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A model is presented for the contrarotating vortex pair that is formed by a round, turbulent, subsonic jet directed normally into a uniform, subsonic cross flow. The model consists of a set of algebraic equations that describe the properties of the vortex pair as a function of their location in the jet plume. The parameters of the model are physical characteristics of the vortices such as the vortex strength, spacing and core size. These parameters are determined by velocity measurements at selective points in the jet plume.


## INTRODUCTION

An interesting aerodynamic problem occurs when a vertical takeoff and landing aircraft transitions from hovering to forward fiight. The cross flow caused by the aircraft's forward flight interacts with the aircraft's lifting jets, and brings about a loss of performance in addition to stability problems. Figure 1 is a sketch of a VTOL aircraft with a single lifting jet transitioning from hovering to forward flight. The interference between the lifting jet and the cross flow induces a low pressure region in the jet wake and a distribution of pressure induced forces over the aircraft as indicated schematically in the figure. The flow field associated with a VTOL aircraft and its multiple lifting jets is very complex. In order to simplify the problem, while still retaining the essential characteristics of the jet and cross flow interaction process, it has been customary to restrict the problem to that of a single, subsonic jet exhausting normally through a flat plate into a uniform, subsonic cross flow. Other applications of the jet in a cross flow are the cooling of jet turbine combustors, where coolant gases are injected into the combustors to dissipate heat, and the environmental problem of the discharge of cooling water from a power plant into a waterway.

Early investigations into the jet in a cross flow were concerned with a qualitative description of the flow. Several of these investigations resulted in empirical equations for the jet centerline, which was defined to be the locus of maximum velocity in the symmetry plane.

Many of the flow characteristics, it was found, depended on the jet to cross flow momentum ratio. Several investigators found it convenient to use the square root of the momentum ratio and defined it as the effective velocity ratio. In certain restrictive cases, it was shown that the effective velocity ratio reduced to the jet to cross flow velocity ratio. (ref. 1).

Recently there have been several detailed studies into the velocity and pressure fields associated with the jet in a cross flow. In each of these investigations it was found that the jet wake was dominated by a pair of contrarotating vortices. Kamotani and Greber (ref. 2) and Harms (ref. 3) investigated the effect of heated jets on the flow field. Thompson (ref. 4), utilizing an unheated jet, conducted a study of the pressure distribution on a flat plate and the velocity field induced by a jet in a cross flow. Fearn and Weston (ref. 1 and 5) investigated the velocity and pressure fields associated with an unneated jet directed normally into a cross flow. Fearn and Weston presented simple analytic models for the vortex pair associated with a jet in a cross flow.

This paper will investigate the effect of varying certain parameters in one of the models presented by Fearn and Weston. The vortex model will also be extended to give a more convenient means of determining the vortex properties.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

An important feature of the jet in a cross flow is the contrarotating vortices that roll up in the wake region. Figure 2 is a sketch of the wake region induced by a jet in a cross flow. A plane of symmetry ( $\mathrm{Y}=0$ ) is seen to exist in the flow field. The vortex centers are defined as the points of maximum vorticity in a cross section and are shown schematically in figure 2. The loci of the vortex centers are commonly referred to as the vortex trajectories. It is convenient to define the projections of the vortex trajectories onto the symmetry plane as the vortex curve, shown schematically in figure 3. The vortex curve is then described as the locus of the midpoints of the line joining the vortex centers. It is seen in figure 3 that the vortex curve falls slightly below the jet centerline. Figure 3 also illustrates the reference frames commonly in use. One reference frame has its origin at the center of the jet exit and is aligned with the tunnel coordinate system. Two other coordinate systems are aligned with cross sections perpendicular to the jet centerline and the vortex curve. The system referred to the jet certerline has its axes denoted with a subscript $j$ while the system referred to the vortex curve has its axes denoted with a subscript v .

Kamotani and Greber (ref. 2), who were prompted by the gas turbine combustor cooling problem, utilized a $1 / 4$ inch diameter jet in their study of heated and unheated jets in a cross flow. The authors found that the flow was dominated by a pair of contrarotating vortices that form behind the jet and persist for a long distance downstream of the
jet orifice. This compares with the findings of Pratte and Baines (ref. 6), in which the vortices were located as far as 1000 jet diameters downstream of the jet orifice. Kamotani and Greber presented the data as plots of velocity and temperature distributions in the symmetry plane and in planes perpendicular to the jet centerline. The vortices were apparent when the velocity vectors in the wake region were projected into cross section planes perpendicular to the jet centerline. Results were shown for effective velocity ratios of 3.91 and 7.72. The authors made no attempt to calculate the strength of the vortex pair.

The aerodynamic problems associated with V/STOL aircraft have motivated several recent papers. Harms (ref. 3) studied the temperature effects on the velocity field induced by a 5 centimeter diameter jet issuing into a cross flow. Extensive velocity measurements were taken in planes perpendicular to the tunnel axis for an effective velocity ratio of 8 . It was found that the position of the vortex centers remained essentially the same for hot and cold jets of the same effective velocity ratio. The only difference being that for the hot jet the vortices were more diffuse. Harms stated that the vortex pair absorbed the axial momentum of the jet and were dissipated in the far field by the action of viscous forces. Harms' study was similar to Kamotani and Greber in that he recognized that the vortices were the dominant feature, but no attempt was made to calculate their strength.

Thompson (ref. 4) investigated the ground board pressure distribution and velocity field induced by unheated jets issuing into a cross flow. Thompson utilized a one inch diameter jet, in addition to elliptic
jets of comparable exit area. Velocity measurements were taken in cross section planes perpendicular to the jet centerline.

Thompson attempted to infer the strength and location of the vortices induced by a circular jet for effective velocity ratiosof 2, 4 and 8 . The vortex centers were located from the distribution of the sidewash component $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)$, of the velocity in cross section planes approximately perpendicular to the vortex curve. The $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$ distributions were found by taking velocity measurements in traverses parallel to the $Z_{v}$ and $Y_{v}$ axes in the cross section plane. Figure $4 a$ is a sketch illustrating typical $V_{v}$ distributions in the cross section planes. The $\dot{V}_{v}$ component changed sign.as the line joining the vortex centers was traversed in a $Z_{v}$ direction. The vortex separation was determined by locating the peaks in the $v_{v}$ distribution as the individual vortices were traversed in a $Y_{v}$ direction. Thompson assumed that the vortex properties changed slowly enough with $X_{v}$ that the vortices could be treated as though they were two-dimensional. The velocity induced by a single, two-dimensional vortex filament was equal to $\Gamma / 2 \pi r$, where $\Gamma$ was the vortex strength and $r$ was the radial distance from the vortex center. Thompson measured the $V_{v}$ component of velocity in a traverse parallel to the $Z_{v}$ axis in the cross section and passing through the vortex center. Figure $4 b$ is a sketch of typical velocity distributions determined by this procedure. Plots of $2 \pi \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{v}$ versus $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{v}}$ were made assuming that the curve should asymptote to the value of the vortex strength once a distance had been traversed that was sufficient to account for all the vorticity. Thompson encountered some difficulty with his asymptotic method for calculating the vortex strength. The author found that the entire vorticity field had not been covered inside
the traversed area since the vortices were more diffuse than he expected. The author recognized this fact and stated that the given values for the vortex strengths were low for effective velocity ratios of 6 and 8. Thompson's method assumed the vortices were discrete and the velocity field fell off as $1 / r$, as in the single vortex filament. Since the vortices were diffuse, there was interaction between them which would also keep the asymptotic method from approaching the true value of the vortex strength.

Fearn and Weston (ref. 1) conducted a study of the velocity field associated with a jet in a cross flow. The purpose of their study was to relate the velocity field, in cross sections perpendicular to the vortex curve, to the vortex properties through simple analytic models. A four inch diameter, unheated, circular jet directed normally through a 4 ft . by 9 ft . ground board was employed in the experiment. Velocity measurements were taken for effective velocity ratios from 3 to 10 and for a range of downstream distances of 2 to 45 jet diameters. The measurements were taken with a rake of seven yaw-pitch probes that was traversed in cross section planes.

The authors presented two models for the contrarotating vortex pair associated with a jet in a cross flow. Like Thompson, the authors assumed that the vortex properties change gradually in the $X_{v}$ direction. In both models the vortices were treated as though they were twodimensional and no attempt was made to account for an axial velocity component. The filament model approximated the vortex pair with two vortex filaments of strength $\pm \Gamma$ and located at $Y_{v}=\mp \mathrm{h}$. The diffuse model assumed a Gaussian distribution of vorticity within each of the
vortices. In both models the projection of measured velocities onto cross section planes were used to infer the location and strength of the vortices at that cross section.

The filament model used measured velocities along the $Z_{V}$ axis to determine the vortex properties. It was felt that the large upwash velocities $\left(W_{v}\right)$, that occur along the $Z_{v}$ axis would give the best results in determining the vortex properties. The filament model assumed the velocity in a cross section was the result of the superposition of the free stream component of velocity in the plane of the cross section with the velocity induced by the vortex pair. Reference 1 gave the equation for the upwash velocity along the $Z_{v}$ axis as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{v}=\Gamma h /\left[\pi\left(h^{2}+Z_{v}^{2}\right)\right]-U_{\infty} \sin \phi_{v} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{v}$ is the angle between the $Z$ and the $Z_{v}$ axis. The vortex properties were determined by fitting the measured upwash velocities in a least squares sense to equation (1).

