NASA CR-121156

N75-19697 Unclas

G3/37 - 14586

(NASA-CR-121156) DYNAMIC RESPONSE AND STABILITY OF A GAS-LUBRICATED RAYLEIGH-STEP PAD (Northwestern Univ.) 141 p HC \$5.75 CSCL 13I

DYNAMIC RESPONSE AND STABILITY OF A GAS-LUBRICATED RAYLEIGH-STEP PAD

by Chi Cheng and H. S. Cheng

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

prepared for



NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

NASA Lewis Research Center Grant NGL 14-007-093

| | | | | | | 7 |
|-----|--|---|---|--|--|--------------------------------|
| 1. | Report No. NASA CR-121156 | 2. Government Accession No. | 3.1 | Recipient's Catalog | j No. | |
| 4. | Title and Subtitle | | 5. 1 | Report Date | | |
| | DYNAMIC RESPONSE AND STA | ABILITY OF A GAS-LUBRICATE | \mathbf{D} | March 1973 | · | |
| | RAYLEIGH-STEP PAD | | 6 1 | Performing Organiz | ation Code | |
| 7. | Author(s) | | 8. 1 | ation Report No. | | |
| ŀ | Chi Cheng and H. S. Cheng | | | None | | |
| | <u></u> | | 10. 1 | Work Unit No. | | |
| 9. | Performing Organization Name and Address | | | | | |
| [| Northwestern University | | 11. | Contract or Grant | No. | |
| Į., | Evanston, Illinois 60201 | | | NGL 14-007- | 093 | |
| | | | 13. | Type of Report an | nd Period Covere | d |
| 12. | Sponsoring Agency Name and Address | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Contractor R | leport | |
| | National Aeronautics and Space | Administration | 14 9 | Soonsoring Agency | Code | |
| | Washington, D.C. 20546 | | | spensering / gener | | : |
| 15, | Supplementary Notes | | , | | | |
| | Project Manager, Lawrence P. | Ludwig, Fluid System Compone | ents Di | vision, NASA | Lewis | |
| | Research Center, Cleveland, C |)hio | _ • | , | | |
| | , | | | | | |
| 16. | Abstract | | | | | |
| | The quasi-static, pressure cha | racteristics of a gas-lubricated | thrust | bearing with | shrouded, | |
| | Bayleigh-step pads are determ | | | | | |
| | ambrander maab been mie deter ut | ined for a time-varying film thic | kness. | The axial r | esponse of | the |
| | thrust bearing to an axial forci | ined for a time-varying film thic ng function or an axial rotor dist | kness. urbanc | The axial r e is investig: | esponse of ated by trea | the ting |
| | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping | kness. urbanc ; force | The axial r e is investig: s. These for | esponse of ated by trea rces are re- | the ting |
| | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear of | kness. urbanc ; force equatio | The axial r e is investig: s. These for m of motion i | esponse of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial | the iting - |
| | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear e erkin method as well as the direct | kness. urbanc ; force equatio ct, nur | The axial r e is investig s. These for m of motion i nerical integ | response of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- | the iting - |
| | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear e erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared w | ness. urbanc force equatio ct, nur vith the | The axial r te is investig: s. These for on of motion i nerical integ e response ba | esponse of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the | the iting - |
| | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear of erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared we the gas-film instability of an infi | ness. urbanc force equatio ct, nur with the | The axial r te is investig: s. These for m of motion i nerical integ e response ba wide Ravleig | esponse of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the rh step thrue | the ting - st |
| | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, rad is determined by solving th | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear e erkin method as well as the direc by both methods are compared w the gas-film instability of an infi- be transient Reynolds equation co | kness. urbanc force equation ct, nur with the initely unled y | The axial r te is investig s. These for on of motion i nerical integ e response ba wide Rayleig | response of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the gh step thrus tion of the | the ting - st |
| | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, pad is determined by solving the motion of the pad. Results sho | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear e erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared w the gas-film instability of an infi ne transient Reynolds equation co | kness. urbance force equation ct, nur with the initely upled to revie | The axial r re is investig s. These for on of motion i nerical integ e response ba wide Rayleig with the equa | response of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the gh step thrus tion of the r hearing | the ating - st |
| | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, pad is determined by solving the motion of the pad. Results sho | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear e erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared w the gas-film instability of an infi ne transient Reynolds equation co- bow that the Rayleigh-step geometric is the characteristic sector. | kness. urbance ; force equatio ct, nur vith the initely upled v ry is v | The axial r te is investig s. These for on of motion i nerical integ e response ba wide Rayleig with the equa- ery stable fo | response of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the gh step thrus tion of the r bearing | the ating - st |
| | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, pad is determined by solving the motion of the pad. Results sho number Λ up to 50. The stab | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear e erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared w the gas-film instability of an infi ne transient Reynolds equation co by that the Rayleigh-step geometric ility threshold is shown to exist of | kness, urbance ; force equation ct, nur with the initely upled to ry is v conly fo | The axial r te is investig: s. These for m of motion i nerical integ: e response ba wide Rayleig with the equa ery stable fo r ultrahigh va | esponse of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the gh step thrus tion of the r bearing alues of | the ating - st |
| | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, pad is determined by solving the motion of the pad. Results sho number Λ up to 50. The stab $\Lambda \ge 100$, where the stability ca | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear e erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared w the gas-film instability of an infi ne transient Reynolds equation co ow that the Rayleigh-step geometri ility threshold is shown to exist out the achieved by making the mass | kness. urbance quation equation ct, nur with the initely upled to ry is v conly fo as heav | The axial r te is investig s. These for on of motion i nerical integ e response ba wide Rayleig with the equa- ery stable for r ultrahigh va- | response of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the gh step thrus tion of the or bearing ralues of critical mas | the uting - st ss. |
| | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, pad is determined by solving th motion of the pad. Results sho number Λ up to 50. The stab $\Lambda \ge 100$, where the stability ca | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear e erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared w the gas-film instability of an infi ne transient Reynolds equation co ow that the Rayleigh-step geometri ility threshold is shown to exist o in be achieved by making the mas | kness, urbance ; force equation ct, nur with the initely upled to ry is v conly fo as heav | The axial r te is investig s. These for on of motion i nerical integ e response ba wide Rayleig with the equa- ery stable for r ultrahigh va- rier than the | response of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the gh step thrus tion of the r bearing alues of critical mas | the ating - st ss. |
| | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, pad is determined by solving the motion of the pad. Results sho number Λ up to 50. The stab $\Lambda \ge 100$, where the stability ca | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear e erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared w the gas-film instability of an infi ne transient Reynolds equation co ow that the Rayleigh-step geometri ility threshold is shown to exist of an be achieved by making the mas | kness, urbance equation ct, nur with the initely upled to ry is v conly fo as heav | The axial r te is investig s. These for on of motion i merical integ e response ba wide Rayleig with the equa ery stable for r ultrahigh v rier than the | response of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the gh step thrus tion of the r bearing alues of critical mas | the ting st |
| | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, pad is determined by solving the motion of the pad. Results sho number Λ up to 50. The stab $\Lambda \ge 100$, where the stability ca | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear e erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared w the gas-film instability of an infi ne transient Reynolds equation co by that the Rayleigh-step geometri ility threshold is shown to exist of an be achieved by making the mas | kness, urbanc ; force equatio ct, nur with the initely upled to ry is v only fo as heav | The axial r te is investig: s. These for on of motion i nerical integ: e response ba wide Rayleig with the equa- ery stable for r ultrahigh va- rier than the | response of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the gh step thrus tion of the or bearing ralues of critical mas | the ting st |
| | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, pad is determined by solving the motion of the pad. Results sho number Λ up to 50. The stab $\Lambda \ge 100$, where the stability ca | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear e erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared w the gas-film instability of an infi ne transient Reynolds equation co- ow that the Rayleigh-step geometri ility threshold is shown to exist our m be achieved by making the mas | kness, urbance equation equation equation try is v only for as heav | The axial r te is investig s. These for on of motion i nerical integ e response ba wide Rayleig with the equa- ery stable for r ultrahigh va- dier than the | response of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the gh step thrus tion of the r bearing ralues of critical mas | the ting st |
| | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, pad is determined by solving the motion of the pad. Results sho number Λ up to 50. The stab $\Lambda \ge 100$, where the stability ca | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear e erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared w the gas-film instability of an infi ne transient Reynolds equation co ow that the Rayleigh-step geometri ility threshold is shown to exist o in be achieved by making the mas | kness, urbanc ; force equation ct, nur with the initely upled to ry is v conly fo as heav | The axial r te is investig s. These for on of motion i merical integ e response ba wide Rayleig with the equa- ery stable foor r ultrahigh varier than the | response of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the gh step thrus tion of the r bearing alues of critical mas | the ting - st ss. |
| | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, pad is determined by solving the motion of the pad. Results sho number Λ up to 50. The stab $\Lambda \ge 100$, where the stability ca | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear of erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared w the gas-film instability of an infi ne transient Reynolds equation co ow that the Rayleigh-step geometri ility threshold is shown to exist of m be achieved by making the mas | kness, urbanc ; force equatio ct, nur with the initely upled to ry is v only fo ss heav | The axial r te is investig s. These for on of motion i merical integ e response ba wide Rayleig with the equa ery stable for r ultrahigh v rier than the | response of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the gh step thrus tion of the r bearing alues of critical mas | the ting - st ss. |
| 17. | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, pad is determined by solving the motion of the pad. Results sho number Λ up to 50. The stab $\Lambda \ge 100$, where the stability can key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear e erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared w the gas-film instability of an infi ne transient Reynolds equation co by that the Rayleigh-step geometri ility threshold is shown to exist of an be achieved by making the mass 18. Distribution States | mess. urbance force equation ct, nur with the initely upled to ry is v conly fo as heav | The axial r te is investig s. These for on of motion i nerical integ e response ba wide Rayleig with the equa- ery stable for r ultrahigh va- dier than the | response of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the gh step thrus tion of the or bearing ralues of critical mas | the ting st |
| 17. | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, pad is determined by solving the motion of the pad. Results sho number Λ up to 50. The stab $\Lambda \ge 100$, where the stability can key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Gas bearing | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear of erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared w the gas-film instability of an infi ne transient Reynolds equation co ow that the Rayleigh-step geometri ility threshold is shown to exist of an be achieved by making the mas 18. Distribution States Unclassified | ment ment kness. urbanc force equation to force equation to force and the initely upled to ry is v conly for so heav | The axial r re is investig s. These for on of motion i nerical integ e response ba wide Rayleig with the equa- ery stable for r ultrahigh va- rier than the | response of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the gh step thrus tion of the r bearing alues of critical mas | the ting st |
| 17. | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, pad is determined by solving the motion of the pad. Results sho number Λ up to 50. The stab $\Lambda \ge 100$, where the stability can key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Gas bearing Self-acting bearing | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear e erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared w the gas-film instability of an infi ne transient Reynolds equation co ow that the Rayleigh-step geometri ility threshold is shown to exist of an be achieved by making the mas | kness. urbance equation ct, nur with the initely upled to ry is v conly fo as heav ment i - unli | The axial r re is investig s. These for on of motion i nerical integ e response ba wide Rayleig with the equa ery stable for r ultrahigh varier than the imited | response of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the gh step thrus tion of the r bearing alues of critical mas | the ting st |
| 17. | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, pad is determined by solving the motion of the pad. Results sho number Λ up to 50. The stab $\Lambda \ge 100$, where the stability can key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Gas bearing Self-acting bearing Hydrodynamic seal | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear of erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared w the gas-film instability of an infi ne transient Reynolds equation co- ow that the Rayleigh-step geometri ility threshold is shown to exist of in be achieved by making the mass 18. Distribution States Unclassified | ment | The axial r re is investig s. These for on of motion i merical integ e response ba wide Rayleig with the equa ery stable for r ultrahigh v rier than the | response of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the gh step thrus tion of the r bearing alues of critical mas | the ting st |
| 17. | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, pad is determined by solving the motion of the pad. Results sho number Λ up to 50. The stab $\Lambda \ge 100$, where the stability can key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Gas bearing Self-acting bearing Hydrodynamic seal Cas-film bearing | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear of erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared we the gas-film instability of an infi ne transient Reynolds equation co- ow that the Rayleigh-step geometric ility threshold is shown to exist of an be achieved by making the mass 18. Distribution States Unclassified | ment ment ment ment ment ment ment | The axial r re is investig s. These for on of motion i nerical integ e response ba wide Rayleig with the equa ery stable for r ultrahigh v dier than the | response of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the gh step thrus tion of the or bearing ralues of critical mas | the ting st |
| 17. | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, pad is determined by solving the motion of the pad. Results sho number Λ up to 50. The stab $\Lambda \ge 100$, where the stability can key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Gas bearing Self-acting bearing Hydrodynamic seal Gas-film bearing | ined for a time-varying film thick ing function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear e erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared w the gas-film instability of an infi ne transient Reynolds equation co bw that the Rayleigh-step geometric ility threshold is shown to exist of an be achieved by making the mass 18. Distribution States Unclassified 20. Security Clarif. (cf.th): control | kness, urbance equation of force equation of the sequation with the initely upled to ry is v only fo as heav ment 1 - unli | The axial r re is investig s. These for on of motion i nerical integ e response ba wide Rayleig with the equa- ery stable for r ultrahigh va- rier than the | response of ated by trea rces are re- in the axial ration. Re- ased on the gh step thrus tion of the r bearing alues of critical mas | the ting st |
| 17. | thrust bearing to an axial forci the gas film as a spring having lated to the film thickness by a mode is solved by the Ritz-Gal sults of the nonlinear response linearized equation. Further, pad is determined by solving the motion of the pad. Results sho number Λ up to 50. The stab $\Lambda \ge 100$, where the stability can key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Gas bearing Self-acting bearing Hydrodynamic seal Gas-film bearing Security Classif. (of this report) | ined for a time-varying film thick ng function or an axial rotor dist nonlinear restoring and damping power relation. The nonlinear e erkin method as well as the direct by both methods are compared w the gas-film instability of an infi ne transient Reynolds equation co- bow that the Rayleigh-step geometric ility threshold is shown to exist of an be achieved by making the mass 18. Distribution States Unclassified 20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified | kness. urbance equation ct, nur with the initely upled to ry is v conly fo as heav ment i - unli 21. | The axial r re is investig s. These for on of motion i nerical integ e response ba wide Rayleig with the equa ery stable for r ultrahigh variable r ultrahig | 22. Price* | the ting st |

 * For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|------|
| CHAPTER 1 | - INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 | Historical Survey | 2 |
| CHAPTER I | I - GAS FILM FORCES | |
| 2.1 | Statement Of The Problem | 5 |
| 2.2 | Pressure Equation | 6 |
| 2.3 | Method Of Solution | 9 |
| 2.4 | Approximation For Gas Film Forces | 13 |
| CHAPTER I | II - NONLINEAR AXIAL RESPONSE | |
| 3.1 | Mathematical Modeling | 14 |
| 3.2 | Equation Of Motion. | 15 |
| | 3.2.1 Force-Excited Motion | 15 |
| | 3.2.2 Displacement-Excited Motion | 16 |
| 3.3 | Linearized Solution | 17 |
| 3.4 | Nonlinear Solution | 18 |
| | 3.4.1 Method of Galerkin | 18 |
| | 3.4.2 Direct Integration | 25 |
| 3.5 | Results of Nonlinear Response | 26 |
| | 3.5.1 Results By Method of Galerkin | 26 |
| | 3.5.2 Results By Direct Integration | 30 |

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

| 4. | 1 | St | ater | nent | Of | E 1 | The | P | ro | ь1 | eu | ì. | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | • | ٠ | • | • | ٠ | • | | 31 | |
|---------|------|-----|------|------|----|-------------|------------|-----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|--|
| 4. | 2 | Gor | veri | ning | Ec | រុបខ | it 1 | ons | 9 | • | ٠ | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | ٠ | • | • | | 32 | |
| 4. | ,3 | Me | tho | 1 Of | Sc | 5 10 | ıti | on | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | | 32 | |
| 4. | .4 | St | abil | lity | Cı | rit | er | 101 | n | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | ¥ | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | | 40 | |
| 4. | ,5 | Re | sul: | ts. | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | • | • | • | ٠ | ٠ | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | | 42 | |
| CHAPTEI | r v | - ; | SUM | MARY | OF | 7 B | ÆS | UL. | TS | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | ٠ | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | • | | 44 | |
| REFEREN | ICE. | s. | •• | •• | ٠ | ٠ | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | • | ٠ | ٠ | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | | 46 | |
| TABLES. | • • | • | | ••• | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | ٠ | • | | 48 | |
| FIGURES | 5. | • | •• | •• | ٠ | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | ٠ | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | | 53 | |
| APPENDI | CX. | A - | PR | DGRA | MI | RSE | EAL | • | • | • | ٠ | ٠ | • | • | ٠ | ٠ | • | • | • | ٠ | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | 3 | 89 | |
| APPEND | IX I | в - | PR | OGRA | MI | RSC | JAL | N | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | ٠ | • | | 103 | |
| APPEND | LX. | с - | PR | OGRA | MI | RSE | KK I | T | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | | 113 | |
| APPEND | tx : | D - | PR | OGRA | MI | RS1 | [AB | | • | | | | • | • | - | | | | | | • | • | | | | | | 118 | |

.

CHAPTER IV - STABILITY OF AN INFINITELY-WIDE RAYLEIGH-STEP PAD



LIST OF TABLES

| | | rage. |
|----|--|-------|
| 1. | Gas Film Forces (lb _f) | 48 |
| 2. | Load (1b _f) Calculated And Error Occurred (%) | 49 |
| 3. | Dynamic Bearing Reaction For $B_1/B = 0.75$, $H_2 = 0.5$ | 50 |
| 4. | Dynamic Bearing Reaction For $B_1/B = 0.75$, $H_2 = 0.75$ | 51 |
| 5. | Dynamic Bearing Reaction For $B_1/B = 0.5$, $H_2 = 0.5$ | 52 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | | Page |
|--------|----|--|---------|
| Figure | 1 | The Geometry Of A Shrouded, Rayleigh-Step Thrust Bearing | 53 |
| Figure | 2 | Flow Balance Around A Typical Grid Point | 54 |
| Figure | 3 | Pressure Distribution For h = -1. in/sec., H = 0.5 | 55 |
| Figure | 4 | Pressure Distribution For h = 1. in/sec., H = 0.5 | 56 |
| Figure | 5 | Pressure Distribution For h = -1. in/sec., H = 1 | : 57 |
| Figure | 6 | Pressure Distribution For h = 1. in/sec., H = 1 | 58 |
| Figure | 7 | Contour Map For Pressure Distributions For $h = -1$ in/sec., $H_{min} = 0.5$ | 59 |
| Figure | 8 | Variation Of Static, Gas Film Force (H = O) With The Normalized Film Thickness | 60 |
| Figure | 9 | Variation of $\frac{\partial F}{\partial h}$ With The Normalized Film Thickness | 61 |
| Figure | 10 | Simplified Seal Ring-Rotor System | 62 |
| Figure | 11 | Nonlinear Response For $H_0 = 0.5$, m = 0.2 Slug | 63 |
| Figure | 12 | Nonlinear Response For $H_0 = 0.5$, m = 1 Slug | 64 |
| Figure | 13 | Nonlinear Response For $H_0 = 0.75$, m = 0.2 Slug. | 65 |
| Figure | 14 | Nonlinear Response For $H = 0.75$, m = 1 Slug | 66 |
| Figure | 15 | Nonlinear Response For H _o $\overline{Ib}_{f}^{0.5}$, m = 0.2 Slug, C = 1.52 $\frac{1}{in/sec}$. | 67 |
| Figure | 16 | Nonlinear Response For H $_{0}$ \overline{lb}_{f} m = 0.2 Slug, C = 0.38 $\frac{f}{in/sec}$ | 68 |
| Figure | 17 | Comparison Of The Approximate And Exact Upward Amplitudes Of Response | 69 |

| Figure 18 | Comparison Of The Approximate And Exact Downward Amplitudes Of Response | 70 |
|-----------|---|----|
| Figure 19 | Phase Plot With Second Harmonics | 71 |
| Figure 20 | Phase Plot With Third Harmonics | 72 |
| Figure 21 | Phase Plot With Fourth Harmonics | 73 |
| Figure 22 | Phase Plot With Second Order Subharmonics | 74 |
| Figure 23 | Phase Plot With Limiting Cycle Of Large Amplitude | 75 |
| Figure 24 | Phase Plot With Limiting Cycle Of Small Amplitude | 76 |
| Figure 25 | Phase Plot At Natural Frequency | 77 |
| Figure 26 | Flow Balance And Geometry Of A Infinitely Wide Rayleigh Step-Pad | 78 |
| Figure 27 | Pressure Profile For $B_1/B = 0.5$, $H_2 = 0.5$ | 79 |
| Figure 28 | Real Pressure Profile For $\wedge 42$, H ₂ = 0.5, B ₁ /B = 0.75 | 80 |
| Figure 29 | Imaginary Pressure Profile For $\Lambda = 42$, $H_2 = 0.5$, $B_1/B = 0.75$ | 81 |
| Figure 30 | Real Pressure Profile For $\Lambda = 100$, $H_2 = 0.5$, $B_1/B = 0.75$ | 82 |
| Figure 31 | Imaginary Pressure Profile For $\Lambda = 100$, H ₂ = 0.5, B ₁ /B = 0.75 | 83 |
| Figure 32 | Real Pressure Profile For $\Lambda = 8.4$, $H_2 = 0.5$, $B_1/B = 0.5$. | 84 |
| Figure 33 | Imaginary Pressure Profile For $\Lambda = 8.4$, H ₂ = 0.5, B ₁ /B = 0.5 | 85 |
| Figure 34 | Real Pressure Profile For $\Lambda = 42$, $H_2 = 0.5$, $B_1/B = 0.5$ | 86 |
| Figure 35 | Imaginary Pressure Profile For $\Lambda = 42$, H ₂ = 0.5, B ₁ /B = 0.5 | 87 |
| Figure 36 | Variation Of Dynamic Bearing Forces With The Excitation Frequency, σ , For $B_1/B = 0.75$, $\Lambda = 42$, $H_2 = 0.5$. | 88 |

.

NOMENCLATURE

A

array of coefficients for pressure Eqs. (4.16)

| | and (4.23). |
|--|--|
| A ₀ ,A | amplitudes of zero and first order Fourier |
| C | series representation of response. |
| $B = \frac{c_1}{-c_1 + 2}$ | nondimensional gas film force constant of stiff- |
| mo (w) | ness. |
| В | array of constants for pressure Eqs, (4.16). |
| В | width of Rayleigh step pad. |
| ^B 1, ^B 2 _ | width of Rayleigh step pad with gas film. |
| $C = \frac{C_2 \omega}{m \omega^*}$ | nondimensional constant of gas film damping |
| | coefficient. |
| С | array of coefficients for pressure Eq. (4.16). |
| D | array of constants for pressure Eq. (4.23). |
| ^D 1, ^D 2 | conventional constants in relations (3.30) and |
| | (3.40). |
| E _n ≕ €n/ð | nondimensional amplitude of Fourier series ex- |
| | pansion of disturbance. |
| F,G | algebraic equations of A and A |
| $H = h/\delta$ | nondimensional gas film thickness. |
| $\dot{H} = \frac{dH}{dT}$ | nondimensional velocity of gas film thickness. |
| $H_{s,i} = (H_i + H_{i-1})^3$ | array of coefficients in (4.10). |
| 1 ₁ ,1 ₄ ,1 ₅ | nonlinear integrals in $(3.26)(3.27)$ and (3.28) |
| 1 | respectively. |
| $I = \int_{0}^{1} P_{R} dX$ | in phase gas film reaction of complex pressure |
| ÷ | profile, |
| N | number of grids in Chapter II. |

 $P = P/P_{-}$ nondimensional pressure of gas film. P,P zero and first order of P in (4.12). P, Pp imaginary and real part of P_. 0 🗰 PH pressure field parameter in (2.27). $Q = q/m\delta \omega *^2$ nondimensional force excitation. R universal gas constant. $R = r/r_{o}$ nondimensional radius. R residue in (3.13). $R = \int_{P_I}^{I} dX$ out of phase gas film reaction of complex pressure profile. 8, , S, parameters in (3.29). $T_{T} = \omega t$ nondimensional time. Ŧ torque in Section 2.3. U = mr velocity of the driving surface. $W_0 = \frac{C_1}{12.5}$ load acted on the ring to get equilibrium at $H = H_{-}$. $X = H_0 - H$ coordinate and response of gas film in (3.2). X = x/Bcoordinate for infinite-width ped. $\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}} \end{bmatrix}$ vector of unknown $P_{R,i}$ and $P_{I,i}$ in (4.23). a,b,c,d,e,f arrays of coefficients in pressure Eqs. (4.17). a, b, c, a, b, c, arrays of coefficients in pressure Eqs. (4.15). d,e,f c1, c2 constants in gas film force approximation (2, 16). 6 = H - H disturbance of gas film thickness in (4.11). ħ gas film thickness. imaginary number in (4.21). 1

ix

| $k = \frac{5}{2} \frac{c_1}{H_0^{3.5}}$ | stiffness of gas film force. |
|---|---|
| m | mass of the ring in (3.1); mass of the pad per |
| | unit length in (4.26). |
| ^m c | critical mass for stability in (4.29). |
| n = 2mw | number of revolution of the motor per second. |
| ⁿ 1, ⁿ 2 | powers of H for gas film force in (2.16). |
| р | pressure of gas film. |
| p _a | ambient pressure of gas film |
| q1 | mass flow rate. |
| q | magnitude of excitational force. |
| r | radius |
| t | time |
| x | coordinate in the length of infinitely wide |
| | step pad. |
| | Dimensions |
| ρ | FT ² L ⁻⁴ density of gas film |
| μ. | FTL ⁻² coefficient of viscosity |

L step deepth.

5 0 bearing number

 $\delta = \frac{6_{\rm L}L/B}{P_{\rm a}\delta^2} \qquad 0$ $\Delta = H_{\rm i_{2i}}^{+} - H_{\rm i_{2j}}^{-} 0$

point.

stepped discontinuity at (i,j) grid

| θ | θ | angular position |
|----|---|------------------------------------|
| θG | θ | angle of ground area of step pad. |
| θL | θ | angle of landing area of step pad. |

х



rotational velocity.

frequency of excitational force.

natural frequency based on the linearization of Eq. (3.1).

Normalized facing frequency.

magnitude n-th order excitation.

phase difference between the response and the excitation.

determinent defined in (3.35).

threshold frequency.

squeeze number in (4.9).

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Among many geometrical profiles which generate hydrodynamic pressure in fluid-film bearings, the step geometry is one of the most proficient methods to achieve this purpose. This discovery was first made by Lord Rayleigh in 1918 (Ref. 1), and subsequently there have been many contributions (Refs. 2 to 8) on the prediction of the performance of the Rayleigh-step thrust as well as journal bearings.

