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## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

| A | ampere analog |
| :---: | :---: |
| abA | abampere |
| AC | alternating current |
| A/C | Atlas/Centaur |
| ADA | avalanche diode amplifier |
| ADCS | attitude determination and contral subsystem |
| ADPE | automatic data processing equipment |
| AEHS | advanced entry heating simulator |
| AEO | aureole/extinction detector |
| AEDC | Arnold Engineering Development Corporation |
| AF | audio frequency |
| $A G C$ | automatic gain control |
| AgCd | silver-cadmium |
| AgO | silver oxide |
| AgZn | silver zinc |
| ALU | authorized limited usage |
| AM | amplitude modulation |
| a.m. | ante meridian |
| AMP | amplifier |
| APM | assistant project manager |
| ARC | Ames Research Center |
| ARO | after receipt of order |
| ASK | amplitude shift key |
| at. wt | atomic weight |
| ATM | atmosphere |
| ATRS | attenuated total refractance spectrometer |
| AU | astronomical unit |
| AWG | American wire gauge |
| AWGN | additive white gaussian noise |
| B | bilevel |
| B | bus (probe bus) |
| BED | bus entry degradation |

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (CONTINUED)

| BER | bit error rate |
| :--- | :--- |
| BLIMP | boundary layer integral matrix procedure |
| BPIS | bus-probe interface simulator |
| BPL | bandpass limiter |
| BPN | boron potassium nitrate |
| bps | bits per second |
| BTU | British thermal unit |
| C | Canberra tracking station- NASA DSN |
| CADM | configuration administration and data management |
| C\&CO | calibration and checkout |
| CCU | central control unit |
| CDU | command distribution unit |
| CEA | control electronics assembly |
| CFA | crossed field amplifier |
| cg | centigram |
| c.g. | center of gravity |
| CIA | counting/integration assembly |
| CKAFS | Cape Kennedy Air Force Station |
| cm | centimeter |
| c.m. | center of mass |
| C/M | current monitor |
| CMD | command |
| CMO | configuration management office |
| C-MOS | complementary metal oxide silicon |
| CMS | configuration management system |
| const | constant <br> construction |
| COSMOS | complementary metal oxide silicon |
| c.p. | center of pressure |
| CPSA | cloud particle size analyzer |
| CPSS | cloud particle size spectrometer |

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (CONTINUED)

| CPU | central processing unit |
| :--- | :--- |
| CRT | cathode ray tube |
| CSU | Colorado State University |
| CTRF | central transformer rectifier filter |
| D | digital |
| DACS | data and command subsystem |
| DCE | despin control electronics |
| DDA | despin drive assembly |
| DDE | despin drive electronics |
| DDU | digital decoder unit |
| DDULRI | doubly differenced very long baseline interferometry |
| DEA | despin electronics assembly |
| DEHP | di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate |
| DFG | data format generator |
| DGB | disk gap band |
| DHC | data handing and command |
| DIO | direct input/output |
| DIOC | direct input/output channel |
| DIP | dual in-line package |
| DISS REG | dissipative regulator |
| DLA | declination of the launch azimuth |
| DLBI | doubly differenced very long baseline interferometry |
| DMA | despin mechanical assembly |
| DOF | degree of freedom |
| DR | design review |
| DSCS II | Defense System Communications Satellite II |
| DSIF | Deep Space Instrumentation Facility |
| DSL | duration and steering logic |
| DSN | NASA Deep Space Network |
| DSP | Defense Support Program |
| DSU | digital storage unit |
| DTC | design to cost |
| DTM |  |

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (CONTINUED)

| DTP | descent timer/programmer |
| :--- | :--- |
| DTU | digital telemetry unit |
| DVU | design verification unit |
|  |  |
| E | encounter |
| Entry |  |
| EGSE | electronically despun antenna |
| electrical ground support equipment |  |
| EIRP | effective isotropic radiated power |
| EMC | electromagnetic compatibility |
| EMI | electromagnetic interference |
| EO | engineering order |
| EOF | end of frame |
| EOM | end of mission |
| EP | earth pointer |
| ESA | elastomeric silicone ablator |
| ESLE | equivalent station error level |
| ESRO | European Space Research Organization |
| ETM | electrical test model |
| ETR | Eastern Test Range |
| EXP | experiment |
| FFT | fast Fourier transform |
| FIPP | fabrication/inspection process procedure |
| FMEA | failure mode and effects analysis |
| FOV | field of view |
| FP | fixed price |
| Frame pulse (telemetry) | federal stock |
| FSK | frequency shift keying |
| FTA | fixed time of arrival |

## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (CONTINUED)

| G | Goldstone Tracking Station - NASA DSN gravitational acceleration |
| :---: | :---: |
| g | gravity |
| G\&A | general and administrative |
| GCC | ground control console |
| GFE | government furnished equipment |
| GHE | ground handling equipment |
| GMT | Greenwich mean time |
| GSE | ground support equipment |
| GSFC | Goddard Space Flight Center |
| H | Haystack Tracking Station - NASA DSN |
| HFFB | Ames Hypersonic Free Flight Ballistic Range |
| HPBW | half-power beamwidth |
| htr | heater |
| HTT | heat transfer tunnel |
| I | current |
| IA | inverter assembly |
| IC | integrated circuit |
| ICD | interface control document |
| IEEE | Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineering |
| IFC | interface control document |
| IFJ | in-flight jumper |
| IMP | interplanetary monitoring platform |
| I/O. | input/output |
| IOP | input/output processor |
| IR | infrared |
| IRAD | independent research and development |
| IRIS | infrared interferometer spectrometer |
| IST | integrated system test |
| I\&T | integration and test |
| I-V | current-voltage |

## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (CONTINUED)

| JPL | Jet Propulsion Laboratory |
| :--- | :--- |
| KSC | Kennedy Space Center |
|  |  |
| L | launch |
| LD/AD | launch date/arrival date |
| LP | large probe |
| LPM | lines per minute |
| LPTTL | low power transistor-transistor logic |
| MSI | medium scale integration |
| LRC | Langley Research Center |
|  |  |
| M | Madrid tracking station - NASA DSN |
| MAG | magnetometer |
| max | maximum |
| MEOP | maximum expected operating pressure |
| MFSK | M'ary frequency shift keying |
| MGSE | mechanical ground support equipment |
| MH | mechanical handling |
| MIC | microwave integrated circuit |
| min | minimum |
| MJS | Mariner Jupiter-Saturn |
| MMBPS | multimission bipropellant propulsion subsystem |
| MMC | Martin Marietta Corporation |
| MN | Mach number |
| mod | modulation |
| MOI | moment of inertia |
| MOS LSI | metal over silicone large scale integration |
| MP | maximum power |
| MSFC | Marshall Space Flight Center |
| MPSK | M'ary phase shift keying |
| MSI | medium scale integration |
| Multiplexer |  |
| Mariner Venus-Mars |  |

## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (CONTINUED)

| NAD | Naval Ammunition Depot, Crane, Indiana |
| :---: | :---: |
| N/A | not available |
| NiCd | nickel cadmium . |
| NM/IM | neutral mass spectrometer and ion mass spectrometer |
| NRZ | non-return to zero |
| NVOP | normal to Venus orbital plane |
| OEM | other equipment manufacturers |
| OGO | Orbiting Geophysical Observatory |
| OIM | orbit insertion motor |
| P | power |
| PAM | pulse amplitude modulation |
| PC | printed circuit |
| PCM | pulse code modulation |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PCM- } \\ & \text { PSK-PM } \end{aligned}$ | pulse code modulation-phase shift keyingphase modulation |
| PCU | power control unit |
| PDA | platform drive assembly |
| PDM | pulse duration modulation |
| PI | principal investigator proposed instrument |
| PJU | Pioneer Jupiter-Uranus |
| PLL | phase-locked loop |
| PM | phase modulation |
| p.m. | post meridian |
| P-MOS | positive channel metal oxide silicon |
| PMP | parts, materials, processes |
| PMS | probe mission spacecraft |
| PMT | photomultiplier tube |
| PPM | parts per million pulse position modulation |
| PR | process requirements |
| PROM | programmable read-only memory |
| PSE | program storage and execution assembly |

## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (CONTINUED)

| PSIA | pounds per square inch absolute |
| :--- | :--- |
| PSK | phase shift key |
| PSU | Pioneer Saturn-Uranus |
| PTE | probe test equipment |
|  |  |
| QOI | quality operation instructions |
| QTM | qualification test model |
|  |  |
| RCS | reaction control subsystem |
| REF | reference |
| RF | radio frequency |
| RHCP | right hand circularly polarized |
| RHS | reflecting heat shield |
| RMP-B | Reentry Measurements Program, Phase B |
| RMS | root mean square |
| RMU | remote multiplexer unit |
| ROM | read only memory <br> rough order of magnitude |
| RSS | root sum square |
| RT | retargeting |
| RTU | remote terminal unit |
| S | separation |
| SBASI | single bridgewire Apollo standard initiator |
| SCP | stored command programmer |
| SCR | silicon controlled rectifier |
| SCT | spin control thrusters |
| SEA | shunt electronics assembly |
| SFOF | Space Flight Operations Facility |
| SGLS | space ground link subsystem |
| SHIV | shock induced vorticity |
| SLR | shock layer radiometer |

## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (CONTINUED)

| SMAA | semimajor axis |
| :--- | :--- |
| SMLA | semiminor axis |
| SNR | signal to noise ratio |
| SP | small probe |
| SPC | sensor and power control |
| SPSG | spin sector generator |
| SR | shunt radiator |
| SRM | solid rocket motor |
| SSG | Science Stecring Group |
| SSI | small scale integration |
| STM | structural test model |
| STM/TTM | structural tcst model/thermal test model |
| STS | system test set |
| sync | synchronous |
|  |  |
| TBD | to be determined |
| TCC | test conductor's console |
| T/D | Thor/Delta |
| TDC | telemetry data console |
| TEMP | temperature |
| TS | test set |
| TTL MSI | transistor-transistor logic medium scale integration |
| TLM | telemetry |
| TOF | time of flight |
| TRF | tuned radio frequency |
| TTM | thermal test model |
| T/V | thermo yacuum |
| TWT | travelling wave tube |
| TWTA | travelling wave tube amplifier |
| UHF | ultrahigh frequency |
| UV | ultraviolet |

## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (CONTINUED)

VAC volts alternating current
VCM vacuum condensable matter
VCO voltage controlled oscillator
VDC volts direct current
VLBI very long baseline interferometry
VOI Venus orbit insertion
VOP Venus orbital plane

VSI
VTA

XDS Xerox Data Systems
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APPENDIX 8. 1A

POWER SUBSYSTEM COST/WEIGHT TRADEOFFS

APPENDIX 8.1A
POWER SUBSYSTEM COST/WEIGHT TRADEOFFS

1. COST

The cost estimations of power subsystem units were based upon DSCS-II, DSP, and Pioneer 10 and 11 hardware design and development and manufacturing experience. Parts count and degree of modification of existing hardware were factored into the estimate of manufacturing and design and development costs. Cost data includes sufficient quantities of units to equip probe bus and orbiter versions. It was based on the orbiter complement of equipment, but the savings in fewer slices for the probe bus balance the cost of the different probe bus battery. The preferred systems are 6 for Thor/Delta and 15 for Atlas/Centaur (see Table 8.1A-1).

## 2. WEIGHTS

The weights of the candidate designs were based upon slice or tray weights for functionally equivalent circuitry measured on existing hardware such as Pioneers 10 and 11, Intelsat III, DSCS-II, or DSP programs. Battery weights were based on measured cell weight data adjusted for case weight or off-the-shelf battery weights. The solar array weight estimate was based upon recent hardware experience on DSCS-II and DSP arrays.

Table 8.1A-1. Orbiter Power Subsystem Cost/Weight Tradeoffs

| CONFIGURATION |  |  | POWER CONTROL UNIT | REGULATOR | POWER CONDITIONING | SOLAR ARRAY | BATTERY | TOTAL WEIGHT (KG) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SK } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 22 TO 33 VDC BUS, Ni -Cd BATTERY BUCKING ARRAY REGULATOR CONFIGURATION 3 POWER CONDITIONING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KG } \\ & \$ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.14 \\ & 370 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.82 \\ & 646 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.6 \\ & 941 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.0 \\ & 542 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.3^{*} \\ & 120^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | 47.86 | 2619.0 |
| 2. | $2 B$ VOC $\pm 2 \%$ BUS, Ni-Cd BATTERY BUCKING ARRAY REGULATOR CONFIGURATION 3 POWER CONDITIONING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KG } \\ & \$ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.02 \\ & 1037 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.82 \\ & 646 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \\ & 420 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.0 \\ & 542 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.5 \\ & 325 \end{aligned}$ | 46.74 | 2970.0 |
| 3. | 22 TO 33 VDC BUS, $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Cd}$ baitery BUCK-BOOST ARRAY REGULATOR CONFIGURATION 3 POWER CONDITIONING | KG $\$$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.14 \\ & 370 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.82 \\ & 646 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.6 \\ & 941 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.4 \\ & 575 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.3 \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | 49.26 | 2652.0 |
| 4. | $28 \mathrm{VDC} \pm 2 \% \mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Cd} \text { BATTERY }$ BUCK-BOOST ARRAY REGULATOR CONFIGURATION 3 POWER CONDITIONING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KG } \\ & \$ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.32 \\ & 1037 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.82 \\ & 646 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \\ & 420 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.4 \\ & 575 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.5 \\ & 325 \end{aligned}$ | 47.44 | 3003 |
| 5. | INTELSAT III TYPE SYSTEM <br> 22 TO 33 VDC SHUNT LIMITED BUS, Ni-Cd BATTERY <br> CONFIGURATION 3 POWER CONDITIONING | $K G$ <br> \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.32 \\ & 508 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6.6 \\ & 941 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \\ & 510 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.3^{*} \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | 43.82 | 2079 |
| 6 | PIONEER 10 AND 11 TYPE SYSTEM 29 VDC $\pm 2 \%$, Ni -Cd BATTERY <br> CONFIGURATION 3 POWER CONDITIONING PREFERRED THOR/DELTA | $K G$ <br> 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.35 \\ & 860 \end{aligned}$ | --- | $2.4$ <br> 420 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \\ & 510 \end{aligned}$ | $17.5$ <br> 325 | 41.85 | 2115 |
| 7. | PIONEER 10 AND 11 TYPE SYSTEM $28 \mathrm{VOC} \pm 2 \%$ BUS, Ag-Cd BATTERY CONFIGURATION 2 POWER CONDITIONING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KG } \\ & \$ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.8 \\ & 884 \end{aligned}$ | ---- | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \\ & 420 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \\ & 510 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.0^{* * *} \\ & 621 \end{aligned}$ | 38.8 | 2415 |
| 8. | SHUNT BOOST SYSTEM 22 TO 33 VDC BUS, Ni-Cd BATTERY CONFIGURATION 3 POWER CONDITIONING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KG } \\ & \$ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9 \\ & 876 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.82 \\ & 646 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.6 \\ & 941 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.0 \\ & 545 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.3^{*} \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | 49.62 | 3128 |
| 9. | UNREGULATED SYSTEM 22 TO 70 VDC BUS, Ni-Cd BATTERY CONFIGURATION 3 POWER CONDITIONING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KG } \\ & \$ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.82 \\ & 415 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6.6 \\ & 941 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \\ & 510 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 17.3^{*} \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | 42.32 | 1986 |
| 10. | UNREGULATED SYSTEM 22 TO 70 VDC BUS, Ag-Cd BATTERY CONFIGURATION 3 ROWER CONDITIONING | KG $\$$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.28 \\ & 523 \end{aligned}$ | ---- | $\begin{aligned} & 6.6 \\ & 941 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \\ & 510 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.9^{*} \\ & 807 \end{aligned}$ | 37.38 | 2781 |
| 11. | PIONEER 10 AND il TYPE SYSTEM 28 VDC $\pm 2 \%$ BUS, $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{C} d$ BATTERY CONFIGURATION I POWER CONDITIONING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KG } \\ & \$ \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.35 \\ & 860 \end{aligned}$ | ---- | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \\ & 610 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \\ & 510 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.5 \\ & 325 \end{aligned}$ | 41.75 | 2305 |
| 12. | PIONEER 10 AND 11 TYPE SYSTEM $28 \mathrm{VDC} \pm 2 \%$ BUS, Ag-Cd BATTERY CONFIGURATION I POWER CONDITIONING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KG } \\ & \$ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.8 \\ & 864 \end{aligned}$ | ----- | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \\ & 610 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \\ & 510 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.0 \\ & 621 \end{aligned}$ | 38.7 | 2605 |
| 13. | SHUNT BOOST SYSTEM <br> 28 VDC $\pm 2 \%$ BUS, $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{C} d$ BATTERY <br> CONFIGURATION 3 POWER CONDITIONING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KG } \\ & s \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.8 \\ & 1247 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.82 \\ & 646 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9 \\ & 420 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.0 \\ & 542 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.5 \\ & 325 \end{aligned}$ | 49.02 | 3180 |
| 14. | BASELINE SYSTEM <br> PIONEER 10 AND 11 TYPE SYSTEM 28 VDC $\pm 2 \%$ BUS, Ag-Cd BATTERY <br> CONFIGURATION 2A POWER CONDITIONING (INVERTER AND CTRF) | KG $\$$ | $6.8$ <br> 864 | ---- | $7.4$ $188$ | 16.1 <br> 537 | $14.0$ <br> 621 | 44.3 | 2210 |
| 15. | PIONEER 10 AND 11 TYPE SYSTEM $2 B$ VDC $\pm 2 \%$ BUS, Ni -Cd BATTERY CONFIGURATION 2A POWER CONDITIONiNG (INVERTER AND CTRF) PREFERRED ATLAS/CENTAUR | $K G$ $\$$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.35 \\ & 860 \end{aligned}$ |  | 7.4 <br> 188 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \\ & 510 \end{aligned}$ | 17.5 325 | 46.85 | 1883 |

* Reduced battery weight due to direct discharge to gus.
** OfF-THE-SHELF DSP (30K EACH).
*** 14 KG BASED ON 24 A-HR SCALEUP TO 30 A-HR CELL

APPENDIX 8.1B

BATTERIES

## APPENDIX 8.1B

## BATTERIES

## 1. NICKEL CADMIUM BATTERY CYCLE LIFE

Nickel cadmium batteries have the best cycle life and are more rugged than any other type of secondary battery for space purposes. However, nickel cadmium batteries are heavier and have high residual magnetic fields. Data in Section 3.3.2 shows that the magnetometer boom length must be increased slightly to accommodate this low risk battery.

The depth of discharge will be 31 percent during the first eclipse seàson, 66 percent during the 1.4 -hour maximum eclipse season, and approximately 10 percent during launch. Figure $8.1 \mathrm{~B}-1$ shows the battery is conservatively sized, with a cycle life capability considerably in excess of Pioneer Venus requirements. Future growth could be accommodated with increased depth of discharge to 80 percent. Cell bypass circuitry is not required for the nickel cadmium battery because of the high cycle life capability and conservative usage.


Figure 8. 1B-1. Nickel-Cadmium Battery Cycle Life

## 2. SILVER CADMIUM BATTERY CYCLE LIFE

Table 8. 1B-1 shows the NAD Crane 24-hour orbit silver cadmium cell cycle life data. Note the wide variations in cycle life for tests under identical conditions. Other sources of data for silver cadmium cycle life are summarized in Table 8. 1B-2.

Table 8.1B-1. Silver Cadmium Battery Cycle Life Tests (NAD Crane)

| DEPTH OF DISCHARGE | TEMPERATURE | CYCLES | YEAR | VOLTAGE LIMIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 40 | 210 | 1964 | 1.50 |
| 43 | 40 | 310 | 1968 | 1.51 |
| 20 | 40 | 61 | 1965 | 1.50 |
| 20 | 40 | 269 | 1967 | 1.50 |
| 18 | 40 | 447 | 1969 | 1.51 |
| 40 | 25 | 69 | 1967 | 1.51 |
| 18 | 25 | 507 | 1969 | 1.51 |
| 20 | 25 | 34 | 1965 | 1.97 |
| 20 | 25 | 98 | 1965 | 1.50 |
| 20 | 25 | 720 | 1967 | 1.49 |
| 20 | 25 | 610 | 1967 | 1.50 |
| 20 | 25 | 77 | 1967 | 1.50 |
| 20 | 25 | 661 | 1968 | 1.50 |
| 18 | 0 | 1548 | (IN PROGRESS) | $\begin{gathered} 1.51 \\ 6 / 20 / 72 \end{gathered}$ |
| 50 | 0 | 168 | 1964 | 1.50 |
| 43 | 0 | 61 | 1967 | 1.51 |
| 40 | 0 | 121 | 1967 | 1.51 |
| 20 | 0 | 267 | 1966 | 1,50 |
| 20 | 0 | 2542 | 1971 | 1.50 |

Table 1.1B-2. Data on Silver Cadmium Cycle Life from Other Programs

| PROGRAM OR SOURCE |  | CYCLES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FR-1 SATELLITE | 15 | 10000 |
| P/F SUBSATELLITE | 8 | 5000 |
| JPL 20 A-HR CELLS 1.5-VOLT CHARGE LIMIT [23.890 C ( $75^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ )] 24 -HOUR ORBIT (7 MONTHS STORAGE) | 60 | 56 TO90 |
| JPL 20 A-HR CELLS 1.5-VOLT CHARGE LIMIT $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) 24$-HOUR ORBIT ( 7 MONTHS STORAGE) | 60 | 144 TO 261 |
| NAD CRANE 10 CELL PACKS 24-HOUR ORBIT (14 MAY 1965) | 50 | 166 |

## 2. 1 Need for Individual Cell Bypassing

Because 1) overcharge current is highly sensitive to applied voltage limit in $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{Cd}$ cells, 2) the I-V characteristic of each cell is different, and 3) the voltage dispersion of those cells tends to become large as time passes, individual voltage limiting on charge is used for this application.

## 2. 2 Silver Cadmium Battery Wet Storage

Figure 8. 1B-2 shows data for silver cadmium battery wet stand storage based on Goddard Space Flight Center IMP and JPL test experience.


Figure 8.18-2. Silver-Cadmium Battery Wet Storage Capacity Loss

Silver cadmium cells lose capacity throughout their life, starting as soon as they are activated with electrolyte. The rate of capacity loss largely depends on temperature and less strongly on whether the cells are cycled or stored inactive, except that very high depths of discharge accelerate the rate. Also, the rate of capacity loss is about the same for charged open-circuit storage as for discharged open-circuit storage. "Floating" the battery decreases capacity loss, at least for the first 6 months. Fig. ure $8.1 \mathrm{~B}-2$ shows capacity versus storage data for $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{Cd}$ cells at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Note that the results for charged open-circuit storage at 1-year are quite different for Goddard SFC and JPL, an example of the wide variations typical of state-of-the-art $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{Cd}$ cells.

## 3. SILVER ZINC SECONDARY BATTERY CYCLE LIFE

Silver zinc secondary cell cycle life data (NAD crane) shows wide variation similar to that of the silver cadmium cell (see Table 8. 1B-3). The cycle life capability at high depths of discharge is questionable. Other silver zinc data is presented in Table 8. 1B-4. Because of the extreme data scatter for silver zinc cells in cycling tests, they were eliminated as candidates for the orbiter mission.

Table 8.1B-3. Secondary Silver Zinc Tests

| DEPTH OF <br> DISCHARGE | TEMPERATURE <br> ( $C$ ) | DATE | CYCLES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 25 | 1967 | 90 |
| 40 | 25 | 1964 | 32 |
| 40 | 25 | 1964 | 80 |
| 31 | 25 | 1967 | 281 |
| 42 | 25 | 1965 | 58 |
| 25 | 25 | 1965 | 139 |
| 40 | 25 | 1966 | 121 |

Table 8.1B-4. Data on Silver Zinc Cycle Life from Other Programs

| PROGRAM/SOURCE | TEMPERATURE $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | DEPTH OF DISCHARGE (\%) | CYCLE LIFE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25TH POWER SOURCES CONFERENCE <br> T.J. HENNIGAN (GSFC) <br> 24-HOUR ORBIT | 24 | 25 | 230 |
| 25TH POWER SOURCES CONFERENCE <br> T.J. HENNIGAN (GSFC) <br> 6 CYCLES PER DAY | 20 | 25 | 800 |
| 19TH POWER SOURCES CONFERENCE G.M. WYLIE (ESB) 3.5-DAY CYCLE | -- | APPROXIMATELY <br> 70 PERCENT <br> DISCHARGE TO <br> 1.25 V/CELL | 50 |
| JPL SPACE PROGRAM SUMMARY 37-60 VOL. III, 1969 | 25 | 60 | 90, 120, 230 |
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PIONEER VENUS BUS VOLTAGE REGULATION SCHEME

## APPENDIX 8.1C

## PIONEER VENUS BUS VOLTAGE REGULATION SCHEME

The Pioneer Venus power subsystem employs a full shunt regulator connected across the array terminals, together with a discharge regulator. Figure 8.1C-1 shows a simplified block diagram of the system. The central control unit is the heart of the system. It controls the shunt driver and the battery charge and discharge regulators to maintain the bus voltage within the regulation limits of 28 VDC $\pm 1$ percent (excluding long term drift effects). This regulation band is divided into nonoverlapping regions of control as shown.


Figure 8.1C-1. Pioneer Venus Power Subsystem Simplified Block Diagram

The shunt, charger, and discharger may be thought of as transconductance amplifiers where the output current is linearly varied as controlled by an error signal proportional to the bus voltage. Below 28 VDC -1 percent, the discharger is supplying all the current it can (up to its built-in current limit) to the bus. At the lower end of the deadband
between discharge and charge, it is turned off and the array completely supports the bus. The deadband assures that discharge and charge do not occur simultaneously. Once the bus voltage rises to the upper limit of the discharge-to-charge deadband, the charger is enabled and provides current to the battery in proportion to the bus's ability to provide it up to 28 VDC. At this point, the charger is at its current limit of (about 2 A ) and thereafter the internal charge control will control battery current as a function of voltage. If the solar array capability exceeds charging and load requirements, the bus voltage will rise above the charge-to-shunt deadband and the shunt will be enabled. The shunt current capability is sized such that the bus voltage never exceeds 28 VDC +1 percent.

Figure 8.1C-2 shows the case where the array power at 28 volts exceeds load requirements and the battery is fully charged (trickle mode). The shunt current is the difference between the intersection of the constant power load line and the array I-V curve at 28 VDC . The array operating point is shown at the intersection of the 28 -volt vertical line and the I-V curve. As the load changes, the shunt current adjusts to keep the operating point below 28 volts +1 percent.


Figure 8. 1C-2. Array Power Exceeds Load Power
Figure 8.1C-3 shows the end-of-life situation where load power just equals array power capability. Shunt current is zero and battery discharge is not required. At this point, the shunt control method is most efficient since losses are virtually zero. For Pioneer Venus, this theoretical design point will be achieved after 225 days in orbit (orbiter mission).


Figure 8.1C-3. Array Power Equals Load Power
$I_{\text {Shunt }}=0$ (End of Life Operating Point)

Figure 8.1C-4 shows the case where load power exceeds the array capability but the open circuit voltage of the array is greater than 28 volts. The CCU senses that the bus voltage has dropped slightly and enables the discharger to maintain the bus above 28 volts -1 percent. The array operating point is at the intersection of the 28 -volt vertical line and the I-V curve. The discharger supplies the difference in current between array capability and load demand. Shunt current is zero. For this case the battery and array operate in a sharing mode.


Figure 8.1C-4. Array Power Less Than Load Power

Figure 8. 1C-5 shows what happens if the array voltage falls below 28 volts -1 percent. Since there is no intersection of the 28 -volt vertical line and the I-V curve, no power is supplied by the array (blocking diodes back biased). The shunt is disabled and the discharger carries the full load, which, of course, is an abnormal operating mode.


Figure 8. 1C-5. Array Open Circuit Voltage Less Than 28 Volts
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## APPENDIX 8.1D

PIONEER VENUS SHUNT DATA

## 1. SHUNT SIZING ANALYSIS

The Pioneers 10 and 11 shunt regulator simplified block diagram is shown in Figure 8.1D-1. The shunt consists of a 2 of 3 majority voting error amplifier that feeds six shunt driver power transistors. The power transistors are arranged in a $2 \times 3$ array to preclude catastrophic singlepart failures. Figure 8.1D-2 gives the detailed schematic diagram and Figure 8. 1D-3, the simplified schematic diagram.


Figure 8.10-1. Shunt Block Diagram

## ALL CONFIGURATIONS

The maximum shunt current is given by:

$$
\frac{(28-2.5)}{5.88}=4.5 \text { amperes }
$$

and the maximum resistor dissipation is:

$$
(4.5)^{2} \times 5.88=119 \text { watts. }
$$

The transistor dissipation is:

$$
2.5 \times 4.5=11.25 \text { watts. }
$$

Therefore, the total shunt dissipation capability is

$$
119+11.25=130.25 \text { watts. }
$$

2. THOR/DELTA ORBITER SHUNT POWER REQUIREMENTS

Figure 8. 1D-4 shows the array I-V characteristics for degraded ( $7 \times 10^{14} / \mathrm{meV}$ equivalent electrons) and undegraded conditions at Venus. Constant power load lines have been drawn for the 225.7~watt load at periapsis, for undervoltage with battery charging and for undervoltage without battery charging. Table 8.1D-1 summarizes the shunt power requirements for these loads.

The shunt current capability can adequately handle all conditions except for the undegraded array with the undervoltage load (neglecting trickle charging current). If the battery trickle charge current of $0.3 \mathrm{am}-$ pere is considered, then the shunt capability required is 4.5 amperes, which the Pioneers 10 and 11 shunt can handle without modification. It is important to note that an undervoltage condition occurring simultaneously with an undegraded array is highly unlikely since the undegraded array provides excess power above battery and load requirements. Also, the undegraded array maximum power is 21 percent above the degraded array maximum power due to the conservative radiation environment used in array sizing. A less conservative environment would reduce shunt current requirements. The Thor/Delta probe bus power requirements are virtually identical to those of the Atlas/Centaur version of Section 4. The probe bus shunt sizing in Section 4 applies also to the Thor/Delta bus.
8.1D-2



Figure 8. 10-3. Simplifiee Shunt Schematic


Figure 8.10-4. ThoriDelta Orbiter Array Characteristics 106.96 Gigameters ( 0.715 AUl). 150 Parallel $\times 77$ ThoriDelta orbiter Array Characteristics 106.96 Gigameterse
Series Cells $2 \times 2 \mathrm{CM}, 0.015 \mathrm{CM}(0.006$ IN.) Thick $\mu$ Sheet Covers

Table 8.1D-1. Shunt Current as a Function of Operating Mode

| CONDITION (AT VENUS) | 'SHUNT ${ }^{\text {(AMPERES) }}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| UNDEGRADED ARRAY, 225.7-WATI LOAD | 2.0 |
| UNDEGRADED ARRAY, 203.8-WATI IOAD | 2.9 |
| (BATERY CHARGING 2 AMPERES) |  |
| UNDEGRADED ARRAY, 147.8-WATT LOAD | 4.8 (45 AMPREES LOAD WITH |
| 0.3 -AMPERE TRIKLE CHARGE) |  |
| DEGRADED ARRAY, 225.7-WATT LOAD | 0.22 |
| DEGRADED ARRAY, 203.8-WATT LOAD | 1.1 |
| DEGRADED ARRAY, 147.8-WATT LOAD | 3.0 |

## 3. SHUNT POWER GROWTH

The shunt power capability may be increased appreciably by employing various techniques as listed below:

- Supplement shunt resistance or array switching
- Add power transistor strings to the three strings presently used on Pioneers 10 and 11
- Use Vela V shunt string configuration
- Use a Defense Support Program (DSP) or Intelsat III shunt element assembly to drive a shunt radiator.


### 3.1 Supplemental Resistor or Array Switching

The simplest method of increasing shunt capability is to add a commandable resistive load across the array or switch off a portion of the array (see Figure 8.1D-5). The resistor shunts off a portion of the array current and reduces the PCU shunt current requirement. The resistor is commandable through a failmsafe circuit as shown. If K1 or K2 fails in either contact position, the other relay commands the resistor on or off. Thus, single-failure modes are precluded. In practice, the resistor would be commanded on only if the increased shunt current on telemetry indicated that the capability of the shunt would be exceeded. Since the array capability increases slowly as the spacecraft approaches Venus, the timing of the command to switch in the resistor is not critical.


Figure 8.10-5. Shunt Growth Version with Supplemental Resistor

The resistor would be mounted on a flat plate similar to the shunt radiator design. Since the resistor has a wide allowable temperature range of approximately -160 to $+125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, its placement on the spacecraft can minimize the impact on the compartment dissipation and can ease the thermal control problem. The resistor is sized to dissipate the power in excess of shunt capability.

Switching off a portion of the array also would decrease shunt requirements. The advantage is that no resistor would be required since the power is not removed from the array. Hence, the switched array has a simpler thermal interface than the resistive load. A disadvantage is the difficult timing interface with the undervoltage/overload circuit during load turnoff.

### 3.2 Add Power Transistor Strings

The Pioneers 10 and 11 shunt slice package is shown in Figure 8.1D-6. Six power transistors are shown mounted on three external surfaces of the slice. Two additional transistors can be mounted on the remaining surface. Each string has the capability to handle 2.25 amperes of shunt current ( 4.5 amperes with one string failed). The addition of two more transistors to the shunt slice would raise the current capability to 6.75 amperes, corresponding to 185 watts (one string failed). However, the peak shunt slice dissipation would be 60 watts. Increasing the baseplate area of the shunt slice with a doubler plate would provide increased dissipation capability. If the full 6.75-ampere shunt capability is not required, the PCU dissipation can be reduced by employing a higher resistance in the shunt radiator to reduce the current in the shunt transistors at peak dissipation (equal power in transistors and shunt radiator).


Figure 8.1D-6. Pioneer 10 and 11 Shunt Slice Package
8.1D-6

### 3.3 Vela V Shunt String

The preferred shunt regulator configuration to provide higher power dissipation capability is shown in Figure 8.1D-7. Electrically, it is identical to the Pioneer 10 and 11 circuit; however, the supplemental shunt transistor strings are mounted external to the slice in individual housings. In this way, the power in these transistors can be dissipated at some other more thermally convenient location and thus increase power dissipation capability without overheating the PCU or other adjoining equipment. Table 8. 1D-2 summarizes the Vela V shunt string characteristics.


Figure 8. 10-7. Preferred Shunt Regulator Configuration

Table 8. 1D-2. Shunt String Characteristics

|  | DSP | INTELSAT III | VELA V |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUMBER OF STRINGS PER <br> ASSEMBLY | 3 |  |  |
| WEIGHT PER ASSEMBLY |  | 2 | 1 |
| [KG (LB)] | $1.77(3.9)$ | $0.68(1.5)$ | $0.36(0.8)$ |
| TYPE OF HEAT REJECTION | RADIATION | CONDUCTION | CONDUCTION |
| DRIVERS INCLUDED <br> (NOT REQUIRED) | YES | YES | NO |
| POWER DISSIPATION/ <br> STRING (TBP <br> (WAITS) |  |  |  |

### 3.4 DSP or Intelsat III Shunt Element Assembly

Candidate shunt element assemblies (SEA's) which are available and could be used are the DSP SEA and the Intelsat III SEA. Their characteristics are compared in Table 8.1D-2. These assemblies would be substituted for the Pioneer 10 and 11 power transistors mounted on the sides of the shunt slice housing. Then, the driver stage would be driving the external shunt transistors as shown in Figure 8.1D-8.


Figure 8. 10-8. DSP or Intelsat III Sea with Pioneer 10 and 11 Shunt Driver

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

For comparison, two cases are considered in Table 8. 1D-3 based on the following assumptions:

| Maximum dissipation required | 200 watts (power transistors <br> plus external radiator) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Redundancy | $2 / 3$ |

Based on this comparison, the Vela $V$ option appears to be the most attractive. However, the convenience of heat rejection would most likely be the determining factor.

All these designs (Pioneer, Vela V, DSP, and Intelsat III) use the same basic shunt transistor string. A convenient relationship between baseplate temperature and power dissipated in the string is

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BP}}=125-1.5 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}
$$

8.1D-8

## ALL CONFIGURATIONS

where
$P_{D}=$ string power in watts (neglecting lower transistor)
$T_{B P}=$ baseplate temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
From this, a tradeoff can be made between the number of strings, power per string, and baseplate temperature. However, $P_{D}$ should be limited to 50 watts, maximum.

Table 8. 1D-3. Shunt String Comparis on

|  | DSP | VELA V |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUMBER OF ASSEMBLIES REQUIRED | 1 | 3 |
| WEIGHT [KG (LB)] | 1.54 (3.4)* | 1.09 (2.4) |
| DEVELOPMENT COST (\$K) | SOME | NONE |
| MANUFACTURING COST PER SPACECRAFT (\$K) | 7 | 6 |
| MAXIMUM POWER PER STRING (ONE FAILED OPEN) (WATTS) | 25 | 25 |

* ASSUMES 0.23 KG (0.5 LB) DECREASE FOR DELETION OF DRIVER STAGES

5. PREFERRED ATLAS/CENTAUR PROBE BUS SHUNT SIZING

The probe bus degraded and undegraded array I-V characteristics are shown in Figure 8.1D-9. Superimposed are constant power load


Figure 8. 1D-9. Preferred Atlas/Centaur Probe Bus Solar Array Characteristics 106. 96 Gigameters ( $0.715 \mathrm{AU}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{P}}=54 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{S}}=88,0.39$ RAD (22.5 DEG) Cone, Version IV Science
8. 1D-9

A/C IV lines for 88 . and 52 -watt loads. The worst case shunt current is 2.8 amperes. The Pioneers 10 and 11 shunt driver slice in the PCU can be used as is. The shunt radiator resistance is increased to 9.1 ohms to decrease the peak shunt driver slice dissipation to 24 watts, as shown in Figure 8. 1D-10 (on Pioneers 10 and 11 the peak shunt slice dissipation was 40 watts).


Figure 8.10-10. Version IV Science Atlas/Centaur Probe Bus Shunt Dissipation Uses Pioneer 10 and 11 Shunt Driver As-1s; Change Shunt Radiator Resistance to 9.18

## 6. PREFERRED ATLAS/CENTAUR ORBITER SHUNT SIZING <br> AC IV

Figure 8. 1D-11 shows the degraded and undegraded solar array I-V current-voltage characteristics at Venus. The load lines show that the difference between the full load ( 182 watts) and undervoltage load is quite large. When the undegraded array characteristic is used, the maximum shunt current is 7.2 amperes. This exceeds the Pioneers 10 and 11 shunt driver capability.

