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Studies of rotor and propeller performance and induced potential flowfields are made on the basis of a rotating actuator disk concept, with special emphasis placed on rotors hovering out of ground effect. A new theory for the optimum performance of rotors hovering $O$ (皮 is developed and presented. An extended theory for the optimum performance of rotors and propellers in axial motion is also presented. Numerical results are presented for the optimum distributions of blade-bound circulation together with axial inflow and ultimate wake velocities for the hovering rotor over the range of thrust coefficient of interest in rotorcraft applications. Shapes of the stream tubes and of the velocities in the slipstream are obtained, using available methods, for optimum and "off-optimum" circulation distributions for rotors hovering in and out of ground effect. Resilts of the optimum performance study show that improvements made possible by the present performance theory, which fully account for the effects of slipstream rotation, is important to the optimum circulation as well as to the power and the thrust requirements of the rotor in the higher thrust coefficient range. A number of explicit formulae useful in computing rotor and propeller induced flows are presented for stream functions and velocities due to Aistributions of circular vortices over axi-symmetric surfaces. The computed slipstream shape shows that the assumption of a uniform contraction ratio is reasonable and that, within the limitations of the infinitely bladed model, the computed results provide a reasonable description of the wake boundary.

## NOMENCLATURE

a
$c$
$C_{P}$
$C_{T}$
D domain of integration consisting of the rotor slipstream
$\vec{e}$
$E$
$F$
$\vec{F}$
$m_{1} \quad$ characteristic defined by Eq. (A-51)

M
radial location oi perturbation in the ultimate wake contraction ratio
power coefficient defined in Eq. (56) thrust coefficient defined in Eq. (55) unit vector complete elliptic integral of the second kind function defined in Eq. (B-10)
body force vector Green's function for the streamfunction defined by Eq. (23) Green's function for the axial velocity defined by Eq. (77) fiunction defined by Eqs. (43) and (B-6) total head of the fluid modulus of elliptic integrals modulus defined by Eq. (A-26) modulus defined by Eq. (A-27) modulus defined by Eq. (A-54) modulus defined by Eq. (A-55) complete elliptic integral of the first kind indicates the $l^{\text {th }}$ segment of constant circulation total number of segments of constant circulation characteristic defined by Eq. (A-52) figure of merit defined by Eq. (54)
the "characteristic" of elliptic integrals of the third kind unit outward normal vector to the control volume a constant defined by Eq. (45)
static pressure of the fluid
power expended by the rotor
velocity vector
radial coordinate in a cylindrical coordinate system
position vector of an observation point
inner radius of an annular surface
outer radius of an annular surface
position vector of a vortex filament
radial location of a vortex filament
radius of the rotor or propeller disk
radial location of the $l^{\text {th }}$ discontinuity in disk circulation radius of the slipstream in the ultimate wake radius of a control volume centered at the rotor hub annular element of area arc length of a vortex segment the portion of the control surface which is cut out by the wake slipstream
the portion of the control surface excluding $S_{1}$
time
thrust of the rotor
radial component of the velocity tangential component of the velocity axial component of the velocity
constant valu:e of the axial velocity in the ultimate wake within a streamtube of constant circulation
is defined as $k_{1}{ }^{2}$
axial coordinate in a cylindrical coordinate system
axial location of a vortex filament
variable of integration of elliptic integrals vortex strength per unit length
bound circulation at the disk
function defined by Eq. (A-12)
indicates a perturbed value in the ultimate wake
perturbed value of the angular velocity in the ultimate wake axial component of vorticity
tanisential component of vorticity
tangential coordinate in a cylindrical coordinate system vor :ex filament strength
vortex strength per unit length
constant in Eq. (A-43) defining a linear vortex strength
constant in Eq. (A-43) defining a linear vortex strength
constant for vortex strength on an annular surface
advance ratio defined as $W_{d} / \Omega R_{d}$
radial component of the vorticity
complete elliptic integral of the third kind
density of the fluid
stream function
vorticity vector
angular velocity of the rotor

Superscripts

- Indicates a variable of integration
~ denotes a dimensionless variable defined by Eq. (49)

Subscripts
d denotes a variable at the rotor disk
f refers to a quantity induced by a circular vortex filament
$m$ indicates a value on the $m^{\text {th }}$ vortex tube
$w \quad$ refers to a value in the ultimate wake

- indicates a variable at the rim of the slipstream in the ultimate wake
$\infty \quad$ denotes a value in the undisturbed freestream


## 1. 1 NTRODUCTION

The development of a suitable theory for predicting the flow field induced by a rotor has long been a central problem of rotorcraft aerodynamics. Since the flowfield induced by the rotor is ultimately responsible for the aerodynamic and dynamic behaviors of the rotorcraft, improvements in methods for predicting the flowfield contribute to the advancement of rotorcraft design in various ways.

The case of a rotor in hover is of special importance in a number of practical problems. These problems include the familiar operational problems, the problem of dowswash impingement which leads to a ground boundary layer and particle entrainment, the problem of tail rotor behavior when immersed in the slipstream of a main rotor, and the problem of rotor performance. The general subject of a rotor in forward flight involves, of course, many additional important problems and is more complicated than the hovering case. It is generally expected that a thorough understanding of the latter will precede and then contribute substantially to research of the former. The hovering case, however, is in itself of such complexity that a theory sufficiently accurate and yet simple enough to be used as a design tool is yet unavailable. The development of such a theory is emphasized in the current literature.

A hovering rotor is a special case of a propeller, with zero advance velocity. Marine and aircraft engineers have long been ancerned with propeller aerodynamics. In the majority of situations of
interest to the propeller aerodynamicist, however, the propeller-induced velocity is small in comparison with the propeller's velocity of advance. As a consequence, the propeller aerodynamicist is accustomed to simplifications that are not necessarily valid for the hovering rotor problem. Propeller theories therefore do not usually go over to rotor theories in a straight forward manner and predictions based on generalized propeller theories do not always correlate well with experimental data for rotors (Ref. 1).

Of the several problems mentioned earlier, the problem of predicting the rotor performance in hover out of ground effect (OGE) is particularly important. Rotorcraft are typically required to be able to hover OGE when occasion demands. Since the power required for a rotor to develop a given amount of thrust is the greatest during hover OCF, the payload capability of the rotorcraft is generally limited by the hovering performance OGE. Model is rotorcraft characteristically have small ratios of payload to gross weight at take off. Consequently, erro.s in the predicted hovering performance are amplified in the calculation of payload capability. For example, with a payload to gross weight ratio of $1 / 5$ at take off, a $5 \%$ error in the prediction of hovering thrust means a $25 \%$ error in the predicted payload capability.

In recent years, a sizable effort, has been in progress to establish suitably simple, yet sufficiently accurate theories and methods for predscting hovering rotor performance and induced flowfields. A review of the state of art of the hovering rotor problem is presented in Section II of this report. This review shows that, although recent progress in this area has been extensive, there still exists a need to
improve upon the available theories and methods for modern rotors with high rotational speed, solidity, and disk loading.

The purpose of this report is to present recent research ee:unts that serve to supplement the available theories and methods. In particular, an improved theory for the optimum performance of hovering rotors is presented. This theory is based on the actuator disk concer's and therefore subject to some of the limitations of the well known general momentum theory. The correction factor for finite number of blades must be estimated separately, for example, by an extension of Lerbs' method (Ref. 2) for heavily loaded propellers. The improved theory, however, is more complete in that it fully accounts for the effects of slipstream rotation. This improvement is not important in the case of a lightly loaded rotor, but has finite contributions to thrust and to porer requirements of a heavily loaded rotor. The improved theory permits the computation, in a straight forward manner, of the optimum distributions of circulation and inflow velocity over the rotor disk. These distributions, along with the figure of merit and the optimum power coefficient, are presented for several values of the thrust coefficient.

In addition to the optimum performance theory, flow fields induced by the infinitely bladed rotor hovering $i / 1$, as well as out of, ground effect are presented for several cases of optimum and offoptimum circulations. The computation of the flowfields is based on the integral fo.mulation of Ref. 3. The numerical procedures a.e those of Ref. 5. Several 'seful analytical expressions developed during the course of this research in connection with the computational approach are pre-
sented in Appendix A of this report. A generalized optimum performance theory applicable to rotors (or propellers) in axial motion is presented in Appendix B.

## II. BACKGROUND

In recent years, the search for better methods of predicting rotor induced flowfield and associated performance in hover yielded a copious volume of literature on the subject. Several recent ariicles contain comprehensive bibliographies (Refs. 6 and 7) of the earlier work as well as review and reassessment of "ciassical" theories. The brief discussion given belw provides a summary account of previous theories and present efforts. Only a few perinent articles are referred to here. In many cases, a large number of articles exist which are based cn essentially the same approach. Only one representative article is then mentioned.

As mentioned earlier, a hovering rotor is a special vase of a propeller, with zero advance velocity. In the following discussion, however, the term "propeller" is used so lescribe only the case where the velucity of advance is of primary importance. The term "rotor" is used to describe che static propeller or the hovering rotor.

The majority of recent articles on rotor theory are concerned with the important problem of optimum performance. The central task in the performance study is the determination of a distribution of the rotor inflow velocity, over a given rotor disk, that leads to 3 minimum amount of power expenditure for a given amount of thrust. This information, when used in conjunction with the well known blade-element theory. permits the prediction of the performance liuit and of the required radial distributior of circulation in order to approach this
limit. It thus provides a rational basis for blade design. The prediction of the optimum rotor performance, however, does not necessarily require a knowledge of the flowfield away from the rotor disk. In fact, the major portion of existing literature on rotor theory avoids the evaluating of the entire flowfield and treats the subject of optimum inflow velocity and optimum pexformance by themselves.
'The earliest performance theory was the axial momentum theory based on the actuator disk (infinitely-bladed propellur) concept for propellers (Refs. $\mathcal{E}$ and 9). Within the context of this theory, the propeller operates without any frictional drag on the blade and induces no rotation in the slipstream. Since the flow upstrean of the propeller disk is also irrotational, the tangential velocity component at the disk is zero. It follows from the Kutta-Joukowski theorem that the disk cannot be subjected to a lift force. Disregarding this inconsistency, two well known conclusions were obtained: first, an optimum properler is one with a uniform axial velocity at the propeller disk, and second, when applied to a hovering rotor, the minimum por coefficient is equal to the thrust coefficient to the $3 / 2$ power divided by the squese root of 2 . The theory provides no information on the radial distribution of circulation over the disk and consequently nc indication as to how the blades should be designed for optimum performance.

In general, the energy loss due to the rotational motion in the slipstream of a propeller is small. The neglect of the slipstream rotation was therefore thought to be justified for the purpose of predicting the performance of propellers. The actual performance of propellers, however, generally does not meet the expectation of the axial
momentum theory even when the various loss factors, not including the slipstream rotation, are taken into account. This deficiency is explained by the general momentum theory, whici incorporates a procedure to account for some of the effects of slipstream rotation. One of the major conclusions of the general momentum theory is that the slipstream rotation, though small in terms of the energy content, exerts an important influence on the optimum distribution of inflow velocity over the propeller disk. A detailed presentation of the general momentum theory is given in Ref. 10 which also contains a presentation of efforts directed toward the study of helicopter rotors. The general momentum theory does provide information on the optimum distribution of circulation over the disk. The solution as given in Ref. 10, however, is approximate and only partially accounts for the effects of slipstream rotation. Some of the neglected effects are of importance in the study of hovering rotors. For example, the conservation of angular momentum in the slipstream requires an exchange of energy between the rotational mode and the axial mode to accompany the contraction of the slipstream. The effect of this exchanze of energy is neglected in the theory. For propellers where the velocity of advance is large compared to the induced velocity, the contraction is small and the neglect of the energy exchange is justified as long as the rotational energy is not excessively large. For hovering rotors, the ultimate wake cross-section is, to the first order, one half the size of the rotor disk. The exchange of energy thenefore has a significant influence on the optimum distribution of circulation over the propeller disk for the situation where the rctational energy is not extremely small.

For rotor applications, it is therefore desirable to improve on the general momentum theory by fully accounting for the effects of slipstream rotation.

Until very recently, the theory which represents the current state of the art for routine calculation of propeller performance was the well-established vortex theory. The basic vortex theory represents the wake trailing the blades by a distribution of concentric cylindrical vortex sheets. These vortex sheets describe the radial variation of the blade circulation and thus imply an infinite number of blades. The effect of a finite numbe. of blades is obtained approximately by Betz and Prandtl (Ref. 11) using a tip loss factor. An improved analysis by Goldstein (Ref. 12) represents the trailing vortex sheets in the ultimate wake, for optimum performance, by dis rete helicoidal surfaces of constant helix angle and moving as rigid surfaces. Goldstein's work formed the basis of much of the subsequent analyses by Lock, Theodorsen, Lerbs, etc., (Refs. 13, 14, 15, 2 and 17) that proved to be sufficiently accurate for predicting propeller performance. The use of the vortex theory for predicting rotor performance, however, yielded overly optimistic resulis at high disk loadings (Ref. 1).

The continuing requirements of higher forward flight speed and larger rotorcraft, coupled with the need to keep the rotor small from weight and operational flexibility considerations, led to the development of rotors with higher rotational speed and disk loading. This resulted in increased inaccuracy of the vortex theory in predicting the rotor performance. Reviews of the vortex theory suggest that the wake contraction, the slipstream rotation, and the associated non-uniform
inflow are the factors that contribute most to the inability of the vortex theory to predict the hovering rotor performance accurately. This contention is supported by recent results obtained from the numerical. computation of the entire rctor-induced flowfield.

