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## SUMMARY

A parameter-extraction algorithm was used to determine the lateral aerodynamic derivatives from flight data for the F-8 aircraft with supercritical wing. The flight data used were the recorded responses to aileron or rudder pulses for Mach numbers of 0.80 , 0.90 , and 0.98 .

Results of this study showed that a set of derivatives were determined which yielded a calculated aircraft response almost identical with the response measured in flight. Derivatives extracted from motion resulting from rudder inputs were somewhat different from those resulting from aileron inputs. It was found that the derivatives obtained from the rudder-input data were highly correlated in some instances. Those from the aileron input had very low correlations and appeared to be the more reliable.

## INTRODUCTION

Analytical and simulator studies of the flight and handling qualities of aircraft require that accurate estimates of the aerodynamic parameters be used if the results are to be valid. To provide aerociynamic parameters for analytical and simulator studies and also to provide numerical values for comparison with wind-tunnel data and theoretical estimates, parameters have been extracted from flight data for many years. Results from recent Langley Research Center investigations in which the aerodynamic parameters for several aircraft have been extracted from flight data are reported in references 1 to 5 .

Various techniques for data extraction are presented in reference 6. The technique and program used in extracting the parameters in this study is the maximum likelihood method of reference 7.

The purpose of the present study is to extract static and dynamic lateral aerody namic parameters from flight tests of the F-8 supercritical-wing aircraft at Mach numbers of $0.80,0.90$, and 0.98 . The flight-test data used for the analysis consisted of airplane responses to aileron or rudder pulses.

## SYMBOLS

$a_{Y} \quad$ acceleration measured along $Y$ body axis, $g$ units
b wing span, m
$\mathrm{DA}=\delta_{\mathbf{a}}-\delta_{\mathbf{a}, \mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{rad}$
$\mathrm{DR}=\delta_{\mathbf{r}}-\delta_{\mathbf{r}, \mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{rad}$
g gravitational acceleration, $9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$
$h_{p}$. pressure altitude, $m$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Y}}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Z}} \quad$ moment of inertia about roll, pitch, and yaw axis, respectively, $\mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$
product of inertia, $\mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$
$l_{h} \quad$ distance from aircraft center of gravity to quarter-chord point of mean geometric chord of horizontal tail, $m$

M Mach number

N number of data points
p roll rate, $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$
$\mathrm{q} \quad$ pitch rate, $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$.
$R_{a_{Y}}=\frac{1}{W_{a Y}}$
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{p}}}$
$R_{r}=\frac{1}{W_{r}}$
$R_{v}=\frac{1}{W_{v}}$
$\mathbf{R}_{\varphi}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~W} \varphi}$
r

S
u
$V$ resultant velocity, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$
v velocity component along Y body axis, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$

W weight, N
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{Y}}}, \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}, \\ \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{V}}, \mathrm{W}_{\varphi}\end{array}\right\} \quad$ weighting values of $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{Y}}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{v}$, and $\varphi$ state variables
w velocity component along Z body axis, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$
$\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z} \quad$ body-axis system (see fig. 1)
x
vector of aircraft states
$\alpha$
angle of attack, deg or rad
$\beta \quad$ angle of sideslip, deg or rad
$\delta_{a} \quad$ aileron deflection, total deflection of left and right ailerons, positive deflection indicates positive roll, deg or rad
$\delta_{\mathbf{r}} \quad$ rudder deflection, positive trailing edge left, deg or rad
$\theta$
pitch attitude, deg or rad
$\rho \quad$ mass density of air, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$\varphi \quad$ roll attitude, $\operatorname{rad}$