Recently, there has been another significant application of the Rayleigh-step bearing in the field of dynamic sealing in advanced airbreathing propulsion systems (Ref. 9). In this application, a series of Rayleigh-step pads is employed on the high-pressure side of a face seal in order to maintain a small steady gap (in the order of 0.001") between a one-tooth laybrinth and the high-speed rotor surface (Fig. 1). The flat step is shrouded in order to minimize the side leakage. These pads function strictly as hydrodynamic thrust bearings operate in the high-ambient pressure, and provide the necessary stiffness to maintain a steady gap. The satisfactory operation of this type of seals depends critically on the dynamic performance of these thrust pads in the presence of an oscillatory force or a disturbance due to rotor run-out or rotor unevenness.

So far, the investigations on shrouded, Rayleigh-step bearings have been restricted to the prediction of the static performance (Ref. 10) only. The dynamic characteristics of this type of thrust-pads have not been given much attention. It is the main objective of this report to study the influence of the nonlinear, gas-film, restoring and damping force upon the response of the pad to a given forcing function or disturbance.

- 1 -

Specifically, the work reported herein fulfills the following objectives:

- To develop a gas-film analysis of purely hydrodynamic, Rayleigh-step pad to calculate the quasistatic stiffness and damping, which depend not only on the operating conditions but also on the vibration of the system.
- 2. To extend the analysis to the nonlinear axial response of the stationary ring due to any external force excitation or any disturbances induced by the rotor misalignment or surface waviness.
- 3. To develop a stability analysis of the infinitely wide, single-step pad to explore whether there exists any thresholds of stability for the system.

1.2 Historical Survey

In 1918, after Reynolds' theory of thick film lubrication became generally accepted, Lord Rayleigh (Ref. 1) first applied the theory and discovered that an optimum profile for the load capacity of a slider bearing is a flat step. The method of the calculus of variations was used to optimize the shape of slider bearing to an infinitely long, incompressible film. From then on, any hydrodynamic bearings composing of two sections of parallel surface film are called Rayleigh-step bearings.

The optimized geometry of a Rayleigh-step slider and its corresponding optimized, non-dimensional, load capacity for an incompressible film can be found in most textbooks on lubrication (Ref. 11). For compressible gas film, the optimized, one-dimensional, Rayleigh-step bearing was analyzed by Wylie and Maday in 1970 (Ref. 2). The load capacity of an optimized step bearing was found to be slightly lower at low bearing number but much higher at higher bearing number than the load capacity of an incompressible, optimized slider bearing.

The problem of a two-dimensional, Rayleigh-step, thrust bearing has not received much attention until 1954, C. F. Kettleborough (Ref. 3) solved the pressure profile and calculated the load capacity for the step thrust bearing by Relaxation methods. In 1955 (Ref. 17)he applied the analogy method contributed by A. Kingsbury (Ref. 12) to investigate the pressure profile for an oil-lubricated step bearing by an electrolytic tank.

In 1959, using air as the lubricant, K. C. Kochi (Ref. 6) showed the characteristics of an infinitely-wide, Rayleigh-step, thrust pad by the use of semi-graphical method. He demonstrated that an analytical solution to express the pressure profile explicitly is extremely difficult, because the Reynolds equation for a compressible film is nonlinear.

In 1961, J. S. Ausmann (Ref. 7) made certain approximations to linearize the Reynolds equation for a compressible film, and obtained a series solution of the pressure and load for a self-acting, stepped, sector, thrust bearing by the aid of Neumann polynomial. He obtained the numerical solutions for the optimized number of sectors and step depth for the maximum load carrying capacity.

Recently, Cheng, Chow and Wilcock (Ref. 8) presented some results for shrouded, Rayleigh-step pad used as a flexible face seal. The pressure generation and static stability of this type of surface profiles using as a flexible seal ring was discussed, and the effectiveness of hydrodynamic action was confined to the static stiffness characteristics of gas film. The influence of the nonlinear gas-film forces and the question of gas-film stability of the hydrodynamic, Rayleigh-step pad

- 3 -

as not been investigated. Therefore, to ensure a safe operation of ayleigh-step, thrust pads, it is necessary to conduct a full-scale nonlinear tudy, which is the major objective of this work.

II. GAS_FILM_FORCES

2.1 Statement of the Problem

The major concern in this section is the determination of the time-dependent, pressure distribution within the gas film between two annular surfaces containing a series of Rayleigh-step pockets as shown in Fig. 1. This problem is formulated within the framework of the conventional theory of lubrication for a compressible lubricant. The major assumptions commonly used for gas-lubrication theories are:

1. The pressure across the film is constant

- 2. The flow is laminar
- 3. Inertia forces are neglected
- 4. The film is isothermal
- 5. The flow is Newtonian.

Under these assumptions, the governing equation for a transient, continuous, **pressure** field becomes the well-known, transient, Reynolds equation for a compressible fluid. Various analytical and numerical methods for the solution to this equation have been outlined by Castelli and Peviric (Ref. 13). For the present problem, the abrupt geometry introduced by the Rayleigh pocket makes it rather difficult to solve the pressure equation by any analytical methods. For this reason, a numerical method is employed in solving the discretized pressure field based on the solution of a system, non-linear algebraic equations by the Newton-Raphson procedure. The numerical integration of the discretized pressure field gives rise to the time-dependent gas-film forces which are required for investigating the nonlinear response of this type gas-film to a prescribed forcing function or disturbance function.

- 5 -

2.2 Pressure Equation

The discretized pressure equation is derived by considering a flow balance within an element of the gas film as shown in Fig. 2. The mass flow rate into the left boundary, AD, and the bottom boundary, AB, are designated as q_1 and q_2 . The mass flow rates out of the boundaries, DC and BC are, likewise, designated as q_3 and q_4 . In addition, there are mass stored in the volume which is designated as q_5 .

Based on the assumption in 2.1, the velocity is parabolic across the film. Integrating the velocity across the film, one may express the mass flow rate in terms of the boundary velocities and the pressure gradients. These can be written as

$$q_{1} = \left[\rho \frac{\omega r h}{2} - \frac{\rho h^{3}}{12 \mu r} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \theta}\right]_{j-\frac{1}{2}, i} \left(\frac{r_{i+1}-r_{i-1}}{2}\right)$$
(2.1)

$$q_{2} = \left[\rho \frac{\underline{U}_{r}}{2} - \frac{\rho h^{3}}{12\mu} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}\right]_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j} \left(\frac{\theta_{j+1} - \theta_{j-1}}{2}\right) r_{i-\frac{1}{2}}$$
(2.2)

$$q_{3} = \left[\rho \frac{\omega r h}{2} - \frac{\rho h^{3}}{12 \mu r} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta}\right]_{j+\frac{1}{2},1} \left(\frac{r_{i+1} - r_{i-1}}{2}\right)$$
(2.3)

$$q_{4} = \left[\rho \frac{\underline{U_{r}h}}{2} - \frac{\rho h^{3}}{12\mu} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}\right]_{i+\frac{1}{2},j} \left(\frac{\theta_{j+1} - \theta_{j-1}}{2}\right) r_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$$
(2.4)

$$q_{5} = \frac{\partial(\rho h)}{\partial t} \left(\frac{r_{i+1} - r_{i-1}}{2} \right) r_{i} \left(\frac{\theta_{i+1} - \theta_{i-1}}{2} \right)$$
(2.5)

The flow balance requires

$$q_1 + q_2 = q_3 + q_4 + q_5$$
 (2.0)

Introducing the following nondimensional variables:

$$P = \frac{p}{p_a}$$
, $H = \frac{h}{\delta}$, $R = \frac{r}{r_o}$, $\tau = \omega t$, $Q = PH$, and $\Lambda = \frac{6\mu\omega r_o^2}{p_a^2}$

and using the equation of state $\rho = \frac{p}{RT}$, Equation (2.6) becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} \left(\Lambda RH \frac{Q}{\sqrt{Q}} - \frac{H^3}{2R} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta}\right)_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} - \frac{1}{2}} - \left(\Lambda RH \frac{Q}{\sqrt{Q}} - \frac{H^3}{2R} \cdot \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta}\right)_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} + \frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{R_{\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{i}} - R_{\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{i}}}{2}\right) \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \left(-\frac{H^3}{2} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial R}\right)_{\mathbf{i} - \frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{j}} R_{\mathbf{i} - \frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{H^3}{2} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial R}\right)_{\mathbf{i} + \frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{j}} R_{\mathbf{i} + \frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{\theta_{\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{i}} - \theta_{\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{i}}}{2}\right) \\ = \begin{bmatrix} 2\Lambda \left(\frac{\partial FH}{\partial \tau}\right)_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \left(\frac{R_{\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{i}} - R_{\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{i}}}{2}\right) R_{\mathbf{i}} \left(\frac{\theta_{\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{i}} - \theta_{\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{i}}}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

Further simplification leads to,

$$\frac{1}{(\theta_{j+1} - \theta_{j-1})} \left[\left(\Lambda RH \frac{Q}{\sqrt{Q}} - \frac{H^3}{2R} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta} \right)_{i, j-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\Lambda RH \frac{Q}{\sqrt{Q}} - \frac{H^3}{2R} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta} \right)_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ + \frac{1}{(R_{i+1} - R_{i-1})} \left[-\left(\frac{H^3}{2} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial R} \right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j} R_{i-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{H^3}{2} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial R} \right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j} R_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ = 2\Lambda \left(\frac{\partial PH}{\partial \tau} \right)_{i, j} R_{i}$$

$$(2.7)$$

Introducing the following finite difference approximations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta} \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} - \frac{1}{2}} = (Q_{\mathbf{j}} - Q_{\mathbf{j} - 1})_{\mathbf{i}} / (\theta_{\mathbf{j}} - \theta_{\mathbf{j} - 1})_{\mathbf{i}}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial R} \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{i} - \frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{j}} = (Q_{\mathbf{i}} - Q_{\mathbf{i} - 1})_{\mathbf{j}} / (R_{\mathbf{i}} - R_{\mathbf{i} - 1})_{\mathbf{j}}$$

$$Q_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} - \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{Q_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} + Q_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} - 1}}{2}$$

$$(2.8)$$

one obtains a system of first order differential equations in time for the discretized pressures.

To obtain the exact, time-dependent, gas-film forces, it is necessary to solve this equation simultaneously with the equations of motion of the supported mass by an explicit or implicit, numerical procedure for the initial-valued problems. This procedure necessitates the calculation of the pressure field at each time interval during the transient, and it is extremely uneconomical and cumbersome.

In the case of a high, ambient pressure, the effect produced by the term containing $\frac{\partial P}{\partial \tau}$ becomes insignificant comparing to that by $\frac{\partial H}{\partial \tau}$, one may neglect the $\frac{\partial P}{\partial \tau}$ effect, and thus decoupled the gas-film force calculation from the dynamics of the supporting mass. Ignoring the contribution by $\frac{\partial P}{\partial \tau}$, the pressure distribution can be solved independently as a function of H and $\frac{\partial H}{\partial \tau}$ or H. The assumption of a negligible $\frac{\partial P}{\partial \tau}$ effect is made in the subsequent analysis. Expanding the terms in Equation (2.7) by using relations (2.8) one obtains

$$a_{3}Q_{i,j-1} + a_{1}\sqrt{Q_{i,j-1}} + a_{5}Q_{i-1,j} - (a_{3} + a_{4} + a_{5} + a_{6})Q_{i,j} + a_{6}Q_{i+1,j}$$

+
$$\left[\frac{a_1}{H_{i,j-1}} \Delta - a_6\right] \sqrt{Q_{i,j}} + a_4 Q_{i,j+1} - a_2 \sqrt{Q_{i,j+1}} = 0$$
 (2.9)

where $\Delta = H_{i,j}^+ - H_{i,j}^- = \begin{cases} 1 \\ 0 \end{cases}$ at the end of the pocket otherwise

(2.10)

and

ţ,

4)

$$(a_{1})_{1,j} = \frac{\Lambda R_{1}}{2(\theta_{j+1} - \theta_{j-1})} (H_{1,j-1})$$

$$(a_{2})_{1,j} = \frac{\Lambda R_{1}}{2(\theta_{j+1} - \theta_{j-1})} (H_{1,j+1})$$

$$(a_{3})_{1,j} = \frac{1}{2R_{1}(\theta_{j+1} - \theta_{j-1})(\theta_{j} - \theta_{j-1})} (H^{3})_{1,j-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(a_{4})_{1,j} = \frac{1}{2R_{1}(\theta_{j+1} - \theta_{j-1})(\theta_{j+1} - \theta_{j})} (H^{3})_{1,j+\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(a_{5})_{1,j} = \frac{(R_{1} + R_{1-1})}{4(R_{1+1} - R_{1-1})(R_{1} - R_{1-1})} (H^{3})_{1-\frac{1}{2},j}$$

$$(a_{6})_{1,j} = \frac{(R_{1+1} + R_{1})}{4(R_{1+1} - R_{1-1})(R_{1+1} - R_{1})} (H^{3})_{1+\frac{1}{2},j}$$

$$(a_{8})_{1,j} = \Lambda R_{1} \dot{H}_{1} = \Lambda R_{1} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \tau}$$

2.3 Method of Solution

The set of nonlinear, algebraic equations to be solved for the pressure field, $\boldsymbol{Q}_{i,\,j}$, is

$$\Phi_{i,j} = a_3 Q_{i,j-1} + a_1 \sqrt{Q_{i,j-1}} +$$

$$+ a_{5}Q_{i-1,j} - (a_{3} + a_{4} + a_{5} + a_{6})Q_{i,j} + \begin{bmatrix} -a_{1} & \Delta & -a_{8} \end{bmatrix} \sqrt{Q_{i,j}} + a_{6}Q_{i+1,j} + a_{4}Q_{i,j+1} - a_{2}\sqrt{Q_{i,j+1}} = 0$$
(2.9)

where $\Phi_{i,j}$ is the implicit function for the node point (i,j). Newton-Raphson method has been employed here to solve these equations. Based on this method, $Q_{i,j}^n$ can be improved by calculating the difference $\Delta Q_{i,j}^n$ which is found from first order approximation of Taylor's expansion for $\Phi_{i,j}$. Using Taylor's expansion, eq. (2.9) becomes

$$\Phi_{i,j}^{n+1} = \Phi_{i,j}^{n} + \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{i,j}}{\partial Q_{i,j-1}}\right) \Delta Q_{i,j-1}^{n} + \frac{\partial \Phi_{i,j}}{\partial Q_{i,j}} \Delta Q_{i,j}^{n} + \frac{\partial \Phi_{i,j}}{\partial Q_{i,j+1}} \Delta Q_{i,j+1}^{n}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{i,j}}{\partial Q_{i-1,j}}\right) \Delta Q_{i-1,j}^{n} + \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{i,j}}{\partial Q_{i-1,j}}\right) \Delta Q_{i-1,j}^{n} + O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) = 0 \quad \dots \quad (2.11)$$

From Equations (2. 9) and (2.11), one obtains

$$- \overline{\Phi}_{i,j}^{n} = (a_{3} + \frac{a_{1}}{\sqrt{Q_{i,j}}}) \Delta Q_{i,j}^{n} + a_{5} \Delta Q_{i,j}^{n}$$

$$+ \left\{ -(a_{3} + a_{4} + a_{5} + a_{6}) + \left[\frac{a_{1}}{H_{i,j}} \Delta - a_{8} \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_{i,j}^{n}}} \right\} \Delta Q_{i,j}^{n}$$

$$+ a_{6} \Delta Q_{i,i,j}^{n} + \left(a_{4} - \frac{a_{2}}{2\sqrt{Q_{i,j}^{n}}} \right) \Delta Q_{i,j}^{n} \qquad (2.12)$$

Since $Q_{i,j}^n$ are known from the previous iteration, the set of linear simultaneous equations (2,12) are solved for the difference $\triangle Q_{i,j}$, by Gaussian Elimination Method.

The new iterated Q becomes,

$$Q_{i,j}^{n+1} = Q_{i,j}^n + \Delta Q_{i,j}$$

and the procedure is repeated until all $\triangle \ Q_{i,j}$ becomes less than a prescribed convergence error.

A computer-program RSS-FILM has been written to calculate $Q_{i,j}$, for a given nondimensionaless, gas-film thickness H and a nondimensionaless, velocity H. The nondimensional, pressure distribution can be obtained by dividing Q by the nondimensional gas film thickness H. The horsepower required to overcome the friction resistance is calculates as

$$T = \int r r_{s} dA = \frac{\mu \omega r_{o}^{3}}{h} \int \frac{R_{2}}{R^{3}} dR \int \frac{\theta G^{+} \theta_{L}}{\frac{1}{H}} d\theta \qquad (2.13)$$

and

$$HP = \frac{n \times T \times N \times 60}{63000}$$
(2.14)

Also, the load perpad is obtained by the following integration

$$W = p_a \int_A^{(P-1)r \, d\theta dr}$$

= $p_a r_o^2 \int_{R_1}^{R_0} R dR \int_0^{\theta G^{\theta} L} (P-1) d\theta$ (2.15)

Figures 3, to 7 show the effects of change in H and H on the pressure distributions in a shrouded, Rayleigh-step gas pad.

- 11 -

- 12 -

2.4 Approximation for Gas-Film Forces

The gas-film forces are shown to be dependent upon H, $\frac{\partial H}{\partial \tau}$, and the following operating and geometrical parameters

$$\Lambda = \frac{6\mu\omega r_o^2}{P_a \delta^2} = Bearing Parameter$$

$$\frac{\theta_L}{\theta_G + \theta_L} = Step Length Parameter$$

$$\frac{2B}{R_o - R_i} = Shroud Width Parameter$$

$$\frac{2\pi R_o}{N(R_o - R_i)} = Length to Width Ratio$$

Table 1 lists the gas-film forces for an annular bearing surface containing 20 Shrouded-Rayleigh-Step pads, with geometrical dimensions shown in Fig. 1 . By plotting data on a log-log scale in Fig.8 & Fig.9 it is found that they can be fitted with the following function for a wide range of dimensionless thickness, H.

$$F = \frac{C_1}{\frac{n}{H}} - \frac{C_2}{\frac{n}{2}} \delta \omega \frac{dH}{dT}$$
(2.16)

Tables 2 list the approximate, gas-film force based on Eq. (2.16) and the actual values interpolated from Table 1. The errors introduced by using the fitted Equation (2.16) are also listed in these tables.

The values for C_1 , C_2 , n_1 , and n_2 for the gas-film forces listed in

$$C_1 = 2.32$$
 1b
 $C_2 = 0.76$ ^{1b}/(in/sec)
 $n_1 = 2.5$
 $n_2 = 2.5$

The above constants, of course, only apply to the operating and geometrical parameters shown in Fig.1 . For other parameters, a different set of C_1 , C_2 , n_1 and n_2 will be required to approximate the gas-film forces.

Letting H_0 be the equilibrium nondimensional gas film thickness, and defining x as the nondimensionalized displacement from H_0 , positive for a decreasing of H, the gas film force can be alternatively expressed as,

$$F = \frac{C_1}{(H_0 - X)^{n_1}} + \frac{C_2}{(H_0 - X)^{n_2}} \omega \delta \frac{dX}{dT}$$
(2.17)

where $H = H_0 - X$

and $\frac{dH}{dT} = -\frac{dX}{dT}$

III. NONLINEAR AXIAL RESPONSE

3.1 Mathematical Modeling

The main problem in this chapter is to determine the dynamic response of the stationary ring to any external force excitation or to any disturbances produced by the rotor misalignment and by the rotor surface waviness. Knowing the detailed motion of the stationary ring with respect to the rotor motion, one may calculate time-dependent gap distribution between the surfaces. In general, the dynamic response of the stationary ring is measured by the axial translation and by the rotations about two mutually perpendicular diameters of the ring. However, for a stationary ring with a narrow width and a large diameter, the response in the axial mode is very weakly coupled with the oscillation in the angular mode. Furthermore, the equation governing the angular oscillation is very nearly the same as that governing the axial motion. Thus, it is only necessary to concentrate the analysis on the non-linear characteristics of the motion in the axial mode. This reduces the problem from a complicated, threedegrees-of-freedom dynamical system to a single-degree-of-freedom problem for which a more thorough analysis can be afforded. The weak coupling between the axial and angular oscillation is demonstrated analytically in Reference 14.

Figure 10 shows the mathematical modelling of the non-linear vibration of the stationary ring in the axial direction. It consists of a stationary ring of mass m subjected to a steady load W_0 . The back face of the ring is flexibly mounted to the frame through a soft spring of stiffness K_s and the front face is supported on a very stiff, non-linear gas-film whose restoring force is represented by the power relations

- 14 -

formulated in Chapter 2, Eq. (2.17). The major problem here is to investigate the motion of the stationary ring for one of the two following conditions:

a, a force excitation, q cos $w_f t$, acting on the stationary ring. b, a prescribed rotor disturbance characterized by a Fourier series $\frac{N}{\sum_{n=1}^{N}} \epsilon_n \cos n w_f t \cdot n=1$

3.2 Equation of Motion

3.2.1 Force-Excited Motion

The equation of motion due to a force excitation q cos ωt is considered first. Recalling from Eq. (2.16), the force balance on the stationary ring gives the following equation,

$$m \frac{d^{2}x}{dt^{2}} + \frac{C_{1}}{H^{2.5}} - \frac{C_{2}}{H^{2.5}} \frac{dh}{dt} - W_{0} + k_{s}x = q \cos \omega_{f}t \qquad (3.1)$$

where ksx is small comparing with Wo and other terms.

Introducing the following nondimensional variables

$$X = \frac{x}{\delta}$$
$$T = \omega_{f}t$$
$$\overline{\omega} = \frac{\omega_{f}}{\frac{w}{\omega}}$$
$$B = \frac{C_{1}}{m\delta(\omega^{*})}$$

$$C = \frac{C_2 \omega}{m \omega}$$

$$Q = \frac{q}{m \delta(\omega^*)}$$

where ω^* is the natural frequency of the system based on the linearized equation of Eq. (3.1). Equation (3.1) becomes

$$\overline{\omega^{2}\ddot{x}} + C\dot{x} \frac{1}{(H_{o} - x)^{2.5}} + B \left[\frac{1}{(H_{o} - x)^{2.5}} - \frac{1}{H_{o}^{2.5}}\right]$$

≖ Q cos T

where

 $H = H_{o} - X$ $W_{o} = \frac{C_{1}}{H_{o}^{2.5}}$ $\cdot = \frac{d}{dT}$

3.2.2 Displacement-Excited Motion

Consider now the disturbance function $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon_n \cos n\omega_f t$ resulted from the rotor misalignment, commonly known as the run out, and the non-flatness of the rotor-surface. The film thickness H will be perturbed by this disturbance, and becomes

$$H = H_0 - X + \sum_{n=1}^{N} E_n \cos n T$$
 (3.3)

where $E_n = \frac{\epsilon_n}{\delta}$.

- 16 -

(3.2)

ł

- 17 -

The equation of motion in the absence of the excitation q cos $\omega_e t$

becomes

$$m \frac{dx^{2}}{dt^{2}} + \frac{C_{1}}{H^{2.5}} - \frac{C_{1}}{H^{2.5}} - \frac{C_{2}}{H^{2.5}} \frac{dh}{dt} = 0$$
(3.4)

Substituting Eq. (3.3) into (3.4) and letting $X' = X - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \cos n T$, one obtains

$$\overline{\omega}^{2} \overline{x'} + c\overline{x'} \frac{1}{(H_{o} - x')^{2.5}} + B \left[\frac{1}{(H_{o} - x')^{2.5}} - \frac{1}{H_{o}^{2.5}} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} Q_{n}^{\prime} \cos n T \qquad (3.5)$$

where

$$Q'_n = n^2 \frac{1}{\omega^2} E_n$$
(3.6)

Equation (3.5) is identical to (3.2) with the exception that X, and Q cos wt are replaced by X' and $\sum_{n=1}^{N} Q'_n \cos nT$ respectively. Thus, the solution for the force-excited oscillations is also applicable to the displacement-excited motion provided the proper substitutions are made for X' and Q'_n .

3.3 Linearized Solution

If the motion of the stationary ring is such that the resulting gap variation, $H - H_0$, is only a small fraction of the equilibrium film thickness H_0 , the response can be estimated from the solution of the linearized equation about the equilibrium film thickness H_0 . Linearizing Eq. (3.2) for the force-excited motion, one obtains

$$\overline{w}^{2} \, \overset{"}{x} + \frac{C}{H_{0}^{2.5}} \, \overset{"}{x} + X = Q \cos T \qquad (3.7)$$

- 18 -

Similarily, linearization of Eq. (3.4) for the displacement-induced motion leads to

$$\frac{-2}{\omega} \frac{x}{H} + \frac{C}{H^{2.5}} \frac{x}{x} + x = \varepsilon \cos T$$
(3.8)

Eqs. (3.7) and (3.8) are clearly the standard, damped vibration equation for a single mass, and its solution can be readily written as

$$A = \frac{Q}{\left[\left(1 - \frac{-2}{\omega}\right)^{2} + \frac{C^{2}}{H_{0}^{5}}\right]^{1/2}}$$
(3.9)
$$\alpha = \tan^{-1} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{-2}{\omega}\right)H_{0}^{2.5}}{C}$$
(3.10)

where $X = A \cos (T - \alpha)$

3.4 Non-Linear Solution

Two methods have been employed to obtain the non-linear response characterized by the solution to Eqs. (3.2). The first is the method of Galerkin (Ref.18) which gives an approximate solution to the nonlinear equation. The degree of approximation is governed by the number of terms considered in the assumed function in the Galerkin procedure. The second method is the direct, step by step, numerical integration using a Runge-Kutta procedure. Details of these two methods are given next.

3.4.1 Method of Galerkin

The non-linear equation in question, Eq. (3.2), can be represented, implicitly as

(3.14)

$$f(X, X, X, T) = 0$$

where

$$f = \overline{w}^{2} \cdot \overline{x} + \frac{C \cdot \overline{x} + B}{(H_{0} - x)^{2.5}} - \frac{B}{H_{0}^{2.5}} - Q \cos T = 0$$
(3.11)

According to the method of Galerkin, one may assume that the unknown response X(T) can be represented approximately by a truncated Fourier series,

$$X = \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n \cos nT + b_n \sin nT$$
(3.12)

The substitution of (3.12) into the differential equation gives arise to the residue function,

$$R(T) = f(X, X, X, T)$$
 (3.13)

The R(T) will not vanish unless X(T) exactly satisfy the differential equation. The Galerkin method provides a set of equations by which one can solve for the constants a_n and b_n for which the residue function will be made extremely small. These conditions are obtained by requiring that the integration of the residue function as weighted by each individual Fourier component (cos nT or sin nT) be made equal to zero. Stating mathematically, one obtains,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} R(T) \cos nT dT = 0$$

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} R(T) \sin nT dT = 0$$

for n = 0, 1, 2, ..., N

where

$$R(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} -\overline{\omega}^{2} n^{2} (a_{n} \cos nT + b_{n} \sin nT)$$

n=0

$$B + C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n(-a_{n} \sin nT + b_{n} \cos nT) + \frac{n=0}{\left[H_{0} - \frac{N}{n} (a_{n} \cos nT + b_{n} \sin nT)\right]^{5/2}} - \frac{B}{H_{0}^{5/2}}$$
(3.15)

- Q cos I

For N > 1, integration of Equation (3.14) involves definite integrals of $-\frac{5}{2}$ th power of the Fourier series. A gallant attempt was made in reducing these integrals in some manageable form, but was unsuccesful. Thus, the inclusion of any terms beyond N = 1 was not made.