In the numerical methods, typically, each rotor blade is represented by a lifting line with piecewise uniform circulation. The vorticity in the slipstream is represented by a number of discrete vortex filaments whose strengths are related to the discontinuities in circulation at the blades. Each vortex filaments is in turn approximately by a number of straight segments. If the geometry of the trailing vortex system is known, then the use of the Biot-Savart Law and the blade element theory yields a set of simultaneous equations. The solution of this set of equations gives the distribution of bladebound vortex strength and hence also the trailing vortex filament strengths. The computations of velocities induced by the complete vortex system at all points in space, including those on the rotor disk, and of the thrust, the power, and the performance of the rotor are then straight forward.

For some rotor configurations and thrust levels, flow visualization studies have yielded useful wake geomecry data. Methods that utilize experimentally obtained wake geometries in the numerical BiotSavart Law approach described above are often referred to as the "pres-cribed-wake" analyses (Refs. 18, 19). For cases where experimental data permit the establishment of an accurate model for the wake geometry, the prescribed-wake analyses have yielded results in good agreement with experiments for overall performance (Ref. 7). For a general application
of the prescribed wake approach, however, accurate experimental data about the wake geometry must be available for a wide range of rotor configurations and thrust levels.

To remove the empiricism inherent in the prescribed wake approach, several researchers have developed methods which generate the wake geometry as a part of the computation procedure. This approach, sometimes referred to as the "free-wake" analysis (Ref. 20), employs an iterative or a time-step procedure to find, for a given rotor configuration, an arrangement of the vortex system which exists in "force-free equilibrium". The free-wake analysis generally incorporates a procedure to account for the convection of the vortex segments with the fluid but neglects the process of viscous diffusion. The analysis requires a relatively large amount of computer time for each combination of rotor configuration and thrust level. In order to determine rotor configurations that would give the optimum performance, a parametric study involving a large number of geometries must be studied. The amount of computer time required by the free-wake analyses in optimum performance studies is therefore extremely large. Also important is the fact that , MRlly the free-wake analyses do not accurately predict the geometry of the wake in the region very near the rotor disk (Ref. 21) where the vortex system contributes greatly to the inflow velocity at the disk. For these reasons, the present utility of the free-wake analyses for decign purposes is limited.

One advantage of the numerical Biot-Savart Law approach is that, in contrast to the previous theories which answer only the questions of perismance, this approach provides information on the flowfield
surrounding the rotor as well. It must be recognized that the approach in use today is based on the inviscid flow analyses and its ability to accurately predict the rotor induced flowfield is subject to important limitations. In this regard, it is noted that a new numerical method which utilizes a generalized Biot-Savart Law and accounts for the process of viscous diffusion of vortices has been developed recently (Ref. 22). This method is applicable to the rotor problem.

In the inviscid numerical approach, each rotor blade is typically represented by a lifting line with piecewise uniform circulation. Thus, in addition to neglecting the viscous diffusion which tends to spread the vortex sheets into volume distributions of vorticity, the inviscid approach further lumps the surface distribution of vortices into vortex filaments for computational conveniences. A method formulated by T. Y. Wu (Ref. 3) for heavily loaded propellers, in contrast, utilizes the actuator disk concept and distributes the blade-bound vortex azimuthally over the propeller disk in an axi-symmetric manner. The trailing vortex sheets are now represented by a volume distribution of vortices in an axi-symmetric flow. The kinematics of the flow is formulated in terms of Stokes' stream function and the rotational velocity component. By the use of a Green's function, $\approx$ non-linear integral equation for the stream function is established, allowing the solution for the stream function by successive approximations. The flowfield is thus formulated as a boundary value problem with a prescribed arbitrary radial distribution of circulation at the disk. The integral formulation of T. Y. Wu for the calculation of the stream function requires numerical quadrature of a triple integral containing a product of Bessel's functions
as the integrand. Two of the three ranges of integration are infinite. For these reasons the computational effort required, though less than the Biot-Savart Law approach, is still considerable.

Greenberg et al. (Refs. 4 and 5) utilized the integral formulation to compute flowfields induced by rotors with prescribed uniform and piecewise uniform circulation distributions. The Green's function in the integral formulation is recognized in their works as the stream function due to a circular vortex filament. By expressing the Green's function in terms of a Legendre function, the flowfield computations were accomplished reasonably rapidly. Similarly, Chaplin (Ref. 23) and Cox (Ref. 24) used the integral formulation in studies of axi-symmetric flows.

The actuator disk concept enjoys a substantial advantage in its relative simplicity. Results obtained on the basis of the actuator disk concept have been successfully used in the past as basic building blocks for the understanding of the practical problem of a rotor with a finite number of blades. For example, with the optimum distributions of circulation over infinitely-bladed rotor disks derived from the general momentum theory, the effect of a finite number of blades is obtained approximately by using Prandtl's formula (Ref. 11). Also, Greenberg and Kaskel's flowfield results for the infinitely-bladed rotor has been used by Erickson (Ref. 6) in a prescribef wake analysis. The research reported in the present work utilizes the simplicity offered by the actuator disk concept, but incorporates certain effects of slipstream rotation which have been neglected in previous theories for rotor performance.

## III. GENERAL FORMULATION

Consider a rotor hovering OGE in an incompressible and inviscid fluid. Following Prandtl's lifting line theory, each rotor blade is represented by a blade-bound vortex filament directed radially. If the strength of this vortex filament, i.e., the blade circulation, varies with the radial distance fram the rotor axis, then there exists a vortex sheet trailing each blade. Within the context of the actuator disk concept, the total circulation of the several blades of the rotor is considered to be distributed uniformly over an infinite number of thin blades, each with a vanishingly small chord width. The discrete vortex sheets trailing the lifting lines (blades) are replaced by 2 volume distribution of vorticities within the slipstream. The problem is then time-independent and axi-symmetric about the rotor axis in a reference frame at rest reiative to the fluid at infinity. That is, in a cylindrical coordjnate system $(r, \theta, z)$ with the origin at the center of the disk and the z -axis coinciding with the rotor axis and pointing downstream, the flow parameters are all independent of the $\theta$-coordinate and of the time $t$. The tangential, or $\theta$-, component of the velocity vector, however, is not zero within the slipstream.

The general flow features for the hovering rotor OGE are shown in Fig. 1. For the present problem, the entire flow is induced by the rotor. Consequently the entire region outside of the slipstream and the blade row is "upstream" of the rotor.

The differential equations describing the flow are the familiar continuity and momentum equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{q}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\vec{q} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{q}=-\frac{1}{p} \vec{\nabla} p+\vec{F} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{F}$ stands for the equivalent body force exerted on the fluid by the blade elements. The boundary conditions are hat $\vec{q}$ vanishes infinitely upstream of the rotor and is independent of $z$ infinitely downstream, i.e., in the ultimate wake.

For axi-symmetric flows, Eq. (1) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r u)+\frac{\partial W}{\partial z}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ and $w$ are the radial and axial velocity components respectively. Therefore a Stokes' stream function $\psi(r, z)$ exists such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial t}{\partial z} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The flowfield is therefore completely determinate if 1 and the tangential velocity component $v$ are known.

Introducing the vorticity vector $\vec{w}$ defined oy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\omega}=\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{q} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

one obtains the components of $\vec{w}$ in terms of $\psi$ and $v$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\xi=-\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}  \tag{7}\\
\eta=-\frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial r^{2}}-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial z^{2}}\right) \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial i}(r v) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The momentum equation can be rewritten in terms of $\vec{\omega}$ and the total head H as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{q} \times \vec{\omega}=\vec{\nabla} H-\vec{F} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{p}{p}+\frac{q^{2}}{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Outside the blade row, $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{F}}=0$ and therefore $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \mathrm{H}=0$ and $\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \mathrm{H}=0$. Consequently, the gradient of $H$ is perpendicular to both the velocity vector and the vorticity vector. In other words, the total head of the fluid is a constant along each stream tube except at the blade row where it may undergo an abrupt change. Upstream of the rotor, therefore, the total head is everywhere equal to the static pressure of the fluid far upatream divided by the density. Inside the slipstream, the total head is a function of the stream function only:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{p}{\rho} \quad \text { upstream of the rotor } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$=f(\psi)$ inside the slipstream

Noting further that outside the blade row, since $\vec{F}=$ S end the $\theta$ component of $\overrightarrow{\nabla H}$ is zero because of axi-symmetry, one has

$$
(\vec{q} \times \vec{w}) \cdot \vec{e}_{\theta}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{q} \cdot \vec{\nabla}(r v)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, outside the blade row, the gradient of rv is perpendicular to the velocity vector. In other words, the angular momentum of the fluid remains a constant along each stream tube except at the blade row where it may undergo an abrupt change. Since the angalar momentum of the fluid is zero far upstream of the rotor, the angular momentum is zero everywhere outside the slipstream. Within the slipstream, the angular momentum is a function of the stream function only:

$$
\begin{align*}
& v r=0 \text { upstream of the rotor }  \tag{14}\\
& =g(t) \text { inside the slipstream }
\end{align*}
$$

Consider the fluid passing the disk at $r=r_{d}$ as shown in Fig. 1. Equation (14) states that the tangential velocity component $v$ of the fluid is zero on the upstream side of the disk and may be non-zero on the downstream side. Let $v_{d}$ be the tangential velocity component of the fluid on the downstream side of the disk. There exists then a
discontinuity in $v$ at the disk described by a distribution of radially directed vortices at the disk of strength $v_{d}$. The circulation of the blade-bound vortices at $r_{d}$ is therefore $2 \pi r_{d} v_{d}$. The tangentiai velucity at the disk is $\frac{t_{d}}{\mathbf{C}_{d}}$ in the principal value sense.

Within the context of the actuator disk concept, the blade-bound vortices are radially directed and axi-symmetrical. Therefore, the axial and radial velocity components of the fluid are both continuous at the disk. The continuity of the axial velocity at the disk is of course consistent with the law of conservation of mass.

Kutta-Joukowski theorem gives the lift (or thrust) and dras forces on the annular element of the disk $d s_{d}=2 \pi r_{d} d r_{d}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
d T=\rho\left(\Omega r_{d}-v_{d} / 2\right) v_{d} d s_{d}  \tag{15}\\
d D=\rho w_{d} v_{d} d s_{d} \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

The rate of work done, or power expended, by the disk element $\mathrm{ds}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is therefore

$$
\begin{gather*}
d P=\Omega r_{d} d D \\
=\rho \Omega w_{d} v_{d} r_{d} d s_{d} \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

The total thrust and total power of the rotor are obtainable by integrating Eqs. (15) and (17) respectively over the disk.

The mass flow rate through $d s{ }_{d}$ is ${ }^{W} W_{d} d s_{d}$. Therefore, from Eq. (17), one finds the increase in total head across the rotor disk to be $\boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{d}}$. The total head on the downstream side of the disk is therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{d}=\frac{p_{\infty}}{\rho}+\Omega v_{d} r_{d} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Eqs. (12) and (14), H and vr are both functions of only in the slipstream. One therefore has, from Eq. (18), in the slipstream

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d H}{d \phi}=\Omega \frac{d}{d \phi}(\mathrm{vr}) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The radial component of Eq. (10) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \zeta-w \eta=\frac{\partial H}{\partial r}=\frac{d H}{d \phi} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Eqs. (7), (9), (19), and (20): one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=-(\Omega r-v) \frac{d(v r)}{d \downarrow} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now Eq. (8) which relates $\eta$ to $\psi$. This equation is readily identified with the problem of circular vortices having the z-axis as a common axis. In many classical treatises on hydrodynamics (e.f., Ref. 25), the special case of an axi-symmetric rotational flow with $v, 5$, and $\zeta$ all zero is discussed. The present problem is more general in that $v, \xi$ and $\zeta$ are non-zero within the slipstream and, furthermore, $v\left(=\frac{1}{2} v_{d}\right)$ and $\xi$ (blade-bound vortices) are non-zero at the rotor disk. The differential equations relating $u$, $w$, and $\eta$ to for the special case of zero $v, \xi$, and $\zeta$, however, are identical to Eqs. (4), (5), and (8) derived for the general problem. This fact is not strprising since for the general axi-symmetric flow the continuity equation does not contain v and neither the radial vorticity distribution nor the axial vorticity distribution induces a velocity in a meridional
plane. As a consequence of this fact, certai: dinematic relations between $u: w, \eta$ and available in the classical treatises for the special case of zero $v, \xi$, and $\zeta$ are directiy applicable to the present: more general, problem. In particular, the differential equation (8) for $\psi$ may be imediately recast into an integral representation for $\psi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(r, z)=\iint_{D} G\left(r, z ; r^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right) \eta^{\prime} d r^{\prime} d z^{\prime} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the region of integration $D$ covers the entire slipstream, $G$ is the stream function at a field point $(r, z)$ associated with a circular vortex filament with unit strexy of radius $2^{\prime}$ and certars about the $z$ axis in the plane $z=z^{\prime} . \eta^{\prime}$ is the vorticity value in the slipstream at $r^{\prime}, z^{\prime}$.

Several alternative forms of this Green's ilunction are available In the literature (e.g., Ref. 25) and different authors developed different forms in their studies of the rotor and propeller problems. For example, an integral form involving Bessel functions of the first kind was utilized in Ref. 3 and a Legendre function of the second kind and the dagree $\frac{1}{2}$ was utilized in Refs. 4 and 5. A comvenient form to use is ons imvolving complete elliptical integrals (Ref. 25, 26):

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sqrt{\left(r+r^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(z-z^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\left\{\left(1-\frac{k^{2}}{2}\right) K(k)-E(k)\right\} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\left[\frac{4 r r^{\prime}}{\left(r+r^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(z-z^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& K(k)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha}} d \alpha  \tag{25}\\
& E(k)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sqrt{1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha} d \alpha \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

The functions $K$ and $E$ are compiete elliptic integrals of the first and the second kind respectively. The properties and numerical values of these functions are readily available in mathematical handbooks.

