE											0.30	PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED		WF .045														
0957	64	2	17	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.09											
*NWS 3043 64 02 18 1AM-7AM											0.45	PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED		WF .045														
0957	64	2	18	1	0.15	0.15	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.08	0.07	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	2	18	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01											
0957	64	2	19	1	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	2	21	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	2	21	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	2	22	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	2	25	1	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.09	0.08	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.06	0.08	0.02	0.03												
0957	64	2	25	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	2	26	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	2	27	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	2	27	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	2	28	1	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	2	29	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	2	29	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	3	1	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03											
0957	64	3	1	2	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	3	2	1	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.07	0.10	0.13	0.27												
0957	64	3	2	2	0.36	0.33	0.24	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	3	4	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.30											
0957	64	3	4	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.14	0.23	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	3	6	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	3	6	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	3	7	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.04											
0957	64	3	7	2	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	3	9	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.36	0.03	0.05	0.00	0.00											
0957	64	3	10	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	3	14	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.05	0.08	0.31	0.90	0.36	0.29	0.03											
0957	64	3	14	2	0.02	0.04	0.09	0.21	0.15	0.10	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.09	0.05	0.06												
0957	64	3	15	1	0.16	0.11	0.11	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	3	18	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	3	18	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	3	19	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	3	19	2	0.02	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	3	20	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.17	0.01	0.02												
0957	64	3	21	1	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	3	21	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	3	22	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	3	25	1	0.01	0.01	0.32	0.21	0.16	0.39	0.44	0.22	0.15	0.03	0.00	0.03												
0957	64	3	25	2	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.33	0.32	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.27	0.06	0.04												
0957	64	3	26	1	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	4	1	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	4	1	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	4	2	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	4	3	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	4	3	2	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.04											
0957	64	4	4	1	0.05	0.07	0.13	0.01	0.09	0.21	0.06	0.07	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	4	5	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.06	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.12	0.11												
*NWS 0957 64 04 06 3AM-5AM											0.33	PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED		WF .136														
0957	64	4	6	1	0.24	0.09	0.11	0.08	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	4	6	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
*NWS 0957 64 04 07 2PM-9PM											0.60	PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED		WF .136														
0957	64	4	7	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.39	0.03	0.01	0.53	0.28	0.01													
0957	64	4	7	2	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.11	0.00	0.11	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	4	8	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										
0957	64	4	12	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.10	0.17	0.06												
0957	64	4	12	2	0.06	0.08	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00										

0957	64	4	13	1	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.14	0.29	0.11	0.03	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.22	0.05			
0957	64	4	13	2	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	4	22	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	4	22	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	4	23	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	4	23	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.23	0.23	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	4	24	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	4	24	2	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.20	0.03		
0957	64	4	25	1	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
*NWS 0957 64 04 26 11PM-MIDNITE 0.05 PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED WF .136																			
0957	64	4	26	1	0.00	0.16	0.25	0.10	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	4	26	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.08	0.12		
*NWS 0957 64 04 27 1AM-3AM 0.12 PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED WF .136																			
*NWS 0957 64 04 28 4AM-5AM 0.11 PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED WF .136																			
0957	64	4	28	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0957	64	4	28	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0957	64	4	29	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0957	64	4	29	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0957	64	5	1	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0957	64	5	1	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
*NWS 0957 64 05 02 8AM-MIDNITE 1.74 PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED WF .136																			
0957	64	5	2	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.16	0.01	0.05	0.12			
0957	64	5	2	2	0.23	0.55	0.60	0.34	0.20	0.13	0.03	0.02	0.11	0.08	0.06	0.04			
0957	64	5	3	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	5	9	2	0.00	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	5	10	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	5	10	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	5	11	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	5	20	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	5	20	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	5	21	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	5	22	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	5	23	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02			
0957	64	5	23	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	5	24	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	5	27	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	5	28	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	5	28	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	5	31	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.32	0.02	0.02		
0957	64	5	31	2	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02			
0957	64	6	1	1	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02			
0957	64	6	1	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	6	2	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
*NWS 3043 64 06 06 2AM-7AM - 0.99 PRECIP DIST ESTIMATED WF .045																			
0957	64	6	6	1	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.14	0.20	0.19	0.34	0.27	0.20	0.05	0.03	0.00			
0957	64	6	8	2	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	6	11	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	6	12	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	6	13	1	0.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	6	15	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	6	15	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	6	16	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	6	23	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.57	0.21	0.16	0.11	0.03	0.00			
0957	64	6	24	1	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	6	24	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	6	26	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01			
0957	64	6	26	2	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01			
0957	64	6	27	1	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01			
0957	64	6	30	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	7	1	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.08	0.11	0.12	0.01	0.01		
0957	64	7	1	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	7	2	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.33	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	7	3	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957	64	7	7	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02			

0957 64	9 17 2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0957 64	9 18 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.18	0.03	0.02	0.00			
0957 64	9 18 2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957 64	9 19 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957 64	9 19 2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957 64	9 23 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957 64	9 23 2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957 64	9 24 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957 64	9 28 1	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957 64	9 29 1	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.21	0.05	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957 64	9 29 2	0.24	0.14	0.29	0.14	0.17	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02		
0957 64	9 30 1	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957 64	9 30 2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0957 98	9 30 1														

*STORM 14 64

* TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141 SQ. MI)
1.0 141.0 121-1 1

11/05/63 STORM STUDY

1.0	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.6	2.6	4.6						
	7.7	11.2	19.0	41.5	110.1	206.0	308.5	410.4	466.9						
	521.7	566.7	614.2	657.3	687.5	689.7	638.3	576.3	567.3						
	560.4	538.1	469.4	391.4	325.6	272.8	230.6	197.3	171.3						
	150.9	134.8	122.3	112.4	104.7	98.6	93.9	90.1	87.2						
	84.8	83.0	81.3	80.1	79.2	78.4	77.7	77.2	76.7						
	76.4	76.0	75.7	75.4	75.2	75.1	74.8	74.6	74.4						
	74.2	73.9	73.8	73.6	73.4	73.2	73.1	72.9	72.3						
	72.2	72.0	71.8	71.6	71.4	71.3	71.1	70.9	70.7						
	70.5	70.3	70.4	70.2	70.0	69.8	69.6	69.4	69.2						
	69.0	68.8	68.6	68.4	68.2	67.5	67.3	67.1	66.9						
	66.7	66.5	66.3	66.1	65.9	65.7	65.5	65.3	65.6						
	65.4	65.2	65.0												

* TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141 SQ. MI)

1.0 141.0 121-1 1

01/25/64 STORM STUDY

1.0	186.0	185.3	184.6	183.9	183.2	182.6	181.9	181.3	180.5						
	179.8	179.2	178.5	177.9	177.3	176.6	176.0	175.4	174.7						
	174.1	173.6	173.1	172.5	172.0	171.4	170.8	170.2	169.7						
	169.2	168.7	180.8	202.5	253.4	437.8	751.8	1111.1	1465.5						
	1708.3	1919.2	2133.2	2419.6	2745.3	3082.3	3761.3	4721.6	5799.6						
	7023.7	7927.6	8564.4	9026.5	9361.6	9639.1	9821.4	9839.7	9284.8						
	8378.2	7904.2	7715.8	7376.4	6585.9	5507.8	4548.6	3771.4	3148.9						
	2653.7	2259.1	1943.9	1691.1	1487.4	1322.5	1188.3	1078.3	987.6						
	912.3	849.3	796.3	751.1	712.5	679.1	650.0	624.5	601.8						
	581.7	563.7	547.5	532.7	519.3	507.1	495.8	485.3	475.6						
	466.7	458.4	450.8	443.5	436.8	430.4	424.5	418.9	413.6						
	408.7	404.0	399.6	395.4	391.5	387.5	383.9	380.6	377.4						
	374.3	371.4	368.6	366.0	363.3	360.8	358.5	356.2	354.2						
	352.1	350.1	348.2												

* TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141 SQ. MI)

02/15/64 STORM STUDY

1.0 141.0 121 - 1 1

1.0

147.2	146.7	146.3	145.9	148.4	149.9	152.5	152.8	153.2
155.4	170.9	192.9	234.4	406.7	734.3	1115.9	1502.2	1786.9
2009.6	2219.8	2430.8	2639.7	2808.2	2883.9	2779.8	2570.2	2482.9
2482.0	2433.9	2237.5	1941.8	1661.9	1432.5	1247.5	1097.5	975.3
875.1	792.5	723.7	666.2	617.5	576.2	540.7	510.1	483.5
460.0	439.3	420.9	404.3	389.4	376.0	363.7	352.5	342.2
332.7	324.0	315.6	308.1	301.1	294.7	288.6	283.0	277.7
272.7	268.0	263.7	259.6	255.7	252.4	249.0	248.6	259.1
276.0	301.3	357.6	417.4	483.3	550.3	606.9	669.0	716.8
768.4	818.2	858.7	877.7	861.8	843.9	859.2	868.5	862.6
822.8	775.9	735.1	700.2	669.3	640.8	613.9	589.1	566.4
545.5	526.2	508.3	491.8	476.4	461.8	448.6	436.3	424.9
414.2	404.2	394.9	386.2	377.9	370.2	362.9	356.1	350.2
344.2	338.5	333.2						

* TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141 SQ. MI)

03/14/64 STORM STUDY

1.0 141.0 121 - 1 1

1.0

266.3	265.2	264.2	263.2	262.1	261.2	260.2	259.2	257.0
256.1	255.1	254.2	253.3	252.4	251.6	250.7	249.7	248.8
247.9	247.1	247.5	246.7	245.8	245.0	244.2	243.3	242.5
241.7	240.9	241.1	247.9	267.6	348.5	900.6	1840.8	2384.7
4166.4	4955.4	5691.6	6292.7	6975.9	7673.9	8283.4	8594.8	8291.0
7754.1	7640.2	7622.4	7560.1	7000.7	6246.7	5458.7	4773.2	4251.4
3874.0	3572.6	3294.1	3027.8	2800.1	2610.5	2436.3	2271.7	2138.4
2036.5	1944.7	1846.1	1738.8	1635.9	1543.6	1459.7	1384.0	1315.2
1252.5	1195.1	1142.5	1094.2	1049.5	1008.3	970.2	934.8	901.4
870.9	842.5	816.1	791.4	768.4	746.9	726.9	707.8	690.3
673.9	658.5	644.6	631.1	618.5	606.6	595.5	585.0	575.1
565.9	557.1	548.9	541.2	533.9	526.6	520.0	513.9	508.1
502.6	497.3	492.4	487.7	482.9	478.7	474.6	470.8	467.6
464.0	460.7	457.4						

* TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141 SQ. MI)

05/03/64 STORM STUDY

1.0 141.0 121 - 1 1

1.0

379.4	377.9	376.3	374.9	373.4	372.0	370.6	369.2	367.0
365.7	364.4	363.1	361.8	360.5	359.2	358.0	356.5	355.3
354.1	352.9	352.5	351.3	350.1	348.9	347.7	346.6	345.4
344.3	343.1	342.0	340.9	341.1	348.5	348.5	354.2	369.6
393.3	441.6	689.2	1356.0	2330.1	3412.2	4360.6	5050.1	5573.6
6261.8	6851.7	7382.6	7729.9	7695.1	7237.5	6809.2	6705.1	6633.8
6305.5	5612.9	4813.6	4105.3	3527.8	3063.9	2693.8	2384.9	2134.2
1926.8	1753.9	1609.2	1487.1	1383.1	1294.9	1217.7	1150.4	1091.2
1038.9	992.4	950.6	913.0	870.0	848.0	819.7	793.7	768.7
746.7	726.3	707.4	689.9	673.6	658.4	644.2	630.6	618.2
606.5	595.7	586.6	577.0	568.0	559.5	551.5	544.0	536.9
530.2	523.8	517.9	512.2	506.8	501.0	496.2	491.6	487.2
483.1	479.1	475.3	471.7	468.0	464.7	461.5	458.4	456.2
453.4	450.7	448.1						

* TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141 SQ. MI)

08/15/64 STORM STUDY

1.0 141.0 121 - 1 1

1.0

53.2	53.0	52.9	52.7	52.6	52.4	52.2	52.1	51.1
51.0	50.8	50.6	50.5	50.3	50.2	50.0	49.9	49.7
49.6	49.4	50.1	49.9	49.7	49.6	49.4	49.3	49.1
49.0	48.8	48.7	48.6	48.4	47.0	47.6	49.6	61.5
56.3	175.3	282.4	395.1	502.7	557.8	612.2	657.8	708.9
754.2	785.9	787.2	727.7	659.4	655.1	648.8	625.2	548.4
467.6	402.4	350.6	307.2	273.3	246.7	225.9	209.5	196.8
186.8	181.7	178.2	174.3	170.2	171.6	169.1	167.1	165.4
163.8	162.4	161.2	160.2	159.2	158.2	157.4	156.7	154.7
154.0	153.3	152.6	151.9	151.2	150.6	150.0	149.4	148.9
148.3	147.8	148.6	148.1	147.6	147.1	146.6	146.2	145.7
145.3	144.8	144.4	143.9	143.5	142.4	141.9	141.5	141.1
140.6	140.2	139.8	139.3	138.9	138.5	138.0	137.6	137.9
137.5	137.0	136.6						

YEARLY STATISTICAL SUMMARY

	MONTHLY		DAILY	
	REFERENCE	SIMULATED	REFERENCE	SIMULATED
MEAN	9914.90	10085.50	325.06	330.65
MAXIMUM	32757.35	34005.84	5430.90	6017.22
VARIANCE	110596272.00	117735280.00	429042.81	601067.06
STANDARD DEVIATION	10516.48	10850.59	655.01	775.29
SUM OF (REFERENCE - SIMULATED)	-2047.31		-2047.32	
ROOT SUM SQUARE	2630.77		3268.44	
SUM SQUARED	0.39		54.14	
SUM SQUARED (IBM METHOD)	0.31		48.38	
CORRELATION COEFFICIENT	0.9979		0.9855	

S U M M A R Y O F M O N T H L Y A N D A N N U A L T O T A L S

	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	ANNUAL
PRECIPITATION	0.100	4.580	3.790	6.320	3.570	11.240	9.140	3.670	3.810	7.010	3.090	1.910	58.230 IN
EVP/TRAN-NET	0.317	1.106	0.412	0.451	1.034	1.584	2.583	3.646	3.082	4.368	3.320	1.810	23.714 IN
-POTENTIAL	2.296	1.155	0.412	0.451	1.034	1.584	2.772	4.753	3.836	5.024	4.545	2.891	30.753 IN
SURFACE RUNOFF	0.000	0.347	0.123	3.431	0.834	5.921	3.314	1.405	0.549	1.337	0.232	0.015	17.510 IN
INTERFLOW	0.0	0.0	0.010	0.306	0.268	0.917	1.017	0.214	0.000	0.043	0.0	0.0	2.774 IN
BASE FLOW	0.000	0.212	0.652	1.490	1.230	2.133	2.346	1.315	0.564	1.063	0.530	0.131	11.666 IN
STREAM EVAP.	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.005	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.028 IN
TOTAL RUNOFF(SIM)	0.000	0.558	0.785	5.227	2.331	8.969	6.674	2.929	1.109	2.438	0.758	0.144	31.922 IN
TOTAL RUNOFF(REF)	0.0	0.370	0.791	4.798	2.434	8.640	6.683	3.248	1.100	2.269	0.861	0.188	31.382 IN

REFERENCE TOTALS	0.0	1401.6	3000.0	18189.9	9228.8	32757.4	25336.6	12314.6	4170.2	8604.3	3264.1	711.6	118978.8 CFS
SIMULATED TOTALS	0.0	2114.8	2978.0	19815.4	8837.7	34005.8	25302.3	11104.3	4206.3	9244.3	2873.1	544.3	121026.1 CFS

BALANCE	-0.0117 INCHES
---------	----------------

MONTHLY FLOW CORRELATION COEFFICIENT	0.9979
MEAN DAILY FLOW CORRELATION COEFFICIENT	0.9855

*TOWN CREEK NR GERALDINE, AL. (141 SQ MI.)

WY 64 STUDY S059 *

MEAN DAILY PREFERENCE FLOWS (CFS)

DAY	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	ANNUAL
1	0.0	8.9	16.0	512.6	289.9	209.0	363.6	363.1	73.4	94.5	132.8	50.6	
2	0.0	5.0	18.0	254.0	253.9	1585.9	337.2	2015.6	66.6	94.6	123.4	47.1	
3	0.0	1.3	19.5	204.8	232.2	2093.4	313.2	3765.1	61.8	95.6	114.8	43.9	
4	0.0	17.7	17.7	185.5	215.2	506.7	555.8	733.0	57.3	90.9	106.7	40.5	
5	0.0	427.9	16.7	171.8	233.4	1563.6	517.5	490.4	53.1	82.7	99.0	37.8	
6	0.0	82.6	15.5	295.2	371.3	451.0	1834.9	421.9	510.7	76.9	91.8	35.1	
7	0.0	71.9	14.4	440.9	280.4	338.9	3055.8	385.3	272.0	73.8	85.2	32.6	
8	0.0	67.1	29.3	268.6	237.4	339.3	1928.4	356.2	159.7	94.2	79.3	30.3	
9	0.0	62.5	24.6	2523.1	215.9	373.9	661.6	330.6	144.4	86.9	73.6	28.1	
10	0.0	57.9	24.2	480.3	200.3	1077.2	499.9	307.1	133.1	332.4	68.1	26.1	
11	0.0	54.0	76.8	305.8	187.3	358.1	443.7	284.8	123.6	186.6	63.4	24.3	
12	0.0	50.2	166.4	276.5	173.9	283.2	567.6	264.6	114.9	880.9	59.0	22.3	
13	0.0	46.7	138.5	267.5	162.8	254.6	2702.8	245.2	560.7	770.6	54.8	20.7	
14	0.0	43.5	173.4	248.1	152.8	3832.7	1845.3	227.5	151.9	368.6	51.0	19.2	
15	0.0	40.4	159.7	231.1	943.0	3175.9	708.1	211.0	122.6	309.8	288.0	17.9	
16	0.0	37.4	149.3	215.3	1268.7	847.6	540.5	195.8	112.7	284.3	337.9	16.7	
17	0.0	34.7	139.3	200.3	303.4	512.8	480.4	181.7	104.7	261.3	153.5	15.8	
18	0.0	32.4	129.9	186.2	680.9	427.1	441.8	168.8	97.2	242.7	141.2	16.3	
19	0.0	30.1	120.9	179.0	438.4	407.4	408.9	156.6	90.3	225.1	131.0	14.4	
20	0.0	28.0	112.5	321.0	290.3	446.9	379.0	145.3	83.8	1203.4	121.7	13.0	
21	0.0	26.0	104.6	240.6	248.9	536.8	352.3	134.9	78.0	686.6	113.3	11.8	
22	0.0	24.3	107.7	197.3	227.1	411.1	326.3	125.1	72.3	295.9	105.1	11.3	
23	0.0	22.6	190.2	178.4	210.1	350.4	331.8	116.2	89.2	261.3	98.0	10.4	
24	0.0	20.9	160.4	2377.4	195.1	310.3	573.0	107.8	204.8	240.2	91.0	9.5	
25	0.0	19.2	145.4	5271.7	249.8	3855.7	1114.5	100.2	124.5	222.8	84.7	8.9	
26	0.0	18.1	134.8	582.2	262.1	5430.9	1020.6	93.0	114.8	207.5	78.8	8.5	
27	0.0	16.8	125.4	379.6	216.0	928.5	1408.4	86.3	108.9	192.2	73.2	7.9	
28	0.0	15.6	116.7	328.9	248.9	556.3	721.4	81.3	101.6	178.5	67.9	7.0	
29	0.0	20.6	108.5	301.1	239.4	467.0	498.8	79.7	94.2	166.0	63.0	30.3	
30	0.0	17.3	100.9	278.7		424.2	403.5	72.1	87.4	154.5	58.5	53.3	
31	0.0		142.8	286.4		392.0		68.5		143.0	54.4		
REFERENCE TOTALS	0.0	1401.6	3000.0	18189.9	9228.8	32757.4	25336.6	12314.6	4170.2	8604.3	3264.1	711.6	118978.8 CFS

*TOWN CREEK NR GERALDINE, AL. (141 SQ MI.)

WY 64 STUDY S059 *

M E A N D A I L Y S I M U L A T E D F L O W S (CFS)

DAY	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	ANNUAL
1	0.0	10.5	12.7	1028.8	235.0	165.1	245.4	255.5	53.3	69.3	89.8	35.8	
2	0.0	5.6	14.7	214.0	182.3	2029.4	227.7	2323.0	47.7	69.7	83.6	33.3	
3	0.0	1.1	16.0	165.3	162.4	2336.8	211.6	4217.8	44.3	71.9	77.7	31.0	
4	0.0	37.5	14.3	149.8	149.5	415.5	639.0	526.8	41.1	68.5	72.3	28.6	
5	0.0	1302.5	13.5	138.9	194.6	1858.2	476.6	341.1	38.0	61.0	67.1	26.8	
6	0.0	82.7	12.4	502.7	446.5	311.2	2706.3	292.1	1055.9	56.7	62.1	24.8	
7	0.0	56.3	11.6	660.1	235.9	241.0	3257.8	266.6	337.7	54.8	57.7	23.0	
8	0.0	52.6	32.7	215.5	180.3	270.5	1867.6	246.7	102.2	75.3	53.8	21.4	
9	0.0	49.1	20.8	3244.2	160.4	315.4	462.1	229.1	95.3	67.0	49.9	19.8	
10	0.0	45.5	19.9	374.5	148.0	1174.6	341.5	213.1	88.5	605.5	46.1	18.4	
11	0.0	42.4	98.4	217.6	138.6	268.7	302.1	197.7	82.2	212.8	43.0	17.2	
12	0.0	39.5	278.1	201.0	128.7	205.3	563.2	183.9	76.5	2068.1	39.9	15.6	
13	0.0	36.7	120.6	198.2	120.9	183.8	3427.9	170.4	684.6	1063.7	37.1	14.6	
14	0.0	34.2	152.8	183.3	115.2	4387.4	1750.5	158.1	107.5	233.4	34.6	13.5	
15	0.0	31.8	137.7	170.8	1271.5	3898.0	490.4	146.7	80.2	202.0	506.1	12.6	
16	0.0	29.4	128.8	159.4	1474.6	655.4	373.5	136.2	74.3	187.4	537.9	11.8	
17	0.0	27.2	120.3	148.4	203.7	363.9	332.2	126.5	69.1	172.2	109.9	11.2	
18	0.0	25.4	112.3	138.1	1032.1	298.7	305.7	117.5	64.0	160.1	99.6	12.0	
19	0.0	23.7	104.6	136.4	371.6	294.7	283.2	109.1	59.5	148.4	92.4	10.3	
20	0.0	22.0	97.4	419.6	208.6	382.7	262.5	101.3	55.3	1489.5	85.8	9.2	
21	0.0	20.4	90.6	206.2	174.4	516.6	244.4	94.0	51.5	718.7	80.0	8.3	
22	0.0	19.1	104.7	154.5	158.2	306.6	226.4	87.2	47.6	195.8	74.2	8.0	
23	0.0	17.7	284.6	137.3	146.2	253.9	264.9	81.0	82.3	175.4	69.2	7.4	
24	0.0	16.4	144.0	3144.5	135.8	230.2	626.4	75.2	329.9	162.0	64.2	6.7	
25	0.0	15.1	128.2	6017.2	221.8	4865.6	1289.7	70.0	87.5	150.5	59.8	6.3	
26	0.0	14.2	118.6	406.0	240.4	5904.3	1192.7	65.0	78.8	140.4	55.7	6.1	
27	0.0	13.2	110.4	253.8	168.7	636.0	1689.0	60.2	75.2	129.9	51.7	5.7	
28	0.0	12.3	102.8	220.8	222.4	372.2	582.1	56.9	70.4	120.7	47.9	4.9	
29	0.0	17.0	95.6	202.4	209.3	314.1	372.8	56.6	65.1	112.3	44.4	36.9	
30	0.0	13.8	88.9	187.6		285.7	287.0	50.7	60.5	104.6	41.3	63.1	
31	0.0		190.2	218.1		264.4		48.4		96.8	38.4		
SIMULATED TOTALS	0.0	2114.8	2978.0	19815.4	8837.7	34005.8	25302.3	11104.3	4206.3	9244.3	2873.1	544.3	121026.1 CFS

*TOWN CREEK NR GERALDINE, AL. (141 SQ MI.)

WY 64 STUDY S059 *

	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	ANNUAL
STORAGES-UZS	0.0	0.203	0.420	0.360	0.330	0.133	0.110	0.227	0.024	0.0	0.0	0.306	IN
LZS	0.183	2.859	4.492	5.281	5.647	6.204	6.085	3.826	3.613	3.722	2.937	2.613	IN
IFS	0.0	0.0	0.019	0.006	0.001	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	IN
GWS	0.000	0.048	0.562	0.696	0.580	0.912	0.939	0.175	0.212	0.338	0.136	0.111	IN
INDICES- UZC	0.295	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.294	0.250	0.297	0.309	0.250	IN
BFNX	0.016	0.130	0.866	1.381	1.335	2.039	2.284	1.124	0.807	0.983	0.581	0.334	
SIAM	0.983	0.865	0.718	0.684	0.774	0.868	1.000	1.124	1.109	1.157	1.154	1.064	

DAILY FLOW DURATION AND ERROR TABLE

FLOW INTERVAL	CASES	AV. ERROR	AVR. ABS. ERROR	STANDARD ERROR
0.0-	31.0	0.0	0.00	0.00
1.0-	1.0	-0.2	0.21	
1.6-	0.0			
2.7-	0.0			
4.5-	2.0	-0.7	1.37	1.94
7.4-	8.0	-2.3	2.69	1.63
12.2-	20.0	-2.7	4.68	5.34
20.1-	19.0	-5.0	6.09	3.94
33.1-	17.0	-10.5	11.64	5.91
54.6-	37.0	-18.9	20.03	8.89
90.0-	54.0	-28.2	30.00	14.92
148.4-	53.0	-40.1	53.61	41.54
244.7-	57.0	-55.0	94.91	89.79
403.4-	34.0	-25.3	167.45	235.95
665.1-	12.0	117.8	292.44	408.09
1096.6-	6.0	281.0	280.98	93.23
1808.0-	8.0	435.0	473.89	386.62
2931.0-	5.0	589.3	588.27	301.79
4914.8-	2.0	609.5	609.48	192.39
8103.1-	0.0			
13359.7-	0.0			
22026.5-	0.0			
	366.0	5.6	91.65	1791.97
CORRELATION COEFFICIENT (DAILY)			0.9855	

TWENTY HIGHEST CLOCKHOUR RAINFALL EVENTS IN THE WATER YEAR

0.900 0.860 0.710 0.680 0.650 0.630 0.600 0.600 0.570 0.550 0.530 0.530 0.500 0.470 0.440 0.410 0.390 0.390 0.370 0.370

TWENTY HIGHEST CLOCKHOUR OVERLAND FLOW RUNOFF EVENTS IN THE WATER YEAR

0.738 0.684 0.564 0.557 0.444 0.400 0.369 0.343 0.320 0.327 0.321 0.302 0.296 0.271 0.262 0.251 0.241 0.231 0.221

*TOWN CREEK NR GERALDINE, AL. (141 SQ MI.)

HY 64 STUDY 5059 *

DAILY SOIL MOISTURE

DAY	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT
1	0.4	0.8	2.9	4.6	5.3	5.7	6.2	6.1	3.8	3.7	3.6	2.9
2	0.4	0.9	2.9	4.6	5.3	5.7	6.2	6.2	3.8	3.7	3.5	2.8
3	0.4	0.9	2.9	4.6	5.3	5.7	6.2	6.2	3.8	3.8	3.5	2.7
4	0.4	2.6	2.9	4.6	5.3	5.8	6.2	6.2	3.7	3.8	3.4	2.7
5	0.4	3.0	2.9	4.6	5.4	5.8	6.3	6.2	3.7	3.7	3.4	2.6
6	0.3	3.1	2.9	4.7	5.4	5.8	6.3	6.1	4.0	3.6	3.3	2.6
7	0.3	3.1	2.9	4.7	5.4	5.8	6.3	6.0	4.0	3.7	3.2	2.5
8	0.3	3.1	3.1	4.8	5.4	5.8	6.3	5.9	4.0	3.8	3.1	2.4
9	0.3	3.1	3.1	4.9	5.4	5.8	6.3	5.8	4.0	3.8	3.0	2.4
10	0.3	3.1	3.2	4.9	5.4	5.8	6.3	5.7	3.9	4.0	3.0	2.3
11	0.3	3.1	3.7	4.9	5.4	5.8	6.3	5.6	3.8	4.0	2.9	2.3
12	0.3	3.1	3.8	4.9	5.4	5.8	6.4	5.6	3.8	4.4	2.9	2.2
13	0.3	3.1	4.0	4.9	5.4	5.9	6.5	5.5	3.9	4.4	2.8	2.2
14	0.3	3.1	4.0	4.9	5.4	6.0	6.5	5.4	3.9	4.4	2.7	2.1
15	0.3	3.1	4.0	4.9	5.5	6.0	6.5	5.2	3.9	4.3	3.5	2.0
16	0.3	3.0	4.0	4.9	5.5	6.0	6.5	5.1	3.8	4.3	3.6	2.0
17	0.3	3.0	4.0	4.9	5.5	6.0	6.5	5.0	3.7	4.2	3.6	2.0
18	0.3	3.0	4.0	4.9	5.5	6.0	6.4	4.9	3.6	4.2	3.6	2.0
19	0.2	2.9	4.0	5.0	5.5	6.0	6.3	4.8	3.5	4.0	3.6	2.0
20	0.2	2.9	4.0	5.0	5.5	6.1	6.2	4.7	3.4	4.3	3.5	2.0
21	0.2	2.9	4.0	5.0	5.5	6.1	6.2	4.6	3.4	4.3	3.5	1.9
22	0.2	2.9	4.2	5.0	5.5	6.1	6.1	4.5	3.3	4.3	3.4	1.9
23	0.2	2.9	4.3	5.0	5.5	6.1	6.0	4.4	3.6	4.3	3.4	1.9
24	0.2	2.9	4.3	5.2	5.5	6.1	6.0	4.2	3.6	4.2	3.3	1.8
25	0.2	2.8	4.3	5.2	5.6	6.2	6.0	4.2	3.6	4.1	3.3	1.8
26	0.2	2.8	4.3	5.2	5.6	6.2	6.0	4.1	3.6	4.1	3.2	1.7
27	0.2	2.8	4.3	5.2	5.6	6.2	6.1	4.0	3.6	4.0	3.2	1.7
28	0.2	2.8	4.3	5.2	5.6	6.2	6.1	3.9	3.6	3.9	3.2	1.7
29	0.2	2.9	4.3	5.2	5.6	6.2	6.1	3.9	3.6	3.8	3.1	2.6
30	0.2	2.9	4.3	5.2		6.2	6.1	3.8	3.6	3.8	3.0	2.6
31	0.2		4.5	5.3		6.2		3.8		3.7	2.9	
MULTI=	0	CONOPT(10)=	0	CONOPT(15)=	0	IBFLAG=	0	KWMAIN=	2			
MULTI=	0	CONOPT(10)=	0	CONOPT(15)=	0	IBFLAG=	13	KWMAIN=	3			
IDFLAG=	0	IENDFG=	0	ISFLAG=	13	MAIN=	3					

TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141. SQ.MI.) STORM 11/05/63				STUDY	S059 *
REFERENCE	SIMULATED	DIFF	%DIFF	%ANNUAL DIFF	

PEAK(CFS)	689.7	2232.5	1543.30	223.8	
-----------	-------	--------	---------	-------	--

ANNUAL PEAK(CFS)		11684.1		13.2	
---------------------	--	---------	--	------	--

PEAK(HR)	33	33	0	0.0	
----------	----	----	---	-----	--

RUNOFF(IN)	0.18	0.40	0.23	129.9	
------------	------	------	------	-------	--

TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141. SQ.MI.) STORM 01/25/64				STUDY	S059 *
REFERENCE	SIMULATED	DIFF	%DIFF	%ANNUAL DIFF	

PEAK(CFS)	9839.7	11671.9	1832.30	18.6	
-----------	--------	---------	---------	------	--

ANNUAL PEAK(CFS)		11684.1		15.7	
---------------------	--	---------	--	------	--

PEAK(HR)	53	52	-1	1.9	
----------	----	----	----	-----	--

RUNOFF(IN)	2.31	2.62	0.31	13.4	
------------	------	------	------	------	--

TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141. SQ.MI.) STORM 02/15/64				STUDY	S059 *
REFERENCE	SIMULATED	DIFF	%DIFF	%ANNUAL DIFF	

PEAK(CFS)	2883.9	4023.6	1140.10	39.5	
-----------	--------	--------	---------	------	--

ANNUAL PEAK(CFS)		11684.1		9.8	
---------------------	--	---------	--	-----	--

PEAK(HR)	24	24	0	0.0	
----------	----	----	---	-----	--

RUNOFF(IN)	0.96	1.15	0.19	19.9	
------------	------	------	------	------	--

TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141. SQ.MI.) STORM 03/14/64 STUDY S059 *

	REFERENCE	SIMULATED	DIFF	%DIFF	%ANNUAL DIFF
--	-----------	-----------	------	-------	--------------

PEAK(CFS)	8594.8	10033.7	1439.20	16.7	
ANNUAL PEAK(CFS)		11684.1			12.3
PEAK(HR)	44	44	0	0.0	
RUNOFF(IN)	2.27	2.50	0.23	10.1	

TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141. SQ.MI.) STORM 05/03/64 STUDY S059 *

	REFERENCE	SIMULATED	DIFF	%DIFF	%ANNUAL DIFF
--	-----------	-----------	------	-------	--------------

PEAK(CFS)	7729.9	9399.9	1670.10	21.6	
ANNUAL PEAK(CFS)		11684.1			14.3
PEAK(HR)	49	49	0	0.0	
RUNOFF(IN)	1.94	2.02	0.08	4.1	

TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141. SQ.MI.) STORM 08/15/64 STUDY S059 *

	REFERENCE	SIMULATED	DIFF	%DIFF	%ANNUAL DIFF
--	-----------	-----------	------	-------	--------------

PEAK(CFS)	787.2	1573.4	786.80	99.9	
ANNUAL PEAK(CFS)		11684.1			6.7
PEAK(HR)	48	48	0	0.0	
RUNOFF(IN)	0.26	0.34	0.08	32.8	

*TOWN CREEK NR GERALDINE, AL. (141 SO MI.) WY 64 STUDY S059

TOTAL DAILY STREAMFLOW STATISTICAL SUMMARY FOR 1 WATER YRS

MEAN (CFS)	MAXIMUM (CFS)	VARIANCE OF V	STD OF	SUM OF	ROOT SUM	CORR COLFF
			O-S	SQUARE		

REFERENCE WY 64	325	5430	429042	655			
SIMULATED	330	6017	601067	775	-2047	3268	0.99

MODEL PARAMETERS	LZC	BMIR	SUZC	BUZC	ETLF	SIAC	VINTMR	CSRX	FSRX	BFRC	OFMN	NCTRI	EPAET	MNRD	AREA	CHCAP	BIVF	IFRC
	4.0	3.5	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.15	0.94	0.94	0.93	0.050	16	31.04	*****	141.0	4800.0	0.50	0.18

*TOWN CREEK NR GERALDINE, AL. (141 SQ MI.) WY 64 STUDY SOE#

TOTAL MONTHLY STREAMFLOW STATISTICAL SUMMARY FOR 1 WATER YRS

MEAN (CFS)	MAXIMUM (CFS)	VARIANCE 0-S	STD DEV	SUM OF	FOOT SUM	DRGST MONTH **	CORR COEFF (CFS)
---------------	------------------	-----------------	------------	-----------	-------------	-------------------	------------------------

REFERENCE WY 64 9914 32757 110596272 10516 0 (1)
SIMULATED **** 34005 117735280 10850 -2047 2630 0 (1) 1-0

MJDEL	LZC	BMIR	SUZC	BUZC	ETLF	SIAC	VINTMR	CSRX	FSRX	BFRC	DFMN	NCTRI	EPAET	MNRF	AREA	CHCAP	BIVF	IFRL
PARAMETERS	4.0	3.5	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.15	0.94	0.94	0.93	0.050	16	31.04	****	141.0	4800.0	0.50	0.18

**- THE MONTHS OF A GIVEN WATER YEAR ARE NUMBERED AS FOLLOWS:

NO	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
MONTH	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JUL	AUG	SEPT

*TOWN CREEK NR GERALDINE, AL. (141 SQ MI.) WY 64 STUDY S059 *


TOTAL STORM ANALYSIS SUMMARY FOR 1 WATER YRS

	PEAK (CFS)	R/O (HR)										
	11/05/63		01/25/64		02/15/64		03/14/64		05/03/64		08/15/64	
REFERENCE	WY 64	689	33	0.18	9839	53	2.31	2883	24	0.96	8594	44
SIMULATED		2232	33	0.40	11671	52	2.62	4023	24	1.15	10033	44

MODEL PARAMETERS	LZC 4.0	BMIR 3.5	SUZC 0.20	BUZC 0.20	ETLF 0.20	SIAC 0.25	VINTMR 0.15	CSRX 0.94	FSRX 0.94	BFRC 0.93	OFMN 0.050	NCTRI 16	EPAET 31.04	MNRD *****	AREA 141.0	CHCAP 4800.0	BIVF 0.50	IFRC 0.18
---------------------	------------	-------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	----------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	---------------	-------------	----------------	---------------	---------------	-----------------	--------------	--------------


TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141. SQ.MI.) STORM 11/05/63

STUDY 5059 *




TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141. SQ.MI.) STORM 01/25/64

STUDY SD59 *




TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141. SQ.MI.) STORM 02/15/64

STUDY 9059 *



TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141. SQ.MI.) STORM 05/03/64


STUDY S059 *



PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

TOWN CREEK, ALA. (141. SQ.MI.) STORM 08/15/64

STUDY 5059 *



APPENDIX B

SAMPLE SIMULATION RUN OUTPUT, SMALL SNOWSHED MODEL

The basin designated "Alamosa Creek above Terrace Reservoir," is in the south central part of Colorado in the Rocky Mountains. The dominant precipitation is winter snow. Moisture accumulates in the snowpack during winter, when streamflow is small but unreadable because the stage height - discharge relationship is affected by icing. Greatest runoff occurs in the spring during snowmelt.

The Alamosa Creek watershed (Figure B-1) was selected as a basis for modeling and sensitivity analysis in the study because it is representative of small mountainous snowsheds yet low enough in altitude so that seasonal effects on its hydrological behavior are pronounced. Additionally, it has previously been the subject of modeling and study by Colorado State University, using the same basic simulation model as was used in the IBM study. Basin descriptive data, model parameters, streamflow and mean basin climatological data for the water year 1958 were provided by CSU.

For the water year 1958, the reference average and peak discharges were 2.24 and 24.8 cubic meters per second respectively. The published 17-year average was $3.25 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, and the highest recorded discharge was $147.2 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. The least actual discharge cannot be determined. The total annual mean basin precipitation for the reference year is 85 cm, compared with an annual average estimated at 120 cm. (Note: In a mountainous region, average annual precipitation varies widely for points only a few miles apart; calculation of mean basin precipitation on a long-term or a short-term basis in such a region is hazardous at best.)

The observed daily discharge used in the original model calibration is that recorded by USGS gage 2360, shown in Table B-1.

Page B-4 and subsequent pages of this appendix contain a reproduction of the printout from one simulation run in which the parameter BMIR was perturbed by -50%, from a reference value of 20.0.

Print plots have been omitted in favor of the SC4020 plots at the end of the appendix. In those plots, "R" indicates reference and "S" indicates simulated streamflow.

B-2




Figure B-1. Alamosa Creek Watershed

Table B-1. Alamosa Creek Observed Discharge Data, WY 1958

RIO GRANDE BASIN

Reproduced from
best available copy.

8360. Alamosa Creek above Terrace Reservoir, Colo.

Location.—Lat $37^{\circ}23'$, long $106^{\circ}21'$, in sec. 8, T. 36 N., R. 6 E., on left bank 3 miles upstream from Terrace Reservoir Dam and 15 miles northwest of Capulin.

Drainage area, --107 sq mi.

Records available.--September 1911 to June 1912 and October 1934 to September 1958 in reports of Geological Survey. April 1915 to October 1919, October 1923 to September 1927, and October 1934 to September 1944 in reports of State engineer. (No winter records most years.) September 1911 to June 1912 published as Rio Alamosa near Monte Vista.

Gage. --Water-stage recorder. Altitude of gage is 8,600 ft (from topographic map). Sept. 29, 1911, to June 4, 1912, staff gage at ranger station 1½ miles upstream at different datum. Apr. 1 to May 8, 1915, staff gage and May 7, 1915, to Sept. 30, 1927, water-stage recorder, near present site at different datum.

Average discharge --17 years (1923-24, 1940-41, 1943-58), 115 cfs (83,260 acre-ft per year).

Extremes. --Maximum discharge during year, 1,120 cfs May 27 (gage height, 3.38 ft), from rating curve extended above 300 cfs; minimum not determined.
1911-12, 1915-19, 1923-27, 1934-58: Maximum discharge, 5,200 cfs Oct. 5, 1911 (gage height, 11.0 ft, site and datum then in use, from floodmark), from rating curve extended above 1,000 cfs on basis of computation of peak flow over dam about 8 miles upstream; minimum not determined.

Remarks. --Records good except those for periods of ice effect or no gage-height record, which are poor. No diversion above station.

Revolutions (water years) - WSP 898: 1911(M).

Rating tables, water year 1957-58, except period of ice effect (gage height, in feet, and discharge, in cubic feet per second)
(Shiftline-control method used Apr. 23 to May 5)

Oct. 1 to June 29							June 30 to Sept. 30						
	1.D.	38	2.5	460	0.8	17	1.6	116					
1.3	60	3.0	800		1.0	32	2.0	225					
1.6	116	4.0	1,700		1.3	66							
2.0	285												
Discharge, in cubic feet per second, water year October 1957 to September 1958													
Day	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	
1	26	30					19	104	120	110	32		23
2	30	31					21	104	712	101	30		23
3	28	32					19	106	652	101	30		23
4	28	32					21	114	819	92	34		23
5	28	32					26	164	506	84	*44		25
6	20	31											
7	20	30						21	220	776	78	42	29
8	28	28						23	305	658	76	37	28
9	27	27						22	365	556	72	34	26
10	26	26						19	400	496	69	31	26
11	24							412	430	*65	27		29
12	26												
13	30												
14	28												
15	30												
16	28												
17	*28												
18	28												
19	34												
20	30												
21	30												
22	37												
23	39												
24	39												
25	30												
26	26												
27	27												
28	30												
29	29												
30	30												
31	31												
Total	917	609	713	650	660	160	1,030	16,750	10,000	1,200	1,070	1,201	
Mean	23.6	22.0	23	10	20	17.7	44.0	525	304	37.4	34.6	40.7	
Ave- ft	1,000	1,310	1,410	1,110	1,110	1,000	1,000	32,100	71,030	3,630	2,140	2,000	
Calendar year 1957-58 Max	1,400			Min	-	Mean	102	Ave- ft	131,200				
Water year 1957-58 Max	904			Min	-	Mean	102	Ave- ft	75,640				

Peak discharge (base, 670 cfs),--May 19 (9 p.m.) 704 cfs (2.95 ft); May 27 (10 p.m.) 1,120 cfs (3.32 ft); June 6 (7 p.m.) 944 cfs (3.10 ft).

* Discharge measurement made on this day.
Note.--No gage-height record Nov. 22 to Mar. 26 (storage-discharge relation affected by ice during most of period). Mar. 26 discharge estimated on basis of 4 discharge measurements, weather records, storage-discharge relation affected by ice Nov. 3-21.

SNOW62 *ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. (107 SQ. MI.) HY 58

*CONTROL OPTIONS (BALANCE OF DATA VARIES WITH SPECIFIED OPTIONS)
*(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) (15) (16)

0 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 3 1

* TIME - AREA HISTOGRAM DEFINITION

*NBTRI BTRI(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)
6 .056 .198 .199 .240 .281 .026

* SNOW PARAMETERS

*FIRR (15)

.80 .75 .70 .65 .60 .55 .53 .50 .49 .48 .47 .45 .43 .41 .40

*RICY (37)

.55 .56 .57 .61 .67 .75 .80 .83 .87 .92 .96 .99 1.0 1.0 .98

.95 .91 .87 .84 .81 .79 .77 .75 .73 .72 .71 .70 .69 .68 .67

.65 .64 .62 .60 .57 .56 .55

*DPSE (37)

0.0 0.0 0.0 .006 .0116 .0124 .0114 .0102 .0091 .0080 .0070 .0060

.0052 .0046 .0050 .0070 .0093 .0108 .0123 .0132 .0125 .0100 .0096

.03 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

*BDDFSM=SPBFLW=SPTWCC=SPM=ELDIE=XDFNS=FFDR=EESI=MRNSM=DSMGH=PXCSA

.0033 .040 4.00 1.00 1.113 .04 .40 .15 .015 .018 .199

0.0 * OUTPUT PARAMETER - RMPF, IF ANY DAILY FLOW EXCEEDS RMPE

* WATERSHED PARAMETERS - RGPMB - AKFA - FIMP - FWTR

1.0 107. .01 0.006

* SOIL MOISTURE PARAMETERS

* VINTMR-BUZC=SUZC=ETLE=SUBWF=GWEFE-SIAC=BMIR=BIVF

0.15 1.0 1.5 6.0 0.30 0.0 0.0 0.42 10.0 2.9

* OVERLAND FLOW PARAMETERS - OFSS - OFSL - OFMN - OFMNIS - IFRG

.0.34 1000. .35 .025 .60

* CHANNEL ROUTING AND GROUND WATER PARAMETERS

* CSRX - FSRX - CHCAP - EXQPV - BFMLR - BFRC

.0.91 0.94 670.0 0.30 0.90 0.95

* MOISTURE STORAGE VALUES - GWS - UZS - LZS - BFNX - IFS

0.187 0.0 4.0 0.90 0.0 0.0

* ALAMOSA CREEK ABOVE TERRACE RESERVOIR, NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO.

5 *JULDI- NUMBER OF SELECTED HURLY STORMS

291 121 111 121 130 121 215 121 265 121

* LAST TWO DIGITS IN THE CALLNDER YEARS OF THE WATER YEAR TO BE RUN

57 58 *YEAR1 - YEAR2

* ABOVE CARD IS ALPHANUMERIC DATA TO LOCATE STREAMGAGE

* EVAPORATION DATA

* AVERAGE DAILY EVAPORATION VALUES OVER 10-DAY PERIODS

0.081 0.027 0.034 0.040 0.028 0.013 0.019 0.024 0.021 * FALL 57

0.027 0.024 0.019 0.029 0.032 0.039 0.029 0.040 0.051 * WINTER58

0.048 0.071 0.082 0.095 0.120 0.153 0.173 0.218 0.235 0.212 * SPRING58

0.223 0.207 0.118 0.165 0.095 0.124 0.102 0.090 0.098 * SUMMER58

* MONTHLY PAN COEFICIENTS 1956- 1959

1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00

* STREAMFLOW DATA

* AVERAGE RECORDED STREAMFLOW VALUES DURING DAY IN CFS

25.63 24.27 24.78 22.49 20.60 19.89 19.05 17.45 16.50 14.07 *OCT

15.74 20.63 17.86 14.87 13.07 12.14 21.17 12.44 12.94 23.05 *NOV

26.09 20.50 18.81 18.23 17.46 16.54 16.34 15.04 14.92 14.39 *DEC

16.28 18.95 19.57 17.67 17.46 17.22 16.99 16.73 16.46 16.18 15.92 *OCT

15.66 15.42 15.19 14.97 14.76 14.57 14.40 14.23 14.08 13.94 *NOV

13.81 13.69 13.58 13.49 13.40 13.32 13.25 13.18 13.10 13.08 *NOV

17.49 19.82 20.15 20.12 19.95 19.74 19.51 19.28 19.05 18.84 *DEC

18.64 18.45 18.28 18.12 17.97 17.84 17.72 17.61 17.51 17.42 *DEC

17.34 17.26 17.20 17.15 17.10 17.06 17.03 17.01 16.93 16.98 *DEC

16.97

16.97	16.98	16.99	17.00	17.02	17.04	17.07	17.10	17.14	17.18	*JAN
17.22	17.27	17.31	17.37	17.42	17.48	17.54	17.60	17.66	17.73	*JAN
17.80	17.87	17.94	18.02	18.09	18.17	18.25	18.33	18.40	18.49	*JAN
18.58										*JAN
18.67	18.75	18.84	18.93	19.02	19.11	19.20	19.29	19.39	19.48	*FEB
19.58	19.67	19.77	19.87	19.96	20.06	20.16	20.26	20.36	20.47	*FEB
20.23	20.08	20.04	20.08	20.17	20.28	20.40	20.53			*FEB
20.66	20.79	20.92	21.05	21.18	21.31	21.44	21.57	21.70	21.82	*MAR
21.95	22.07	22.19	22.32	22.44	22.56	22.68	22.80	22.92	23.03	*MAR
23.15	23.26	23.37	23.48	23.59	23.69	23.79	23.89	24.00	24.09	*MAR
24.18										*MAR
24.28	24.37	24.46	24.55	24.64	24.72	24.81	24.89	24.98	25.06	*APR
25.14	25.22	25.30	24.85	24.30	23.39	22.35	21.27	20.21	19.18	*APR
18.12	21.61	25.97	25.07	23.27	22.50	22.08	21.84	21.80	21.58	*APR
24.03	25.36	28.21	49.35	86.02	132.04	205.44	214.58	199.58	201.48	*MAY
316.83	919.23	679.52	534.95	460.79	430.48	433.22	478.82	527.57	609.11	*MAY
737.33	749.34	753.09	770.00	765.96	727.57	683.21	640.01	607.3	568.73	*MAY
532.92										*MAY
502.32	474.49	448.84	424.94	418.11	431.72	392.56	369.98	349.72	330.18	*JUN
312.67	296.22	280.72	266.00	252.14	239.07	226.67	214.91	203.75	192.88	*JUN
182.85	173.33	164.30	155.74	147.61	139.90	132.59	125.65	119.1	113.22	*JUN
107.29	101.67	96.33	91.27	86.46	83.50	81.45	73.77	69.69	65.79	*JUL
62.27	58.93	55.76	52.75	49.89	47.18	44.60	45.81	40.41	37.96	*JUL
35.87	33.88	31.99	30.20	30.88	30.25	25.40	23.94	23.60	41.33	*JUL
22.81										*JUL
20.49	19.35	23.62	26.31	32.11	28.09	15.07	14.21	12.58	33.31	*AUG
22.05	19.15	15.10	10.48	9.31	12.88	17.43	7.98	12.91	8.63	*AUG
19.66	29.37	9.01	8.52	8.02	7.54	7.08	6.64	5.70	5.33	*AUG
4.96										*AUG
4.60	4.26	3.94	5.17	14.59	4.42	2.96	5.07	3.21	5.58	*SEP
6.64	27.17	39.57	38.66	17.02	16.01	14.67	18.25	19.52	18.84	*SEP
17.28	16.36	17.89	35.82	18.75	17.82	16.87	16.68	15.20	14.29	*S5P
* TEMPERATURE DATA FOR 1958 WATER YEAR										
63.28	60.22	53.30	51.38	55.41	57.57	35.55	27.57	19.		*1
58.20	57.21	56.20	52.31	39.25	25.44	31.47	18.48	20.		*2
48.30	40.21	46.28	42.26	46.22	36.23	46.3	46.5			*3
45.7	47.8	40.7	48.15	43.29	55.15	51.22				*4
44.30	36.19	40.28	43.24	38.15	29.17	38.12	40.10	-10.		*1
40.-6	35.5	39.6	39.9	39.5	39.12	33.11	35.10			*2
30.-0	30.-8	27.-9	27.-8	23.-1	14.-10	13.-8	32.-0			*3
37.-1	39.-1	36.1	20.-10	20.-10	26.-12					*4
24.-14	29.-10	28.-11	28.-11	31.0	41.7	30.-3	28.8			*
42.-3	41.-3	37.-5	31.-7	35.-6	36.-3	36.1	35.-6			*2
40.-7	44.2	36.5	31.-4	35.-3	33.0	43.-1	33.5			*3
32.-4	32.-6	35.2	27.-7	32.-1	36.2	37.-11				*4
35.-8	33.11	32.14	30.15	29.9	29.8	40.9	37.14			*1
32.10	32.9	26.12	27.9	24.13	23.9	36.1	40.12			*2
35.9	27.9	19.11	19.1	26.-7	24.-1	20.-9	27.12			*3
24.-14	28.12	28.17	25.0	32.16	25.13	20.-4				*4
28.12	32.1	35.12	30.21	31.11	29.8	32.1	32.9			*1
27.20	28.4	25.5	27.-3	26.15	25.-2	32.7	38.11			*2
39.-12	43.-13	42.18	63.15	42.18	44.13	40.17	37.17			*3
33.21	32.12	27.5	20.-10							*4
22.-10	24.-4	24.-5	28.1	28.-2	29.4	29.14	29.2			*1
25.-6	27.-8	27.4	24.1	30.-4	24.10	26.12	30.19			*2
32.18	35.10	33.4	38.8	40.21	35.22	38.21	40.18			*3
35.15	35.19	35.2	30.19	38.6	37.8	32.10				*4
33.-11	28.18	29.19	28.14	28.-3	38.1	37.20	30.20			*1
35.15	32.8	29.9	29.15	36.3	48.6	50.16	48.20			*2

54.	25.	51.	26.	49.	25.	47.	18.	46.	22.	50.	26.	49.	24.	47.	17.	*3
38.	28.	40.	20.	38.	19.	36.	27.	42.	22.	46.	16.					*4
42.	21.	48.	20.	50.	26.	56.	25.	57.	25.	58.	29.	57.	35.	51.	24.	*1
52.	18.	55.	21.	55.	23.	50.	32.	51.	21.	53.	25.	56.	21.	55.	25.	*2
63.	23.	62.	26.	63.	27.	64.	28.	64.	26.	62.	27.	64.	27.	69.	28.	*3
66.	33.	68.	30.	68.	29.	70.	27.	69.	35.	65.	27.	63.	29.			*4
67.	27.	67.	32.	67.	26.	71.	26.	63.	39.	55.	37.	62.	32.	63.	33.	*1
60.	41.	62.	23.	65.	27.	62.	25.	65.	27.	66.	37.	69.	25.	68.	30.	*2
71.	30.	69.	30.	71.	28.	72.	33.	71.	36.	73.	31.	75.	30.	71.	33.	*3
69.	28.	70.	27.	74.	31.	75.	28.	73.	34.	70.	34.					*4
72.	29.	72.	34.	70.	33.	70.	25.	68.	25.	66.	32.	70.	28.	75.	29.	*1
77.	29.	80.	30.	81.	30.	79.	30.	77.	30.	77.	30.	71.	46.	74.	35.	*2
74.	38.	70.	42.	72.	40.	70.	30.	73.	28.	76.	28.	77.	28.	68.	32.	*3
71.	35.	69.	37.	70.	28.	77.	27.	72.	35.	70.	37.	72.	32.			*4
73.	30.	76.	33.	78.	39.	75.	42.	72.	42.	69.	40.	70.	39.	71.	35.	*1
76.	35.	76.	36.	72.	37.	73.	43.	78.	37.	76.	40.	74.	37.	73.	43.	*2
69.	41.	72.	43.	73.	38.	67.	35.	60.	40.	67.	43.	67.	43.	65.	34.	*3
72.	28.	67.	31.	72.	29.	72.	29.	71.	34.	75.	30.	75.	28.			*4
73.	25.	72.	27.	71.	36.	67.	30.	70.	32.	65.	34.	60.	33.	68.	38.	*
70.	36.	71.	32.	71.	33.	63.	32.	60.	46.	60.	33.	56.	22.	65.	20.	*2
65.	22.	61.	24.	65.	22.	67.	23.	70.	23.	67.	24.	59.	34.	49.	38.	*3
57.	25.	58.	24.	57.	30.	62.	35.	61.	25.	57.	22.					*4

***AUXILIARY RAINAGE DAILY TOTALS**

0. * NSGRD, NUMBER OF STORAGE GAGE RAINFALL DAYS

* HOURLY RAINFALL TOTALS FROM BASE REORDING GAGE

* NO CARDS REQUIRED FOR PERIODS WITH NO RAINFALL

* IWBG, INDEX NO OF WEATHER BUREAU RAINGAGE

* YEAR, LAST TWO DIGITS OF CURRENT YEAR

* MONTH, CURRENT MONTH OF YEAR

* DATE, CURRENT DAY OF MONTH, 1-31

* CN, 1 FOR AM 2 FOR PM

* IWBG YR MO DY CN HOURLY RAINFALL TOTALS IN CHRONOLOGIC ORDER

370	57	10	03	1	.00	.00	.02	.02	.02	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	10	04	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.01	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	10	06	2	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.02	.03	.02	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	10	10	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.03	.00	.00	.00	.00	.06	
370	57	10	12	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.01	.02	.04	
370	57	10	12	2	.05	.02	.02	.00	.01	.00	.00	.03	.00	.00	.02	.00
370	57	10	13	1	.02	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	10	13	2	.00	.00	.02	.04	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	10	14	2	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.03	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	10	17	1	.00	.00	.05	.02	.00	.03	.05	.07	.03	.02	.00	
370	57	10	19	1	.05	.10	.15	.10	.10	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	10	19	2	.00	.00	.01	.00	.05	.05	.05	.05	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	10	20	1	.05	.10	.25	.10	.10	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	10	20	2	.00	.00	.05	.10	.10	.05	.04	.00	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	10	21	1	.05	.05	.05	.05	.05	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	10	21	2	.05	.05	.05	.05	.04	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	10	22	1	.20	.30	.25	.10	.01	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	10	29	1	.00	.00	.00	.03	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	11	01	2	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.05	.04	.02	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	11	02	2	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.50	.00	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	11	03	1	.00	.05	.10	.10	.15	.06	.04	.00	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	11	04	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.10	.05	.00	.00	
370	57	11	05	1	.05	.10	.05	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	11	06	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.08	.12	.00	.00	
370	57	11	06	2	.05	.10	.01	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	11	15	1	.10	.20	.25	.20	.10	.07	.05	.00	.00	.00	.00	
370	57	11	16	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.05	.10	.10	.10	.10	
370	57	11	16	2	.10	.10	.04	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	

370	57	11	17	1	.00	.00	.05	.10	.15	.10	.10	.05	.00	.00	.30	.00
370	57	12	15	2	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.10	.15	.15	.15	.10	.07
370	57	12	16	1	-.05	.04	.04	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	57	12	18	2	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.05	.05	.08	.07	.04	.03
370	57	12	19	1	.04	.03	.02	.04	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	57	12	24	1	.00	.00	.03	.06	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	01	12	1	.00	.00	.02	.03	.01	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	01	13	2	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.02	.02	.00	.00	.00
370	58	01	14	1	.00	.05	.05	.10	.02	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	01	19	2	.00	.03	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	01	23	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.02	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	01	24	1	.00	.00	.00	.05	.05	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	01	25	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.02	.03	.08	.04	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	01	26	2	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.08	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	01	28	1	.05	.05	.05	.06	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	01	29	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.02	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	01	30	2	.03	.04	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	02	04	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.02	.05	.05	.02	.00	.00
370	58	02	05	1	.05	.10	.10	.10	.10	.10	.05	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	02	09	2	.00	.00	.00	.05	.08	.07	.07	.07	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	02	13	1	.00	.10	.10	.08	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	02	25	2	.10	.10	.10	.10	.10	.10	.10	.03	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	02	26	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.05	.05	.10	.10	.04	.00	.00	.00
370	58	03	05	1	.01	.03	.05	.05	.05	.01	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	03	07	1	.02	.02	.05	.10	.08	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	03	09	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.04	.10	.15	.00
370	58	03	09	2	.07	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	03	11	2	.00	.00	.00	.10	.10	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	03	14	1	.00	.05	.10	.10	.04	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	03	15	2	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.05	.05	.05	.01	.00
370	58	03	16	1	.00	.00	.00	.10	.10	.10	.10	.10	.10	.05	.00	.00
370	58	03	18	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.06	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	03	22	2	.07	.10	.10	.10	.10	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	03	23	2	.00	.00	.06	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	03	24	2	.00	.00	.06	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	03	25	2	.00	.00	.07	.06	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	03	26	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.06	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	03	28	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.10	.15	.15	.15	.00
370	58	03	31	2	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.10	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	04	02	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.10	.05	.25	.05	.05	.05	.10	.05
370	58	04	04	1	.05	.10	.10	.10	.10	.10	.10	.10	.10	.10	.05	.00
370	58	04	08	2	.10	.20	.15	.10	.05	.20	.10	.10	.10	.08	.00	.00
370	58	04	09	2	.00	.00	.03	.03	.03	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	04	11	2	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.05	.08	.07	.06	.04	.02	.00
370	58	04	12	1	.00	.00	.00	.02	.03	.05	.07	.05	.03	.02	.01	.02
370	58	04	29	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.03	.05	.07	.04	.04	.03	.00	.00
370	58	04	29	2	.02	.10	.15	.08	.07	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	04	30	1	.00	.03	.05	.05	.03	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	05	11	2	.00	.00	.00	.10	.20	.40	.20	.10	.20	.10	.10	.08
370	58	05	12	1	.08	.07	.05	.03	.02	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	05	16	1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.05	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	05	18	2	.00	.03	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	05	20	2	.01	.03	.04	.03	.02	.05	.08	.03	.02	.01	.00	.00
370	58	05	21	2	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.02	.02	.00	.00	.00
370	58	05	23	2	.00	.00	.03	.02	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	06	05	2	.00	.25	.00	.02	.00	.02	.02	.06	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	06	06	1	.00	.02	.07	.02	.07	.06	.11	.02	.02	.04	.00	.00
370	58	06	06	2	.11	.02	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	07	06	2	.00	.00	.09	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
370	58	07	07	2	.11	.02	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00

370 58 07 18 2 .04 .06 .00 .06 .00 .00 .01 .03 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 07 25 2 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .09 .09 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 07 30 2 .38 .03 .07 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 08 03 2 .00 .00 .05 .06 .08 .01 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 08 04 2 .12 .04 .04 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 08 05 2 .00 .00 .00 .00 .20 .15 .10 .10 .13 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 08 10 2 .00 .00 .00 .00 .20 .40 .15 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 08 12 1 .00 .00 .05 .05 .03 .00 .00 .00 .08 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 08 12 2 .00 .00 .00 .06 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 08 13 1 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 08 13 2 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .07 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 08 16 2 .03 .06 .02 .00 .00 .00 .00 .11 .00 .00 .17 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 08 19 2 .07 .01 .07 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 08 20 2 .00 .00 .00 .03 .01 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 08 21 2 .00 .09 .01 .09 .01 .08 .07 .05 .07 .07 .08 .06 .01 .01
 370 58 08 22 1 .07 .04 .03 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 08 23 2 .00 .00 .00 .00 .03 .01 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 09 04 2 .03 .03 .03 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 09 05 1 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .05
 370 58 09 05 2 .35 .05 .05 .05 .04 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 09 08 2 .02 .03 .02 .02 .02 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 09 10 2 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .10 .03 .02 .03 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 09 11 2 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .06 .04 .04 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 09 12 2 .00 .00 .03 .00 .00 .50 .10 .20 .15 .14 .10 .10 .00 .00
 370 58 09 14 1 .40 .10 .08 .07 .05 .06 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 59 09 15 2 .00 .05 .03 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 09 16 1 .00 .00 .03 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 09 18 2 .00 .05 .04 .03 .02 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 09 19 2 .00 .00 .00 .04 .06 .02 .02 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 09 23 2 .00 .00 .00 .00 .05 .03 .03 .02 .02 .03 .03 .03 .00 .00
 370 58 09 24 1 .02 .01 .08 .02 .01 .06 .03 .05 .02 .02 .02 .02 .00 .00
 370 58 09 27 2 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 58 09 28 2 .00 .02 .03 .02 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
 370 98 09 30 1

* 98 IN LAST CARD NOTIFIES PROGRAM THAT IT HAS COME TO THE END OF HOURLY
* RAINFALL TOTALS

* RETURN TO NEW YEAR CARD AND REPEAT DATA TO THIS POINT FOR EACH YEAR

* IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER FOR WHICH FLOWS ARE TO BE SYNTHESIZED

*STORM DATA - ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO.

*ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. STORM 10/18/57

1.0 107. 121 -1 1

1.0

16.7	15.2	14.2	13.5	13.0	12.7	12.5	12.3	12.2
12.1	12.0	11.9	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.7	11.7
11.7	11.7	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.5	11.5	11.5
11.5	11.4	11.4	11.4	11.4	10.9	10.8	10.8	10.8
10.8	10.8	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.8	11.5	14.5
19.1	25.0	30.3	33.5	32.3	26.9	22.1	18.9	16.7
15.2	14.1	13.4	12.9	12.6	12.3	12.2	12.1	12.1
12.1	12.6	14.7	19.7	28.8	39.0	49.6	55.8	53.4
46.6	38.1	31.3	26.7	23.5	21.3	19.8	18.7	17.5
17.0	16.7	16.4	16.3	16.2	16.7	18.1	21.6	26.8
33.0	38.5	41.7	39.6	34.4	29.8	26.6	24.4	22.8
21.8	21.1	20.6	20.3	20.1	19.9	19.8	19.7	19.7
19.7	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6
19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6

*ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. STORM 4/21/58

1.0 107. 121 -1 1

1.0

19.1	19.1	19.1	19.0	19.0	18.9	18.9	18.9	17.7
17.7	17.6	17.6	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.4	17.4	17.3
17.3	17.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.1
18.1	18.0	18.0	18.0	17.9	16.7	16.7	16.7	16.6
16.6	16.6	16.5	16.9	17.9	20.0	23.6	28.1	34.2
38.2	39.8	38.8	36.3	33.1	29.9	27.5	25.8	24.6
23.8	23.2	21.6	21.3	21.1	20.9	20.8	20.7	20.6
20.5	21.1	22.5	24.6	27.3	31.2	34.1	35.7	35.2
33.3	30.9	29.1	27.8	26.9	26.2	25.8	25.4	24.0
23.8	23.7	23.5	23.4	23.4	23.3	23.2	23.2	23.1
23.0	22.9	24.0	23.9	23.9	23.8	23.8	23.7	23.7
23.6	23.6	23.5	23.5	23.4	23.4	23.3	23.3	23.2
23.2	23.2	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.0	23.0	23.0
22.9	22.9	22.9						

*ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. STORM 5/10/58

1.0 107. 121 -1 1

1.0

207.4	203.8	200.1	197.2	194.9	193.1	191.6	190.3	187.9
186.9	186.0	185.2	184.4	183.7	185.5	189.4	194.9	202.4
211.5	221.7	231.4	235.7	236.4	234.2	229.9	224.6	219.2
214.7	211.3	208.8	206.7	205.1	202.1	200.9	199.9	198.9
198.1	197.3	199.8	211.8	232.9	271.3	331.9	426.0	556.7
694.4	831.9	929.5	984.9	1024.8	1041.5	1041.0	1029.3	1010.3
991.0	972.9	954.4	938.3	923.1	908.4	894.8	884.3	875.0
867.7	861.9	857.3	853.5	848.0	841.7	831.5	819.6	806.4
792.4	778.4	765.1	752.9	741.5	730.8	720.6	710.8	699.7
690.5	681.6	672.9	664.4	656.2	648.1	640.2	633.1	628.3
624.0	620.7	619.5	616.5	613.2	607.5	599.8	591.0	582.0
573.7	566.1	559.0	552.4	546.1	538.4	532.6	526.9	521.4
516.1	510.9	507.4	506.5	506.7	508.5	511.7	515.7	520.2
519.6	516.1	510.0						

*ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. STORM 8/3/58

1.0 107. 121 -1 1

1.0

20.8	20.8	20.7	20.7	20.6	20.6	20.6	20.5	16.4
16.3	16.3	16.3	16.2	16.2	16.1	16.3	17.8	22.6
29.7	36.2	45.5	45.8	39.9	33.8	29.4	26.3	24.2
22.8	21.8	21.1	20.6	20.2	15.9	15.7	15.5	15.4
15.5	16.9	21.8	28.1	35.6	43.6	44.8	42.4	41.8
35.3	30.1	26.6	24.2	22.5	21.3	20.5	19.9	19.5
19.2	19.0	14.8	14.7	14.6	14.4	14.4	14.3	14.3
14.2	14.5	17.8	29.4	46.6	71.5	95.1	107.8	106.2
97.3	83.3	64.7	50.1	40.1	33.2	28.5	25.2	18.9
17.3	16.3	15.5	15.0	14.6	14.3	14.1	14.0	13.9
13.8	13.6	17.7	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.5	17.5	17.5
17.4	17.4	17.3	17.3	17.3	13.2	13.1	13.1	13.0
13.0	13.0	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.8	12.8	12.8	16.8
16.8	16.7	16.7						

*ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. STORM 9/22/58

1.0 107. 121 -1 1

1.0

18.4	18.4	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.2	18.2	18.2	15.0
15.0	14.9	14.8	14.7	14.7	14.7	14.6	14.6	14.5
14.5	14.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.3
17.3	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.1	14.0	14.0	13.9	13.9
13.8	13.8	13.8	13.7	13.8	14.0	15.2	17.7	24.3
28.4	32.3	34.7	34.6	33.5	32.7	31.5	33.6	37.4
41.7	48.0	48.1	49.8	52.3	51.8	49.8	45.2	40.3
35.5	30.7	27.1	24.6	22.8	23.2	22.4	21.8	21.4
21.1	20.9	20.8	20.7	20.6	20.5	20.4	20.4	17.2
17.2	17.2	17.2	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.0	17.0
16.9	16.9	20.0	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.8	19.8	19.7
19.7	19.7	19.6	19.6	19.6	16.4	16.4	16.3	16.3
16.3	16.2	16.2	16.1	16.1	16.1	16.0	16.0	19.0
19.0	19.0	18.9						

*ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. (170 SQ. MI.) WY 58 STUDY SNOW6?													
OCTOBER		Reproduced from best available copy.											
	3 AM	25.0	24.9	24.9	24.8	24.8	24.7	24.7	24.6	23.2	23.1	23.1	23.0
	PM	23.5	24.8	25.9	26.9	27.8	26.6	25.3	24.4	25.2	24.9	24.4	24.2
	MAXIMUM=	28.2	C.F.S.	TIME	4.45	P.M.							24.8
	4 AM	24.0	22.9	23.8	23.6	23.5	23.5	23.4	23.4	21.9	21.9	21.8	21.8
	PM	21.7	21.7	21.6	21.6	21.5	21.5	21.4	21.4	22.7	22.7	22.6	22.5
	MAXIMUM=	24.1	C.F.S.	TIME	0.15	A.M.							
	6 AM	21.4	21.4	21.3	21.3	21.2	21.2	21.1	21.1	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.1
	PM	18.1	18.0	18.0	17.9	17.9	17.8	17.9	17.8	21.2	22.4	23.4	24.2
	MAXIMUM=	24.6	C.F.S.	TIME	12.00	P.M.							19.9
	7 AM	24.7	23.6	22.5	21.7	21.2	20.8	20.6	20.4	17.4	17.3	17.2	17.1
	PM	17.0	17.0	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.8	16.8	16.7	19.5	19.4	19.4	19.1
	MAXIMUM=	24.9	C.F.S.	TIME	0.45	A.M.							
	10 AM	17.4	17.4	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.2	17.2	17.2	15.7	15.7	15.7	15.7
	PM	16.4	18.6	20.4	22.2	23.6	21.7	19.7	18.3	18.7	18.1	17.6	17.3
	MAXIMUM=	24.1	C.F.S.	TIME	4.45	P.M.							18.1
11	AM	17.0	16.8	16.7	16.6	16.5	16.4	16.3	16.3	15.3	15.3	15.3	15.2
	PM	15.2	15.2	15.1	15.1	15.1	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.9	15.8	15.8	15.8
	MAXIMUM=	17.1	C.F.S.	TIME	0.15	A.M.							15.7
	12 AM	15.7	15.7	15.7	15.6	15.6	15.6	15.5	15.5	14.5	14.5	14.5	14.5
	PM	15.1	17.6	22.0	26.9	32.0	34.4	32.9	29.8	27.4	25.9	24.5	23.7
	MAXIMUM=	35.0	C.F.S.	TIME	5.45	P.M.							20.6
	12 SDEPTH=	0.14	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.00	SPLW=	0.0			
	13 AM	23.3	21.2	19.2	17.8	16.9	16.2	15.8	15.4	15.2	15.0	14.9	14.8
	PM	14.7	14.6	14.6	14.8	16.2	19.0	21.4	23.6	24.5	22.0	19.6	17.9
	MAXIMUM=	24.9	C.F.S.	TIME	8.45	P.M.							
	13 SDEPTH=	0.23	STMD=	0.10	SAY=	15.00	TANSM=	0.00	SPLW=	0.0			
	14 AM	16.8	16.0	15.4	15.0	14.7	14.5	14.4	14.3	14.2	14.1	14.0	14.0
	PM	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.8	13.8	13.8	14.2	15.2	16.0	16.8	17.5	16.6
	MAXIMUM=	17.8	C.F.S.	TIME	10.45	P.M.							
	14 SOFPTH=	0.12	STMD=	0.03	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.00	SPLW=	0.00			
	15 AM	15.6	15.0	14.5	14.2	13.9	13.8	13.6	13.5	12.5	12.4	12.4	12.4
	PM	12.3	12.3	12.3	12.2	12.2	12.2	12.2	12.1	13.0	13.0	12.9	13.1
	MAXIMUM=	16.0	C.F.S.	TIME	0.15	A.M.							

17	AM	12.3	12.2	12.2	12.2	12.2	12.1	12.1	12.1	11.7	12.1	13.9	17.6	
	PM	22.6	28.7	33.7	36.2	36.7	35.6	34.1	31.9	29.4	25.8	21.8	18.8	21.2
	MAXIMUM=	36.8	C.F.S.		TIME	4.45	P.M.							
18	AM	16.7	15.2	14.2	13.5	13.0	12.7	12.5	12.3	12.2	12.1	12.0	11.9	
	PM	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.7	11.7	11.7	11.7	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	12.4
	MAXIMUM=	17.4	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
19	AM	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.4	11.4	11.4	11.4	10.9	10.8	10.8	10.8	
	PM	10.8	10.8	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.8	11.5	14.5	19.1	25.0	30.2	12.9
	MAXIMUM=	31.8	C.F.S.		TIME	12.00	P.M.							
19	SDEPTH=	2.77	STMD=	0.18	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.04	SPLW=	0.02				
20	AM	33.5	32.3	26.8	22.1	18.8	16.6	15.0	14.0	13.3	12.8	12.4	12.2	
	PM	12.0	12.0	11.9	11.9	12.4	14.5	19.5	28.6	38.8	49.6	56.0	53.8	22.9
	MAXIMUM=	57.3	C.F.S.		TIME	10.45	P.M.							
20	SDEPTH=	6.16	STMD=	0.19	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.06	SPLW=	0.04				
21	AM	47.1	38.7	32.0	27.3	24.1	21.9	20.4	19.3	18.0	17.5	17.1	16.8	
	PM	16.7	16.5	17.0	18.4	21.9	27.1	33.3	38.9	42.1	40.1	34.9	30.3	26.5
	MAXIMUM=	49.7	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
21	SDEPTH=	7.77	STMD=	0.19	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.04	SPLW=	0.06				
22	AM	27.1	24.9	23.3	22.3	21.5	21.0	20.6	20.4	20.2	20.0	19.9	19.8	
	PM	19.8	19.7	19.7	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.4	19.4	19.4	20.7
	MAXIMUM=	28.1	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
22	SDEPTH=	12.74	STMD=	0.18	SAX=	12.00	TANSM=	0.18	SPLW=	0.06				
23	AM	19.4	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.1	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.8	
	PM	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.6	17.6	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.6	18.4
	MAXIMUM=	19.4	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
23	SDEPTH=	12.71	STMD=	0.18	SAX=	13.00	TANSM=	0.17	SPLW=	0.06				
24	AM	18.6	18.6	18.5	18.5	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.3	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.0	
	PM	17.0	17.0	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.8	16.8	16.8	17.9	17.9	17.8	17.8	17.6
	MAXIMUM=	18.6	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
24	SDEPTH=	12.67	STMD=	0.18	SAX=	14.00	TANSM=	0.17	SPLW=	0.06				
25	AM	17.8	17.7	17.7	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.5	17.5	16.3	16.3	16.2	16.2	
	PM	16.2	16.1	16.1	16.1	16.0	16.0	16.0	15.9	17.1	17.0	17.0	17.0	16.8
	MAXIMUM=	17.8	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
25	SDEPTH=	12.63	STMD=	0.17	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.17	SPLW=	0.06				
26	AM	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.8	16.8	16.8	16.7	16.7	15.5	15.4	15.4	15.4	
	PM	15.3	15.3	15.3	15.3	15.2	15.2	15.2	15.1	16.3	16.2	16.2	16.2	16.0
	MAXIMUM=	17.0	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
26	SDEPTH=	12.60	STMD=	0.17	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.17	SPLW=	0.06				

27	AM	16.1	16.1	16.1	16.0	16.0	16.0	15.9	15.9	15.9	15.8	15.8	15.8	
	PM	15.7	15.7	15.7	15.6	15.6	15.5	15.5	15.4	15.3	15.3	15.3	15.3	15.7
	MAXIMUM=	16.2	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
27	SDEPTH=	12.41	STMD=	0.17	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.17	SPLW=	0.06				
28	AM	15.2	15.2	15.2	15.2	15.1	15.1	15.1	15.1	13.9	13.8	13.8	13.8	
	PM	13.8	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.6	13.6	13.6	14.7	14.7	14.7	14.6	14.4
	MAXIMUM=	13.8	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.							
28	SDEPTH=	11.43	STMD=	0.19	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.02	SPLW=	0.06				
29	AM	14.6	14.6	14.6	14.5	14.5	14.5	14.4	14.4	14.4	14.4	14.3	14.3	
	PM	14.3	14.3	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.0	14.0	14.3
	MAXIMUM=	14.3	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.							
29	SDEPTH=	11.03	STMD=	0.20	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.12	SPLW=	0.06				
30	AM	14.0	14.0	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.8	13.8	12.6	12.6	12.6	12.5	
	PM	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.4	12.4	12.5	12.9	15.1	16.7	18.5	20.2	13.8
	MAXIMUM=	20.7	C.F.S.		TIME	12.00	P.M.							
30	SDEPTH=	9.66	STMD=	0.21	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.03	SPLW=	0.08				
31	AM	21.0	20.2	18.7	17.3	16.3	15.6	15.1	14.8	13.2	13.0	12.9	12.8	
	PM	12.8	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.8	13.1	14.2	17.5	19.9	22.6	24.5	15.8
	MAXIMUM=	24.8	C.F.S.		TIME	12.00	P.M.							
31	SDEPTH=	8.51	STMD=	0.23	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.0	SPLW=	0.08				
<u>NOVEMBER</u>														
1	AM	24.8	23.8	22.1	20.2	18.6	17.4	16.6	16.0	15.6	15.3	15.1	15.0	
	PM	14.9	14.9	14.8	14.7	14.7	14.7	15.4	17.0	19.9	23.9	27.5	31.0	18.5
	MAXIMUM=	31.6	C.F.S.		TIME	11.45	P.M.							
1	SDEPTH=	8.04	STMD=	0.24	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.06	SPLW=	0.07				
2	AM	31.2	27.9	24.7	22.4	20.9	19.8	19.1	18.6	18.2	18.0	17.8	17.7	
	PM	17.6	17.6	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	19.4
	MAXIMUM=	31.5	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
2	SDEPTH=	9.60	STMD=	0.25	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.19	SPLW=	0.07				
3	AM	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.2	
	PM	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.3
	MAXIMUM=	17.4	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
3	SDEPTH=	11.81	STMD=	0.24	SAX=	13.00	TANSM=	0.22	SPLW=	0.07				
4	AM	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	
	PM	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	17.0
	MAXIMUM=	17.1	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
4	SDEPTH=	11.98	STMD=	0.25	SAX=	13.00	TANSM=	0.21	SPLW=	0.07				

5 AM 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.8 16.8 16.8 16.8 16.8 16.8 16.8 16.8 16.8 16.8 16.7
 PM 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.6 16.6 16.6 16.6 16.7
 MAXIMUM= 16.9 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.
 5 SDEPTH= 14.17 STMD= 0.22 SAX= 13.00 TANSM= 0.24 SPLW= 0.07

6 AM 16.6 16.5 16.4 16.4 16.4 16.4 16.4 16.4 16.4 16.4 16.4 16.4 16.4 16.4 16.4
 PM 16.3 16.3 16.3 16.3 16.3 16.3 16.3 16.3 16.3 16.3 16.3 16.3 16.3 16.3 16.4
 MAXIMUM= 16.6 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.
 6 SDEPTH= 16.29 STMD= 0.21 SAX= 12.00 TANSM= 0.27 SPLW= 0.07

7 AM 16.3 16.3 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.1 16.1
 PM 16.1 16.1 16.1 16.1 16.1 16.1 16.1 16.1 16.1 16.1 16.1 16.1 16.1 16.1 16.1
 MAXIMUM= 16.1 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 7 SDEPTH= 16.19 STMD= 0.21 SAX= 13.00 TANSM= 0.27 SPLW= 0.07

8 AM 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9
 PM 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.9
 MAXIMUM= 15.9 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 8 SDEPTH= 16.02 STMD= 0.21 SAX= 14.00 TANSM= 0.26 SPLW= 0.07

9 AM 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.7 15.7 15.7 15.7 15.7 15.7 15.7 15.7 15.7
 PM 15.7 15.7 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.7
 MAXIMUM= 15.7 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 9 SDEPTH= 15.84 STMD= 0.21 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.26 SPLW= 0.07

10 AM 15.6 15.6 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.4 15.4 15.4
 PM 15.4 15.4 15.4 15.4 15.4 15.4 15.4 15.4 15.4 15.4 15.4 15.4 15.3 15.3 15.4
 MAXIMUM= 15.4 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 10 SDEPTH= 15.75 STMD= 0.21 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.26 SPLW= 0.07

11 AM 15.3 15.3 15.3 15.3 15.3 15.3 15.3 15.3 15.2 15.2 15.2 15.2 15.2 15.2 15.2
 PM 15.2 15.2 15.2 15.2 15.2 15.2 15.2 15.2 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.2
 MAXIMUM= 15.2 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 11 SDEPTH= 15.58 STMD= 0.21 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.26 SPLW= 0.07

12 AM 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0
 PM 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 14.9 14.9 14.9 14.9 14.9 14.9 14.9 14.9 15.0
 MAXIMUM= 15.0 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 12 SDEPTH= 15.42 STMD= 0.21 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.26 SPLW= 0.07

13 AM 14.9 14.9 14.9 14.9 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.8
 PM 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.8
 MAXIMUM= 14.8 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 13 SDEPTH= 15.25 STMD= 0.21 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.25 SPLW= 0.07

14 AM 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6
 PM 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.6
 MAXIMUM= 14.6 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 14 SDEPTH= 15.08 STMD= 0.21 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.25 SPLW= 0.07

15	AM	14.5	14.5	14.5	14.5	14.4	14.4	14.4	14.4	14.4	14.4	14.4	14.4	14.4	14.4	14.4	14.4
	PM	14.4	14.4	14.4	14.4	14.4	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.4	
	MAXIMUM= 14.4 C.F.S.				TIME 11.15 A.M.												
15	SDEPTH=	30.40	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	11.00	TANSM=	0.34	SPLW=	0.07							
16	AM	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	
	PM	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	
	MAXIMUM= 14.2 C.F.S.				TIME 11.15 A.M.												
16	SDEPTH=	31.02	STMD=	0.16	SAX=	8.00	TANSM=	0.39	SPLW=	0.07							
17	AM	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	
	PM	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	
	MAXIMUM= 14.0 C.F.S.				TIME 11.15 A.M.												
17	SDEPTH=	39.01	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	7.00	TANSM=	0.43	SPLW=	0.07							
18	AM	14.0	14.0	14.0	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	
	PM	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	
	MAXIMUM= 13.9 C.F.S.				TIME 11.15 A.M.												
18	SDEPTH=	38.89	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	8.00	TANSM=	0.43	SPLW=	0.07							
19	AM	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.8	
	PM	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	
	MAXIMUM= 13.7 C.F.S.				TIME 11.15 A.M.												
19	SDEPTH=	38.77	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	9.00	TANSM=	0.42	SPLW=	0.07							
20	AM	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	
	PM	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	
	MAXIMUM= 13.6 C.F.S.				TIME 11.15 A.M.												
20	SDEPTH=	38.64	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	10.00	TANSM=	0.42	SPLW=	0.07							
21	AM	13.6	13.6	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	
	PM	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	
	MAXIMUM= 13.5 C.F.S.				TIME 11.15 A.M.												
21	SDEPTH=	38.51	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	11.00	TANSM=	0.42	SPLW=	0.07							
22	AM	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	
	PM	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	
	MAXIMUM= 13.4 C.F.S.				TIME 11.15 A.M.												
22	SDEPTH=	38.39	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	12.00	TANSM=	0.42	SPLW=	0.07							
23	AM	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	
	PM	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.3	
	MAXIMUM= 13.3 C.F.S.				TIME 11.15 A.M.												
23	SDEPTH=	38.26	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	13.00	TANSM=	0.41	SPLW=	0.07							
24	AM	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	
	PM	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	
	MAXIMUM= 13.2 C.F.S.				TIME 11.15 A.M.												
24	SDEPTH=	38.13	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	14.00	TANSM=	0.41	SPLW=	0.07							

25	AM	13.2	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	
	PM	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	
MAXIMUM= 13.1 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.																
25	SDEPTH=	37.99	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.41	SPLW=	0.07						
26	AM	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	
	PM	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	
MAXIMUM= 13.0 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.																
26	SDEPTH=	37.77	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.41	SPLW=	0.07						
27	AM	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	12.9	
	PM	12.9	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	13.0	
MAXIMUM= 13.0 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.																
27	SDEPTH=	37.64	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.40	SPLW=	0.07						
28	AM	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	
	PM	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	
MAXIMUM= 12.9 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.																
28	SDEPTH=	37.51	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.40	SPLW=	0.07						
29	AM	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	
	PM	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.9	
MAXIMUM= 12.8 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.																
29	SDEPTH=	37.38	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.40	SPLW=	0.07						
30	AM	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	
	PM	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	
MAXIMUM= 12.8 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.																
30	SDEPTH=	37.26	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.40	SPLW=	0.07						
DECEMBER																
1	AM	12.8	13.5	14.1	14.6	15.0	15.4	15.7	16.0	16.3	16.5	16.7	16.9			
	PM	17.1	17.4	17.5	17.7	17.9	18.0	18.1	18.2	18.4	18.5	18.5	18.6		16.7	
MAXIMUM= 16.7 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.																
1	SDEPTH=	37.14	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.40	SPLW=	0.07						
2	AM	18.7	18.8	18.9	18.9	19.0	19.0	19.1	19.1	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.3		
	PM	19.3	19.4	19.4	19.5	19.5	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.3	
MAXIMUM= 19.2 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.																
2	SDEPTH=	37.02	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.39	SPLW=	0.07						
3	AM	19.7	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9		
	PM	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	19.9	
MAXIMUM= 19.9 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.																
3	SDEPTH=	36.90	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.39	SPLW=	0.07						

4 AM 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0
 PM 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0
 MAXIMUM= 20.0 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 4 SDEPTH= 36.78 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.39 SPLW= 0.07

5 AM 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9
 PM 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9
 MAXIMUM= 19.9 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 5 SDEPTH= 36.65 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.39 SPLW= 0.07

6 AM 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.8 19.8 19.8 19.8 19.8 19.8 19.8 19.8 19.8 19.8 19.7 19.7 19.8
 PM 19.8 19.8 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.8
 MAXIMUM= 19.8 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 6 SDEPTH= 36.32 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.38 SPLW= 0.07

7 AM 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.5 19.5 19.6
 PM 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.6
 MAXIMUM= 19.6 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 7 SDEPTH= 36.19 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.38 SPLW= 0.07

8 AM 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.4 19.4 19.4 19.4 19.4 19.4 19.4 19.4 19.4 19.4 19.3 19.3 19.4
 PM 19.3 19.3 19.3 19.3 19.3 19.3 19.3 19.3 19.3 19.3 19.3 19.3 19.3 19.3 19.3 19.3 19.4
 MAXIMUM= 19.4 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 8 SDEPTH= 36.07 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.38 SPLW= 0.07

9 AM 19.3 19.3 19.2 19.2 19.2 19.2 19.2 19.2 19.2 19.2 19.2 19.2 19.2 19.1 19.1 19.3
 PM 19.1 19.1 19.1 19.1 19.1 19.1 19.1 19.1 19.1 19.1 19.1 19.1 19.1 19.1 19.1 19.1 19.1
 MAXIMUM= 19.1 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 9 SDEPTH= 35.67 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.37 SPLW= 0.07

10 AM 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 18.9 18.9 18.9
 PM 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9
 MAXIMUM= 18.9 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 10 SDEPTH= 35.36 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.37 SPLW= 0.07

11 AM 18.8 18.8 18.8 18.8 18.8 18.8 18.8 18.8 18.8 18.8 18.8 18.8 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7
 PM 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7
 MAXIMUM= 18.7 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 11 SDEPTH= 35.23 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.37 SPLW= 0.07

12 AM 18.6 18.6 18.6 18.6 18.6 18.6 18.6 18.6 18.6 18.6 18.6 18.6 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5
 PM 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5
 MAXIMUM= 18.5 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 12 SDEPTH= 35.12 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.37 SPLW= 0.07

	13	AM	18.5	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.3	18.3	18.3
		PM	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.4
		MAXIMUM=	18.3	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
	13	SDEPTH=	35.01	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.37	SPLW=	0.07				
	14	AM	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2
		PM	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.2
		MAXIMUM=	18.2	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
	14	SDEPTH=	34.89	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.37	SPLW=	0.07				
	15	AM	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0
		PM	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0
		MAXIMUM=	18.0	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
	15	SDEPTH=	37.58	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	12.00	TANSM=	0.39	SPLW=	0.07				
	16	AM	18.0	18.0	18.0	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9
		PM	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.9
		MAXIMUM=	17.9	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
	16	SDEPTH=	39.18	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	13.00	TANSM=	0.42	SPLW=	0.07				
	17	AM	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7
		PM	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.8
		MAXIMUM=	17.7	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
	17	SDEPTH=	38.90	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	14.00	TANSM=	0.42	SPLW=	0.07				
8-7	18	AM	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6
		PM	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6
		MAXIMUM=	17.6	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
	18	SDEPTH=	39.15	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.35	SPLW=	0.07				
	19	AM	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5
		PM	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5
		MAXIMUM=	17.5	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
	19	SDEPTH=	40.81	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.45	SPLW=	0.07				
	20	AM	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4
		PM	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4
		MAXIMUM=	17.4	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
	20	SDEPTH=	40.71	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.45	SPLW=	0.07				
	21	AM	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3
		PM	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3
		MAXIMUM=	17.3	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
	21	SDEPTH=	40.60	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.45	SPLW=	0.07				
	22	AM	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2
		PM	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.3
		MAXIMUM=	17.2	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
	22	SDEPTH=	40.50	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.45	SPLW=	0.07				

B
18

23	AM	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	
	PM	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	
	MAXIMUM=	17.2	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.										
23	SDEPTH=	40.07	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.42	SPLW=	0.07							
24	AM	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.1		17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	
	PM	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1		17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	
	MAXIMUM=	17.1	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.										
24	SDEPTH=	41.15	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.43	SPLW=	0.07							
25	AM	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1		17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	
	PM	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1		17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	
	MAXIMUM=	17.1	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.										
25	SDEPTH=	41.05	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.45	SPLW=	0.07							
26	AM	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.0		17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	
	PM	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0		17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	
	MAXIMUM=	17.0	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.										
26	SDEPTH=	40.95	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.44	SPLW=	0.07							
27	AM	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0		17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	
	PM	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0		17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	
	MAXIMUM=	17.0	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.										
27	SDEPTH=	40.84	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.44	SPLW=	0.07							
28	AM	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0		17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	
	PM	16.9	16.9	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0		17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	
	MAXIMUM=	16.9	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.										
28	SDEPTH=	40.74	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.44	SPLW=	0.07							
29	AM	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	16.9		16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	
	PM	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9		16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	
	MAXIMUM=	16.9	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.										
29	SDEPTH=	40.64	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.44	SPLW=	0.07							
30	AM	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9		16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	
	PM	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9		16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	
	MAXIMUM=	16.9	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.										
30	SDEPTH=	40.53	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.43	SPLW=	0.07							
31	AM	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9		16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	
	PM	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9		16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	
	MAXIMUM=	16.9	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.										
31	SDEPTH=	40.42	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.43	SPLW=	0.07							
JANUARY																	
1	AM	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9		16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	
	PM	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9		16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	
	MAXIMUM=	16.9	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.										
1	SDEPTH=	40.32	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.43	SPLW=	0.07							

2 AM 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9
 PM 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9
 MAXIMUM= 16.9 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 2 SDEPTH= 40.22 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.43 SPLW= 0.07

3 AM 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9
 PM 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9
 MAXIMUM= 16.9 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 3 SDEPTH= 40.13 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.43 SPLW= 0.07

4 AM 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9
 PM 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9
 MAXIMUM= 16.9 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 4 SDEPTH= 40.03 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.42 SPLW= 0.07

5 AM 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9
 PM 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 16.9
 MAXIMUM= 16.9 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 5 SDEPTH= 39.94 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.42 SPLW= 0.07

6 AM 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9
 PM 16.9 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0
 MAXIMUM= 16.9 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 6 SDEPTH= 39.84 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.42 SPLW= 0.07

7 AM 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 16.9
 PM 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0
 MAXIMUM= 17.0 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 7 SDEPTH= 39.57 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.42 SPLW= 0.07

8 AM 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0
 PM 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0
 MAXIMUM= 17.0 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 8 SDEPTH= 39.47 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.42 SPLW= 0.07

9 AM 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0
 PM 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.0
 MAXIMUM= 17.0 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 9 SDEPTH= 39.37 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.41 SPLW= 0.07

10 AM 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.0
 PM 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1
 MAXIMUM= 17.1 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 10 SDEPTH= 39.27 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.41 SPLW= 0.07

11 AM 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1
 PM 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.2 17.2 17.2 17.1
 MAXIMUM= 17.1 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 11 SDEPTH= 39.18 STMD= 0.13 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.41 SPLW= 0.07

12	AM	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	
	PM	17.1	17.1	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.2	
	MAXIMUM=	17.1	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
12	SDEPTH=	39.72	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.41	SPLW=	0.07						
13	AM	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	
	PM	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	
	MAXIMUM=	17.2	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
13	SDEPTH=	39.81	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.41	SPLW=	0.07						
14	AM	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	
	PM	17.2	17.2	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	
	MAXIMUM=	17.2	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
14	SDEPTH=	42.06	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.43	SPLW=	0.07						
15	AM	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	
	PM	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	
	MAXIMUM=	17.3	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
15	SDEPTH=	41.97	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.43	SPLW=	0.07						
16	AM	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	
	PM	17.3	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	
	MAXIMUM=	17.3	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
16	SDEPTH=	41.71	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.42	SPLW=	0.07						
17	AM	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	
	PM	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.4	
	MAXIMUM=	17.4	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
17	SDEPTH=	41.61	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.42	SPLW=	0.07						
18	AM	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.4	17.5	
	PM	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	
	MAXIMUM=	17.5	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
18	SDEPTH=	41.52	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.42	SPLW=	0.07						
19	AM	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	
	PM	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.5	
	MAXIMUM=	17.5	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
19	SDEPTH=	41.57	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.42	SPLW=	0.07						
20	AM	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	
	PM	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	
	MAXIMUM=	17.6	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
20	SDEPTH=	41.48	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.42	SPLW=	0.07						
21	AM	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.6	17.6	17.7	
	PM	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	
	MAXIMUM=	17.6	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
21	SDEPTH=	41.40	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.42	SPLW=	0.07						

22	AM	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.7
	PM	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.7
	MAXIMUM=	17.7	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
22	SDEPTH=	41.31	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.41	SPLW=	0.07					
23	AM	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8
	PM	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.8
	MAXIMUM=	17.8	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
23	SDEPTH=	41.49	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.41	SPLW=	0.07					
24	AM	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9
	PM	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9
	MAXIMUM=	17.9	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
24	SDEPTH=	42.14	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.42	SPLW=	0.07					
25	AM	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9
	PM	17.9	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0
	MAXIMUM=	17.9	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
25	SDEPTH=	42.92	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.43	SPLW=	0.07					
26	AM	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0
	PM	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.0
	MAXIMUM=	18.0	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
26	SDEPTH=	42.84	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.43	SPLW=	0.07					
27	AM	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1
	PM	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.1
	MAXIMUM=	18.1	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
27	SDEPTH=	42.76	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.43	SPLW=	0.07					
28	AM	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2
	PM	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.2
	MAXIMUM=	18.2	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
28	SDEPTH=	45.33	STMD=	0.12	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.45	SPLW=	0.07					
29	AM	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2
	PM	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3
	MAXIMUM=	18.2	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
29	SDEPTH=	45.30	STMD=	0.12	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.45	SPLW=	0.07					
30	AM	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.3
	PM	18.3	18.3	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4
	MAXIMUM=	18.3	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
30	SDEPTH=	45.25	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.45	SPLW=	0.07					
31	AM	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4
	PM	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.4
	MAXIMUM=	18.4	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
31	SDEPTH=	45.17	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.45	SPLW=	0.07					

B-21

FEBRUARY

Reproduced from
best available copy.

1	AM	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5
	PM	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.5
	MAXIMUM=	18.5	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.							
1	SDEPTH=	45.09	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.46	SPLW=	0.07				
2	AM	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6
	PM	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.6
	MAXIMUM=	18.6	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.							
2	SDEPTH=	45.01	STMD=	0.12	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.44	SPLW=	0.07				
3	AM	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7
	PM	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.7
	MAXIMUM=	18.7	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.							
3	SDEPTH=	44.93	STMD=	0.12	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.44	SPLW=	0.07				
4	AM	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.7	18.8
	PM	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.9	18.8
	MAXIMUM=	18.8	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.							
4	SDEPTH=	44.84	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.45	SPLW=	0.07				
5	AM	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.8	18.8	18.8
	PM	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9
	MAXIMUM=	18.9	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.							
5	SDEPTH=	44.90	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	13.00	TANSM=	0.40	SPLW=	0.07				
6	AM	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9
	PM	18.9	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0
	MAXIMUM=	18.9	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.							
6	SDEPTH=	49.92	STMD=	0.12	SAX=	14.00	TANSM=	0.49	SPLW=	0.07				
7	AM	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0
	PM	19.0	19.0	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1
	MAXIMUM=	19.0	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.							
7	SDEPTH=	49.74	STMD=	0.12	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.49	SPLW=	0.07				
8	AM	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1	19.1
	PM	19.1	19.1	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2
	MAXIMUM=	19.1	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.							
8	SDEPTH=	49.66	STMD=	0.12	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.49	SPLW=	0.07				
9	AM	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2
	PM	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.2
	MAXIMUM=	19.2	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.							
9	SDEPTH=	49.70	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	14.00	TANSM=	0.51	SPLW=	0.07				

10	AM	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3
	PM	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.3
	MAXIMUM=	19.3	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
10	SDEPTH=	49.63	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.51	SPLW=	0.07				
11	AM	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4
	PM	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.4
	MAXIMUM=	19.4	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
11	SDEPTH=	49.56	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.51	SPLW=	0.07				
12	AM	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5
	PM	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.5
	MAXIMUM=	19.5	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
12	SDEPTH=	49.48	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.51	SPLW=	0.07				
13	AM	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6
	PM	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.6
	MAXIMUM=	19.6	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
13	SDEPTH=	50.91	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.53	SPLW=	0.07				
14	AM	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7
	PM	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.7
	MAXIMUM=	19.7	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
14	SDEPTH=	50.84	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.52	SPLW=	0.07				
15	AM	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8
	PM	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.8
	MAXIMUM=	19.8	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
15	SDEPTH=	50.77	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.52	SPLW=	0.07				
16	AM	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9
	PM	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	19.9
	MAXIMUM=	19.9	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
16	SDEPTH=	50.63	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.52	SPLW=	0.07				
17	AM	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
	PM	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.0
	MAXIMUM=	20.0	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
17	SDEPTH=	50.42	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.52	SPLW=	0.07				
18	AM	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1
	PM	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.1
	MAXIMUM=	20.1	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
18	SDEPTH=	49.82	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.46	SPLW=	0.07				
19	AM	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2
	PM	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.2
	MAXIMUM=	20.2	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
19	SDEPTH=	49.27	STMD=	0.13	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.45	SPLW=	0.07				

Reproduced from
best available copy.

20	AM	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7
	PM	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8
	MAXIMUM=	19.7	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
20	SDEPTH=	45.83	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.05	SPLW=	0.07						
21	AM	20.3	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1
	PM	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1
	MAXIMUM=	20.1	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
21	SDEPTH=	45.32	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.21	SPLW=	0.07						
22	AM	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
	PM	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
	MAXIMUM=	20.0	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
22	SDEPTH=	44.61	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.25	SPLW=	0.07						
23	AM	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9
	PM	19.9	19.9	19.9	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
	MAXIMUM=	19.9	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
23	SDEPTH=	44.30	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.34	SPLW=	0.07						
24	AM	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	19.9	20.0
	PM	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
	MAXIMUM=	20.0	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
24	SDEPTH=	44.21	STMD=	0.14	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.43	SPLW=	0.07						
25	AM	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
	PM	20.0	20.0	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1
	MAXIMUM=	20.0	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
25	SDEPTH=	43.57	STMD=	0.16	SAX=	12.00	TANSM=	0.50	SPLW=	0.07						
26	AM	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1
	PM	20.1	20.1	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2
	MAXIMUM=	20.1	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
26	SDEPTH=	46.21	STMD=	0.16	SAX=	11.00	TANSM=	0.56	SPLW=	0.07						
27	AM	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2
	PM	20.2	20.2	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3
	MAXIMUM=	20.2	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
27	SDEPTH=	46.14	STMD=	0.16	SAX=	12.00	TANSM=	0.50	SPLW=	0.07						
28	AM	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3
	PM	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4
	MAXIMUM=	20.3	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
28	SDEPTH=	46.08	STMD=	0.16	SAX=	13.00	TANSM=	0.52	SPLW=	0.07						

MARCH

1 AM 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5
PM 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.5
MAXIMUM= 20.5 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
1 SDEPTH= 46.01 STMD= 0.16 SAX= 14.00 TANSM= 0.58 SPLW= 0.07

2 AM 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.5
PM 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.6 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.6
MAXIMUM= 20.6 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
2 SDEPTH= 45.93 STMD= 0.16 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.58 SPLW= 0.07

3 AM 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.8
PM 20.7 20.7 20.8 20.8 20.8 20.8 20.8 20.8 20.8 20.8 20.8 20.8 20.8 20.8
MAXIMUM= 20.7 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
3 SDEPTH= 45.85 STMD= 0.16 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.58 SPLW= 0.07

4 AM 20.9 20.9 20.9 20.9 20.9 20.9 20.9 20.9 20.9 20.9 20.8 20.8 20.8 20.9
PM 20.9 20.9 20.9 20.9 20.9 20.9 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 20.9
MAXIMUM= 20.8 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
4 SDEPTH= 45.77 STMD= 0.16 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.58 SPLW= 0.07

5 AM 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0
PM 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.0
MAXIMUM= 21.0 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
5 SDEPTH= 48.61 STMD= 0.15 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.59 SPLW= 0.07

6 AM 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1
PM 21.1 21.1 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2
MAXIMUM= 21.1 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
6 SDEPTH= 48.53 STMD= 0.15 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.59 SPLW= 0.07

7 AM 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2
PM 21.2 21.3 21.3 21.3 21.3 21.3 21.3 21.3 21.3 21.3 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.3
MAXIMUM= 21.2 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
7 SDEPTH= 50.81 STMD= 0.15 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.61 SPLW= 0.07

8 AM 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4
PM 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.4
MAXIMUM= 21.4 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
8 SDEPTH= 50.72 STMD= 0.15 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.61 SPLW= 0.07

9 AM 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5
PM 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.6 21.6 21.6 21.6 21.6 21.6 21.6 21.6 21.6 21.6 21.5
MAXIMUM= 21.5 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
9 SDEPTH= 52.48 STMD= 0.15 SAX= 14.00 TANSM= 0.63 SPLW= 0.07

Reproduced from
best available copy.

10	AM	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6
	PM	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7
	MAXIMUM=	21.6	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
10	SDEPTH=	52.39	STMD=	0.15	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.63	SPLW=	0.07					
11	AM	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7
	PM	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.8
	MAXIMUM=	21.7	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
11	SDEPTH=	52.49	STMD=	0.15	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.64	SPLW=	0.07					
12	AM	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9
	PM	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	21.9
	MAXIMUM=	21.9	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
12	SDEPTH=	52.40	STMD=	0.15	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.64	SPLW=	0.07					
13	AM	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0
	PM	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.0
	MAXIMUM=	22.0	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
13	SDEPTH=	52.30	STMD=	0.15	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.64	SPLW=	0.07					
14	AM	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1
	PM	22.1	22.1	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2
	MAXIMUM=	22.1	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
14	SDEPTH=	55.36	STMD=	0.15	SAX=	14.00	TANSM=	0.66	SPLW=	0.07					
15	AM	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.3	22.3	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2
	PM	22.2	22.3	22.3	22.3	22.3	22.3	22.3	22.3	22.3	22.3	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.3
	MAXIMUM=	22.2	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
15	SDEPTH=	55.82	STMD=	0.15	SAX=	14.00	TANSM=	0.66	SPLW=	0.07					
16	AM	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.3	22.3
	PM	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.4
	MAXIMUM=	22.4	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
16	SDEPTH=	58.11	STMD=	0.16	SAX=	12.00	TANSM=	0.69	SPLW=	0.07					
17	AM	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5
	PM	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.5
	MAXIMUM=	22.5	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
17	SDEPTH=	58.02	STMD=	0.16	SAX=	13.00	TANSM=	0.71	SPLW=	0.07					
18	AM	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6
	PM	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.6
	MAXIMUM=	22.6	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
18	SDEPTH=	58.50	STMD=	0.16	SAX=	13.00	TANSM=	0.73	SPLW=	0.07					
19	AM	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7
	PM	22.7	22.7	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8
	MAXIMUM=	22.7	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.									
19	SDEPTH=	58.41	STMD=	0.16	SAX=	14.00	TANSM=	0.72	SPLW=	0.07					

20	AM	22.8	22.8	22.9	22.9	22.9	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.9
	PM	22.8	22.9	22.9	22.9	22.9	22.9	22.9	22.9	22.9	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	22.9
MAXIMUM= 22.8 C.F.S.								TIME 11.15 A.M.								
20	SDEPTH=	58.23	STMD=	0.16	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.71	SPLW=	0.07						
21	AM	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	22.9	22.9	22.9
	PM	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.0
MAXIMUM= 22.9 C.F.S.								TIME 11.15 A.M.								
21	SDEPTH=	57.84	STMD=	0.16	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.68	SPLW=	0.07						
22	AM	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1
	PM	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.1
MAXIMUM= 23.1 C.F.S.								TIME 11.15 A.M.								
22	SDEPTH=	56.71	STMD=	0.17	SAX=	14.00	TANSM=	0.70	SPLW=	0.07						
23	AM	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2
	PM	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.2
MAXIMUM= 23.2 C.F.S.								TIME 11.15 A.M.								
23	SDEPTH=	56.51	STMD=	0.17	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.65	SPLW=	0.07						
24	AM	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3
	PM	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.3
MAXIMUM= 23.3 C.F.S.								TIME 11.15 A.M.								
24	SDEPTH=	56.15	STMD=	0.17	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.51	SPLW=	0.07						
25	AM	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4
	PM	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.4
MAXIMUM= 23.4 C.F.S.								TIME 11.15 A.M.								
25	SDEPTH=	55.84	STMD=	0.17	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.56	SPLW=	0.07						
26	AM	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5
	PM	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.5
MAXIMUM= 23.5 C.F.S.								TIME 11.15 A.M.								
26	SDEPTH=	56.00	STMD=	0.17	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.60	SPLW=	0.07						
27	AM	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6
	PM	23.6	23.6	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7
MAXIMUM= 23.6 C.F.S.								TIME 11.15 A.M.								
27	SDEPTH=	55.91	STMD=	0.17	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.64	SPLW=	0.07						
28	AM	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7
	PM	23.7	23.7	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8
MAXIMUM= 23.7 C.F.S.								TIME 11.15 A.M.								
28	SDEPTH=	57.34	STMD=	0.18	SAX=	12.00	TANSM=	0.66	SPLW=	0.07						
29	AM	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8
	PM	23.8	23.8	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9
MAXIMUM= 23.8 C.F.S.								TIME 11.15 A.M.								
29	SDEPTH=	57.18	STMD=	0.18	SAX=	13.00	TANSM=	0.67	SPLW=	0.07						

B-28

30	AM	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9
	PM	23.9	23.9	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0
	MAXIMUM=	23.9	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.										
30	SDEPTH=	57.06	STMD=	0.18	SAX=	14.00	TANSM=	0.69	SPLW=	0.07						
31	AM	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0
	PM	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1
	MAXIMUM=	24.0	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.										
31	SDEPTH=	57.06	STMD=	0.18	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.72	SPLW=	0.07						
APRIL																
1	AM	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1
	PM	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.1
	MAXIMUM=	24.1	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.										
1	SDEPTH=	56.97	STMD=	0.18	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.74	SPLW=	0.07						
2	AM	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2
	PM	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.2
	MAXIMUM=	24.2	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.										
2	SDEPTH=	60.15	STMD=	0.18	SAX=	13.00	TANSM=	0.75	SPLW=	0.07						
3	AM	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3
	PM	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.3
	MAXIMUM=	24.3	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.										
3	SDEPTH=	60.05	STMD=	0.18	SAX=	14.00	TANSM=	0.77	SPLW=	0.07						
4	AM	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4
	PM	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.4
	MAXIMUM=	24.4	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.										
4	SDEPTH=	67.64	STMD=	0.17	SAX=	10.00	TANSM=	0.78	SPLW=	0.07						
5	AM	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5
	PM	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5
	MAXIMUM=	24.5	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.										
5	SDEPTH=	67.53	STMD=	0.17	SAX=	11.00	TANSM=	0.80	SPLW=	0.07						
6	AM	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.5	24.5	24.6
	PM	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.7	24.6
	MAXIMUM=	24.6	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.										
6	SDEPTH=	67.35	STMD=	0.17	SAX=	12.00	TANSM=	0.80	SPLW=	0.07						
7	AM	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.6
	PM	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.7
	MAXIMUM=	24.7	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.										
7	SDEPTH=	67.22	STMD=	0.17	SAX=	13.00	TANSM=	0.80	SPLW=	0.07						
8	AM	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.8
	PM	24.7	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8
	MAXIMUM=	24.7	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.										
8	SDEPTH=	68.51	STMD=	0.16	SAX=	9.00	TANSM=	0.82	SPLW=	0.07						

9 AM 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8
 PM 24.8 24.8 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9
 MAXIMUM= 24.8 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 9 SDEPTH= 68.31 STMD= 0.19 SAX= 9.00 TANSM= 0.83 SPLW= 0.07

10 AM 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9
 PM 24.9 24.9 24.9 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 24.9
 MAXIMUM= 24.9 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 10 SDEPTH= 68.20 STMD= 0.19 SAX= 10.00 TANSM= 0.84 SPLW= 0.07

11 AM 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0
 PM 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.0
 MAXIMUM= 25.0 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 11 SDEPTH= 69.12 STMD= 0.19 SAX= 9.00 TANSM= 0.85 SPLW= 0.07

12 AM 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1
 PM 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.1
 MAXIMUM= 25.1 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 12 SDEPTH= 71.00 STMD= 0.19 SAX= 9.00 TANSM= 0.86 SPLW= 0.07

13 AM 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.1 25.1
 PM 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.3 25.3 25.3 25.2
 MAXIMUM= 25.1 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 13 SDEPTH= 70.90 STMD= 0.19 SAX= 10.00 TANSM= 0.87 SPLW= 0.07

14 AM 25.3 25.3 25.3 25.3 25.3 25.3 25.3 25.2 25.2 24.3 24.3 24.2 24.2 24.2 24.2 24.2
 PM 24.3 24.3 24.3 24.3 24.3 24.3 24.3 24.3 24.3 25.2 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 25.1 24.7
 MAXIMUM= 24.2 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 14 SDEPTH= 69.41 STMD= 0.19 SAX= 11.00 TANSM= 0.69 SPLW= 0.07

15 AM 25.1 25.1 25.0 25.0 25.0 24.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 23.9 23.9 23.8 23.8 23.8 23.8 23.8
 PM 23.8 23.8 23.7 23.7 23.7 23.7 23.7 23.7 23.6 23.6 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.4 24.4 24.3
 MAXIMUM= 23.8 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 15 SDEPTH= 67.84 STMD= 0.20 SAX= 12.00 TANSM= 0.46 SPLW= 0.07

16 AM 24.4 24.4 24.3 24.3 24.2 24.2 24.2 24.2 24.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0
 PM 23.0 22.9 22.9 22.9 22.8 22.8 22.8 22.8 22.7 23.7 23.6 23.6 23.6 23.5 23.5 23.5
 MAXIMUM= 23.0 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 16 SDEPTH= 66.42 STMD= 0.20 SAX= 13.00 TANSM= 0.27 SPLW= 0.07

17 AM 23.5 23.4 23.4 23.3 23.3 23.3 23.3 23.2 23.2 22.2 22.1 22.1 22.1 22.0 22.0 22.0
 PM 22.0 22.0 21.9 21.9 21.9 21.9 21.8 21.8 21.7 22.6 22.6 22.6 22.6 22.5 22.5 22.5
 MAXIMUM= 22.1 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 17 SDEPTH= 64.05 STMD= 0.21 SAX= 14.00 TANSM= 0.01 SPLW= 0.15

18 AM 22.5 22.4 22.4 22.3 22.3 22.2 22.2 22.2 22.2 21.1 21.1 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0
 PM 21.0 20.9 20.9 20.8 20.8 20.8 20.8 20.7 20.7 21.6 21.6 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5
 MAXIMUM= 21.0 C.F.S. TIME 11.15 A.M.
 18 SDEPTH= 62.15 STMD= 0.21 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.03 SPLW= 0.35