The diffuse model used a large number of measured upwash velocities in a cross section to determine the vortex properties. By fitting the measured upwash velocities in a least squares sense to the equation for the velocity predicted by the model, the strength $\Gamma_{o}$, spacing $h_{o}$, and diffusivity $B$, of the two diffuse vortices were determined. Figure 5 illustrates the coordinate system used in the development of the diffuse model. The vorticity $\omega$ was assumed to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(r, \theta)=\omega_{0}\left(e^{-\beta^{2} r_{1}^{2}}-e^{-\beta^{2} r_{2}^{2}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{0}$ is the maximum vorticity of each vortex. The velocity at any point in the cross section was assumed to be the result of the superposition of the velocity induced by the vortex distribution given in equation (2) and the component of the free stream velocity in the cross section plane.
$\vec{v}=\frac{\Gamma_{o}}{2 \pi}\left[\frac{\left(1-e^{\left.-\beta^{2} r_{1}{ }^{2}\right)}\right.}{r_{1}} \hat{e}_{\theta_{1}}-\frac{\left(1-e^{-\beta^{2} r_{2}}{ }^{2}\right)}{r_{2}} \hat{e}_{\theta_{2}}\right]-U_{\infty} \sin \phi_{v} \hat{e}_{z_{v}}$

The integrated strength $\Gamma_{o}$, of a single diffuse vortex was defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{0}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \omega_{0} e^{-\beta^{2} r^{2}} r d r d \theta \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 6 shows the measured velocity vectors projected into a cross section plane together with the velocity predicted by the diffuse model. It is seen that the diffuse model provides an adequate description of these velocities.

The authors were able to obtain several analytic relationships from the model. The effective strength $\Gamma$ of each diffuse vortex was defined to be the net flux of vorticity across the half plane of the cross section and was given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \omega(r, \theta) r d r d \theta \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The effective spacing or center of vorticity $h$, was defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\frac{1}{\Gamma} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \omega(r, \theta) Y_{v} r d r d \theta \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since diffusion and cancellation of vorticity were expected across the symmetry plane, $r^{\prime}$ may be smaller then $\Gamma_{o}$. The authors pointed out that the effective strength and spacing determined by the diffuse model was assumed to be equal to the strength and spacing determined by the filament model. Equations relating the parameters of the diffuse model were found by evaluating equations (5) and (6). The results were

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\Gamma_{0} \operatorname{erf}\left(\beta h_{o}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=h_{o} / \operatorname{erf}\left(\beta h_{o}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \operatorname{erf}\left(\beta h_{o}\right)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\beta h_{o}} e^{-t^{2}} d t$
is the error function.
The relationship between the diffusivity and the vortex core size $r_{c}$, was given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=1.121 / \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{c}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{c}$ was defined to be the distance from the vortex center to the point where the maximum tangential velocity occurs. The authors presented most of the data in non-dimensionalized graphical form. The parameters $h, h_{o}$, and $r_{c}$ were non-dimensionalized by the jet diameter. The strength of the vortices was non-dimensionalized by the quantity $2 \mathrm{DU}_{\infty}$,
i.e. $\gamma=\Gamma / 2 D U_{\infty}$ and $\gamma_{0}=\Gamma_{0} / 2 D U_{\infty}$. The quantity $2 D U_{\infty}$ was shown by Chang-Lu (ref. 7) to be equal to the roll up of the vorticity around a two-dimensional cylinder.

The results of the experiment by Fearn and Weston (ref. 1) provided several interesting implications. The authors found the vortex strength was essentially constant for each velocity ratio and could be described in a linear form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{0}=A R \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ was the effective velocity ratio. By fitting the data for each velocity ratio separately, the constant was determined to be, $A=0.72$. The fact that $\Gamma_{o}$ was related to $R$ in a linear manner suggests that $\Gamma_{o}$ was a function of the jet exit velocity and diameter. The authors presented a qualitative description of the vortex system:

The vortex pair is formed very close to the jet orifice with an initial strength that is directly proportional to the speed of the jet at the orifice and to the diameter of the jet. The vortices are deflected by the cross flow and they diffuse at a rate which is a function of the arc length along the vortex curve, but which is a weak function of effective velocity ratio. The vortices gradually weaken each other by diffusion of vorticity across the symmetry plane. (p. 1671)

To summarize, Fearn and Weston were able to predict the velocity field induced by the vortex pair to an adequate degree by the diffuse model. Equations (6) through (9) were obtained relating several of the vortex parameters.

It was later shown by Fearn (ref. 8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{BD} \alpha(\mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{D})^{-1 / 2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ was an arc length along the vortex curve and $D$ was the $j e t$ diameter. This relationship was not without physical signfficance, in that equation (11) could be related to a "kinematic or eddy" viscosity for turbulent flow.

The equation $\gamma_{0}=A R$, given by Fearn and Weston, is an important result of their diffuse model. Since the equation will be used in almost any effort to extend the diffuse model, a study is conducted as to its validity. An investigation is made into the procedure for determining the constant $A$, together with the effect that varying $\gamma_{o}$ has on the vortex properties.

The investigation is performed by varying the value of $A$ in the two-parameter, diffuse model computer program developed by Robert Weston. The program is 1isted for reference in Appendix 1. The computer program utilizes the method of differential corrections (ref. 9), to fit the measured upwash velocities in a cross section to the $Z_{v}$ component of equation (3). The computer program sets $\gamma_{o}$ equal to a constant and varies $h_{0}$ and $\beta$ for the best fit, in a least squares sense, to the measured upwash velocities. Once a fit is obtained for a cross section, the program calculates the "quality" of the fit in terms of a standard deviation $\sigma$ (ref. 10). In this study the computer program calculates the quality of the fit in terms of a percent standard deviation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{w}=\frac{\sigma}{V_{\max }} 100 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{\text {max }}$ is the maximum upwash velocity induced by the vortex pair alone. Through an iterative procedure, the program is able to search and find the value of $\gamma_{0}=A R$, which corresponds to the minimum $\sigma_{W}$ and thus the best fit to the upwash velocities.

In this study only the measured velocity data for an effective velocity ratio of 8 is used since it is the most extensively studied by Fearn and Weston. Figure 7 is a plot of $\sigma_{w}$ versus the constant $A$ in $\gamma_{0}=A R$. Table 1 contains information showing the size, location and number of velocity measurements for each cross section in Figure 7. The overall $\sigma_{w}$ curve is calculated by fitting the measured upwash velocities for all cross sections together. It is interesting to note from figure 7, that although a minimum point does exist for most of the cross sections, only a cutoff for small values of $\gamma_{0}$ is predicted by the diffuse model. The value of $\gamma_{0}$ can increase indefinitely (increase A) once a certain value of $A$ has been reached, with no significant increase in $\sigma_{w}$. To determine if this trend is a function of the number of velocity measurements in a cross section, the measured velocities from Harms' experiment (ref. 3) are input into the twoparameter, diffuse model program. Table 1 illustrates that one of the cross sections studied by Harms contains more velocity measurements than a similar cross section of Fearn and Weston. Figure 8 shows the $\sigma_{w}$ versus $A$ curve that is the result of Harms' measurements. It can be seen in figure 8 that the same large plateau exists in the $\sigma_{w}$ curve. It appears that the number of velocity measurements does not change the large plateau in the $\sigma_{w}$ curve for increasing $\gamma_{o}$.

In an effort to gain some insight into why the plateau occurs in the $\sigma_{w}$ curves, the manner in which the diffuse mode 1 describes the upwash velocities for a range of $A$ is investigated. The upwash velocities predicted by the model are examined for values of $A$ equal to $0.4,0.72$ and 5.0. The cross section at $X / D=8.3$ is examined since it is the most
extensively studied cross section for an effective velocity ratio of 8. Figure 9 is a plot of the upwash velocities $\left(W_{v}\right)$, versus $Z_{v}$ along the symmetry plane of the cross section. The upwash velocities are non-dimensionalized by the free stream velocity. Figure 9 shows that the model describes the upwash velocities adequately for values of $A$ equal to 0.72 and 5.0 . It is also seen that the model cannot describe the upwash velocities for an $A=0.4$. Figure 9 infers that a certain value of $\gamma$ is necessary to describe the upwash velocities and once this value of $\gamma$ is reached the net flux of vorticity across the half plane remains constant for increasing $Y_{0}$. This is also illustrated in figure 10 , which is a plot of $\gamma$ versus the constant $A$. For values of $A \geq 1.0$, it is seen that $\gamma$ remains essentially constant for each cross section. The plateau in the $\sigma_{w}$ curves in figures 7 and 8 can then be explained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\gamma_{0} \operatorname{erf}\left(\beta h_{0}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the knowledge of how the vortex properties vary with $Y_{0}$. Figures 10 , 11 and 12 illustrate that the properties $\gamma, h$ and $r_{c}$ remain essentially constant for $A . \geq 1.0$. Figure 13 shows the vortex spacing $h_{o}$ decreases rapidly with increasing $\gamma_{0}$. The diffuse model attempts to keep the value of $\gamma$ in equation (7) constant by shifting the various vortex parameters. For increasing $\gamma_{0}$, the term erf ( $\beta h_{0}$ ) in equation (7) must decrease to keep $\gamma$ constant. The properties $r_{c}$ or $\beta$ remain essentially constant while $h_{o}$ decreases in such a way that erf ( $\beta h_{0}$ ) decreases to keep $\gamma$ constant. For decreasing $\gamma_{o}$, the term erf ( $\beta h_{0}$ ) must increase to keep $\gamma$ constant. The parameter $r_{c}$ decreases
( $\beta$ increases), as the vortices tend toward filaments in an effort to match the upwash velocities. The vortex spacing $h_{o}$ also increases, but the term erf ( $\beta h_{0}$ ) asymptotes to a value of one and cannot increase further. The result is that for $\gamma_{0}$ below a certain value, the effective vortex strength $\gamma$ decreases (figure 10). The vortices are then too weak to describe the measured upwash velocities which causes $\sigma_{W}$ to increase.
In summary, this investigation did not find an error in the value of $A=0.72$ given by Fearn and Weston, since the overall fit for $R=8$ does have a physical minimum in this region (figure 7). This study does show that any value of $A>0.6$ will work almost as well.