The integral representation for $\psi$, Eq. (22) is a kinematic relation allowing to be determined fram any known distribution of $\eta$ in the slipstream. It replaces the differential Eq. (8) together with certain boundary conditions. By putting Eq. (21) into Eq. (22), one obtrins an integral representation equivalent to the ones derived by T. Y. Wu and by Greerberg, et. al. (Refs. 3, 4, and 5) using Green's functions. Greenberg et al. recognized the Green's function as the stream function due to a ring vortex. They subsequently used a vortex representation of the slipstream and developed an efficient method for computing the flowfields induced by the rotor.

During ti.e course of the present investigation, several closedform analytical solutions have been developed for the stream function and for velocities induced by several uniform and linear distributions of circular vortices over finite circular cylinders and annular surfaces. The solutions are expressed in terms of complete elliptic integrals and are presented in Appendix A of this report.

The availability of these analytical expressions contributes to the efficient and accurate computation of axi-symmetric flows.

## IV. OPTMMUM PERFORMANCE THEORY

## The Ultimate Wake

Consider the rotor hovering OGE. As shown in Fig. l, the slipstream contracts downstream of the rotor and forms the ultimate wake far downstream where it no longer contracts. Thus, in the ultimate wake the radial velocity $u$ vanishes and the flow is independent of the axial coordinate $z$. The fluid passing through the disk element $d s_{d}$ passes through the annular element $d s_{w}=2 \pi r_{w} d r_{w}$ in the ultimate wake $2 . s$ shown in Fig. l. By the law of mass conservation, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{d} d s_{d}=w_{w} d s_{w} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (14) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{d} r_{d}=v_{w} r_{w} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The radial component of Eq. (2) gives in the ultimate wake

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{w}}{d r_{w}}=\frac{\rho v_{w}^{2}}{r_{w}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation states simply that the centrifugal force of the fluid is balanced by the radial pressure gradient in the ultimate wake. Consider a spherical control volume of radius $R$ centered at the origin of the coordinate system. The control surface consists of two parts $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, where $S_{1}$ is a cross section of the slipstream and $S_{2}$ is the remaining portion of the sphere. At large distances from the rotor disk, the
action of the rotor on the fluid outside the slipstream is equivalent to that of a sink, the strength of which is equal to tis volume rate o: flow passing through the disk, and is finite. Therefore, in the limit as $R \rightarrow \infty, p=p_{\infty}+O\left(1 / R^{4}\right)$. Therefore the static pressure at the rim of the ultimate wake is $p_{\infty}$. Equation (29) therefore gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\infty}-p_{w}=\rho \int_{r_{w}}^{R_{w}} \frac{v_{w}^{2}}{r} d r \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (12) requires $H_{W}=H_{d}$. It follows from Eqs. (14), (18), and (30) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{w}=\frac{p_{w}}{\rho}+\Omega v_{w} r_{w}+\int_{r_{w}}^{R_{w}} \frac{v_{w}^{2}}{r} d r \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, in the ultimate wake, since $u_{w}=0$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{w}=\frac{p_{w}}{\rho}+\frac{v_{w}^{2}}{2}+\frac{w_{w}^{2}}{2} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w_{w}^{2}}{2}=\Omega v_{w} r_{w}-\frac{v_{w}^{2}}{2}+\int_{r_{w}}^{R_{w}} \frac{v_{w}^{2}}{r} d r \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the rim of the ult mate wake,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w_{w_{0}}^{2}}{2}=\Omega v_{w_{0}} R_{w}-\frac{v_{w_{0}}^{2}}{2} \tag{.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider again the control surface described earlier. The momentum theorem gives the following expression for the total thrust of the rotor

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\int_{S_{1}}\left(p+\rho w^{2}\right) \vec{n} \cdot \vec{e}_{z} d S_{1}+\int_{S_{2}}\left(p+p w^{2}\right) \vec{n} \cdot \vec{e}_{z} d_{2} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{n}$ is the unit outward normal vector on $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. As $R \rightarrow \infty, \therefore$ integrand in the second integral goes to $p_{\infty}+O\left(1 / R^{4}\right)$. Therefore the second integral gives - $-\infty\left(\pi R_{W}^{2}\right)$. Equation (35) therefore gives, with the use of Eq. (30) and Eq. (33),

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{2} \rho \int_{S_{1}}\left[v_{w}\left(2 \Omega r_{w}-v_{w}\right)+w_{w}^{2}\right] d s_{w} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total power expended is, by using Eqs. (17), (27), and (28),

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\rho \int_{S_{1}} w_{w} v_{w} r_{w} d s_{w} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

With a known radial distribution of the tangential velocity $v_{w}$ in the ultimate wake, Eq. (33) establishes the distribution of $w_{w}$. Equations (36) and (37) then give the thrust and power of the rotor. A perturbation in the tangential velocity distribution therefore alters the thrust and the power. In the following section, a criterion for optimum performance is established by analyzing the changes in power and thrv : resulting from the perturbation of the tangential velocity distribution. This criterion is first given in terms of the velocity distributions in the ultimate wake. These velocity distributions will subsequently be related to the optimum distribution of bound vorticity, thrust, and power.

## Criterion for Optimum Performance

An optimum rotor is a rotor giving a specified amount of thrust while expending a minimum amount of power. Consider a given distribution of tangential velocity $v_{w}$ in the ultimate wake. If a perturbation $\Delta v_{w}$ in the tangential velocity is introduced as follows:

$$
\Delta v_{w}= \begin{cases}0, & \text { for } 0<r_{w}<a  \tag{38}\\ e r_{w}, & \text { for } a<r_{w}<a+\Delta r_{w} \\ 0, & \text { for } a+\Delta r_{w}<r_{w}<R_{w}\end{cases}
$$

then, according to $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$. (33), the resulting change in the axial velocity distribution is

$$
\Delta w_{w}= \begin{cases}2 v_{w a} \Delta r_{w} \epsilon / w_{w}, & \text { for } 0<r_{w}<a  \tag{39}\\ \left(\Omega a-v_{w a}\right) a \varepsilon / w_{w}, & \text { for } a<r_{w}<a+\Delta r_{w} \\ 0, & \text { for } a+\Delta r_{w}<r_{w}<R_{w}\end{cases}
$$

where the subscript a indicates the value of $v_{W}$ is evaluated at $r_{W}=a$. Only terms of the lowest order in $e a / v_{w a}$ and in $\Delta r_{w^{\prime}} / a$ are kept in each interval of interest.

Equations (36), (37), together with (38) and (39) gives the changes in thrust and power:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta T=2 \pi p\left(\varepsilon \Delta r_{w}\right) a^{2}\left(\Omega a-v_{w a}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\Delta P=2 \pi \rho \cap\left(s \Delta r_{w}\right)\left\{a^{3} v_{w a}\left(\Omega a-v_{w a}\right) / w_{w a}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+a^{3} w_{w a}+2 v_{w a} \int_{0}^{a} \frac{v_{w} r_{w}^{2}}{w_{w}} d r_{w}\right\} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (40) and (4I) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta P=h(a) \Delta T \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(a)=\frac{\Omega\left\{a v_{w a}\left(\Omega a-v_{w a}\right) / w_{w a}+a w_{w a}+\frac{2 v_{w a}}{a^{2}} \int_{0}^{a} \frac{v_{w} r_{w}^{2}}{w_{w}} d r_{w}\right\}}{2 \Omega a-v_{w a}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a function of $a$, the location where the perturbation of tangential velocity is introduced.

Suppose that a perturbation in tangential velocity is introduced at $a_{1}$ with resulting changes in power and thrust, $\Delta P_{1}$ and $\Delta T_{1}$. Suppose a second perturbation in tangential velocity is introduced at $a_{c_{2}}$ with resulting changes in power and thrust, $\Delta P_{2}$ and $\Delta T_{2}$. By suitably selecting the values of $\varepsilon \Delta r_{w}$ at $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$, the total change in thrust due to the two perturbations is made to vanish. Then $\Delta T_{2}=-\Delta T_{1}$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta P=\Delta P_{1}+\Delta P_{2}=\left[h\left(a_{1}\right)-h\left(a_{2}\right)\right] \Delta T_{1} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Delta T_{1}$ can be made either positive or negative by choosing the sign of $\epsilon$ in Eq. (40), it will be possible to obtain a negative value of $\Delta P$ if $h\left(a_{1}\right) \neq h\left(a_{2}\right)$. In other words, it is possible, by altering the tangential velocity distribution, to develop a given amount of trrust while expending a smaller amount of power. The tangential velocity distribution $v_{w}$ under consideration therefore does not give optimum
performance.
It follows therefore that the optimum distribution of tangential velocity $v_{w}$ is one which gives $h\left(a_{1}\right)=h\left(a_{2}\right)$. Since $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are arbitrarily selected radial locations, the criterion for optimum performance is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(r_{w}\right)=\text { constant } \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{r_{w}} \frac{v_{w}}{w_{w}} r^{2} d r=\frac{r_{w}^{3}}{2}\left[\frac{N}{r_{w}}\left(\frac{2 \Omega r_{w}}{v_{w}}-1\right)-\frac{w_{w}}{v_{w}}-\frac{\Omega r_{w}-v_{w}}{w_{w}}\right] \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where N is a constant.

## Comritation Procedure

Equation (33) relates $w_{w}$ to $v_{w}$. As a consequence, Eqs. (33) and (46) permit the evaluation of the optimum distribution of the tangential velocity $v_{W}$ in the ultimate wake for specified values of $N$ and $R_{W}$. Alternatively, Eqs. (33) and (46) are re-expressed in non-dimensional forms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tilde{w}_{w}^{2}}{2}=\tilde{v}_{w} \tilde{r}_{w}-\frac{\tilde{v}_{w}^{2}}{2}+\int_{\tilde{r}_{w}}^{1} \frac{\tilde{v}_{w}^{2}}{\tilde{r}} d \tilde{r} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\tilde{r}_{w}} \frac{\tilde{v}_{w}}{\tilde{w}_{w}} \tilde{r}^{2} d \tilde{r}=\frac{\tilde{r}_{w}^{3}}{2}\left[\frac{\tilde{N}}{\tilde{r}_{w}}\left(\frac{2 \tilde{r}_{w}}{\tilde{v}_{w}}-1\right)-\frac{\tilde{w}_{w}}{\tilde{v}_{w}}-\frac{\tilde{r}_{w}-\tilde{v}_{w}}{\tilde{w}_{w}}\right] \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{v}_{w}=\frac{v_{w}}{\Omega R_{w}}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{w}_{W}=\frac{w_{w}}{\Omega R_{W}} \\
\tilde{r}_{V}=\frac{r_{w}}{R_{w}} \tag{49}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
N=\frac{N}{R_{w}}
$$

From Eq. (47), one obtains at $\tilde{r}_{w}=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{w}_{w_{0}}=\left[\tilde{v}_{w_{0}}\left(2-\tilde{v}_{w_{0}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript " 0 " denotes the velocity components at the rim of the ultimate wake. Differentiating Eq. (47) with respect to $r_{w}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \tilde{w}_{w}}{d \tilde{r}_{w}}=\left(\tilde{r}_{w}-\tilde{v}_{w}\right)\left(\tilde{v}_{w}+\tilde{r}_{w} \frac{d \tilde{v}_{w}}{d \tilde{r}_{w}}\right) / \tilde{r}_{w} \tilde{w}_{w} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (48) and (50), one obtains at $r_{w}=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{N}=\frac{\left(3-2 \tilde{v}_{w_{0}}\right)\left[\tilde{v}_{w_{0}} /\left(2-\tilde{v}_{w_{0}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}+2 \tilde{v}_{w_{0}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\tilde{v}_{w} \tilde{r}^{2} / \tilde{w}_{w}\right) d \tilde{r}}{2-\tilde{v}_{w_{0}}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating Eq. (48) with respect to $\tilde{r}_{W}$ and using Eq. (5i) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \tilde{v}_{w}}{d \tilde{r}_{w}}=\frac{\tilde{v}_{w}\left[3 \tilde{w}_{w}^{4}-2 \tilde{N}\left(3 \tilde{r}_{w}-\tilde{v}_{w}\right) \tilde{w}_{w}^{3} / \tilde{r}_{w}+\tilde{v}_{w}\left(5 \tilde{r}_{w}-2 \tilde{v}_{w}\right) \tilde{w}_{w}^{2}-\tilde{v}_{w}^{2}\left(\tilde{r}_{w}-\tilde{v}_{w}\right)^{2}\right]}{\tilde{r}_{w}\left[\tilde{w}_{w}^{4}-2 \tilde{m}_{w}^{3}-\tilde{v}_{w}\left(\tilde{r}_{w}-2 \tilde{v}_{w}\right) \tilde{w}_{w}^{2}+\tilde{v}_{w}^{2}\left(\tilde{r}_{w}-\tilde{v}_{w}\right)^{2}\right]} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the value of $\tilde{v}_{w_{0}}$ is specified, then $\tilde{w}_{W_{0}}$ is determinate from Eq. (50). If, further, the value of $\tilde{N}$ is given, Equations (51) and (53) can be re-written as a system of two first order ordinary differential equations with "initial" values specified at the point $\tilde{r}_{w}=1$, i.e., the rim of the ultimate wake. Various numerical methods are available for the solution of these equations. In the present work, a fourth order Runge-Kutta method is used to determine the distributions $\tilde{v}_{w}$ and $\tilde{W}_{w}$ from Equations (51) and (53) for specified values of $\tilde{v}_{w}$ and N. An iterative procedure is used with which a value of $\tilde{N}$ is estimated for the initial iteration. The distributions $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{w}}$ and $\tilde{W}_{w}$, obtained using this value of $N$ from Eqs. (51) and (53) are placed into Eq. (52) to compute a new value of $\tilde{N}$ for the second iteration. The iterations continue until the value of $\tilde{N}$ changes insignificantly between two successive j.terations. In this manner, the optimum ultimate wake flow corresponding to a specifjed value of $\tilde{v}_{w_{0}}$ is established.

