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# CLASSICAL SEVENTH-, SIXTH-, AND FIFTH-ORDER RUNGE-KUTTA-NYSTRÖM FORMULAS WITH STEPSIZE CONTROL FOR GENERAL SECONDORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS 

## INTRODUCTION

1. In two earlier reports [1], [2] this author derived Runge-Kutta-Nyström formulas for a special class of second-order (vector) differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}=f(t, x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which do not contain the first derivative $\dot{x}$ on the right-hand side. In this report we will derive Runge-Kutta-Nyström formulas for general second-order (vector) differential equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}=f(t, x, \dot{x}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Similar to the Runge-Kutta-Nyström formulas of report [1] and the Runge-Kutta formulas of report [3], the formulas of this report include an automatic stepsize control based on a complete coverage of the leading term of the local truncation error in $x$. This coverage is achieved by one additional evaluation of the differential equations. Each of our Runge-Kutta-Nyström formulas represents a pair of integration formulas for x which differ from one another by the one additional evaluation of the differential equations. The orders of these two formulas differ by 1. Therefore, the difference of the formulas represents an approximation of the leading term of the local truncation error in $x$ for the lower-order formula. By requiring that this difference remain between preset limits, an automatic stepsize control for the lower-order formula can be established.
3. The formulas for $\dot{x}$ are of the same order as the lower-order formulas for $x$. There is no automatic error control with respect to the formulas for $\dot{x}$. Such a control would require a considerable additional effort, and such formulas would require more evaluations per integration step than our Runge-Kutta formulas of report [3] for first-order differential equations.

However, when deriving the Runge-Kutta-Nyström formulas of this report, we have also considered the error terms in $\dot{x}$ and we have selected the coefficients of our formulas in such a way as to keep the error terms in $\dot{x}$ as small as possible.

## SECTION I. THE EQUATIONS OF CONDITIONS FOR RUNGE-KUTTA-NYSTRÖM FORMULAS

4. The derivation of the equations of condition for our Runge-Kutta-Nyström formulas, as explained in this section, is based on a procedure of D. Sarafyan [4]. Sarafyan's method is extended to second-order dif_ ferential equations to yield the equations of condition for the coefficients of Runge-Kutta-Nyström formulas.
5. In the following we explain in detail the procedure for a fourth-order Runge-Kutta-Nyström formula.

Let the evaluations for the Runge-Kutta-Nyström formula for (2) be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{f}_{0}=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{0}, \dot{x}_{0}\right) \\
& \mathrm{f}_{1}=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}+\alpha_{1} \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{x}_{0}+\dot{x}_{0} \alpha_{1} \mathrm{~h}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{f}_{0} \alpha_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~h}^{2}, \dot{x}_{0}+\mathrm{f}_{0} \alpha_{1} \mathrm{~h}\right) \\
& \mathrm{f}_{2}=\mathrm{f}\left[\mathrm{t}_{0}+\alpha_{2} \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{x}_{0}+\dot{x}_{0} \alpha_{2} \mathrm{~h}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{f}_{0} \alpha_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~h}^{2}+\gamma_{21}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}-\mathrm{f}_{0}\right) \mathrm{h}^{2},\right. \\
& \left.\dot{\mathrm{x}}_{0}+\mathrm{f}_{0} \alpha_{2} \mathrm{~h}+\beta_{21}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}-\mathrm{f}_{0}\right) \mathrm{h}\right]  \tag{3}\\
& \mathrm{f}_{3}=\mathrm{f}\left[\mathrm{t}_{0}+\alpha_{3} \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{x}_{0}+\dot{x}_{0} \alpha_{3} \mathrm{~h}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{f}_{0} \alpha_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~h}^{2}+\gamma_{31}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}-\mathrm{f}_{0}\right) \mathrm{h}^{2}+\gamma_{32}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}-\mathrm{f}_{0}\right) \mathrm{h}^{2},\right. \\
& \left.\quad \dot{x}_{0}+\mathrm{f}_{0} \mathrm{o}_{3} \mathrm{~h}+\beta_{31}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}-\mathrm{f}_{0}\right) \mathrm{h}+\beta_{32}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}-\mathrm{f}_{0}\right) \mathrm{h}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

with $\mathrm{t}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{0}, \dot{x}_{0}$ being the initial conditions for the integration step, $h$ the stepsize and the $\alpha^{\prime} s, \beta^{\prime} s$ and $\gamma^{\prime} s$ the Runge-Kutta-Nyström coefficients that we want to find.

The evaluations (3) lead to the Runge-Kutta-Nyström formulas:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}x\left(t_{0}+h\right)=x_{0}+\dot{x}_{0} h+\left(c_{0} f_{0}+c_{1} f_{1}+c_{2} f_{2}+c_{3} f_{3}+c_{4} f_{4}+c_{5} f_{5}\right) h^{2}+0\left(h^{6}\right) \\ \dot{x}\left(t_{0}+h\right)=\dot{x}_{0}+\left(\dot{c}_{0} f_{0}+\dot{c}_{1} f_{1}+\dot{c}_{2} f_{2}+\dot{c}_{3} f_{3}+\dot{c}_{4} f_{4}+\dot{c}_{5} f_{5}\right) h+0\left(h^{5}\right)\end{array}\right\}$
with weight factors $c_{\kappa}$ and $\dot{c}_{\kappa}$ that we also want to find.
6. To determine these unknown coefficients, we expand the solution $x(t)$ of (2) into a Taylor series at $t=t_{0}$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
x(t) & =x_{0}+\dot{x}_{0}\left(t-t_{0}\right)+A_{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{2}+A_{3}\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{3}+A_{4}\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{4}  \tag{5}\\
& +A_{5}\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{5}+0\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{6}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

with the abbreviation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\kappa}=\frac{1}{\kappa!}\left(\frac{d^{\kappa} \mathrm{x}}{d t^{\kappa}}\right)_{0}=\frac{1}{\kappa!} x_{0}^{(\kappa)} \quad(\kappa=2,3, \ldots) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (5) into (2) and setting $t-t_{0}=h$ yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{0}+6 A_{3} h+12 A_{4} h^{2}+20 A_{5} h^{3}+\ldots \\
& =f\left(t_{0}+h, x_{0}+\dot{x}_{0} h+A_{2} h^{2}+A_{3} h^{3}+A_{4} h^{4}+A_{5} h^{5}+\ldots,\right.  \tag{.7}\\
& \\
& \left.\dot{x}_{0}+2 A_{2} h+3 A_{3} h^{2}+4 A_{4} h^{3}+5 A_{5} h^{4}+\ldots\right)=R
\end{align*}
$$

For the sake of briefness, we denoted the right-hand side of (7) by R. Taylor expansion of R at

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0):\left(t_{0}+h, x_{0}+\dot{x}_{0} h, \dot{x}_{0}+2 A_{2} h\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
R=f_{(0)} & +\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)_{(0)}\left(A_{2} h^{2}+A_{3} h^{3}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& +\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}}\right)_{(0)}\left(3 A_{3} h^{2}+4 A_{4} h^{3}\right)+0\left(h^{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

An expansion at

$$
\begin{equation*}
0:\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{0}, \dot{\mathrm{x}}_{0}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)_{(0)}=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)_{0}+\left[D\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)\right]_{0} h+0\left(h^{2}\right) \\
\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}}\right)_{(0)}=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}}\right)_{0}+\left[D\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}}\right)\right]_{0} h+0\left(h^{2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

introducing the operator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[D()]_{0}=\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}()+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}() \dot{x}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}}() f\right]_{0} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We insert (9) and (11) into (7) and replace $h$ by $\alpha_{\kappa} \mathrm{h}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}+\alpha_{\kappa} \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{x}_{0}+\dot{\mathrm{x}}_{0} \alpha_{\kappa} \mathrm{h}, \dot{\mathrm{x}}_{0}+\mathrm{f}_{0} \alpha_{\kappa} \mathrm{h}\right)=\mathrm{f}_{0}+6 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \alpha_{\kappa} \mathrm{h}+12 \mathrm{~A}_{4} \alpha^{2}{ }_{\kappa} \mathrm{h}^{2}+20 \mathrm{~A}_{5} \alpha^{3}{ }_{\kappa} \mathrm{h}^{3} \\
& -\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\right)_{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2} \mathrm{~h}^{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3} \alpha^{3}{ }_{\kappa} \mathrm{h}^{3}\right)-\left[\mathrm{D}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\right)\right]_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \alpha^{3} \mathrm{~h}^{3}  \tag{13}\\
& \left.-\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}}\right)_{0}\left(3 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \alpha^{2}{ }_{\kappa}^{2} \mathrm{~h}^{2}+4 \mathrm{~A}_{4} \alpha^{3}{ }_{\kappa} \mathrm{h}^{3}\right)-3\left[\mathrm{D}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}}\right)\right]\right]_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \alpha^{3}{ }_{\kappa}^{3} \mathrm{~h}^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Because of (4) the functions $\mathrm{f}_{\kappa}$ in (3) must be correct up to the third power in h. We set

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{f}_{\kappa}=\mathrm{f}\left[\mathrm{t}_{0}+\alpha_{\kappa} \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{x}_{0}+\dot{\mathrm{x}}_{0} \alpha_{\kappa} \mathrm{h}+\left(\mathrm{q}_{0 \kappa}+\mathrm{q}_{1 \kappa} \mathrm{~h}\right) \mathrm{h}^{2}\right. \\
\left.\dot{x}_{0}+\mathrm{f}_{0} \alpha_{\kappa} \mathrm{h}+\left(\mathrm{p}_{0 \kappa}+\mathrm{p}_{1 \kappa} \mathrm{~h}\right) \mathrm{h}^{2}\right] \tag{14}
\end{array}
$$

with constants $\mathrm{q}_{0 \kappa}, \mathrm{q}_{1 \kappa}, \mathrm{p}_{0 \kappa}, \mathrm{p}_{1 \kappa}$ that will be determined as follows somewhat later.

By Taylor expansion of $f_{\kappa}$ at

$$
\begin{equation*}
<0>:\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}+\alpha_{\kappa} \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{x}_{0}+\dot{\mathrm{x}}_{0} \alpha_{\kappa} \mathrm{h}, \dot{\mathrm{x}}_{0}+\mathrm{f}_{0} \alpha_{\kappa} \mathrm{h}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{f}_{\kappa}=\left(\mathrm{f}_{\kappa}\right)_{<0>} & +\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{\kappa}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\right)_{<0>}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0 \kappa} \mathrm{~h}^{2}+\mathrm{q}_{1 \kappa} \mathrm{~h}^{3}\right)  \tag{16}\\
& +\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{\kappa}}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}}\right)_{<0>}\left(\mathrm{p}_{0 \kappa} \mathrm{~h}^{2}+\mathrm{p}_{1 \kappa} \mathrm{~h}^{3}\right)+0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{4}\right)
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

We now insert (13) and (11) into (16) after having replaced $h$ in (11) by $\alpha_{K} \mathrm{~h}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{f}_{\kappa} & =\mathrm{f}_{0}+6 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \alpha_{\kappa} \mathrm{h}+12 \mathrm{~A}_{4} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2} \mathrm{~h}^{2}+20 \mathrm{~A}_{5} \alpha_{\kappa}^{3} \mathrm{~h}^{3} \\
& +\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\right)_{0}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0 \kappa}-\mathrm{A}_{2} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2}\right) \mathrm{h}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\right)_{0}\left(\mathrm{q}_{1 \kappa}-\mathrm{A}_{3} \alpha_{\kappa}^{3}\right) \mathrm{h}^{3} \\
& +\left[\mathrm{D}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\right)\right]_{0} \alpha_{\kappa}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0 \kappa}-\mathrm{A}_{2} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2}\right) \mathrm{h}^{3} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}}\right)_{0}\left(\mathrm{p}_{0 \kappa}-3 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2}\right) \mathrm{h}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}}\right)_{0}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1 \kappa}-4 \mathrm{~A}_{4} \alpha_{\kappa}^{3}\right) \mathrm{h}^{3} \\
& +\left[\mathrm{D}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}}\right)\right]_{0} \alpha_{\kappa}\left(\mathrm{p}_{0 \kappa}-3 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \alpha_{\cdot \kappa}^{2}\right) \mathrm{h}^{3}  \tag{17}\\
& +0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (17) is called the generating formula since the introduction of (17) into (4) generates the equations of condition for the Runge-KuttaNyström coefficients.
7. For $\kappa=1$ we find from (14) and the second equation (3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{01}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{f}_{0} \alpha_{1}^{2}, \quad q_{11}=0, \quad p_{01}=0, \quad p_{11}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (17)

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{f}_{1} & =\mathrm{f}_{0}+6 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \alpha_{1} \mathrm{~h}+12 \mathrm{~A}_{4} \alpha_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~h}^{2}+20 \mathrm{~A}_{5} \alpha_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~h}^{3}-\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\right)_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \alpha_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~h}^{3} \\
& -3\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}}\right)_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \alpha_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~h}^{2}-4\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}}\right)_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \alpha_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~h}^{3}-3\left[\mathrm{D}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}}\right)\right]_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \alpha_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~h}^{3}  \tag{19}\\
& +0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For $\kappa=2$ we find

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{q}_{02}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{f}_{0} \alpha_{2}^{2}, \mathrm{q}_{12}=6 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \gamma_{21} \alpha_{1}  \tag{20}\\
\mathrm{p}_{02}=6 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \beta_{21} \alpha_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{12}=12 \mathrm{~A}_{4} \beta_{21} \alpha_{1}^{2}-3\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}}\right)_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \beta_{21} \alpha_{1}^{2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We introduce (20) into (17) and omit all terms that have already corresponding terms in (19) :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{f}_{2}=\ldots & +6\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\right)_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \gamma_{21} \alpha_{1} \mathrm{~h}^{3}+6\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}}\right)_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \beta_{21} \alpha_{1} \mathrm{~h}^{2} \\
& +12\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}}\right)_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \beta_{21} \alpha_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~h}^{3}-3\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}}\right)_{0}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \beta_{21} \alpha_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~h}^{3}  \tag{21}\\
& +6\left[\mathrm{D}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}}\right)\right]_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \alpha_{2} \beta_{21} \alpha_{1} \mathrm{~h}^{3}+0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Continuing, we find for $\kappa=3$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{03}=\frac{1}{2} f_{0} \alpha_{3}^{2}, \quad q_{13}=6 A_{3}\left(\gamma_{31} \alpha_{1}+\gamma_{32} \alpha_{2}\right), \quad p_{03}=6 A_{3}\left(\beta_{31} \alpha_{1}+\beta_{32} \alpha_{2}\right) \\
& p_{13}=12 A_{4}\left(\beta_{31} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{32} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right)-3\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}}\right)_{0} A_{3}\left(\beta_{31} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{32} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right)+6\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}}\right)_{0} A_{3} \beta_{32} \beta_{21} \alpha_{1} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

and again omitting all terms that have already corresponding terms in (19) or (21):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{3}=\ldots+6\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}}\right)_{0}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \beta_{32} \beta_{21} \alpha_{1} \mathrm{~h}^{3}+0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{4}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

No more additional terms are obtained for $\kappa=4$ and $\kappa=5$.
8. The equations of condition for the Runge-Kutta-Nyström coefficients are obtained by inserting the expansions (5) and (19), (21), (23), ... into the Runge-Kutta-Nyström formulas (4).

From the first equation (4) we find

$$
\mathrm{x}_{0}+\dot{x}_{0} \mathrm{~h}+\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~h}^{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~h}^{3}+\mathrm{A}_{4} \mathrm{~h}^{4}+\mathrm{A}_{5} \mathrm{~h}^{5}
$$

$$
=x_{0}+\dot{x}_{0} h+\left(c_{0}+\ldots+c_{5}\right) f_{0} h^{2}+6 A_{3}\left(c_{1} \alpha_{1}+\ldots+c_{5} \alpha_{5}\right) h^{3}
$$

$$
+12 A_{4}\left(c_{1} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\ldots+c_{5} \alpha_{5}^{2}\right) h^{4}+20 A_{5}\left(c_{1} \alpha_{1}^{3}+\ldots+c_{5} \alpha_{5}^{3}\right) h^{5}
$$

$$
-\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\right)_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{3}\left(\mathrm{c}_{1} \alpha_{1}^{3}+\ldots+\mathrm{c}_{5} \alpha_{5}^{3}\right) \mathrm{h}^{5}-3\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \dot{x}}\right)_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{3}\left(\mathrm{c}_{1} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\ldots+\mathrm{c}_{5} \alpha_{5}^{2}\right) \mathrm{h}^{4}
$$

$$
-4\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}}\right)_{0} A_{4}\left(c_{1} \alpha_{1}^{3}+\ldots+c_{5} \alpha_{5}^{3}\right) h^{5}-3\left[D\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}}\right)\right]_{0} A_{3}\left(c_{1} \alpha_{1}^{3}+\ldots+c_{5} \alpha_{5}^{3}\right) h^{5}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+6\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\right)_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{3}\left[\mathrm{c}_{2} \gamma_{21} \alpha_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{c}_{5}\left(\gamma_{51} \alpha_{1}+\gamma_{52} \alpha_{2}+\gamma_{58} \alpha_{3}+\gamma_{54} \alpha_{4}\right)\right] \cdot \mathbf{h}^{5} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+6\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \dot{\dot{x}}}\right)_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{3}\left[\mathrm{c}_{2} \beta_{21} \alpha_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{c}_{5}\left(\beta_{51} \alpha_{1}+\beta_{52} \alpha_{2}+\beta_{53} \alpha_{3}+\beta_{54} \alpha_{4}\right)\right] \cdot h^{4}
$$

$$
+12\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\right)_{0} A_{4}\left[c_{2} \cdot \beta_{21} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\ldots+c_{5}\left(\beta_{51} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{52} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\beta_{53} \alpha_{3}^{2}+\beta_{54} \alpha_{4}^{2}\right)\right] h^{5}
$$

$$
-3\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \dot{x}}\right)_{0}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}\left[\mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \beta_{21} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\ldots+c_{5}\left(\beta_{51} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{52} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\beta_{53} \alpha_{3}^{2}+\beta_{54} \alpha_{4}^{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{h}^{5}
$$

$$
+6\left[D\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}}\right)\right]_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{3}\left[c_{2} \alpha_{2} \cdot \beta_{21} \alpha_{1}+\ldots+c_{5} \alpha_{5}\left(\beta_{51} \alpha_{1}+\beta_{52} \alpha_{2}+\beta_{55} \alpha_{3}+\beta_{54} \alpha_{4}\right)\right] h^{5}
$$

$$
+6\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}}\right)_{0}^{2} \mathbf{A}_{3}\left\{\mathrm{c}_{3} \cdot \beta_{32} \cdot \beta_{21} \alpha_{1}+\ldots+c_{5}\left[\beta_{52} \cdot \beta_{21} \alpha_{1}+\beta_{53}\left(\beta_{31} \alpha_{1}+\beta_{32} \alpha_{2}\right)+\beta_{54}\left(\beta_{41} \alpha_{1}+\beta_{42} \alpha_{2}+\beta_{43} \alpha_{3}\right)\right]\right\} \mathbf{h}^{5}
$$

Equating corresponding terms in (24) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}+c_{4}+c_{5}=\frac{1}{2} \\
& c_{1} \alpha_{1}+c_{2} \alpha_{2}+c_{3} \alpha_{3}+c_{4} \alpha_{4}+c_{5} \alpha_{5}=\frac{1}{6} \\
& c_{1} \alpha_{1}^{2}+c_{2} \alpha_{2}^{2}+c_{3} \alpha_{3}^{2}+c_{4} \alpha_{4}^{2}+c_{5} \alpha_{5}^{2}=\frac{1}{12}  \tag{25}\\
& c_{1} \alpha_{1}^{3}+c_{2} \alpha_{2}^{3}+c_{3} \alpha_{3}^{3}+c_{4} \alpha_{4}^{3}+c_{5} \alpha_{5}^{3}=\frac{1}{20}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{2} \gamma_{21} \alpha_{1}+\varepsilon_{3}\left(\gamma_{31} \alpha_{1}+\gamma_{32} \alpha_{2}\right)-\mathbf{c}_{4}\left(\gamma_{41} \alpha_{4}+\gamma_{42} \alpha_{2}+\gamma_{43} \alpha_{3}\right)+\mathbf{c}_{5}\left(\gamma_{51} \alpha_{1}+\gamma_{52} \alpha_{2}+\gamma_{53} \alpha_{3}+\gamma_{54} \alpha_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{120} \\
& c_{2} \beta_{24} \alpha_{1}+c_{3}\left(\beta_{32} \alpha_{2}+\beta_{32} \kappa_{2}\right)+c_{4}\left(\beta_{11} \alpha_{4}+\beta_{12} \alpha_{2}+\beta_{13} \alpha_{3}\right)+c_{5}\left(\beta_{51} \alpha_{1}+\beta_{52} \alpha_{2}+\beta_{53} r_{2}+\beta_{54} \alpha_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{24} \\
& c_{2} \beta_{21} \alpha_{1}^{2}+c_{3}\left(\beta_{31} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{32}\left(v_{2}^{2}\right)+c_{4}\left(\beta_{41} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\beta_{43} \alpha_{3}^{2}\right)+c_{5}\left(\beta_{51} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{52} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\beta_{53} \alpha_{3}^{2}+\beta_{54} \alpha_{4}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{60}\right. \\
& c_{2} \alpha_{2} \cdot \beta_{21} \alpha_{1}+c_{3} \alpha_{3}\left(\beta_{31} \alpha_{1}+\beta_{32} \alpha_{2}\right)+c_{4} \alpha_{4}\left(\beta_{41} \alpha_{1}+\beta_{42} \alpha_{2}+\beta_{43} \alpha_{3}\right)+c_{5} \alpha_{5}\left(\beta_{51} \alpha_{1}-\beta_{52} \alpha_{2}+\beta_{53} \alpha_{3}+\beta_{54} \alpha_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{40}  \tag{26}\\
& c_{3} \beta_{32} \beta_{21} \alpha_{1}+c_{4}\left[\beta_{12}-\beta_{2} \alpha_{1}+\beta_{43}\left(\beta_{31} \alpha_{1}+\beta_{32} \alpha_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \left.+c_{5} \mid \beta_{52} \cdot \beta_{21} \alpha_{1}+\beta_{53}\left(\beta_{31} \alpha_{1}+\beta_{32} \alpha_{2}\right)+i_{54}\left(\beta_{41} \alpha_{1}+\beta_{12} \alpha_{2}+\beta_{43} \alpha_{3}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{120}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar equations of condition are obtained from the second equation (4). Equations (25) and (26) are listed as the first nine equations of Table 1. ${ }^{1}$ The left-hand part of Table 1 represents the equations of condition for x ; the right-hand part represents those for $\dot{x}$. For the right-hand part the weight factors $c_{\kappa}$ have to be replaced by $\dot{c}_{\kappa}$ as indicated at the top of the table.
9. The procedure described in this section can be extended to cover higherorder terms in the Taylor expansions. The resulting equations of condition up to the ninth order for x and the eighth order for $\dot{\mathrm{x}}$ are listed in Table 1. Their derivation is naturally somewhat more involved than in the case of a fourth-order formula, but it follows along the same lines and is rather straightforward.