8-29

19	AM	21.4	21.4	21.3	21.3	21.3	21.2	21.2	21.1	20.1	20.1	20.0	20.0	
	PM	19.9	19.9	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.7	19.7	19.6	20.6	20.5	20.5	20.4	20.4
	MAXIMUM=	20.0	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
19	SDEPTH=	60.30	STMD=	0.22	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.04	SPLW=	0.46				
20	AM	20.4	20.4	20.3	20.3	20.2	20.2	20.1	20.1	19.1	19.0	19.0	18.9	
	PM	18.9	18.9	18.8	18.8	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.6	19.6	19.5	19.5	19.4	19.4
	MAXIMUM=	19.0	C.F.S.	TIME	11.15	A.M.								
20	SDEPTH=	59.72	STMD=	0.22	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.04	SPLW=	0.48				
21	AM	19.4	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.2	19.2	19.1	19.1	17.9	17.9	17.8	17.8	
	PM	17.8	17.7	17.7	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.5	17.5	18.6	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.4
	MAXIMUM=	18.6	C.F.S.	TIME	8.15	P.M.								
21	SDEPTH=	58.68	STMD=	0.22	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.03	SPLW=	0.50				
22	AM	18.4	18.4	18.3	18.3	18.3	18.2	18.2	18.1	17.0	16.9	16.9	16.9	
	PM	16.8	16.8	16.7	17.2	18.3	20.5	24.2	28.9	35.1	39.3	41.1	40.4	22.1
	MAXIMUM=	41.5	C.F.S.	TIME	10.45	P.M.								
22	SDEPTH=	57.13	STMD=	0.22	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.03	SPLW=	0.49				
23	AM	38.1	34.9	31.9	29.5	27.8	26.7	25.8	25.2	23.7	23.3	23.1	22.9	
	PM	22.7	22.6	22.5	22.3	22.9	24.3	26.4	29.2	33.1	36.1	37.8	37.5	27.9
	MAXIMUM=	39.0	C.F.S.	TIME	0.15	A.M.								
23	SDEPTH=	55.84	STMD=	0.23	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.04	SPLW=	0.49				
24	AM	35.7	33.4	31.6	30.3	29.4	28.7	28.2	27.9	26.4	26.2	26.0	25.8	
	PM	25.7	25.6	25.5	25.4	25.3	25.2	25.0	24.9	26.0	25.9	25.8	25.7	27.3
	MAXIMUM=	36.5	C.F.S.	TIME	0.15	A.M.								
24	SDEPTH=	54.74	STMD=	0.23	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.01	SPLW=	0.49				
25	AM	25.6	25.5	25.5	25.4	25.3	25.2	25.1	25.1	25.0	24.9	24.8	24.8	
	PM	24.7	24.6	24.6	24.5	24.4	24.4	24.3	24.3	24.2	24.1	24.1	24.0	24.8
	MAXIMUM=	25.7	C.F.S.	TIME	0.15	A.M.								
25	SDEPTH=	54.56	STMD=	0.23	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.23	SPLW=	0.49				
26	AM	24.0	23.9	23.9	23.8	23.8	23.7	23.6	23.6	23.5	23.5	23.4	23.4	
	PM	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.2	23.2	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.0	23.0	23.0	22.9	23.4
	MAXIMUM=	24.0	C.F.S.	TIME	0.15	A.M.								
26	SDEPTH=	54.25	STMD=	0.23	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.31	SPLW=	0.49				
27	AM	22.9	22.9	22.8	22.8	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.6	22.6	22.5	22.5	22.5	
	PM	22.5	22.5	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.3	22.3	22.3	22.3	22.2	22.5
	MAXIMUM=	22.9	C.F.S.	TIME	0.15	A.M.								
27	SDEPTH=	54.07	STMD=	0.23	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.39	SPLW=	0.49				
28	AM	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	
	PM	22.0	22.0	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9	22.0
	MAXIMUM=	22.2	C.F.S.	TIME	0.15	A.M.								
28	SDEPTH=	53.98	STMD=	0.23	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.48	SPLW=	0.49				

	29	AM	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7
		PM	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7
		MAXIMUM=	21.9	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.									
	29	SDEPTH=	54.24	STMD=	0.24	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.07	SPLW=	0.51						
	30	AM	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.8	21.7	21.7	20.5	20.5	20.5	20.5	20.5	20.5	20.5
		PM	20.6	20.6	20.6	20.6	20.6	20.6	20.6	20.6	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.2
		MAXIMUM=	21.8	C.F.S.		TIME	3.15	A.M.									
	30	SDEPTH=	54.92	STMD=	0.24	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.08	SPLW=	0.51						
MAY																	
	1	AM	21.6	21.8	22.0	22.1	22.3	22.4	22.6	22.7	22.8	22.9	23.0	23.1			
		PM	23.2	23.4	23.5	23.6	23.8	23.9	24.0	24.1	24.2	24.3	24.4	23.2			
		MAXIMUM=	23.0	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
	1	SDEPTH=	54.44	STMD=	0.24	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.19	SPLW=	0.51						
	2	AM	24.5	24.6	24.6	24.7	24.7	24.8	24.8	24.9	23.6	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7
		PM	23.8	23.9	24.0	24.0	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	25.4	25.3	25.3	25.3	25.3	25.3	24.4
		MAXIMUM=	23.7	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	A.M.									
	2	SDEPTH=	53.30	STMD=	0.25	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.06	SPLW=	0.51						
	3	AM	25.3	25.3	25.3	25.3	25.2	25.2	25.2	25.2	23.9	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8
		PM	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.8	24.0	25.6	27.6	30.8	35.8	39.5	43.1	44.3	44.3	44.3	27.6
		MAXIMUM=	44.6	C.F.S.		TIME	11.45	P.M.									
B-31	3	SDEPTH=	51.89	STMD=	0.25	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.03	SPLW=	0.50						
	4	AM	43.5	41.4	38.9	37.0	35.6	34.6	33.9	33.4	31.7	31.4	31.1	31.0			
		PM	30.8	32.6	36.3	42.2	50.5	61.3	74.3	86.8	97.5	103.2	105.3	104.5	52.0		
		MAXIMUM=	105.7	C.F.S.		TIME	10.45	P.M.									
	4	SDEPTH=	49.83	STMD=	0.25	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.01	SPLW=	0.49						
	5	AM	101.3	96.6	91.5	87.0	83.4	80.8	78.8	77.3	74.7	73.7	72.8	72.0			
		PM	72.1	75.0	79.5	86.9	96.9	109.7	124.1	136.8	147.8	154.1	157.1	157.0	99.4		
		MAXIMUM=	157.3	C.F.S.		TIME	11.15	P.M.									
	5	SDEPTH=	47.58	STMD=	0.26	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.0	SPLW=	0.47						
	6	AM	154.3	149.8	144.3	138.8	134.0	130.2	127.2	124.8	121.6	119.9	118.4	118.9			
		PM	121.8	126.9	135.1	146.4	160.8	176.2	190.3	202.5	213.8	221.1	225.9	227.9	155.5		
		MAXIMUM=	227.3	C.F.S.		TIME	11.45	P.M.									
	6	SDEPTH=	44.93	STMD=	0.26	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.0	SPLW=	0.45						
	7	AM	227.6	225.1	221.2	216.6	211.9	207.8	204.6	202.8	201.2	202.9	206.4	213.0			
		PM	220.6	230.6	242.1	254.5	267.0	277.7	287.1	295.0	301.9	304.5	304.0	300.8	242.9		
		MAXIMUM=	304.6	C.F.S.		TIME	10.15	P.M.									
	7	SDEPTH=	42.34	STMD=	0.26	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.03	SPLW=	0.42						
	8	AM	295.4	288.5	281.2	274.5	269.0	264.5	260.6	257.1	252.8	249.9	247.3	244.8			
		PM	242.4	240.1	237.9	237.4	238.4	240.3	243.6	247.6	253.1	255.8	255.8	253.2	255.5		
		MAXIMUM=	297.6	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.									
	8	SDEPTH=	41.00	STMD=	0.26	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.04	SPLW=	0.41						

9 AM	249.1	244.3	240.0	236.3	233.2	230.6	228.2	226.1	222.7	220.9	219.0	217.3	
PM	215.7	214.1	212.5	211.0	211.1	212.5	214.9	218.3	223.5	227.3	229.8	229.3	224.5
MAXIMUM= 250.8 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.													
9 SDEPTH=	39.62	STMD=	0.27	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.03	SPLW=	0.40				
10 AM	226.7	222.9	218.9	215.5	212.8	210.6	208.6	206.8	204.0	202.5	201.1	199.8	
PM	198.5	197.3	198.5	201.7	206.7	214.0	223.4	234.2	244.9	250.4	252.3	251.1	216.8
MAXIMUM= 253.0 C.F.S. TIME 10.45 P.M.													
10 SDEPTH=	37.88	STMD=	0.27	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.03	SPLW=	0.39				
11 AM	247.5	242.5	237.2	232.6	229.0	226.0	223.6	221.5	218.0	216.4	214.9	213.4	
PM	212.1	210.8	212.7	221.4	237.0	268.0	333.6	452.3	619.1	809.9	1004.9	1149.6	352.3
MAXIMUM= 1184.7 C.F.S. TIME 12.00 P.M.													
11 SDEPTH=	35.28	STMD=	0.27	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.0	SPLW=	0.37				
12 AM	1243.2	1313.2	1356.9	1380.6	1389.4	1386.3	1377.4	1365.3	1349.2	1332.9	1315.0	1295.9	
PM	1276.3	1258.6	1241.3	1225.3	1210.7	1197.3	1185.1	1171.9	1158.4	1141.4	1122.9	1103.1	1266.6
MAXIMUM= 1391.2 C.F.S. TIME 4.45 A.M.													
12 SDEPTH=	34.40	STMD=	0.28	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.04	SPLW=	0.37				
13 AM	1082.4	1061.6	1041.4	1022.1	1003.8	986.2	969.3	953.0	935.5	920.1	905.2	890.7	
PM	876.5	862.7	849.2	836.1	823.8	813.8	804.6	796.5	790.8	783.5	776.1	766.6	898.0
MAXIMUM= 1090.2 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.													
13 SDEPTH=	32.93	STMD=	0.28	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.03	SPLW=	0.36				
14 AM	755.1	742.5	729.7	717.6	706.2	695.4	685.1	675.2	663.9	654.6	645.5	636.7	
PM	628.1	619.7	612.9	608.3	605.8	604.8	605.4	607.2	609.8	607.7	602.6	594.9	650.6
MAXIMUM= 759.7 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.													
14 SDEPTH=	31.28	STMD=	0.29	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.03	SPLW=	0.35				
15 AM	585.5	575.3	565.4	556.3	548.1	540.6	533.5	526.8	518.7	512.5	506.6	500.7	
PM	495.1	489.6	487.9	487.7	489.9	494.6	501.6	510.3	518.4	520.9	519.7	515.4	520.9
MAXIMUM= 589.3 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.													
15 SDEPTH=	29.37	STMD=	0.29	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.01	SPLW=	0.33				
16 AM	508.7	500.4	491.6	483.4	476.2	469.8	464.1	458.9	452.3	447.6	443.1	438.8	
PM	434.7	433.4	434.2	437.1	442.3	449.9	459.5	468.1	475.2	476.8	475.3	471.0	462.2
MAXIMUM= 511.6 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.													
16 SDEPTH=	27.79	STMD=	0.30	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.03	SPLW=	0.32				
17 AM	464.7	457.2	449.6	442.8	437.0	431.9	427.4	423.2	417.7	414.1	410.6	407.2	
PM	407.7	410.9	417.5	428.1	442.8	461.5	480.8	497.5	512.3	520.8	524.5	523.9	450.5
MAXIMUM= 525.4 C.F.S. TIME 10.45 P.M.													
17 SDEPTH=	25.19	STMD=	0.30	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.01	SPLW=	0.29				
18 AM	519.6	512.6	504.0	495.3	487.6	481.1	475.4	470.3	464.1	459.8	455.8	452.0	
PM	454.4	460.5	468.7	482.7	501.1	523.3	545.1	561.4	574.8	582.3	585.2	582.9	504.2

MAXIMUM= 585.9 C.F.S. TIME 10.45 P.M.
 18 SDEPTH= 22.63 STMD= 0.30 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.27
 19 AM 579.2 571.8 563.0 553.9 545.6 538.5 532.2 526.6 519.8 515.0 510.5 510.6
 PM 512.9 519.0 520.3 544.2 563.2 582.8 600.7 616.2 630.1 638.3 642.0 641.2 561.9
 MAXIMUM= 642.5 C.F.S. TIME 10.45 P.M.
 19 SDEPTH= 19.98 STMD= 0.31 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.24
 20 AM 636.9 629.6 620.5 611.0 602.0 594.2 587.4 581.2 573.9 568.7 563.7 564.5
 PM 567.9 577.4 593.4 617.1 649.1 687.5 729.6 771.0 812.7 847.7 877.2 898.4 656.8
 MAXIMUM= 904.1 C.F.S. TIME 12.00 P.M.
 20 SDEPTH= 17.19 STMD= 0.31 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.01 SPLW= 0.21
 21 AM 905.9 903.1 894.2 882.9 871.5 860.8 850.5 840.7 829.1 819.9 810.9 804.1
 PM 801.5 801.3 805.3 813.4 825.6 840.9 858.1 873.1 889.2 898.9 905.6 908.8 854.0
 MAXIMUM= 910.6 C.F.S. TIME 11.45 P.M.
 21 SDEPTH= 14.72 STMD= 0.32 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.18
 22 AM 906.0 897.8 885.6 872.6 860.5 849.4 839.2 829.6 818.5 809.9 801.5 796.9
 PM 795.1 796.4 801.5 810.7 823.6 837.8 850.7 861.5 871.3 875.0 874.5 870.3 843.2
 MAXIMUM= 908.3 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.
 22 SDEPTH= 12.46 STMD= 0.33 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.16
 23 AM 862.8 852.9 841.5 830.0 819.4 809.7 800.9 792.7 779.7 772.3 765.3 762.6
 PM 762.4 765.6 775.4 789.4 807.6 828.0 847.6 865.4 882.7 887.7 887.5 883.4 819.7
 MAXIMUM= 888.4 C.F.S. TIME 10.45 P.M.
 23 SDEPTH= 10.23 STMD= 0.33 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.13
 24 AM 876.2 866.6 855.8 844.8 834.4 825.0 816.4 808.4 795.5 788.3 781.4 779.9
 PM 780.0 783.7 791.3 802.9 817.3 831.4 843.4 852.8 864.4 867.2 866.4 862.4 826.5
 MAXIMUM= 879.7 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.
 24 SDEPTH= 8.01 STMD= 0.34 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.11
 25 AM 855.4 846.5 836.4 825.8 815.5 806.0 797.4 789.6 778.2 774.0 771.5 772.7
 PM 774.9 780.1 786.8 794.0 800.0 804.2 807.0 808.4 813.2 810.6 805.8 799.2 802.2
 MAXIMUM= 858.9 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.
 25 SDEPTH= 6.39 STMD= 0.34 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.08
 26 AM 791.3 782.5 773.5 764.8 756.8 749.7 743.3 737.5 726.7 721.5 716.5 713.9
 PM 712.8 713.3 715.7 720.0 724.8 729.6 732.9 734.5 739.4 737.1 732.9 727.1 737.4
 MAXIMUM= 795.1 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.
 26 SDEPTH= 5.02 STMD= 0.35 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.07
 27 AM 720.4 713.0 705.6 698.6 692.3 686.9 681.9 677.4 668.0 664.0 660.1 656.5
 PM 652.9 651.4 650.8 651.5 652.5 655.1 658.4 660.7 666.2 664.5 661.2 656.6 671.1
 MAXIMUM= 723.7 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.
 27 SDEPTH= 3.94 STMD= 0.37 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.06

28 AM 651.2 645.4 639.6 634.4 629.8 625.8
 PM 599.2 596.6 595.8 595.4 595.4 596.7
 MAXIMUM= 653.9 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.
 28 SDEPTH= 3.30 STMD= 0.39 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.05

29 AM 598.5 594.1 589.5 585.2 581.2 577.7
 PM 559.5 560.6 562.3 564.8 566.9 567.3
 MAXIMUM= 600.8 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.
 29 SDEPTH= 2.31 STMD= 0.39 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.03

30 AM 556.4 552.6 549.1 546.1 543.4 541.1
 PM 522.5 520.8 519.1 517.5 515.5 513.9
 MAXIMUM= 558.5 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.
 30 SDEPTH= 1.82 STMD= 0.42 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.03

31 AM 508.3 506.8 505.3 503.8 502.3 500.9
 PM 485.0 483.6 482.2 480.0 479.3 477.9
 MAXIMUM= 509.5 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.
 31 SDEPTH= 1.50 STMD= 0.46 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.03

JUNE

1 AM 474.7 473.5 472.2 471.0 469.7 468.5
 PM 454.0 452.8 451.6 451.4 449.0 447.9
 MAXIMUM= 475.8 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.
 1 SDEPTH= 1.19 STMD= 0.49 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.02

2 AM 445.9 444.8 443.7 442.6 441.5 440.4
 PM 426.9 425.8 424.8 423.8 422.5 421.5
 MAXIMUM= 446.9 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.
 2 SDEPTH= 0.97 STMD= 0.50 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.02

3 AM 420.3 419.3 418.3 417.3 416.3 415.4
 PM 402.6 401.7 400.7 399.8 398.6 397.7
 MAXIMUM= 421.3 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.
 3 SDEPTH= 0.80 STMD= 0.52 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.02

4 AM 397.1 396.2 395.3 394.4 393.5 392.6
 PM 380.4 379.5 378.6 377.8 376.7 375.8
 MAXIMUM= 398.0 C.F.S. TIME 0.15 A.M.
 4 SDEPTH= 0.61 STMD= 0.54 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.01

5 AM 375.8 375.0 374.1 373.3 372.4 371.6
 PM 359.8 363.2 366.9 379.3 389.9 401.3
 MAXIMUM= 414.5 C.F.S. TIME 6.45 P.M.
 5 SDEPTH= 0.41 STMD= 0.51 SAX= 15.00 TANSM= 0.0 SPLW= 0.01

6 AM	389.6	382.8	376.5	373.4	371.3	373.9	378.1	388.0	394.8	404.5	413.4	417.7
PM	423.4	419.9	418.9	419.0	417.9	416.5	408.1	398.5	394.0	388.5	384.4	381.3
MAXIMUM=	421.1	C.F.S.		TIME	1.15	P.M.						397.3
6 SDEPTH=	0.27	STMD=	0.46	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.0	SPLW=	0.00			
7 AM	378.8	376.7	375.0	373.5	372.1	370.8	369.7	368.5	361.5	360.4	359.4	358.4
PM	357.4	356.4	355.4	354.4	353.3	352.3	351.3	350.4	355.4	354.5	353.6	352.6
MAXIMUM=	380.1	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.						361.3
7 SDEPTH=	0.08	STMD=	0.49	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.0	SPLW=	0.00			
8 AM	351.7	350.8	349.9	349.0	348.1	347.2	346.3	345.4	338.6	337.7	336.8	335.9
PM	335.1	334.2	333.4	332.5	331.5	330.7	329.8	329.0	334.2	333.3	332.5	331.7
MAXIMUM=	352.5	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.						338.5
9 AM	330.9	330.1	329.3	328.5	327.7	326.9	326.1	325.3	318.5	317.8	317.0	316.2
PM	315.4	314.7	313.9	313.1	312.2	311.5	310.7	310.0	315.2	314.5	313.7	313.0
MAXIMUM=	331.6	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.						318.8
10 AM	312.3	311.5	310.8	310.1	309.3	308.6	307.9	307.2	299.0	298.2	297.5	296.8
PM	296.1	295.4	294.7	294.0	293.2	292.5	291.8	291.1	298.0	297.3	296.6	295.9
MAXIMUM=	313.0	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.						300.3
11 AM	295.3	294.6	293.9	293.3	292.6	291.9	291.3	290.6	282.4	281.8	281.1	280.5
PM	279.8	279.2	278.5	277.9	277.1	276.5	275.8	275.2	282.1	281.5	280.8	280.2
MAXIMUM=	295.9	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.						283.9
12 AM	279.6	278.9	278.3	277.7	277.1	276.5	275.8	275.2	267.1	266.5	265.9	265.3
PM	264.7	264.1	263.4	262.8	262.1	261.5	260.9	260.3	267.3	266.7	266.1	265.5
MAXIMUM=	280.2	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.						268.7
13 AM	264.9	264.3	263.8	263.2	262.6	262.0	261.4	260.9	252.8	252.2	251.6	251.0
PM	250.5	249.9	249.3	248.8	248.1	247.5	247.0	246.4	253.4	252.8	252.3	251.7
MAXIMUM=	265.5	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.						254.5
14 AM	251.2	250.6	250.1	249.5	249.0	248.4	247.9	247.4	239.3	238.7	238.2	237.7
PM	237.1	236.6	236.1	235.5	234.9	234.4	233.8	233.3	240.3	239.8	239.3	238.8
MAXIMUM=	251.7	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.						241.2
15 AM	238.2	237.7	237.2	236.7	236.2	235.6	235.1	234.6	226.6	226.1	225.6	225.1
PM	224.5	224.0	223.5	223.0	222.4	221.9	221.4	220.8	227.8	227.3	226.8	226.4
MAXIMUM=	238.7	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.						228.5

JULY

	6 AM	79.7	79.5	79.3	79.2	79.0	78.8	78.7	78.5	71.0	70.8	70.7	70.5	
	PM	70.3	70.2	70.0	69.8	69.8	70.5	72.7	74.6	83.8	85.2	83.0	80.7	75.7
	MAXIMUM=	85.9	C.F.S.	TIME	9.45	P.M.								
	7 AM	79.0	77.8	76.9	76.3	75.9	75.4	75.1	74.8	67.3	67.0	66.8	66.6	
	PM	66.6	67.8	71.4	74.3	78.2	80.9	78.5	74.6	78.9	76.8	75.2	74.2	74.0
	MAXIMUM=	81.9	C.F.S.	TIME	5.45	P.M.								
	8 AM	73.4	72.8	72.3	72.0	71.7	71.4	71.2	71.0	63.4	63.3	63.1	63.0	
	PM	62.8	62.7	62.5	62.4	62.2	62.1	61.9	61.8	68.9	68.8	68.6	68.5	66.7
	MAXIMUM=	73.7	C.F.S.	TIME	0.15	A.M.								
	18 AM	42.9	42.3	42.7	42.6	42.5	42.4	42.3	42.2	34.5	34.4	34.3	34.2	
	PM	34.1	34.1	34.9	37.4	40.2	44.4	47.9	47.8	54.7	51.5	48.4	46.2	41.6
	MAXIMUM=	55.2	C.F.S.	TIME	8.15	P.M.								
	19 AM	44.7	43.4	42.5	41.8	41.3	40.9	40.7	40.4	32.6	32.4	32.3	32.1	
	PM	32.0	31.9	31.9	31.3	31.7	31.6	31.5	31.4	39.0	39.0	38.9	38.8	36.4
	MAXIMUM=	45.2	C.F.S.	TIME	0.15	A.M.								
	25 AM	29.9	29.8	29.8	29.7	29.6	29.6	29.5	29.5	22.2	22.2	22.1	22.1	
	PM	22.0	21.9	21.9	21.8	21.7	21.7	21.7	22.6	33.7	40.0	45.7	50.8	28.0
	MAXIMUM=	52.1	C.F.S.	TIME	12.00	P.M.								
	26 AM	52.0	46.1	40.4	36.6	33.9	32.0	30.8	29.9	22.1	21.6	21.3	21.0	
	PM	20.8	20.7	20.6	20.4	20.3	20.3	20.2	20.2	27.2	27.2	27.1	27.1	27.5
	MAXIMUM=	52.7	C.F.S.	TIME	0.45	A.M.								
	30 AM	23.1	23.1	23.0	23.0	22.9	22.9	22.8	22.8	18.7	18.6	18.6	18.5	
	PM	19.1	25.6	44.4	62.6	82.1	98.6	88.7	73.4	61.3	49.0	40.6	34.8	39.1
	MAXIMUM=	102.9	C.F.S.	TIME	5.45	P.M.								
	31 AM	30.8	28.0	26.1	24.8	23.8	23.2	22.7	22.4	18.1	17.9	17.8	17.7	
	PM	17.5	17.4	17.4	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.2	17.2	21.2	21.1	21.1	21.1	20.7
	MAXIMUM=	32.1	C.F.S.	TIME	0.15	A.M.								

AUGUST

	3 AM	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.8	18.8	18.7	18.7	18.7	14.6	14.5	14.5	14.4	
	PM	14.4	14.4	14.3	14.4	16.0	20.8	27.9	34.4	43.7	44.0	38.2	32.0	21.8
	MAXIMUM=	44.6	C.F.S.	TIME	8.45	P.M.								
	4 AM	27.6	24.0	22.0	21.0	20.0	19.3	18.8	18.5	14.1	13.9	13.3	13.7	
	PM	13.7	15.2	20.1	26.3	33.0	41.8	43.1	40.7	40.1	33.6	28.4	24.9	24.6
	MAXIMUM=	43.4	C.F.S.	TIME	6.45	P.M.								
	5 AM	22.5	20.8	19.6	18.8	18.2	17.8	17.5	17.3	13.1	13.0	12.9	12.8	
	PM	12.7	12.7	12.6	12.6	12.0	16.2	27.7	44.9	69.9	93.5	106.1	104.6	39.4
	MAXIMUM=	108.4	C.F.S.	TIME	10.45	P.M.								

B.C.

	6 AM	95.6	81.6	63.1	48.5	38.5	31.6	26.9	23.6	17.3	15.7	14.7	13.9
	PM	13.4	13.0	12.8	12.6	12.4	12.3	12.2	12.1	16.1	16.1	16.1	16.0
	MAXIMUM=	99.4	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.						26.5
	10 AM	13.7	13.7	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.5	13.5	13.5	7.8	7.7	7.7	7.7
	PM	7.6	7.6	7.6	7.6	7.8	11.7	28.1	59.3	97.9	127.6	142.0	123.7
	MAXIMUM=	146.2	C.F.S.		TIME	10.45	P.M.						32.0
	11 AM	92.2	67.5	50.5	38.8	30.8	25.3	21.6	19.0	11.5	10.2	9.4	8.8
	PM	8.4	8.1	7.9	7.7	7.6	7.5	7.4	7.3	13.0	13.0	12.9	12.9
	MAXIMUM=	103.7	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.						20.8
	12 AM	12.9	12.8	12.8	13.0	14.2	17.3	20.9	24.3	24.9	26.8	26.2	26.0
	PM	26.2	22.1	18.2	15.6	14.1	13.7	13.7	13.8	16.8	15.8	14.7	14.0
	MAXIMUM=	27.2	C.F.S.		TIME	9.45	A.M.						17.9
	13 AM	13.5	13.1	12.9	12.7	12.6	12.5	12.4	12.2	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.7
	PM	8.2	12.5	16.2	19.7	22.7	19.5	16.1	14.8	19.8	19.7	19.9	17.9
	MAXIMUM=	23.6	C.F.S.		TIME	4.45	P.M.						14.0
14	AM	16.0	14.7	13.8	13.2	12.8	12.5	12.3	12.1	6.3	6.2	6.1	6.0
14	PM	6.0	6.0	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.8	5.8	11.5	11.5	11.4	11.4
14	MAXIMUM=	16.6	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.						9.4
	16 AM	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
	PM	4.9	4.9	5.7	8.1	10.7	13.0	15.1	14.1	18.7	21.2	26.3	37.0
	MAXIMUM=	41.1	C.F.S.		TIME	12.00	P.M.						11.9
	17 AM	46.4	49.7	51.4	41.4	31.7	25.1	20.5	17.3	9.5	8.0	7.0	6.3
	PM	5.8	5.4	5.2	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.6	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2
	MAXIMUM=	52.8	C.F.S.		TIME	2.45	A.M.						16.5
	19 AM	9.7	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.5	9.5	9.5	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.1
	PM	6.2	6.8	8.6	11.5	16.7	21.1	23.0	23.7	22.6	18.4	15.6	13.6
	MAXIMUM=	24.6	C.F.S.		TIME	8.15	P.M.						12.0
	20 AM	12.2	11.3	10.6	10.2	9.9	9.6	9.5	9.4	6.0	5.9	5.8	5.8
	PM	5.8	5.8	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.6	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.8
	MAXIMUM=	12.7	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.						7.8
	21 AM	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.3
	PM	5.3	5.4	6.4	9.4	13.1	21.1	29.2	37.1	49.4	54.7	62.0	67.3
	MAXIMUM=	68.5	C.F.S.		TIME	12.00	P.M.						18.9
	22 AM	69.6	70.0	69.5	65.5	59.6	55.0	47.8	39.3	29.0	22.6	18.2	15.2
	PM	13.1	11.6	13.7	10.0	9.5	9.2	8.9	8.8	10.3	10.2	10.1	10.0
	MAXIMUM=	69.9	C.F.S.		TIME	1.15	A.M.						28.5

Reproduced from
best available copy.

	AM	10.0	10.0	9.9	9.9	9.9	9.9	9.9	9.9	9.9	6.6	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	8.1
	PM	6.5	6.5	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.3	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.5	
		MAXIMUM=	6.4	C.F.S.	TIME	4.15	P.M.									
SEPTEMBER	23															
	4	AM	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
		PM	1.0	1.0	1.1	2.3	4.3	6.0	7.6	8.0	10.5	8.8	7.7	6.9	4.7	
	5	AM	6.3	6.0	5.7	5.5	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.1	0.8	0.3	0.8	0.9		
		PM	2.2	6.0	11.8	19.5	28.3	34.9	38.2	37.6	38.3	32.0	24.3	18.2	14.1	
	6	AM	14.1	11.2	9.3	7.9	7.0	6.4	5.9	5.6	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.8		
		PM	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.0	
	7	AM	15.4	C.F.S.	TIME	0.15	A.M.									
		PM	13.3	C.F.S.	TIME	8.45	P.M.									
	8	AM	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9		
		PM	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.5	2.9	4.9	7.3	9.2	13.1	12.2	10.0	8.2	4.7	
	9	AM	7.0	6.2	5.6	5.2	4.9	4.7	4.6	4.5	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.7		
		PM	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1	2.8	
	10	AM	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	5.2
		PM	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	1.7	5.4	13.4	18.5	23.9	24.4		
	11	AM	25.0	C.F.S.	TIME	10.45	P.M.									
		PM	22.2	19.1	14.8	11.4	9.1	7.4	6.3	5.6	1.5	1.2	0.9	0.7		
	12	AM	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.7	6.0	9.5	13.9	17.8	6.3	
		PM	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6								
	13	AM	162.3	C.F.S.	TIME	12.00	P.M.									
		PM	19.9	18.9	14.8	11.4	9.0	7.3	6.2	5.4	1.4	1.0	0.8	0.6		
	14	AM	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	1.1	10.2	37.5	71.9	110.6	151.9	162.2	26.8	
		PM	7.4	6.0	5.0	4.4	3.9	3.6	3.4	3.2	5.6	16.7	12.4	9.4		
	14	SDEPTH=	163.5	C.F.S.	TIME	0.45	A.M.									
		STMD=	0.02	0.39	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.00	SPLW=	0.00						
	15	AM	25.1	22.3	20.4	19.1	18.1	17.5	17.0	16.7	12.9	12.7	12.6	12.5		
		PM	12.4	12.4	13.0	13.9	15.7	17.2	18.6	19.2	21.2	19.6	18.5	17.7	16.9	
		MAXIMUM=	26.3	C.F.S.	TIME	0.15	A.M.									

	16	AM	17.1	16.7	16.5	16.2	16.1	16.0	15.9	15.8	14.0	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9
		PM	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.6	13.6	15.3	15.3	15.3	15.2	14.9
		MAXIMUM=	17.3	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
	16	SDEPTH=	0.33	STMD=	0.03	SAX=	15.00	TANSM=	0.00	SPLW=	0.0				
	17	AM	15.2	15.1	15.1	15.1	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	11.4	11.4	11.3	11.3	11.3
		PM	11.3	11.3	11.2	11.2	11.2	11.1	11.1	11.1	14.5	14.5	14.5	14.4	13.1
		MAXIMUM=	15.2	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
	18	AM	14.4	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.2	14.2	14.2	14.1	11.0	10.9	10.9	10.9	10.9
		PM	10.8	10.9	11.6	13.2	16.4	20.2	24.3	27.2	30.1	27.6	24.2	21.4	16.5
		MAXIMUM=	30.5	C.F.S.		TIME	8.15	P.M.							
	19	AM	19.5	18.2	17.3	16.7	16.3	16.0	15.8	15.6	12.4	12.3	12.3	12.3	12.3
		PM	12.2	12.2	12.2	12.2	13.1	14.5	18.1	21.9	29.2	32.8	32.0	29.3	17.7
		MAXIMUM=	33.5	C.F.S.		TIME	9.45	P.M.							
	20	AM	26.0	23.3	21.4	20.2	19.3	18.7	18.3	18.0	14.7	14.6	14.5	14.4	14.4
		PM	14.4	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.2	14.2	14.2	17.3	17.2	17.2	17.2	16.9
		MAXIMUM=	27.1	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
	21	AM	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.7	13.7
		PM	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.5	13.5	16.6	16.5	16.5	16.5	15.3
		MAXIMUM=	17.2	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
63	22	AM	16.4	16.4	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.2	16.2	13.1	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0
		PM	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.7	12.7	15.7	15.7	15.7	15.6	14.5
		MAXIMUM=	16.5	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
	23	AM	15.6	15.6	15.5	15.5	15.5	15.4	15.4	15.3	12.2	12.2	12.1	12.1	12.1
		PM	12.1	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.4	13.6	16.0	22.6	26.8	30.7	33.0	16.1
		MAXIMUM=	33.5	C.F.S.		TIME	11.45	P.M.							
	24	AM	33.0	31.9	31.2	30.0	32.1	35.9	40.3	46.7	46.9	48.7	51.3	50.9	
		PM	49.0	44.5	39.6	34.9	30.2	26.6	24.1	22.3	22.6	21.8	21.2	20.8	34.9
		MAXIMUM=	51.6	C.F.S.		TIME	10.45	A.M.							
	25	AM	20.5	20.3	20.1	20.0	19.9	19.8	19.7	19.6	16.5	16.4	16.4	16.3	16.3
		PM	16.3	16.2	16.2	16.2	16.1	16.1	16.0	16.0	19.0	18.9	18.9	17.9	
		MAXIMUM=	20.6	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
	26	AM	18.8	18.8	18.7	18.7	18.6	18.6	18.5	18.5	15.3	15.3	15.3	15.2	15.7
		PM	15.2	15.1	15.1	15.0	15.0	14.9	14.9	14.9	17.9	17.9	17.8	17.8	16.7
		MAXIMUM=	18.9	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
	27	AM	17.7	17.7	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.5	17.5	17.4	14.3	14.2	14.2	14.2	15.7
		PM	14.1	14.1	14.0	14.0	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.8	16.9	16.8	16.8	16.8	15.7
		MAXIMUM=	17.8	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							
	28	AM	16.7	16.7	16.6	16.6	16.6	16.5	16.5	16.4	13.0	13.0	12.9	12.9	12.9
		PM	12.9	12.8	12.8	13.1	13.6	14.6	15.5	16.4	20.1	19.2	18.2	17.5	15.5
		MAXIMUM=	20.3	C.F.S.		TIME	8.45	P.M.							
	29	AM	17.0	16.7	16.4	16.2	16.0	15.9	15.8	15.7	12.2	12.2	12.2	12.1	12.1
		PM	12.1	12.1	12.0	12.0	12.0	11.9	11.9	11.9	15.2	15.2	15.2	15.1	14.0
		MAXIMUM=	17.2	C.F.S.		TIME	0.15	A.M.							

YEARLY STATISTICAL SUMMARY

	MONTHLY		DAILY	
	REFERENCE	SIMULATED	REFERENCE	SIMULATED
MEAN	2405.60	2432.44	79.09	79.97
MAXIMUM	14092.04	15398.39	919.23	1266.56
VARIANCE	18195728.00	20465536.00	25021.94	28993.11
STANDARD DEVIATION	4265.64	4523.89	158.18	170.27
SUM OF (REFERENCE - SIMULATED)	-322.07		-322.04	
ROOT SUM SQUARE	1510.44		506.55	
SUM SQUARED	0.04		1.78	
SUM SQUARED (IBM METHOD)	0.03		1.47	
CORRELATION COEFFICIENT	0.9964		0.9896	

SUMMARY OF MONTHLY AND ANNUAL TOTALS

	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	ANNUAL
PRECIPITATION	3.870	4.280	1.390	1.020	2.530	3.980	4.430	2.250	0.930	1.080	3.690	3.930	33.380 IN
EVP/TRAN-NET	0.970	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.016	0.0	0.363	2.265	5.091	3.805	3.360	2.556	18.425 IN
-POTENTIAL	1.071	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.016	0.0	0.363	2.265	6.126	6.167	3.360	2.570	21.938 IN
SURFACE RUNOFF	0.017	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.179	0.015	0.012	0.047	0.049	0.323 IN
INTERFLOW	0.003	0.001	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.003	1.877	0.019	0.000	0.000	0.006	1.908 IN
BASE FLOW	0.182	0.151	0.195	0.188	0.191	0.241	0.242	3.311	2.556	0.555	0.128	0.113	8.054 IN
STREAM EVAP.	0.007	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.002	0.014	0.037	0.039	0.023	0.018	0.139 IN
TOTAL RUNOFF(SIM)	0.195	0.154	0.195	0.188	0.191	0.241	0.246	5.352	2.552	0.529	0.152	0.150	10.145 IN
TOTAL RUNOFF(RFF)	0.197	0.158	0.195	0.189	0.192	0.243	0.244	4.898	2.809	0.585	0.164	0.159	10.033 IN
REFERENCE TOTALS	567.2	454.3	561.6	545.0	552.3	697.9	701.8	14092.0	8082.1	1682.9	472.9	457.1	28867.2 CFS
SIMULATED TOTALS	562.5	442.9	560.4	541.7	548.7	693.2	708.5	15398.4	7342.7	1521.9	437.9	430.6	29189.3 CFS

BALANCE -0.0597 INCHES

MONTHLY FLOW CORRELATION COEFFICIENT 0.9964
MEAN DAILY FLOW CORRELATION COEFFICIENT 0.9896

MEAN DAILY REFERENCE FLOWS (CFS)

DAY	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	ANNUAL
1	25.6	18.9	17.5	17.0	18.7	20.7	24.3	24.0	502.3	107.3	20.5	4.6	
2	24.3	19.6	19.8	17.0	18.8	20.8	24.4	25.4	474.5	101.7	19.3	4.3	
3	24.8	17.7	20.1	17.0	18.8	20.9	24.5	28.2	448.8	96.3	23.6	3.9	
4	22.5	17.5	20.1	17.0	18.9	21.0	24.5	49.3	424.9	91.3	26.3	5.2	
5	20.6	17.2	19.9	17.0	19.0	21.2	24.6	86.0	418.1	86.5	32.1	14.6	
6	19.9	17.0	19.7	17.0	19.1	21.3	24.7	132.0	431.7	83.5	28.1	4.4	
7	19.0	16.7	19.5	17.1	19.2	21.4	24.8	205.4	392.6	81.4	15.1	3.0	
8	17.4	16.5	19.3	17.1	19.3	21.6	24.9	214.6	370.0	73.8	14.2	5.1	
9	16.5	16.2	19.0	17.1	19.4	21.7	25.0	199.6	349.7	69.7	12.6	3.2	
10	18.1	15.9	18.8	17.2	19.5	21.8	25.1	201.5	330.2	65.8	33.3	5.6	
11	15.7	15.7	18.6	17.2	19.6	21.9	25.1	316.8	312.7	62.3	22.0	6.6	
12	20.6	15.4	18.4	17.3	19.7	22.1	25.2	919.2	296.2	58.9	19.1	27.2	
13	17.9	15.2	18.3	17.3	19.8	22.2	25.3	679.5	280.7	55.8	15.1	39.6	
14	14.9	15.0	18.1	17.4	19.9	22.3	24.8	534.9	266.0	52.8	10.5	38.7	
15	13.1	14.8	18.0	17.4	20.0	22.4	24.3	460.8	252.1	49.9	9.3	17.0	
16	12.1	14.6	17.8	17.5	20.1	22.6	23.4	430.5	239.1	47.2	12.9	16.0	
17	21.2	14.4	17.7	17.5	20.2	22.7	22.3	433.2	226.7	44.6	17.4	14.7	
18	12.4	14.2	17.6	17.6	20.3	22.8	21.3	478.8	214.9	45.8	8.0	18.3	
19	12.9	14.1	17.5	17.7	20.4	22.9	20.2	527.6	203.8	40.4	12.9	19.5	
20	23.0	13.9	17.4	17.7	20.2	23.0	19.2	609.1	192.9	38.0	8.6	18.8	
21	26.1	13.8	17.3	17.8	20.2	23.1	18.1	737.3	182.8	35.9	19.7	17.3	
22	20.5	13.7	17.3	17.9	20.1	23.3	21.6	749.3	173.3	33.9	29.4	16.4	
23	18.8	13.6	17.2	17.9	20.0	23.4	26.0	753.1	164.3	32.0	9.0	17.9	
24	18.2	13.5	17.1	18.0	20.1	23.5	25.1	770.0	155.7	30.2	8.5	35.8	
25	17.5	13.4	17.1	18.1	20.2	23.6	23.3	766.0	147.6	30.9	8.0	18.8	
26	16.5	13.3	17.1	18.2	20.3	23.7	22.5	727.6	139.9	30.3	7.5	17.8	
27	16.3	13.3	17.0	18.3	20.4	23.8	22.1	683.2	132.6	25.4	7.1	16.9	
28	15.0	13.2	17.0	18.3	20.5	23.9	21.8	640.0	125.6	23.9	6.6	16.7	
29	14.9	13.1	17.0	18.4	20.0	24.0	21.8	607.3	119.1	23.6	5.7	15.2	
30	14.4	13.1	17.0	18.5		24.1	21.6	568.7	113.2	41.3	5.3	14.3	
31	16.3		17.0	18.6		24.2		532.9		22.8	5.0		
REFERENCE													
TOTALS	567.2	454.3	561.6	545.0	552.3	697.9	701.8	14092.0	8082.1	1682.9	472.9	457.1	28867.2 CFS

MEAN DAILY SIMULATED FLOWS (CFS)

DAY	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	ANNUAL
1	25.6	18.5	16.7	16.9	18.5	20.5	24.1	23.2	457.6	97.1	18.5	4.0	
2	24.3	19.4	19.3	16.9	18.6	20.6	24.2	24.4	430.5	92.0	17.4	3.7	
3	24.8	17.3	19.9	16.9	18.7	20.8	24.3	27.6	406.1	87.2	21.8	3.4	
4	22.5	17.0	20.0	16.9	18.8	20.9	24.4	52.0	383.8	82.6	24.6	4.7	
5	20.6	16.7	19.9	16.9	18.9	21.0	24.5	99.4	379.1	78.2	30.4	14.1	
6	19.9	16.4	19.8	17.0	19.0	21.2	24.6	155.5	397.3	75.7	26.5	4.0	
7	19.1	16.1	19.6	17.0	19.1	21.3	24.7	242.8	361.3	74.0	13.6	2.5	
8	17.4	15.9	19.4	17.0	19.2	21.4	24.8	255.5	338.5	66.7	12.8	4.7	
9	16.5	15.7	19.1	17.0	19.2	21.5	24.9	224.5	318.8	63.0	11.2	2.8	
10	18.1	15.4	18.9	17.1	19.3	21.7	24.9	216.8	300.3	59.5	32.0	5.2	
11	15.7	15.2	18.7	17.1	19.4	21.8	25.0	352.3	283.9	56.3	20.8	6.3	
12	20.6	15.0	19.5	17.2	19.5	21.9	25.1	1266.6	268.7	53.2	17.9	26.8	
13	17.9	14.8	18.4	17.2	19.6	22.0	25.2	898.0	254.5	50.3	14.0	39.3	
14	14.9	14.6	18.2	17.3	19.7	22.2	24.7	650.6	241.2	47.6	9.4	39.4	
15	13.1	14.4	18.0	17.3	19.8	22.3	24.3	520.9	228.5	45.0	8.3	16.9	
16	12.1	14.2	17.9	17.4	19.9	22.4	23.5	462.2	216.5	42.5	11.9	14.9	
17	21.2	14.0	17.8	17.4	20.0	22.5	22.5	450.5	205.3	40.2	16.5	13.1	
18	12.4	13.9	17.6	17.5	20.1	22.6	21.5	504.2	194.7	41.6	7.1	16.5	
19	12.9	13.7	17.5	17.5	20.2	22.8	20.4	561.9	184.6	36.4	12.0	17.7	
20	22.9	13.6	17.4	17.6	20.0	22.9	19.4	656.8	174.7	34.2	7.8	16.9	
21	26.5	13.5	17.3	17.7	20.1	23.0	18.4	854.0	165.6	32.3	18.9	15.3	
22	20.7	13.4	17.3	17.7	20.0	23.1	22.1	843.2	157.0	30.5	28.5	14.5	
23	18.4	13.3	17.2	17.8	20.0	23.2	27.9	819.7	148.8	28.8	8.1	16.1	
24	17.6	13.2	17.1	17.9	20.0	23.3	27.3	826.5	141.0	27.1	7.7	34.9	
25	16.8	13.1	17.1	18.0	20.1	23.4	24.8	802.2	133.7	28.0	7.2	17.9	
26	16.0	13.0	17.0	18.0	20.2	23.5	23.4	737.4	126.7	27.5	6.8	16.7	
27	15.7	13.0	17.0	18.1	20.3	23.7	22.5	671.1	120.0	22.8	6.3	15.7	
28	14.4	12.9	17.0	18.2	20.4	23.8	22.0	612.3	113.7	21.5	5.9	15.5	
29	14.3	12.9	16.9	18.3		23.9	21.7	570.5	107.8	20.2	5.1	14.0	
30	13.8	12.8	16.9	18.4		24.0	21.2	527.2	102.5	39.1	4.7	13.0	
31	15.8		16.9	18.4		24.1		488.8		20.7	4.4		
SIMULATED TOTALS	562.5	442.9	560.4	541.7	548.7	693.2	708.5	15398.4	7342.7	1521.9	437.9	430.6	29189.3 CFS

B-42

TOTAL STATISTICAL SUMMARY FOR 1 WATER YRS

	MONTHLY				DAILY			
	REFERENCE	SIMULATED	REFERENCE	SIMULATED				
MEAN	2405.60	2432.44	79.09	79.97				
MAXIMUM	14092.04	15398.39	919.23	1266.56				
VARIANCE	18195728.00	20465536.00	25021.94	28993.11				
STANDARD DEVIATION	4265.64	4523.89	158.18	170.27				
SUM OF (REFERENCE - SIMULATED)	-322.07		-322.04					
ROOT SUM SQUARE	1510.44		506.55					
SUM SQUARED	0.04		1.78					
SUM SQUARED (IBM METHOD)	0.03		1.47					
CORRELATION COEFFICIENT	0.9964		0.9896					

	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	ANNUAL
RAIN+MELT	1.755	0.634	0.558	0.558	0.504	0.558	0.873	13.875	1.614	1.080	3.690	3.906	29.606 INCHES
SURFACE-SNOW-EVAP.	0.006	0.305	0.314	0.215	0.138	0.276	0.380	0.930	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.564 INCHES
INTSNOWLOSS	0.152	0.251	0.080	0.061	0.152	0.228	0.241	0.018	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.023	1.207 INCHES
STORAGES-UZS	0.555	0.544	0.330	0.345	0.356	0.368	0.449	1.224	0.0	0.295	0.395	0.814	IN
LZS	4.136	4.646	5.197	5.543	5.818	6.099	6.303	8.905	6.470	3.450	3.657	4.420	IN
IFS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.008	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	IN
GWS	0.100	0.087	0.115	0.125	0.139	0.163	0.146	3.075	0.695	0.142	0.043	0.097	IN
INDICES-UZC	0.512	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.254	1.501	3.004	2.706	1.651	1.256	
BNX	0.424	0.255	0.230	0.220	0.231	0.262	0.250	4.131	1.738	0.678	0.282	0.226	
SIAM	0.797	0.476	0.330	0.330	0.330	0.330	0.404	0.899	1.331	1.385	1.185	1.050	
CHECK ON SNOW	20.1194		18.9126										

B-43

DAILY FLOW DURATION AND ERROR TABLE

84

FLOW INTERVAL	CASES	AV. ERROR	AVR. ABS. ERROR	STANDARD ERROR
0.0-	0.0			
1.0-	0.0			
1.6-	0.0			
2.7-	5.0	-0.5	0.46	0.06
4.5-	10.0	-0.5	0.55	0.14
7.4-	9.0	-0.8	0.80	0.32
12.2-	157.0	-0.4	0.37	0.49
20.1-	99.0	-0.4	0.54	0.94
33.1-	15.0	-2.6	3.07	2.31
54.6-	10.0	-4.7	7.41	6.44
90.0-	11.0	-8.0	12.25	10.55
148.4-	13.0	-3.6	21.83	23.89
244.7-	10.0	-21.9	28.98	20.32
403.4-	17.0	-3.8	42.85	48.80
665.1-	9.0	103.7	106.40	113.56
1096.6-	0.0			
1808.0-	0.0			
2981.0-	0.0			
4914.8-	0.0			
8103.1-	0.0			
13359.7-	0.0			
22026.5-	0.0			
	365.0	0.9	7.24	227.83
CORRELATION COEFFICIENT (DAILY)				
		0.9896		

TWENTY HIGHEST CLOCKHOUR RAINFALL EVENTS IN THE WATER YEAR

0.500 0.495 0.400 0.400 0.380 0.274 0.265 0.261 0.240 0.200 0.200 0.200 0.170 0.162 0.150 0.150 0.150 0.149 0.143 0.140

TWENTY HIGHEST CLOCKHOUR OVERLAND FLOW RUNOFF FVE NTS IN THE WATER YEAR

0.010 0.006 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.004 0.004 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001

DAILY SOIL MOISTURE

DAY	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNF	JULY	AUG	SEPT
1	3.9	4.2	4.8	5.2	5.6	5.8	6.1	6.3	8.9	6.3	3.5	3.7
2	3.9	4.2	4.8	5.2	5.6	5.8	6.1	6.3	8.9	6.2	3.5	3.7
3	3.9	4.3	4.8	5.2	5.6	5.8	6.1	6.4	8.9	6.0	3.5	3.7
4	3.9	4.3	4.9	5.2	5.6	5.9	6.1	6.5	8.9	5.9	3.5	3.7
5	3.8	4.3	4.9	5.3	5.6	5.9	6.1	6.6	8.9	5.8	3.5	3.7
6	3.7	4.3	4.9	5.3	5.6	5.9	6.2	6.8	8.9	5.6	3.5	3.7
7	3.7	4.3	4.9	5.3	5.6	5.9	6.2	7.0	8.9	5.6	3.5	3.7
8	3.7	4.4	4.9	5.3	5.6	5.9	6.2	7.1	8.9	5.6	3.5	3.7
9	3.6	4.4	4.9	5.3	5.6	5.9	6.2	7.1	8.9	5.4	3.5	3.7
10	3.6	4.4	4.9	5.3	5.6	5.9	6.2	7.2	8.9	5.3	3.5	3.6
11	3.6	4.4	5.0	5.3	5.7	5.9	6.2	7.5	8.9	5.2	3.5	3.6
12	3.6	4.4	5.0	5.3	5.7	5.9	6.2	7.6	8.9	5.0	3.5	3.7
13	3.6	4.4	5.0	5.3	5.7	5.9	6.2	7.6	8.9	4.9	3.5	3.7
14	3.6	4.4	5.0	5.4	5.7	5.9	6.2	7.6	8.9	4.8	3.5	4.0
15	3.6	4.4	5.0	5.4	5.7	6.0	6.2	7.7	8.9	4.7	3.5	4.0
16	3.6	4.5	5.0	5.4	5.7	6.0	6.2	7.8	8.7	4.6	3.5	4.1
17	3.6	4.5	5.0	5.4	5.7	6.0	6.2	7.9	8.5	4.4	3.5	4.1
18	3.6	4.5	5.0	5.4	5.7	6.0	6.2	8.0	8.4	4.4	3.5	4.1
19	3.7	4.5	5.1	5.4	5.7	6.0	6.2	8.2	8.2	4.4	3.6	4.2
20	3.8	4.5	5.1	5.4	5.7	6.0	6.2	8.3	8.1	4.2	3.6	4.3
21	3.9	4.5	5.1	5.4	5.8	6.0	6.2	8.5	7.9	4.1	3.6	4.3
22	4.0	4.5	5.1	5.4	5.8	6.0	6.3	8.6	7.7	4.0	3.7	4.3
23	4.0	4.6	5.1	5.5	5.8	6.0	6.3	8.7	7.6	3.9	3.7	4.3
24	4.0	4.6	5.1	5.5	5.8	6.0	6.3	8.8	7.4	3.8	3.7	4.4
25	4.0	4.6	5.1	5.5	5.8	6.0	6.3	8.8	7.2	3.7	3.7	4.4
26	4.1	4.6	5.1	5.5	5.8	6.1	6.3	8.9	7.1	3.7	3.7	4.4
27	4.1	4.6	5.1	5.5	5.8	6.1	6.3	8.9	6.9	3.6	3.7	4.4
28	4.1	4.6	5.2	5.5	5.8	6.1	6.3	8.9	6.8	3.5	3.7	4.4
29	4.1	4.6	5.2	5.5		6.1	6.3	8.9	6.6	3.4	3.7	4.4
30	4.1	4.6	5.2	5.5		6.1	6.3	8.9	6.5	3.5	3.7	4.4
31	4.1		5.2	5.5		6.1		8.9		3.5	3.7	

MULTI= 0 CONOPT(10)= 0 CONOPT(15)= 3 IBFLAG= 0 KWMAIN= 2

MULTI= 0 CONOPT(10)= 0 CONOPT(15)= 3 IBFLAG= 10 KWMAIN= 6

IDFLAG= 0 IENDFG= 0 ISFLAG= 10 MAIN= 3

IDFLAG= 0 IENDFG= 1 ISFLAG= 10 MAIN= 23

W
G

Reproduced from
best available copy.

*ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. 10/18/57 STUDY SNOW62
REFERENCE SIMULATED DIFF %DIFF %ANNUAL DIFF

PEAK(CFS) 55.8 56.0 0.20 0.4

ANNUAL
PEAK(CFS) 0.0

PEAK(HR) 71 71 0 0.0

RUNOFF(IN) 0.0328 0.0329 0.0002 0.5

*ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. 4/21/58 STUDY SNOW62
REFERENCE SIMULATED DIFF %DIFF %ANNUAL DIFF

PEAK(CFS) 39.8 41.1 2.20 5.5

ANNUAL
PEAK(CFS) 0.2

PEAK(HR) 47 47 0 0.0

RUNOFF(IN) 0.0393 0.0415 0.0022 5.6

*ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. 5/10/58 STUDY SNOW62
REFERENCE SIMULATED DIFF %DIFF %ANNUAL DIFF

PEAK(CFS) 1041.5 1389.4 348.50 33.5

ANNUAL
PEAK(CFS) 25.0

PEAK(HR) 51 53 2 3.9

RUNOFF(IN) 0.9162 1.1698 0.2536 27.7

*ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. 8/3/58 STUDY SNOW62
REFERENCE SIMULATED DIFF %DIFF %ANNUAL DIFF

PEAK(CFS) 107.8 106.1 -0.80 0.7

ANNUAL PEAK(CFS) 1391.2 0.1

PEAK(HR) 71 71 0 0.0

RUNOFF(IN) 0.0432 0.0404 0.0029 6.6

*ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. 9/22/58 STUDY SNOW62
REFERENCE SIMULATED DIFF %DIFF %ANNUAL DIFF

PEAK(CFS) 52.3 51.3 -0.30 0.6

ANNUAL PEAK(CFS) 1391.2 0.0

PEAK(HR) 59 59 0 0.0

RUNOFF(IN) 0.0368 0.0346 0.0022 6.1

*ALAMUSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. (170 SQ. MI.) WY 58 STUDY SNOW62

... TOTAL DAILY STREAMFLOW STATISTICAL SUMMARY FOR 1 WATER YRS

	MEAN (CFS)	MAXIMUM (CFS)	VARIANCE	STD DEV	SUM OF	ROOT SUM	CORR COEFF												
	D-S SQUARE																		
REFERENCE WY 58	79	919	25021	158															
SIMULATED	79	1266	28993	170	-322	506	0.9896												
MODEL PARAMETERS	LZC 6.0	BMIR 10.0	SUZC 1.50	BUZC 1.00	ETLF 0.30	SIAC 0.42	VINTMR 0.15	CSRX 0.91	FSRX 0.94	BFRG 0.95	OFMN 0.350	NCTRI 0.95	EPAET 6	MNRD 31.46	AREA *****	CHCAP 107.0	BIVF 670.0	IFRC 2.90	0.60

B-48

*ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. (170 SQ. MI.) WY 58 STUDY SNOW62

... TOTAL MONTHLY STREAMFLOW STATISTICAL SUMMARY FOR 1 WATER YRS

	MEAN (CFS)	MAXIMUM (CFS)	VARIANCE	STD DEV	SUM OF	ROOT SUM	DRIEST MONTH**	CORR COEFF											
	D-S SQUARE						(CFS)												
REFERENCE WY 58	2405	14092	18195728	4265		454 (2)													
SIMULATED	2432	15398	20465536	4523	-322	1510	430 (12)	0.9964											
MODEL PARAMETERS	LZC 6.0	BMIR 10.0	SUZC 1.50	BUZC 1.00	ETLF 0.30	SIAC 0.42	VINTMR 0.15	CSRX 0.91	FSRX 0.94	BFRG 0.95	OFMN 0.350	NCTRI 0.95	EPAET 6	MNRD 31.46	AREA *****	CHCAP 107.0	BIVF 670.0	IFRC 2.90	0.60

** THE MONTHS OF A GIVEN WATER YEAR ARE NUMBERED AS FOLLOWS:

NO.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
MONTH	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JUL	AUG	SEPT


PALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. (170 SQ. MI.) WY 58 STUDY SNOW62
TOTAL STORM ANALYSIS SUMMARY FOR 1 WATER YRS

	PEAK (CFS)	R/O (HR)										
REFERENCE WY 58	10/18/57		4/21/58		5/10/58		8/3/58		9/22/58			
	55	71	0.0328	39	47	0.0393	1041	51	0.9162	107	71	0.0432
SIMULATED	55	71	0.0329	41	47	0.0415	1389	53	1.1698	106	71	0.0404

MODEL	LIC	BMIR	SUZC	BUZC	ETLF	SIAC	VINTMR	CSRX	FSRX	BFRC	OFMN	NCTRI	EPAET	MNRD	AREA	CHCAP	BIVE	IFRC
PARAMETERS	6.0	10.0	1.50	1.00	0.30	0.42	0.15	0.91	0.94	0.95	0.350	6	31.46	*****	107.0	670.0	2.90	0.60

#ALAHOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. 10/18/57


STUDY SNOW82



*ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. 4/21/58


STUDY SNOW62

42




*ALAHOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. 5/15/58

STUDY SNOW62




ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. 6/3/58

STUDY SNOW52




SALANOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. 9/22/58

STUDY SHOW62



H
E
A
I
D
A
I
L
Y
R
E
F
E
R
E
N
C
E
A
N
D
S
I
M
U
L
A
T
E
D
S
T
R
E
A
F
L
O
W

*ALAHOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. (170 SQ. MI.) WY 56 STUDY SNOW62




REF TOTAL 0.56/23E+03 OCT SIM TOTAL 0.5624/E+03

MEAN DAILY REFERENCE AND SIMULATED STREAMFLOW


CFS

BALAHOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. (1/2 SQ. MI.) WY 56 STUDY SNOW62




MEAN DAILY REFERENCE AND SIMULATED STREAMFLOW CFS

*ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR HONTE VISTA, COLO. (170 SQ. MI.) WY 58 STUDY SNOW62




HALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. (1/8 SQ. MI.) WY 56 STUDY SNOW62




MEAN DAILY REFERENCE AND SIMULATED STREAMFLOW CFS

SALAHOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. (1/0 SQ. MI.) WY 58 STUDY SNOW62



REF. TOTAL: 0.85234E+03 FEB SIM. TOTAL: 0.84885E+03

#ALANOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. (1/8 SQ. MI.) WY 56 STUDY SNOWG2



H
E
A
N
D
A
I
L
Y


R
E
F
E
R
E
N
C
E

A
N
D
S
I
M
U
L
A
T
E
D

S
T
R
E
A
M
F
L
O
W

C
F
S


#ALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. (1/8 SQ. MI.) WY 58 STUDY SNOW62



PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED


MEAN DAILY REFERENCE AND SIMULATED STREAMFLOW

#ALAHOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. (170 SQ. MI.) WY 58 STUDY SNOW62



MEAN DAILY REFERENCE AND SIMULATED STREAMFLOW CFS

FALANOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. (1/6 SQ. MI.) WY 58 STUDY SNOW62



PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

H
E
A
N

D
A
I
L
Y


R
E
F
E
R
E
N
C
E

A
N
D

S
I
M
U
L
A
T
E
D


S
T
R
E
A
H
F
L
O
W

SALAMOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. (1/6 SQ. MI.) WY 56 STUDY SNOW62




HEAH
DAILY
REFERENCE
AND
SIMULATED
STREAMFLOW

SALAHOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. (1/6 SQ. MI.) WY 58 STUDY SNOW62




MEAN DAILY REFERENCE AND SIMULATED STREAMFLOW CFS

*ALAHOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. (170 SQ. MI.) WY 58 STUDY SNOW62



M E A N D A I L Y R E F E R E N C E A N D S I M U L A T E D S T R E A M F L O W

*ALAHOSA CREEK NEAR MONTE VISTA, COLO. (170 SQ. MI.) WY 58 STUDY SNOW62



APPENDIX C

SAMPLE SIMULATION RUN OUTPUT, REGIONAL WATERSHED MODEL

The choice of regional watershed was influenced principally by the fact that it has been modeled and calibrated for river forecasting purposes by the National Weather Service Lower Mississippi River Forecast Center, Slidell, Louisiana, using a model of the same basic type as that used by IBM in this study. It is designated "Pearl River at Pearl River, Louisiana," and is located in the Gulf Plain Physiographic Region, lying mostly in south central Mississippi and a small part of Louisiana.