In Section 3.3 it was shown that the Vela $V$ type shunt string can be added to the present Pioneers 10 and 11 power transistor strings to increase the shunt current capability. Figure 8.1D-12 shows the design for the orbiter shunt. Two Vela $V$ supplemental shunt strings provide the required shunt capability. Note that the $P C U$ shunt driver power dissipation is only 46.5 watts ( 6.5 watts higher than Pioneers 10 and 11). The supplemental shunt string dissipation is 15.5 watts with one of five strings failed. The shunt radiator resistance is reduced to 3.5 ohms to handle the higher shunt current.


Figure 8.10-11. Preferred Atlas/Centaur Orbiter Solar Array Characteristics 106.96 Gigameters (0. 715 AU ), $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{P}}=108 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{S}}=88,0.39$ RAD ( 22.5 DEG ) Cone, version IV Science



Figure 8. 10-12 Version IV Science Atlas/Centaur Orbiter Shunt Dissipation (Three Transistor Strings Inside PCU Plus Two Supplemental Vela Shunt Strings on Platform!
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## APPENDIX 8. 1E

## SOLAR ARRAY DETAILED DESIGN INFORMATION

This appendix contains raw data used as inputs to the solar array computer program ( $A M-142$ ), including sun angle as a function of time, temperature versus astronomical units (AU)* and sun angle, albedo heat inputs, and temperature as a function of time near periapsis. The output data provides array I-V characteristics as a function of sun angle and AU* for degraded and undegraded cases. Included is the Atlas/Centaur preferred version with the Version IV science complement and Thor/ Delta versions with pre-Version IV science. The array data presented compares cylinders and cones.

## 1. CONICAL ARRAY PROJECTED AREA ALLCONFIGURATIONS

Figure 8.1E-1 shows the ratio of the projected area (effective) to the total array area (actual) as a function of sun angle for cones of various half angles and a cylinder. The curves show that the conical array projected area is nearly constant as a function of sun angle for half cone angles near 0.35 radian ( 20 degrees).


Figure 8. 1E-1. Areal Efficiency of Conical Solar Arrays on Spinning Spacecraft

[^0]
## 2. EARTH POINTING 1978 MISSIONS

### 2.1 Sun Angle History

Figure 8.1E-2 shows the probe bus sun angle variation as a function of mission time. The sun angle changes from 0.35 radian ( 20 degrees) at launch to approximately 1.08 radians ( 62 degrees) at bus entry into the Venus atmosphere. Calculations of array power as a function of time include this sun angle variation.


Figure 8. 1E-2. Probe Bus Sun Angle as a Function of Mission Time

The earth-pointing orbiter sun angle is shown in Figure 8.1E-3. The sun angle ranges from 0.09 to 1.57 radians ( 5 to 90 degrees) over the 425-day nominal mission. "Flipping" the spacecraft precludes sun angles of greater than 1.57 radians ( 90 degrees).

### 2.2 Array Temperature

The Atlas/Centaur probe bus solar array temperature as a function of $A U$ and sun angle is shown in Figure 8.1E-4. The array temperature at 134.64 gigameters ( 0.9 AU ) considers the effect of the probes while the temperature at 106.96 gigameters ( 0.715 AU ) is calculated for after probe release. Array temperature for the orbiter is shown in Figure 8.1E-5.


Figure 8. 1E-3. Pioneer Venus Ortiter Mission Spacecraft Sun Aspect Profile


Figure 8. 1E-4. Probe Bus Solar Array Temperature, Version IV Science Atlas/Centaur

ARRAY POWER OUTPUT 99 WATTS AT 160.07 GIGAMETERS (1.07 AU)


CONE ANGLE $\div 0.39$ RAD (22.5 DEG)
ARRAY HEIGHT - 63.5 CM ( 25 INCHES)


Figure 8. 1E-5. Orbiter Solar Array Temperature Version IV Science Atlas/Centaur

### 2.3 Array Characteristics

Tables 8.1E-1 and 8.1E-2 contain probe bus and orbiter array I-V characteristic data for various sun angles and solar distances (undegraded

$$
\text { 8. } 1 E-3
$$

Table 8.1E-1. Atlas/Centaur Probe Bus Version IV Science Array Data

| SUN ANGLE [RAD (DEG)] | TEMPERATURE ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | POWER AT 28 VOLTS <br> (W) | MAXIMUM POWER (W) | VOLTAGEAT MAXIMUM POWER (V) | OPEN CIRCUIT VOLTAGE (V) | SHORT CIRCUIT CURRENT <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.39 RADIAN ( 22.5 -DEGREE) CONE, 106.96 GIGAMETERS ( 0.715 AU), 54 PARALLEL BY 88 SERIES DEGRADED (3.5E14-1 MEV) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 (0) | 79 | 104 | 105 | 27 | 35 | 4.53 |
| 0.26 (15) | 76 | 103 | 103 | 28 | 37 | 4.38 |
| 0.52 (30) | 71 | 107 | 107 | 30 | 39 | 4.30 |
| 0.79 (45) | 72 | 111 | 113 | 30 | 40 | 4.45 |
| 1.05 (60) | 70 | 111 | 113 | 30 | 40 | 4.40 . |
| 1.31 (75) | 60 | 107 | 113 | 32 | 42 | 4.10 |
| 1.57 (90) | 48 | 95.5 | 106 | 34 | 44 | 3.56 |
| 1.83 (105) | 2 | 77.0 | 96.5 | 39 | 49 | 2.79 |
| 0.39 RADIAN ( 22.5 -DEGREE) CONE, 106.96 GIGAMETERS ( 0.715 AU ), 54 PARALLEL BY 88 SERIES, UNDEGRADED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 (0) | 79 | 127 | 129 | 30 | 38 | 4.97 |
| 0.26 (15) | 76 | 124 | 127 | 30 | 40 | 4.81 |
| 0.52 (30) | 71 | 125 | 133 | 32 | 42 | 4.73 |
| 0.79 (45) | 72 | 129 | 139 | 33 | 42 | 4.88 |
| 1.05 (60) | 70 | 129 | 140 | 33 | 43 | 4.82 |
| 1.31 (75) | 60 | 122 | 139 | 35 | 45 | 4.50 |
| 1.57 (90) | 48 | 107 | 131 | 38 | 47 | 3.90 |
| 1.83 (105) | 2 | 85 | 119 | 43 | 52 | 3.06 |
| 0.39 RADIAN ( 22.5 -DEGREE) CONE, 149.60 GIGAMETEKS ( 1.0 AU), 54 PARALLEL BY 88 SERIES, UNDEGRADED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.38 (22) | 16 | 64.6 | 86 | 41 | 51 | 2.33 |
| 0.39 RADIAN ( 22.5 -DEGREE) CONE, 149.60 GIGAMETERS ( 1.0 AU ), <br> 54 PARALLEL BY BB SERIES, DEGRADED (1.7E14-IMEV) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.3 B (22) | 16 | 60.4 | 74.7 | 38 | 49 | 2.21 |

Table 8. 1E-2. Atlas/Centaur Orbiter/Version IV Science Array Data

| SUN ANGLE [RAD (DEG)] | TEMPERATURE ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | POWER AT 28 VOLTS <br> (W) | MAXIMUM POWER (W) | VOLTAGE AT MAXIMUM POWER (V) | OPEN CIRCUIT VOLTAGE (V) | SHORT CIRCUIT CURRENT <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.39 RADIAN (22:5-DEGREE) CONE, 106.96 GIGAMETERS ( 0.715 AU), 108 PARALLEL BY 88 SERIES, 182 WATTS, DEGRADED (7E14-1 MEV) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 (0) | 79 | 194.4 | 196 | 27 | 35 | 8.70 |
| 0.26 (15) | 76 | 193 | 193 | 28 | 37 | 8.41 |
| 0.52 (30) | 71 | 201 | 202 | 29 | 39 | 8.26 |
| 0.79 (45) | 72 | 211 | 211 | 29 | 39 | 8.54 |
| 1.05 (60) | 69 | 211 | 214 | 30 | 40 | 8.43 |
| 1.31 (75) | 62 | 202 | 210 | 31 | 41 | 7.87 |
| 1.57 (90) | 48 | 182 | 198 | 34 | 43 | 6.83 |
| 1.83 (105) | 2 | 147 | 181 | 38 | 48 | 5.36 |
| 0.39 RADIAN (22.5-DEGREE) CONE, 106.96 GIGAMETERS (0.715 AU), 108 PARALLEL BY B8 SERIES, 182 WATTS, UNDEGRADED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 253 | 257 | 30 | 38 | 9.91 |
| 0.26 (15) | 76 | 247 | 253 | 30 | 40 | 9.58 |
| 0.52 (30) | 71 | 249 | 265 | 32 | 42 | 9.42 |
| 0.79 (45) | 72 | 258 | 277 | 33 | 42 | 9.73 |
| 1.05 (60) | 69 | 257 | 280 | 34 | 43 | 9.61 |
| 1.31 (75) | 62 | 243 | 275 | 35 | 44 | 8.79 |
| 1.57 (90) | 48 | 214 | 260 | 38 | 47 | 7.79 |
| 1.83 (105) | 2 | 170 | 237 | 43 | 52 | 6.10 |
| 0.39 RADIAN (22.5-DEGREE) CONE, 160.07 GIGAMETERS (1.07 AU), 108 PARALLEL BY 88 SERIES, 182 WATTS, UNDEGRADED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.17 (67) | 4 | 111 | 159 | 44 | 53 | 3.99 |
| 0.48 RADIAN (27.5-DEGREE) CONE, 160.07 GIGAMETERS (1.07 AU), 108 PARALLEL BY 88 SERIES, 182 WATTS, DEGRADED (3.5E14-1 MEV) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.17 (67) | 4 | 100 | 130 | 40 | 50 | 3.64 |

and degraded). Cell performance is based upon data from JPL technical memorandum 33-473, "Measured Performance of Silicon Solar Cell Assemblies Designed for use at High Solar Intensities," 15 March 1971. The raw data was smoothed for input to the AM-142 computer program. 2.4 Orbiter Earth Pointer Sunlit Periapsis Pass $\|>A C I V$

The thermal data for the sunlit periapsis pass is shown in Figures $8.1 E-6$ and $8.1 E-7$. The sun angle of 0.59 radian ( 34 degrees) is taken from Figure 8.1E-3 (165 days after orbital insertion). Table 8.1E-3 contains the computer sunlit periapsis pass array characteristics.


Figure 8. 1E-6. Venus Input During Ortit, Atlas/Centaur Earth-Pointer, $\theta=0.59 \mathrm{rad}$ (34 deg)

## 3. PRE-VERSION IV SCIENCE

## ALL VERSION III SCIENCE PAYLOAD

### 3.1 Sun Angle History $\stackrel{31 w}{(31 w}$

The Option 2 fanbeam/fanscan orbiter spin axis orientation is normally perpendicular to the sun line [ 0.05 radian ( $\pm 3$ degrees)] except during periapsis maintenance, $\Delta \mathrm{V}$, and orbit insertion maneuvers.

### 3.2 Array Temperature 131 w $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C}$ III $\stackrel{\text { Sr }}{\square} \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{D}$ III

Solar array temperature for conical and cylindrical arrays is shown in Figure 8.1E-8 as a function of sun angle and solar distance. The data is for a 1.02 -meter ( 40 -inch) high array.


Figure 8. 1E-7. Solar Array Temperature Near Periapsis, Atlas/Centaur Earth-Polnter, * 0.59 RAD (34 DEG)

Table 8. 1E-3. Preferred Atlas/Centaur Orbiter (Earth Pointer) Sunlit Periapsis Pass, $\theta=0.59$ rad ( 34 deg ) 7E14-1 MeV Electrons (Albedo Contribution to Array Power Output Not Included)

| TIME FROM PERIAPSIS (MIN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ARRAY } \\ & \text { TEMPERATURE } \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | POWER AT 28 VOLTS (W) | POWER AT MAXIMUM POWER (W) | VOLTAGE AT MAXIMUM POWER (V) | OPEN CIRCUIT VOLTAGE <br> (V) | SHORT CIRCUIT CURRENT (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -30 | -12 | 215 | 306 | 44 | 54 | 7.74 |
| -24 | 49 | 218 | 234 | 33 | 43 | 8.23 |
| -18 | 69 | 206 | 208 | 30 | 39 | 8.34 |
| -12 | 75 | 200 | 200 | 28 | 38 | 8.37 |
| -6 | 81 | 192 | 192 | 27 | 37 | 8.40 |
| $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{0}{(\text { PERIAPSIS) }}) \end{gathered}$ | 94 | 163 | 174 | 25 | 34 | 8.46 |
| $+6$ | 108 | 112 | 155 | 23 | 32 | 8.51 |
| +12 | 104 | 129 | 160 | 23 | 33 | 8.5 |
| +18 . | 96 | 157 | 171 | 25 | 34 | 8.47 |
| +24 | 89 | 176 | 181 | 26 | 35 | 8.44 |
| +30 | 84 | 187 | 188 | 27 | 36 | 8.42 |
| +36 | 82 | 190 | 191 | 27 | 37 | 8.41 |
| +60 | 76 | 199 | 199 | 28 | 38 | 8.38 |
| +108 | 74 | 201 | 202 | 29 | 38 | 8.37 |

array output: 91 Watts at (1.07 aU) 91 WATTS AT ( 0.901 159 WATTS AT (0.715)
ARRAY HEIGHT $=1.02 \mathrm{M}$ ( 40 IN .10 .33 RAD ( 19 DEG CONE)


Figure 8. 1E-8. Solar Array Temperature

## 3. 3 Array Characteristics

Table 8. 1E-4 contains array I-V current-voltage data as a function of sun angle and solar distance for the fanbeam/fanscan, Thor/Delta and Atlas/Centaur versions. Data are shown for 0.33-radian (19-degree) conical and cylindrical arrays. The Atlas/Centaur 276-watt conical array data is for 180 parallel by 77 series cells. Figure 8 . 1E-9 shows that this configuration falls slightly below the 276 -watt requirement at 1.66 radians ( 95 degrees). If 186 parallel by 77 series cells are used, then the 276 watt requirement is exceeded. Hence, the data for the Atlas/Centaur 276-watt configuration in Table 8 . 1E-4 should be scaled up by the ratio 186/180.

### 3.4 Sunlit Periapsis Pass Data

The solar array temperature for the hot periapsis pass is shown in Figure 8.1E-10 for conical and cylindrical arrays. Table 8.1E-5 contains array I-V characteristic data as a function of time from periapsis.

Table 8. 1E-4. Orbiter Solar Array Characteristics,

| SUN ANGLE <br> [RAD (DEG)] | TEMPERATURE ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | POWER AT 28 VOLTS (W) | MAXIMUM POWER (W) | VOLTAGE AT MAXIMUM POWER (V) | OPEN CIRCUIT VOLTAGE <br> (V) | SHORT CIRCUIT CURRENT <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| THOR/DELTA 0.33 RADIAN (19-DEGREE) CONE 160.07 GIGAMETERS (1.07 AU), 150 PARALLEL BY 77 SERIES, 225.7 WATTS, UNDEGRADED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 (0) | 4 | 131.0 | 154.0 | 36 | 43 | 4.78 |
| 0.26 (15) | 2 | 127.6 | 149.4 | 36 | 45 | 4.65 |
| 0.54 (30) | 4 | 136.3 | 165.5 | 37 | 46 | 4.94 5.34 |
| 0.79 (45) | 6 | 147.6 | 180.5 | 37 | 46 | 5.34 5.46 |
| 1.05 (60) | 7 | 150.8 | 185.4 | 38 | 46 | 5.25 |
| $1.31(75)$ | 4 -4 | 145.2 129.7 | 181.1 | 39 | 48 | 4.67 |
| $1.59(90)$ 1.83 (105) | -4 -22 | 105.5 | 143.3 | 42 | 50 | 3.79 |
| THOR/DELTA 0.33 RADIAN (19-DEGREE) CONE 160.07 GIGAMETERS ( 1.07 AU), 150 PARALLEL BY 77 SERIES, 225.7 WATTS, DEGRADED (5.8E 13-1 MEV) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 126.8 | 144.3 | 35 | 42 | 4.64 |
| 0.26 (15) | 2 | 123.5 | 140.5 | 35 | 44 | 4.53 |
| 0.54 (30) | 4 | 132.1 | 155.5 | 36 | 45 | 4.82 |
| 0.79 (45) | 6 | 143.2 | 169.6 | 36 | 45 | 5.21 |
| 1.05 (60) | 7 | 146.4 | 174.0 | 36 | 45 | 5.33 |
| 1.31 (75) | 4 | 141.0 | 170.0 | 37 | 45. | 5.11 4.55 |
| 1.57 (90) | -4 | 126.1 | 156.9 | 48 | 49 | 3.69 |
| 1.83 (105) | -22 | 102.6 | 134.8 | 40 | 49 | 3.69 |
| ATLAS/CENTAUR 0.33 RADIAN (19-DEGREE) CONE 160.07 GIGAMETERS (1.07 AU), 180 PARALLEL BY 77 SERIES, 276 WATTS, DEGRADED (5.8E 13-1 MEV) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 (0) | 4 | 152.2 | 173.2 | 35 | 42 | 5.57 |
| 0.26 (15) | 2 | 148.3 | 168.7 | 35 | 44 | 5.44 |
| 0.54 (30) | 4 | 158.5 | 186.6 | 36 | 45 | 5.79 |
| 0.79 (45) | 6 | 171.9 | 203.5 | 36 | 45 | 6.25 |
| 1.05 (60) | 7 | 175.6 | 208.9 | 36 | 45 | 6.38 |
| 1.31 (75) | 4 | 169.2 | 204.0 | 37 | 45 | 6.14 5.47 |
| 1.57 (90) | -4 | 151.3 | 188.3 161.8 | 38 40 | 49 | 4.43 |
| 1.83 (105) | -22 | 123.1 | 161.8 | 40 | 49 |  |
| IHOR/DELTA 0.33 RADIAN (19-DEGREE) CONE 106.96 GIGAMETERS ( 0.715 AU), 150 PARALLEL BY 77 SERIES, 225.7 WATTS, UNDEGRADED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 (0) | 67 | 265 | 265 | 28 | 35 | 11.0 |
| 0.26 (15) | 64 | 265 | 265 | 28 | 37 | 10.8 |
| 0.54 (30) | 64 | 294 | 297 | 29 | 37 | 11.5 |
| 0.79 (45) | 69 | 313 | 314 | 29 | 37 | 12.5 |
| 1.05 (60) | 71 | 318 | 318 | 29 | 37 | 12.7 |
| 1.31 (75) | 67 | 311 | 314 | 30 | 38 | 12.2 |
| 1.57 (90) | 57 | 291 | 303 | 31 | 39 | 11.0 |
| 1.83 (105) | 40 | 247 | 274 | 34 | 42 | 9.07 |
| THOR/DELTA 0.33 RADIAN (19-DEGREE) CONE 106.96 GIGAMEIERS ( 0.715 AU), 150 PARALLEL BY 77 SERIES, 225.7 WATTS, DEGRADED (7E 14-1 MEV) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 (0) | 67 | 177 | 201 | 25 | 31 | 9.66 |
| 0.26 (15) | 64 | 188 | 203 | 25 | 34 | 9.51 |
| 0.54 (30) | 64 | 220 | 226 | 26 | 34 | 10.1 |
| 0.79 (45) | 69 | 229 | 239 | 26 | 34 | 10.8 |
| 1.05 (60) | 71 | 231 | 242 | 26 | 34 | 11.1 |
| 1.31 (75) | 67 | 234 | 239 | 26 | 35 | 10.1 |
| 1.57 (90) | 57 | 231 | 231 | 28 | 36 | 9.66 |
| 1.83 (105) | 40 | 205 | 209 | 30 | 39 | 7.16 |
| ATLAS/CENTAUR 0.33 RADIAN (19-DEGREE) CONE 106.96 GIGAMETERS ( 0.715 AU), 180 PARALLEL BY 77 SERIES, 276 WATTS, DEGRADED (7E 14-1 MEV) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 (0) | 67 | 212 | 242 | 25 | 31 | 11.5 |
| 0.26 (15) | 64 | 226 | 244 | 25 | 34 | 11.4 |
| 0.54 (30) | 64 | 264 | 271 | 26 | 34 | 12.1 |
| 0.79 (45) | 69 | 276 | 287 | 26 | 34 | 13.1 |
| 1.05 (60) | 71 | 278 | 296 | 26 | 35 | 13.4 |
| 1.31 (75) | 67 | 281 | 287 | 26 | 35 | 12.9 |
| 1.57 (90) | 57 | 277 | 277 | 28 | 36 | 15.9 |
| 1.83 (105) | 40 | 246 | 250 | 30 | 39 | 9.55 |

Table 8. 1E-4. Orbiter Solar Array Characteristics, Conical Array (Continued)

| SUN ANGLE <br> [RAD (DEG)] | TEMPERATURE $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | POWER AT 28 VOLTS <br> (W) | MAXIMUM POWER (W) | VOLTAGE AT MAXIMUM POWER (V) | OPEN CIRCUIT VOLTAGE (V) | SHORT CIRCUIT CURRENT <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| THOR/DELTA CYLINDER, 160.07 GIGAMETERS (1.07 AU), 138 PARALLEL BY 77 SERIES, 227 WATTS, DEGRADED $(5,8 E 13-1 \mathrm{MEV})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 (0) | -107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 0 |  |
| 0.26 (15) | -67 | 23.4 | 31.1 | 42 | 53 | 0.85 |
| 0.54 (30) | -39 | 55.1 | 73.6 | 41 | 51 | 1.98 |
| 0.79 (45) | -22 | 83.9 | 109.2 | 40 | 49 | 3.02 |
| 1.05 (60) | -11 | 105.3 | 133.7 | 39 | 47 | 3.8 |
| 1.31 (75) | -7 | 118.2 | 149.0 | 39 | 47 | 4.27 |
| 1.58 (90) | -7 | 122.0 | 154.4 | 38 | 47 | 4.42 |
| 1.83 (105) | -7 | 118.2 | 149.0 | 39 | 47 | 4.27 |
| THOR/DELTA CYLINDER, 160.07 GIGAMETERS (1.07 AU), 138 PARALLEL BY 77 SERIES, 227 WATTS, UNDEGRADED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 (0) | -107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0.26 (15) | -67 | 24.1 | 33.1 | 44 | 54 | 0.87 |
| 0.54 (30) | -39 | 56.6 | 78.2 | 42 | 52 | 2.03 |
| 0.79 (45) | -22 | 86.2 | 116.1 | 41 | 50 | 3.10 |
| 1.05 (60) | -11 | 108.0 | 142.2 | 40 | 48 | 3.89 |
| 1.31 (75) | -7 | 121.6 | 158.7 | - 40 | 48 | 4.37 |
| 1.57 (90) | -7 | 125.9 | 164.8 | 40 | 48 | 4.53 |
| 1.83 (105) | -7 | 121.0 | 158.7 | 40 | 48 | 4.37 |
| ATLAS/CENTAUR CYLINDER, 160.07 GIGAMETERS (1.07 AU), 168 PARALLEL BY 77 SERIES, 276 WATTS, DEGRADED (5.8E 13-1 MEV) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 (0) | -107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.26 (15) | -67 | 28.5 | 37.9 | 42.0 | - 53 | 1.03 |
| 0.52 (30) | -39 | 67.1 | 89.6 | - 41.0 | 51 | 2.41 |
| 0.79 (45) | -22 | 102.0 | 133.0 | 40.0 | 49 | 3.68 |
| 1.05 (60) | -11 | 128.2 | 162.7 | 39.0 | 47 | 4.62 |
| 1.31 (75) | -7 | 143.9 | 181.4 | 39.0 | 47 | 5.19 |
| 1.57 (90) | -7 | 149.0 | 188.4 | 39.0 | 47 | 5.38 |
| 1.83 (105) | -7 | 143.9 | 181.4 | 39.0 | 47 | 5.19 |
| ATLAS/CENTAUR CYLINDER, 106.96 GIGAMETERS ( 0.715 AU), 168 PARALLEL BY 77 SERIES, 276 WATTS, DEGRADED (7E 14-1 MEV) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.26 (15) | -19 | . 62.5 | 71.9 | 35 | 45 | 2.29 |
| 0.52 (30) | 15 | 140 | 150. | 33 | 41 | 5.23 |
| 0.79 (45) | 35 | 206 | 212 | 31 | 39. | 7.9 |
| 1.05 (60) | 48 | 247 | 248 | 29 | 37. | 9.86 |
| 1.31 (75) | 54 | 269 | 269 | 28 | 37 | 11.0 |
| 1.57 (90) | 54 | 278 | 278 | 28 | 37 | 11.4 |
| 1.83 (105) | 54 | 269 | 269 | 28 | 37 | 11.0. |
| THOR/DELTA CYLINDER, 106.96 GIGAMETERS ( 0.715 AU), 138 PARALLEL BY 77 SERIES, 227 WATTS, DEGRADED (7E 14-1 MEV) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 (0) | -70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.26 (15) | -19 | 51 | 59 | 35 | 45 | 1.88 |
| 0.52 (30) | 15 | 115 | 123 | 33 | 41 | 4.30 |
| 0.79 (45) | 35 | 169 | 174 | 31 | - 39 | 6.49 |
| 1.05 (60) | 48 | 203 | 204 | 29 | 37 | 8.10 |
| 1.31 (75) | 54 | 221 | 221 | 28 | 37 | 9.06 |
| 1.57 (90) | 54 | 228 | 228 | 28 | 39 | 9.36 |
| 1.83 (105) | 54 | 221 | 221 | 28 | 37 | 9.06 |
| THOR/DELTA CYLINDER, 106.96 GIGAMETERS ( 0.715 AU), 138 PARALLEL BY 77 SERIES, 227 WATTS, UNDEGRADED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 (0) | -70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.26 (15) | -19 | 60 | 77 | 40 | -48 | 2.15 |
| 0.52 (30) | 15 | 135 | 161 | 36 | 45 | 4.9 |
| 0.79 (45) | 35 | 202 | 228 | 34 | 42 | 7.39 |
| 1.05 (60) | 48 | 249 | 267 | 33 | 41 | 9.23 |
| 1.31 (75) | 54 | 275 | 290 | 32 | 40 | 10.3 |
| 1.57 (90) | 54 | 284 | 300 | 31 | 40 | 10.6 |
| 1.83 (105) | 54 | 275 | 290 | 32 | 40 | 10.3 |



Figure 8. 1E-9. Orbiter Array Power Versus Sun Angle 276. 6 Watt Atlas/Centaur


Figure 8. 1E-10. Solar Array Temperature History Near Periapsis

## 4. CONE ANGLE SELECTION

Figure 8.1E-11 shows array power output as a function of cone angle for the spin-axis-perpendicular and earth-pointing configurations. At the study midterm briefing, a 0.33-radian (19-degree) cone angle was selected as the best compromise for the orbiter (spin-axis-perpendicular)

Table 8. 1-5. Thor/Delta Orbiter (225.7 Watts), Hot Periapsis Pass Data, Sun Angle $=1.57 \mathrm{rad}(90 \mathrm{deg})$

| TIME FROM PERIAPSIS (MIN) | ARRAY temperature (ㅇ) | POWER AT 28 VOLTS (W) | POWER AT MAXIMUM POWER (W) | VOLTAGE AT MAXIMUM POWER (v) | OPEN CIRCUIT VOLTAGE <br> (V) | SHORT CIRCUIT CURRENT <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.33 RADIAN (19-DEGREE) CONE, 150 PARALLEL BY 77 SERIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -12 | 59. | 227.4 | 227.6 | 27 | 36 | 9.67 |
| -8 | 64 | 217.9 | 220.4 | 27 | 35 | 10.13 |
| -4 | 74 | 191.4 | 218.7 | 24 | 32 | 10.85 |
| 0 | 91 | 153.2 | 281.4 | 22 | 31. | 15.43 . |
| 4 | 105 | 25.7 | 276.8 | 20 | 28 | $16: 9$ |
| 8 | 108 | 9.54 | 252.7 | 19 | 29 | 15.9 |
| 12 | 98 | 70.6 | 239.5 | 21 | 30 | 14.1 : |
| 20 | 85 | 148.7 | 222.4 | 23 | 32 | 11.9 |
| 30 | 71 | 202.9 | 232.2 | 25 | 34 | 10.8 |
| 40 | 65 | 215.0 | 220.0 | 26 | 35 | 10.3 |
| 80 | 60 | 225.7 | 226.3 | 27 | 36 | 9.67 |
| CYLINDER, 138 PARALLEL BY 77 SERIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -12 | 55 | 227.2 | 227.2 | 28 | 37 | 9.36 |
| -8 | 60 | 220.0 | 220.6 | 27 | 36 | 9.91 |
| -4 | 71 | 202.3 | 222.3 | 25 | 34 | 10.72 |
| 0 | 89 | 186.7 | 291.7 | 23 | 31 | 15.62 |
| 4 | 102 | 66.4 | 286.2 | 21 | 29 | 16.89 |
| 8 | 104 | 38.6 | 270.7 | 20 | 29 | 16.29 |
| 12 | 103 | 41.9 | 235.2 | 20 | 29 | 14.32 |
| 20 | 82 | 170.9 | 230.0 | 23 | 32 | 11.96 |
| 30 | 69 | 209.0 | 225.6 | 25. | 34 | 10.72 |
| 40 80 | 63 62 | 214.5 216.4 | 218.7 217.5 | 26 | 35 36 | 10.04 9.39 |



Figure 8. 1E-11. Solar Array Cone Angle Trade
and probe bus (earth-pointing) missions. The preferred Atlas/Centaur spacecraft configuration is two earth pointers. The right-hand graph in Figure 8 . 1E-11 shows that a cone angle of 0.39 radian ( 22.5 degrees) provides nearly equal power at 0 and 1.57 radians ( 0 and 90 degrees). Therefore, 0.39 radian ( 22.5 degrees) was selected as the cone angle for the orbiter/probe bus earth-pointing missions. (The power levels shown in the figure are for the design as it existed during the mid-term presentation at NASA Ames).

## APPENDIX 8. 1F

## ELECTRICAL POWER REQUIREMENTS

## APPENDIX 8.1F

## ELECTRICAL POWER REQUIREMENTS

The electrical power requirements for the various probe bus and orbiter configurations considered during the study are listed in the following tables. Estimated power consumption at the unit equipment level for several critical phases of the mission is also given. The following configurations are included.
Table Configuration
8. 1F-1 Probe Bus With Version IV Science (Preferred Atlas/Centaur Configuration)
8. 1F-2 Orbiter With Version IV Science (Preferred Atlas/Centaur Configuration)
8. 1F-3 Probe Bus With Version III Science (Preferred Thor/Delta Configuration)
8. 1F-4 Orbiter With Version III Science, 31-Watt Transmitter, and Fanbeam/Fanscan Antennas (Preferred Thor/Deltá Configuration)
8. 1F-5 Probe Bus With Version III Science (Atlas/Centaur)
8. 1F-6 Orbiter With Version III Science, 12-Watt Transmitter, and Fanbeam/Fanscan Antennas (Thor/Delta)
8. 1F-7 Orbiter With Version III Science and Despun Reflector Antenna (Thor/Delta)
8. 1F-8 Orbiter With Version III Science, 31-Watt Transmitter, and Fanbeam/Fanscan Antennas (Atlas/Centaur)
8. 1F-9 Orbiter With Version III Science, 12-Watt Transmitter, and Fanbeam/Fanscan Antennas (Atlas/Centaur)
8. 1F-10 Orbiter With Version IV Science, and Despun Reflector Antenna (Atlas/Centaur)

Table 8. 1F-1. Probe Bus With Version IV Science (Preferred Atlas/Centaur Configuration)


Table 8. 1F-1. Probe Bus With Version IV Science (Preferred Atlas/Centaur Configuration)(Continued)


1. LAUNCH, 6H,NO SCI, NO HTR
2. TRANSIT. 6 , CRUISE SCI ON, NO HTR
3. TRANSIT, 6W, CRUISE SCI,HTR ON
4. TRANSIT,6H,CRUISE SCI,HTR
5. ENCOUNTER,6W, ALL SCI ON
6. UNDERVOLTAGE - NON-ESSENTIAL LOADS OFF TAPE $2=V B J X P P, T A P E 4=C O N V G A A$; VENBJI

Table 8. 1F-2. Orbiter With Version IV Science (Preferred Atlas/Centaur Configuration)


Table 8.1F-2. Orbiter With Version IV Science (Preferred Atlas/Centaur Configuration)(Continued)

|  | COMMAND DISTR UNIT <br> CONVERTER LOSSES (60 PCT) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 5.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 5.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 5.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 5.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 5.1 \end{aligned}$ | 2.1 8.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 5.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 5.1 \end{aligned}$ | 2.1 5.1 | 2.1 5.1 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SUbtotal | 11.2 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 14.2 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| thermal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | subtotal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | $6.0{ }^{\circ}$ | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}\text { SPACECRAFT SUBTOTAL } & 50.0 & 54.8 & 60.8 & 60.8 & 60.8 & 170.9 & 119.9 & 115.4 & 115.4 & 50.0 & 0.0 & 0.0\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |
|  | CABLE LOSSES (SPACECRAFT) EATTERY CHARGING | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.3 \\ 25.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 寝 } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | SPACECRAFT SUBTOTAL 2 | 51.0 | 55.9 | 62.0 | 62.0 | 62.0 | 174.4 | 122.3 | 117.7 | 142.7 | 51.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CONTINGENCY (10 PCT) | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 8.3 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -_ TOTAL PRIMARY EUS LOAD |  | 56.1 | 61.0 | 67.8 | 67.8 | 67.8 | 181.0 | 128.6 | 123.6 | 151.1 | 56.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 1. LAUNCH,6w,NO SCI,NO HTR <br> 2. TRANSIT, OW,CRUISE SCI ON,NO hTR <br> 3. TRANSIT,6W,CRUISE SCI,HTR ON <br> 4. TRANSIT, $6 \mathrm{~W}, \mathrm{CRUISE}$ SCI, HTR <br> 5. TRANSIT,6W,CRUISE SCI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. SHORT ECLIPSE, GW, ALL SCI, USU ON (PERIAPSIS) <br> 7. POST ELLIPSE, $6 W$, ALL SCI,RADAR OFF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8. LONG ECLIPSE,6W,DUTY SCI OFF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |

Table 8. lF-3. Probe Bus With Version III Science (Preferred Thor/Delta Configuration)

FRCPE ELS - Ch PA, T/C
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Table 8. 1F-3. Probe Bus With Version III Science (Preferred Thor/Delta Configuration)(Continued)

| $0 . C$ | 0.0 | $\epsilon . C$ | 6.0 | $6 . C$ | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | $\epsilon .0$ | 6.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| 40.8 | 44.3 | 5.0 .3 | 57.3 | 65.7 | 47.8 |
| 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| 41.6 | 45.2 | 51.3 | 58.4 | 71.1 | 48.7 |
| 4.2 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 7.1 | 4.9 |
| 45.7 | 45.7 | 56.4 | 64.3 | 78.2 | 53.6 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $\epsilon$ |

1. LAUACF.3W, AC SCI.AC +TF
2. TRANSIT, Zh,CRLISE SCI CN, NL HTR
3. TRANSIT, 3n,CRLISE SCI,FTR CA
4. IRANSIT, 3n,CRLISE SCI.FTR
5. TRANSIT, $H$, CRLISE SCI,FTH
6. EACCLNTER, 6W, ALL SCI CA
t. LACERVCLTACE - ALA-ESSEATIAL LCACS CFF

TAPE2=VEJXP.TAPE4=CENV6

Table 8. 1F-4. Orbiter With Version III Science, 3l-Watt Transmitter, and Fanbeam/Fanscan Antennas (Preferred Thor/Delta Configuration)

```
    CREITER-35h ThTA FANBEAN,T/D
```

CREITER-35h ThTA FANEEAN,T/D ELECTRICAL FCWER REGUIREMEATS
science
nagnetcreter
LV SFECTRCNETEK
icn mass sfectrcmeter
IR RACICMETER
neltade mass sfectrcmeter
ELECTRCN TEMP PROEE
bacar altimeter
sletctal

- cata fancling

亩 CIGITAL TELEMEIRY LOIT
cata sicaace linit
digital ceccoer lint
súetctál
CCMMLICATILAS
S-EANC FECEIVERS ( 2 (A)
S-EANE XMTR CRIVER
S-FANC THTA
SLBTCTAL
ACSTFRCFLLSICA
CCATRCL ELECTR ASSY/SS
pressure xclefr
slatctal
FEECTKICAL PKR/CCATFCL PCL
cCpranc distk lnit CONVERTER LCSSES (7C FCT)

## Table 8. 1F-4. Orbiter With Version III Science, 31-Watt Transmitter, and Fanbeam/Fanscan Antennas (Preferred Thor/Delta Configuration)(Continued)

| - sletctal | 9.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | S. 3 | 5.3 | 11.2 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| trempal FFCPELLANT+TRS | C.C | C.C | t.c | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| slatotal | 0.0 | 0.0 | t. C | 6.0 | t. 0 | t. 0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | c.c | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SPACECRAFT Sletctal 1 | 80.8 | 83.8 | 89.8 | 89.8 | 140.8 | 201.2 | 174.3 | 161.8 | 174.3 | 131.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| CAELE LCSSES (SPACECRAFT) <br> EAITERY CHARGING | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \epsilon \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \\ & c .0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \\ & c .0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.5 \\ 25.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 0.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| SFACECEAFT SLETGIAL 2 | 82.4 | ع5:4 | \$1.t | \$1.6 | 143.6 | 205.2 | 177.6 | 165.0 | 202.8 | 134.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T CCATINCENCY (1C PCT) | 8.2 | 8.5 | 5.2 | 9.2 | 14.4 | 20.5 | 17.8 | 16.5 | 20.3 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TCIAL Primary els lcai | 90.6 | ¢4.C | 1CC. 7 | 100.7 | 157.9 | 225.7 | 155.5 | 181.5 | 223.0 | 147.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $s$ | 10 | 11 | 12 |

1. LALACL, IGW,NL SCI,NC tTR
2. IFAASIT,1Eh,CRLISE SCI CA,NC hTR
3. TFANSIT.ICN.CRLISE SCI.tTR LA
4. TRAASIT. 16h,CRLISE SCIfRTR
5. TRAASII, 35h,CRLISE SCI
E. SHCRT ECLIFSE, ZEn , ALI SCI, CSL CN (PERIAFSIS)
6. FCST ELLIFSE, 35n, ALL SCI,FACAK CFF
E. LCAG ECLIPSE,35n,CLTY SCI CFF
7. FCST ELCIPSE, 35 hi,CLTY SCI CFF, EATT CHARGING CN
8. LACERVOLTAEE -- ncn-esSential lcals cff
9. 
10. 