To shorten the equations we introduced in Table 1, the abbreviations

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\beta_{\kappa 1} \alpha_{1}^{\lambda}+\beta_{\kappa 2} \alpha_{2}^{\lambda}+\ldots+\beta_{\kappa, \kappa-1} \alpha_{\kappa-1}^{\lambda}=P_{\kappa \lambda}  \tag{27}\\
\gamma_{\kappa 1} \alpha_{1}^{\lambda}+\gamma_{\kappa 2} \alpha_{2}^{\lambda}+\ldots+\gamma_{\kappa, \kappa-1} \alpha_{\kappa-1}^{\lambda}=Q_{\kappa \lambda}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## SECTION II. SEVENTH-ORDER FORMULA RKN-G-7(8) ${ }^{2}$

10. We shall present in the following a seventh-order formula based on thirteen evaluations, a fourteenth evaluation being taken over as first evaluation for the next step.

Let the evaluations be

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{0}=f\left(t_{0}, x_{0}, \dot{x}_{0}\right) \\
& \left.f_{\kappa}=f\left(t_{0}+\alpha_{\kappa} h, x_{0}+\dot{x}_{0} \alpha{ }_{\kappa} h+h^{2} \cdot \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\kappa=1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \cdot f_{\lambda}, \dot{x}_{0}+h \cdot \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \cdot f_{\lambda}\right)\right\}  \tag{28}\\
& \quad(\kappa=1,2,3, \ldots, 13)
\end{align*}
$$

$\overline{1 . ~ A l l ~ t a b l e s ~ a r e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ e n d ~ o f ~ t h i s ~ r e p o r t . ~}$
2. We insert in the names of the formulas of this report the letter $G$, to indicate that these formulas hold for the general differential equation (2) in contrast to the formulas without $G$ of [1] and [2], which hold for the special differential equation (1).
and the Runge-Kutta-Nyström formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{0}+\dot{\mathrm{x}}_{0} \mathrm{~h}+\mathrm{h}^{2} \cdot \sum_{\kappa=0}^{12} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \mathrm{f}_{\kappa}+0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{8}\right) \\
& \hat{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{x}_{0}+\dot{\mathrm{x}}_{0} \mathrm{~h}+\mathrm{h}^{2} \cdot \sum_{\kappa=0}^{13} \hat{\mathrm{c}}_{\kappa} \mathrm{f}_{\kappa}+0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{9}\right)  \tag{29}\\
& \dot{\mathrm{x}}=\dot{x}_{0}+\mathrm{h} \cdot \sum_{\kappa=0}^{12} \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{\kappa} f_{\kappa}+0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{8}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The first formula (29) is a seventh-order formula for x ; the second formula is an eighth-order formula for $x$. The difference $x-\hat{x}$ will represent a first approximation of the local truncation error for $x$ and will be used as stepsize control. The third formula (29) is a seventhorder formula for $\dot{x}$.
11. Similar to our previous reports [1], [2], [3], we make a number of assumptions for the Runge-Kutta-Nyström coefficients that will reduce the number of equations of Table 1 to such an extent that we can handle the remaining problem with relative ease.

Let us assume

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\hat{c}_{1}=c_{1}=0, \ldots, \hat{c}_{6}=c_{6}=0, \hat{c}_{7}=c_{7}, \ldots, \hat{c}_{11}=c_{11}, \hat{c}_{12}=0, \hat{c}_{13}=c_{12} \\
\dot{c}_{1}=0, \ldots, \dot{c}_{6}=0 ; \alpha_{12}=\alpha_{13}=1 \\
\beta_{31}=\beta_{41}=\ldots=\beta_{131}=0 \quad \gamma_{31}=\gamma_{41}=\ldots=\gamma_{131}=0 \\
\beta_{52}=\beta_{62}=\ldots=\beta_{132}=0 \quad \gamma_{62}=\gamma_{72}=\ldots=\gamma_{132}=0  \tag{31}\\
\beta_{73}=\beta_{83}=\ldots=\beta_{133}=0 \quad \beta_{13, \lambda}=\dot{c}_{\lambda}(\lambda=0,1,2, \ldots, 12) \\
\beta_{74}=\beta_{84}=\ldots=\beta_{134}=0 \quad \gamma_{13, \lambda}=c_{\lambda}(\lambda=0,1,1, \ldots, 12)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The assumptions (30) mean that only the last two weight factors of the first two formulas (29) differ. The last two weight factors in these two formulas are simply exchanged: $c_{12}, 0$ is replaced by $0, c_{12}$. Therefore, we have to compute only one set of weight factors for these two formulas (29).

The assumptions (31) are necessary in connection with the assumptions of No. 12 and No. 13 to reduce the equations of Table 1.
12. Let us further assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2} \quad(\kappa=2,3, \ldots, 13) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

As one can easily verify, assumptions (32) eliminate a large number of equations of Table 1 by converting them into other equations of this table.

In the following we list the equations of Table 1 that are eliminated by the assumptions (32)

IV:2
V:2,5
VI: $2,7,8,9,10,13$
VII:2,7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 20, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 34
VIII: $2,7,9,11,14,17,19,20,21,22,25,28,33,34,35,40,41,46,48$, $50,51,52,53,54,55,56,57,58,59,60,61,62,65,66,67,70,71$, $72,75,78,83,84,85,86,88,90,91,92$

Since the ninth-order equations (IX) of Table 1 enter the computation only as eighth-order equations for $\dot{x}$, we will consider these evaluations when dealing with the local truncation error terms in $\dot{x}$.

We next assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}=\frac{1}{3} \alpha_{\kappa}^{3} \quad(\kappa=2,3, \ldots, 13) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

thereby eliminating the following equations of Table 1:

V:4
VI:4, 12
VII:4,16,19,22,33
VIII: $4,15,18,24,27,30,37,43$, $64,69,74,77,80,94$

The assumptions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{3}=\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{\kappa}^{4} \quad(\kappa=5,6, \ldots, 13) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

eliminate the following equations:

VI:6
VII:6,24
VIII: $6,32,45$
and the assumptions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{4}=\frac{1}{5} \alpha_{\kappa}^{5} \quad(\kappa=7,8, \ldots, 13) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

the equations:

VII:10
VIII:10

Finally we assume

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{7} \beta_{75}+c_{8} \beta_{85}+c_{9} \beta_{95}+c_{10} \beta_{105}+c_{11} \beta_{115}+c_{12} \beta_{135}=0  \tag{36}\\
& \dot{c}_{7} \beta_{75}+\dot{c}_{8} \beta_{85}+\dot{c}_{9} \beta_{95}+\dot{c}_{10} \beta_{105}+\dot{c}_{11} \beta_{115}+\dot{c}_{12} \beta_{125}=0
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
c_{7} \beta_{76}+c_{8} \beta_{86}+c_{9} \beta_{96}+c_{10} \beta_{106}+c_{11} \beta_{116}+c_{12} \beta_{136}=0  \tag{37}\\
\dot{c}_{7} \beta_{76}+\dot{c}_{8} \beta_{86}+\dot{c}_{9} \beta_{96}+\dot{c}_{10} \beta_{106}+\dot{c}_{11} \beta_{116}+\dot{c}_{12} \beta_{126}=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

thereby eliminating equations VIII:49, 82, 95 from Table 1.
13. We make similar assumptions for the coefficients $\gamma_{\kappa \lambda}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \dot{\gamma}_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{\kappa}^{3} \quad(\kappa=2,3, \ldots, 13) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

eliminating
V:3
VI:3, 11
VII:3,15, 18, 21, 32
VIII:3, 13, 23, 26, 29, 36, 42, $63,68,73,76,79,93$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}=\frac{1}{12} \alpha_{\kappa}^{4} \quad(\kappa=5,6, \ldots, 1 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

eliminating
VI:5
VII:5,23
VIII:5,31,44 ;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{3}=\frac{1}{20} \alpha_{\kappa}^{5} \quad(\kappa=5,6, \ldots, 13) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

eliminating
VII:8
VIII: $8,47,89$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{4}=\frac{1}{30} \alpha_{\kappa}^{6} \quad(\kappa=7,8, \ldots, 13) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

that eliminates VIII:12 from Table 1.
Finally we assume

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
c_{7} \gamma_{73}+c_{8} \gamma_{83}+c_{9} \gamma_{93}+c_{10} \gamma_{103}+c_{11} \gamma_{113}+c_{12} \gamma_{133}=0  \tag{42}\\
\dot{c}_{7} \gamma_{73}+\dot{c}_{8} \gamma_{83}+\dot{c}_{9} \gamma_{93}+\dot{c}_{10} \gamma_{103}+\dot{c}_{11} \gamma_{113}+\dot{c}_{12} \gamma_{123}=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{c}_{7} \gamma_{74}+\mathrm{c}_{8} \gamma_{84}+\mathrm{c}_{9} \gamma_{94}+\mathrm{c}_{10} \gamma_{104}+\mathrm{c}_{11} \gamma_{114}+\mathrm{c}_{12} \gamma_{134}=0  \tag{43}\\
\dot{c}_{7} \gamma_{74}+\dot{c}_{8} \gamma_{84}+\dot{c}_{9} \gamma_{94}+\dot{c}_{10} \gamma_{104}+\dot{c}_{11} \gamma_{114}+\dot{c}_{12} \gamma_{124}=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

thereby eliminating VIII:38,39,81,87 from Table 1.
14. The assumptions of No. 11,12 , and 13 reduce the eighth- and lower-order equations of Table 1 to the following equations:

$$
(\mathrm{II}, 1),(\mathrm{III}, 1),(\mathrm{IV}, 1),(\mathrm{V}, 1),(\mathrm{VI}, 1),(\mathrm{VII}, 1),(\mathrm{VIII}, 1),(\mathrm{VIII}, 16)
$$

These equations have now to be solved together with the assumptions (30) through (43).

From equations
(III, 1) $\quad c_{7} \alpha_{7}+c_{8} \alpha_{8}+c_{9} \alpha_{9}+c_{10} \alpha_{10}+c_{11} \alpha_{11}+c_{12}=\frac{1}{6}$
(IV, 1)
$c_{7} \alpha_{7}^{2}+\mathrm{c}_{8} \alpha_{8}^{2}+\mathrm{c}_{9} \alpha_{9}^{2}+\mathrm{c}_{10} \alpha_{10}^{2}+\mathrm{c}_{11} \alpha_{11}^{2}+\mathrm{c}_{12}=\frac{1}{12}$
$(\mathrm{V}, 1)$
$c_{7} \alpha_{7}^{3}+\mathrm{c}_{8} \alpha_{8}^{3}+\mathrm{c}_{9} \alpha_{9}^{3}+\mathrm{c}_{10} \alpha_{10}^{3}+\mathrm{c}_{11} \alpha_{11}^{3}+\mathrm{c}_{12}=\frac{1}{20}$
$(\mathrm{VI}, 1) \quad \mathrm{c}_{7} \alpha_{7}^{4}+\mathrm{c}_{8} \alpha_{8}^{4}+\mathrm{c}_{9} \alpha_{9}^{4}+\mathrm{c}_{10} \alpha_{10}^{4}+\mathrm{c}_{11} \alpha_{11}^{4}+\mathrm{c}_{12}=\frac{1}{30}$
(VII, 1) $\quad \mathrm{c}_{7} \alpha_{7}^{5}+\mathrm{c}_{8} \alpha_{8}^{5}+\mathrm{c}_{9} \alpha_{9}^{5}+\mathrm{c}_{10} \alpha_{10}^{5}+\mathrm{c}_{11} \alpha_{11}^{5}+\mathrm{c}_{12}=\frac{1}{42}$
(VIII, 1) $\quad \mathrm{c}_{7} \alpha_{7}^{6}+\mathrm{c}_{8} \alpha_{8}^{6}+\mathrm{c}_{9} \alpha_{9}^{6}+\mathrm{c}_{10} \alpha_{10}^{6}+\mathrm{c}_{11} \alpha_{11}^{6}+\mathrm{c}_{12}=\frac{1}{56}$
we find the weight factors $c_{7}, c_{8}, c_{9}, c_{10}, c_{11}, c_{12}$ as functions of $\alpha_{7}, \alpha_{8}, \alpha_{9}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{11}$.

From the right-hand sides of Table 1 we obtain for the corresponding equations
$(\text { III, } 1)^{\cdot} \quad \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{7} \alpha_{7}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{8} \alpha_{8}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{9} \alpha_{9}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{10} \alpha_{10}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{11} \alpha_{11}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{12}=\frac{1}{2}$
$(\mathrm{IV}, 1) \cdot \quad \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{7} \alpha_{7}^{2}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{8} \alpha_{8}^{2}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{9} \alpha_{9}^{2}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{10} \alpha_{10}^{2}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{11} \alpha_{11}^{2}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{12}=\frac{1}{3}$
$(\mathrm{V}, 1)^{\cdot} \quad \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{7} \alpha_{7}^{3}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{8} \alpha_{8}^{3}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{9} \alpha_{9}^{3}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{10} \alpha_{10}^{3}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{11} \alpha_{11}^{3}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{12}=\frac{1}{4}$
$(V I, 1) \cdot \dot{c}_{7} \alpha_{7}^{4}+\dot{c}_{8} \alpha_{8}^{4}+\dot{c}_{9} \alpha_{9}^{4}+\dot{c}_{10} \alpha_{10}^{4}+\dot{c}_{11} \alpha_{11}^{4}+\dot{c}_{12}=\frac{1}{5}$
(VII, 1) ${ }^{\cdot} \quad \dot{c}_{7} \alpha_{7}^{5}+\dot{c}_{8} \alpha_{8}^{5}+\dot{c}_{9} \alpha_{9}^{5}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{10} \alpha_{10}^{5}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{11} \alpha_{11}^{5}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{12}=\frac{1}{6}$
(VIII, 1) $\cdot \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{7} \alpha_{7}^{6}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{8} \alpha_{8}^{6}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{9} \alpha_{9}^{6}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{10} \alpha_{10}^{6}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{11} \alpha_{11}^{6}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{12}=\frac{1}{7}$
the weight factors $\dot{c}_{7}, \dot{c}_{8}, \dot{c}_{9}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{10}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{11}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{12}$ as functions of $\alpha_{7}, \alpha_{8}, \alpha_{9}$, $\alpha_{10}, \alpha_{11}$. Equation (II, 1) yields $\mathrm{c}_{0}$, or $\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{0}$ when written as (II, 1) ${ }^{\circ}$.
15. We still have to satisfy equation (VIII, 16) and the assumptions of No. 12 and No. 13.

From (32) ${ }_{\kappa=2}$ and (33) ${ }_{\kappa=2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{21}=\frac{3}{4} \alpha_{2} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as restrictive condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\frac{2}{3} \alpha_{2} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way we obtain from (32) ${ }_{\kappa=3}$ and (33) ${ }_{\kappa=3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{32}=\frac{3}{4} \alpha_{3} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{2}=\frac{2}{3} \alpha_{3} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (32) ${ }_{\kappa=4}$ and (33) ${ }_{\kappa=4}$ yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{42}=\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{4}^{2} \frac{3 \alpha_{3}-2 \alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{2}\right)} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a corresponding formula for $\beta_{43}$, obtained from $\beta_{42}$ by exchanging $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$.

Equations (32) ${ }_{K=5},(33)_{K=5},(34)_{K=5}$ yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{53}=\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{5}^{2} \frac{3 \alpha_{4}-2 \alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{3}\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{3}\right)} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

a corresponding formula for $\beta_{54}$ and the restrictive condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{3}=\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{5} \frac{4 \alpha_{4}-3 \alpha_{5}}{3 \alpha_{4}-2 \alpha_{5}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (32) ${ }_{\kappa=6},(33)_{\kappa=6},(34)_{\kappa=6}$ give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{63}=\frac{1}{12} \alpha_{6}^{2} \frac{6 \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}-4\left(\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5}\right) \alpha_{6}+3 \alpha_{6}^{2}}{\alpha_{3}\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{5}-\alpha_{3}\right)} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and corresponding formulas for $\beta_{64}$ and $\beta_{65}$.

Equations (32) ${ }_{\kappa=7},(33)_{K=7},(34)_{K=7}$, and (35) ${ }_{K=7}$ represent four linear equations for the two coefficients $\beta_{75}, \beta_{76}$ which lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{75}=\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{7}^{2} \frac{3 \alpha_{6}-2 \alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{5}\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{5}\right)}, \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

a corresponding formula for $\beta_{76}$ and to the two restrictive conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{5}=\frac{1}{10}(6-\sqrt{6}) \alpha_{7}, \quad \alpha_{6}=\frac{1}{10}(6+\sqrt{6}) \alpha_{7} . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (32) ${ }_{\kappa=8},(33)_{\kappa=8},{(34)_{K=8} \text {, and (35) }}_{\kappa=8}$ lead to

$$
\beta_{85}=\frac{1}{12} \alpha_{8}^{2} \frac{6 \alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}-4\left(\alpha_{6}+\alpha_{7}\right) \alpha_{8}+3 \alpha_{8}^{2}}{\alpha_{5}\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{5}\right)\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{5}\right)}
$$

corresponding formulas for $\beta_{86}, \beta_{87}$ and to the restrictive condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{8}=\frac{3}{4} \alpha_{7} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The four equations (32) ${ }_{\kappa=9},(33)_{\kappa=9},(34)_{\kappa=9}$, and (35) ${ }_{\kappa=9}$ yield $\beta_{95}=\frac{1}{60} \alpha_{9}^{2} \frac{30 \alpha_{6} \alpha_{7} \alpha_{8}-20\left(\alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}+\alpha_{6} \alpha_{8}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8}\right) \alpha_{9}+15\left(\alpha_{6}+\alpha_{7}+\alpha_{8}\right) \alpha_{9}^{2}-12 \alpha_{9}^{3}}{\alpha_{5}\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{5}\right)\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{5}\right)\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{5}\right)}$
and corresponding formulas for $\beta_{96}, \beta_{97}$, and $\beta_{98}$.

Putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{105}=0, \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain from (32) ${ }_{\kappa=10},{ }^{(33)}{ }_{\kappa=10},{ }^{(34)}{ }_{\kappa=10}$, and (35) ${ }_{\kappa=10}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{106}=\frac{1}{60} \alpha_{10}^{2} \frac{30 \alpha_{7} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{9}-20\left(\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9}\right) \alpha_{10}+15\left(\alpha_{7}+\alpha_{8}+\alpha_{9}\right) \alpha_{10}^{2}-12 \alpha_{10}^{3}}{\alpha_{6}\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{6}\right)\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{6}\right)\left(\alpha_{9}-\alpha_{6}\right)} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

and corresponding formulas for $\beta_{107}, \beta_{108}, \beta_{109}$.
From the first equation (36) and the first equation (37) we obtain

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\beta_{115}=-\frac{1}{c_{11}}\left(c_{7} \beta_{75}+c_{8} \beta_{85}+c_{9} \beta_{95}\right) \\
\beta_{116}=-\frac{1}{c_{11}}\left(c_{7} \beta_{76}+c_{8} \beta_{86}+c_{9} \beta_{96}+c_{10} \beta_{106}\right) \\
\text { and from equations }(32)_{\kappa=11},(33)_{\kappa=11},(34)_{\kappa=11}, \text { and }(35)_{\kappa=11}: \\
\beta_{117}=\frac{1}{60} \alpha_{11}^{2} \frac{30 \alpha_{8} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}-20\left(\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}\right) \alpha_{11}+15\left(\alpha_{8}+\alpha_{9}+\alpha_{10}\right) \alpha_{11}^{2}-12 \alpha_{11}^{3}}{\alpha_{7}\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{7}\right)\left(\alpha_{9}-\alpha_{7}\right)\left(\alpha_{10}-\alpha_{7}\right)} \\
-\beta_{115} \cdot \frac{\alpha_{5}\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{5}\right)\left(\alpha_{9}-\alpha_{5}\right)\left(\alpha_{10}-\alpha_{5}\right)}{\alpha_{7}\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{7}\right)\left(\alpha_{9}-\alpha_{7}\right)\left(\alpha_{10}-\alpha_{7}\right)}-\beta_{116} \cdot \frac{\alpha_{6}\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{6}\right)\left(\alpha_{9}-\alpha_{6}\right)\left(\alpha_{10}-\alpha_{6}\right)}{\alpha_{7}\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{7}\right)\left(\alpha_{9}-\alpha_{7}\right)\left(\alpha_{10}-\alpha_{7}\right)} \tag{62}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and corresponding formulas for $\beta_{118}, \beta_{119}$, and $\beta_{1110}$.
Equations (32) ${ }_{\kappa=11},{ }^{(33)^{K=11}}{ }^{\prime},{ }^{(34)_{K=11}}{ }^{(35)_{K=11}}$, and (VIII, 16) can be considered as five linear equations for the four coefficients $\beta_{117}, \beta_{118}$, $\beta_{119}$, and $\beta_{1110}$. Therefore, a restrictive condition for the $\alpha^{\prime}$ s can be derived from these five linear equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{11}=\frac{\mathrm{N}\left(\alpha_{11}\right)}{\mathrm{D}\left(\alpha_{11}\right)} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}\left(\alpha_{11}\right) & =70 \alpha_{7} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}-42\left(\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}\right) \\
& +28\left(\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}\right) \\
& -20\left(\alpha_{7}+\alpha_{8}+\alpha_{9}+\alpha_{10}\right)+15 \\
& -840 \mathrm{c}_{12}\left(1-\alpha_{7}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{8}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{9}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{10}\right) \\
\mathrm{D}\left(\alpha_{11}\right) & =140 \alpha_{7} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}-70\left(\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}\right) \\
& +42\left(\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}\right) \\
& -28\left(\alpha_{7}+\alpha_{8}+\alpha_{9}+\alpha_{10}\right)+20 \\
& -840 \mathrm{c}_{12}\left(1-\alpha_{7}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{8}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{9}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{10}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{12}=\frac{1}{28} \cdot \frac{N\left(c_{12}\right)}{D\left(c_{12}\right)} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{c}_{12}\right) & ={ }_{70} \alpha_{7} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}-28\left(\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}\right) \\
& +14\left(\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}\right) \\
& -8\left(\alpha_{7}+\alpha_{8}+\alpha_{9}+\alpha_{10}\right)+5 \\
\mathrm{D}\left(c_{12}\right) & =30 \alpha_{7} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}-20\left(\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}\right) \\
& +15\left(\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}\right) \\
& -12\left(\alpha_{7}+\alpha_{8}+\alpha_{9}+\alpha_{10}\right)+10
\end{aligned}
$$

The second equations (36) and (37) yield

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\beta_{125}=-\frac{1}{\dot{c}_{12}}\left(\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{7} \beta_{75}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{8} \beta_{85}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{9} \beta_{95}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{11} \beta_{115}\right) \\
\beta_{126}=-\frac{1}{\dot{c}_{12}}\left(\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{7} \beta_{76}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{8} \beta_{86}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{9} \beta_{96}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{10} \beta_{106}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{11} \beta_{116}\right) \tag{65}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

We then obtain from $(32)_{\kappa=12},(33)_{\kappa=12},(34)_{\kappa=12},(35)_{\kappa=12}$, and
(VIII, 16) $:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{127}=\frac{\mathrm{N}\left(\beta_{127}\right)}{\alpha_{7}\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{7}\right)\left(\alpha_{9}-\alpha_{7}\right)\left(\alpha_{10}-\alpha_{7}\right)\left(\alpha_{11}-\alpha_{7}\right)} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}\left(\beta_{127}\right)= & \frac{1}{60}\left[30 \alpha_{8} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10} \alpha_{11}-20\left(\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{11}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{10} \alpha_{11}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\alpha_{9} \alpha_{10} \alpha_{11}\right)+15\left(\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{11}+\alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\alpha_{9} \alpha_{11}+\alpha_{10} \alpha_{11}\right)-12\left(\alpha_{8}+\alpha_{9}+\alpha_{10}+\alpha_{11}\right)+10\right] \\
- & \beta_{125} \alpha_{5}\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{5}\right)\left(\alpha_{9}-\alpha_{5}\right)\left(\alpha_{10}-\alpha_{5}\right)\left(\alpha_{11}-\alpha_{5}\right) \\
- & \beta_{126} \alpha_{6}\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{6}\left(\left(\alpha_{9}-\alpha_{6}\right)\left(\alpha_{10}-\alpha_{6}\right)\left(\alpha_{11}-\alpha_{6}\right)-\mathrm{R}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and corresponding formulas for $\beta_{128}, \beta_{129}, \beta_{1210}$, and $\beta_{1211}$.
The abbreviation $R$ stands for

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{R} & =\frac{1}{6}-\left(\beta_{125} \alpha_{5}^{5}+\beta_{126} \alpha_{6}^{5}\right)-\frac{1}{\dot{c}_{12}}\left[\frac{1}{42}-\dot{c}_{8} \beta_{87} \alpha_{7}^{5}-\dot{c}_{9}\left(\beta_{97} \alpha_{7}^{5}+\beta_{98} \alpha_{8}^{5}\right)-\dot{c}_{10}\left(\beta_{107} \alpha_{7}^{5}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\beta_{108} \alpha_{8}^{5}+\beta_{109} \alpha_{9}^{5}\right)-\dot{c}_{11}\left(\beta_{117} \alpha_{7}^{5}+\beta_{118} \alpha_{8}^{5}+\beta_{119} \alpha_{9}^{5}+\beta_{1110} \alpha_{10}^{5}\right)\right] \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

This concludes the computation of the coefficients $\beta_{\kappa \lambda}$.
16. The computation of the coefficients $\gamma_{\kappa \lambda}$ proceeds in a similar way. From (38) ${ }_{K=2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{21}=\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2}^{2} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (38) ${ }_{\kappa=3}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{32}=\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{3}^{2} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{42}=0 \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

equation (38) ${ }_{\kappa=4}$ yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{43}=\frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{\alpha_{4}^{3}}{\alpha_{3}} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (38) $K=5,(39)_{K=5},{(40)_{K=5}}$ yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{52}=\frac{1}{60} \alpha_{5}^{3} \frac{10 \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}-5\left(\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right) \alpha_{5}+3 \alpha_{5}^{2}}{\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{2}\right)} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

and corresponding formulas for $\gamma_{53}, \gamma_{54}$.
In the same way, we obtain from (38) ${ }_{\kappa=6},(39)_{\kappa=6}$, and (40) ${ }_{\kappa=6}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{63}=\frac{1}{60} \alpha_{6}^{3} \frac{10 \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}-5\left(\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5}\right) \alpha_{6}+3 \alpha_{6}^{2}}{\alpha_{3}\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{5}-\alpha_{3}\right)} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

and corresponding formulas for $\gamma_{64}, \gamma_{65}$, and from (38) ${ }_{\kappa=7},(39)_{\kappa=7}$, $(40)_{\kappa=7}$, and $(41)_{K=7}$ :
$\gamma_{73}=\frac{1}{60} \alpha_{7}^{3} \frac{10 \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}-5\left(\alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}+\alpha_{4} \alpha_{6}+\alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}\right) \alpha_{7}+3\left(\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}\right) \alpha_{7}^{2}-2 \alpha_{7}^{3}}{\alpha_{3}\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{5}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{3}\right)}$
and corresponding formulas for $\gamma_{74}, \gamma_{75}, \gamma_{76}$.
Putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{83}=0 \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

equations (38) ${ }_{\kappa=8},(39)_{\kappa=8},(40)_{\kappa=8},(41)_{\kappa=8}$ yield
$\gamma_{84}=\frac{1}{60} \alpha_{8}^{3} \frac{10 \alpha_{5} \alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}-5\left(\alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}+\alpha_{5} \alpha_{7}+\alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}\right) \alpha_{8}+3\left(\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}+\alpha_{7}\right) \alpha_{8}^{2}-2 \alpha_{8}^{3}}{\alpha_{4}\left(\alpha_{5}-\alpha_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{6^{-}}-\alpha_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{4}\right)}$
and corresponding formulas for $\gamma_{85}, \gamma_{86}$, and $\gamma_{87}$.

Putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{93}=\gamma_{94}=0 \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

equations (38) ${ }_{\kappa=9},(39)_{\kappa=9},{(40)_{K=9} \text {, and (41) }}_{\kappa=9}$ lead to
$\gamma_{95}=\frac{1}{60} \alpha_{9}^{3} \frac{10 \alpha_{6} \alpha_{7} \alpha_{8}-5\left(\alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}+\alpha_{6} \alpha_{8}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8}\right) \alpha_{9}+3\left(\alpha_{6}+\alpha_{7}+\alpha_{8}\right) \alpha_{9}^{2}-2 \alpha_{9}^{3}}{\alpha_{5}\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{5}\right)\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{5}\right)\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{5}\right)}$
and corresponding formulas for $\gamma_{96}, \gamma_{97}$, and $\gamma_{98}$.
With

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{103}=\gamma_{104}=\gamma_{105}=0 \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { equations }(38)_{\kappa=10},{(39)_{\kappa=10},(40)_{\kappa=10}, \text { and }(41)_{\kappa=10} \text { give }}_{\gamma_{106}=} \frac{1}{60} \alpha_{10}^{3} \frac{10 \alpha_{7} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{9}-5\left(\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8}+\alpha_{7} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9}\right) \alpha_{10}+3\left(\alpha_{7}+\alpha_{8}+\alpha_{9}\right) \alpha_{10}^{2}-2 \alpha_{10}^{3}}{\alpha_{6}\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{6}\right)\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{6}\right)\left(\alpha_{9}-\alpha_{6}\right)}
\end{gather*}
$$

and correspondingly $\gamma_{107}, \gamma_{108}$, and $\gamma_{109}$.
The first equations (42) and (43) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{113}=-\frac{c_{7}}{c_{11}} \cdot \gamma_{73}, \quad \gamma_{114}=-\frac{c_{7}}{c_{11}} \gamma_{74}-\frac{c_{8}}{c_{11}} \gamma_{84} . \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations $(38)_{\kappa=11},(39)_{\kappa=11},(40)_{\kappa=11}$, and (41) ${ }_{\kappa=11}$ we can

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{117}=\frac{\mathrm{N}\left(\gamma_{117}\right)}{\alpha_{7}\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{7}\right)\left(\alpha_{9}-\alpha_{7}\right)\left(\alpha_{10}-\alpha_{7}\right)} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}\left(\gamma_{117}\right) & =\frac{1}{60} \alpha_{11}^{3}\left[10 \alpha_{8} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}-5\left(\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}\right) \alpha_{11}+3\left(\alpha_{8}+\alpha_{9}+\alpha_{10}\right) \alpha_{11}^{2}-2 \alpha_{11}^{3}\right] \\
& -\gamma_{113} \alpha_{3}\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{9}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{10}-\alpha_{3}\right)-\gamma_{114} \alpha_{4}\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{9}-\alpha_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{10}-\alpha_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and corresponding formulas for $\gamma_{118}, \gamma_{119}$, and $\gamma_{1110}$.

From the second equations (42) and (43) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{123}=-\frac{1}{\dot{c}_{12}}\left(\dot{c}_{7} \gamma_{73}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{11} \gamma_{113}\right) \quad, \quad \gamma_{124}=-\frac{1}{\dot{c}_{12}}\left(\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{7} \gamma_{74}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{8} \gamma_{84}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{11} \gamma_{114}\right) \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the computation of $\gamma_{127}, \gamma_{128}, \gamma_{129}, \gamma_{1210}$, and $\gamma_{1211}$ we make use of $(38)_{K=12},(39)_{\kappa=12},(40)_{K=12},(41)_{K=12}$ and of

$$
\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{7} \mathrm{Q}_{75}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{8} \mathrm{Q}_{85}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{9} \mathrm{Q}_{95}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{10} \mathrm{Q}_{105}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{11} \mathrm{Q}_{115}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{12} \mathrm{Q}_{125}=\frac{1}{336}
$$

The last equation is equation (IX,20) of Table 1. If this last equation is satisfied, one of the error coefficients in $\dot{x}$ becomes zero, thereby reducing the error in $\dot{x}$.

The above five equations yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{127}=\frac{\mathrm{N}\left(\gamma_{127}\right)}{\alpha_{7}\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{7}\right)\left(\alpha_{9}-\alpha_{7}\right)\left(\alpha_{10}-\alpha_{7}\right)\left(\alpha_{11}-\alpha_{7}\right)} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}\left(\gamma_{127}\right)= & \frac{1}{420}\left[70 \alpha_{8} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10} \alpha_{11}-35\left(\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9} \alpha_{11}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{10} \alpha_{11}+\alpha_{9} \alpha_{10} \alpha_{11}\right)\right. \\
& +21\left(\alpha_{8} \alpha_{9}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{8} \alpha_{11}+\alpha_{9} \alpha_{10}+\alpha_{9} \alpha_{11}+\alpha_{10} \alpha_{11}\right) \\
& \left.-14\left(\alpha_{8}+\alpha_{9}+\alpha_{10}+\alpha_{11}\right)+10\right] \\
- & \gamma_{123} \alpha_{3}\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{9}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{10}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{11}-\alpha_{3}\right) \\
- & \gamma_{124} \alpha_{4}\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{9}-\alpha_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{10}-\alpha_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{11}-\alpha_{4}\right) \\
- & \frac{1}{42}+\frac{1}{\dot{c}_{12}}\left(\frac{1}{336}-\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{7} Q_{75}-\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{8} Q_{85}-\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{9} Q_{95}-\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{10} Q_{105}-\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{11} Q_{115}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and corresponding formulas for $\gamma_{128}, \gamma_{129}, \gamma_{1210}$, and $\gamma_{1211}$.
17. The coefficients $\beta_{\kappa 0}$ and $\gamma_{\kappa 0}$ can not be found from the equations of condition since they do not enter these equations.

However, comparing (3) with (28), we find immediately

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\beta_{\kappa 0}=\alpha_{\kappa}-\left(\beta_{\kappa 1}+\beta_{\kappa 2}+\ldots+\beta_{\kappa, \kappa-1}\right)  \tag{85}\\
\gamma_{\kappa 0}=\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2}-\left(\gamma_{\kappa 1}+\gamma_{\kappa 2}, \ldots+\gamma_{\kappa, \kappa-1}\right)
\end{array}\right\}(\kappa=1,2,3 \ldots, 13),
$$

with the parentheses in (85) being omitted for $\kappa=1$.
18. In No. 15 and No. 16 we have expressed the coefficients $\beta_{\kappa \lambda}$ and $\gamma_{\kappa \lambda}$ by the coefficients $\alpha_{\kappa}$. As explained in No. 15, there are relations between the $\alpha_{\kappa}$. These relations leave us with only four independent $\alpha_{\kappa}: \alpha_{4}, \alpha_{7}, \alpha_{9}$, and $\alpha_{10}$. Except for trivial restrictions, these four $\alpha_{\kappa}$ can be chosen arbitrarily and lead to a Runge-Kutta-Nyström formula RKN_G-7(8) -13 based on thirteen evaluations per step of the differential equation (2).
19. There remains the problem of how to select the four independent coefficients $\alpha_{4}, \alpha_{7}, \alpha_{9}$, and $\alpha_{10}$. Naturally, one would like to have a Runge-Kutta-Nyström formula with small truncation errors for whatever the problem (2) might be. Unfortunately, the truncation errors also depend on the problem (2). A formula that might be very efficient for a certain problem can prove to be relatively poor for another problem.

Therefore, the only reasonable way to select the independent parameters $\alpha_{4}, \alpha_{7}, \alpha_{9}, \alpha_{10}$ seems to be to find in Table 1 the error coefficients for the eighth-order terms in $x$ and $\dot{x}$. However, one has to keep in mind that these error coefficients have to be multiplied with certain expressions in the partial derivatives of (2), summed up, and multiplied with $h^{8}$ to represent an approximation of the local truncation error in $x$ or $\dot{x}$.

It can be assumed, however, that the local truncation error becomes small if the error coefficients are sufficiently small since the local truncation error obviously tends to zero if all error coefficients go to zero.

From Table 1 we find the following 10 error coefficients in $x$ which are different from each other:

$$
\mathrm{T}_{16}, \mathrm{~T}_{38}, \mathrm{~T}_{39}, \mathrm{~T}_{49}, \mathrm{~T}_{67}, \mathrm{~T}_{78}, \mathrm{~T}_{81}, \mathrm{~T}_{82}, \mathrm{~T}_{87}, \mathrm{~T}_{95},
$$

the suffix indicating the number of the eighth-order equation of condition in Table 1. For instance

$$
\mathrm{T}_{16}=\sum_{\kappa=7}^{12} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \mathrm{P}_{\kappa 5}-\frac{1}{336}
$$

There are more error coefficients for x in Table 1. These additional error coefficients, however, differ by a constant factor only from those listed above.

From the eighth_order equations of condition for $\dot{x}$ in Table 1 we find the following 28 error coefficients. ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\mathrm{T}}_{1}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{16}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{26}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{48}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{49}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{59}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{76}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{89}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{93}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{143} \\
& \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{159}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{175}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{182}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{189}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{192}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{194}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{213}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{220}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{222}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{223} \\
& \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{249}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{255}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{256}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{258}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{260}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{262}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{263}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{266}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is, for instance

$$
\dot{\mathrm{T}}_{1}=\sum_{\kappa=7}^{12} \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{7}-\frac{1}{8}
$$

Again, there are more error coefficients for $\dot{x}$ in Table 1 which differ by a constant factor from those listed above.

Since there are considerably more error terms contributing to the truncation error in $\dot{x}$ than there are for the truncation error in $x$, the error control should be based on the truncation error in $\dot{x}$. However, since this seems to be impossible without an unreasonable increase in the computational effort, we have to resort to an error control in $x$ and try to keep the errors in $\dot{x}$ as small as possible. Since these errors in $\dot{x}$ propagate directly through the differential equation (2), their influence is likely to be more serious than in the case of the differential equation (1).
20. We computed the above listed error coefficients in $x$ and $\dot{x}$ for a large variety of combinations of the parameters $\alpha_{4}, \alpha_{7}, \alpha_{9}, \alpha_{10}$ and finally decided on a combination for which the error coefficients in $x$ as well as the ratio of the error coefficients in $\dot{x}$ to the error coefficients in $x$ were reasonably small.

[^0]We selected for our seventh_order Runge_Kutta_Nyström formula RKN_ G-7(8)-13 the following combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{4}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad \alpha_{7}=\frac{3}{4}, \quad \alpha_{9}=\frac{1}{8}, \quad \alpha_{10}=\frac{3}{8} \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients for this formula are listed in Table 3. Since the last evaluation (28) is supposed to be taken over as first evaluation for the next step, the coefficients $\beta_{130}, \beta_{131}, \ldots, \beta_{1312}$ and $\gamma_{130}, \gamma_{131}, \ldots$, $\gamma_{1312}$ in Table 3 have to be equal to the weight factors $\dot{c}_{0}, \dot{c}_{1}, \ldots, \dot{c}_{12}$ and $c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{12}$ of (29).

The computation of the coefficients for our seventh-order formula and also for the sixth-order formula, listed later, was performed in 40-digit arithmetic.

Table 2 shows the pattern of our seventh-order formula. All coefficients different from 0 and 1 are marked by an asterisk.

## SECTION III. SIXTH-ORDER FORMULA RKN-G-6(7)

21. The derivation of a sixth-order formula is similar to the derivation of the seventh-order formula in Section II.

We base the sixth-order formula on ten evaluations with an eleventh evaluation which is taken over as first evaluation for the next step.