## Subscripts:

c computed
m measured in flight
t trim

Aerodynamic parameters:
$C_{l} \quad$ rolling-moment coefficient about $X$ body axis
$C_{l_{p}}=\frac{\partial C_{l}}{\partial\left(\frac{p b}{2 V}\right)}$
$C_{l_{r}}=\frac{\partial C_{l}}{\partial\left(\frac{r b}{2 V}\right)}$
$C_{l_{\beta}}=\frac{\partial C_{l}}{\partial \beta}$ per radian
$C_{\delta_{\mathrm{a}}}=\frac{\partial C_{l}}{\partial \delta_{\mathrm{a}}}$ per radian
$C_{l_{\delta r}}=\frac{\partial C_{l}}{\partial \delta_{r}}$ per radian
$C_{n} \quad$ yawing-moment coefficient about $Z$ body axis
$C_{n_{p}}=\frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial\left(\frac{p b}{2 v}\right)}$
$C_{n_{r}}=\frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial\left(\frac{r b}{2 v}\right)}$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{\beta}}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\partial \beta}$ per radian
$C_{n_{\delta_{a}}}=\frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial \delta_{\mathrm{a}}}$ per radian
$C_{n_{\delta_{r}}}=\frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial \delta_{r}}$ per radian
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Y}} \quad$ lateral-force coefficient along Y body axis
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{p}}}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{Y}}}{\partial\left(\frac{\mathrm{pb}}{2 \mathrm{~V}}\right)}$
$C_{Y_{r}}=\frac{\partial C_{Y}}{\partial\left(\frac{r b}{2 V}\right)}$
$C_{\mathbf{Y}_{\beta}}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{Y}}}{\partial \beta}$ per radian
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{Y}_{\delta_{\mathrm{a}}}}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{Y}}}{\partial \delta_{\mathrm{a}}}$ per radian
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Y}_{\delta_{\mathbf{r}}}}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{Y}}}{\partial \delta_{\mathbf{r}}}$ per radian
The dot over a symbol denotes rate of change with respect to time.

## EQUATIONS OF MOTION

The equations of motion used in this study are referred to a body-axis system (fig. 1) and are as follows:

Y-direction:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{v}= & p w-r u+g \cos \theta \sin \varphi+\frac{1}{2} \rho V^{2} S \frac{g}{W}\left[C_{Y, t}+C_{Y_{\beta}}\left(\beta-\beta_{t}\right)+C_{Y_{p}} \frac{p b}{2 V}\right. \\
& \left.+C_{Y_{r}} \frac{r b}{2 V}+C_{Y_{\delta_{r}}}\left(\delta_{r}-\delta_{r, t}\right)+C_{Y_{\delta_{a}}}\left(\delta_{a}-\delta_{a, t}\right)\right] \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Rolling:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{p}= & -q r \frac{I_{Z}-I_{Y}}{I_{X}}+(p q+\dot{r}) \frac{I_{X Z}}{I_{X}}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho V^{2} S b}{I_{X}}\left[C_{l, t}+C_{l_{\beta}}\left(\beta-\beta_{t}\right)+C_{l_{p}} \frac{p b}{2 V}\right. \\
& +C_{l_{r}} \frac{r b}{2 V}+C_{l_{\delta r}}\left(\delta_{r}-\delta_{r, t}\right)+C_{l_{\delta_{a}}}\left(\delta_{a}-\delta_{a, t}\right] \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Yawing:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\mathrm{r}}= & -p q \frac{I_{Y}-I_{X}}{I_{Z}}-(q r-\dot{p}) \frac{I_{X Z}}{I_{Z}}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho V^{2} S b}{I_{Z}}\left[C_{n, t}+C_{n_{\beta}}\left(\beta-\beta_{t}\right)+C_{n_{p}} \frac{p b}{2 V}\right. \\
& \left.+C_{n_{r}} \frac{r b}{2 V}+C_{n_{\delta_{a}}}\left(\delta_{a}-\delta_{a, t}\right)+C_{n_{\delta_{r}}}\left(\delta_{r}-\delta_{r, t}\right)\right] \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Auxiliary equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=\tan ^{-1} \frac{w}{u} \\
& \beta=\sin ^{-1} \frac{v}{V} \\
& a_{Y}=\frac{1}{g}(\dot{v}+u r-w p-g \cos \theta \sin \varphi)
\end{aligned}
$$

The three-degree-of-freedom lateral equations (eqs. (1) to (3)) were solved for the lateral motions. The longitudinal state variables $u, w$, and $q$ used in these equations were the flight-measured values, and hence the nonlinear contributions of these terms were used in the equations.

