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Recently much progress has been made in the formulation of parallel algorithms which compute the terms of a sequence ( $y_{i}$ ) defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0} \text { given. } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
y_{i}=f_{i}\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{i-1}\right), i=1, \ldots, N .
$$

The germinal point of this work is the now well-known "log-sum" algorithm which computes $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}$ in $\left\lceil\log _{2} N\right\rceil$ parallel addition steps, given $[\mathrm{N} / 2\rceil$ processors. Here the underlying recurrence is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{0}=0 \\
& y_{i}=y_{i-1}+a_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathrm{N}}$ is the desired result.
Two apparently distinct generalizations of the log-sum algorithm have appeared, Kogge and Stone [1] have considered the case

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{0}=b_{0}  \tag{2}\\
& y_{i}=f\left(b_{i}, g\left(a_{i}, y_{i-1}\right)\right), i=1, \ldots, N,
\end{align*}
$$

where $f$ is associative, $g$ distributes over $f$, and there is a function $h$ such that $g(x, g(y, z))=g(h(x, y), z)$. Seemingly restricted to first order recurrences, by a suitable mapping $m^{\text {th }}$ order recurrences are alsc treated. Heller [2] has studied the case

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{0}=h_{0} \\
& y_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a_{i j} y_{j}+h_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

This problem is equivalent to the solution of a lower triangular linear system of equations, In this note we give an improved parallel algorithm for (3) and show a relationship between the two generalizations.

Rewrite (3) as (I-L)y=h, where $L$ is a strictly lower triangular matrix, and $I$ is the identity. $y$ and $h$ are $(N+1)$-vectors. Since $L^{N+1}=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(I-L)^{-1} & =\left(I+L+L^{2}+\cdots+L^{N}\right) \\
& =\left(I+L^{2 m}\right)\left(1+L^{2 m-1}\right) \cdots(I+L)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $2^{m} \leq N<2^{m+1}$. Thus we have the algorithm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{x_{0}-h ; L I-I ;\right. \\
& \text { for } i-0 \text { step } 1 \frac{\text { until }}{} \mathrm{m}-\mathrm{i} \text { do } \\
& \left\{x_{i+1}-\left(I+L^{2^{i}}\right) x_{i} ;\right. \\
& L^{2^{i+1}}-L^{2^{i}} L^{2^{i}} ; \\
& \left.L I-\left(I+L^{2}\right) L I\right\} ; \\
& \left\{y-\left(I+L^{2^{m}}\right) x_{m} ; L I-\left(I+L^{2^{m}}\right) L I\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The algorithm is sequential in $i$, and within the braces operations are performed concurrently. When completed, we have the desired $y$, and $(1-L)^{-1}$ is stored in Li. LI may now be used to compute $y^{\prime}$ given $h^{\prime}$. It is easily shown that, with $O\left(N^{3}\right)$ processors, the calculation may be done in $m^{2}+3 m+1$ parallel steps of addition and multiplication. (We use the fact that matrix products may be computed in logarithmic time with sufficiently many processors.) The previous result required $O\left(N^{4}\right)$ processors and $m^{2}+4 m+2$ operation steps.

We now turn to the Kogge - Stone results. Rewrite (2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=b_{0} \tag{2'}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
y_{i}=a_{i} \otimes y_{i-1} \otimes b_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N .
$$

Here $g$ is replaced by the binary operation 0 , and $f$ by 0 . Assume that 0 is associative, distributes over 0, and there is a $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}$ such that a@(b)c)=(a口b) c. I-et $\alpha$ be a symbol distinct from all others,

operator L on ( $N+1$ )-vectors by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (L z)_{0}=\alpha \\
& (L z)_{i}=a_{i} \sum_{i-1}, i=1, \ldots, N .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $y=L y$ b by (2'). It is observed that $L$ is an additive operator since distributes over and by the definition of $\alpha$. Moreover, $L^{N+1}=\alpha$, since for any $z$, and $i=1, \ldots, N+1,\left\langle L^{i} z\right)_{o}=\alpha$ and for $l \leq j<i,\left(L^{i} z\right)_{j}=$ $\left(L\left(L^{i-1} z\right)\right)_{j}=a_{j}\left(L^{i-1} z\right)_{j-1}=a_{j} \alpha=\alpha$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =L y \otimes b=L(L y \oplus b) \oplus b=L^{2} y \oplus(L \oplus I) b \\
& =\cdots=L^{N+1} y \bullet\left(L^{N} \otimes L^{N-1} \oplus \cdots \theta 1\right) b \\
& =\left(L^{N} \bullet L^{N-1} \cdots \cdots I\right) b \\
& =\left(L^{2^{m}} \bullet I\right)\left(L^{2^{m-1}} \oplus I\right) \cdots(L \otimes I) b .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $L^{3}=\left(L^{2}\right) L=L\left(L^{2}\right)$, behaves as an associative operation, and so

$$
\left(L^{2^{i}} y\right)_{j}=\alpha, 0 \leq j<2^{i}
$$

$$
=a_{j}\left(a_{j-1}\left(\ldots\left(a_{j-2^{i}+1} y_{j-2}\right)^{\cdots}\right)\right.
$$

Similarly,

$$
=\left(a_{j} m_{j-1} a^{\prime} a_{j-2^{i}+1} y_{j-2^{3}}, 2^{i} \leq j \leq N .\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(L^{2^{i+1}} y\right)_{j}= & \alpha, 0 \leq j<2^{i+1} \\
= & {\left[\left(a_{j} Q^{\prime \cdots} a^{\prime} a_{j-2}+1\right)\right.} \\
& \left.Q^{\prime}\left(a_{j-2} i g^{\prime} \cdots Q^{\prime} a_{j-2}+1+1\right)\right] y_{j-2}{ }^{i+1}, 2^{i+1} \leq j \leq N,
\end{aligned}
$$

and the "coefficients" of $L^{2^{i+1}}$ may be computed from the "coefficients" of $\mathrm{L}^{\mathbf{2}^{j}}$ in one operation step. Thus an algorithm similar to the previous one may be used to compute $y$. If the operator ( $L^{N} \quad \cdots$ ) is not formed, the computation time is $0\left(\log _{2} N\right)$ with $O(N)$ prorassors. In fact, if $y^{\prime}=L y^{\prime} \cdot b^{\prime}$, it is less efficient to direct ${ }^{\text {º }} \boldsymbol{y}$ apply ( $L^{N}$ I) than to use the above method.

The general recurrence (1) may be written as $y=L_{1} y$, where $L_{1}$ is a strictly lower triangular operater in the sense that, for any $z$, $\left(L_{1} z_{i}\right.$ is independent of $\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{i}+1}, \cdots, \mathbf{z}_{N}$. By an induction argument $L_{1}{ }^{N+1}$ is a constant operator, and so the solution may be found by $y=L_{1}{ }^{n+1} z$ for any $z$. The special cases (2) and (3) allow the simple computation of the powers of $L_{1}$ when $L_{1} z=L z \otimes b$, and $L$ is linear. Kung [3] has shown that for non-linear recurrences, it is not possible, in general, to decrease the computation time by more than a constant factor by the use of parallelism.
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