For N = 1,

$$X = a_0 + a_1 \cos T + b_1 \sin T$$
 (3.16)

Further simplification is made by representing X with

$$X = A \cos (T - \alpha) - A$$
(3.17)

where

$$A_{o} = -a_{o}$$

$$A = (a_{1}^{2} + b_{1}^{2})^{1/2}$$

$$\alpha = \tan^{-1} \frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}}$$

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left[-\overline{\omega}^{2}A \cos T + \frac{B - CA \sin T}{\left[H_{0} + A_{0}\right]^{2} \cdot 5} \left[1 - \frac{A}{H_{0} + A_{0}} \cos T\right]^{2} \cdot 5} - Q(\cos \alpha \cos T - \sin \alpha \sin T) \right] \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1\\\sin T\\\cos T \end{array} \right\} dT = 0$$
(3.18)

Integrating Eqs. (3.18) and noting the following relations:

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \cos T \\ \sin T \\ \sin T \\ \sin T \cos T \end{array} \right\} dT = 0$$
(3.19)

$$\begin{array}{c}
2\pi \\
0 \\
0
\end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\cos^2 T \\
\sin^2 T \\
\sin^2 T
\end{array} \right\} dT = \pi$$
(3.20)

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\sin T \cos T}{\left(1 - \frac{A}{H_{0} + A} \cos T\right)} dT = 0$$
(3.21)

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\sin T}{\left(1 - \frac{A}{H_{o} + A} \cos T\right)} dT = 0$$
 (3.22)

Equation (3.18) reduces to the following algebraic equations

$$-A\frac{-2}{\omega} + \frac{B}{\pi}I_1 - Q\cos\alpha = 0$$
 (3.23)

$$-\frac{CA}{\pi}I_4 + Q\sin\alpha = 0 \qquad (3.24)$$

$$I_5 - 2\pi = 0$$
 (3.25)

- 22 -

where

$$I_{1} = \frac{2}{D_{1}} \int_{0}^{\frac{11}{2}} S_{1} \cos T \, dT$$
 (3.26)

$$I_{4} = \frac{2}{D_{1}} \int_{C}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} S_{2} \sin^{2} T \, dT \qquad (3.27)$$

$$I_{5} = \frac{2H_{0}^{2.5}}{D_{1}} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} S_{2}^{dT}$$
(3.28)

$$\begin{cases} s_1 \\ s_2 \end{cases} = \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{A}{H_o + A_o} \cos T\right)^{2.5}} \left\{\frac{-}{+}\right\} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{A}{H_o + A_o} \cos T\right)^{2.5}}$$
(3.29)

$$D_1 = (H_0 + A_0)^{5/2}$$
(3.30)

Eliminating α from (3.23) and (3.24), one obtains a system of two nonlinear equations to be solved for A and A. These two equations are

$$F(A, A_{0}) = \left(\frac{BI_{1}}{\pi} - A_{\omega}^{-2}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{CAI_{4}}{\pi}\right)^{2} - Q^{2} = 0$$
(3.31)

$$G(A, A_0) = I_5 - 2\pi = 0$$
 (3.32)

Using Newton-Raphson procedure, the successive corrections $\triangle A$, $\triangle A_o$ can be expressed in terms of F, G, $\frac{\partial F}{\partial A}$, $\frac{\partial F}{\partial A_o}$, $\frac{\partial G}{\partial A_o}$, and $\frac{\partial G}{\partial A_o}$, evaluated at the last interates of A and A_o.

$$\Delta \mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{vmatrix} -\mathbf{F} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \mathbf{A}_{o}} \\ -\mathbf{G} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}}{\partial \mathbf{A}_{o}} \end{vmatrix}$$
(3.33)

$$\Delta A_{o} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial A} & -F \\ \frac{\partial C}{\partial A} & -G \end{vmatrix}$$
(3.34)

where $\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial A} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial A_{o}} \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial A} & \frac{\partial G}{\partial A_{o}} \end{vmatrix}$
(3.35)

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial A} = 2\left(\frac{BI_{1}}{\pi} - A^{-}\overline{w}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{B}{\pi}\frac{\partial I_{1}}{\partial A} - \overline{w}^{2}\right) + 2\frac{C^{2}AI_{4}}{\pi^{2}}\left(I_{4} + A\frac{\partial I_{4}}{\partial A}\right)$$
(3.36)

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial A_{o}} = 2\left(\frac{BI_{1}}{\pi} - A^{-}\overline{w}^{2}\right)\frac{B}{\pi}\frac{\partial I_{1}}{\partial A_{o}} + 2\frac{C^{2}A^{2}}{\pi^{2}}I_{4}\frac{\partial I_{4}}{\partial A_{o}}$$
(3.37)

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial A} = \frac{\partial I_{5}}{\partial A}$$
(3.38)

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial A_{o}} = \frac{\partial I_{5}}{\partial A_{o}}$$

The differentials of nonlinear integrals can be derived from equations (3.36) - (3.28). They are

$$\frac{\partial I_1}{\partial A} = \frac{1}{D_2} \left\{ 5 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^2 T s_3 dT \right\}$$
$$\frac{\partial I_1}{\partial A_0} = \frac{-1}{D_2} \left\{ 5 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos T s_4 dT \right\}$$

(3.40)

- 23 -

Equation (3.40) cont'd.

$$\frac{\partial I_4}{\partial A} = \frac{1}{D_2} \left\{ 5 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^2 T \cos T S_4 dT \right\}$$
$$\frac{\partial I_4}{\partial A_0} = \frac{-1}{D_2} \left\{ 5 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^2 T S_3 dT \right\}$$
$$\frac{\partial I_5}{\partial A} = \frac{H_0^{2.5}}{D_2} \left\{ 5 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos T S_3 dT \right\}$$
$$\frac{\partial I_5}{\partial A_0} = -\frac{H_0^{2.5}}{D_2} \left\{ 5 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} S_4 dT \right\}$$

where $D_2 = (H_3 + A_0)^{3.5}$

$$\begin{cases} s_{3} \\ s_{4} \end{cases} = \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{A}{H_{o} + A_{o}} \cos T\right)^{3.5}} \left\{\frac{-}{+}\right\} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{A}{H_{o} + A_{o}} \cos T\right)^{3.5}}$$

Being provided with values of A, and A, α can be solved from Equations (3.23) and (3.24) as

•

$$\alpha = \tan^{-1} \frac{CAI_4}{BI_1 - \pi A \omega^2}$$
(3.41)

A computer program has been written to solve for A, A and α , from which, X and X can be determined by

$$X_{max} = A - A_{o}$$

$$X_{min} = -A - A_{o}$$
(3.42)

The integrals I_1 , I_4 , I_5 and their derivatives with respect to A and A_o are evaluated numerically. Tables have been prepared for various values of A/(H_o + A_o) varying in the range, [0,1] at intervals of 0.01.

3.4.2 Direct Integration

The step-by-step numerical integration of Eq. (3.2) is achieved by splitting it into two first order equations:

 $\dot{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{Y}$

$$\dot{Y} = \frac{1}{\omega^2} \left[\frac{B}{H_0^{2.5}} - \frac{B + CY}{(H_0 - X)^{2.5}} + Q \cos T \right]$$

(Ref. 16)

The popular Runge-Kutta method $_{A}$ has been employed in obtaining the solution for X and Y with a given set of initial conditions X_{O} , Y_{O} . The response is represented by the trajectories in the phase space plot (X,Y). The response is considered to have reached a steady state if the trajectory approaches a limit cycle, which could be a single or multiple-looped cycle. A library subroutine at the Vogelback computer center has been used for this numerical integration. Fortran listings for the Computer program, RSGALN, which calculates A, A_{O} , and by the Galerkin method, and the computer program, RSRKIT, which calculates the trajectories in the phase space, are included in the Appendices and
3.5 Results of Non-Linear Response

The results of the nonlinear response are presented in two parts. The first part is obtained from the method of Galerkin one-term approximation, and the second part from the Runge-Kutta direct integration. Since the gas-film is an unsymmetrical spring, i.e., the relation between the displacement and restoring force is not symmetric with respect to the equilibrium position, the amplitude of response during an upstroke is different from that during a downstroke. During an upstroke, the gas film stiffness is softer, and the amplitude is greater than that during a downstroke when the gas-film is considerably stiffer. For this reason, the response in the upstroke and downstroke are plotted separately against the non-dimensional excitation frequency in Figs. 11 to 19.

3.5.1 Results by Method of Galerkin

Referring to the equation of motion, Eq.(3.2', it is seen that the parameters affecting the dynamic response are:

С

| В | Ħ | stiffness parameter | = | $\frac{1}{m\delta(\omega^*)^2}$ |
|-------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| с | = | damping parameter | = | $\frac{C_2 \omega_f}{m \omega^*}$ |
| но | | static-film parameters | = | ^h ο/δ |
| Q | Ħ | forcing intensity parameter | - | mδ(w [*]) ² |
| . w | | frequency parameter | | ^ω f/ _ω * |

The general characteristics of the upper and lower amplitudes as





The monlinear response based on one-term Galerkin method is shown as solid lines except with a small portion of the unstable oscillations, which are shown as dotted lines. The dashed lines show the response predicted from the linearized solution. In the region AB, the excitation frequence is smaller than the natural frequency, w^* , based on the linear theory, and the non-linear solution predicts a smaller lower amplitude but a greater upper amplitude comparing to the linear response. As w_f increases to the region BC, the non-linear theory yields three possible solutions, one along the path BC, one along the path B'C, and another one along the path B'C'. The solution along BC is in-phase with the forcing function and is the most stable mode of response; the solution along B'C is unstable and only exists mathematically; and the solution along B'C' is out-of-phase with the excitation and is less stable than the solution along BC. For excitation frequency beyond the region BC, the characteristics of the non-linear response are similar to that of the linear response in the region where $w_f > w^*$. In this region, the pad is insensitive to the excitation and would not track any disturbance introduced by rotor runout or waviness.

The non-symmetrical nonlinear gas-film produces a response characteristics which resemble more to the response due to a symmetrical, soft, nonlinear spring, for which the resonance occurs at a frequency considerably lower than the natural frequency based on the linear theory. This correlation is really not surprising, since the mean position of the oscillation shifts to the region of softer stiffness, and the nonlinear oscillations are dominated by the softer part of the gas-film stiffness.

Fig. 11 shows typical response curves for the following dimensional parameters:

 $h_{c} = 0.0005$ inches $\delta = 0.001$ inches - 28 -

m = 0.2 slug or 6.44 lbm

$$C_1 = 2.32$$
 lb
 $C_2 = 0.76$ $\frac{1b}{(in/sec)}$

q = 1, 2, and 5 lb

The corresponding non-dimensional parameters are listed in Fig. 11 . The inward bending of the resonant peak in the region $\overline{w} < 1$ is clearly visible in all three cases. Fig. 12 shows the effect of increasing the mass of the pad from 0.2 slug to 1.0 slug. The increase in mass does not alter the parameters, B, H_o, and Q, since $(w^*)^2$ is inversely proportional to m. The only parameter affected by changing of m is the nondimensional damping parameter. A five fold increase in mass is equivalent to a $\sqrt{5}$ times reduction in the effective damping factor C₂. The more peaky response near the resonance is clearly visible in Fig. 12 when the mass is increased by five fold.

Figs. 13 and 14 shows the effect of increasing the equilibrium film thickness from 0.5 to 0.75. The natural frequency is reduced sharply by the increase in the film thickness, and the level of response is also much greater with a thick film than with a thin film for the same forcing function.

To investigate the effect of damping the value of C_2 has been doubled and halved from the case shown in Fig. 11. The curves in Fig. 15 show that when the damping is doubled, the response near the resonance is considerably suppressed. The opposite effect is introduced if the damping is halved as shown in Fig. 16.

3.5.2 Results by Direct Integration

Both the upper and lower amplitudes obtained by using the step-bystep, Runge-Kutta, direct integration are plotted against the excitation frequencies in Figs. 17 and 18 . A case of heavy mass, small equilibrium film thickness, and large excitation force has been selected to illustrate the nonlinear effects. The linear response curve and the approximate nonlinear response by Galerkin method are also plotted as dashed and dotted lines for comparison. It is seen that the agreement between the Runge-Kutta results and the Galerkin results is good near $\overline{w} = 1$. This clearly shows that even with one term approximation the Galerkin method yields a reasonably accurate prediction for the synchronous response. For $\overline{w} < 1$, the Runge-Kutta results show a series of superharmonic resonances at \overline{w} approximately equal to 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, etc. The magnitude of these superharmonic amplitudes is, of course, governed by the damping factor.

Fig. 19 shows the trajectory in the phase-space plot for condition near the second superharmonic resonance. The final limit cycle forms a two-loop orbit showing typical characteristics of a superharmonic response. Other trajectories at the third and fourth superharmonic resonances are also shown in Figs. 20 to 22 . A subharmonic resonance is also found for $\overline{w} \sim 2.0$, but the amplitude is small and harmless. The Characteristics of the phase space trajectories near $\overline{\omega} = 1$ are plotted in Figs. 23 to 25.

IV. STABILITY OF AN INFINITELY-WIDE RAYLEIGH-STEP PAD

4.1 Statement of the Problem

It is well known in hydrodynamic lubrication that a dynamic system involving any fluid-film supports may, under certain conditions, encounter detrimental self-excited oscillations commonly known as dynamical instability of fluid-film bearings. The gas-film bearings are particularly susceptible to this type of instability. The fractional frequency whirl of a shaft supported on gas-bearings and the pneumatic hammer in externally pressurized gas-bearings are two of the prominent examples of the fluidfilm instability. For journal bearings, the gas-film instability usually occurs if either the rotating frequency or the supported mass becomes large. There have been considerable data available to predict the threshold speed or critical mass of the journal bearing. However. for gas-lubricated thrust pads, the problem of instability is relatively unexplored. Since present trends in gas-bearing are always toward higher and higher speeds, it is important to determine whether there exists any stability threshold associated with a gas-lubricated, thrust pad.

This chapter is devoted to the stability analysis of a thrust pad with a Rayleigh-step. The geometry of such a thrust pad is shown in Fig.26. In order not to impose excessive burdens on the analysis, the assumption of an infinitely wide pad has been made. Moreover, the motion of the pad is assumed to be restricted in the transverse direction only. With these two assumptions, the problem is reduced to the stability of a single-degree-of-freedom dynamical system with restoring pressures governed by a partial differential equation in space and time.

- 31 -

4.2 Governing Equations

The one-dimensional, time-dependent pressure distribution is governed by the Reynolds equation,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(ph^{3} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \right) = 6\mu u \frac{\partial (ph)}{\partial \dot{x}} + 12\mu \frac{\partial (ph)}{\partial \dot{t}}$$
(4.1)
where $h = \delta + h_{o} + e(t)$ for $0 < x < B_{1}$
 $h = h_{o} + e(t)$ for $B_{1} < x < B$
 $e(t)$ is the upward motion of the pad.

The boundary conditions for Eq. (4.1) are

$$p = p_a \text{ at } \mathbf{x} = 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{x} = B \tag{4.2}$$

The equation governing the pad motion is,

$$m \frac{d^2 e}{dt^2} = \int_{0}^{B} (p - p_a) dx - W_{0}$$
(4.3)

where m is the mass per unit length of the pad, B the length of the pad, and W_{O} the static load imposed on the pad. The dynamic system represented by the coupled equations, (4.1) and (4.3) are to be investigated for the stability for an equilibrium position.

4.3 Method of Solution

The time-dependent, nonlinear, pressure equation, Eq. (4.1), is difficult to solve analytically. A numerical approach has been used here in solving a set of discretized, time-dependent, pressures along the coordinate X.

The discretization of pressure is achieved by considering the flow

balance in an elemental volume within the gas film as shown in Fig. 26 for the i-th element. The flow rates in and out the element are respectively q_1 and q_2 , and

$$q_{1} = \left(-\frac{\rho h^{3}}{12\mu}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{u_{1} + u_{2}}{2}\rho h\right)_{1-\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$q_{2} = \left(-\frac{\rho h^{3}}{12\mu}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{u_{1} + u_{2}}{2}\rho h\right)_{1+\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $u_1 = u$ and $u_2 = 0$

Considering the flow balance,

 $q_1 - q_2 = rate of mass stored within the elemental volume$ It follows,

$$- \left(\frac{\rho h^{3}}{12\mu}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}\right)_{1-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{\rho h^{3}}{12\mu}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}\right)_{1+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{u}{2}\left[\left(\rho h\right)_{1-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\rho h\right)_{1+\frac{1}{2}}\right]$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\rho_{1}\left(h_{1-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\Delta x}{2}+h_{1+\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\Delta x}{2}\right)\right] \qquad (4.5)$$

Using the isothermal relation,

$$\frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{R} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{constant}$$
(4.6)

Introducing the non-dimensional parameters,

$$P = \frac{P}{p_a}$$
(4.7)
$$X = \frac{X}{B}$$

(4.4)

.

(4.8)

Equation (4.7) cont'd

$$H = \frac{h}{\delta} = \frac{h}{h_2 - h_1}$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{6\mu BU}{P_a \delta^2}$$

$$\sigma = \frac{12\mu v B^2}{P_a \delta^2}$$

$$T = vt$$

$$v = excitation frequency$$
and using the following finite app

using the following finite approximations for $\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial X}\right)_{i=\frac{1}{2}}$, $P_{i-\frac{1}{2}}$, $H_{i-\frac{1}{2}}$ $\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial X}\right)_{i=\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{P_i - P_{i-1}}{X_i - X_{i-1}} = \frac{P_i - P_{i-1}}{\Delta X_{i-1}}$ $P_{i-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} (P_i + P_{i-1})$ $H_{i-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} (H_i + H_{i-1})$ (1)

the discretized pressure equation becomes

$$\frac{1}{4\Delta \mathbf{x}_{i}} \left(\mathbf{P}_{i+1}^{2} - \mathbf{P}_{i}^{2} \right) \mathbf{H}_{s,i} - \frac{1}{4\Delta \mathbf{x}_{i-1}} \left(\mathbf{P}_{i}^{2} - \mathbf{P}_{i-1}^{2} \right) \mathbf{H}_{s,i-1}$$

$$- \Lambda \left(\mathbf{P}_{i+1} + \mathbf{P}_{i} \right) \left(\mathbf{H}_{i+1} + \mathbf{H}_{i} \right) + \Lambda \left(\mathbf{P}_{i} + \mathbf{P}_{i-1} \right) \left(\mathbf{H}_{i} + \mathbf{H}_{i-1} \right)$$

$$= \sigma \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left[\mathbf{P}_{i} \left(\mathbf{H}_{i} + \mathbf{H}_{i-1} \right) \right] \Delta \mathbf{x}_{i-1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left[\mathbf{P}_{i} \left(\mathbf{H}_{i+1} + \mathbf{H}_{i} \right) \right] \Delta \mathbf{x}_{i} \right\} \qquad (4.55)$$

where

$$H_{s,i} = (H_{i+1} + H_i)^3$$
 (4.10)

 $A_{i} = a_{0,i}P_{i+1,0} + d_{0,i}$

 $B_{i} = b_{o,i}P_{i,o} + e_{o,i}$ $C_{i} = c_{o,i}P_{i-1,o} + f_{o,i}$

$$a_{0,i} = \frac{H_{3,i}}{4\Delta X_{i}}, \quad d_{0,i} = -\Lambda(H_{i+1,0} + H_{i,0})$$

$$c_{0,i} = \frac{H_{3,i-1}}{4\Delta X_{i-1}}, \quad f_{0,i} = \Lambda(H_{i,0} + H_{i-1,0})$$

$$b_{0,i} = -a_{0,i} - c_{0,i}, \quad e_{0,i} = d_{0,i} + f_{0,i} \quad (6.17)$$

and

$$P_{1,0} = P_{n,0} = 1$$
 (4.18)

Since the algebraic equations (4.16) are nonlinear, Taylor's expansion is used to reach the following simultaneous equations for $\triangle P_{i,o}$

$$\Delta \Phi_{\mathbf{i}} = -\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{0}}) \tag{4.19}$$

where $\triangle \Phi_{i} = (A_{i} + a_{o,i}P_{i+1,o}) \triangle P_{i+1,o}$ + $(B_{i} + b_{o,i}P_{i,o}) \triangle P_{i,o}$

+
$$(C_i + C_{o,i}P_{i,o}) \land P_{i-1,o}$$

Equations(4.19) are inverted directly for successive $\triangle P_{i,o}$ until the convergence is reached.

In equation (4.9), both the discretized pressure P_i and H_i are dependent on time. For transient studies, they have to be solved simultaneously with the dynamic equation of motion, Eq. (4.3). However, for small oscillations and stability analysis, the variation of H and P with time can be considered as small perturbations about the equilibrium solution, H_o and P_o . These small perturbed quantities can be expressed as

$$H_{i}(T,X) = H_{i,o}(X) + \varepsilon(T)$$
(4.11)

$$P_{i}(T,X) = P_{i,o}(X) - \epsilon(T) P_{i,c}(X)$$
 (4.12)

where $\epsilon = \frac{e}{\delta}$

$$\left|\frac{\varepsilon}{H_{1}}\right| \ll 1$$

The minus sign in (4.12) is due to the fact that an increase in thickness leads to a decrease of pressure of the gas film. Substituting (4.11) and (4.12) into Equation (4.9), the equilibrium equation and the first order, perturbed equations can be obtained. They are

$$\frac{1}{4\Delta x_{i}} \left(P_{i+1,o}^{2} - P_{i,o}^{2} \right) H_{s,i} - \frac{1}{4\Delta x_{i-1}} \left(P_{i,o}^{2} - P_{i-1,o}^{2} \right) H_{s,i-1} - \Lambda \left(P_{i+1,o}^{2} + P_{i,o}^{2} \right) \left(H_{i+1,o}^{2} + H_{i,o}^{2} \right) + \Lambda \left(P_{i,o}^{2} + P_{i-1,o}^{2} \right) \left(H_{i,o}^{2} + H_{i-1,o}^{2} \right) + \Lambda \left(P_{i,o}^{2} + P_{i-1,o}^{2} \right) \left(H_{i,o}^{2} + H_{i-1,o}^{2} \right) \right)$$

$$= 0 \qquad (4.13)$$

and

$$(P_{i+1,o}a_{s,i} + a_{n,i})P_{i+1,c} + (P_{i,o}b_{s,i} + b_{n,i})F_{i,c}$$

$$+ (P_{i-1,o}c_{s,i} + c_{n,i}) - d_i$$

$$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial T} \left[P_{i,o}f_i + e_iP_{i,c} \right]$$

$$(4.14)$$

where

$$a_{s,i} = \frac{H_{s,i}}{8\Delta X_{i}}, \quad a_{n,i} = -\frac{\Lambda}{4} (H_{i+1,o} + H_{i,o})$$

$$c_{s,i} = -\frac{H_{s,i-1}}{8\Delta X_{i-1}}, \quad c_{n,i} = \frac{\Lambda}{4} (H_{i,o} + H_{i-1,o})$$

$$b_{s,i} = -a_{s,i} - c_{s,i}, \quad b_{n,i} = a_{n,i} + c_{n,i}$$

$$d_{i} = \frac{1}{4\Delta X_{i}} \left(P_{i+1,o}^{2} - P_{i,o}^{2} \right) (H_{i+1,o}^{2} + H_{i+1,o}H_{i,o} + H_{i,o}^{2})$$

$$- \frac{1}{4\Delta X_{i-1}} \left(P_{i,o}^{2} - P_{i-1,o}^{2} \right) (H_{i,o}^{2} + H_{i,o}H_{i-1,o} + H_{i-1,o}^{2})$$

$$- \frac{\Lambda}{2} (P_{i+1,o} - P_{i,o})$$

$$e_{i} = \frac{\sigma}{4} \left[(H_{i+1,o} + H_{i,o}) \Delta X_{i} + (H_{i,o} + H_{i-1,o}) \Delta X_{i-1} \right]$$

$$f_{i} = -\frac{\sigma}{2} (\Delta X_{i-1} + \Delta X_{i}) \quad (4.15)$$

Equations (4.13) are nonlinear simultaneous algebraic equations for the pressure distribution $P_{i,o}$. They are solved numerically by Newton-Raphson method. The boundary conditions are $P_o(1,n) = 1$.

Rewrite equation (4.13) as

$$\Phi_{i}(P_{i,o}) = A_{i}P_{i+1,o} + B_{i}P_{i,o} + C_{i}P_{i-1,o} = 0$$
(4.16)

for i = 2, ..., n - 1.