## EXTENSION OF DIFFUSE MODEL

Fearn and Weston present most of the vortex properties in graphical form. It will be more convenient to an aircraft designer if the vortex properties are expressed algebraically as a function of arc length along the vortex curve for a given effective velocity ratio. This study, as stated previously, will consider only the diffuse vortex properties for an effective velocity ratio of 8 .

Fearn and Weston (ref. 1) present several equations relating the vortex properties, which are listed again for reference.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma=\gamma_{0} \operatorname{erf}\left(\beta h_{0}\right)  \tag{7}\\
& h=h_{0} / \operatorname{erf}\left(\beta h_{0}\right)  \tag{8}\\
& \gamma_{0}=A R \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, from reference 8 it is found,
$\beta D \alpha(S / D)^{-1 / 2}$

It is seen from equations (7), (8), (10) and (11), that 4 equations with 5 unknowns ( $\left.\gamma, \gamma_{0}, h, h_{o}, \beta\right)$, are available to describe the vortex properties. An additional equation is needed together with an explicit statement concerning equation (11) in order to describe the vortex properties algebraically. Before the projection of velocity field onto
a cross section plane can be reconstructed from the vortex parameters together with equation (3), a description is needed for the vortex curve. Fearn and Weston (ref, 1) present an empirical equation for the vortex curve, which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z / D=a_{v} R^{b_{v}}(X / D)^{c_{v}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{v}=0.3473, b_{v}=1.127$ and $c_{v}=0.4291$. Values of $\phi_{v}$ and $s / D$ can then be calculated for a range of $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{D}$.

In this study the two-parameter diffuse model program is used to generate the vortex properties at each cross section for an $A=0.72$. From equation (9), it is seen that a one to one correspondence occurs between $\beta$ and $r_{c}$. Since the diffusivity $\beta$ is more difficult to visualize than the core size $r_{c}$, the latter will be given in the figures. For convenience, $\beta$ will be used in determining the vortex properties and converted to $r_{c}$ through equation (9). Figure 14 is a plot of the vortex core size versus s/D. It is seen that no data is available near the jet orifice ( $s / D<5$ ), therefore, any empirical equations for $r_{c}$ or $\beta$ will be an extrapolation in this region. This will also be true for any additional equations obtained from the results of data presently available. From equation (11), the simplest possible description of $\beta$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B D=\frac{B}{(s / D)^{1 / 2}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is a constant.

The constant $B$ is determined by fitting the values of $\beta D$ predicted by the diffuse model, in a least squares sense to equation (14). It is noted that equation (14) infers that the vortices approach filaments at the jet exit. Figure 14 shows that the empirical equation gives an adequate description of the vortex core size. Since $\beta$ (or $r_{c}$ ) is a weak function of the effective velocity ratio, equation (14) will give a fairly reasonable description of $\beta$ for other effective velocity ratios as well.

To make the system of equations complete, an additional equation is required which does not introduce still another unknown. One possibility is an equation describing the vortex spacing $h_{o}$, as a function of $s / D$. By examining the values of $h_{o}$ predicted by the diffuse model, two possible options are obtained for the vortex spacing. Both options are based on assumptions for the starting positions of the vortices in the region near the jet orifice. Figure 15 shows the two options and 4 equations that this study will use to describe the vortex spacing.

Figure 15 shows that option 1 assumes the vortices start as two concurrent vortex filaments at the jet exit. Since the contrarotating vortices are concurrent at the jet exit, this model forces the vortex strength to zero at the orifice. Two equations are shown that describe the vortex spacing adequately,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h_{0}}{D}=C\left(1-e^{-\frac{-g / D}{R}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h_{o}}{D}=\frac{s / D}{C_{1}(s / D)+C_{2}} \tag{15a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C, C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are constants.
Figure 15 shows that option 2 assumes the vortices start as vortex filaments that emerge from the side of the jet orifice. Option 2 gives the possibility of a non-zero vortex strength at the jet orifice and will resemble the qualitative description of the vortices given by Fearn and Weston (ref. 1). The equations describing the vortex spacing are simple modiffcations of the two previous equations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h_{o}}{D}=C\left(1-e^{-\frac{s / D}{R}}\right)+.5 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h_{o}}{D}=\frac{s / D}{C_{1}(s / D)+C_{2}}+.5 \tag{16a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C, C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are constants.
The extended model consists of equations (7), (8), (10) and (14) together with one of the four equations for the vortex spacing. Each option of the extended model is denoted by the equation that is used to calculate $h_{o}$. The undetermined constants in the 4 options for the vortex spacing are determined by fitting the values of $\gamma$ predicted by the diffuse model, in a least squares sense, to the equation for $\gamma$ obtained from the extended model. Some question may occur over the decision to fit to $\gamma$ instead of the more straightforward method of fitting to $h_{o}$. It is felt that the vortex strength is the most important property and the best description of the strength is obtained by fitting to $\gamma$. In addition, a later chapter will show that a large amount of uncertainty exists in the values of $h_{o}$ predicted by the
diffuse model. A description is given as to how the constant is determined in one of the options. For example option (1a) will calculate the vortex strength $\gamma$, from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\operatorname{ARerf}\left[\frac{B}{(s / D)^{1 / 2}} \quad C\left(1-e^{-\frac{s / D}{R}}\right)\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The undetermined constant $C$ is calculated by fitting the values of $\gamma$ predicted by the diffuse model, in a least squares sense, to equation (17). The constants $C, C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ in the other options are found in a similar manner.

Figure 16 is a summary of the extended models for an $A=0.72$. The figure illustrates all the equations for each option together with values for all the constants. Figures 17 through 19 illustrate how well the different options describe the vortex properties for an $\mathrm{A}=0.72$. Figure 17 shows the vortex spacing $h_{o}$, together with the empirical results from the four options of the extended model. It is seen in figure 17 that there is little difference in options (la) and (1b). Similarly there is little difference in options (2a) and (2b). It is interesting to note, that for $s / D$ greater than 10 , it makes little difference whether the vortices start at the origin (options (1a) and (1b)) or emerge from the side of the jet exit (options (2a) and (2b)). Figure 18 shows that the vortex strength $\gamma$, is independent of initial vortex position for s/D greater than 10. It is also seen in figure 18 that $\gamma$ does depend quite drastically on the initial vortex position for $s / D$ less than 5 . No conclusion can be reached on the value of $\gamma$ near the jet orifice until more data on the vortex strength and spacing
is obtained in this region. Figure 18 also shows the standard deviation $\sigma$ of each option in fitting to $\gamma$. Figure 19 shows that the effective vortex spacing $h$, is independent of initial vortex position for $s / D$ greater than 4.

To illustrate how the model is used to calculate the vortex properties, a sample calculation will be made with the use of option (1a). For a cross section located at $X / D=15.23$, a value of $\phi_{V}=\tan ^{-1}$ $[d(Z / D) / d(X / D)]$ can be calculated from equation (13). By a numerical integration of equation (13), a corresponding value of s/D can be obtained. In this manner the values of $\phi_{v}=18^{\circ}$ and $s / D=20.5$ are obtained. For an s/D of 20.5 , the vortex properties are calculated as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{0}=(.72) 8=5.76 \\
& h_{o} / D=2.04\left(1-e^{-\frac{20.5}{8}}\right)=1.88 \\
& \beta D=2.11 /(20.5)^{1 / 2}=0.466 \\
& \gamma=\gamma_{0} \operatorname{erf}\left(\beta h_{0}\right)=4.537 \\
& h / D=\left(h_{o} / D\right) / \operatorname{erf}\left(\beta h_{0}\right)=2.396 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The projection of the velocity fleld onto this cross section plane can then be calculated at any point throughout the cross section from equation (3).

In summary, several options are obtained to extend the diffuse model given by Fearn and Weston. With the use of these options, the vortex properties and the projection of the velocity field onto any cross section plane can be calculated. It is noted however, the
options are extrapolations of available data in the region of the jet orifice. For $s / D>10$, the vortex properties are adequately described by any one of the four options.