## DESCRIPTION OF AIRPLANE

The aerodynamic parameters were extracted from data obtained from an F-8 aircraft on which the original wing was replaced with a supercritical wing which is described in reference 8. The F-8 is a single-seat high performance airplane with a single jet engine embedded in the fuselage and with an all-movable horizontal tail. Figure 2 is a photograph of the airplane. Pertinent geometric characteristics of the airplane are given in table I.

Flight instrumentation appropriate to this study included the following items:
(1) Roll-, pitch-, and yaw-rate gyros
(2) Angle-of-attack indicator
(3) Angle-of -sideslip indicator
(4) Altimeter
(5) Total velocity indicator
(6) Aileron and rudder position indicators
(7) Magnetic tape recorders
(8) Accelerometers
(9) Pitch- and roll-angle gyros

The full-scale range of the flight instruments and their accuracies are given in table II.

## FLIGHT TESTS

The data presented in this study were obtained from in-flight measurement of the airplane response to aileron or rudder inputs. These flights were made at the NASA Flight Research Center as part of a general evaluation program of the F-8 supercriticalwing aerodynamics.

Data were obtained at Mach numbers of $0.80,0.90$, and 0.98 . The control inputs used to generate the lateral motions (three degrees of freedom) were one or more aileron or rudder pulses. All data used in this study for parameter extraction were reduced at Flight Research Center and have been corrected for bias and displacement of the measuring instrument from the aircraft center of gravity. Test conditions and mass characteristics are presented in table III.

## PARAMETER-EXTRACTION PROCEDURE

The parameter-extraction procedure used in this study is an iterative technique which utilizes the maximum likelihood method to extract the stability and control parameters. (See ref. 7 for details of the method.) This method maximizes the conditional likelihood $L$ which is a function of aerodynamic parameters, weights, and initial conditions:

$$
L=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{1 / 2} R^{1 / 2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i, m}-x_{i, c}\right)^{T} R^{-1}\left(x_{i, m}-x_{i, c}\right)\right]
$$

where $\mathbf{R}$ is the estimate of the error covariance matrix and $\mathbf{x}$ is a vector describing the state of the aircraft. Maximization of the likelihood function $L$ provides the following information:
(1) The parameter increments which are used to update the parameter
(2) The covariance matrices whose elements are proportional to the estimated standard deviations and the pairwise correlation coefficients for the parameters and for the states
(3) The performance index function $J$ which is an indicator of the fit between measured and calculated motion

The interative technique produces a set of estimated derivatives which, when used in the equations of motion (eqs. (1) to (3)), provide the best fit to the time variation of the aircraft motion measured in flight. The criterion for the best fit is the performance index J which is defined as

$$
J=\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i, m}-x_{i, c}\right)\left(x_{i, m}-x_{i, c}\right)^{T}\right]
$$

where det denotes determinant and $x$ is the vector describing the state of the aircraft. Generally, the performance index $J$ becomes smaller with sucessive iterations. The iteration procedure is stopped when the value of $J$ does not change appreciably for several successive iterations.

The components of the vector x are the state variables $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r}, \varphi$, and $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ which are calculated from equations (1) to (3). The quantities $\dot{u}_{m}, v_{m}$, and $w_{m}$ were not measured directly but were obtained from the measured total velocity, angle of sideslip, and angle of attack through the use of the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}} \cos \alpha_{\mathrm{m}} \cos \beta_{\mathrm{m}} \\
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}} \sin \beta_{\mathrm{m}} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}} \cos \beta \sin \alpha_{\mathrm{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Maximization of the likelihood function yields the covariance matrix for the measurement noise based on the current solution. (See ref. 7.) This matrix gives the variances (or standard deviations) of the differences of the measured state and the current solution. The inverse of this matrix is the weighting matrix used in the parameterchange equations. In this investigation, the diagonal form of the weighting matrix was used and the diagonal elements can be expressed as the squares of the differences between the measured and calculated data. For example, the weight for the state variable $v$ is expressed as

$$
\frac{1}{R_{v}^{2}}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(v_{m}-v_{c}\right)_{i}^{2}
$$