TAPE2 = VEJXCTH,TAPE4=CCAVEE

Table 8．1F－5．Probe Bus With Version III Science（Atlas／Centaur）
$\qquad$ FRCBE ELS－Bh ThTA，A／C ELECTRICAL PCWER REQUIREMENIS

SCIENCE
NAGNETCMETEA
LV FLCLRESCENT
ICA NASS SPECTRCMETEF
NEUTRAL MASS SPECTRCNEJER ELECTRCN TEMP PFCEE

SUETGTAL
DATA FANCLIAE
CIGITAL TELEMETRY LAIT CIGITAL CECCEER LAIT

SLBTCTAL
CCMMLAICATICAS
S－EAAC RECEIVERS（2 CN） S－EANC XMTF CRIVEK
S－EANC THTA
SLETCTAL
ACS／PRCPULSICN
CCATFCL ELECTA ASEY／SS
FAESSLRE TRAASCUCER
SLETCTAL
EEECTRICAL FMRJCCATACL PCL

| CCNMANO CISIRIELTICA UAIT |
| :--- | CCAVERTER LCSSES（7C PC．1）

$\qquad$

| $0 . \mathrm{C}$ | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4．C | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C．C | C．C | C． C | C． 0 | 4．C | 0.0 |
| $0 . C$ | 0.0 | C． 0 | 0.0 | 2． C | 0.6 |
| C．C | C． C | C． C | C． 0 | 12．C | 0.0 |
| $0 . \mathrm{C}$ | C．0 | C． C | C． 0 | 2．5 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 4．C | 4.0 | 4.0 | 24.5 | 0.0 |
| $3.6$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.6 \\ .3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.6 \\ .3 \end{array}$ | 3.6 .3 | 3.6 .3 | 3.6 .3 |
| 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| 3.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 |
| 32.7 | ミ2．7 | 22.7 | 32.7 | 32.7 | 32.7 |
| 1.7 .4 | 1.7 | 1.7 .4 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ .4 \end{array}$ | 1.7 .4 | 1.7 .4 |
| 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| 4．C | 4．0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| 2.1 | 2．1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| 8.1 | ع． 1 | E． 1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 |
| 14.2 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 14.2 |

## THERNAL

Table 8. 1F-5. Probe Bus With Version III Science (Atlas/Centaur)(Continued)


| 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | $6 . c$ | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | $C .0$ | 6.0 | 6.0 | $6 . C$ | 0.0 |
| 52.5 | 66.5 | 62.5 | 62.9 | $\varepsilon 3.4$ | 52.9 |
| 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.1 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 53.9 | 58.0 | 64.1 | 64.1 | 85.0 | 53.9 |
| 5.4 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 8.5 | 5.4 |
| 55.3 | 63.8 | $7 C .5$ | 70.5 | 93.5 | 59.3 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

[^1]Table 8.1F-6. Orbiter With Version III Science, 12-Watt Transmitter, and Fanbeam/Fanscan Antennas (Thor/Delta)

|  | -. - .------ | ckbiter-12n pa fandear, t/0 electrical fcher requirements |  |  |  |  | C9.35.11. |  |  | 04/10/73. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - ----- | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | SCIEACE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | magnetcretef | C.C | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | un sfectrcmeter | 0.0 | 0.0 | c. 0 | C. 0 | $0 . \mathrm{C}$ | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | ICA NASS SFECTRCMETER | C. 0 | 0.0 | C. C | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | IR RACICMETER | 0.1 | c. 0 | C.C | 0.0 | C. C | 6.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | neltral mass sfectacneter | 0.0 | 0.0 | C. 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.c | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | electrca temp prcee | 0.0 | C.C | C. 0 | 0.0 | c. C | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | racar altimetek | 0.0 | 0.0 | C.C | 0.0 | c. 0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SLETCIAL | c.c | $3 . C$ | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 57.0 | 32.0 | 24.0 | 32.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\infty$ | data fancliag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | [ATA STCRAGE ENT | 0.0 | C. 0 | C. 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | dieital cecoder unit | - 3 | . 3 | . 3 | . 3 | - 3 | - 3 | - 3 | . 3 | - 3 | . 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| N | Sletotal | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 3.9 | 8.4 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | CCPMUNICATICAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S-EANC FECEIVERS (2 CA) | 7.C | 7.0 | 7. 0 | 7.0 | 7. C | 7.0 | 7.C | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | S-EANC xmtr driver | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | S-EAAC PWR AMFL | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.C | 22.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Sletctal | 32.5 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $A C S T F C F G L I C A$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PRESSLIRE XCUCER. | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | -4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | conscan prócessof | 0.0 | 0.0 | C. 0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | suetctal | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | ELECTRICAL FKRJCCATRGL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CCMMATE CRISTR LNTt | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | ccaverter lesses (7C fet) | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 8. 1F-6. Orbiter With Version III Science, 12-Watt Transmitter, and Fanbeam/Fanscan Antennas (Thor/Delta)(Continued)


Table 8. IF-7. Orbiter With Version III Science and Despun Reflector Antenna (Thor/Delta)


Table 8. IF-7. Orbiter With Version UI Science and Despun Reflector Antenna (Thor/Delta)(Continued)

|  | CCRNANE CISTR LNIT <br> CCAVERTER LCSSES (7C FCT) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 3.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.1 \\ 2.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 2.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 4.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 4.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.1 \\ \dot{0.6} \end{array}$ | 2.1 | 2.17 | 2.1 | 2.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SUBTCTAL | 9.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 12.7 | 1c. 8 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | THERMAL _PRCPELLANT HTRS | C.C | C. 0 | 6. $C$ | t.0 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | $6 . C$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | sletctal | 0.0 | 0.0 | t.0 | 6.0 | t.c | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | $6 . C$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SPACECRAF1 SUBTOTAL 1 | 47.8 | 50.8 | $5 t .8$ | 65.8 | 87.8 | 148.2 | 121.3 | 108.8 | 121.3 | 78.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | CAELE LCSSES (SPACECRAFT) battery charging | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & c .0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.4 \\ 25.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\stackrel{+}{\square}$ | SPACECRAFT SUBIOTAL_ 2 | 48.7 | 51.8 | 57.5 | 67.1 | 85.5 | 151.2 | 123.7 | 110.9 | 148.7 | 80.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | CCATIAGENCY ILC PCCI) | 4.5 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 9.0 | 15.1 | 12.4 | 11.1 | 14.9 | E.c | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | TCTAL PFIMARY EUS LCAC | 53.6 | 57.0 | 63.7 | 73.8 | 58.5 | 166.3 | 136.1 | 122.0 | 163.6 | 88.4 | C. 0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | a | 5 | 10 | 11 | 12 |

[^2]Table 8. 1F-8. Orbiter With Version W Science, 31-Watt Transmitter, and Fanbeam/Fanscan Antennas (Atlas/Centaur)

```
CRBITER-35W PA FANBEAN,A/C C4/ C9.35.32. C4/10/73. ELECTRICAL PCWER REQUIREMEATS
9.35.32. C4/10/73.
```

SCIEACE
Machetcmetef
LV SPECTRCNETER
ICA MASS SPECTACMETER
IR RACICMETER
NEUTRAL MASS SPECTRCMETER
ELECTFCN TEMP FFCBE
RAECTFCN TEMP FAIMETER



Table 8. 1F-8. Orbiter With Version III Science, 31-Watt Transmitter, and Fanbeam/Fanscan Antennas (Atlas/Centaur)(Continued)

| Suetctal $\cdots$..... | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.C | 11.0 | 11.6 | 14.C | 11.0 | 11.0 | $11 . \mathrm{C}$ | 11.C | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| trearal PRCFELLANT HTRS | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 6.0 | b. 6 | $6 . C$ | E.C | 6.0 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SUETCTAL | 0.c | 0.0 | t. 0 | 6. C | 6.0 | 6.0 | $6 . C$ | 6.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SPACECRAFT SUBTCTAL 1 | 95.5 | 59.5 | 105.5 | $1 C 5.5$ | 173.5 | 246.5 | 208.5 | 195.5 | 208.5 | 163.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| (AELE LCSSES (SPACECRAFT) EATTERY CHARGING | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | 2.1 $C .0$ | 2.1 0.0 | 3.5 c. 0 | 4.9 0.0 | 4.2 0.0 | 3.9 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 4.2 \\ 25.0 \end{array}$ | 3.3 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 |
| SPACECRAFT SUETCJAL 2 | 57.4 | 1 Cl .5 | 107.6. | 107.6 | 177.0 | 251.5 | 212.7 | 199.4 | 237.7 | 166.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 皿 CCNTINEENCY ILC FCT | 9.7 | 10.2 | 1.8 | 1 C .8 | 17.7 | 25.1 | 21.3 | 19.9 | 23.8 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ TCIAL FRIPAPY ELSS LCAC | 107.2 | 111.7 | 118.4 | 118.4 | 154.7 | 276.6 | 234.c | 219.4 | 261.5 | 183.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 C | 11 | 12 |

1. LAUNCF, B.ew, AC SCI,AC FTH
2. TRANSIT, E. BW, CRLISE SCI CA,AC HTR
3. TRAASIT,E.EW, CRLISE SCI,HTR CA
4. TRANSIT,E.8W,CRLISE SCI, HTA
5. TRANSIT,3Eh,CRLISE SCI
6. SPCRTECLIPSE,35M,ALL SCI,CSL CN (PERIAFSIS)
7. PCST ELLIFSE, 35h, ALL SCI,fAEAA CfF
8. LCAG ECLIFSE.35m.CCIY SCI CFF
S. FOSI ELCIFSE, 35 W , LLTY SCI CFF, RAIT CHARGING CN 10. LNDERVGLIAGE -- ACA-ESSENTIAL LCACS Cff
9. 

JAPEZ=VEJXLAC,TAPEA=CCNVEEA

Table 8. 1F-9. Orbiter With Version III Science, 12-Watt Transmitter, and Fanbeam/Fanscan Antennas (Atlas/Centaur)

| $\begin{array}{r} \text { GRB } \\ \text { ELECTK } \end{array}$ | TER-1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EEAN } \\ & \text { IRENE } \end{aligned}$ |  | C9.35.35. |  |  | 04/1C/73. |  |  | - - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | $12^{-}$ |
| C.C | 4.0 | 4.C | 4.0 | 4.C | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $0.0{ }^{-1}$ |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | C. C | C. 0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| D. C | C. 0 | C. C | C. C | C.C | 2.C | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| C. 0 | 0.0 | C. C | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | -0.0 | $0.0{ }^{0}$ | 0.0 |
| C. C | 0.0 | C. 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | C. 0 | 0.0 | C. $C$ | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| C.C | C. 0 | C. C | 0.0 | C. 0 | 35.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\cdots 0.0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 69.5 | 34.5 | 26.0 | 34.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 .3 | $\mathrm{C} .0$ | $0.0$ | $0.0$ | $4.5$ | 4.5 .3 | $0.0$ | 4.5 .3 | $0.0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0^{-} \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 3.9 | 8.4 | 3.5 | $0.0{ }^{-}$ | 0.0 |
| $7.0$ | $7.0$ | 7.0 2.5 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7. C | 7.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \\ & 3.5 \end{aligned}$ | 7.0 3.5 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 3.5 \\ 22.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.5 \\ 22.0 \end{array}$ | 2.5 22.0 | 3.5 22.0 | 3.5 44.0 | 3.5 44.0 | 3.5 44.0 | 3.5 44.0 | 3.5 44.0 | 3.5 44.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 22.5 | ¥2.5 | $3<\cdot 5$ | 32.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | C. 0 | C. 0 | 0.0 | C. C | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $0.0{ }^{-1}$ |
| 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4.0 | 4.0 | $4 . \mathrm{C}$ | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. 1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | $2 \cdot 1$ | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4.5 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 7.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 8. 1F-9. Orbiter With Version ILI Science, 12-Watt Transmitter, and Fanbeam/Fanscan Antennas (Atlas/Centaur)(Continued)

|  | sletrtal | 11.0 | 11.0 | $11 . \mathrm{C}$ | 11.C | 11.C | 14.C | 11.C | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | _. Trefinal <br> PRCPELLENT 十TRS <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | sletital | 0.0 | C.C | 6.0 | t.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | spacerraft sletctal l | 49.5 | 53.5 | 59.5 | 54.5 | 81.5 | 154.5 | 116.5 | 103.5 | 116.5 | 71.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Cable lcsses (spacecraft) <br> CATTERY CHARGING | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | 1.1 $C .0$ | 1.2 $C .0$ | 1.2 0.0 | 1.6 0.0 | 3.1 0.0 | 2.3 0.0 | 2.1 0.0 | 2.3 25.0 | 1.4 0.0 | 0.0 | $\frac{0.0}{0.0}$ |
|  | sfacerraft subitital 2 | 50.5 | 54.6 | EC. 7 | 60.7 | 83.2 | 157.6 | 118.5 | 105.6 | 143.9 | 73.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\infty$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 㶡 | clatingency (lc fots | 5.1 | 5.5 | $\epsilon .1$ | 6.1 | 8.3 | 15.8 | 11.9 | 10.6 | 14.4 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\underset{\sim}{1}$ | tctal feimery fus leal | 55.6 | 6 C .1 | t¢. ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | 66.8 | 91.5 | 173.4 | 130.8 | 116.2 | 158.3 | 80.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | . | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| - Lalact 3nac sclactic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. LALACt, $3 n, A C \leqslant C l i n C+1 R$ <br> 2. TRANSIt,3h, CRLISE SCI ca,ac hir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. TRANSIT, 3h, CRLISE SCI,tir CA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. TRANSIT, $12 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{CRLISE}$ SCI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| t. SHCRI ECLIFSE, 1Ch, AL S SCI, CSU CA (FERIAFSIS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. LCAG ECLIPSE.12m, [LIY SCI CFF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TAPE2 = VEJXCCC, TAPL4=CCNVEEA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8. 1F-10. Orbiter With Version IV Science, and Despun Reflector Antenna (Atlas/Centaur)

|  | - - - |  | ORBITER-12h PA DESPUN, A/C ELECTRICAL POWER REQUIREAENTS |  |  |  |  | 18.49.35. |  |  | 64/10/73. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | .... ... .-. . |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | . 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | s | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| SCIEACE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Magnetcmetien |  | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | UV SPECTREMETEF |  | c.c | 0.0 | C. 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | c. 0 | 0.0 |
|  | ICA MASS SPECTROMETER |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | c. 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | ir Radiometer |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | C. 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | NEUTRAL MASS SFECTRCMETER |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | C. 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | ELECTRCN TEMP PROEE |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | C. 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | racar altimeter |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | C. 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SUETOTAL |  | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 69.5 | 34.5 | 26.0 | 34.5 | 0.c | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $$ | cata rancling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DIGITAL TELEMETRY URIT |  | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | cata storace unit |  | 0.0 | C. 0 | C.C | 0.0 | c. 0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | digital deccoer unit |  | . 3 | . 3 | . 3 | . 3 | - 3 | . 3 | . 3 | . 3 | - 3 | . 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Sübtatal |  | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 3.9 | 8.4 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| COMMUNICATICAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S-EANC RECEIVERS $(2 \mathrm{CN})$ |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7. 0 |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | c. 0 |
|  | S-BANC XMTR DRIVER |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | S-BAND PWR AMPL - |  | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | subtctal |  | 32.5 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ACS/PRCPLLSICN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DESPIN MECL ASSY |  | 0.0 | c. 0 | C. 0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6. C | $6 . C$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | CCATROL ELECTR ASSY/SS |  | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | PRESSURE XOUCER |  | -4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | - 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | - CCNSCAN PRCCESSOR |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | C.C | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | subtotal |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 0.0 | C.C |
|  | ELECTRICAL FhRICCNTAOL PCU |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 8.1F-10. Orbiter With Version IV Science, and Despun Reflector Antenna (Atlas/Centaur)(Continued)


## APPENDIX 8.2A

GROUND RECEIVER PERFORMANCE

## GROUND RECEIVER PERFORMANCE

## 1. SUMMARY

This appendix presents the data which has been used in the design control tables throughout the report for receiver loss. Receiver loss includes losses due to the noisy carrier reference (from carrier tracking loop, subcarrier demodulator, symbol synchronizer, and all combined effects which degrade decoding performance. For low tracking loop signal-to-noise ratios (less than 10 to 12 dB ) loop memory effects cause extra sequential decoding degradation through burst errors. This situation can be improved through the use of interleaving symbols in each frame of data. Interleaving is discussed in this appendix, but is not recommended pending further analysis.

## 2. SEQUENTIAL DECODER PERFORMANCE

The ideal or theoretical performance of a Fano sequential decoder and the Pioneer 10 and 11 rate $1 / 2$, constraint length 32 convolutional code is shown in Figure 8. 2A-1. This data has been extracted from computer simulations done by Dale Lumb of NASA/ARC. Figure 8. 2A-2 shows the measured performance of the above coder-decoder combination. The tests were performed by D. Lumb at CTA-21. Modulation was PCM/PSK/PM at an angle of 1.16 radians, and the numbers next to the data points indicate loop SNR's in a 2 B LO bandwidth of 10.8 Hz .

The required $E_{b} / N_{o}$ for a code to achieve a $10^{-2}$ probability of frame deletion under ideal conditions can be found in Figure 8. 2A-1. The required $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{o}}$ when the decoder has only partial knowledge of the carrier phase, subcarrier phase, and symbol time is found in Figure 8. 2A-2. The difference between these two numbers in decibels is defined as the receiver loss. As an example, for $128 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{s}$ the ideal requirement is 2.3 dB . Figure 8. 2A-2 yields a total $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{o}}$ requirement of 6.3 dB . This gives a receiver loss of 3.9 dB at 2 loop $\operatorname{SNR}$ of $\sim 9.6 \mathrm{~dB}$.

At a $10^{-3}$ frame deletion rate for $128 \mathrm{bit} / \mathrm{s}$, the NASA data has been extrapolated; this is open for question since the loop SNR increases as $E_{b} / N_{o}$ increases for a fixed modulation index. The receiver losses used


Figure 8.2A-l. Ideal Probability of Frame Deletion Versus $E_{B} / N_{0}{ }^{\prime}$


Figure 8.2A-2. Probability of Frame Deletion Versus $E_{B} / N_{0}$ (Receiver Loss Included) ${ }^{+}$
'UNPUBLISHED DATA FROM D. LUMB OF NASA/ARC
in the report, for data rates below $64 \mathrm{bit} / \mathrm{s}$, are estimated to be below the receiver losses shown in Figure 8. 2A-2 as long as a loop SNR of $\geq 10 \mathrm{~dB}$ is used. Also, for the lower bit rates at a fixed loop bandwidth ( 10 Hz ) the burst length is not as great as for the higher bit rates and the decoding degradation is less. More simulation data is required to accurately arrive at the receiver losses for bit rates below 64 bits/s.

## 3. INTERLEAVING

## 3. 1 Summary

Experimental CTA-21 (JPL) performance data by Dale Lumb of NASA/ARC for convolution coding of Pioneers 10 and 11 suggests the possible use of interleaving for further coding improvement. At 128, 64, or 32 bits/s, cycle slipping and memory effects due to the tracking loop bandwidth at less than 10 dB SNR in $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{LO}}(10 \mathrm{~Hz})$ can significantly degrade performance. At 10 dB SNR cycle slipping is probably negligible and coding improvement due to interleaving may be as much as 3 dB (at $5 \times 10^{-3}$ frame deletion rate). If cycle slipping is assumed for the degradation at

10 dB , two frame interleaving may improve performance by as much as 2 dB . More experimental data at low SNR's and at deletion rates from $10^{-2}$ to $10^{-4}$ is required before a decision can be made to interleaving. Costs for including interleaving on the orbiter spacecraft are minimal, estimated at about $\$ 15000$. Estimated costs for adding deinterleaving into the DSN are unknown at present, but should be minimal compared to expected performance improvement. Interleaving on the probe bus would not enhance performance significantly since the design point is at 16 bits/s (probe release) where loop memory effects are almost negligible. Also, at bus entry the data rate ( 512 to 2048 bits/s) and loop SNR is high and memory effects are negligible.

## 3. 2 Interleaving Improves Receiver Memory Loss

Sequential decoding performance is dominated by the frame deletion probability. Frame deletions are caused by the requirement for a large number of computations to decode a frame. Experimental and theoretical investigations have indicated that a frame deletion is most probably caused by a single sequence of high noise. For a memoryless channel, an error sequence of length $b$ symbols has probability of occurrence of $2^{-c 1^{b}}$ where $c_{1}$ is a function of signal-to-noise ratio while the required number of computations to decode the $b$ symbol error sequence is at least ${ }^{1} L=(1 / 2) 2^{R b}$ where $R$ is the code rate. Thus, the pro ability of $L$ computations or more to decode the $b$ symbol error sequence is

$$
\begin{align*}
P(C \quad L) & \simeq 2^{-c_{1} b}  \tag{1}\\
& =2^{\left(-c_{1} / R\right) \log _{2} 2 L} \\
& =(2 L)^{-a}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a=c_{1} / R$. This is the Pareto distribution of computations that is characteristic of sequential decoding over a memoryless channel.

For a channel with memory, the probability of occurrence of an error sequence of length $b$ is not exponentially decreasing with the length of the sequence (i. e., the probability of the error sequence is not some number raised to the $b$ power). Thus, the computations distribution is
8.2A-3
not Pareto for channels with memory and severe degradation may be observed as the probability of long error sequences increases. To achieve the performance expected for the memoryless channel for channels with memory, an interleaver must be used to decrease the probability of long error sequences.

An example of a channel with memory is a demodulator containing a carrier tracking loop which may have slow variations of the phase error with time. For large signal-to-noise ratio $\alpha$ decreases, the phase error is very small and little of the memory effects are observed. As $\alpha$ decreases, the phase error can reach larger values and the degradation increases. If the loop bandwidth is small compared to the data rate, then the effect of the degradation will be observed over many symbols and sig nificant memory (hence large number of computations) will be encountered by the sequential decoder. As $\alpha$ is decreased still further, loop slips will occur. If the loop reaches the 3.14 radian ( 180 degree ) quasi-stable point, then the number of symbols corresponding to the loop time constant will be complemented. Phase slips have even a greater degradation on soft decision demodulation than hard decision because symbols that normally would be in the most probable quantization level will fall in a very improbable quantization level during phase slips.

To see the effects of the carrier tracking loop, consider Figure 8.2A-3. The curve for no interleaving was observed by Dale Lumb of NASA/ARC. The ideal coherent curve assumes a perfect phase reference, as was obtained from Dale Lumb's simulation results of the computations/second to be perfromed by the sequential decoder. Note that the losses due to the receiver, including the degradation due to phase error in the carrier tracking loop, have been subtracted from the original observations. Thus, the degradation shown in the figure is due to channel memory. As a worst case, assume that the degradation is due to phase slips. Since the probability of frame deletion of the ideal coherent channel is small compared to the observed results, it may be assumed that the probability of phase slip is equal to the frame deletion probability. The loop bandwidth is 10 Hz ; hence, assume approximately 26 symbols are complemented for each phase slip. Interleaving is assumed to randomize the 26 complemented symbols throughout the frame. Generally, it is considered adequate randomization if the interleaver period is at least 10 times


Figure 8.2A-3. Performance of Pioneers 10 and 11 Sequential Decoder with Interleaving
the length of the error burst. Thus, for one-frame interleaving as suming only one phase slip per frame the probability of frame deletion $P_{f d}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{f d}=(1-p) P_{i d}+P_{1 b} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is the probability of a phase slip in a given frame, $P_{i d}$ is the probability of frame deletion for the ideal coherent channel, and $P_{1 b}$ is the probability of frame deletion for a 384 symbol frame containing $26+(358) p_{c}$ errors, with probability of error $p_{c}$ for the symbols not in the phase slip. Considering the probability that a phase slip falls in a frame is characterized by a binomial distribution, the probability of frame deletion for the frame interleaving is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{f d}=(1-p)^{2} P_{i d}+2 p(1-p) P_{2 b}+p^{2} P_{1 b} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{2 b}$ is the probability of frame deletion for a frame containing $1 / 2\left(26+(358) p_{c}\right)$ errors. Similarly, the probability of frame deletion is found for three and four-frame interleaving. Figure 8. 2A-3 indicates that there is only a small improvement by using four-frame interleaving rather than three-frame interleaving. but two-frame interleaving produces significant improvement over no interleaving and one-frame interleaving.

Previous studies ${ }^{2}$ have indicated that for high values of $\alpha$ such as 10 dB , there is negligible degradation if the interleaver is 10 times the time constant of the tracking loop. In Figure 8. 2A-3, the results have been extrapolated for $\alpha=10$ and 11 dB . Using $\alpha=10 \mathrm{~dB}$ and assuming phase slips, the two-frame interleaving reduces the required $E_{b} / N_{o}$ by 2. 0 dB . However, if the assumption is made that the degradation observed is due only to channel memory, then there is negligible degradation from the ideal coherent channel by using one-frame interleaving. Therefore, interleaving reduces the required $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{o}}$ by 2.9 dB . Similarly, as suming phase slips for $\alpha=11 \mathrm{~dB}$, two-frame interleaving reduces the required $E_{b} / N_{o}$ by 3.2 dB . Assuming that the degradation is due only to channel mernory, then one-frame interleaving reduces the required $E_{b} / N_{o}$ by 4.0 dB .

## 3. 3 Spacecraft Interleaver Costs

As a result of the potential improvement in telemetry performance due to interleaving, several data interleaver schemes have been investigated. The multiple, variable length, and multiple recirculating register approaches require more power and weight than does the matrix memory scheme. The latter was therefore selected for this costing exercise. See Figure 8. 2A-4 for the interleaver block diagram.

For each interleaver with a spread of 24 symbols over a coded frame of 384 symbols, there are two memories; one fills while the second dumps. The figure depicts a block diagram. There are no new part types used (the CMOS memories are presently planned into the DSU). For each interleaver the statistics are:

Parts - 23 ICs, seven discretes
Power - 0.310 watts at +5.3 volts
Weight - 0.036 kilograms ( 0.08 pounds)


Figure 8. 2A-4. Spacecraft Interleaver Implementation

Since modifications are planned for the DTU (with the attendant planning, inspection, retest, etc., already costed with these modifications), the delta costs for interleaving are modest ( $\sim \$ 15000$ ).

For a 24-symbol spread over a coded frame of 768 symbols add approximately 20 percent to cost, power, and weight.
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APPENDIX 8. 2B

## DOPPLER CONSIDERATIONS

1. Probe Bus Doppler Tracking at Entry
2. $2 \mathrm{~B}-1$
3. Orbiter Doppler Tracking at Orbit Periapsis
4. $2 \mathrm{~B}-11$

This appendix deals with the potential one-way and two-way doppler tracking problems that could exist during bus entry and, for a few orbits; during orbiter periapsis. It is shown that for bus entry the 64 meter station with a programmable receiver is required to maintain lock. Also, during worst-case orbits periapsis, doppler may cause loss of ground receiver lock in the 26 meter stations for a few minutes unless careful manual tuning is used.

## 1. PROBE BUS DOPPLER TRACKING AT ENTRY

## 1. 1 Summary

Just before entry the probe bus experiences a rapid gravitational acceleration causing a widely varying doppler shift in the uplink and downlink carrier frequencies. Figure 8. 2B-1 shows the doppler shift seen by the probe bus at entry, while Figure 8. 2B-2 shows the doppler seen by the ground station at Goldstone when the communication link is operating in a two-way mode. Figures 8. 2B-3 and 8. 2B-4 show the respective phase-locked loop phase errors resulting from a particular strategy of tuning out the doppler in both links. The analyses that produced these curves are given in the following section.

As shown in Figure 8. 2B-1 the uplink carrier frequency is tuned at 143 kHz above the assigned S -band carrier frequency. This tuning reduces the effective doppler shifts to about $\pm 30 \mathrm{kHz}$, but does not affect the doppler rates. Nevertheless, assuming a Pioneer 10 and 11 receiver, the phase error remains within $\pm 0.052$ radian ( $\pm 3$ degrees) so that the receiver will stay in phase lock.

The DSN receiver must employ a different tuning strategy, since it must contend with the much larger two-way doppler shifts and rates. The Block IV receiver to be used at the 64 meter stations has the required tuning capability. Its digital control oscillator can store and program four frequency ramps are shown by the dotted lines in Figure 8. 2B-2, and the resulting phase error indicates that the DSN receiver will track the twoway doppler.


Figure 8.2B-1. Uplink Doppler Protiles Versus Time for Probe Bus at Entry


Figure 8.2B-2. Two-Way Doppler Profiles Versus Time for Bus at Entry as Seen at Goldstone


Figure 8.28-3. Probe Bus Loop Phase Error Versus Time at Entry as Result of Uplink Tuning


Figure 8.2B-4. Two-Way Loop Phase Error Versus Time for Bus at Entry as Result of DSN Tuning

## 1. 2 Spacecraft Loop Phase Error Analysis (Uplink Only)

Assuming that the uplink signal level is very strong (SNR in ${ }^{2 B} \mathrm{LO}^{>}$ 25 dB ), then the spacecraft's phase-locked loop can be modeled as a noiseless linear system. Let $f(t)$ and $\dot{f}(t)$ denote the doppler shift (radians) and doppler rate (radians/sec) of the incoming signal as a function of time.

Then the loop's steady state phase error (or static phase error) is given by (Reference 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{G}}+\frac{\dot{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{t}) \tau_{1}}{\mathrm{G}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ is the loop gain and $\tau_{1}$ is the larger of the two loop filter time constants.

Figures 8. 2B-5 and 8. 2B-6 show the downlink doppler and the doppler rate profiles for the probe bus during entry as seen at Goldstone.


Figure 8.2B-5. Preferred Probe Mission Doppler Shift for Goldstone Tracking Station

Assuming we are transmitting from Goldstone, then the doppler profiles for the uplink are just 221/240 times the downlink profiles. Reference 2 lists the following values for $G$ and $\tau_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
G & =3.23 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}^{-1} \\
\tau_{1} & =100 \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using this data in Equation (1) allows us to compute the phase error in the loop. The results are shown in Table 8. 2B-1 where it was assumed that


Figure 8.2B-6. Preferred Probe Mission Doppler Rate for Goldstone Tracking Station

Table 8.2B-1. Phase Error in the Probe Bus at Entry

| TIME <br> $(M I N)$ | DOPPLER <br> $(\mathrm{kHz})$ | DOPPLER RATE <br> (Hz/SEC) | PHASE ERROR <br> [RAD (DEG)] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -80 | 27 | -1 | $0.051(2.9)$ |
| -60 | 26 | -1 | $0.049(2.8)$ |
| -40 | 25 | -1 | $0.047(2.7)$ |
| -20 | 24 | -1.5 | $0.045(2.6)$ |
| 0 | 22 | -1.5 | $0.042(2.4)$ |
| 20 | 20 | -2 | $0.038(2.2)$ |
| 40 | 17 | -4 | $0.030(1.7)$ |
| 60 | 9 | -8 | $0.016(0.9)$ |
| 73 | 0 | -16 | $-0.003(-0.2)$ |
| 80 | -9 | -25 | $-0.023(-1.3)$ |
| 85 | -18 | -29 | $-0.038(-2.2)$ |
| 90 | -23 | -23 | $-0.049(-2.8)$ |

*NOTES: (1) DOPPLER SHIFT AND RATE DATA FROM FIGURES 8.28-5 AND 8.2B-6 ARE MULTIPLIED BY 221/240.
(2) DOPPLER SHIFT IS RELATIVE TO -155 kHz .
the uplink transmitter was set at the uplink frequency plus $221 / 240$ times 155 kHz (note that this tuning causes the doppler shift to be zero at $\mathrm{t} \cong$ 73 minutes). The results definitely indicate that the receiver will track the incoming signal.

## 1. 3 Coherent Two-Way Loop Phase Error Analysis

Previously it was shown that the spacecraft would remain in phase lock with a small loop phase error if the uplink frequency was tuned to
compensate for the one-way doppler. This section considers the coherent two-way link with its associated two-way doppler. By using the capabilities of the dital control oscillator in the DSN's Block IV receiver, the major doppler effects can be tuned out. The result is that the ground station will stay in two-way phase lock during the entire entry sequence.

As in the previous report, strong signal conditions (loop SNR >25 dB) are assumed for both the uplink and the downlink, this being justified by the power budgets. Let $f_{u}(t)$ and $f_{d}(t)$ be the one-way doppler profiles for the uplink and downlink, respectively. Let $\mathrm{K}(=240 / 221)$ be the frequency turnaround ratio in the spacecraft transponder and let $T(=4$ minutes) be the one-way light time corresponding to the spacecraft range 71.81 gigameters ( 0.48 AU ) at bus entry. Then, the doppler shift on the downlink due to two-way effects is

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{2}(t) & =f_{d}(t)+K f_{u}(t-T)  \tag{2}\\
& =f_{d}(t)+f_{d}(t-T)
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{d}=$ Kf $_{u^{\prime}}$ Figure 8. 2B-7 shows a plot of $f_{2}(t)$ and its derivative $f_{2}(t)$ as seen by Goldstone at bus entry. It can be shown that if a constant frequency tuning method is used for the downlink, the ground loop phase error would be so large as to cause loss of lock. Thus, a different tuning method must be employed.

Let the ground transmitter be tuned at $\Delta \mathrm{Hz}$ above the assigned S-band uplink frequency, and let the ground receiver be continuously tuned in time by the digital control oscillator. This receiver tuning can be represented mathematically by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})=\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{r}}+\delta_{0}+\delta_{1} \mathbf{t} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 8.2B-7. Two-Way Doppler Profiles Versus Time for Bus at Entry As Seen at Goldstone
where $f_{r}$ is the assigned S-band downlink frequency, $\delta_{0}$ is a constant offset, $\delta_{1}$ is a frequency rate, and $t$ is time. Then, as shown in the attachment, the ground loop phase error is given approximately by

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(t)= & \frac{1}{G}\left[K \Delta+\delta_{0}+\delta_{1} t+f_{2}(t)\right]  \tag{4}\\
& +\frac{\tau_{1}}{G}\left[\delta_{1}+\dot{f}_{2}(t)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $G$ is the loop gain constant and $\tau_{1}$ is the larger of the two filter time constant. Reference 2 lists the following values for $G$ and $\tau_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\mathrm{G}}=0.013 \mathrm{rad}(0.75 \mathrm{deg}) / \mathrm{kHz} \\
& \frac{\tau_{1}}{\mathrm{G}}=0.004 \mathrm{rad}(0.25 \mathrm{deg}) / \mathrm{Hz} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is convenient to rewrite Equation (4) by letting $\gamma(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{K} \Delta+\delta_{0}+\delta_{1} \mathrm{t}$ represent the total tuning caused by the uplink and downlink. Thus Equa~ tion (2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)=\frac{1}{G}\left[\gamma(t)+f_{2}(t)\right]+\frac{\tau_{1}}{G}\left[\dot{\gamma}(t)+\dot{f}_{2}(t)\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 8. 2B-7 also shows $-\gamma(t)$ for a particular choice of tuning. The four (dotted) straight lines reflect the capability of the digital control oscillator to store and program four frequency ramps. The slopes of these lines are (from left to right) $2,5.5,18$, and $45.4 \mathrm{~Hz} / \mathrm{s}$. The phase error associated with this tuning strategy is shown in Figure 8. 2B-8. The jumps in phase error indicated by the dotted lines in Figure 8. 2B-8 correspond to the jumps in slope of the straight lines in Figure 8. 2B-7. Since the magnitude of the phase error does not exceed 0.105 radian ( 6 degrees), the ground receiver will stay in phase lock during entry.


Figure 8.2B-8. Two-Way Loop Phase Error Versus Time for Bus At Entry AS Result of DSN Tuning

## 1. 4 Coherent Two-Way Communication System Doppler Effect

The following analysis considers the effects of doppler on a coherent two-way communication system employing an uplink carrier, a coherent
"uplink" transponder, and a coherent "downlink" receiver. Specifically, an expression is derived giving the phase error in the downlink receiver phase-locked loop (PLL). Furthermore, it is shown that this phase error can be made arbitrarily small by tuning both the uplink carrier frequency and the downlink receiver frequency to compensate for the doppler shifts.

The coherent two-way link is modeled as the cascade of two PLL's. Assuming that the SNR's in the uplink and downlink loops are very large ( $>30 \mathrm{~dB}$ ) allows us to use linear PLL theory. The resultant model is shown in Figure 8. 2B-9 where the $\Theta^{\prime}$ 's represent carrier phases relative to the rest frequencies of the two loops.


Figure 8.28-9. Linear Model of Two-Way Coherent Link

The doppler shift is related to the movement of the spacecraft in time. If the range of the spacecraft is denoted by $\mathbf{r}(\mathrm{t})$, then the doppler shift is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=-\frac{f_{c}}{c} \dot{r}(t) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{c}$ is either the uplink or downlink carrier frequency in Hertz, $c$ is the speed of light in meters/second, and $\dot{\mathbf{r}}(\mathrm{t})$ is the first derivative of the range (velocity). From Equation (6) we can see that the uplink doppler, $f_{u}(t)$, is related to the downlink doppler, $f_{d}(t)$, by the turnaround ration, K ; or

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{d}(t)=K f_{u}(t) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transmitted uplink signal (neglecting command) is

$$
\sqrt{2 P_{u}} \cos \left(2 \pi f_{c u} t+\phi_{0 u}\right)
$$

where $f_{c u}$ is the carrier frequency (assumed equal to the uplink PLL rest frequency) and $\phi_{0 u}$ is a constant phase offset. The doppler adds the phase factor $\int^{t}{ }_{f}(y) d y$ so that the phase input to the uplink PLL is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{i u}(t)=\phi_{o} u+\int^{t} f_{u}(y) d y \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The output phase of the uplink PLL is linearly related to the input phase by the closed loop transfer function $H_{u}(s)$. For a second order loop this function is given by (Reference 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{u}(s)=\frac{1+\tau_{2 u} s}{1+\left(\tau_{2 u}+\frac{1}{G_{u}}\right) s+\frac{\tau_{1 u}}{G_{u}} s^{2}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equation (9) it can be shown that the output phase is asymptotically

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{o u}(t)=\Theta_{i u}(t)+\tau_{2 u} \dot{\Theta}_{i u}(t) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{c d}$ is the downlink carrier frequency. The doppler adds $\int_{f_{d}}^{t}(y) d y$ so that the phase input to the downlink receiver is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i d}(t)=\phi_{0 d}+\int^{t} f_{d}(y) d y+K \theta_{o u}(t-T) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{0 d}$ is a constant phase offset. Note that the phase factor due to uplink effects is delayed by the one-way light time $T$.