The regional watershed (Figure C-1) has a total area of 22,248 square kilometers (8,590 square miles) and is composed of 12 watersheds, each with its own stream gage. The water year 1968 was the one for which most accurate overall simulation was achieved. A total of 12 hourly and 25 daily precipitation stations were used to calculate mean basin precipitation for each subwatershed. Table C-1 lists some of the characteristics of interest pertaining to the subwatersheds and their associated precipitation and streamflow data. Approximately half the population of the basin is concentrated in and around the city of Jackson, Mississippi. The topography varies from nearly flat land near the Gulf Coast to gentle hills near the northern end.

The observed daily discharge data for the regional watershed and subwatershed 12, used in the original model for calibration, are shown in Table C-2. Similar tables were used for the other subwatersheds.

Page C-5 and subsequent pages of this appendix contain a reproduction of portions of a printout from one simulation run in which the parameter BMIR was perturbed by -50% for each subwatershed. In order to exercise some restraint on the bulk of this report, only portions of the printout related to subwatershed 12 are included, plus some statistical and storm analysis summaries for all subwatersheds. Original printouts include the same data for all 12 subwatersheds. Print plots have been omitted in favor of SC4020 plots. The symbols "R" and "S" in the plots signify reference and simulated, respectively.




Figure C-1. Pearl River Regional Watershed

Table C-1. Pearl River Subwatershed Characteristics Summary

SWS NO.	SUBWATERSHED NAME	STREAM GAGE EL, m	SWS AREA, km ²	AVERAGE DISCHARGE		LOW DISCHARGE		PEAK DISCHARGE		ANNUAL RAINFALL	
				LONG TERM	REF. YEAR	LONG TERM	REF. YEAR	LONG TERM	REF. YEAR	LONG TERM	REF. YEAR
1	PEARL RIVER AT EDINBURG, MS	104	2326	29.4	36.7	.048	.76	889	306	137	151
2	PEARL RIVER AT CARTHAGE, MS	96	1163	37.1	55.5	.88	1.22	515	442	132	145
3	YOCKANOOKANY RIVER NEAR KOSCIUSKO, MS	114	813	10.8	17.1	.07	.34	546	246	132	166
4	YOCKANOOKANY RIVER NEAR OFAHOMA, MS	95	440	17.4	24.5	.14	1.13	586	286	135	160
5	TOSCOLAMETA CREEK AT WALNUT GROVE, MS	101	1064	13.2	19.1	.07	.11	979	166	142	125
6	PEARL RIVER AT JACKSON, MS	72	2222	106	125	1.27	3.08	2406	848	130	112
7	STRONG RIVER AT DIO, MS	79	1111	15.3	16.3	.34	.48	702	133	132	129
8	PEARL RIVER NEAR MONTICELLO, MS	448	3913	170	186	7.61	7.8	1797	969	1421	123
9	PEARL RIVER NEAR COLUMBIA, MS	*	1684	*	204	*	2.07	*	1006	147	122
10	PEARL RIVER NEAR BOGALUSA, LA	16.8	2435	246	227	28.9	14.6	2496	1030	150	104
11	BOGUE CHITTO RIVER AT FRANKLINTON, LA	*	2651	*	26.3	*	.35	*	270	157	91
12	PEARL RIVER AT PEARL RIVER, LA	0.1	2525	280	275	44.7	1.05	3246	1095	163	106

NOTES: Discharges are in cubic meters per second.

Rainfall is in centimeters.

Long term annual rainfall is an approximation from weather data.

Reference year is water year 1968, reference simulation run.

*Data not obtained.

Table C-2. Pearl River Watershed Observed Discharge Data, WY 1968

Reproduced from
best available copy.

PEARL RIVER BASIN

SWS No. 12

2-4926. Pearl River at Pearl River, La.

Location.--Lat $30^{\circ}23'08''$, long $89^{\circ}44'12''$, in NE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 1, T.8 S., R.14 E., St. Helena meridian, on right bank fencecut downstream side of West Pearl River bridge on U.S. Highway 11, 500 ft upstream from Southern Railway System bridge, 0.5 mile upstream from old channel; and 0.8 mile northeast of town of Pearl River.

Drainage area.--8,590 sq mi (including East Pearl River).

Records available.--Discharge: October 1963 to September 1968. Daily discharge October 1961 to September 1963 in files of Corps of Engineers, Mobile district.

Gage heights: Since June 6, 1966, in reports of U.S. Weather Bureau, Oct. 1, 1969, to May 31, 1966, (collected by Southern Railway System) on file at the U.S. Weather Bureau, Meridian, Miss.

Gage.--West Panel: Water-stage recorder. Datum of gage is 0.36 ft above mean sea level. Supplementary water-stage recorder since June 18, 1964, 500 ft downstream at same datum. Auxiliary water-stage recorder 7.4 miles upstream. Datum of auxiliary gage is mean sea level.

East Panel: Water-stage recorder used for gage heights below 13.5 ft. Datum of gage is mean sea level. Supplementary staff gage 0.8 miles upstream at same datum. Auxiliary water-stage recorder 6.5 miles downstream. Datum of auxiliary gage is 0.12 ft below mean sea level.

All levels by Corps of Engineers, datum of 1929.

Average discharge.--5 years, 9,907 cfs.

Extremes.--Maximum discharge during year, 31,600 cfs Jan. 5 (gage height, 14.43 ft); minimum daily, 2,150 cfs Oct. 18, 19. 1963-68: Maximum discharge, 114,700 cfs (gage height, 17.61 ft) Feb. 18, 1966; minimum daily, 1,580 cfs Oct. 14, Nov. 10, 1963. Maximum stage reported by U.S. Weather Bureau, 18.6 ft Mar. 16, 1921, maximum stage reported by the Southern Railway System at railroad gage, 19.7 ft Apr. 19, 1900. Flood of 1874 reached a stage of 20.2 ft (furnished by Corps of Engineers).

Remarks.--Records good. Records of daily discharge are the combined flow of the entire flood plain of the West and East Pearl Rivers. Flow is affected by tide below about 4,000 cfs.

Cooperation.--Gage-height record, 9 discharge measurements, and computation of daily discharge furnished by Corps of Engineers; records reviewed by Geological Survey.

DISCHARGE, IN CMS, WATER YEAR OCTOBER 1967 TO SEPTEMBER 1968

DAY	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP
1	2,460	3,180	2,550	26,000	16,100	6,260	18,200	9,920	9,600	2,730	3,650	2,500
2	2,470	3,310	2,690	27,000	14,900	7,230	17,600	10,600	9,300	2,750	3,280	2,440
3	2,490	3,350	2,510	29,100	14,500	7,790	17,300	11,000	8,800	2,810	3,260	2,470
4	2,490	3,220	2,650	29,200	14,600	8,470	17,300	11,800	7,450	2,880	3,210	2,470
5	2,430	3,270	2,860	31,100	14,600	9,170	18,200	12,300	6,160	2,460	3,110	2,470
6	2,370	3,270	3,040	31,200	14,300	9,580	18,500	12,400	5,680	2,870	3,020	2,520
7	2,390	3,090	3,350	29,700	12,900	9,560	19,400	12,400	5,400	3,010	2,790	2,710
8	2,440	2,800	3,590	27,200	11,100	9,370	20,000	12,600	5,140	3,400	2,670	2,740
9	2,310	2,560	4,170	25,000	9,450	9,190	20,100	12,600	4,800	3,480	2,580	2,670
10	2,280	2,490	5,420	25,300	8,520	9,140	19,000	12,200	4,610	3,280	2,900	2,730
11	2,250	2,440	7,080	23,800	8,030	9,170	20,000	11,500	4,390	3,040	2,440	2,590
12	2,210	2,420	8,700	23,300	7,870	9,700	19,800	10,500	4,300	2,910	2,430	2,470
13	2,270	2,310	10,600	23,300	7,620	10,600	20,200	9,550	4,320	2,770	2,870	2,300
14	2,200	2,280	11,900	24,100	7,290	10,100	21,300	10,100	4,000	2,750	3,760	2,320
15	2,230	2,280	11,200	24,800	6,860	9,000	22,100	11,800	3,900	2,670	4,770	2,370
16	2,370	2,340	9,960	25,200	6,470	8,920	22,500	13,700	3,680	2,690	4,790	2,470
17	2,310	2,310	9,290	25,000	6,220	10,400	23,100	15,200	3,570	2,620	4,320	2,510
18	2,190	2,270	11,000	26,600	6,160	11,400	22,800	16,100	3,380	2,740	4,290	3,060
19	2,150	2,280	16,200	26,800	6,360	12,100	22,300	16,300	3,180	2,800	4,130	3,820
20	2,250	2,300	22,000	26,900	6,990	12,200	21,500	17,300	3,090	2,940	4,010	4,000
21	2,320	2,280	26,900	26,500	7,480	11,900	20,500	17,400	3,050	3,080	3,970	4,180
22	2,400	2,290	26,400	26,500	7,540	12,600	18,800	16,600	3,150	3,160	3,860	3,840
23	2,470	2,290	24,200	26,500	7,190	13,700	17,500	15,600	3,500	3,450	3,710	3,510
24	2,490	2,290	23,500	26,400	6,860	15,200	15,300	14,800	4,000	3,760	3,530	3,170
25	2,430	2,290	23,700	26,500	6,570	17,500	13,100	15,500	4,250	4,850	3,990	2,920
26	2,310	2,210	24,100	26,400	6,550	19,100	11,400	15,000	4,210	4,100	3,630	2,780
27	2,310	2,260	23,800	25,800	6,570	20,100	10,800	13,500	3,880	3,750	3,540	2,790
28	2,280	2,410	24,600	24,600	6,660	20,700	10,100	11,500	3,540	3,230	3,330	2,610
29	2,470	2,580	24,900	22,800	6,760	20,400	8,910	10,400	3,210	3,040	3,330	2,740
30	2,420	2,630	25,600	20,800	-----	19,900	8,440	9,300	3,810	3,010	3,190	2,840
31	3,360	-----	26,000	18,700	-----	19,100	-----	8,700	-----	3,300	4,820	-----
TOTAL	74,130	77,140	424,800	803,000	263,000	380,050	536,950	398,000	140,000	96,870	106,400	85,370
MEAN	2,391	2,571	13,703	25,900	9,069	12,260	17,898	12,860	4,669	3,125	3,432	2,446
MAX	3,360	3,350	26,900	31,200	16,100	20,700	23,100	17,400	9,300	4,850	4,790	4,200
MIN	2,150	2,110	2,510	38,700	6,160	6,700	8,440	8,760	2,800	2,620	2,430	2,320
CFGM	.298	.299	1.60	3.02	1.06	1.43	2.08	1.50	.544	.304	.400	.331
IN.	.32	.33	1.84	3.48	1.14	1.64	2.32	1.72	.61	.42	.46	.37
CAL YR 1967: TOTAL	2,424,350	MEAN	6,724	MAX	30,800	MIN	2,150	CFGM	0.783	IN.	10.62	
WAT YR 1968: TOTAL	3,386,450	MEAN	9,253	MAX	31,200	MIN	2,150	CFGM	1.08	IN.	14.65	

SWS12 *PEARL RIVER NR PEARL CITY, LA. SWS 12 (8590 SQ MI) WY 68
 *CONTROL OPTIONS (BALANCE OF DATA VARIES WITH SPECIFIED OPTIONS)
 *(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9)(10)(11)(12) (13)(14)(15)(16)
 - 0 - 1 - 2 1 1 1 0 0 1 - 0 - 0 - 1 0 1 3 1
 * TIME - AREA HISTOGRAM DEFINITION
 * NBTRI= BTTRI(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10)
 57
 .0060 .0060 .0060 .0060 .0060 .0060 .0060
 .0105 .0105 .0105 .0105 .0105 .0105 .0105
 .0171 .0171 .0171 .0171 .0171 .0171 .0171
 .0342 .0342 .0342 .0342 .0342 .0342 .0342
 .0352 .0352 .0352 .0352 .0352 .0352 .0352
 .0299 .0299 .0299 .0299 .0299 .0299 .0299
 .0124 .0124 .0124 .0124 .0124 .0124 .0124
 .0092 .0092 .0092 .0092 .0092 .0092 .0092
 .0097 .0097 .0097 .0097 .0097 .0097 .0097
 .0050 .0049 .0049 .0049 .0049 .0049 .0049
 * 390000 * OUTPUT PARAMETER - RMPPF, IF ANY DAILY FLOW EXCEEDS RMPPF
 * WATERSHED PARAMETERS - RGPMB - AREA - AREARW - FIMP - FWTR
 1.0 975.0 8590.0 0.069 0.080
 * SOIL MOISTURE PARAMETERS
 * VINTMR-BUZC - SUZC - LZC - ETLF - SUBWF - GWETF - SIAC - EMIR - BIVF
 0.15 0.60 .40 7.00 0.20 0.0 0.0 0.60 6.0 0.60
 * OVERLAND FLOW PARAMETERS - OFSS - DFSL - OFMN - OFMNIS - IFRC
 .017 6914.0 0.066 0.015 0.415
 * CHANNEL ROUTING AND GROUND WATER PARAMETERS
 * CSRX - FSRX - CHCAP - EXQPV - BFNLR - BFRC
 0.96 0.96 35000. 0.25 0.80 0.96
 * MOISTURE STORAGE VALUES - GWS - UZS - LZS - BFNX - IFS
 0.07 0.30 3.00 0.50 0.0
 * STORM ANALYSIS CONFIGURATION WY 68
 0
 0.0
 0.0
 * (JULDI) NUMBER OF JULIAN DATES REQUESTED FOR RUNOFF PLOTS OF
 * HOURLY OBSERVED AND SIMULATED STREAM FLOWS
 301 169 21 169 130 169 224 169
 * LAST TWO DIGITS IN THE CALENDAR YEARS OF THE WATER YEAR TO BE RUN
 67 68 * YEAR1 - YEAR2
 * EVAPORATION DATA - PEARL RIVER SWS NO. 5 WY 68
 * IF CONOPT(1)=2 ANNUAL EVAPORATION TOTAL IS READ 27
 38.0 * EPAETV ESTIMATED POTENTIAL ANNUAL EVAPOTRANSPIRATION
 * IF CONOPT(1)=2 MEAN ANNUAL NUMBER OF RAINY DAYS IS READ 28

 107.0 * MNPD, MEAN ANNUAL NUMBER OF RAINY DAYS
 * STREAMFLOW DATA " PEARL RIVER SWS NO. 12 WY 68 (REF.)
 * MEAN DAILY OBSERVED STREAMFLOW IN CFS (REF.)
 37. 36. 94. 364. 979. 985. 976. 1068. 1457. 1130. *OCT
 1919. 996. 995. 998. 1035. 1105. 2136. 2048. 1220. 1388. *OCT
 1910. 1520. 990. 970. 972. 964. 915. 992. 1647. 3245. *OCT
 6643. 4393. 3057. 2922. 3336. 2374. 1969. 1841. 1516. 1503. 1357. *NOV
 1285. 1379. 1793. 1800. 1785. 1692. 1603. 1344. 1083. 947. *NOV
 885. 847. 813. 780. 748. 723. 709. 676. 634. 607. *NOV
 582. 558. 541. 523. 577. 1286. 2131. 1581. 938. 3612. *DEC
 7597. 6099. 4423. 6245. 7935. 6312. 6108. 8874. 16732. 18578. *DEC
 15887. 17222. 23982. 25164. 26134. 25971. 25736. 26166. 27599. 29243. *DEC
 31246. 29621. 31299. 31526. 30954. 32766. 32630. 25741. 21668. 21925. 25186. *JAN
 26443. 24291. 22450. 23100. 25863. 26832. 25435. 25557. 26178. 26540. *JAN
 28017. 28964. 30538. 34331. 38699. 36626. 30374. 22949. 18978. 17110. *JAN
 16657. 17148. 17525. 17256. 17284. 18109. 20292. 22140. 20738. 17540. 15217. *FEB
 14564. 14201. 13361. 12501. 11612. 11748. 11167. 10944. 11434. 10308. *FEB
 9876. 9836. 10536. 10328. 9549. 9765. 10413. 11293. 12450. *FEB

12910. 11401. 11655. 12802. 13014. 11645. 12001. 11911. 11995. 11729. *MAR
 12130. 13394. 12426. 13107. 13848. 12055. 9899. 8632. 8360. 9031. *MAR
 10342. 12671. 22024. 17523. 16719. 22017. 25212. 21925. 18134. 17713. *MAR
 15627. *MAR
 14622. 14418. 15108. 14860. 17483. 22746. 27523. 28797. 24503. 19934. *APR
 14598. 13233. 17617. 23786. 24025. 20675. 21250. 28062. 30492. 27589. *APR
 22780. 21192. 21542. 21105. 18897. 16064. 14087. 13297. 14116. 13110. *APR
 13812. 13049. 13505. 14140. 12988. 12124. 13302. 15246. 16044. 15030. *MAY
 15599. 17649. 18794. 15954. 14154. 13594. 12385. 11478. 11174. 12205. *MAY
 14447. 15650. 16080. 14909. 15199. 14399. 13717. 14290. 13779. 11482. *MAY
 10568. *MAY
 10625. 10363. 9083. 8302. 7762. 7355. 7369. 8209. 9235. 9672. *JUN
 8550. 7866. 7473. 6612. 6046. 5696. 5308. 4967. 4739. 4578. *JUN
 4413. 4781. 4920. 4446. 4444. 4695. 4606. 4319. 3940. 3574. *JUN
 3379. 3554. 3729. 3765. 3658. 3993. 3900. 3341. 3128. 2799. *JUL
 2731. 2787. 2566. 2195. 2262. 2284. 2063. 1831. 1956. 1987. *JUL
 1969. 2454. 4207. 4181. 2703. 2276. 2692. 2738. 2139. 2142. *JUL
 1913. *JUL
 1647. 2394. 2683. 2225. 2797. 2807. 2480. 1720. 1255. 1404. *AUG
 1545. 1383. 1731. 1994. 2486. 2899. 2900. 2824. 1555. 1430. *AUG
 1418. 1222. 1207. 1300. 1571. 1643. 1765. 1826. 1865. 2056. *AUG
 1585. *AUG
 1074. 935. 1113. 1254. 1054. 1255. 1742. 2142. 1746. 1220. *SEP
 1364. 1138. 981. 709. 644. 1254. 2862. 4757. 3785. 2534. *SEP
 2382. 2381. 1633. 963. 848. 755. 667. 777. 1189. 1204. *SEP

* RAINFALL DATA - PEARL RIVER SWS NO. 12 WY 68

0 * NSGRD

* HOURLY RAINFALL TOTALS FROM BASE RECORDING GAGE

* NO CARDS REQUIRED FOR PERIODS WITH NO RAINFALL

* WRG, NUMBER OF WEATHER BUREAU PRECIPITATION GAGE

* YEAR, LAST TWO DIGITS OF THE CURRENT YEAR

* MONTH, CURRENT MONTH OF THE YEAR

* DATE, CURRENT DAY OF THE MONTH (1 - 31)

* CN = 1 FOR A.M.; CN = 2 FOR P.M.

*WBG YR MC DY CN HOURLY RAINFALL TOTALS IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER

33

0012 67 10 8 1 0.00 0.00 0.28 0.01 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00
 0012 67 10 16 1 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.22
 0012 67 10 16 2 0.19 0.07 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.03 0.16 0.09 0.02 0.01
 0012 67 10 30 1 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.38 0.05 0.15 0.24 0.25 0.18 0.07 0.02

(REMAINING HOURLY RAINFALL DATA INTENTIONALLY OMITTED)

* STORM DATA - PEARL RIVER SUB WS NO. 12 WY 68
 *PEARL RIVER NP PEARL CITY, LA. SWS NO.12 (8590 SQ. MI) 10/28/67

1.0 8590. 169 -1 1

1. 981.0 981.2

957.7 966.5 961.8 959.5 922.7 920.7 896.9 897.0 874.4 833.8 844.8 862.1 894.0
 920.8 961.6 991.8 1053.0 1102.8 1158.4 1210.0 1269.2 1355.5 1409.7 1466.7 1511.3
 1565.2 1624.8 1683.3 1700.5 1743.0 1755.8 1786.9 1415.5 1393.8 1411.8 1428.2
 1454.5 1465.6 1480.6 1504.5 1594.5 1668.1 1773.4 1860.4 2348.5 2484.9 2559.6
 2615.8 2647.0 2677.2 2708.0 2760.6 2799.3 2889.3 2819.3 2945.6 2685.4 2784.8
 2916.1 3032.4 3166.5 3283.6 3403.8 3531.1 3681.5 3805.1 4119.8 4256.3 4786.2
 5014.3 5186.2 5367.5 5552.8 5790.2 6035.9 6282.5 6465.6 6687.7 6577.2 6762.4
 6545.4 6654.9 6811.8 6955.4 7084.0 7159.0 7231.6 7212.7 7188.6 7096.4 7298.8
 7113.1 7278.2 7088.0 6659.8 6615.1 6363.5 6130.1 5904.0 5694.3 5478.0 5313.1
 7815.6 7722.8 7229.0 4049.0 3908.7 3764.4 3620.6 3453.7 3280.8 3101.2 2979.7
 2845.2 3057.9 2972.0 3146.6 3094.9 3033.9 2972.9 2915.0 2903.4 2904.6 2919.1
 2905.5 2924.7 2643.3 2734.2 2731.7 2794.5 2868.4 2941.0 3020.2 3081.3 3138.4
 3177.9 3234.9 3253.2 3573.9 3510.1 3580.2 3578.6 3538.4 3488.8 3420.5 3326.0
 3222.4 3152.1 3082.2 3057.0 2726.5 2709.4 2612.2 2563.0 2561.2 2585.8 2619.2
 2633.2 2667.7 2650.0 2698.7 2692.3 3000.8 2985.2 3050.1 3098.3

*PEARL RIVER NR PEARL CITY, LA. SWS NO.12 (8590 SQ. MI) 01/21/68

1.0 -8590. -169. -1. 1

1.0

27254.5 27371.8 27451.3 27559.4 27640.9 27724.0 27755.9 27847.6 27826.6 27872.7
27840.2 27873.9 27920.6 27974.3 28034.3 28115.8 28183.9 28287.0 28398.4 28445.8
28631.3 28675.6 28814.0 28896.6 28922.8 28957.8 28950.0 28961.8 28983.7 28983.8
28980.4 29002.7 28903.7 28917.2 28798.3 28792.9 28799.1 28806.0 28826.4 28859.1
28982.8 28895.0 28927.4 28956.0 29114.6 29152.1 29334.8 29419.9 29512.8 29614.1
29675.2 29736.8 29796.2 29863.1 29939.4 30041.3 30042.8 30155.6 30131.6 30222.9
30330.7 30453.3 30585.6 30738.7 30912.4 31061.7 31232.9 31381.6 31629.5 31751.0
31978.8 32119.9 32254.0 32359.0 32474.9 32602.9 32739.1 32904.5 33075.7 33279.1
33397.9 33623.3 33695.3 33943.7 34205.6 34442.6 34706.2 34922.0 35177.4 35467.9
35744.5 35994.9 36346.2 36581.2 36898.3 37120.1 37352.7 37575.1 37760.2 37887.6
37987.7 38077.6 38166.0 38287.9 39332.4 38495.4 38557.9 38710.0 38855.5 38994.9
39111.0 39222.8 39319.1 39378.3 39399.7 39386.4 39492.1 39470.7 39521.1 39436.4
39269.7 39090.7 38913.3 38744.8 38582.8 38398.4 38147.7 37889.3 37504.9 37221.8
36851.1 36585.6 36343.0 36107.7 35874.1 35653.1 36438.7 35216.9 34984.6 34746.8
34653.7 34438.5 34299.1 34074.2 33832.1 33592.8 33353.6 33117.2 32879.8 32632.1
32353.6 32074.0 31676.2 31380.2 30976.5 30645.8 30308.1 29961.6 29611.2 29260.1
28905.6 28540.3 28169.0 27778.7 27501.3 27118.6 26837.3 26479.8

*PEARL RIVER NR PEARL CITY, LA. SWS NO.12 (8590 SQ. MI) 05/09/68

1.0 -8590. -169. -1. 1

1. 16757.0 16815.5 16898.8 16940.6 16943.8 16938.0
16887.6 16923.3 16469.7 16457.4 15972.3 15901.9 15872.2 15810.1 15685.8 15520.1
15365.0 15214.8 15118.4 14999.3 15313.0 15195.4 15553.0 15515.3 15486.8 15478.0
15459.6 15461.0 15550.7 15564.6 15662.9 15674.1 15265.8 15258.7 14715.3 14593.2
14532.0 14478.2 14440.1 14385.4 14335.4 14303.7 14338.4 14442.3 14960.5 15018.7
15557.9 15649.5 15680.7 15716.2 15761.6 15854.1 15954.6 16061.3 16001.4 15992.9
15499.3 15424.8 14919.3 14874.1 14963.6 15007.5 15141.3 15204.0 15281.8 15324.9
15406.0 15457.7 15896.5 15930.5 16468.3 16851.9 16622.7 16730.9 16828.7 17024.1
17239.7 17342.4 17550.3 17717.5 17417.4 17457.0 17077.1 17060.8 17204.2 17304.9
17859.8 17733.4 17887.6 18011.3 18132.2 18168.9 18567.2 18573.3 19088.3 19178.3
19255.1 19349.8 19403.3 19463.8 19502.9 19543.9 19534.1 19567.1 19204.6 19203.5
18668.5 18607.3 18538.3 18459.6 18402.5 18325.8 18245.5 18157.9 18106.6 17997.5
18265.0 18167.0 18570.3 18504.4 18378.8 18241.1 18050.4 17867.5 17680.1 17488.2
17247.7 17063.6 14513.7 16312.1 15591.2 15341.8 15142.6 16022.4 14962.7 14796.4
14643.1 14498.5 14409.5 14281.8 14578.5 14569.7 15090.2 15134.6 15116.3 15090.3
15017.6 14973.5 14894.9 14819.4 14690.6 14611.1 14227.7 14171.7 13584.6 13560.1
13579.7 13542.1 13562.6 13572.5 13533.2 13489.4 13526.3 13510.7 13878.7 13879.3
14407.3 14455.0

*PEARL RIVER NR PEARL CITY, LA. SWS NO.12 (8590 SQ. MI) 08/11/68

1.0 -8590. -169. -1. 1

1. 1656.2

1666.1 1627.7 1613.4 1598.2 1607.2 1536.7 1549.0 1521.0 1627.8 1426.3 1364.1
1391.7 1425.7 1487.5 1512.3 1536.9 1515.2 1565.1 1535.6 1548.3 1529.8 1617.2
1730.9 1744.5 1752.0 1724.8 1726.0 1671.1 1605.8 1462.8 1413.1 1319.8 1283.6
1170.7 1059.6 1048.9 1039.2 1063.7 1057.8 1095.9 1145.7 1276.7 1321.4 1419.6
1480.6 1601.3 1709.2 1715.8 1727.1 1707.9 1737.6 1774.2 1816.0 1765.8 1799.8
1394.5 1413.8 1327.2 1227.8 1231.4 1249.2 1316.4 1408.3 1523.9 1623.1
1796.0 1673.4 2380.3 2240.3 2578.2 2722.0 2744.6 2798.5 2790.1 2793.2 2779.2
2768.8 2684.9 2682.5 1628.1 1547.9 1393.5 1240.6 1199.2 1182.5 1220.2 1237.5
1256.8 1264.0 1351.7 1360.4 2396.1 2402.3 2500.7 2616.5 2620.1 2623.3 2610.9
2612.5 2596.6 2581.6 2468.1 2480.1 1536.4 1650.8 1689.8 1696.5 1793.4 1893.7
2030.9 2138.9 2250.6 2344.2 2503.7 2551.5 3609.0 3660.0 3782.5 3934.6 3986.7
3983.9 3876.4 3799.7 3716.4 3646.4 3507.2 3456.7 2369.7 2309.7 2182.9 2053.9
2043.4 2064.7 2114.3 2125.2 2137.7 2145.8 2243.1 2253.9 3300.4 3328.0 3418.4
3507.1 3469.6 3407.3 3301.6 3205.7 3110.7 3039.8 2899.9 2865.6 2037.5 2071.2
2038.2 1974.6 2011.3 2043.3 2152.8 2346.2 2657.6 2742.7 2975.7 3089.6 3994.2
4013.6 4078.8 4166.3

YEARLY STATISTICAL SUMMARY

| | MONTHLY | | DAILY | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | REFERENCE | SIMULATED | REFERENCE | SIMULATED |
| MEAN | 296673.00 | 301957.81 | 9725.67 | 9899.84 |
| MAXIMUM | 839285.00 | 878819.19 | 38699.00 | 44996.41 |
| VARIANCE | 0.676E 11 | 0.752E 11 | 0.839E 08 | 0.102E 09 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | 259945.13 | 274212.31 | 9160.47 | 10113.09 |
| SUM OF (REFERENCE - SIMULATED) | -63902.44 | | -63907.77 | |
| ROOT SUM SQUARE | 116637.75 | | 33742.83 | |
| SUM SQUARED | 0.13 | | 4.99 | |
| SUM SQUARED (IBM METHOD) | 0.13 | | 4.92 | |
| CORRELATION COEFFICIENT | 0.9930 | | 0.9882 | |

SUMMARY OF MONTHLY AND ANNUAL TOTALS

| | OCT | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT. | ANNUAL |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|
| PRECIPITATION | 3.170 | 0.840 | 8.750 | 2.490 | 2.530 | 3.270 | 3.020 | 1.080 | 3.700 | 5.430 | 4.190 | 3.420 | 41.890 IN |
| EVP/TRAN-NET | 2.166 | 1.521 | 0.958 | 0.807 | 0.840 | 1.173 | 2.485 | 3.663 | 4.758 | 5.721 | 5.157 | 3.575 | 32.824 IN |
| -POTENTIAL | 3.333 | 1.761 | 0.996 | 0.812 | 0.848 | 1.173 | 2.749 | 5.231 | 6.711 | 7.455 | 7.709 | 5.647 | 44.427 IN |
| SURFACE RUNOFF | 0.186 | 0.163 | 1.072 | 2.025 | 0.886 | 0.714 | 2.097 | 1.631 | 0.778 | 0.479 | 0.373 | 0.233 | 10.636 IN |
| INTERFLOW | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.326 | 0.264 | 0.100 | 0.355 | 0.191 | 0.024 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.261 IN |
| BASE FLOW | 0.058 | 0.111 | 0.703 | 1.581 | 0.635 | 0.953 | 0.592 | 0.367 | 0.133 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.064 | 5.358 IN |
| STREAM EVAP. | 0.066 | 0.073 | 0.067 | 0.065 | 0.068 | 0.094 | 0.204 | 0.214 | 0.173 | 0.226 | 0.213 | 0.105 | 1.569 IN |
| TOTAL RUNOFF(SIM) | 0.177 | 0.200 | 2.035 | 3.805 | 1.553 | 1.929 | 2.677 | 1.809 | 0.738 | 0.333 | 0.240 | 0.191 | 15.687 IN |
| TOTAL RUNOFF(REF) | 0.177 | 0.201 | 1.626 | 3.634 | 1.728 | 1.878 | 2.600 | 1.891 | 0.840 | 0.378 | 0.258 | 0.201 | 15.411 IN |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|
| REFERENCE TOTALS | 40834. | 40401. | 375682. | 839285. | 399134. | 433852. | 600511. | 436746. | 193948. | 87222. | 59618. | 46363. | 3559596. CFS |
| SIMULATED TOTALS | 40842. | 46310. | 470069. | 878819. | 358757. | 445561. | 618424. | 417737. | 170391. | 76909. | 55473. | 44207. | 3623494. CFS |

BALANCE 3.6266 INCHES

| | |
|---|--------|
| MONTHLY FLOW CORRELATION COEFFICIENT | 0.9930 |
| MEAN DAILY FLOW CORRELATION COEFFICIENT | 0.9882 |

MEAN DAILY SIMULATED FLOWS (CFS)

| DAY | OCT | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT | ANNUAL |
|------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|
| 1 | 37. | 4416. | 550. | 33928. | 14542. | 12670. | 14549. | 12055. | 9668. | 2865. | 1438. | 971. | |
| 2 | 36. | 3048. | 527. | 36517. | 15134. | 10844. | 14720. | 11088. | 9747. | 3050. | 2188. | 834. | |
| 3 | 94. | 2929. | 510. | 35186. | 14876. | 11154. | 15878. | 11870. | 8048. | 3234. | 2481. | 1009. | |
| 4 | 363. | 3323. | 494. | 34037. | 15360. | 12936. | 15273. | 12962. | 7102. | 3283. | 2028. | 1152. | |
| 5 | 978. | 2434. | 649. | 38036. | 16553. | 13116. | 18211. | 11894. | 6512. | 3185. | 2600. | 960. | |
| 6 | 984. | 2033. | 1276. | 38506. | 19278. | 11217. | 25888. | 10824. | 6100. | 3435. | 2620. | 1157. | |
| 7 | 975. | 1913. | 2152. | 26002. | 22279. | 11699. | 35122. | 12271. | 6078. | 3468. | 2307. | 1652. | |
| 8 | 1067. | 1580. | 1612. | 20389. | 21153. | 11773. | 34485. | 14573. | 7101. | 2921. | 1552. | 2053. | |
| 9 | 1456. | 1610. | 931. | 21374. | 17019. | 11894. | 25595. | 15195. | 8434. | 2730. | 1093. | 1672. | |
| 10 | 1130. | 1463. | 3791. | 27084. | 13720. | 11164. | 18803. | 14332. | 9217. | 2406. | 1246. | 1148. | |
| 11 | 1019. | 1379. | 8900. | 28771. | 12691. | 11872. | 12692. | 14829. | 8095. | 2350. | 1394. | 1313. | |
| 12 | 995. | 1421. | 8086. | 24365. | 12200. | 13784. | 11500. | 16987. | 7592. | 2421. | 1239. | 1139. | |
| 13 | 994. | 1797. | 5274. | 21834. | 11401. | 12177. | 17858. | 19205. | 7103. | 2216. | 1595. | 995. | |
| 14 | 997. | 1780. | 7334. | 22682. | 10550. | 13346. | 25258. | 16215. | 5698. | 1856. | 1861. | 697. | |
| 15 | 1035. | 1754. | 9896. | 26888. | 9650. | 14860. | 24396. | 13952. | 5094. | 1936. | 2341. | 590. | |
| 16 | 1104. | 1650. | 8199. | 28026. | 9917. | 12480. | 20006. | 12852. | 4778. | 1970. | 2750. | 1184. | |
| 17 | 2134. | 1553. | 7939. | 25221. | 9474. | 9594. | 21834. | 11376. | 4426. | 1759. | 2773. | 2781. | |
| 18 | 2046. | 1293. | 11008. | 25786. | 9203. | 7905. | 30591. | 10398. | 4118. | 1539. | 2720. | 4666. | |
| 19 | 1218. | 1031. | 22736. | 26749. | 9746. | 7716. | 32721. | 10075. | 3925. | 1677. | 1455. | 3694. | |
| 20 | 1385. | 896. | 26434. | 26790. | 8663. | 8713. | 29917. | 11924. | 3797. | 1719. | 1315. | 2440. | |
| 21 | 1906. | 836. | 21761. | 29073. | 8301. | 10176. | 23460. | 14536. | 3663. | 1711. | 1304. | 2316. | |
| 22 | 1515. | 800. | 22014. | 30451. | 8423. | 12585. | 21160. | 15788. | 4062. | 2201. | 1113. | 2325. | |
| 23 | 985. | 768. | 31423. | 33497. | 9323. | 23943. | 21311. | 16795. | 4220. | 3952. | 1096. | 1587. | |
| 24 | 965. | 736. | 32612. | 38932. | 9285. | 17683. | 21219. | 15163. | 3778. | 3926. | 1191. | 895. | |
| 25 | 964. | 706. | 33136. | 44996. | 8570. | 16985. | 19038. | 15098. | 3803. | 2480. | 1464. | 778. | |
| 26 | 973. | 683. | 33574. | 40160. | 8855. | 24230. | 15432. | 14133. | 4077. | 2052. | 1536. | 688. | |
| 27 | 932. | 671. | 31749. | 30795. | 9783. | 29434. | 12575. | 13390. | 4001. | 2461. | 1689. | 588. | |
| 28 | 1003. | 639. | 30361. | 19818. | 10733. | 26066. | 11433. | 14200. | 3734. | 2506. | 1811. | 696. | |
| 29 | 1655. | 593. | 31346. | 15613. | 12078. | 12861. | 12366. | 13728. | 3382. | 1932. | 1821. | 1106. | |
| 30 | 3255. | 573. | 34953. | 13779. | 10669. | 15134. | 10735. | 3037. | 1953. | 1967. | 1122. | | |
| 31 | 5644. | | 38293. | 13535. | | 15016. | | 9295. | | 1712. | 1482. | | |
| SIMULATED TOTALS | 40842. | 46310. | 470068. | 878819. | 358757. | 445561. | 618424. | 417737. | 170391. | 76909. | 55473. | 44207. | 3623494. CFS |

| | OCT | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT | ANNUAL |
|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| STORAGES-UZS | 3.600 | 0.0 | 0.380 | 0.0 | 0.396 | 0.0 | 0.342 | 0.0 | 0.076 | 0.213 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| LZS | 3.640 | 3.491 | 7.818 | 8.407 | 8.707 | 9.109 | 8.309 | 6.086 | 5.028 | 4.634 | 3.887 | 3.723. | IN |
| IFS | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.010 | 0.0 | 0.029 | 0.0 | 0.024 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | IN |
| GWS | 0.089 | 0.048 | 1.288 | 0.678 | 0.746 | 0.598 | 0.503 | 0.156 | 0.074 | 0.073 | 0.047 | 0.056 | IN |
| INDICES-UZC | 0.486 | 0.341 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.414 | 0.708 | 0.907 | 0.975 | 1.017 | 0.739 | |
| BFNX | 0.265 | 0.135 | 1.462 | 0.996 | 0.986 | 0.848 | 0.697 | 0.287 | 0.147 | 0.115 | 0.075 | 0.078 | |
| SIAM | 1.024 | 0.791 | 0.583 | 0.481 | 0.474 | 0.539 | 0.864 | 1.239 | 1.497 | 1.614 | 1.663 | 1.463 | |

DAILY FLOW DURATION AND ERROR TABLE

| FLOW INTERVAL | CASES.. | AV. ERROR | AVR. ABS. ERROR | STANDARD ERROR |
|---------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|----------------|
| 0.0- | 0.0 | | | |
| 1.0- | 0.0 | | | |
| 1.6- | 0.0 | | | |
| 2.7- | 0.0 | | | |
| 4.5- | 0.0 | | | |
| 7.4- | 0.0 | | | |
| 12.2- | 0.0 | | | |
| 20.1- | 0.0 | | | |
| 33.1- | 2.0 | -0.2 | 0.24 | 0.34 |
| 54.6- | 0.0 | | | |
| 90.0- | 1.0 | -0.3 | 0.29 | |
| 148.4- | 0.0 | | | |
| 244.7- | 1.0 | -1.0 | 1.02 | |
| 403.4- | 7.0 | -35.3 | 35.31 | 8.73 |
| 665.1- | 37.0 | -30.6 | 33.41 | 35.01 |
| 1096.6- | 49.0 | -57.4 | 75.99 | 72.91 |
| 1808.0- | 47.0 | -165.3 | 174.70 | 127.08 |
| 2981.0- | 30.0 | -370.1 | 440.98 | 354.38 |
| 4914.8- | 18.0 | 22.5 | 1095.15 | 1264.19 |
| 8103.1- | 57.0 | -786.9 | 893.39 | 734.49 |
| 13359.7- | 70.0 | -115.0 | 1340.33 | 2031.32 |
| 22026.5- | 45.0 | 2990.8 | 3075.42 | 2715.25 |
| | 364.0 | 148.6 | 905.22 | 17175.13 |
| CORRELATION COEFFICIENT (DAILY) | | 0.9882 | | |

TWENTY HIGHEST CLOCKHOUR RAINFALL EVENTS IN THE WATER YEAR
 1.230 0.920 0.640 0.550 0.540 0.530 0.490 0.490 0.430 0.470 0.450 0.410 0.390 0.380 0.380 0.380 0.360 0.360 0.360 0.350

TWENTY HIGHEST CLOCKHOUR OVERLAND FLOW RUNOFF EVENTS IN THE WATER YEAR
 0.305 0.280 0.186 0.117 0.105 0.102 0.094 0.090 0.077 0.075 0.068 0.065 0.062 0.055 0.054 0.054 0.052 0.052 0.047 0.042

DAILY SOIL MOISTURE

| DAY | OCT | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|------|
| 1 | 3. | 4. | 3. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 6. | 5. | 5. | 6. |
| 2 | 3. | 4. | 3. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 6. | 5. | 5. | 4. |
| 3 | 3. | 4. | 3. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 6. | 5. | 5. | 4. |
| 4 | 3. | 4. | 3. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 6. | 5. | 5. | 4. |
| 5 | 3. | 4. | 3. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 6. | 5. | 5. | 4. |
| 6 | 3. | 4. | 3. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 6. | 5. | 5. | 4. |
| 7 | 3. | 4. | 3. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 6. | 5. | 5. | 4. |
| 8 | 3. | 4. | 3. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 5. | 5. | 5. | 4. |
| 9 | 3. | 4. | 5. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 5. | 5. | 4. | 4. |
| 10 | 3. | 4. | 6. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 5. | 5. | 4. | 4. |
| 11 | 3. | 4. | 6. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 7. | 5. | 5. | 4. | 3. |
| 12 | 3. | 4. | 6. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 7. | 5. | 5. | 4. | 3. |
| 13 | 3. | 4. | 6. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 7. | 5. | 5. | 4. | 3. |
| 14 | 3. | 4. | 6. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 7. | 5. | 5. | 4. | 3. |
| 15 | 3. | 4. | 6. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 7. | 5. | 5. | 4. | 3. |
| 16 | 3. | 4. | 6. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 7. | 5. | 5. | 5. | 3. |
| 17 | 3. | 4. | 6. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 7. | 5. | 5. | 5. | 4. |
| 18 | 3. | 4. | 7. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 7. | 5. | 4. | 5. | 4. |
| 19 | 3. | 4. | 7. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 7. | 5. | 4. | 5. | 4. |
| 20 | 3. | 4. | 7. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 7. | 5. | 4. | 5. | 4. |
| 21 | 3. | 4. | 7. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 6. | 5. | 4. | 5. | 4. |
| 22 | 3. | 4. | 7. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 6. | 5. | 5. | 4. | 4. |
| 23 | 3. | 4. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 6. | 5. | 5. | 4. | 4. |
| 24 | 3. | 4. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 6. | 5. | 5. | 4. | 4. |
| 25 | 3. | 4. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 6. | 5. | 5. | 4. | 4. |
| 26 | 3. | 4. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 6. | 5. | 5. | 4. | 4. |
| 27 | 3. | 4. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 6. | 5. | 5. | 4. | 4. |
| 28 | 2. | 4. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 6. | 5. | 5. | 4. | 4. |
| 29 | 2. | 4. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 6. | 5. | 5. | 4. | 4. |
| 30 | 3. | 4. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 8. | 6. | 5. | 5. | 4. | 4. |
| 31 | 4. | 8. | 8. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 9. | 6. | 5. | 5. | 4. | 4. |

MULTI= 0 CONOPT(10)= 0 CONOPT(15)= 3 IBFLAG= 1 KWMAIN= 2

MULTI= 0 CONOPT(10)= 0 CONOPT(15)= 3 IBFLAG= 10 KWMAIN= 6

TOPFLAG= 11 IENDFG= 0 ISFLAG= 10 MAIN= 30

IDFLAG= 11 IFENDFG= 1 ISFLAG= 10 MAIN= 23

PEARL RIVER, LA. (8590 SQ MI) STORM 10/28/67 STUDY RW51
 REFERENCE SIMULATED DIFF %DIFF %ANNUAL DIFF

| | | | | |
|------------------|--------|---------|--------|-----|
| PEAK(CFS) | 7298.8 | 7310.0 | 12.20 | 0.2 |
| ANNUAL PEAK(CFS) | | 16550.0 | | 0.1 |
| PEAK(HR) | 93 | 95 | 2 | 2.2 |
| RUNOFF(IN) | 0.0987 | 0.0989 | 0.0002 | 0.2 |

PEARL RIVER, LA. (8590 SQ MI) STORM 01/21/68 STUDY RW51
 REFERENCE SIMULATED DIFF %DIFF %ANNUAL DIFF

| | | | | |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| PEAK(CFS) | 39521.1 | 46266.9 | 6745.90 | 17.1 |
| ANNUAL PEAK(CFS) | | 16550.0 | | 40.8 |
| PEAK(HR) | 119 | 114 | -5 | 4.2 |
| RUNOFF(IN) | 0.9799 | 1.0682 | 0.0883 | 9.0 |

PEARL RIVER, LA. (18590 SQ MI) STORM 05/09/68 STUDY RW51
REFERENCE SIMULATED DIFF %DIFF %ANNUAL DIFF

| | | | | |
|---------------------|---------|---------|--------|-----|
| PEAK(CFS) | 19567.1 | 19886.4 | 319.90 | 1.6 |
| ANNUAL
PEAK(CFS) | | 16550.0 | | 1.9 |
| PEAK(HR) | 104 | 104 | 0 | 0.0 |
| RUNOFF(IN) | 0.4872 | 0.4764 | 0.0108 | 2.2 |

PEARL RIVER, LA. (18590 SQ MI) STORM 08/11/68 STUDY RW51
REFERENCE SIMULATED DIFF %DIFF %ANNUAL DIFF

| | | | | |
|---------------------|--------|---------|---------|-----|
| PEAK(CFS) | 4166.3 | 4056.4 | -109.30 | 2.6 |
| ANNUAL
PEAK(CFS) | | 16550.0 | | 0.7 |
| PEAK(HR) | 168 | 168 | 0 | 0.0 |
| RUNOFF(IN) | 0.0641 | 0.0599 | 0.0042 | 6.6 |

REGIONAL WATERSHED TOTAL DAILY STREAMFLOW STATISTICAL SUMMARY FOR 12 SUB WATERSHEDS

| | MEAN
(CES) | MAXIMUM
(CES) | VARIANCE | STD
DEV | SUM
OF
R-S | ROOT
SUM
SQUARE | CORR
COEFF |
|---------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| SUB WATERSHED 1 | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 1295 | 10824 | 0.257E 07 | 1602 | | | |
| SIMULATED | 1312 | 16600 | 0.412E 07 | 2030 | -6183 | 11635 | 0.9712 |
| SUB WATERSHED 2 | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 1959 | 15621 | 0.574E 07 | 2396 | | | |
| SIMULATED | 1988 | 20559 | 0.866E 07 | 2942 | ***** | 15290 | 0.9758 |
| SUB WATERSHED 3 | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 602 | 8699 | 0.769E 06 | 876 | | | |
| SIMULATED | 612 | 12258 | 0.120E 07 | 1095 | -3544 | 5768 | 0.9774 |
| SUB WATERSHED 4 | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 865 | 10121 | 0.138E 07 | 1172 | | | |
| SIMULATED | 879 | 13142 | 0.206E 07 | 1433 | -5349 | 7154 | 0.9786 |
| SUB WATERSHED 5 | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 675 | 5888 | 0.807E 06 | 898 | | | |
| SIMULATED | 686 | 8321 | 0.120E 07 | 1096 | -4152 | 5256 | 0.9816 |
| SUB WATERSHED 6 | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 4402 | 29958 | 0.257E 08 | 5065 | | | |
| SIMULATED | 4480 | 37721 | 0.350E 08 | 5918 | ***** | 24993 | 0.9837 |
| SUB WATERSHED 7 | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 576 | 4702 | 0.519E 06 | 720 | | | |
| SIMULATED | 588 | 5842 | 0.805E 06 | 897 | -4294 | 4455 | 0.9822 |
| SUB WATERSHED 8 | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 6584 | 34246 | 0.487E 08 | 6977 | | | |
| SIMULATED | 6691 | 41231 | 0.614E 08 | 7834 | ***** | 28704 | 0.9862 |
| SUB WATERSHED 9 | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 7227 | 35552 | 0.549E 08 | 7408 | | | |
| SIMULATED | 7349 | 41679 | 0.681E 08 | 8259 | ***** | 29355 | 0.9867 |
| SUB WATERSHED 10 | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 8010 | 36403 | 0.629E 08 | 7931 | | | |
| SIMULATED | 8148 | 42091 | 0.776E 08 | 8808 | ***** | 30693 | 0.9872 |
| SUB WATERSHED 11 | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 927 | 9524 | 0.139E 07 | 1178 | | | |
| SIMULATED | 943 | 15437 | 0.222E 07 | 1488 | -5633 | 7261 | 0.9780 |
| REGIONAL WATERSHED | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 9725 | 38699 | 0.839E 08 | 9160 | | | |
| SIMULATED | 9899 | 44996 | 0.102E 09 | 10113 | ***** | 33742 | 0.9882 |

REGIONAL WATERSHED TOTAL MONTHLY STREAMFLOW STATISTICAL SUMMARY FOR 12 SUB WATERSHEDS

| | MEAN
(CFS) | MAXIMUM
(CFS) | VARIANCE | STD
DEV
OF
R-S | SUM
OF
SQUARE | ROOT
SUM
SQUARE | DRIEST
MONTH **
(CFS) | CORR
COEFF |
|--------------------|---------------|------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| SUB WATERSHED 1 | | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 39506 | 102115 | 0.140E 10 | 37461 | | | 2200 (12) | |
| SIMULATED | 40021 | 106822 | 0.164E 10 | 40533 | -6181 | 22193 | 1914 (12) | 0.9885 |
| SUB WATERSHED 2 | | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 59766 | 177911 | -0.976E 09 | 576.08 | | | 4756 (1) | |
| SIMULATED | 60661 | 177690 | -0.471E 09 | 61834 | -10741 | 34795 | 4694 (1) | 0.9872 |
| SUB WATERSHED 3 | | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 18391 | 62597 | 0.387E 09 | 19664 | | | 1511 (1) | |
| SIMULATED | 18686 | 72423 | 0.472E 09 | 21722 | -3543 | 10558 | 1521 (1) | 0.9932 |
| SUB WATERSHED 4 | | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 26391 | 80511 | 0.770E 09 | 27744 | | | 2812 (2) | |
| SIMULATED | 26837 | 92104 | 0.937E 09 | 30610 | -5348 | 15908 | 2789 (2) | 0.9914 |
| SUB WATERSHED 5 | | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 20597 | 57654 | 0.437E 09 | 20912 | | | 560 (12) | |
| SIMULATED | 20943 | 58737 | 0.496E 09 | 22260 | -4152 | 9554 | 483 (12) | 0.9932 |
| SUB WATERSHED 6 | | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 134289 | 401045 | -0.525E 09 | 129055 | | | 12782 (2) | |
| SIMULATED | 136651 | 405248 | 0.186E 10 | 137978 | -28340 | 68476 | 12360 (2) | 0.9904 |
| SUB WATERSHED 7 | | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 17582 | 54248 | 0.284E 09 | 16838 | | | 1112 (1) | |
| SIMULATED | 17940 | 57904 | 0.326E 09 | 18054 | -4294 | 6976 | 1101 (1) | 0.9954 |
| SUB WATERSHED 8 | | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 200823 | 589726 | 0.913E 09 | 187811 | | | 17468 (1) | |
| SIMULATED | 204112 | 604156 | 0.633E 09 | 198210 | -39470 | 92078 | 17227 (12) | 0.9913 |
| SUB WATERSHED 9 | | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 220437 | 618703 | 0.167E 10 | 200805 | | | 18297 (1) | |
| SIMULATED | 224169 | 629941 | 0.156E 10 | 210962 | -44790 | 97736 | 18369 (1) | 0.9911 |
| SUB WATERSHED 10 | | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 244307 | 695353 | 0.118E 10 | 220066 | | | 21190 (1) | |
| SIMULATED | 248534 | 721851 | 0.191E 10 | 231195 | -50724 | 100928 | 21280 (1) | 0.9923 |
| SUB WATERSHED 11 | | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 28302 | 69188 | -0.567E 09 | -238.21 | | | 3195 (11) | |
| SIMULATED | 28771 | 70907 | 0.645E 09 | 25403 | -5632 | 11182 | 2970 (11) | 0.9929 |
| REGIONAL WATERSHED | | | | | | | | |
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 296633 | 839285 | -0.115E 10 | 259945 | | | 40834 (1) | |
| SIMULATED | 301957 | 878819 | -0.212E 10 | 274212 | -63902 | 116637 | 40842 (1) | 0.9930 |

**-- THE MONTHS OF A GIVEN WATER YEAR ARE NUMBERED AS FOLLOWS:

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|------|
| NO. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| MONTH | OCT | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUNE | JUL | AUG | SEPT |

REGIONAL WATERSHED TOTAL STORM ANALYSIS SUMMARY FOR 12 SUB WATERSHEDS

| | PEAK
(CFS) | R/O
(HR) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10/15/67 | | | 01/08/68 | | | 04/26/68 | | | 08/18/68 | |

SUB WATERSHED 1

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-----|-------|------|-----|-------|-----|----|-------|
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 320 | 104 | 0.045 | 8794 | 123 | 1.609 | 3508 | 126 | 0.749 | 371 | 95 | 0.059 |
| SIMULATED | 324 | 104 | 0.045 | 12048 | 119 | 1.887 | 4088 | 123 | 0.761 | 348 | 95 | 0.053 |

| | PEAK
(CFS) | R/O
(HR) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10/15/67 | | | 01/08/68 | | | 04/26/68 | | | 08/18/68 | |

SUB WATERSHED 2

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----|----|-------|-------|-----|-------|------|-----|-------|-----|----|-------|
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 979 | 73 | 0.071 | 15907 | 111 | 1.987 | 4398 | 119 | 0.622 | 524 | 93 | 0.055 |
| SIMULATED | 972 | 73 | 0.071 | 21257 | 111 | 2.395 | 4863 | 122 | 0.609 | 496 | 93 | 0.050 |

| | PEAK
(CFS) | R/O
(HR) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10/15/67 | | | 01/09/68 | | | 04/25/68 | | | 07/31/68 | |

SUB WATERSHED 3

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----|----|-------|------|----|-------|------|-----|-------|-----|----|-------|
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 315 | 70 | 0.095 | 4757 | 78 | 2.170 | 1156 | 104 | 0.521 | 298 | 69 | 0.117 |
| SIMULATED | 325 | 72 | 0.099 | 6010 | 74 | 2.377 | 1482 | 104 | 0.565 | 288 | 69 | 0.109 |

| | PEAK
(CFS) | R/O
(HR) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10/15/67 | | | 01/09/68 | | | 04/26/68 | | | 07/31/68 | |

SUB WATERSHED 4

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----|-----|-------|------|-----|-------|------|-----|-------|-----|-----|-------|
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 388 | 110 | 0.097 | 6539 | 114 | 2.401 | 1451 | 120 | 0.450 | 368 | 109 | 0.113 |
| SIMULATED | 405 | 110 | 0.103 | 8079 | 114 | 2.792 | 1835 | 120 | 0.477 | 351 | 109 | 0.104 |

| | PEAK
(CFS) | R/O
(HR) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10/15/67 | | | 01/09/68 | | | 04/25/68 | | | 08/13/68 | |

SUB WATERSHED 5

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----|----|-------|------|----|-------|------|-----|-------|-----|-----|-------|
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 202 | 84 | 0.036 | 5753 | 80 | 1.907 | 3056 | 118 | 0.921 | 392 | 108 | 0.086 |
| SIMULATED | 201 | 84 | 0.036 | 7033 | 75 | 2.092 | 3613 | 118 | 0.930 | 384 | 108 | 0.082 |

| | PEAK
(CFS) | R/O
(HR) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10/15/67 | | | 01/09/68 | | | 04/26/68 | | | 08/15/68 | |

SUB WATERSHED 6

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------|----|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-------|------|----|-------|
| REFERENCE WY 68 | 2501 | 94 | 0.093 | 30736 | 117 | 1.821 | 14331 | 128 | 0.680 | 1482 | 94 | 0.058 |
| SIMULATED | 2533 | 94 | 0.094 | 39061 | 112 | 2.134 | 15595 | 128 | 0.673 | 1431 | 94 | 0.055 |

| | | | |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 10/15/67 | 01/08/68 | 04/26/68 | 08/14/68 |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|

SUB WATERSHED 7

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|----|----|----|-------|------|----|-------|------|-----|-------|-----|----|-------|
| REFERENCE WY | 68 | 82 | 69 | 0.029 | 4883 | 83 | 1.557 | 1860 | 101 | 0.610 | 343 | 93 | 0.127 |
| SIMULATED | | 85 | 73 | 0.030 | 6137 | 83 | 1.735 | 2560 | 101 | 0.691 | 422 | 93 | 0.135 |

| | | | |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 10/19/67 | 01/13/68 | 04/28/68 | 08/18/68 |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|

SUB WATERSHED 8

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|----|------|----|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-------|------|-----|-------|
| REFERENCE WY | 68 | 2736 | 98 | 0.069 | 35340 | 121 | 1.437 | 15836 | 168 | 0.570 | 1814 | 122 | 0.053 |
| SIMULATED | | 2763 | 98 | 0.070 | 42835 | 116 | 1.632 | 15988 | 168 | 0.540 | 1733 | 122 | 0.050 |

| | | | |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 10/22/67 | 01/15/68 | 05/03/68 | 08/20/68 |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|

SUB WATERSHED 9

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|----|------|----|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-------|------|-----|-------|
| REFERENCE WY | 68 | 2777 | 86 | 0.064 | 36162 | 133 | 1.306 | 17510 | 119 | 0.651 | 2175 | 135 | 0.055 |
| SIMULATED | | 2802 | 86 | 0.065 | 43487 | 128 | 1.468 | 18191 | 120 | 0.645 | 2086 | 135 | 0.052 |

| | | | |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 10/24/67 | 01/18/68 | 05/06/68 | 08/22/68 |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|

SUB WATERSHED 10

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|----|------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-------|------|-----|-------|
| REFERENCE WY | 68 | 2825 | 108 | 0.056 | 37157 | 131 | 1.166 | 18073 | 117 | 0.581 | 2476 | 138 | 0.059 |
| SIMULATED | | 2849 | 108 | 0.057 | 44317 | 126 | 1.298 | 18675 | 118 | 0.573 | 2395 | 138 | 0.057 |

| | | | |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 10/28/67 | 01/08/68 | 05/08/68 | 08/20/68 |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|

SUB WATERSHED 11

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|----|------|----|-------|------|-----|-------|------|-----|-------|-----|-----|-------|
| REFERENCE WY | 68 | 1191 | 95 | 0.150 | 5530 | 104 | 0.769 | 4308 | 122 | 0.588 | 363 | 103 | 0.031 |
| SIMULATED | | 1185 | 95 | 0.150 | 6478 | 96 | 0.800 | 4588 | 122 | 0.595 | 357 | 103 | 0.030 |

| | | | |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 10/28/67 | 01/21/68 | 05/09/68 | 08/11/68 |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|


REGIONAL WATERSHED

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|----|------|----|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-------|------|-----|-------|
| REFERENCE WY | 68 | 7298 | 93 | 0.099 | 39521 | 119 | 0.980 | 19567 | 104 | 0.487 | 4166 | 168 | 0.064 |
| SIMULATED | | 7310 | 95 | 0.099 | 46266 | 114 | 1.068 | 19886 | 104 | 0.476 | 4056 | 168 | 0.060 |


HOURLY
REFERENCE
AND
SIMULATED
STREAMFLOW(CFS)

PEARL RIVER, LA. (8590 SQ MI) STORM 10/28/67

STUDY 0000



Reproduced from
best available copy.