## UNCERTAINTY IN EXTENDED MODEL

Since the extended models are the result of curve fitting to the diffuse vortex properties, some insight into the uncertainty of the diffuse vortex properties is necessary. The criteria that are used to set the limits on the uncertainty of the diffuse vortex properties are established with the use of figure 7. As stated previously, the lower cutoff on $\gamma_{0}$ is distinct. For each cross section in figure 7 the lower or percentage cutoff is defined to be the value of the constant $A$ that corresponds to twice the value of $\sigma_{W}$ at the minimum of the cross section. The plateau on the $\sigma_{w}$ curves raises some question as to a proper cutoff limit for large values of A. Since the constant A can increase indefinitely without a large increase in the value of $\sigma_{W}$, the value of $A=2.0$ is arbitrarily chosen. This value of $A$ is sufficiently far out on the plateau that the vortex properties (with the exception of $h_{o}$ ) are not changing rapidly with $A$. With this criteria established, figures 10 through 13 are used to determine the diffuse vortex properties at the percentage (lower) and plateau (upper) cutoffs. It should be noted that the percentage cutoff will vary from cross section to cross section but the plateau cutoff remains at $\mathrm{A}=2.0$.

Figure 20 is a plot of the core size from the diffuse model for a constant $A=0.72$. The uncertainty bars are shown for each cross section. The bars marked with the double tick mark are the result of the percentage cutoff for each cross section. The bars marked with a
single tick mark are the result of the plateau cutoff. It is necessary to determine if the empirical equation for $r_{c}$, equation (14) together with equation (9), will still describe the vortex properties throughout the region of uncertainty. Equation (14) is fit in least squares sense to the values of $\beta D$ predicted by the diffuse model for constants of $A$ equal to 0.48 and 2.0 . These two values of the constant A correspond to the percentage and plateau cutoff as determined from the overall fit for all cross sections in figure 7. These two values of A will then be used to set uncertainty limits on the extended model. Figure 20 shows that the empirical equations will describe the vortex core size adequately in the region of uncertainty.

In the remaining portion of this study, options (1a) and (2a) are used because of their relative simplicity. The diffuse vortex properties shown in figures 21 through 26 are determined from the diffuse model for a constant $A=0.72$. Figures 21 through 23 show the uncertainty in the diffuse vortex properties together with the empirical descriptions given by option (la). Figure 21 illustrates that there is a large uncertainty in the vortex spacing $h_{o}$ given by the diffuse model. It is also seen in figure 21 that option (1a) still gives an adequate description of the vortex spacing in the region of uncertainty. Figure 22 shows the uncertainty in the effective vortex strength $\gamma$, from the diffuse model together with the filament model results (ref. 1). Extended model (1a) is seen to describe the vortex strength adequately and the standard deviation $\sigma$, in fitting to $\gamma$ is given for each curve. Figure 23 shows that there is very little uncertainty in the effective vortex spacing given by the diffuse model. For all but one cross section,
the uncertainty bars remained within the symbol width. There is a discrepancy in that some of the filament model results lie outside of the uncertainty limits of the diffuse vortex properties and the extended model curves. It is believed that at these points an insufficient number of velocity measurements were taken for the filament model to adequately describe the upwash velocity distribution. This will cause the filament model to give unreliable values for the vortex properties.

Figures 24 through 26 are similar to figures 21 through 23 except the empirical curves are determined by option (2a). It is seen in figure 25 that option (2a) encounters difficulty in describing the vortex strength $\gamma$, for a constant of $A=2.0$.

In summary, the extended mode1 will give adequate descriptions throughout the range of uncertainty in the diffuse vortex properties. The one exception is that option (2a) cannot describe the vortex strength for a value of $A=2.0$.

An investigation is conducted into the diffuse vortex model given by Fearn and Weston (ref. 1). The equation, $\gamma_{0}=A R$, presented by the authors, is examined in detail as to the procedure for determining the constant $A$. As in reference 1 , the value of $A=0.72$ is found to give the best description of the upwash velocities in a cross section for an effective velocity ratio of 8 . However, this study has also shown that, in a practical sense, any value of $A$ greater than 0.6 will work almost as well.

The diffuse vortex properties presented by Fearn and Weston, are extended from a graphical to an algebraic description of the vortex properties for an effective velocity ratio of 8 . The extended model consists of the analytic equations given in reference 1 , together with empirical equations given in this paper. With the use of the extended model, the vortex properties together with the projection of the velocity field in a cross section plane can be calculated for any cross section in the flow. However, in the region near the jet orifice, the extended mode1 represents an extrapolation of available data. The extended model gives an adequate description of the vortex properties for $s / D>10$. The uncertainty in the results of the extended model is also investigated.


Figure 1. Sketch of VTOL Aircraft Transitioning from Hovering to Forward Flight


Figure 2. Sketch of Jet Wake Region with
Vortex Centers


Figure 3: Sketch of Jet Centerline and Vortex Curve with Coordinate Systems

(a) Typical $V_{v}$ Distributions Used to Determine Vortex Locations

(b) Typical $V_{v}$ Distribution Used to Determine Vortex Strength

Figure 4. Typical $V_{V}$ Distributions in the $Z_{v}-Y_{v}$ Plane


Figure 5. Geometry of Vortex Model


Figure 6. Comparison of Measured and Calculated Velocity Fields for Cross Section at $X / D=8.3$ and $R=8$


Figure 7. Standard Deviation versus $Y_{0}, R=8$


Figure 8. Standard Deviation versus $\gamma_{0}, R=8$


Figure 9. Upwash Velocities along Symmetry Plane $\left(\mathrm{R}=8, \mathrm{X} / \mathrm{D}=8, \mathrm{U}_{\infty}=127 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}\right)$


Figure 10. Variation of Vortex Strength with $\gamma_{0}, R=8$


Figure 11. Variation of Effective Vortex
Spacing with $\gamma_{o}, R=8$


Figure 12. Variation of Vortex Core Size with $\gamma_{0}, R=8$


Figure 13. Variation of Vortex Spacing with $\gamma_{0}, R=8$


Figure 14. Vortex Core Size, $R=8$


Figure 15. Options for Vortex Spacing

## EXTENDED VORTEX MODEL

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{0}=A R \text { where } A=0.72 \\
& B D=B /(s / D)^{-1 / 2} \text { where } B=2.11 \quad\left(r_{C}=1.121 / B\right)
\end{aligned}
$$


$\gamma=\gamma_{0} \operatorname{erf}\left(\beta h_{o}\right)$
$h=h_{o} / \operatorname{erf}\left(\beta h_{o}\right)$

Figure 16. Sunmary of extended mode1, $A=0.72$


Figure 17. Vortex Spacing, $R=8$


Figure 18. Effective Vortex Strength, $R=8$


Figure 19. Effective Vortex Spacing, $\mathrm{R}=8$


Figure 20. Uncertainty in Vortex Core Size,
Equation (14), $\mathrm{R}=8$


Figure 21. Uncertainty in Vortex Spacing, Option (1a), R $=8$


Figure 22. Uncertainty in Effective Vortex
Strength, Option (la), $\mathrm{R}=8$


Figure 23. Uncertainty in Effective Vortex
Spacing, Option (1a), $R=8$


Figure 24. Uncertainty in Vortex Spacing,
Option (2a), R = 8


Figure 25. Uncertainty in Effective Vortex
Strength, Option (2a), R $=8$


Figure 26. Uncertainty in Effective Vortex
Spacing; Option (2a), $R=8$

TABLE I
SUMMARY OF CROSS SECTION DATA ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{R}=8$

${ }^{a}$ Fearn and Weston (ref. 1)
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Harms (ref. 3)

## APPENDIX I

TWO-PARAMETER DIFFUSE MODEL COMPUTER PROGRAM

```
C THIS PREGRAN FITS a gaUSSIAN-DISTRIBLTED VORTEX PAIR TC THE CROSS-SECTICN
C VELCCITY FIELD FER A JET IN A CROSSFLOh
C ALL VELCCITIES ARE IN FT./SEC.
C A cenctes tre Circulaticn in ft**2/sec anc gamma denotes the dimensicnless
C
C
C
C C
C
    H. [ENCTES THE HALF SPACING IN JET DIAMETERS, H=C/D. LET BETA DENCTE THE
        DIMENSIONLESS DIFFUSION CONSTANT, BETA=B*C.
        CINEASICN RMSG(6),RMST(6),P(6),S(3),MBC(5)
        COMNCN IYZCOD,IYZ,NR,NC,A,B,C,ZO,VINF,VSINA,VY(21,12),VZ121,121,
        1Y(3,12),Z(21),RNS,NCRPF,IPRNT,NPTS,IRMS,RNSVZO(2,5),IPRTM,NRAK
        CONNCN/ONE/MK,NCATA,IRUN(4),R,AMJET,X4,24,SD,ANGVRT,VRANG
        REAL#8 P,S,D
        DC 2 [=1,6
    2 RMST(I)=0.0
        CTR=1.745329E-2
        REAC 1,CENST,GPER,IPRTM,IRMS
    1 FCRMAT (14X,F8.3,17X,F8.6,7X,11,6X,11)
    IF IPRTN=0, NO NATRICES PRINT CUT. IF IPRTM=1, ALL MATRICES PRINT OUT.
IF IPRTN=2 , VORIICIIY MATRIX DCESNT PRINT CUT, BUT CTHERS DC.
C IF IRNS=C, CVERALL FIT IS IN PER CENT IF IRMS=1 QVERALE FIT IS IN FT/SEC
        GPER =.01*GPER
        CC 10 I= 1,6
    10 RMSG{I)=C.0
        NG=0
        AEC=0
20 N=0
        VINF=C.
        ANJET=0.
C CCNSULT FORNAT NC. 50 FGR CESCRIPTICN CF VARIABLES IN FOLLOMING STATENENT
    REAC(5,30,ENC=9SS9) ANGVRT,ZC,NRAK,NDRPF,NORPL,NC,GAMMA,BETA,H,NZ,
```