Similar equations are obtained for the other state variables used in the cost function $\left(1 / R_{p}^{2}, \quad 1 / R_{r}^{2}, \quad 1 / R_{a Y}^{2}\right.$, and $\left.1 / R_{\varphi}^{2}\right)$.

Initial values of the state variables were obtained from the flight records for the time period prior to a control input. Initial values of the aerodynamic derivatives (table IV) were obtained from a preliminary investigation in the Langley 8-foot transonic pressure tunnel for $\alpha=4^{\circ}$. Although the tabulated derivatives were for a Mach number of 0.8 , they were used as initial values for all the work presented herein. The data rate for the in-flight measurements was 25 points per second.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Measured and calculated time histories are compared in figures 3 to 6 . The calculated motions are those obtained after the parameter-extraction program had converged on a set of derivatives for each flight condition. Figures 3 and 4 show the responses to rudder inputs for flight at a Mach number of 0.80 and 0.90 , respectively. Figures 5 and 6 are responses to aileron inputs for a Mach number of 0.90 and 0.98 , respectively. In all cases, the calculated time histories match the flight results very closely. The standard deviations of the converged states are given in table $V$.

The aerodynamic parameters extracted for each of the four flight conditions in figures 3 to 6 are listed in table VI. Also shown in the table are the estimates of the standard deviations of the parameters. The standard deviations are generally less than 10 percent of the extracted derivative, which implies that the extracted derivatives are well defined. Most of the derivatives are of reasonable magnitude and are close to the initial values shown in table IV. However, a comparison of derivatives for the rudderinput and the aileron-input cases at the same Mach number ( $M=0.90$ ) shows appreciable differences in some derivatives, for example, $C_{l_{\beta}}, C_{l_{p}}$, and $C_{l_{r}}$. The difference in $C_{l_{r}}$ was particularly bothersome since there was a sign difference. In order to deter mine if this discrepancy was caused by differences in control input or for some other reason, the correlation matrices for each set of extracted derivatives were examined. The correlation matrices are shown as tables VII (aileron input) and VIII (rudder input). It is apparent that several high correlations exist for the rudder-input case, whereas the correlations are quite low for the aileron-input case. It appeared, therefore, that the derivatives from the aileron-input time histories were more reliable than those from the rudder-input cases. In order to check this possibility, the aerodynamic derivatives that had been extracted from the rudder-input flight data were used to calculate motions for the aileron-input case. The results are presented in figure 7 and show poor agreement with flight data. Motions were then calculated for the rudder-input case from the aerodynamic parameters extracted from the aileron-input data. The results, shown in
figure 8, show good agreement with the flight data. The conclusion, therefore, is that the derivatives extracted from the aileron-input data are more reliable than those from the rudder-input data. The precise reason for the superiority of an aileron input over a rudder input in obtaining good, uncorrelated aerodynamic parameters is not known at this time, and is a subject for future study. As a matter of interest, the derivatives from the aileron-input case were used as initial values for the rudder-input flight (which resulted in the comparison of fig. 8), and the parameter-extraction program was permitted to iterate. After several iterations the fit to the data improved, and the extracted parameters converged to the values of the derivatives of table VI for the rudder input.

The negative values of $C_{l_{\mathbf{r}}}$ extracted from the aileron-input data, theoretically, should have been positive. It was believed that perhaps the time histories were insensitive to $\mathrm{C}_{l_{r}}$; therefore, several computations were made for the rudder-input case with $M=0.9$ for all derivatives fixed at the values of table VI except $C_{l_{r}}$. The results are shown in figure 9 , and indicate that the effect of varying $C_{l_{r}}$ is quite noticeable.