The downlink loop phase error is linearly related to the input phase by the closed loop transfer function $1-H_{d}(s)$. Using an expression for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{s})$ similar to Equation (9) it can be shown that the loop phase error is asymptotically

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{d}(t)=\frac{1}{G_{d}}\left[\dot{\theta}_{i d}(t)+\tau_{i d} \ddot{\theta}_{i d}(t)\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Equations (7), (8), (10) and (11) into (12) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{d}(t)= & \frac{1}{G_{d}}\left[f_{d}(t)+f_{d}(t-T)+\tau_{2 u} \dot{f}_{d}(t-T)\right]  \tag{13}\\
& +\frac{1 d}{G_{d}}\left[f_{d}(t)+f_{d}(t-T)+\tau_{2 u} \ddot{f}_{d}(t-T)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The factors involving $\tau_{2 u}$ are usually small compared to the rest so they can be neglected. Defining the two-way doppler as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{2}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{T}) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Equation (13) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{(t)}=\frac{1}{G_{d}}\left[f_{2}(t)+\tau_{1 d} f_{2}(t)\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious from Figure 8. 2B-7 that the two-way doppler and its derivative can be large, resulting in a large phase error and loss of lock. Of course, the loop constants $G_{1 d}$ and $\tau_{1 d}$ can be so large that this would not be the case. Unfortunately, existing DSN receivers do not have loops designed to handle high doppler. One method to overcome this high doppler is to tune the frequency of both the uplink transmitter and the downlink receiver.

Suppose the uplink transmitted frequency is tuned in time by a frequency function $\Delta(t)$. Then the actual uplink frequency would be

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{c u}{ }^{\prime}(t)=f_{c u}+\Delta(t) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar, the downlink receiver frequency is tuned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{c d}(t)=f_{c d}+(t) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the preceding analysis can be redone to yield a ground loop phase error given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{d}^{\prime}(t)= & \frac{1}{G_{d}} K \Delta(t-T)+\delta(t)+f_{2}(t)  \tag{18}\\
& +\frac{1 d}{G_{d}} K \Delta(t-T)+\delta(t)+f_{2}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (18) shows that the phase error can be made arbitrarily small by appropriate choices of $\Delta(t)$ and $\delta(t)$.

## 2. ORBITER DOPPLER TRACKING AT ORBIT PERIAPSIS

The orbiter spacecraft has a doppler problem similar to the probe bus. The large doppler variations shown in Figure 8. 2B-10 can occur at periapsis. Since the 26 meter tracking stations will probably be used for most of the orbiter mission, programmable oscillators will not be available to accurately tune out this doppler. Furthermore, since the SNR in


Figure 8.2B-10. Downlink Doppler Profiles Versus Time for Orbiter at Orbit Periapsis as Seen at Goldstone
the ground receiver loop will be about 12 dB at the end of the mission (zero adverse tolerance), data from the DSN Standard Practice document (810-5, Rev. C) indicates that the ground station loop error will be greater than 0.52 radian ( 30 degrees) for doppler rates of $50 \mathrm{~Hz} / \mathrm{s}$. About 0.14 radian ( 8 degrees) alone is experienced for a 20 kHz doppler shift. Thus, even if an offset doppler tuning strategy is employed, the loop will probably drop lock causing loss of data. Some ways to combat this adverse condition are to rely on experienced DSN operators to manually tune out the doppler, use the 64 meter stations at orbit periapsis, or store the data on board the spacecraft and play it back at a later time.
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POWER AMPLIFIER/ANTENNA OPTIMIZATION TRADEOFF

The curves in Figure 8. 2C-1 were generated to assist in sizing the minimum weight orbiter spacecraft design in terms of transmitter power, antenna size, and solar array weight. The criteria used in the analysis were minimum EIRP of $62 \mathrm{dBm}\left[128 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{s}\right.$ at $\left.254.30 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~km}(1.7 \mathrm{AU})\right]$ conical solar array $0.08 \mathrm{~kg}(0.10 \mathrm{lb} /$ watt DC$)$, and solid-state power amplifiers ( 30 percent efficiency). Curves are shown for two antenna configurations: a parabolic cylinder to be used with a configuration despun perpendicular to the earth-line, and a parabolic dish which could either be despun or used in an earth-pointing configuration. The antenna aperture efficiencies used in the analysis were 45 percent for the reflector and 55 percent for the dish. The feed for the reflector is a shortened Pioneer 6 through 9 center-fed Franklin array.

The curves show that as the power amplifier output is increased from 2 watts the weight savings increase to the vicinity of 9 watts before the weight savings begin to decrease. For the lower power outputs, the antenna sizing dominates the weight tradeoff, but for larger amplifier powers the solar array weight dominates as the required antenna size is reduced to a low value. The solid-state power amplifier weight influences the tradeoff curves only slightly as its weight varies from about 0.14 kilogram ( 0.3 pound) at the low power outpus to only about 1.8 kilogram ( 4 pounds) at 24 watts output.

A baseline power amplifier output of 10 watts minimum (11 watts nominal) was chosen to be compatible with the Helios reflector of 22.5 dB gain. Commonality with the probe bus transmitter of 6 watts is allowed by coupling two units together as can also be done with the probe transmitters. The 10 -watt minimum transmitter also allows about 1 to 2 dB margin for the third midcourse and orbit insertion maneuvers.

A tradeoff was not performed for the fanbeam configuration [8 $\mathrm{bit} / \mathrm{s}$ minimum at $\left.254.30 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~km}(1.7 \mathrm{AU})\right]$, since the Pioneer 6 through 9 11. dB antenna was assumed, requiring a transmitter power of 31 watts minimum.
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## APPENDIX 8.3A

## TELEMETRY REQUIREMENTS

The following is a listing of the Pioneer Venus engineering and scientific instrument housekeeping telemetry measurements for the preferred probe bus and orbiter configurations based on the Atlas/Centaur launch vehicle. Unless otherwise noted, the Version IV science payload requirements have been applied. The telemetry measurements are identified as bilevel (discrete), analog, or digital signals. The bilevel measurements provide an operational status of spacecraft subsystems and scientific instruments, e.g., switching and position functions. Analog signals include voltage, temperature, and current measurements. The digital measurements provide quantitative information from the telemetry sources.
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## ALTERNATIVE DATA ACQUISITION AND COMMAND SYSTEM

The alternative data acquisition and command system (DACS) approach is midway between a programmable central processor and the more conventional dedicated telemetry and command system. The advantages of MOS LSI technology coupled with an advanced system architecture achieves high reliability, format efficiency and system flexibility while saving weight and power over the Pioneer 10 design. While the MOS LSI devices are a custom TRW design, they use a standardized, flightqualified technology which can be readily manufactured by several companies.

Although the DACS for Pioneer Venus requires development, the system architecture and the MOS LSI arrays are fully developed and operational in a breadboard. This work is the result of a 1971 TRW IRAD program.

The basic DACS, Figure 8. 3B-1, consists of a central control unit (CCU) and remote terminal units (RTU's) which respond to CCU instructions. These units provide command distribution, telemetry data sampling and conditioning, and telemetry data formatting using programs stored in solid state read-only memories (ROM's). The DACS accommodates a number of RTU's either as part of the CCU or located within the spacecraft.


Figure 8.3B-1. Data Acquisition and Command System

The telemetry format structure is determined by ROM-stored programs. This programmable flexibility optimizes the telemetry format for each mission. Different payload requirements are accommodated by adding RTU's with modular input/output, adaptable to the command and telemetry interface for each mission.

As shown in Figure 8. 3B-1, the CCU contains a special function section to accommodate mission peculiar functions not basic to the DACS All other portions of the DACS use a standard design from mission to mission.

The orbiter data system implementation using the existing Pioneer 10 units, is shown in Figure 8. 3B-2A, and the DACS in Figure 8.3B-2B. The DACS replaces the DTU and five of eight CDU slices. All but a few Pioneer 10 CDU special functions (ordnance firing, high-level commands, undervoltage monitoring and event sequencing) are performed by the DACS.

The system advantages of the DACS over the Pioneer 10 design for the orbiter mission are:

- Better format flexibility. Formats are ROM programmable both for science and housekeeping telemetry
- More redundancy. Full redundancy is available for all telemetry
- More stored commands available. ROM stores commands
- Modular design to readily accommodate different command and telemetry requirements for each mission.
- More hardware commonality between missions. The same CCU design is used in the orbiter and probe mission and the same RTU design is used in the orbiter, probe bus and the probes.
- Saves weight. 1.8 kilograms ( 4 pounds) are saved in the DAC orbiter data system (power is 200 mW less).

Figure 8. 3B-3A illustrates the implementation of the probe mission data system with the Pioneer 10 units, and Figure 8. 3B-3B with the DACS. As in the orbiter mission, the DACS replaces the DTU and all but three CDU slices. Redundant RTU's are used to provide the timing, command and telemetry functions required by the probe bus subsystems and science. One RTU is provided within each probe and forms the heart of each probe data system.


Figure 8.3B-2 Orbiter Configuration

A PROBE MISSION PIONEERS 10 AND 11 DERIVED COMMAND AND DATA HANDLING SYSTEM


NOTES:
(1)
pioneer 10 units
to Spacecraft
SUB5YSTEMS AND SCIENCE
pIoneer 10 UNIT MODIFIED
(3) NEW DESIGN
(4) Existing breadboard design


The RTU operates either under the control of an external controller (CCU) or internally with its own ROM-stored program. This feature is ideally suited for the probe data system where the probe operation can be externally controlled for ground checkout or in-flight probe checkout, then operate autonomously, under its own program, during probe entry phases. This feature saves the addition of test hardware with attendant savings in weight and power. The DACS saves an estimated 4.8 kilograms ( 10.6 pounds) overall and 0.5 watt in the probe bus, 1.5 watts in the large probe, and 1.0 watt in each small probe.

The CCU, shown in Figure 8. 3B-4, sends real-time and stored commands to, and collects telemetry data from, the RTU's. In addition, the CCU provides spacecraft spin sector output signals, convolutional coding and biphase modulation of the formatted data, and the necessary signal present logic to switch receivers.

Real-time commands are received from the Pioneer 10 DDU in groups of three commands ( 24 bits). The DACS requires 16 bits for address, mode selection, and command steering in addition to the eight actual command bits. Transmitting three commands each time is not necessarily a disadvantage since one DACS command is equivalent to eight Pioneer 10 commands. With the DACS, command decoding is performed by the ground station software, Pioneer 10 decoding is performed by spacecraft hardware. In the DACS, the actual eight command bits follow 16 bits which address a particular 8-bit RTU command output register. The command is routed through the system and ends up in the specified register. The register output is made available to the user as one 8 -bit serial command or eight state or pulse commands. Transfer of serial commands can be either under user or RTU control.

Stored commands are programmed into a CCU ROM and are continually compared with a clock. When the stored time matches the clock, the command is processed by the DACS as a real-time command. Provision is made to load the start time in flight which is necessary when selecting redundant units.

Eight essential commands are provided directly from the CCU and are used primarily to select redundant spacecraft units such as CCU's

and RTU's which cannot be commanded through the DACS. The CCU's are used in the power off redundant configuration except for the real-time command logic portion which remains powered on at all times. The realtime command logic must remain on to enable processing of essential commands from either CCU at all times.

The CCU uses the Pioneer 10 designs for the convolutional coder, biphase modulator, roll attitude timer and spin period sector generator. Independent interface circuits are used for communication to each RTU so that a failure of one interface will not disable any other.

The CCU design uses LPTTL MSI and LSI (ROM's) to minimize parts count and power. The estimated weight is 0.9 kilogram ( 2.0 pounds) and estimated power is 3.5 watts.

Figure 8. 3B-5 illustrates the probe bus and orbiter RTU design. The RTU's provide command and timing outputs, and sample and signal condition telemetry from the science instruments and spacecraft subsystems. RTU's are under the control of stored programs within the CCU until the probes separate from the bus at which time the RTU is under the control of its. own ROM stored program.


Figure 8.38-5. Probe Bus/Orbiter RTU

The advantages of MOS LSI and LPTTL technology are used to provide the command and telemetry functions efficiently. Modular input/ output and ROM programs provide system flexibility at low cost.

Figure 8. 3B-6 illustrates the probe data system which consists of an RTU, data storage memory, stored command logic, convolution coder and sequencing logic. The data system design is essentially the same for large and small probes except the small probes do not have a coast timer and have a different number of RTU telemetry and command channels.

The data system responds to external instructions during ground testing and in-flight testing. Upon separation from the probe bus, the data system operates under the control of programs stored within the RTU ROM and stored command instructions. Upon separation, the 25-day coast time starts; all other systems are off. At a programmed time, the probe data system is activated for a short time to enable calibration of the magnetometer away from the influences of the probe bus. The calibration data (256 bits) is stored until the entry phase.

During the entry phase, the coast timer or acceleration switch activates the probe and starts the stored command sequence. A telemetry frame pulse (FP), from the stored command countdown circuit, is processed by the telemetry instruction logic and instructs the RTU to collect, format and put into the data memory one frame of data. The time between these frame pulses is determined by stored commands. This technique provides a convenient method for changing the effective data sampling rate to match the science data requirements.

The data system also provides variable length telemetry format words. This is accomplished by storing a word length code for each channel address stored within the RTU ROM. This code programs the length of each telemetry word to eliminate storage and transmission of unnecessary bits.

The data storage unit used within the probes is a scaled-down version of the orbiter memory. The total memory is approximately 10,000 bits. The data storage unit contains a 256 -bit section powered by the same special battery which supplies power to the coast timer. This

storage is used to hold the 256-bits of magnetometer calibration data during the coast period. Since available power is extremely limited during the coast period, only the 256 -bit portion of the memory is powered on. The power required to preserve this data is approximately 50 microwatts continuous.

Table 8. 3B-1 summarizes the parts count, printed circuit boards, slices, weight, and powe $r$ of each Pioneer Venus command and data system units. The data from this table was used to compute weight, power and reliability for the comparison chart to follow.

Table 8.3B-1. Data Subsystem Parameter Summary

| UNIT | PARTS |  | PRINTED BOARDS | SLICES | $\begin{gathered} \text { WEIGHT } \\ \text { KG (LB)] } \end{gathered}$ | POWER (NATT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | INTEGRATED CIRCUITS | Discretes |  |  |  |  |
| CCU | 212 | 200 | 4 | 2 | 0.9 (2.0) | 3.5 |
| DDU | - | - | 1 | 1 | 0.4 (0.85) | $0.14 / 0.7$ |
| DSU | 297 | 129 | 9 | 3 | $1.7(3.9)$ | 4.5 (ALL 3) |
| bus/orbiter remote TERMINAL UNIT | 55 | 53 | 1 | 1 | 0.3 (0.6) | 0.3/1.0 |
| SMALL PROBE DATA SYSTEM | 104 | 95 | 2 | 1 | 0.5 (1.0) | 1.0 |
| large probe data SYSTEM | 118 | 105 | 2 | 1 | 0.6 (1.2) | 1.5 |
| LARGE PROBE DATA SYSTEM ${ }^{*}$ | - | - | - | - | 1.4 (3.0) | 3.0 |
| SMALL PROBE DATA SYSTEM* | - | - | - | - | 0.9 (2.2) | 2.0 |
| CDU (TOTAL) | - | - | 16 | 8 | 3.9 (8.6) | 2.5 |
| CDU (MODIFIED) | - | - | 6 | 3 | 2.3 (5.0) | 1.4 |
| DTU | - | - | 9 | 1 | 3.1 (6.8) | 3.9 |

baseline design

Table 8. 3B-2 is a comparison chart listing the most important parameters of the Pioneer 10 versus DACS. The table indicates the DACS can save weight and power within the probes. The disadvantage of the DACS over the Pioneer 10 system is the cost of development. Although the DACS is developed through the operational breadboard stages (including MOS LSI arrays) further system design would be required for the Pioneer Venus mission.

Table 8.3B-2. Comparison Chart

| Parameter | PIONEERS 10 AND 11 |  | DAC |  | DELTA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ORBIter | Probe | ORBITER | PROBE | ORBITER | PROBE |
| WEIGHT [KG(Lb)] | 10.1 (21.0) | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7 \text { (17.1) 日US } \\ & 1.4(3.0) \mathrm{P} \\ & \frac{1.0(2.2) \mathrm{SP}}{} \mathrm{SP}(\mathrm{EACH}) \\ & \hline 12.1(26.7) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 7.7 (17.0) | $\begin{aligned} & 5.4(11.9) \mathrm{BUS} \\ & 0.2(1.2) \mathrm{LP} \\ & 0.5(1.0) \mathrm{SP} \\ & \hline 7.2(16.1) \end{aligned}$ | 1.8 (4.0) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \quad(5.2) \text { BUS } \\ & 0.9 \quad(1.8) \text { LP } \\ & \frac{0.6(1.2)}{4.8(10.6)} \end{aligned}$ |
| POWER (WATTS) | 11.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2 \text { BUS } \\ & 3.0 \mathrm{LP} \\ & 2.0 \mathrm{SP}(E A C H) \end{aligned}$ | 11.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.7 \mathrm{BUS} \\ & 1.5 \mathrm{LP} \\ & 1.0 \mathrm{SP}(E A C H) \end{aligned}$ | 0.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \mathrm{BU5} \\ & 1.5 \mathrm{LP} \\ & \frac{1.0 \mathrm{SP}(E A C H)}{5.0} \end{aligned}$ |
| COST | LOWER | HIGHER | Higher | LOWER |  |  |
| HARDWARE COMMONALITY | PIONEER 10 OTU | PIONEER 10 DTU + <br> NEW DESIGN USED <br> FOR PROBES ONLY | NEW CCU DESIGN | orbiter CCU + ORBITER RTU AS heart of probe COMMAND AND DATA SYSTEM |  |  |
| DESIGN ANO HAROWARE STATUS | FLIGHT PROVEN | NEW DESIGN PIONEER 10 TECHNOLOGY | NEW CPU, BREADBOARD RTU | NEW CPU, BREADBOARD RTU WITH NEW CONTROL LOGIC |  |  |
| reliability | $\begin{aligned} & 0.889 \\ & \text { (SUGMULTIPIEXER INCLUDED) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 0.9850 |  | 0.0960 |  |
| REDUNDANCY | ENGINEERING TELEMETRY NONREDUNDANT |  | FULL redundancy |  |  |  |
| FORMAT EFFICIENCY | FIT PIONEER VENUS REQUIREMENTS TO PIONEER TO FORMATS | NEW DESIGN TO FIT PIONEER VENUS MISSION | PROGRAMMABLE TO Fit PIONEER VENUS MISSION | PROGRAMMABLE TO FIT PIONEER VENUE MISSION |  |  |
| SYSTEM FLEXIBILITY | FIXED CAPACITY, FIXED FORMATS | NEW DESIGN <br> TO FIT PIONEER VENUS MISSION | MODULAR CAPACITY, programable FORMATS | MOD:".AR CAPACITY, programable FORMATS |  |  |
| TECHNICAL RISK | lower due to PROVEN HARDWARE | LOWER DUE TO USE OF PIONEER 10 TECHNOLOGY | higher due to NEW SYSTEM DESIGN | higher due to NEW SYSTEM DESIGN |  |  |

APPENDIX 8. 3C

CENTRAL PROCESSOR

APPENDIX 8.3C
CENTRAL PROCESSOR

Central processing was examined as an alternative to the conventional approach where each subsystem encompasses its own processing. Certain spacecraft functions can be performed by a central general purpose digital processor, eliminating distributed hardware and minimizing spacecraft weight and cost. Some functions can be performed by software alone, other need small amounts of hardware. In at least one instance, the DEA, a central processor facilitates use of off-the-shelf hardware, by performing rate calculation, a function which does not exist in available units.

1. DIGITAL TELEMETRY UNIT

Most of the functions of this unit can be absorbed by the digital processor. These include:

- The reception of sun pulses from the sun sensor and subsequent issuance of roll attitude and sector pulses (includes three modes: ACS, averaging, and nonaveraging)
- The gathering and formatting of telemetry data
- The implementation of the convolutional encoder
- The implementation of the interleaver (if required by the communications subsystem).


## 2. COMMAND DISTRIBUTION UNIT

Most of the functions of this unit can be absorbed by the central processor. They include:

- Distribution of discretes (pulse and static)
- Distribution of serial digital data
- Receiver present detection logic ( 36 -hour counter)
- Sequencer logic
- Command storage
- Thruster pulse counting.


## - CONTROL ELECTRONICS ASSEMBLY

Since the turn-on/turn-off accuracy for firing thrusters can be met y software, the various multiple event maneuvers can be commanded rom the ground and executed from within the control processor. These nclude:

- Programmed precession $/ \Delta V$
- Programmed $\Delta V / S C T$
- Real time processor/ $\Delta \mathrm{V} /$ spin control
- Fixed angle precession
- Programmed precession/spin control
- Programmed precession/probe deployment.

The capability for auto spin control can exist within the center processor.

## . DESPIN ELECTRONICS ASSEMBLY

Despin functions including antenna pointing can be absorbed. Rate alculations, which presently do not exist in any off-the-shelf hardware nits can be performed by the processor. Since "pipper pulses" occur ominally every 24 msec this calculation is trivial. The accuracy of reasurement however is approximately 10 to $20 \mu \mathrm{sec}$, thus an 8-bit hardrare counter at $100 \mathrm{kbits} / \mathrm{s}$ is required.

## - SCIENCE PACKAGES

Most experiments require a turn-on/turn-off command and perhaps mode discrete. In addition, both the radar altimeter and UV spectromeer require:

- Enabling during a 0.52 radian ( $30-$ degree) or similar sector of each spacecraft revolution
- Gimballing to facilitate nadir pointing.

These functions presently reside within the experiments but can be executed $y$ the central processor.

## 6. CENTRAL PROGRAMMER SOFTWARE SIZING

Flow diagrams and sample coding was generated in order to obtain the results of Table 8.3C-1. The candidate processor selected for the exercise was the Comsat processor recently developed (in breadboard form) for the Comsat control system. It's general characteristics are:

- General-purpose digital
- Word length $=16$ bits
- Single address instructions
- Serial/parallel organization (4-bit bytes)
- $7.2 \mu \mathrm{sec} \mathrm{ADD} ; 60 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ MPY
- Power $=6.0$ watts plus memory
- Weight $=0.7 \mathrm{~kg}$ ( 1.5 pound) plus memory.

Table 8. 3C-1. Central Programmer Sizing Requirements

| FUINGTION | PROGRAM MEMORY REQUIRED WORDS | DATA MEMORY REQUIRE WORDS | $\begin{gathered} \text { EXECUTION } \\ \text { TIME } \\ (M S / S) \end{gathered}$ | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPOKE WHEEL GENERATION | 50 | 10 | 1 | External counters |
| dea rate calculations | 120 | 10 | 10 |  |
| CONVOLUTIONAL ENCODER | 50 | 15 | 250 | At maximum bit rate |
| AIfitude control | 50 | 15 | 15 |  |
| INTERLEAVER | 50 | 70 | 100 | AT maximun bit rate |
| TELEMETRY FUNCTION | 240 | 5 | 6.0 | AT MAXIMUMM BIT RATE |
| SCIENCE (RADAR ALTIMETER) | 20 | 5 | 1.0 | SEQUENCING AND.gIMBALLING |
| SCIENCE (UV SPECTROMETER) | 20 | 5 | 1.0 | SEQUENCING AND GImballing |
| executive routine | 100 | 35 | 25 |  |
| RX SIGNAL RESET (COUNTING) | 20 | 5 | 0.100 |  |
| thruster pulse (COUNTING) | 20 | 5 | 0.100 |  |
| COMMAND DISTRIBUTION | 40 | - 40 | $\cdots$ |  |
| TOTAL | 780 | 220 | 409.2 |  |

It should be noted that the 2048 bits/s downlink data rate consumes most of the processors effort. A more typical data rate would be 256 bits/s which relates to a telemetry work load of $80 \mathrm{~ms} / \mathrm{s}$ and a total loading of $123.2 \mathrm{~ms} / \mathrm{s}$. Memory requirements are small and entail a 256 -word read/write, and a 1024-word read-only memory.

## 7. HARDWARE SIZING ESTIMATES

Tables 8. 3C-2 and 8. 3C-3 indicate the nature of the hardware tradeoffs. Estimates for the RTU were taken from the parallel study performed on a DACS. The summary of these is included in Table 8. 3C-4.

Table 8. 3C-2. Hardware Tradeoffs (Probe Bus)

| BASELINE | POWER (WATTS) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WEIGHT } \\ & {[K G(L B)]} \end{aligned}$ |  | PROBE BUS (CPU SYSTEM) | POWER (WATTS) | WEIGHT [KG (LB)] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DDU | 0.15 | 0.4 | (0.9) | DDU | 0.15 | 0.4 | (0.9) |
| ODU | 0.68 | 0.4 | (0.9) | DDU | 0.68 | 0.4 | (0.9) |
| COU | 2.5 | 3.9 | (8.6) |  |  |  |  |
| diJ | 3.7 | 3.1 | (6.8) |  |  |  |  |
| CeA | 4.5 | 1.8 | (4,2) | CEA | 3.0 | 0.9 | (2.0) |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{CPO}_{1}$ | 6.0 | 0.7 | (1.5) |
| SMALL PROBE ( $\times$ 3) | 6.0 | 3.0 | (6.6) | $\mathrm{CPU}_{2}$ | --- | 0.7 | (1.5) |
| LARGE PROBE | 3.0 | 1.4 | (3.0) | CPU MEMORY, | 1.3 | 0.5 | (1.0) |
|  |  |  |  | CPU MEMORY 2 | --- | 0.5 | (1.0) |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{ClA}_{1}$ | 3.4 | 0.3 | (0.7) |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{ClA}_{2}$ | --- | 0.3 | (0.7) |
|  |  |  |  | spacecraft rtu, | 1.0 | 0.3 | (0.6) |
|  |  |  |  | SPACECRAFT RTU 2 | --- | 0.3 | (0.6) |
|  |  |  |  | SIgnal Conditioner unit | 1.0 | 0.4 | (0.8) |
|  |  |  |  | ORDNANCE | . 02 | 1.4 | (3.0) |
|  |  |  |  | Smalli Probe rtu (x3) | 3.0 | 1.4 | (3.0) |
|  |  |  |  | Large probe rtu (Xi) | 1.2 | 0.7 | (1.5) |
| TOTAL | 20.73 | 14.1 | (31.0) |  | 20.75 | 8.9 | (19.7) |

Table 8. 3C-3. Hardware Tradeoffs (Orbiter)

| BASELINE | POWER (WATTS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { WEIGHT } \\ {[\mathrm{KG} \text { (LBi] }} \end{gathered}$ |  | Orbiter | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POWER } \\ & \text { (WATIS) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{WE} \mid \mathrm{GHT} \\ & {[\mathrm{KG}(\mathrm{LQ})]} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DOU | 0.15 | 0.4 | (0.9) | DDU | 0.15 | 0.4 | (0.9) |
| Dou | 0.68 | 0.4 | (0.9) | ODU | 0.68 | 0.4 | (0.9) |
| CDU | 2.5 | 3.9 | (8.6) |  |  |  |  |
| DTU | 3.9 | 3.1 | (6.8) |  |  |  |  |
| osu | 0.6 | 1.8 | (3.9) | DSU | 0.6 | 1.8 | (3.9) |
| CEA | 4.5 | 1.9 | (4.2) | CEA | 3.0 | 1.4 | (3.0) |
| DEA | 1.5 | 1.8 | (4.0) | DEA | 1.0 | 0.9 | (2.0) |
| dea | --- | 1.8 | (4,0) | DEA | --- | 0.9 | (2,0) |
|  |  |  |  | CPU | 6.0 | 0.7 | (1.5) |
|  |  |  |  | CPU | --- | 0.7 | (1.5) |
| instrument programmers | 1.5 | 0.9 | (2.0) | GPU MEMORY | 1.3 | 0.5 | (1.0) |
|  |  |  |  | CPU MEMORY | -.. | 0.5 | (1.0) |
|  |  |  |  | CIA | 3.4 | 0.3 | (0.7) |
|  |  |  |  | CIA | --- | 0.3 | (0.7) |
|  |  |  |  | Spacecraft rtu | 1.0 | 0.3 | (0.6) |
|  |  |  |  | Sfacecraft riu | --. | 0.3 | (0.6) |
|  |  |  |  | SCIENCE RTU | 1.0 | 0.3 | (0.6) |
|  |  |  |  | SCIENCE RTU | --- | 0.3 | (0.6) |
|  |  |  |  | SIGNAL CONDItIONER UNIT | 1.0 | 0.3 | (0.7) |
|  |  |  |  | ORONANCE | 0.02 | 1.4 | (3.0) |
| TOTAL | 15.33 | 16.0 | (35.3) |  | 19.15 | 11.4 | (25.2) |

Table 8. 3C-4. Data Handling System Tradeoffs

|  | ORBITER |  |  | PROBE BUS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DISTRIBUTED <br> PROGRAMMER | CENTRAL <br> PROGRAMMER | DELTA | DISTRIBUTED <br> PROGRAMMER | CENTRAL <br> PROGRAMMER | DELTA |
| WEIGHT <br> KG(LB) | $16.0(35.3)$ | $11.4(25.2)$ | $4.7(10.1)$ | $13.9(31.0)$ | $9.0(19.7)$ | $5.1(11.3)$ |
| POWER <br> (WATTS | 15.33 | 19.15 | 3.82 | 20.73 | 20.75 | 0 |

Several items such as the $\Delta$ weight associated with removing the sequencers from the science packages have been estimated as no information was available at this time.
8. SUMMARY

The control functions of the Pioneer Venus spacecraft can be handled by a centralized programmer. Although the power requirement is approximately equal to the baselines a significant weight savings, 4.5 kilograms ( 10 pounds) can be achieved. The system is flexible with attendant growth potential, which must be weighed against the risk of developing a centralized spacecraft.

APPENDIX 8.4A

## COMMAND REQUIREMENTS

## APPENDIX 8.4A <br> COMMAND REQUIREMENTS

The following list identifies the command requirements for the preferred probe bus and orbiter configurations based on the Atlas/Centaur launch vehicle. The requirements are grouped by subsystem and are categorized as serial, pulse, or state commands.

Those command entries followed by a (Thor/Delta) designator are applicable to spacecraft configurations which are compatible with the Thor/Delta launch vehicle. The (stored) designator identifies functions which would normally be performed via the stored command programmer. Instrument commands annotated with (New Science) are associated with the Version IV science payload.

```
COMMANDS - BUS
```


## ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION



ELECTRICAL POWER

```
BATTERY AIJTOMATIC CHARGE ENABLE PULSE
BATTERY MAX CHARGE
BATTERY DISCHARGE ENABLE
BATTERY DISCHARGE DISARLF
CHARGE RATE I ON
CHARGE RATE I OFF
CHARGE RATE 2 ON
CHAEGE RATE 2 OFF
CHARGE RATE 3 ON
CHARGE RATE 3 DFF
LARGE PRDBE POWER ON/OFF (2) PULSE
SMALL PROBE 1 POWER ON/OFF (2) PULSE
SMALL PROBE 2 POWER ON/OFF (2) PULSE
SMALL PROBE 3 POWER ON/OFF (2) PULSE
CTRF INVERTER TRANSFER RELAY SELFCT (2) PULSE
```

DATA HANDLING

```
DTU A SELECT
DTU R SELECT
DTU CMO WORDS
LARGE PROBE CMD WORD
SMALL PROBE I CMD WORII
SMALL PROBE 2 CMD WORD
SMALL PRORE 3 CMD WORD
    * mNLY ONE ROUTING ADDRESS IS REQUIRED
        SINCE ONLY ONE PROBE IS POWERED AT
        ANY GIVEN TIME.
COMMUNICATIONS
```

```
RECEIVER A COHERENT MODE ENARIE
```

RECEIVER A COHERENT MODE ENARIE
state
state
RECEIVER A COHERENT MIDDE DISABLE STATE

```
RECEIVER A COHERENT MIDDE DISABLE STATE
```

```
RECEIVER B COMERENT MODE ENABLE STATE
RECEIVER R COHERENT MODE DISABLE STATF
POWER AMP A SELECT (B OFF) PULSE
POWER AMP A.HIGH POWER
POWER AMP B SELECT (A OFF)
POWER AMP A LOW POWER
POWER AMP B HIGH POWER
POWER AMP DRIVER A SELECT (R OFF)
POWER AMP B LOW POWER
POWER AMP DRIVER B SELECT (A OFF)
TRANSFER SWITCH 1 TO POSITION 1
TRANSFER SWITCH 1 TD POSITION 2
TRANSFER SWITCH 2 TO POSITION 1
TRANSFER SWITCH 2 TO POSITION 2
TRANSFER SWITCH 3 TO POSITION 1
TRANSFER SWITCH 3 TO POSITION 2
TRANSFER. SWITCH }4\mathrm{ TO POSITION 1
TRANSFER SWITCH 4 TO POSITION 2
PULSE (THOR/DELTA)
PULSE
PULSE (THOR/DELTA)
PULSE (THOR/DELTA)
PULSE
PULSE (THOR/DELTA)
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
pulse
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
```


## ATTITUDE CONTROL



## THERMAL

```
PROPELLANT HEATERS ENABLE PULSE
PROPELLANT HEATERS DISABLE PULSE
```


## SCIENCE

```
MAGNETOMETER
    POWER ON/OFF
    CALIBRATE MODE ON/OFF
    HIGH/LOW RANGE SELECT
UV FLUORESCENCE
    POWER ON/OFF
    FURNACE CURRENT MODE 1
    FURNACE CURRENT MODE 2
    FURNACE CURRENT MODE }
    FURNACE CURRENT MODE }
    CALIBRATE MODE ON/DFF
ION MASS SPECTROMETER
    POWER ON/OFF
    CALIBRATE MODE ON/OFF
NEUTRAL PARTICLE MASS SPECTROMETER
    POWER ON/OFF
    (2) STATE
ELECTRON TEMPERATURE PRORE
    POWER ON/OFF
UV SPECTROMETER
    POWER ON/OFF
RETARDING POTENTIAL ANALYZER
    POWER ON/OFF
    CALIBRATE MODE ON/OFF
    HIGH/LOW RANGE SELECT
STATE
(2) PULSE
(2) PULSE
```


COMMANDS - ORBITER
ELECTRICAI DISTRIRUTION

```
MEMORY ADDRESS COUNTER RESET PULSE
MEMORY TIME COUNTER RESET PULSE
CMO MEMORY PROGRAMMER ENABLE PULSE
CMO MEMORY PROGRAMMER DISABLE PULSE
MEMORY TIME REGISTER UPDATE
MEMORY ADDRESS PRE-SET
UNDERVOLTAGE OVERRIDE INHIRIT
UNDERVOLTAGE OVERRIDE NORMAL
CDU SELECT 5 VDC BUS A
CDU SELECT 5 VDC BUS B
RFCEIVER REVERSE INHIBIT
RECEIVER REVERSE ENABLE
SPACECRAFT ORONANCE SAFE
SPACECRAFT ORDNANCE ARM
CMD PROC I SELECT
CMD PROC 2 SELECT
EQUIP CONV FAULT ISOLATION RELAYS
EXTEND MAGNETOMETER BOOM
RETRACT MAGNETOMETER ROOM
ARM SRM DRDNANCE (MOTOR DRIVE)
SAFE SRM ORDNANCE (MOTOR ORIVE)
FIRE SRM ORDNANCE
EJECT NEUTRAL MASS SPECTROMETER ION CAP
EJECT UV SPECTROMETER SUN COVER
```

pulse
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
SERIAL
SERIAL
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
(8) PULSE (THOR/DELTA)

PULSE
puldse
state
state
(2) PULSE (STORED)

PULSE (THOR/DELTA)
PULSE (THOR/DELTA)

| RELEASE ELECTRON TEMPFRATURE PROBE |  | PULSE <br> PULSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RELEASE RADAR ALTIMETER ANTENNA |  |  |
| RELEASE RAM PLATFORM |  | PULSE |
| ELECTRICAL POWER |  |  |
| battery aljtomatic charge enable |  | PULSE |
| BATTERY MAX CHARGE |  | PULSE |
| TRICKLE CHARGE (0.3A) |  | PULSE |
| TRICKLE CHARGE (0.15a) |  | PULSE |
| BATTERY DISCHARGF ENABLE |  | pulse |
| battery discharge disable |  | PUISE |
| BATTERY HIGH TEMP PROTECTION ON |  | PULSE |
| BATTERY HIGH TEMP PRDTECTION OFF |  | PULSE |
| BATTERY RECONDITION/DISCONNECT | (2) | PULSE |
| CTRF INVERTER TRANSFER RELAY SELECT | (2) | PULSE |
| DATA HANDLING |  |  |
| DSU CONFIGURATION SELECT | (4) | PULSE |
| DTU A SELECT |  | PULSE |
| DTU B SELECT |  | PULSE |
| DTU CMD WORDS 1-39 |  | SERIAL |
| High/Low altitude store format select | (2) | PULSE (STORED) |
| SCiENCE data storage enable | (2) | PULSE (STORED) |

## COMMUNICATIONS

```
RECEIVER A COHERENT MODE ENABLE STATE
RECEIVER A COHERENT MODE DISABLE \ddots STATE
RECEIVER B COHERENT MODE ENABLE STATE
RECEIVER B CDHERENT MODE DISABLE STATE
POWER AMP A ON
POWER AMP A OFF
POWER AMP B ON
POWER AMP B OFF
POWER AMP C ON
POWER AMP C OFF
POWER AMP D ON
POWER AMP D OFF
POWER AMP DRIVER. A SELECT (B OFF)
POWER AMP DRIVER B SELECT (A OFF)
TRANSFER SWITCH 1 TO POSITION 1
TRANSFER SWITCH 1 TO POSITION 2
TRANSFER SWITCH 2 TO POSITION 1
TRANSFER SWITCH 2 TO POSITION 2
TRANSFER SWITCH 3 TO POSITION I
TRANSFER SWITCH 3 TO POSITION 2
TRANSFER SWITCH 4 TO POSITION 1
TRANSFER SWITCH 4 TO POSITION 2
TRANSFER SWITCH 5 TO POSITION 1
TRANSFER SWITCH 5 TO POSITION 2
TRANSFER SWITCH 6 TO POSITION 1
TRANSFER SWITCH 6 TO POSITION 2
CONSCAN THRESHOLD HIGH
CONSCAN THRESHOLD LOW
CONSCAN ON
CONSCAN OFF
CONSCAN O OEGREE PHASE
CONSCAN 180 DEGREE PHASE
X-BAND XMTR ON/OFF
```


## ATTITUDE CONTROL

```
SEQUENCE STEP
STANDBY POWER ON (PSE ON, DSL OFF)
PROGRAM STORAGE AND EXECUTE OFF
ARM REGISTER 1 (FOLLOWED BY 4 SERIAL CMDS)
ARM REGISTER 2 (FOLLOWED BY 4 SERIAL CMDS)
ARM REGISTER 3 (FOLLOWED BY 4 SERIAL CMDS)
PULSE LENGTH 1, 31.2 MSEC
PULSE LENGTH 2, 62.5 MSEC
PULSE LENGTH 3. 125.0 MSEC
PULSE LENGTH 4, 1.0 SEC
PULSE LENGTH 5, 2.0 SEC
AXIAL PAIR I SEIECT
AXIAL PAIR 2 SELECT
DELTA V PAIR I SELECT
DELTA V PAIR 2 SELECT
TRANSVERSE DIRECTION UP
TRANSVERSE DIRECTION DOWN
AXIAL PULSE, O DET
AXIAL PULSE, 90 DEG
AXIAL PULSE, 180}
AXIAL PULSE, 270 DET
REAL-TIME AXIAL PULSE
REAL-TIME TRANSVERSE PULSE
PEAL-TIME DELTA V PULSE
DELTA V/SCT MODE ENABLE
ClOCK RESET
SELECT SUN SENSOR OUTPUT A
SELECT SUN SENSOR OUTPUT R
SELECT DTU A CLOCK OUTPUT
SELECT DTU B CLOCK OUTPUT
DURATION/STEER LOGIC 1 SELECT (DSL 1 ON, 2 OFF)
DURATION/STEER LOGIC 2 SEI.ECT (DSL 2 ON, 1 ON)
CEA COMMAND WORDS 1-12
```

PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
.PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
PULSE
SERIAL
THERMAL

| PROPELLANT HEATERS ENABLE/DISABLE | (2) PULSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| RAM PLATFORM HEATER ENABLE/DISABLE | (2) PULSE |
| SRM HEATER ENABLE/DISABLE | (2) PULSE |

SCIENCE

```
MAGNETOMETER
POWER ON/OFF
CALIBRATE MODE ON/OFF
```

(2) STATE

HIGH/LOW RANGE SELECT
(2) PULSE
(2) PULSE

UV SPECTROMETER
POWER DN /OFF
CALIBRATE MODE ON./OFF
high data rate enable
(2) STATE
(2) PULSE

PULSE (STORED)
ION MASS SPECTROMETER
POWER ON/OFF
CALIBRATE MODE ON/OFF
MODE 1 ON/OFF
MODE 2 ON/OFF
MODE 3 DN/DFF
MODE 4 ON/OFF
(2) STATE
(2) PULSE
(2) PULSE
(2) PULSE
(2) PULSE
(2) PULSE

POWER ON/DFF (2) STATE

```
NEUTRAL PARTICLE MASS SPECTROMETER
    POWER ON/OFF
(2) STATE
```

ELECTRON TEMPERATIJE PROREPOWER ON/OFF(2) state
RADAR ALTIMETER
POWER ON STATE
POWER DFFPULSE (STORED)MEMORY POWER OFF SIGNAL ENABLE/DISABLECALIBRATE MODE ON/OFFXMTR ENABLE, ANT PROGR STARTPULSE(2) PULSEXMTR DISABLE, ANT PROGR STOPSOLAR WIND ANALYZERPOWER ON/GFF
PULSE (STORED)PULSE (STORED)
(SCIENCE IV)(2) STATE
mode control
(6) PULSE
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## APPENDIX 8.4B

## STORED COMMAND PROGRAMMER

The stored command programmer (SCP) is capable of storing 16 ground commands and associated time tags for later execution. The decision for execution is based on equality of the stored time code when compared with a master counter being incremented by the DTU clock. Each stored command is 8 bits and each associated time tag is 16 bits, providing a resolution of 2 seconds within a maximum delay period of 36. 4 hours. The SCP characteristics are summarized in Table 8. 4B-1.