After the equilibrium pressure distribution is found, Equations (4.14) are solved for the first order pressure distribution, $P_{i,c}$. In Equations (4.14), both $P_{i,c}$ and $\varepsilon(T)$ are complex quantities, and they are assumed to be

$$P_{i,c} = P_{i,R} + jP_{i,I}$$
 (4.20)

and

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 e^{j T}$$
(4.21)

The boundary conditions are $P_{i,c} = 0$ at entrance and exit, which gives

$$P_{1,R} = P_{n,R} = P_{1,I} = P_{n,I} = 0$$
 (4.22)

Substituting Eqs. (4.20) and (4.21) into Eq. (4.14), one obtains a system of 2n - 4 simultanous, linear equations,

$$A_{i+1,i}X_{i+1} + A_{i,i}X_{i} + A_{i-1,i}X_{i-1} + A_{i+n-2,i}X_{i+n-2} = D_{i}$$

for i= 1,.... n-2 (4.23)

$$A_{i-n+2,i} \times A_{i-n+2} + A_{i+1,i} \times A_{i+1} + A_{i,i} \times A_{i-1,i} \times A_{i-1,i} = D_{i}$$

for $i = n-1, \dots, 2n-4$

In the above, A and X for $1 = 1, \dots, n-2$ are defined by, j = i+1

$$A_{i+1,i} = P_{j,o}a_{s,j} + a_{n,j} , \quad X_{i+1} = P_{i+2,R}$$

$$A_{i,i} = P_{j,o}b_{s,j} + b_{n,j} , \quad X_{i} = P_{i+1,R} \quad (4.24)$$

$$A_{i-1,i} = P_{j-1,o}c_{s,j} + c_{n,j} , \quad X_{i-1} = P_{i,R}$$

$$A_{i+n-2,i} = e_{j} , \quad X_{i+n-2} = P_{i+1,1}$$

$$D_{i} = d_{j}$$

and for $i = n - 1, \dots, 2n - 4$, they are defined by,

$$j = i - n + 3$$

$$A_{i+1,i} = P_{j+1,o}a_{s,j} + a_{n,j} , \quad X_{i+1} = P_{i-n+4,I}$$

$$A_{i,i} = P_{j,o}b_{s,j} + b_{n,j} , \quad X_i = P_{i-n+3,I}$$

$$A_{i-1,i} = P_{j-1,o}c_{s,j} + c_{n,j} , \quad X_{i-1} = P_{i-n+2,I}$$

$$A_{i-n+2,i} = -e_{j} , \quad X_{i-n+2} = P_{i-n+3,R}$$

$$D_{i} = f_{j}P_{j,o}$$

$$(4.25)$$

The boundary conditions become

$$X_{o} = P_{1,R} = 0$$
for equations with i = 1,
n - 2, respectively
$$X_{n-1} = P_{n-R} = 0$$
(4.26)

and

$$X_{n-2} = P_{1,1} = 0$$

for equations with $i = n - 1$,
 $2n - 4$ respectively
$$X_{2n-3} = P_{n,1} = 0$$

(4.27)

These simultaneous equations (4.23) can now be solved for [X] vector by direct matrix inversion. A computer program has been written to solve for $P_{i,0}$ and $P_{i,c}$ for different real values of v, and the Fortran listing of this program is included in Appendix D.

Once the real and imaginary part of the gas film pressure are determined, the integration of $P_{i,R}$ and $P_{i,I}$ gives respectively the in-phase and out-of-phase bearing forces. The in-phase force $\int_{0}^{1} P_{R} dX$, can be interpreted as the stiffness of the film, whereas the out-of-phase, $\int_{-1}^{\infty} P_{I} dX$, represents the damping factor of the film. It should be emphasized that both the in-phase and out-of-phase perturbed pressure are dependent upon σ , or the excitation frequency v of the mass. The frequency-dependent characteristics of the gas-film reactions is a direct consequence of the inclusion of the term $\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}$. The use of these frequency-dependent bearing forces in determining the dynamic stability of the gas-film and pad system is described in the next section.

4.4 Stability Criterion

The stability of the gas-film and pad is governed by the equation of motion, Eq. (4.3), which, in its non-dimensional form, appears as

$$\frac{d^2\varepsilon}{dT^2} = \frac{P_a^B}{m\delta v^2} \int_0^1 (P-1)dX - \frac{W_o}{m\delta v^2}$$
(4.28)

Recalling the pressure is the summation of the equilibrium pressure, P_{o} , and the dynamic pressure, - ϵP_c , one obtains

$$\frac{\mathbf{p}_{a}^{B}}{m\delta v^{2}}\int_{0}^{1} (\mathbf{P}-1)d\mathbf{X} - \frac{W_{o}}{m\delta v^{2}} = -\frac{\mathbf{p}_{a}^{B}}{m\delta v^{2}}\varepsilon \int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{p}_{c}d\mathbf{X}$$
(4.29)

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{m\delta v^2}{p_a^B}\right)\frac{d^2\varepsilon}{dT^2} + \varepsilon \int_0^1 p_c dX = 0$$
(4.30)

Mathematically, Eq. (4.30) represents a free oscillation problem which contains stiffness and damping factors depending upon the frequency of the oscillations. A direct approach in determining of the stability of this single-degree of freedom problem is to look for the eigenvalue of this system. If the real part of the eigenvalue is negative, the system is stable; otherwise it is unstable. If the eigenvalue is purely imaginary, then the system is at its threshold of instability.

А.

Alternatively, one can also determine the stability thresholds by assuming that the eigenvalue is a purely imaginary number and inquire what would be the mass parameter, $\frac{m\delta v^2}{p_-^B}$, for a purely imaginary eigenvalue.

Let the eigenvalue be represented by $\lambda + j\nu$, and for a pure imaginary eigenvalue, $\lambda = 0$. It follows that

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_0 e^{j\nu t} = \epsilon_0 e^{jT}$$
(4.31)

Substituting Eq. (4.27) into Eq. (4.26), and separating the real from the imaginary part, one obtains

$$\int_{0}^{1} P_{I}(v) dX = 0$$
 (4.32)

$$\int_{0}^{1} P_{R}(v) dX - \frac{m\delta v^{2}}{P_{a}^{B}} = 0$$
(4.33)

where $P_{I}(v)$ and $P_{R}(v)$ are solutions of Equations (4.23) for a given value of v. The pure imaginary eigenvalue v may be determined by evaluating the integral, $\int_{0}^{1} P_{I}(v) dX$, for various values of v until the integral changes its sign. The exact eigen frequency v may be calculated by a linear interpolation. Once the eigen frequency is found, the critical mass at the threshold of instability can be determined by Eq. (4.28), and

$$\mathbf{m}_{cr} = \frac{\mathbf{p}_{a}^{B}}{\delta v^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{P}_{R}(v) d\mathbf{X}$$
(4.34)

Equation (4.29) predicts a quantitative value of the critical mass, but does not furnish any information on which side the stable region lies. To determine the region of stability, one may use the criterion developed by Malanowski and Pan(ref. 17). Their criterion can be stated in the following manner.

<u>Stability Criterion</u> - The system consisting of a thrust bearing of mass, m, with Rayleigh Shrouded-Step Seal is in a state of self-sustained oscillation at frequency v_0 when and only when the out-of-phase component of the bearing reaction, $\int_0^1 P_I(v) dX$, vanishes, and when the mass, m has the critical magnitude to be in resonance with the in-phase bearing reaction, Equation (4.28). The system will become unstable if the mass exceeds the critical value provided the out-of-phase bearing reaction increases with the frequency v_0 , and conversely.

4.5 Results

The steady state pressure distribution, P_o, has been calculated numerically for the following parameters:

^B1/_B = 0.5, and 0.75
H =
$$\frac{h}{\delta}$$
 = 0.5 and 0.75
 $\Lambda = \frac{6\mu bU}{p_a \delta^2}$ = 8.4, 42, and 100

The resulting pressure curves are plotted in Fig. 27 , and they are in excellent agreement with the analytical solution provided by Kochi (Ref. 6) This comparison confirms the accuracy of the present numerical solution of the steady state pressure distribution, $P_{\rm o}$.

For each steady-state pressure distribution, the dynamic pressure distributions, P_R and P_I are calculated for a series value of σ . Figs.28 to 35 show a typical series of the dynamic pressure profiles for $B_1/B = 0.5$ and 0.75 , H = 0.5, and $\Lambda = 42$. For small excitation frequencies, the real part of the dynamic pressure is dominated by the bearing parameter Λ , and the profile is similar to the static pressure distribution, and is relatively independent of σ . As σ increases, the pressure distribution, P_R , becomes slightly wavy at both edges. The waviness penetrates deeper as σ further increases. As σ approaches infinity, the effect of Λ disappears, and P_R approaches the asymptotic solution,

$$(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{R}})_{\sigma \to \infty} = \frac{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{O}}}{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{O}}}$$
(4.35)

The imaginary part of the dynamic pressure takes a wavy pattern even for small values of σ . For σ approaches infinity, the values for P_I vanishes throughout the entire region.

The in-phase and out-of-phase forces are plotted as a function of σ in Fig. 36 , and also listed in Tables 3 to 5. It is seen that for small or moderate values of Λ , the out-of-phase force never becomes negative. This indicates that for nearly incompressible cases, there exists no stability threshold, and all equilibrium solutions are stable. As Λ becomes extremely large, the out-of-phase force does become negative at a fairly high value of σ . This crossing-over of zero line indicates that for a highly compressible film, there does exist a stability threshold, and the gas film will exhibit a self-excited oscillation at a fairly high frequency. Moreover, the criterion in Reference 16 suggests that the stable region lies in the area where the mass of the pad is greater than the critica: mass calculated according to Eq. (4.34).

V. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

1. The gas-film restoring forces in a Rayleigh-Shrouded-Step thrust pad can be determined numerically by solving the discretized time-dependent Reynolds equation with irregular grid-spacings to account for any abrupt changes of pressure at the step and at the exit edge.

2. For conditions of high ambient pressure, for which the term of $h \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}$ can be neglected in comparison with other terms at the right side of the Reynolds equation, the gas-film force is found to be approximately inversely proportional to nth power of the film thickness and directly proportional to the squeeze-film velocity. The exact value of n is a function of the step geometry. In general, n lie between 2 and 3.

3. The axial, non-linear response of the Rayleigh-Shrouded-Step pad to a sinusoidal, axial forcing function or a sinusoidal disturbance due to the rotor misalignment or surface waviness can be determined by one of the following two methods:

- a, By assuming the response to be a truncated Fourier series in multiples of the excitation frequency, the Ritz-Galerkin procedure can be employed to predict the non-linear behavior of the pad motion.
- b, By integrating directly the equation of motion of the thrust pad using a step-by-step, numerical routine, the Runge-Kutta procedure.

4. Results obtained by using the Ritz-Galerkin method with the first harmonic terms show considerably departures from the linear response curve as the frequency approaches the resonance based on the linear theory. The asymmetric spring characteristics of the gas film result into a nonlinear response similar to that caused by a symmetric, soft, non-linear

- 44 -

spring. The resonating peak bends inward and occurs at a frequency less than the resonating frequency based on the linear theory. The peak can be suppressed by decreasing the mass, increasing the damping, and increase the stiffness.

5. Results obtained by the step-by-step direct integration confirms the approximate solution in the vicinity of the resonance. The direct integration also predicts a number of additional peaks at frequencies less than the resonating frequency known as the superharmonic resonance.

6. The gas-film instability of an infinitely-wide Rayleigh step thrust pad canbe determined by solving the complete, time dependent, Reynolds equation coupled with the equation of the motion of the pad. Results show that for bearing numbers, Λ , up to 50, the Rayleigh step geometry is very stable, and no stability threshold has been discovered. For ultra high values of $\Lambda \ge 100$, a stability threshold is shown to exist, and the stability can be achieved by making the mass heavier than the critical mass.

- 45 -

REFERENCES

- Rayleigh, Lord, "Notes on Theory of Lubrication," Phil. Mag., 35, 1912, p.1-12.
- Wylie, G. M. and Maday, C. J., "The Optimum One-Dimensional Hydrodynamic Gas Rayleigh Step Bearing," J. Lub. Tech., Trans. ASME, V.93, No.3, July 1970, p.504-508.
- Kettleborough, C. F., "Stepped Thrust Bearing-Solution by Relaxation Methods," J. Appl. Mechanics, Trans. ASME, 76, 1954, p.19-24.
- 4. Archibald, F. R., and Hamrock, B. J., "The Rayleigh Step Bearing Applied to a Gas-Lubricated Journal of Finite Length," J. Lub. Tech., Trans. ASME, Series F, V.90, No.1, Jan. 1967, p.38-47.
- Hamrock, B. J., "Rayleigh-Step Journal Bearing, Part 1- Compressible Fluid," J. Lub. Tech., Trans. ASME, Series F, V.90, No.1, Jan. 1968, p.271-280.
- Kochi, K. C., "Characteristics of Self-Lubricated Stepped Thrust Pad of Infinite Width with Compressible Lubricant," J. Basic Engincering, Trans. ASME, June 1959, p.135-146.
- Ausman, J. S., "An Approximation Analytical Solution for Self-Acting Gas Lubrication of Stepped Sector Thrust Bearing," ASLE Trans., 4, 1961, p.304-314.
- Cheng, H. S., Chow, C. Y., and Wilcock, D. F., "Behavior of Hydrostatic and Hydrodynamic Noncontacting Face Seal," J. Lub. Tech., Trans. ASME, V.90, No.2, April 1968, p.510-519.
- 9. Pratt & Whitney Aircraft Co. and Mechanical Technology Inc., "Self Annual Report No.1 & No.2, Development of Compressor End Seals, Stator Interstage Seals, and Stator Pivot Seals in Advanced Air Breathing Propulsion Systems," PW&A 2752 & 2875 Contract NAS3-7605.
- Chow, C. Y., Cheng H. S., and Wilcock, D. F., "Optimum Surface Profile for the Enclosed Pocket Hydrodynamic Gas Thrust Bearing," J. Lub. Tech., Trans. ASME, V.92, No.2, April 1970, p.318-324.
- Pinkus, O. and Sternlicht, B., "Theory of Hydrodynamic Lubrication," Mc-Graw-Hill, N. Y., 1961.
- Kingsbury, A., "On Problems in the Theory of Fluid-Film Lubrication with an Experimental Method of Solution," Trans. ASME, 53, 1931 p.59-75.

- Castelli, V., and Pirvics, J., "Review of Numerical Methods in Gas Bearing Film Analysis," J. Lub. Tech., Trans. ASME, V.90, No.4, Oct. 1968, p.777-792.
- Chiang, T., and Cheng, H. S., "An Analysis of Flexible Seal Ring," ASLE Trans., V.LL, No.3, July 1968, p.204-215.
- Mc-Lechlan, N. W., "Ordinary Nonlinear Differential Equations," Oxford University Press, Amen House, London E. C. 4, 1950.
- 16. Malanoski, S. B., and Pan, C. H. T., "The Static and Dynamic Characteristic of the Spiral-Groved Thrust Bearing," MTI-64TR11.
- Kettleborough, C. F., "An Electrolytic Tank Investigation into Stepped Thrust Bearings," Proc. Inst. Mech. Engrs., 169, 1955, p.679-688.

18. Cunningham, W. J., "Nonlinear Analysis," Mc-Graw-Hill, N. Y., 1958.

GAS FILM FORCES (1b_f)

ω = 277 rev./sec.

| H min dh/dt | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.20 |
|---|---------|------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|----------------|----------------|
| -l in/sec. | 0.50635 | 1.10134 | 3,2606 | 6 .996 5 | 19.949 | 65. 138 | 139.729 |
| -0.5 | 0.45104 | 0 .979 13 | 2,8878 | 6.1765 | 17.499 | 56.041 | 115.531 |
| -0.25 | 0.42338 | 0,91801 | 2.7015 | 5 .7667 | 16.275 | 51,529 | 103.734 |
| +0.25 | 0,36806 | 0.79580 | 2,3290 | 4.9477 | 13.833 | 42.576 | 80.7 50 |
| +0. 5 | 0.34040 | 0.73470 | 2.1428 | 4.5384 | 12.615 | 38,135 | 69.559 |
| +1 | 0.28509 | 0.61250 | 1.7704 | 3 .7204 | 10,182 | 29.325 | 47.778 |
| 0 | 0,3957 | 0,8569 | 2,515 | 5.357 | 15.06 | 47.124 | 92.8 |
| $\frac{\mathbf{F_{g1}}^{-} \mathbf{F_{g2}}}{\mathbf{h_{2}}^{-} \mathbf{h_{1}}} =$ | 0.1106 | 0.2444 | 0.7450 | 1,6380 | 4.8836 | 17,907 | 45.97 5 |

$$\mu = 6 \times 10^{-9} \frac{1b_{f} - sec}{in^{2}}$$

4

Λ = 8.30076

| TAB | LE | 2 |
|-----|----|---|
|-----|----|---|

| | | | | | - | | |
|----------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|
| H h in/sec. | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.75 | .50 | , 30 | 0.20 |
| -1 | 0.544 | 1.116 | 3.08 | 6.33 | 17,403 | 62.7 | 171.2 |
| | + 1.5% | + 1.45% | - 5.53% | - 9.5% | - 12.5% | - 3.7% | + 22.5% |
| -0.5 | 0.477 | 0.978 | 2.70 | 5.55 | 15.28 | 54.95 | 150.1 |
| | + 5.78% | - 0.1% | - 6.5% | - 10.1% | - 6.29% | + 6.65% | + 30% |
| -0.25 | 0.4435 | 0.909 | 2.51 | 5.16 | 14.205 | 51.075 | 139.05 |
| | + 4.78% | - 0.98% | - 7.07% | - 10.5% | - 12.3% | - 0.89% | + 33% |
| +0.25 | 0.3765 | 0.771 | 2.13 | 4.38 | 12,055 | 43,325 | 118.95 |
| | + 2.34% | - 3.01% | - 8.2% | - 11.5% | - 12.9% | + 1.76% | 47.4% |
| 0.5 | 0.344 | 0.703 | 1.94 | 3.99 | 10.98 | 39.45 | 107.9 |
| | + 1.18% | - 4.22% | - 9.35% | - 11,8% | - 12.9% | + 3.46% | 55.2% |
| 1 | 0.276 | 0.564 | 1.56 | 3,21 | 8.83 | 31 .7 | 86.8 |
| | - 3.15% | - 7.85% | - 11.85% | - 13.7% | - 15% | + 8,2% | + 82% |

LOAD (15_f) CALCULATED AND ERROR OCCURRED (%)

DYNAMIC BEARING REACTION FOR

| $B_1/B = .75 H_2 = 0.5$ | |
|-------------------------|---|
| Λ = 8.4 | |
| | $\int_{0}^{1} P_{R} dX$ |
| .13373 | 1.1836 |
| .21003 | 1.2694 |
| .27600 | 1.4097 |
| .24248 | 1,5930 |
| .18121 | 1.6590 |
| .12761 | 1.6887 |
| | $B_1/B = .75 H_2 = 0.5$ $\Lambda = 8.4$ $\int_{0}^{1} P_1 dX$.13373 .21003 .27600 .24248 .18121 .12761 |

Λ = 42

| 1 | .005975 | 1.5018 |
|-------------------|---------|--------|
| 10 | .057536 | 1.5144 |
| 50 | .11984 | 1.6844 |
| 100 | .020667 | 1.7192 |
| 200 | .031105 | 1,5013 |
| 300 | .26865 | 1.5269 |
| 500 | .37916 | 1.8392 |
| 2×10^{3} | .079279 | 2,0095 |
| 10 ⁴ | .045201 | 2.0296 |

Λ = 100

| 10 | .05897 | 1.0295 |
|-----|--------|--------|
| 50 | .28043 | 1,1019 |
| 100 | .47831 | 1.3014 |
| 200 | .47977 | 1,7884 |
| 300 | .13904 | 1.9829 |
| 500 | 12737 | 1.5789 |

DYNAMIC BEARING REACTION FOR

 $B_1/B = .75 H_2 = 0.75$

| | $\Lambda = 8.4$ | |
|-----|-----------------|-------------------------|
| σ | | $\int_{0}^{1} P_{R} dX$ |
| 10 | .15200 | .55179 |
| 50 | .16382 | .78737 |
| 100 | . 15032 | .84853 |
| 200 | . 14273 | .92439 |
| 300 | .12283 | . 96835 |
| 500 | .08910 | 1.0045 |
| | | |

Λ = 42

| 1 | .009264 | .61777 |
|-----|---------|--------|
| 10 | .090506 | .63251 |
| 50 | .27479 | .86727 |
| 100 | .17722 | 1,0606 |
| 200 | .052296 | 1.0238 |
| 300 | .10195 | .99666 |
| 500 | . 15700 | 1.0797 |
| | | |

 $\Lambda = 100$

| 1 | .004522 | .46999 |
|-----|---------|--------|
| 10 | .045139 | .47216 |
| 50 | .21611 | .52320 |
| 100 | .37708 | .66548 |
| 200 | .42895 | 1.0325 |
| 300 | .22267 | 1.2315 |
| 500 | 036699 | 1.0725 |

DYNAMIC BEARING REACTION FOR

| | $B_1/B = 0.5 H_2 = 0.5$ | |
|-----|-------------------------|-----------------|
| σ | $\Lambda = 8.4$ | |
| - | | Jo Run |
| 1 | .04398 | .9242 |
| 10 | .40067 | 1.0473 |
| 50 | .65404 | 1 .952 3 |
| 100 | .34743 | 2,2234 |
| 200 | .17872 | 2.2381 |
| 300 | . 14173 | 2.2543 |
| 500 | .10469 | 2.2785 |
| 800 | .07845 | 2.2960 |
| | | |

Λ = 42

| 1 | .00519 | 2.3313 |
|-----|----------|-----------------|
| 10 | . 05 146 | 2.3349 |
| 50 | .22100 | 2.3 9 47 |
| 100 | .38003 | 2.4791 |
| 200 | .66419 | 2.7731 |
| 300 | .65252 | 3.1907 |
| 500 | .22427 | 3,3674 |

Λ = 100

| 1 | .00727 | 1.9138 |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|
| 10 | .07261 | 1,9170 |
| 50 | .34848 | 1 .994 3 |
| 100 | .61342 | 2,2089 |
| 200 | .73899 | 2,7584 |
| 300 | . 49508 | 3.0561 |
| 500 | .292 31 | 2.8927 |





Fig.2 Flow balance around a typical grid point



Fig. 3 Pressure distribution for h=-Lips,Ham= 0.5

5 U



Fig. 4 Pressure distribution for h=l.ips. Hmin = 0.5

- 56 -



Fig. 5 Pressure distribution for h=-1. ips. H_{min}=1.

- 57 -



- 58 -

÷.,



Fig.7 CONTOUR MAP FOR PRESSURE DISTRIBUTIONS for h = -1 in/sec, $H_{min} = 0.5$

- 59 -



- 60 -




Flg.10 Simplfied seal ring-rotor system.



Fig.II Nonlinear response for H_=0.5, m=0.2 slug





- 65 -











Fig. 16 Nonlinear response for Ho=0.5 m=0.25 slug C2=0.38 lb\$/ips





٩

.



Fig.19 Phase plot with second harmonics ω̃=0.507



Fig.20 Phase plot with third harmonics $\vec{\omega}$ =0.338



Fig.21 Phase plot with forth harmonics $\bar{\omega}$ =0.248



Fig.22 Phase plot with 2nd order subharmonics $\overline{\omega}$ =2.096



Fig.23 Phase plot with limiting cycle of large amplitude $\bar{\omega}=0.732$



Fig.24 Phase plot with limiting cycle of small amplitude $\overline{\omega}$ =0.745

í



Fig.25 Phase plot at natural frequency $\bar{\omega}$ =1.0





Fig.26 Flow balance and geometry of a infinitely wide Rayleigh step pad

- 78 -









Fig.28 Real pressure profile for \AA =42, H₂=0.5, B₁/B=0.75





Fig.30 Real pressure profile for Λ =100, H₂=0.5, B₁/B=0.75









Fig.32 Real pressure profile for Λ =8.4, H₂=0.5, B₁/B=0.5



Fig.33 Imaginary pressure profile for Λ =8.4, H₂=0.5, B₁/B=0.5

- 85 -



Fig.34 Real pressure profile for Λ =42, H₂=0.5, B₁/B=0.5



Fig.35 Imaginary pressure profile for Λ =42, H₂=0.5, B₁/B=0.5

3



Fig. 36 Variation of dynamic bearing forces with the excitation frequency, σ for B₁/B=0.75, A=42, H₂=0.5

- 88 -

- 89 -

```
PROGRAM RSEAL(INPUT, OUTPUT, TAPE5=INPUT, TAPE6=OUTPUT)
c
      RAYTH
      DIMENSION DR(17), DTH(33), HMINA(10), RPSA(10), PAA(10), VISA(10), TH(
     1) • R(17) • FRC(17) • H(17, 33) • A1(17, 33) • A2(17, 33) • A3(17, 33) • A4(17, 33)
     2 A5(17,33),A6(17,33),A8(17,33),B(17),C(17),A(17,33),F(17),QSMA(1
     317),E(17,17,33),G(17,33),DQ(17,33),P(17,33),Q(17,33),QQQ(33),
     4PP(17),HOUT(10),HDOT(10)
    1 FORMAT(72H
                            )
     1
    2 FORMAT(1615)
    3 FORMAT(8F10.7)
      +0-MAT (+5,5X,7E10.4/(8E10C4DD
    5 FORMAT(6X,4HLAST,6X,4HNPAD,9X, 1HM, 9X, 1HN,8X, 2H1H,8X, 2HJH,7)
     1 3HIHH,7X, 3HJHH, /)
    6 FORMAT(8110./)
    7 FORMAT(4X, GHLKOUNT, 5X 5HND1AG, 6X 4HIRRG, 6X, 4HNPRE, /)
    8 FORMAT(/55H OUTSIDE DIAMETER(INCHES)
     1, 212, 5/)
    9 FORMAT(55H INSIDE DIAMFTER(INCHES)
     1 E12+571
   10 FORMAT(55H THE ANGLE EXTENDING THE POCKET REGION(DEG.)
     1 E12.5/)
   11 FORMAT(55H THE ANGLE EXTENDING THE LAND REGION(DEG.)
     1 E12.5/1
   12 FORMAT(55H STEP DEPTH(INCHES)
     1 E12.5/}
   13 FO-MAT(55+ OUTE- WIDTH OF THE SH-OUD(INCHES)
       +12+570
   14 FORMAT(55H INNER WIDTH OF THE SH-OUD(INCHES)
     1 E12.5/)
   15 FORMAT(55H CONVERGENCE ERROR
     1 E12.5/)
   16 FORMAT(54H GRID SPACINGS IN THE RADIAL DIRECTION(INCHES)
   17 FORMAT(54H GRID SPACINGS IN THE CIRCUMFERENTIAL DIRECTION(DEG)
   18 FORMAT(55H MINIMUM FILM THICKNESS (INCHES)
     1 E12+5/)
   19 FORMAT(55H REVOLUTIONS PER SECOND
     1 E12.57)
   20 0--17(1H + EL2.5)
                           -+25 -E0-5++
   2
     1 E12.5/)
   22 FORMAT(55H VISCOSITY(LB-SEC/+N$$2)
     1 E12.5/)
   23 FORMAT(55H LAMDA(6.*VIS$6.28$RP5$(R0/HM1N)**2/PA)
     1 E12C5/D
   24 FORMAT(12H RB(I))TH(J)
                                  1
   25 FO-MAT(25H HL,H-,HLH,HRH,HTH,HBH
       -- ITU15+ Alu+TUDTETC
                                    Ð
   2
   27 FO-MAT(45+ F(-), BU+D, C(+DTA(+T1-1), A(1,1), A(+,++1)
                                                                 •10X•2H
     1 .15.10X.2HJ=.15)
   28 FORMATE 25H MATRIX IS SINGULAR AT J= 13,16H,CASE ABANDONED./10.
   29 FORMAT(29HUFINAL PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION. 77)
   30 FORMATE //18H CASE CONVERGES TO F9.6.
                                            14H
                                                       AFTER 13.11H ITER
      1
```

~

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

- 90 -. ... 10NS) 54 10(1X,F11.7)) 31 FORMAT(/ 33 FORMAT(55H FILM THICKNESS AT O.D (INCHES) 1 E12.5/) 34 FORMAT(/55H TOTAL LUAD(LBS) 1,E12+5/) 35 FORMAT(55H DIMENSIONLESS LOAD=LOAD/(AREA*PA) 1 El2•57) 36 FORMAT(55H HORSEPOWE- LOSS 1 E12.5/,1H1) 37 FORMAT(55H STATIC SQUEEZE FILM VELO (IN/SEC) 1 E12.5/) 38 FORMAT (15,5X,(8E10.4)) +0-MATU55+ D+MENS+-NLESS STAT+C F+LM VELOC+TY W+TH HO=STEP DEPTH 3 1 E12.5/) NR=5N₩=6 READ(NR,1) 90 READ(NR+2)LAST+NPAD+M+N+IH+JH+IHH+JH++LKOUNT+NDIAG+IRKG+NPRE +NSYM 1.ND1 READ(NR,3)DO,DI,THG,THL,STEPD,WU,WI,ERROR NN=N-1MM = M - 1WRITE (NW,1) IF(IRRG.NE.1)CO TO 91 READ(NR,3)(DR(I),I=1,MM) READ(NR,3)(DTH(J),J=1,NN) WRITE(NW,16) WRITE(NW,20)(DR(I),1=1,MM) WRITE(NW,17) WRITE(NW,20)(DTH(J),J=1,NN) TWD=(DO-DI)*0.5 IF(NSYM.EQ.1) TWD=TWD*0.5 TLG=THG+THL DO 700 I=1.MM 700 DR(I)=DR(I)*TWD DO 701 J=1,NN 701 DTH(J)=DTH(J)*TLG GO TO 95 91 FMM=M-1 FNN=N-1 IHH1=IHH-1 AIHH1=IHH-IH BIH1=M-IHH 1H1=IH-1 AIH1=IH1 IF(NSYM.EQ.1) GO TO 710 IF(IH .EQ. 1) GD TU 709 DO 92 I=1,IH. 92 DR(I) =WI/AIH⊥ 709 DO 97 1=1H,IHH1 97 DR(I)=((D0-0:)*.5-WI-W0)/AIHH1 GO TO 720 710 FIHH1=IHH1

. . .