Some of the extracted parameters of table VI are compared with wind-tunnel values in table IX. In general, the agreement is reasonably good; however, there are some appreciable differences between the flight-extracted and wind-tunnel values. The most obvious difference is the large variance between the extracted and wind-tunnel values for $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{\delta_{\mathbf{r}}}}$. One reason for this is possibly aeroelastic effects. Since rigid body equations of motion were used in the extraction algorithm, the effect of aeroelasticity on the extracted parameters was not considered in this study.

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

A parameter-extraction algorithm was used to determine the lateral aerodynamic derivatives from flight data for the F-8 aircraft with supercritical wing. The flight data were the responses to aileron or rudder pulses for Mach numbers of $0.80,0.90$, and 0.98 .

Results of this study showed that a set of derivatives were determined which yielded a calculated aircraft response almost identical with the response measured in flight. Derivatives extracted from motion resulting from rudder inputs were somewhat different from those resulting from aileron inputs. It was found that the derivatives obtained from the rudder -input data were highly correlated in some instances. Those from the aileron input had very low correlations and appeared to be the more reliable in fitting the measured responses.

Langley Research Center,
National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Hampton, Va., August 12, 1974.
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## TABLE I.- GEOMETRIC CHARACTERISTICS OF F-8 AIRCRAFT WITH SUPERCRITICAL WING

Fuselage:
Length, m ..... 16.09
Wing:
Area, $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ..... 25.45
Aspect ratio ..... 6.77
Span, m ..... 13.14
Mean geometric chord, $m$ ..... 2.08
Vertical tail:
Area, $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ..... 10.13
Aspect ratio ..... 1.5
Span, m ..... 3.88
Rudder:
Area, $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ..... 1.17
Horizontal tail:
Area, m ${ }^{2}$ ..... 8.68
Aspect ratio ..... 3.5
Span, m ..... 5.52
Tail length, center of gravity to quarter-chord point of mean geometric chord, $m$ ..... 5.31

TABLE II. - INSTRUMENT RANGES AND ACCURACIES

| Measured quantities | Range | Accuracy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Angle of attack, deg . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | -5 to 25 | $\pm 0.3$ |
| Pressure altitude, m . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0 to 18000 | $\pm 11$ |
| Total velocity, m/sec . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0 to 360 | $\pm 0.7$ |
| Lateral acceleration at c.g., g units . . . . . . . . . | $\pm 1.0$ | $\pm 0.01$ |
| Longitudinal acceleration at c.g., g units . . . . . . . | $\pm 0.5$ | $\pm 0.01$ |
| Roll angle, deg . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\pm 90$ | $\pm 1.8$ |
| Pitch angle, deg . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\pm 30$ | $\pm 0.6$ |
| Roll rate, deg/sec . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\pm 200$ | $\pm 0.6$ |
| Pitch rate, deg/sec . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\pm 40$ | $\pm 0.8$ |
| Yaw rate, deg/sec . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\pm 12$ | $\pm 0.3$ |
| Rudder position, deg . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\pm 8$ | $\pm 0.3$ |
| Aileron position, deg . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\pm 15$ | $\pm 0.8$ |

TABLE III.- TEST CONDITIONS AND MASS CHARACTERISTICS

|  | $\mathrm{M}=0.80$ | $\mathrm{M}=0.90$ | $\mathrm{M}=0.98$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight, N | 100802 | 104912 | 101792 |
| Mass, kg | 10279.1 | 10698.2 | 10380.0 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 20484 | 20512 | 20491 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Y}}, \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 124383 | 125350 | 124616 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Z}}, \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 138424 | 139363 | 138650 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}, \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 4359 | 4522 | 4399 |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{m}$ | 12178 | 12751 | 13862 |
| $l_{h}, m$ | 5.31 | 5.30 | 5.27 |