Table 8. 4B-1. Stored Command Programmer Characteristics

```
16 Stored programmable commands plus 16 redundant
```

I STORED ARM COMMAND (HARDWIRED) PLUS I REDUNDANT

STORED TIME DATA - 16 BITS WITH A RESOLUTION OF 2 SECONDS AND A MAXIMUM TIME TAG OF 36.4 HOURS

STORED COMMAND DATA - B BITS

ADDRESS POINTER AND IIME COUNTER ARE PRESETTABLE FOR PROGRAM EXECUTION flexiblity

FAIL SAFE OPERATION FOR ORDNANCE FIRING

CHARACTERISTICS:

- COMPONENT COUNT: $160 \mathrm{IC}, 40$ MISCELLANEOUS PARTS
- POWER DISSIPATION: STANDBY - 50 MW

PROCESSING - 750 MW

- requires one board per programmer, two for redundancy
- WEIGHT: 0.2 KG ( 0.45 LB) NONREDUNDANT
0.4 KG (0.9 LB) WITH REDUNDANCY

The SCP operation can be divided into three phases: programmer data load, memory verify, and command processing. Data loading consists of writing or inserting data into a CMOS memory (256 by 2) and normally begins at memory address 0 . An option of presetting the address by command is available for loading single commands or blocks of stored commands anywhere in the memory. The memory itself is subdivided into two sectors; one for time codes and one for commands. Each
can be controlled individually, which is the case during data load. Sixteen bits of time data are loaded 1 bit at a time into ram-1 in successive addresses, as in 8 bits of command data into ram-2. The SCP schematic is shown in Figure 8.4B-1.

Memory verify is a complete readout or retrieval of the memory contents through telemetry for bit-by-bit comparison on the ground. The readout begins at address 0 and progresses sequentially through the 256 address locations. The verify data will be inserted into a $D$ format requiring 6.0 seconds to dump 512 memory bits at $128 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{s}$ (includes telemetry inefficiencies).

After data load and verify, command processing will be initiated. Every 2 seconds, each stored command (both time and command data) is read from memory; and the stored time is compared bit-by-bit in parallel with the 16 -bit time counter for equality. When equality is detected, the command data in the command register is serially transferred at a rate of $64 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{s}$ to the $C D U$ processor for further processing and execution. An execute pulse delayed from the data by 50 milliseconds and, generated by the SCP, is routed to the processor for execution of the stored command. Command processing requires that the two address counters operate together with the bit/word counter providing control information for the memory. This complete time comparison is conducted in about 8 milliseconds and permits loading of stored commands in a random fashion. The time counter can be preset by ground command in addition to reset to zero, or the counter can be reset by one of the stored commands. This enhances program flexibility.

Fail-safe stored command operation is necessary for ordnance activation of the retrorocket. This is achieved by using a clock detector which will inhibit the SCP if the DTU $32-\mathrm{kHz}$ clock has failed by increas ing (greater than two times) in frequency. The detector samples the 32 kHz with a fixed width pulse, thereby sensing variations in clock pulse width. Premature firing due to fast clocks is thus avoided. All other single-point failures are precluded by using a separately generated arm command. This arm command is isolated from the other 16 commands. Note that there are two arm commands (primary and backup) and two fire


Figure 8.4B-1. Stored Command Programmer Block Diagram ITypical of Two Redundant Boards)
commands (primary and backup) configured so that no single-point failure can cause either an early, late, or no-fire condition.

A maximum of eight ground commands are stored at any one time during the orbiter mission. The stored command programmer, however, was designed to store 16 commands on one board to optimize use of standard 256-bit CMOS chips while providing flexibility in operational use and allowing for potential increase in requirements. In addition, an active redundant capability maximizes reliability of precise firing of the orbit insertion motor.

The 16 -command capacity plus the 16 redundant commands are provided at an insignificant increase in cost, based upon only two or three logic components. The net result is a design offering the flexibility of storing 16 redundant commands or 32 nonredundant commands.

## APPENDIX 8.5A <br> CONSCAN/FANSCAN ATTITUDE DETERMINATION
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## APPENDIX 8.5A

## 1. CONSCAN SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The conscan system, performing successfully on Pioneers 10 and 11, will be used in the Pioneer Venus orbiter to provide the functions described in Figure 8.5A-1.


Figure 8.5A-1. Conscan System Functions

THE CONSCAN SYSTEM PROVIDES OATA TO TELEMETRY FROM WHICH THE THE CONSCAN SYS TEM PROVIDES OATA TO TELEMETRY FROM WHICH THE BY GROUND COMMAND, THE CONSCAN SYSTEM CAN BE ENABLED TO CONTROL THE AXIAL THRUSTERS AUTOMATICALLY FOR PRECESSING THE SPACECRAFT TOWARDS THE EARTH. PRECESSIONS ARE TERMINATED WHEN THE POINTING ERROR BECOMES LESS THAN A PREDETERMINED VALUE.

The principle on which conscan operation is based is shown schematically in Figure 8. 5A-2.

A block diagram showing conscan system components and interfaces is presented in Figure 8.5A-3. This system differs from the Pioneer 10 and 11 configurations in antenna size [ 0.13 meters ( 44 -inch) instead of 2.60 meters ( 108 -inch) diameterl, and the feed movement mechanism, which is not required for Pioneer Venus. Also, the antenna configuration is simpler because conscan is required with the high-gain link only.

The amplitude modulated antenna output is coherently detected by the spacecraft PLL receiver AGC. The AGC is required to remove conscan signal amplitude dependence on received carrier power level which

a) CONSCAN GEOMETRY

THE HIGH-GAIN ANTENNA HAS AN OFFSET FEED TO TILT THE RADIATION PATTERN BY AN ANGLE $\alpha_{\mathrm{o}}$ FROM THE SPIN AXIS. IF THE EARTH IS ON THE SPIN AXIS, THE ANTENNA GAIN (DA) WILL BE CONSTANT, WHEN THE EARTH ASPECT ANGLE IS $\alpha$, THE SPIN ROTATION CAUSES THE ANTENRA GAIN TO VARY CYCLICLY (BETNEEN VALUES REPRESENTED BY OC AND OB IN THE FIGURE), THUS CAUSING AN AMPLITUDE MODULATION AS SHOWN in b)

b) MODULATION PRODUCEO BY CONSCAN FOR SMALL POINTING ERRORS re, THE RECEIVER INPUT IS A CARRIER WITH SINUSOIDAL AMPLITUDE MODULÁTION.

Figure 8.5A-2. Conscan Principle of Oper ation
CONSCAN DERIVES ATIITUDE INFORMATION FROM THE AMPLITUDE MODULATION PRODUCED BY POINTING ERRORS AND THE SPACECRAFT SPIN WHEN THE HIGH GAIN ANTENNA PATIERN IS AT AN ANGULAR OFFSET FROM THE SPIN AXIS
varies over a wide range. For weight economy (smaller filter components and IF amplifier design simplicity) wideband AGC is used. The conscan signal (AGC control voltage) is recovered at the output of the AGC amplifier. The main receiver AGC requirement is a $40-\mathrm{dB}$ dynamic range with linearity of $\pm 10$ percent.

The digital conscan signal processor determines the amplitude and phase of the conscan signal. It utilizes an internal spacecraft roll reference for optimally processing the conscan signal with minimum transient effects. This unit utilizes the sine-cosine estimating process from which the phase angle and the amplitude of the conscan signal are calculated. Phase information is applied to a countdown circuit and converted to a firing pulse. Amplitude information is compared digitally with fixed threshold values for threshold detection. Digital circuitry is employed in the processor to take advantage of compactness and zero drift characteristics.






Pulses from the conscan signal processor are applied to the control electronics assembly of the ADCS subsystem for proper timing of preces. sion thruster firings. The threshold signal from the processor is also supplied to the control electronics assembly (CEA) and is used as an enable signal. The CEA utilizes a gated output signal (consisting of the threshold signal, the timing pulse, and a set-reset flip-flop controlled by ground command) to establish the validity of thruster firing. In the event that the conscan signal level should momentarily fall below threshold during closed loop operation, the control electronics assembly turns the conscan function off until receiving a ground command to continue the conscan pointing process.

The control electronics assembly also determines the length of the precession thruster firing pulses. Various step sizes can be used. The 125 millisecond pulse duration (approximately 0.25 degree step) will be used for open-loop precessions. The 33.125 millisecond pulse duration ( 0.038 degree step size) will be utilized for conscan precession to: 1) minimize the nutation amplitude, which gets amplified by the high-gain antenna and 2) minimize the possibility of limit cycling at the deadzone boundary. The control electronics assembly requires a ground command to select the proper firing pulse width.

Firing pulses will be issued once every two spacecraft revolutions to minimize the nutation amplitude that occurs during multiple-pulse precessions. Firing once per revolution is desirable, but it is not feasible because the conscan processor needs at least one spin cycle to perform the integrations required for phase and amplitude estimations.

A roll reference signal for the conscan processor is supplied by the spin period sector generator (SPSG). The SPSG receives its reference from the sun sensor assembly. The SPSG counts an internal clock for one spacecraft revolution and digitally divides this interval into a number of sectors for generating evenly spaced timing signals which are harmonically related to the spacecraft spin rate. The digital conscan signal processor utilizes the 512 th harmonic of the spin rate $\left(P_{512}\right)$ for performing its internal functions. Two operation modes are provided by the spin period sector generator: the spin period averaging mode, and the non-averaging
mode. The first updates the spin rate every 64 revolitions of the spacecraft and uses a 64-revolution average for its reference. The second mode utilizes an average based upon the period between the last two roll pulses and has a 1 cycle delay between updating signals. Both modes can be utilized for conscan operation and are selectable by ground command.

## 2. CONSCAN SYSTEM DESIGN AND PERFORMANCE

The orbiter high-gain antenna consists of the DSCS-II reflector and the Pioneers 10 and 11 feed horn, both without modification. The feed is offset by approximately 0.024 meter ( 0.9 inch) to provide a radiation pattern tilt angle of about 0.044 radians ( 2.5 degrees) from the $s$ pin axis.

A cross-section of the high-gain antenna radiation pattern is shown in Figure 8.5A-4, which also presents the analytical model used in a digital program (described later) for simulation of the entire conscan system.


Figure 8.5A-4. High Gain Antenna Pattern
THE RECEIVING ANTENNA PATTERN, SHOWN IN BROKEN LINES, HAS A HALF POWER BEAMWIOTH OF ABOUT 0.14 RAD ( 8.4 DEG). THE'FEED IS OFFSET TO PROVIDE A TILT ANGLE $\theta$ OF ABOUT
0.044 RAD ( 2.5 DEG), WHICH SETS THE-I-DB CROSSOVER POINT ON THE SPIN AXIS. FOR

SIMULATION PURPOSES, THE RECEIVING PATTERN HAS BEEN MODELLED BY THE FOLLOWING GAUSSIAN APPROXIMATION

$$
R(\alpha)=e^{-\left[0.0174 \alpha^{2}+1.16 \times 10^{-4} \alpha^{4}\right]}
$$

WHERE $\alpha$ IS THE ANGLE FROM THE BORESIGHT AXIS IN OEGREES. POINTS SHOWN IN THE FIGURE WERE OBTAINED FROM THE PRECEDING ANALYTICAL EXPRESSION

The Pioneers 10 and 11 receiver, with no modifications, is used in the conscan system. A simplified block diagram and transfer functions of the receiver are given in Figure 8.5A-5, and typical AGC characteristics are shown in Figure 8.5A-6.


Figure 8.5A-5. Conscan Receiver Simplified Block Diagram


Figure 8.5A-6. Receiver Characteristics
PART a) SHOWS THE AGC CHARACTERISTIC IS APPROXIMATELY LOGARITHMIC.
LINEARITY CAN BE ASSUMED OVER A VARY WIDE RANGE OF INPUT POWERS.
PART b) PRESENTS RESULTS OF CLOSED LOOP AGC RESPONSE MEASUREMENTS.
PART b) PRESENTS RESULIS OF CLMAED LYY AP SINGGLE POLE LOW PASS FILTER.

The S-band preamplifier establishes the receiver noise figure at 6 dB or better and determines conscan noise errors. The S -band signal is heterodyned to a convenient IF for controlled amplification. Since the receiver local oscillator is phase locked to the incoming signal, a
coherent amplitude detector is employed and the detected conscan signal is applied to the AGC loop filter. This filter is used to establish the closed loop response and the AGC noise bandwidth. Until an appropriate signal level is attained in the IF amplifier, the action of the AGC is delayed by the comparator and operates when the loop filter signal exceeds the reference voltage $e_{G}$. A relatively constant $I F$ signal level is maintained through the application of the comparator output signal to the control terminals of the AGC'd IF amplifier. In order to provide an error signal of sufficient amolitude to drive the conscan processor, an amplifier external to the AGC loop is employed which buffers the control signal and establishes the pointing error voltage sensitivity scale factor.

The closed loop AGC slope is inversely proportional to the IF amplifier attentuation, $K_{A}$, in $d B / v o l t$. Therefore, the AGC slope linearity as a function of the received carrier strength is mainly established by the linearity of $K_{A}$. The variation in the AGC slope also introduces a phase error. Howeyer, because of the wide AGC bandwidth, this error is small. Since the processor is basically an integrate-and-dump device, one would expect, ideally, a $\left|\frac{\sin x}{x}\right|$ frequency response about the spin frequency with nulls at multiples of $1 / 2$ the spin frequency. However, the square wave mixer reference signal contains odd harmonics, frequency $=\mathrm{n} \omega_{s}$, of relative magnitude $1 / \mathrm{n}$, which mix with interfering signals near these odd harmonics of the spin frequency and the resulting processor response as shown in the figure. As evident from these data, even harmonics are not significant and odd harmonics (primarily third) may be the dominant interfering signals.

The digital conscan processor is also identical to the Pioneers 10 and 11 unit. A block diagram and a functional description of the processor are given in Figure 8. 5A-7. The conscan signal, from the receiver AGC amplifier, includes the fundamental conscan signal and interference, which consists primarily of higher harmonics, high and low frequency nutation, and thermal noise. The input signal is high-pass filtered to block the DC, and then low-pass filtered to improve the input SNR. These filters will introduce small phase shifts and amplitude variations due to changes in spin frequency. The signal is then sampled ( 128 samples/cycle) and converted to equivalent binary data with a maximum of $\pm 7$ bits. The data are
then multipled by in-phase and quadrature square waveforms. Results are accumulated (integrated) for one conscan revolution. The processor requires an external frequency reference, which is provided by the spin period sector generator. This reference is used to derive the multiplying signals, which can be advanced or delayed ( $\pm 45$ degrees in 3 degree steps) to provide phase compensation for the system components. During the processing cycle, the phase and amplitude of the conscan signal are estimated. In reality, instead of phase, a timing pulse which corresponds to the positive going axis crossing is needed. This is accomplished by first computing $\tan \Phi$ and generating $\tan (360-\theta)$ as shown in the figure. The estimated axis crossing time corresponds to the coincidence between $\tan \Phi$ and $\tan (360-\theta)$. The $\cot \Phi$ and $\cot \theta$ are computed in odd octants to conserve processor size. This procedure used in calculating axis crossing time results in peak approximation errors of less than 0.044 radians ( $\pm 2.5$ degrees).

The amplitude estimate is computed approximately by the addition of the larger of the integrator output magnitudes to one half of the smaller. This results in peak errors of $\pm 6$ percent with respect to the optimum root sum square method. The resultant estimate is compared to a threshold which is set in 0.39 mV steps. If the threshold is exceeded, the firing pulses are enabled to the attitude determination and control system (ADCS). If the amplitude estimate falls below threshold, the ADCS terminates conscan precession.

Figure 8. 5A-8 shows conscan processor frequency responses predicted by analysis and obtained by tests. Superimposed on the graph are points corresponding to frequency response results obtained with the digital simulation program described in Figure 8.5A-9.

Figure 8.5A-9 is a block diagram of the digital simulation program developed for determining attitude determination accuracies provided by conscan telemetry data. As shown in Figure 8. 5A-4, a gaussian model of the antenna pattern provides very good fit within $\pm 0.122$ radian ( $\pm 7$ degree) from boresight. The model used in the simulation also includes capabilities to introduce ellipticity errors in every cross section of the pattern normal to the boresight axis. A Fourier analysis subroutine



Figure 8.5A-8. Conscan Processor Frequency Response


Figure 8.5A-9. Conscan System Simulation Block Diagram
THE ANINNMA IS MOOELLED AS GAUSIAN WITH ELLIPTICITY ERRORS, THE RECEIVER
 LIMITNG NOD DIGITAL OPERATIONS PROVIDING AMITNDE DATA FOR TEEEMETRY, IINEARIIESGG.
is used to compute amplitudes of the $D C$, and the first five harmonic components of the logarithmic output from the antenna pointing kinematics. The receiver is simulated by a constant gain ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{agc}}$ ) and a first-order lag using values given in Figure 8.5A-6. The digital conscan signal processor is simulated in detail, including input filter, sampling, quantization, limiting, sine and cosine square wave generators (spin synchronous), multipliers, and accumulators. Correlations between the conscan processor simulation and previous analyses and tests are very good, as shown in Figure 8.5A-8. Telemetry errors are neglected and linearized ground processing is assumed (calibration factor $K$ is taken as constant). The program has capabilities for performing Montecarlo sums with random inputs provided by a gaussian subroutine.


Figure 8.5A-10. Conscan Attitude Determination Errors
THE CURVE SUMMARIZES RESULTS OF A SIMULATION ANALYSIS OF THE CONSCAN SYSTEM OPERATING WITH THE HIGH GAIN ANTENNA SELECTEO FOR THE ORBITER, ESTIMAAIION ERRORS ( $3 \sigma$ ), BASED ON TELEMETRY DATA, ARE GIVEN AS A FUNCTION OF EARTH ASPECT ANGLE (SPACECRAFI POINTING ERROR, RELATIVE TO EARTH). NOISE EFFECTS ARE NEGLIGIBLE POINTING ERROR, RELATIVE THE TOTAL RECEIVED POWER WILL BE GREATEA THAN - 126 DBM. ERROR SOURCES INCLUDE $\pm 3,5$ MRAD ( $\pm 0.2$ DEG) MISALIGNMENT, 5 PERCEN SCALE FACTOR UNCERTAINFIES, 6.3 MRAD ( 0.36 DEG) NUTATION ANGLE, 5 PERCENT AGC NONLINEARITY, AND CONSCAN PROCESSOR QUANTIZATION AND SIGNAL LIMITING

Figure 8. 5A-10 shows conscan attitude determination error as a function of earth aspect angle (spacecraft pointing error relative to the earth). These errors are RSS, $3 \sigma$, and include contributions due to antenna misalignments, scale factor uncertainties, spacecraft nutation, and receiver and conscan processor nonlinearities.

Figure 8. 5A-11 shows effects of calibration curve approximation, and conscan processor nonlinearities, on attitude determination accuracy. Errors due to antenna misalignments are presented in Figure 8.5A-12 as a function of spacecraft pointing errors. Effects of spacecraft nutation on attitude determination accuracy are covered in Figure 8. 5A-13.

In the simulation, the conscan calibration curve has been approximated by a constant factor providing good fit for spacecraft pointing


Figure 8.5A-ll. Conscan Calibration Curve and Attitude Determination Errors due to Antenna Calibration and Conscan Processor Nonlinearities


a) CONSCAN ATIITUDE DETERMINATION ERRORS DUE TO SPACECRAFT NUTAIION. ANGLE. THE GRAPH CORREERONDS TO A MOMENT OF INERTIA RATIO OF 1,6 , WHICH
IS TYPICAL FOR HE PIONER VENUS ORBITER.


c) CONSCAN ATIITUDE OETERMINATION ERRORS DUE TO NUTATION VERSUS SPACECRAEI

CONSCAN ATITUDE DEIERMINATION ERRORS DUE TO NUTATION VERSUS SPACECRAR
POINTING. OFSEI
ATITIDE DETEMINATION ERRORS DUE TO NUTATION ARE AN INVERSE FUNCTION O ATTITUDE DEETERMINATION ERRORS DUE TO NUTATION ARE AN INVERSE FUNCTION OF SPACECRAT POINTNG ERROR. THE CURVE ASSUMES A CONSTANT NUTATION ANGL OF 6.3 MRAD ( 0.36 DEG) AND A MOMENT OF INERTIA RATIO OF 1.6
b) EFFECT OF MOMENT OF INERTIA RATIO CHANGES ON ERRORS DUE TO SPACECRAFT NUIATION ASSUMING A CONSTANT NUTATION AMPLITUDE OF G. 3 MRAD ( 0.36 DEC] (TYPICAL FOR THE PIONER VENUS ORBITR AFTER FIRING $12 S$ MSEC PULSES), JHE CURVE SHOWS ATTITUDE
OETERMINATON ERRORS PRODUCED BY NUTATION AS A FUNCTION OF SPACECRAFT MOMENT of inertia ratio.
errors in the range from 0 to 0.035 radian ( 0 to 2 degrees). Other scale factor values have been used, and the corresponding effects on attitude determination accuracy are shown in Figure 8.5A-14.


Figure 8.5A-14. Effect of Scale Factor Selection on Attitude Dete rmination Accuracy
VARIOUS LINEAR APPROXIMATIONS TO THE CONSCAN CALIBRATION CURVE ASSUMED IN THE SIMULATION ARE SHOWN IN o). THE CORRESPONDING ATTITUDE DETERMINATION ERRORS ARE SHOWN IN b) AS FLUNCTIONS OF SPACECRAFT POINTING ERROR.

Figure 8. 5A-15 shows results of a series of Montecarlo runs providing estimates of the effects of receiver thermal noise on conscan attitude determination accuracy. Error contributions due to noise are not included in Figure 8. 5A-10 because received powers will be greater than -126 dBm , and the small resulting errors can be reduced even further by averaging.

## 3. FANSCAN SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Fanscan differs from conscan in the following aspects:

- The antenna pattern is of the fanbeam type (instead of pencil-beam as in Pioneers 10 and 11)
- The spin axis orientation desired is perpendicular to the earth line
- Only error amplitude measurements are significant because phase angles are approximately equal to the sun-spacecraft-earth angle
- Automatic precession capability cannot be implemented without ground intervention (for commanding directions of precession).


An outline of the fanscan principle of operation and its main features is given in Figure 8.5A-16. The digital conscan signal processor can be used to derive attitude data with fanscan because it provides good rejection to even harmonics of the spin frequency.

The fanscan antenna is a shortened Pioneer array consisting of 10 elements. Pattern data are given in Figure 8.5A-17, which also shows points obtained by means of the gaussian approximation used for simulation.

Figure 8.5A-18 shows results of a Fourier analysis of the fanscan signals (as shown in Figure 8.5A-16) corresponding to various values of spacecraft pointing error.

The processor used with fanscan is identical to the Pioneers 10 and 11 conscan processor and, for this reason, it is not described here.


Figure 8.5A-16. Fanscan Principle of Operation


Figure $8.5 \mathrm{~A}-17$. Fanscan Antenna Pattern



$R(\alpha)=0^{-9.16 \times 10^{-3 \alpha}}$



Figure 8.5A-18. Fanscan Signal Harmonics



## 4. FANSCAN PERFORMANCE

The simulation described in Section 2 of this appendix was modified to include a model of the fanscan antenna kinematics. A limited number of runs were made because the Version IV science payload requirements could not be met by the fanbeam/fanscan configurations and, therefore, fanscan error analysis work was terminated.

Figure 8.5A-19 shows that the effects of sampling, quantization, and fanscan signal harmonics are negligible. Effects of antenna misalignments on fanscan attitude determination accuracy are shown in Figure 8. 5A-20.

So far, the antenna radiation pattern has been assumed symmetric about a plane normal to the antenna axis. Distortions invalidating this assumption may occur due to ground plane effects. Figure 8.5A-21 presents estimates of the attitude determination errors caused by ground plane effects, on the assumption that the corresponding distortions are symmetric about the antenna axis.


Figure 8.5A-19. Atitude Determination Errors due to Fanscan Signal



Figure 8, 5A-20. Attitude Determination Errors Due to Antenna Misalignments ATTIU DE DETERMINATION ERRORS ARE GIVEN AS FUNCTIONS OF EARTH ASPECT ERROR WITH ANTENNA MISALIGNKAENT ERROR AS A PARAMETER.

(RAD)

Figure 8.5A-2l. Effect of Ground Plane Antenna Pattern Distortions on Fanscan Attitude Determination Accuracy

GROUND PLANE EFFECTS ARE ASSUMED TO PRODUCE SYMMETRIC DISTORTIONS OF THE FANSCAN ANTENNA PATTERN AS SHOWN DIS TORIIONS OF IHE FANSCAN ANTENNA PAITERN AS SHOWN
IN THE FIGURE. ATTITUOE DETERMINATION ERRORS ARE GIVEN IN THE FIGURE. ATTITUOE DETERMINATION ERRORS ARE GIVEN
AS FUNCTIONS OF EARTH ASPECT ANGLE ERRORS WITH THE TILT ANGLE $\alpha_{0}$ AS A PARAMETER.
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## APPENDIX 8.5B

## DOPPLER MEASUREMENT OF SPIN AXIS ATTITUDE

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Figures 8.5B-1 and 8.5B-2 describe briefly the doppler measurement techniques proposed for attitude determination on the Pioneer Venus spacecraft. Both methods have been used successfully on Pioneers 10 and 11.


Figure 8. 5B-1. Spin Axis Attitude Determination by Doppler Modulation Effects
THE SPIN AXIS ATTITUDE CAN BE DETERMINED FROM CHANGES PRODUCED BY THE SPIN ON THE DOPPLER SHIFT OF RF SIGNALS FROM AN OFFSET ANTENNA. IF THE SPIN AXIS IS AT AN ANGLE $\alpha_{E}$ FROM THE EARTH LINE, THE DOWNLINK SIGNAL IS FREQUENCY MODULATED AT THE SPIN FREQUENCY. THE PEAK FREGUENCY DEVIATION IS PROPORTIONAL TO THE POINTING ANGLE G O DOPPLER MODULATION ALSO
PROVIDES PHASE INFORMATION WHICH, WHEN CORRELATED WITH AN ON BOARD SOURCE OF ROLL REFERENCE (i.e. SUN SENSOR), DEFINES THE SPIN AXIS ATTITUDE UNIQUELY, DOPPLER MODULATION SENSITIVITY IS MAXIMUM FOR POINTING ANGLES NEAR ZERO AND DEGRADES RAPIDLY FOR POINTING ERRORS APPROACHING 1.57 RAD 90 DEG).


Figure 8. 5B-2. Earth Aspect Angle Determination by Doppler Shift Measurement
AFIER A $\triangle V$ MANEUVER, THE VELOCITY CHANGE COMPONENT ALONG THE EARTH LINE IS OBTAINED BY DOPPLER MEASUREMENT. THE RATIO OF THIS COMPONENT TO THE PREDICTED VALUE OF THE MANEUVER EXECUTED GIVES THE COSINE OF THE POINTING ANGLE $\alpha_{\theta}$. THIS ATTITUDE DETERMINATION TECHNIQUE PROVIDES ONLY A MEASURE OF THE POINTING ERROR AMPLITUDE AND IT IS MOST SENSITIVE FOR SPIN AXIS ORIENTATIONS NORMAL TO THE EARIH LINE. IT IS PREFERABLE TO USE DOPPLER SHIFT ONLY IN THOSE INSTANCES WHERE A $\triangle V$ MANELUVER IS REQUIRED ( $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{e}$. MIDCOURSE CORRECTIONS, PERIAPSIS MAINTENANCE, PROBE BUS RETARGETING)

This appendix presents preliminary design considerations and error analyses on which attitude determination performance estimates for the probe bus and orbiter spacecraft are based.

Doppler tracking is a technique whereby the radial velocity of a spacecraft is determined by measuring the doppler frequency shift of the received RF signal on the ground. For accurate doppler tracking, the DSIF transmitter must operate at a precisely known frequency. In the majority of spacecraft tracking, the range rates are small enough so that the transmitter can be tuned to a constant frequency (called track synfreq) just after two-way acquisition, which will permit tracking by the spacecraft transponder with acceptable tracking loop phase errors.

The DSIF can acquire tracking data by the use of two types of doppler measurement. One-way doppler is obtained by observing the received frequency and comparing it with the assumed frequency of the spacecraft auxiliary oscillator. Two-way doppler is obtained by tracking the spacecraft in a two-way mode and comparing the uplink transmitted frequency with the received downlink frequency. Because of its much greater accuracy, two-way doppler tracking is the approach used in determining spacecraft trajectories and spin axis attitude.

A block diagram describing the doppler tracking system is presented in Figure 8.5B-3.

The master oscillator provides a stable frequency reference to a frequency synthesizer which establishes the ground transmitter frequency, ${ }^{\omega}$ GT . The ground station transmits an RF carrier signal which is received by the PLL receiver in the spacecraft. The received frequency at the input to the spacecraft receiver's first mixer is $\omega_{S R}$. This frequency differs from $\omega_{G T}$ by the uplink doppler shift, due to the spacecraft's velocity away from the tracking station. The spacecraft receiver forms an estimate of the phase and frequency of the received signal, coherently multiplies the signal frequency by the transponder turnaround ratio, $G$, and transmits a downlink RF carrier at a frequency $\omega_{S T}$. The transponder ratio for DSIF-compatible spacecraft is $240 / 221$ for S-band or 880/221 for S-band uplink and X-band downlink. The ground receiver observes a received frequency, $\omega_{G R}$, which differs from the transmitted downlink frequency by the downlink doppler shift. The ground station PLL


Flgure \& 5B-3. Doppler Tracking System Functional Block Diagram
receiver forms an estimate of the phase and frequency of the received signal. This estimate is compared with the frequency of the transmitted signal by the doppler extractor to obtain an estimate of the two-way doppler frequency shift.

Assuming the spacecraft receiver estimate of the received frequency is perfect ( $\hat{\omega}_{S R}=\omega_{S R}$ ), and the range rate $\dot{R} \ll c \cong 3 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, the estimate of the frequency received on the ground is given approximately by

$$
\hat{\omega}_{G R}=G \omega_{G T}\left(1-\frac{2 \dot{R}}{c}\right)+E_{1}+E_{2}
$$

where $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are the errors due to uplink and downlink phase shifts. The two-way doppler shift is defined as

$$
D_{2} \triangleq \hat{\omega}_{G R}-G \omega_{G T} \cong-2 G \frac{\omega_{\mathrm{GT}}}{\mathrm{c}} \dot{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{E}_{1}+\mathrm{E}_{2}
$$

The primary source of uplink phase errors is the charged particles along the propagation path. Downlink errors include effects due to both charged particles and spacecraft receiver delays. Plasma effects on doppler measurements are frequency dependent and, consequently, can be corrected when S-band and X-band differential doppler measurements are possible. Assuming a ground station transmitter frequency of $2.11 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~Hz}$, the two-way doppler shift is $D_{f}=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz} /(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$.

## 3. DOPPLER MODULATION

Assuming the geometry of Figure 8.5B-1, the instantaneous doppler shift about the average is

$$
f_{d}=D_{f} \omega_{s} r \sin \alpha_{e} \cos \omega_{s} t
$$

If $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is the maximum doppler frequency deviation, the spin axis pointing error is

$$
\alpha_{e}=\sin ^{-1} \frac{F_{d}}{D_{f} \omega_{s} r}
$$

Differentiating and averaging, the pointing angle estimation error is

$$
\Delta \alpha_{e}=\tan \alpha_{e}\left[\left(\frac{\Delta F_{d}}{F_{d}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\Delta D_{f}}{D_{f}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\Delta \omega_{s}}{\omega_{s}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\Delta r}{r}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Where $\Delta F_{d}{ }^{2}$ is the variance of the filter used for estimating the doppler modulation amplitude, $\Delta \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the doppler shift uncertainty, $\Delta \omega_{s}$ is the spin speed estimation error, and $\Delta r$ is the radial antenna misalignment (including mechanical misalignments and phase center displacements). For $\alpha_{e}=0$ the preceding expression reduces to $\Delta \alpha_{e}=\Delta F_{d} /\left(D_{f} \omega_{s} r\right)$.

Preliminary estimates of errors in the determination of the spin axis orientation by means of doppler modulation measurements are given in Figure 8.5B-4 for the Version IV science payload spacecraft. The figure also shows effects of estimation parameter variations on attitude determination accuracies for the Thor/Delta Version III science spacecraft spacecraft.

The amplitude of the sinusoidal doppler rate seen by the tracking station is given by

$$
\dot{D}_{2}=2 \mathrm{G} \frac{\omega_{\mathrm{GT}}}{\mathrm{c}} \omega_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} \mathrm{r} \sin \alpha_{\mathrm{e}}
$$

The tracking loop bandwidth ( $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{L}}$, in Hz ) required is given approximately by

$$
\left(2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)^{2} \cong \dot{\mathrm{D}}_{2} / \Delta \phi
$$

where $\Delta \phi$ is the allowed loop static phase error in radians. The maximum allowable spin rate for given $\Delta \phi$ and $2 B_{L}$ is

$$
N_{\max }=\frac{30}{\pi}\left(2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{c} \Delta \phi}{2 \mathrm{G} \omega_{\mathrm{GT}}{ }^{r \sin \alpha_{e}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Figure 8.5B-5 shows limits on spin speed for two antenna configurations on the assumption of allowable static error of 0.4 radian, a $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{LO}}$ bandwidth of 10 Hz , and a tracking loop bandwidth $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{L}}=25 \mathrm{~Hz}$.


Figure 8.5B-4. Doppler Attitude Determination Accuracies


Figure 8.58-5. Maximum Allowable Spin Speed as a Function of Earth Pointing Angle
MAXIMUM SPIN SPEEDS ARE GIVEN AS FUNCTIONS OF
POINTING ANGLE FOR TWO ANTENNA LOCATIONS. THE
PROBE BUS ANO OREITER OMNI ANTENNAS ARE OFFSE
ABOUT 3 FT FROM THE SPIN AXIS TO MAXIMIZE THE
COPPLER MODULATION SALETES TO ALIOW OPEBA BUS
AT $6.28 \mathrm{RAD} / 5$ ( 60 RPM ) DURING ENTRY.

## 4. DOPPLER SHIFT MEASUREMENT

Using the nomenclature of Figure $8.5 B-2$, the pointing angle $\alpha_{e}$ is given by

$$
\alpha_{e}=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{f_{\mathrm{ds}}}{D_{\mathrm{f}} \Delta V}\right)
$$

After differentiation and averaging, the following expression for the pointing angle estimation error is obtained

$$
\Delta \alpha_{e}=\cot \alpha_{e}\left[\left(\frac{\Delta f_{d s}}{f_{d s}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\Delta D_{f}}{D_{f}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\Delta \Delta V}{\Delta V}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

For $\alpha_{e}=1.57$ radians ( 90 degrees) the resulting expression is

$$
\left.\Delta \alpha_{e}\right|_{\alpha_{e}}=90^{\circ}=\frac{\Delta f}{D_{f} \Delta V \operatorname{ds}}
$$

Preliminary attitude determination error estimates, based on the preceding expressions, are given in Figure 8.5B-4 for the Version IV science spacecraft. The figure also shows effects of parameter variations on attitude determination accuracies for the Thor/Delta Version III science spacecraft.

## 5. ANTENNA TESTS

A test program was conducted to determine antenna phase center deviations occurring during rotations about the mechanical axis of symmetry, Relative phase measurements were made on the engineering model conical log spirals in question: the DSP antenna for the probe bus and the Pioneer 10 and 11 antenna for the orbiter. Maximum phase center displacement measured was $\pm 0.122$ inch at an aspect angle of 1.05 radian (60 degrees) from the axis. Although the measurements were made on the antenna in "free space," the measured phase center displacements are representative of those achievable with the antennas installed on the spacecraft with proper location and precision alignments. Antenna design requirements could include maximum phase center deviations of $\pm 0.25$ inch when installed on the spacecraft.