## Contraction Ratio and Performance

The figure of merit of a rotor is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{c_{T}^{3 / 2}}{C_{P}} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{T}$ and $\dot{C}_{P}$ are respectively the thrust coetiticient and the power coefficient defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{T}=T / \pi \rho \rho^{2} R_{d}^{4} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{P}=P / \pi \mu^{3} R_{d}{ }^{5} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a given radius of the ultimate wake, $R_{w}$, and a given angular velocity $\Omega$ of the rotor, the computed optimum distributions of $\tilde{v}_{W}$ and $\tilde{W}_{W}$ together with Eqs. (36) and (37) yield the corresponding thrust and power of the rotor. In order to establish the performance of the rotor, i.e., $C_{T}, C_{P}$ and $M$, however, the disk radius $R_{d}$ must be determined.

In theories of propeller performance, it is customary to write the thrust element in terms of the wake velocities as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d T=\frac{1}{2} \rho\left[\left(20 r_{w}-v_{w}\right) v_{w}+w_{w}^{2}\right] d s_{w} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The acceptance of Eq. (57) permits the radius of a given stream tube on the disk, $r_{d}$, to be related to the radius of that stream tube in the ultimate wake, $r_{w}$. Equating the right sides of Eqs. (15) and (57) and using Eq. (28) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d r_{d}}{d r_{w}}=\frac{\left[\left(2 \Omega r_{w}-v_{w}\right) v_{w}+w_{w}^{2}\right] r_{d}}{\left(2 \Omega r_{d}^{2}-v_{w} r_{w}\right) v_{w}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary condition for this differential equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{d}=0 \text { at } r_{w}=0 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

With known distributions $v_{w}$ and $w_{w}$, the quadrature of Eq. (58) gives $r_{d}$ as a function of $r_{w}$ and thus, for the given value of $k_{w}$, the value of $R_{d}$.

Equation (57) obviously satisfies Eq. (36). However, it is not the only expression that satisfies Eq. (36). Any function $b\left(r_{w}\right)$ which gives $\int_{0}^{{ }^{R} R_{w}} b d r_{w}=0$ can be added to the right side of Eq. (57) and the
resulting expressicn for dr still satisfies Eq. (36). It has been shown in Ref. 29, in tact, that no solution of Eq. (58) exists which satisfies the boundary condition (59). Accordingly, in the present research, an approach which does not utilize Eq. (58) is developed. In this approach, the contraction ration

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=r_{W} / r_{d} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

is taken to be a constant independent of the radial location ( $r_{w}$ or $r_{d}$ ).
In general, the contraction ratio is a function of the radial location. The results of Ref. 2 however: indicate that no serious error is introduced by taking the contraction ratio to be a constant for heavily loaded free-running propellers. Thus it is expected that the assumption of constant contraciion ratio is reasonable for the hovering rotor.

By taking $c$ in Eq. (60) as a constant, Eqs. (15) and (28) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
d T=\rho\left(\frac{\delta r_{w}}{e^{2}}-\frac{v_{w}}{2}\right) v_{w} d s_{w} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating Eq. (61) and equating the right siae of the resulting equation to the right side of Eq. (36) gives, after rearrangement of terms,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\left[1+\frac{\int_{0}^{R_{w}} w_{w}^{\prime} d s_{w}}{2 \Omega \int_{0}^{R_{w}} v_{w} r_{w} d s_{w}}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that, using integration by parts,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R_{w}} w_{w}^{2} r_{w} d r_{w}=\frac{w_{w}{ }^{2} R_{w}^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{R_{w}} \frac{d w_{w}^{2}}{d r_{w}} r_{w}^{2} d r_{w} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating Eq. (33) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d w_{w}^{2}}{d r_{w}}=\pi v_{w}+\pi r_{w} \frac{d v_{w}}{d r_{w}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d v_{w}^{2}}{d r_{w}}-\frac{v_{w}^{2}}{r_{w}} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Placing Eq. (64) into (63) and noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R} \frac{d v_{w}}{d r_{w}} r_{w}^{3} d r_{w}=v_{w} R_{w}^{3}-3 \int_{0}^{R} v_{w} r_{w}^{2} d r_{w} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R} \frac{d v_{w}^{2}}{d r_{w}} r_{w}^{2} d r_{w}=v_{w}^{2} R_{w}^{2}-2 \int_{0}^{R} v_{w}^{2} r_{w} d r_{w} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

One then has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R_{w}} w_{w}^{2} r_{w} d r_{w}=\frac{w_{w}{ }^{2} R_{w}}{2}-\pi v_{w} R_{w}^{3}+\frac{1}{2} v_{w}^{2} R_{w}^{2}+2 \pi \int_{0}^{R_{w}} v_{w} r_{w}^{2} d r_{w} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eq. (34) then gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R} w_{w}^{2} r_{w} d r_{w}=2 n \int_{0}^{R} v_{w} r_{w}^{2} d r_{w} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, Eq. (62) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\frac{R_{w}}{R_{d}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is noted that this contraction ratio is precisely the value predicted by the axial momentum theorem.

With $c$ known, $R_{d}$ immediately follows from the specified value of $R_{W}\left(R_{d}=\sqrt{2} R_{W}\right)$. The axial inflow velocity and the circulation at the rotor disk are related to the axial and tangential velocities in the ultimate wake. The thrust and power coefficients as weli as the figure of merit can be easily evaluated from the known flow conditions in the ultimate wake. In particular, once an optimum distribution of $v_{w}$ is established, the corresponding distribution of $w_{w}, w_{d}$, and $P$, the values of $C_{T}, C_{P}$, and $M$ immediately follow.

The optimum rotor performance theory described here has been generalized to the case of a rotor (or a propeller) in axial rotion. The analysis for this general case is presented in Appendix $B$ of this report.

## f. ROT R INDUCED FLOWFIELD

A number of methods have been developed by various authors for the sclution of Eqs. (21) and (22), with specified distribution of disk-bound circulation (Refs. 3, 4, 5, 23, 24). The approach chr an for the present :rork is the numerical method described by Greenberg et al. (Refs. 4 and 5).

For the hovering rotor problem, the domain of integration in Eq. (22) is the entire slipstream and is not known a priori. In fact, the shape of the stream tubes boui:ding and within the slipstream is to be established as a part of the solution. Following the approach of Greenberg and Powers (Ref. 5), the specified distribution of circulation at the disk is approximated by a piecewise uniform distribution as shown in solid lines in Fig. 2. The disk radius is divided into $L$ segments at radii $r_{d}=R_{0}, R_{l}$, . . $R_{L}$, with $R_{o}=0$, and $R_{L}=R_{d}$. In the $\ell$ th serment, $R_{\ell-1}<r_{d}<R_{\ell}$, the circulation is taken to be a constant value $\Gamma_{l}$. The trailing vortices are considered to be shed only at the radial locations $R_{\ell}$. The slipstream vortex system is then represented by $L$ discrete vortex tubes. The $\ell t h$ vortex trails the disk at $r_{d}=r_{l}$. Equation (22) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(r, z)=\sum_{l=1}^{L} \int_{0}^{\infty} G\left(r, z ; t_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime} z^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{\ell}^{\prime} d z^{\prime} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{l}$ is the radius of the $\ell$ th vortex tube and $\gamma_{l}{ }^{\prime}$ is the st.iength of the $\ell$ th vortex tube. $t_{i}^{\prime}$ and $Y_{\ell}^{\prime}$ are both functions of $z^{\prime}$.

With the above representation of the slipstream vortex system, the vorticity in the regions between the vortex tubes is zero. The angular momentum vr of the fluid is constant between the vortex tubes and changes discontinuously across the tubes by the amount $\left(\Gamma_{\ell+1}-\Gamma_{\ell}\right)$ $/ 2 \pi$. Consider for the moment a continuous, though rapid, change of the angular momentum in the interval $r=t_{l}$ to $r=l_{\ell}+\Delta r$. The corresponding volume distribution of the tangential vcsticity $\eta_{l}$ is given by Eq. (21), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{l}=-(\eta r-v) \frac{d(v r)}{d \phi} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\Delta r$ approaches zero, one obtains a vortex tube with strength

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{l}=\eta_{l} \Delta r=-\left[r\left(\Omega-\frac{v r}{r^{2}}\right) \frac{d(v r)}{d \phi} \Delta r\right] r=t_{i} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

The angular momentum vr at $r=t_{\ell}$ is, in the principal value sense, equal to $\frac{1}{2}\left[(v r)_{\ell}+(v r)_{\ell+1}\right]$, or equivalently $\left(\Gamma_{\ell}+\Gamma_{\ell+1}\right) / 4 \pi$. Thus Eq. (72) may be re-written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{l}=-\left[\Omega-\frac{1}{4 \pi t_{l}^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{\ell}+\Gamma_{\ell+1}\right)\right]\left(\frac{\Gamma_{l+1}-\Gamma_{l}}{2 \pi}\right)\left(r \frac{d r}{d \phi}\right)_{r=t_{l}} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eq. (5), one then obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{l} w_{l}=\frac{\Gamma_{l}-\Gamma_{l+1}}{2 \pi}\left[\Omega-\frac{1}{4 \pi t_{l}^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{l}+\Gamma_{l+1}\right)\right] \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{l}$ is the axial velocity on the eth vortex tube in the principal
value sense.
Equation (74) has been derived in Ref. 5 using the Bernoulli's equation and the condition that the vorticity in the slipstream convects with the fluid motion. The present, alternative, derivation of this equation points out that, instead of considering the trailing vortex tubes to originate at the disk radii $R_{l}$, it would also be appropriate to lump the vortices shed within each interval $R_{l-1}<r_{d}<R_{l}$ into a vortex tube originating at the mid-point of that interval, i.e., at $r_{d}=\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{\ell-1}+R_{\ell}\right)$. This mid-point representation is shown in dashed lines in Fig. 2. While the end-point representation used in Ref. 5 describes the disk bound circulation well, it appears that the mid-point representation would describe the slipstream vortex system more accurately. With the mid-point representation, if the circulation goes to zero continuously at $r_{d}=R_{d}$, then the slipstream boundary is no longer identified by the outermost vortex tube. For this situation, the mid-point representation must be modified for the outermost interval if the slipstream boundary is to be identified. The mid-point representation also presents some difficuities for the innermost interval. In Ref. 5, attempts were made to provide a cut-out, i.e., to let $\Gamma_{1}=0$, representing the finite hub of the rotor. These attempts failed to produce convergent results. The use of the mid-point representation for the innermost interval is computationally equivalent to the use of an end-point representation and assign $i_{1}=0$ for the interval $0 \leq r_{d} \leq R_{1} / 2$. Thus it is expected that the use of the mid-point representation for the innermost interval would also present convergence problems.

A possible method of removing the above noted difficulties is to use continuous distributic.ls of blade-bound vortices, or circulation, for the innermost and the outermost intervals. It should be noted that in Refs. 4 and 5, it was pointed out that with the end point representation, the strength of the outermost vortex tube becomes singular at the tip of the slipstream. Furthermore, if the circulation for the innermost interval is non-zero, then the tangential velocity $v$ becomes infinitely large at $r=0$ and the rotor's thrust diverges. Therefore there existed also difficulties in using end-point representations for the innermost and outermost intervals. Again, a possible method of removing these difficulties is to use continuous distributions of blade-bound vortices for the innermost and outermost intervals. Inasmuch as satisfactory results were obtained by the use of the method described in Ref. 5 for the major portion of the flowfield of concern, no attempt has been made to incorporate the "continuous" representation of blade-bound vortices.

Since the vortex tubes in the slipstream coincide with the stream tubes, the application of Eq. (70) at each vortex tube $m$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{m}=\sum_{l=1}^{L} \int_{0}^{\infty} G\left(t_{m}, z ; t_{l^{\prime}}, z^{\prime}\right) \gamma^{\prime} d z^{\prime} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{m}$ is a constant. Equations (5), (70) and (74) give

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{L} \int_{0}^{\infty} G_{r}\left(t_{m}, z ; t_{l}^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{l}^{\prime} d z^{\prime}=\frac{\left.t_{m} r_{m}-\Gamma_{m+1}\right)}{2 \pi \gamma_{m}}\left[\Omega-\frac{1}{4 \pi t_{m}^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{m}+\Gamma_{m+1}\right)\right](76)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{r}(r, z ; & \left.r^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)= \\
2 \pi r^{\prime} \sqrt{\left(z^{\prime}-z\right)^{2}+\left(r^{\prime}+r\right)^{2}} & \frac{1}{K(k)}  \tag{77}\\
& \left.-\left[1+\frac{2 r\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)}{\left(z^{\prime}-z\right)^{2}+\left(r^{\prime}+r\right)^{2}}\right] E(k)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (75) and (76) constitice a set of 2L coupled integral equations containing the $2 L$ unknown functions $t_{i}(z)$ and $\gamma_{l}(z)$. An iterative method of solution of this sec of integral equations is described in Ref. 5.

## VI. RESULIS AND DISCUSSION

## Optinum Performance

a. Optimum Circulation Distribution

Numerical results for the optimum radial distribution of circulation and inflow velocities at the rotor disk and the associated performance parameters have been obtained jor 16 values of the thrust coefficient between 0.001 and 0.050 . This range of thrus ${ }^{+}$coefficient was considered to bracket the normal operating range of hovering rotors. In Ref. 27, the optimum radial distributir 78 of the circulation and of the axial inflow velocity at the disk are presented for the 16 values of the thrust coefficient. It is noted in Ref. 27 that for small values of the thrust coefficient, the optimum circulation and the axial inflow velocity are nearly independent of the radial position except near the axis. For higher values of the thrust coefficient, the deviations of the optimum circulation and of the axial inflow velocity from constant values extend over larger regions and the magnitude of the deviations are larger.