Similar to Section II we make the following assumptions for the coefficients of our sixth_order formula:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\hat{c}_{1}=c_{1}=0, \ldots, \hat{c}_{4}=c_{4}=0, \hat{c}_{5}=c_{5}, \ldots, \hat{c}_{8}=c_{8}, \hat{c}_{9}=0, \hat{c}_{10}=c_{9} \\
\dot{c}_{1}=0, \ldots, \dot{c}_{4}=0 ; \alpha_{9}=\alpha_{10}=1 \\
\beta_{31}=\beta_{41}=\ldots=\beta_{101}=0 \quad \gamma_{31}=\gamma_{41}=\ldots=\gamma_{101}=0  \tag{88}\\
\beta_{52}=\beta_{62}=\ldots=\beta_{102}=0 \quad \beta_{10, \lambda}=\dot{c}_{\lambda}(\lambda=0,1,2, \ldots, 9) \\
\gamma_{10, \lambda}=c_{\lambda}(\lambda=0,1,2, \ldots, 9)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2} \quad(\kappa=2,3, \ldots, 10)  \tag{89}\\
& \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}=\frac{1}{3} \alpha_{\kappa}^{3}(\kappa=2,3, \ldots, 10) \tag{90}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{3}=\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{\kappa}^{4} \quad(\kappa=5,6, \ldots, 10) \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{c}_{5} \beta_{54}+\mathrm{c}_{6} \beta_{64}+\mathrm{c}_{7} \beta_{74}+\mathrm{c}_{8} \beta_{84}+\mathrm{c}_{9} \beta_{104}=0  \tag{93}\\
& \dot{c}_{5} \beta_{54}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{6} \beta_{64}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{7} \beta_{74}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{8} \beta_{84}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{9} \beta_{94}=0
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{\kappa}^{3}(\kappa=2,3, \ldots, 10)
$$

$$
\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}=\frac{1}{12} \alpha_{\kappa}^{4} \quad(\kappa=5,6, \ldots
$$

$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{3}=\frac{1}{20} \alpha_{\kappa}^{5}(\kappa=5,6, \ldots, 10)$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{c}_{5} \gamma_{52}+\mathrm{c}_{6} \gamma_{62}+\mathrm{c}_{7} \gamma_{72}+\mathrm{c}_{8} \gamma_{82}+\mathrm{c}_{9} \gamma_{102}=0  \tag{97}\\
\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{5} \gamma_{52}+\dot{c}_{6} \gamma_{62}+\dot{c}_{7} \gamma_{72}+\dot{c}_{8} \gamma_{82}+\dot{c}_{9} \gamma_{92}=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

22. The assumptions of No. 21 reduce the seventh- and lower-order equations of Table 1 to
(II, 1), (III, 1), (IV, 1) , (V, 1) , (VI, 1), (VII, 1), (VII, 10) .
The first six of these equations yield, in the same way as in Section II, the weight factors $\mathrm{c}_{0}, \mathrm{c}_{5}, \mathrm{c}_{6}, \mathrm{c}_{7}, \mathrm{c}_{8}, \mathrm{c}_{9}$ and $\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{0}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{5}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{6}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{7}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{8}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{9}$. Equation (VII, 10) has to be solved together with the assumptions of No. 21. The resulting values for $\beta_{21}, \beta_{32}, \beta_{42}, \beta_{43}, \beta_{53}, \beta_{54}, \beta_{63}, \beta_{64}, \beta_{65}$ and the restrictions for $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ are the same as in Section II.. They are given by equations (46) through (53).

The remaining coefficients $\beta_{7 \lambda}, \beta_{8 \lambda}$, etc. are different from those of Section II.

Putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{73}=0, \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain from (89) ${ }_{\kappa=7},(90)_{\kappa=7},(91)_{\kappa=7}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{74}=\frac{1}{12} \alpha_{7}^{2} \cdot \frac{6 \alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}-4\left(\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}\right) \alpha_{7}+3 \alpha_{7}^{2}}{\alpha_{4}\left(\alpha_{5}-\alpha_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{4}\right)} \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

and corresponding formulas for $\beta_{75}$ and $\beta_{76}$.
The first equations (92) and (93) yield

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\beta_{83}=-\frac{1}{c_{8}}\left(c_{5} \beta_{53}+c_{6} \beta_{63}\right) \\
\beta_{84}=-\frac{1}{c_{8}}\left(c_{5} \beta_{54}+c_{6} \beta_{64}+c_{7} \beta_{74}\right) \tag{100}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Equations (89) ${ }_{\kappa=8},(90)_{\kappa=8},(91)_{\kappa=8}$, and (VII, 10) can then be considered as four linear equations for the three coefficients $\beta_{85}, \beta_{86}, \beta_{87}$. They lead to a restriction for $\alpha_{8}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{8}=\frac{\mathrm{N}\left(\alpha_{8}\right)}{\mathrm{D}\left(\alpha_{8}\right)} \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}\left(\alpha_{8}\right)= & 30\left(1-\alpha_{5}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{6}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{7}\right)\left[35 \alpha_{5} \alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}-14\left(\alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}+\alpha_{5} \alpha_{7}+\alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}\right)+7\left(\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}+\alpha_{7}\right)-4\right] \\
+ & {\left[30 \alpha_{5} \alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}-20\left(\alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}+\alpha_{5} \alpha_{7}+\alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}\right)+15\left(\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}+\alpha_{7}\right)-12\right] . } \\
& {\left[35 \alpha_{5} \alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}-21\left(\alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}+\alpha_{5} \alpha_{7}+\alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}\right)+14\left(\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}+\alpha_{7}\right)-10\right] } \\
\mathrm{D}\left(\alpha_{8}\right)= & 30\left(1-\alpha_{5}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{6}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{7}\right)\left[35 \alpha_{5} \alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}-14\left(\alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}+\alpha_{5} \alpha_{7}+\alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}\right)+7\left(\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}+\alpha_{7}\right)-4\right] \\
+ & {\left[30 \alpha_{5} \alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}-20\left(\alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}+\alpha_{5} \alpha_{7}+\alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}\right)+15\left(\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}+\alpha_{7}\right)-12\right] . } \\
& {\left[70 \alpha_{5} \alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}-35\left(\alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}+\alpha_{5} \alpha_{7}+\alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}\right)+21\left(\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}+\alpha_{7}\right)-14\right] }
\end{aligned}
$$

and to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{85}=\frac{\frac{1}{12} \alpha_{8}^{2}\left[6 \alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}-4\left(\alpha_{6}+\alpha_{7}\right) \alpha_{8}+3 \alpha_{8}^{2}\right]-\beta_{83} \alpha_{3}\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{3}\right)-\beta_{84} \alpha_{4}\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{4}\right)}{\alpha_{5}\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{5}\right)\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{5}\right)} \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

and corresponding formulas for $\beta_{86}$ and $\beta_{87}$.
The second equations (92) and (93) give

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{93}=-\frac{1}{\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{9}}\left(\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{5} \beta_{53}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{6} \beta_{63}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{8} \beta_{83}\right) \\
& \beta_{94}=-\frac{1}{\dot{c}_{9}}\left(\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{5} \beta_{54}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{6} \beta_{64}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{7} \beta_{74}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{8} \beta_{84}\right) \tag{103}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations $(89)_{\kappa=9},(90)_{\kappa=9},(91)_{\kappa=9}$, and (VII, 10) ${ }^{\cdot}$ then represent four equations for the four coefficients $\beta_{95}, \beta_{96}, \beta_{97}, \beta_{98}$. Their solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{98}=\frac{1}{60} \frac{10 \alpha_{5} \alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}-5\left(\alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}+\alpha_{5} \alpha_{7}+\alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}\right)+3\left(\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}+\alpha_{7}\right)-2}{\dot{c}_{9} \alpha_{8}\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{8}\right)\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{8}\right)\left(\alpha_{5}-\alpha_{8}\right)} \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\beta_{95}=\frac{\frac{1}{12}\left[6 \alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}-4\left(\alpha_{6}+\alpha_{7}\right)+31-\beta_{93} \alpha_{3}\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{3}\right)-\beta_{98} \alpha_{4}\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{4}\right)-\beta_{88} \alpha_{8}\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{8}\right)\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{8}\right)\right.}{\alpha_{5}\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{5}\right)\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{5}\right)}$
and expressions $\beta_{96}, \beta_{97}$ that correspond to $\beta_{95}$.
23. We still have to determine the coefficients $\gamma_{\kappa \lambda}$. The coefficients $\gamma_{21}$, $\gamma_{32}, \gamma_{42}, \gamma_{43}, \gamma_{52}, \gamma_{53}, \gamma_{54}, \gamma_{62}, \gamma_{63}, \gamma_{64}, \gamma_{65}$ are the same as the corresponding coefficients in Section II and are given by equations (68) through (73) .

Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{72}=\gamma_{73}=0 \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find from (94) ${ }_{\kappa=7},(95)_{\kappa=7},(96)_{\kappa=7}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{74}=\frac{1}{60} \alpha_{7}^{3} \frac{10 \alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}-5\left(\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}\right) \alpha_{7}+3 \alpha_{7}^{2}}{\alpha_{4}\left(\alpha_{5}-\alpha_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{4}\right)} \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

and corresponding expressions for $\gamma_{75}$ and $\gamma_{76}$.
The first equation (97) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{82}=-\frac{c_{5}}{c_{8}} \gamma_{52} \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{83}=\gamma_{84}=0 \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\text { equations }(94)_{\kappa=8},(95)_{\kappa=8},(96)_{\kappa=8} \text { give: }}_{\gamma_{85}=\frac{\frac{1}{60} \alpha_{8}^{3}\left[10 \alpha_{6} \alpha_{7}-5\left(\alpha_{6}+\alpha_{7}\right) \alpha_{8}+3 \alpha_{8}^{2}\right]-\gamma_{82} \alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{2}\right)}{\alpha_{5}\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{5}\right)\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{5}\right)}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

and corresponding expressions for $\gamma_{86}$ and $\gamma_{87}$.
From the second equation (97), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{92}=-\frac{1}{\dot{c}_{9}}\left(\dot{c}_{5} \gamma_{52}+\dot{c}_{8} \gamma_{82}\right) \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

With

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{93}=\gamma_{94}=\gamma_{95}=0 \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find from $(94)_{\kappa=9},(95)_{\kappa=9},(96)_{\kappa=9}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{96}=\frac{\frac{1}{60}\left[10 \alpha_{7} \alpha_{8}-5\left(\alpha_{7}+\alpha_{8}\right)+3\right]-\gamma_{92} \alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{2}\right)}{\alpha_{6}\left(\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{6}\right)\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha_{6}\right)} \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

and corresponding expressions for $\gamma_{97}$ and $\gamma_{98}$.
This concludes the computation of the coefficients $\beta_{\kappa \lambda}$ and $\gamma_{\kappa \lambda}$, since $\beta_{\kappa 0}$ and $\gamma_{\kappa 0}$ are again obtained from (85) with $\kappa=1,2,3, \ldots, 10$.
24. The expressions for $\beta_{\kappa \lambda}$ and $\gamma_{\kappa \lambda}$ in No. 22 and No. 23 contain four parameters $\alpha_{4}, \alpha_{5}, \alpha_{6}$, and $\alpha_{7}$ which we can choose arbitrarily.

As in Section II, we choose these parameters so that the error coefficients in x and the ratio of the error coefficients in $\dot{x}$ and in x become reasonably small.

In the case of a sixth-order Runge-Kutta-Nyström formula there are five different error coefficients in $x$ :

$$
\mathrm{T}_{10}, \mathrm{~T}_{23}, \mathrm{~T}_{24}, \mathrm{~T}_{28}, \mathrm{~T}_{34}
$$

and eighteen different error coefficients in $\dot{x}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\mathrm{T}}_{1}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{10}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{12}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{16}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{31}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{32}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{38}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{39}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{47}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{49} \\
& \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{81}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{82}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{86}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{87}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{89}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{91}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{92}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{95}
\end{aligned}
$$

In our final sixth-order formula we chose for the free parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{4}=\frac{5}{8}, \quad \alpha_{5}=\frac{3}{8}, \quad \alpha_{6}=\frac{2}{3}, \quad \alpha_{7}=\frac{1}{6} \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients of the sixth-order formula with the parameter values (114) are listed in Table 5.

The pattern of our sixth-order formula is shown in Table 4.

## SECTION IV. FIFTH-ORDER FORMULA RKN-G-5(6)

25. Although it is possible to construct fifth-order formulas based on seven evaluations per step, we prefer to use eight evaluations per step, since we then obtain formulas with smaller local truncation error terms. In spite of the one additional evaluation per step such formulas proved to be more economical. They allow a larger stepsize because of their smaller truncation errors.

We make the following assumptions for the coefficients of our fifth-order formula:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{c}_{1}=c_{1}=0, \quad \hat{c}_{2}=c_{2}=0, \quad \hat{c}_{3}=c_{3}=0, \\
& \hat{c}_{4}=c_{4}, \quad \hat{c}_{5}=c_{5}, \quad \hat{c}_{6}=c_{6}, \quad \hat{c}_{7}=0, \quad \hat{c}_{8}=c_{7}  \tag{115}\\
& \dot{c}_{1}=0, \quad \dot{c}_{2}=0, \quad \dot{c}_{3}=0, \quad \alpha_{7}=\alpha_{8}=1
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{31}=\beta_{41}=\beta_{51}=\beta_{61}=\beta_{71}=\beta_{81}=0 \\
& \gamma_{41}=\gamma_{51}=\gamma_{61}=\gamma_{71}=\gamma_{81}=0 \\
& \beta_{8 \lambda}=\dot{c}_{\lambda}(\lambda=0,1,2, \ldots, 7)  \tag{116}\\
& \gamma_{8 \lambda}=c_{\lambda}(\lambda=0,1,2, \ldots, 7)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2} \quad(\kappa=2,3, \ldots, 8)  \tag{117}\\
& \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}=\frac{1}{3} \alpha_{\kappa}^{3} \quad(\kappa=2,3, \ldots, 8) \tag{118}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\mathrm{c}_{4} \beta_{42}+\mathrm{c}_{5} \beta_{52}+\mathrm{c}_{6} \beta_{62}+\mathrm{c}_{7} \beta_{82}=0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{4} \beta_{42}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{5} \beta_{52}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{6} \beta_{62}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{7} \beta_{72}=0 \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{\kappa}^{3} \quad(\kappa=2,3, \ldots, 8)  \tag{120}\\
& \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}=\frac{1}{12} \alpha_{\kappa}^{4} \quad(\kappa=2,3, \ldots, 8)  \tag{121}\\
& \dot{c}_{4} \gamma_{42}+\dot{c}_{5} \gamma_{52}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{6} \gamma_{62}+\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{7} \gamma_{72}=0 \tag{122}
\end{align*}
$$

26. The assumptions of No. 25 reduce the sixth- and lower-order equations of condition of Table 1 to
$(\mathrm{II}, 1),(\mathrm{III}, 1),(\mathrm{IV}, 1),(\mathrm{V}, 1),(\mathrm{VI}, 1),(\mathrm{VI}, 6)$

The first five of these equations yield, as in Section II and III, the weight factors $\mathrm{c}_{0}, \mathrm{c}_{4}, \mathrm{c}_{5}, \mathrm{c}_{6}, \mathrm{c}_{7}$ and $\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{0}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{4}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{5}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{6}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{7}$. Equation (VI, 6) has to be solved together with the assumptions of No. 25. The resulting values for $\beta_{21}, \beta_{32}$ and the restrictions for $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ are the same as in Sections II and III and are given by equations (46) through (49).

Equations (119) can be satisfied by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{42}=\beta_{52}=\beta_{62}=\beta_{72}=\beta_{82}=0 . \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (117) ${ }_{K=4}$ and (118) ${ }_{\kappa=4}$ we then find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{3}=\frac{2}{3} \alpha_{4} \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{43}=\frac{3}{4} \alpha_{4} \tag{125}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (117) ${ }_{\kappa=5}$ and (118) $_{\kappa=5}$ yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{53}=\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{5}^{2} \frac{3 \alpha_{4}-2 \alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{3}\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{3}\right)}, \quad \beta_{54}=\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{5}^{2} \frac{3 \alpha_{3}-2 \alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{4}\left(\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{4}\right)} \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (117) ${ }_{K=6},(118)_{K=6}$ and (VI, 6) result in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{63}=\frac{1}{12} \alpha_{6}^{2} \frac{6 \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}-4\left(\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5}\right) \alpha_{6}+3 \alpha_{6}^{2} \mathrm{R}_{1}}{\alpha_{3}\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{5}-\alpha_{3}\right)} \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

and corresponding expressions for $\beta_{64}, \beta_{65}$. The abbreviation $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ in (127) stands for

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1}=\frac{4}{\mathrm{c}_{6} \alpha_{6}^{4}}\left\{\frac{1}{120}-\mathrm{c}_{4} \beta_{43} \alpha_{3}^{3}-\mathrm{c}_{5}\left(\beta_{53} \alpha_{3}^{3}+\beta_{54} \alpha_{4}^{3}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{c}_{7}\right\}
$$

We compute the coefficients $\beta_{73}, \beta_{74}, \beta_{75}, \beta_{76}$ from (117) ${ }_{\kappa=6}$, (118) ${ }_{\kappa=6}$, (VI, 6) ${ }^{\cdot}$ and from the additional condition $\dot{\mathrm{T}}_{10}=0$ (see Table 1). The last condition helps to reduce the local truncation error in $\dot{x}$. The above equations lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{73}=\frac{1}{60} \frac{30 \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}-20\left(\alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}+\alpha_{4} \alpha_{6}+\alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}\right)+15\left(\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}\right) \cdot \mathrm{R}_{2}-12 \mathrm{R}_{3}}{\alpha_{3}\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{5}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{3}\right)} \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

and corresponding expressions for $\beta_{74}, \beta_{75}, \beta_{76}$. Here we have used the abbreviations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}_{2}=\frac{4}{\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{7}}\left\{\frac{1}{20}-\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{4} \beta_{43} \alpha_{3}^{3}-\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{5}\left(\beta_{53} \alpha_{3}^{3}+\beta_{54} \alpha_{4}^{3}\right)-\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{6}\left(\beta_{63} \alpha_{3}^{3}+\beta_{64} \alpha_{4}^{3}+\beta_{65} \alpha_{5}^{3}\right)\right\} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{3}=\frac{5}{\dot{c}_{7}}\left\{\frac{1}{30}-\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{4} \beta_{43} \alpha_{3}^{4}-\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{5}\left(\beta_{53} \alpha_{3}^{4}+\beta_{54} \alpha_{4}^{4}\right)-\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{6}\left(\beta_{63} \alpha_{3}^{4}+\beta_{64} \alpha_{4}^{4}+\beta_{65} \alpha_{5}^{4}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

27. We now compute the coefficients $\gamma_{\kappa \lambda}$. The coefficient $\gamma_{21}$ is again given by (68). The coefficients $\gamma_{31}$ and $\gamma_{32}$ are obtained from equations (120) $_{\kappa=3}$ and (121) ${ }_{\kappa=3}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{31}=\frac{1}{12} \alpha_{3}^{3} \frac{2 \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right)}, \quad \gamma_{32}=\frac{1}{12} \alpha_{3}^{3} \frac{2 \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)} \tag{129}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $\gamma_{41}=0$ we find from (120) ${ }_{\kappa=4}$ and (121) ${ }_{\kappa=4}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{42}=\frac{1}{12} \alpha_{4}^{3} \frac{2 \alpha_{3}-\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{2}\right)}, \quad \gamma_{43}=\frac{1}{12} \alpha_{4}^{3} \frac{2 \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{3}\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)} \tag{130}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{62}=\gamma_{72}=0 \tag{131}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find from (122) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{52}=-\frac{\dot{c}_{4}}{\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{5}} \gamma_{42} \tag{132}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (120) ${ }_{\kappa=5}$ and (121) $_{\kappa=5}$ then yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{53}=\frac{1}{12} \alpha_{5}^{3} \frac{2 \alpha_{4}-\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{3}\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{3}\right)}-\gamma_{52} \frac{\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{2}\right)}{\alpha_{3}\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{3}\right)} \\
& \gamma_{54}=\frac{1}{12} \alpha_{5}^{3} \frac{2 \alpha_{3}-\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{4}\left(\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{4}\right)}-\gamma_{52} \frac{\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{2}\right)}{\alpha_{4}\left(\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{4}\right)} \tag{133}
\end{align*}
$$

With

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{63}=\gamma_{73}=0 \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find from (120) ${ }_{\kappa=6}$ and (121) ${ }_{\kappa=6}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{64}=\frac{1}{12} \alpha_{6}^{3} \frac{2 \alpha_{5}-\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{4}\left(\alpha_{5}-\alpha_{4}\right)}, \quad \gamma_{65}=\frac{1}{12} \alpha_{6}^{3} \frac{2 \alpha_{4}-\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{5}\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{5}\right)} \tag{135}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from $(120)_{\kappa=7},(121)_{\kappa=7}$ and from $\dot{\mathrm{T}}_{8}=0$ (see Table 1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{74}=\frac{1}{60} \frac{10 \alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}-5\left(\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}\right)+3 \mathrm{R}_{4}}{\alpha_{4}\left(\alpha_{5}-\alpha_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{6}-\alpha_{4}\right)} \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

and two corresponding formulas for $\gamma_{75}$ and $\gamma_{76}$. Here we have used the abbreviation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{4}=\frac{20}{\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{7}}\left\{\frac{1}{120}-\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{4} \gamma_{43} \alpha_{3}^{3}-\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{5}\left(\gamma_{53} \alpha_{3}^{3}+\gamma_{54} \alpha_{4}^{3}\right)-\dot{\mathrm{c}}_{6}\left(\gamma_{63} \alpha_{3}^{3}+\gamma_{64} \alpha_{4}^{3}+\gamma_{65} \alpha_{5}^{3}\right)\right\} \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

This concludes the computation of the coefficients $\beta_{\kappa \lambda}$ and $\gamma_{\kappa \lambda}$, since $\beta_{\kappa 0}$ and $\gamma_{\kappa 0}$ are again computed from (85) with $\kappa=1,2,3, \ldots, 8$.
28. The expressions for $\beta_{\kappa \lambda}$ and $\gamma_{\kappa \lambda}$ in No. 26 and No. 27 contain three free parameters: $\alpha_{4}, \alpha_{5}$, and $\alpha_{6}$. By a proper choice of these parameters, we might try to obtain formulas with reasonable small error coefficients in x as well as in $\dot{x}$.