PEARL RIVER NR PEARL CITY, LA. SWS NO.12 (8590 SQ. MI) WY68 0000


MEAN DAILY OBSERVED AND SIMULATED STREAMFLOW

CFS


6000
5000
4000
3000
2000
1000
0.0



REF TOTAL 0.40634E+05 OCT SIM TOTAL 0.40636E+05




PEARL RIVER NR PEARL CITY, LA. SWS NO.12 (8590 SQ. MI) WY68 0000




MEAN DAILY OBSERVED AND SIMULATED STREAMFLOW CFS

PEARL RIVER NR PEARL CITY, LA. SWS NO.12 (8590 SQ. MI) WY68 0000



REF TOTAL 0.83926E+06 JAN SIM TOTAL 0.87876E+06

PEARL RIVER NR PEARL CITY, LA. SWS NO.12 (8590 SQ. MI) WY68 0000




MEAN
DAILY
OBSERVED
AND
SIMULATED
STREAMFLOW


CFS

2.80E+04
2.40E+04
2.00E+04
1.60E+04
1.20E+04
8000
4000
0.0


PEARL RIVER NR PEARL CITY, LA. SWS NO.12 (8590 SQ. MI) WY68 0000




PEARL RIVER NR PEARL CITY, LA. SWS NO.12 (8590 SQ. MI) WY68 0000



PEARL RIVER NR PEARL CITY, LA. SWS NO.12 (8590 SQ. MI) WY68 0000




PEARL RIVER NR PEARL CITY, LA. SWS NO.12 (8590 SQ. MI) WY68 0000




MEAN DAILY OBSERVED AND SIMULATED STREAMFLOW CFS

PEARL RIVER NR PEARL CITY, LA. SWS NO.12 (8590 SQ. MI) WY68 0000




REF TOTAL 0.87222E+05 JULY SIM TOTAL 0.77038E+05

PEARL RIVER NR PEARL CITY, LA. SWS NO.12 (8590 SQ. MI) WY68 0000



MEAN DAILY OBSERVED AND SIMULATED STREAMFLOW

PEARL RIVER NR PEARL CITY, LA. SWS NO.12 (8590 SQ. MI) WY68 0000



REF TOTAL 0.46363E+05 SEFT SIN TOTAL 0.44219E+05

Reproduced from
best available copy.

PEARL RIVER NR PEARL CITY, LA. SWS NO.12 (8590 SQ. MI) WY68 0000

MEAN DAILY OBSERVED AND SIMULATED STREAMFLOW CFS

4.00E+04


OBSERVED AND SIMULATED STREAMFLOW CFS

3.00E+04

2.00E+04

1.00E+04

0.0



APPENDIX D
RELATED TECHNICAL ARTICLES AND ABSTRACTS

73W-00-5011

73W 05011. TARGET DETECTION IN AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY.
AUGUST 1964.
KANAL, LNH RANDALL, NC
PHILCO FORD CORP., BLUE BELL, PA.

11P. THIS PAPER WAS PRESENTED AT WESCON/64. THE AUTOMATIC DETECTION OF TARGETS IN TACTICAL AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY, REGARDLESS OF WHERE THEY MIGHT APPEAR, IS ACCOMPLISHED VIA A TWO LEVEL STATISTICAL DECISION PROCEDURE WHICH INVOLVES MODIFICATIONS OF CLASSICAL METHODS OF MULTIVARIATE DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS. COMPUTER SIMULATED TARGET DETECTION EXPERIMENTS PERFORMED ON ACTUAL TACTICAL IMAGERY PRODUCED IMPRESSIVE RESULTS ON INDEPENDENT TEST SAMPLES.

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY# TARGET ACQUISITION
COMPUTERIZED SIMULATION# PHOTointerpretation

73W-00-5012

AIAA-69-1085. THE ROLE OF SATELLITES IN EARTH ECOLOGY.
AIAA 6TH ANNUAL MEETING AND TECHNICAL DISPLAY. ANAHEIM,
CALIF. OCTOBER 1969.
CASTRUCCIO, P
IBM GAITHERSBURG, FSD
AIAA-69-1085

MOST OF THE APPLICATIONS OF EARTH OBSERVATION SATELLITES ARE AIMED AT IMPROVED EXPLOITATION OF SPECIFIC NATURAL RESOURCES. IN PRACTICE, THE SPHERE OF INFLUENCE OF TECHNOLOGICAL EXPLOITATION HAS ALREADY REACHED SUCH A SIZE THAT MANY HUMAN ACTIVITIES, ALBEIT IN DIVERSE FIELDS OF ENDEAVOR, ARE BEGINNING TO COUPLE.

ECOLOGY# ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES

73W-00-5014

73W 05014. RECENT PROGRESS IN REMOTE SENSING WITH AUDIO AND RADIO FREQUENCY PULSES. 1964.
GELEYNSE, M# BARRINGER, AR
BARRINGER RESEARCH, LTD., TORONTO, CANADA

25P. THIS PAPER IS FROM THE PROCEEDING OF THE 3RD SYMPOSIUM OF REMOTE SENSING OF ENVIRONMENT, 1964. FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE INPUT OR INDUCED PULSE TRANSIENT ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEM HAS RESULTED IN HIGHER POWERED MINIATURIZED EQUIPMENT OF IMPROVED SENSITIVITY. AIRBORNE PROGRAMS IN AUSTRALIA AND THE SOUTHWESTERN UNITED STATES HAVE PROVEN THE CAPABILITIES OF THE SYSTEM FOR DETECTING AND RESOLVING GEOLOGIC FEATURES BENEATH HIGHLY CONDUCTIVE SALINE SOILS. ORE DEPOSITS OF THE PORPHYRY COPPER TYPE HAVE FOR THE FIRST TIME BEEN DETECTED FROM THE AIR IN A COMPREHENSIVE TEST PROGRAM. CONTINUING DEVELOPMENT OF VERY SHORT PULSE VHF RADAR MOUNTED IN A VEHICLE HAS YIELDED NEW INFORMATION ON THE VHF REFLECTIVE PROPERTIES OF SOIL UNDER VARYING CONDITIONS OF LAYERING, MOISTURE CONTENT AND SOIL TYPE. THE FEASIBILITY HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED AND THE PARAMETERS OUTLINED FOR AN AIRBORNE LOW LEVEL TERRAIN SENSING SYSTEM UTILIZING VHF PULSES.

REMOTE SENSING# AIRBORNE DETECTORS# RADAR

73W-00-5015

N69-34879. AN EVALUATION OF CROP AND LAND USE DATA IN A WORLD SAMPLE OF COUNTRIES. NOVEMBER 1968.
BAKER, S.
AGRICULTURE DEPT., WASHINGTON, D.C.
N69-34879# NASA-CR-103944

25P. THE MAIN OBJECTIVE OF THIS STUDY WAS TO PROVIDE INFORMATION USEFUL IN DETERMINING HOW, AND TO WHAT EXTENT, REMOTE SENSING MIGHT HELP IN SUPPLYING MORE ACCURATE, COMPREHENSIVE, AND TIMELY DATA ON CROP AREAS, CROP YIELDS, CROP PRODUCTION, AND LAND USE AROUND THE WORLD. REMOTE SENSING IS THE METHOD USED TO COLLECT SUCH DATA ABOUT THE EARTH'S SURFACE. THIS METHOD UTILIZED OPTICAL, ELECTROOPTICAL, AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES MOUNTED IN AIRPLANES OR SATELLITES. REMOTE SENSING PROMISES TO CLOSE SOME OF THE REMAINING GAPS IN AVAILABLE DATA, AND TO IMPROVE DATA QUALITY AND TIMELINESS. IT MAY BE POSSIBLE, THROUGH REMOTE SENSING, TO REPLACE SOME PRESENT METHODS WITH LESS COSTLY METHODS.

REMOTE SENSING# LAND USE# AIRBORNE DETECTORS

73W-00-5028

N70-38529. REPORTS, STUDIES, AND INVESTIGATIONS RELATING TO SATELLITE HYDROLOGY: ANNOTATED-BIBLIOGRAPHY. JUNE 1970.
BAKER, DR# FLANDERS, AF# FLEMING, M
COMMERCE DEPT.
N70-38529# ESSA-TM-NESCTM-10# PB194072

30P. PRESENTED IS AN ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY FOR A SATELLITE HYDROLOGY PROGRAM. THE OBJECTIVE OF THIS PROGRAM IS TO DEVELOP HYDROLOGIC PROBLEMS FROM SATELLITE INVESTIGATIONS, REPORTS, AND STUDIES.

HYDROLOGY# ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES# METEOROLOGY
HYDROMETEOROLOGY# BIBLIOGRAPHIES

73W-00-5029

73W 05029. SIMULATION OF HEAT TRANSFER IN SOILS. JULY 1970.
WIERENGA, PJ
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV., LAS CRUCES
DEWIT, CT
AGRICULTURAL UNIV., WAGENINGEN, NETHERLANDS

8P. THIS PAPER IS FROM THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE SOIL SCIENCE SOCIETY OF AMERICA, VOL. 34, 1970. A COMPUTER MODEL WAS DEVELOPED TO PREDICT THE TEMPERATURE FLUCTUATION IN SJBSOIL FROM THE TEMPERATURE VARIATION AT THE SOIL SURFACE, TAKING INTO ACCOUNT CHANGES IN THE APPARENT THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY WITH DEPTH BELOW SOIL SURFACE AND SOIL TEMPERATURE. THE MODEL MAKES USE OF S/360 CSMP, A RECENTLY DEVELOPED SIMULATION LANGUAGE FOR DIGITAL COMPUTERS. PREDICTED SOIL TEMPERATURES WERE COMPARED WITH SOIL TEMPERATURES OBSERVED AT 2, 10, 15, 25, 30, AND 75 CM BELOW THE SURFACE OF BARE FIELD PROFILES, BEFORE AND AFTER IRRIGATION WITH 13.4 CM WATER. IN WET SOIL OBSERVED AND PREDICTED TEMPERATURES WERE IN CLOSE AGREEMENT. IN DRY SOIL SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES WERE OBSERVED BETWEEN MEASURED AND PREDICTED SOIL TEMPERATURES DURING PART OF THE DAY. THE INCREASE IN APPARENT THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY WITH SOIL TEMPERATURE HAD A NEGATIVE EFFECT ON THE MAGNITUDE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN OBSERVED AND PREDICTED VALUES IN THE DRY SOIL. AGREEMENT WAS FOUND BETWEEN SOIL HEAT FLUX DENSITY VALUES PREDICTED FROM THE MODEL AND CALCULATED WITH THE TEMPERATURE INTEGRAL METHOD. USE OF A DIGITAL SIMULATION LANGUAGE CAN SAVE CONSIDERABLE PROGRAMMING TIME, AND CAN BE APPLIED TO MOVEMENT OF WATER AND GASES IN SOIL PROFILES.

SOIL PROFILES# THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY
HEAT TRANSFER# DIGITAL SIMULATION# IBM SYSTEM/ 360

73W-00-5031

N70-41150. A PRELIMINARY EVALUATION OF AIRBORNE AND SPACEBORNE REMOTE SENSING DATA FOR HYDROLOGIC USES. JULY 1966.
RJBINOVE, CJ
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.
N70-41150# NASA-CR-76594# TL-NASA-50

19P. REMOTE SENSORS ARE EXAMINED AS VALUABLE TOOLS FOR OBTAINING WATER RESOURCE DATA ON OCCURRENCE, MOVEMENT, AND INTERACTIONS OF WATER, AND AS A BASIS FOR CONTROL. TABULATED DATA PRESENT A BRIEF EVALUATION OF AIRBORNE AND SPACEBORNE REMOTE SENSORS USED IN HYDROLOGY. LIMITED USE OF SENSORS IS DEFINED IN THE AREAS OF MEASURING PHYSICAL, CHEMICAL, AND BIOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF WATER SURFACES, MAPPING AND DESCRIBING GROUND WATER FEATURES, SNOW SURVEYING AND MAPPING, GLACIOLOGY, GEOMORPHOLOGY, AND MEASURING LIQUID VAPOR TRANSFER.

REMOTE SENSING# HYDROLOGY# WATER RESOURCES

73W-00-5034

REF. NO. 70-13. CRUISE REPORT - CHAIN CRUISE 92.
MARCH 1970.
GIFFORD, J
WOODS HOLE OCEANOGRAPHIC INSTITUTION, MASS.
REF. NO. 70-13# N00014-66-C-0241

29P. CRUISE 92 DEPARTED WOODS HOLE ON THE 10TH OF JUNE, 1969. A TOTAL OF NINE MOORINGS WERE SET, TWO OF WHICH WERE TEMPORARY, (A SHORT TERM ENGINEERING MOORING AND THE GLASS FLOAT TEST). ONE LONG TERM, (SIX MONTH) SYNTACTIC FOAM FLOAT NEAR BOTTOM MOORING WAS RETRIEVED. OTHER WORK INCLUDED HYDROSTATIONS, XBT'S, CURRENT PROFILE MEASUREMENTS IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE HYDROSTATIONS, AND RADAR TRANSPONDER TESTS.

MOORINGS# TRANSPONDERS# BUOYS

73W-00-5036

73W 05036. ORBITAL PHOTOGRAPHY: APPLIED EARTH SURVEY TOOL. 1968.
WOBBER, FJ
13M GAITHERSBURG, MD

9P. ORBITAL PHOTOGRAPHS MAY BE DEFINED AS IMAGES OF PLANETARY SURFACES TAKEN FROM AN ORBITAL POINT OF OBSERVATION, AND MAY BE GENERALLY CONSIDERED AN EXTENSION OF AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY TO EXTREME ALTITUDES. AS DEFINED HERE, ORBITAL PHOTOGRAPHY IS LIMITED TO SURVEYS OF THE EARTH'S SURFACE.

SPACEBORNE PHOTOGRAPHY# PHOTOGRAMMETRY# SURVEYS

73W-00-5041

73W 05041. A MODEL OF WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT UNDER UNCERTAINTY.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. JUNE 1970. P690-699.
UPTON, C
CHICAGO UNIV., ILL.

THE PROBLEMS CAUSED BY INTRODUCING UNCERTAINTY INTO THE ANALYSIS OF WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT IS ANALYZED IN THIS PAPER. THE FIRST SECTION OF THIS PAPER CONTAINS A DISCUSSION OF THE COST FUNCTIONS, THE STOCHASTIC PROPERTIES OF STREAMFLOW, AND THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION TO BE USED IN THE MODEL. THE MODEL IS ANALYZED IN THE SECOND SECTION. AN EXAMPLE IS PRESENTED IN THE THIRD SECTION, AND SUMMARY REMARKS ARE CONTAINED IN THE FOURTH.

WATER QUALITY# STREAM FLOW# STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

73W-00-5042

73W 05042. STOCHASTIC MODELS IN HYDROLOGY.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. JUNE 1970. P750-755.
SCHEIDECKER, AE
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, URBANA, ILL.

THE STOCHASTIC MODELS THAT CAN BE USED TO REPRESENT GROWTH AND STEADY STATE PHENOMENA IN HYDROLOGY ARE REVIEWED.

HYDROLOGY# STOCHASTIC PROCESSES# STEADY STATE

73W-00-5043

73W 05043. A TWO STEP PROBABILISTIC MODEL OF STORAGE RESERVOIR WITH CORRELATED INPUTS.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. JUNE 1970. P756-767.
KLEMES, V
TORONTO UNIV., ONTARIO

FRAGMENTATION OF MORAN'S MODEL AND DECOMPOSITION OF ITS TRANSITION MATRIX INTO A RELEASE RULE AND AN INPUT COMPONENT ARE USED TO SIMPLIFY THE PROBLEM FORMULATION FOR RESERVOIRS WITH COMPLEX OPERATING RULES AND TO DERIVE THE LLOYD MODEL DIRECTLY FROM THAT OF MORAN BY INTRODUCING TWO SUCCESSIVE TRANSITIONS OF ONE VARIABLE INSTEAD OF A SINGLE BIVARIATE TRANSITION.

PROBABILITY THEORY# RESERVOIRS# TRANSITION PROBABILITIES

73W-00-5044

73W 05044. DIGITAL ANALYSIS OF AREAL FLOW IN MULTIAQUIFER GROUNDWATER SYSTEMS: A QUASI THREE DIMENSIONAL MODEL.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. JUNE 1970. P883-888.
BREDEHOEFT, JD# PINDER, GF
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.

A GENERAL SOLUTION FOR THE RESPONSE OF MULTIPLE AQUIFER SYSTEMS TO PUMPING STRESS REQUIRES SOLVING THE THREE DIMENSIONAL FLOW EQUATIONS.

AQUIFERS# GROUND WATER# THREE DIMENSIONAL FLOW

73W-00-5045

73W 05045. NUMERICAL MODELING OF UNSATURATED GROUNDWATER FLOW AND COMPARISON OF THE MODEL TO A FIELD EXPERIMENT.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. JUNE 1970. P862-874.
GREEN, DW# DABIRI, H# WEINAUG, CF
KANSAS UNIV., LAWRENCE
PRILL, R
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, GARDEN CITY, KANS.

A MATHEMATICAL MODEL DESCRIBING ISOTHERMAL, TWO PHASE FLOW IN POROUS MEDIA HAS BEEN DEVELOPED.

GROUND WATER# MATHEMATICAL MODELS# SOIL WATER

73W-00-5047

73W 05047. LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATION OF CONSTANTS IN A LINEAR RECESSION MODEL.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. AUGUST 1970. P1062-1069.
JAMES, LD# THOMPSON, WD
KENTUCKY UNIV., LEXINGTON

LEAST SQUARES CAN BE USED FOR ESTIMATING CONSTANTS IN A LINEAR RECESSION MODEL FROM PUBLISHED AVERAGE DAILY STREAMFLOWS. A MODEL WITH TWO RECESSION CONSTANTS WAS DERIVED AND SUCCESSFULLY TESTED ON A NUMBER OF KENTUCKY STREAMS.

LEAST SQUARES METHOD# STREAM FLOW# HYDROLOGY

73W-00-5048

73W 05048. APPLICATION OF LINEAR RANDOM MODELS TO FOUR ANNUAL STREAMFLOW SERIES.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. AUGUST 1970. P1070-1078.
CARLSON, RF
ALASKA UNIV., COLLEGE
MACCORMICK, AJ# WATTS, DG
WISCONSIN UNIV., MADISON

A SIMPLE METHOD FOR DESCRIBING RANDOM TIME SERIES IS ILLUSTRATED BY APPLICATION TO THE ANNUAL STREAMFLOW DATA OF THE ST. LAWRENCE, THE MISSOURI, THE NEVA, AND THE NIGER RIVERS.

STREAM FLOW# RANDOM PROCESSES# TIME SERIES ANALYSIS

73W-00-5049

73W 05049. A CRITIQUE OF METHODS SIMULATING RAINFALL.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. AUGUST 1970. P1104-1114.
HALL, MJ
IMPERIAL COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECH., LONDON, ENGLAND

THREE FUNDAMENTAL PROBLEMS ARE ENCOUNTERED IN DESIGNING A RAINFALL SIMULATOR: (1) THE CONTROL OF APPLICATION RATES IN BOTH SPACE AND TIME, (2) THE REPRODUCTION OF DROP SIZE DISTRIBUTIONS OBSERVED IN DIFFERENT INTENSITIES OF NATURAL RAINFALL AT THE CORRESPONDING APPLICATION RATES, (3) THE REPRODUCTION OF THE TERMINAL VELOCITIES OF DROPS IN NATURAL RAINFALL.

RAINFALL# SIMULATION# RAINDROPS

73W-00-5050

73W 05050. NONLINEAR TIME VARYING MODEL OF RAINFALL RUNOFF RELATION.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. OCTOBER 1970. P1277-1286.
CHIU, CL# HUANG, JT
PITTSBURGH UNIV., PA.

THE DEVELOPED NONLINEAR TIME VARYING MODEL OF A HYDROLOGIC SYSTEM THAT RELATES THE RAINFALL AND THE RUNOFF IS REPRESENTED BY A PAIR OF NONLINEAR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH TIME VARYING COEFFICIENTS. THE MODEL DOES NOT REQUIRE THE LINEARITY AND TIME INVARIANCE ASSUMPTIONS NECESSARY IN CONVENTIONAL UNIT HYDROGRAPH METHODS.

RAINFALL# RUNOFF# NONLINEAR SYSTEMS

73W-00-5051

73W 05051. MODELING THE EFFECT OF LAND USE MODIFICATIONS ON RUNOFF.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. OCTOBER 1970. P1287-1295.
DNSTAD, CA
AGRICULTURE DEPT., BROOKINGS, S. DAK.
JAMIESON, DG
WATER RESOURCES BOARD, READING, ENGLAND.

THE USE OF MATHEMATICAL MODELS FOR SIMULATING THE RESPONSE CHARACTERISTICS OF A WATERSHED HAS BEEN FIRMLY ESTABLISHED. THESE MODELS CAN BE USED FOR GENERATING A LONGER PERIOD OF FLOW RECORDS FROM A SHORTER RECORD, PROVIDED LONGTERM RAINFALL RECORDS ARE AVAILABLE. IN ADDITION, SOME FLOW SIMULATION MODELS ARE SUITABLE FOR REAL TIME FORECASTING. THE MAJOR PROBLEM IS DEFINING THE PARAMETERS OF A PARTICULAR WATERSHED TO CONVERT THE GENERAL MODEL INTO THE SPECIFIC.

RUNOFF# MATHEMATICAL MODELS# WATERSHEDS

73W-00-5052

73W 05052. PREDICTING AND MAPPING THE AVERAGE HYDROLOGIC RESPONSE FOR THE EASTERN UNITED STATES.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. OCTOBER 1970. P1312-1326.
WOODRUFF, JF# HEWLETT, JO
GEORGIA UNIV., ATHENS

AN ATTEMPT WAS MADE TO PREDICT THE AVERAGE ANNUAL HYDROLOGIC RESPONSE (RATION OF ANNUAL DIRECT RUNOFF TO ANNUAL PRECIPITATION) OF UNGAGED WATERSHEDS IN THE EAST BY REGRESSION AGAINST 15 PLATEMETRIC, HYPSEOMETRIC, AND LAND USE FACTORS AVAILABLE ON 90 SELECTED TEST BASINS (2 TO 100 SQUARE MILES) FROM NEW YORK TO ALABAMA.

MAPPING# RUNOFF# PRECIPITATION (METEOROLOGY)

73W-00-5053

73W 05053. ANALYSIS OF STOCHASTIC HYDROLOGIC SYSTEMS.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. DECEMBER 1970. P1569-1582.
CHOW, VT# KARELIOTIS, SJ
ILLINOIS UNIV., URBANA

THE OBJECTIVE OF THIS STUDY WAS TO FORMULATE THE MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF A STOCHASTIC HYDROLOGIC SYSTEM AND THE MATHEMATICAL MODELS OF THE HYDROLOGIC PROCESSES IN THE SYSTEM, USING THE WATERSHED AS AN EXAMPLE OF THE HYDROLOGIC SYSTEM.

HYDROLOGY# STOCHASTIC PROCESSES# WATERSHEDS

73W-00-5054

73W 05054. A GENERAL NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF THE TWO DIMENSIONAL DIFFUSION CONVECTION EQUATION BY THE FINITE ELEMENT METHOD.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. DECEMBER 1970. P1611-1617.
GUYNON, GL# SCOTT, VH# HERRMAN, LR
CALIFORNIA UNIV., DAVIS

THE TWO DIMENSIONAL DIFFUSION CONVECTION EQUATION, TOGETHER WITH THE APPROPRIATE AUXILIARY CONDITIONS, IS USED TO DESCRIBE APPROXIMATELY THE MOTION OF DISSOLVED CONSTITUENTS IN POROUS MEDIA FLOW, DISPERSION OF POLLUTANTS IN STREAMS, ENERGY TRANSFER IN RESERVOIRS, AND OTHER NATURAL TRANSPORT PROCESSES.

TWO DIMENSIONAL FLOW# LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

73W-00-5055

73W 05055. FLOOD SERIES COMPARED TO RAINFALL EXTREMES.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. DECEMBER 1970. P1655-1667.
REICH, BM
PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIV., UNIV., PARK

ANNUAL SERIES OF MAXIMUM INSTANTANEOUS FLOOD PEAKS FROM 26 PENNSYLVANIAN WATERSHEDS SMALLER THAN 200 SQUARE MILES WERE ANALYZED BY THE GUMBEL, LOG GUMBEL, AND LOG PEARSON 3 METHODS.

FLOODS# WATERSHEDS# RAINFALL

73W-00-5056

73W 05056. DEFINITION AND USES OF THE LINEAR REGRESSION MODEL.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. DECEMBER 1970. P1668-1673.
DISKIN, MH
AGRICULTURE DEPT., TUCSON, ARIZ.

A SIMPLE THREE ELEMENT MODEL IS PROPOSED AS AN INTERPRETATION OF THE REGRESSION EQUATION FOR THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ANNUAL RAINFALL AND ANNUAL RUNOFF FROM WATERSHEDS.

LINEAR REGRESSION# RAINFALL# WATERSHEDS

73W-00-5057

73W 05057. A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF CRITICAL DROUGHT SIMULATION.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. FEBRUARY 1971. P52-62.
ASKEW, AJ# YEH, WWA HALL, WA
CALIFORNIA UNIV., LOS ANGELES

A SET OF MONTHLY STREAMFLOW RECORDS IS GENERATED AND ANALYZED TO GIVE A SET OF SYNTHETIC CRITICAL PERIODS.

DROUGHT# STREAM FLOW# SIMULATION

73W-00-5058

73W 05058. SOIL MOISTURE DETECTION WITH IMAGING RADARS.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. FEBRUARY 1971. P100-110.
MACDONALD, HC# WAITE, WP
KANSAS UNIV., LAWRENCE

THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS STUDY SUGGEST THAT PRESENTLY AVAILABLE DUAL POLARIZED, K BAND, SIDE LOOKING IMAGING RADARS PROVIDE A CAPABILITY FOR REVEALING A QUALITATIVE ESTIMATE OF SOIL MOISTURE CONTENT.

SOIL WATER# SIDE LOOKING RADAR

73W-00-5059

73W 05059. DISCRETE DIFFERENTIAL DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING APPROACH TO WATER RESOURCES SYSTEMS OPTIMIZATION.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. APRIL 1971. P273-282.
CHOW, MH# KOKOTOVIC, PV# MEREDITH, DD
ILLINOIS UNIV., URBANA

THE OPTIMIZATION OF OPERATING POLICIES OF MULTIPLE UNIT AND MULTIPLE PURPOSE WATER RESOURCES SYSTEMS BY TRADITIONAL DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING WITH THE USE OF HIGH SPEED DIGITAL COMPUTERS ENCOUNTERS TWO MAJOR DIFFICULTIES: MEMORY REQUIREMENTS AND COMPUTER TIME REQUIREMENTS. THIS PAPER PRESENTS AN ITERATIVE METHOD THAT CAN EASE THE ABOVE DIFFICULTIES CONSIDERABLY.

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS# DIGITAL COMPUTERS

73W-00-5060

73W 05060. OPERATIONAL HYDROLOGY FOR UNGAGED STREAMS BY THE GRID SQUARE TECHNIQUE.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. APRIL 1971. P283-291.
PENTLAND, RL# CUTHBERT, DR
ENERGY, MINES, AND RESOURCES DEPT., OTTAWA, CANADA

THE GRID SQUARE TECHNIQUE AND ITS EXTENSION TO OPERATIONAL HYDROLOGY ARE DISCUSSED, ALONG WITH AN EXAMPLE FROM THE NEW BRUNSWICK REGION OF CANADA.

HYDROLOGY# STREAM FLOW# WATER RESOURCES

73W-00-5061

73W 05061. USE OF LINEAR PROGRAMMING FOR ESTIMATING GEODYNAMIC PARAMETERS OF GROUND WATER BASINS.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. APRIL 1971. P367-374.
KLEINECKE, D
GENERAL ELECTRIC CO., SANTA BARBARA, CALIF.

IN THIS REPORT TWO STUDIES ARE DISCUSSED THAT ATTEMPTED TO ESTIMATE GEODYNAMIC PARAMETERS FROM ACTUAL BASIN RECORDS. DATA GATHERED BY THE CALIFORNIA STATE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES (DWR) FOR THEIR SUCCESSFUL CHINO RIVERSIDE BASIN MODEL WERE USED.
THE FIRST APPROACH, PRESENTED BRIEFLY, WAS BASED ON A LEAST SQUARES FITTING PROCEDURE AND PROVED TO BE COMPLETELY UNSUCCESSFUL. THE SECOND APPROACH WAS BASED ON LINEAR PROGRAMMING. ALTHOUGH IT HAS NOT PROVED ITS USE, AND THERE ARE SOME SUBSTANTIAL PROBLEMS, THE LINEAR PROGRAMMING APPROACH OFFERS SOME PROMISE. THIS PAPER IS PRINCIPALLY A PROGRESS REPORT ON THE LINEAR PROGRAMMING APPROACH.

GROUND WATER# LINEAR PROGRAMMING# HYDROGEOLOGY

73W-00-5068

RC-3069. TWO ALGORITHMS FOR MULTIPLE VIEW BINARY PATTERN RECONSTRUCTION. SEPTEMBER 1970.
CHANG, SK# SHELTON, GL
IBM YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, RESEARCH
RC-3069

13P. IN THIS PAPER THE PROBLEM OF RECONSTRUCTING BINARY PATTERNS FROM THEIR SHADOWS OR PROJECTIONS IS TREATED. TWO ALGORITHMS ARE FORMULATED. FOR THE TWO VIEW CASE, BOTH ALGORITHMS GIVE A PERFECT RECONSTRUCTION IF AND ONLY IF THE PATTERN IS TWO VIEW UNAMBIGUOUS. IT IS ALSO SHOWN THAT N VIEWS ARE SUFFICIENT, BUT NOT NECESSARY, TO RECONSTRUCT ANY N X N BINARY PATTERN. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS FOR THE FOUR VIEW RECONSTRUCTION OF 25 X 25 BINARY PATTERNS INDICATE THAT ONE OF THE ALGORITHMS HAS GOOD CONVERGENCE BEHAVIOR.

DIGITAL SYSTEMS# ALGORITHMS

73W-00-5071

RC-3140. A SIMULATOR FOR NORTHEASTERN FOREST GROWTH; A CONTRIBUTION OF THE HUBBARD BROOK ECOSYSTEM STUDY AND IBM RESEARCH. NOVEMBER 1970.
BOTKIV, DB# JANAK, JF# WALLIS, JR
IBM YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, RESEARCH
RC-3140

21P. A NORTHEASTERN FOREST GROWTH SIMULATOR, JABOWA, HAS BEEN WRITTEN IN FORTRAN IV FOR USE UNDER TSS ON AN IBM 360-67. THE PROGRAM SIMULATES STAND GROWTH BY ANNUAL INCREMENTS FOR THE 13 TREE SPECIES OF THE NORTHERN HARDWOOD FOREST TYPE FOUND ON THE USFS HUBBARD BROOK EXPERIMENTAL WATERSHED AT WEST THORNTON, N.H. THREE TYPICAL SIMULATION EXPERIMENTS THAT MAY BE PERFORMED QUITE EASILY BY JABOWA ARE SHOWN, AND THE SOURCE PROGRAM IS LISTED SO THAT THE USER MAY QUICKLY DESIGN OTHERS WHILE AT A REMOTE COMPUTER TERMINAL (SUCH AS AN IBM 2741).

COMPUTERIZED SIMULATION# FORESTRY# IBM SYSTEM/ 360

73W-00-5072

RC-3203. BOUNDARY DETECTION OF RADIOGRAPHIC IMAGES BY A THRESHOLD METHOD. DECEMBER 1970.
CHOW, CK# KANEKO, T
IBM YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, RESEARCH
RC-3203

30P. A THRESHOLD METHOD BASED ON STATISTICAL PRINCIPLES AND HEURISTICS IS DEVELOPED TO DETECT BOUNDARIES IN RADIOGRAPHIC IMAGES. EACH LOCAL REGION OF THE IMAGE CONTAINING A PORTION OF BOUNDARY IS CHARACTERIZED BY A MIXTURE OF TWO (NORMAL) INTENSITY DISTRIBUTIONS. THRESHOLDS ARE SET DYNAMICALLY ACCORDING TO LOCAL, RATHER THAN GLOBAL, CHARACTERISTICS ESTIMATED FROM THE OBSERVED INTENSITY HISTOGRAMS. A PROGRAM TO IMPLEMENT THE METHOD HAS BEEN WRITTEN. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS ON CARDIOANGIOPRINTS ARE PRESENTED TO SUCCESSFULLY DEMONSTRATE THE FEASIBILITY OF THE METHOD FOR LOW QUALITY IMAGES. THE METHOD IS INSENSITIVE TO SHADING OR GRADUALLY VARYING INTERFERENCE.

PATTERN RECOGNITION# RADIOGRAPHY# BOUNDARIES

73W-00-5080

N70-15687. A MODEL ATMOSPHERE FOR EARTH RESOURCES APPLICATIONS. NOVEMBER 1969.
PITTS, DE# KYLE, KD
MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER, HOUSTON, TEX.
N70-15687# NASA-TMX-58033

49P. A COMPUTER SUBPROGRAM SET IS DESCRIBED WHICH PERMITS THE USE OF RADIOSONDE DATA TO PROVIDE MODEL ATMOSPHERE DATA FOR EARTH RESOURCES APPLICATIONS. ALL EARTH RESOURCES REMOTE-SENSING TECHNIQUES ARE AFFECTED BY THE ATMOSPHERE LYING BETWEEN THE TARGET AND THE SENSOR. THE COMPUTER PROGRAM PRESENTED IN THIS REPORT OFFERS A METHOD OF NUMERICAL USE OF RADIOSONDE DATA SO THAT ATMOSPHERIC EFFECTS MAY BE ASSESSED AND POSSIBLY REMOVED FROM THE SIGNAL.

REMOTE SENSING# RADIOSONDLES# COMPUTER PROGRAMS
ATMOSPHERES

73W-00-5081

N70-16407. FURTHER INFRARED SYSTEMS STUDIES FOR THE EARTH RESOURCES PROGRAM. FINAL REPORT. DECEMBER 1969.
BRAITHWAITE, J# LARSEN, L# WORK, E.
MICHIGAN UNIV., ANN ARBOR
N70-16407# NASA-CR-102111# WRL-2122-14-F

77P. THIS REPORT DISCUSSES THE DEVELOPMENT OF DESIGN CONCEPTS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR MULTISPECTRAL SCANNERS FOR USE FROM ORBIT AS PART OF THE EARTH RESOURCES PROGRAM. THE PERFORMANCE OF SUCH SCANNERS MAY BE LIMITED BY COMPONENT PERFORMANCE, BY WEIGHT AND POWER ALLOCATIONS, AND BY THE DATA RATES AND BULKS WHICH CAN BE RETURNED TO THE GROUND. SOME OF THE MORE CRITICAL OF THESE FACTORS HAVE BEEN EXAMINED IN DETAIL, AND METHODS OF DEALING WITH THEM HAVE BEEN INVESTIGATED. IT IS SHOWN, FOR EXAMPLE, THAT A 7 CHANNEL SCANNER WITH A 200 FT GROUND RESOLUTION IS FEASIBLE, BUT THAT THE SWATH WIDTH WOULD BE LIMITED TO LESS THAN 20 MILES UNLESS TELEMETRY BANDWIDTHS LARGER THAN THOSE IN CURRENT USE ARE MADE AVAILABLE.

INFRARED SCANNERS# INFRARED DETECTORS# TELEMETRY

73W-00-5082

N70-17026 THRU N70-17034. PROCEEDINGS OF THE WINTER STUDY ON USES OF MANNED SPACE FLIGHT, 1975-1985.
VOLUME 2: APPENDICES. 1969.
NASA, WASHINGTON, D.C.
N70-17026 THRU N70-17034# NASA-SP-196-VOL-2

177P. CONTENTS: 1. MANNED SPACE-FLIGHT CAPABILITIES, APPENDIX A (SEE N70-17027), 2. LOW COST SPACE TRANSPORTATION. APPENDIX B (SEE N70-17028), 3. THE LUNAR PROGRAM, APPENDIX C (SEE N70-17029), 4. ASTRONOMY, APPENDIX D (SEE N70-17030), 5. SPACE PHYSICS, APPENDIX E (SEE N70-17031), 6. EARTH SCIENCES AND APPLICATIONS, APPENDIX F (SEE N70-17032), 7. LIFE SCIENCES, APPENDIX G (SEE N70-17033), 8. MATERIALS SCIENCE AND PROCESSING IN SPACE, APPENDIX H (SEE N70-17034).

MANNED SPACE FLIGHT# ASTRONOMY# EARTH SCIENCES

73W-00-5083

N70-17429. EARTH RESOURCES DATA PROCESSING CENTER STUDY.
VOLUME 2: STUDY FINDINGS. SEPTEMBER 1969.
FAIRCHILD CAMERA AND INSTRUMENT CORP., SYOSSET, N.Y.
N70-17429# NASA-CR-107887# ED-AA-113

308P. A REVIEW IS PRESENTED OF THE BASIC OBJECTIVES AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE MAJOR GOVERNMENT SUPPORT AGENCIES AND EXPERIMENTER GROUPS ASSOCIATED WITH THE EARTH RESOURCES PROGRAM, AS WELL AS THE SENSOR AND AUXILIARY EQUIPMENTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER AIRCRAFT SURVEY PROGRAM. DATA FLOW MODELS ARE DEVELOPED WHICH DEPICT THE PROCESSING OF TYPICAL DATA FROM EACH SENSOR CLASS THROUGH THE ASSOCIATED MSC GROUND DATA PROCESSING FACILITY. FUTURE TRENDS IN THE EARTH RESOURCES PROGRAM ARE DELINEATED, WITH EMPHASIS ON THE HOUSTON AIRCRAFT PROGRAM, ITS EVENTUAL COORDINATION WITH SPACECRAFT COLLECTION ACTIVITIES, AND ITS ATTENDANT GROUND DATA PROCESSING REQUIREMENTS. PRIORITY TECHNIQUES, SYSTEM AND FACILITIES GROWTH REQUIREMENTS ARE IDENTIFIED. DEVELOPMENT PLANS ARE PRESENTED TO PERMIT THE TIMELY RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, CONSTRUCTION AND TEST OF REQUIRED PROCESSING EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES. RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS CONCERNING FUTURE EFFORT AIMED AT FURTHERING THE GROWTH OF THE EARTH RESOURCES DATA PROCESSING FACILITIES AT THE MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER ARE DEVELOPED.

DATA PROCESSING# REMOTE SENSING# RESOURCES

73W-00-5084

N70-17721. EARTH RESOURCES DATA PROCESSING CENTER STUDY.
VOLUME 1: PROGRAM SUMMARY. FINAL REPORT. SEPTEMBER 1969.
FAIRCHILD CAMERA AND INSTRUMENT CORP., SYOSETT, N.Y.
N70-17721# NASA-CR-107884# NASW-1811# ED-AA-113

47P. THE RESULTS OF A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY AIMED AT ASSISTING NASA HEADQUARTERS IN ITS TASK OF PLANNING R AND D PROGRAMS AND DEVELOPING AN OPERATIONAL CAPABILITY TO ACQUIRE, PROCESS AND DISSEMINATE REMOTELY SENSED EARTH RESOURCES DATA TO APPROPRIATE EXPERIMENTERS AND USERS ARE DESCRIBED. A REVIEW IS PRESENTED OF THE BASIC OBJECTIVES AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE MAJOR GOVERNMENT SUPPORT AGENCIES AND EXPERIMENTER GROUPS ASSOCIATED WITH THE EARTH RESOURCES PROGRAM. FUTURE TRENDS IN THE EARTH RESOURCES PROGRAM ARE DELINEATED, WITH EMPHASIS ON THE HOUSTON AIRCRAFT PROGRAM, ITS EVENTUAL COORDINATION WITH SPACECRAFT COLLECTION ACTIVITIES, AND ITS ATTENDANT GROUND DATA PROCESSING REQUIREMENTS. RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS CONCERNING FUTURE EFFORT AIMED AT FURTHERING THE GROWTH OF THE EARTH RESOURCES DATA PROCESSING FACILITIES AT THE MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER ARE DEVELOPED.

DATA PROCESSING# REMOTE SENSING

73W-00-5085

N70-21089. MANAGEMENT, PROCESSING AND DISSEMINATION OF SENSORY DATA FOR THE EARTH RESOURCE TECHNOLOGY SATELLITE. DECEMBER 1969.
MERRITT, ES# AHLIN, WC# GOLDSHLAK, L
ALLIED RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, INC., CONCORD, MASS.
N70-21089# NASA-CR-109081# REPT-9G45-43
TR-11# NAS5-10343

13SP. THE ELEMENTS OF A DATA CENTER FOR THE MANAGEMENT, PROCESSING AND DISSEMINATION OF PHOTOGRAPHIC PRODUCTS GENERATED BY THE EARTH RESOURCE TECHNOLOGY SATELLITE (ERTS) IS SPECIFIED. IN ADDITION TO THE SPECIFICATION OF FUNCTIONAL ELEMENTS, THIS STUDY EXAMINES AND PROVIDES SPECIFICATION OF: DATA RATES AND DATA FLOW TIME LINES; FACILITY, EQUIPMENT AND MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR A ONE YEAR OPERATION OVER THE CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES; AND SOME PRELIMINARY ANALYSES OF PHOTOGRAPHIC PROCESSING AND MATERIALS THAT CAN INFLUENCE PICTURE ELEMENT ACCURACIES. A PLAN IS PRESENTED FOR THE FURTHER IMPLEMENTATION STEPS NECESSARY TO PROVIDE AN OPERATING DATA CENTER IN TIME FOR AN ERTS LAUNCH IN EARLY 1972.

DATA PROCESSING# PHOTOGRAPHY# REMOTE SENSING

73W-00-5086

N70-21145. SPATIAL SURVEILLANCE OF NATURAL RESOURCES. JANUARY 1969.
RAITT, DI
ROYAL AIRCRAFT ESTAB., FARNBOROUGH, ENGLAND
N70-21145# RAE-LIB-B1B-308

23P. THIS SELECTIVE BIBLIOGRAPHY COVERS THE PERIOD 1944 TO DATE. IN GENERAL THE COVERAGE IS OF THE FOLLOWING FIELDS: MINERAL DEPOSITS, AGRICULTURE (VEGETATION, CROPS, SOILS), FORESTRY (DISEASE, FIRE), WILDLIFE, LIVESTOCK AND WATER RESOURCES. A SELECT LIST OF REFERENCES ON APPLICATIONS SATELLITES IS ALSO INCLUDED.

REMOTE SENSING# ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES

73W-00-5087

N70-22676. APOLLO 9 MULTISPECTRAL PHOTOGRAPHIC INFORMATION. APRIL 1970.
KALTENBACK, JL
MANAGED SPACECRAFT CENTER, HOUSTON, TEX.
N70-22676# NASA-TMX-1957# S-226

33P. THE NASA EXPERIMENT SD65 MULTISPECTRAL PHOTOGRAPHY, FLown ON THE APOLLO 9 MISSION PROVIDED ORBITAL PHOTOGRAPHY OF THE EARTH. THE PHOTOGRAPHY WAS TAKEN BY FOUR CAMERAS, EACH OF WHICH CONTAINED A DIFFERENT FILM FILTER COMBINATION. SPECIAL COLOR VIEWING AND REPRODUCTION SYSTEMS ARE BEING USED TO DETERMINE THE USE AND VALUE OF MULTISPECTRAL PHOTOGRAPHY TO THE EARTH RESOURCES DISCIPLINES AND TO APPLY THESE FINDINGS TO THE DESIGN OF FUTURE IMAGING SYSTEMS.

REMOTE SENSING# PHOTOGRAPHY# FILMS# FILTERS

73W-00-5088

N70-23540. USEFUL APPLICATIONS OF EARTH ORIENTED SATELLITES: SYSTEMS FOR REMOTE SENSING INFORMATION AND DISTRIBUTION. 1969.
NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, WASHINGTON, D.C.
N70-23540# NASA-CR-109352

99P. THE PROBLEMS AND POTENTIAL FOR THE USE OF DATA GATHERED BY REMOTE SENSING OR DISTRIBUTED COLLECTION DEVICES WITH COLLECTION FROM SATELLITE OR AIRCRAFT ARE CONSIDERED. THE CONSIDERATIONS INCLUDED THE COLLECTION, PROCESSING, STORAGE, AND DISTRIBUTION OF THESE DATA IN BOTH PROCESSED AND RAW FORM. IN GENERAL, THE PROBLEMS CONSIDERED FOCUSED PRIMARILY ON THOSE DATA PROCESSING ASPECTS OF THE TOTAL SYSTEM THAT LIE BETWEEN THE RECEIVING GROUND STATION AND THE USER. INEVITABLY, HOWEVER, BROADER JUDGMENTS WERE REACHED ON OVERALL SYSTEMS ASPECTS, PARTLY BECAUSE OF THE NEED FOR MISSION PLANNING AND CONTROL IN THE DATA PROCESSING SYSTEM, PARTLY BECAUSE OF THE INEVITABLE NEED TO DESIGNATE OPERATIONAL PRIORITIES FOR THE COLLECTION AND DISSEMINATION OF DATA.

REMOTE SENSING# ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES

73W-00-5089

N70-31579. SPACE STATION: KEY TO THE FUTURE. 1970.
NASA, WASHINGTON, D.C.
N70-31579# NASA-EP-75

42P. THE SPACE STATION AND SOME OF ITS FUTURE BENEFITS ARE DESCRIBED IN NONTECHNICAL TERMS TAKEN FROM INFORMATION THAT HAS BEEN PRESENTED AT TECHNICAL CONFERENCES. POSSIBLE BENEFITS ARE: (1) DETECTION OF LARGE SCALE DYNAMIC EARTH PHENOMENA SUCH AS CHANGES IN SNOW PACK, AIR AND WATER POLLUTION, AND OCCURRENCES IN REMOTE AREAS; (2) MAINTENANCE OF METEOROLOGICAL SATELLITES; (3) PROSPECTING FOR MINERAL RESOURCES; (4) CROP CONDITION IDENTIFICATION; AND (5) LOCATING AREAS OF SUBTERRANEAN WATER SOURCES. SPACE STATION CONFIGURATIONS AND CREW REQUIREMENTS ARE DISCUSSED.

SPACE STATIONS# METEOROLOGICAL SATELLITES
EXPLORATION# POLLUTION# WATER RESOURCES

73W-00-5090

N70-35895. REMOTE SENSING: A SURVEY REPORT. AUGUST 1967.
CRAIB, KB
MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER, HOUSTON, TEX.
N70-35895# NASA-TMX-65067# MSC-CA-R-67-2

132P. A SURVEY OF REMOTE SENSING TECHNIQUES AND MEASUREMENT SYSTEMS IS CONSIDERED BY AREA OF APPLICATION AS EXTRATERRESTRIAL, ATMOSPHERIC, AND GEOPHYSICAL. BASIC ENERGY EQUATIONS, INTERACTIONS, AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESTRICTIONS ARE REVIEWED. SENSOR SYSTEMS USED IN EACH AREA ARE PRESENTED, DATA SAMPLES ARE SHOWN, AND INFORMATION CONTENT IS DISCUSSED. THE ADVANTAGES PROVIDED BY MULTISPECTRAL SCANNING FROM HIGH ALTITUDE OBSERVATION PLATFORMS ARE SHOWN.

REMOTE SENSING# ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES

73W-00-5092

AD699620. SATELLITE IMAGERY OF THE EARTH. JULY 1969.
MERIFIELD, PM# CRONIN, J# FOSHEE, SJ# NEAL, JT
AIR FORCE CAMBRIDGE RESEARCH LABS., BEDFORD, MASS.
AD699620# AFCRL-70-0037

14P. REPRINTED FROM "PHOTOGRAMMETRIC ENGINEERING", V35,
N7, P654-668, JULY 1969.
PHOTOGRAPHY OF THE EARTH FROM SPACECRAFT HAS APPLICATION TO
BOTH ATMOSPHERIC AND EARTH SCIENCES. GEMINI AND APOLLO
PHOTOGRAPHS HAVE FURNISHED INFORMATION ON SEA SURFACE
ROUGHNESS, AREAS OF POTENTIAL UPWELLING AND OCEANIC
CURRENT SYSTEMS. REGIONAL GELOGIC STRUCTURES AND
GEOMORPHOLOGIC FEATURES ARE ALSO RECORDED IN ORBITAL
PHOTOGRAPHS. INFRARED SATELLITE IMAGERY PROVIDES
METEOROLOGICAL AND HYDROLOGICAL DATA AND IS
POTENTIALLY USEFUL FOR LOCATING FRESH WATER SPRINGS
ALONG COASTAL AREAS, SOURCES OF GEOTHERMAL POWER AND
VOLCANIC ACTIVITY. GROUND AND AIRBORNE SURVEYS ARE BEING
UNDERTAKEN TO CREATE A BASIS FOR THE INTERPRETATION OF
DATA OBTAINED FROM FUTURE SATELLITE SYSTEMS.

EARTH /PLANET/# METEOROLOGICAL SATELLITES# HYDROLOGY
INFRARED SCANNERS# AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS

73W-00-5093

N71-29126. EARTH RESOURCES SATELLITES. OCTOBER 1969.
ENTRES, SL
ROYAL AIRCRAFT ESTAB., FARNBOROUGH, ENGLAND
N71-28126# RAE-TR-69219

88P. OPERATIONAL AND TECHNICAL ASPECTS OF EARTH
RESOURCES SATELLITE PROJECTS ARE DISCUSSED. TWO BASIC
SURVEYING METHODS ARE CONSIDERED: BY REMOTE SENSING
SATELLITES AND BY MEASUREMENT COLLECTING SATELLITES.
ATTENTION IS CONCENTRATED ON PROBLEMS OF ORBITAL SENSING
OF ELECTROMAGNETIC EARTH RADIANCE IN THE VISUAL, INFRARED AND
MICROWAVE REGIONS. THE PRINCIPLES OF POLYCHROMATIC
MEASUREMENT OF EARTH SURFACE RADIATION SIGNATURES AND THEIR
ANALYSIS AS WELL AS SOME MATTERS CONNECTED WITH THE DATA
HANDLING OF THE SENSED INFORMATION ARE DISCUSSED.
RECOMMENDATIONS ARE MADE FOR SOME USEFUL FIELDS OF STUDY
AND FOR COMPLEMENTARY EXPERIMENTAL WORK. A LIST OF
ORGANIZATIONS ENGAGED IN RELEVANT BRANCHES OF SCIENCE AND
TECHNOLOGY TOGETHER WITH CLASSIFIED BIBLIOGRAPHIC
REFERENCES ARE APPENDED.

ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES# REMOTE SENSING# RESOURCES
TERRESTRIAL RADIATION

73W-00-5094

N70-40086. REMOTE SENSING. MAY 1970.
SVENNISON, H.
AIR FORCE CAMBRIDGE RESEARCH LABS., BEDFORD, MASS.
N70-40086# AD707824# AFCRL-70-0277

10P. THE PARTS OF THE ELECTROMAGNETIC SPECTRUM ARE DISCUSSED, WITH THE TYPE (S) OF SENSOR (S) REQUIRED TO RECORD ENERGY IN EACH PART. A REVIEW IS GIVEN OF THE CLASSES OF AIRBORNE (AND SATELLITE) REMOTE SENSOR DATA WHICH ARE AVAILABLE TO GEOSCIENTISTS. DIFFERENT TYPES OF REMOTE SENSOR DATA ARE DESCRIBED AND EXAMPLES PROVIDED, INCLUDING PANCHROMATIC, INFRARED, COLOR, AND COLOR INFRARED AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY (KULLABERG, SWEDEN); MULTISPECTRAL AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY (WITH IMPORTANCE OF OPTIMUM FILM/FILTER COMBINATION FOR SPECIFIC PHENOMENA); AIRBORNE THERMAL INFRARED IMAGERY (KULLABERG, SWEDEN AND SURTSEY, ICELAND); SIDE LOOKING AIRBORNE RADAR (TUSKAHOMA SYNCLINE, OKLAHOMA); AND RADIO SOUNDING OF GLACIAL ICE (ANTARCTICA). THE PROJECTED FUTURE INCREASE IN AMOUNT OF REMOTE SENSOR DATA WILL REQUIRE COMPUTER PROCESSING TECHNIQUES, ALTHOUGH MAN WILL SERVE THE MOST IMPORTANT ROLE IN THE ANALYSIS AND USE OF REMOTE SENSOR INFORMATION OF THE EARTH'S SURFACE.

REMOTE SENSING# ELECTROMAGNETIC SPECTRA
ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES# INFRARED DETECTION
AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY# SIDE LOOKING RADAR

73W-00-5095

N70-26981. ECOLOGICAL SURVEYS FROM SPACE. 1970.
NASA, WASHINGTON, D.C.
N70-26981# NASA-SP-230

80P. THE USE OF SPACECRAFT FOR EARTH RESOURCES SURVEYS IS DISCUSSED FOR SEVEN DIFFERENT DISCIPLINES, WHICH INCLUDE GEOGRAPHY, AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY, GEOLOGY, HYDROLOGY, OCEANOGRAPHY, AND CARTOGRAPHY. PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN WITH DIFFERENT FILTERS AND TYPES OF FILM FROM THE GEMINI AND APOLLO FLIGHTS ILLUSTRATE THE MAN MADE CHANGES OF THE EARTH'S SURFACE AS WELL AS NATURAL RESOURCES.