The optimum distribution of circulation is presented for the $t$ hrust coefficients of $0.001,0.005,0.010$, and 0.050 in Fig. 3. In Ref. 10, an approximate solution for the hovering rotor problem is given based on the general momentum theory neglecting the radial pressure gradient in the umtimate wake. The approximate distributions of circulation of Ref. 10 are computed and compared with the present, more exact, solution in Figure 3. The comparison shows that
the approximate solution of Ref. 10 deviates significantly from the present result, particularly in the inboard region of the disk. The deviations are more severe for larger values of the thrust coefficient. b. Axial Inflow Velocity

In Fig. 4, the optimum distributions of axial inflow velocity obtained in the present study are presented and compared with the approximate solution of Ref. 10 for several values of $C_{T}$. It is noted that the approximate solution of Ref. 10 gives a zero value of the axial inflow velocity at the axis of the disk. It has been shown in Refs. 27 and 29 that this zero axial inflow velocity is incorrect. c. Figure of Merit

Insofar as the overall performance of the rotor is concerned, the approximate method of Ref. 10 yields a figure of merit more optimistic than the more exact results of the present theory. Figure 5 shows that the computed figure of merit based on the present theory is lower than that based on the approximate theory of Ref. 10, which is in turn much lower than the ideal figure of merit of one. The deviations between the results increases with the value of the thrust coefficient.
d. Effect of Finite Number of Blades

While the present investigation is primarily concerned with an infinitely bladed rotor, the effect of a finite number of blades may be determined, for example, by extending Lerbs' work (Ref. 17) on heavily loaded, free muning propellers.

An estimate of the effect of number of blades on the optimum distribution of circulation is provided by modifying Prandtl's approxi-
mate method (Ref. 11) of calculating the "tip loss" factor and applying the result to the hovering rotor case. The procedure is outlined in Ref. 27. The results are shown in Figure 6 for rotors with 2, 4, and 6 blades at $C_{T}=0.010$. Based on these results, the corrected thrust coefficients for 2,4 , and 6 blades are respectively 0.00759 , 0.00865 , and .00906 . The corresponding corrected power coefficients are $.000547,0.000624$, and 0.000655 . The figures of merit are 0.855 , 0.911 , and 0.931 . In comparison, without the tip loss correction, the power coefficients for the infinitely bladed rotor are respectively (at $C_{T}=0.00759,0.00865$, and 0.00906 ) $0.000479,0.000585$, and 0.000627 . The figures of merits are 0.975, 0.973, and 0.972.

Rotor Induced Flow
Following the analyses described in the previous sections, a computer program was prepared to compute the flowfields associated with the optimum distribution of circulation. In these computations, emphasis was placed on the determination of the shapes of the stream tubes in the slipstream. As discussed earlier, the vortex tubes coincide with the stream tubes in the allpstream.

The continuous circulation distribution was represented by a number of piecewise uniform circulation intervals and the trailing vortex tubes are considered to originate from the end-points of each interval as was done in Ref. 5. The value of the circulation within the ith interval was taken to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{l}=\frac{2 \pi}{\Omega\left(R_{l}^{2}-R_{l-1}\right)^{2}} \int_{r_{l-1}}^{r_{l}}\left(2 \Omega r_{d}-v_{d}\right) v_{d} r_{d} d r_{d} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

It shall be shown later that the thrust developed in the eth interval due to the constant circulation $\Gamma_{\ell}$ given by Eq. (78) is approximately equal to that due to the continuous distribution of circulation $\Gamma_{l}=$ $2 \pi v_{d} r_{d}$.
a. Effects of Number of Intervals Used

Three sets of computations were made for the case of an optimum rotor hovering OGE with a thrust coefficient of 0.010 usirg 4 , 5 , and 7 constant circulation intervals. For the set of computations using 4 intervals, the values of $R_{l}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ were assigned to be $0.00 \mathrm{R}, 0.15 \mathrm{R}$, $0.30 \mathrm{R}, 0.60 \mathrm{R}$, and 1.00 R . For the 5-interval compltations, additional $R_{\ell}$ values were assigned at 0.80 R . For the 7 -interval computations, additional $R_{l}$ values were assigned at $0.45 \mathrm{R}, 0.75 \mathrm{R}$, and 0.90 R . A comparison of the computed stream tube shapes based on 4-, 5-, and 7interval representations is shown in Fig. 7. The comparison revealed that the three sets of computations gave practically identical stream tube shapes. The maximum deviations in the computed radius of the slipstream boundary was less than $0.2 \%$ between the three sets of results. A similar comparison of the axial inflow velocities revealed that, except in the immediate vicinity of the additional $R_{l}$ 's, the results are virtually independent of the number of intervals used. These comparisons suggest that a 4-or 5- interval representation is adequate for routine computations.
b. Effects of Second Order Terms

According to Eq. (15), if $\Gamma_{l}$ is such that the thrust developed in the lth interval due to $\Gamma_{l}$ is equal to thut due to the continuous distribution of circulation $\Gamma=2 \pi v_{d} r_{d}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega\left(R_{l}^{2}-R_{l-1}^{2}\right) \Gamma_{l}-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \ln \left(\frac{R_{l}}{R_{l-1}}\right) \Gamma_{l}^{2} \\
& \quad=2 \pi \int_{R_{l-1}}^{R_{l}} \quad\left(2 \Omega r_{d}-v_{d}\right) v_{d} r_{d} d r_{d} \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

The second term on the left side of Eq. (79) represents a second order effect of the slipstream rotation. If this term is neglected, then one obtains the expression for $\Gamma_{l}$ given by Eq. (78). In general, the maximum value of $\Gamma_{l}$ is approximately equal to $2 m R_{d}{ }^{2} C_{T}$. For usual applications, since $C_{T}$ is much smaller than unity, the second term on the left side of Eq. (79) is negligible for all intervals $\ell \neq 1$. For the first interval, $l=1$, one has $R_{l_{1}}=R_{0}=0$ and the second term becomes infinitely large. Thus, Eq. (79) is not appropriate for the first interval. It should be noted that in reality, the area represented by the first interval is equal to $\pi R_{1}{ }^{2}$ and is a small fraction of the total disk area $\pi R_{L}{ }^{2}$. For example, by taking $R_{l}=0.15 R_{L}$, the area represented by the first interval is $2.25 \%$ of the total disk area. Since the value of the circulation in the first interval is also small, the thrust developed in the first interval is only a small fraction of the total rotor thrust. The piecewise constant circulation $\Gamma_{l}$ as determined by Eq. (78) therefore gives a total rotor thrust very nearly equal to the total rotor thrust due to the continuous circulation distribution $\Gamma=?{ }^{-} \pi v_{d} r_{d}$.

The second term inside the brackets in Eq. (74) also represents a second order effect of the slipctream rotation. This term again is negligible for all except the innermost interval. In this investigation,
the role of this second order term in defining the vortex tube shapes is examined by computing, for the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}=0.01$ case using 7-intervals, the vortex tube shapes neglecting and accounting for this second-order effect. The results, shown in Figure 8, indicate that the neglect of this second-order effect leads to a slightly smaller ultimate wake. The effect is small for all except the innermost streamtube.
c. Restriction on the Radius of the Innermost Tube

Equation (79) shows that if as $r_{d} \rightarrow 0$, the continuous distribution of circulation, $\Gamma$, goes to zero as $r^{\alpha}$, with $\alpha>0$, then the thrust developed in the first interval is finite. If the continuous distribution of circulation is replaced by a constant, non-zero, circulation in the first interval, then as $r_{d} \rightarrow 0$ the tangential velocity component goes to infinity as $I / r_{d}$ and the thrust becomes infinitely large. Greenberg et. al. made several attempts (Ref. 5) to remove this difficulty by letting $\Gamma_{1}=0$. They pointed out that this is equivalent to providing a cut-out to represent a finite hub of the rotor. These attempts, however, failed to produce any convergence solution. In the present investigation, it was observed that the convergence of the numerical solution was also sensitive to the value of $R_{1}$ used in the computation procedure. Convergence was not obtained with $R_{1}=0.10$. However, with $R_{1}=0.15$, convergence was obtained. The difficulties experienced is attributable to the fact that the innermost vortex tube was situated in an essentially aead-air or recirculating flow region, as can be shown by examinira the axial velocity in the ultimate wake. Since the vorticity between two adjacent vortex tubes is zero and since the radial velocity is zero in the ultimate wake, the axial
velocity in the ultimate wake is constant between two vortex tubes. Let $W_{l}$ be the constant ultimate axial velocity in the lth interval (i.e., between the (l-1)th and the ith vortex tubes), then, in the ultimate wake

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{l}=W_{l}-W_{l+1}  \tag{80}\\
& w_{l}=\frac{1}{2}\left(W_{l}+W_{l+1}\right) \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Eq. (74) in the ultimate wake then yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{l}^{2}=W_{l+1}^{2}+\frac{\Omega}{\pi}\left(\Gamma_{l}-\Gamma_{l+1}\right)-\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} t_{l}^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{l}^{2}-\Gamma_{i+1}^{2}\right) \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that the axial velocity and the circulation is zero outside the ultimate wake and neglecting the second order terms in Eq. (82) gives

$$
W_{l}^{2}=\frac{0}{\pi} \Gamma_{l}
$$

and in particular

$$
W_{1}^{2}=\frac{\Omega}{\pi} \Gamma_{1}
$$

Consequently, if one lets $\Gamma_{1}=0$ as was attempted in Ref. 5, then $W_{1}=0$ and the net flow passing within the innermost stream tube is zero. Thus the innermost vortex tube contains a dead-air or recirculating flow region. Such regions obviously are not amenable to the iterative proceedure used here.

It can be shown that the inclusion of the second order terms in

Eq. (82) increases the values of $W$. Therefore, $W_{1}$ is non-zero even if one lets $\Gamma_{1}=0$. This non-zero value of $W_{1}$, however, is small and the innermost stream tube still contains essentially a dead-air region. Similarly, since the continuous distribution of circulation approaches zero near the axis of the rotor, the value of $\Gamma_{1}$ is small if the value of $R_{1}$ is small. As a result, $W_{1}$ becomes very small and the innermost stream tube again contains essentially a dead-air region. These observations were substantiated by the numerical results obtained in this investigation.
d. Stream Tube Shapes

In addition to the case of $C_{T}=0.010$, computations were made for the previously discussed optimum distributions of circulations at $C_{T T}=0.001,0.005,0.050$. For these additional computations, the $4-$ interval representation was used. The computed slipstream shapes are presented in Figs. 9 for cases of $0.001,0.010$, and 0.050 . The shape for the case of $C_{T}=0.005$ lies between those for $C_{T}=0.001$ and 0.010 and is not shown. The results show that with increasing values of $C_{T}$, the "dead-air" region near the hub becomes more isominent. The contraction ratio is nearly independert of the radial location. For the cases studied, this ratio deviated from the universal value of 0.742 by less than one half of a percent. This value is samewhat larger than the value 0.707 predicted by t.e momentum theory. The computed axial velocity in the ultimate wake, also show in Figs. 9, for $C_{T}=0.010$, is in good agreement with that predicted by Eq. (82). Furthermore, for the smaller values of $C_{T}$, the computed axial velocity is in good agreement with the cifimum ultimace wake velocity. For the case of $C_{T}=0.050$, however,
the computed axial velocity is significantly lower than the optimum wake velocity near the axis of the rotor.

In addition to the optimum circuletion distribution cases, computations were made using the circuiation distribution given in Fig. 6 for the two-bladed rotor with tip-loss correction. This special distribution of circulation shall bs referred to as off-optimum circulation since the present computation procedure is specifically designed for infinitein bladed rotors.

The slipstream geometry for the off-optimum circulation case is compared with the optimum circulation case of $\mathrm{C}_{\Gamma}=0.010$ in Fig. 10. The comparison shows that the ultimate wake radius is smaller for the off-optimum case then for ti.e optimum case.

The slipstream toundary shapes for a rctor with constant circulation hovering in ground effect at heights $R_{d}$ and $2 R_{d}$ above the ground plane are computed and shown in Fig. 11. Also shown in Fig. 11 are the experimental results of Ref. 18 obtained from smoke pictures for a two-bladed rotor hovering at a height of $R_{d}$ above the ground plane. It appears that, within the limitations of the infinitely bladed model for the rotor, the computed results provide a reasonable description of the wake boundary. Computations were also made for a rotor hovering at a height $10 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$ above the ground plane. It was found for this case, the flowfield at distances $3 R_{d}$ or more above the ground plane is nearly identical to that of a rotor hovering $O G E$.

## VII. CONCLUSIONS

Studies of the optimum performance and of the induced potential flowfields of rotors and propellers are made on the basis of a "rotating actuator disk" concept. By modifying and adopting certain principles and techniques of propeller aerodynamics, a new criterion for the optimum performance of rotors and propellers in axial flight is obtained. The analysis leading to this criterion is more camplete than the previous analyses in that the present analysis fully accounts for the effects of slipstream rotation and allows for the existence of a radial pressure gradient in the ultimate wake. For flowfield computations, the radial distribution of circulation at the rotor disk is represented by a piecewise uniform distribution of circulation. The slipstream is represented by a set of axi-symmetric vortex tubes shed from the disk at the locations of circulation discontinuities. Existing numerical methods are utilized to compute the shape and strength of the vortex tubes that satisfy the required kine iic and kinematic conditions for the slipstream. Numerical results are obtained for hovering rotcrs (static propellers) in and out of ground effect for cases of optirim and off-optimum circulation distributions at various thrust levels.