## TABLE IV.- INITIAL VALUES OF AERODYNAMIC DERIVATIVES

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Y}_{\beta}}$ | -1.317 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{L_{\beta}}$ | -0.234 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{l_{\mathrm{p}}}$ | -0.390 |
| $\mathrm{c}_{l_{\delta_{\mathrm{a}}}}$ | 0.069 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}}$ | -0.492 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{o}}}$ | -0.005 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{l_{\mathrm{r}}}$ | 0.231 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{np}}$. | -0.183 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{\beta}}$ | 0.148 |

TABLE V.- STANDARD DEVIATIONS OF CONVERGED STATES

| M | Input | Standard deviation of - |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{p}, \\ \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}}$ | $\varphi,$ rad | $\underset{\mathbf{o}}{\mathrm{Y}},$ |
| 0.80 | Rudder | 0.4095 | 0.0036 | 0.0010 | 0.0018 | 0.0036 |
| . 90 | Rudder | . 3041 | . 0065 | . 0026 | . 0045 | . 0046 |
| . 90 | Aileron | . 3080 | . 0085 | . 0011 | . 0091 | . 0044 |
| . 98 | Aileron | . 3326 | . 0165 | . 0028 | . 0163 | . 0067 |

TABLE VI.- EXTRACTED AERODYNAMIC PARAMETERS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS

| Parameters | Parameters and standard deviations ${ }^{\text {a }}$ for - |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rudder input |  | Aileron input |  |
|  | $\mathrm{M}=0.80$ | $\mathrm{M}=0.90$ | $\mathrm{M}=0.90$ | $\mathrm{M}=0.98$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{t}} \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdot \cdots$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.01597 \\ (0.000149) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0172 \\ (0.00013) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0014 \\ (0.00017) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0171 \\ (0.00017) \end{array}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Y}_{\beta}} \cdots \cdots \cdot \cdots \cdot \cdots$ | -1.2063 | -1.2055 | -1.2283 | -1.1868 |
|  | (0.009819) | (0.0086) | (0.0209) | (0.02363) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Y}_{\delta_{\mathbf{r}}}} \cdots \cdots \cdot \cdots \cdot \cdots$ | 0.03182 | 0.0325 | 0.0320 | 0.0320 |
|  | (0.001093) | (0.00127) | (Fixed) | (Fixed) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Y}_{\delta \mathrm{a}}} \cdots \cdots \cdot \cdots \cdot \cdots$ | 0 | 0 | -0.0228 | -0.01342 |
|  | 0 | 0 | (0.00357) | (0.00325) |
|  | -0.000202 | 0.00051 | -0.00053 | -0.00034 |
|  | (0.000012) | (0.000013) | (0.00002) | (0.00002) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{l_{\beta}} \quad \cdots \cdots \cdot \cdots \cdot \cdots \cdot \cdot$ | -0.23399 | -0.2052 | -0.2748 | -0.2751 |
|  | (0.00200) | (0.00174) | (0.0018) | (0.00284) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{l_{\mathrm{p}}} \quad \cdots \cdots \cdot \cdots \cdot \cdots \cdot \cdot$ | -0.4948 | -0.3928 | -0.5938 | -0.6867 |
|  | (0.00614) | (0.00529) | (0.0044) | (0.00743) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{l_{\mathrm{r}}} \quad \cdots \cdots \cdot \cdots \cdot \cdots \cdot \cdot$ | 0.2111 | 1.5767 | -0.4546 | -0.4749 |
|  | (0.03553) | (0.03256) | (0.0342) | (0.0887) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{l_{\delta_{\mathbf{r}}}} \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdot \cdots$ | $0.0046$ | $0.0063$ | $0.005$ | $0.005$ |
|  | (0.000069) | (0.000075) | (Fixed) | (Fixed) |
| $C_{l_{\delta_{\mathrm{a}}}} \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdot \cdots$ | $0.0940$ | 0.0940 | 0.0941 | $0.0740$ |
|  | (Fixed) | (Fixed) | (0.00051) | (0.00062) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}} \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdot \cdots$ | -0.000093 | -0.00028 | 0.00022 | 0.00000 |
|  | (0.000007) | (0.000009) | (0.00001) | (0.000009) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{\beta}}$ | 0.1449 | 0.1552 | 0.1473 | 0.1334 |
|  | (0.000901) | (0.00091) | (0.00041) | (0.00069) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}} \cdots \cdots \cdot \cdots \cdot . . . .$. | 0.02292 | 0.00796 | -0.0059 | -0.0360 |
|  | (0.002674) | (0.0026) | (0.00166) | (0.00311) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}} \cdots \cdots \cdot \cdots \cdot . . .$. | -0.20581 | -0.31961 | -0.4368 | -0.6057 |
|  | (0.02029) | (0.0230) | (0.01051) | (0.0241) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{\delta_{r}}} \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdot \cdots$ | -0.01481 | -0.01526 | -0.015 | -0.0150 |
|  | (0.000047) | (0.000059) | (Fixed) | (Fixed) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}}} \cdots \cdots \cdot \cdots \cdot \cdots \cdot \cdots$ | -0.0022 | -0.0022 | -0.0022 | -0.0024 |
|  | (Fixed) | (Fixed) | (0.00019) | (0.00026) |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Standard deviations are given in parentheses.
TABLE VII.- ESTIMATED PAIRWISE CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS AT CONVERGENCE FOR AILERON INPUT AT M $=0.90$