In the case of our fifth-order formula we have only one error coefficient in x :

$$
\mathrm{T}_{6}
$$

and four error terms in $\dot{x}$ :

$$
\dot{\mathrm{T}}_{1}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{6}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{24}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{34}
$$

29. It is interesting to notice that by adding one more condition (VII, 1) ${ }^{\circ}$ to (II, 1) ${ }^{\circ},(\mathrm{III}, 1)^{\cdot},(\mathrm{IV}, 1)^{\cdot},(\mathrm{V}, 1)^{\cdot}$, and $(\mathrm{VI}, 1)^{\circ}$, we obtain a restrictive condition for the $\alpha^{\prime} s$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{6}=\frac{5 \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}-3\left(\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5}\right)+2}{10 \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}-5\left(\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5}\right)+3} \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

that makes all our error coefficients $\mathrm{T}_{6}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{1}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{6}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{24}, \dot{\mathrm{~T}}_{34}$ zero. Natu_ rally, such a choice of $\alpha_{6}$ is not suitable for our fifth-order formula, since our stepsize control would break down in this case.

However, by choosing $\alpha_{6}$ close to the value of (138), we can obtain sufficiently small error coefficients in x and $\dot{x}$ that lead to efficient fifth-order formulas.

Such a formula is obtained for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{4}=\frac{9}{10}, \quad \alpha_{5}=\frac{3}{4}, \quad \alpha_{6}=\frac{2}{7} \tag{139}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the values $\alpha_{4}$ and $\alpha_{5}$ of (139) the condition (138) would result in $\alpha_{6}=17 / 60(\approx 0.2833)$, which is reasonably close to $\alpha_{6}=2 / 7(\approx 0.2857)$.

The coefficients for our fifth-order formula based on the values (139) for the free parameters $\alpha_{4}, \alpha_{5}, \alpha_{6}$ are listed in Table 7. Since these coefficients have relatively simple values, we have listed them in fraction form.

The pattern of our fifth-order formula is shown in Table 6.

## SECTION V. APPLICATION TO TWO NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

30. In this section we apply the Runge-Kutta-Nyström formulas of this report and the Runge_Kutta formulas of [3] to two problems; one of them is linear in the first derivatives and the other one is nonlinear. As the linear problem we choose an orbit of the restricted problem of three bodies. The same orbit has already been integrated in an earlier paper of ours [5], using a power series expansion technique. The orbit is pictured in Figure 1.

Table 8 shows the differential equations and the initial conditions for the problem. Since the problem has no solution in closed form, we integrated the problem by the above mentioned power series expansion technique using thirty decimal digits.

Truncating the series after 12 th-order, 16 th-order, or 20 th-order terms, the results (for $t=6$ ) for $x, y, \dot{x}, \dot{y}$ agreed to about twenty decimal places. Rounding these results to 16 decimal places, we found

We substituted these values for the solution of our problem. The errors $\Delta \mathrm{x}, \Delta \mathrm{y}, \Delta \dot{\mathrm{x}}, \Delta \dot{\mathrm{y}}$ in Table 8 are the deviations of the solution obtained by our Runge-Kutta-Nyström or Runge-Kutta formulas from the above values (140).
31. Table 9 shows the differential equations and the initial condition for a problem that is nonlinear in the first derivatives. Since this problem has a solution in closed form, the errors of our numerical solutions could easily be established.
32. All calculations in Tables 8 and 9 were executed on an IBM-7094 computer in double precision ( 16 decimal places). The computer was equipped with an electronic clock to measure the execution time for the various formulas.

The stepsize control for our Runge-Kutta-Nyström and our Runge-Kutta formulas is described in No. 26 of our earlier report [1].
33. Tables 8 and 9 show the results of the various formulas applied to Problem I and II. Comparing the results of our new Runge-KuttaNyström formulas with those of our Runge-Kutta formulas of [3], we notice that, in these examples, we save from 25 percent to 60 percent of the execution time by using the new formulas. In most cases, our new formulas are also slightly more accurate. When relaxing the tolerance from $0.1 \cdot 10^{-16}$ to $0.1 \cdot 10^{-15}$, the relative savings in execution time for our new formulas do not change very much.
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TABLE 1. EQUATIONS OF CONDITION FOR THE RUNGE-KUTTA-NYSTRÖM COEFFICIENTS

| II | 1 |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{2}=\sum_{0} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{K}}$ | = | 1 |  |
| III | 1 | $\frac{1}{6}=\sum_{1} \mathrm{c}_{K}{ }_{K}{ }_{K}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | II |
| iv | 1 | $\frac{1}{12}=\sum_{1} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha^{2}{ }_{k}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | III |
| v | 2 | $\frac{1}{24}=\sum_{2} c_{K}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{K 1}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ |  |
|  | 1 | $\frac{1}{20}=\sum_{1} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha^{\alpha}{ }_{k}$ | $=$ |  | Iv |
|  | 2 | $\frac{1}{40}=\sum_{2} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha^{\alpha}{ }_{K}{ }^{\text {K }}$ \% | $=$ | $\frac{1}{8}$ |  |
| vi | 3 | $\frac{1}{120}=\sum_{2} c_{K} Q_{K 1}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{24}$ |  |
|  | 4 | $\frac{1}{60}=\sum_{2} c_{K}{ }_{k}{ }_{k 2}$ | $=$ |  |  |
|  | 5 | $\frac{1}{120}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} P_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{24}$ |  |
|  | 1 | $\frac{1}{30}=\sum_{1} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{K}} \alpha^{4}{ }_{\kappa}^{4}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{5}$ | v |
|  | 2 | $\frac{1}{60}=\sum_{2} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha^{2} \mathrm{~F}^{2}{ }_{\kappa 1}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{10}$ |  |
|  | 3 | $\frac{1}{180}=\sum_{2} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}{ }^{Q} Q_{\kappa 1}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{30}$ |  |
|  | 4 | $\frac{1}{90}=\sum_{2} c_{K} \alpha_{K}{ }_{k}{ }_{k 2}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{15}$ |  |
|  | 5 | $\frac{1}{360}=\sum_{2} c_{k} Q_{k 2}$ |  | $\frac{1}{60}$ |  |
|  | 6 | $\frac{1}{120}=\sum_{2} c_{K} P_{k 3}$ |  | $\frac{1}{20}$ |  |
|  | 7 | $\frac{1}{120}=\sum_{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K} 1}^{2}$ |  | $\frac{1}{20}$ |  |
|  | 8 | $\frac{1}{180}=\sum_{3} c_{k} \alpha_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} P_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{30}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{6}{*}{vi} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{9} \& x \& $\longrightarrow \dot{x}$ \& \multirow[b]{6}{*}{v

vı} <br>

\hline \& \& $$
\frac{1}{720}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{k \lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 1}\right)
$$ \& $=\frac{1}{120}$ \& <br>

\hline \& 10 \& $$
\frac{1}{240}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa_{-}^{1}} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}{ }_{\lambda}{ }_{\lambda}{ }^{P_{\lambda 1}}\right)
$$ \& $=\frac{1}{40}$ \& <br>

\hline \& 11 \& $$
\frac{1}{720}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda} Q_{\lambda 1}\right)
$$ \& $=\frac{1}{120}$ \& <br>

\hline \& 12 \& $$
\frac{1}{360}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 2}\right)
$$ \& $=\frac{1}{60}$ \& <br>

\hline \& 13 \& $$
\frac{1}{720}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{2}^{\kappa} \sum_{2}^{1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda_{-}^{1}} \beta_{\lambda \mu} P_{\mu 1}\right)\right]
$$ \& $=\frac{1}{120}$ \& <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{12}{*}{viI} \& 1 \& $$
\frac{1}{42}=\sum_{1} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{k}^{5}
$$ \& $=\frac{1}{6}$ \& \multirow[t]{12}{*}{vi} <br>

\hline \& 2 \& $$
\frac{1}{84}=\sum_{2} c_{K} \alpha_{K}^{3}{ }_{K 1}
$$ \& $=\frac{1}{12}$ \& <br>

\hline \& 3 \& $$
\frac{1}{252}=\sum_{2} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2} Q_{\kappa 1}
$$ \& $=\frac{1}{36}$ \& <br>

\hline \& 4 \& $$
\frac{1}{126}=\sum_{2} c_{K} \alpha_{K}^{2} P_{K 2}
$$ \& $=\frac{1}{18}$ \& <br>

\hline \& 5 \& $\frac{1}{504}=\sum_{2} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{K} 2}$ \& $=\frac{1}{72}$ \& <br>

\hline \& 6 \& $$
\frac{1}{168}=\sum_{2} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}{ }_{K}{ }_{k 3}
$$ \& $=\quad \frac{1}{24}$ \& <br>

\hline \& 7 \& $$
\frac{1}{168}=\sum_{2} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}{ }_{\alpha}{ }_{K} \mathrm{P}_{\kappa 1}^{2}
$$ \& $=\frac{1}{24}$ \& <br>

\hline \& 8 \& $$
\frac{1}{840}=\sum_{2} c_{k} Q_{k 3}
$$ \& $=\frac{1}{120}$ \& <br>

\hline \& 9 \& $$
\frac{1}{504}=\sum_{2} c_{K} Q_{K 1} P_{K 1}
$$ \& $=\frac{1}{72}$ \& <br>

\hline \& 10 \& $$
\frac{1}{210}=\sum_{2} c_{K} P_{K 4}
$$ \& $=\frac{1}{30}$ \& <br>

\hline \& 11 \& $$
\frac{1}{252}=\sum_{2} c_{K} P_{\kappa 2} P_{\kappa 1}
$$ \& $=\frac{1}{36}$ \& <br>

\hline \& 12 \& $$
\frac{1}{252}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} P_{\lambda 1}\right)
$$ \& $=\frac{1}{36}$ \& <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| VII | 13 | $\underline{\longrightarrow}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{1008}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{144}$ | vi |
|  | 14 | $\frac{1}{336}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}{ }_{\lambda}{ }_{\lambda}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \lambda_{1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{48}$ |  |
|  | 15 | $\frac{1}{1008}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda} Q_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{144}$ |  |
|  | 16 | $\frac{1}{504}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{72}$ |  |
|  | 17 | $\frac{1}{1680}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}{ }^{P} \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{240}$ |  |
|  | 18 | $\frac{1}{5040}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} Q_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{720}$ |  |
|  | 19 | $\frac{1}{2520}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \mathrm{p}_{\lambda 2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{360}$ |  |
|  | 20 | $\frac{1}{420}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2} p_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{60}$ |  |
|  | 21 | $\frac{1}{1260}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda} Q_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{180}$ |  |
|  | 22 | $\frac{1}{630}=\sum_{3} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda} \mathbf{P}_{\lambda 2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{90}$ |  |
|  | 23 | $\frac{1}{2520}=\sum_{3} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} Q_{\lambda 2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{360}$ |  |
|  | 24 | $\frac{1}{840}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} P_{\lambda 3}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{120}$ |  |
|  | 25 | $\frac{1}{840}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 1}^{2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{120}$ |  |
|  | 26 | $\frac{1}{504}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} P_{\kappa 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \mathbf{P}_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\quad \frac{1}{72}$ |  |
|  | 27 | $\frac{1}{1008}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\text {P }}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{144}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| viI |  | $x \longrightarrow c_{k} \dot{c}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | - ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 28 | $\frac{1}{5040}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \mathrm{P}_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{720}$ | vI |
|  | 29 | $\frac{1}{1260}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{180}$ |  |
|  | 30 | $\frac{1}{5040}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{720}$ |  |
|  | 31 | $\frac{1}{1680}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu} P_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{240}$ |  |
|  | 32 | $\frac{1}{5040}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \beta_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{720}$ |  |
|  | 33 | $\frac{1}{2520}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{360}$ |  |
|  | 34 | $\frac{1}{5040}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-}-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{720}$ |  |
| viII | 1 | $\frac{1}{56}=\sum_{1} c_{\alpha_{K}} \alpha_{\kappa}^{6}$ | $=\frac{1}{7}$ | viI |
|  | 2 | $\frac{1}{112}=\sum_{2} \mathrm{c}_{K} \alpha_{K}^{4}{ }_{K}{ }_{\kappa 1}$ | $=\frac{1}{14}$ |  |
|  | 3 | $\frac{1}{336}=\sum_{2} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{3} Q_{K 1}$ | $=\quad \frac{1}{42}$ |  |
|  | 4 | $\frac{1}{168}=\sum_{2} c_{K} \alpha_{K}^{3}{ }_{K}{ }_{\kappa 2}$ | $=\quad \frac{1}{21}$ |  |
|  | 5 | $\frac{1}{672}=\sum_{2} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2} Q_{\kappa 2}$ | $=\frac{1}{84}$ |  |
|  | 6 | $\frac{1}{224}=\sum_{2} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2} \mathrm{p}_{\kappa 3}$ | $=\frac{1}{28}$ |  |
|  | 7 | $\frac{1}{224}=\sum_{2} \mathrm{c}_{K} \alpha_{K}^{2} \mathrm{P}_{\kappa 1}^{2}$ | $=\quad \frac{1}{28}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| viII | 8 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{1120}=\sum_{2} c_{\kappa}^{\alpha}{ }_{k}{ }^{Q}{ }_{\kappa 3}$ | $=\frac{1}{140}$ | viI |
|  | 9 | $\frac{1}{672}=\sum_{2} c_{K} \alpha_{\kappa}{ }_{K}{ }_{\kappa 1}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\kappa 1}$ | $=\quad \frac{1}{84}$ |  |
|  | 10 | $\frac{1}{280}=\sum_{2} c_{K_{K}}{ }_{K} P_{\kappa 4}$ | $=\frac{1}{35}$ |  |
|  | 11 | $\frac{1}{336}=\sum_{2} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa} \mathrm{P}_{\kappa 2} \mathrm{P}_{\kappa 1}$ | $=\frac{1}{42}$ |  |
|  | 12 | $\frac{1}{1680}=\sum_{2} c_{k} Q_{k 4}$ | $=\frac{1}{210}$ |  |
|  | 13 | $\frac{1}{2016}=\sum_{2} c_{k} Q_{k 1}^{2}$ | $=\frac{1}{252}$ |  |
|  | 14 | $\frac{1}{1344}=\sum_{2} c_{K} Q_{K 2}{ }_{K 1}$ | $=\frac{1}{168}$ |  |
|  | 15 | $\frac{1}{1008}=\sum_{2} c_{\kappa} \mathrm{Q}_{\kappa 1} \mathrm{P}{ }_{\text {2 }}$ | $=\frac{1}{126}$ |  |
|  | 16 | $\frac{1}{336}=\sum_{2} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \mathrm{P}_{\kappa 5}$ | $=\frac{1}{42}$ |  |
|  | 17 | $\frac{1}{448}=\sum_{2} c_{\kappa}{ }^{P}{ }_{k 3} P_{\kappa 1}$ | $=\frac{1}{56}$ |  |
|  | 18 | $\frac{1}{504}=\sum_{2} c_{K}{ }^{P_{K 2}^{2}}$ | $=\frac{1}{63}$ |  |
|  | 19 | $\frac{1}{448}=\sum_{2} c_{K} \mathrm{P}_{\kappa 1}^{3}$ | $=\quad \frac{1}{56}$ |  |
|  | 20 | $\frac{1}{336}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{3}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} P_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\quad \frac{1}{42}$ |  |
|  | 21 | $\frac{1}{1344}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{168}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| viII | 22 | $\mathrm{x} \longrightarrow \mathrm{c}^{\prime}, \dot{c}_{k} \longrightarrow \mathrm{x}$ |  | VII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{448}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa=1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\lambda} \mathbf{P}_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{56}$ |  |
|  | 23 | $\frac{1}{1344}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} Q_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{168}$ |  |
|  | 24 | $\frac{1}{672}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} P_{\lambda 2}\right)$ | $=\quad \frac{1}{84}$ |  |
|  | 25 | $\frac{1}{2240}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa=1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{280}$ |  |
|  | 26 | $\frac{1}{6720}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{k}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} Q_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{840}$ |  |
|  | 27 | $\frac{1}{3360}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{420}$ |  |
|  | 28 | $\frac{1}{560}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{70}$ |  |
|  | 29 | $\frac{1}{1680}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda} Q_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{210}$ |  |
|  | 30 | $\frac{1}{840}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\lambda}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\lambda 2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{105}$ |  |
|  | 31 | $\frac{1}{3360}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} Q_{\lambda 2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{420}$ |  |
|  | 32 | $\frac{1}{1120}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 3}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{140}$ |  |
|  | 33 | $\frac{1}{1120}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 1}^{2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{140}$ |  |
|  | 34 | $\frac{1}{672}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa} \mathrm{P}_{\kappa 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{84}$ |  |
|  | 35 | $\frac{1}{3360}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{420}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)


TABLE 1. (Continued)

| viII | 51 | $\mathrm{x} \longleftarrow \sim \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}$, | $\rightarrow \dot{x}$ | viI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{2016}=\sum_{3} c_{k}\left(\sum_{2}^{K} \beta_{K \lambda}{ }^{P} \lambda_{1}\right)^{2}$ | $=\frac{1}{252}$ |  |
|  | 52 | $\frac{1}{2016}=\sum_{3} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa} Q_{\kappa 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} P_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{252}$ |  |
|  | 53 | $\frac{1}{1008}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} P_{\kappa 2}\left(\sum_{2}^{K-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} P_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{126}$ |  |
|  | 54 | $\frac{1}{2688}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} \mathrm{P}_{\kappa 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}{ }^{P_{\lambda 1}}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{336}$ |  |
|  | 55 | $\frac{1}{896}=\sum_{3} c_{K} P_{\kappa 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda} P_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{112}$ |  |
|  | 56 | $\frac{1}{2688}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} p_{\kappa 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} Q_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{336}$ |  |
|  | 57 | $\frac{1}{1344}=\sum_{3} c_{K} P_{\kappa 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} P_{\lambda 2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{168}$ |  |
|  | 58 | $\frac{1}{1344}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} P_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{168}$ |  |
|  | 59 | $\frac{1}{6720}=\sum_{4} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa}{ }_{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{840}$ |  |
|  | 60 | $\frac{1}{1680}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{K-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{P}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{210}$ |  |
|  | 61 | $\frac{1}{6720}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left[{ }^{\kappa} \sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{840}$ |  |
|  | 62 | $\frac{1}{2240}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa^{-1}} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{280}$ |  |
|  | 63 | $\frac{1}{6720}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} Q_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{840}$ |  |
|  | 64 | $\frac{1}{3360}=\sum_{4} c_{k} \alpha_{k}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa_{-1} 1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\text {P }}{ }_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{420}$ |  |
|  | 65 | $\frac{1}{10080}=\sum_{4} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\text {P }}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1260}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)


TABLE 1. (Continued)

| viII | 81 | $\mathrm{x} \longrightarrow$ | $\rightarrow \dot{x}$ | viI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{20160}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} Q_{\mu 2}$ | $=\frac{1}{2520}$ |  |
|  | 82 | $\frac{1}{6720}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{e}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\mathrm{K}-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mu 3}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{840}$ |  |
|  | 83 | $\frac{1}{6720}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa_{-1} 1} \beta_{k \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda_{-1} \mathbf{1}} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \mathrm{P}_{\mu 1}^{2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{840}$ |  |
|  | 84 | $\frac{1}{4032}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\text {P }}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{504}$ |  |
|  | 85 | $\frac{1}{2688}=\sum_{4} c_{K} \mathbf{P}^{\prime}{ }_{\kappa 1}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\text {P }}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{336}$ |  |
|  | 86 | $\frac{1}{6720}=\sum_{5} c_{k}{ }_{k}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-}-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{840}$ |  |
|  | 87 | $\frac{1}{40320}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\mathrm{K}} \sum_{4}^{1} \gamma_{k \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda \mu}^{1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{2}-1} \beta_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{P}_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{5040}$ |  |
|  | 88 | $\frac{1}{8064}=\sum_{5} c_{k}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{K \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu-1} \beta_{\mu \nu} P_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{1008}$ |  |
|  | 89 | $\frac{1}{40320}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-1}} \beta_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{P}_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{5040}$ |  |
|  | 90 | $\frac{1}{10080}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }_{\mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-} 1} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{1260}$ |  |
|  | 91 | $\frac{1}{40320}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-}-1} \gamma_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{5040}$ |  |
|  | 92 | $\frac{1}{13440}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-}-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\nu \nu}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{1680}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{93}$ | $\frac{1}{40320}=\sum_{5} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-}-1} \beta_{\mu \nu} Q_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{5040}$ |  |
|  | 94 | $\frac{1}{20160}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda_{-}-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-}-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\nu 2}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{2520}$ |  |
|  | 95 | $\frac{1}{40320}=\sum_{6} c_{K}\left\langle\sum_{5}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left[\sum_{3}^{\mu-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\nu-1} \beta_{\nu \rho} P_{\rho 1}\right)\right]\right\}\right\rangle$ | $=\frac{1}{5040}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)