Several conclusions of the present study are sumarized below:

1. Previous investigators comparing experimental data with theoretical results noted that the earlier theories, in which the effect of slipstream rotation is partly or totally neglected, generally overestimate the optimum performance of hovering rotors in the higher thrust
coefficient range of practical interest. The present theory provides improvements in theoretical predictions by fully accounting for the effects of slipstream rotation. These improvements, which are not important in the case of a lightly loaded propeller, have significant influence on the optimum distribution of blade-bound circulation and hence power and thrust requirements of hovering rotors. The inaccuracies of the earlier theoretical results that are attributable to the neglected slipstream rotaticn effects increase with increasing thrust coefficient. Thus the improvements of the present theory are particularly important in the higher thrust coefficient range.
2. The rotating actuator disk concept permits the calculation, in a straightforward manner, of the optimum distribution of circulation and inflow velocity over the rotor disk for the infinitely bladed case. The potential flowfield induced by the infinitely bladed rotor end the effect of finite blade number on the circulation distribution are estimated, by using available methods, for the optimum circulation distributions.
3. In the flowfield computation, the continuous circulation distribution was represented by a number of piecewise uniform circulation intervals. The computed results show that representation of the continuous circulation distribution by as few as four piecewise uniform intervals is adequate for routine computations of the slipstream and of the streamtubes within it.
4. The computed slipstream shapes for rotors hovering OGE show that the dead-air region near the hub becomes more prominent with increasing value of the thrust coefficient. The slipstream contraction ratio is
nearly independent of the radial location and of the thrust coefficient. The computed value of the contraction ratio is somewhat larger than the value of 0.707 predicted by the axial momentum theory.
5. Computations for rotors hovering in ground effect show that within the limitations of the infinitely bladed model, the computed results provide a reasonable description of the wake boundary. For a rotor hovering at a height of ten rotor radii above the ground plane, the flowfield above about 3 radii distance from the ground plane is nearly identical to that of a rotor hovering OGF.
6. A number of explicit formulae useful in computing rotor and propeller induced flows are made available for the stream function and velocities due to distributions of circular vortices over axi-symmetric surfaces.
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Figure 3. Optimum Radial Distributions of Circulation
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Figure 6. Effect of Number of Blades on the Distri-
bution of Circulation $-C_{T}=0.01$




Figure 10. Streamtube Shapes for Optimum and Off-Optimum Circulation Distributions


Figure 11. Slipatream Boundary Shapes for Rotors Hovering in Ground Effect at Heights of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $2 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$

## APPENDIX A

## EXPLICIT FORMUIAE FOR AXI-SYMMETRIC VORTICES

In studies of flow fields induced by rotors and propellers, information about velocity components and stream functions associated with various axi-symmetric distributions of vortices is frequently required. In the course of the present study, explicit formulae f $\cap \mathrm{r}$ the velocity components and stream functions have been developed for several important distributions of axi-symmetric vortices. The availability of these explicit formulae facilitates the computation of the flow field associated with rotors and propellers. Selected formulae considered to be of general interest are presented in this Appendix. Of equal, perhaps even greater, utility is the approach developed for the derivation of these explicit formulae. This approach can be easily used to cbtain explicit formulae for many additional distributions of axj-symmetric vortices. The approach is described in this Appendix. It is worth noting that analogous problems involving axi-symmetric distributions of singularities exist in many other fields of study such as electrostatics, magnetostatics, and potential flows about axisymmetric bodies. The approach described here is easily adapted to these analogous problems. For example, as an offspring of the present study, explicit formulae for the velocity components due to sources of uniform strength distributed over a circular cylindrical segment were obteineì (Ref. 32) and utilized in confunction with the A. M. 0.

Smith method (Ref. 33) for computing potential flows associated with lift-fan inflow.

It is well known that formulae for axi-symmetric flows frequently involve complete elliptic integrals. Complete elliptic integrals of the first, the second, and the third kinds are respectively defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& K(k)=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d \alpha}{\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}  \tag{A-1}\\
& E(k)=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d \alpha \tag{A-2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(n \vee k)=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d \alpha}{\left(1-n \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{\frac{t}{2}}} \tag{A-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The behavior of the complete elliptic integrals are well understood. Tabulated values as well as somputational formulae for these integrals are available in standard handbooks of mathematical functions. Explicit Iormulae in terms of the complete elliptic integrals are therefore convenient formulae to employ in numerical procedures. Many defind'e integrals involving sines and/or cosines of the variable of integration are expressible in terms of the complete elliptic integrals. for example, the integral

$$
{ }_{H}(k)=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\sin ^{2} \alpha \cos ^{2} d \alpha}{\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{3 / 2}}=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\left(\sin ^{2} \alpha-\sin ^{4} \alpha\right) d \alpha}{\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{3 / 2}}
$$

may be reexpressed, upon integrating by parts, as

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{1}(k)=\frac{1}{k^{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\left(2 \sin ^{2} \alpha-1\right) d \alpha}{\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
=\frac{1}{k^{2}}\left[\frac{\left(2-k^{2}\right)}{k^{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d \alpha}{\left(1-k^{2} \sin \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{2}{k^{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d \alpha\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\left(\sin ^{2} \alpha-\sin ^{4} \alpha\right) \frac{d}{}{ }^{2}}{\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{3 / 2}}=\frac{1}{k^{4}}\left[\left(2-k^{2}\right) K(k)-2 E(k)\right] \tag{A-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As another example, consider the integral

$$
I_{2}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\cos \beta d \beta}{(\tilde{a}-\tilde{b} \cos \beta)^{3 / 2}}
$$

By letting $\beta=2(\alpha+\pi / 2)$ and recognizing that the resulting integrand is symmetric about $\alpha=0$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=\frac{4}{(\tilde{a}+\tilde{})^{3 / 2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\left(2 \sin ^{2} \alpha-1\right) d \alpha}{\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{3 / 2}} \tag{A-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\left(\frac{2 \tilde{b}}{\tilde{a}+\tilde{\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{A-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rearranging the integrand of Eq. (A-5) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}= & \frac{4}{(\tilde{a}+\tilde{b})^{3 / 2} k^{2}\left(1-k^{2}\right)}\left[\left(2-2 k^{2}+k^{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d_{\alpha}}{\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{1 / 2}}\right. \\
& -\left(2-k^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.-k^{4}\left(2-k^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\left(\sin ^{2} \alpha-\sin ^{4} \alpha\right) d \alpha}{\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{3 / 2}}\right]
$$

Using Eqs. (A-1), (A-2), and (A-4), one then obtains

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\cos \beta d \beta}{(\tilde{a}-\tilde{b} \cos \beta)^{3 / 2}} \\
=\frac{4}{(\tilde{a}+\tilde{b})^{3 / 2} k^{2}}\left[-2 K(k)+\left(\frac{2-k^{2}}{1-k^{2}}\right) E(k)\right] \tag{A-7}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Circular Vortex Filament

The velocity $d \vec{q}$ due to an element of vortex filament $d \vec{s}$ is given by the Biot-Savart Law:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \vec{q}=\frac{n}{4 \pi} \frac{\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}-\vec{r}\right) \times d \vec{s}}{\left|\vec{r}^{\prime}-\vec{r}\right|^{3}} \tag{A-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is the strength of the vortex filament, $\vec{r}$ ' is the position vector of the vortex filament, and $\vec{r}$ is the position vector of the observation point where $d \vec{q}$ is evaluated.

In a cylindrical coordinate system ( $r, \theta, 2$ ), with a symmetric distribution of vorticity about the $z$-axis, it is easy to show that the $r$ and $z$ velocity components, $u$ and $w$, are dependent only on the $\theta$ component of vorticity, $\Pi$. Thus the basic building block for the computation of $u$ and $w$ are the velocities and the stream functions associated with circular vortex filaments. These quantities associated with a circular vortex filaments shall be designated by the subscript " $f$ ".

Consider a circular vortex filament of strength $\mu$ and radius $R$ centered about the $z$-axis in the $z=Z$ plane. Since $u_{f}$ and $\gamma_{f}$ are independent of $\theta$, one obtains from Eq. (A-8)

$$
u_{f}(r, z ; R, Z)=\frac{\mu R(z-z)}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\cos \theta^{\prime} d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}}(A-9)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{f}(r, z ; R, z)=\frac{\mu R}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\left(R-r \cos \theta^{\prime}\right) d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}} \tag{A-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (A-9) yields, with the use of Eq. (A-7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{f}(r, z ; R, z)=\frac{n(z-z)}{2 \pi r \delta}\left[\frac{2-k^{2}}{2\left(1-k^{2}\right)} E(k)-K(k)\right] \tag{A-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\left[(x+R)^{2}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{A-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\frac{2(\mathrm{rR})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{6} \tag{A-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By writing the integral in Eq. (A-10) in terms of the integrals in Eqs. (A-1), (A-2), and (A-4), one similarly obtains from Eq. (A-10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{f}(r, z ; R, z)=\frac{x}{2 \pi \delta}\left[\frac{2 r-(r+R) k^{2}}{2 r\left(1-k^{2}\right)} E(k)+K(k)\right] \tag{A-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stream function $\Psi_{f}$ due to the circular vortex filament is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{f}(r, z ; R, Z)=\int_{0}^{r} r^{\prime} w_{f}\left(r^{\prime}, z ; R, z\right) d r^{\prime} \tag{A-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have $\operatorname{set} \Psi_{f}(0, z ; R, Z)$ to be zero.
Instead of placing Eq. (A-14) into Eq. (A-15) and performing the integration with respect to $r^{\prime}$, it is simpler to use Eq. (A-10) and integrate first respect to $r^{\prime}$. Thus, one writes

$$
\Psi_{f}(r, z ; R, z)=\frac{\mu R}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\left(R r^{\prime}-r^{\prime 2} \cos \theta^{\prime}\right) d \theta^{\prime} d r^{\prime}}{\left[r^{\prime 2}+R^{2}-2 r^{\prime} R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\frac{\mu R}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta^{\prime} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\cos \theta^{\prime} d r^{\prime}}{\left[r^{\prime 2}+R^{2}-2 r^{\prime} R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-Z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& -\frac{\mu R}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta^{\prime} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\cos \theta^{\prime}\left[2 R r^{\prime} \cos \theta^{\prime}-R^{2}-(z-Z)^{2}\right]-R r^{\prime}}{\left[r^{\prime}+R^{2}-2 r^{\prime} R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-Z)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}} d r^{\prime}(A-16)
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating the first double integral by parts with respect to $\theta^{\prime}$ gives

$$
-\frac{x R}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta^{\prime} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{R r^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \theta^{\prime} d r^{\prime}}{\left[r^{\prime 2}+R^{2}-2 r^{\prime} R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}}
$$

One then obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{f}(r, z ; R, Z) & =-\frac{\mu R}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta^{\prime} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\cos \theta^{\prime}\left[R r^{\prime} \cos \theta^{\prime}-R^{2}-(z-Z)^{2}\right] d r^{\prime}}{\left[r^{\prime}+R^{2}-2 r^{\prime} R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-Z)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}} \\
& =\frac{\mu r R}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\cos \theta^{\prime} d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-Z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad(A-17) \tag{A-17}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $\theta^{\prime}=2(\alpha+\pi / 2)$, one has

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\cos \theta^{\prime} d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-Z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}=\frac{4}{\delta} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\left(2 \sin ^{2} \alpha-1\right) d \alpha}{\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
=\frac{2 k}{(r R)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[\left(\frac{2}{k^{2}}-1\right) K(k)-\frac{2}{k^{2}} E(k)\right] \tag{A-18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{f}(r, z ; R, Z)=\frac{48}{4 \pi}\left\{\left(2-k^{2}\right) K(k)-2 E(k)\right\} \tag{A-19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The velocity components $u$ and $w$ associated with various axi-symmetrically distributed vortices are expressible as integrals involving $u_{f}$ and $w_{f}$. Consider, as an example, a distribution of circular vortices of consta $t$ strength $\lambda$ over the surface of a circular cylinder of radius $R$ centered about the $z$-axis and extending between the planes $z=z_{1}$ and $z=z_{2}$. The vortices are in the $\theta$-direction and the velocity components $u$ and w due to this distribution of vortices are expressible as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r, z)=\lambda \int_{z_{1}}^{z_{2}} u_{f}(r, z ; R, z) d z \tag{A-20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(r, z)=\lambda \int_{z_{l}}^{z_{2}} w_{f}(r, z ; R, Z) d Z \tag{A-2I}
\end{equation*}
$$

Attempts have been made in the past to place Eqs. (A-11) and (A-14) into integrals similar to those appearing in Eqs. (A-20) and (A-21) and to obtain explicit formulae for $u$ and $w$ for various axisymmetrically distributed vortices. Such attempts, however, have met only with limited success. In particular, very few formulae are available in the literature for the velocity components and stream functions for seneral field points. In the present work, an alternative approach was utilized and many new expliciv formula were derived.