Figure 8.5B-6. Relative Phase Measurement Coordinates

The maximum phase center deviation $(\epsilon)$ from the antenna axis of rotation was determined from relative phase measurements as a function of aximuth angle ( $\phi$ ) as shown in Figure 8. 5B-6. The phase deviation in degrees, convertible to wavelengths, indicates the relative phase center deviation from the axis of rotation as obse rved from the respective antenna aspect angle. Measurements were made in 0.17radian (10-degree) increments in aspect angle $(\theta)$ from 0 to 1.05 radians ( 0 to 60 degrees). Test results shown in Figure $8.5 \mathrm{~B}-7$ indicate that radial phase center offsets in the 0.12 to 0.21 inch range, occur in the range of aspects from 0.52 to 0.87 radians ( 30 to 50 degrees). These offsets are included in the error estimates of Figure 8. 5B-4a), which are based on a conservative uncertainty allocation of
$\pm 0.5$ inch for misalignments. The estimated phase error introduced by test alignment and instrumentation drifts is $\pm 0.03$ radian ( $\pm 2$ degrees) ( $\pm 0.03$ inch).


Figure 8.58-7. Measured Conical Log 5piral Phase Center Deviations
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## STAR MAPPER SENSOR CONSIDERATIONS

Star mappers have been considered as a potential approach to improving attitude determination accuracy in case the need arises as a consequence of new requirements or changes of design ground rules.

Figure 8.5C-1 summarizes some of the most significant tradeoff factors involved in the selection of star mapper design requirements. Consistent with the minimum cost and weight philosophy adopted for the study, the following preliminary requirements were established:

- Accuracy in 0.008 to 0.017 radian ( 0.5 to 1.0 degree) range (without processing)
- Northern hemisphere view in Venus orbit
- Operation in normal-mode Venus-orbit attitude as baseline
- Some degradation of performance in other orientations (i.e., due to planet interference) is acceptable.


Figure B. 5C-1. Star Mapper Sensor Tradeoffs

A survey of existing and proposed designs revealed there is no instrument applicable to the Pioneer Venus mission that does not require extensive modifications or impose significant cost and weight penalties.

On the assumption that developing a light and simple new design may be more cost effective than modifying an existing one, various configurations have been examined in order to assess the impact of FOV and detector changes on shade size and weight, star availability, and sensor performance.

Table 8.5C-1 presents a summary of a preliminary survey of existing and proposed star mappers aimed at determining their applicability to the Pioneer Venus mission. The conclusion is that none of the designs listed is directly applicable. In most cases, the modifications required are so extensive that they practically imply complete redesigns of the units considered. Fields of view (FOV), apertures, and shade sizes are in general much larger than the ones required by the minimum requirements assumed for the Pioneer Venus application. The lightest sensors shown in the table either have never been built or do not provide star aspect information.

The sensor developed by Johns Hopkins' APL for the SAS-B program appears to be a potential candidate design on the basis of published infor mation. Further studies and tradeoffs will be required for defining whether adaptation of this instrument is a cost effective approach.

Four preliminary star mapper designs based on criteria and requirements outlined in Figure 8.5C-1 have been prepared. Two sensor configurations include photomultiplier tubes with S-20 spectral response and the other two use silicon detectors.

Table 8.5C-2 shows stars available for two fields of view in the normal mode orbiter orientation and the attitudes required for periapsis maintenance maneuvers. A field of view of 1.8 degrees has been tentatively selected to include one star brighter than $M_{V}=+1$ during each spin revolution, thus allowing operation with small optical apertures. A high threshold level is used in order to reject dimmer stars and reduce background noise. The sun sensor is assumed to provide an additional reference for attitude determination.

Table 8. 5C-1. Star Mapper Survey

| MANUFACTURER PROGRAM | Detector | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OPTICS } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { APERTURE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIELD OF } \\ & \text { (OIEN } \\ & \text { (OEGEES) } \end{aligned}$ | SENSITIVITY (MAGNITUDE) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPIN } \\ & \text { RATE } \\ & \text { (RPM) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ACCURACY } \\ & \text { (ARC } \\ & \text { MINUTES) } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { ANGLE }}{\text { SUN }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5(22 E \\ & (C M) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WEIGHT } \\ & (\mathrm{KGG}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POWER } \\ & \text { (WATIS) } \end{aligned}$ | APPLICAIION TO TRW PIONEER VENUS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & A S_{\mathrm{wE}} \\ & \mathrm{SAS}-\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | PMT |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \times 5 \\ & \text { N-ITYE } \\ & \text { SLITS } \end{aligned}$ | +5 | 0.1-1 | 1.0 | 40 | $25.4 \times 12.7$ |  | 0.65 | large optics necessitates large shade. ELECTRONICS INADEQUATE. BANDWIDTH NARROW. WEIGHT HIGH. REQUIRES EXTENSIVE MODIFICATION. |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { BBRC } \\ \text { OSO-7 } \end{array}$ | PMT | refractive | 10 V -TYPE | +4.5 | 30 | 1.8 | NIGHT <br> ONLY | $33 \times 10.2$ |  | 1.25 | large optics. large shade needed. EXTENSIVE MODIFICATION. |
| $\left.\right\|_{A T S-3} \operatorname{coc}$ | PMT |  | 12 | +2.5 | 100 | 1.5 | 28 | $15.24 \times 30.5 \times 45.7$ |  | 0.75 | VERY LARGE AND VERY HEAVY. NEEDS LARGE SUNSHADE. EXTENSIVE MODIFICATION. |
| $\underset{\text { SPARS }}{\text { CDC }}$ | CADMIUM SULFIDE SELENIDE | CONCENTRK <br> 2.25 IN . | 4 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | WORKS ONLY AT VERY LOW SPIN RATES. CLASSIFIED. FIELD OF VIEW SMALL. |
| CDC PIONEER VENUS (RROPOSED) | SILICON PIN PHOTODIODE | 2.1 IN . effective cASSEGRAIN |  | $(\text { SLLICON })$ | 75 | 3 | N/A | 8. $38 \times 14$ | 0.73 | 0.8 | NEVER BUILT. FIELD OF VIEW TOO BIG. WORKS ONIY ON BRIGHT STARS. |
| ${ }_{\mathrm{s}^{3} \mathrm{SFC}}$ | PMT | refractive 1.25 IN . |  | +3.5 | 4-7 | 6 (30) | 90 | $20.3 \times 3.8$ | 1.36 | 1 | DOES NOT GIVE ASPECI, EMI SUSCEPTIBLE. NO SHADE DESIGN. EXTENSIVE MODIFICATION. |
| HRC SCANNER | PMT |  | $6 \times 6$ | +3 | 60-120 |  | 45 | $54.6 \times 20.3 \times 40.6$ |  | 1 | VEry heavy and large. extensive MODIFICATION. |
| JOHNS HOPKINS APL SAS-B | PMT | refractive 2 iN . | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \times 5 \\ & \text { PARALLEL } \\ & \text { SITS } \end{aligned}$ | +5 | 0.1-3 | 1 | 60 | $2.13 \mathrm{DM}^{3}$ | 2.16 | 0.4 | NEEDS BANDWIDTH WIDENED. POTENTIAL PROCUREMENT PROGRAM. |
| KOLLSMAN PIONEER VENUS (PROPOSED) | SHICON | refractive |  | $\stackrel{0}{\text { (SILICON) }}$ | 75 | 18 (10) | 30 | $19.3 \times 11.4$ | 2.45 | 1.0 | never built. field of view too big. WORKS ONLY ON BRIGHT STARS. |
| TRW <br> PIONEERS <br> IO AND 11 | 5ILICON | REFRACTIVE CASSEGRAIN BOUWERS 2.5 IN . |  | CANOPUS | 2-5.8 | 10 (10) | 50 | $15.9 \times 11.4 \times 15.24$ | .1.14 | 0.5 | WORKS ONIY ON BRIGHT STARS. FIELD OF VIEW TOO BIG. DOES NOT GIVE ASPECT. |

Table 8. 5C-2. Star Availability (Arrow Indicates Preferred Alternative)

| CONDITION | STAR |  |  |  |  | DETECTOR RESPONSE <br> (AMP/CM ${ }^{2}$ ) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { DEGREES } \\ & \text { FOV } \end{aligned}$ |  | 11.3 DEGREESFOV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yale B.S. CAT. NO. | NAME |  | $M$ | COLOR | $\begin{aligned} & 5-20 \\ & \times 10^{13} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \\ \times 10^{12} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HIGH } \\ \text { THRESHOLD } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOW } \\ \text { THRESHOLD } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5-20 \\ (\mathrm{PMT}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | St | $\begin{array}{r} 5-20 \\ \text { (PMT) } \end{array}$ | SI |
| NORMAL | 7001 | $\alpha$ Lyr | VEGA |  | 0.03 | A0 | 0.803 | 0.438 | x | x |  |  |
| MODE | 5191 | $\dagger$ UMa | AlCAIO | 1.96 | B3 | 0.197 | 0.090 |  |  | X |  |
| ATTITUDE. | 4301 | $\alpha$ UMa | DUBHE | 1.79 | Ko | 0.090 | 0.133 |  |  |  | X |
| PMI ${ }^{*}$ | 2326 | $\alpha$ Car | CANOPUS | -0.7 | FO | 1.5 | 1.07 | X | X | $x$ | X |
| PM2 | 188 | ${ }_{\square} \mathrm{Cet}$ | DIPHDA | 2.09 | K1 | 0.698 | 0.100 |  |  | X |  |
|  | 8727 | $\alpha_{\text {P }}{ }^{\text {PA }}$ | FOMALHAUT | 1.16 | A3 | 0.267 | 0.17 |  |  | $x$ | x |
|  | 188 | $\beta$ Cet | DEPMDA | 2.08 | K1 | 0.698 | 0.100 |  |  | X |  |
|  | 2326 | $\alpha$ Cor | CANOPUS | -0.7 | FO | 1.5 | 1.07 | x | x | $x$ |  |
| PM3 | 7924 | $\alpha$ Cyg | DENEB | 1.26 | A2 | 0.254 | 0.163 |  |  | $x$ | x |
|  | 7798 | $\gamma \mathrm{Cyg}$ | SADIR | 2.2 | F8 | 0.071 | 0.075 |  |  | X | x |
|  | 7557 | $\alpha \mathrm{AqI}$ | Altair | 0.77 | A7 | 0.369 | 0.263 | x | x |  |  |
| PM4 | 4301 | a UMO | DUBHE | 1.79 | Ko | 0.090 | 0.133 |  |  |  | x |
|  | 7001 | $\alpha \mathrm{Lyr}$ | VEGA | 0.03 | AO | 0.803 | 0.483 | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | x |
|  | 1708 | $\alpha$ Aur | ARCTURUS | 0.09 | K2 | 0.514 | 0.606 | X | x |  |  |

Planet interference is expected in the orientations selected for the third and fourth periapsis maintenance maneuvers. However, the corresponding effects can be easily recognized and removed from the sensor output data.

Sun shade size is determined primarily by field of view, minimum angle between sun and sensor optical axis, and aperture size.

Photomultiplier tubes (with S-20 photocathode spectral response) are more sensitive than silicon detectors due to the essentially noise-free gain of secondary emission multipliers. Thus, PMT's require smaller optical apertures and, consequently, smaller shade sizes than silicon detectors.

Table 8.5C-3 shows shade sizes and weights as functions of detector type and FOV. For an 18-degree FOV, the shade required with a silicon detector : ${ }^{-}$about five times heavier than the one needed with a PMT.

Preliminary design characteristics of the four star mapper configurations considered are shown in Table 8.5C-4. All four designs are based on the same accuracy requirements.

Table 8.5C-3. Sun Shade Tradeoffs (Arrow Indicates Preferred Alternative)

| DETECTOR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FOV } \\ & \text { (DEG) } \end{aligned}$ | DIMMEST <br> STAR, MV | APERTLRE <br> D\|A (CM) | $\begin{gathered} W \\ (C M) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{CM})}{\mathrm{LT}}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{L} 1}{(\mathrm{CM})}$ | $\stackrel{(\mathrm{CM}}{\mathrm{C}})$ | SUN ANGLE (DEG) | WEIGHT (CM) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALTAIR } \\ & +0.77 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 4.26 | 22.96 | 21.56 | 17.89 | 9.93 | 45 | 830 |
|  | 11.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DUBHE } \\ & +1.79 \end{aligned}$ | 5.99 | 22.17 | 45.11 | 28.15 | 11.56 | 45 | 1630 |
| 5-20 | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALTAIR } \\ & +0.77 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1.86 | 10.01 | 14.98 | 7.80 | 4.33 | 45 | 160 |
|  | 11.3 | ALCAID | 2.40 | 8.88 | 18.07 | 11.28 | 4.63 | 45 | 265 |



Table 8. 5C-4. Star Mapper Design Characteristics

|  | SI |  | S-20 (PMT) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FOV (DEGREES) | 18 | 11.3 | 18 | 11.3 |
| SENSITIVITY | +0.77 | +1.79 | +0.77 | +1.96 |
| APERTURE (CM) | 4.26 | 7.98 - | 1.86 | 3.98 |
| SPIN RATE (RPM) | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| BANDWIDTH ( Hz ) | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| ASPECT ANGLE (DEGREES) | 34.3 | 37.65 | 34.3 | 37.65 |
| MiNimum sun angle (DEG) | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| SIZE* (CM) | $8 \times 8 \times 16$ | $10 \times 10 \times 20$ | $6 \times 6 \times 16$ | B $\times$ B $\times 20$ |
| WEIGHT (GRAMS) (SHADE INCLUDED) | 2430 | 4030 | 1360 | 2265 |
| POWER (WATTS) | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| ACCURACY (DEGREES) (NO PROCESSING ASSUMED) | $0.6 \mathrm{TO} 1(3 \sigma)$ | 0.6 TO 1 (3\%) | 0.6 TO $1(3 \sigma)^{\circ}$ | 0.6 TO 1 (30) |

*does not include sun shade, see sun shade trade off chart.

The PMT version with a FOV of 18 degrees is the preferred choice (in terms of size and weight) at the present time.

Configuration size estimates are based on experience derived from past designs. Improvements are possible since, for the purposes of the present tradeoff studies, no optimizations have been attempted because relative sizes are essentially correct.

In the solid state detector cases, most of the weight is due to the larger optics. In the PMT designs, the heaviest items are the PMT, the high-voltage power supply and the magnetic shield.
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APPENDIX 8.5D

## ANTENNA DESPIN CONTROL SYSTEM DESIGN AND PERFORMANCE

## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the Pioneer Venus orbiter configurations considered is a spin-stabilized spacecraft with its spin axis normal to the Venus orbit plane. For data transmission to the earth, it is required that the spot beam of a despun high gain antenna reflector be pointed at the earth with an accuracy of 0.017 radian ( $\pm 0.75$ degree) throughout the mission. Sun sensors provide an inertial reference line which is used to determine the earth's location relative to the spacecraft. Since the sun's position is measured once per spacecraft revolution, the antenna pointing error is sampled at the same frequency. A brushless DC motor supplies the necessary control torque.

## 2. CONCEPTUAL DESIGN APPROACH

A functional block diagram of the antenna control loop is shown in Figure 8.5D-1. For the initial despin maneuver, antenna rate control is required. When this inertial antenna rate is small, the position loop and the rate loop are both used. The rate loop is needed as part of the position loop in order to provide satisfactory control of the antenna pointing error during the relatively long position sampling period ( 12.5 seconds). This implies that the antenna rate has to be sampled much more frequently than the antenna position.


Figure 8.5D-1. Antenna Despin Control System

The antenna pointing error is measured in the following manner. A position pulse indicates the location of the antenna relative to the spinning spacecraft once per revolution. By means of a phase detector, the phase angle between the position pulse and the sun pulse is determined. The difference between this phase angle and the known sun-spacecraft-earth angle determines the antenna pointing error.

The selected analog compensation is a proportional-plusintegral control circuit. The control torque is proportional to the compensated antenna pointing error. Integral control is needed to offset any constant disturbance such as friction in the ball bearing assembly.

Two methods of rate measurement have been considered. For both cases about the same number of rate pulses per spacecraft revolution are required. However, the processing of these rate pulses differs considerably. The first method employs digital circuitry while the alternative design requires only analog circuitry. These two rate loop designs are discussed in more detail in the following sections.

## 3. DIGITAL RATE LOOP

A digital method for measuring antenna rate, the stability of the rate loop and some characteristics of rate loop performance are investigated in this section.

### 3.1 Rate Measurement

Consider the rate pulse train and counter shown in Figure 8.5D-2. The residual count, $R$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=R_{o}-\left(f_{c} / n\right) T \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$R_{0}$ - the initial count
$f_{c}$ - the counter frequency
n - number of rate pulses per spacecraft revolution
T - antenna spin period relative to rotor.


Figure 8. 5D-2. Rate Pulse Train and Counter

Set the initial count equal to the count accumulated in $(1 / n)$ th of a spacecraft spin period, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{o}=\left(f_{c} / n\right) T_{s} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, $R_{o}$ is the spacecraft spin rate bias. The residual count now becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\left(f_{c} / n\right)\left(T_{s}-T\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The antenna rate in inertial space is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{a}}=\omega_{\mathrm{s}}-\omega \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $T=2 \pi / \omega$ and Equation (4) into Equation (3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{2 \pi f_{c}}{n}\left(\frac{-\omega_{a}}{\omega_{s} \omega}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the residual count is proportional to the inertial antenna rate.
When the inertial antenna rate is near zero, we have $\omega \cong \omega_{\mathrm{s}}$ and Equation (6) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{f_{c} T_{s}^{2}}{2 \pi n}\left(-\omega_{a}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (6) shows that the rate measurement gain is proportional to the square of the spacecraft spin period.

### 3.2 Rate Loop Stability

Figure 8.5D-3 shows a linear model of the digital rate loop. The open-loop transfer function in the $z$-plane is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)-\frac{K_{m} K_{\omega}}{I_{a} T_{r}}\left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{s^{3}}\right)^{*} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $*$ denotes a z-transformation. After carrying out the z-transformation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=K \frac{z+1}{z(z-1)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{r}} / 2 \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~K}_{\omega} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $K$ and $K_{o}$ are the root locus gains in the $z$-plane and $s$-plane respectively.


Figure 8. 5D-3. Linear Model of Digital Rate Loop

The root locus diagram in Figure 8.5D-4 indicates that the rate loop becomes unstable for $K>1$. Choosing $K=0.3$ as the nominal operating gain, leaves a gain margin of 10.4 dB . The damping ratio associated with the critical closed-loop poles at $\mathrm{K}=0.3$ is 0.53 .


Figure 8.5D-4. Root Locus of Digital Rate Loop

### 3.3 Effect of Disturbance Torque

In order to determine the required rate sampling frequency, it is necessary to analyze the effect of expected disturbance torques. One of the major disturbances arises from friction fluctuations of the ball bearing assembly. If these torque fluctuations occur at the spacecraft spin frequency or higher frequencies, they have to be primarily controlled by the rate loop. The sampling frequency of the position loop is too low to effectively handle these disturbances.

For the sake of simplifying the analysis, let us approximate the rate loop by a continuous system as shown in Figure 8.5D-5. If $T_{d}=$ $\mathrm{M} \sin \omega t$, the magnitude of the resulting antenna pointing error is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha(\omega)|=\frac{M}{I_{a} \omega^{2} \sqrt{1+\left(K_{0} / \omega\right)^{2}}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Figure 8.5D-6 shows a plot of the peak pointing error per inch-ounce of disturbance torque as a function of the rate loop gain ( $K_{o}$ ) or bandwidth for $\omega=0.5 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}(4.8 \mathrm{rpm})$ and $I_{a}=0.24 \mathrm{~kg}-m e t e r^{2}{ }^{\circ}$ ( 0.177 slug- $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) which is the Helios antenna spin inertia. Assuming a maximum torque variation of 144.016 gram -centimeters ( $2 \mathrm{in},-\mathrm{oz}$ ), the rate loop gain cannot be lower than $9 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ without violating the antenna pointing requirement.


Figure 8. 50-6. Antenna Pointing Error Due to Sinusoidal Disturbance

The above result establishes a criteria for selecting the rate sampling frequency. An expression for the number of rate pulses per revolution ( $n$ ) can be derived from Equation (8).

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{K_{o} T_{s}}{2 K} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stability considerations require that $K=0.3$ and expected disturbance torque fluctuations require that $K_{0}>9 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$. Consequently, at the nominal spin speed ( $T_{s}=12.5$ seconds) the minimum value of $n$ is 188 ppr.

The foregoing analysis may be repeated by using the more accurate sampled-data model of the rate loop. This is done in the attachment to this appendix since it involves lengthy algebraic manipulations. The steady-state solution of the antenna pointing error due to a sinusoidal disturbance torque is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(k T_{r}\right)=\frac{M}{\omega I_{a} K_{o}}\left(1-\cos \omega k T_{r}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where k is an integer. Except for the constant term, this antenna pointing error agrees well with the result from the continuous rate loop model when $K_{0} \gg \omega$.

The constant offset of the antenna pointing error in Equation (11) initially posed a problem. For a linear system the response has to be sinusoidal if the forcing function is sinusoidal. As the rate sampling frequency is increased, the continuous, linear model of the rate loop becomes a more accurate representation of the actual system. However, Equation (11) indicates that the constant term is independent of the rate sampling frequency. In other words, the solution from the sampled-data model does not converge to the solution of the continuous model as expected when the sampling frequency is increased. Assuming that the derivations of Equations (9) and (11) are correct, it can only be concluded that the two models are not completely equivalent.

In order to check the validity of Equation (11), a short timeshare simulation (see the attachment at the end of this appendix) of the digital rate loop was developed. The simulation plots in Figure 8.5D-7 show the antenna rate and position errors in response to a disturbance torque of $72 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{cm}$ ( $1 \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{oz}$ ). Initially the antenna rate and position are zero and the disturbance torque is modeled as $T_{d}=\sin (t / 2) \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{z}$. Note that the antenna position error in Figure 8.5D-7 is in perfect agreement with Equation (11).

From the simulation results it becomes apparent that the antenna pointing offset is due to the particular choice of initial conditions. In


Figure 8.5D-7. Antenna Response to Sinusoidal Disturbance of $72.01 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}$ ( $1 \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{zz}$ ) Rate Sam pling Frequency: 200 PPR
deriving Equation (1), zero initial conditions for both antenna rate and position have been assumed. This gives rise to a constant position offset because rate and position have a phase diffeffence of 1.57 radian ( 90 degrees). When the rate is zero, the position is at a peak value and vice versa. In using the continuous model, the effect of initial conditions is excluded because only sinusoidal terms are considered.

The rate loop does not control a constant position error; this error has to be corrected by the position loop. But it is conceivable
for the case shown by Figure 8.5D-7 that the position error is zero whenever it is sampled once per spacecraft revolution. Thus, as a worst case the antenna pointing error may be as large as $0.00017 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{g}-\mathrm{cm}(0.7 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{oz}$ ) when the rate sampling frequency is 200 ppr .

To improve this situation, the rate sampling frequency has to be increased. A recommended value is 512 ppr . Figure 8.5D-8 shows the antenna rate and position errors for this rate sampling frequency. The peak antenna pointing error is 0.005 radian ( 0.26 degree) for a $72.01 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}$ ( $1 \mathrm{in},-\mathrm{oz}$ ) fluctuation of the disturbance torque. If the maximum torque fluctuation is $144.02 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}$ ( $2 \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{oz}$ ), the worstcase pointing error is about 0.009 radian ( 0.5 degree). Since the antenna pointing requirement is 0.013 radian ( 0.75 degree), this performance is acceptable.



Figure 8. 5D-8. Antenna Response to Sinusoidal Disturbance of $72.01 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}$ (1 in. -oz) Rate Sampling Frequency: 512 PPR

## 4. ANALOG RATE LOOP

The distinguishing feature of this rate loop design is the analog processing of the rate pulses. Unfortunately, an undesirable ripple voltage accompanies the analog rate signal and a low-pass filter is required to clean up the rate signal. The following discussion deals with the rate measurement process, the rate loop stability and several performance characteristics of the analog rate loop.

### 4.1 Rate Measurement

Antenna rate measurement is accomplished by the following process. The rate pulses trigger a one-shot multivibrator and thus produce a train of equal-duration pulses at a varying frequency. The average value of this pulse train is directly proportional to the relative rate between the antenna and the rotor. By including a bias for the known spacecraft spin rate, a measurement of the inertial antenna rate is obtained.

Figure 8.5D-9 illustrates an implementation of this process. When the rate error is zero, the average value of the pulse train $f(t)$ in Figure 8.5D-9 is equal to the spin rate bias and the unfiltered rate signal $r(t)$ contains only a ripple voltage. The average value of $f(t)$ is given by Ad/T where $A$ is the rate pulse amplitude, $d$ is the pulse duration and $T$ is the period of the pulse train.


Figure 8.5D-9. Rate Measurement Process
Figure 8.5D-10 shows the waveforms of the integrator and filter input signals when the rate error is zero. Note that the fundamental ripple frequency is equal to the rate sampling frequency. The peak ripple voltage is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{A d}{2 T}(T-d) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 8.50-10. Integrator Input and Ripple Voltage

Since $d / T$ is the rate pulse duty cycle and $T \cong T_{s} / n$, Equation (12) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}=\frac{\mathrm{kA}}{\mathrm{n}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
k=\frac{T_{s} d}{2 T}\left(1-\frac{d}{T}\right)
$$

The factor $k$ is referred to as the ripple amplitude factor.
The ripple amplitude factor varies as a function of the rate pulse duty cycle. Figure 8.5D-11 indicates that this variation is symmetrical about the duty cycle value of 50 percent and the ripple amplitude factor has its maximum value at that point. For better resolution of the rate signal, a pulse duty cycle larger than 50 percent should be used. For example, when $A=10$ volts, $d / T$ is 0.8 and $\mathrm{n}=500 \mathrm{ppr}$, the peak ripple voltage is 20 mV , while the bias signal for the spin rate of 0.5 radian ( 4.8 rpm ) is 8 volts. Thus, the 20 mV ripple corresponds to a maximum antenna rate variation of about $0.0012 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}(0.07 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{s})$. If a 20 percent duty cycle is chosen, the peak ripple voltage is still 20 mV . But the spin rate bias is now only 2 volts and consequently the 20 mV ripple corresponds to a maximum rate variation of about $0.0049 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}(0.28 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{s})$.


Figure 8.50-11. Ripple Amplitude Factor Versus Rate Pulse Duty Cycle

### 4.2 Filter Design

The filter has to be designed in such a way that it sufficiently attenuates the lowest frequency component of the ripple voltage, but on the other hand, does not reduce the required rate loop bandwidth. As mentioned earlier, the lowest ripple frequency is equal to the rate sampling frequency. The required rate loop bandwidth is determined by the system response to friction torque fluctuations. In order to best satisfy these two counteractive performance requirements, the filter should be a low-pass filter with a sharp cutoff characteristic. A filter with such properties is the Chebyshev filter.

The magnitude of the Chebyshev filter is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F\left(j \omega_{p}\right)\right|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon C_{n}^{2}\left(\omega_{p}\right)}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a scale factor which determines the amount of ripple in the filter magnitude and $C_{n}$ is a polynominal of $\omega_{p}$. The frequency $\omega_{p}$
is normalized by the filter cutoff frequency $\omega_{c}$. Choosing a four-pole filter ( $n=4$ ) and a 1 dB magnitude ripple, we get $\epsilon=0.26$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{4}=8 \omega_{p}^{4}-8 \omega_{p}^{2}+1 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The filter pole locations are determined from

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{4}^{2}(p / j)=\left(8 p^{4}+8 p^{2}+1\right)^{2}=-1 / \epsilon \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Retaining only the poles in the left-half plane, the filter becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(p)=\frac{0.245}{\left(p^{2}+0.278 p+0.987\right)\left(p^{2}+0.670 p+0.278\right)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The magnitude of $F\left(j \omega_{p}\right)$ for $0 \leq \omega_{p} \leq 1$ varies between 1 and $1 / \sqrt{1+\epsilon}$ or 0 and -1 dB .

The cutoff frequency for the filter given by Equation (17) is $1 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$. The filter expression for a different cutoff frequency is


Figure 8.5D-12. Ripple Attenuation by Chebyshev Filter obtained by substituting $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{s} / \omega_{\mathrm{c}}$. Figure 8.5D-12 presents the filter attenuation curve for $\omega_{c}=100 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$. Since the nominal spacecraft spin rate is $0.5 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$, the lowest ripple frequency in rad/s is equal to onehalf the number of rate pulses per revolution. For a ripple attenuation of 20 dB , at least 300 ppr are required.

If the filter cutoff frequency is increased, the attenuation for a given ripple frequency will decrease proportionately. This may be compensated for by increasing the rate sampling frequency. For example,
using a filter cutoff frequency of $200 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ will require at least 600 ppr for a 20 dB attenuation of the fundamental ripple frequency.

### 4.3 Rate Loop Stability

Except for the generation of the rate pulses, the analog rate loop is basically a continuous system. In the following stability analysis,


Figure 8.50-13. Linear Model of Analog Rate Loop
the rate loop is modeled as a linear, continuous system, as shown in Figure 8.5D-13. The first task is to determine what type of compensation $C(s)$, if any, is needed to stabilize the control loop.

The stability of the uncompensated rate loop is described by the root locus plot in Figure 8.5D-14. Note that a lightly damped pole from the Chebyshev filter dominates the rate loop response. The second complex pole moves towards the right-half plane with increasing loop gain. It is clear that compensation is needed for the highly oscillatory pole. The compensation design approach is to effectively cancel this pole and instead introduce two poles on the real axis.

A bridged-T network, as illustrated in Figure 8.5D-15, provides the type of transfer function required for the compensation filter. With the assumption of zero input source impedance and infinite output load impedance, the transfer function of the bridged-T network can be expressed as
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E_{2}(s)}{E_{1}(s)}=\frac{s^{2}+2 \delta_{z_{n}} \omega_{n}+\omega_{n}^{2}}{s^{2}+2 \delta_{p_{n}} \omega_{n} s+\omega_{n}^{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{R} \sqrt{\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}}} \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{z}}=\sqrt{\frac{C_{2}}{C_{1}}}
\end{aligned} \quad \delta_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{1+2 \delta_{z}^{2}}{2 \delta_{z}},
$$



Figure 8.50-14. Root Locus of Analog Rate Loop


Figure 8.5D-15. Bridged-T Network

Thus, selecting the zeros of the transfer function automatically fixes the pole locations.

For the design under consideration, let the compensation zeros be located at $-15.3 \pm$ j98 which is slightly to the left of the undesirable complex poles of the Chebyshev filter (see Figure 8.5D-14). The resulting compensation filter is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(s)=\frac{s^{2}+30.6 s+9840}{(s+14.9)(s+659)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the two compensation poles are located on the real axis.

The open-loop transfer function of the rate loop is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
G(s) & =\frac{K_{0} C(s) F(s)}{s} \\
& \cong \frac{2.45 \times 10^{7} K_{o}}{s(s+14.9)(s+659)\left(s^{2}+67 s+2780\right)} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

In the approximate expression for $G(s)$, it has been assumed that the compensation zeros exactly cancel two of the Chebyshev poles. Figure 8. 5D-14 shows the root locus plot of this transfer functions.

The compensated rate loop is stable for a gain as high as $40 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$. Choosing a loop gain of $10 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ assures a minimum damping ratio of 0.5 for the critical closed-loop poles. The gain stability margin is 12 dB . Thus, the analog rate loop has been satisfactorily stabilized, but the question remains whether this rate loop can adequately handle the expected disturbance torques.

### 4.4 Effect of Disturbance Torque

The antenna pointing error due to a sinusoidal disturbance torque is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha(j \omega)|=\frac{M}{\omega^{2} I_{a}}\left|\frac{1}{1+G(j \omega)}\right| \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(j \omega)$ is the open-loop transfer function of the rate loop. Assuming that the disturbance torque varies at the spacecraft spin rate ( $0.5 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ ) and has an amplitude of $72.01 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}$ ( $1 \mathrm{in},-\mathrm{oz}$ ), Figure 8.5D-16 gives the resulting antenna pointing error as a function of the rate loop gain.

For the selected rate loop gain of $10 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$, the antenna pointing error is about $0.00010 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}(0.4 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{in},-\mathrm{oz})$. If the maximum friction torque variation is $144.02 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}(2 \mathrm{in},-\mathrm{oz})$, then the rate loop gain has to be at least $12 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ in order to satisfy the antenna pointing requirement. It was pointed out earlier that the nominal operating gain may be increased without reducing the stability margins if the cutoff frequency of the Chebyshev filter is increased. However, a penalty is paid in terms of a higher ripple voltage.
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Figure 8.5D-16. Antenná Pointing Error Due to Sinusoidal Disturbance

## 5. DESPIN CONTROL SYSTEM WITH DIGITAL RATE LOOP

Figure 8.5D-17 shows a linear model of the despin control system with a digital rate loop. Antenna position is sampled once per spacecraft revolution. The analog compensation consists of a proportional-plus-integral control circuit and the rate loop has been described previously. This section considers the position loop stability and identifies several parameters which affect the transient system response. Finally, the effects of an additional rate feedback path (as an input to the proportional-plus-integral control circuit) are investigated.

### 5.1 Position Loop Stability

For the purpose of this stability analysis, the rate loop is treated as a continuous system. Thus, the rate loop transfer function can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(s)=\frac{K_{m} / I_{a}}{s\left(s+K_{o}\right)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$


$T_{3}$ - POSITION SAMPLING PERIOD
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathbf{3}}$ - RATE SAMPLING PERIOD
Figure 8.50-17. Linear Model of Despin Control System With Digital Rate Loop

The total open-loop transfer function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\frac{K_{\alpha} K_{m}}{I_{a}}\left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)\left[\frac{s+c}{s^{3}\left(s+K_{o}\right)}\right]^{*} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding the partial fractions, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{s+c}{s^{3}\left(s+K_{o}\right)}=\frac{K_{1}}{s+K_{o}}+\frac{K_{2}}{s^{3}}+\frac{K_{3}}{s^{2}}+\frac{K_{4}}{s} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{K}_{1} & =\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{c}\right) / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{o}}^{3} \\
\mathrm{~K}_{2} & =\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{o}} \\
\mathrm{~K}_{3} & =\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{~K}_{1} \\
\mathrm{~K}_{4} & =-\mathrm{K}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the $z$-transform of the expanded terms, yields the results

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{s+c}{s^{3}\left(s+K_{o}\right)}\right]^{*}=\frac{K_{1} z}{z-b}+\frac{K_{2} T_{s}^{2} z(z+1)}{2(z-1)^{3}}+\frac{K_{3} T_{s} z}{(z-1)^{2}}+\frac{K_{4} z}{z-1} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
b=e^{-K_{o} T_{s}}
$$

After combining all terms over a common demoninator, the final expression for the open-loop transfer function becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=K \frac{\left(z^{2}+a_{1} z+a_{o}\right)}{(z-1)^{2}(z-b)} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{o}=2 K_{o} K_{1}(1-b)-c T_{s}{ }^{2} b+2 K_{o}{ }^{2} K_{1} T_{s} b \\
& m_{1}=4 K_{o} K_{1}(1-b)+c T_{s}^{2}(1-b)-2 K_{o}^{2} K_{1} T_{s}(1+b) \\
& m_{2}=2 K_{o} K_{1}(1-b)+c T_{s}^{2}+2 K_{o}^{2} K_{1} T_{s} \\
& a_{o}=m_{o} / m_{2} \\
& a_{1}=m_{1} / m_{2} \\
& K
\end{aligned}
$$

The expression for the root locus gain (K) can be simplified by making the following assumptions: $K_{o} \gg c$ and $b \cong 0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K} \cong \frac{\mathrm{~K}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{K}_{\omega}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{cT}_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}}{2}+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{o}}}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (27) indicates how the root locus gain varies as a function of the position sampling period or spacecraft spin period. Recall, however, that the rate measurement gain $K_{\omega}$ is also directly proportional to the square of the spin period.

A typical root locus plot of the position loop is presented in Figure 8.5D-18. For this plot the integrator gain (c) is $0.02 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$, the rate loop gain ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) is $10 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ and the position sampling period ( $T_{s}$ ) is 12.5 seconds. Choosing $K=0.6$ as the nominal operating point provides a gain stability margin of 11.7 dB and a damping ratio of
0.65 for the critical closed-loop poles. If the rate loop gain is increased, the system response becomes slightly more damped. When $K_{o}=25 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}(512 \mathrm{ppr})$, the damping ratio of the critical poles is 0.70 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { RATE LOOP GAIN: } \quad k_{o}=10 \mathrm{RAD} / \mathrm{s} \\
& \text { INTEGRATOR GAIN: } c=0.02 \mathrm{RAD} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\text { OPEN-LOOP TRANSFER FUNCTION: } G(z)=K \frac{(z-0.011(z-0.75)}{z(z-1)^{2}}
$$

Figure 8. 50-18. Root Locus of Position Loop with Digital Rate Feedback

### 5.2 Transient Response of Position Loop

The transient response of the position loop is characterized by the damping ratio of the dominant complex pole of the system. It has already been pointed out that variations of the rate loop gain slightly affect the transient response of the position loop. Two other parameters, which need to be considered in this respect, are the integrator gain and the spacecraft spin speed.

First, let us review how the damping ratio $\zeta$ is related to a complex root in the $z$-plane. In the $s \mu$ plane the damping ratio can be expressed as $\zeta=\sin \beta$ where $\beta$ is the angle between the imaginary axis
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and a line drawn from the origin to the location of the complex root. This angle $\beta$ is related to the real ( $z_{\mathbf{r}}$ ) and imaginary ( $z_{i}$ ) parts of a z-plane root as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \beta=\frac{-\log |z|}{\tan ^{-1}\left(z_{i} / z_{r}\right)} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
|z|=\sqrt{z_{r}^{2}+z_{i}^{2}}
$$

The $z$-plane locus for a constant damping ratio is a logarithmic spiral except when $\beta=0$ radian or $\beta=1.57$ radian ( 90 degrees).


Figure 8.5D-19. Damping of Critical Closed-Loop Poles Versus Integrator Gain

Figure 8.5D-19 illustrates the effect of the integrator gain on the system's transient response. The damping ratio of the critical closed-loop poles is plotted as a function of the integrator gain. While the integrator gain is varied, the root locus gain is held constant at $K=0.6$. The transient response of the position loop becomes
more and more oscillatory and eventually goes unstable as the integrator gain is increased. For the selected value ( $0.02 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ ) of the integrator gain, the damping ratio is 0.65 .

Integral control is needed to counteract any constant disturbance in the control loop. It is desirable to use the highest acceptable value of the integrator gain because it improves the system's transient response to sudden shifts in disturbance levels, such as friction step changes in the ball bearing assembly. However, Figure 8.5D-19 indicates that the integrator gain may not be increased arbitrarily because it strongly affects the control loop response. This aspect of control system performance should be further evaluated by means of a simulation.