TABLE 1. (Continued)

| Ix | 18 | $\times$ - | $\rightarrow \dot{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{648}=\sum_{2} c_{K} \alpha_{K}{ }^{p}{ }_{K 2}$ | $=\frac{1}{72}$ | viII |
|  | 19 | $\frac{1}{576}=\sum_{2} c_{K} \alpha_{\kappa}{ }^{P}{ }_{k 1}^{3}$ | $=\frac{1}{64}$ |  |
|  | 20 | $\frac{1}{3024}=\sum_{2} c_{k} Q_{k 5}$ | $=\frac{1}{336}$ |  |
|  | 21 | $\frac{1}{2880}=\sum_{2} c_{k} Q_{\kappa 3}{ }^{P}{ }_{k 1}$ | $=\frac{1}{320}$ |  |
|  | 22 | $\frac{1}{5184}=\sum_{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{K} 2} \mathrm{Q}_{\kappa 1}$ | $=\frac{1}{576}$ |  |
|  | 23 | $\frac{1}{2592}=\sum_{2} c_{\kappa} Q_{\kappa 2}{ }^{\text {P }}{ }_{\kappa 2}$ | $=\frac{1}{288}$ |  |
|  | 24 | $\frac{1}{1728}=\sum_{2} c_{K} Q_{K 1}{ }^{\text {P }}{ }_{\text {K }}$ | $=\frac{1}{192}$ |  |
|  | 25 | $\frac{1}{1728}=\sum_{2} c_{K} Q_{K 1} P_{\kappa 1}^{2}$ | $=\frac{1}{192}$ |  |
|  | 26 | $\frac{1}{504}=\sum_{2} c_{\kappa} P_{\kappa 6}$ | $=\frac{1}{56}$ |  |
|  | 27 |  | $=\frac{1}{80}$ |  |
|  | 28 | $\frac{1}{864}=\sum_{2} c_{k} P_{k 3} P_{k 2}$ | $=\frac{1}{96}$ |  |
|  | 29 | $\frac{1}{864}=\sum_{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} 2} \mathrm{P}^{\mathbf{R}}{ }^{2}$ | $=\frac{1}{96}$ |  |
|  | 30 | $\frac{1}{432}=\sum_{3} c_{k} \alpha_{K}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda} P_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{48}$ |  |
|  | 31 | $\frac{1}{1728}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{3}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{192}$ |  |
|  | 32 | $\frac{1}{576}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{3}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda} P_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{64}$ |  |
|  | 33 | $\frac{1}{1728}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{\alpha^{3}}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} Q_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{192}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)


TABLE 1. (Continued)

| [x |  | $\times$ | $\longrightarrow \dot{x}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 49 | $\frac{1}{8640}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} c_{\kappa \kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} P_{\lambda 3}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{960}$ | vII |
|  | 50 | $\frac{1}{8640}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{k}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{k \lambda} p_{\lambda 1}^{2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{960}$ |  |
|  | 51 | $\frac{1}{864}=\sum_{3}^{1} c_{k}{ }_{k}{ }_{k}\left(\sum_{2}^{k-1} \beta_{\alpha M_{\lambda}}{ }_{\lambda}^{3}{ }_{\lambda}{ }_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{96}$ |  |
|  | 52 | $\frac{1}{2592}=\sum_{3} c_{k} \alpha_{k}\left(\sum_{2}^{k-1} \beta_{k \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2} Q_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{288}$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{5} 3$ | $\frac{1}{1296}=\sum_{3}^{1} c_{K} \alpha_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{k-1} \beta_{k \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2} P_{\lambda 2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{144}$ |  |
|  | 54 | $\frac{1}{5184}=\sum_{3}^{1} c_{k} \alpha_{k}\left(\sum_{2}^{k-1} \beta_{k \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda} Q_{\lambda 2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{576}$ |  |
|  | 55 | $\frac{1}{1728}=\sum_{3} c_{k} \alpha_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k k} \alpha_{\lambda}{ }^{P} \lambda_{3}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{192}$ |  |
|  | 56 |  | $=\frac{1}{192}$ |  |
|  | 57 | $\frac{1}{8640}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa_{k} \alpha_{k}}\left(\sum_{2}^{k-1} \beta_{k \lambda} Q_{\lambda 3}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{960}$ |  |
|  | 58 | $\frac{1}{5184}=\sum_{3} c_{k}{ }_{k}{ }_{k}\left(\sum_{2}^{k-1} \beta_{k \lambda} \beta_{\lambda 1} Q_{\lambda 1} P^{\prime}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{576}$ |  |
|  | 59 | $\frac{1}{2160}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa_{k}{ }^{\alpha} \kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\alpha-1} \beta_{k \lambda}{ }^{\mathrm{P}_{\lambda 4}}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{240}$ |  |
|  | 60 |  | $=\frac{1}{288}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{61}$ | $\frac{1}{2592}=\sum_{3} c_{k} \alpha_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{k-1} \beta_{k \kappa \lambda} P_{\lambda 1}\right)^{2}$ | $=\frac{1}{288}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{62}$ | $\frac{1}{2592}=\sum_{3}^{1} c_{\kappa}{ }_{\kappa}{ }_{k} Q_{k<1}\left(\sum_{2}^{k-1} \sum_{k \lambda} \beta_{P_{1}}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{288}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{63}$ | $\frac{1}{1296}=\sum_{3} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa} \mathrm{P}_{\kappa 2}\left(\sum_{2}^{k-1} \sum_{\beta_{k \lambda}} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda_{11}}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{144}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{64}$ |  | $=\frac{1}{384}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| ix |  | $\times \longrightarrow$ - ${ }_{k}{ }^{\text {c }} \dot{i}_{k}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{65}$ |  | $=\frac{1}{128}$ | viI |
|  | ${ }^{66}$ |  | $=\frac{1}{384}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{67}$ |  | $=\frac{1}{192}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{68}$ | $\frac{1}{6 M 8}=\sum_{3} c_{k}\left(\frac{\sum_{2}^{1} y_{k} r_{k}^{\alpha} \alpha_{1}^{\alpha} p_{11}}{}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{672}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{69}$ |  | $=\frac{1}{2015}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{70}$ | $\frac{1}{90 r_{2}}=\sum_{3} c_{k}\left(\sum_{2}^{k} \sum_{M}^{1} v_{M}^{a_{1}^{2} p_{2}}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{1008}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{71}$ | $\frac{1}{36288}=\sum_{3} c_{x}\left(\sum_{2}^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{k \times 1} \alpha_{\lambda} Q_{\lambda 2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{4032}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{72}$ |  | $=\frac{1}{1344}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{73}$ | $\frac{1}{12096}=\sum_{3} c_{k}\left(\sum_{2}^{k} \sum_{2}^{1} v_{k \times} \alpha_{\lambda} p_{\lambda 1}^{p_{1}^{2}}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{1344}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{74}$ | $\frac{1}{60480}=\sum_{3}^{6} o_{k}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{-1}}{2} \frac{\sum_{2} r_{k n} a_{s}}{}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{6720}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{75}$ | $\frac{1}{36288}=\sum_{3} c_{K}\left(\sum_{2}^{k_{1}} \sum_{2} r_{m \times 1} e_{1} p_{x_{1}}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{4002}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{76}$ | $\frac{1}{15120}=\sum_{3}^{1} c_{k}\left(\frac{k-1}{2} \sum_{2}^{-1} r_{\mu M} P_{\lambda_{4}}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{1680}$ |  |
|  | 7 |  | $=\frac{1}{2016}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{78}$ |  | $=\frac{1}{1152}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{79}$ |  | $=\frac{1}{112}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| [x | 80 | x | $\longrightarrow \dot{x}$ | viII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{3024}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{3} Q_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{336}$ |  |
|  | 81 | $\frac{1}{1512}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}{ }_{\lambda}^{3} P_{\lambda 2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{168}$ |  |
|  | 82 | $\frac{1}{6048}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2} Q_{\lambda 2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{672}$ |  |
|  | 83 | $\frac{1}{2016}=\sum_{3} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 3}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{224}$ |  |
|  | 84 | $\frac{1}{2016}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 1}^{2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{224}$ |  |
|  | 85 | $\frac{1}{10080}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{k-1} \beta_{k \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda} Q^{Q} \lambda\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{1120}$ |  |
|  | 86 | $\frac{1}{6048}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda} Q_{\lambda 1} P_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{672}$ |  |
|  | 87 | $\frac{1}{2520}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda} P_{\lambda 4}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{280}$ |  |
|  | 88 | $\frac{1}{3024}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha^{\alpha}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\lambda 2}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{336}$ |  |
|  | 89 | $\frac{1}{15120}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} Q_{\lambda 4}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{1680}$ |  |
|  | 90 | $\frac{1}{12096}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} Q_{\lambda 2} P_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{1344}$ |  |
|  | 91 | $\frac{1}{18144}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \theta_{\lambda 1}^{2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{2016}$ |  |
|  | 92 | $\frac{1}{9072}=\sum_{3} c_{k}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda} Q_{\lambda 1}{ }^{P} \lambda_{2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{1008}$ |  |
|  | 93 | $\frac{1}{3024}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa_{-} 1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} P_{\lambda 5}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{336}$ |  |
|  | 94 | $\frac{1}{4032}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda} P_{\lambda 3} P_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{448}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)


TABLE 1. (Continued)

| ix | 110 | $\mathrm{x} \longrightarrow$ | $\rightarrow \dot{x}$ | viII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{1728}=\sum_{3} c_{K} \mathrm{P}_{\kappa 1}^{2}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{192}$ |  |
|  | 111 | $\frac{1}{5760}=\sum_{3} c_{k} P_{k 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda} P_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{640}$ |  |
|  | 112 | $\frac{1}{17280}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} P_{\kappa 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} Q_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{1920}$ |  |
|  | 113 | $\frac{1}{8640}=\sum_{3} c_{K} P_{\kappa 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{{ }^{-}-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}{ }^{P}{ }_{\lambda 2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{960}$ |  |
|  | 114 | $\frac{1}{1440}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} P_{\kappa 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2} P_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{160}$ |  |
|  | 115 | $\frac{1}{4320}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} P_{\kappa 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda} Q_{\lambda 1}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{480}$ |  |
|  | 116 | $\frac{1}{2160}=\sum_{3} c_{k} P_{k 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda} P_{\lambda 2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{240}$ |  |
|  | 117 | $\frac{1}{8640}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa} P_{\kappa 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} Q_{\lambda 2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{960}$ |  |
|  | 118 | $\frac{1}{2880}=\sum_{3} c_{\kappa}{ }^{p}{ }_{\kappa 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} P_{\lambda 3}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{320}$ |  |
|  | 119 | $\frac{1}{2880}=\sum_{3} c_{K} \mathrm{P}_{\kappa 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa 1} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 1}^{2}\right)$ | $=\frac{1}{320}$ |  |
|  | 120 | $\frac{1}{1728}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{3}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{192}$ |  |
|  | 121 | $\frac{1}{8640}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda \mu}^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{-1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{960}$ |  |
|  | 122 | $\frac{1}{2160}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa=1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \mathrm{P}_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{240}$ |  |
|  | 123 | $\frac{1}{8640}=\sum_{4} c_{K} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{960}$ |  |
|  | 124 | $\frac{\mathbf{1}}{2880}=\sum_{4} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{k}^{2}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu} \mathbf{P}_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{320}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| [x | 125 |  |  | vifi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{8640}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} Q_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{960}$ |  |
|  | 126 | $\frac{1}{4320}=\sum_{4} c_{K} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{480}$ |  |
|  | 127 | $\frac{1}{12960}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{k \lambda}{ }^{\alpha} \lambda\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \mathrm{P}_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1440}$ |  |
|  | 128 | $\frac{1}{51840}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}{ }_{\kappa}{ }_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{p}}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{5760}$ |  |
|  | 129 | $\frac{1}{17280}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa_{1}^{1}} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1920}$ |  |
|  | 130 | $\frac{1}{51840}=\sum_{4} c_{K}{ }_{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} Q_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{5760}$ |  |
|  | 131 | $\frac{1}{25920}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \mathrm{P}_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{2880}$ |  |
|  | 132 | $\frac{1}{2592}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa_{-}{ }^{1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \mathrm{P}_{\mu}\right)\right.$ ) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $=\frac{1}{288}$ |  |
|  | 133 | $\frac{1}{10368}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}{ }^{\alpha}{ }^{2}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1152}$ |  |
|  | 134 |  | $=\frac{1}{384}$ |  |
|  | 135 | $\frac{1}{10368}=\sum_{4} c_{K}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} Q_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1152}$ |  |
|  | 136 | $\frac{1}{5184}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}{ }_{k}{ }_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{576}$ |  |
|  | 137 |  | $=\frac{1}{1920}$ |  |
|  | 138 | $\frac{1}{51840}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu} Q_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{5760}$ |  |
|  | 139 | $\frac{1}{25920}=\sum_{4} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu} \mathrm{P}_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{2880}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| [x | 140 | $x \longrightarrow \mathrm{c}_{\kappa^{\prime}} \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\longrightarrow \dot{x}$ | viII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{4320}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}{ }_{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu}^{2} P_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{480}$ |  |
|  | 141 | $\frac{1}{12960}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}{ }_{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu} Q_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1440}$ |  |
|  | 142 | $\frac{1}{6480}=\sum_{4} c_{k} \alpha_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa_{-1}} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{720}$ |  |
|  | 143 | $\frac{1}{25920}=\sum_{4} c_{k} \alpha_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} Q_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{2880}$ |  |
|  | 144 | $\frac{1}{8640}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\text {P }}{ }_{\mu 3}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{960}$ |  |
|  | 145 | $\frac{1}{8640}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \mathrm{P}_{\mu 1}^{2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{960}$ |  |
|  | 146 | $\frac{1}{5184}=\sum_{4} c_{k}{ }_{k}{ }_{k}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\lambda 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{576}$ |  |
|  | 147 |  | $=\frac{1}{384}$ |  |
|  | 148 | $\frac{1}{18144}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{2016}$ |  |
|  | 149 | $\frac{1}{72576}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}{ }^{\alpha} \lambda\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{8064}$ |  |
|  | 150 | $\frac{1}{24192}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda_{-1}} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{2688}$ |  |
|  | 151 | $\frac{1}{72576}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} Q_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{8064}$ |  |
|  | 152 | $\frac{1}{36288}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{P}{ }_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{4032}$ |  |
|  | 153 | $\frac{1}{120960}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}{ }^{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\prime}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{13440}$ |  |
|  | 154 | $\frac{1}{362880}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda_{-1}-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu} Q_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{40320}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| ix | 155 | $\longrightarrow \square^{c_{k}, \dot{c}}$ | $\longrightarrow \dot{\text { x }}$ | viII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{181440}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{k \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda} \sum_{\lambda_{\lambda \mu}} \mathrm{P}_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{20160}$ |  |
|  | 156 | $\frac{1}{30240}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{K_{1} 1} \gamma_{k \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda_{2}} \sum_{\lambda \mu \mu} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu}^{2} p_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{3360}$ |  |
|  | 157 | $\frac{1}{90720}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{k \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu} Q_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{10080}$ |  |
|  | 158 | $\frac{1}{45360}=\sum_{4} c_{K}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{k \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu} P_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{5040}$ |  |
|  | 159 | $\frac{1}{181440}=\sum_{4} c_{k}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{k \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda} \sum_{2}^{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda \mu} Q_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{20160}$ |  |
|  | 160 | $\frac{1}{60480}=\sum_{4} c_{K} \cdot\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{k \lambda}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1} 1}{\sum_{2}} \beta_{\lambda \mu} p_{\mu 3}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{6720}$ |  |
|  | 161 | $\frac{1}{60480}=\sum_{4} c_{k}\left[\sum_{3}^{k_{1} 1} \gamma_{k \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda_{1} 1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} p_{\mu 1}^{2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{6720}$ |  |
|  | 162 | $\frac{1}{36288}=\sum_{4} \cdot c_{K}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa_{1} 1} \gamma_{k \lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda} \sum_{2}^{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}_{\mu}}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{4032}$ |  |
|  | 163 |  | $=\frac{1}{1152}$ |  |
|  | 164 | $\frac{1}{3024}=\sum_{4} c_{k}\left[\sum_{3}^{k-1} \beta_{k \lambda} \beta_{\lambda}^{\alpha_{\lambda}^{3}}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mu_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{336}$ |  |
|  | 165 | $\frac{1}{12096}=\sum_{4} c_{K}\left[\sum_{3}^{K-1} \beta_{k N} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda} \sum_{2}^{1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{P}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1344}$ |  |
|  | 166 | $\frac{1}{4032}=\sum_{4} c_{K}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \kappa \lambda_{\lambda}^{2}}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda} \sum_{2}^{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu}{ }^{P}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{448}$ |  |
|  | 167 | $\frac{1}{12096}=\sum_{4} c_{K}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda} \beta_{\lambda}^{\alpha_{\lambda}^{2}}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda \mu}^{\lambda} \beta_{\mu 1} \alpha_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1344}$ |  |
|  | 168 | $\frac{1}{6048}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} P_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{672}$ |  |
|  | 169 | $\frac{1}{20160}=\sum_{4} c_{K}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa 1}{ }_{3} \beta_{k \lambda}{ }_{k \lambda}{ }_{\lambda} \lambda\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda}\left({ }_{2}^{1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}{ }_{\mu}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]\right.$ | $=\frac{1}{2240}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| ix | 170 | x - $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{K}}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\longrightarrow \dot{x}$ | viI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{60480}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu} Q_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{6720}$ |  |
|  | 171 | $\frac{1}{30240}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}{ }_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{3360}$ |  |
|  | 172 | $\frac{1}{5040}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu}^{2} P_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{560}$ |  |
|  | 173 | $\frac{1}{15120}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{K-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu} Q_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1680}$ |  |
|  | 174 | $\frac{1}{7560}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}{ }^{\alpha} \lambda\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}{ }^{P}{ }_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{840}$ |  |
|  | 175 | $\frac{1}{30240}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda \mu}^{1} \beta_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{3360}$ |  |
|  | 176 | $\frac{1}{10080}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \mathrm{P}_{\mu 3}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1120}$ |  |
|  | 177 | $\frac{1}{10080}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}{ }^{\alpha} \lambda\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1120}$ |  |
|  | 178 | $\frac{1}{6048}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda} P_{\lambda 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda} \sum_{2}^{1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{672}$ |  |
|  | 179 | $\frac{1}{30240}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa \kappa 1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\left.\lambda \mu \mu_{\mu}^{2}{ }_{\mu}^{2}\right)}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{3360}$ |  |
|  | 180 | $\frac{1}{90720}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}^{\alpha} \mu_{\mu} Q_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{10080}$ |  |
|  | 181 | $\frac{1}{45360}=\sum_{4} c_{k}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu}{ }^{P_{\mu 2}}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{5040}$ |  |
|  | 182 | $\frac{1}{181440}=\sum_{4} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{Q_{\mu 2}}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{20160}$ |  |
|  | 183 | $\frac{1}{60480}=\sum_{4} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{6720}$ |  |
|  | 184 | $\frac{1}{60480}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}^{2}}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{6720}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| Ix | 185 |  | $\dot{x}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{18144}=\sum_{4} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} Q_{\lambda 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} P_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{2016}$ |
|  | 186 | $\frac{1}{6048}=\sum_{4} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\alpha_{\mu}^{3}{ }_{\mu}{ }_{\mu 1}}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{672}$ |
|  | 187 | $\frac{1}{18144}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu}^{2} Q_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{2016}$ |
|  | 188 | $\frac{1}{9072}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu}^{2} P_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1008}$ |
|  | 189 | $\frac{1}{36288}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\alpha} \mu_{\mu} Q_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{4032}$ |
|  | 190 | $\frac{1}{12096}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}{ }_{\mu}{ }_{\mu 3}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1344}$ |
|  | 191 |  | $=\frac{1}{1344}$ |
|  | 192 | $\frac{1}{60480}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} Q_{\mu 3}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{6720}$ |
|  | 193 | $\frac{1}{36288}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \mathrm{Q}_{\mu 1} \mathrm{P}_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{4032}$ |
|  | 194 | $\frac{1}{15120}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{\prime}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1680}$ |
|  | 195 | $\frac{1}{18144}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} P_{\mu 2} P_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{2016}$ |
|  | 196 | $\frac{1}{18144}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \mathrm{P}_{\mu 1}\right)^{2}\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{2016}$ |
|  | 197 | $\frac{1}{9072}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} P_{\lambda 2}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1008}$ |
|  | 198 | $\frac{1}{24192}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} P_{\lambda 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu} P_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{2688}$ |
|  | 199 | $\left.\frac{1}{8064}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\lambda 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{1}\right)\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{896}$ |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| ix |  | $x$ - $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}} \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\rightarrow \dot{x}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 200 | $\frac{1}{24192}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda} P_{\lambda 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} Q_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{2688}$ | viII |
|  | 201 | $\frac{1}{12096}=\sum_{4} c_{K}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda} P_{\lambda 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{P}{ }_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1344}$ |  |
|  | 202 | $\frac{1}{5184}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \mathrm{P}_{\kappa 2}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa_{=} 1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \mathrm{P}_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{576}$ |  |
|  | 203 | $\frac{1}{17280}=\sum_{4} c_{K}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\kappa 1}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda_{-1}} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \mathrm{P}_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1920}$ |  |
|  | 204 | $\frac{1}{4320}=\sum_{4} c_{\kappa}{ }_{K 1}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }_{\mu \mu}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{480}$ |  |
|  | 205 | $\frac{1}{17280}=\sum_{4} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa} \mathrm{P}_{\kappa 1}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa_{-1}} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda_{-1}} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1920}$ |  |
|  | 206 | $\frac{1}{5760}=\sum_{4} c_{K}{ }^{P}{ }_{K 1}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}{ }^{P}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{640}$ |  |
|  | 207 | $\frac{1}{17280}=\sum_{4} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \mathrm{P}_{\kappa 1}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa_{-1}^{1}} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda} \sum_{\lambda \mu} \beta_{\lambda \mu 1} \mathrm{Q}_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1920}$ |  |
|  | 208 | $\frac{1}{8640}=\sum_{4} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa} \mathrm{P}{ }_{\kappa 1}\left[\sum_{3}^{\kappa_{-1}} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \mathrm{P}_{\mu 2}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{960}$ |  |
|  | 209 | $\frac{1}{10368}=\sum_{4} c_{k}\left(\sum_{2}^{K} \sum_{k \lambda}^{1} \beta_{\lambda 1} P^{2}\right)\left[\sum_{3}^{K-1} \beta_{k \lambda}\left(\sum_{2}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{P}{ }_{\mu 1}\right)\right]$ | $=\frac{1}{1152}$ |  |
|  | 210 | $\frac{1}{8640}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}^{2}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-}-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{960}$ |  |
|  | 211 | $\frac{1}{51840}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-} 1} \beta_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{P}_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{5760}$ |  |
|  | 212 | $\frac{1}{10368}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{j} 1} \beta_{\mu \nu} \mathbf{P}_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{1152}$ |  |
|  | 213 | $\frac{1}{51840}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}{ }_{k}{ }_{k}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa_{-1}-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-1}} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{5760}$ |  |
|  | 214 | $\frac{1}{12960}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{2} 1} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{1440}$ |  |