## Cylindrical Vortices

Consider the uniform distribution of vortices over a finite circular cylindrical surface described earlier. The velocity components due to this distribution of vortices are, according to Eqs. (A-9), (A-10), (A-20), and (A-21):

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(r, z)=\frac{\lambda R}{4 \pi} \int_{z_{1}}^{z_{2}}(z-Z) d Z \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\cos \theta^{\prime} d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-Z)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}} \\
& w(r, z)=\frac{\lambda R}{4 \pi} \int_{z_{1}}^{z_{2}} d Z \int_{0}^{-\pi \pi} \frac{\left(R-r \cos \theta^{\prime}\right) d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-Z)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}} \tag{A-23}
\end{align*}
$$

Instead of using Eq. (A-11) to express the right side of Eq .
'A-22) in terms of an integral involving complete elliptic integrals, the integration for (A-22) is performed first with respect to $Z$, yielding,

$$
\begin{align*}
u(r, z) & =\frac{\lambda R}{4 \pi}\left[\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\cos \theta^{\prime} d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+\left(z-z_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right. \\
& -\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\cos \theta^{\prime} d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+\left(z-z_{1}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{A-24}
\end{align*}
$$

One therefore has, using Eq. (A-18),

$$
u(r, z)=\frac{\lambda}{\pi}\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\left(\frac{1}{k_{2}}-\frac{k_{2}}{2}\right) K\left(k_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{k_{2}} E\left(k_{2}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-\left(\frac{1}{k_{1}}-\frac{k_{1}}{2}\right) K\left(k_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{k_{1}} E\left(k_{1}\right)\right] \tag{A-25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{1}=\left[\frac{4 r R}{(r+R)^{2}+\left(z-z_{1}\right)^{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{A-26}\\
& k_{2}=\left[\frac{4 r R}{(r+R)^{2}+\left(z-z_{2}\right)^{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{A-27}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, integrating with respect to Z, one obtains from Eq. (A-23)

$$
\begin{align*}
& w(r, z)=-\frac{\lambda R}{4 \pi}\left\{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\left(R-r \cos \theta^{\prime}\right)\left(z-z_{2}\right) d \theta^{\prime}}{\left(r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}\right)\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+\left(z-z_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\left(R-r \cos \theta^{\prime}\right)\left(z-z_{1}\right) d \theta^{\prime}}{\left(r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}\right)\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+\left(z-z_{1}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}(A \tag{A-28}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\left(R-r \cos \theta^{\prime}\right) d \theta^{\prime}}{\left(r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}\right)\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(2-2)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&=\frac{4}{(r+R)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\left(R+r-2 r \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) d \alpha}{\left(1-n \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{k}{(r+R)^{2}(r R)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[\frac{(r+R)^{2}}{R} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d_{\alpha}}{\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\left(r^{2}-R^{2}\right)}{R} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d \alpha}{\left(1-n \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right] \\
& =\frac{k}{R(r R)^{\frac{1}{2}}} K(k)-\frac{(r-R) k}{R(r+R)(r R)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \pi(n v k) \tag{A-29}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{4 r R}{(r+R)^{2}} \tag{A-30}
\end{equation*}
$$

one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
w(r, z) & =\frac{\lambda}{4 \pi(r R)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\{\left(z-z_{2}\right) k_{2}\left[\frac{r-R}{r+R} \pi\left(n \backslash k_{2}\right)-K\left(k_{2}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\left(z-z_{1}\right) k_{1}\left[\frac{f-R}{r+R} \pi\left(n k_{1}\right)-K\left(k_{1}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{A-31}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (A-21) and (A-27) are convenient explicit formulae to use in the computation of velocities induced by cylindrical vortex segments. In computing rotor induced flowfield, these formulae offer the possibility of representing the trailing vortex system in the slipstream by a set of vortex cylinder segments. The strength rnd radius of the vortex segments may be determined in such a way that the kinematic ( $\Psi=$ constant along each vortex tube) and the kinetic (force free) con-
ditions are satisfied.
The behavior of $u$ and $w$ as given by Eqs. (A-25) and (A-31) can be determined by examining the properties of the complete elliptic integrals. In particular, it can be shown that $u$ is well behaved everywhere except at the ends of the cylinder, i.e. at $r=R$ and $z=$ $z_{l}$ or $z_{2}$, where it possesses a logarithmic singularity. $w$ is well behaved except on the cylinder where it changes discontinuously by the amount $\lambda$ across the cylinder.

Equations (A-25) and (A-31) yield directly a number of velocity formulae for specialized cases. Some of these specialized formulae have been studied by other investigators (Ref. 26, 31). These specialized formulae include that of the velocities on the cylinder of radius $R$ itself, the velocities on and away from the cylinder due to a semiinfinite cylinder $\left(z_{2}=0, z_{2}=\infty\right)$, and that iue to an infinite cylinder. The formulae presented here, i.e., Eqs. (A-25) and (A-31), can further be utilized to derive an explicit formula for the stream function. This formula for the stream function is also useful in axi-symmetric flowfield computations.

Consider, for the moment, a semi-infinite vortex cylinder of strength $\lambda$ and radius $R$, centered about the $z$-axis and extending from $z=z_{1}$ to infinity. The vel city components induced by this semiinfinite vortex cylirsder shall be designated $u_{1}$ and $w_{1}$. Equation $(A-25)$ gives, with $z_{2}=\infty, k_{2}=0$. Equation (A-25) therefore reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}(r, 2)=\frac{\lambda}{\pi}\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[-\left(\frac{1}{k_{1}}-\frac{k_{1}}{2}\right) K\left(k_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{k_{1}} E\left(k_{1}\right)\right] \tag{A-32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (A-3) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(n \backslash 0)=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d \alpha}{1-n \sin ^{2} \alpha}=\frac{\pi}{2}\left|\frac{R+r}{R-r}\right| \tag{A-33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for $r<R$, Eq. (A-31) gives

$$
w_{1}(r, z)=\frac{\lambda}{2}-\frac{\lambda\left(z-z_{1}\right) k_{1}}{4 \pi(r R)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[\frac{r-R}{r+R} \pi\left(n \backslash k_{1}\right)-K\left(k_{1}\right)\right]
$$

and, for $2=z_{1}$ and $r<R$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}\left(r, z_{1}\right)=\frac{\lambda}{2} \tag{A-34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stream function $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ for this semi-infinite vortex cylinder can now be evaluated by using the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1}(r, z)=\int_{0}^{r} r^{\prime} w\left(r^{\prime}, z_{1}\right) d r^{\prime}-r \int_{z_{1}}^{z^{2}} u\left(r, z^{\prime}\right) d z^{\prime} \tag{A-35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the vaito of $\psi_{1}$ is taken to be zero aloing the z-axis.
Placing Eqs. (A-32) and (A-34) into Eq. (A-35) and evaluating the first integral gives,

$$
\psi_{1}(r, z)=\frac{\lambda r^{2}}{4}+\frac{\lambda(r R)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\pi} \int_{z_{1}}^{z}\left[\left(\frac{1}{k_{1}{ }^{\prime}}-\frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{2}\right) K\left(k_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)-\frac{1}{k_{1}{ }^{\prime}} E\left(k_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right] d z^{\prime}(A-36)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{\prime}=\left[\frac{4 r R}{(r+R)^{2}+\left(z^{\prime}-z_{1}\right)^{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{A-37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (A-37) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
d z^{\prime}=-\frac{4 r R d k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}^{\prime 2}\left[4 r R-(r+R)^{2} k_{1}^{\prime}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{A-38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eqs. ( $A-1$ ), ( $A-2$ ), and ( $A-38)$, Eq. ( $A-36$ ) is reenpressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{1}\left(r, z_{1}\right)=\frac{\lambda r^{2}}{4}+\frac{\lambda(r R)^{3 / 2}}{\pi(r+R)} \int_{n}^{x_{1}^{2}} \frac{d x}{2(n-x)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{n}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\left(1-2 \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) \dot{\alpha}^{2} \alpha}{\left(1-x \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{A-39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating by parts with respect to $\alpha$ gives

$$
\psi_{1}(r, z)=\frac{\lambda r^{2}}{4}-\frac{\lambda(r R)^{3 / 2}}{\pi(r+R)} \int_{n}^{k_{1}^{2}} \frac{d x}{(n-x)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\sin ^{2} \alpha \cos ^{2} \alpha d \alpha}{\left(1-x \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{3 / 2}}
$$

Integrating with respect to $x$ then yields
$\psi_{1}(r, z)=\frac{\lambda r^{2}}{4}+\frac{\lambda(r R)^{\frac{1}{2}} n k_{1}\left|z-z_{1}\right|}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\left[\sin ^{2} \alpha-\sin ^{4} \alpha\right] d \alpha}{(1-n \sin \alpha)\left(1-k_{1}{ }^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$
The integral in the above equation can be expressec. in terms of the complete elliptic integrals. One obtains, afier some aigebraic marifpulations,

$$
\psi_{1}(r, z)=\frac{\lambda r^{2}}{4}+\frac{\lambda(r R)^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{1}\left|z-z_{1}\right|}{2 \pi}\left[\left(\frac{1}{k_{1}^{2}}+\frac{1-2}{n} j \because\left(k_{1}\right)\right.\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-\frac{1}{k_{1}^{2}} E\left(k_{1}\right)+\frac{n-1}{n} \Pi\left(n k_{1}\right)\right] \tag{A-40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The strearu function $\Psi_{2}(r, z)$ due to a semi-infinite vortex cylinder of strength $\lambda$ and radius $R$ extending from $z=z_{2}$ to infinity and centered about the z-axis is, from Eq. (A-40), obviously

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{2}(r, z)= & \frac{\lambda r^{2}}{4}+\frac{\lambda(r R)^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{2}\left|z-z_{2}\right|}{2 \pi}\left[\left(\frac{1}{k_{2}^{2}}+\frac{1-n}{n}\right) K\left(k_{2}\right)\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{k_{2}} E\left(k_{2}\right)+\frac{n-1}{n} \pi\left(n\left(k_{2}\right)\right] \tag{A-41}
\end{align*}
$$

By the use of the principle of superposition, one then obtains the following formula for the stream function $\Psi(r, z)$ due to a finite vortex cylinder of strength $\lambda$ and radius $R$ centered abo"t the $z-$ : and extending ketween the planes $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ :

$$
\psi(r, z)=\psi_{1}(r, z)-\psi_{2}(r, z)
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi(r, z)= \frac{\lambda(r R)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2 \pi}\left\{k _ { 1 } | z - z _ { 1 } | \left[\left(\frac{1}{k_{1}{ }^{2}}+\frac{1-n}{n}\right) K\left(k_{1}\right)\right.\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{1}{k_{1}{ }^{2}} E\left(k_{1}\right)+\frac{n-1}{n} \Pi\left(n k_{1}\right)\right] \\
&\left.-k_{2}\left|z-z_{2}\right|\left[\left(-\frac{1}{k_{2}{ }^{2}}+\frac{1-n}{n}\right) K\left(k_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{k_{2}{ }^{2}} E\left(k_{2}\right)+\frac{n-1}{n} \Pi\left(n \backslash k_{2}\right)\right]\right\}(A-42)
\end{aligned}
$$

For cylindrical vortices with a non-uniform distribution of strength, explicit formulae for the velocity components and for the stream function can be obtained by utilizing the approach and the results presented above. For example, with a linear distribution of vorticity strength, $\lambda$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} z \tag{A-43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are constants, the velocity components and the stream function are each expressible as a sum of two parts, one part due to $\lambda_{1}$ and the other part due to $\lambda_{2}$. The part due to $\lambda_{1}$ has already been expressed in terms of the complete elliptic integrals [Eqs. (A-25), (A-31), and (A-42)]. To demonstrate the procedure for establishing expressions for the part due to $\lambda_{2} z$, consider the radial velocity $u_{\ell}$.
$u_{l}(r, z)=\frac{\lambda_{2} R}{4 \pi} \int_{n_{1}}^{z_{2}} z(z-Z) d Z \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\cos \theta^{\prime} d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}}(A-44)$

Equation (A-44) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{i}(r, z)=\frac{\lambda_{2} R}{4 \pi} \int_{z_{1}}^{z_{2}} d z \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\left(r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}\right) \cos \theta^{\prime} d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}} \\
& +\frac{\lambda_{2} R z}{4 \pi} \int_{z_{1}}^{z_{2}}(z-z) d z \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\cos \theta^{\prime} d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}} \\
& \quad-\frac{\lambda_{2} R}{4 \pi} \int_{z_{1}}^{z_{2}} d z \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\cos \theta^{\prime} d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{A-45}
\end{align*}
$$

The double integrals in Eq. (A-45) can be readily expressed in terms of the complete elliptic integrals using the approach preaented earlier. In particular, the first double integral is easily expreased in terms of the complete elliptic integrals by first performing the integration with re:-ect to $Z$. The second double integral is identical to that appearing in Eq. (A-22), which has been expressed in terms of the complete elliptic integrals [Eq. ( $\mathrm{h}-25$ )]. The third double integral is easily shown to be equivalent to the second integral appearing in Eq. (A-35), which again has been expressed in terms of the complete elliptic integrals.

## Annular Vortices

Consider a distribution of circular vortices of strength $\lambda_{3} / R_{2}$ where $\lambda_{3}$ is a constant, over an annular surface in the $z=2$ plane. The surface extends from $r=r_{1}$ to $r=r_{2}$.