REMOTE SENSING# ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES# ECOLOGY

73W-00-5096

N69-40391. MODIFICATION OF 16.5 GHZ SIDE LOOKING RADAR SYSTEM FOR NASA C-130 AIRCRAFT. FINAL REPORT.
SEPTEMBER 1969.
MCCAIN, RE
PHILCO FORD CORP., NEWPORT BEACH, CALIF.
N69-40391# NASA-CR-101746# NAS9-9116# PUBL-U-4710

20P. TECHNICAL DETAILS ARE GIVEN ON THE MODIFICATIONS MADE TO THE SIDE LOOKING RADAR DESIGNED FOR INSTALLATION IN A C-130 AIRCRAFT IN SUPPORT OF THE NASA EARTH RESOURCES PROGRAM. THE DELIVERED RADAR SYSTEM CONSISTS OF A MODIFIED AN/OPD-2 RADAR ELECTRONIC ASSEMBLY, MULTIPLE POLARIZED ANTENNA, CONTROL PANEL, AND ANTENNA PRESSURIZATION SYSTEM. SPECIAL TEST EQUIPMENT IN THE FORM OF A SYSTEM PREFLIGHT CALIBRATOR AND RECORDER CALIBRATOR WAS FABRICATED AND DELIVERED TO SUPPORT THE ALIGNMENT, CHECKOUT, AND CALIBRATION OF THE RADAR SYSTEM. IN ADDITION, COMPONENT AND ASSEMBLY SPARES WERE PROCURED, FABRICATED, AND DELIVERED TO SUPPORT THE RADAR SYSTEM DURING THE FLIGHT TEST PROGRAM.

SIDE LOOKING RADAR# INSTALLING# RELIABILITY

73W-00-5097

RC-3115. THREE DIMENSIONAL TRANSIENT, SATURATED-UNSATURATED FLOW IN A GROUND WATER BASIN. OCTOBER 1970.
FREEZE, RA
13M YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, RESEARCH
RS-3115

43P. THE REQUIREMENTS OF WATER RESOURCE PLANNING HAVE MADE SIMULATION OF THE HYDROLOGIC RESPONSE OF GROUND WATER BASINS A TECHNIQUE OF INCREASING IMPORTANCE. IN THIS REPORT A NUMERICAL MATHEMATICAL MODEL IS PRESENTED THAT ATTEMPTS TO BRING THE STATE OF THE SCIENCE ONE STEP CLOSER TO HYDROGEOLOGICAL REALITY.

GROUND WATER# HYDROGEOLOGY# WATER RESOURCES MATHEMATICAL MODELS

73W-00-5098

AD692627. USE OF THE PROPERTIES OF THE SOIL COVER IN THE INTERPRETATION OF GROUND WATER ON AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS. 1962.
KUZNETSOV, VV
ARMY FOREIGN SCIENCE AND TECH. CENTER, WASHINGTON, D.C.
AD692627# N70-11024# FSTC-HT-23-393-68

9P. A STUDY WAS MADE OF THE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE SOIL COVER AND GROUND WATER USING AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN IN DIFFERENT LANDSCAPE AREAS IN THE NORTHERN CASPIAN LOWLAND AND TURKESTAN. THE WORK WAS DONE IN KEY AREAS TOGETHER WITH GEOBOTANICAL, GEOMORPHOLOGICAL AND HYDROLOGICAL STUDIES. IT WAS FOUND THAT A STUDY OF THE SOIL COVER (THICKNESS OF GENETIC HORIZONS, COLOR, MOISTURE CONTENT, HUMUS CONTENT, CONTENT OF SALTS, ETC., AS WELL AS THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STRUCTURE AND MECHANICAL COMPOSITION OF THE -SOILS-) GIVES INFORMATION ON THE CHARACTER AND DEPTH OF GROUND WATER. SOILS BELOW WHICH FRESH GROUND WATER IS SITUATED CLOSE TO THE SURFACE APPEAR ON AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS IN DARK TONES.

GROUND WATER# SOILS# AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

73W-00-5099

73W 05099. CONSIDERATIONS IN CHOOSING THE ORBIT FOR AN EARTH RESOURCES SURVEY SATELLITE. JULY 1969.
OTTERMAN, J# BACHOFER, BT
GENERAL ELECTRIC CO., VALLEY FORGE, PA.

10P. THE ORBIT PARAMETERS BEST SUITED FOR EARTH RESOURCES SURVEY SATELLITES HAVE BEEN AND WILL CONTINUE TO BE THE SUBJECT OF MANY STUDIES. THIS PAPER ADDRESSES ITSELF TO THE SELECTION OF ONE OF THE IMPORTANT ORBITAL ELEMENTS FOR THE EARTH RESOURCES TECHNOLOGY SATELLITE (ERTS) MISSION - THE LOCAL TIME AT THE ASCENDING NODE.

ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES# REMOTE SENSING# ORBITS

73W-00-5100

N70-14447. FEASIBILITY STUDY OF MICROWAVE RADIOMETRIC REMOTE SENSING. VOLUME 2: COMPUTER PRINTOUTS. JANUARY 1969.
PORTER, RA# FLORANCE, ET
ELECTRONICS RESEARCH CENTER, CAMBRIDGE, MASS.
N70-14447# NASA-CR-86297# NAS12-629

249P. PRINTOUTS OF COMPUTED TARGET AND BACKGROUND BRIGHTNESS TEMPERATURES AND CONTRASTS ARE GIVEN, AS WELL AS PRINTOUTS OF COMPUTED SKY BRIGHTNESS TEMPERATURES AND ATMOSPHERIC TRANSMISSION FACTORS.

REMOTE SENSING# PRINTOUTS# SKY BRIGHTNESS

73W-00-5101

N70-14448. FEASIBILITY STUDY OF MICROWAVE RADIOMETRIC
REMOTE SENSING. VOLUME 3: ADDITIONAL PLOTS AND PRINTOUTS.
JANUARY 1969.
PORTER, RAM FLORANCE, ET
ELECTRONICS RESEARCH CENTER, CAMBRIDGE, MASS.
N70-14448# NASA-CR-86298# NAS12-629

177P. PRINTOUTS OF SAMPLE MEASURED APPARENT TEMPERATURES
AND DERIVED BRIGHTNESS TEMPERATURES, AND THEORETICAL
EMISSIVITY AND BRIGHTNESS TEMPERATURE FOR FRESH AND SEA
WATER ARE GIVEN. PLOTTED THEORETICAL BRIGHTNESS TEMPERATURES
OF SEA WATER IN THE RANGE OF 17 TO 220 GHZ, AND THEORETICAL
BRIGHTNESS TEMPFRAURES OF FRESH WATER IN THE RANGE OF 1 TO
220 GHZ ARE ALSO GIVEN.

REMOTE SENSING# WATER# SEA WATER# FRESH WATER
PRINTOUTS

73W-00-5102

N70-17428. OPTIMIZATION OF MICROWAVE RADIOMETRIC
SYSTEMS FOR EARTH RESOURCE SURVEYS. JUNE 1969.
EWEN, HI# BARRETT, AH
EWEN KNIGHT CORP., EAST NATICK, MASS.
N70-17428# NASA-CR-86316# NAS12-2047

177P. PASSIVE MICROWAVE SENSING PROJECTS INSTRUMENTATION,
AND TECHNIQUES ARE DISCUSSED. STUDIES AND ANALYTICAL MODELS
ARE REVIEWED IN THE AREAS OF OCEANOGRAPHY AND MARINE
TECHNOLOGY, GEOLOGY AND HYDROLOGY, GEOGRAPHY AND CARTOGRAPHY,
AND AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY. PRESENT GROUND BASED AND
AIRCRAFT MEASUREMENTS ARE DESCRIBED AND INSTRUMENT
TECHNOLOGY REQUIREMENTS ARE ANALYZED. AIRBORNE PARAMETRIC
DISPLAYS WITH ATTENDANT LABORIOUS DATA REDUCTION
REQUIREMENTS AND INEFFECTIVE USE OF AIRCRAFT FLIGHT
TIME REDUCE THIS FORM OF DATA DISPLAY TO MINIMAL USE.
AIRCRAFT IMAGERY TECHNIQUES ARE CONSIDERED TO BE NOT
OPTIMUM. IT IS SUGGESTED THAT AN EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION
OF THE POTENTIAL BFNEFITS OF PASSIVE REMUTE SENSING BE MADE,
UTILIZING MORE DIRECT PARTICIPATION BY USERS IN THE PLANNING
AND EXECUTION PHASES. IT IS FELT THAT MICROWAVE ANTENNA
SIZE REQUIREMENTS ARE INCOMPATIBLE WITH PRESENT DAY JET AND
PISTON TYPE AIRCRAFT, BUT ARE COMPATIBLE WITH SATELLITES.
AN ENGINEERING PLAN IS OUTLINE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF
INSTRUMENT TECHNOLOGY, BASED ON THE BUILDING BLOCK APPROACH.

REMOTE SENSING# MICROWAVES# OCEANOGRAPHY
HYDROLOGY# GEOLOGY# FORESTRY# MAPPING

73W-00-5103

N70-27083. AN ANALYSIS OF AIRBORNE MICROWAVE
RADIOMETRIC DATA. FEBRUARY 1970.
RADIOMETRIC TECH., INC., CAMBRIDGE, MASS.
N70-27083# NASA-CR-109846# NAS5-11685

130P. DATA FROM MEASUREMENTS TAKEN IN 1967, 1968, AND 1969
OVER OCEAN AND LAND AREAS BY A 19.35 GHZ PHASED ARRAY
SCANNER ABOARD THE NASA CONVAIR 990 ARE ANALYZED. OF
PARTICULAR INTEREST ARE DATA WHICH RELATE TO THE RADIATION
EMITTED AND ABSORBED BY CLOUDS. OTHER DATA FROM SENSORS
MEASURING ENERGY AT IR WAVELENGTHS, TEMPERATURE IN SITU,
AND, FOR THE FLIGHTS IN 1969, ENERGY AT 9.3 GHZ, ARE
UTILIZED TO RECONSTRUCT ATMOSPHERIC, SURFACE, AND CLOUD
CHARACTERISTICS. THEORETICAL BRIGHTNESS TEMPERATURES
DERIVED FROM CLOUD MODELS, CONSISTENT WITH THE PHYSICAL DATA
AVAILABLE, ARE IN GENERAL AGREEMENT WITH THE BRIGHTNESS
TEMPERATURES OBSERVED OVER OCEAN AREAS. ALTHOUGH A
SOMEWHAT LIMITED EFFECT IS EVIDENCED BY CLOUDS OVER DRY BARE
SOIL AND VEGETATION AREAS, A REASONABLY PRONOUNCED EFFECT
APPEARS POSSIBLE OVER MOIST SOILS. ANALYSIS OF THOSE CASES
IN WHICH COMPUTED BRIGHTNESS TEMPERATURES DO NOT MATCH THE
OBSERVED BRIGHTNESS TEMPERATURES INDICATES EITHER: (1) THE
EXISTENCE OF CLOUD DROPLETS LARGER THAN CAN BE TREATED
SUCCESSFULLY BY THE SIMPLE RAYLEIGH THEORY UTILIZED; OR (2)
THE INCOMPLETENESS OF THE CLOUD MODELS THEMSELVES.

AIRBORNE DETECTORS# MICROWAVES# RADIOMETRY
PHASED ARRAYS# CLOUDS /METEOROLOGY/

73W-00-5104

N70-32931. PHENOMENA AND PROPERTIES OF GEOLOGIC MATERIALS
AFFECTING MICROWAVES: A REVIEW. APRIL 1970.
OBERSTE-LEHN, D
STANFORD UNIV., CALIF.
N70-32931# NASA-CR-108547# NAS9-7313# SU-TR-70-10

72P. A LITERATURE SEARCH MADE ON MICROWAVE REMOTE SENSING
INCLUDED MATERIAL ON ELECTROMAGNETIC THEORY, PARAMETERS
AFFECTING PASSIVE MICROWAVE SYSTEMS, AND PROPERTIES OF
NATURAL OCCURRING MATERIALS. SOME RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS
REACHED WERE: (1) DATA ON ELECTRIC AND THERMAL PROPERTIES
OF MATERIALS ARE LACKING IN QUALITY AND QUANTITY; (2)
SYSTEMATIC ANALYSES ARE NEEDED OF PARAMETERS IN THE
MICROWAVE PORTION OF THE SPECTRUM UNDER CONDITIONS THAT
WOULD MAKE THE DATA USEFUL FOR REMOTE SENSING PURPOSES; (3)
THE COMPLEX COMPOSITIONAL, STRUCTURAL, AND TEMPORALLY
DEPENDENT MAKEUP OF THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT SUGGESTS THAT
EVEN A FUNDAMENTALLY SOUND KNOWLEDGE OF ELEMENTAL
INTERACTIONS WITH ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION IS PROBABLY
INSUFFICIENT TO COMPLETELY SOLVE REAL WORLD PROBLEMS; AND
(4) THE EMPIRICAL APPROACH OF MAKING PARAMETRIC MEASUREMENTS
UNDER CAREFULLY SELECTED FIELD CONDITIONS MAY PROVIDE BETTER
INSIGHT INTO THE CAUSES OF THE INTEGRATED RESPONSES
RECORDED BY PASSIVE MICROWAVE SYSTEMS.

REMOTE SENSING# MICROWAVES# ELECTROMAGNETIC THEORY

73W-00-5105

N70-38843. HYDROLOGIC INTERPRETATION OF NIMBUS VIDICON IMAGE: GREAT SALT LAKE, UTAH. NOVEMBER 1966.
HAHL, DC# HANDY, AH
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.
N70-38843# NASA-CR-79887.

6P. THE ANALYSIS WAS MADE TO DETERMINE IF FEATURES OF HYDROLOGIC SIGNIFICANCE WERE VISIBLE AND IDENTIFIABLE. THE FEATURES THAT ARE POINTED OUT ON AN ANNOTATED COPY OF THE IMAGE INDICATE SOME OF THE FACTORS THAT ARE REQUIRED TO PRODUCE AN IMAGE. VEGETATION AND GEOLOGY MAY BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DELINEATION OR LACK OF DELINEATION OF SOME FEATURES. DEPTH IS NOT CONSIDERED A FACTOR IN PRODUCING AN IMAGE. THE IMPLICATIONS OF USING THE ADVANCED VIDICON CAMERA SYSTEM IN THE FIELD OF HYDROLOGY ARE ASSESSED.

HYDROLOGY# VIDICON# IMAGES# REMOTE SENSING

73W-00-5106

N70-40343. NUKLEONIKA, VOLUME 13, NO. 4-5, P361-590, 1968.
COMMERCE DEPT.
N70-40343# AEC-TR-6931/4-5# TT-68-50011/4-5

22P. PAPERS PRESENTED INCLUDE: DETERMINATION OF MAIN COMPONENTS IN ORES BY RADIOMETRIC METHODS, PULSED NEUTRON SOURCE AND BORE HOLE FAST NEUTRON GENERATOR DESIGN, RADIOMETRIC MEASUREMENT OF FILTER TUBE DEPTH IN BORE HOLES, ENERGY DISTRIBUTION OF SCATTERED GAMMA RAYS IN NATURAL GAMMA LOGGING, ELIMINATION OF CHEMICAL COMPOSITION EFFECTS OF ROCKS ON GAMMA-GAMMA DENSITY LOGGING, APPLICATIONS OF ISOTOPIC METHODS FOR DETERMINING PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF SOILS IN GEOTECHNICAL CROSS SECTION STRUCTURE, AND THE USE OF TRITIUM IN HYDROGEOLOGICAL STUDIES. ALSO DISCUSSED ARE RADIOMETRIC METHODS FOR STUDYING WATER RESERVOIR BOTTOM TIGHTNESS AND AN INORGANIC SUBSTANCE IN HARD AND BROWN COALS, SINGLE WELL ISOTOPIC METHODS FOR DRAINING PURPOSES IN A MINE, NUCLEAR MEASUREMENT FOR SOIL MOISTURE AND DENSITY, WELL LOGGING FOR SOLID RAW MATERIAL DEPOSIT DETECTION, AND ANALYSIS OF COPPER AND SILVER IN COPPER ORES BY THE PHOTON ACTIVATION METHOD. A RADIOPHOTOGRAPHIC PROBE WAS USED TO DETERMINE THE DIRECTION OF GROUND WATER FILTRATION IN DRILL HOLES AND THE DIRECTION AND FLOW RATE IN OPEN WATER RESERVOIRS WERE MEASURED. TRACER STUDIES ON MIXING OF WASTE WATERS WITH SURFACE WATERS ARE DESCRIBED.

NEUTRON SOURCES# WELL LOGGING# TRITIUM
RADIOGRAPHY# FILTRATION# WATER TREATMENT

73W-00-5107

N71-14697. ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY OF REPORTS, STUDIES, AND INVESTIGATION RELATING TO SATELLITE HYDROLOGY. JULY 1970.
BAKER, DR# FLANDERS, AF# FLEMING, M
COMMERCE DEPT.
N71-14697

32P. THE BIBLIOGRAPHY IS ON APPLICATIONS OF SATELLITE DATA TO HYDROLOGIC PROBLEMS CONFRONTING ESSA'S MISSION.

HYDROLOGY# ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES# BIBLIOGRAPHIES

73W-00-5108

SCI-71-005. CONTINUOUS SYSTEMS SIMULATION BIBLIOGRAPHY.
MARCH 1971.
IBM WHITE PLAINS, DPD
SCI-71-005

19P. THIS BIBLIOGRAPHY REPRESENTS A COMPREHENSIVE LIST OF PAPERS, ARTICLES, AND PUBLICATIONS RELATED TO THE IBM CONTINUOUS SYSTEMS MODELING PROGRAMS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS.

COMPUTERIZED SIMULATION# IBM SYSTEM/ 360# IBM1130
BIBLIOGRAPHIES

73W-00-5114

N71-14871. ON THE THEORY OF THE PHOTOGRAPHY OF SINGLE AND MULTIPLE COLORS BY THE INTERFERENCE METHOD. NOVEMBER 1970.
LIPPMAN, MG
GRUMMAN AEROSPACE CORP., BETHPAGE, N.Y.
N71-14871# TR-59

17P. PROFESSOR M.G. LIPPMAN'S INVESTIGATIONS ON COLOR PHOTOGRAPHY, CARRIED OUT BEFORE THE TURN OF THE CENTURY, REPRESENT THE FIRST SUCCESSFUL ATTEMPT TO REPRODUCE COLORS ACCURATELY IN A PHOTOGRAPHIC PROCESS. AS AGAINST THE MODERN PRACTICAL, BUT LESS PERFECT APPROACH, LIPPMAN SUCCEEDED IN CREATING AN ACTUAL COLOR PRESERVATION IN THE PHOTOGRAPHIC EMULSION, BY THE PRODUCTION OF STATIONARY WAVES REPRESENTED BY ALTERNATING DARK AND LIGHT LAYERS WITHIN AND PARALLEL TO THE EMULSION.
THE ARTICLE TRANSLATED HERE FROM THE ORIGINAL FRENCH WRITTEN IN 1894 IS A HISTORICAL ONE. IT DESCRIBES THE FIRST PRACTICAL APPROACH TO COLOR PHOTOGRAPHY; IT MAY ALSO BE CONSIDERED AS THE FIRST ATTEMPT OF CREATING AN IMAGE CLOSELY RESEMBLING THE HOLOGRAPHIC TECHNIQUE DEVELOPED HALF A CENTURY LATER.

COLOR PHOTOGRAPHY# HOLOGRAPHY

73W-00-5115

N71-15409. PHOTOGRAHMETRIC TECHNIQUES APPLICABLE TO EARTH RESOURCES ANALYSES. AUGUST 1971.
LARSEN, PA
GEORGE C. MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER, ALA.
N71-15409# NASA-TMX-64546.

65P. THE USES OF PHOTOGRAHMETRY AS A TOOL FOR STUDYING OUR NATURAL RESOURCES AND AS AN AID IN UNDERSTANDING AND DEFINING ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS CONFRONTING THE INHABITANTS OF EARTH ARE DISCUSSED. NUMEROUS APPLICATIONS OF PHOTOGRAHMETRY FROM THE PAST ARE CITED, AND SEVERAL VERY RECENT APPLICATIONS ARE DISCUSSED BRIEFLY. ACTUAL MEASUREMENTS OF PHYSICAL FEATURES OF THE EARTH'S AND MOON'S SURFACES, WHICH WERE MADE PHOTOGRAHMETRICALLY ON STEREOGRAMS PREPARED FROM APOLLO 6 AND APOLLO 8 VERTICAL ORBITAL PHOTOS, ARE SHOWN. THE SKYLAB EXPERIMENT S-190. SIX CAMERA MULTISPECTRAL PHOTOGRAPHY, IS DISCUSSED, AND A GRAPHICAL ANALYSIS DEALING WITH THE PERCENTAGE OF GROUND OBSERVABLE BY THE SKYLAB CAMERAS AS A FUNCTION OF CUMULUS CLOUD COVERAGE IS PRESENTED.

PHOTOGRAHMETRY# AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY# GEOGRAPHY
GEOLOGY# METEOROLOGY

73W-00-5116

N71-14802. THE NIMBUS 4 MICHELSON INTERFEROMETER. DECEMBER 1970.
HANEL, RA# SCHLACHMAN, B# ROGERS, D# VANDUS, D
GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER, GREENBELT, MD.
I71-14802# NASA-TMX-65395# X-620-70-421

33P. THE DESIGN AND PERFORMANCE OF THE MICHELSON INTERFEROMETER, IRIS-D, ARE DESCRIBED, AND THE DESIGN DIFFERENCES WHICH EXIST BETWEEN THE INTERFEROMETERS FLOWN ON NIMBUS 3 AND 4 ARE DISCUSSED. THE PERFORMANCE IS DEMONSTRATED BY EXAMPLES OF SPECTRA OBTAINED WHILE IN EARTH ORBIT.

INTERFEROMETERS# EMISSION SPECTRA

73W-00-5117

N71-14812. AN OPTICAL INTERFEROMETRIC METHOD TO ELIMINATE THE ATMOSPHERIC DEGRADATION OF RESOLUTION. SEPTEMBER 1970.
CURRIE, DG
MARYLAND UNIV., COLLEGE PARK
N71-14812# AD712717# AFOSR-70-2419TR

8P. THE OBJECTIVE OF THE RESEARCH WAS THE DEVELOPMENT, FABRICATION AND USE OF AN INTERFEROMETER CAPABLE OF MAKING HIGH SPACIAL RESOLUTION MEASUREMENTS THROUGH THE TURBULENT ATMOSPHERE. IN PARTICULAR, A PROTOTYPE OF THE INTERFEROMETER WAS DESIGNED AND FABRICATED, AND THEN TESTED, BOTH IN THE LABORATORY AND ON A TELESCOPE. THE KNOWLEDGE GAINED FROM THE PROTOTYPE (A COUDE MODEL) PERMITTED THE DESIGN OF THE FINAL INTERFEROMETER. THIS INTERFEROMETER (A CASSEGRAIN MODEL) IS NOW BEING FABRICATED. THIS PAPER IS AN ENLARGEMENT AND EXPLANATION OF THE RESULTS PRESENTED IN THE WOODS HOLE PAPER.

INTERFEROMETERS# OPTICAL INTERFEROMETERS

73W-00-5118

N71-14886. DUAL CHANNEL SPECTROMETER FOR ROTATIONAL TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENTS. DECEMBER 1970.
HOOKESTRA, CR# HOPPE, JC# HUNTER, WW
LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER, HAMPTON, VA.
N71-14886# NASA-TMX-2135# L-6859

14P. A UNIQUE DUAL CHANNEL SPECTROMETER CAPABLE OF MAKING ROTATIONAL TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENT OF LOW DENSITY NITROGEN GAS UP TO 500 K HAS BEEN DEVELOPED AND TESTED. THE INSTRUMENT IMAGES ON A SINGLE VARIABLE WIDTH ENTRANCE SLIT THE FLUORESCENCE OF THE FIRST NEGATIVE SYSTEM OF NITROGEN RESULTING FROM INELASTIC COLLISIONS BETWEEN FAST ELECTRONS AND NITROGEN MOLECULES. THE ROTATIONAL ENERGY INTERVAL OBSERVED BY EACH CHANNEL IS SET BY PRESELECTED EXIT SLIT WIDTHS. THE RATIO OF ROTATIONAL ENERGY WITHIN THE TWO CHANNELS IS THEN A CALCULABLE FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE.

SPECTROMETERS# TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENT# NITROGEN

73W-00-5131

73W 05131. APPLICATION OF THE STANFORD STREAMFLOW SIMULATION MODEL TO AGRICULTURAL WATERSHEDS. DECEMBER 1969.
RICCA, VT# BRIGGS, DL# BALK, EL# TAIGANIDES, EP
OHIO STATE UNIV., COLUMBUS
PAPER-NO-69-728

19P. HYDROLOGIC DATA FROM THE NORTH APPALACHIAN EXPERIMENTAL WATERSHED IN COSHOCTON, OHIO ARE USED IN A MODIFIED VERSION OF THE STANFORD STREAMFLOW SIMULATION MODEL TO TEST ITS PERFORMANCE ON AGRICULTURAL WATERSHEDS. A CRITICAL ASSESSMENT IS MADE ON THE USE OF THE MODEL FOR SMALL WATERSHEDS.

WATERSHEDS# STREAM FLOW# HYDROLOGY# CLIMATOLOGY
DIGITAL SIMULATION

73W-00-5133

73W 05133. SIMULATING THE EFFECTS OF ENVIRONMENT ON CROP AND OF CROP ON MICROCLIMATE. DECEMBER 1969.
WAGGONER, PE
CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION
NEW HAVEN
PAPER-NO-69-915

4P. CONCEIVING A CANOPY OF LEAVES AS A LADDER OF CONDUCTORS FOR WATER VAPOR, SENSIBLE HEAT AND CARBON DIOXIDE ALLOWS CALCULATION OF PHOTOSYNTHESIS AND EVAPORATION AS WELL AS MICROCLIMATIC PROFILES OF TEMPERATURE AND CARBON DIOXIDE FROM LOGICAL WEATHER AND PLANT FACTORS.

MICROCLIMATOLOGY# LEAVES /BOTANY/# SIMULATION

73W-00-5151

N59-31809. A STUDY OF THE LAND TYPE. MARCH 1969.
DAVIS, CM
MICHIGAN UNIV., ANN ARBOR
N59-31809# AD685871# AROD-6504.2-E-4# REPT-08055-2-F

96P. THE DOCUMENT GIVES AN HISTORICAL STUDY OF THE LAND TYPE, A COMPOSITE LAND UNIT, AS DEVELOPED AND USED IN THE 1920S AND 1930S. ITS PURPOSE IS TO BRING TOGETHER THE HISTORY AND SCATTERED LITERATURE OF THIS CONCEPT. REVIEWS ARE INCLUDED OF THE LAND SYSTEM AS USED IN AUSTRALIA AND IN ENGLAND. PART OF THE REPORT IS A COMPUTER ANALYSIS OF THE DIGITALIZED LAND SURFACE OF ONE MICHIGAN COUNTY. IT EMPLOYS TEN TRANSFORMATIONS OF THE DIGITALIZED SURFACE IN AN ATTEMPT TO FIND TRANSFORMATIONS WHICH WILL REASONABLY PREDICT THE LAND TYPES THAT WERE MADE YEARS AGO.

LANDFORMS# DIGITAL TECHNIQUES

73W-00-5160

N70-41668. HYDROLOGIC DATA REDUCTION TECHNIQUES AND UNIT CONVERSIONS FOR USE IN THE OGRE, T WAVE, FLIP, AND RAVE FLUID FLOW CODES. MARCH 1970.
KORVER, JA
CALIFORNIA UNIV., BERKELEY
N70-41668# UCRL-50854

8P. METHODS USED TO DETERMINE KH, THE PERMEABILITY THICKNESS PRODUCT FOR A GIVEN LOCATION, FROM HYDROLOGIC TEST DATA ARE DESCRIBED, AND METHODS FOR CONVERTING THE UNITS OF PERMEABILITY TO THE CORRECT DIMENSIONAL FORM FOR USE IN SPECIFIC COMPUTER CODES FOR ANALYZING GROUND WATER FLOW ARE PRESENTED.

HYDROLOGY# GROUND WATER# WATER FLOW

73W-00-5162

N59-28505. BIBLIOGRAPHY OF REMOTE SENSING OF EARTH RESOURCES FOR HYDROLOGICAL APPLICATIONS. NOVEMBER 1968.
LLAVERIAS, RK
MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER, HOUSTON, TEX.
N59-28505# NASA-TMX-61717# NASA-134

75P. THIS PRELIMINARY BIBLIOGRAPHY WAS PREPARED TO ACQUAINT HYDROLOGISTS WITH THE BASIC LITERATURE INVOLVED IN THIS FIELD. SOME OF THE REFERENCES CONCERN SPECIFIC HYDROLOGIC TOPICS OR SPECIFIC REMOTE SENSING METHODS. OTHER REFERENCES ON VEGETATION MAPPING AND GEOLOGY WERE INCLUDED SO THAT THE READER CAN FIND INFORMATION ON THE SELECTION, PROCESSING, AND USE OF REMOTE SENSING DATA IN THESE COGNATE FIELDS. A NUMBER OF METEOROLOGICAL REFERENCES WERE INCLUDED BECAUSE IN MANY REMOTE SENSING APPLICATIONS, ESPECIALLY FROM EARTH ORBITAL SATELLITES, ATMOSPHERIC EFFECTS MUST BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT IN INTERPRETING THE VIEWS OF THE EARTH.

REMOTE SENSING# HYDROLOGY# VEGETATION

73W-00-5163

N69-28360. USEFUL APPLICATIONS OF EARTH ORIENTED SATELLITES: HYDROLOGY. 1969.
NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, WASHINGTON, D.C.
N69-28360# NASA-CR-101405

81P. THE FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF A TECHNICAL STUDY GROUP ON THE APPLICATIONS OF SPACE TECHNOLOGY TO HYDROLOGIC PROBLEMS ARE PRESENTED. FIVE HYDROLOGIC OBJECTIVES AMENABLE TO CURRENT SPACE TECHNOLOGY AND PROMISING SUBSTANTIAL IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM BENEFITS ARE IDENTIFIED: BASIC STUDIES OF THE HYDROLOGIC CYCLE AND LARGE SCALE HYDROLOGICAL SYSTEMS; SNOW AND ICE MAPPING; SURVEYS OF COASTAL HYDROLOGIC FEATURES AND LARGE INLAND LAKES, AND REAL TIME COMMUNICATIONS OF GROUND BASED HYDROLOGIC DATA. A QUALITATIVE EVALUATION IS MADE OF THE BENEFITS TO BE DERIVED FROM A COLLECTION OF MORE AND BETTER HYDROLOGIC DATA AND IMPROVED WEATHER FORECASTING. A COST ESTIMATE ON A HYDROLOGY SATELLITE SYSTEM IS ALSO INCLUDED.

HYDROLOGY# ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES# MAPPING
WEATHER FORECASTING# REAL TIME OPERATIONS

73W-00-5165

N69-25113. LAND FORMS AND RESOURCES IN CENTRAL RAJASTHAN (INDIA): RESULTS OF THE JALOR PILOT SURVEY. JANUARY 1969.
VERSTAPPEN, HT# GHOSE, B# PANDEY, S
INTERNATIONAL INST. FOR AERIAL AND EARTH SCIENCES,
DELFt, NETHERLANDS
N69-25113# SERIES B NO. 51

20P. IT IS POINTED OUT TO WHAT EXTENT NATURAL ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS AFFECT THE UTILIZATION OF THE ARID AND SEMI ARID LANDS OF INDIA. USING THE JALOR AREA IN THE Luni RIVER BASIN (CENTRAL RAJASTHAN) AS AN EXAMPLE, IT IS DEMONSTRATED THAT THE CLIMATIC GEOMORPHOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE AREA HAS LED TO THE FORMATION OF EXTENSIVE LAYERS OF LIME CONCRETIONS OF LOW PERMEABILITY AND TO LARGE SIZED SAND ACCUMULATIONS TO THE WINDWARD OF RESIDUAL HILLS AND HILL COMPLEXES. THIS DEVELOPMENT HAS HAD A LASTING INFLUENCE ON GROUND AND SURFACE WATER CIRCULATION AND THUS ON THE TYPE AND DISTRIBUTION OF THE PRESENT UTILIZATION OF THE LAND. MOST OF THE MAIN LANDFORM UNITS OCCURRING IN CENTRAL RAJASTHAN ARE REPRESENTED IN THE JALOR PILOT SURVEY AREA. THEY ARE BRIEFLY DESCRIBED AND THEIR HYDROLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND AGRICULTURAL VALUES ARE SUMMARIZED.

LANDFORMS# HYDROLOGY# GEOMORPHOLOGY

73W-00-5168

N69-17126. EARTH RESOURCES SATELLITE SYSTEM. 1968.
COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE AND ASTRONAUTICS WASHINGTON, D.C.
N69-17126

32P. DATA ARE PRESENTED IN SUPPORT OF THE EARTH RESOURCES SATELLITE SYSTEM AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE EARTH RESOURCES TECHNOLOGY SATELLITE (ERTS). THE SYSTEM IS CONSIDERED TO HAVE THE POTENTIAL FOR MAKING MAJOR CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE SOLUTION OF MANKIND'S FOOD, WATER, AND MINERAL RESOURCE PROBLEMS, AND TO PROVIDE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR ACHIEVING TANGIBLE ECONOMIC RETURNS FROM THE SUBSTANTIAL SPACE PROGRAM EXPENDITURES. THE EXISTING TECHNOLOGICAL BASE FOR AN OPERATIONAL ERTS DESIGN IS REVIEWED, AND THE HISTORY OF THE PROGRAM IS TRACED. SCIENTISTS IN SIX KEY AREAS ARE GENERALLY CONSIDERED TO BE THE MOST IMPORTANT POTENTIAL USERS OF EARTH RESOURCES DATA. THESE AREAS ARE CARTOGRAPHY, AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY, OCEANOGRAPHY, GEOLOGY, AND HYDROLOGY. IT IS STRONGLY RECOMMENDED THAT NASA CONCENTRATE A MUCH LARGER PORTION OF ITS EFFORTS AND RESOURCES ON THIS PROJECT, AND THE LAUNCH SCHEDULE SHOULD BE COMPRESSED IF POSSIBLE.

ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES# RESOURCES# REMOTE SENSING

73W-00-5177

N71-17486 THRU N71-17492. SOVIET BLOC RESEARCH IN GEOPHYSICS, ASTRONOMY, AND SPACE, NO. 245. JANUARY 1971.
JOINT PUBLICATIONS RESEARCH SERVICE, WASHINGTON, D.C.
N71-17486 THRU N71-17492# JPRS-52271

115P. CONTENTS: 1. ASTRONOMY (SEE N71-17487), 2. METEOROLOGY (SEE N71-17488), 3. OCEANOGRAPHY (SEE N71-17489), 4. TERRESTRIAL GEOPHYSICS (SEE N71-17490), 5. UPPER ATMOSPHERE AND SPACE RESEARCH (SEE N71-17491), 6. SUPPLEMENT: WORK OF THE UNDERWATER RESEARCH LABORATORY (SEE N71-17492).

GEOPHYSICS# ASTRONOMY# METEOROLOGY
OCEANOGRAPHY# USSR

73W-00-5178

N71-16510 THRU N71-16516. SOVIET BLOC RESEARCH IN GEOPHYSICS, ASTRONOMY, AND SPACE, NO. 243.
DECEMBER 1970.
JOINT PUBLICATIONS RESEARCH SERVICE, WASHINGTON, D.C.
N71-16510 THRU N71-16516# JPRS-52087

83P. CONTENTS: 1. ASTRONOMY (SEE N71-16511), 2. METEOROLOGY (SEE N71-16512), 3. OCEANOGRAPHY (SEE N71-16513), 4. TERRESTRIAL GEOPHYSICS (SEE N71-16514), 5. UPPER ATMOSPHERE AND SPACE RESEARCH (SEE N71-16515), 6. OPERATIONS OF CHERNOMOK SEALAB: SUPPLEMENT (SEE N71-16516).

GEOPHYSICS# ASTRONOMY# OCEANOGRAPHY
METEOROLOGY# USSR

73W-00-5179

N71-19086. FIRST FIVE YEARS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SATELLITE PROGRAM: AN ASSESSMENT. FEBRUARY 1971. NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SATELLITE CENTER, WASHINGTON, D.C.
N71-19086

35P. EXAMPLES OF THE VARIETY OF DIRECT, CONTINUING BENEFITS TO ENVIRONMENTAL OBSERVATION AND PREDICTION IN THE UNITED STATES AND OVERSEAS ARE REVIEWED. WEATHER FORECAST AND WARNING SERVICES ARE EMPHASIZED, AND MARITIME, HYDROLOGIC, SPACE ENVIRONMENT, AND EARTH MAPPING SERVICES ARE DISCUSSED. A PRIMARY CHARACTERISTIC IS CONSIDERED TO BE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE SATELLITE IN PROVIDING INFORMATION, ROUTINELY AND DEPENDABLY, ESPECIALLY OVER OCEANS AND REMOTE LAND AREAS. OTHER IMPORTANT FACTORS ARE IDENTIFIED AS THE RAPIDITY WITH WHICH FORECAST OFFICES RECEIVE WEATHER PHOTOGRAHPS AND CHARTS, THE OVERALL VIEW OF THE EARTH AND ATMOSPHERIC FEATURES, AND THE UTILIZATION OF METEOROLOGICAL SATELLITE DATA BY OTHER NATIONS.

ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES# WEATHER FORECASTING
METEOROLOGICAL DATA# HYDROLOGY# MAPPING

73W-00-5180

N71-14656 THRU N71-14662. SOVIET BLOC RESEARCH IN GEOPHYSICS, ASTRONOMY, AND SPACE, NO. 240. NOVEMBER 1970. JOINT PUBLICATIONS RESEARCH SERVICE, WASHINGTON, D.C.
N71-14656 THRU N71-14662# JPRS-51760

49P. CONTENTS: 1. ASTRONOMY (SEE N71-14657), 2. METEOROLOGY (SEE N71-14658), 3. OCEANOGRAPHY (SEE N71-14659), 4. TERRESTRIAL GEOPHYSICS (SEE N71-14660), 5. UPPER ATMOSPHERE AND SPACE RESEARCH (SEE N71-14661), 6. SUPPLEMENT: "SOYUZ" COMMAND MODULE (SEE N71-14662).

GEOPHYSICS# ASTRONOMY# OCEANOGRAPHY
METEOROLOGY# USSR

73W-00-5181

N70-29735. THE DEVELOPMENT OF EARTH SCIENCES IN THE USSR: SELECTED ARTICLES, VOLUME 1. JANUARY 1969.
VINogradov, AP
FOREIGN TECH. DIV., WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB, OHIO
N70-29735# AD685004# FTD-MT-24-291-68-VOL-1

332P. CONTENTS: THE EARTH OVERALL; (FIGURE AND GRAVITATIONAL FIELD OF THE EARTH, ROTATION OF THE EARTH, TERRESTRIAL TIDES, GRAVIMETRY, THERMOPHYSICS OF THE EARTH, INTERNAL STRUCTURE OF THE EARTH, GEOMAGNETIC FIELD, SEISMOLOGY, GEOCHEMISTRY, BIOSPHERE); THE EARTH'S CRUST AND UPPER MANTLE; (STRATIGRAPHY, METHODS OF THE DETERMINATION OF ABSOLUTE AGE OF ROCKS AND GEODRACHRONOLOGY, PALEOMAGNETIC RESEARCHES, LITHOLOGY, PALEOGEOGRAPHY, CONTEMPORARY SLOW MOVEMENTS OF THE EARTH'S CRUST, GEOFTECTONICS, VOLCANOLOGY, MINERALOGY, PETROLOGY, GEOLOGY OF ORE DEPOSITS, GEOLOGY OF OIL, HYDROGEOLOGY).

GRAVITATION# EARTH ROTATION# SEISMOLOGY# GEOLOGY
EARTH CRUST# CLIMATOLOGY# METEOROLOGY# USSR

73W-00-5185

N70-16857. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES FOR LEVELS OF HIGH PRECISION USING THE ZEISS NI 2 AUTOMATIC LEVEL. JULY 1969.
BERRY, RM
ARMY DEPT.
N70-16857# AD693804# MP-69-4

29P. INSTRUMENTATION AND TECHNIQUES DEVELOPED BY THE U. S. LAKE SURVEY FOR LEVELS OF HIGH PRECISION ARE DESCRIBED. AUTOMATIC, OR SELF LEVELING ZEISS NI2 INSTRUMENT IS USED WITH PLANE PARALLEL PLATE MICROMETER. SPECIAL TECHNIQUES ARE USED TO COMPENSATE FOR SYSTEMATIC ERRORS UNIQUE TO AUTOMATIC LEVELS. LEVELS CAN BE RUN WITH THESE METHODS TO A TOLERANCE OF 1.5 MILLIMETERS TIMES THE SQUARE ROOT OF THE LENGTH OF THE SECTION IN KILOMETERS.

INSTRUMENTS# LEVELING# WATER FLOW

73W-00-5186

N70-25618. GUIDE TO SOVIET LITERATURE ACCESSIONS IN THE ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES LIBRARY, AND THE GEOPHYSICAL SCIENCES LIBRARY. AUGUST 1969.
DNEPRO, IA
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION,
SILVER SPRING, MD.
N70-25618# PB187473T# ESSA-WB-TA-23

72P. THE DOCUMENT PRESENTS TRANSLATED TABLES OF CONTENTS AND ANNOTATIONS, AND ON A SELECTIVE BASIS, AUTHORS' ABSTRACTS, INTRODUCTIONS, SUMMARIES, AND CONCLUSIONS. IT PROVIDES PROMPT DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION CONCERNING SOVIET CONTRIBUTIONS.

METEOROLOGY# ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES# GEOPHYSICS
DOCUMENTS# USSR

73W-00-5187

N70-28678. STUDY OF THE USE OF AERIAL AND SATELLITE PHOTOGRAHAMTRY FOR SURVEYS IN HYDROLOGY. MARCH 1970.
RAMEY, EH
COMMERCE DEPT.
N70-28678# ESSA-TM-NESCTM-14

28P. POSSIBLE APPLICATIONS OF PHOTOGRAHAMTRY IN PROBLEMS OF HYDROLOGY ARE DISCUSSED. THE CRITICAL FACTORS IN THE USE OF SATELLITE PHOTOGRAPHS ARE INCLUDED. THE VARIOUS PHYSICAL AND ECONOMIC FACTORS IN THE USE OF AERIAL PHOTOGRAHAMTRY ARE ANALYZED IN THE MEASUREMENT OF BOTH SNOW COVER AND SNOW DEPTH. IT WAS CONCLUDED THAT THE REQUIRED ACCURACY CAN BE ATTAINED, BUT SOMETIMES THE COST WOULD BE PROHIBITIVE. THE USE OF AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY AND OTHER RELATED SENSORS TO SOME OTHER PROBLEMS IN HYDROLOGY ARE CONSIDERED.

PHOTOGRAHAMTRY# HYDROLOGY# REMOTE SENSING

73W-00-5200

PB187221. HYDROLOGIC BEHAVIOR OF SELECTED WATERSHEDS IN THE NORTHERN APPALACHIAN REGION. AUGUST 1969.
SCPPER, WE# HIEMSTRA, LA# CREESE, RC# LAVOIE, RA
PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIV., UNIV. PARK
PB187221

120P. TWO MATHEMATICAL WATERSHED MODELS WERE DEVELOPED: (1) THE HIEMSTRA WATERSHED MODEL TO SIMULATE THE HYDROLOGIC BEHAVIOR OF A SINGLE SMALL WATERSHED; AND (2) RAPHAEL'S MARKOV CHAIN MODEL TO SIMULATE THE HYDROGRAPH OF A COMPLEX LARGE WATERSHED SYSTEM CONSISTING OF 10 INTERCONNECTED SUBWATERSHEDS.

HYDROLOGY# WATER SUPPLY# MATHEMATICAL MODELS

73W-00-5207

SCS-TP-149. A METHOD FOR ESTIMATING VOLUME AND RATE OF RUNOFF IN SMALL WATERSHEDS. JANUARY 1968.
AGRICULTURE DEPT., WASHINGTON, D.C.
SCS-TP-149

61P. THE SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE (SCS) HAS DEVELOPED CHARTS ES-1026 AND ES-1027 FOR ESTIMATING THE INSTANTANEOUS PEAK DISCHARGE EXPECTED FROM SMALL AREAS. THEY PROVIDE THE PEAK DISCHARGE RATE FOR ESTABLISHING CONSERVATION PRACTICES ON INDIVIDUAL FARMS AND RANCHES AND FOR THE DESIGN OF WATER CONTROL MEASURES IN SMALL WATERSHEDS. THE GRAPHS WERE PREPARED FROM COMPUTATIONS MADE BY AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING (ADP). THE LOGIC AND PROCEDURES USED FOR THE ADP COMPUTATION ARE DESCRIBED.

WATERSHED# RAINFALL# SURFACE WATER RUNOFF

73W-00-5208

ARS-41-130. COOPERATIVE RUNOFF AND SEDIMENT INVESTIGATIONS ON MEDICINE CREEK WATERSHED IN NEBRASKA. JUNE 1967.
AGRICULTURE DEPT., WASHINGTON, D.C.
ARS-41-130

95P. COMPREHENSIVE DATA WERE COLLECTED DURING THE PERIOD 1951-58 TO DETERMINE THE IMPORTANT WEATHER, SOIL, CHANNEL, GEOMORPHOLOGIC, AND TOPOGRAPHIC FACTORS AS RELATED TO THE DAMAGE CAUSED BY FLOOD, SEDIMENT, AND EROSION IN THE MEDICINE CREEK WATERSHED OF SOUTHWESTERN NEBRASKA.

WATERSHED# SURFACE WATER RUNOFF# SEDIMENTS

73W-00-5209

73W 05209. INFLUENCE OF TOPOGRAPHY ON RAINFALL IN WEST VIRGINIA. JUNE 1969.
GRAFTON, CR# DICKERSON, WH
WEST VIRGINIA UNIV., MORGANTOWN

45P. THE FUNDAMENTAL AIM OF THE WATER RESEARCH INSTITUTE IS TO OBTAIN THE KNOWLEDGE NEEDED TO GAIN THE GREATEST BENEFIT FROM THE WATER RESOURCES OF WEST VIRGINIA. THE BULLETIN IS USED TO REPORT THE FINAL RESULTS OF PROJECTS AND PROGRAMS.

RAINFALL# TOPOGRAPHY# CLIMATOLOGY

73W-00-5211

73W 05211. RAINFALL RUNOFF MODELS.
KOHLER, MA
WEATHER BUREAU, WASHINGTON, D.C.

12P. CONTINUING INVESTIGATIONS ON THE APPLICATION OF HYDROLOGIC ACCOUNTING TO RIVER FORECASTING HAVE BEEN DIRECTED LARGELY TOWARD THE DEVELOPMENT OF IMPROVED MODELS FOR THE RUNOFF AND SOIL MOISTURE DEPLETION PHASES OF THE HYDROLOGIC CYCLE. THE SEVERAL MODELS UNDER STUDY ARE DISCUSSED AND EVALUATED.

RAINFALL# SURFACE WATER RUNOFF# MATHEMATICAL MODELS

73W-00-5212

73W 05212. RUNOFF ESTIMATION FOR VERY SMALL DRAINAGE AREAS.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. FEBRUARY 1968. P87-93
VIESSMAN, W
MAINE UNIV., ORONO

ANALYSES OF HYDROLOGIC DATA FROM HIGH INTENSITY SHORT DURATION STORMS ON VERY SMALL DRAINAGE AREAS HAVING VARYING PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS INDICATED THAT A 1 MINUTE UNIT HYDROGRAPH COULD BE USED AS THE BASIS FOR GENERATING RUNOFF FROM AN EFFECTIVE RAINSTORM INPUT.

SURFACE WATER RUNOFF# HYDROGRAPHY# HYDROLOGY

73W-00-5213

73W 05213. RECENT TRENDS IN HYDROGRAPH SYNTHESIS.
PROCEEDINGS OF TECHNICAL MEETING 21. 1966. 30P.
COMMITTEE FOR HYDROLOGICAL RESEARCH TNO

THE PAPERS IN THESE PROCEEDINGS WERE THE SUBJECT OF LECTURES DELIVERED AT A TECHNICAL MEETING OF THE COMMITTEE FOR HYDROLOGICAL RESEARCH TNO IN DECEMBER 1965. THIS MEETING WAS ORGANIZED WITH A VIEW TO CONFRONT THE RELATIVELY YOUNG SURFACE WATER HYDROLOGY IN THE NETHERLANDS WITH RECENT DEVELOPMENTS ABROAD. THE PAPERS MAINLY REVIEW THE RECENT LITERATURE, BUT THEY ALSO INCLUDE SOME MATERIAL THAT IS NOT GENERALLY AVAILABLE TO THE AVERAGE WORKER IN THIS FIELD.

HYDROLOGY# HYDROGRAPHY# RAINFALL

73W-00-5220

73W 05220. MULTICAPACITY BASIN ACCOUNTING FOR PREDICTING RUNOFF FROM STORM PRECIPITATION.
JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH. DECEMBER 1962.
P5187-5197.
KJHLER, MA# RICHARDS, MM
WEATHER BUREAU, WASHINGTON, D.C.

AS A RESULT OF VARIATIONS IN SOIL AND SURFACE CHARACTERISTICS, VEGETATIVE COVER, ETC., THE MOISTURE CAPACITY IS HIGHLY VARIABLE FROM POINT TO POINT OVER A RIVER BASIN. THE BASIC PURPOSE OF THE PAPER IS TO DESCRIBE A METHOD OF BASIN ACCOUNTING RATHER THAN THE USE OF SUCH ACCOUNTING TO CORRELATE RAINFALL TO RUNOFF.

RIVER BASINS# RAINFALL# SURFACE WATER RUNOFF

73W-00-5222

73W 05222. EFFECTS OF CLIMATOLOGIC AND BASIN CHARACTERISTICS ON ANNUAL RUNOFF.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. FIRST QTR 1967. P123-130.
MJSSTOVEN, SE
BJARD OF AGRICULTURE, HELSINKI, FINLAND

CLIMATOLOGIC AND BASIN CHARACTERISTICS AFFECTING THE ANNUAL RUNOFF IN FINLAND ARE SELECTED BY THE ORTHOGONAL REGRESSION METHOD.

SURFACE WATER RUNOFF# CLIMATOLOGY# WATERSHEDS

73W-00-5225

73W 05225. RUNOFF VOLUME PREDICTION FROM DAILY CLIMATIC DATA.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. FEBRUARY 1969. P84-94.
KVISEL, WG# BAIRD, RW# HARTMAN, MA
AGRICULTURE DEPT., WASHINGTON, D.C.

A TWO SOIL MOISTURE RESERVOIR MODEL IS DEVELOPED TO IMPROVE THE ESTIMATE ACCURACY OF A RUNOFF VOLUME PREDICTION MODEL.

SURFACE WATER RUNOFF# CLIMATOLOGY# SOIL WATER

73W-00-5226

73W 05226. PRECIPITATION RUNOFF RELATIONS FOR VERY SMALL SEMIARID RANGELAND WATERSHEDS.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. APRIL 1969. P419-425.
USBURN, HB# LANE, L
AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE, TUCSON, ARIZ.

SIMPLE LINEAR REGRESSION MODELS FOR PREDICTING TOTAL VOLUME OF RUNOFF, PEAK RATE OF RUNOFF, DURATION OF RUNOFF, AND HYDROGRAPH LAG TIME WERE DEVELOPED USING THREE YEARS OF DATA FROM FOUR SMALL (0.56 TO 11.0 ACRES) WATERSHEDS.

SURFACE WATER RUNOFF# WATERSHED# LINEAR REGRESSION

73W-00-5228

73W 05228. GENERALIZATION OF STREAM FLOW CHARACTERISTICS FROM DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS. 1969.
THOMAS, DM# BENSON, MA
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.

45P. CONTENTS: INTRODUCTION, DESCRIPTION OF STUDY REGIONS, SELECTION OF STREAMFLOW RECORDS FOR ANALYSIS, INDICES OF STREAMFLOW CHARACTERISTICS, DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS, ANALYTICAL METHODS, AND RESULTS.

STREAM FLOW# WATERSHEDS

73W-00-5231

73W 05231. FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE STREAM FLOW IN THE NORTHEAST.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. THIRD QTR. 1966. P371-379.
LJLL, HW
U.S. FOREST SERVICE, UPPER DARBY, PA.
SDPPER, WE
PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIV., UNIV. PARK

AVERAGE ANNUAL AND SEASONAL RUNOFF AND DAILY MEAN DISCHARGES AT SELECTED FLOW DURATIONS OF 137 WATERSHEDS IN THE NORTHEAST U.S. TOTALING LESS THAN 100 SQUARE MILES WERE RELATED TO SELECTED CLIMATIC, TOPOGRAPHIC, AND LAND USE VARIABLES.

STREAM FLOW# GEOMORPHOLOGY

73W-00-5233

BULLETIN NO. 15. A UNIFORM TECHNIQUE FOR DETERMINING FLOOD FLOW FREQUENCIES. DECEMBER 1967.
WATER RESOURCES COUNCIL, WASHINGTON, D.C.
BULLETIN NO. 15

15P. WITH THE GROWING NEED FOR IMPROVED FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT, DESIRABILITY OF A BASIC, UNIFORM METHOD OF ESTABLISHING FLOOD FREQUENCIES FOR GENERAL USE THROUGHOUT THE NATION IS MANIFEST. WITH THIS NEED IN MIND, THE UNIFORM TECHNIQUE FOR DETERMINING FLOOD FLOW FREQUENCIES SET FORTH IN THIS BULLETIN WAS ADOPTED BY THE COUNCIL'S HYDROLOGY COMMITTEE.

FLOOD PLAINS# RIVER BASINS# FLOOD CONTROL

73W-00-5236

73W 05236. FORESTS AND FLOODS IN THE NORTHWESTERN UNITED STATES. SEPTEMBER 1959.
ANDERSON, HW# HOBBY, RL

10P. FLOOD CAUSES CAN BE DETERMINED BY ANALYSIS OF FLOODS FROM WATERSHEDS WITH WIDE DIFFERENCES IN METEOROLOGICAL HAPPENINGS AND IN TOPOGRAPHIC AND GEOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS. VARIATIONS IN THESE BRING ABOUT WIDE FLUCTUATIONS IN FLOOD SIZE.

FLOODS# FORESTRY# WATERSHEDS

73W-00-5242

73W 05242. SYMPOSIUM ON ANALYTICAL METHODS IN HYDROLOGY.
WASHINGTON, D.C. APRIL 1966.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. THIRD QTR 1967. P805-907.
AMERICAN GEOPHYSICAL UNION

THE EIGHT PAPERS ARE EXPLANATORY DISCUSSIONS OF SOME ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES IN CURRENT USE IN SURFACE WATER HYDROLOGY. THEY ARE PRESENTED BY THE SURFACE WATER COMMITTEE IN THE HOPE THAT ALL HYDROLOGISTS MAY BENEFIT THROUGH FULLER UNDERSTANDING OF SUCH TECHNIQUES. THE PAPERS PRESENT EXPLANATIONS OF RECENT AND SIGNIFICANT ADVANCES IN HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS.

HYDROLOGY# SURFACE WATERS

73W-00-5243

73W 05243. INFILTRATION, OVERLAND FLOW, AND SOIL MOVEMENT ON FROZEN AND SNOW COVERED PLOTS.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. FIRST QTR 1967. P145-161.
HAUPT, HF
FORESTRY SCIENCES LABORATORY, MOSCOW,

THIS SMALL PLOT STUDY SHOWS HOW GROUND COVER, FURROWING, AND THE PRESENCE OF FROST IN SOILS OF THE SIERRA NEVADA AFFECT INFILTRATION FROM PROLONGED SIMULATED WINTER RAINS.

FLUID INFILTRATION# FROST# SNOW

73W-00-5244

73W 05244. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PRECIPITATION, EVAPORATION, AND RUNOFF IN TROPICAL EQUATORIAL REGIONS.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. FIRST QTR 1967. P163-172.
SOLIMON, S.
SHAWINIGAN ENG. CO., LTD., MONTREAL, CANADA

BUCHET'S THEORY ON ACTUAL AND POTENTIAL EVAPORATION CAN BE USED AS A BASIS TO DEVELOP SEMIEMPIRICAL RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN PRECIPITATION, ACTUAL EVAPORATION, AND RADIATION.

73W-00-5245

73W 05245. LINEAR TIME VARYING MODEL OF RAINFALL RUNOFF RELATION.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. APRIL 1969. P426-437.
CHIU, C# BITTLER, RP
PITTBURGH UNIV., PA.
THE LINEAR TIME VARYING SYSTEM MODEL OF THE RAINFALL RUNOFF RELATION CAN BE REPRESENTED BY A FIRST ORDER, LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION WITH TIME VARYING COEFFICIENTS THAT DEPEND ON TWO PARAMETERS.

RAINFALL# SURFACE WATER RUNOFF# HYDROLOGY
LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

73W-00-5247

73W 05247. SOME COMMENTS ON THE USE OF FACTOR ANALYSES.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. FIRST QTR. 1967. P213-223.
MATLAS, NC# REIHER, BJ
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, ARLINGTON, VA.

FACTOR ANALYSIS IS A TECHNIQUE THAT PURPORTS TO EXPLAIN OBSERVED RELATIONS AMONG SEVERAL VARIATES IN TERMS OF SIMPLER RELATIONS THAT PROVIDE INSIGHT INTO THE UNDERLYING STRUCTURE OF THE VARIATES.

FACTOR ANALYSIS# STATISTICS# MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS

73W-00-5248

73W 05248. FACTOR ANALYSIS IN HYDROLOGY - AN AGNOSTIC VIEW.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. JUNE 1968. P521-527.
WALLIS, JR
IBM YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, RESEARCH

FACTOR ANALYSIS USED AS A NUMERICAL PROCEDURE FOR
SCREENING VARIABLES IS A USEFUL AND POWERFUL TOOL FOR
HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS THAT CAN BE EXPECTED TO YIELD EQUATIONS
THAT OUTPERFORM OTHERS WHEN USED AS PREDICTORS FOR
CONTROL SAMPLES.