TEMP=((DO-DI)*0.25-WO)/FIHH1 DO 711 1=1,IHH1 711 DR(I)=TEMP 720 DO 98 1=1HH+MM 98 DR(I)≍WO781H1 JHH1=JHH-1 AJHH1≈JHH1 BJH=N+JHH DO 93 J=1,JHH1 93 DTH(J)=THG/AJHH1 DO 99 J≓JHH•NN 99 DTH(J)=THL/BJH 95 CONTINUE READ (NR,4) NVISM, (VISA(I), I=1, NVISM) READ(NR,4)NRPSM%(RPSA(I),I=I,NRPSM) READ(NR,4)NPAM, (PAA(I),I=1,NPAM) READ(NR,4)NHMM,(HMINA(I),I=1,NHMM) WRITE INPUT DATA С (HOUT(I), I=I, NHMM) READ (NR.3) READ (NR,4) NHUTM, (HDOT(I), I=1, NHUTM) WRITE(NW,5) WRITE(NW,6)LAST, NPAD, M, N, IH, JH, IHH, JHH WRITE(NW+7) WRITE(NW+6)LKOUNT+NDIAG+IRRG+NPRE WRITE(NW,8)DO WRITE(NW,9)DI WRITE(NW,10)THG WRITE(NW+11)THL WRITE(NW, 12)STEPD WRITE(NW,13)WO WRITE(NW,I4)WI WRITE(NW,15)ERROR IF (NDIAG) 731,731,730 730 WRITE(NW,16) WRITE(NW+20)(DR(I)+I=1+MM) WRITE(NW,17) WRITE(NW,20)(DTH(U),J#1,NN) 731 CONTINUE DO 1000 NVIS=1,NVISM VIS=VISA(NVIS) DO 1000 NRPS=1,NRPSM RPS=RPSA(NRPS) DO 1000 NPA=1,NPAM PA=PAA(NPA) DO 96 I=1,M DO 96 J=1.N 96 Q(I,J)=1. DO 1000 N+DT31TN+DTM DO 1000 NHM=1.NHMM HMIN=HMINA(NHM) CONE=HOUT(NHM)/HMIN CONE1=CONE-1. WRITE (NW, 33) HOUT(NHM) WRITE(NW, 18)HMIN

- 92 -

... WRITE(NW,19)RPS WRITE(NW,21)PA WRITE(NW+22)VIS 0-+TE UNOT3 D +DOTUN+DTD PI=3.1416 RU=D0/2.0 RI=DI/2+ PLAM=6.*VIS*RPS*2.*PI*((RO/HMIN)**2.)/PA CHDOT=HUOT(NHDT)/(2.*PI*RPS*HMIN) CHDT=HDOT(NHDT)/(2.*PI*RPS*STEPD) WRITE(NW+39) CHDT STEP=STEPD/HMIN WRITE(NW,23)PLAM STEPH=STEP*0.5 CC=0.01745329 С GENERATE COORDINATES TH(1) = 0. NN=N-1DO 100 J=1.NN 100 TH(J+1)=TH(J)+DFH(J)*CC R(1) = DI/DOMM = M - 1DO 105 I≈1,MM 105 R(I+1)=R(I)+DR(I)/RO DIDO=D1/DO ODIDO=1 - DIDOL6=1 K8≃1 ADMY≃1. 109 DO 107 J=1,N 107 H(L6,J)=ADMY IF (K8.EQ.2) GO TO 108 L6=M к8=2 ADMY=CONE GO TO 109 108 DO 106 I=2,MM RS9=R(I)DO 106 J=1.N 106 H(I+J)=1++CONE1*((RS9-DIDO)/ODIDO)**2 IF(NDIAG) 114,114,110 110 WRITE(NW,24) WRITE(NW,20)(R(I),I=1;M) WRITE(NW,20)(TH(J),J=1,M) С GENERATE A1(I,J) TO A6(I,J) DO 112 I≕l∍M 112 WRITE (NW, 20) (H(I,J),J=1,N) 114 DO 140 J=2,NN DZ1=TH(J+1)-TH(J-1)DZ2=2.*DZ1 DZ3=DZ2*(TH(J)-TH(J-1))DZ3=1./DZ3 D24=1./(D22*(VH(J+1)-TH(J))) DO 140 I=1,MM -

HL=H(I,J→1) and a second of the second HR = H(I, J+1)HLH=(H(I,J-1)+H(I,J))*0.5 HRH = (H(I, J+1) + H(I, J)) * 0.5HTH = (H(I+1,J) + H(I,J)) * 0.5HIFMP=H(I-1.J) IF(I.EQ.1)HTEMP=H(I.J) $HBH=(HTEMP + H(I \cdot J))*0.5$ +F(J-JHH) 111,120,130 POINTS AT THE LEFT SIDE OF THE STEP 111 IF(I-IH) 130,115,116 C POINTS ON THE BOTTOM EDGE 115 IF(NSYM .EQ. 1) GO TO 116 735 HL≠HL+STEPH HR=HR+STEPH HLH=HLH+STEPH HRH=HRH+STEPH IF(I.EQ.IH)HTH=HTH+STEP TF(I.EO.IHH)HBH=HBH+STEP GO TO 130 116 IF(I-IHH) 117,735,130 POINTS IN THE POCKET C 117 HL=HL+STEP HR=HR+STEP HLH=HLH+STEP HRH≒HRH+STEP HTH=HTH+STEP HBH=HBH+STEP GO TO 130 120 IF(+-IH) 130,125,126 ĉ BOTTOM OR TOP CORNER 125 HL≠HL+STEPH HLH≈HLH+STEPH IF(I.EQ.IH) HTH=HTH+STEPH IF(I.EQ.IHH) HBH=HBH+STEPH $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$ GO TO 130 126 IF(I-IHH) 127,125,130 C POINTS ALONG THE VERTICAL EDGE 127 HL=HL+STEP HLH=HLH+STEP HTH=HTH+STEPH HBH=HBH+STEPH GO TO 130 130 IF(NDIAG) 132,132,131 131 WRITE(NW, 25) WRITE(NW,20)HL,HR,HLH,HRH,HTH,HBH GENERATE A1(1,J),ETC С 132 RTEM=R(I-1) DRT=DR(I-1) IF(I.EQ.1)DRT=DR(I) IF(I.EQ.1)RTEM=R(I) TEMP1=PLAM*R(I)/DZ2 /(4.0*(DR(I)+DRT)/RO) TEMP2= 1.0 A1(I+J)=TEMP1*HL

.. .-

. –

server and the server and the server and the

```
A2(I,J)=TEMP1*HR
    A3(I,J)=DZ3*HLH**3/R(I)
    A4(I)=DZ4*HRH**3/R(I)
    A5(I,J)=TEMP2*HBH**3/(DRT /RU) *(R(I)+RTEM )
    A6(I,J)=TEMP2*HTH**3/(DR(I)/RO) *(R(I)+R(I+1))
    A8(I,J)=PLAM*P(I)*CHDOT
    IF(NDIAG) 140,140,133
133 WRITE(NW, 26)
    WRITE(NW,20)A1(I,J),A2(I,J),A3(I,J),A4(I,J),A5(I,J),A6(I,J),
   1 A8(I,J)
140 CONTINUE
    KOUNT=1
141 DO 143 I=1.M
    G(I_{1})=0.
    DO 142 K=1.M
142 E(I,K,1)=0.
143 CONTINUE
    DO 370 J=1.N
    DU 310 I=1.M
    IF(J.EQ.1.OR. J.EQ.N) GO TU 210
    IF( I .EQ. 1) GO TO 725
    IF( I .EQ. M) GO TO 210
    GO TO 726
725 IF (NSYM .NE.1) GO TO 210
726 SQP=SQRT(ABS(G(I,J+1)))
    SQM=SQRT(ABS(Q(I)J-1));
    SQQ=SQRT(ABS(Q([,J)))
    B(I)=A3(I,J)+A1(I,J)/(2.0*SQM)
    C(I) = A4(I,J) - A2(I,J)/(2.0*SQP)
    ASUM = A3(I,J) + A4(I,J) + A5(I,J) + A6(I,J)
    F(I)=A3(I,J)*Q(I,J-1)+A1(I,J)*SQM
    QTT=Q(I-1,J)
    IF(I .EQ. 1 .AND. NSYM .EQ. 1) QTT=Q(I+1,J)
    F(I)=F(I)+A5(I,J)*QTT --ASUM*Q(I,J)+A6(I,J)*Q(I+1,J)+A4(I,J)*Q
   1(I,J+1)-A2(I,J)*SQP-A8(I,J)*SQQ
    F(I) = -F(I)
    DO 150 K=1.M
    A(I,K) = 0.0
    IF(K.EQ.I) A(I,K)=-ASUM-A8*0.5/SQQ
    A6TT=A6(I,J)
    +F(+ .EQ. 1 .AND. NSYM .EQ. 1) A6TT=A6(I.J)+A5(I.J)
    +F(K•EQ•++1)A(I•K)=A6TT
    IF(K \cdot EQ \cdot I - I)A(I \cdot K) = A5(I \cdot J)
150 CONTINUE
    IF(J+NE+JHH) GO TO 305
    IF(I.EQ.IH.OR.I.EQ.IHH) GO TU 151
    IF(I.GT.IH.AND.I.LT.IHH) GO TO 152
    GU TO 305
151 DMSP=STEP*0.5
                                                    ORIGINAL PAGE IS
   GO TO 155
                                                    OF POOR QUALITY
152 DMSP=STEP
155 SQQ=SQRT(ABS(Q(I,J)))
    TEMP=A1(I,J)*DMSP/(H(I,J+1)+DMSP)
    F(I)= F(I)-TEMP*SOO
```

_ 04

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
|-------|--|--|-----|
| 205 | A(I,I)=A(I,I)+TEMP*0.5/SQQ | · · · · | |
| 305 | 1F(NUIAG) 310,310,306 | | |
| 300 | WRITEINWSZIJISJ | •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | |
| | $W(I) = (NW_{1}ZU)F(I)_{3}B(I)_{3}C(I)_{3}A(I_{3}I)$ | -1}*A(1*1)*A(1*1+1) | - |
| • • • | GO 10 310 | | |
| 210 | B(1)=0. | | |
| | C(I)=0 | | 2 |
| | F(1)=0. | | |
| | DO 211 K=1,M | | |
| | A(I+K)=0. | | |
| | IF(I•EQ•K)A(I•K)=1• | | |
| 211 | CONTINUE | | |
| | GO TO 305 | No. 1 Contraction Contraction Contraction | • • |
| 310 | CONTINUE | | |
| | DO 320 I=1.M | · · | |
| | DO 320 K=1.M | | |
| 320 | QSMA(I,K)=A(I,K)+B(I)*E(I,K,J) | | |
| | CALL MATINV(QSMA,M,BB,0,DET,ID) | | |
| | GO TO (340,330),ID | | |
| 340 | DO 360 I=1.M | | |
| | G(I,J+1)=J. | | |
| | DU 360 K=1,M | | |
| | G(I, J+1) = G(I, J+1) + QSMA(I, K) * (F(K)) |)-B(K)*G(K+J)) | |
| | $E(I_{9}K_{9}J+1) = -QSMA(I_{9}K) + C(K)$ | | |
| 360 | CONTINUE | | |
| 370 | CONTINUE | | |
| | DMA=0. | | |
| | D() = 380 i=1.M | | |
| | DMA = AMAX1 (DMA + ABS(G(T + N + 1))) | | |
| 380 | D(11 + N) = G(1 + N + 1) | | |
| 500 | DO 400 1 =2.0 | | |
| | 1 = N + 2 = 1 | | |
| | 0 - 1 + 2 = 0 = 1 - 1 - M | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 200 | | · · · · · | |
| 570 | | | |
| | | | |
| | DMA-AMAXI(DMA;ADS(DUM)) | | |
| 400 | | | |
| | DO 401 I=1.0 | | |
| | DU 401 J=1 | | - |
| 401 | $Q(I_{9}J) = Q(I_{9}J) + DQ(I_{9}J)$ | | |
| | +F (ND+AG)405,405,402 | and a second | |
| 402 | DU 403 J=1,N | | |
| 403 | WRITE(NW,20)(DQ(I,J),I \pm 1,M) | | |
| | D0 404 J=1,N | | |
| 404 | WRITE(NW,20)(Q(I,J),I=1,M) | | |
| 405 | CONTINUE | | |
| | GO TO 560 | some see | ÷ |
| | | | |

GO TO 1000 560 KOUNT≖KOUNT+1 IF (KOUNT.GE.LKOUNT) GO TO 561 IF (DMA.GT.ERROR) GO TO 141

- 40
- 40

- 4Ü
- 40 40

```
330 WRITE(NW,28)J
```

95 -

Ŋ

561 WRITE(NW, 30)ERROR, KOUNT IF(NPRE.EQ.1) WRITE(NW,29) DO 576 J=1+N DO 576 1=1.M P(I,J) = SQRT(ABS(Q(I,J)))576 CONTINUE DO 585 I=1.M 1F(NPRE.EQ.1)WRITE(NW.31)(P(I.J).J=1.N) DO 580 J=1.N 580 QUQ(J)=P(I+J)-1. NN=N-1PP(I)=0. DO 581 J=1+NN 581 PP(I) = PP(I) + DTH(J) * (QQQ(J) + QUQ(J+1)) *0,5 PP(I)=R(I)*CC*PP(I) IF(ND1)585,585,800 800 WRITE(NW, 31)PP(1) 585 CONTINUE FPAD=NPAD MM = M - 1WLOAD=0. DO 589 1=1.MM 589 WLOAD=WLOAD+DR(I)*(PP(I)+PP(I+1))*0.5 WLOAD=WLOAD*RC*PA*FPAD IF(NSYM.EQ.1)WLOAD=2.0*WLOAD WBAR=WLOAD/(3.1416*(RO**2-RI**2)*PA) IF (NSYM+EQ+1)WBAR=2+*WBAR DO 600 I=1.M IF(I.EQ.IH.OR.I.GT. IH) GO TO 593 591 FRC(I)=0. NN=N-1DU 592 J=1,NN 592 FRC(I)=FRC(I)+DTH(J)/H(I,J) FRC(1)=FRC(1)*CC*R(1)**3 GO TO 600 593 IF (I.GT.IHH) GO TO 591 FRC(I)=0NN=N-1DU 594 J=1,NN IF (J.EQ.JHH.OR.J.GT.JHH) TEMP=1.0/H(1,J) IF(J.LE.JHH)TEMP=1.0/(H(I,J)+STEP) 594 FRC(I)=FRC(I)+DTH(J)*TEMP FRC(I)=FRC(I)*CC*R(I)**3 600 CONTINUE MM = M - 1HPOW=0. DO 605 I=1.MM 605 HPOW=HPOW+FRC(I)*DR(I) HPOW=HPOW*VIS*6.2832*RPS*-0**3 HPOW=HPOW*FPAD*RPS*60./63000.0 HPOW=HPOW/HMIN IF(NSYM.EQ.1) HPOW=2.0*HPOW WRITE(NW, 34)WLOAD WRITE(NW, 35)WBAR

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY.

SUB-OUT+NE MATINVUATN1, BIM1, DETE- , ID) MATRIX INVERSION WITH ACCOMPANYING SOLUTION OF LINEAR EQUATIONS MAI10002 MAT10003 NOVEMBER 1962 S GOOD DAVID TAYLOR MODEL BASIN AM MATI MAT10004 DIMENSION A(17,17), B(17,1), INDEX(17,3) MAT10006 MAT10007 GENERAL FORM OF DIMENSION STATEMENT MAT10009 EQUIVALENCE (IROW, JROW), (ICOLU , JCOLU), (AMAX, F, SWAP) MA110012 MAT10013 INITIALIZATION MAT10014 MAT10015 M=M1 MAT10016 N=N110 DETER =1.0 MA110018 15 DO 20 J=1,N MAT 10019 20 INDEX(J,3) = 0 MAI 10020 30 DO 550 I=1.N MAT10021 MAT10022 SEARCH FOR PIMOT ELEMENT MAT10023 MAT10024 40 AMAX=0.0 MA110025 45 DO 105 J=1•N mAT10026 IF(INDEX(J,3)-1) 60, 105, 60 HAT:0027 60 DO 100 K=1.N MAL10028 +F(INDEX(K,3)-1) 80, 100, 715 AMAX -ABS (A(J,K))) 85, 100, 100 80 IF (MAT10030 5 +-0W3J 0 +COLU =K AMAX=ABS UA(J,K)) MAT10033 100 CONTINUE MAT10034 105 CONTINUE INDEX(+COLU ,3) = INDEX(+COLU ,3) +1 - MA110036 260 INDEX(I,1)=IROW 270 INDEX(I,2)=ICOLU M4410038 INTERCHANGE ROWS TO PUT PIVOT ELEMENT UN DIAGONAL HA110039 - 1 - 90**+0** 130 IF (IROW-ICOLU) 140, 310, 140 140 DETER =-DETER mA110043 150 DO 200 L=1+N · . .1044 160 SWAP=ATIROW,L) 170 A(IROW,L)=A(ICOLU ,L) 200 A(ICOLU ,L)=SWAP +F(M) 310, 310, 210

IF(LAST)90,90,1001 1001 STOP END

WRITE(NW, 36) HPOW 1000 CONTINUE

المراجعين المحتري والمراجع

- 97 -
- 98 -210 DO 250 L=1, M MAT10048 MAT10049 220 SWAP=B(+-OW+L) 230 B(IROW,L)=B(ICOLU ,L) 250 B(ICOLU .L)=SWAP MATION52 DIV+DE PIVOT ROW BY PIVOT ELEMENT MAT10000 MAT10053 310 PIVOT =A(ICOLU ,ICOLU) DETER=DETER*PIVOT 330 A(+COLU ++COLU }=1.0 340 DO 350 L=1.N MAT10057 350 A(ICOLU ,L)=A(ICOLU ,L)/PIVOT 355 +F(M) 380, 380, 360 MAT10059 MAT10060 360 DO 370 L=1.M 370 B(ICOLU ,L)=B(ICOLU ,L)/PIVOT MAT10062 -EDUCE NON-PIVOT -OWS MAT10063 MAT10064 380 DO 550 L1=1→N MAT10065 390 IF(L1-ICOLU) 400, 550, 400 400 T=A(L1, ICOLU) 420 A(L1,ICOLU)=0.0 IF(T)430,550,430 430 DO 450 L=1.N MAT10069 450 A(L1+L)=A(L1+L)-A(ICOLU +L)*T 455 IF(M) 550, 550, 460 MAT10071 460 DO 500 L=1.M MAT10072 500 B(L1,L)=B(L1,L)-B(ICOLU ,L)*T 550 CONTINUE MAT10074 MAT10075 INTERCHANGE COLUMNS MAT10076 MAT10077 600 DO 710 I=1.N MAT10078 610 L = N + 1 - IMAT10079 620 IF (INDEX(L,1)-INDEX(L,2)) 630, 710, 630 MAT10080 630 JROW=INDEX(L,1) MAT10081 640 JCOLU = INDEX(L_{+2}) 650 DO 705 K=1+N MAT10083 660 SWAP=A(K, JROW) MA 110084 670 A(K+JROW)=A(K+JCOLU) 700 A(K, JCOLU)=SWAP 705 CONTINUE MAT10087 710 CONTINUE MAT10088 D0730 K = 1.NMAT10089 IF(INDEX(K,3) -1) 715,720,715 MAT10090 720 CONTINUE MAT10093 730 CONTINUE *\$T10094 ID=1740 -ETU-N MAT10096 715 ID =2 MAT10091 GO TO 740 MAT10092 END

Card 1 Format (72 H)

Identification Card

| Cond 1 | B | (1/75) |
|--------|----------|---|
| Card Z | rormat | (1012) |
| Last | · - | Integer to determine whether additional input data |
| | | are to be read |
| | | Last = 1 , no more input data |
| | | Last = 0 , more input data to be read from state- ment 90. |
| NPAD | | Number of pads (see Fig.A2) |
| м | | Number of grids in the radial direction |
| N | | Number of grids in the circumferential direction |
| IH | | Grid number for the bottom edge of the step (see Fig. A2) For NSYM=1, set IH-1 |
| IHH | -• | Grid number for the top edge of the step (see Fig. A2) |
| JH | - | Set JH=1 |
| JHH | - | Grid number for the left edge of the step |
| LKOUNT | - | Maximum number of iterations allowed for the pressure calculation (recommended value: 10-20) |
| NDIAG | - | Control for diagnostics |
| | | NDIAG = 1 , diagnostics print out |
| • | | NDIAG = 0 , no diagnostics |
| IRRG | - | Control for irregular grids |
| ÷., | | IRRG = 1 , read in irregular grid spacings |
| : | | IRRG = 0 , uniform grid spacing |
| NPRE | - | Control for printing out pressure profile |
| | | NPRE = 1 , print out pressure |
| | | NPRE = 0 , no pressure print out |
| NYSM | - | This integer is used to control whether pressure calculation is made for a full pad or half a pad as in the case where the pressure is symetrical about |

| | | | the center line (see Fig.A2). |
|------|-------|---------|--|
| | | | NYSM = 0 , For calculation covering full pad |
| | | | NYSM = 1 , For symmetrical pressure profiles where where calculation is only made for half a pad. |
| | ND1 | - | Set ND1 = 0 , for normal runs. |
| Card | 3 | Format | t (8F10.7) |
| | DO | - | Outside diameter of thrust brg., |
| | | | d _o in Fig.Al (in.) |
| | DI | - | d _i in Fig.Al (in.) |
| | THG | | Angle extending the pocket region, θ_{g} in Fig.A2, (degrees) |
| | THL | | Angle extending the land region, θ_L in Fig.A2, (degrees) |
| | STEPD | | Depth of the step (in.) |
| | WO | - | Outerwidth of the shreud, W in Fig.A2, (in.) |
| | WI | - | Innerwidth of the shroud, W in Fig.A2 (in.) |
| | ERROR | - | Convergence factor for pressure iteration. (recommended value: .0010002) |

Card 4 Format (8F 10,7)

This card is required only when IRRG = 1

DR(I), I = 1, MM - Dimensionless irregular gird spacings in the radial direction. (MM - M-1)



- 100 -

Card 5 Format (8F 10.7)

This card is required only when IRRG = 1

DTH(J), J = 1, NN - Dimensionless grid spacings in the circumferential direction. (NN = N-1)



Card 6 Format (15, 5X, 7E 10.3)

NVISM - Total number of viscosities to be investigated in the production run.

VISA(1), I = 1, NVISM

The arrary of viscosities, (lb-sec/in²)

Card 7 Format (15, 5X, 7E 10.3)

NRPSM - Total number of angular speeds to be investigated in the production run.

RPSA(I), I - 1, NRPSM

The array of angular speeds, (Rev. per sec.)

Card 8 Format (15, 5X, 7E 10.3)

NPAM - Total number of ambient pressure to be investigated in the production run.

PAA(I), I = 1, NPAM

The arrary of ambient pressure, (PSI)

Format (I5, 5X, 7E 10.3)

Card 9

This card reads in the film thickness to be investigated in the production run. In the case of a parallel film, the film thickness in the land region will be read in the array designated as HMINA(I). In the case of non-parallel film with an axisymmetric coming of dishirg, HMINA (I) represents the film thickness at the inside diameter in the land region. The film thickness at the outside diameter is HOUT(I) which read in Card 10.



The variables to be read in this card are:

NHMM - Total number of film thickness to be investigated in the production run.

HMINA(I), I = 1, NAMM

HMINA is the film thickness at the inside diameter in the land region (inch)

<u>Card 10</u> Format (8F 10.7)

HOUT(I), $\mathbf{i} = 1$, NHMM

HOUT is the film thickness at the outside diameter in the land region. (inch)

<u>Card 11</u> Format (I5, 5X, 7E 10.4/(8E10.4)

NHDTM - Total number of velocity or time variation of gas film thickness to be investigated in the production run.

HDOT(I) - Array of velocity or time variation of gas film thickness in in./sec.