|  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Y}}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{l, \mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{l_{\beta}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {lp }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{l_{\mathrm{r}}}$ | ${ }^{c_{\delta_{\mathrm{a}}}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\beta}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{np}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{S}}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{Y_{\delta_{\mathrm{a}}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{t}}$ |  | 0.2233 | 0.4939 | 0.0184 | -0.0030 | -0.0165 | -0.0234 | -0.4867 | 0.0378 | 0.0621 | 0.0231 | 0.0037 | 0.1231 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Y}_{\beta}}$ | 0.2233 |  | 0.0395 | 0.1645 | -0.0267 | 0.1335 | 0.0333 | -0.0066 | 0.1382 | 0.1038 | -0.2624 | -0.1398 | 0.1067 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{l, \mathrm{t}}$ | 0.4939 | 0.0395 |  | 0.1267 | 0.0942 | 0.1890 | -0.1051 | -0.9234 | 0.1808 | 0.0695 | 0.0349 | -0.0392 | 0.0049 |
| $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{l}_{\beta}}$ | 0.0184 | 0.1645 | 0.1267 |  | 0.0389 | 0.0032 | -0.0289 | 0.0822 | 0.7248 | 0.1017 | -0.0538 | -0.3075 | 0.0514 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | -0.0030 | -0.0267 | 0.0942 | 0.0389 |  | 0.1286 | -0.7515 | 0.0069 | 0.1806 | 0.4453 | -0.0777 | -0.3827 | 0.0385 |
| $C_{l}$ | -0.0165 | 0.1335 | 0.1890 | 0.0032 | 0.1286 |  | -0.2020 | 0.0194 | -0.0671 | -0.2316 | -0.2741 | -0.0083 | 0.0330 |
| $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{o}}}$ | -0.0234 | 0.0333 | -0.1051 | -0.0289 | -0.7515 | -0.2020 |  | 0.0835 | -0.1375 | -0.2201 | 0.0700 | 0.3329 | -0.0022 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}}$ | -0.4867 | -0.0066 | -0.9234 | 0.0822 | 0.0069 | 0.0194 | 0.0835 |  | -0.0142 | 0.0250 | 0.0617 | -0.0655 | -0.0053 |
| $\mathrm{Cn}_{\beta}{ }^{\prime}$ | 0.0378 | 0.1382 | 0.1808 | 0.7248 | 0.1806 | -0.0671 | -0.1375 | -0.0142 |  | 0.3301 | -0.0571 | -0.5035 | -0.1326 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{np}}$ | 0.0621 | 0.1038 | 0.0695 | 0.1017 | 0.4453 | -0.2316 | -0.2201 | 0.0250 | 0.3301 |  | 0.3638 | -0.8135 | -0.0486 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | 0.0231 | -0.2624 | 0.0349 | -0.0538 | -0.0777 | -0.2741 | 0.0700 | 0.0617 | -0.0571 | 0.3638 | . | -0.0811 | -0.1010 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a}}}}$ | 0.0037 | -0.1398 | -0.0392 | -0.3075 | -0.3827 | -0.0083 | 0.3329 | -0.0655 | -0.5035 | -0.8135 | -0.0811 |  | 0.0745 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{Y_{\delta_{\mathrm{a}}}}$ | 0.1231 | 0.1067 | 0.0049 | 0.0514 | '0.0385 | 0.0330 | -0.0022 | -0.0053 | -0.1326 | -0.0486 | -0.1010 | 0.0745 |  |