Spacecraft spin speed variations primarily affect the root locus gain and to a lesser extent, the shape of the root locus plot. Equation (27) describes the dependence of the root locus gain on the spacecraft spin period. Taking into account that the rate measurement gain ( $\mathrm{K}_{\omega}$ ) is proportional to the square of the spin period (see Equation (6)), the root locus gain is almost directly proportional to the spacecraft spin speed. Figure 8.5D-20 shows that the damping ratio of the critical closed-loop poles also varies almost linearly with spin speed. For the spin speed range of 0.42 to $0.63 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}(4$ to 6 rpm ) the lowest damping ratio is about 0.5 .

### 5.3 Two Rate Feedback Paths

An interesting modification of the basic control loop as shown in Figure 8.5D-17 has been made in the despin control system for the Helios spacecraft. Two rate feedback paths are used; they enter the forward path before and after the proportional-plus-integral control circuit. Figure 8.5D-21 locates the additional rate feedback path.

The output to input transfer function of this modified rate loop is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(s)=\frac{\left(K_{c} K_{m} / I_{a}\right)(s+c)}{s\left[s^{2}+(a+b) s+b c\right]} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=K_{a} K_{m} / I_{a} \\
& b=K_{b} K_{c} K_{m} / I_{a}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 8.5D-20. Damping of Critical Closed-Loop Poles Versus Spin Speed


Figure 8.50-21. Modified Rate Loop
If the control gains are chosen such that $b \gg a \gg c$, one can make the following approximation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2}+(a+b) s+b c \cong(s+a+b)(s+c) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rate loop transfer function can now be approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(s) \cong \frac{K_{c} K_{m} / I_{a}}{s(s+b)} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the zero due to the integral control circuit has been effectively cancelled. This is a significant result because it removes the destabilizing effect of the integrator gain from the position loop response. Previously, stability considerations dictated the maximum acceptable value of the integrator gain. With the modified rate loop, it is possible to use a higher integrator gain which improves the convergence characteristics of the control system.

The open-loop transfer function of the position loop has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\frac{K_{\alpha} K_{c} K_{m}}{I_{a}}\left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)\left[\frac{1}{s^{2}(s+b)}\right]^{*} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding by partial fractions, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{s^{2}(s+b)}=\frac{1}{b^{2}}\left(\frac{b}{s^{2}}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{s+b}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the z-transform of the expanded terms yields the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{1}{s^{2}(s+b)}\right]^{*}=\frac{1}{b^{2}}\left[\frac{b T_{s^{2}}}{(z-1)^{2}}-\frac{z}{z-1}+\frac{z}{z-h}\right] \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
h=e^{-b T_{s}}
$$

After combining all terms over a common denominator and simplifying, the final expression becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=K \frac{z+g}{(z-h)(z-1)} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K=\frac{K_{\alpha} K_{c} K_{m}}{I_{a} b^{2}}\left(b T_{s}-1+h\right) \\
& g=\frac{1-b h T_{s}-h}{b T_{s}-1+h}
\end{aligned}
$$

The parameter b represents the loop gain of the outer rate loop. Using $\mathrm{b}=10 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}=12.5$ seconds, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z) \cong K \frac{z+0.008}{z(z-1)} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{K} \cong \frac{\mathrm{~K}_{\alpha} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}}
$$

Figure 8.5D-22 shows a typical root locus plot of the position loop with the two rate feedback paths. The system goes unstable for $\mathrm{K}>2.0$. However, the system's transient response is well damped over this entire gain range. Since the root locus gain is directly proportional to the spacecraft spin speed, this allows stable operation over a wide spin speed range, especially at low spin speeds.

It is easily shown that the antenna pointing error due to a sinusoidal disturbance torque is approximately given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha(\omega)|=\frac{M}{I_{a} \omega^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left[1+(b / \omega)^{2}\right]\left[1+(c / \omega)^{2}\right]}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression is valid for frequencies equal to or larger than the position sampling frequency. Unless the integrator gain (c) is as large as the excitation frequency, the pointing error is similar to that shown in Figure 8.5D-6. In Equation (37) b is the effective rate loop gain.


OPEN-LOOP TRANSFER FUNCTION: $G(z)=K \frac{z+0.008}{z(z-1)}$
Figure 8.5D-22. Root Locus of Position Loop with Two Rate Feedback Paths

## 6. DESPIN CONTROL SYSTEM WITH ANALOG RATE LOOP

Figure 8.5D-23 shows a linear model of the despin control system with an analog rate loop. The rate loop design is discussed in Section 4. This section examines the position loop stability and briefly considers the transient response of the system.

### 6.1 Position Loop Stability

The closed-10op transfer function of the rate loop can be approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(s)=\frac{K_{m}}{I_{a}}\left[\frac{s+a}{s\left(s^{2}+b_{1} s+b_{o}\right)}\right] \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=14.9$ from the compensation filter and the complex pole depends on the selected root locus gain. (For K = 10 in Figure 8.5D-14,


Figure 8.5D 23. Linear Model of Despin Control System with Analog Rate Loop
$b_{1}=11.1$ and $b_{o}=130$ ). The total open-loop transfer function of the despin control system is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\frac{K_{\alpha} K_{m}}{I_{a}}\left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)\left[\frac{(s+a)(s+c)}{s^{3}\left(s^{2}+b_{1} s+b_{o}\right)}\right]^{*} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding by partial fraction, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(s+a)(s+c)}{s^{3}\left(s^{2}+b_{1} s+b_{o}\right)}=\frac{K_{1}}{s^{3}}+\frac{K_{2}}{s^{2}}+\frac{K_{3}}{s}+\frac{K_{4}+K_{5} s}{s^{2}+b_{1} s+b_{0}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
K_{1}=a c / b_{o} & K_{4}=-K_{2}-b_{1} K_{3} \\
K_{2}=\left(a+c-b_{1} K_{1}\right) / b_{o} & K_{5}=-K_{3} \\
K_{3}=\left(1-K_{1}-b_{1} K_{2}\right) / b_{o} &
\end{array}
$$

In order to simplify the notation, let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}} & \mathrm{e}=\exp (-\alpha \mathrm{T}) \cos \omega \mathrm{T} \\
\alpha=\mathrm{b}_{1} / 2 & \mathrm{f}=\exp (-2 \alpha \mathrm{~T}) \\
\omega^{2}=\mathrm{b}_{0}-\alpha^{2} &
\end{array}
$$

With this notation, the z-transform of Equation (40) is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\frac{(s+a)(s+c)}{s^{3}\left(s^{2}+b_{1} s+b_{o}\right)}\right]^{*}=\frac{A_{1} z(z+1)}{(z-1)^{3}}+\frac{A_{2} z}{(z-1)^{2}}+\frac{A_{3} z}{z-1}}  \tag{42}\\
+\frac{A_{4} z+A_{5} z(z-e)}{z^{2}-2 e z+f}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1}=K_{1} T^{2} / 2 & A_{4}=\left(K_{4} / \omega-\alpha K_{5} / \omega \exp (-\alpha T) \sin \omega T\right. \\
A_{2}=K_{2} T & A_{5}=K_{5} \\
A_{3}=K_{3} &
\end{array}
$$

After combining all terms over a common denominator, the final expression for the open-loop transfer function becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=K \frac{z^{3}+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{1} z+a_{0}}{(z-1)^{2}\left(z^{2}-2 e z+f\right)} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{0}=\left(A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}\right) f+A_{4}+e A_{5} \\
& \left.\left.m_{1}=(f-2 e) A_{1}+(f+2 e) A_{2}-2(f+e) A_{3}+3 A_{4}-\right) 1+3 e\right) A_{5} \\
& m_{2}=(1-2 e) A_{1}-(1+2 e) A_{2}+(1+f+4 e) A_{3}-3 A_{4}+3(1+3) A_{5} \\
& m_{3}=A_{1}+A_{2}-2(1+e) A_{3}+A_{4}-(3+e) A_{5} \\
& a_{0}=m_{0} / m_{3} \\
& a_{1}=m_{1} / m_{3} \\
& a_{2}=m_{2} / m_{3} \\
& K=K_{\alpha} K_{m} m_{3} / I_{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

A typical root locus plot of the position loop is presented in Figure 8.5D-24. For this plot the integrator gain (c) is $0.02 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$, the rate loop gain ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) is $10 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ and the position sampling period $\left(T_{s}\right)$ is 12.5 seconds. Since the exponential terms are very small, the open-loop transfer function reduces to the form shown in Figure 8.5D-24. Choosing $K=0.6$ as the nominal operating point provides a gain stability margin of 11.7 dB and a damping ratio of 0.74 for the critical closed-loop poles. These stability characteristics are very similar to those of the position loop with a digital rate loop. Apparently the two different rate loop designs have relatively little effect on the total system stability.

> RATE LOOP GAIN: $\quad K_{0}=10 \mathrm{RAD} / \mathrm{s}$ INTEGRATOR GAIN: $C=0.02 \mathrm{RAD} / \mathrm{s}$


OPEN-LOOP TRANSFER FUNCTION: $G(z)=K \frac{(z+0.002)(z-0.78)}{z(z-1)^{2}}$
Figure 8.50-24. Root Locus of Position Loop with Analog Rate Feedback

### 6.2 Transient Response of Position Loop

Figure 8.5D-25 describes the effect of the integrator gain on the system's transient response. As the integrator is increased, the
response becomes more and more oscillatory and eventually goes unstable. This result is very similar to that shown in Figure 8.5D-19 for the despin control system with the digital rate loop.


Figure 8.5D-25. Damping of Critical Closed-Loop Poles Versus Integrator Gain

Two rate feedback paths as illustrated by Figure 8.5D-21 may be used to improve the position loop's stability for higher integrator gains. The analysis of such a system is complicated by the filters in the analog rate loop. Assuming that the ripple voltage causes no problems, the effect of the two feedback paths in the analog rate loop is expected to be similar to that previously discussed for the digital rate loop. The major benefit of this design approach is less sensitivity of the system to integrator gain variations. By increasing the integrator gain, the control system response to disturbances, such as bearing friction, will be improved.

## 7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The following two characteristics of the proposed Pioneer Venus orbiter strongly affect the despin control system design for the high
gain antenna: 1) the low sampling frequency of the antenna position error (once every 12.5 seconds) and 2) the extremely low antenna spin inertia $\left[0.24 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(0.177 \mathrm{slug}-\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)\right]$. In order to meet the antenna pointing requirement of 0.013 radian ( $\pm 0.75$ degree) in the presence of the worst expected disturbances, it is essential that error information is available more frequently than once per spacecraft revolution. This is done by introducing a rate feedback loop with a relatively high sampling frequency.

Two implementations of the rate loop have been considered. The basic difference of these two rate loop designs lies in the processing of the rate pulses; one employs a digital tachometer while the other one uses only analog circuitry. The analog rate loop is easier to implement but it has less design flexibility than the digital rate loop. Assuming that the ripple voltage from the analog process is adequately filtered out, the performance characteristics of the two different rate loops are quite similar. For either case the recommended number of rate pulses per spacecraft revolution is 512.

It has been shown that the transient response of the despin control system is highly sensitive to variations of the integrator gain in the proportional-plus-integral control circuit. If it becomes necessary to increase the integrator gain for better system convergence, a second rate feedback path may be used to assure stable operation. With two rate feedback paths (as illustrated by Figure 8.5D-21) the control system response is well damped for relatively high integrator gains.

Past experience indicates that one of the major disturbances of the antenna despin system will be the friction in the bearing assembly. The response to sinusoidal friction fluctuations has been briefly analyzed and found to be satisfactory. However, a further study is needed to evaluate the system response to bearing noise and step changes in the friction level. This is most easily done by means of a simulation.

There are two important nonlinear effects of the despin control system which also need to be investigated. The output of the proportional-plus-integral control circuit has to be voltage limited and the motor torque becomes saturated for large error signals. The linear
analysis determines the nominal loop gain necessary for stable operation. However, the nonlinear effects (i.e., hardware considerations) dictate how this total loop gain has to be distributed throughout the control loop for satisfactory system performance.

The performance analysis in this report assumes the use of the Helios despin mechanical assembly for driving the Pioneer Venus antenna. Thus, the disturbance model is based on test data of the Helios despin mechanical assembly. For equivalent or even slightly more severe disturbances, the proposed despin control system is capable of pointing the high-gain antenna of the Pioneer Venus orbiter at the earth with the required accuracy.

## ATTACHMENT A

This attachment presents the derivation of Equation (11) which is the steady-state antenna pointing error due to a sinusoidal disturbance torque. It is assumed that the excitation frequency is equal to the spacecraft spin rate and consequently, the rate loop has to control this disturbance. Using the linear model of the digital rate loop as shown in Figure $8.5 \mathrm{D}-3$, the antenna pointing error can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(z)=\frac{\left[T_{d}(s) /\left(I_{a} s^{2}\right)\right]^{*}}{1+K \frac{z+1}{z(z-1)}} \tag{A-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is the root-locus gain of the rate loop.
Let $T_{d}=M \sin \omega t$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{d}(s)=\frac{M \omega}{s^{2}+\omega^{2}} \tag{A-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The z-transform of the numerator in Equation (A-1) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[T_{d}(s) /\left(I_{a} s^{2}\right)\right]^{*} } & =\left[\frac{M \omega}{I_{a} s^{2}\left(s^{2}+\omega^{2}\right)}\right]  \tag{A-3}\\
& =\frac{M}{I_{a} \omega}\left[\frac{T z}{(z-1)^{2}}-\frac{z \sin \omega T}{\omega\left(z^{2}-2 z \cos \omega T+1\right)}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $T=T_{r}$ which is the rate sampling period. After substituting Equation (A-3) into (A-1) and simplifying, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha(z)=\frac{M}{I_{a} \omega^{2}}\left\{\frac{\omega T z^{2}}{(z-1)\left[z^{2}-(1-K) z+K\right]}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{z^{2}(z-1) \sin \omega T}{\left(z^{2}-2 z \cos \omega T+1\right)\left[z^{2}-(1-K) z+K\right]}\right\} \tag{A-4}
\end{align*}
$$

The inverse z-transform of $\alpha(z)$ represents the antenna pointing error at the sampling instants.

Expanding Equation (A-4) by partial fractions yields the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(z)=\frac{M}{I_{a} \omega^{2}}\left[\frac{M}{z-1}+\frac{\dot{k}_{2}+k_{3} z}{z^{2}-2 z \cos \omega T+1}+\frac{\left(k_{4}+k_{6}\right)+\left(k_{5}+k_{7}\right) z}{z^{2}-(1-K) z+K}\right] \tag{A-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the steady-state solution only the constants $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ need to be determined since the third expression in Equation (A-5) introduces exponentially decaying terms. The first constant is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=\left.\frac{\omega T z^{2}}{z^{2}-(1-K) z+K}\right|_{z=1}=\frac{\omega T}{2 K} \tag{A-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constants $k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ can be determined from

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{-z^{2}(z-1) \sin \omega T}{\left(z^{2}-2 z \cos \omega T+1\right)\left[z^{2}-(1-K) z+K\right]} \\
& =\frac{k_{2}+k_{3} z}{z^{2}-2 z \cos \omega T+1}+\frac{k_{4}+k_{5} z}{z^{2}-(1-K) z+K} \tag{A-7}
\end{align*}
$$

Equating coefficients yields the following four equations.

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{3}+k_{5}=-\sin \omega T \\
& k_{2}-(1-K) k_{3}+k_{4}-2 k_{5} \cos \omega T=\sin \omega T  \tag{A-8}\\
& -(1-K) k_{2}+k_{3} K-2 k_{4} \cos \omega T+k_{5}=0 \\
& k_{2} K+k_{4}=0
\end{align*}
$$

By solving Equations (A-9) for $k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{2}=\frac{a d+b}{a^{2}+b c} \sin \omega T \\
& k_{3}=\frac{c d-a}{a^{2}+b c} \sin \omega T \tag{A-9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}=1-\mathrm{K} \\
& \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{K}-1+2 \cos \omega \mathrm{~T} \\
& \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{K}-1+2 \mathrm{~K} \cos \omega \mathrm{~T} \\
& \mathrm{~d}=1-2 \cos \omega \mathrm{~T}
\end{aligned}
$$

If it is valid to use the small angle approximations $\sin \omega T \cong \omega T$ and $\cos \omega T \cong 1$, Equations (A-9) reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{2}=\frac{1}{2 K} \sin \omega T \\
& k_{3}=\frac{-1}{2 K} \sin \omega T \tag{A-10}
\end{align*}
$$

The steady-state solution of the antenna pointing error from Equation (A-5) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(k T)=\frac{M}{I_{a} \omega^{2}}\left\{k_{1}+\frac{k_{2}}{\sin \omega T} \sin [\omega(k-1) T]+\frac{k_{3}}{\sin \omega T} \sin \omega k T\right\} \tag{A-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is an integer. This solution is only valid at the sampling instants. By using the small angle approximations for $k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$, this expression reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(k T)=\frac{M}{I_{a} \omega K_{o}}(1-\cos \omega k T) \tag{A-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{o}=2 K / T$ which is the total rate loop gain.

```
    LIST
10 REM DIGITAL RATE LDOP SIMULATION
100 PRINT "TIME(SEC)","RATE(DEG/SEC)","POSITI|N(DEG)"
105 I=.177
110 K=9.6*1
115 N=10,S=200
120 R=12.5/S
130 D=R/N
135 X=0,Y=0,F=0
140 Q=57.3
145 T=0
150 A=1/192
160 W=0,M=0
200 FOR P=1 T0 1/R
205 E=-K*W
215 X2=X
220 G0SUB 500
SAMPLING
230 W=(X-X2)/R LOOP
245 NEXT P
250 PRINT T, Q*Y, Q*X
300 G| T0 200
500 FOR C=1 T0 N+.5
505 T=T+D
510 X1=X,Y1=Y,F1=F NNYNGNANTTON
515 M=A*SIN(T/2)
517 F=(E+M)/I
C00%
520 Y=Y1+(F+F1)*D/2
S30 X=X1+Y1*D+(F+F1)*D+2/4
55O NEXT C
6 0 0 ~ R E T U R N
$ RUN
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline TIME(SEC) & RATE(DEG/SEC) & POSITION(DEG) \\
\hline 1 & . \(8142739 \mathrm{E}-01\) & -39675269E-01 \\
\hline 2 & .14611106E+00 & -15572639E+00 \\
\hline 3 & \(\because 1750211 \mathrm{E}+00\) & . \(31965296 \mathrm{E}+00\) \\
\hline 4 & \(\therefore 16107987 E+00\) & -49131997E+00 \\
\hline 5 & \(\because 10770067 E+00\) & \(\because 62869737 E+00\) \\
\hline 6 & -27952591E-01 & . \(69815038 \mathrm{E}+00\) \\
\hline 7 & -.58639258E-01 & \(\because 68267446 \mathrm{E}+00\) \\
\hline 8 & -. \(13087417 \mathrm{E}+00\) & -58605868E+00 \\
\hline 9 & -.17106652E+00 & -43195794E+00 \\
\hline 10 & -. \(16937583 E+00\) & . \(25810148 \mathrm{E}+00\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
11
```
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PREFERRED SOLID PROPELLANT MOTOR FOR ORBIT INSERTION, ATLAS/CENTAUR

## APPENDIX 8.6A

## PREFERRED SOLID PROPELLANT MOTOR FOR ORBIT INSERTION, ATLAS/CENTAUR

## 1. MAJOR COMPONENTS

The design of the preferred Aerojet SVM-2 solid propellant orbit insertion motor is shown in Figure 8.6A-1. Major components include the safe and arm device, igniter assembly, propellant grain, motor case, and nozzle assembly. A weather seal is used in the nozzle to protect the grain from humidity. Aluminum electrical grounding straps, connecting the forward boss and the safe and arm device to the mounting skirt, are bonded to the glass filament-wound motor case.


Figure 8. 6A-1. Intelsat III Motor for Atlas/Centaur Requires Off-Loading of 7.2 kg ( 15.8 lb ) of Propeflant (4.5\%)

### 1.1 Safe and Arm Device

The Aerojet SVM-2 comes equipped with the Bulova KR80000 safe and arm device described in Appendix 8.6B.

### 1.2 Igniter As sembly

The igniter, manufactured at Aerojet General Corporation, Sacramento, consists of a primary charge and a main charge contained in a 181 glass cloth/Epon 851 epoxy-resin pressure vessel with blowout
ports. The 8 -gram primary charge of 2 A boron potassium nitrate (BPN) pellets is contained in a cavity between the 7075-T6 aluminum adapter and the glass chamber and in line with the safe and arm squibs.

The 136-gram main charge of 2 L BPN pellets is contained in an annulus formed by the ineer-wire flame screen and the ID of the glass chamber. A perforated disk at the forward end of the chamber retains the pellets.

Ignition firing current is received by two ES-003 squibs installed in a KR80000-09 safe and arm device. The output from one ES-003 squib is sufficient to ignite the BPN booster charge under hard vacuum conditions.

The BPN booster charge output exhausts into the 210 -gram 2L-size $B P N$ pellet main charge.

### 1.3 Propellant

The propellant is an 88 percent total solid (which includes 15 percent aluminum), carboxy terminated, polybutadiene formulation. The grain configuration has eight fins in the forward end and a cylinder in the aft and is designed for a $\pm 10$ percent maximum propellant loading variation.

The propellant grain is cast with a small bore diameter and machined to the final diameter. Balance trim is then made from the for ward and aft sections of the grain and will amount to no more than 0.34 kg $(0.75 \mathrm{lb})$ forward and $0.57 \mathrm{~kg}(1.25 \mathrm{lb}) \mathrm{aft}$.

### 1.4 Chamber Assembly

The SVM-2 chamber assembly is an epoxy-impregnated, glass filament-wound case with two integral 7075-T73 aluminum bosses for igniter and nozzle installation. A cylindrical attachment skirt (with a thrust ring for attachment to the spacecraft) is integrally wrapped with epoxy-impregnated glass filament on the external cylindrical section of the chamber. The chamber is hydrostatically proof-tested to 3.68 x $10^{6} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ (534 psig) [ 1.05 times the SVM-2 maximum expected operations pressure (MEOP)], and is designed for a minimum ultimate burst pressure of $5.07 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ( 735 psig ) or 1.45 times the SVM- 2 MEOP of $3.51 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ( 509 psig ). The cylindrical section of the chamber

$$
8.6 \mathrm{~A}-2
$$

wall is 0.13 cm ( 0.051 in .) thick. The chamber is internally insulated with a silica-filled Buna-N rubber material. The die-molded insulator is $0.15 \mathrm{~cm}(0.060 \mathrm{in}$.) thick in the cylindrical chamber section; the thickness increases to $0.51 \mathrm{~cm}(0.200 \mathrm{in}$.) in the forward end and to 0.94 cm ( 0.370 in. ) in the aft end to withstand the longer exposure to flame during firing.

### 1.5 Insulation

The internal chamber insulation is compression-molded from Gen-Gard V-45. The two-piece insulation system is joined by applying a dispersion of MEK/Gen-Gard V-45 to the $6.35 \mathrm{~cm}(2.5 \mathrm{in}$.) overlap area of the forward and aft insulators. The joined surfaces are cured with the chamber. The minimum thickness of the insulator at the aft tangent area is $0.15 \mathrm{~cm}(0.06 \mathrm{in}$.) .

### 1.6 Nozzle Assembly

The partially submerged nozzle has a contoured exit cone with a 28:1 expansion ratio. The complete nozzle assembly consists of six major components: entrance cap, throat insert, throat insulator, nozzle shell, nozzle shell insulator, and exit cone. A polyurethane-foam seal is attached to the exit cone.

The entrance cap is made of a composite wrap of laminates of carbon-cloth-reinforced phenolic resin, and the submerged portion of the nozzle shell is insulated with molded V-44 rubber.

The throat insert is machined from silver-infiltrated tungsten to minimize erosion and is retained by a throat insulator made of com-pression-molded phenolic resin reinforced by carbon cloth.

The nozzle shell is machined from 6061-T6 aluminum and an integral flange with bolt holes to provide attachments to the chamber. The exit cone is fabricated of parallel-wrapped layers of silica-phenolic resin and attached to the shell with layers of glass cloth impregnated with Epon 815 adhesive.

## 2. PERFORMANCE AND OPERATION

The performance and operational capabilities of the SVM-2 motor are based on 20 static firings and five flights on Intelsat III. The onloaded performance and operational parameters are shown in Table 8A-1.

Table 8.6A-1. On-Loaded SVM-2 Performance and Operational Parameters

```
PERFORMANCE
    TOTAL IMPULSE, NOMINAL [N.S(LB-S)] 405 368 (91 137)
    TOTAL IMPULSE'REPRODUCIBILITY
    TOTAL IMPULSE REPRODUCIBILITY
    TOTAL DURATION (SECO
        NOMINAL
        NAXIMUNG
    MAXIMUN(SSIA)]
    SPECIFIC IMPULSE (SECONDS)
    SPECIFII IMPULSE (SECONDS)
    30<<1 PERCENT
    14}990(3370
    3.54\times106 (514)
SERVICE LIMITS
    OPERATING TEMPERATURE [ }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ}\textrm{C
    STORAGE TEMPERATURE IMPOSED AXIAL ACCELERATION(G)
    IMPOSED LATERAI. ACCELERATION (G)
    HUMIDITY (PERCENT)
    HUMIDITY (PERCENT)
    l
WEIGHT
    LOADED WEIGHT[KG (LB)] (LB)] 166.2 (366.1)(WITH SAFE AND ARM)
    PROPELLANT WEIGHT[KG (LB)]
THRUST PARALLELISM, MAX [CM/CM (IN./IN.)]
OFFSET [CM (IN.)] 
STORAGE LIFE RELIABILIIY (YEARS)
```



```
<0.006 (<0.0025)
    -4 TO +43 (+20 1O +110)
-13TO +60(+10 TO +140)
2
98 AT 49% C (+120}\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ}\textrm{F}
    SPIN RATE [RAD/5 (RPM)]
-4 TO +43(+20 TO +110)
0.050 <0.020)
    PROPELLANT WEIGHT[KG (LB)]
STORAGE LIFE RELIABILITY (YEARS)
l
```


## 3. POWER REQUIREMENTS

The only electrical power required for the orbit insertion motor is $4.4 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{amp}, 24 \pm 5 \mathrm{VDC}$ to fire the motor for orbit insertion.

PREFERRED SOLID PROPELLANT MOTOR FOR ORBIT INSERTION, THOR/DELTA
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## PREFERRED SOLID PROPELLANT MOTOR FOR ORBIT INSERTION, THOR/DELTA

## 1. MAJOR COMPONENTS

The design of the preferred Hercules BE-3-A solid propellant orbit insertion motor is shown in Figure 8.6B-1. Major components include the safe and arm device, igniter assembly, propellant grain, motor case, and nozzle assembly. A weather seal is used in the nozzle to protect the grain from humidity. Aluminum electrical grounding straps connect the forward adapter and the safe and arm device to the mounting skirt and are bonded to the glass filament-wound motor case. The major components are described below.


Figure $8.6 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{l}$. Vela Motor for Thor/Delta Orbiter Requires Off-Loading of 3.6 kg 17.9 lb ) of Propellant ( $4.1 \%$ )

### 1.1 Safe and Arm Device

The Hercules $\mathrm{BE}-3-\mathrm{A}$ motor requires addition of a safe and arm device to satisfy range safety requirements that have been implemented since the motor was last flown.

The KR80000-09 safe and arm device (Figure 8.6B-2), with two integral ES-003 squibs, is a proven, available unit that is fully qualified to Specification S-133-1001-1-5, MAU-904 (Minuteman) Guided Missile Safety-and-Arming device. In operation, the two ES-003 squibs contained in the KR-80000 are rotated out of alignment with the mechanical barrier

(A) ACTIVATES AT 0.09 RAD (5 DEG)
(B) ACTIVATES AT 0.96 RAD ( 55 DEG)
(C) ACTIVATES AT 2.01 RAD ( 115 DEG)

Figure 8.6B-2. Standardized Ignition Safe and Arm
when the device is in the safe position. In the armed condition, the squibs are aligned with the barrier ports of the device and with mating barrier ports on the booster charge. A mechanical safing pin prevents movement of the device from the safe to the armed position. If the arming circuit is energized, the safing pin cannot be removed. Also, when the device is in the armed condition, insertion of the pin returns the unit to a safe condition. Mechanical and electrical safing is possible. Both visual and remote electrical indication of device condition is provided. The KR-80000-09 safe and arm device meets the ordnance and safety requirements of AEFTRM 127-1, AFM 127-1000.

Pertinent data are listed below.

- Power requirements: 3.5 amp minimum, all fire
5.0 amp recommended

Voltage source: 18 to 30 VDC

- The safe and arm contains two ES-003 squibs. The squib reliability is 0.995 at 95 percent confidence
- The safe and arm has two connections:
- Squib firing circuit ${ }^{*}$ connector, four-pin, Amphenol
200X-23-275(112)
- Arming and monitoring circuit ${ }^{* * *}$ connector, seven-pin, NAS1643PR14T7SN
- The safe and arm meets AFETRM127-1 requirements except for the $1 \mathrm{amp}, 1$ watt, 5 -minute no-fire requirement.


### 1.2 Igniter Assembly

The igniter has two S-11A2 squibs surrounded by crushed and whole BKN pellets. The squibs and pellets are encased in a perforated, filamentwound basket and a phenolic base plate, which is attached to a phenolic igniter shaft extending through the cellulose acetate grain inhibitor. The igniter shaft protrudes from the forward end of the motor with ignition wires extending 6 inches from the end of the igniter shaft and terminating in an electrical connector. The ignition wires are enclosed in a stainless steel wiring harness which is bonded inside the igniter shaft. A glass seal in the wiring harness prevents chamber pressure from escaping out the forward end of the motor.

### 1.3 Propellant Grain

Propellant is Hercules DDP-80 formulation containing 21 percent aluminum; it is bonded directly to the case insulator. This is a modified double-base propellant. The grain is in a slotted-core configuration, which exhibits the desirable characteristics of near-neutrality and has rapid tail-off. No propellant slivers remain after burnout. A cellulose acetate inhibitor is incased by the grain and supports the igniter.

### 1.4 Chamber Assembly and Insulation

The BE-3-A chamber is a fiberglass structure with a Buna-N rubber insulator and aluminum pole pieces and skirts. The Buna- N insulator is fitted onto a mandrel and the forward and aft aluminum pole pieces are then attached to the mandrel. S901 fiberglass, wetted with Epon 828
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epoxy resin, is wound over the mandrel assembly in alternating circumferential and helical layers. During the winding and curing process the fiberglass becomes bonded to the insulator and mechanically fastened to the pole pieces. A fiberglass skirt is also integrally wound on the chamber to provide a means to attach the motor to its payload. After the epoxy resin cures, an aluminum skirt is bonded in place and machined to configuration.

The chamber is approximately $48.3 \mathrm{~cm}(19 \mathrm{in}$.$) long and 46.4 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 18.25 in. ) in diameter with a cylindrical section of 13.5 cm (5.25 in.) ; it has ovaloid domes.

### 1.5 Nozzle Assembly

The BE-3-A nozzle is conical with a 0.28 rad ( 16 deg ) half-angle, and an initial expansion ratio of 18.6:1.

The nozzle has a graphite throat, a graphite cloth-phenolic aft throat insert, a chopped fiberglass-phenolic exit cone, an external fiberglass epoxy reinforcement, and an aluminum retaining ring which attaches the nozzle to the chamber. To prevent contaminants from entering the motor grain cavity, a vented styrofoam closure is inserted into the nozzle throat.

## 2. PERFORMANCE AND OPERATION

The performance and operational capabilities of the BE-3-A motor are based on over 200 firings, 161 of which have been flights. The performance and operational parameters for Pioneer Venus are shown in Table 8.6B-1.

## 3. POWER REQUIREMENTS

The only electrical power required for the orbit insertion motor is $4.5 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{amp}, 24 \pm 5 \mathrm{VDC}$ to fire the rocket for orbit insertion.

## Table 8. 6B-1. Off-Loaded BE-3-A Performance and Operational Parameters

PERFORMANCE
TOTAL IMPULSE, NOMINAL [N•S (LB-S)] 224838 (50 548) TOTAL IMPULSE REPRODUCIBILITY (PERCENT) < 0.5
TOTAL DURATION (SECONDS) (PERCENT) 9.1
thrust LEvEL [N (LBF)]
NOMINAL
MAXIMUM
MEOP $\left[\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{M}^{2}\right.$ (PSIA)]
26243 (5900)
28467 (6400)
$3.79 \times 10^{0}(550)$
SPECIFIC IMPULSE (SECONDS)
276.0

IGNITION DELAY TIME (SECONDS)
$<0.1$

## SERVICE LIMITS

OPERATING TEMPERATURE $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)\right] \quad-1$ TO $+38(+30$ TO +100$)$
OTORAGE TEMPERATUPE [ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ ]
IMPOSED AXIAL ACCELERATION (G)
IMPOSED LATERAL ACCELERATION (G)
HUMIDITY (PERCENT)
TEMPERATURE CYCLING LIMITS $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)\right]$
SPIN RATE [RAD/S (RPM)] $-1 \mathrm{TO}+38(+30 \mathrm{TO}+100)$

40
5

IGHT

| LOADED WEIGHT [KG (LB)] | 93.6 (206.1) |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROPELLANT WEIGHT [KG (LB)] | 83.3 (183.1) |

THRUST PARALLELISM, MAX [CM/CM (IN./IN.)] $<0.005(00.002)$ OFFSET [CM (IN.)]
STORAGE LIFE (YEARS)
RELIABILITY (DEMONSTRATED)
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APPENDIX 8.6C CONTAMINATION BY ORBIT INSERTION MOTOR

## 1. ORBIT INSERTION MOTOR EXHAUST PLUME ANALYSIS

For these calculations, it was conservatively assumed that the motor was fired in a hard vacuum. The following assumptions were used in the plume analysis:

- Isentropic continuum flow
- Gas exhibits a constant gamma $(\gamma)$ aft of nozzle exit, i.e., frozen flow
- Prandtl-Meyer turning angle applies
- Exhaust continues to flow in the direction indicated by maximum Prandtl-Meyer turning angle.

The first three assumptions are standard assumptions used in plume analyses. The last assumption may be conservative, since the plume boundary will tend to curve away from the spacecraft due to the forward acceleration of the spacecraft with respect to the gas molecules in the plume. However, when boundary layer effects are taken into account, the plume expands to larger angles than the corresponding inviscid plume.

Exhaust products consist of solid particles, gases, and condensables. Table $8.6 \mathrm{C}-1$ represents the theoretical ballistic properties of ANB 3066 propellant at the nozzle exit plane. The only solid particles generated are $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$.

Table 8. 6C-1. Exhaus't Products

| CONSTITUENI | MOLE FRACTION |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{G})$ | 0.15553 |
| $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{G})$ | $0: 22655$ |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{G})$ | 0.03357 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{G})$ | 0.32642 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{G})$ | 0.10174 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{G})$ | 0.07866 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{G})$ | 0.00011 |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~S})$ | 0.07740 |
| MOLECULAR WEIGHT GAS | 19.74091 |
| MOLECULAR WEIGHT CONDENSATE | 101.96098 |
| WEIGHT FRACTION GAS | 0.69768 |
| WEIGHT FRACTION CONDENSATE | 0.30232 |

8. 6C-1

The characteristics of the existing contoured nozzle design and propellant are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Expansion ratio, } A_{e} / A_{t} & =28 \\
\text { Mach number (at exit), } M & =4.0 \\
\text { Gamma (at exit), } \gamma & =1.20 \\
\text { Exit half angle, } \theta_{E} & =15.0
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum turning angle of the exhaust gases was found by evaluating the Prandtl-Meyer function for ambient conditions and existing conditions at nozzle exit as given in Equation 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\mathrm{MAX}}=\nu_{\mathrm{MAX}}-\nu_{\mathrm{EXIT}}+\theta_{\mathrm{E}} \text { (measured from nozzle center line) } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Prandtl-Meyer function is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu=\sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}}-\tan ^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left(M^{2}-1\right)-\tan ^{-1}} \sqrt{M^{2}-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluation of Equation 2 yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{\mathrm{MAX}}=3.76 \mathrm{rad}(215.5 \mathrm{deg}) \\
& \nu_{\mathrm{EXIT}}=1.71 \mathrm{rad}(98.1 \mathrm{deg})
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the maximum turning angle is:

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{MAX}}=2.31 \mathrm{rad}(132.4 \mathrm{deg})
$$

## 2. EXHAUST PARTICLE IMPINGEMENT

A qualitative description of the gas-particle flow in a nozzle throat can be obtained by considering the near-equilibrium case. Using the nomenclature of Table $8.6 \mathrm{C}-2$, in this case the gas properties in the throat region can be approximated by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{g}} / \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{g}} * \simeq 1+\alpha(\mathrm{z} / \mathrm{r} *)+\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{e}}+1\right) / 8\right) \alpha^{2}\left(\mathrm{r}^{2} / \mathrm{r} *^{2}\right) \\
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}} / \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{g}} * \approx\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{e}}+1 / 4\right) \alpha^{2}\left(\mathrm{zr} / \mathrm{r}^{*} *^{2}\right)+\left[\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{e}}+1\right)^{2} / 16\right] \alpha^{3}\left(\mathrm{r}^{3} / \mathrm{r}^{* 3}\right) \\
8.6 \mathrm{C}-2
\end{gathered}
$$

## Table 8.6C-2. Nomenclature for Impingement Calculations

## Nomenclature

| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Particke diamcter |
| :---: | :---: |
| $f_{p}$. | Ratio $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{Re} / 24$ |
| K | Constant defining particle velocity lag |
| $\mathrm{mp}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Particle density |
| R | Gas constant |
| R \% | Nozzle wall radius of curvature at nozzle throat |
| $r_{p}$ | Particle radius |
| r* | Nozzie throat radius |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | Axial gas velocity |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | Radial gas velocity |
| $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Particle mass flow |
| 2 | Axial coordinate |
| $Y$ | Gas adiabatic expansion coefficient |
| $\bar{\gamma}$ | Expansion coefficient for gas-particle system |
| $\mu_{g}$ | Gas velocity coefficient |

Subscripts
e Refers to equilibrium or exit conditions

## Superscripts

: Refers to throat conditions
where

$$
\alpha=\left[\left(\frac{2}{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{e}}+1}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{r} *}{\mathrm{R}^{*}}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

and the nozzle throat location is given by $z * / r *=\left[\left(\gamma_{e}+1\right) \alpha\right] / 8$. To Sauer's order of approximation, it is found that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{U_{p}}{U_{g}^{*}}=K^{*}\left(1+\alpha \frac{z}{r^{*}}+\frac{\gamma_{e}+1}{8} \alpha^{2} \frac{r^{2}}{r^{*} 2}\right)=K * \frac{U_{g}}{U_{g}^{*}} \\
& \frac{V_{p}}{U_{g}^{*}} \simeq \frac{\gamma_{e}+1}{4} \alpha^{2}\left(\frac{z}{r^{*}}-\frac{1-K}{K \alpha}\right) \frac{r}{r^{*}}+\frac{\left(\gamma_{e}+1\right)^{2}}{16} \alpha^{3} \frac{r^{3}}{r^{* 3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{K} *$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{K}^{*}= & \frac{9}{4} \frac{\mu_{\mathrm{g}}^{*} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}^{*} \mathrm{r}^{*}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{g}}^{*}}\left[\frac{\bar{\gamma}+1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{R}^{*}}{\mathrm{r}^{*}}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\left(\left[1+\frac{8}{9} \frac{m_{p} r_{p}^{2} U_{\mathrm{g}}^{*}}{\mu_{\mathrm{g}}^{*} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}^{*} r^{*}}\left(\frac{\bar{\gamma}+1}{2} \frac{R^{*}}{r^{*}}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]^{1 / 2}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Particle samples taken from the exhaust of various aluminized propellants have been found to follow a logarithmic normal particle size distribution.

$$
W_{p}\left(d_{p}\right) / W_{p}=\left[(2 \pi)^{1 / 2} d_{p} \ln \sigma_{g}\right]^{-1} x \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{\left(\ln d_{p}-\ln \bar{d}_{p}\right)^{2}}{2 \ln \sigma_{g}}\right]
$$

where $\bar{d}_{p}=3.5 \pm 1.0 \mu, \sigma_{g}=1.9 \pm 1.0 \mu$.
Figure 8. 6C-1 is a plot of this size distribution, which was found to be independent of engine size and geometry, propellant composition, and chamber conditions for rather large engines.