PROGRAM RSGALN(INPUT.OUTPUT) DIMENSION WN(30), QN(7), AG(9), AOG(9) D+MENS+ON AHA(100D, F1A(100), F2A(100), F3A(100), F4A(100), F1(9) DIMENSION F5A(100), F6A(100), F7A(100), F8A(100), F9A(100) THE TABLES ARE PREPARED IN THE FULLOWING ORDER THAT (FJA(I), J=1,9) (D1*11, D2*11A, D1*14, D2*14A, -D2*11AO, -D2*14AU, D2*15/HU**2.5 DI*15A/HO**2.5. -D2*15AO/HO**2.5). DATA F1A(1)/0./.F2A(1)/7.854/.F3A(1)/3.1416/.F4A(1)/0./ DATA(F1A(1),I=2,60)/ 7.8555281E-02, 1.5720341E-01, 2.3603750E-01, 2 3.1515123E-01, 3.9463912E-01, 4.7459680E-01, 5.5512133E-01, 2 6.3631146E-01, 7.1826801E-01, 8.0109411E-01, 8.8489560E-01, 2 9.6978133E-01, 1.0558636E+00, 1.1432584E+00, 1.2320861E+00, 2 1.3224715E+00, 1.4145448E+00, 1.5084415E+00, 1.6043034E+00, 2 1.7022791E+00. 1.8025243E+00. 1.9052031E+00. 2.0104879E+00. 2.1185609E+00. 2.2296146E+00. 2.3438529E+00. 2.4614919E+00. 2 2 611F+001 20707 04 E+00T 208371835E+00+ 2. 708745E+00+ 2 3.10 2748E+00, 3.2527020E+00, 3.4014964E+00, 3.55560237E+00, 2 3.7166766E+00, 3C 8387 1E+00, 4C0580843E+00, 4.23 7876E+00, 2 4.42 5206E+CO, 4C6278602E+00, 4. 354321E+00, 5.0529163E+00. 5.2810529E+CO, 5.5206483E+00, 5.7725837E+00, 6.0378224E+00, 2 2 6.3174203E+00, 6.6125372E+00, 6.9244476E+00, 7.2545570E+00, 7.6044175E+00, 7.9757465E+00, 8.3704491E+00, 8.7906429E+00, 2 9.2386874E+00, 9.7172183E+00, 1.0229187E+01, 1.0777908E+01/ 2 $DATAUF1AU+) \cdot I = 61 \cdot 100) /$ 2 1.1367114E+01. 1.2007018E+01. 1.2684396E+01. 1.3422673E+01. 2 1.4222038E+01, 1.5089573E+01, 1.6033412E+01, 1.7062939E+01, 2 1.8189023E+01, 1.942430 E+01, 2.0783580E+01, 2.2284206E+01, 2 2.3946707E+01, 2.5795465E+01, 2.7859629E+01, 3.0174285E+01, 2 3.2781965E+01, 3.5734627E+01, 3.9096287E+01, 4.2946530E+01, 2 4.7385298E+01, 5.2539475E+01, 5.8572095E+01, 6.5695462E+01, 7.4190157E+01, 8.4433212E+01, 9.6940826E+01, 1.1243492E+02, 2 2 1.31 5000E+02, 1.57010 5E+02, 1.8994101E+02, 2.3442157E+02, 2 2.9656997E+02, 3.871653 E+02, 5.2666744E+02, 7.5788976E+02, 1.1832960E+03T 2.1018302E+03, 4.7245976E+03, 1.8878381E+04/ 2 DATA(F2A(I), I=2,60)/ 7,8586215E+00, 7,8725551E+00, 7,8958239E+00, 2 7.9284975E+00, 7.9706737E+00, 8.0224795E+00, 8.0840712E+00, 8.1556360E+00, 8.2373922E+00, 8.3295914E+00, 8.4325193E+00. 2 2 8.5464976E+00, 8.6718859E+00, 8.8090839E+00, 8.9585338E+00; 9.1207230E+00, 9.2961871E+00, 9.4855135E+00, 9.6893451E+00, 2 2 9.9083843E+0C, 1.01433 8E+01, 1.0395223E+01, 1.0664772E+01, 1.0953037E+01, 1.1261104E+01, 1.1590152E+01, 1.1941467E+01, 2 1.2316453E+01, 1.2716640E+01, 1.3143699E+01, 1.3599453E+01, 2 1C4085 5E+01; 1C4605207E+01; 1C5159776E+01; 1.575221 E+01; 1.6385407E+01, 1.7062493E+01, 1.7786946E+01, 1.8562586E+01, 2 1.9393624E+31, 2.0284712E+01, 2.1240995E+01, 2.2268170E+01, 2 2.3372563E+01, 2.4561200E+01, 2.5841910E+01, 2.7223422E+01, 2 2.8715497E+01, 3.0329068E+01, 3.2076405E+01, 3.3971315E+01, 2 2 3.602 365E+J1, 3.8268150E+U1, 4.0707613E+01, 4.3370409E+01, 4.6282353E+01, 4.9472941E+01, 5.2975980E+01, 5.6830339E+01/ 2 DATA(F2A(1), I=611100)/ 6.1080856E+01, 6.5779435E+01, 7.0986379E+01, 7.6772024E+01, 2 8-3218737E+01, 9-0423387E+01, -8500418E+01, 1-0758568E+02, 2 1.1784127E+02, 1.2946160E+02, 1.4268123E+02, 1.577848484E+02, 2

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

| 2 | 1.7512021E+02, 1. | 9511517E+02, | 2.1829994E+02, | 2+4533690E+02+ |
|----------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| 2 | 2.7706071E+02, 3. | 14532 6E+02+ | 3.5911751E+02, | 4+12585998+02+ |
| 2 | 4.7726736E+02. 5. | 5626382E+02+ | 6.5376773E+02, | 7.7553624E+02. |
| 2 | 0.2061755E±02. 1. | 12748 5F+03. | 1.3858938E+03. | 1.7/988/7E+03. |
| 4 | 9.100001000 | | 3 70272946403. | 5 2000546K±02. |
| 2 | 2.1980610E+03. 2. | 85197576+039 | 3. (93/203E+03) | 3.200938427037 |
| 2 | 7C400 326E+03, 1C | 10410016+041 | 1675224476+04+ | 3.0261024E+04. |
| 2 | 5.9068684E+04, 1. | 39931358+05+ | 4.71984256+05. | 3.7735728E+06/ |
| | ++ UF A0++0+ 2060 | D/ 3.1419510H | +00, 3,1429824E | +00, 3.1447027E+00, |
| 2 | 3-14711425+00- 3- | 1502198F+00+ | 3-15402358+00+ | 3-1585298E+00+ |
| <u>~</u> | 2 + (27/4) = 3 | 16967636100 | 4 17632666400 | 2 19370065400. |
| 4 | 3.1037440E+UU, 3. | | 3.170320924009 | 5+1057070E+009 |
| 2 | 3.1918331E+00, 3. | 2007075E+009 | 3.2103442E+00, | 3+220/558E+00+ |
| 2 | 3•2319562E+00+ 3• | 24396026+00+ | 3.2567839E+00. | 3•2704448E+00• |
| 2 | 3.2849616E+00, 3. | 3003546E+00, | 3.3166453E+00. | 3.3338569E+00. |
| 2 | 3.35201448+00. 3. | 3711442E+00+ | 3.3912749E+00, | 3.4124369E+00. |
| 2 | 3+43466255+00+ 3- | 4579865E+00+ | 3-4824458E+00. | 3-50808015+00+ |
| <u>د</u> | 3 53493155300 3 | 56406616±00 | 3 59769154005 | 2.6/325495+00. |
| 4 | 3.534751527009 3. | (0010(0)10+00) | | |
| 2 | 3.6554576E+00; 3. | 0831300C+001 | 3.72435316+001 | 3+10111022+00+ |
| 2 | 3.7996778E+00. 3. | 8399352E+00+ | 3.8820315E+00. | 3•9260561E+00, |
| 2 | 3•9721049E+00, 4• | 0202812±+00, | 4.0706963E+00, | 4.1234702E+00. |
| 2 | 4.1787323E+00, 4. | 2366228±+00+ | 4.2972932E+00, | 4.3609078E+00, |
| 2 | 4.4276449E+00. 4. | 4976984E+00. | 4.5712794E+00. | 4.6486182E+00. |
| 2 | 4.7299663E+00. 4. | 81559 1F+00+ | 4-9058188E+00+ | 5.0009572E+00/ |
| - | $DATA(EBA(I)) = -61 \cdot 1$ | | | |
| | | | 5 01070706+00. | 6 40061045100 |
| 4 | 9.1013801E+00, 9. | 20149112+009 | 2.3197370E+004 | 5.43001546+009 |
| 2 | 5.5646/16E+00, 5. | 0985265E+00; | 5+8408664E+C0+ | 5.99246342+00; |
| 2 | 6.1541 75E+CO, 6. | 3270215±+00+ | 6.5120806E+00+ | 6.7106352E+00. |
| 2 | 6.9241382E+00, 7. | 15425998+00, | 1.4029288E+00. | 7.6723848E+00. |
| 2 | 7.9652429E+00, 8. | 2845764E+00. | 8.6340204E+00. | 9.0179069E+00. |
| 2 | •44143 OF+GO | 9109212F+00 | 1-0434067E+01 | 1.1020413E+01. |
| 2 | 1.1691992E101. 1 | 26326255201 | 1 22061145+01. | 1 42010105401. |
| 2 | | 2433039E+VI) | | |
| 4 | 1.5457768E+U1, 1. | 6839404E+01+ | 1.8501808E+01. | 2.0539089E+01. |
| 2 | 2•3092560E+01• 2• | 6384528E+01, | 3.0785914E+01. | 3.6965137E+01. |
| 2 | 4.62605835+01, 6. | 1798892E+01, | 9.2971744E+01, | 1.8680213E+02/ |
| | +AT1UF4AU+DT+32T60 | D/ 6. 736515 | E-02, 1.3755 14E | -01, 2.0655024E-01, |
| 2 | 2.7579871E-01. 3. | 4539021E-01+ | 4.1541249E-01. | 4.8595458E-01. |
| 2 | 5.5710716F-01. 6. | 2896280E-01+ | 7.01616256-01. | 7-75164816-01- |
| 2 | 8.4970863E_01. 9 | 25251025-01. | | 3 08036315+00. |
| ~ `` | 1 16096205400 1 | | 1.002190924009 | 1.000000012+009 |
| 4 | 1.10999096400, 14 | 24110626+00+ | 1.32393042+00, | 1+40826262+00+ |
| 2 | 1•4951401E+00, 1• | 5838073E+00, | 1.6747161E+00, | 1.7680265E+00, |
| 2 | 1•8639077E+00, 1• | 9625385E+00, | 2.0641085E+00. | 2.1688189E+00; |
| 2 | 2+2768835E+00, 2. | 3885300E+00+ | 2.5040014E+00+ | 2.6235570E+00, |
| 2 | 2.7474742E+00, 2. | 8760502E+00+ | 3.00960386+00. | 3.1484776E+00. |
| 2 | 3.2930400F+00. 3 | 4436882F+00. | 3-6008507E+00' | 3-7649907E+00- |
| 2 | 3.93660955400 4 | 116360661009 | 4 20450275400 | 4 E030110E+00 |
| 2 | | 0110250467001 | 4.30430372+009 | 4.5020110E+00+ |
| | 4.7094711E+00; 4 | 9276467E+00, | 5.15/3/13E+00. | 5.39955/32+00. |
| 2 | 2.6552054E+00, 5. | 9254154E+00+ | 0.2113978E+00. | 6.5144879E+00+ |
| 2 | 6.8361620E+00, 7. | 1780548E+00, | 7.5419812E+00; | 7.9299605E+00+ |
| 2 | 8+34424472+00, 8. | 7873513E+00, | 9.2621024E+00, | 9.7716694E+00/ |
| | DATA(F4A(I),I=61. | 100)/ | | · |
| 2 | 1C031 627E+01- 1 | 0 100156+017 | 1015474155+01- | 1-2237038E+01- |
| 2 | 1.2984832E±01. 1 | 37974118101 | 1.46822105401- | 1.56506725101. |
| 5 | | 7077011 57019 | | 1 - 0000010CT019 |
| 2 | 2 216120000 (CTUL) 10 | 1014803E+U14 | T•212002164010 | 2.03107/9E+U1. |
| <u> </u> | - ペッビスフェクタびにキリ上。 20 | • 3904986E+01; | 2.5866029E+01+ | 2.8058606E+01+ |
| 2 | 3C0554070E+01, 30 | C3372 IE+01T | 3C6587731E+01+ | 4.0275 05E+01, |

- 105 -

| , | A AR550100.01 | 1 010 A B A B 0 0 0 0 1 | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <u> </u> | 4.49350/86+01. | 4.9489238E+01, | 2.5297872E+U1; | 6+21688/3E+01+ |
| 2 | (+0377289E+01, | 8.02931076+01, | 9+24234226+01+ | 1.0747819E+02, |
| 2 | $1 \cdot 2647590E + 02$, | 1.5091947E+02, | 1.8310120E+02, | 2.2665762E+02. |
| 2 | 2.8763837E+02. | 3.7671568E+02. | 5.14169958+02. | 7.4249041E+02. |
| 2 | 1.1634881E+03. | 2.0745753E+03. | 4.48223336402. | 1 97900675+04/ |
| - | $DATA(EbA(1), t_{-1})$ | | | |
| <u>~</u> | | • J917 U• | 9 201491442 | E-01,5.5045962E-01, |
| 2 | 0.2090846E-01, | 1.10501806+00. | 1.3851315E+00, | 1.6678408E+00, |
| 2 | 1•9536883E+00, | 2.2432295E+00. | 2+5370353E+00+ | 2.8356944E+00. |
| 2 | 3.13 8161E+00. | 3.4500330E+00, | 3C7670041E+00. | 4.0914178E+00. |
| 2 | 4.42399538+00. | 4.7654945E+00. | 5-1167138E+00- | 5 47849425+00- |
| 5 | 5-85173415+00 | 4 2379745E100 | | J.4/04/02E+009 |
| ~ | | 0+23737450+001 | 0.0304242E+UU; | (+0499568E+00+ |
| 4 | /+4/911/1E+00+ | 7.9251312E+00, | 8.3893125E+00. | 8.8730716E+00, |
| 2 | 9•3779257E+00, | 9.9055097E+00, | 1.0457588E+01; | 1.1036068E+01, |
| 2 | 1C1643017E+01, | 1C2280673E+01T | 1C2951473E+01T | 1.3658065E+01. |
| 2 | 1.4403336E+01. | 1.5190438F+01. | 1-6022818E+01- | 1.6904/506+01. |
| 2 | $107 38 775 \pm 01$ | 10 R310616+01T | 16 49434361011 | 2 10007085.01 |
| 5 | | | IC 000302E+U11 | 2.10097986+011 |
| 2 | 2•2207604E+01; | 2+3486560E+01+ | 2.4854161E+01; | 2.6318/38E+01. |
| 2 | 2•7889564E+01, | 2,9576990E+01, | 3.13925d6E+01; | 3.3349322E+01. |
| 2 | 3•5461763E+01, | 3./746313E+01, | 4.0221486E+01. | 4.29082348+01. |
| 2 | 4.5830332E+01, | 4.9014836E+01. | 5.2492622E+01. | 5-62990368+017 |
| D | ATA (#5A (I) . (#60) . | 10017 | | JI017/0302-01/ |
| · , Č | | 4 E0((2000) (0) | 2 01000000000 | |
| 2 | | 0+00082982+019 | 7.0128000E+01; | 1+5122544E+01+ |
| 2 | 8.1923058E+01, | 8.88150876+01, | 9.6499133E+01; | 1.0509380E+02, |
| 2 | 1.1473976E+02, | 1.25604628+02, | 1.3788928E+02. | 1.5183581E+02; |
| 2 | 1.6773775E+02, | 1.8595332E+02. | 2.0692274E+02: | 2.3119103E+02. |
| 2 | 2.5943838E+02. | 2.9252105F+02. | 3-3152694E+02- | 3.7785/385+02. |
| 2 | 4-33309265-02- | 5.00277148407 | 5 410000000000 | 2 0 2 5 1 0 7 6 5 4 0 2 9 |
| 5 | 9 07049745100 | 0 ((25))(5)(0) | | 0+02019/02+029 |
| 2 | 0.01933142+02; | 9.0035414E+UZ; | 1.1694491E+03, | 1.4342207E+03, |
| 2 | 1.7860852E+03, | 2.2641687E+03, | 2.9307839E+03, | 3-8892080E+03+ |
| 2 | 5.31892428+03, | 7.5502829E+03, | 1.1236044E+04; | 1.7787769E+04, |
| 2 | 3.0642697E+04, | 5.9664177E+04. | 1.4098798F+05. | 4-7435606E+05- |
| 2 | 3-7830331E+067 | | | |
| - | | . 5017 7 96/019/ | E 00: 7 REESIAN | |
| | 7 94 705 00 0 0 0 - 1 9 | | E+UU\$ 1.8555648 | E+00,7.8602071E+00, |
| 2 | 7.0079533E+00; | (+8/881/5E+00; | /•8928191E+00* | 7.9099834E+00. |
| 2 | 7.9303414E+00, | 7.9539300E+00, | 7.9807924E+00, | 8.0109779E+00, |
| 2 | 8.0445422E+00, | 8C0815478E+00, | <pre>.1220643E+00.</pre> | 8.1661682E+00. |
| 2 | 8.2139440E+00. | 8.265483 E+00. | 8-3208885E+00+ | 8-38026725+00- |
| 2 | 8-4437389E+00. | 8-5114321E+00- | 8.58348605+00. | 8 66005075400 |
| 5 | 867412 35+00 | C 2707275:001 | C 104 635 00 | 8.8800507E+00; |
| ~ | | | L 184 52E+00; | •0148555E+00+ |
| 2 | 9.1160/32E+00, | 9.2241836E+00, | 9.3376399E+00. | 9•4573150E+00; |
| 2 | •583502 E+00• | C7165205E+00, | • 567093E+00ÿ | 1.0004438E+01; |
| 2 | 1.016U104E+01, | 1.0324138E+01, | 1C0497005E+01, | 1.0679206E+01. |
| 2 | 1.0871287E+01. | 1.1073838E+01. | 1.178750 1E+01. | 1.1512970E+01. |
| 2 | 1,17510056+01. | 1.2002/206401. | 1 23691665401 | |
| 2 | | | 1.220014427019 | 1+23491322+01+ |
| 2 | 1.2 4040/E+U1, | 1C316132 E+UI. | 1C3495011E+01+ | 1.3848932E+01. |
| 2 | 1•4224658E+01• | 1.4623916E+01, | 1.5048615E+01. | 1.5500868E+01, |
| 2 | 1.5983024E+01, | 1.64976 3E+01, | 1.7047788E+01. | 1.7636566E+01/ |
| D | ATA(F6A(I),I≔60, | 100)/ | | |
| 2 | 1.8267678F+01- | 1.8945226F+01- | 1-96738375+012 | 2.04587475101 |
| | 2.13058678401 | 2.2221071EL01- | ションシャンリンエビス リネチーン・ネステム フィング | 1 4 202005 C 102 |
| | - シャンシンシンシンシャレイリエラ - 1、ちんとフトロハビィス? | 2 67682006 -01 | | 2.442730832+01.4 |
| 2 | | 2.01486UUE+UI; | 4 0101209E+01. | 2•9090866E+Ql, |
| | | | | |
| | 3.1386243E+01, | 3.3259352E+01, | 2.5336290E+01. | 3.7648184E+01; |
| 2 | 4C0232417E+01, | 3.3259352E+01. 4C3134201E+01T | 4C640 644E+01T | 3.7648184E+01; 5.0123481E+01; |

.

7.9151196E+01, 8.8321653E+01, 9.9333228E+01, 1.T272193E+02, 1.2 23557E+02, IC4 4321E+02, IC7641683E+02, 2.1104560E+02, 2.5760616E+02, 3.2235870E+02, 4.1630690E+02, 5.6028454E+02, 7.9777874E+02, 1.2326001E+03, 2.1668353E+03, 4.8210180E+03,

UATAUF7AU+)++=1+ 5)/ 6C2 32000E+00+ 6+2845747E+00+6+2887012E+00+ 2 6.2955873E+00, 6.3052457E+00, 6.3176945E+00, 6.3329570E+00, 6.351V618E+00, 6.3720431E+V0, 6.3959406E+00, 6.4227999E+00, 2 2 6.4526727E+00, 6.4856166E+00, 6.5216958E+00, 6.5609815E+00, 2 6.6030514E+00, 6C64 4 11E+00, 6.6988937E+00, 6.7518606E+00, 2 6.8085018E+00, 6.8689366E+00, 6.9332940E+00, 7.0017134E+00, 2 7.0743452E+00, 7.1513519E+00, 7.2329084E+00, 7.3192034E+00, 2 7.4104401E+00, 7.5068376E+00, 7.6086319E+00, 7.7160774E+00, 2 7.8294482E+00, 7.9490401E+00, 8.0751721E+00, 8.2081888E+00, 2 8.3484623E+00, 8.4963949E+00, 8.6524219E+00, 8.8170147E+00, 2 8.9906842E+00, 9.1739847E+00, 9.3675184E+00, 9.5719399E+00, 2 9.7879622E+00, 1.0016362E+01, 1.0257989E+01, 1.0513769E+01, 1.0784718E+01, 1.1071 4 E+01, 1.1376685E+01, 1.1700271E+01, 2 2 1.2044190E+01, 1.2410079E+01, 1.2799751E+01, 1.3215214E+01, 1.3658700E+01, 1.4132695E+01, 1.4639974E+01, 1.5183642E+01/ 2 DATA(F7A(I), I=60, 100)/1.5767185E+01, 1.6394521E+01, 1.7070076E+01, 1.7798856E+01, 2 2 1.8586546E+01, 1.9439621E+01, 2.0365486E+01, 2.1372637E+01, 2 2.247U863E+01, 2.36714 1E+01, 2.4987684E+01, 2.6434811E+01, 2 2. 030 11E+01, 2. 7 726 E+01, 3C1759145E+01, 3.3946705E+01, 2 3.6396210E+01, 3.9151565E+01, 4.2266341E+01, 4.5806456E+01, 2 4C 53764E+01T 5C4510 25E+01, 5. 90 111E+01T 6.6212373E+01. 2 7.3640975E+01, 8.2480692E+01, 9.3116396E+01, 1.0607436E+02, 2 1.2208965E+02, 1.4221431E+02, 1.6799712E+02, 2.0179468E+02, 2 2.4733660E+02, 3.1081241E+02, 4.0311463E+02, 5.4489157E+02, 7C7 2 615E+02T 1C20 46 E+03, 2C1359358E+03T 4.7745321E+03, 2 2 1.8975671E+34/ DATA(F8A(I),I=1, 59)/ 0. 2.7497442E-01,5.5045962E-01, 2 8.26 6846E-01, 1.1050180E+00, 1.38513158+00, 1.66784088+00, 2 1.9536883E+00, 2.2432295E+00, 2.5370353E+00, 2.8356944E+00, 2 3.13 8161E+00, 3.4500330E+00, 3.7670041E+00, 4.0914178E+00, 2 4.4239953E+J0,: 4.7654945E+00, 5.1167138E+00, 5.4784962E+00, 2 5.8517341E+00, 6.2373745E+00, 0.6364245E+00, 7.0499568E+00, 2 7.4791171E+30, 7.9251312E+00, 8.3893125E+00, 8.8730716E+00, 2 9.3779257E+30, 9.9055097E+00, 1.0457588E+01, 1.1036068E+01, 2 1.1643017E+01, 1.2280673E+01, 1.2951473E+01, 1.3658065E+01, 2 1.4403336E+01, 1.5190438E+01, 1.6022818E+01, 1.6904250E+01, 2 1.7838877E+01, 1.8831251E+01, 1.9886382E+01, 2.1009798E+01, 2 2.2207604E+01, 2C3486560E+01, 2.4854161E+01, 2.6318738E+01, 2.7889564E+01, 2.9576990E+01, 3.1392586E+01, 3.3349322E+01, 2 2 3•5461763E+01, 3•7746313E+U1, 4•0221486E+01, 4•2908234E+01, 4.5830332E+01, 4.9014836E+01, 5.2492622E+01, 5.6299036E+01/ 2 DATA(F8A(+),I=60,100)/ 2 6.0474671E+01, 6.5066298E+01, 7.0128000E+01, 7.5722544E+01, 8.1923058E+01, 8.8815087E+01, 9.6499133E+01, 1.0509380E+02, 2 1.1473976E+02, 1.2560462E+02, 1.3788928E+02, 1.5183581E+02, 2 1.6773775E+02, 1.8595332E+02, 2.0692274E+02, 2.3119103E+02, 2 2 2.5943838E+02, 2.9252105E+02, 3.3152694E+02, 3.7785238E+02, 2 4.3330 265+02, 5.00277146+02, 5.81922386+02, 6.82519786+02,

2

2

2

2

2

1. 06 072E+04/

8.07 3374E+02, 9.6635414E+02, 1.1694491E+03, 1.4342207E+03. 2 2 1.7860852E+03. 2.2641687E+03, 2.9307839E+03, 3.8892080E+03. 2 5.3189242E+03, 7.5502829E+03, 1.1236044E+04, 1.7787769E+04, 2 3.0642697E+04, 5.9664177E+04, 1.4098798E+05, 4.7435606E+05, 2 2.7830331E+06/ DATAUE AU+DT+31T 5 D/ 1C570 000E+01, 1.5714186E+01.1.5732762E+01, 2 1.5763777E+01, 1.5807315E+01, 1.5863499E+01, 1.5932463E+01, 2 1.6014413E+01, 1.6109566E+01, 1.6218185E+01, 1.6340569E+01, 2 1.6477061E+01, 1.6628045E+01, 1.6793950E+01, 1.6975252E+01, 2 1.7172478E+01, 1.7386207E+01, 1.7617076E+01, 1.7865781E+01, 2 1.8133084E+01, 1.8419816E+01, 1.8726884E+01, 1.9055274E+01, 2 1.9406060E+01, 1.9780411E+01, 2.0179599E+01, 2.0605007E+01, 2 2.1058140E+01, 2.1540637E+01, 2.2054280E+01, 2.2601014E+01. 2 2.3182956E+01, 2.3802416E+01, 2.4461916E+01, 2.5164214E+01, 2 2.5912323E+01, 2.6709545E+01, 2.7559497E+01, 2.8466152E+01, 2 2.9433873E+01, 3.0467462E+01, 3.1572213E+01, 3.2753965E+01, 2 3.4019175E+01, 3.5374992E+01, 3.6829344E+01, 3.8391041E+01, 4.0069889E+01, 4.1876827E+01, 4.3824079E+01, 4.5925337E+01, 2 2 4.8195974E+01, 5.0653281E+01, 5.3316765E+01, 5.6208481E+01, 2 5.9353433E+01, 6.2780045E+01, 6.6520729E+01, 7.0612547E+01/ DATA(F9A(I), I=60,100)/ 7.5098017E+01, 8.0026082E+01, 8.5453271E+01, 9.1445119E+01, 2 2 9.8077892E+01, 1.0544071E+02, 1.1363815E+02, 1.2279350E+02, 2 1.3305279E+02, 1.4458987E+02, 1.5761281E+02, 1.7237209E+02, 2 1C8 17108E+02T 2.0837956E+02, 2.3045146E+02, 2.5594812E+02, 2 2.8556931E+02, 3.2019491E+02, 3.6094160E+02, 4.0924100E+02, 2 4.66 4873E+02, 5.3649902E+02, 6.2112740E+02, 7.2519715E+02, 2 8.5468744E+02, 1.01793 2E+03, 1.2268227E+03, 1.4986157E+03, S 1.8591183E+03, 2.3480042E+03, 3.0283905E+03, 4.0047739E+03, 5.4585626E+03, 7.7232913E+03, 1.1457308E+04, 1.8082732E+04, 2 2 3.1058803E+04, 6.0301284E+04, 1.4209818E+05, 4.7680527E+05, 2 3.7926419E+067 10 FORMAT (9(E14,7),/) 11 FORMAT (415) 12 FORMAT (8F10.4) ORIGINAL PAGE IS 13 FO-MAT (54H THE AMPL+TUDE OF -ES-ONSE = OF POOR QUALITY 1 E14.7,/) 14 FORMAT (54H PHASE ANGLE DIFFERENCE (DEGREE) = $1 = 14 \cdot 7 \cdot 1/1$ 15 FORMAT (1H1)16 FORMAT (54H THE GUESSED AMPLITUDE OF RESPONSE = 1 E14.7,/) 17 FORMAT (5(E14,7,6X),/) 18 FORMAT (5X6HQ(LB)=+17X3HHU=+12X8HM(SLUG)=+14X6HD(IN)=+8X12HW(RAD/ 1 SEC)=) 19 FORMAT (F10.5,7(E14./,1X),/) 20 FORMAT (2X3HHA=,5X,6HFI(1)=,9X,6HFI(3)=,9X3HFA=,12X,6HFI(7)=,9X,3H 1DA=,13X,4HDAO=,11X,2HF=) 21 FORMAT (54H THE CHARACTE-ISTIC FREQUENCY 1 E14•4•/) 22 FORMAT (54H THE NONDIMENSIONALIZED FREQUENCY 1 E14C4,/D