TABLE IX.- COMPARISON OF EXTRACTED DERIVATIVES WITH WIND-TUNNEL RESULTS

| Coefficient | $\mathrm{M}=0.80$ |  | $\mathrm{M}=0.90$ |  |  | $\mathrm{M}=0.98$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wind <br> tunnel | Rudder <br> input | Wind <br> tunnel | Rudder <br> input | Aileron <br> input | Wind <br> tunnel | Aileron <br> input |
| $\mathrm{C}_{l_{\mathrm{p}}} \ldots .$. | -0.390 | -0.495 | -0.420 | -0.393 | -0.594 | -0.445 | -0.687 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}} \ldots .$. | -.492 | -.206 | -.583 | -.320 | -.437 | -.601 | -.606 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{\beta}} \ldots .$. | .148 | .145 | .166 | .155 | .147 | .206 | .133 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Y}_{\beta}} \ldots$. | -1.327 | -1.206 | -1.450 | -1.206 | -1.228 | -1.501 | -1.187 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{l_{\beta}} \ldots$. | -.234 | -.234 | -.304 | -.205 | -.275 | -.172 | -.275 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}} \ldots$. |  | .023 |  | .008 | -.006 | -.010 | -.036 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{l_{\delta_{\mathrm{a}}}} \ldots$. | .069 |  | .069 |  | .094 | .069 | .074 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{\delta_{\mathrm{r}}}} \ldots$. | -.183 | -.015 | -.183 | -.015 |  | -.183 |  |



Figure 1.- System of axes. Positive directions of forces, moments, and angles are indicated by arrows.

Figure 2.- Aircraft for which derivatives were extracted.


Figure 3.- Comparison of flight data with time histories computed by using the aerodynamic parameters of table VI for rudder input at $\mathrm{M}=0.80$.


Figure 3.- Concluded.


Figure 4.- Comparison of flight data with time histories computed by using the aerodynamic parameters of table VI for rudder input at $\mathrm{M}=0.90$.


Figure 4.- Concluded.


Figure 5.- Comparison of flight data with time histories computed by using the aerodynamic parameters of table $V I$ for aileron inputs at $M=0.90$.


Figure 5.- Concluded.


Figure 6. - Comparison of flight data with time histories computed by using the aerodynamic parameters of table VI for aileron input at $\mathrm{M}=0.98$.


Figure 6.- Concluded.


Figure 7.- Comparison of flight data with time histories computed by using the aerodynamic parameters based on rudder induced motions at $M=0.90$.


Figure 7.- Concluded.


Figure 8.- Comparison of flight data with time histories computed by using the aerodynamic parameters based on aileron control at $\mathrm{M}=0.90$.


Figure 8.- Concluded.

(a) $\mathrm{C}_{l_{r}}=0.70$.

Figure 9.- Effect of $C_{l_{r}}$ on the computed time histories based on rudder input at $\mathrm{M}=0.90$.


Figure 9.- Continued.


Figure 9.- Concluded.
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