C

```
        120C
C NZ IS THE NUMBER CF THE RAKE CCNTAINING THE VORTEX CENTER.
C ZOC=STEF CHANGE IN Z USEC IN ZO PERTURBATICN ANALYSIS
30 FORMAT:10X,F4.1,8X,F5.2,5X,I1,12X,I1,17X,I1/I2,37X,3(F5.2,6X),11%
    1F7.2)
C Al AND Cl USED IN REFERENCING RAKE POSITICNS IN THE CRESS-SECTICN
40 Al= TAN(ANCVRT*DTR)
        Cl=SGRT(1.+A1*A1)
C NR=NC. CF RCWS OF [ATA
        AR=7*NRAK-NCRPF-NDRPL
        IZ=7
        IF(NZ.EQ.NRAK) IZ=7-NDRPL
        WRITE(6,50) ANGVRT,ZO,IZ,NZ,ZOC,NRAK,NDRPF,NDRPL,NC,GAMMA,BETA,F
    50 FORNAT('IINPUF CATAC VCRTEX ANGLE =',F5.1,' DEG',7X,'ZO =',F6.2.
        1'IN. FRCM PRCBE',12,' CF RAKE',I2;7X,'DELTA ZO =',F5.2/
    1 15X,I1,' RAKES OF INPUT ',12,' PROBES FROM FIRST RAKE ARE D
    2ELETED'/33X,11,' PROEES FRON LAST RAKE ARE DELETED'/15X,I2% * YAN
    3PCSITIENS'//' INITIAL ESTIMATESO GAMMA =',F5.2/ 21X, 'BETA =*,
    4F5.2/ 21X* 'H = ',F5.2%
        R=0.
C DC LCCP 110 READS IN VELOCITY FIELD DATA AND RAKE POSITIONSG AND CCNVERTS
C THESE TC THE CRCSS-SECTICN CCORDINATE SYSTEM
    CC 110 I=1,NRAK
    REAC(5,60) IRUN(I),ANGRAK,Q,RHO,X4, 24,A2, (Y(I,J),J=1,NC)
60 FCRNAT(3X,I 3, 11X,F4.1, 3X,FG.3,5X,F8.6,2(4X,F5,2),3X,F5,2/12F6.2)
    R=R+\Delta2
    IF(I.EG.1) C1=24-A1*)4
    C4=(A1*)4-Z4+Cl)/D L
    VINF=VINF+SQRT(2.*Q/RFO)
    CA=(ANGRAK-ANGVRT)*CTR
    SINDA=SIN(DA)
    CCS[A=COS(DA)
    LI=1
    L=N+1
```

$N=\mathrm{L}$
IF(I.EG. 1 ) LI=1+NCRPF
IF(I.EG.1) $L=L 1$
$N=N+7$
IF(I.EG.NRAK) N=N-NCRPL
IF(I.NE.NZ) GC TC 70
$X D=x 4 / 4$.
Z $\mathrm{C}=24 / 4$.
RAKELC=ZC
VRCCS=COSDA
VRANG=ANGRAK*DTR
$\mathrm{IZ}=\mathrm{N}$
$20=20 * \cos D A$
$\mathrm{ZOC}=\mathrm{ZOC}$ \#COSDA/12.
70 CC 9C $\mathrm{K}=1$, NC
REAC(5,80) (VY(J,K), VZ(J,K),Z(J),J=N,N)
80 FORNAT(11F7.2/1CF7.2)
IF(I.EG.NRAK. AND. NCRPL.GT.3) READ $(5,80)$ DA
LC $90 \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{N}$
$V Y(J-L 1+1, K)=V Y(J, K)$
$90 \quad \mathrm{VZ}(J-L l+1, K)=Z(J) \neq \operatorname{SiNCA}+V Z(J, K) * \operatorname{CESCA}$
CC $1 C C \quad J=L, N$
$1002(J-11+1)=04+(J-L+L 1) * 2 . * \operatorname{Cosc} A$
110 IF(I.EG.1) $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NCRPF}$
$R=R / A R A K$
GANMA $=$ CONST\#R
IF(NZ.EQ.1) IZ=IZ-NCRPF
VINF = VINF/NRAK
C COMPUTE NOMINAL JET MACF NUNBER USING ESTIMATE OF PINF 12130. pSFA).
ANJET $=$ R*S6RT(6/1C.7*213C.1)
NCNR $=1 N T(R+0.5)$
CALL GNAJ(NOMR,A1,A2,A3)
$N K=0$
$\operatorname{IF}(\triangle E S(Q-A 3), L T .2) \quad N K=$.

```
        IF(AES(Q-A2).LT.2.) MK=2
        IF(ABS(Q-A1).LT.2.) MK=1
        VSINA=VINF*SIN(ANGVRT*DTR)
        CC 120 J=1,NR
    120 Z(J)=Z(NR)-Z(J)
        Z0=Z(IZ)+ZO
        REFZO=20
C cetermine inltial estinates
            A=2.*VINF*GANMA/3.
            C=H/3.
            E=BETA/C
            BSAV=B
            CSAV=C
            WRITE(6,1.30) A,E,C,VINF,R,(IRUN(I),I=1,NRAK)
    130 FORMAT(/14H A(FT**2/SEC)=,FIC.2,' B(l/FT)=',F10.4,' C(FTI*',F10.3/
        1/' AVG VINF =',FG.1/' AVG R =',F6.2/' RLNS READ INO.,444)
            FRINT 135,AMJET,NK
    135 FCRMAT(/' NOMINAL MACF NC. =',F5.3,1OX,'G GONDITICN =4,123
        Al=VRANG/DTR
        PRINT 14C,NZ,XC,ZC,A1
    140 FORNATI/' PRCBE 4 OF RAKE',I2,' AT X/0 =',F6.2,', Z/0 ='6F6.2%2x.
        1: RAKE ANGLE =',F5.1,' CEG')
        CALL AUXIL $$$$$$$
C SET Z SPACING OF 5 VORTEX CENTER CASES. FCR PERTURBATICN ANALYSIS.
            RMSVZC{2,1)=0.
            RNSVZO(2,2)=-24.*ZOC
            RMSVZO(2,3)=-20C*12.
            RNSVZO(2,4)=20C*12.
            RNSV2C(2,5)=24.*2OC
            ZOSAVZ=ZO
            RMS =0.
            NG=-1
C me is tre index cf the gammao and velocity component being cendectee.
            IGF=0
```

```
            LC 720 KG=1,3
C DC ANALYSIS FOR 3 SETTINGS CF GAMMAO
            IF(KG.EQ.2) A=(1.tGPER)*A
```



```
            ZOSAV=ZOSAVZ
C DC ANALYSIS FOR FIT TO Z VELCCITY COMPONENT.
            JLZ=1
C IF LAST TIME BOMBEL CUT. RESET INITIAL GUESSES. OTHERMISE, START WITH
C RESULTS CF PREVICUS TINE.
            IFIRNS.EG.O.) GC TO 340
            BS\triangleVZ=B
            CSAVZ=C
            GC TE }35
    340 ESAVZ=BSAV
                                    CSAVZ=CSAV
    350 20=2CSAV
            IYZ=3-JLZ
            IYZCOD=IYZ
C IYZCOCE=1 FCR VY CALCULATICN =2 FOR VZ CALCULATIOA
                            NIT=0
C NIT IS NUMBER OF RE-SHIFTS CF ZC. LIMITED TC 9.
            IF(KG.EQ.1) WRITE(6,360)
    360 FORNAT(1F1)
    370 NIT=NIT+1
    ZOP=2C$12.
    IFIKG&EQ.1) FRINT 38O,NIT,ZOP
    380 FORNATM/' ITER =',12,', ZO =',FE.21
    IPRAT=0
    IRO=C
    IF(NIT.EG.9) GC TO 540
C DC LECP 430 PERFORNS FIT FER 5 }20\mathrm{ CASES
    CC 430 LZ=1,5
    IF(LZ.EQ.1) EC TC 390
    IF(LZ.EQ.2) 20=20-2.#2OC
```