23 FO-MAT (+5,5X,(7F10.5))

24 FORMAT (54H THE ZERO-ORDER AMPLITUDE OF RESPONSE

- 108 -

1 E14.7,/) 25 FORMAT (54H THE NOND+MENSIONALIZED UPPER RESPONSE = 1 E14.7,/) 26 FORMAT (54H THE NONDIMENSIONALIZED LOWER RESPONSE= 1 E14.7./) BKS=C1, BCS=C2, AN1=N1=2.5, AN2=N2=2.5, TOL IS THE TOLERANCE OF ERROR AALLOWED FOR THE SOLUTION, BMASS IS IN SEUG, AND DELTA IS STEP IN INCHES. AG(I), AND AOG(I) ARE I SERIES OF THE GUESSED VALUES OF AMPLITUDES, A AND AD RESPECTIVELY. QN(1) THE GUESSED VALUES OF THE DYNAMIC LOAD IN LEF. WN(I) ARE SERIES OF THE NONDIMENSIONALIZED FREQUENCY TO BE USED IN THE CALCULATION. -EAD 12, BKS, BCS, AN1, AN2 -EAD 12, HO, EMASST DELTAT TOL READ 23, IG, (AG(I), I=1, IG) -EAD 23, IG, (AOG(I), I=1,+G) READ 23, LQ, (QN(I), 1=1, LQ) IA=0. DO 110 IQ=1,LQ -EAD 23, LW, (WN(I), I=1, LW) PRINT 15 +AA=0C QS=QN(IQ) AMASS=BMASS/12. AMDELODEL TAMAMASS HON1=HO**AN1 MON2=HO*MAN2 HOPN1=HON1*HO HOPN2=HON2*HO WS2=BKS*AN1/AMDEL/HOPN1 WS=SQRT(WS2) P-+NT 21, WS DO 110 +W31,LW +A=+Q-0. WB=WN(IW) W=WB+WS PRINT 18 PRINT 17,QS, HO, BMASS, DELTA, W ORIGINAL PAGE IS PRINT 22, WB OF POOR QUALITY WB5=MB*MB WO=WS2*AMDEL Q=QS/WO HOMAX=HO*0.99 PI=3.1416 BK=BKS/WO BC=BCS*WB/WS/AMASS PHO1=PI*HON1 PHO2=PI*HON2 B1≖BK/PH01 33=BC/PH02 THE AMPLITUDE OF A FOR W=0., AO=0. IS ESTIMATED BY LINEAR INTERPOSITION. IF (W.GT.U.) GO TO 50 IAA=1 AWO=Q*PI*HON)/EK

```
IF (AWO-F1A(50)) 41, 42, 43
42 A=0.5*HO
   AO = 0
   60 TO 50
41 + 1 = 1
   +2 = 50
   60 TO 44
43 + 1 = 50
   12 = 100
44 + 13 = (11 + 12)/2
   ID = I2 - I1 + 0 \cdot 1
   +F (+D-1D 45, 45, 46
46 IF (AWO-FIA(I3)) 47, 49, 48
47 I2=I3
   60 TO 44
48 11=13
   GU TO 44
45 AI=+1.
   A=(AI+(AWO-F1A(I1))/(F1A(I2)-F1A(+1)))/100.*HU
    AO=0.
    GU TO 50
4
    A=+3/100.MHO
    AO=0.
50 CONTINUE
    GUESS THE VALUES OF A AND AO.
    IF (IAA-1) 1.6.6
  1 CONTINUE
    +A = +A + 1
    IF (IA.GT.IG) GO TO 110
    A=AG(IA)
    AO = AOG(IA)
  6 CONTINUE
    --+NT 16T A
    ITER=0.
103 CONTINUE
    HA05=(1.+A0/H0)**2.5
    +A07=(1.+A0/H0)**3.5*H0
    A+03A/U+0+A0D
    HA=AHO*100.
    HA=HA+1.
    THA=HA
           +A+1
           +1 0++AH+08A-+HA)*(F1A(IHA1)-F1A(IHA))
    F+(2D3F2AU+HA)+(HA-+HA)*UF2AU++A1)-F2A(IHAD)
    FI(3)=F3A(IHA)+(HA-IHA)*(F3A(IHA1)-F3A(IHA))
    FI(4) = F4A(+HA) + U + A - 1HA) * (F4A(1HA1) - F4A(1HA))
    F+(5)3F5A(+HA)+(HA-+HA)*UF5A(IHA1D-F5A(IHAU)
    FI(6) = F6A(IHA) + (HA - IHA) * (F6A(IHA1) - F6A(IHA))
    FI(7) = F7A(IHA) + (HA-IHA) + (F7A(IHA1) - F7A(IHA))
    FI(8) = F8A(IHA) + (HA-IHA) * (F8A(IHA1) - F8A(IHA))
    FI(9) = F9A(IHA) + (HA - IHA) + (F9A(IHA1) - F9A(IHA))
    FI(1) = FI(1)/HA05
    FI(2) = FI(2)/A07
    F1(3) = F1(3) / A05
```

```
FI(8) = FI(8)/HA07
    F1(9) = -F1(9) / HA07
    F=(FI(1)*B1-A*WB2)**2+(F+(3)*B3*A)**2-Q*Q
    FA1=(F1(1)*81-A*W82)*(F1(2)*81-W82)*2。
    FA2=(FI(3)*B3*A)*(FI(3)*B3+FI(4)*B3*A)*2.
    FA=FA1+FA2
    G = FI(7) - 2 \cdot * PI
    FAO=2.*(B1*FI(1)-A*WB2)*B1*FI(5)+(B3*A)**2*FI(3)*FI(6)*2.
       DEL = FA + FI(9) - FAO + FI(8)
    DA = (-F * F + () + G * F AO) / DEL
    DAO = (F * FI(8) - G * FA) / DEL
    IF (ABS(DA).GT.0.5) GO TO 1
    A0=A0+DA0
    A=A+DA
    P-+NT 20
    PRINT 19, HA, FI(1), FI(3), FA, FI(7), DA, DAO, F
    PRINT 10, FAO, DEL, G
    TTER=ITER+1
    IF (A.LE.U.) GO TO 1
    AOB=ABS(AO)
    AAO = ABS(A - AOB)
    IF (AAO.GT.HOMAX) GO TO.1
    IF (ITER.GT.15) GO TO 1
    IF (ABS(DA).GT.TOL) GO TO 103
    IF (ABS(DAO).GT.TOL) GO TO 103
    R=FI(3)*BC*A/PH02/(FI(1)*BK/PH01+A*WB2)
    ALPHA=ATAN(R)
    ALPHA=ALPHA*180./3.1416
    PRINT 24, AO
    PRINT 13, A
    AU=A+AO
    ADOWN=A-AO
    PRINT 25, AU
    PRINT 26, ADOWN
    PRINT 14, ALPHA
    +AA=+AA+1
110 CONTINUE
```

```
END
```

FI(4) = FI(4)/HAO7 FI(5) = -FI(5)/HAO7 FI(6) = -FI(6)/HAO7 FI(7) = FI(7)/HAO5

> ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

| Card | 1 | Format (8F10.5) |
|------|---------------|--|
| | BKS | - Value of c ₁ for the stiffness of the gas film force in lb _f . |
| | BCS | - Value of c_2 for the damping of the gas film force in lb_f/ips . |
| | AN1 | - Power n ₁ for the stiffness force in terms of gas film thickness. |
| | AN2 | Power n ₂ for the damping force in terms of gas film thickness. |
| Card | 2 | Format (8F10.5) |
| | но | Normalized gas film thickness at equilibrium. |
| | BMASS | - Mass of the step ring in response in slug. |
| | DELTA | - Step depth of the pad in inches. |
| | TOL | - Convergence factor for the amplitude iteration. |
| Card | 3 | Format (I5, 5X, (7F10.5)) |
| | IG | - Total number of the guessed amplitude A of the response. |
| | AG (1) | - Array of the guessed amplitude A of the response. |
| Card | _4 | Format (15, 5X, (7F10.5)) |
| | IG | - Total number of the guessed amplitude Ao of the response |
| | ADG(1) | - Array of the guessed amplitudes Ao of the response. |
| Card | 5 | Format (15, 5X, (7F10.5) |
| | rð | - Total number of the force excitation to be investigated in the production run. |
| | QN(I) | - Array of amplitude of force excitation in lb f. |
| Card | 6 | Format: (15, 5X, (7F10.5)) |
| | LW | - Total number of the normalized forcing frequencies to be investigated. |
| | WN(I) | - Array of normalized forcing frequencies. |

έř.

If the sixth input statement is located within the doloop of IQ = 1, LQ, LQ sets of card 6 are required.

•

.

e.

,

```
PROGRAM RSRKIT(INPUT+OUTPUT+PUNCH+TAPE99)
  COMMON Y, DY, ATABL, RTABL, IFVD, X, DX, W, BK, BC, Q, AMASS, DELTA
  COMMON HO, TLAST, AY, FAC, WE2, HON1, HON2, HOPN1, HOPN2, AN1, AN2
  COMMON XA, TA, XTA, IA, IA2, DXI, WLB, WB, SLOPE, TLW, WBO, XO
  DIMENSION Y(2), DY(2), ATABL(2), -TABL(2), WO-K(18)
  DIMENSION XA(1000), TA(1000), XTA(1000)
   EXTERNAL DERIV, CNTRL, GRAPH
11 FURMAT (8F10.5)
12 FORMAT (54H MASS OF THE SEAL (SLUG)
  1 E14.3./)
13 FORMAT (54H DEEPTH OF STEP DISCONTINUITY (IN)
  1 \in \{14, 3, 7\}
14 FORMAT (54H NUNDIMENSIONALIZED EQUILIBRIUM POSITION, HO
  1 E14.3,/)
15 FORMAT (54H FREQUENCY OF PERIODIC FORCE, W (RAD/SEC)
  1 E14.3./)
16 FORMAT (54H AMPLITUDE OF THE PERIODIC FORCE APPLIED (LBS)
  1 E14.3,/)
17 FORMAT (54H NONDIMENSIONALIZED DAMPING CUEFFICIENT OF GAS FILM C +
  1 E14.3,/)
18 FORMAT (60H NONDIMENSIONALIZED MULTIPLE OF STIFFNESS D DISPLACEME
  1NT, K,E14.3./)
19 FORMAT (54H NONDIMENSIONALIZED DISPLACEMENT
  1 E14.3./)
20 FORMAT (54H THE MULTIPLE OF TIME AND FREQUENCY (RAD)
  1 \in [14 \cdot 3, /)
21 FORMAT (3x7HDEGREE=,4x,11HPHASE(RAD)=,7x13HDISPLACEMENT=,11x9HVELO
  lCITY=,/)
31 FORMAT (7X,23HDATA (XA(IA),IA=1, 70)/,2(E14.6,1H,))
32 FORMAT (5X,1H2,1X,4(E14,6,1H,))
33 FURMAT (7X,22HDATA (TA(IA), [A=1,94)/94(F9.0,1H,))
34 FORMAT (5X,1H3,1X,6(F9,5,1H,))
35 FORMAT (7X26HDATA (XTA(IAP), IAP=1, 360)/, 2(E14.6, 1H, ))
36 FORMAT (5X1H2,1X,4(E14.6,1H,))
40 FORMAT (52H ERROR RETURN, DX=0
                                                                    ,/)
41 FORMAT (52H NORMAL RETURN
                                                                    • 7 1
42 FORMAT (52H ERROR RETURN; VARIABLE INTERVAL MODE ONLY
                                                                    . / 1
   TLAST IS THE FINAL TIME OF THE INTERVAL IN INTEGRATION.
   TLW IS THE FINAL TIME THAT THE SMOOTH VARIATION OF FREQUENCY ENUS.
   WLB IS THE FINAL NONDIMENSIONALIZED FREQUENCY TO BE USED IN THE
   TECHNIC OF SMOOTH VARYING FREQUENCY.
   Y(1), Y(2), X ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS OF X, DX/DT, T RESPECTIVELY.
   BKS=C1. BCS=C2. UELTA +S STEP IN INCHES. US IS DYNAMIC LOAD IN
   LB+
         AM IS MASS OF THE PAD IN SLUG. WE IS THE NUNDIMENSIONALIZED
   FREQUENCY. ANI=N1=2.5, ANZ=NZ=2.5 DX1 IS THE TIME INCREMENT OR DEGREE.
   READ 11, JLAST, TLW, WLB
   READ 11, Y(1), Y(2), X
   READ 11 , BKS, BCS, QS, AM, DELTA, HO, WB
                        0+
   HON1=HO**AN1
   HON2=HO**AN2
   HOPN1=HON1*HO
                                              ORIGINAL PAGE IS
   HOPN2=HON2*HU:
                                              OF POOR QUALITY
```

- 113 -

- 114 -WS2=BKS*AN1/AMDEL/HOPN1 SLOPE=(WLB-WB)/WB/(TLW-XO)

WO=WS2*AMDEL Q=QS/WO BK=BKS/WO BC=BCS/WS/AMASS PRINT 12, AM PRINT 13, DELTA PRINT 14, HO PRINT 15 . W

PRINT 16, QS PRINT 17, BC PRINT 18, BK DEFINE THE INITIAL VALUE OF Y(I)

XA(1) = Y(1)TA(1) = X XTA(1) = Y(2)DEFINE X AS Y(1), AND DX/DT AS Y(2)

THE FULLOWING IS PREPARED FOR RUNGE-KUTTA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION. PI=3+1415926535 DX=DX1*P1/130. FAC=10.**U.2 NTRY=1 N=21FVD=1 18KP=1 ATABL(1)=0.001 ATABL(2)=0.001 RTABL(1)=0.001 RTABL(2)=0.001 1A2 = 1

IA=1PRINT 21 CALL RK53(DERIV, CNTRE, Y, DY, ATABL, RTABL, WORK, X, DX, N, IFVD, IBKP, NINT, 1 IERR) IF (IERR-0) 4, 5, 6 4 CONTINUE PRINT 40 GO TO 3 5 CONTINUE

PRINT 41 GO TO 3 6 CONTINUE PRINT 42

3 CUNTINUE

AMASS=AM/12.

WS=SQRT(WS2)

w≖wB*ws WB2=WB*WB WL=WLB*WS

X = 0X

WBO3WB

AMDEL=AMASS*DELTA

THE FULLOWING IS FOR THE PLOIS OF X AND DX/DT V.S. T.

- 115 -

CALL NAMPLT CALL SCALE (XA, 5. U. IA. 1) CALL SCALE (TA.40.0.IA.1) CALL SCALE (XTA, 5.0, IA. 1) CALL AX15(0.0.0.0.7HT VALUE, 7, 40.0.0.0.TA(1A+1).TA(1A+2)) CALL AXIS (0.0,0.0,7HX VALUE, -7,8.,90.0,XA(IA+1),XA(1A+2)) CALL AXIS (1.0.0.0.8HXT VALUE, -8,8.0,90.0,XTA(1A+1),XTA(1A+2)) CALL LINE (TA:XA, IA, 1, 1, 0) CALL LINE (TA+XIA+IA+1+1+3) CALL SYMBOL (3.0,9.0,0.20,16HPLUT OF X V.S. T.0.0,16) CALL SYMBOL(4.0,10.0,0.20,20HPLOT OF DX/DT V.S. T,0.0,15) CALL ENDPLT THE FOLLOWING IS FOR THE PHASE PLOT OF X V.S. DX/DT. RIA=IA WIDTH=10. WSPACE=10. CALL SETUPC(0.,0.,0.,1.,-20.,2.,WIDTH,WSPACE) CALL PARAM(GRAPH, 1., 1., RIA) CALL ENDSURF END UB-OUTINE DERIV DIMENSION Y(2), DY(2), ATABL(2), -TABL(2), WORK(18) DIMENSION XA(1000), TA(1000), XTA(1000) COMMON Y, DY, ATABL, RTABL, IFVD, X, DX,W, BK, BC, Q, AMASS, VELTA COMMON HO, TLAST, AY, FAC, W82, HON1, HON2, HOPN1, HOPN2, AN1, AN2 COMMON XA, TA, XTA, IA, IA2, DXI, WLB, WB, SLOPE, ILW, WBU, XU DY(1)=DY(1)/DT, DY(2)=DY(2)/DT. DY(1) = Y(2)DY(2)=(BK/HON1+Q*CUS(X)-BK/(HU-Y(1))**AN1-BC*Y(2)*WB/(HO-Y(1))**AN 12)/WB2 RETURN END SUBROUTINE CNTRL(NTRY) DIMENSION Y(2), DY(2), ATABL(2), RTABL(2) DIMENSION XA(1000), TA(1000), XTA(1000) COMMON Y, DY, ATABL, RTABL, IFVD, X, DX, W, BK, BC, Q, AMASS, DELTA COMMON HO, TLAST, AY, FAC, WB2, HON1, HUN2, HOPN1, HOPN2, ANI, ANA CUMMON XA, TA, XTA, IA, IAZ, DXI, WEB, WB, JEUPE, FLW, WBO, XC 51 FURMAT (5X,15,4(E14.6,6X)) 54 FURMAT (54H THE DISPLACEMENT IS OUT OF RANGE ٤ IF Y(1) IS LESS THAN -4., OR Y(1) IS GREATER THAN HO, TERMINATE THE NUMERICAL INTEGRATION. AY = ABS(Y(1))TE (AY.GT.4.U) 30 TO 2 (F (Y(1)-HO) 1, 2, 2 CONTINUE

| | PRINT 54 |
|----|------------------------------------|
| | NTRY=2 |
| 1 | CONTINUE |
| | IF (X-TLAST) 3, 3, 4 |
| 4 | NTRY=2 |
| 3 | CONTINUE |
| | IA2=IA2+1 |
| | IADX=IA2*DXI |
| | IA=IA2/4+0.0001 |
| | XTA(IA) = Y(2) |
| | XA(IA) = Y(1) |
| | TA(IA)=X |
| | PRINT 51 , IADX, X, Y(1), Y(2), WB |
| | IF (WB-WLB) 11, 12, 12 |
| 11 | WB=WBO*(1.+SLOPE*(X-XO)) |
| | GU TO 13 |
| 12 | WB=WLB |
| 13 | CONTINUE |
| ı | WB2=WB*WB |
| | RETURN |
| | END |

```
SUBROUTINE GRAPH(T,XP,YP,ZP)
COMMON Y, DY, ATABL, RTABL, IFVD, X, DX,W, BK, BC, Q, AMASS, DELTA
COMMON HO, TLAST, AY, FAC, WB2, HON1, HON2, HOPN1, HOPN2, AN1, AN2
CUMMON XA, TA, XTA, IA, IA2, DX1, WLB, WB, SLOPE, TLW, WBO, XU
DIMENSION Y(2), DY(2), ATABL(2), RTABL(2), WORK(18)
DIMENSION XA(1000), TA(1000), XTA(1000)
NP=T+.0001
XP=XA(NP)*5.
YP=0.0
ZP=XTA(NP)*5.
RETURN
END
```

| | 117 |
|--|-----|
| | • |

| Card | <u>1</u> | Forma | t (8F10.5) |
|-------------|----------|-------|--|
| | TLAST | - | Final normalized time in radian for the integration. |
| | TLW | - | Final normalized time in radian for the changing of W during the integration. |
| | WLB | - | Final normalized forcing frequency. |
| <u>Card</u> | 2 | Forma | t (8F10.5) |
| | ¥(1) | - | The initial value of X. |
| | ¥(2) | ~ | The initial value of \dot{X} , i.e. dX/dT . |
| | X | - | The initial time, T _i for the integration. |
| <u>Card</u> | 3 | Forma | t (8F10.5) |
| | BKS | • | Value of c ₁ in 1b _f . |
| | BCS | | Value of c ₂ in lb _f /ips. |
| | QS | | Value of q in 1b _f . |
| | AM | •• | Mass of the ring in response in slug. |
| | DELTA | | Step depth, δ in inches. |
| | но | | Normalized equilibrium gas film thickness. |
| | WB | - | The initial normalized excitational frequency. |
| Card | _4 | Forma | t (8F10.f) |
| | AN1 | - | Value of n ₁ which is 2.5 in the case being investigated here. |
| | AN2 | - | Value of n_2 which is 2.5 in the case being investigated here. |
| | DXI | - | Time increments during the fixed interval of the numerical integration. |

- 118 -

```
PROGRAM RSTAB(INPUT,OUTPUT)
   DIMENSION SA(50), SB(50), SC(50), SD(50), SE(50), SF(50)
   DIMENSION PU(50), PCR(50), PCI(50), DX(50), C(100), A(70,70)
   DIMENSION CI(2,3,50), SUBV(50), VI(20)
   DIMENSION XX(100), B(50,3), BH(50), AH(50), CH(50)
   CUMMON 81, ALAM, H1, TOL, NL, N2, 82, NL1, N21, NP, NP1, NL2, NL3
   COMMON H2, H13, H23, HSUM
   COMMON SA, SB, SC, SD, SE, SF
11 FORMAT (4F10.4,315)
12 FORMAT (8F10.5)
13 FORMAT (54H THE THRESHOLD FREQUENCY OF THE STEP SEAL
  1 E14+4+/)
14 FORMAT (54H THE SQUEEZE FILM PARAMETER
  1 E14+4+/)
15 FORMAT (54H THE BEARING NUMBER
  1 = 14 \cdot 4 \cdot 7
16 FORMAT (54H MASS
  1 E14•4•///)
17 FORMAT (54H RATIO OF MIN CLEARANCE HEIGHT TO DIFF. IN CLEARANCES .
  1 E14.4./)
18 FORMAT (54H THE IST ORDER PRESSURISED LOAD
                                                                       .
  1 E14+4,/)
19 FORMAT ((8E14.4),/)
20 FORMAT (54H THE POINTS ARE TOO FEW
                                                                       1
21 FORMAT (54H A AND/OR B IS OUT OF RANGE OF TABLE
22 FORMAT (54H THE GUESSED THRESHOLD FREQUENCY OF THE STEP SEAL
  1 E14.4,/)
23 FORMAT (52H THE GRID DIFFERENCE
                                                                     1)
24 FORMAT (52H THE ZERO ORDER PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION
                                                                     ,/)
25 FORMAT (54H THE VALUE OF SMALLNESS
                                                                       .
  1 E14.4.1)
26 FORMAT (54H LOAD DUE TO THE IMAGINARY PART OF COMPLEX PRESSURE
  1 E14.4./)
27 FORMAT (5X+15+(7F10+4))
29 FORMAT (/,(8E14.4,/))
30 FORMAT (52H THE IMAGINARY PART OF COMPLEX PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION;/)
31 FORMAT (52H THE REAL PART OF COMPLEX PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION
                                                                     ./)
32 FORMAT (54H THE RATIO OF WIDTH OF STEP WITH HEIGHT HI
                                                                       9
  1 = 14 \cdot 4 \cdot 7
   READ 11, B1, ALAM, H1, TOL, NL, N2, NV
   READ 12. (VI(1))I=1.NV
   B2=1.-B1
   NL1=NL-1
   NL2=NL-2
   NL3=NL-3
   ND=NL2+NL2
   N21=N2-1
   NP=N2+1
   NP1=NP+1
   H12=H1**2
   H13≍H1**3
   H2=H1+1.
   H22=H2**2
   H23=H2**3
```

```
READ 12. (DX(1).I=1.NL1)
  READ 12, (PO(I), I=1, NL)
  HSUM=H13+3.*H1*H2*(H1+H2)+H23
  CALL NEWR (PO+DX)
  CALL INTEG(0.,1.,DX,PO. .NL,WLOAD,CI,IERR)
  PRINT 32. 81
  PRINT 15. ALAM
  PRINT 17. H1
  PRINT 14, SEG
  PRINT 23
  PRINT 19. (DX(1).1=1.NL1)
  PRINT 24
  PRINT 19. (PO(1), I#1,NL)
  PRINT 25, TOL
  Al=-ALAM*H1/2.
  A2=-ALAM*(H1+H2)/4.
  A3=-ALAM*H2/2.
  THE COEFFICIENTS OF SMALL A, B, C, D, E, F AT EACH GRID POINT ARE
  CALCULATED IN THE FOLLOWING AS SA, ... ETC.
  DO 2 1=2.N21
  SA(1) = H13/DX(1)
  SC(1) = H_{13}/DX(1-1)
  SB(I) = -SA(I) - SC(I)
  SD1 = (PO(I+1) * * 2/DX(I))
                            -PO(1)**2*(1./DX(1)+1./DX(1-1))+PO(1-1)**2
 1 /DX(I-1))*3.#H12/4.
  SU2=ALAM*(PO((+1)-PO((-1))/2.
  SD(I) = SD1 - SD2
  SE(I) = H1 + (DX(I) + DX(I-1))/2.
  SF(I) = (DX(I) + DX(I-1))/2.
  AH(I) = A1
  CH(I) = -A1
  BH(1)=0.
2 CONTINUE
  SA(N2) = HSUM/8_{\bullet}/DX(N2)
  SC(N2)=H13/DX(N21)
  SB(N2) = -SA(N2) - SC(N2)
  SD1=((P0(NP)*#2-P0(N2)**2)*(H12+H1*H2+H22)/DX(N2)+(P0(N2))**2-
 1 PO(N2)**2)*3.*H12/DX(N21))/4.
  SD2=ALAM*(PO(NP)-PO(N21))/2.
  SD(N2) = SD1 - SD2
  SE(N2)=((H1+H2)*DX(N2)+2.*H1*DX(N21))/4.
  SF(N2) = (DX(N2) + DX(N21))/2.
  AH(N2) = A2
  CH(N2) = -A1
  BH(N2) = AH(N2) + CH(N2)
  DO_3 I = NP1 \cdot NL1
  SA(I)=H23/DX(I)
  SC(I) = H23/DX(I-1)
  SB(I) = -SA(I) - SC(I)
  SD1=(PO(I+1)**2/DX(I)-PO(I)**2*(1./DX(I)+1./UX(I-1))+PU(I-1)**2
 1 /DX(1-1))*3.*H22/4.
  SD2=ALAM*(PO((+1)-PO(1-1))/2.
  SD(I) = SDI - SD2
  SE(I)=H2*(DX([)+DX([-1))/2.
```