FACTOR ANALYSIS# REGRESSION ANALYSIS# HYDROLOGY

73W-00-5249

73W 05249. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS METHOD OF SYSTEM
IDENTIFICATION AND ITS POTENTIAL IN HYDROLOGIC RESEARCH.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. APRIL 1969. P341-349.
VEMURI, V# DRAÇUP, JA# ERDMANN, RC
ENVIRONMENTAL DYNAMICS, INC., LOS ANGELES, CALIF.
VEMURI, N
BANARIS UNIV., INDIA

THE APPLICABILITY OF THE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS METHOD TO
IDENTIFY BOTH LUMPED AND DISTRIBUTED HYDROLOGIC SYSTEMS WITH
DETERMINISTIC OR STATISTICAL INPUT OUTPUT DATA IS
DEMONSTRATED.

SENSITIVITY# HYDROLOGY# ALGORITHMS

73W-00-5255

73W 05255. WATERSHED MODELING APPROACH TO EVALUATION OF THE
HYDROLOGIC POTENTIAL OF UNIT AREAS. 1965.
ANDERSON, HW
AGRICULTURE DEPT., BERKELY, CALIF.

12P. FACTOR ANALYSIS IS USED IN TESTING THE ADEQUACY OF
SAMPLING OF INDEPENDENT VARIABLES; PRINCIPAL COMPONENT
REGRESSION ANALYSES ARE USED IN ESTABLISHING PHYSICAL
RELATIONS TO SEDIMENT AND SNOW. FACTOR CONTRIBUTIONS
AFTER VARIMAX ROTATION ALLOWS IMPROVED INTERPRETATION OF
EXPLAINED VARIANCE. APPLICATION OF A MODEL IN EVALUATING
FLOOD SOURCES AND THE SEDIMENT POTENTIAL OF UNIT AREAS IS
ILLUSTRATED.

WATERSHEDS# HYDROLOGY# FACTOR ANALYSIS

73W-00-5258

73W 05258. USE OF TOPOLOGIC INFORMATION IN PROCESSING DATA
FOR CHANNEL NETWORKS.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. JUNE 1970. P932-936.
SMART, JS
IBM YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, RESEARCH

THE BINARY DIGIT REPRESENTATION OF CHANNEL NETWORK TOPOLOGY
IS PROPOSED AS AN AID TO DATA HANDLING FOR CHANNEL NETWORKS.
EXAMPLES OF ITS USE ARE DRAWN FROM THE FIELDS OF WATER
POLLUTION CONTROL AND GEOMORPHOLOGY.

TOPOLOGY# DATA PROCESSING# CHANNELS /WATERWAYS/

73W-00-5262

73W 05262. DISTRIBUTION OF INTERIOR LINK LENGTHS IN NATURAL CHANNEL NETWORKS.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. DECEMBER 1969. P1337-1342.
SMART, JS
IBM YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, RESEARCH

INTERIOR LINK LENGTHS WERE MEASURED FOR 10 CHANNEL NETWORKS WITH MAGNITUDES BETWEEN 80 AND 200. NONPARAMETRIC STATISTICAL METHODS WERE USED TO TEST THE HYPOTHESIS THAT LINK LENGTH IS INDEPENDENT OF LINK MAGNITUDE. FOUR OF THE NETWORKS SHOW NO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE (5 PERCENT LEVEL) OF LINK LENGTH WITH MAGNITUDE. SOME OF THE OTHER NETWORKS DO HAVE SIGNIFICANT CHANGES IN LINK LENGTH, BUT THE EXACT NUMBER DEPENDS ON THE NATURE OF THE ALTERNATIVE HYPOTHESIS. HALF OF THE OBSERVED CHANGES WERE POSITIVE AND HALF WERE NEGATIVE.

CHANNELS /WATERWAYS/# STREAMS

73W-00-5263

73W 05263. STATISTICAL PROPERTIES OF STREAM LENGTHS.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. OCTOBER 1968. P1001-1014.
SMART, JS
IBM YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, RESEARCH

TWO BASIC ASSUMPTIONS ARE EMPLOYED IN THIS TREATMENT OF THE STATISTICS OF STREAM LENGTHS: (1) ALL TOPOLOGICALLY DISTINCT NETWORKS WITH A GIVEN NUMBER OF SOURCES ARE EQUALLY LIKELY, (2) LENGTHS OF INTERIOR LINKS IN A GIVEN NETWORK ARE INDEPENDENT RANDOM VARIABLES DRAWN FROM THE SAME POPULATION.

STREAMS# GEOMORPHOLOGY# WATERSHEDS

73W-00-5264

73W 05264. MEAN STREAM NUMBERS AND BRANCHING RATIOS FOR TOPOLOGICALLY RANDOM CHANNEL NETWORKS.
BULLETIN OF THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOC. OF SCIENTIFIC HYDROLOGY. DECEMBER 1968. P61-64.
SMART, JS
IBM YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, RESEARCH

SHREVE'S FORMULAS FOR TOPOLOGICALLY RANDOM NETWORKS ARE USED TO CALCULATE MEAN STREAM NUMBERS AND MEAN BIFURCATION RATIOS FOR PARTICULAR VALUES OF N SUB 1. THE EXACT VALUES ARE COMPARED WITH THE MONTE CARLO RESULTS OF LIAO AND SCHEIDEGGER.

STREAMS# CHANNELS /WATERWAYS/# TOPOLOGY

73W-00-5266

73W 05266. THE RELATION BETWEEN MAINSTREAM LENGTH AND AREA IN DRAINAGE BASINS.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. FOURTH QTR. 1967. P963-974.
SMART, JS# SURKAN, AJ
IBM YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, RESEARCH

DATA ARE PRESENTED FOR BOTH REAL AND SIMULATED STREAM SYSTEMS. CONCLUSIONS ARE: (1) VARIATION IN MAINSTREAM SINUOSITY WITH AREA CAN BE RESPONSIBLE FOR A SIGNIFICANT PART OF THE DEVIATION OF N PRIME FROM 1/2. (2) THE GENERALLY ACCEPTED STATEMENT THAT DRAINAGE BASINS BECOME MORE ELONGATED AS THEIR AREA INCREASES NEEDS FURTHER INVESTIGATION.

WATERSHEDS# GEOMORPHOLOGY# RIVERS

73W-00-5268

TR-16. LIST OF SAMPLE PARAMETERS OF QUANTITATIVE PROPERTIES OF LANDFORMS: THEIR USE IN DETERMINING THE SIZE OF GEOMORPHIC EXPERIMENTS. 1958.
MELTON, MA
COLUMBIA UNIV., NEW YORK, N.Y.
TR-16

17P. THE LIST OF SAMPLE PARAMETERS PRESENTED HERE PROVIDES ESTIMATES OF VARIANCE, MEANS, AND COEFFICIENTS OF VARIATION FOR POPULATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN STUDIED IN THE RECENT PAST, AND WILL BE OF CONTINUED INTEREST. BRIEF DESCRIPTIONS OF THE GEOLOGIC AND CLIMATIC ENVIRONMENTS FROM WHICH EACH SAMPLE WAS DRAWN WILL ALLOW AN INVESTIGATOR TO SELECT THE SAMPLE MOST NEARLY LIKE HIS OWN EXPERIMENTAL MATERIAL. AS MORE DATA BECOME AVAILABLE, THIS LIST CAN BE GREATLY ENLARGED, THEREBY INCREASING ITS USEFULNESS.

GEOMORPHOLOGY# LANDFORMS

73W-00-5269

73W 05269. HYDROGEOMORPHOLOGY. OCTOBER 1963.
MILLER, CR

15P. GEOMORPHIC AND HYDROGEOMORPHIC FACTORS ARE INHERENTLY INVOLVED IN ANY WATERSHED CONSERVATION OR WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS.

GEOMORPHOLOGY# WATERSHEDS# MORPHOLOGY

73W-00-5270

TR-17. RELATION OF MORPHOMETRIC PROPERTIES TO RUNOFF IN THE LITTLE MILL CREEK, OHIO, DRAINAGE BASIN. 1959.
MURISAWA, ME
COLUMBIA UNIV., NEW YORK, N.Y.
TR-17

10P. QUANTITATIVE GEOMORPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF FIVE SUBDIVISIONS OF MILL CREEK WATERSHED, OHIO, WERE CORRELATED WITH HYDROLOGIC DATA. IN SUCH A SMALL HOMOGENEOUS BASIN FACTORS OF CLIMATE, STRUCTURE, LITHOLOGY AND SOIL TYPE ARE ASSUMED TO BE MINIMIZED AS SIGNIFICANT VARIABLES. SIGNIFICANT REGRESSIONS WERE OBTAINED FOR AVERAGE STREAM DISCHARGE ON BASIN AREA, RELIEF RATIO, CIRCULARITY, AND ELONGATION. REGRESSIONS FOR PEAK RUNOFF ON EACH OF THESE MORPHOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS ALSO PROVED TO BE SIGNIFICANT. THESE RELATIONSHIPS MAY PROVE USEFUL IN ESTIMATING STREAM FLOW IN UNGAGED PORTIONS OF DRAINAGE BASINS.

GEOMORPHOLOGY# WATERSHEDS# SURFACE WATER RUNOFF

73W-00-5271

73W 05271. PHYSIOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS AND THE RUNOFF PATTERN. MAY 1964.
GRAY, DM
SASKATCHEWAN UNIV., SASKATOON, CANADA

21P. THE INFLUENCE OF SEVERAL GEOMORPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF A WATERSHED AS, DRAINAGE AREA SIZE, LENGTH AND SLOPE OF THE MAIN STREAM, GENERAL LAND SLOPE AND CHANNEL GEOMETRY ON THE TIME DISTRIBUTION OF SURFACE RUNOFF IS DISCUSSED. THE PRESENTATION EMPHASIZES THE INTERRELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN CERTAIN GEOMORPHIC PROPERTIES OF A WATERSHED AND DEMONSTRATES THE USE OF THE FACTORS FOR SYNTHESIZING UNIT GRAPHS FOR UNGAGED AREAS. CONSIDERATION IS ALSO GIVEN TO THE EFFECT OF SUCH FACTORS AS CLIMATE TOPOGRAPHY AND VEGETATION THAT DETERMINE THE CHARACTERISTICS OF HYDROGRAPHS FROM MELTING SNOW.

GEOMORPHOLOGY# WATERSHEDS# SURFACE WATER RUNOFF

73W-00-5272

73W 05272. THE SYNTHESIS OF DISTRIBUTED INPUTS FOR HYDROGRAPH PREDICTIONS.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. FEBRUARY 1968. P79-85.
BRAKESIEK, DL# DNSTAD, CA
AGRICULTURE DEPT., BELTSVILLE, MD.

A SURFACE FLOW MODEL BASED ON KINEMATIC WAVE THEORY IS APPLIED TO A FLOW SYSTEM DERIVED FROM THE GEOMORPHIC PROPERTIES OF THE WATERSHED IN QUESTION.

HYDROLOGY# SURFACE WATER RUNOFF# WATERSHEDS

73W-00-5273

73W 05273. ISOLATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF HYDROLOGIC RESPONSE UNITS WITHIN AGRICULTURAL WATERSHEDS.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. FEBRUARY 1968. P73-77.
EVGLAND, CB# DNSTAD, CA
AGRICULTURE DEPT., BELTSVILLE, MD.

TO EXTRAPOLATE HYDROLOGIC RELATIONS FROM SMALL TO LARGE AREAS, A WATERSHED CAN BE PARTITIONED BY ISOLATION OF UNITS OF RELATIVE HOMOGENEITY WITH RESPECT TO SOIL TYPE, LANDFORM, AND LAND USE THAT FALL INTO A SEQUENCE COMPATIBLE WITH THE HYDRAULICS OF OVERLAND AND SUBSURFACE FLOWS.

HYDROLOGY# WATERSHEDS# SURFACE WATER RUNOFF

73W-00-5278

TR-11. AN ANALYSIS OF THE RELATIONS AMONG ELEMENTS OF CLIMATE, SURFACE PROPERTIES, AND GEOMORPHOLOGY. 1957.
MELTON, MA
COLUMBIA UNIV., NEW YORK, N.Y.
TR-11

175P. LANDFORM MORPHOMETRY IS RELATED TO CAUSATIVE FACTORS OF CLIMATE, MANTLE CHARACTERISTICS, VEGETATION DENSITY, AND LITHOLOGY. DRAINAGE BASINS ANALYZED ARE IN MATURE FLUVIAL DEVELOPMENT, FREE FROM OBVIOUS STRUCTURAL INFLUENCE, AND IN CLIMATES RANGING FROM ARID TO HUMID. OVER 80 BASINS IN ARIZONA, COLORADO, NEW MEXICO, AND UTAH WERE INSPECTED IN THE FIELD; 22 WERE SUBJECT TO DETAILED FIELD INVESTIGATIONS.

GEOMORPHOLOGY# LANDFORMS# TOPOGRAPHY

73W-00-5279

73W 05279. HORTONS LAW OF STREAM ORDER NUMBERS AND A TEMPERATURE ANALOG IN RIVER NETS.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. FEBRUARY 1968. P167-171.
SCHEIDECKER, AE
ILLINOIS UNIV., URBANA

STATEMENTS OF HORTON'S LAW IN HORTON, STRAHLER AND CONSISTENT STREAM ORDERING SYSTEMS ARE PRESENTED. A TOPOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF A HORTON NET IS GIVEN.

RIVERS# GEOMORPHOLOGY

73W-00-5288

73W 05288. THE 3 PARAMETER LOGNORMAL DISTRIBUTION AND ITS APPLICATIONS IN HYDROLOGY.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. APRIL 1970. P505-515.
SANGAL, BP# BISWAS, AK
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINES AND RESOURCES,
OTTAWA, CANADA

THE 3 PARAMETER LOGNORMAL DISTRIBUTION IS A GENERAL SKEW DISTRIBUTION IN WHICH THE LOGARITHM OF ANY LINEAR FUNCTION OF A GIVEN VARIABLE IS NORMALLY DISTRIBUTED.

HYDROLOGY# SKEWED DENSITY FUNCTIONS

73W-00-5289

73W 05289. RUNOFF ESTIMATION FOR VERY SMALL DRAINAGE AREAS.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. FEBRUARY 1968. P87-93.
VIESSMAN, W
MAINE UNIV., ORONO

ANALYSIS OF HYDROLOGIC DATA FROM HIGH INTENSITY SHORT DURATION STORMS ON VERY SMALL DRAINAGE AREAS HAVING VARYING PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS INDICATED THAT A ONE MINUTE UNIT HYDROGRAPH COULD BE USED AS THE BASIS FOR GENERATING RUNOFF FROM AN EFFECTIVE RAINSTORM INPUT. PROCEDURES ARE GIVEN FOR ESTIMATING NET STORM INPUTS.

HYDROLOGY# SURFACE WATER RUNOFF# WATERSHEDS

73W-00-5292

73W 05292. COMMENTS ON "COMPUTATION OF OPTIMUM REALIZABLE UNIT HYDROGRAPHS" BY PS EAGLESON, R MEJIA, AND F MARCH.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. FEBRUARY 1968. P212-217.
NASH, JE# O'CONNOR, KM
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, GALWAY, IRELAND

THIS PAPER PRESENTS A NUMERICAL METHOD OF DERIVING UNIT HYDROGRAPHS FROM COMPLEX EVENTS BY PROGRAMMING A LEAST SQUARES SOLUTION OF THE WIENER-HOPF EQUATIONS IN DISCRETE TIME FORM.

HYDROGRAPHY# LEAST SQUARES METHOD

73W-00-5293

73W 05293. STEPS TOWARD A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF URBAN RUNOFF PROCESSES.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. APRIL 1968. P335-347.
BRATER, EF
MICHIGAN UNIV., ANN ARBOR

THIS PAPER DEALS WITH THE STUDY OF INFILTRATION PROCESSES THAT DETERMINE HOW MUCH OF A RAIN OR SNOW MELT BECOMES STORM RUNOFF.

SURFACE WATER RUNOFF# FLUID INFILTRATION# STORMS

73W-00-5294

73W 05294. A MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR SIMULATING THE HYDROLOGIC RESPONSE OF A WATERSHED.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. JUNE 1968. P529-539.
HIGGINS, LF# MONKE, EJ
PURDUE UNIV., LAFAYETTE, IND.

A GENERAL MATHEMATICAL MODEL WAS DEVELOPED TO SIMULATE THE SURFACE RUNOFF FROM WATERSHEDS.

HYDROLOGY# WATERSHEDS# SIMULATION
MATHEMATICAL MODELS

73W-00-5295

73W 05295. COMMENTS ON "ANALYSIS OF NONLINEARITIES IN GROUND WATER HYDROLOGY: A HYBRID COMPUTER APPROACH" BY V VEMURI AND JA DRACUP.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. JUNE 1968. P670-688.
THOMAS, RG
UNITED NATIONS, ROME, ITALY

THE PAPER ILLUSTRATES THE RAPID ADVANCES THAT HAVE BEEN MADE IN APPLYING THE NEWER COMPUTER TOOLS TO HYDROLOGIC PROBLEMS.

HYDROLOGY# HYBRID COMPUTERS# DIGITAL COMPUTERS

73W-00-5297

73W 05297. COMMENTS ON PAPER "LINEAR ANALYSIS OF HYDROGRAPHS" BY WD MITCHELL.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. AUGUST 1968. P844-846.
JAMIESON, DG# ONSTAD, CA
AGRICULTURE DEPT., BELTSVILLE, MD.

LINEAR SYSTEMS HAVE SEVERAL PROPERTIES, MOST OF WHICH HAVE BEEN USED TO DEFINE LINEARITY AT ONE TIME OR ANOTHER. HOWEVER, THE FUNDAMENTAL PROPERTY, AND THEREFORE THE DEFINITION, IS THE PRINCIPLE OF SUPERPOSITION.

HYDROLOGY# LINEAR SYSTEMS

73W-00-5298

73W 05298. OPTIMAL TAXING OF WATER POLLUTION.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. OCTOBER 1968. P865-875.
UPTON, C
CHICAGO UNIV., ILL.

WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE MODEL, OPTIMAL TAXES ON WATER POLLUTION DO EXIST.

WATER QUALITY# WATER POLLUTION# TAXING

73W-00-5299

73W 05299. UNIFORM FLOOD FREQUENCY ESTIMATING METHODS FOR FEDERAL AGENCIES.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. OCTOBER 1968. P891-908.
BENSON, MA
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.

LARGE SCALE PLANNING FOR IMPROVED FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT, AND EXPANDING WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT HAS MADE IT INCREASINGLY IMPORTANT THAT A CONSISTENT APPROACH BE ADOPTED FOR ESTIMATING FLOOD FREQUENCIES.

FLOODS# RIVERS# STATISTICS

73W-00-5301

73W 05301. THE USE OF A SQUARE GRID SYSTEM FOR COMPUTER ESTIMATION OF PRECIPITATION, TEMPERATURE AND RUNOFF.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. OCTOBER 1968. P919-929.
SOLOMON, SJ# CHART, EJ# WOOLLEY, JA# CADOU, C
SHAWINIGAN ENGINEERING CO., LTD., MONTREAL, CANADA

THIS PAPER PRESENTS THE APPLICATION OF THE SQUARE GRID SYSTEM TO THE ESTIMATION OF THE PRECIPITATION, TEMPERATURE, AND RUNOFF DISTRIBUTION IN A LARGE AREA. IT SHOWS HOW THE USE OF THE SYSTEM ENABLES EFFICIENT COMBINATION OF THE METEOROLOGIC AND HYDROLOGIC INFORMATION AVAILABLE IN ASSESSING THE PRECIPITATION, TEMPERATURE, AND RUNOFF DISTRIBUTION.

DIGITAL COMPUTERS# SURFACE WATER RUNOFF# WATERSHEDS

73W-00-5302

73W 05302. SOME COMMENTS ON REGIONALIZATION IN HYDROLOGIC STUDIES.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. DECEMBER 1968. P1361-1374
MATALAS, NC# GILROY, EJ
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, ARLINGTON, VA.

THIS PAPER EXAMINES THE UTILITY OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS AS A REGIONALIZATION TECHNIQUE.

STATISTICS# SYNTHESIS# HYDROLOGY

73W-00-5320

N57-39649. AGRICULTURAL APPLICATION OF REMOTE SENSING.
THE POTENTIAL FROM SPACE PLATFORMS. SEPTEMBER 1967.
FREY, HT
AGRICULTURE DEPT., WASHINGTON, D.C.
N57-39649# NASA-CR-89645

35P. CURRENT RESEARCH AND LITERATURE WERE REVIEWED TO IDENTIFY AGRICULTURAL APPLICATIONS OF REMOTE SENSING BY LOW ALTITUDE SPACE PLATFORMS. EXISTING PHOTOGRAPHIC SENSORS AND PHOTOGRAPH INTERPRETATION TECHNIQUES WERE FOUND TO BE ADEQUATE TO PERFORM A VARIETY OF AGRICULTURAL SURVEY TASKS FROM SPACE PLATFORMS. RECONNAISSANCE SURVEYS OF MAJOR LAND USES, SOILS, WATER BODIES, RANGE CONDITIONS, AND CROPPING PRACTICES ARE TECHNICALLY FEASIBLE. CONSISTENT AND ACCURATE IDENTIFICATION OF CROP SPECIES, ANALYSIS OF CROP VIGOR, AND ESTIMATION OF CROP PRODUCTION ARE NOT CLEARLY FEASIBLE. HOWEVER, SUCH INTERPRETATIONS AS THESE ARE PROBABLE IN SPECIAL SITUATIONS USING PHOTOGRAPHIC METHODS. THE DEVELOPMENT OF NONPHOTOGRAPHIC SENSING AND INTERPRETATION CAPABILITIES MAY RESULT IN SUBSTANTIAL INFORMATION GAINS, PARTICULARLY WHEN USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH PHOTOGRAPHIC IMAGERY. DETAILED SOIL SURVEYS AND LIVESTOCK CENSUSES APPEAR UNATTAINABLE FROM SPACE ALTITUDES BECAUSE OF INADEQUATE RESOLUTION AND INTERPRETATION TECHNIQUES.

REMOTE SENSING# PHOTOGRAPHIC IMAGES
SPACE STATIONS# PHOLOINTERPRETATION

73W-00-5321

N70-13504. A NUMERICAL MODEL FOR THE SIMULATION OF TIDAL HYDRODYNAMICS IN SHALLOW IRREGULAR ESTUARIES. FEBRUARY 1969.
MASCH, FD# SHANKAR, NJ# JEFFREY, M# BRANDES, WA
TEXAS UNIV., AUSTIN
N70-13504# PB184834# HYD-12-6901

135P. WORKING UNDER THE ASSUMPTION OF COMPLETE VERTICAL MIXING, A TWO DIMENSIONAL TIME DEPENDENT MODEL IS DESCRIBED WHICH PROVIDES SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL VARIATIONS OF TIDAL FLOWS AND AMPLITUDES. THE MODEL ACCOUNTS FOR VARIOUS PHYSIOGRAPHIC FEATURES FOUND IN SHALLOW ESTUARIES, VARIABLE INFLOWS, LOW TIDAL ACTION, AND OTHER HYDROLOGIC CHARACTERISTICS. THE MODEL FURTHER PROVIDES FOR THE INCLUSION OF WIND STRESS AND CORIOLIS FORCES.

HYDRODYNAMICS# MATHEMATICAL MODELS# VELOCITY
FINITE DIFFERENCE THEORY# TIDES# ESTUARIES

73W-00-5322

N69-28809. AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY FOR SHALLOW WATER STUDIES ON THE WEST EDGE OF THE BAHAMA BANKS. NOVEMBER 1968.
CONNOD, AH KELLY, M# BOERSMA, A
MIT, CAMBRIDGE
N69-28809# AD684146# RE-42

93P. EXPERIMENTS IN ECOLOGICAL SURVEYING AND SHALLOW WATER RECONNAISSANCE BY AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY ARE REPORTED. THE BIMINI-CAY AREA IS DESCRIBED IN TERMS OF ITS LARGE SCALE FEATURES, AND THE RESULTS OF SITE SURVEYS VS AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHIC INTERPRETATION ARE DISCUSSED. THE GENERALIZED PROBLEM OF DEPTH PENETRATION IS PRESENTED, AS ARE METHODS OF PHOTOGRAPHIC IMAGE ENHANCEMENT FOR IMPROVED DEPTH PENETRATION AND BOTTOM DETAIL DISCRIMINATION. PHOTOGRAPHIC AND THERMAL INFRARED IMAGERY OF BISCAYNE BAY IS PRESENTED, THOUGH WITH LIMITED DISCUSSION.

SHALLOW WATER# AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY
PHOTOGRAPHIC IMAGES

73W-00-5323

N69-18523. INTERPRETATION OF AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS IN THEORY AND PRACTICE (SELECTED ARTICLES). MARCH 1968.
BAKHVALOV, VM# KOLTSOV, VV
FOREIGN TECH. DIV., WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB, OHIO
N69-18523# AD679721# FTD-HT-23-121-68

43P. CONTENTS: 1. ESTIMATION OF THE SPECTRAL BRIGHTNESS OF HAZE AND ITS EFFECT ON THE PHOTO INTERPRETATION OF AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS 2. SPECTROMETRIC AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY USING A COMPUTER.

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY# ATMOSPHERIC SCATTERING
SPECTROMETERS# SPECTROSCOPY# PHOTointerpretation

73W-00-5324

N68-17408. THE USE OF MULTISPECTRAL SENSING TECHNIQUES TO DETECT PONDEROSA PINE TREES UNDER STRESS FROM INSECT OR PATHOGENIC ORGANISMS. SEPTEMBER 1967.
HELLER, RC# ALDRICH, RC# WEBER, FP# MC CAMBRIDGE, WF
PACIFIC SOUTHWEST FOREST AND RANGE EXPERIMENT STATION,
BERKELEY, CALIF.
N68-17408# NASA-CR-93173# APR-2

73P. BOTH GROUND AND AIRBORNE OPERATIONS WERE CONDUCTED TO IDENTIFY THE LIKELIEST SENSORS AVAILABLE TO FORESTERS TO DETECT EARLY TREE STRESS. AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY (COLOR AND FALSE COLOR) WAS TAKEN AT FIVE PERIODS (OCTOBER 1966, MAY, JUNE, JULY AND AUGUST 1967) OVER SIX INFESTATION CENTERS TO CAPTURE THE CHANGES IN FOLIAGE COLORATION. OPTICAL MECHANICAL SCANNING IMAGERY WAS OBTAINED IN THREE WAVELENGTHS OVER A THREE DAY PERIOD IN JUNE 1967. BETTER GROUND INSTRUMENTATION WAS DEVELOPED THIS SEASON FOR MEASURING SAP FLOW, EMITTED FOLIAGE TEMPERATURE, AND METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS. A PROMISING NEW DEVICE (SCHOLANDER BOMB) MEASURED HIGHLY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES IN NEEDLE MOISTURE TENSION BETWEEN HEALTHY AND STRESSED FOLIAGE. FOLIAGE DISCOLORATION RATES OF ALL 204 INFESTED TREES WERE ESTABLISHED BY COMPARISON WITH MUNSELL CARDS.

REMOTE SENSING# AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY# FOREST TREES

73W-00-5325

N58-38142. STATUS OF AERIAL COLOR PHOTOGRAPHY IN GOVERNMENT AGENCIES. MAY 1968.
AVSON, A
ARMY ENGINEER TOPOGRAPHIC LABS., FORT BELVOIR, VA.
N58-38142# AD674189# USAETL-TB-1

92P. THE UTILITY OF AERIAL COLOR PHOTOGRAPHY FOR STUDIES IN THE FIELDS OF GEOLOGY, GEOGRAPHY, ARCHAEOLOGY, LANDFORMS, RANGE MANAGEMENT, TARGET DETECTION, HIGHWAY PLANNING, AND HYDROLOGY HAS BEEN RECOGNIZED BY THOSE WHO ARE WORKING IN AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY; HOWEVER, THE USEFULNESS OF COLOR HAS NOT BEEN DETERMINED ADEQUATELY FOR MILITARY GEOGRAPHIC INTELLIGENCE. THIS REPORT IS A SUMMATION OF RESEARCH INTO THE STATUS OF AERIAL COLOR PHOTOGRAPHY IN SEVERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES, AND ITS APPLICATION TO SPECIFIC PROBLEMS.

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY# COLOR PHOTOGRAPHY
REMOTE SENSING# TARGET ACQUISITION

73W-00-5326

N68-17406. THE INTERPRETABILITY OF HIGH ALTITUDE MULTISPECTRAL IMAGERY FOR THE EVALUATION OF WILDLAND RESOURCES. SEPTEMBER 1967.
DRAEGER, WC
CALIFORNIA UNIV., BERKELY
N68-17406# NASA-CR-93187

43P. HIGH ALTITUDE MULTISPECTRAL IMAGERY OF THE BUCKS LAKE TEST SITE IN THE SIERRA NEVADA MOUNTAINS OF CALIFORNIA WAS STUDIED, AND FIELD DATA COLLECTIONS WERE MADE IN AN ATTEMPT TO ASCERTAIN THE OPTIMUM SPECIFICATIONS FOR REMOTE SENSING IMAGERY ON WHICH TO IDENTIFY AND EVALUATE WILDLAND RESOURCES. EXAMPLES OF THE INFORMATION THAT CAN BE EXTRACTED FROM VARIOUS TYPES OF SMALL SCALE IMAGERY WERE PREPARED AND DISCUSSED AND A REPRESENTATIVE IMAGE INTERPRETATION GUIDE FOR USE IN TRAINING INTERPRETERS WAS DEVELOPED. OPTIMUM IMAGE SPECIFICATIONS WERE FOUND TO VARY WITH BOTH THE RESOURCE INVOLVED AND THE TYPE OF MANAGEMENT DECISIONS TO BE MADE. IT WAS CONCLUDED, HOWEVER, THAT THE BEST SINGLE IMAGE TYPE FOR GENERAL PURPOSES IS THAT OBTAINED USING EKTA AERO INFRARED FILM IN CONJUNCTION WITH A WRATTEN 12 FILTER.

REMOTE SENSING# INFRARED FILM# IMAGES
INFRARED DETECTORS

73W-00-5327

N69-40203. APOLLO 9 MULTISPECTRAL PHOTOGRAPHY: GEOLOGIC ANALYSIS. SEPTEMBER 1969.
LOWMAN, PD
GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER, GREENBELT, MD.
N69-40203# NASA-TMX-63714

57P. THE APOLLO 9 MISSION CARRIED A MULTISPECTRAL TERRAIN PHOTOGRAPHY EXPERIMENT IN WHICH THE ASTRONAUTS PHOTOGRAPHED SELECTED LAND AREAS WITH AN ARRAY OF FOUR 70 MM CAMERAS, EACH WITH A DIFFERENT FILTER/FILM COMBINATION, IN AN EFFORT TO DETERMINE THE FEASIBILITY AND VALUE OF MULTISPECTRAL ORBITAL PHOTOGRAPHY FOR EARTH RESOURCES STUDIES. PRESENTED ARE RESULTS OF A GEOLOGICAL STUDY OF SELECTED SETS MADE TO DETERMINE IF MULTISPECTRAL ORBITAL PHOTOGRAPHY OFFERS ANY ADVANTAGES FOR GEOLOGY OVER COMPARABLE COLOR OR PANCHROMATIC ORBITAL PHOTOGRAPHY. VISUAL COMPARISON OF FOUR CAMERA SETS SHOWED THAT MULTISPECTRAL PHOTOGRAPHY WAS DEFINITELY SUPERIOR IN RENDERING GEOLOGICAL STRUCTURES OF HEAVILY VEGETATED AREAS AND PERMITTED EASY DIFFERENTIATION AMONG DECIDUOUS VEGETATION, OPEN WATER, AND ROCK OR SOIL.

APOLLO PROJECT# SPACEBORNE PHOTOGRAPHY
GEOLOGICAL SURVEYS# TERRAIN INTELLIGENCE

73W-00-5328

N69-28505. BIBLIOGRAPHY OF REMOTE SENSING OF EARTH RESOURCES FOR HYDROLOGICAL APPLICATIONS. NOVEMBER 1968.
LLAVERIAS, RK
MANAGED SPACECRAFT CENTER, HOUSTON, TEX.
N69-28505# NASA-TMX-61717# NASA-134

75P. THIS PRELIMINARY BIBLIOGRAPHY HAS BEEN PREPARED TO ACQUAINT HYDROLOGISTS WITH THE BASIC LITERATURE INVOLVED IN THIS FIELD. SOME OF THE REFERENCES CONCERN SPECIFIC HYDROLOGIC TOPICS OR SPECIFIC REMOTE SENSING METHODS. OTHER REFERENCES ON VEGETATION MAPPING AND GEOLOGY WERE INCLUDED SO THAT THE READER CAN FIND INFORMATION ON THE SELECTION, PROCESSING, AND USE OF REMOTE SENSING DATA IN THESE COGNATE FIELDS. A NUMBER OF METEOROLOGICAL REFERENCES WERE INCLUDED BECAUSE IN MANY REMOTE SENSING APPLICATIONS, ESPECIALLY FROM EARTH ORBITAL SATELLITES, ATMOSPHERIC EFFECTS MUST BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT IN INTERPRETING THE VIEWS OF THE EARTH.

REMOTE SENSING# HYDROLOGY# MAPPING# GEOLOGY

73W-00-5329

N70-13062. A SELECTED ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY ON THE ANALYSIS OF WATER RESOURCE SYSTEMS. AUGUST 1969.
GYSI, M# LOUCKS, DP
CORNELL UNIV., ITHACA, N.Y.
N70-13062# PB186335

197P. PRESENTED IS AN ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY OF SOME SELECTED PUBLICATIONS PERTAINING TO THE APPLICATION OF SYSTEMS ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES TO WATER RESOURCE PROBLEMS. THE MAJORITY OF THE REFERENCES INCLUDED IN THIS BIBLIOGRAPHY HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED WITHIN THE LAST FIVE YEARS. ABOUT HALF OF THE ENTRIES HAVE INFORMATIVE ABSTRACTS AND KEYWORDS FOLLOWING THE CITATION. INDEX CHARTS GIVE QUICK KEYWORD ACCESS FOR ALL THE REFERENCES, THE ABSTRACTED DOCUMENTS BEING COMPLETELY KEYWORDED, AND THE OTHERS TITLE KEYWORDED.

WATER RESOURCES# BIBLIOGRAPHIES# SYSTEMS ANALYSIS
OPTIMIZATION# OPERATIONS RESEARCH

73W-00-5330

N69-15299. PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS OF AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS IN FORESTRY AND OTHER VEGETATION STUDIES. MARCH 1968.
STELLINGWERF, DA
INTERNATIONAL INST. FOR AERIAL AND EARTH SCIENCES,
DELFt, NETHERLANDS
N69-15299# SERIES B NO. 47/48

43P. AERIAL STEREOGRAPHS ILLUSTRATING SEVERAL FOREST, CROP, AND SOIL CONDITIONS ARE PRESENTED AND DESCRIBED. BOTH PANCHROMATIC AND INFRARED FILMS WERE USED, ALONG WITH VARIOUS COMBINATIONS OF FILTERS.

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS# STEREOPHOTOGRAPHY# FORESTRY

73W-00-5331

N69-28396. EARTH RESOURCES SURVEY PROGRAM: THE REMOTE MEASUREMENT OF RHODAMINE B CONCENTRATION WHEN USED AS FLUORESCENT TRACER IN HYDROLOGIC STUDIES. JANUARY 1968.
BETZ, HT
MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER, HOUSTON, TEX.
N69-28396# NASA-TMX-61713# NASA-101

51P. KNOWLEDGE OF THE TEMPORAL AND SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF INJECTED FLUORESCENT TRACER DYES IS USEFUL IN DETERMINING THE MOVEMENT AND DISPERSION OF SOLUBLE CONTAMINANTS IN STREAMS, RIVERS AND ESTUARIES. A STANDARD TECHNIQUE INVOLVES THE INJECTION OF A KNOWN QUANTITY OF DYE AT A SPECIFIC LOCATION AND MONITORING THE RESULTING MOVEMENT AND DISPERSION. DYE CONCENTRATION IS MEASURED WITH A LABORATORY FLUOROMETER, AND IS PLOTTED AS A FUNCTION OF DISTANCE AND TIME. SHIPBORNE TECHNIQUES ARE RELATIVELY SLOW SINCE SAMPLES NEED TO BE TAKEN AND MEASURED OVER DISTANCES OF SEVERAL KILOMETERS OR MORE. ADDITIONALLY, THE SLOWLY VARYING CONCENTRATIONS REQUIRE REPEATED OR PERIODIC SAMPLINGS AT A GIVEN LOCATION SO THAT THE ENTIRE PROCEDURE IS HEAVILY TIME CONSUMING. THE USE OF FIXED SAMPLING LOCATIONS, E.G., BRIDGE OVERPASSES, PROVIDES PRECISE DISTANCE INFORMATION AND VIRTUALLY CONTINUOUS RECORDS OF THE TIME VARYING CONCENTRATION AT A FIXED SITE BUT IS HIGHLY LIMITED IN SPATIAL COVERAGE.

FLUORESCENT DYES# HYDROLOGY# MEASUREMENT

73W-00-5332

N69-12097. NASA GEOLOGICAL TEST SITE NO. 126
MARQUETTE-REPUBLIC TROUGHS, MICHIGAN: REPORT ON PHOTOGRAPHIC
IMAGERY OBTAINED ON MISSION 72, MAY, 1968. NOVEMBER 1968.
WHITTEN, EH# BECKMAN, WA# SILVA, ZC
NORTHWESTERN UNIV., EVANSTON, ILL.
N69-12097

15P. CONTINUED INVESTIGATION OF DETERMINING ROCK TYPE, FAULT
AND FOLD INFORMATION, AND LOCATION OF GROSS STRUCTURES WITH
REMOTE SENSORS IS BRIEFLY REPORTED. ROCK TYPE, FAULTS,
LINEAR ELEMENTS, AND SOIL AND VEGETATION WERE DETERMINED BY
COLORED PHOTOGRAPHS FROM 2000 FT ALTITUDE. THE INDICATION
OF LITHOLOGY WAS LESS CLEAR IN BLACK-AND-WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
THAN IN COLOR, BUT FAULTS, LINEAR STRUCTURES, AND OTHER
CHARACTERISTICS APPEARED BETTER.

GEOLOGY# REMOTE SENSING# PHOTOGRAPHIC IMAGES

73W-00-5333

N70-13889. A PLAN FOR A COMPREHENSIVE WATER RESOURCES
RESEARCH INFORMATION EXCHANGE SYSTEM. AUGUST 1969.
BANKS, HO# WOLFE, CG
LEEDS, HILL AND JEWETT, INC., SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF.
N70-13889# PB185801

153P. A STUDY WAS MADE OF THE NATURE AND EFFECTIVENESS OF
THE PRESENT PROCEDURES USED BY THE OFFICE OF WATER
RESOURCES RESEARCH OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE
INTERIOR AND THE 51 STATE WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH
INSTITUTES TO OBTAIN INFORMATION ON PROBLEMS REQUIRING
RESEARCH AND TO DISSEMINATE THE RESULTS OF RESEARCH
PROJECTS. THE REPORT CONTAINS A RECOMMENDED RESEARCH
INFORMATION EXCHANGE SYSTEM IN WHICH THE INFORMATION
GATHERING AND DISSEMINATION ACTIVITIES OF OWRR AND THE STATE
INSTITUTES WOULD BE BETTER DEFINED AND WOULD BE EXPANDED,
AND USE WOULD BE MADE OF THE CAPABILITIES OF FEDERAL
INFORMATION DISSEMINATION SERVICES, PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES,
AND OTHER COMMUNICATION MEDIA.

RESEARCH PROJECTS# WATER RESOURCES

73W-00-5334

N69-40545. INTERPRETATION OF GROUND WATER OF TYPICAL
LANDSCAPES IN TURKMENIA ON AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS. JUNE 1969.
MEYER, GY# NEFETOV, KY
ARMY FOREIGN SCIENCE AND TECH. CENTER, WASHINGTON, D.C.
N69-40545# AD691566# FSTC-HT-23-498-68

35P. THE REPORT GIVES A GEOGRAPHICAL DESCRIPTION OF THE
NATURAL LANDSCAPES EXISTING IN TURKMENIA AND THE INDIVIDUAL
LANDSCAPE ELEMENTS IN THAT SOVIET REPUBLIC: TOPOGRAPHY,
CLIMATE, HYDROGRAPHY, SOILS AND CULTURE FEATURES. THE
ARTICLE GIVES THE RESULTS OF AERIAL SURVEYS MADE IN THE
PRINCIPAL LANDSCAPE TYPES OF TURKMENIA; PARTICULAR ATTENTION
IN THIS ARTICLE IS GIVEN TO THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN GROUND
WATER AND RELIEF, VEGETATION AND SOILS, AND A DESCRIPTION OF
WHAT FEATURES SERVE AS INDICATORS OF GROUND WATER AND HOW
THESE INDICATORS APPEAR ON AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS.

PHOTOINTERPRETATION# GROUND WATER# USSR
AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS

73W-00-5336

N70-11722. STUDY OF THE SPECTRAL BRIGHTNESS OF SOME LANDSCAPE ELEMENTS FOR INTERPRETATION OF GROUND WATER ON AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS. JUNE 1969.
ARTSYBASHEV, VS
ARMY FOREIGN SCIENCE AND TECH. CENTER, WASHINGTON, D.C.
N70-11722# AD692647# FSTC-HT-23-353-68

38P. THE REPORT PRESENTS EXPERIENCE IN THE STUDY OF THE SPECTRAL REFLECTIVITY OF SOME LANDSCAPE ELEMENTS (PRIMARILY VEGETATION AND SOILS) WHICH ARE GROUND WATER INDICATORS AND DESCRIBES THE USE OF THESE DATA FOR THE HYDROGEOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION OF AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS. THE STUDIES WERE MADE DURING 1958-1960 IN TWO GEOGRAPHICAL ZONES OF THE SOVIET UNION: DESERT (TURKMENIA) AND SEMI DESERT (CASPIAN LOWLAND). THE METHOD FOR INTERPRETING GROUND WATER DESCRIBED IN THIS PAPER WAS CHECKED BY MAKING SIMILAR STUDIES IN THE FOREST ZONE OF THE NORTHWESTERN REGIONS OF THE USSR.

SPECTROPHOTOMETRY# PHOTointerpretation# USSR
GROUND WATER# AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS

73W-00-5337

N69-40218. AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHIC METHOD FOR STUDYING GROUND WATER. 1969.
MEYER, GY
ARMY FOREIGN SCIENCE AND TECH. CENTER, WASHINGTON, D.C.
N69-40218# AD690613# FSTC-HT-23-479-68

17P. THIS PAPER PRESENTS A GENERAL REVIEW OF THE USE OF THE AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHIC METHOD IN THE SEARCH FOR GROUND WATER IN VARIOUS PARTS OF THE SOVIET UNION. THE INDIVIDUAL INDICATORS OF THE PRESENCE OF GROUND WATER SHOWN ON AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS ARE INDIVIDUALLY DISCUSSED; THESE INCLUDE SUCH FEATURES AS VEGETATION, RELIEF, CULTURE FEATURES AND MANY OTHERS. THE ARTICLE DISCUSSES THE MOST USEFUL SCALES OF PHOTOGRAPHY, CAMERAS, LIGHT FILTERS, AIRCRAFT, PROPER SEASON FOR CONDUCTING THE WORK, MOST SUITABLE WEATHER AND BEST TIME OF DAY. SPECIFIC WORK IN THIS FIELD IS DISCUSSED, PARTICULARLY THAT DONE IN TURKMENIA AND THE CASPIAN LOWLAND, BUT THIS IS ALSO CONTRASTED WITH WORK DONE IN OTHER LANDSCAPE REGIONS OF THE COUNTRY. THE VARIOUS CRITERIA USED IN DIFFERENT REGIONS OF THE USSR ARE LISTED. SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF THE FIELD WORK WHICH SUPPLEMENTS AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY ARE IN SOME DETAIL. THE SIGNIFICANT ROLE OF THE GEOBOTANICAL METHOD IS DISCUSSED, FOLLOWED BY SOME COMMENTS ON THE PREPARATION OF INTERPRETATION KEYS AND PHOTOMOSAICS AND THE ROLE PLAYED BY BLACK AND WHITE AND COLOR PRINTS.

GROUND WATER# AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS# USSR

73W-00-5338

N69-28326. PRELIMINARY REMOTE SENSING OF THE DELAWARE ESTUARY. OCTOBER 1968.
PAULSON, RW
MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER, HOUSTON, TEX.
N69-28326# NASA-TMX-61716# NASA-128

35P. POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS OF REMOTE SENSING TECHNIQUES FOR ESTUARINE HYDROLOGY HAVE BEEN REVEALED BY AN ANALYSIS OF INFRARED IMAGERY AND AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY OF THE DELAWARE ESTUARY. IT IS CLEAR THAT INFRARED IMAGERY CAN BE AN IMPORTANT ESTUARINE RECONNAISSANCE TOOL. IN ADDITION, THE ANALYSIS INDICATES THAT ESTUARINE CIRCULATION, REAERATION, AND DISPERSION MIGHT BE EFFECTIVELY STUDIED WITH REMOTE SENSORS.

REMOTE SENSING# ESTUARIES# INFRARED DETECTION
AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

73W-00-5339

NS8-28636. A GEMINI MOSAIC ALONG THE THIRTY SECOND DEGREE OF LATITUDE FROM BAJA CALIFORNIA TO CENTRAL TEXAS.
JUNE 1968.
MACKALLOR, JA
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.
NS8-28636# NASA-CR-95478

15P. A SERIES OF 39 OVERLAPPING PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE SOUTHWESTERN UNITED STATES AND ADJACENT AREAS OF MEXICO WAS OBTAINED AS PART OF AN EXPERIMENT OF THE GEMINI 4 MISSION. TWENTY-FOUR OF THESE PICTURES PLUS ONE FROM THE GEMINI 3 AND FOUR FROM THE GEMINI 5 MISSION WERE USED TO CONSTRUCT A 1:1,000,000 SCALE, BLACK AND WHITE, SEMICONTROLLED MOSAIC. THIS MOSAIC COVERS ABOUT 150,000 SQUARE MILES AND EXTENDS ALONG THE 32D PARALLEL OF NORTH LATITUDE FROM THE PACIFIC OCEAN TO THE 100TH MERIDIAN IN WEST CENTRAL TEXAS, AND AVERAGES ABOUT 150 MILES IN WIDTH. MANY OF THE INDIVIDUAL RECTIFIED PHOTOGRAPHS CAN BE ENLARGED TO A SCALE OF 1:250,000 WITH LITTLE OR NO LOSS OF RESOLUTION; SUCH ENLARGEMENTS WILL BE OF GREAT VALUE TO EARTH RESOURCES STUDIES.

MOSAIC MAPPING# RESOURCES

73W-00-5340

NS9-14450. AN APPROACH TO THE REMOTE DETECTION OF EARTH RESOURCES IN SUB ARID LANDS. DECEMBER 1968.
POUQUET, J
GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER, GREENBELT, MD.
NS9-14450# NASA-TND-4647

25P. THE PURPOSE WAS TO FIND A BETTER GEOLOGICAL TOOL UTILIZING THE NIGHTTIME INFRARED RADIATIONS EMITTED BY THE GROUND, AND THEREBY OBTAIN A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE AGRICULTURAL POSSIBILITIES OF ARID AND SUB-ARID LANDS. THE EQUIVALENT BLACK BODY TEMPERATURES DERIVED FROM NIMBUS 2 HIGH RESOLUTION INFRARED RADIOMETER WERE ANALYZED FOR ALL AVAILABLE ORBITS FROM MAY UNTIL MID NOVEMBER 1966. FROM THIS PRELIMINARY STUDY CERTAIN PREDOMINANT FEATURES EMERGED AND WERE USED AS A BASIS FOR THE INTERPRETATION OF DATA. FOR THE FINAL INTERPRETATION ONLY A FEW EXAMPLES WERE SELECTED: DEATH VALLEY AND SURROUNDINGS, AND SALTON SEA-COLORADO RIVER REGION.

REMOTE SENSING# INFRARED RADIATION# ARID LAND

73W-00-5341

N68-11714. GEOLOGIC APPLICATIONS OF ORBITAL PHOTOGRAPHY. DECEMBER 1967.
LOWMAN, PD
GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER, GREENBELT, MD.
N68-11714# NASA-TND-4155.

42P. THE POTENTIAL GEOLOGIC APPLICATIONS OF ORBITAL PHOTOGRAPHY (PHOTOGRAPHY OF THE SURFACE OF THE EARTH OR SIMILAR BODIES FROM ORBITING SPACECRAFT) WITH ILLUSTRATIONS FROM VARIOUS GEMINI FLIGHTS ARE SUMMARIZED.

SPACEBORNE PHOTOGRAPHY# GEOLOGY# MAPPING

73W-00-5342

NS9-40998. GEOLOGIC APPLICATIONS OF EARTH ORBITAL SATELLITES. JUNE 1968.
PECHKA, WT
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.
N69-40998# A/CONF34/4.1# 68-95441

22P. ANALYSES OF GEMINI PHOTOGRAPHS ILLUSTRATE SEVERAL QUALITIES UNIQUE TO ORBITAL PHOTOGRAPHY THAT ARE OF IMPORTANCE TO GEOLOGISTS AND OTHER SCIENTISTS IN ASSESSING NATURAL RESOURCES.

EARTH ORBITS# ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES# GEOLOGY SPACEBORNE PHOTOGRAPHY

73W-00-5343

N59-28376. EARTH RESOURCES SURVEY PROGRAM: COMPARISON OF A UV SCANNER/PHOTOMULTIPLIER WITH AN IMAGE ORTHICON. OCTOBER 1967.
GOLDMAN, H# MARSHALL, R
IIT RESEARCH INST., CHICAGO, ILL.
N69-28376# NASA-TMX-61710# NASA-97

18P. A ROTATING MIRROR CAMERA USING A CSTE CATHODE WAS COMPARED TO AN ORTHICON SYSTEM USING A SIMILAR CATHODE. THE ROTATING CAMERA SYSTEM OFFERS A HIGHLY SATISFACTORY SIGNAL TO NOISE RATIO WHEN USING A CSTE PHOTOCATHODE (PLUS FILTER) AT AIRCRAFT ALTITUDES OF ABOUT 2 KM UNDER CLEAR, MID DAY ILLUMINATION CONDITIONS. THE IMAGE ORTHICON APPEARS TO OFFER SIGNAL TO NOISE RATIOS THAT ARE ABOUT 25 TIMES BETTER THAN THOSE OF A ROTATING MIRROR CAMERA OR LINE SCANNER USING A PHOTOMULTIPLIER TUBE. THE ADVANTAGE COMES ABOUT FROM THE SIGNAL INTEGRATION CAPABILITY THAT IMAGE ORTHICONS POSSESS. THIS MAY BE SOMEWHAT TEMPERED BY THE NOTED FRAGILE CHARACTER OF SUCH TUBES PLUS THE ADDITIONAL COMPLEXITY OF EQUIPMENT. FOR AIRCRAFT USE FURTHER INVESTIGATION OF THESE FACTORS IS INDICATED.

ROTATING MIRROR CAMERAS# ORTHICONS# PHOTOMULTIPLIERS

73W-00-5344

N58-19214. VEGETATION ANALYSIS WITH RADAR IMAGERY. APRIL 1966.
MORAIN, SA# SIMONETT, DS
KANSAS UNIV., LAWRENCE
N58-19214# NASA-CR-75170# CRES-61-9

21P. THIS PAPER PRESENTS VEGETATION MAPS PREPARED FROM RADAR IMAGERY OBTAINED OVER SEVERAL CLIMATIC ENVIRONMENTS. THE MAPS AND IMAGERY HAVE BEEN COMPARED WITH EACH OTHER TO DETERMINE THE TYPES OF INFORMATION EXTRACTABLE. CONVENTIONAL VEGETATION MAPS WERE EMPLOYED TO AID IN THE COMPARISON. EMPHASIS WAS ON THE K BAND AND AN/APQ-56 RADAR SYSTEMS.

VEGETATION# MAPPING# RADAR

73W-00-5345

N69-10663. PHOTOGRAPH OF THE EARTH FROM AITS ZOND-5. OCTOBER 1968.
GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER, GREENBELT, MD.
N69-10663# NASA-CR-97577# ST-PR-LPS-10767

4P. SPACECRAFT ZOND 5 PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE EARTH FROM OUTER SPACE DURING THE FINAL PORTION OF THE TRAJECTORY SHOW THE WELL DEFINED OUTLINES OF REGIONS AROUND THE MEDITERRANEAN, BLACK, CASPIAN, AND ARAL SEAS; ARABIAN PENINSULA; IRANIAN HIGHLANDS; AND THE GREATER PART OF AFRICA. A CONSIDERABLE PART OF THE EARTH'S SURFACE IS HIDDEN BY CLOUDS.

SPACEBORNE PHOTOGRAPHY# EARTH /PLANET/# USSR

73W-00-5346

N69-15856. REMOTE SENSING OF CHANGES IN MORPHOLOGY AND PHYSIOLOGY OF TREES UNDER STRESS. SEPTEMBER 1968.
OLSON, GE# WARD, JM
MICHIGAN UNIV., ANN ARBOR
N69-15856# NASA-CR-99183# APR-2

41P. GREENHOUSE WORK WITH TREE SEEDLINGS EXPOSED TO VARYING CONCENTRATIONS OF NaCl AND CaCl₂ INDICATES THAT THE OAK SPECIES TESTED ARE MORE RESISTANT TO SALT INJURY THAN ASPEN, TULIP POPULAR, MAPLE, OR WILLOW; AND THAT SALT TOLERANCES OF THESE SPECIES DECREASES IN THE ORDER LISTED. DROUGHT CONDITIONS IN SUGAR MAPLE SEEDLINGS, CREATED BY VARYING THE FREQUENCY OF WATERING, WERE ACCCOMPANIED BY INCREASING FOLIAR REFLECTANCE OF THE STRESSED PLANTS AT ALL WAVELENGTHS FROM 0.5 TO 2.5 MICROMETERS. PREVISUAL DETECTION OF DROUGHT OR SALT STRESS WAS NOT ACHIEVED USING COLOR OR INFRARED COLOR PHOTOGRAPHY IN THE LABORATORY. FIELD TESTS OF INFRARED SCANNING SYSTEMS FOR DETECTING MOISTURE STRESS IN MATURE TREES WERE ALSO BEGUN.

REMOTE SENSING# INFRARED PHOTOGRAPHY
INFRARED SCANNERS# TREES /PLANTS/

73W-00-5347

N69-12276. GEOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF INFRARED IMAGERY, EASTERN PART OF YELLOWSTONE NATIONAL PARK, WYOMING AND MONTANA. DECEMBER 1968.
SMEDES, HW
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.
N69-12276# NASA-CR-97813# NASA-83

48P. INFRARED IMAGERY OF PART OF YELLOWSTONE NATIONAL PARK WAS STUDIED TO EVALUATE ITS USEFULNESS IN THE REMOTE SENSING OF GEOLOGIC ENVIRONMENT. APPLICATIONS OF INFRARED IMAGERY TO GEOLOGY AND GEOMORPHOLOGY WERE STUDIED BY DETERMINING WHETHER ROCK AND SOIL TYPES, STRUCTURES, AND THERMAL SPRINGS NOT OBSERVED ON THE GROUND OR FROM CONVENTIONAL AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS COULD BE DETECTED FROM THIS IMAGERY. THIS REPORT IS PRIMARILY CONCERNED WITH INFRARED IMAGERY OF AREAS UNDERLAIN BY THE EARLY CENOZOIC VOLCANICS; IT INVOLVES THE EASTERN THIRD OF THE PARK AND THE WASHBURN RANGE IN THE NORTH CENTRAL PART OF THE PARK.

REMOTE SENSING# INFRARED DETECTION# GEOLOGY

73W-00-5348

N58-22261. CURRENT PROGRAM AND CONSIDERATIONS OF THE FUTURE FOR EARTH RESOURCES SURVEY. APRIL 1968.
NEWELL, HE
NASA, WASHINGTON, DC
N58-22261

17P. AN OVERVIEW IS PRESENTED ON THE ADVANCES IN SATELLITE SENSING PROGRAMS DURING THE 1962-1968 PERIOD, AND CURRENT NEEDS AND PROBLEM AREAS ARE ASSESSED. PROGRESS IN ESTABLISHING AN OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGICAL SATELLITE PROGRAM IS DISCUSSED, WITH MAJOR ACHIEVEMENTS CITED AS THE AUTOMATIC PICTURE TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS INITIATED WITH TIROS 8, HIGH RESOLUTION INFRARED IMAGERY ALLOWING NIGHTTIME CLOUD COVER MAPPING INTRODUCED WITH NIMBUS 1, SPIN STABILIZATION IN SUN SYNCHRONOUS ORBIT ACHIEVED WITH TIROS 10, THE OPERATIONAL ADVANCED VIDICON CAMERA SYSTEM OF ESSA 2, AND THE SYNCHRONOUS ORBITAL SATELLITE ATS-1. THE GEODEMIC SATELLITE PROGRAM IS REVIEWED, ALONG WITH THE APPLICATION OF REMOTE SENSING TECHNIQUES IN THE FIELD OF OCEANOGRAPHY. DATA REQUIREMENTS ARE IDENTIFIED FOR STUDIES ON FORESTRY, AGRICULTURE, GEOGRAPHY, HYDROLOGY, AND GEOLOGY. FUTURE PLANS ARE OUTLINED, AND THE NEED OF PROVIDING A DATA HANDLING AND DISTRIBUTION NETWORK, AND OF ORGANIZING IT INTO AN OVERALL WORKABLE SYSTEM, IS STRESSED.