| Ix |  | $x \longrightarrow c_{k}, \dot{c}_{k}$ | $\rightarrow \dot{x}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 215 | $\frac{1}{51840}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}{ }_{\alpha}{ }_{k}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa_{-}-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-1}} \gamma_{\mu \nu}{ }^{p}{ }_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{5760}$ | viII |
|  | 216 | $\frac{1}{17280}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa_{1}-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-}-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha}{ }_{\nu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{1920}$ |  |
|  | 217 | $\frac{1}{51840}=\sum_{5} c_{K}{ }_{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-} 1} \beta_{\mu \nu} Q_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{5760}$ |  |
|  | 218 |  | $=\frac{1}{2880}$ |  |
|  | 219 | $\frac{1}{72576}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa_{-1}} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda_{-1} 1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-1}} \beta_{\mu \nu} P_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{8064}$ |  |
|  | 220 | $\frac{1}{362880}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa_{-1}-1} \gamma_{k \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda_{-1}^{1}} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-1}^{1}} \beta_{\mu \nu} \mathbf{P}_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{40320}$ |  |
|  | 221 | $\frac{1}{90720}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa_{-1} 1} \gamma_{k \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\text {P }}{ }_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{10080}$ |  |
|  | 222 | $\frac{1}{362880}=\sum_{5} c_{k}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa_{1}^{1}} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda_{-1}} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu-1} \gamma_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{P}_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{40320}$ |  |
|  | 223 | $\frac{1}{120960}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-} 1} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\nu} \mathrm{P}_{\nu 1}\right)\right.\right.$ ) $\left.]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{13440}$ |  |
|  | 224 |  | $=\frac{1}{40320}$ |  |
|  | 225 | $\frac{1}{181440}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa_{-1} 1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda_{-1}-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{2} 1} \beta_{\mu \nu} \mathbf{P}_{\nu 2}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{20160}$ |  |
|  | 226 | $\frac{1}{12096}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa_{-1}-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-}-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{P}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{1344}$ |  |
|  | 227 | $\frac{1}{60480}=\sum_{5} \mathbf{c}_{k}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-1}^{1}} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}, 1\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{6720}$ |  |
|  | 228 | $\frac{1}{15120}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{K \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{p_{\nu 1}}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{1680}$ |  |
|  | 229 | $\frac{1}{60480}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-}-1} \gamma_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{6720}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| [x | 230 | $\mathrm{x} \longrightarrow \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{K}}, \dot{c}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\rightarrow$ x | viII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{20160}=\sum_{5} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\alpha_{-1} 1} \beta_{k \lambda}{ }^{\alpha} \lambda\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda_{-1}} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-} 1} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\nu}{ }^{\text {P }}{ }_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{2240}$ |  |
|  | 231 | $\frac{1}{60480}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-} 1} \beta_{\mu \nu} Q_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{6720}$ |  |
|  | 232 | $\frac{1}{30240}=\sum_{5} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-1}} \beta_{\mu \nu} \mathbf{P}_{\nu 2}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{3360}$ |  |
|  | 233 | $\frac{1}{90720}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda_{-1}} \gamma_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-1}} \beta_{\mu \nu} P^{\prime}{ }_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{10080}$ |  |
|  | 234 | $\frac{1}{362880}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{1} 1} \gamma_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{P}_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{40320}$ |  |
|  | 235 | $\frac{1}{120960}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-} 1} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{P}_{\nu \nu}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{13440}$ |  |
|  | 236 | $\frac{1}{362880}=\sum_{5} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa_{-1}-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda_{-} 1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-1}} \beta_{\mu \nu} Q_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{40320}$ |  |
|  | 237 | $\frac{1}{181440}=\sum_{5} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-}^{1}} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\text {P }}{ }_{\nu 2}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{20160}$ |  |
|  | 238 | $\frac{1}{18144}=\sum_{5} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa_{-1}-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu}^{2}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-1} 1} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\text {P }}{ }_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{2016}$ |  |
|  | 239 | $\frac{1}{72576}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa^{-1}} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-1}} \gamma_{\mu \nu} P_{\nu}\right)\right.\right.$ ) $\left.]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{8064}$ |  |
|  | 240 | $\frac{1}{24192}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa_{-1}} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda_{-1}-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-}-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }_{\nu}{ }_{\nu}{ }_{\nu \nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{2688}$ |  |
|  | 241 | $\frac{1}{72576}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda_{-1}-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}^{\alpha}{ }^{\alpha}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-1}} \beta_{\mu \nu} Q_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{8064}$ |  |
|  | 242 | $\frac{1}{36288}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa_{-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\alpha} \mu\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-1}} \beta_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{P}_{\nu 2}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{4032}$ |  |
|  | 243 | $\frac{1}{120} 960=\sum_{5} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\alpha_{-1}} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda_{-1}} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{2}} \gamma_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{13440}$ |  |
|  | 244 | $\frac{1}{362880}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda_{-1}-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-1}} \gamma_{\mu \nu} Q_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{40320}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| Ix | 245 | x [ $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{K}}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\rightarrow \dot{x}$ | vIII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{181440}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa_{2} 1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-1}-1} \gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\nu 2}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{20160}$ |  |
|  | 246 | $\frac{1}{30240}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda_{-} 1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu-1} \beta_{\mu \nu} \alpha_{\nu}^{2} P_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{3360}$ |  |
|  | 247 | $\frac{1}{90720}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda_{-1} 1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu} \beta_{\mu \nu} \beta_{\nu} Q_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{10080}$ |  |
|  | 248 | $\frac{1}{45360}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda_{-1} 1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu-1} \beta_{\mu \nu} \alpha_{\nu} P_{\nu 2}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{5040}$ |  |
|  | 249 | $\frac{1}{181440}=\sum_{5} c_{k}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa_{-1}} \beta_{K \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda_{-1}^{1}} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu_{-1}} \beta_{\mu \nu} Q_{\nu 2}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{20160}$ |  |
|  | 250 | $\frac{1}{60480}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\text {P }}{ }_{\nu}\right)\right.\right.$ | $=\frac{1}{6720}$ |  |
|  | 251 | $\frac{1}{60480}=\sum_{5} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa_{-1} 1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu-1} \beta_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{p}^{2} \nu_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{6720}$ |  |
|  | 252 | $\frac{1}{36288}=\sum_{5} c_{\kappa}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left[\sum_{3}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \mathrm{P}_{\mu 1}\left(\sum_{2}^{\mu-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{\nu 1}\right)\right]\right\}$ | $=\frac{1}{4032}$ |  |
|  | 253 |  | $=\frac{1}{2688}$ |  |
|  | 254 |  | $=\frac{1}{1920}$ |  |
|  | 255 | $\frac{1}{51840}=\sum_{6} c_{\kappa}{ }_{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}\left\langle\sum_{5}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\lambda_{-1}^{1}} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left[\sum_{3}^{\mu-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\nu-1} \beta_{\nu \rho} \mathrm{P}_{\rho 1}\right)\right]\right\}\right\rangle$ | $=\frac{1}{5760}$ |  |
|  | 256 | $\frac{1}{362880}=\sum_{6} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left\langle\sum_{5}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{\kappa \lambda}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left[\sum_{3}^{\mu_{-1}} \beta_{\mu \nu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\nu-1} \beta_{\nu \rho} \mathrm{P}_{\rho 1}\right)\right]\right\}\right\rangle$ | $=\frac{1}{40320}$ |  |
|  | 257 | $\frac{1}{60480}=\sum_{6} c_{\kappa}\left\langle\sum_{5}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\lambda_{-1}-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left[\sum_{3}^{\mu_{-}-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\nu-1} \beta_{\nu \rho} P_{\rho 1}\right)\right]\right\}\right\rangle$ | $=\frac{1}{6720}$ |  |
|  | 258 | $\frac{1}{362880}=\sum_{6} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left\langle\sum_{5}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\lambda-1} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}\left[\sum_{3}^{\mu_{-1}} \beta_{\mu \nu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\nu-1} \beta_{\nu \rho} \mathrm{P}_{\rho 1}\right)\right]\right\}\right\rangle$ | $=\frac{1}{40320}$ |  |
|  | 259 | $\frac{1}{72576}=\sum_{6} c_{k}\left\langle\sum_{5}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{k \lambda}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu} \alpha_{\mu}\left[\sum_{3}^{\mu^{1}} \beta_{\mu \nu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\nu-1} \beta_{\nu \rho} \mathrm{P}_{\rho 1}\right)\right]\right\}\right\rangle$ | $=\frac{1}{8064}$ |  |

TABLE 1. (Concluded)

| IX | 260 | $\mathrm{x} \longrightarrow \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}_{K} \longrightarrow$ | $\rightarrow \dot{x}$ | VIII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\left.\frac{1}{362880}=\sum_{6} \mathrm{c}_{\kappa}\left\langle\sum_{5}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left[\sum_{3}^{\mu-1} \gamma_{\mu \nu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\nu-1} \beta_{\nu \rho} \mathrm{P}_{\rho 1}\right)\right]\right\}\right\rangle\right)=\frac{1}{40320}$ |  |  |
|  | 261 | $\frac{1}{90720}=\sum_{6} \mathbf{c}_{\kappa}\left\langle\sum_{5}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left[\sum_{3}^{\mu-1} \beta_{\mu \nu} \alpha_{\nu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\nu-1} \beta_{\nu \rho} \mathbf{P}_{\rho 1}\right)\right]\right\}\right\rangle$ | $=\frac{1}{10080}$ |  |
|  | 262 | $\frac{1}{362880}=\sum_{6} c_{\kappa}\left\langle\sum_{5}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left[\sum_{3}^{\mu-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\nu-1} \gamma_{\nu \rho} \mathrm{P}_{\rho 1}\right)\right]\right\}\right\rangle$ | $=\frac{1}{40320}$ |  |
|  | 263 | $\frac{1}{120960}=\sum_{6} c_{\kappa}\left\langle\sum_{5}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left[\sum_{3}^{\mu-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\nu-1} \beta_{\nu \rho} \alpha_{\rho} \mathrm{P}_{\rho 1}\right)\right]\right\}\right\rangle$ | $=\frac{1}{13440}$ |  |
|  | 264 | $\frac{1}{362880}=\sum_{6} c_{\kappa}\left\langle\sum_{5}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left[\sum_{3}^{\mu-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\nu-1} \beta_{\nu \rho} \mathbf{Q}_{\rho 1}\right)\right]\right\}\right\rangle$ | $=\frac{1}{40320}$ |  |
|  | 265 | $\frac{1}{181440}=\sum_{6} c_{\kappa}\left\langle\sum_{5}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{\kappa \lambda}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left[\sum_{3}^{\mu-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}\left(\sum_{2}^{\nu-1} \beta_{\nu \rho} \mathrm{p}_{\rho 2}\right)\right]\right\}\right\rangle$ | $=\frac{1}{20160}$ |  |
|  | 266 | $\frac{1}{362880}=\sum_{7} c_{k} \sum_{6}^{i} \sum_{\kappa \lambda}^{\prime} \beta_{k}\left\langle\sum_{5}^{\lambda-1} \beta_{\lambda \mu}\left\{\sum_{4}^{\mu-1} \beta_{\mu \nu}\left[\sum_{3}^{\nu-1} \beta_{\nu \rho}\left(\sum_{2}^{\rho_{\rho}-1} \beta_{\rho \sigma} \mathrm{P}_{\sigma 1}\right)\right]\right\}\right.$ | $=\frac{1}{40320}$ |  |

TABLE 2. PATTERN FOR RKN-G-7(8)-13


Local truncation error in $x: T E=\gamma_{1312}\left(f_{12}-f_{13}\right) h^{2}$

TABLE 3. COEFFICIENTS FOR RKN-G-7(8)-13


TABLE 3. (Continued)

| $\beta_{75}=0.3843$ | 6436 | 9641 | 3162 | 1037 | 9110 | 0848 | 8971 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta_{76}=0.2823$ | 0229 | 7025 | 3504 | 5628 | 7556 | 5817 | 7696 |  |
| $\beta_{80}=0.8349$ | 6093 | 7500 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\beta_{81}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{82}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{83}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{84}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{85}=0.3830$ | 6747 | 4793 | 9740 | 8845 | 8700 | 6356 | 1136 |  |
| $\beta_{86}=0.1354$ | 8721 | 2706 | 0259 | 1154 | 1299 | 3643 | 8864 |  |
| $\beta_{87}=-0.3955$ | 0781 | 2500 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\beta_{90}=0.7342$ | 0353 | 2235 | 9396 | 4334 | 7050 | 7544 | 5816 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\beta_{91}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{92}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{93}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{94}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{95}=0.9880$ | 8964 | 9160 | 2291 | 6024 | 2057 | 1033 | 6420 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\beta_{96}=0.2415$ | 3311 | 3273 | 2774 | 9549 | 8428 | 0345 | 1955 |  |
| $\beta_{97}=-0.4870$ | 7561 | 7283 | 9506 | 1728 | 3950 | 6172 | 8395 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\beta_{98}=-0.2400$ | 5486 | 9684 | 4993 | 1412 | 8943 | 7585 | 7339 |  |
| $\beta_{100}=0.8137$ | 8441 | 1270 | 6706 | 4904 | 0410 | 5640 | 4207 | - $10^{-2}$ |
| $\beta_{101}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{102}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{103}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{104}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{105}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{106}=-0.3626$ | 6091 | 1746 | 4713 | 4384 | 0315 | 3205 | 8792 |  |
| $\beta_{107}=0.6972$ | 6880 | 5971 | 2792 | 8317 | 2726 | 0984 | 7243 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\beta_{108}=0.3779$ | 7780 | 6207 | 6339 | 2161 | 1543 | 4150 | 9711 |  |
| $\beta_{109}=0.2818$ | 1838 | 0829 | 0027 | 8742 | 1095 | 1900 | 0315 |  |
| $\beta_{110}=-0.1404$ | 2538 | 9224 | 8283 | 8913 | 2800 | 3122 | 5476 | - $10^{+1}$ |
| $\beta_{111}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{112}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{113}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{114}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{115}=-0.1355$ | 5559 | 0294 | 0495 | 7528 | 3041 | 1334 | 2361 | - $10^{+2}$ |
| $\beta_{116}=-0.1502$ | 1472 | 8248 | 4805 | 0961 | 7213 | 3096 | 9968 | - $10^{+1}$ |
| $\beta_{117}=0.1476$ | 7543 | 2841 | 6794 | 9686 | 2336 | 0684 | 1588 | - $10^{+1}$ |
| $\beta_{118}=-0.2170$ | 7681 | 9651 | 3368 | 8432 | 5773 | 7360 | 7995 | - $10^{+1}$ |
| $\beta_{119}=0.6614$ | 9759 | 5026 | 7655 | 8681 | 0392 | 0283 | 3030 | - $10^{+1}$ |

TABLE 3. (Continued)

| $\beta_{1110}$ | $=0.1150$ | 7526 | 1735 | 6932 | 1530 | 6792 | 2237 | 6434 | $10^{+2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta_{120}$ | $=-0.5270$ | 8651 | 8158 | 0131 | 5268 | 1768 | 8218 | 7497 | $10^{+1}$ |
| $\beta_{121}$ | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{122}$ | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{123}$ | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{124}$ | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{125}$ | $=-0.4996$ | 5599 | 5536 | 5683 | 3001 | 0459 | 2152 | 9105 | - $10^{+2}$ |
| $\beta_{12 \mathrm{6}}$ | $=-0.5030$ | 2228 | 9286 | 5823 | 1516 | 1351 | 2481 | 2231 | - $10^{+1}$ |
| $\beta_{127}$ | $=0.4454$ | 8269 | 0452 | 9876 | 0506 | 5182 | 3862 | 2704 | - $10^{+1}$ |
| $\beta_{128}$ | $=-0.8607$ | 1533 | 1240 | 3384 | 1312 | 4067 | 4298 | 9148 | - $10^{+1}$ |
| $\beta_{129}$ | $=0.2384$ | 0410 | 0463 | 7228 | 7590 | 0786 | 7645 | 6468 | - $10^{+2}$ |
| $\beta_{1210}$ | $=0.4171$ | 1581 | 4660 | 2838 | 8124 | 0696 | 6716 | 4840 | $10^{+2}$ |
| $\beta_{1211}$ | $=-0.1329$ | 7747 | 6424 | 3799 | 5408 | 2370 | 9555 | 8512 |  |
| $\beta_{130}$ | $=0.3509$ | 9303 | 0565 | 8188 | 3152 | 6601 | 7368 | 1744 | $10^{-1}$ |
| $\beta_{131}$ | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{132}$ | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{133}$ | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{134}$ | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{135}$ | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{136}$. | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{137}$ | $=0.2522$ | 3475 | 2766 | 3160 | 6400 | 6388 | 5341 | 7712 |  |
| $\beta_{138}$ | $=0.1184$ | 0033 | 3068 | 7654 | 9234 | 1625 | 1536 | 4336 |  |
| $\beta_{139}$ | $=0.2025$ | 8133 | 6112 | 5092 | 9893 | 1878 | 9987 | 1888 |  |
| $\beta_{1310}$ | $=0.2675$ | 7025 | 2594 | 2014 | 0796 | 3933 | 2927 | 2621 |  |
| $\beta_{1311}$ | $=0.1658$ | 6384 | 5106 | 2987 | 3791 | 2680 | 9815 | 0965 |  |
| $\beta_{1312}$ | -0.4174 | 9822 | 7046 | 7288 | 4309 | 1671 | 3445 | 6960 | $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{10}$ | 0.2698 | 9795 | 8199 | 6884 | 8329 | 9949 | 7050 | 8715 | - $10^{-2}$ |
|  | 0.3036 | 3520 | 2974 | 6495 | 4371 | 2443 | 4182 | 2304 | - $10^{-2}$ |
| $\gamma_{21}$ | $=0.3036$ | 3520 | 2974 | 6495 | 4371 | 2443 | 4182 | 2304 | - $10^{-2}$ |
| $\gamma_{30}$ | 0.6831 | 7920 | 6692 | 9614 | 7335 | 2997 | 6910 | 0184 | - $10^{-2}$ |
|  | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{32}$ | 0.6831 | 7920 | 6692 | 9614 | 7335 | 2997 | 6910 | 0184 | $10^{-2}$ |
| $\gamma_{40}$ | -0.1026 | 3757 | 7319 | 7788 | 8994 | 3108 | 7282 | 4217 | - $10^{-2}$ |
|  | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{42}$ | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{43}$ | $=0.1260$ | 2637 | 5773 | 1977 | 8889 | 9431 | 0872 | 8242 |  |
| $\gamma_{50}$ | 0.9890 | 9903 | 8431 | 0741 | 7913 | 3134 | 9906 | 4241 | - $10^{-2}$ |
| $\gamma_{51}$ | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{52}$ | 0.2040 | 1758 | 7591 | 1134 | 9514 | 1705 | 1849 | 8571 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{53}$ | $=0.5026$ | 5147 | 7133 | 2870 | 3261 | 8251 | 0473 | 5338 | - $10^{-2}$ |