- **120**-

```
SF(I) = (DX(I) + DX(I-1))/2
  AH(I) = A3
  CH(I) = -A3
  BH(I)=0.
3 CONTINUE
  SA(NP) = H23/DX(NP)
                                             · · · · ·
  SC(NP)=HSUM/8./DX(N2)
  SB(NP) \simeq -SA(NP) - SC(NP)
  SD1=((PO(NP1)**2-PO(NP)**2)*3.*H22/DX(NP)+(PO(N2)**2-PO(NP)**2)*
 1 (H12+H1*H2+H22)/DX(N2))/4.
  SD2=ALAM*(PO(NP1)-PO(N2))/2.
  SD(NP) = SD1 - SD2
  SE(NP) = ((H1+H2) + DX(N2) + 2 • + H2+DX(NP)) 74 • 1
  SF(NP) = (DX(NP) + DX(N2))/2.
  AH(NP) = A3
  CH(NP) = -A2
  BH(NP) = AH(NP) + CH(NP)
   CALCULATE ELEMENTS OF (A) AND (C) IN THE EQUATION AX=C.
  B MATRIX IS USED TO SAVE A(I,J) WHICH ARE INDEPENDENT ON THE
  D0 4 J=1,ND
  DO 4 I=1,ND
  A(I,J)=0.
4 CONTINUE
  v = v I(1)
  PRINT 22. V
  AMASS=WLOAD/V**2
  PRINT 16, AMASS
  DO 5 J=2.NL3
  1=J+1
  JN = NL2 + J
  1N=JN+1
  A(J \bullet I) = SA(I) * PO(I+1) + AH(I)
  A(JN \bullet IN) = A(J \bullet I)
  A(J \bullet J) = SB(I) * PO(I) + BH(I)
  A(JN,JN) = A(J,J)
  A(J,J-1)=SC(I)*PO(I-1)+CH(I)
  A(JN,JN-1)=A(J,J-1)
  A(J \rightarrow JN) = SE(I) \# V
  A(JN,J) = -A(J,JN)
  C(J) = -SD(I)
  C(JN) = SF(I) * PO(I) * V
  B(J,3) = A(J,J+1)
  B(J,2)=A(J,J)
  B(J+1) = A(J+J-1)
5 CONTINUE
  A(1,1) = SB(2) * PO(2) + BH(2)
  A(NL1,NL1)=A(1,1)
  A(1,2)=SA(2)*PO(3)+AH(2)
  A(NL1,NL)=A(1,2)
  A(1,NL1)=SE(2)#V
  A(NL1,1) = -A(1,NL1)
  C(1) = -SD(2)
  C(NL1)=SF(2)*PO(2)*V
  A(NL2,NL3)=SC(NL1)*PO(NL2)+CH(NL1)
```

```
A(ND,ND-1) = A(NL2,NL3)
    A(NL2,NL2) = SB(NL1) * PO(Nr1) + BH(NL1)
    A(ND \cdot ND) = A(N+2 \cdot N+2)
    A(N|2 \cdot ND) = SE(N \cdot 1) + V
    A(ND \bullet NL2) = -A(NL2 \bullet ND)
    C(NL2) = -SD(NL1)
    C(ND)=SF(NL1)*PO(NL1)*V
    B(1,1) = A(1,1)
    B(1,2) = A(1,2)
    B(NL2.1) = A(NL2.NL3)
    B(NL2,2) \neq A(NL2,NL2)
    D0 61 J=1.ND
    XX(J) = C(J)
61 CONTINUE
    CALL DETEQ(A+XX+ND+DET)
    IF (DET-0.) 108, 109, 108
109 STOP
108 CONTINUE
    PCI(1) = 0.
    PCI(NL)=0.
    PCR(1) = 0.
    PCR(NL)=0.
    DO 7 J=NL1,ND
    PCI(J-NL3)=XX(J)
  7 CONTINUE
    PRINT 30
    PRINT 29, (PCI(I),I=1,NL)
    CALL INTEG(0.,1.,DX,PCI,NL,P5M1,CI,IERR)
    IF (IERR-1) 113, 114, 115
114 PRINT 20
    STÔP
115 PRINT 21
    STOP
113 CONTINUE
    PRINT 26, PSM1
    DU 69 J=1, NL2
 69 PCR(J+1)=XX(J)
    PRINT 29, (PCR(I), I=1,NL)
    PRINT 31
    CALL INTEG(0.,1.,DX,PCR,NL,PRSM,CI,IERR)
    PRINT 18, PRSM
    AMASS=PRSM/V*#2
    PRINT 16, AMASS
    DO 121 IV=2,NV
    V = VI(IV)
    PRINT 22, V
    DO 51 J=1.ND
    DO 51 I=1.ND
    A(I,J)=0.
 51 CONTINUE
    D0 52 J=2+NL3
     I = J + 1
     JN=NL2+J
     IN=JN+1
```

- 122 -

· · · A(J,J+1)=B(J,1) A(J,J)=B(J,2) A(J,J+1) = B(J,3)A(JN+IN)=A(J+I)A(JN,JN) = A(J,J)A(JN,JN-1)=A(J,J-1).. ... ~ • 52 CONTINUE A(1,1) = B(1,1)A(1,2)=B(1,2)A(NL2,NL3)=B(NL2,1)A(NL2, NL2) = B(NL2, 2)A(NL1,NL1)=A(1,1)-... A(NL1,NL) = A(1,2)A(ND,ND-1)=A(NL2,NL3)A(ND,ND) = A(NL2,NL2)CALCULATE THE ELEMENTS OF A AND C WHICH VARY WITH RESPECT TO V. D0 6 J=1+NL2 I=J+1 JN=J+NL2 A(J,JN)=SE(I)*VA(JN,J) = -A(J,JN)C(JN)=SF(I)*P0(I)*V 6 CONTINUE DO 62 J=1,ND XX(J)=C(J) 62 CONTINUE CALL DETEQ(A,XX,ND,DET) 1F (DET-0.) 111, 112, 111 112 STOP 111 CONTINUE DO 8 J=NL1.ND PCI(J-NL3)=XX(J)8 CONTINUE PRINT 30 PRINT 29+ (PCI(I)+I=1+NL) CALL INTEG(0.,1.,DX,PCI,NL,PSM2,CI,IERR) IF (IERR-1) 116+117+ 118 117 PRINT 20 STOP 118 PRINT 21 STOP 116 CONTINUE PRINT 26, PSM2 DO 9 J=1, NL2 9 PCR(J+1)=XX(J)PRINT 31 PRINT 29, (PCR(I), I=1,NL) CALL INTEG(0.,1.,DX,PCR,NL,PRSM,CI,IERR) PRINT 18, PRSM AMASS=PRSM/V**2 PRINT 16, AMASS 121 CONTINUE END

- 123 -SUBROUTINE INTEGTA, B, H, F, NP, VALUE, C, IERR) * INTEG002 *INTEG003 SUBROUTINE INTEG ***INTEG004** _---___ *INTEG005 ***INTEG006** INTEGRATES THE NON EQUIDISTANTLY TABULATED FUNCTION FIX(1)) ***INTEG007** BETWEEN THE LIMITS A AND B. ***INTEGOO8** *INTEG009 A MODIFIED METHOD OF OVERLAPPING PARABOLAS IS EMPLOYED. *INTEGOIO *INTEG011 A SECOND ENTRY POINT 'INTEG2' IS PROVIDED FOR MORE THAN ONE ***INTEG012** INTEGRATION ON THE SAME DIVISIONS OF X. THIS SAVES THE TIME *INTEGOI3 OF CALCULATING THE WEIGHTING FUNCTIONS. ***INTEG014** *INTEG015 ARGUMENTS -*INTEGO16 Α LOWER LIMIT OF INTEGRATION. ***INTEGO17** UPPER LIMIT OF INTEGRATION. В ***INTEG018** ARRAY OF ARGUMENT VALUES. MUST BE MONOTONICALLY X ***INTEG019** INCREASING AND MUST BE DIMENSIONED NP. *INTEG020 F ARRAY OF FUNCTION VALUES. MUST BE DIMENSIONED NP. * * INTEG021 NΡ NUMBER OF POINTS. NP MUST BE GREATER THAN 3. ***INT**∈G022 VALUE RESULTANT VALUE OF THE INTEGRATION. *1NTEG023 C WEIGHTING FUNCTION PASSED TO THE MAIN PROGRAM *INTEG024 FOR STORAGE. *INTEG025 TERR RESULTANT ERROR PARAMETER. *INTEG026# *INTEG027 REQUIRED SUBPROGRAMS - NONE **#INTEG028** *INTEG029 COMMON STORAGE -*INTEG030 THE WEIGHTING FUNCTION C IS STORED IN THE MAIN PROGRAM AND *INTEG031 REQUIRES THE FOLLOWING DIMENSION STATEMENT WHERE D.GE.NP. *INTEG032 DIMENSION C(2,3,D) **#INTEG033** *INTEG034 ERROR INDICATIONS -*INTEG035 INDICATES NO ERROR. IERR = 0#INTEG036 IERR = 1INDICATES NP IS LESS THAN 4. **#INTEG037** IERR = 2INDICATES THE LIMITS OF INTEGRATION ARE OUT OF *INTEG038 THE RANGE OF THE TABLE. ***INTEG039** *INTEG040 EDWARD G. TRACHMAN 8 JULY 1970 M.E. DEPT. 492-5640 *INTEG041 *INTEG042 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * INTEG043 DIMENSION X(50:, F(50), C(2,3,50) DIMENSION H(50), SUBV(50) INTEG045 . INIEGO46 NP MUST BE GREATER THAN 3 IN126047 INTEG048 IF (NP.LE.3) GO TO 96 INTEG049 INTEG050 CALCULATION OF INTERVALS OF X INTEGOSI INTEG052 NH=NP-1 INTEG053

X(1)=0.

-84

*

¥

¥

ж

×

¥

¥

¥

×

F

- 124 -

| | DO 10 I=1,NH | a construction of the second | . n r |
|----|--|--|----------|
| 10 | X(I+1) = X(I) + H(I) | | INTECOSA |
| | DO 20 I=1.NH | | INTEG057 |
| | IF (1.EQ.1) GO TO 15 | | 1NTEG058 |
| | AND THE CORDENCEMENTS OF EIDST DADAR | | INTEG059 |
| | DEFINE COEFFICIENTS OF FIRST FARAD | | INTEG060 |
| | | I | INTEG061 |
| | $((1)_{1})^{2} + (H(1))^{2} + (H(1))^{2}$ | * — 1 / THREE J / / / | INTEG062 |
| | ((19291)=H(1)#(30*H(1*1)+2.*H(1))/(00* | (K_*(H(T→1)+H(T))) | INTEG063 |
| | $((19391) = H(1) \times (30 \times H(1-1) + 20 \times H(1))$ | | INTEG064 |
| 15 | CONTINUE | | INTEG065 |
| | IF TILGENHI GO TO ZO | | INTEG066 |
| | DEFINE COFFEICIENTS OF SECOND PARA | BOLA | INTEG067 |
| | BEITHE COEFFICIENTS OF SECOND FINAN | | INTEG068 |
| | C(2*1*1) = H(1) * (2**n(1) + 3*H(1+1))/ | (6.*(H(I)+H(I+1))) | INTEG069 |
| | C(2,2,T) = H(I) * (H(I) + 3 * H(I+1)) / (6) | *H(I+1)) | INTEG070 |
| | C(2,3,I) = -(H(I)) * * 3/(6,*H(I+1)*(H(I))) * * 3/(6,*H(I+1)) * (H(I))) * * 3/(6,*H(I+1)) * (H(I)) * * 3/(6,*H(I+1)) * (H(I))) * * 3/(6,*H(I+1))) * * 3/(6,*H(I+1)) * (H(I))) * * 3/(6,*H(I+1))) * * * 3/(6,*H(I+1))) * * * 3/(6,*H(I+1))) * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * | [)+H([+1))) | INTEG071 |
| 20 | CONTINUE | | INTEG072 |
| | | | INTEG073 |
| | ENTRY INTEG2 | | INTEG074 |
| | | | INTEG075 |
| | | | INIEG076 |
| | INITIALIZE SUMMATION VARIABLE | | INIEG077 |
| | | | INTEG078 |
| | VALUE=0.0 | | INTEGO79 |
| | IF (B-A) 40,92,30 | | INTEGORI |
| | | | INTEGORI |
| | B IS GREATER THAN A | | INTEGU82 |
| | | | INTEGUOS |
| 30 | ALIM = A | | INIEGU04 |
| | BLIM = B | | INTEGUOD |
| | SIGN = 1.0 | | INTEGORT |
| | GO TO SU | | INTEGOSS |
| | | | INTEGORO |
| | A IS GREATER HAN D | | INTEGO90 |
| 60 | | | INTEG091 |
| 40 | ALIM = 0 | | INTEG092 |
| | | | INTEG093 |
| 50 | NH=NP-1 | | INTEG094 |
| 20 | | | INTEG095 |
| | SETTING THE LOWER LIMIT OF INTEGRA | ATION | INTEG096 |
| | | | INTEG097 |
| | DQ 63 I=1.NH | | INTEG098 |
| | PARTA = 1.0 | | INTEG099 |
| | IF (ALIM-X(I)) 61.69.63 | | INTEG100 |
| 61 | IF (I.EQ.1) GO TO 97 | | INTEG101 |
| | ALIM = X(I-1) | | INTEG102 |
| | PARTA = (X(I) - ALIM) / (X(I) - X(I-1)) | | INTEG103 |
| | GO TO 69 | -~ | INTEG104 |
| 63 | CONTINUE | ORIGINAL PAGE IS | INTEG105 |
| 69 | CONTINUE | OF POOR QUALITY | INTÉG106 |
| | | OF LOOT GOVERNME | INTEG107 |

..

• ~ .

ø

. . - 125 -

° .

| * | SETTING THE UPPER LIMIT OF INTEGRATION | INTEG108 |
|-----|---|----------------------|
| * | | INTEG109 |
| N | DO 73 I=1,NH | INTEG110 |
| | PARTB = 1.0 | INTEGILL |
| | IF (BLIM-X(I)) 71,79,73 | INTEG112 |
| •• | 1 + (1 + EQ + 1) + GO + O = 97 | INTEGILS |
| | BLIM = X(1) | INIEG114 |
| | PARID=(DLIM=X(I=I))/(X(I)=X(I=I)) | INTEGILS |
| | 73 1E (1 EO ND) CO TO OT | INTEGILO |
| | | INTEGILT |
| . * | 19 CONTINUE | INTEGILO |
| * | CALCHEATION OF INTECONE OVER SUBINIERVAN | INTEGILY |
| * | CALCOLATION OF INTEGRAL OVER SUBINTERVAL | INTEGIZU |
| | DO 80 1=1-NH | INICOLLI INTEC122 |
| | SUBV(1) = 0.0 | INTEGIZZ |
| | $TF_{(X(1),FO,\Delta),TM} = SUBV(T) = C(2,1,T) + F(T) + C(2,2,T) + F(T+1) + F(T+1) + C(2,2,T) + F(T+1) + F(T$ | INTEGIZS |
| | $1 \in (1+2)$ | INTEGIZH |
| | TE (1-NH) 102, 103, 103 | INCLUIZ |
| | 103 CONTINUE | |
| | ADX = ABS(X(I+1) - BLIM) | |
| | IF (ADX.LT.1.E-5, SUBV(1)=C(1,1,1)*F(1-1)+C(1,2,1)*F(1)+C(1,3,1)* | INTEG126 |
| | 1 F(I+1) | INTEG127 |
| ^ | GO TO 101 | |
| | 102 CONTINUE | |
| | IF (X(I).GT.ALIM.AND.X(I+1).LT.⊍LIM) SUBV(I)=0.5*(C(1,1,1)*F(I-1) | INTEG128 |
| | 1+(C(1,2,I)+C(2,1,I))*F(I)+(C(1,3,I)+C(2,2,I))*F(I+1)+C(2,3,I)* | INTEG129 |
| | 2F(I+2)) | INTEG130 |
| | 101 CONTINUE | |
| | IF (PARTA.NE.1.OR.PARTB.NE.1) SUBV(1)=PARTA*PARTB*SUBV(1) | INTEG131 |
| * | | INTEG132 |
| * | CALCULATE THE FINAL VALUE OF THE INTEGRAL | INTEG133 |
| * | | INTEG134 |
| | | INTEG135 |
| | VALUE=SIGN*VALUE | INIEG136 |
| भ | CET CORAD DADAMETER FOR MARMAN DETUIN | INIEG137 |
| × | SUI ERROR PARAMEIER FOR NORMAL RETURN | INTEG138 |
| * | 92 TERD = 0 | INTEG139 |
| | RETURN | INTEG140 |
| * | RETORN . | INFEGI4I INFEGIAS |
| * | SET ERROR PARAMETER FOR TOO FEW POINTS | INTEG142 |
| * | | INTEG144 |
| | 96 IERR = 1 | INTEGIAS |
| | RETURN | TOTECTAS |
| * | | |
| × | SE THERROR BARAMETER-EORCALANDKOHLD OUT-OR KANGE-DE-LADLE | |
| * | | INTEU 49 |
| · | 97 IERR = 2 | 14116150 |
| - X | RETURN | 143 CORDI |
| | END END | 14156252 |
| | | |

SUBROUTINE DETEQ (A, B, N, DET) DIMENSION A(70,70), B(70), IPVOT(70) DET=1.0DO 11 J=1,N 11 IPVOT(J) = 0.0DO 121 I=1.N T=0.0 DO 51 J=1.N IF (IPVOT(J)-1) 21,51,21 21 DO 50 K=1.N IF (IPVOT(K)-1) 31,50.50 31 IF (ABS(T)-ABS(A(J,K))) 41,50,50 41 IROW=J ICOL=K T=A(J,K) 50 CONTINUE 51 CONTINUE IF (ABS(T)-1.E-8) 131,131,55 55 IPVOT(ICOL)=1 IF (IROW-ICOL) 61,81,61 61 DET=-DET DQ 71 L=1+N T=A(IROW,L) A(IROW,L)=A(ICOL,L) 71 A(ICOL+L)=T T=B(IROW)B(IROW)=B(ICOL) B(ICOL)=T81 TEMP=A(ICOL,ICOL) DET=DET*TEMP A(ICOL,ICOL)=]. DO 91 L≈1,N 91 A(ICOL,L)=A(ICOL,L)/TEMP B(ICOL)≠B(ICOL)/TEMP DO 121 L1=1.N IF (L1-ICOL) 101,121,101 101 T=A(L1, ICOL)A(L1,ICOL)=0. DO 111 L=1,N 111 $A(L_1,L)=A(L_1,L)-A(ICOL,L)*T$ B(L1)=B(L1)-B([COL)#T 121 CONTINUE RETURN 131 DET=0.0 RETURN END

> SUBROUTINE NEWR(PO,DX) DIMENSION AA(50), BB(50), CC(50), DD(50), EE(50), FF(50), DX(50) DIMENSION A(50), B(50), C(50), PO(50), DP(50), FI(50), FIDP(50,3) COMMON B1, ALAM, H1, TOL, NL, N2, B2, NLI, N21, NP, NP1, NL2, NL3

- 127 -

```
COMMON H2. H13. H23. HSUM
    COMMON AA, BB, CC, DD, EE, FF
11 FORMAT (4F10.4,215)
12 FORMAT (8F10.5)
13 FORMAT (6XE14.4.3(6X.E14.4),/)
14 FORMAT (17X3HFT= 3X17HDFT/DP(J)+J=1+2+3+/)
15 FORMAT (3X2H1=,22X3HDX=,17X3HPO=,/)
16 FURMAT (5X15:2(6XE14.4)./)
17 FORMAT (54H PRESSURE IS SMALLER THAN THE AMBIENT PRESSURE
18 FORMAT (5X15,3(6XE14.4)./)
19 FORMAT (1H1)
    DO 2 I=2, N21
    AA(I) = H^2 3 / 2 \cdot / DX(I)
    CC(I) = H23/2 \cdot / DX(I-1)
    BB(I) = -AA(I) - CC(I)
    FF(I)⇒A∟AM*H2/2.
    DD(I) = -FE(I)
    FE(1)=0.
  2 CONTINUE
    AA(N2) = HSUM/DX(N2)/16.
    CC(N2)=H23/2./DX(N21)
    BB(N2) = -AA(N2) - CC(N2)
    FF(N2) = FF(N21)
    DD(N2) =-ALAM*(H2+H1)/4.
    EE(N2) = DD(N2) + EE(N2)
    DO 3 I=NP1. NL1
    AA(I) = H13/2 \cdot / DX(I)
    CC(I) = H13/2 \cdot / DX(I-1)
    BB(I) = -AA(I) - CC(I)
    FF(1)=ALAM*H1/2.
    DD(I) = -FF(I)
    EE(I)=0.
  3 CONTINUE
    AA(NP) \approx H13/2 \cdot / DX(NP)
    CC(NP) \approx HSUM/DX(N2)/16.
    BU(NP) \approx -AA(NP) - CC(NP)
    DD(NP) = DD(NP1)
    FF(NP) = ALAM + (H1 + H2)/4.
    EE(NP) \approx DD(NP) + FF(NP)
104 CONTINUE
    DO 5 I=2, NL1
    J=I-1
    A(J) = AA(I) * PO(I+I) + DD(I)
    B(J) = BB(I) + PO(I) + EE(I)
    C(J) = CC(I) * PO(I-I) + FF(I)
    FI(J) = A(J) * PO(I+1) + B(J) * PO(I) + C(J) * PO(I-1)
    FI(J) = -FI(J)
  5 CONTINUE
    DO 6 1=3,NL2
    J≖I-1
    FIDP(J_{1}) = CC(I) * 2_{*} * PO(I_{1}) + FF(I)
    FIDP(J,2) = BB(I) + 2 \cdot PO(I) + EE(I)
    FIDP(J_{3}) = AA(I) * 2 * PO(I+1) + OU(I)
  6 CONTINUE
```

```
FIDP(1,2)=BB(2)*2.*PU(2)+EE(2)
    FIDP(1,3)=AA(2)#2.*PU(3)+DU(2)
    FIDP(NL2,1) = CC(NL1)*2*PU(NL2)+FF(NL1)
    FIDP(NL2,2) = Bb(NL1)*2.*PU(NL1)+EE(NL1)
    CALL TULLO (FIDP, FI, UP, NLZ)
    DO 7 1=2, NL1
    PO(I) = PU(I) + DP(I-1)
    TE (PO(1)-0.) 101, 101, 7
101 PRINT 17
    DO 23 IP=1: NL1
    PRINT 18, 1P, UX(1P), PU(1P), UP(1P-1)
 23 CONTINUE
    STOP
  7 CUNTINUE
    RMAX=10L/2.
    DO 8 K=1, NL2
    R = DP(K)
    16 (R-RMAX) 8, 8, 102
102 RMAX=R
  8 CONTINUE
    IF (RMAX-TOL) 103, 103, 104
103 CUNTINUE
    PRINT 15
    DU 9 IP=1,NL1
    PRINT 16, IP, DX(IP), PO(IP)
  9 CONTINUE
    PRINT 19
    RETURN
    END
    SUBROUTINE THLEW (A,C,X,N)
    SUBRUUTINE SULVES A TRIDIAGUNAL SYSTEM OF LINEAR EQUATIONS.
    DIMENSION A(50,3), C(50), X(50), B(50,2), D(50)
    STARE
    J≠N.
    B(1,1)=A(1,2)
                                                       ORIGINAL PAGE IS
    B(1,2) = A(1,3)
                                                       OF POOR QUALITY
    D(1) = C(1)
    JJ=J−1
    DU 5 K=2,JJ
    IF (ABS(B(K-1+1))-ABS(B(K-1+2)))3+ 4+ 4
  3 B(K,1)=A(K,2)#B(K-1,1)/B(K+1,2)=A(K,1)
    B(K,2)=A(K,3)#B(K-1,1)/G(K-1,2)
    D(K) = (C(K) * B(K - 1, 1) - A(K, 1) * O(K - 1)) / O(K - 1, 2)
    GU TO 5
  4 B(K,1)=A(K,2)-A(K,1)*B(K-1,2)/B(N-1,1)
    B(K,2)=A(K,))
    O(K) = C(K) - A(K + 1) + O(K - 1) / O(K - 1 + 1)
  5 CONTINUE
   7 X(J)=(C(J)*B(J-1+1)-A(J)1)*D(J-1))/(A(J+2)*B(J-1+1)+A(J+1)*B(J-1+2)
    1)1
```

K=J-1
15 X(K)=(D(K)-B(K,2)*X(K+1))/B(K,1)
IF (K-1) 100, 100, 10
10 K=K-1
G0 TO 15

100 RETURN END

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

i

· .

| Card | 1 | Format (5F10.4, 3I5) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | B1 | - Ratio of B ₁ /B. |
| | ALAM | - Bearing number, Λ . |
| | H1 | - Normalized gas film thickness, H ₂ . |
| | Tol | - Smallness for convergence. |
| | NL | - Total grid number for the step pad including two end points. |
| | N2 | - Total grid number for the left edge of the step pad with $H = H_2$. |
| | | |
| Card | 2 | Format (8F10.5) |
| Card | <u>2</u> VI(I) | Format (8F10.5) - Array of the squeeze numbers to be solved. |
| <u>Card</u> | 2 VI(I) | Format (8F10.5) - Array of the squeeze numbers to be solved. Format (8F10.5) |
| <u>Card</u> | 2 VI(I) 3 DX(I) | Format (8F10.5) - Array of the squeeze numbers to be solved. Format (8F10.5) - Array of increments of X defined as $\Delta X_i = X_{i+1} - X_i$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{N} DX(i) = 1$. |
| <u>Card</u> <u>Card</u> | 2 VI(I) 3 DX(I) | Format (8F10.5) - Array of the squeeze numbers to be solved. Format (8F10.5) - Array of increments of X defined as $\Delta X_i = X_{i+1} - X_i$ such that $\sum_{I=1}^{N} DX(I) = 1$. Format (8F10.5) |

. .