REMOTE SENSING# ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES

73W-00-5349

N69-40906. EARTH RESOURCES SURVEYS: AN OUTLOOK TO THE FUTURE. FEBRUARY 1969.
KARTH, JE
U.S. CONGRESS
N69-40906

10P. A HISTORY OF THE EARTH RESOURCES SATELLITE PROGRAM (ERS) IS PRESENTED. THE VARIOUS PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN DEVELOPING UNMANAGED SPACECRAFT AS OPPOSED TO THE APOLLO APPLICATIONS PROGRAM ARE DISCUSSED.

ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES# REMOTE SENSING

73W-00-5352

NASA-SP-193. EVALUATION OF MOTION DEGRADED IMAGES.
DECEMBER 1968.
ELECTROVICS RESEARCH CENTER, CAMBRIDGE, MASS.
NASA-SP-193

192P. THE NECESSITY OF DEALING WITH MOTION DEGRADED IMAGES HAS BEEN WITH US FOR A LONG TIME. AS NEW PROBLEMS HAVE ARisen, THE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY FOR DEALING WITH THEM HAVE ADVANCED. IN RECENT YEARS, AEROSPACE APPLICATIONS HAVE CREATED REQUIREMENTS FOR THE ULTIMATE IN UNDISTORTED IMAGING UNDER NOVEL OPERATING CONDITIONS.

PHOTOGRAPHIC IMAGES# IMAGE PROCESSING# MOTION ELECTROOPTICS# ATMOSPHERIC MOTION# HOLOGRAMPS TURBULENCE

73W-00-5356

73W 05356. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY RESEARCH 1970: CHAPTER D.
1970.
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.
PAPER 700-D

317P. THIS COLLECTION OF 45 SHORT PAPERS IS THE THIRD PUBLISHED CHAPTER OF "GEOLOGICAL SURVEY RESEARCH 1970." THE PAPERS REPORT ON SCIENTIFIC AND ECONOMIC RESULTS OF CURRENT WORK BY MEMBERS OF THE GEologic, WATER RESOURCES, AND TOPOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS OF THE U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY.

GEOLOGICAL SURVEYS# HYDROLOGY# TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS

73W-00-5358

73W 05358. PREDICTION OF WATER YIELD IN HIGH MOUNTAIN WATERSHEDS BASED ON PHYSIOGRAPHY. AUGUST 1967.
JILIAV, RW# YEVJEVICH, V# SEYTOUX, HJ
COLORADO STATE UNIV., FORT COLLINS

22P. THE PRESENT STUDY IS PART OF A MORE COMPREHENSIVE PROJECT WHICH HAS AS ONE OF ITS OBJECTIVES THE DETERMINATION OF CRITERIA, METHODS AND PROCEDURES TO BE USED IN SELECTING DRAINAGE BASINS SUITABLE FOR ATMOSPHERIC WATER RESOURCES PROGRAMS.

WATERSHEDS# HYDROLOGY# GEOMORPHOLOGY

73W-00-5360

73W 05360. SHORT TERM STREAMFLOW FORECASTING FOR HYDRO PLANT OPERATIONS.
COOPER, AJ
TVA, KNOXVILLE, TENN.

29P. THE PRIMARY PURPOSE OF STREAMFLOW FORECASTS IS TO PROVIDE A BASE FOR PLANNING THE OPERATION OF THE RESERVOIRS SO THAT FLOODS CAN BE REGULATED ANY TIME THEY MAY OCCUR.

STREAM FLOW# HYDROELECTRIC POWER GENERATION
HYDROLOGY# WATERSHEDS# FORECASTING

73W-00-5361

73W 05361. ESTIMATING COEFFICIENTS FOR STORAGE FLOOD ROUTING.
JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH. DECEMBER 1963.
PS471-6474.
BRAKENSIEK, DL
AGRICULTURE DEPT., BELTSVILLE, MD.

STORAGE FLOOD ROUTING IS A METHOD FOR PREDICTING FLOOD WAVE PROPAGATION IN A STREAM. IT IS BASED PRIMARILY ON THE EQUATION OF CONTINUITY. FLOW AT A SECTION IS ASSUMED TO BE A SINGLE VALUED FUNCTION OF THE FLOW AREA. ADDITIONAL ASSUMPTIONS ARE USED TO DEVELOP A LINEAR RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN REACH STORAGE AND REACH INFLOW AND OUTFLOW. THE RELATIONSHIP DEFINES TWO COEFFICIENTS WHICH CORRESPOND TO THE X AND K OF THE MUSKINGUM FORMULATION FOR REACH STORAGE. SEVERAL ESTIMATING PROCEDURES ARE DEVELOPED AS A CONSEQUENCE OF THE DERIVED RELATIONSHIPS.

WAVE PROPAGATION# FLOOD ROUTING# FORECASTING

73W-00-5362

73W 05362. RECURRENCE INTERVALS BETWEEN EXCEEDANCES OF SELECTED RIVER LEVELS. 2 - ALTERNATIVES TO A MARKOV MODEL.
WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH. FEBRUARY 1969. P268-275.
MCGILCHRIST, CA
UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, AUSTRALIA

IT IS SHOWN THAT THE GENERAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE EXPECTED RECURRENCE INTERVAL AND THE RETURN PERIOD FOR THE ANNUAL MAXIMUM SERIES DEPENDS ON THE CHOICE OF MODEL.

RIVERS# PROBABILITY THEORY

73W-00-5364

73W 05364. GENERALIZATION OF STREAMFLOW CHARACTERISTICS FROM DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS. 1970.
THOMAS, DM# BENSON, MA
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.
PAPER 1975

55P. DEFINITION OF THE NATURAL STREAMFLOW IN ALL STREAMS, GAGED OR UNGAGED, IS ONE OF THE PRINCIPAL OBJECTIVES OF THE STREAMFLOW DATA COLLECTION PROGRAM OF THE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY. THIS REPORT DESCRIBES THE RESULTS OF USING STATISTICAL MULTIPLE REGRESSION ANALYSES TO PROVIDE A GENERALIZED DEFINITION OF THE NATURAL STREAMFLOW IN FOUR WIDELY SEPARATED REGIONS OF THE EASTERN, CENTRAL, SOUTHERN, AND WESTERN AREAS OF THE CONTERMINOUS UNITED STATES.

WATERSHEDS# STREAM FLOW# REGRESSION ANALYSIS

73W-00-5368

73W 05368. THE VARYING SOURCE AREA OF STREAM FLOW FROM UPLAND BASINS. AUGUST 1970.
HEWLETT, JD# NUTTER, WL
GEORGIA UNIV., ATHENS

19P. THE VARIABLE SOURCE AREA CONCEPT OF UPLAND STREAMFLOW MAY SOON BECOME A WORKING MODEL TO ACCOUNT FOR THE VARIOUS SOURCES, PATHWAYS, AND TIMING DELAYS WHICH UNDERLIE THE DYNAMICS OF DISCHARGE FROM HEADWATER AREAS.

STREAM FLOW# WATERSHEDS# SURFACE WATER RUNOFF

73W-00-5370

73W 05370. HYDROGRAPHIC AND SEDIMENTATION SURVEY OF
KAJAKAI RESERVOIR, AFGHANISTAN. 1970.
PERKINS, DC# CULBERTSON, JK
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.
PAPER 1608-M

37P. A HYDROGRAPHIC AND SEDIMENTATION SURVEY OF BAND-E
KAJAKAI (KAJAKAI RESERVOIR) ON THE DARYA-YE HIRMAND
(HELMAND RIVER) WAS CARRIED OUT DURING THE PERIOD SEPTEMBER
THROUGH DECEMBER 1968. UNDERWATER MAPPING TECHNIQUES WERE
USED TO DETERMINE THE RESERVOIR CAPACITY AS OF 1968.
SEDIMENT RANGE LINES WERE ESTABLISHED AND MONUMENTED TO
FACILITATE FUTURE SEDIMENTATION SURVEYS.

HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS# SEDIMENTATION# RESERVOIRS

73W-00-5371

73W 05371. OUTLINE OF GROUND WATER HYDROLOGY. 1969.
MEINZER, OF
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.
PAPER 494

71P. CONTENTS: (1) WATER OF THE EARTH, (2) ATMOSPHERIC
WATER, (3) SURFACE WATER, (4) SUBSURFACE WATER, AND (5)
WELLS.

HYDROLOGY# WATER# SURFACE WATERS
WATER WELLS# GROUND WATER

73W-00-5372

73W 05372. TECHNIQUES OF WATER RESOURCES INVESTIGATIONS OF
THE U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY. BOOK 7: AUTOMATED DATA
PROCESSING AND COMPUTATIONS. CHAPTER C1: A DIGITAL MODEL
FOR AQUIFER EVALUATION. 1970.
PINDER, CF
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.

18P. THE SERIES OF MANUALS ON TECHNIQUES DESCRIBES
PROCEDURES FOR PLANNING AND EXECUTING SPECIALIZED WORK IN
WATER RESOURCES INVESTIGATIONS. THE MATERIAL IS GROUPED
UNDER MAJOR SUBJECT HEADINGS CALLED BOOKS AND FURTHER
SUBDIVIDED INTO SECTIONS AND CHAPTERS; SECTION C OF BOOK 7 IS
ON COMPUTER PROGRAMS.

AQUIFERS# DATA PROCESSING# DIGITAL COMPUTERS
WATER RESOURCES

73W-00-5373

73W 05373. AN ADVANTAGEOUS, ALTERNATIVE PARAMETERIZATION OF
ROTATIONS FOR ANALYTICAL PHOTOGRAVIMETRY. SEPTEMBER 1970.
POPE, AJ
GEODETIC RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT LAB., ROCKVILLE, MD.
ESSA-TR-C AND GS-39

18P. A CASE IS MADE FOR INCREASED USE OF A METHOD OF
REPRESENTING AN ORTHOGONAL MATRIX THAT IS DIFFERENT FROM THE
ONE NOW USED IN MOST ANALYTICAL PHOTOGRAVIMETRIC SOLUTIONS.
THE RELEVANT COMPUTATIONAL FORMULAS ARE GIVEN, ALONG
WITH THEIR DERIVATION AND GEOMETRIC INTERPRETATION.

ANALYTICAL PHOTOGRAVIMETRY

73W-00-5374

73W 05374. ELECTRICAL ANALOG ANALYSIS OF GROUND WATER DEPLETION IN CENTRAL ARIZONA. 1968.
ANDERSON, TW
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.
PAPER 1860

21P. THE SALT RIVER VALLEY AND THE LOWER SANTA CRUZ RIVER BASIN ARE THE TWO LARGEST AGRICULTURAL AREAS IN ARIZONA. THE EXTENSIVE USE OF GROUND WATER FOR IRRIGATION HAS RESULTED IN THE NEED FOR A THOROUGH APPRAISAL OF THE PRESENT AND FUTURE GROUND WATER RESOURCES. THE DEPLETION PROBLEM IS OF ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE BECAUSE GROUND WATER WILL BECOME MORE EXPENSIVE AS PUMPING LIFTS INCREASE AND WELL YIELDS DECREASE. THE USE OF ELECTRICAL ANALOG MODELING TECHNIQUES HAS MADE IT POSSIBLE TO PREDICT FUTURE GROUND WATER LEVELS UNDER CONDITIONS OF CONTINUED WITHDRAWAL IN EXCESS OF THE RATE OF REPLENISHMENT. THE PREDICTION OF FUTURE WATER TABLE CONDITIONS IS ACCOMPLISHED BY A SIMPLE EXTENSION OF THE PUMPING TRENDS TO DETERMINE THE RESULTANT EFFECT ON THE REGIONAL WATER LEVELS.

GROUND WATER# AQUIFERS# PUMPING

73W-00-5375

73W 05375. ELECTRICAL ANALOG MODEL STUDY OF WATER RESOURCES OF THE COLUMBUS AREA, BARTHOLOMEW COUNTY, INDIANA. 1970.
WATKINS, FA# HEISEL, JE
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.
PAPER 1981

22P. THE COLUMBUS STUDY AREA IS IN PART OF A GLACIAL OUTWASH SAND AND GRAVEL AQUIFER THAT WAS DEPOSITED IN A PREGLACIAL BEDROCK VALLEY. THE STUDY AREA EXTENDS FROM THE NORTH LINE OF BARTHOLOMEW COUNTY TO THE SOUTH COUNTY LINE AND INCLUDES A SMALL PART OF JACKSON COUNTY SOUTH OF SAND CREEK AND EAST OF THE EAST FORK WHITE RIVER. THIS REPORT AREA INCLUDES ABOUT 100 SQUARE MILES OF THE AQUIFER. AN ELECTRICAL ANALOG MODEL WAS BUILT TO ANALYZE THE AQUIFER SYSTEM AND DETERMINE THE EFFECTS OF DEVELOPMENT. ANALYSIS OF THE MODEL INDICATES THAT THERE IS MORE THAN ENOUGH WATER TO MEET THE ESTIMATED NEEDS OF THE CITY OF COLUMBUS WITHOUT SERIOUSLY DEPLETING THE AQUIFER.

WATER RESOURCES# AQUIFERS# HYDROLOGY

73W-00-5376

73W 05376. METHODS AND APPLICATIONS OF ELECTRICAL SIMULATION IN GROUND WATER STUDIES IN THE LOWER ARKANSAS AND VERDIGRIS RIVER VALLEYS, ARKANSAS AND OKLAHOMA. 1970.
BEDINGER, MS# REED, JE# HELLS, CJ# SWAFFORD, BF
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.
PAPER 1971

71P. IN 1957 THE U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY AND U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS ENTERED INTO A COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT FOR A COMPREHENSIVE GROUND WATER STUDY OF THE LOWER ARKANSAS AND VERDIGRIS RIVER VALLEYS. AT THE REQUEST OF THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS, THE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY AGREED TO PROVIDE (1) BASIC GROUND WATER DATA BEFORE, DURING, AND AFTER CONSTRUCTION OF THE MULTIPLE PURPOSE PLAN AND (2) INTERPRETATION AND PROJECTIONS OF POSTCONSTRUCTION GROUND WATER CONDITIONS. THE DATA COLLECTED WERE USED BY THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS IN PRELIMINARY FOUNDATION AND EXCAVATION ESTIMATES AND BY THE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY AS THE BASIS FOR DEFINING THE HYDROLOGIC PROPERTIES OF, AND THE GROUND WATER CONDITIONS IN, THE AQUIFER. ANALYSIS AND PROJECTIONS OF GROUND WATER CONDITIONS WERE MADE BY USE OF ELECTRICAL ANALOG MODELS. THESE MODELS USE THE ANALOGY BETWEEN THE FLOW OF ELECTRICITY IN A RESISTANCE CAPACITANCE CIRCUIT AND THE FLOW OF A LIQUID IN A POROUS AND PERMEABLE MEDIUM.

GROUND WATER# AQUIFERS# SIMULATION

73W-00-5377

73W 05377. MUSKINGUM FLOOD ROUTING OF UPLAND STREAMFLOW.
JOURNAL OF HYDROLOGY. VOL. 4, 1966. P185-200.
OVERTON, DE
AGRICULTURE DEPT., BELTSVILLE, MD.

THE RESULTS OF FLOOD ROUTING TRIALS ON A SMALL ARS
EXPERIMENTAL WATERSHED USING THE MUSKINGUM FLOOD ROUTING
SYSTEM SHOWED THAT THE ROUTING COEFFICIENTS K AND X VARY
FOR EACH STORM. BY APPROXIMATING THE OBSERVED INFLOW
HYDROGRAPHS BY A SIMPLE TRIANGULAR SHAPE, DIRECT SOLUTION
FOR THE ROUTING COEFFICIENTS WAS POSSIBLE.

FLOOD ROUTING# STREAM FLOW# WATERSHEDS

73W-00-5378

ARS-41-116. A RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH EQUATION. FEBRUARY 1966.
DECOURSEY, DG
AGRICULTURE DEPT., CHICKASHA, OKLA.
ARS-41-116

23P. THIS PAPER PRESENTS AN EQUATION THAT DEFINES THE
SURFACE RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AND DEVELOPS A METHOD FOR
DETERMINING THE CONSTANTS IN THE EQUATION. IT WAS DERIVED
IN STUDIES OF SIX WATERSHEDS IN THE OKLAHOMA PORTION OF
THE WASHITA RIVER BASIN. IT IS BELIEVED THAT THE EQUATION
FILLS THE NEED FOR A GENERAL FUNCTIONAL RELATION THAT CAN BE
USED IN THE ELECTRONIC COMPUTER ANALYSIS OF STREAMFLOW
PROBLEMS.

SURFACE WATER RUNOFF# STREAM FLOW# HYDROGRAPHY

73W-00-5379

73W 05379. JOURNAL OF THE HYDRAULICS DIVISION. PROCEEDINGS
OF THE ASCE. VOL. 97. SEPTEMBER 1971. P1349-1523.
AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CIVIL ENGINEERS.

CONTENTS INCLUDE: HOURLY RAINFALL SYNTHESIS FOR A NETWORK;
MECHANICS OF SHEET FLOW UNDER SIMULATED RAINFALL; AND
DE SAINT-VENANT EQUATIONS EXPERIMENTALLY VERIFIED.

RAINFALL# FLOOD ROUTING# HYDROLOGY# HYDRAULICS

73W-00-5380

73W 05380. A RAINFALL RUNOFF SIMULATION MODEL FOR
ESTIMATION OF FLOOD PEAKS FOR SMALL DRAINAGE BASINS. 1970.
DAWDY, DR# LICHTY, RW# BERGMANN, JM
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D.C.

93P. A PARAMETRIC RAINFALL RUNOFF SIMULATION MODEL IS USED
WITH POINT RAINFALL AND DAILY POTENTIAL EVAPOTRANSPIRATION
DATA TO PREDICT FLOOD VOLUME AND PEAK RATES OF RUNOFF FOR
SMALL DRAINAGE AREAS. THE MODEL IS BASED ON BULK PARAMETER
APPROXIMATIONS TO THE PHYSICAL LAWS GOVERNING INFILTRATION,
SOIL MOISTURE ACCRETION AND DEPLETION, AND SURFACE
STREAMFLOW. AN OBJECTIVE FITTING METHOD IS USED FOR
DETERMINING OPTIMAL BEST FIT SETS OF PARAMETER VALUES FOR THE
DATA AVAILABLE FOR USE IN PREDICTING FLOOD PEAKS FOR
THREE CASE STUDIES.

RAINFALL# SURFACE WATER RUNOFF# SIMULATION
DIGITAL COMPUTERS# HYDROLOGY

73W-00-5390

RP-7. OPTIMIZATION BY THE PATTERN SEARCH METHOD.
JANUARY 1970.
GREEN, RF
TVA, KNOXVILLE, TENN.
RP-7

73P. AN INFINITE NUMBER OF MATHEMATICAL MODELS CAN BE CREATED TO DESCRIBE NATURALLY OCCURRING PHYSICAL SYSTEMS. IN ADDITION THERE IS A SMALLER, BUT LARGE, NUMBER OF CRITERIA WHICH CAN BE USED TO MEASURE THE GOODNESS OF FIT OF A MODEL TO A PHYSICAL PROCESS. TOGETHER, A SELECTED CRITERION AND A MATHEMATICAL MODEL DEFINE AN OBJECTIVE FUNCTION TO BE OPTIMIZED. THEN THERE ARE SEVERAL DIFFERENT FITTING OR OPTIMIZING TECHNIQUES WHICH CAN BE USED TO OPTIMIZE (MINIMIZE OR MAXIMIZE) THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION AND PROVIDE A QUANTIFICATION OF THE PARAMETERS OF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION.

OPTIMIZATION# MATHEMATICAL MODELS
COMPUTER PROGRAMS

73W-00-5391

TR-3. A QUANTITATIVE GEOMORPHIC STUDY OF DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS IN THE CLINCH MOUNTAIN AREA - VIRGINIA AND TENNESSEE. 1953.
MILLER, VC
COLUMBIA UNIV., NEW YORK, N.Y.
TR-3

73P. QUANTITATIVE STUDY OF STREAM LENGTH, BASIN AREA, DRAINAGE DENSITY, BASIN CIRCULARITY, VALLEY SIDE SLOPES, AND HYPSEOMETRIC CURVES WAS MADE OF TWO KINDS OF TOPOGRAPHY IN THE CLINCH MOUNTAIN AREA OF VIRGINIA AND TENNESSEE. SAMPLES OF THE FORM ELEMENTS WERE TAKEN FROM LARGE SCALE TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS AND AIR PHOTOGRAPHS, CHECKED BY FIELD OBSERVATIONS. SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES IN SAMPLE MEANS WERE DETERMINED THROUGH ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE.

WATERSHEDS# STREAMS# GEOMORPHOLOGY

73W-00-5392

73W 05392. ANNUAL REPORT OF THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY - 1970. DECEMBER 1970.
TVA, MUSCLE SHOALS, ALA.

73P. CONTENTS: TVA 1970 - HIGHLIGHTS; TECHNOLOGY - TOOLS TO BUILD A BETTER LIFE; MANAGING THE MULTIPLE USES OF WATER AND LAND; POWER FOR A GROWING REGION; FORESTRY, FISHERIES, AND WILDLIFE; FERTILIZER AND AGRICULTURAL ADVANCES; AND TRIBUTARY AREA DEVELOPMENT.

LAND DEVELOPMENT# ELECTRIC POWER# FISHERIES
FORESTRY# AGRICULTURE

73W-00-5395

73W 05395. TVA - 1969. 1970
TVA, KNOXVILLE, TENN.

92P. CONTENTS: TVA 1969 - HIGHLIGHTS; ECONOMIC GROWTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY - SEEKING THE GOLDEN MEAN; MANAGING THE MULTIPLE USES OF WATER AND LAND; ELECTRIC POWER PROGRESS; TRIBUTARY AREA DEVELOPMENT; FORESTRY PROGRESS; FERTILIZER AND AGRICULTURE PROGRESS.

LAND DEVELOPMENT# ELECTRIC POWER# FORESTRY
AGRICULTURE# FERTILIZERS

73W-00-5397

73W 05397. THE ROLE OF WEATHER FORECASTS IN TVA RESERVOIR OPERATIONS. FEBRUARY 1969.
COOPER, AJ
TVA, KNOXVILLE, TENN.

37P. THE EXTENT OF SUCCESS IN THE OPERATION OF THE COMPLEX TVA RESERVOIR SYSTEM TO ACHIEVE MULTIPLE PURPOSES IS DEPENDENT TO A CONSIDERABLE DEGREE UPON THE AVAILABILITY AND RELIABILITY OF OBSERVED AND PREDICTED METEOROLOGIC AND HYDROLOGIC INFORMATION. QUANTITATIVE WEATHER FORECASTS ARE BECOMING INCREASINGLY IMPORTANT IN THE DAILY OPERATIONS OF THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY RESERVOIRS TO REALIZE THE SYSTEM OBJECTIVES. THE SCOPE OF WEATHER FORECASTS WHICH TVA RECEIVES FROM ESSA WEATHER BUREAU, HOW THEY ARE USED, AND THEIR IMPORTANCE AND EFFECT IN SCHEDULING THE OPERATIONS OF THE WATER CONTROL AND POWER SYSTEMS IS THE SUBJECT OF THIS PAPER.

WEATHER FORECASTING# RESERVOIRS

73W-00-5414

73W 05404. UNSTEADY FLOW SIMULATION IN RIVERS AND RESERVOIRS.
JOURNAL OF THE HYDRAULICS DIVISION. SEPTEMBER 1969.
P1559-1576.
GARRISON, JM# GRANJU, JP# PRICE, JT
TVA, KNOXVILLE, TENN.

PAST MATHEMATICAL MODELING OF UNSTEADY FLOW PHENOMENA HAS BEEN LIMITED TO PRISMATIC CHANNELS AND SOMEWHAT IDEALIZED CONDITIONS. THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY (TVA) USING THE NUMERICAL METHODS DEVELOPED BY STOKER HAS SUCCESSFULLY APPLIED THEM TO A NUMBER OF COMPLEX UNSTEADY FLOW CONDITIONS WHICH HAVE OCCURRED OR ARE EXPECTED TO OCCUR IN SOME OF THE AUTHORITY'S RESERVOIRS AND NATURAL RIVER CHANNELS.

THE RESULTS SHOW THE ADVANTAGES AND APPLICABILITY OF THE DIGITAL COMPUTER OVER QUASISTEADY FLOW METHODS OF HANDLING UNSTEADY FLOW PROBLEMS. ALSO POINTED OUT ARE AREAS IN THE MATHEMATICAL MODEL IN WHICH DIFFICULTIES HAVE BEEN ENCOUNTERED ALONG WITH THE METHODS REQUIRED TO OVERCOME THEM. THESE ARE DESCRIBED TO ASSIST OTHERS IN USING THE MODEL.

MATHEMATICAL MODELS# DIGITAL COMPUTERS
UNSTEADY FLOW# SIMULATION

73W-00-5417

73W 05417. SENSOR DEFINITION STUDY IN SUPPORT OF UNIFIED SPACE APPLICATIONS MISSION (USAM). FEBRUARY 1968.
IBM, BETHESDA, FSD
NAS5-10436

250P. THIS REPORT PRESENTS THE RESULTS OF A SENSOR DEFINITION STUDY IN SUPPORT OF THE UNIFIED SPACE APPLICATIONS MISSION CONCEPT. THE STUDY DETERMINED THE IMPORTANT CHARACTERISTICS OF REQUIRED SENSORS AND IDENTIFIED COMMUNALITY ASPECTS OF SENSORS AND ORBITS TO PERFORM USEFUL EARTH ORIENTED TASKS IN THE 1970 AND 1975 TIME FRAMES. IT ALSO IDENTIFIED EXPERIMENT (TASK) PARAMETERS AGAINST WHICH SENSOR PERFORMANCE WAS EVALUATED.

DETECTORS# REMOTE SENSING# ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES

73W-00-5419

73W 05419. EARTH ORBITAL EXPERIMENT PROGRAM.
IBM, FSD

99P. UNDERLYING THE RECOMMENDED SYNTHESIS APPROACH IS THE RECOGNITION THAT ORL, OF WHICH AAP IS THE INITIAL EMBODIMENT, REPRESENTS NOT JUST A PLATFORM FOR PERFORMING UNRELATED EXPERIMENTS BUT A WORKSHOP FOR CONTRIBUTING TO SOLUTION OF NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL PROBLEMS AND FOR ILLUMINATING CRUCIAL SCIENTIFIC QUESTIONS. THIRTEEN FIELDS OF ACTIVITY, CALLED SCIENTIFIC/TECHNICAL (S/T) AREAS, WERE IDENTIFIED AS POTENTIALLY BENEFITING FROM ORL.

EARTH ORBITS# SPACE EXPLORATION

73W-00-5424

73W 05424. THE PRESIDENTS 1971 ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM.
MARCH 1971.
TRAIN, RE# CAHN, R# MACDONALD, GJ
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

305P. THIS REPORT CONTAINS THE PRESIDENTS MESSAGE ON THE ENVIRONMENT AND SPECIFIC INFORMATION ON THE PRESIDENTS 1971 PROPOSALS. INCLUDED ARE THE BILLS, THE LETTERS OF TRANSMITTAL TO THE CONGRESS, AND ANALYSES OF THE PROPOSED LEGISLATION.

ENVIRONMENTS

73W-00-5430

N69-34930. POTENTIAL BENEFITS TO BE DERIVED FROM APPLICATIONS OF REMOTE SENSING OF AGRICULTURAL, FOREST, AND RANGE RESOURCES. DECEMBER 1967.
BELCHER, DJ# HARDY, EE# SHELTON, RL# SHEPIS, EL
CORNELL UNIV., ITHACA, N.Y.
N69-34930# NASA-CR-103946

150P. THIS REPORT EXPLORES THE USES AND ASSOCIATED VALUES OF THE INFORMATION WHICH CAN NOW OR IN THE FUTURE BE PROVIDED BY REMOTE SENSING FROM CONVENTIONAL AND HIGH FLYING AIRCRAFT AND FROM SATELLITES. SUPPORTING MATERIAL, COMPRISING TECHNICAL AND ECONOMIC ANALYSES OF THESE USES (OR APPLICATIONS), STEMMED FROM A DETAILED AND CRITICAL EVALUATION OF REMOTE SENSORS AND OF THE AGRICULTURAL, FOREST, AND RANGE RESOURCES TO WHICH THEY ARE APPLIED. THE OBJECTIVE OF THE REPORT IS TO INDICATE THE MAGNITUDE OF THE POTENTIAL VALUES THAT MAY BE DERIVED FROM REMOTE SENSING OF THESE RESOURCES.

REMOTE SENSING# AIRCRAFT# ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES

73W-00-5431

N70-25632. REVIEW OF NEW GEOGRAPHIC METHODS AND TECHNIQUES. QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY. WATER RESOURCES PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT. RECENT TRENDS IN REMOTE SENSING TECHNOLOGY. OCTOBER 1969.
TATA, RJ# PALMER, CE# WITMER, RE
FLORIDA ATLANTIC UNIV., BOCA RATON
N70-25632# AD700176

97P. IN DOING WORK FOR A RESEARCH PROJECT ON NEW GEOGRAPHIC METHODS AND TECHNIQUES, THE THREE REPORTS AND BIBLIOGRAPHIES INCLUDED IN THIS STUDY WERE DEVELOPED. BECAUSE THE REPORTS ARE OF INTEREST, BUT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED, TO THE CENTRAL GOAL OF THE METHODOLOGICAL TREATISE, THEY ARE PRESENTED FOR RESEARCHERS CONCERNED. THE FIRST REPORT SUMMARIZES THE RESULTS OF A QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY OF GEOGRAPHERS WHO ARE ACTIVE IN RESEARCHING METHODOLOGICAL TOPICS; THE SECOND REPORT DEALS WITH THE GEOGRAPHERS ROLE IN STUDIES OF WATER RESOURCE PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT; AND THE FINAL STUDY COMPRISES REPORT AND BIBLIOGRAPHY ON NEW SYSTEMS OF REMOTE SENSING TECHNIQUES.

REMOTE SENSING# GEOGRAPHY# WATER RESOURCES

73W-00-5432

N70-18106. OCEANOGRAPHY USING REMOTE SENSING. JANUARY 1969.
CAPURRO, LR
TEXAS A AND M UNIV., COLLEGE STATION
N70-18106# AD682939# NASA-CR-107898

109P. AIRBORNE TESTS OF MULTISPECTRAL REMOTE SENSORS WERE CONDUCTED ON EIGHT OCCASIONS DURING JULY 1966 TO SEPTEMBER 1968 OVER THE MISSISSIPPI DELTA AREA AND EASTERN GULF OF MEXICO TO DETERMINE THEIR USEFULNESS IN SURVEYING RIVER, COASTAL AND DEEP SEA PHENOMENA. FOUR OF THESE TESTS WERE SUPPORTED CONCURRENTLY BY OCEANOGRAPHIC RESEARCH VESSELS, PASSIVE REMOTE SENSORS, INCLUDING METRIC CAMERAS, INFRARED IMAGERS AND MICROWAVE RADIOMETERS. STUDIES INTO THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LOW CLOUD DEVELOPMENT AND HORIZONTAL ANOMALIES IN THE SEA SURFACE TEMPERATURE FIELD AND STUDIES INTO BASIC MICROWAVE RESEARCH HAVE BEEN CONDUCTED.

OCEANOGRAPHY# REMOTE SENSING

73W-00-5437

N71-15653. REMOTE SENSING PROJECT. PHASE C: AGRICULTURE.
SEPTEMBER 1970.
COELHO, AG# MCNEILL, HW
COMISSAO NACIONAL DE ATIVIDADES ESPACIAIS, SAO
JOSE DOS CAMPOS, BRAZIL
N71-15653# TR-LAFE-132

102P. BRAZILIAN AGRICULTURAL REMOTE SENSING RESEARCH PROJECTS ARE DESCRIBED. SUBJECTS DISCUSSED ARE: (1) FIELD MEASUREMENTS, (2) COFFEE SPACING, (3) SOILS, (4) SOIL NUTRIENT STATUS, (5) LAND USE CAPABILITY, (6) YIELD PREDICTION, (7) PRIMARY YIELD EVALUATION, (8) TOLERANCE THEORY, (9) SYSTEM FOR CROP BOUNDARY AND NATURAL VEGETATION, AND (10) BOUNDARY RECOGNITION.

REMOTE SENSING# AGRICULTURE

73W-00-5459

73W 05459. PRINCIPLES OF OPTICAL DATA PROCESSING FOR ENGINEERS. AUGUST 1966.
SHULMAN, AP
GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER, GREENBELT, MD.

120P. THIS DOCUMENT IS PRIMARILY WRITTEN FOR ENGINEERS AS A SELF TEACHING TEXT ON OPTICAL DATA PROCESSING. BASIC FUNDAMENTALS NECESSARY FOR UNDERSTANDING THE SUBJECT ARE REVIEWED AND EXPANDED UPON TO GIVE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING AND WORKING KNOWLEDGE OF THE ENTIRE AREA, INCLUDING: OPTICAL SPECTRUM ANALYSIS, OPTICAL CORRELATION, PHOTOGRAPHIC FILM CHARACTERISTICS, AND HOLOGRAPHY. IN ADDITION, THIS DOCUMENT INTRODUCES THE USE OF MATHEMATICS TO DESCRIBE THE VARIOUS OPTICAL OPERATIONS, THUS FORMING A BACKGROUND FOR UNDERSTANDING MORE ADVANCED WORKS IN THE FIELD.

OPTICAL STORAGE# DATA PROCESSING# HOLOGRAPHY

73W-00-5460

TR-39. DIGITAL SIMULATION IN HYDROLOGY: STANFORD WATERSHED MODEL 4. JULY 1966.
CRAWFORD, NH# LINSLEY, RK
STANFORD UNIV., CALIF.
TR-39

210P. TABLE OF CONTENTS: SIMULATION METHODS AND HYDROLOGIC MODELS; THE HYDROLOGIC CYCLE; A GENERAL SIMULATION MODEL; OPERATION OF THE MODEL; SIMULATION RESULTS; AND APPLICATIONS OF SIMULATION.

HYDROLOGY# DIGITAL SIMULATION# WATERSHEDS

73W-00-5503

MSC-02576-VOL. 1. EARTH RESOURCES RESEARCH DATA FACILITY INDEX. VOLUME 1 - DOCUMENTARY DATA. JANUARY 1971. MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER, HOUSTON, TEX.
MSC-02576-VOL. 1

255P. THIS DOCUMENT IS PRESENTED IN TWO VOLUMES AND IS THE CUMULATIVE ISSUE OF THE EARTH RESOURCES RESEARCH DATA FACILITY (ERRDF) INDEX. VOLUME 1 LISTS ALL EARTH RESOURCES PROGRAM DOCUMENTARY INFORMATION THAT IS AVAILABLE AT THE NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION'S MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER. THE INFORMATION CATALOGED IN THIS VOLUME IS DIVIDED INTO TWO MAJOR DATA CATEGORIES AS FOLLOWS: PART 1 - TECHNICAL DOCUMENTS AND MAPS, AND PART 2 - SATELLITE DATA.

RESOURCES# EARTH /PLANET/# DOCUMENTS

73W-00-5504

MSC-02576-VOL. 2. EARTH RESOURCES RESEARCH DATA FACILITY INDEX. VOLUME 2 - SENSOR DATA. JANUARY 1971. MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER, HOUSTON, TEX.
MSC-02576-VOL. 2

100P. THIS DOCUMENT IS PRESENTED IN TWO VOLUMES AND IS THE CUMULATIVE ISSUE OF THE EARTH RESOURCES RESEARCH DATA FACILITY (ERRDF) INDEX. INCLUDED IN VOLUME 2 OF THE INDEX ARE SENSOR DATA COLLECTED DURING FLIGHTS OVER TEST SITES AND FROM MISSIONS FLOWN BY SUBCONTRACTORS SUPPORTING THE EARTH RESOURCES SURVEY PROGRAM. THE INFORMATION CATALOGED IN THIS VOLUME IS DIVIDED INTO THREE MAJOR DATA CATEGORIES AS FOLLOWS: PART 3 - FUNCTIONAL AND CHECK'OUT DATA, PART 4 - IMAGERY DATA, AND PART 5 - ELECTRONIC DATA.

RESOURCES# EARTH /PLANET/# DETECTION

73W-00-5506

73W 05506. PROCEEDINGS OF IES 1969 ANNUAL TECHNICAL MEETING. APRIL 1969.
INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

645P. SOME OF THE SPECIFIC ENVIRONMENTS EXPLORED DURING THIS MEETING WERE POLLUTION, TRANSPORTATION, NOISE, AND BIOENGINEERING.

POLLUTION# NOISE /SOUND/# TRANSPORTATION
BIOENGINEERING

73W-00-5507

NASA-CR-1380. STUDY OF AIR POLLUTANT DETECTION BY REMOTE SENSORS. 1968.
LUDWIG, CB# BARTLE, R# GRIGGS, M
GENERAL DYNAMICS CORP., SAN DIEGO, CALIF.
NASA-CR-1380# GDC-DBE68-011

150P. IN THIS STUDY THE FEASIBILITY OF DETECTING THE MAJOR AIR POLLUTANTS BY EARTH ORIENTED, SATELLITE BORNE SENSORS IS INVESTIGATED. IN THE FIRST PART OF THIS REPORT, A DISCUSSION OF THE POLLUTANT SPECIES, THEIR OCCURRENCE, FORMATION, CHEMISTRY, CONCENTRATION LEVELS, AND DISTRIBUTION PROFILES THROUGH THE ATMOSPHERE IS GIVEN. THE PROBLEMS OF DETECTION IN THE UV AND VISIBLE REGIONS, IN RELATION TO AEROSOL AND MOLECULAR SCATTERING, ARE DISCUSSED. CALCULATIONS OF SIGNAL CHANGES EXPECTED FOR AN IDEAL RAYLEIGH ATMOSPHERE ARE PRESENTED. SOME CONSIDERATIONS OF AEROSOL (PARTICULATE) POLLUTION DETECTION ARE DISCUSSED. IN THE SECOND PART, A PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF EIGHT DIFFERENT SPECTROSCOPIC INSTRUMENTS FOR THE REMOTE DETECTION OF POLLUTANTS IS MADE.

AIR POLLUTION# DETECTION# REMOTE SENSING
SPACEBORNE DETECTORS# SPECTROSCOPY

73W-00-5508

73W 05508. PROCEEDINGS OF IES 1968 ANNUAL TECHNICAL MEETING.
MAY 1968.
INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

573P. THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE TECHNOLOGY BASIC TO THE
ENDEAVOR OF THE IES HAS IN THE PAST BEEN INSPIRED PRIMARILY
BY MILITARY AND AEROSPACE REQUIREMENTS. IT IS TIME FOR THE
ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER AND SCIENTIST TO CONSIDER NEW
CIVILIAN APPLICATIONS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY IN OUR
EXPANDING ECONOMY.

THIS TECHNICAL PROGRAM OF THE IES INCLUDES NOT ONLY RECENT
DEVELOPMENTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE MILITARY AND AEROSPACE FIELD
BUT ALSO APPLICATIONS OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE TO
HIGH-SPEED TRANSPORTATION, POLLUTION CONTROL AND OTHER AREAS
OF EFFORT AIMED AT IMPROVING MANS LOT.

TRANSPORTATION# POLLUTION

73W-00-5509

N70-14072 THRU N70-14104. REMOTE SENSING OF THE ENVIRONMENT.
AUGUST 1968.
CALIFORNIA UNIV., LOS ANGELES
N70-14072 THRU N70-14104# REPT-807.4

250P. LECTURES GIVEN DURING A SHORT STUDY COURSE IN REMOTE
SENSING OF ENVIRONMENT ARE PRESENTED, WITH EMPHASIS ON
FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPTS, TECHNIQUES AND EQUIPMENT, AND POTENTIAL
APPLICATIONS. IMAGING TECHNIQUES; SPECIFIC TYPES OF SENSORS,
INCLUDING SIDE LOOKING RADAR SYSTEMS AND MULTISPECTRAL
SCANNERS; GROUND TRUTH REQUIREMENTS; ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS
AFFECTING SYSTEM PERFORMANCE; AND DATA PROCESSING METHODS ARE
TREATED IN DETAIL. FIELDS OF APPLICATION DISCUSSED IN THE
VARIOUS LECTURES ARE METEOROLOGY, OCEANOGRAPHY, EARTH
RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AND DISCOVERY, GEOLOGY, AND GEOGRAPHY.

REMOTE SENSING# DETECTORS# UTILIZATION

73W-00-5510

N70-42766. EARTH SURVEY BIBLIOGRAPHY: A KWIC INDEX OF REMOTE
SENSING INFORMATION. SEPTEMBER 1970.
THOMPSON, WI
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS CENTER, CAMBRIDGE, MASS.
N70-42766

269P. A BIBLIOGRAPHY OF CITATIONS PERTAINING TO THE EARTH
RESOURCES PROGRAM IS PRESENTED. THE SCOPE RANGES FROM
DETAILED DISCUSSIONS ON THE POLITICS OF EARTH SURVEY
OPERATIONS TO SELECTION OF FILM USED FOR AERIAL SURVEYS. THE
BIBLIOGRAPHY IS INTENDED AS A SOURCE DOCUMENT LEADING TO
SPECIFIC INFORMATION AND SOURCES OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

REMOTE SENSING# RESOURCES

73W-00-5511

N71-16166 THRU N71-16186. EARTH RESOURCES AIRCRAFT
PROGRAM STATUS REVIEW. VOLUME III: HYDROLOGY, OCEANOGRAPHY,
AND SENSOR STUDIES. SEPTEMBER 1968.
MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER, HOUSTON, TEX.
N71-16166 THRU N71-16186# NASA-TMX-62566

530P. ON SEPTEMBER 16, 17, AND 18, 1968 A REVIEW OF VARIOUS
ASPECTS OF THE EARTH RESOURCES PROGRAM WAS HELD AT THE
MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER, HOUSTON, TEXAS. A REVIEW OF THE
VARIOUS ASPECTS OF THE EARTH RESOURCES PROGRAM, DIVIDED INTO
GEOLOGY, GEOGRAPHY, HYDROLOGY, AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY,
AND OCEANOGRAPHY, IS PRESENTED. INFORMATION IS PRESENTED
ON THE CURRENT STATUS OF CONTINUING PROGRAMS, WITH EMPHASIS
ON REMOTE SENSING APPLICATIONS IN HYDROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY.

HYDROLOGY# OCEANOGRAPHY# DETECTION
REMOTE SENSING

73W-00-5512

N71-11151 THRU N71-11170. SECOND ANNUAL EARTH RESOURCES AIRCRAFT PROGRAM STATUS REVIEW. VOLUME III: HYDROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY. SEPTEMBER 1969.
MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER, HOUSTON, TEX.
N71-11151 THRU N71-11170# NASA-TMX-66481

372P. ON SEPTEMBER 16, 17, AND 18, 1969 A REVIEW OF VARIOUS ASPECTS OF THE EARTH RESOURCES PROGRAM WAS HELD AT THE MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER, HOUSTON, TEXAS. CONFERENCE PAPERS ARE PRESENTED ON HYDROLOGICAL AND OCEANOGRAPHIC STUDIES, AND THE USE OF AERIAL AND SPACEBORNE PHOTOGRAPHY, INFRARED IMAGERY, RADAR DETECTION, AND REMOTE SENSING IN THE STUDIES.

HYDROLOGY# OCEANOGRAPHY# REMOTE SENSING

73W-00-5513

NASA-SP-7036. REMOTE SENSING OF EARTH RESOURCES. A LITERATURE SURVEY WITH INDEXES. 1970.
NASA, WASHINGTON, D.C.
NASA-SP-7036

1221P. THIS SURVEY INCLUDES DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THE IDENTIFICATION AND EVALUATION BY MEANS OF SENSORS IN SPACECRAFT AND AIRCRAFT OF VEGETATION, MINERALS, AND OTHER NATURAL RESOURCES, AND THE TECHNIQUES AND POTENTIALITIES OF SURVEYING. IT ENCOMPASSES STUDIES OF SUCH NATURAL PHENOMENA AS CITIES, TRANSPORTATION NETWORKS, AND IRRIGATION SYSTEMS.

REMOTE SENSING# DETECTORS# RESOURCES
SPACEBORNE DETECTORS# AIRBORNE DETECTORS

73W-00-5525

73W 05525. AN INTRODUCTION TO REGRESSION AND CORRELATION. MARCH 1966.
SMILLIE, KW
ALBERTA UNIV., EDMONTON, CANADA

158P. THIS BOOK WILL BE OF ASSISTANCE TO THE PERSON WHO IS INTERESTED IN A NONMATHEMATICAL ACCOUNT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS AND WHO IS PREPARED TO LEAVE THE COMPUTATIONAL ASPECTS TO THE PROGRAMMER AND THE COMPUTER.

REGRESSION ANALYSIS# CORRELATION# DIGITAL COMPUTERS

73W-00-5600

73W 05600. AIAA EARTH RESOURCES OBSERVATIONS AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS MEETING. ANNAPOLIS, MD. MARCH 1970.
COLLECTION OF TECHNICAL PAPERS.
AMERICAN INST. OF AERONAUTICS AND ASTRONAUTICS

102P. CONTENTS INCLUDE: DATA ANALYSIS AND REMOTELY SENSED DATA; A SURVEY OF SENSORS FOR EARTH RESOURCES SENSING; FUTURE APPLICATIONS OF EARTH RESOURCE SURVEYS FROM SPACE; RESOURCE POLICY, MANAGEMENT, AND REMOTE SENSING; REMOTE SENSORS -- A NEW DATA SOURCE FOR AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS.

REMOTE SENSING# INFORMATION SYSTEMS

73W-00-5601

73W 05601. HYDRODYNAMICS OF MATHEMATICALLY SIMULATED SURFACE RUNOFF. AUGUST 1968.
CHEN, CL# CHOW, VT
ILLINOIS UNIV., URBANA.

132P. A MACROSCOPIC HYDRODYNAMIC APPROACH TO ANALYZE THE SURFACE FLOW ON WATERSHEDS IS PRESENTED AND DISCUSSED IN THIS REPORT. IN THIS APPROACH, A SET OF SPATIALLY VARIED UNSTEADY FLOW EQUATIONS, THAT INCLUDE TERMS FOR LATERAL MASS FLUX, LATERAL MOMENTUM FLUX, OVERPRESSURE HEAD DUE TO RAINDROP IMPACT, AND BOUNDARY SHEAR, ARE DERIVED FROM THE EQUATION OF CONTINUITY AND THE NAVIER STOKES EQUATIONS FOR THE THREE DIMENSIONAL FLOW OF VISCOUS INCOMPRESSIBLE FLUID IN COOPERATION WITH THE KINEMATIC AND DYNAMIC BOUNDARY CONDITIONS ON THE WATER AND GROUND SURFACES OF A WATERSHED. SEVERAL TYPES OF EXTERNAL AND INTERNAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS FOR WATERSHED SURFACE FLOW ARE PRESENTED AND DISCUSSED IN THIS REPORT.

HYDRODYNAMICS# MATHEMATICAL MODELS# WATERSHEDS

73W-00-5602

73W 05602. COMPUTER SOLUTION OF A HYDRODYNAMIC WATERSHED MODEL (IHW MODEL 2). MARCH 1971.
KARELIOTIS, SJ# CHOW, VT
ILLINOIS UNIV., URBANA

128P. THIS REPORT DESCRIBES THE GENERAL COMPUTER SOLUTION OF A HYDRODYNAMIC MODEL OF WATERSHED FLOW, THE SO CALLED ILLINOIS HYDRODYNAMIC WATERSHED MODEL 2 (IHW MODEL 2). THIS MODEL IS FORMULATED MATHEMATICALLY ON THE BASIS OF THE CONTINUITY AND MOMENTUM PRINCIPLES, CONSISTING OF THE USE OF NONLINEAR PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND EMPLOYING THE CONCEPTS OF OVERPRESSURE HEAD DUE TO RAINDROP IMPACT AND THE ADJUSTMENT OF THE DARCY WEISBACH FLOW RESISTANCE. FOR THE COMPUTATION OF THE HYDRODYNAMIC MODEL, A SET OF PROCEDURES IS DEVELOPED FOR THE SELECTION OF THE INITIAL CONDITIONS AND FOR THE NUMERICAL SOLUTION AT BOUNDARY POINTS, INCLUDING HYDRAULIC JUMPS IN THE FLOW. RESULTS OF THIS STUDY INDICATE THAT THE PROPOSED IHW MODEL 2 IS IN GENERAL FEASIBLE FOR THE SIMULATION OF THE HYDRODYNAMIC BEHAVIOR OF THE WATERSHED FLOW.

HYDRODYNAMICS# WATERSHEDS# MATHEMATICAL MODELS

73W-00-5603

RR-36. AN EVALUATION OF RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN STREAM FLOW PATTERNS AND WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS THROUGH THE USE OF OPSET. 1970.
JAMES, LD
KENTUCKY UNIV., LEXINGTON.
RR-36

117P. SELECTION AMONG ALTERNATIVE FLOOD CONTROL MEASURES WOULD BE BETTER INFORMED IF BETTER INFORMATION COULD BE OBTAINED ON THE MARGINAL CHANGE IN FLOOD HAZARD ASSOCIATED WITH LAND USE AND OTHER CHANGES IN THE TRIBUTARY WATERSHED. HYDROLOGIC MODELING IS THE MOST PROMISING APPROACH TO ANSWERING THIS QUESTION; HOWEVER, THE USE OF EXISTING MODELS IS HAMPERED BY THE ABSENCE OF INFORMATION CORRELATING MODEL PARAMETERS WITH PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE WATERSHED. TO DEAL WITH THIS SITUATION, A METHOD WAS DEVELOPED FOR ESTIMATING THE PARAMETER VALUES FOR THE STANFORD WATERSHED MODEL WHICH BEST MATCH RECORDED WITH SIMULATED STREAMFLOWS. PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS WERE MEASURED FOR 17 RURAL WATERSHEDS. CORRELATIONS BETWEEN THE CHARACTERISTICS AND THE PARAMETERS WERE EXAMINED. CHANGES IN PARAMETER VALUES WITH URBANIZATION WERE ALSO EXAMINED. THE RESULTS WERE USED TO STUDY VARIATIONS IN DOWNSTREAM FLOOD PEAKS AND IN AVERAGE ANNUAL FLOOD DAMAGES ASSOCIATED WITH VARIOUS TRIBUTARY WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS.

STREAM FLOW# WATERSHEDS# HYDROLOGY

73W-00-5614

73W 05604. NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF WATERSHED HYDROLOGY.
AUGUST 1970.
TEXAS UNIV., AUSTIN

124P. THE LACK OF CONTROLLED EXPERIMENTS IS ONE OF THE MAJOR OBSTACLES TO HYDROLOGIC RESEARCH. THE RESULT HAS BEEN THE HIGH SPEED DIGITAL COMPUTER, IT HAS BECOME FEASIBLE TO CONSTRUCT A MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF THE ENTIRE RUNOFF PROCESS.

DIGITAL SIMULATION# WATERSHEDS# HYDROLOGY

73W-00-5615

73W 05605. EARTH RESOURCES EVALUATION STUDY (SERIES H-1).
PROGRESS REPORT JULY 14 - SEPTEMBER 15, 1971.
SEPTEMBER 1971.
IBM HUNTSVILLE, FSD
NASB-14000/SA-1883

94P. CONTENTS: TECHNICAL; NEAR TERM ACTIVITIES; RIVER FORECASTING - TECHNICAL APPROACH; AND DATA OBTAINED FOR SELECTED WATERSHED.

HYDROGRAPHY# WATERSHEDS# FLOOD FORECASTING

73W-00-5616

73W 05606. EARTH RESOURCES EVALUATION STUDY (SERIES H-1).
WATER FLOW CHARACTERISTIC MODELING STUDY FOR SELECTED WATERSHEDS BY USING AERIAL AND GROUND SENSED DATA.
IBM HUNTSVILLE, FSD

30P. OBJECTIVE: INVESTIGATE THE FEASIBILITY OF APPLYING REMOTE SENSING TO MEASUREMENT, CORRELATION AND PREDICTION OF THE WATER FLOW CHARACTERISTICS OF SELECTED HYDROGRAPHIC CATCHMENTS.

REMOTE SENSING# WATERSHEDS# HYDROGRAPHY

73W-00-5617

73W 05607. APPLICATION OF THE STANFORD WATERSHED MODEL TO A SMALL NEW ENGLAND WATERSHED. AUGUST 1968.
DRUCKER, PB
NEW HAMPSHIRE UNIV., DURHAM

225P. THE STANFORD WATERSHED MODEL IS A COMPUTER PROGRAM WHICH MATHEMATICALLY REPRESENTS THE PORTIONS OF THE HYDROLOGIC CYCLE WHICH ULTIMATELY PRODUCE STREAMFLOW. IT IS UTILIZED TO SYNTHESIZE THE DISCHARGE OF A SMALL NEW ENGLAND WATERSHED. DESCRIPTIONS ARE GIVEN OF PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN ADAPTING THE PROGRAM TO THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE COMPUTER AND IN ADJUSTING THE PROGRAM TO ACCEPT DATA FROM A WATERSHED DIFFERING IN MANY RESPECTS FROM THE WATERSHEDS USED TO DEVELOP THE MODEL. FAIR CORRELATION BETWEEN ACTUAL AND SYNTHETIC DISCHARGE IS ACHIEVED, AND THE USEFULNESS OF THE MODEL WITH REGARD TO VERY SMALL STREAM BASINS IS SHOWN.

WATERSHEDS# MATHEMATICAL MODELS# COMPUTER PROGRAMS

73W-00-5608

TR-12. THE SYNTHESIS OF CONTINUOUS STREAM FLOW
HYDROGRAPHS BY A DIGITAL COMPUTER. JULY 1962.
CRAWFORD, NH# LINSLEY, RK
STANFORD UNIV., CALIF.

TR-12

121P. THIS STUDY INVESTIGATED THE FEASIBILITY OF
REPRESENTING THE HYDROLOGIC CYCLE IN A WATERSHED BY A DIGITAL
COMPUTER MODEL. THE MODEL WAS BASED AS CLOSELY AS
POSSIBLE ON THE PHYSICAL PROCESSES PRESENT IN A WATERSHED,
AND PRODUCED HOURLY STREAMFLOW HYDROGRAPHS USING DAILY
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION AND HOURLY PRECIPITATION DATA. THE
BURROUGHS 220 DIGITAL COMPUTER WAS USED FOR CALCULATIONS.
THREE CALIFORNIA WATERSHEDS WITH DIVERSE HYDROLOGIC
CHARACTERISTICS WERE USED TO TEST THE MODEL AND ILLUSTRATE
THE RESULTS OBTAINABLE. SYNTHETIC STREAMFLOW FROM THE
MODEL AND OBSERVED STREAMFLOW ARE GENERALLY IN GOOD
AGREEMENT, AND IT WAS CONCLUDED THAT THE USE OF THE
MODEL IS FEASIBLE. POSSIBILITIES FOR FURTHER DEVELOPMENT
ARE SUGGESTED.

WATERSHEDS# MATHEMATICAL MODELS# DIGITAL COMPUTERS

73W-00-5609

RR-40. MEASURING THE INTANGIBLE VALUES OF NATURAL STREAMS,
PART 1. APPLICATION OF THE UNIQUENESS CONCEPT. JUNE 1971.
DEARINGER, JA# WOOLWINE, GM
KENTUCKY UNIV., LEXINGTON

RR-40

85P. THE PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY WAS TO APPLY THE
UNIQUENESS CONCEPT TO THE QUANTIFICATION OF THE INTANGIBLE
VALUES OF NATURAL STREAMS. IT INVOLVES THE EVALUATION OF A
SET OF CHARACTERISTICS OR FACTORS FOR SELECTED STREAM SITES.
EACH FACTOR IS RATED FOR EACH SITE ON A NUMERICAL SCALE
INDICATIVE OF THE RANGE OF POSSIBLE VALUES FOR THAT FACTOR.
AN UNIQUENESS RATIO (THE RECIPROCAL OF THE NUMBER OF
STREAM SITES SHARING A GIVEN CATEGORY RATING) IS THEN
COMPUTED FOR EACH STREAM FOR EACH FACTOR IN THE SET. THE
PRESENT STUDY UTILIZED AN INVENTORY OF FIFTY-FOUR FACTORS
WHICH WERE EVALUATED FOR EACH STUDY STREAM. THE INVENTORY
WAS DIVIDED INTO FIVE FACTOR GROUPS: PHYSICAL MEASURES,
LAND USE MEASURES, WATER QUALITY MEASURES, DISVALUES AND
ESTHETIC IMPRESSION MEASURES.

STREAMS# LAND USE# WATER QUALITY

73W-00-5610

73W 05610. EARTH RESOURCES EVALUATION STUDY (SERIES H-1).
PROGRESS REPORT SEPTEMBER 16 - NOVEMBER 12, 1971.
DECEMBER 1971.
IBM HUNTSVILLE, FSD
NASB-14000/SA-1883

145P. CONTENTS: TECHNICAL PROGRESS; NEAR TERM ACTIVITIES;
GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND SAMPLE DATA WHITE HOLLOW
WATERSHED; PHOTOGRAPH INTERPRETATION RESULTS; AND
SELECTED PROGRAMMING TOPICS.

WATERSHEDS# HYDROGRAPHY# PHOTointerpretation

73W-00-5612

73W 05612. HYDROLOGIC DIGITAL MODEL OF WILLAMETTE BASIN
TRIBUTARIES FOR OPERATIONAL RIVER FORECASTING. OCTOBER 1967.
KJEHL, DW# SCHERMERHORN, VP
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

38P. THE DIGITAL COMPUTER PROGRAM DEVELOPED TO SYNTHESIZE
THE RESPONSE OF A COMPLEX RIVER BASIN TO THE INPUT OF
RAINFALL AND SNOWMELT IS DESCRIBED WITH EMPHASIS ON ITS USE
IN RIVER FORECASTING OPERATION. APPLICATION OF THE MODEL
TO A SAMPLE BASIN IN THE WILLAMETTE DRAINAGE IS SHOWN AND
THE MODEL COEFFICIENTS FOR THE ENTIRE NATURAL WILLAMETTE
BASIN ARE PRESENTED.

DIGITAL SIMULATION# RIVERS# FORECASTING
HYDROLOGY# COMPUTER PROGRAMS