General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

X-602-76-56 PREPRINT

NASA TH X- 71082

DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTION FOR POSITRONIUM FORMATION IN ELECTRON-ATOMIC HYDROGEN

COLLISIONS

(NASA-TM-X-71082) DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTION FOR POSITRONIUM FORMATICN IN ELECTRON-ATOMIC HYDROGEN COLLISIONS (NASA) 15 p HC \$3.50 CSCL 20H N76-19923

Unclas 22159

R. J. DRACHMAN K. OMIDVAR J. H. McGUIRE

MAR 197F RECEIVED NASA STI FACILITY INPUT ERANCH

G3/73





GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER GREENBELT, MARYLAND

IN ELECTRON-ATOMIC HYDROGEN COLLISIONS

Richard J. Drachman Theoretical Studies Group Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

Kazem Omidvar Theoretical Studies Group Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

J. H. McGuire Department of Physics Kansas State University Manhattan, Kansas 66505

We have combined the L=o and 1 partial wave amplitudes obtained by a two-state coupled static approximation with correlation with the $L \ge 2$ Born amplitudes to obtain the differential cross section for positronium formation in electron-atomic hydrogen collisions. For positron energies of 0.64 and 0.75 ryd minima at the scattering angles of 57° and 51° are found. Total cross sections for positronium formation for low and intermediate impact energies are given. Measurement of the differential cross section for the process e^+ He \rightarrow Ps + He⁺ for the detection of possible minima is suggested.

I. INTRODUCTION

We consider the formation of a positronium atom in its ground state due to collision of a positron and a hydrogen atom. Aside from purely theoretical interest, the formation cross section is of interest in a number of astrophysical problems. A case to be mentioned is the formation and annihilation of positronium in the sun following an energetic solar flare. The emitted gamma rays are expected to provide sensitive probes of conditions in the annihilation region.¹

The fi calculation of the positronium formation cross section was done by Massey and Mohr,² using the Born approximation. This calculation was repeated later, and errors in the numerical values of the cross section were corrected.³ Chen and Kramer⁴ have calculated the differential cross section for positronium formation in both the Born and the Faddeev-Watson multiple-scattering approximations. Similarly, Sural and Barman⁵ have performed an approximate form of the second Born approximation calculation.

For low impact energies a number of elaborate calculations have been performed by several authors.^{6,7,8} These include both the hydrogen and positronium ground states, as in the coupled-static approximation, while representing polarization and distortion either by the addition of correlation terms or by the inclusion of effective potentials.

To obtain a reliable low energy differential cross section, partial wave amplitudes for all values of the angular momentum quantum number L are needed. In the absence of any better calculation for the differential

cross section we have combined the seemingly accurate L=o and 1 partial wave amplitudes of Refs. 6 and 7, which are based on two-state coupled static approximation with correlation, with the L \geq 2 Born amplitudes to obtain the differential cross section. This cross section for a number of low impact energies will be presented. In addition, the total cross section for low and intermediate impact energies will be given.

The first Born approximation meets with some difficulties for the similar process of proton-atomic hydrogen electron transfer. It has been shown that in the range of relatively high impact energies of a few MeV, the second Born terms are comparable in magnitude to the first Born terms.⁹ As the energy increases the contribution to the cross section from the second order terms dominates the cross section. For impact energies below MeV region the contributions of the second and higher order Born terms are negligible.

x

The (p,H) process has two characteristics which are absent in the (e^{\dagger},H) process: (1) The (p,H) process is a resonance charge exchange collision, with zero energy transfer. Due to this effect the collision amplitude has a different analytic form compared to the non-resonance charge-exchange amplitude. (2) In the (p,H) process due to their heavy masses the motion of the projectile and the nucleus can be treated classically. It then can be shown that in the limit of high impact energies the role of the projectile-nucleus interaction is to introduce only a phase, which depends on the relative velocity of the two particles, in the total wave function. This leads to the fact that this interaction has a negligible effect on the exact transition probability.¹⁰

Due to these differences it is difficult to draw any conclusion for the (e^+ , H) process. The second Born calculation of Ref. 5 does not show the domination of the second Born terms, although the calculation is approximate, and it is not extended to high enough incident energies.

In this paper we have concerned ourselves with the low energy positronium formation cross section. We have made the assumption that as L increases, the partial wave first Born amplitudes approach the true values. A test for the validity of this assumption is that as the more accurate partial wave amplitudes become available in the future, they should converge to the first Born amplitudes.

II. ITHOD OF CALCULATION AND RESULTS

Using the R-matrix of Chan and Fraser⁶ for L=o and the R-matrix of Chan and McEachran⁷ for L=1. the corresponding T-matrix can be calculated. Similarly, a partial wave expansion is made of the total T-matrix according to the Born approximation given analytically by Omidvar and Puget.¹¹ The T-matrices for different L are then combined to obtain the differential cross section.

The justification for using the Born approximation for $L \ge 2$ is that in going from L=O to L=I the discrepancy between the Born approximation and the more accurate approximation of Refs. 6 and 7 is substantially reduced. In going from L=I to L=2 it is hoped that a similar reduction will take place.