IF (LZ.EQ.3) $\quad 20=Z C+Z O C$
IF (LZ.EQ.4) $20=20+2 . * 20 C$
IF(L2.EQ.5) $\quad Z O=Z C+Z O C$
390 IF (JLZ.EG.I ANC: KE.NE.1) GC TG 400
IF (RMS.EG.O.) GC TO 410
ESAV=E
$C S A V=C$
GC TC 420
400
ESAV $=$ ESAV2
$C S A V=C S A V Z$
410
EFESAV
$C=C S A V$
420 CALL DIFCOR
C Difcor calculates least square fit to the velocities
RMSV2O(1,LZ) $=$ RMS
IF (RNS.EG.O.) IRC=IROtI
C IRC IS THE NUMBER CF BOME-CUTS OF IHE 5 ATTENPTS. 430 CONTINUE
$20=20-2 . * 20 C$
IFIIRO.GT.2) GC TO 470
IF (KG.EQ. 1$)$ PRINT $440,(($ RMSVZO $(1, J), I=1,2), \mathrm{J}=1,5)$
440 FORNAT(' RMS =',F8.4, $\triangle$ T VZO =, F6. 21
$F(1)=0.0$
$P(2)=0.0$
$P(3)=0.0$
$P(4)=0.0$
$F(5)=0.0$
$S(1)=0.0$
$S(2)=0.0$
$S(3)=0.0$
C PERFCRN SUMMATICNS FER PARDEOLIC FIT TC RMS VERSUS 20
CC $460 . I=1,5$
IF(RNSVZC(1.I).EG.C.) GC TO 460
$\Delta 1=1$.

```
        CC 450 JF1,5
        P(J)=P(J)+A1
        IF(J.GT.3I GC TC 450
        S(J)=S(J)+Al*RMSVZO(1,I)
    450 A1=A1#RMSVZO(2,1)
    460 CCNTINUE
        CALL NINV3(P,C)
        IFIC.NE.O.1 GC TC 5t0
    40 PRINT 480,((RMSVZO(I,J),I=1,2),J=1,5)
    480 FCRMATI/' NO PARABCLIC SCLUTICN TO RMS VERSUS ZC.//' INPUT WASO./
        1(' RNS =',F8.4,' AT VZO =',F6.2))
        IF(NIT.EG.9) GO TO 53C
        IF(IRC.NE.5) GO TO 5IC
        IPRNT=3
C IF ALL 5 ATTEMPTS FAILED, LCOP 500 SEARCHES FOR A SOLUTION bY VARYING ZO UP
C AND DCWN.
    [C 5CC J=1.6
        IF(J.EQ.1) ZC=2C-3.*ZOC
        IF(J.EG.2) 20=2C-20C
        IF(J.EQ.3) ZC=2C-ZOC
        IF(J.EQ.4) 2C=2C+8.*2CC
        IF(J.GE.5) 2O=2C+20C
        E=BSAV
        C=CSAV
        CALL CIFCGR
        ZOP=20*12.
        PRINT 49C,RMS.ZCP
    490 FORNAT(' RMS=1,F&.4," AT 20=',F6.2)
    IF(RNS.NE.O.) GC TO 370
    500 CCNTINUE
    CC TC 53C
C520 AN AITEMPT THAT WCRKED IS USEG AS THE NEW CENTER CF THE 2O SPREAD.
510 [0 52C J=2,5
    IF(RMSVZC(1,J).EG:O.) GC TG 52O
```

```
    IF(J.EG.2) 2O=ZC-2.*ZOC
    IF(J.EQ.3) 2C=2C-20C
    IF(J.EQ.4) 2O=ZC+20C
    IF(J.EQ.5) ZC=ZC+2.*2CC
    GC IC 370
    520 continue
    530 MG=MG+2
    IF(JLZ.EG.1) IGF=1
    GC TC 720
    540 PRINT 550
550 FORMATI/: ITERATICN LIMIT EXCEEDED IN FIITING RMS VERSUS 20.9
GC TC 470
C COMPUTE NEW ZO (AT MINIMUM CF the lSQ parabclic fit)
    560 C20=(S(1)*P(2)+S(2)*P(4)+S(3)*P(5))/(24.*(S(1)*P(3)*S(2)*P(5)+
        1S(3)*P(6))|
            A1=5.*2OC
            IF(ABS(DZO).GT.AL) CZO=SIGN(AI,CZO)
            ZO=ZO-DZC
C metrol is iteratec until ite zc versus rms clrve has its minimum within the
C zo range.
            IF(ABS(DZO).GT.(1.2#ZOC)) GC TO 370
            ZOP=20#12.
            PRINT 570.Z0P
    570 FORNATI/' FINAL VALUE FCR LO =',F7.21
            Al=.25*(RAKEZO+(ZOP-REFZC)/VRCOS-6.)
            X4=X[-A1*SIN(VRANG)
            24=20+\Delta1*COS(VRANG)
            \Delta1=24-.347308*R**1.126536*)4**.429137
            SD=ARCLNG(X4,R) +A1*SIN(ANGVRT*OTR)
            PRINT 580,X4,24,SD
580 FORMAII/' VORTEX CENTER AT X/L =',FE.2,', Z/D =',F6.2.10X.'ARC LEN
            LGTF (S/O) = , FE.2)
            IPRNT=1
C NCW PERFCRM leAST SGUARES fit at the minimum rms location.
```

```
    590 CALL DIFCOR
    IF (KG.NE.1) GE TO 710
    IF(IFRTM.EQ.O) EC TC 71C
    CALL PRTNAT
```

```
    710 NG=NG+2
C PERFORN SUNMATICNS FOR CVERALL RMS COMPUTATICNS FOR ALL CASES AT FHE SINGLE
C:VELCCITY RATIO EEING CCNSILEREL.
            RNST(MG)=RMS*RMS*NLATA
            IF(JLZ.EG.2) GC TC 720
            ZOSAVZ=ZC
            IF(RNS.EG.O.) ZCSAVZ=ZCSAV
    720 CCNTINUE
                            IF(IGF.NE.1) GC TO }73
C IGF=1 IF CNE OF THE GAMNAC CASES BOMBED GUT IN FITTING Z GCNPONENT.
C THIS RUN IS THEN NCT INClULED IN THE CVERALL RNS CALCULATICNE
            ABC=NEC+1
            NBC(NBC)=IRUN(1)
            GO TC 20
    730 CC 74C I= 1,6
    740 RMSG(I)=RNSG(I)+RMST(I)
            NG=NE+NDATA
            GC TC 20
    9959 CO ICCOO I=1,6
1COCO RMSG(I)=SGRT(RMSG(I)/NG)
            Al=(1.+GPER)*CCNST
            A2=(1.-GPER)*CONST
            PRINT 10C10,RMSE(1),RMSG(2), CONST, RMSG(3),RMSG(4),A1,RMSG(5),
            1RMSG(6),A2
1CO10 FCRNAT(///1X,28(1H*)/29F *RMS VALUES FOR CVERALL FIT*/1X,28(1H*)//
            1:Z RNS =',F8.4,',Y RNS =',F8.4,' FCR CENTRAL CCNST =, F6.3/
            2: 2 RNS =',F8.4,',Y RMS = ',F8.4.' FCR HICH CONST = ',F6.3%
    3. Z RMS =*,F8.4,%,YRMS = , F8.4,% FCR LCh CONST = ,F6.3)
    AI=CPER*CCNST
    \DeltaZ=(RNSG(3)-RMSC(5))/(2.*A1)
```

```
        A3=(RNSG(3)+RMSG(5)-2.*RNSG(1))/(2.*A1*A1)
        A1=-0.5*A2/A3
        e=CCNST+A1
        A=RMSG(1)+A1*{AZ+A3*A1)
        IFIIRNS.EG.O) PRINT 1CO2C,A,E,NG
    10020 FORNATI/' MINIMLN RNS =',F8.4,' PER CENT AT CONST =',F6.3//I5,' PC
        LINTS CF [ATA:)
        IF(IRMS.EQ.1) PRINT 10021,A,E,NG
1CO21 FORNATI/' MININLN RMS = ',F8.4,' FT/SEC AT CONST =',F6.3/145.4 PCIN
        lTS [F [ATA')
        IF(AEC.EG.O) STCP
        PRINT 10030,(MBC(I),I=1,NBC)
lCO30 FORNAT(/' RUNS NCT USECC',5(14,', ')
        STCP
        ENC
        SUBRCUTINE DIFCCR
C this slercutine perforns least square fitting using the cifferential
C CCRRECTICN NETHCC fCR A GALSSIAN-DISTRIBUTED VORTEX PAIR.
C TWC PARANETER FITC GAMNA IS HELC CONSTANT E AND C ARE VARIED FCR BEST FIT.
    COMMON IYZCOC,IYZ,NR,NC,A,B,C,ZO,VINF,VSINA,VY(21,12),VZ(21,12),
        IY(3,12),Z(21),RNS,NCRPF,IPRNT,NPTS,IRMS,RMSVZO(2,5),IPRTMINRAK
        CONNCN/ONE/MK,NCATA,IRUN(4),R,AMJET,X4,Z4,SC,ANGVRT,VRANG
        CCNNCN/DVD/FIE,FIC
        [ATA ERRCR/4.OE-4/
C ERRCR = aCCEPTABLE ERRCR FCR VARIABLE CCEFFICIENTS.
        IFIIPRNT.EQ.2) GC TC ICC
        ITER=C
        IYZCCD=IYZ
C TwG variable coefficients ( b,C )
C PERFGRN SUMNATICNS FOR CCEFFICIENTS IN NORMAL EQUATICNS
    10 SFB2=0.0
        SFC2=C.0
        SFEC=0.0
```

SFER=0.0
SFCR $=0.0$
SRI $2=0.0$
APTS $=0$
CC $20 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{NR}$
$K=(I+N C R P F+6) / 7$
CO $2 \mathrm{C} \mathrm{J}=1, \mathrm{NC}$
IF(VY(I,J).GT.5CO.) EC TC 2 C
IF(IYZ.EG.1) VZY=VY(I,J)
IF(IYZ.EG.2) $\quad V Z Y=V Z(I, J)+V S I N A$
RI $=F(Y(K, J), Z(I))-V Z Y$
FIA $=C F(Y(K, J), Z(I))$
SRI2=(SRI24RI*RI)
SFE2=SFB2 + FIB\#FIB
SFC2=SFC2 + FIC\#FIC
$S F B C=S F B C+F I E F F I C$
SFER=SFBR - FIE*RI
$S F C R=S F G R-F I C * R I$
NPTS = NPTS +1
20 continue
RMS $=$ SGRT(SRI2/NPTS)
C SCLVE NGRMAL EQUATICNS fGR the CORRECTICNS TC THE ESTIMATES
[ = SFE2*SFC2 - SFBC*SFBC
IF(C.EQ.C.) CO TC SSG
E1=(SFBR*SFC2 - SFCR*SFEC)/L
$\mathrm{Cl}=(\mathrm{SFB} 2 * S F C R-S F B C * S F E R) / C$
IFIITER.GT. 201 EC TC 40
IF(ITER.GT.10) EC TC 70
IF(AES(B1/B).GT..05) GC TC 30
IF(AES(CI/C).LT..OS) GC TC 7C
C damp the correcticns
30 IF (ITER.GT.5) GC TO 50
$F R \Delta C=(1 T E R+3) / 2 C$.
GC.TC 60