Figure 8.6C-1. Measured Particle Size Distribution for Aluminized Propellants

Figure $8.6 \mathrm{C}-2$ is a plot showing the limiting particle streamlines in the nozzle, which originate at the wall in the nozzle inlet section. All particles of a given size will be located between the axis and its limiting streamline. Only the smallest particles follow the gas and the largest particles are concentrated near the axis, filling about a third of the nozzle area at the exit plane.


Figure 8.6C-2. Limiting Particle Streamline in Nozzle
Figure 8.6C-3 is a plot of the limiting particle streamlines in the vacuum expansion plume outside the nozzle. Only the smallest particles are turned, and even they are not greatly affected by the expansion outside the nozzle. All particle trajectories become straight lines, tow or three nozzle exit diameters, outside the nozzle, and the particles have little effect on gas expansion because particle drag coefficients go to zero (owing to rarefaction effects) as the gas density drops outside the nozzle. The particle flow field outside the nozzle is conical and appears to originate from a source near the nozzle throat.


Figu re 8.6C-3. Limitling Particle Streamlines in Vacuum Expansion Plume Outslde Nozzle

## 3. OUTGASSING

Outgassing of fugacious materials has been suspected of causing problems in certain instruments sensitive to optical degradations. Outgassed constituents from the solid rocket propellant before motor firing are precluded from exiting the central cylinder by the thermal insulation
that is already present and completely encases the motor. Any outgassed products from the motor case materials are likewise controlled. In addition to the thermal insulation protection, propellant outgassing is inhibited by a weather seal located in the motor nozzle.

After the orbit insertion burn of the solid rocket motor, outgassing of the motor case insulation will occur. This outgassing, however, will be directed out the nozzle, most likely in the free-molecular flow regime. Few, if any, of these molecules will reverse their translational velocities and impinge on the spacecraft. Even if outgassing does occur, therefore, it is controlled and no adverse effects are anticipated.
4. CONCLUSIONS

From the foregoing analyses it is apparent that:

- Exhaust gases during the orbit insertion burn may impinge on parts of the spacecraft.
- Solid particles are nearly all contained within a $0.35 \mathrm{rad}(20-\mathrm{deg})$ cone downstream of the nozzle exit plane and will not impinge on the spacecraft.
- Outgassing is controlled and no adverse effects on the spacecraft are foreseen.


## 5. RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that instruments and probes that are sensitive to degradation by the accumulation of particulate matter be covered by protective shades or that they not be deployed during the time the orbit insertion motor is burning.
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## DESCRIPTION OF RHUMB LINE PRECESSION MANEUVERS

Open-loop precession of the spacecraft is used for $\Delta V$ midcourse corrections and other reorientation maneuvers. The precession is effected by firing the velocity-precession thrusters (VPT's) once every spacecraft revolution at a constant preselected angle from the sun-spin axis plane. During this maneuver the vehicle's angular momentum vector inscribes a path on an imaginary sphere surrounding the spacecraft called the precession path. For each precession step the precession path follows a great circle; however, the total precession path, which consists of a sequence of great circle segments, approximates a loxodromic curve. A segment of the loxodrome is known as a rhumb line. The ideal rhumb line path is at a constant angle to the local meridian line at every point on the sphere. The geometry for the precession path is shown in Figure 10A-1.

The rhumb line path is always longer than or equal to the great circle path connecting the initial and final angular momentum vectors. The angular length of the rhumb line path depends on the precession angle and the sun-spacecraft-earth geometry at the time of the precession maneuver. As part of a $\Delta V$ maneuver the spacecraft might have to be precessed through an angle as large as 1.57 radian ( 90 degrees). Errors in path execution occur in the length of the path and in its direction. Error in the length of the maneuver is caused primarily by thruster impulse uncertainty, while direction error is caused by sensor error and differences between the rhumb line and the sequence of great circles. For the longest precession maneuver the actual precession path deviates in direction from the rhumb line by about 0.008 radian ( 0.47 degree). The actual path taken by Pioneer must be corrected for this deviation in what is called the "great circle program."

Figure 10A-2 illustrates the rhumb line path geometry. Let us define the following quantities:


Figure 10A-1. Geometry and Coordinates of Open-Loop Precession


Figure 10A-2. Rhumb Line Geometry

Symbol

## Definition

$\bar{S} \quad$ Vector pointing from the spacecraft to the sun or other reference body
$\bar{H}_{f} \quad$ Final angular momentum vector of the spacecraft

Initial angular momentum vector of the spacecraft
Final angular momentum vector of the spacecraft
Complement of angle between $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{H}}_{0}\left(90-\theta_{0}\right)$
Complement of angle between $\bar{S}$ and $\bar{H}_{f}\left(90-\theta_{f}\right)$
Angle between $\overline{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{H}}_{f}$ (precession angle)
Angle between the planes formed by the vectors $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{H}}_{0}$ and the vectors $\bar{S}$ and $\bar{H}_{f}$

Angle between meridian line and rhumb line path
Angular distance of rhumb line path
Angular step size of precession
Angle between the $\Delta V$ thrust vector and the initial spacecraft $\operatorname{spin}$ [ 0 radian ( 0 degree) $\leq \theta_{v} \leq 1.57$ radian ( 90 degrees) to cover entire sphere for $\Delta V$ ]

To obtain the values for storage in the ACS stored program registers to achieve an open loop precession, a number of steps must be taken. First, the magnitude and direction of the desired $\Delta V$ (or final pointing direction) must be determined in the coordinate system indicated in Figure 10A-2. The $\Delta V$ (or pointing) direction will then be given in terms of $\lambda$ and $\varphi_{f}$ ).

This information must be converted into the rhumb line parameters $\alpha$ and $\psi$ and the time required for $\Delta V$. An example flow chart is given in Figure 10A-3. The precession direction ( $\alpha$ ) and magnitude ( $\psi$ ) must be corrected for the quantization in the PSE, for thruster impulse and centroid performance data, for spin rate error, and for path distortion from a true rhumb line caused by execution in the form of a sequence of great circles. The maneuver should also be modified to avoid regions of large error sensitivity - regions near the $\pm$ sun-spacecraft line. Sensitivities should be checked using system software prior to maneuver execution. Examples of sensitivity are shown in Figure 10A-4.


Figure 10A-3. Flow Diagram to De rive Open-Loop Precession Program Data for ACS


Sensitivity equation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial \varphi_{f}}{\partial \alpha}=-\psi \sin \alpha \\
\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \alpha}=\frac{1}{\cos ^{2} \alpha} \ln \frac{\tan \left(\pi / 4+\varphi_{f} / 2\right)}{\tan \left(\pi / 4+\varphi_{o} / 2\right)} \\
-\psi \frac{\sin \alpha \tan \alpha}{\cos }
\end{gathered}
$$

The regions near the sun or the anti-sun are highly sensitive to exror in execution of $\alpha$. These regions should be avoided by breaking $\Delta V$ into vector components. The sun look angle $\theta$ is constrained 0.17 radian ( $\pm 10$ degrees) by this requirement.

Once the final desired orientation is determined by DSN tracking for the first 5 days, the system software will transcribe this into the values to be entered into the attitude control system CEA-PSE. A flow chart for the operations to be performed by this software is shown in Figure 10A-3. The required software has already been completely developed for all functions described in this section and has been extensively employed for Pioneers 10 and 11. The operations shown by this figure are as follows:

- The values of longitude ( $\lambda_{o}, \lambda_{f}$ ) and latitude ( $\varphi_{o}, \varphi_{f}$ ), which describe the initial and final orientation, are used to obtain a preliminary estimate of the rhumb line parameters, $\alpha$ and $\psi$. These describe the angle to the sun, and the length of the ideal rhumb line.
- Given the nominal rhumb line parameters and the propulsion performance characteristics (impulse correction (k)), preliminary estimates of the number of angle bits ( n ) and the number of time increments ( $m$ ) to be used in the ACS stored program for precession can be made. The estimate of $\alpha$ is next corrected for impulse centroid location ( $\delta_{c}$ and its correction factor $c$ ) and for quantization in $\alpha$ that can be stored in the PSE registers. Since the impulse torque vector which produces the precession either leads or trails the roll index pulse ( $\alpha=0$ ) then the $\alpha$ used in the registers must be corrected depending on the thrusters and index pulse used:

$$
\alpha_{\mathrm{R}}=\alpha-\mathrm{c} \delta_{\mathrm{c}} \pm 90^{\circ}
$$

Note that $\alpha$ is measured from the sun spin axis plane (plane defined by $\bar{S}$ and $\bar{H}_{0}$ ) to the plane of precession and is described by the righthand rule.

- A system test of the estimate of $n$ and $m$ are made via the sequence of great circles program which steps through the actual maneuver and produces error estimates from the desired orientation.
- The information obtained from the sequence of great circles program is used to improve the estimates of $n$ and $m$ and obtain minimum error in the final orientation.


## APPENDIX 10B

PROBE ENTRY DSN SUPPORT
(VERSION III SCIENCE)

## APPENDIX 10B

## PROBE ENTRY DSN SUPPORT (VERSION III SCIENCE)

The 1977 multiprobe mission imposes some new and different requirements on the Deep Space Network (DSN) for support. One of the more unusual is to receive data simultaneously from five vehicles: the bus, the large probe, and three small probes. Part of this requirement is based on the DLBI experiment, which wants to have all four probes enter at the same time and to use the bus, which has been delayed, as a common reference. The selection of PCM/PSK/PM modulation is compatible with the DSN, and the use of two-way tracking for the bus and the large probe also appears to be compatible. However, both hardware and personnel are limited at the DSN sites, and therefore the impact of the five simultaneous downlinks must be minimized.

A method of achieving minimum impact is shown in Figure 10B-1. This shows a configuration for the 1.977 launch and the possible use of Arecibo and/or Haystack to support the DLBI experiment and to back up the DSN stations.


Flgure 108-1. Probe Entry DSN Configuration

From the DSN/Flight Project Interface Design Handbook 810-5, Revision C and material supplied at the DSN Interface meeting held at JPL on 22 November 1972, each of the three 64-meter stations will have four receivers and three doppler trackers available, while the 26-meter stations will have two receivers and one doppler tracker. For the 1977 opportunity, Goldstone and Madrid would be the preferred DSN stations for it would then be possible for Arecibo and Haystack to also observe the probe entry. Goldstone would be the preferred primary station and would transmit all uplink signals, Madrid would provide backup and could transmit if a problem developed at Goldstone.

To minimize acquisition time and maximize downlink performance, it is recommended that the Goldstone 400 kW transmitter at the 64-meter site be used for the large probe uplink. A 20 kW transmitter at one of the Goldstone 26-meter stations can be used for the uplink signal to the bus. All five downlink signals will be received by the 64-meter antennas. Since there are only three doppler trackers at the 64 -meter station, it is suggested that three receivers be used with them to track and recover real time data from the bus, the large probe, and one small probe. Thus, both two-way links and one one-way link would be received and processed at Goldstone. The fourth receiver at Goldstone's 64 -meter station would be operated open loop to receive all five downlink signals for predetection recording, described in Appendix 10C.

The Madrid 64-meter station could operate in a "listen only" mode, unless a problem develops at Goldstone, with a slight improvement in performance. Its three doppler trackers and three receivers could track and recover data from the bus and the two small probes, SP2 and SP3, not being tracked by Goldstone. Thus, all five vehicles are being tracked and data recovered in real time. Both stations are receiving and tracking the bus, which provides a common reference for time, DLBI, and any other uses. The fourth receiver at the Madrid 64-meter station could also be used to receive all five downlink signals for predetection recording.

If Arecibo is used for backup (it is probable that Haystack would be of little use for data recovery), it is suggested that a single, open-loop receiver and predetection recording are all that would be required. The
added gain available from the Arecibo antenna could make the tapes recorded there, the preferred ones to use for off-line data recovery.

The preceding discussion has not considered any special provisions for collecting DLBI data. However, all amplitude and phase relationships of the five downlink signals are stored on the predetection recorded tapes and offline processing could be used to extract desired information.

It has been shown how the multiprobe mission can be supported by two DSN 64-meter stations without requiring additional $R F$ equipment and how the real time operations load can be distributed between them to minimize personnel loading. At the same time backup recordings can be made in case there are any problems in acquiring and locking to any of the signals in real time.

Some additional alternatives could be considered. For example, the overseas sites have a common control center for both the 64-meter and 26-meter antennas. Thus, it might be possible to patch the signal from the 64 -meter antenna into the receivers at the 26 -meter site, and thus receive and recover data from all five downlinks as well as doing predetection recording at the 64 -meter site. However, there would only be four doppler trackers available so one vehicle would be left out anyway. At Goldstone there is no common control center, but enough equipment might be collected to permit handling all five links in real time.

If the 1978 launch opportunity is chosen, the recommended arrival time would not allow adequate Goldstone-Madrid coverage and thus Canberra and Goldstone would have to be used. The overlap time would be greater, but there would be no backup coverage and the DLBI experiment would be limited to a single base line, as there do not appear to be any additional stations available that could view the probe entry.

## APPENDIX 10C
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APPENDIX 10C<br>SPECIALIZED DSN HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE

The Systems Design Study Statement of Work General Task (7)
reads: "Define the specialized hardware and software necessary to process the telemetry data to an uncoded PCM data stream." This appendix answers this task for the preferred bus and probe communications links for both real time and nonreal time (predetection recording) data recovery.

## 1. REAL TIME DATA RECOVERY

The recommended communication modulation scheme for orbiter, bus, and probes (PCM/PSK/PM) is completely compatible with the DSN Block III and IV receivers, subcarrier demodulator assemblies, and symbol synchronizers. For the preferred Atlas/Centaur configuration, the use of Pioneers 10 and 11 convolutional code ( $K=32, R=1 / 2$ ) with real time sequential decoding is also completely compatible with the existing DSN data decoder assembly. The recommended uplink and downlink frequency assignments are to use the standard DSN channels. Therefore, no specialized hardware or software will be required to recover the uncoded PCM data stream for the preferred Atlas/Centaur configuration. For the Thor / Delta configuration, the recommendation for the probes of a short constraint length ( $K=6, R=1 / 3$ ) error correcting code designed for use with the Viterbi decoding algorithm may represent a requirement for a nonstandard DSN data decoder assembly. It is uncertain at this time if this capability will be implemented for the Mariner-Jupiter-Saturn program. If not, this capability would be required to enable real time recovery of Pioneer Venus probe data if the Viterbi decoding algorithm were chosen.

## 2. PREDETECTION RECORDING

Regardless of launch vehicle selection, we strongly recommend that predetection recording be used for the probe and bus entry to back up the real time tracking and data recovery and permit later, off-line analysis of the received signals. For this mode of operation, some specialized hardware and possibly software will be required. There are several ways
of accomplishing the predetection recording of all probe plus bus downlink signals. A method which has minimal impact on the DSN stations is shown in Figure 10C-1. The antenna, preamplifier, $S$-band receiver, frequency standard/frequency synthesizer, and magnetic tape recorder are all existing DSN hardware. The only potentially new hardware is the narrowband filter/down-converter/low-pass filter required to translate from the receiver's 10 MHz IF to the less than 1.5 MHz capacity of the FR-1400 or FR-2000 magnetic tape recorder. If this equipment is not available at the DSN stations, standard commercial hardware is available at relatively low cost and no development or modification is required. Typical examples are the Microdyne 1171-PR(A) and the Defense Electronics PC-101 units.


Figure 10C-1. Predetection Reco rding - Pioneer Venus Standard DSN Frequencies

The recording center frequency and bandwidth is selected in accordance with Table 9, page 53 of the Telemetry Standards, IRIG document 106-71, in order to be compatible with commercially available hardware. Of critical importance is the recording of a stable reference frequency on each track along with the data in order to permit later compensation for effects such as tape skew, differential stretch or pucker in the tape, etc. Figure 10C-2 shows the spectra of the receiver 10 MHz IF passband, the downlink channel spacings and data bandwidths, and the narrowband filters.

$\longrightarrow$ FREQUENCY

Figure 10C-2. Probe Mission Downlink Channels
(Note: Channels 22 and 27 are bus downlink channels and only one will actually be in use. By placing them at the outer ends of the group, the occupied bandwidth will be the same regardless of which is in use. With this configuration, Channel 25 will be recorded on both tracks and can aid in time correlation between all downlinks. With this recording scheme all time and phase relationships (as well as interfering signals plus noise) are permanently stored on tape.

If the offline processing is to be done elsewhere, such as at JPL or at ARC, this would be the total impact on the DSN stations, although there is a small operational problem that should be noted. To realize the 1.5 MHz capability of the tape recorders, they must run at 120 inches per second, which restricts the recording time to approximately 12 minutes per reel of tape. This means that two recorders must be available at each station and provision made for transferring back and forth without loss of data. For a small probe entry time of 63 minutes, allowing for tolerances and overlap, a minimum of six reels of tape will be required at each recording site and personnel on site to change tape.

The offline processing of the predetection recorded data will require some additional hardware and possibly some software as well. Figure 10C-3 shows a method of recovering the data at a site that has the equivalent of most of the standard DSN equipment. For example, the magnetic


Figure 10C-3. Recovery of Pioneer Venus Data From Predetection Recorded Tapes
tape reproducer must be an FR-2000 or equivalent with as good a time base stability as is possible to obtain. The frequency standard/frequency synthesizer should be comparable to the DSN equipment, as should be the receiver/detector shown at the bottom right of the figure. Following the receiver/detector could be the usual DSN subcarrier demodulator and data recovery equipment used for recovering the data in real time.

The specialized hardware, which comprises the remaining five blocks of the diagram, up-converts the signal to 10 MHz and reduces the time base instabilities to acceptable levels. The up-conversion function is straightforward and may be accomplished with the same hardware shown for down-conversion in Figure 10C-1, since many of the commercially available units provide both functions. The unique function is the reduction of time base errors or instabilities. Recent specifications for the FR-2000 quote a time base error of $\pm 0.3$ microseconds ( $\pm 300$ nanoseconds) at 120 inches per second. When this error is converted to phase error at the high end of the data bandwidth (use the 1.5 MHz reference as an example) this becomes $\pm 2.83$ radians ( $\pm 162$ degrees), which is much too great to track with a phase-locked loop (PLL), hence coherent operation is not possible. However, if the time base instability could be reduced by an order of magnitude to around $\pm 0.28$ radian ( $\pm 16$ degrees) ( $\pm 30$ nanoseconds), then a PLL could probably track and the data could be recovered. It is the purpose of the narrowband filter to select the 1.5 MHz
reference frequency from the tape playback. The signal is then compared with a stable 1.5 MHz signal in the frequency/phase detector and the difference or error signal is used to control an electronically variable delay line to reduce the time base errors to an acceptable error. At the time of this writing, this correction loop has not been demonstrated to work as described. However, the technique is used in the Ampex FR-900A/950 transient-free, time-base stabilized, wideband, rotary head record/ reproduce models. The data sheets on these models quote a relative time base stability of $\pm 15$ nanoseconds, which would be sufficient. Therefore, it is worth noting that suitable hardware does exist to permit satisfactory predetection recording of all probe and probe bus downlinks, even though such equipment is not available in the DSN. Such equipment lends credence to the belief that the technique shown in Figure 10C-3 will work and demonstrates that a fallback capability truly exists. It should also be noted that the FR-900A can record a full hour on a single reel of tape, which would minimize tape changes.

Other methods of recovering data from predetection recorded magnetic tape can be postulated, but would require development and do not have a demonstrated application. For example, all of the data inherent in the received signal (including doppler) is defined by the frequency and phase variations between the data signal and the stable reference signal. Computer techniques could be developed to recover the data from these relationships, but are not known to be available for this application at this time.

To summarize, for the recommended use of an Atlas/Centaur launch vehicle probe mission, all probe and probe bus downlink data can be received and recovered in real time using existing DSN hardware and software. The modulation is $P C M / P S K / P M$ and the error correcting coding is the Pioneer, $K=32, R=1 / 2$, code for sequential decoding. To back up the real time recovery mode, predetection recording is recommended, as shown in Figure 10C-1, where the only potentially new hardware is identified by the boxes labeled "filter/down-converter/output filter." This hardware comprises commercially available equipment, although the filters may have to be built to specification for the application. This would be the only hardware impact to the DSN, and there should be no software impact up to the point of recovering the uncoded PCM data stream.

If a Thor/Delta launch vehicle is used, it is recommended that a different error correcting code be used for the probes. The code recommended is a $K=6, R=1 / 3$ code for Viterbi decoding. This decoding could possibly be accomplished at the DSN either by a hardware decoder or by software for a mini-computer. However, it would be the only change other than the predetection recording hardware as described for the Atlas/ Centaur launch.

Regardless of launch vehicle, a method of recovering data from the predetection recorded tapes is required. The method shown in Figure 10C-3 is suggested as a minimal impact method using all DSN-type equipment except for the equipment used to improve time base stability. This would be new equipment to be developed for the program, but would be required only at the off-line facility where data recovery and processing would be done. It would not be required at the data acquisition sites.
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## APPENDIX 11A

## ALLOCATION OF WEIGHT TO MINIMIZE COST

A flight vehicle consists of several elements (i). Each element has a weight, $W_{i}$, and a cost $C_{i}$ which is a function of its weight: $C_{i}=C_{i}\left(W_{i}\right)$. This function may be continuous, or it may be discrete, being defined at only a limited number of points. In the latter case, connect the points by straight-line segments for the purpose of this analysis, so that we may regard $C_{i}\left(W_{i}\right)$ as being quasi-continuous and differentiable.

The problem is to allocate weight within some upper limit so as to minimize total cost, i. e.,

Retaining $W_{T}=\Sigma W_{i} \leqq W_{o}$
Minimize $C_{T}=\Sigma C_{i}$
In the solution, recognize that if total cost $C_{T}$ is minimized, then it will have no incremental change $\delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$ for incremental reallocations $\partial W_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta C_{T}=\Sigma \frac{\partial C_{T}}{\partial W_{i}} \delta W_{i}=\Sigma \frac{\mathrm{dC}_{i}}{d W_{i}} \delta W_{i}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is maintained constant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{T}}=\Sigma \delta \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, these two equations are to be satisfied:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\mathrm{dC}_{1}}{\mathrm{dW}_{1}} & \frac{\mathrm{dC}_{2}}{\mathrm{dW}}{ }_{2} & \frac{\mathrm{dC}_{3}}{\mathrm{dW}_{3}} \cdots  \tag{3}\\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\delta \mathrm{W}_{1} \\
\delta \mathrm{~W}_{2} \\
\\
\delta \mathrm{~W}_{3} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

For them to be satisfied for other than the trivial case,

$$
\delta W_{1}=\delta W_{2}=\delta W_{3}=\ldots=0
$$

the rank of the matrix

$$
[\mathrm{M}]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\mathrm{dC}_{1}}{\mathrm{dW}} & \frac{\mathrm{dC}_{2}}{\mathrm{dW}_{2}} & \frac{\mathrm{dC}_{3}}{\mathrm{dW}_{3}} \ldots \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots .
\end{array}\right]
$$

must be less than 2. This requires that every $2 \times 2$ determinant in the matrix must vanish:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\mathrm{dC}_{j}}{\mathrm{dW}} & \frac{\mathrm{dC}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{dW}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{k}}  \tag{4}\\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right|=0, \quad \text { any } j, \quad \mathrm{k}
$$

Thus all the derivatives are equal

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{dC}_{1}}{\mathrm{dW}}=\frac{\mathrm{dC}_{2}}{d W_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{dC}_{3}}{\mathrm{dW}_{3}}=\ldots=-\mathrm{K}^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a given total weight, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{K}$ is constant, but it varies with $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{T}}$.
Figure 11A-1 shows how the above relations may be satisfied graphically. The flight vehicle, in this example, has three elements, b (bus), e (experiments), and p (probes), whose cost-weight relations are reciprocal functions $C_{i}$ versus $W_{i}$ shown in the upper left portion of the figure.

These functions are differentiated to give curves of derivatives $\mathrm{dC}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{dW}_{\mathrm{i}}$ versus $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in the lower left portion.

The relation $W_{T}=\Sigma W_{i}$ is generated subject to the restriction of Equation (5) by summing horizontally to the curve $\mathrm{dC}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{dW} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$ versus $W_{T}$ in the lower right portion, using the same $\mathrm{dC}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{dW}_{\mathrm{i}}$ for each element as its weight is summed. In the upper right, we construct $C_{T}=C_{b}+C_{e}+C_{p}$ versus $W_{T}$ using, for each $W_{T}$, the values of $C_{b}, C_{e}$, and $C_{p}$ as shown. This gives the minimized $C_{T}$ for any weight limit $W_{o}$.

Once the graph is constructed, if a weight limit $W_{o}$ is given, the reverse process implied by straight lines labeled $C_{T}=C_{b}+C_{e}+C_{p}$; $d C_{i} / d W_{i} ; C_{b} ; C_{e} ; C_{p}$ immediately gives the minimized total cost $C_{T}$, the weight to be allocated to each element, $W_{b}, W_{e}$, and $W_{p}$, and the cost of each element $C_{b}, C_{e}$, and $C_{p}$.


Figure 11A-1. Cost/Weight Curves

The procedure can also be used equally well when the functions are discrete rather than continuous.
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> ALLOCATION OF WEIGHT AND RELIA BILITY TO MINIMIZE COST

In Appendix lla a method is derived mathematically and implemented graphically to allocate weight among various elements of a flight vehicle so as to minimize total cost, where the cost of each element is a function of that element's weight.

In this appendix, the situation is generalized from the allocation of one limited resource (weight) to two resources (weight and reliability). It is assumed that the cost of each element is a function of both the weight and reliability of that element:

$$
C_{i}=C_{i}\left(W_{i}, R_{i}\right)
$$

Presumably, for this element to fulfill a fixed function, $C_{i}$ will be a decreasing function of weight $W_{i}$, but an increasing function of reliability $R_{i}$. The entire flight vehicle (it is assumed) must observe limits on the two resources: a maximum weight and a minimum reliability:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{T}=\Sigma W_{i} \leqq W_{o} \\
& R_{T}=\Pi R_{i} \geqq R_{o}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we are to minimize the total cost,

$$
C_{T}=\Sigma C_{i}
$$

To determine the solution, we recognize that there will be no incremental change in cost, $\delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$ for incremental reallocations of either weight ( $\delta \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) or reliability ( $\delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) provided system weight and reliability are maintained constant. Thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}} & =\Sigma\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\partial \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{i}}} \delta \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{i}}+\frac{\partial \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\partial \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}} \delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \\
& =\Sigma \frac{\partial \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial W_{i}} \delta \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{i}}+\Sigma \frac{\partial \mathrm{C}_{i}}{\partial R_{i}} \delta R_{i}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{T}}=\Sigma \delta \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}=0 \\
& \delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}=\delta \ell \ln \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}=\Sigma \frac{\partial \ell n \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\partial \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}} \delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}=\Sigma \frac{\mathrm{d} \ln \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{~d} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}} \delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}=\Sigma \frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}} \delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

matrix form:

or this matrix equation to be satisfied for other than the trivial case $W_{1}=\delta W_{2}=\delta W_{3}=\ldots=0 ; \delta \mathrm{R}_{1}=\delta \mathrm{R}_{2}=\delta \mathrm{R}_{3}=\ldots=0$ ) the rank of the efficient matrix [M] must be less than 3. This, in turn, requires that ery $3 \times 3$ determinant in the matrix must vanish. For those $3 \times 3$ terminants derived entirely from the left half or entirely from the right lf of $[M]$, this vanishing is automatic. Where the $3 \times 3$ determinants corporate columns from both halves of $[M$ ], we have:

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c:cc}
\partial C_{l} & \frac{\partial C_{m}}{\partial C_{n}} \\
\frac{\partial C_{l}}{} & \frac{\partial R_{m}}{\partial R_{n}} \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
& , & =\frac{1}{R_{m}} \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial R_{n}}-\frac{1}{R_{n}} \frac{\partial C_{m}}{\partial R_{m}}=0 ; \text { any } \ell, m, n
\end{array}\right.
$$

These two sets of equations can be rewritten:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial W_{1}}=\frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial W_{2}}=\frac{\partial C_{3}}{\partial W_{3}}=\ldots=-K  \tag{1}\\
& R_{1} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial R_{1}}=R_{2} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial R_{2}}=R_{3} \frac{\partial C_{3}}{\partial R_{3}}=\ldots=L \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

## 1. GRAPHICAL CONSTRUCTION

The graphical method of Appendix 11A can be extended to two dimensions, Figure 11B-1, to conform to Equations (1) and (2). In the upper part of the figure the functions $C_{1}\left(W_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ and $C_{2}\left(W_{2}, R_{2}\right)$ are shown by means of contours against the coordinates $W$ and $\ln R$. (Only 2 elements are used in this example.)

Differentiation of each of these functions, and the plot of the derivatives with respect to both $W_{i}$ and $R_{i}$ is indicated in the central part of the figure. Contours of

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & =-\frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial W_{i}} \\
\text { and } \quad L & =R_{i} \frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial R_{i}}=\frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial \ln R_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

are shown. Taking a single value of $K$ and a single value of $L$ to apply to both elements, the intersecting contours will fix the coordinates $W_{1}$, $\ln R_{1}, W_{2}, \ln R_{2}$ such that Equations (1) and (2) are satisfied. Corresponding to these values of $K$ and $L$ are $W_{T}=W_{1}+W_{2}$ and $\ln R_{T}=$ $\ell n R_{1}+\ell \mathrm{R}_{2}$, giving the system weight and reliability.

System cost can be determined:

$$
C_{T}=C_{1}+C_{2}=C_{1}\left(W_{1}, R_{1}\right)+C_{2}\left(W_{2}, R_{2}\right)
$$



Figure 11B-1. Graphical Method Extended to Two Dimensions

It also results from the selected values of $K$ and $L_{\text {, }}$ and is plotted in the lower part of the figure as a function of $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\ln \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}$. The method of construction assures that this value of $C_{T}$ is the minimum possible for the $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}$ indicated.

This construction shows how to go from the derivatives $K$ and $L$ to the system level parameters $W_{T}, R_{T}$, and $C_{T}$ by way of $W_{i}$, $R_{i}$, and $C_{i}$ for each element.

What is necessary is a reversal of this process: Starting with $W_{T}$ and $R_{T}$, determine $W_{i}$, $R_{1}$, and $C_{i}$ for each element. This can be done by a process (not shown) on the lower portion of the figure. Since the point at the coordinates ( $W_{T}, \ln R_{T}$ ) is derived from a single value of $K$ and a single value of $L$, by repeating the calculations over a field of variations
in $K$ and $L$, we can determine values of $K$ and $L$ at many such points, ( $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{T}}, \ln \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ). These values of K and L can be indicated by contour plots. of them vs $W_{T}$ and $\ln R_{T}$ on the lower portion of the figure. Then, given the weight and reliability limits for the system, we can proceed as follows, determining all necessary quantities:


## 2. ANALYTICAL EXAMPLE

For illustrative purposes, consider this example. Let there be $n$ elements to the flight vehicle. For each element cost assumed to be is related to weight and reliability by the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}=\frac{A_{i}^{3}}{\left(W_{i}-W_{i 0}\right)\left(-\ln R_{i}\right)} ; i=1,2, \ldots n \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C_{i}, W_{i}$, and $R_{i}$ are the variable cost, weight, and reliabilities of the element, and lie within these ranges:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & <\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
0<\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{io}} & <\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
0 & <\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}<1
\end{aligned}
$$

The form of the function is realistic, with cost rising reciprocally as:
(a) the element weight is reduced toward some "unrealizable minimum weight", $\mathrm{W}_{\text {io }}$, and
(b) as reliability is increased toward unity.
$A_{i}$ and $W_{i o}$ are constants which distinguish the cost relation of the ith element from that of other elements.

The derivatives, Equations (2) and (3) are determined and equated:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial W_{i}} & =\frac{C_{i}}{\left(W_{i}-W_{i o}\right)}=-K^{3}  \tag{4}\\
R_{i} \frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial R_{i}} & =\frac{C_{i}}{\left(-\ln R_{i}\right)}=L^{3} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The constants $A_{i}{ }^{3}, K^{3}$, and $L^{3}$ are used rather than $A_{i}, K$, and $L$ merely for later convenience.

Solve Equation (4) for $W_{i}$ and (5) for ( $-\ell n R_{i}$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{i}=w_{i o}+\frac{C_{i}}{K^{3}}  \tag{6}\\
& \left(-\ln R_{i}\right)=\frac{C_{i}}{L^{3}} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Now substitute for these quantities in (3) and solve for $C_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{i} & =\frac{A_{i}^{3}}{\frac{C_{i}}{K^{3}} \frac{C_{i}}{L^{3}}} \\
C_{i} & =K L A_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

By means of Equations (6) and (7) we may now express all quantities in terms of $K$ and $L$

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{i}=K L A_{i} \quad C_{T}=\Sigma C_{i}=K L \Sigma A_{i}  \tag{8}\\
& W_{i}=W_{i o}+\frac{L}{K^{2}} A_{i} \quad W_{T}=\Sigma W_{i}=\Sigma W_{i o}+\frac{L}{K^{2}} \Sigma A_{i}  \tag{9}\\
& \left(-\ln R_{i}\right)=\frac{K}{L^{2}} A_{i} \quad R_{T}=\Pi R_{i} \\
& \left(-\ell \ln R_{T}\right)=\Sigma\left(-\ell \ln R_{i}\right)=\frac{K}{L^{2}} \Sigma A_{i} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Reversing the process, we solve (9) and (10) to find derivatives $K$ and $L$ in terms of the weight and reliability limits $W_{T}$ and $R_{T}$ :
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\frac{L}{K^{2}}=\frac{W_{T}-\Sigma W_{i o}}{\Sigma A_{i}} \\ \frac{K}{L^{2}}=\frac{\left(-\ln R_{T}\right)}{\Sigma A_{i}}\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}K=\frac{\Sigma A_{i}}{\left(W_{T}-\Sigma W_{i o}\right)^{2 / 3}\left(-\ell \ln R_{T}\right)^{1 / 3}} \\ L=\frac{\Sigma A_{i}}{\left(W_{T}-\Sigma W_{i o}\right)^{1 / 3}\left(-\ell n R_{T}\right)^{2 / 3}}\end{array}\right.$
We may now go back to Equations (8), (9), (10), and using the results of (11) and (12) determine all quantities in terms of the weight and reliability limits, $W_{T}$ and $R_{T}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{i} & =\frac{\left(\Sigma A_{i}\right)^{2}}{\left(W_{T}-\Sigma \bar{W}_{i o}\right)\left(-\ln R_{T}\right)} A_{i}  \tag{13}\\
C_{T} & =\frac{\left(\Sigma A_{i}\right)^{3}}{\left(W_{T}-\Sigma W_{i o}\right)\left(-\ln R_{T}\right)}  \tag{14}\\
W_{i} & =W_{i o}+\frac{W_{T}-\Sigma W_{i o}}{\Sigma A_{i}} A_{i}  \tag{15}\\
\left(-\ln R_{i}\right)= & \frac{\left(-\ln R_{T}\right)}{\Sigma A_{i}} A_{i} ; \quad R_{i}=e^{-\frac{\left(-\ln R_{T}\right)}{\Sigma A_{i}} A_{i}} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations provide for explicit allocations of weight $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and reliability $R_{T}$ among the $n$ elements so as to minimize system cost, with an assumed dependence of the cost of each element on the weight and reliability of that element as in Equation (3).

The graphical and analytical methods are capable of being adapted to cases where the cost relation of each element is a discrete function defined at only several points, rather than a continuous function of two variables as assumed in this memo. This adaptation would proceed in a manner similar to that indicated for the one-dimensional case in Appendix 11A.


[^0]:    ${ }^{*}{ }_{1} \mathrm{AU}=1.5 \times 10^{11}$ meter .

[^1]:    1. LAUNCF,8h,NO SCI,NC FIP
    2. TRAASIT,8h,CRLISE SCI CA,AC FTR
    3. TRANSITi8h.CRLISE SCI,tTR CA
    4. EACCLNTER,8m,ALL SCI CA
    5. UNOERVCLTEE - NCN-ESSENTIAL LCACS Off TAFE2=VEJXPA, TAFE $4=$ CCAVEAA
[^2]:    1. LAUNCH,3W,NO SCI,NC MTF,AC [MA,CEA
    2. TRANSIT, 3H,CRLISE SCI CA,AC HTR,AC CMA,CEA
    3. TRANSIT, 3 W.CRLISE SCI,FTR CA, NC CND,DEA
    4. TRANSIT, 3h, CRLISE SCI, FTR,CNA,CEACA
    E. TPAASI1,12h,CFLISE SCI
    5. SHCRT ECLIPSE, IZh,ALL SCI, DSL CN (PERIAPSIS)
    6. FCST ELLIFSE,I2W,RACAR CFF
    7. LCAG ECLIFSE,I2h,CLTY SCI CFF
    G. FCST ELCIPSE, I2h, CLTY SCI CFF,BATY CRARGINGCN
    8. UNCERVCLTAGE-- ACA-ESSEATIAL LOACS CFF
    $\frac{11 .}{12 .}$
    TAPEZ=VEJXCIt, JAPE4=CCAVER
[^3]:    *Redundant electrical firing circuit, redundant squibs.
    ** Indication of safe or arm position accomplished by switch deck within safe and arm device which indicates position of mechanical barrier between squibs and igniter booster charge.