TABLE 3. (Continued)

| $\gamma_{54}$ | 0.1354 | 5726 | 6312 | 7775 | 5415 | 7283 | 6001 | 4730 | - $10^{-3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\gamma_{60}$ | 0.3677 | 2464 | 6953 | 1772 | 1429 | 7415 | 7246 | 2201 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{61}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{62}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{63}$ | 0.8213 | 2294 | 7785 | 2178 | 5827 | 7217 | 4140 | 7693 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{64}$ | 0.3008 | 7165 | 4090 | 9896 | 3036 | 8709 | 1811 | 9641 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{65}$ | 0.5180 | 3353 | 9359 | 9379 | 0519 | 8241 | 0553 | 1789 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{70}$ | 0.4123 | 3049 | 0882 | 7287 | 3123 | 2210 | 0402 | 1091 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{71}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{72}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{73}$ | 0.1133 | 5100 | 2930 | 6181 | 9105 | 3287 | 9807 | 8376 |  |
| $\gamma_{74}$ | 0.5672 | 2148 | 5922 | 3766 | 8841 | 3016 | 7743 | 6715 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{75}$ | 0.5745 | 6202 | 0649 | 5452 | 5469 | 3769 | 2473 | 6474 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{76}$ | 0.1248 | 7597 | 3239 | 1674 | 1512 | 8124 | 1302 | 1961 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{80}$ | 0.4214 | 6301 | 2695 | 3125 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{81}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{82}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{83}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{84}$ | -0.7808 | 8073 | 7304 | 6875 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{85}$ | 0.1410 | 4682 | 1029 | 2877 | 2004 | 3970 | 8553 | 6135 |  |
| $\gamma_{86}$ | 0.7460 | 3813 | 7363 | 3727 | 9956 | 0291 | 4463 | 8648 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{87}$ | -0.2150 | 5737 | 3046 | 8750 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{90}$ | 0.5524 | 3877 | 1719 | 2501 | 1431 | 1842 | 7069 | 0444 | - $10^{-2}$ |
| $\gamma_{91}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{92}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{93}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{94}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{95}$ | 0.4591 | 3375 | 8935 | 0515 | 8838 | 0181 | 1180 | 7029 | - $10^{-2}$ |
| $\gamma_{96}$ | 0.1200 | 9956 | 9922 | 6813 | 9808 | 9279 | 5562 | 3138 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{97}$ | -0.2436 | 1818 | 4156 | 3786 | 0082 | 3045 | 2674 | 8971 | - $10^{-2}$ |
| $\gamma_{98}$ | -0.1187 | 7000 | 4572 | 4737 | 0827 | 6177 | 4119 | 7988 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{100}$ | 0.1239 | 6099 | 0923 | 0042 | 8073 | 8555 | 8121 | 1091 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{101}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{102}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{103}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{104}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{105}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{106}$ | -0.2314 | 8568 | 8348 | 8114 | 9606 | 8286 | 3748 | 4335 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{107}$ | 0.4405 | 7716 | 3385 | 9229 | 4670 | 5995 | 3820 | 0368 | - $10^{-2}$ |
| $\gamma_{108}$ | 0.2416 | 4236 | 8703 | 9608 | 6181 | 8918 | 2892 | 7171 | - $10^{-1}$ |

TABLE 3. (Concluded)

| $\gamma_{109}$ | 0.5249 | 4961 | 2383 | 2540 | 5884 | 0212 | 7352 | 6037 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\gamma_{110}$ | -0.1214 | 8292 | 3371 | 7236 | 6838 | 6923 | 7170 | 6654 |  |
| $\gamma_{111}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{112}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{113}$ | -0.1594 | 8786 | 8094 | 6904 | 7245 | 6588 | 6876 | 3595 | - $10^{+1}$ |
| $\gamma_{114}$ | 0.7708 | 9844 | 4095 | 9035 | 4601 | 1435 | 8063 | 0038 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{115}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{116}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{117}$ | 0.9884 | 4932 | 1354 | 4261 | 8048 | 6243 | 2844 | 1900 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{118}$ | -0.1851 | 7690 | 1776 | 5400 | 9760 | 1245 | 5930 | 3975 |  |
| $\gamma_{119}$ | 0.1666 | 5727 | 1178 | 0734 | 2381 | 8671 | 5463 | 0279 | - $10^{+1}$ |
| $\gamma_{1110}$ | 0.5261 | 1925 | 5036 | 5255 | 2568 | 0405 | 9902 | 2802 |  |
| $\gamma_{120}$ | -0.4947 | 5846 | 7641 | 0233 | 2689 | 6037 | 0954 | 7782 |  |
| $\gamma_{121}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{122}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{123}$ | -0.5651 | 3209 | 6413 | 6430 | 5307 | 6482 | 3207 | 0852 | $10^{+1}$ |
| $\gamma_{124}$ | 0.4275 | 0028 | 7290 | 4367 | 7987 | 3893 | 2431 | 0306 |  |
| $\gamma_{125}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{126}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{127}$ | 0.3029 | 3416 | 7269 | 5682 | 8108 | 5679 | 5437 | 6506 |  |
| $\gamma_{128}$ | -0.1028 | 0329 | 3795 | 0334 | 2611 | 6141 | 5109 | 1571 | - $10^{+1}$ |
| $\gamma_{129}$ | 0.5425 | 4171 | 2796 | 6918 | 2157 | 8547 | 6416 | 2220 | - $10^{+1}$ |
| $\gamma_{1210}$ | 0.1534 | 0242 | 6078 | 6703 | 1086 | 6711 | 9989 | 5920 | - $10^{+1}$ |
| $\gamma_{1211}$. | -0.1576 | 3473 | 5858 | 3826 | 6589 | 8937 | 8096 | 2028 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{130}$ | 0.3517 | 3987 | 5863 | 0671 | 3954 | 7258 | 1903 | 7907 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{131}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{132}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{133}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{134}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{135}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{136}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{137}$ | 0.6385 | 8784 | 3542 | 5830 | 8506 | 8828 | 9280 | 0822 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{138}$ | 0.5086 | 6724 | 9055 | 8144 | 8754 | 2910 | 0714 | 8603 | - $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{139}$ | 0.1770 | 3179 | 4727 | 6675 | 2427 | 0314 | 9422 | 6269 |  |
| $\gamma_{1310}$ | 0.1678 | 1715 | 6130 | 4150 | 9463 | 9110 | 6721 | 5393 |  |
| $\gamma_{1311}$ | 0.4538 | 5629 | 2579 | 4244 | 0722 | 3753 | 9295 | 0401 | - $10^{-2}$ |
| $\gamma_{1312}$ | 0.7129 | 8936 | 9976 | 6658 | 0243 | 7127 | 3010 | 1115 | - $10^{-3}$ |

TABLE 4. PATTERN FOR RKN-G-6(7)-10

|  | ${ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\kappa}$ | $\beta_{\kappa \lambda}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{\kappa \lambda}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark \lambda$ |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  | 8 | 9 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | * |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | * |  | 0 | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | * | * | 0 | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | * |  | 0 | 0 | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | * | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | * |  | 0 | 0 | * | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | * | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | * |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | * | * |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | * |  | 0 | 0 | * | * | * | * | * |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | * | 0 | 0 | * | * |  | * |  |  |
| 9 | 1 | * | 0 | 0 | * | * | * | * | * |  | * |  |  |  | 0 | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | * |  | * | * |  |
| 10 | 1 | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | * | * |  | * | * |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | * | * |  |  | * | * |

Local truncation error in $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{TE}=\gamma_{109}\left(\mathrm{f}_{9}-\mathrm{f}_{10}\right) \mathrm{h}^{2}$

TABLE 5. COEFFICIENTS FOR RKN-G-6(7)-10

| $\mathrm{c}_{1}{ }^{\text {l }}=$ | 0.1018 | 5185 | 1851 | 8518 | 5185 | 1851 | 8518 | 5185 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{2}=$ | 0.1527 | 7777 | 7777 | 7777 | 7777 | 7777 | 7777 | 1778 |
| $\alpha_{3}=$ | 0.2291 | 6666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6667 |
| $\alpha_{4}=$ | 0.625 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0^{\prime}{ }_{5}=$ | 0.375 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0^{\prime} 6$ | 0.6666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6667 |
| ${ }^{\circ} 7$ | 0.1666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6667 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8}=$ | 0.9703 | 7314 | 8321 | 7701 | 1063 | 1914 | 4946 | 5592 |
| $\mathrm{Crg}_{9}=$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{10}=$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\beta_{10}$ | 0.1018 | 5185 | 1851 | 8518 | 5185 | 1851 | 8518 | 5185 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta_{20}$ | 0.3819 | 4444 | 4444 | 4444 | 4444 | 4444 | 4444 | 4444 | $10^{-1}$ |
| $\beta_{21}$ | $=0.1145$ | 8333 | 3333 | 3333 | 3333 | 3333 | 3333 | 3333 |  |
| $\beta_{30}$ | $=0.5729$ | 1666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6666 | 6667 | $10^{-1}$ |
| $\beta_{31}$ | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{32}$ | $=0.1718$ | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{40}$ | $=0.8186$ | 9834 | 7107 | 4380 | 1652 | 8925 | 6198 | 3471 |  |
| $\beta_{41}$ | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{42}$ | $=-0.3137$ | 9132 | 2314 | 0495 | 8677 | 6859 | 5041 | 3223 | $10^{+1}$ |
| $\beta_{43}$ | $=0.2944$ | 2148 | 7603 | 3057 | 8512 | 3966 | 9421 | 4876 | $10^{+1}$ |
| $\beta_{50}$ | $=0.7840$ | 9090 | 9090 | 9090 | 9090 | 9090 | 9090 | 9091 | $10^{-1}$ |
|  | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{52}$ | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{53}$ | $=0.2906$ | 6985 | 6459 | 3301 | 4354 | 0669 | 8564 | 5933 |  |
| $\beta_{54}$ | 0.5921 | 0526 | 3157 | 8947 | 3684 | 2105 | 2631 | 5789 | $10^{-2}$ |
| $\beta_{60}$ | $=0.8963$ | 7111 | 8593 | 3408 | 1556 | 3037 | 7852 | 6001 | $10^{-1}$ |
| $\beta_{61}$ | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{62}$ | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{63}$ | $=0.2041$ | 4673 | 0462 | 5199 | 3620 | 4146 | 7304 | 6252 |  |
| $\beta_{64}$ | $=0.1424$ | 3014 | 9447 | 6933 | 0734 | 2430 | 1494 | 4769 |  |
| $\beta_{65}$ | $=0.2304$ | 5267 | 4897 | 1193 | 4156 | 3786 | 0082 | 3045 |  |
| $\beta_{70}$ | $=0.1018$ | 0041 | 1522 | 6337 | 4485 | 5967 | 0781 | 8930 |  |
|  | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{74}$ | $=-0.3160$ | 4938 | 2716 | 0493 | 8271 | 6049 | 3827 | 1605 |  |
| $\beta_{75}$ | 0.1457 | 9659 | 0241 | 0346 | 8547 | 9129 | 9235 | 7437 |  |
| $\beta_{76}$ | $=0.2351$ | 1904 | 7619 | 0476 | 1904 | 7619 | 0476 | 1905 |  |
| $\beta_{80}$ | $=-0.1674$ | 6873 | 1305 | 8845 | 5834 | 9712 | 6386 | 9845 | $10^{+1}$ |
|  | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 5. (Continued)

| $\beta_{83}$ | $=$ | -0.7871 | 6193 | 2682 | 9395 | 6927 | 2722 | 4851 | 2526 | $10^{+1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta_{84}$ | $=$ | 0.5392 | 4880 | 7631 | 6058 | 6316 | 9737 | 2744 | 6928 | $10^{+1}$ |
| $\beta_{85}$ | $=$ | -0.1544 | 8282 | 1050 | 0843 | 0450 | 1130 | 2169 | 1446 | $10^{+1}$ |
| $\beta_{86}$ | $=$ | -0.3255 | 5460 | 0653 | 6995 | 8589 | 4405 | 8687 | 3101 | $10^{+1}$ |
| $\beta_{87}$ |  | 0.9924 | 5659 | 2893 | 1791 | 6591 | 1425 | 3844 | 6550 | $10^{+1}$ |
| $\beta_{90}$ | $=$ | -0.3470 | 3198 | 5621 | 8999 | 1428 | 6348 | 1503 | 3844 | $10^{+1}$ |
| $\beta_{91}$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{92}$ | $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{93}$ | $=$ | -0.1593 | 8792 | 7828 | 8467 | 3788 | 8236 | 8550 | 9672 | $10^{+2}$ |
| $\beta_{94}$ | $=$ | 0.1140 | 4863 | 8019 | 8694 | 0109 | 1083 | 4461 | 7441 | $10^{+2}$ |
| $\beta_{95}$ | $=$ | -0.3469 | 8562 | 8685 | 7847 | 2962 | 3361 | 5811 | 0987 | $10^{+1}$ |
| $\beta_{96}$ | - | -0.7404 | 3819 | 3468 | 9437 | 2049 | 1200 | 7960 | 7603 | $10^{+1}$ |
| $\beta_{97}$ | - | 0.1994 | 1980 | 7723 | 6203 | 6997 | 8978 | 8502 | 5319 | $10^{+2}$ |
| $\beta_{98}$ | $=$ | -0.6349 | 3713 | 6980 | 1967 | 4173 | 4388 | 5784 | 4779 | $10^{-1}$ |
| $\beta_{100}$ | $=$ | 0.5371 | 0681 | 6961 | 8894 | 2565 | 7541 | 5995 | 7059 | $10^{-1}$ |
| $\beta_{101}$ | - | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{102}$ | - | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{103}$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{104}$ | $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{105}$ | $=$ | 0.2151 | 6847 | 1682 | 4671 | 9445 | 4911 | 4739 | 2647 |  |
| $\beta_{106}$ | $=$ | 0.3420 | 7435 | 3923 | 4998 | 3829 | 7121 | 6887 | 6606 |  |
| $\beta_{107}$ | $=$ | 0.2265 | 0729 | 0897 | 0719 | 7573 | 5894 | 5444 | 6475 |  |
| $\beta_{108}$ |  | 0.3047 | 2907 | 5218 | 4935 | 6793 | 3659 | 9050 | 3756 |  |
| $\beta_{109}$ | - | -0.1421 | 8987 | 3417 | 7215 | 1898 | 7341 | 7721 | 5190 |  |
| $\gamma_{10}$ | $=$ | 0.5186 | 8998 | 6282 | 5788 | 7517 | 1467 | 7640 | 6036 | $10^{-2}$ |
| $\gamma_{20}$ | $=$ | 0.5835 | 2623 | 4567 | 9012 | 3456 | 7901 | 2345 | 6790 | $10^{-2}$ |
|  | $=$ | 0.5835 | 2623 | 4567 | 9012 | 3456 | 7901 | 2345 | 6790 | $10^{-2}$ |
| $\gamma_{30}$ | $=$ | 0.1312 | 9340 | 2777 | 7777 | 7777 | 7777 | 7777 | 7778 | $10^{-1}$ |
|  | $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{32}$ | = | 0.1312 | 9340 | 2777 | 7777 | 7777 | 7777 | 7777 | 7778 | $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{40}$ | $=$ | 0.1775 | 5681 | 8181 | 8181 | 8181 | 8181 | 8181 | 8182 | $10^{-1}$ |
|  | $=$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{43}$ | $=$ | 0.1775 | 5681 | 8181 | 8181 | 8181 | 8181 | 8181 | 8182 |  |
| $\gamma_{50}$ | $=$ | 0.1925 | 7489 | 6694 | 2148 | 7603 | 3057 | 8512 | 3967 | $10^{-1}$ |
|  | = |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma_{52}$ | $=$ | 0.4028 | 5268 | 2912 | 0077 | 7831 | 7938 | 7457 | 4623 | $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{53}$ | = | 0.1034 | 9608 | 5254 | 4584 | 6020 | 0086 | 9943 | 4537 | $10^{-1}$ |
| $\gamma_{54}$ | = | 0.4201 | 3351 | 3931 | 8885 | 4489 | 1640 | 8668 | 7307 | $10^{-3}$ |
| $\gamma_{60}$ | = | 0.5015 | 0891 | 6323 | 7311 | 3854 | 5953 | 3607 | 6818 | $10^{-1}$ |

TABLE 5. (Concluded)

```
\gamma61}=
\gamma62}=
```



```
\gamma64 = 0.1427 7669 4823 4784 4920
\mp@subsup{\gamma}{65}{}=}=0.2947 2859 1024 8873 2118 3617 4799 1378 • 10-1,
\gamma70}=0.1008 4019 2043 8957 4759 9451 3031 5501 • 10-1
\gamma71}=
\gamma72 = 0
\gamma73 = 0
\mp@subsup{\gamma}{74}{}=-0.2032 9218 1069 9588 4773 6625 5144 0329 • 10-1
\gamma75 = 0.8955 5163 6292 3770 3311 7773 8585 1460 
\gamma 76 = 0.1517 8571 4285 7142 8571 4285 7142 8571 • 10-1
```



```
\gamma81 = 0
\gamma82 = -0.6816 7418 1961 6552 
\gamma83}=
\gamma84}=
\gamma85}=0.3904 9081 2480 6691 0635 4602 0166 2578 • 1001
\gamma 缺 = 0.1320
\gamma87 = 0.9226 1993 4259 5248 
\gamma 年 = 0.8435 6399 1262 8663 2857 5687 5609 5560 • 10-1
\gamma91 =0
\mp@subsup{\gamma}{92}{}=-0.1399
\gamma}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{93}}{}{=}
\gamma94}=
\gamma95}=
\mp@subsup{\gamma}{96}{}=
\gamma97
```




```
\gamma101 = 0
\gamma
\gamma
\gamma104 = 0
\mp@subsup{\gamma}{105}{}=
\mp@subsup{\gamma}{106}{}=
\mp@subsup{\gamma}{107}{}=0.1891 5603 3529 7940
```



```
\gamma109 = 0.9041 5913 2007 2332 7305 6057 8661 8445 • 10-3
```

TABLE 6. PATTERN FOR RKN-G-5(6)-8


TABLE 7. COEFFICIENTS FOR RKN-G-5(6)-8

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=\frac{4}{15}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{2}{5}, \alpha_{3}=\frac{3}{5}, \alpha_{4}=\frac{9}{10}, \alpha_{5}=\frac{3}{4}, \alpha_{6}=\frac{2}{7}, \alpha_{7}=\alpha_{8}=1 \\
& \beta_{10}=\frac{4}{15}, \beta_{20}=\frac{1}{10}, \beta_{21}=\frac{3}{10}, \beta_{30}=\frac{3}{20}, \beta_{31}=0, \beta_{32}=\frac{9}{20} \text {, } \\
& \beta_{40}=\frac{9}{40}, \beta_{41}=\beta_{42}=0, \beta_{43}=\frac{27}{40}, \beta_{50}=\frac{11}{48}, \beta_{51}=\beta_{52}=0 \text {, } \\
& \beta_{53}=\frac{5}{8}, \beta_{54}=-\frac{5}{48}, \beta_{60}=\frac{27112}{194481}, \beta_{61}=\beta_{62}=0, \\
& \beta_{63}=\frac{56450}{64827}, \beta_{64}=\frac{80000}{194481}, \beta_{65}=-\frac{24544}{21609}, \\
& \beta_{70}=-\frac{26033}{41796}, \beta_{71}=\beta_{72}=0, \beta_{73}=-\frac{236575}{38313}, \\
& \beta_{74}=-\frac{14500}{10449}, \beta_{75}=\frac{275936}{45279} \cdot \beta_{76}=\frac{228095}{73788}, \\
& \beta_{80}=\frac{7}{81}, \beta_{81}=\beta_{82}=\beta_{83}=0, \beta_{84}=-\frac{250}{3483}, \beta_{85}=\frac{160}{351}, \\
& \beta_{86}=\frac{2401}{5590}, \beta_{87}=\frac{1}{10} . \\
& \gamma_{10}=\frac{8}{225}, \gamma_{20}=\frac{1}{25}, \gamma_{21}=\frac{1}{25}, \gamma_{30}=\frac{9}{160}, \gamma_{31}=\frac{81}{800}, \gamma_{32}=\frac{9}{400}, \\
& \gamma_{40}=\frac{81}{640}, \gamma_{41}=0, \gamma_{42}=\frac{729}{3200}, \gamma_{43}=\frac{81}{1600}, \gamma_{50}=\frac{11283}{88064} \text {, } \\
& \gamma_{51}=0, \gamma_{52}=\frac{3159}{88064}, \gamma_{53}=\frac{7275}{44032}, \gamma_{54}=-\frac{33}{688}, \gamma_{60}=\frac{6250}{194481} \text {, } \\
& \gamma_{61}=\gamma_{62}=\gamma_{63}=0, \gamma_{64}=-\frac{3400}{194481}, \gamma_{65}=\frac{1696}{64827}, \gamma_{70}=-\frac{6706}{45279}, \\
& \gamma_{71}=\gamma_{72}=\gamma_{73}=0, \gamma_{74}=\frac{1047925}{1946997}, \gamma_{75}=-\frac{147544}{196209} \text {, } \\
& \gamma_{76}=\frac{1615873}{1874886}, \gamma_{80}=\frac{31}{360}, \gamma_{81}=\gamma_{82}=\gamma_{83}=0, \gamma_{84}=0, \\
& \gamma_{85}=\frac{64}{585}, \gamma_{86}=\frac{2401}{7800}, \gamma_{87}=-\frac{1}{300} .
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 8. APPLICATION OF THE VARIOUS FORMULAS TO PROBLEM I

TABLE 9. APPLICATION OF THE VARIOUS FORMULAS TO PROBLEM II




[^0]:    $\overline{3 .}$ Because of the choice of $\gamma_{127}$, . ., $\gamma_{1211}$ in No. 16 the error coefficient $\dot{\mathrm{T}}_{20}$ is zero.