40
$E R A C=0.5$
GO TC 60
50 FRAC=(ITER-1)/10.
$60 \mathrm{El}=\mathrm{FRAC} * \mathrm{El}$
C $1=$ FRAC*C 1
C UPCATE ESTIMATES ANE TEST FCR CCNVERGENCE
70 ITER=ITER\$1
$\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{E} \mathrm{I}$
$C=C+C 1$
IF (IPRNT,EQ.3) PRINT 80, ITER,RMS, $A, 8, C$
 1,F8.4)
IF(ITER.GT.30) EC TC S9G
$I F(B . L T . C) E=$.
IF ( $\triangle E S(B 1 / B)$. GT. ERRCR.OR. $A B S(C I / C) . G T . E R R C R) G O T O 10$
SRI2=0.
C CCNPUTE STANDARE DEVIATICNS.
CO $90 \mathrm{I}=1$, NR
K=(I + NCRFF+6)/7
CO $90 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{NC}$
IF(VY(I,J).GT.5CC.) GO TC SC
$\operatorname{IF}(I Y Z . E G .1) \quad V Z Y=V Y(I, J)$
IF(IYZ.EG.2) VZY=VZ(I,J)+VSINA
$R I=F(Y(K, J), Z(I))-V Z Y$
SRI2=(SRI2+RI*RI)
90 CONTINUE
RNS = SGRT (SRI $2 / N F T S$ )
IF(IRMS.EQ.1) GC TO 55
IF (IPRNT.EQ.1) EC TC 1CC
C CALCULATE PERCENT RMS ERROR
RMS $=314.15926$ *RMS*C/(A*(1.-EXP(-(B*C)**2)))
95 IF(IPRNT.NE. 1 ) RETURA
100 GAMNAC=1.5*A/VINF
BBAR $=B$ \# $C$

## Ho $=3 . * C$

ERFE=ERF(EBAR)
GEFF=GAMMAO*ERFE
HEFF $=$ F / ERF $B$
VMAXUP $=2 . * V I N F * G A M M A C *(1 .-E X P(-B B A R * * 2)) /(H D * 3.141593)$-VSINA
IFIIPRNT.EQ.21 EC TO 14 C
$E 1=100 . * R M S /(V M A X U P+V S I N A)$

$A 1=\mathrm{ERAR/HD} \quad$ os
$\mathrm{el}=. \mathrm{Cl}$ \# E 1
Cl=VRANG\#57.29578
PUNCH 105,MK, NCATA,IRUN(1),R,AMJET, X4,24,HEFF,GEFF,B1,SDGANGVRT,ClG \$
1.VINF,GANMAO,HD.A1

105 FORNATII 1,1 HO, I3, 14,F5.2,F5.3.2F5.2,2F5.3,F5.4,2F5.2,F4.1,F5.1
1,F5.2,F5.3,FE.4)

WRITE(6,110) ITER,A1,E1,C1,A,B,C,RMS,E1
110 FCRMATI//'FINAL RESULTSC ITER=1,I3,', A1, B1,C1 = $1,3 F 9.6 / 1$

2. $\mathrm{FT} / \mathrm{SEC}=1, \mathrm{FG} \cdot 2,9 \mathrm{H}$ PER (ENT)

IF(IRNS.EG.O) RNS=E1
C SWITCH YZCCDE FGR alternate rns calculaticn
IYZCCE $=3-$ IYZ
SRI2=0.
CC 12C I=1,NR
$K=(I+A C R P F+6) / 7$
[C $120 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{NC}$
1F(VY(I,J).GT.500.) GO TC 12 C
IFIIYZ.EG.2) VZY=VY(I,J)
IF (IYZ.EG.1) VZY=VZ(I, J) +VSINA
$R I=F(Y(K, J), Z(I))-V Z Y$
SRI $2=(S R I 2+R I * R I)$
120 cCAtinue
SRI2 $=$ SGRT (SRI2/APTS)

```
        EI=1C0.*SRI2/(VNAXUP+VSINA)
        WRITE(6,130) SRI2,E1
130. FORMAT(18X,12HCRCSS RMS =,F9.4.9H FT/SEC =.F6.2.9H PER CENT)
140 A1=ERAR/HC
    FRINT 150,GANMAC,GEFF,HC,HEFF,BEAR,AL,VMAXUP
150 FORNAT(/18X,'GANNAO =',F6.3.4X,'EFFECTIVE GAMMA = ',F6.3718X%
    I'HO/C =',FG.3.4X,'EFFECTIVE H/D =',F6.3/18X,'BETA BAR =!,
    2F6.3.4X,17HBETA C =,F6.3/38X, NAX UPWASH VEL =?6F6.2//1
        RETURN
G99 RMS=C.
        RETURN
        ENC
        SUERCUTINE PRTMAT
        CONMON IYZCOC,IYZ,NR,NC,A,E,C,ZO,VINF,VSINA,VY(21,12),VZ(21,12),
    1Y(3,12),Z(21),RMS,NDRPF,IPRNT,NPTS,IRMS,RNSVZC(2,5),IPRTM,NRAK
        CINENSICN VC(12),CHAR(6),CHR(12)
        CATA CHAR/2H, 2H ,2H, 2H$$,1HY,1HZ/
        I=4+IYZ
        CO 6CO J=1,NC
600 VC(J)=12.*Y(1,J)
        I1=1.6*RMSVZO(1;1)+C.5
        IF(IPRNT.EQ.2) II=0.1*VINF
        IF(II.LT.I) IL=1
        I2=-11
        I 3=2*11
        AI= II
        A2=I2
        \Delta3=13
        WRITE(6,610) CHAR(I),11,I2,13,(VC(J),J=1,NC)
610 FCRNAT(///,49X,37HMATRIX CF CROSS-SECTICN VELCCITIES//32X,48HCA
    lLCULATED VELCCITIES FRGM LEASI SGUARES FIT TO, AI, 22H CEMPONENT O
    2F VELCCITY///: Y,Z CENCTE Y,Z VELOCITY CCMPCNENT DATA% % C DENC
    3TES THE CALCULATEC VELCCITIES'//' INDICATES IV-VCALC) GREATER I
    4FAN',I3,'.FT/SEC'/ 'INCICATES (V-VCALC)LESS THAN'.I4*'* FT/S
```

```
        5EC'/' $$ INOICATES AES(V-VCALC) GREATER THAN',I3.*.FT/SEC://1X,13
        80(1F*)/3X,4HZ ',51X, APPROX. Y POSITICNS*/6X,1H*,F7.2,11F10:2)
        WRITE(6,620)
    620 FCRMAT(1X,130(1F*))
C DC LCCP 670 WRITES CUT THE VELCCITY FIELD DATA AND THE VELGCITIES USING FIT
    CO 670.I=1.NR
    ZW=12**Z(I)
    K=(I+NLRPF+6)/7
    IYZCCC=1
    CD 640 J=1.NC
    CHR(J)=CHAR(1)
    VC(J)=F(Y{K,J),2(L))
    IF(VY(I,J..GT.1E3) GC TC 64C
    El=VY(I,J)-VC(J)
    IF(AES(El).LT.A1) GC TC 640
    IF(AES(El).GT.A3) GC TO 630
    IF(E1.GT.A1) CHR(J)=CHAR(2)
    IF(E1.LT.A2) CHR(J)=CHAR(3)
    GC TC 64C
630 CHR(J)=CHAR(4)
6 4 0 ~ C C N T I N U E ~
    WRITE(6,680) (VY{I,J),J=1,NC)
    *RITE(6,690) (VC(J),CHR(J),J=1,NC)
    IYZCCC=2
    CE \in\inO J=1,NC
    CHR(J)=CHAR(1I
    VC(J)=F(Y(K,J),I(I))-VSINA
    IF(VZ(I,J).GT.IEZ) GC TC EGC
    EI=VZ(I,J)-VC(J)
    IF(ARS(El).LT.A1) GC TC E60
    IF(AES(EI).GT.AZ).GC TC 650
    IF(El.GT.A1) CHR(J)=CFAR(2)
    IF(E1.LT.A2) CHR(J)=CHAR(3)
    GO TC 660
```

```
    650 CHR(J)=CHAR(4.)
    6GO CONTINUE
    WRITE(6,7C0) ZW,(VZ(I,J),J=1,NC)
    670 WRITE(6,690) (VC(J),CHR(J),J=1,NC)
    680 FORMAT(6X,1H*/6X,1H*,12(1X,F6.1,'Y !))
    690 FGRNAT(6X,1H*,12(1X,F6.1,'C',A2))
    7CO FERNAT(FE.2,IH*,12(1X,FE.1,'2,'))
    RETURN
    END
    FUNCTICN F(Y,ZZ)
C FLACTICA F CALCULATES THE VELOCITY INDUCED BY A PAIR OF DISTRIBETEC VCRTICES.
            COMMCN IYZCOC,IYZ,NR,NC,A,B,C,ZO
            CONNON/DVE/FIB,FIC
            z=2z-zo
            E2=e* E
    YPC=Y+C
    YMC=Y-C
    R12=Z*Z+YPC*YPC
    R22=Z*Z +YMC*YMC
    If (R12.EG.0:) R12=1E-1C
    IF (R22.EQ.0.1 R22=1E-1C
    EXP1=EXP(-B2*R12)
    EXP2=EXP(-B2*R22)
    EI=(I.-EXP1)/R12
    E2={1.-EXP2)/R22
    IF (R12.LE.1E-1C) E1=e2
    IF (R22.LE.1E-1C) E2=B2
    IF (IYZCCD.EG.2) GC TC 1
    F=A*Z*(E2-E1)/6.283185
    RETLRA
1 F=A*(E1*YPC-E2*YNC)/\epsilon.2&3185
    RETURN
    ENTRY CF(Y,ZZ)
C ENTRY cF CALCULATES the fartial cerivatives lseo in a cifferential cerrecticn
```