The velocity components due to this annular vortex surface are, according to Eqs. (A-9) and (A-10), given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(r, z)=\frac{\lambda_{3}(z-Z)}{4 \pi} \int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} d R \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\cos \theta^{\prime} d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}} \\
& w(r, z)=\frac{\lambda_{3}}{4 \pi} \int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} d R \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\left(R-r \cos \theta^{\prime}\right) d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-Z)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}} \tag{A-47}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating with respect to R gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(r, z)=\frac{\lambda_{3}(z-Z)}{4 \pi}\left[\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\cos \theta^{\prime}\left(r_{2}-r \cos \theta^{\prime}\right) d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+(z-Z)^{2}-r^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta^{\prime}\right]\left[r^{2}+r_{2}^{2}-2 r r_{2} \cos \theta+(z-Z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right. \\
& -\int_{u}^{2 \pi} \frac{\cos \theta^{\prime}\left(r_{1}-r \cos \theta^{\prime}\right) d \theta^{\prime}}{\left.\left[r^{2}+(z-Z)^{2}-r^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta^{\prime}\right]\left[r^{2}+r_{1}^{2}-2 r r_{1} \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right](A-4}  \tag{A-48}\\
& w\left(r, z ;=-\frac{\lambda_{3}}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad(A-+9)\right. \tag{A-+9}
\end{align*}
$$

It is ear $y$ to show, by letting $\theta^{\prime}=2(\alpha+\pi / 2)$ and using partial fractions, that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\cos \theta^{\prime}\left(R-r \cos \theta^{\prime}\right) d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+(z-z)^{2}-r^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta^{\prime}\right]\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{\pi}{2}}} \\
&= \frac{4}{(z-z)^{2}\left[(r+R)^{2}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\left(2 \sin ^{2} \alpha-1\right)\left(R+r-2 r \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) d \alpha}{\left(1-m_{1} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)\left(1+m_{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&= \frac{4}{(z-z)^{2}\left[(r+R)^{2}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\{\frac{(z-z)^{2}}{r} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d \alpha}{\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right. \\
&-\left[\frac{(z-z)^{2}}{2 r}-\frac{R+r}{m_{2}}\right] \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\left.d-m_{1} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-2} \\
&\left.-\left[\frac{(z-z)^{2}}{2 r}+\frac{R+r}{m_{1}}\right] \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d \alpha}{\left(1+m_{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)\left(l-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}(A-5 \tag{A-50}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{l}=\frac{2 r}{\left[r^{2}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{2}+r} \tag{A-51}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{2}=\frac{2 r}{\left[r^{2}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}-r} \tag{A-52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eqs. (A-1), (A-3), (A-44) and (A-48), one then obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
u(r, z)= & \frac{\lambda_{3}(z-z)}{8 \pi r^{3 / 2}}\left\{\frac{k_{r_{2}}}{r_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[4 K\left(k_{r_{2}}\right)-\left(2-\frac{r_{2}+r}{r} m_{1}\right) \pi\left(m_{1} \backslash k_{r_{2}}\right)\right.}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(2-\frac{r_{2}+r}{r}-m_{2}\right) \pi\left(-m_{2} \backslash k_{r_{2}}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{k_{r_{1}}}{r_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[4 K\left(k_{r_{1}}\right)-\left(2-\frac{r_{1}+r}{r} m_{1}\right) \pi\left(m_{1} \backslash k_{r_{1}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\left(2+\frac{r_{1}+r}{r} m_{2}\right) \pi\left(-m_{2} \backslash k_{r_{1}}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{A-53}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{r_{1}}=\left[\frac{4 r r_{1}}{\left(r+r_{1}\right)^{2}+(z-z)^{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{A-54}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{r_{2}}=\left[\frac{4 r r_{2}}{\left(r+r_{2}\right)^{2}+(z-z)^{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{A-55}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show that Eq. (A-45) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(r, z)=-\frac{\lambda_{3}}{2 \pi r^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[\frac{{ }^{k} r_{2}}{r_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}} K\left(k_{r_{2}}\right)-\frac{{ }^{k} r_{1}}{r_{1}} K\left(k_{r_{1}} ;\right]\right. \tag{A-56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordin; to Eq. (A-17), the stream function due to the annular vortex surface is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(r, z)=\frac{\lambda_{3} r}{4 \pi} r_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} d R \int_{c}^{2 \pi} \frac{\cos \theta^{\prime} d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{A-57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating by parts with respect to $\theta^{\prime}$ yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi(r, z)=\frac{\lambda_{3} r^{2}}{4 \pi} \int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} d R \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{R \sin ^{-2} \theta^{\prime} d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r R \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}} \\
=\left.\frac{\lambda_{3} r^{2}}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\left[\cos \theta^{\prime} r R-r^{2}-(z-z)^{2}\right] \sin ^{2} \theta^{\prime} d \theta^{\prime}}{\left[r^{2}+(z-z)^{2}-r^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta^{\prime}\right]\left[r^{2}+R^{2}-2 r \cos \theta^{\prime}+(z-Z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{2}{2}}}\right|_{R=r_{1}} ^{r_{2}}(A-58)
\end{gathered}
$$

The last integral in Eq. (A-58) can be re-expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{16}{\delta(z-z)^{2}} \int^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\left[2 r R \sin ^{2} \alpha-r R-r^{2}-(z-z)^{2}\right]\left(\sin ^{2} \alpha-\sin ^{4} \alpha\right) d \alpha}{\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-m_{1} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)\left(1+m_{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)} \\
& =\frac{2}{\delta r^{2}}\left\{\left[R^{2}-r^{2} \cdots(z-z)^{2}\right] K(k) \cdot\left[(R+r)^{2}+(z-z)^{2}\right] E(k)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2 r^{2}}{m_{2}}\left(\frac{2}{m_{2}}+1-\frac{R}{r}\right) \pi\left(m_{1} \backslash k\right)+\frac{2 r^{2}}{m_{1}}\left(\frac{2}{m_{1}}-1+\frac{R}{r}\right) \pi\left(-m_{2} \backslash k\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, one obtains from Eq. (A-58)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi(r, z)=\frac{\lambda_{3}}{2 \pi}\left(\frac { 1 } { [ ( r + r _ { 2 } ) ^ { 2 } + ( z - z ) ^ { 2 } ] ^ { \frac { 1 } { 2 } } } \left\{\left[r_{2}^{2}-r^{2}-(z-z)^{2}\right] K\left(k_{r_{2}}\right)\right.\right. \\
-\left[\left(r_{2}+r\right)^{2}+(z-z)^{2}\right] E\left(k_{r_{2}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\left.+\frac{2 r^{2}}{m_{2}}\left(\frac{2}{m_{2}}+1-\frac{r_{2}}{r}\right) \pi\left(m_{1}\right) k_{r_{2}}\right)+\frac{2 r^{2}}{m_{1}}\left(\frac{2}{m_{1}}-1+\frac{r_{2}}{r}\right) \pi\left(-m_{2} \backslash k_{r_{2}}\right)\right\} \\
-\frac{1}{\left[\left(r+r_{1}\right)^{2}+(z-z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\left[r_{1}^{2}-r^{2}-(z-z)^{2}\right] K\left(k_{r_{1}}\right)\right.} \\
-\left[\left(r_{1}+r\right)^{2}+(z-z)^{2}\right] E\left(k_{r_{1}}\right) \\
\\
\quad+\frac{2 r^{2}}{m_{2}}\left(\frac{2}{m_{2}}+1-\frac{r_{1}}{r}\right) \pi\left(m_{1} \backslash k_{r_{1}}\right)  \tag{A-59}\\
\\
\left.\left.+\frac{2 r^{2}}{m_{1}}\left(\frac{2}{m_{1}}-1+\frac{r_{1}}{r}\right) \pi\left(-m_{2} \backslash k_{r_{1}}\right)\right\}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

It is clear that the approach described here permits explicit formulae for the velocity components and stream function to be obtained for other types of distribution of vertices in annular regions.

## APPENDIX B

## OPTIMUM PERFORMANCE OF ROTORS AND

FROPEILERS IN AXIAL FLIGHT

Equations relating the thrust and the power of rotore or propellers in ax'al iiight to the velocity com nents in the ultimate wake can be established rigorously by a simple extension of the analysis of Chapter IV. They are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\infty \int_{S_{Y}} v_{w} w_{w} r_{w} d s_{w} \tag{B-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{2} p \int_{S_{1}}\left[\left(w_{w}-w_{\infty}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \cap r_{w}-v_{w}\right) v_{w}\right] d s_{w} \tag{B-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{\infty}$ is the ascent speed of the rotor or the advance speed of the propeller.

The relation between the axial and tangential velocity components in the ultimate wake, which also can be established rigorously by an extension of the analysis of Chapter IV, is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w_{w}^{2}}{2}=\cap v_{w} r_{w}+\frac{w_{\infty}^{2}}{2}-\frac{v_{w}^{2}}{2}+\int_{r_{w}}^{k_{w}} \frac{v_{w}^{2}}{r} d r \tag{B-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the approach described in Chapter IV, a perturbation $\Delta v_{w}$ in the tangential velocity is introduced at $r_{w}=a$ and the resulting
changes in the axial velocity distribution, the power, and the thrust, are sought. It is obvious from Eq. (B-3) that the relation between the tangential velocity perturbation and the change in the axial velocity distribution is not altered by the presence of a non-zero speed of advance. Consequently, since Eq. (B-I) also is independent of $w_{\infty}$, the expression for the change in power previously developed for the hovering rotor is applicable to the present case of a non-zero speed of advance. One therefore has

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta P=2 \pi \rho \cap \varepsilon \Delta r_{w} a^{3}\left\{\frac{v_{w a}}{w_{w a}}\left(\Omega a-v_{w a}\right)+w_{w a}+\frac{2 v_{w a}}{a^{3}}\right. \\
\left.\int_{0}^{a} \frac{v_{w} r_{w}^{2} d r_{w}}{w_{w}}\right\} \tag{B-4}
\end{gather*}
$$

The change in thrust is dependent on $w_{\infty}$ and is

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta T & =2 \pi \rho \varepsilon \Delta r_{w} a^{2}\left\{2 \Omega a-v_{w a}-\frac{w_{\infty}}{w_{w a}}\left(\Omega a-v_{w a}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{2 v_{w a} w_{\infty}}{a^{2}} \int_{0}^{a} \frac{r d r}{w_{w}}\right\} \tag{B-5}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations ( $B-4$ ) and ( $B-5$ ) may be combined to express $\Delta P$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta P=h(a) \Delta T \tag{3-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(a)$ is a function only of $a$, the location where the tangential
velocity perturbation is introduced. Following the analysis of Chapter IV, the criterion for optimum performance is that $h(a)$ is a constant, independent of a. In other words, the criterion for optimum performance is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\left(r v_{w}+N w_{\infty}\right) r d r}{w_{w}}=\frac{r_{w}^{2}}{2 v_{w}}\left[N\left(2 \Omega r_{w}-v_{w}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-r_{W} W_{W}-\frac{r_{w} v_{W}+N W_{\infty}}{W_{W}}\left(\cap r_{w}-v_{w}\right)\right] \tag{B-7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N$ is a constant.
It is easy to show that, upon setting $\mathbf{w}_{\infty}=0$, Eq. ( $B-7$ ) reduces to the optimum performance criterion previously obtained for the hovering rotor.

A differential form of the optimum performance criterion is obtained by $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$ erentiating both sides of Eq. (B-7) with respect to $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{w}}$. The resu', 1 ng equation relates the derivatives $d v_{W} / d r_{w}$ and $d w_{W} / d r_{w}$. Diffe rentiating Eq. ( $B-3$ ) with respect to $r_{w}$ yields a second equation rrlating $d v_{w} / d r_{::}$and $d w_{w} / d r_{w}$. After some algebraic manipuiations, one then obtains the following two first order differential equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{d \tilde{w}_{w}}{d \tilde{r}_{w}}=2 \tilde{w}_{w} & \tilde{v}_{w}\left(\tilde{r}_{w}^{\prime}-\tilde{v}_{w}\right)\left[2 \tilde{w}_{w}^{2} \tilde{r}_{w}-\tilde{N}\left(4 \tilde{r}_{w}-\tilde{v}_{w}\right) \tilde{w}_{w}\right. \\
& \left.+2 \Lambda \tilde{N}_{w}+2 \tilde{r}_{w} \tilde{r}_{w}^{2}\right] / F \\
\frac{d \tilde{v}_{w}}{d \tilde{r}_{w}}= & \tilde{v}_{w}\left\{3 \tilde{w}_{w}^{4} \tilde{r}_{w}-2 \tilde{N}_{w}^{3}\left(3 \tilde{r}_{w}-\tilde{v}_{w}\right.\right.
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
+\left[3 \Lambda \tilde{N}_{w}+\tilde{v}_{w} \tilde{r}_{w}\left(5 \tilde{r}_{w}-2 \tilde{v}_{w}\right)\right] \tilde{w}_{w}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-\left[\tilde{N} \Lambda+\tilde{v}_{w} \tilde{T}_{w}\right] \tilde{v}_{w}\left(\tilde{r}_{w}-\tilde{v}_{w}\right)^{2}\right] / F \tag{B-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
F= & \tilde{w}_{w}^{4} r_{w}^{2}-2 \tilde{i}_{w}^{3} \tilde{r}_{w}^{2}+\left[\Lambda \tilde{N}_{w}^{2}-\tilde{v}_{w} \tilde{r}_{w}\left(\tilde{r}_{w}-2 \tilde{v}_{w}\right)\right] \tilde{w}_{w}^{2} \\
& +\left(\Lambda \tilde{N}-\tilde{v}_{w} \tilde{r}_{w}\right) \tilde{v}_{w}\left(\tilde{r}_{w}-\tilde{v}_{w}\right)^{2} \tilde{r}_{w} \tag{B-10}
\end{align*}
$$

$\Lambda=W_{o f} / \Omega R_{W}$ is a non-dimensional advance speed, $\tilde{W}_{W}$ and $\tilde{v}_{W}$ are velocity components non-dimensionalized with reference to $\Omega R_{w}, \dot{s}_{W}$ and $\tilde{N}$ are nondimensional $r_{w}$ and $N$ with reference to $R_{W}$.

At the rim of the ultimate wake, Eq. (B-3) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tilde{w}_{w_{0}}^{2}}{2}=\frac{\Lambda^{2}}{2}+\tilde{v}_{w_{0}}\left(2-\tilde{v}_{w_{0}}\right) \tag{B-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the upper limit of the integral in Eq. (B-7) to be $R_{w}$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\frac{\Lambda^{2}+\tilde{v}_{w_{0}}\left[3-2 \tilde{v}_{w_{0}}+2 \tilde{w}_{w_{0}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\tilde{r}_{w}^{2} \tilde{v}_{w}}{\tilde{w}_{w}} d \tilde{r}_{w}\right]}{\tilde{w}_{w_{0}}\left[2-\tilde{v}_{w_{0}}-\Lambda\left(1-\tilde{v}_{w_{0}}\right) / \tilde{w}_{w_{0}}-2 \Lambda \tilde{v}_{w_{0}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\tilde{r}_{w} d \tilde{r}_{w}}{\tilde{w}_{w}}\right]} \tag{B-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (B-8) through (B-12) permit the computation of optimum
distributions $\tilde{v}_{w}$ and $\tilde{W}_{w}$ for any given values of $\tilde{N}$ and $\Lambda$, or, alternatively $\tilde{v}_{W_{0}}$ and $\Lambda$. An iterative method for computing $\tilde{v}_{w}$ and $\tilde{W}_{w}$ is described in Ref. 27 for various values of $\tilde{v}_{w_{0}}$. Sample results are presented in Ref. 30.
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