C METHCC FCR A GAUSSIAN-CISTRIBUTED VORTEX PAIR.
IF (R12.GT.1E-1C) DVF1=2**YPC*(EXP1*(1.+E2*R12)-1.)/(R12*R12) I.F (R12.LE.1E-1C) DVH1=-2.*YPC*B2*B2

IF (R22.GT.1E-10) DVF2=2.*YNC* (EXP2*(1.+E2*R22)-1.)/(R22*R22)
IF (R22.LE.1E-10) CVH2=-2.*YMC*B2*82
$C F=F / A$
IF (IYZCEC.EG.2) GC TO 2
FIE=A*Z*E*(EXP2-EXP1)/3.141553
$F I C=-A * Z *(D V H 1+[V H 2) / \epsilon .283185$
RETURN
FIB $=A$ * $\mathrm{B} *(\mathrm{YPC}+E X P 1-Y M C * E X P 2) / 3.141593$
FIC $=A *(E 1+C V+1 * Y P C+E 2+C V F 2 * Y M C) / 6.283185$
RETURN
ENC
SURROUTINE AUXIL
C PERFORNS AUXILIARY CPERATICNS LPCN INPUT CATA.
CONNCN IYZCOC, IYZ,NR,NC,A,B,C,ZC,VINF,VSINA,VY(21,12),V2(21,12),
IY(3,12), $2(21), R N S, N C R P F, I P R N T, N P T S, I R M S, R M S V Z O(2,5), I P R T M, N R A K$
CONMCN/ONE/MK, NCATA, IRUA (4), R, AMJET, $\times 4, Z 4, S C, A N G V R T, V R A N G$
CIMENSIOA VC(12)
CATA AST/1H*/
IF(IPRTM.EQ.C) GC TC 185
WRITE 6,150 )
150 FORNATI//10X, 'INPUT PCSITICN CATAO')
CC $170 \quad \mathrm{I}=1$, NR
$K=(I+N C R P F+6) / 7$
WRITE $(6,160)(Y(K, J), J=1, N C)$
160 FORNAT(/12(F7.2, 'Y'))
170 WRITE $(6,180)(2(I), J=1, N C)$
180 FCRNAT(12(F7.2.'2'))
C CCUNT NUMBER OF USABLE cata points
185 N=NR*NC
CC $2 C O \quad I=1$, NR
IF (I.EQ. 1 . DR. ARAK.EQ. 1 ) GC TO 190

```
        IF(ABS(Z(I)-Z(I-1)).LT..5) N=N-NC
    190 DO 2CO J=1,NC
        IF{VY(I,J).LT.5CO.) GC TC 2CO
        N=M-1
        VY(I, J)=1E6
        VZ(I,J)=1E6
    200 CONTINUE
        PRINT 2IC,M
    210 FCRNAT(/I4,* DATA PCINTS')
        NCATA=M
C PERFORN VERTICITY CALCULATICNS
        A2=0.
        IF(IPRTMEEQ.I) PRINT 22C
    220 FORMAT(//' VORTICITY CALCULATIONS*.1CX;24HUNITSO UPPER - ET**2/SEC
        1/40X,'LOKER - 1/SEC'/)
        CO 290 I=2,NR
        IF(IPRTM.EQ.1) PRINT 23C,(AST,J=1,NC)
230 FCRMAT( 5X,12(A1,7X))
    A = L(I-1)-Z(I)
    K=(I+NCRPF+6)/7
    L=(I +NDRPF+5)/7
    CO 260 J=2,NC
    IF(VY(I-1,J-1IOCT.LE3) EC TC 240
    IF(VY(I ,J-1).GT.1E3) GC TC 240
    IF(VY(I-1,J ).GT.lE3) GC TC 240
    IF(VY(I ,J ).LT.lE3) GC TC 250
240 VC(J)=1E6
    GC TC 260
250 VC(J)={(VY(I-1,J-1)-VY(I;J))*{Y(L,J)-Y(K,J-1))+(VY(I-1,J)-VY{I,J-1
    1))*(Y(K,J)-Y(L,J-1))+(VZ(I-1,J-1)+VZ(I,J-1)-VZ(I-1,J)-VZ(I,J))*A!)
    2/24.
        A2 = A 2 +VC (3)
260 CCNTINUE
    IF(IFRTM.EQ.1) PRINT 27C,(VC(J),J=2,NC)
```

```
    270 FORNAT(5x,12(1X;F7.3))
    CC 28C J=2,NC
    280VC(J)=VC(J)*288./ABS(A1*(Y(K,J)+Y(L,J)-Y(K,J-1)-Y(L,J-1)J)
    IF(IPRTM:EQ.1) PRINT 30C,(VC(J),J=2,NC)
    290 CONTINUE
    300 FORNAT(5X,12F8.2)
    IF(IFRTM.EQ.1) PRINT 23C,(AST,J=1,NC)
    \Delta 3=1.5*A2/VINF
    PRINT 31C,A2,A3 VORTICITY=:.F9.3,21H FT**2/SEC GAMMA =,F6.31
    310 FORNATI% SUNMEC VORTICITY =',F9.3,21H FT**2/SEC
        LC 320 I=1,NR
    320 2(1)=2(1)/12.
        Z0=2C/12.
        CC 330 I=1,NRAK
        CC 330 J=1,NC
    330 Y(I,J)=Y(I,J)/12.
        RETURA
        ENC
        SURRCUTINE QMAJ(NOMR,GMJ,GMN1,QMN2)
c PURPOSEO CHCOSE THE NAJCR AND NINOR Q CONDITICNS FOR THE GINEN VElCCITY RATIO
        GNJ=0.0
        GMN1=C.0
        GMN2=0.0
        GC TC (28,28,21,22,23,24,25,26,28,27),NCMR
    21 GNJ=35.9
        GMA1=15.5
        RETURN
    22GNJ=2C.2
        GMN1=52.8
        GNN2=8.7
        RETURN
    23GNJ=33.3
        RETURA
```

$246 \mathrm{NJ}=23.1$ GMN $1=35.0$ GMN2=9.1 RETURN
25 GMJ=26.1 RETURN
$26 \mathrm{GMJ}=19.9$ $G M N 1=12.9$ $6 M A 2=5.1$ RETURA
$27 \mathrm{GMJ}=12.8$ GMNI $=8.2$ GMA2=3.2
28 RETLRN
ENC
FUACTICN ARCLNG(X,R)
C purfosec calculate the arc length aleng the lnified vortex path equaticn.
$C$ GIVEN X/C ANC R. CIMENSION G(8).V(8)
CATA V/.C950125,.2816C35,.4580167,.6178762,.7554044
1,.8656312,.9445750,.9894C09/,G/.1894506,.1826034,
2.1691565,.1495959,.1246289,.C951585,.0622535,.0271524/

CATA E2,A1, A3/2.660516,.4633684,-1.141726/

$S=0$.
CC $1 \quad 1=1,8$
$1 . S=S+G(1) *(S Q R T(1 .+V(1)) * * E 2+E 3)+\operatorname{SQRT}(11 .-V(1)) * * E 2 * E 31)$
$A R C L \cap G=A 1 * X * S$
RETURN
ENC
SUERCUTINE MINVI(A,D)
C PURPCSEC PERFQRN MATRIX INVERSE OF NQRMAL EGUATION MATRIX FROM LEAST SGUARES. CIMEASICA A(6), E(3)
REAL* 8 A,B,C, C
$B(1)=A(3) * A(5)-A(4) * A(4)$
$B(2)=A(3) * A(4)-A(2) * A(5)$
$8(3)=A(2) * A(4)-A(3) * A(3)$
$C=A(1) * B(1)+A(2) * B(2)+A(3) * E(3)$.
IF(C.EQ.C.) RETURN
$C=(A(1) * A(5)-A(3) * A(3)) / C$ $A(5)=(A(2) * A(3)-A(1) * A(4)) / C$ $A(6)=(A(1) * A(3)-A(2) * A(2)) /[$ $A(1)=E(1) / D$
$A(2)=E(2) / D$
$\Delta(3)=E(3) / C$
$A(4)=C$
RETURN
ENC
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