More explicitly, for an incident energy of 0.64 ryd, for which a calculation of the differential cross-section has been carried out here, the T_0 value for the matrix element connecting the incident channel to the Ps-formation channel according to the 2-channel, 26-correlation term calculation of Ref. 5 is 0.042 - 0.017i, while this value according to the Born approximation is

0.851, fifty times larger than the imaginary part of the more accurate calculation. For L=1 and the same incident energy the corresponding value according to Ref. 7, which is obtained as in Ref. 6 but with 56 correlation terms, is -0.00673 - 0.323i, while the Born approximation value is -0.535i. The ratio of the imaginary parts in the two calculations has decreased to 1.7. Furthermore, it should be noted that while the magnitudes of the real and imaginary parts for L=0 in the more accurate calculation are comparable, for L=1 the real part is two orders of magnitude smaller than the imaginary part, indicating the increased accuracy of the Born approximation.

t

These considerations suggest that for $L \ge 2$ the Born approximation values which are purely imaginary, may not be too far from the true values.

In Fig. 1 we show the differential cross-section for an incident energy of 0.64 ryd. The more accurate cross section represented by the solid line shows a deep and narrow minimum at 57° . The value of the cross section drops at this minimum by two orders of magnitudes. The width of the minimum where the cross section has dropped by one order of magnitude is approximately 7° . Angles from zero up to the minimum angle contribute 80% to the total cross-section.

In Fig. 2 the similar differential cross section for 0.75 ryd incident energy is shown. The minimum in the more accurate solid line occurs at 51⁰ and is shallower then that in Fig. 1. However, a second broad minimum occurs here at 147⁰.

As the incident energy increases, the angle at which the minimum occurs becomes smaller, and the percentage of the cross-section arising from angles smaller than the minimum angle increases.

In Fig. 3 the differential cross-section for an incident energy of 20 ryd is shown. Here we have used the Born approximation to calculate all

partial wave amplitudes. This is justified by noticing that the partial cross-sections due to L=0 and L=1 at this energy in the Born approximation are only 1.48% and 1.47% of the total partial parts of the fact that the Born amplitude is parts of the partial pa

1

In order to see how the cross-section peaks in the forward direction for different incident energies, we consider the ratio $4\pi \left[\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right]_{\theta=0} / \sigma$, which for an isotropic scattering is equal to unity. $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$ and σ are the differential cross section per unit solid angle and the total cross section. For energies of 0.64, 20, and 10^3 ryc this ratio is 16.0, 171 and 197, respectively.

Similar to the calculation of the differential cross section, we have computed the total cross section for a number of impact energies by combining the more accurate L=0, 1 partial wave cross sections of Refs. 6 and 7 with the Born cross section for higher waves. The results are shown in Table I.

By studying the cross sections for L=O and 1 given in Refs. 6 and 7 a prescription for approximate computation of the total cross section for energies not given in Refs. 6 and 7 can be found. This study shows that at all impact energies except the lowest one, the L=O cross section is less than 1% of the L=1 cross section. With acceptable accuracy we then can neglect the L=O contribution. Furthermore, by taking the ratio of the cross section given in Ref. 7 to the Born cross section for L=1, we see

б

that this ratio for impact energies of 0.504, 0.563, 0.64, 0.723, and 0.750 ryd are 0.69, 0.48, 0.38, 0.40, and 0.42, respectively. It may not be a Lad approximation 1f we take this ratio to be 0.4 for higher energies. The following formula for the cross section then follows:

$$\sigma \stackrel{\simeq}{=} \sigma_{\mathbf{D}}^{\mathbf{B}} - \sigma_{\mathbf{O}}^{\mathbf{B}} - 0.6 \sigma_{\mathbf{I}}^{\mathbf{B}}$$
(1)

where σ_T^B , σ_0^B and σ_1^B are the total, L=O, and L=1 Born cross sections. The total cross sections calculated using (1) are indicated by an asterisk in Table I.

The results of Table I up to 3 ryd energy are shown graphically in Fig. 4.

As a test of the consistency of our results, the total cross sections are obtained in two ways, one by summing over all partial wave cross sections, and the other by integrating the differential cross section with respect to the scattering angles. The discrepancy is about or less than one percent.

III. CONCLUSIONS

With the approximations presented in the text we have found minima in the differential cross sections for 0.64 and 0.75 ryd impact energies. According to the first Born approximation zeroes are found in the differential cross section for all impact energies. The approximate form of the second Born approximation of Ref. 5 shows two shallow minima at 12 eV, and no minimum at 100 eV impact energies, while the Faddeev-Watson multiplescattering approximation of Ref. 4 shows no minima in the differential cross section for 200 and 500 eV impact energies.

Zeroes or minima were found previously in the differential crosssection for the similar (p,H) exchange process in different approximations. The zero appears in the first Born 12 and distorted-wave approximations, 13

while the minimum appears in the second Born approximation.⁹ Since the zeroes and minima occur at small scattering angles of the order of tenths of a degree, it has not been possible to substantiate these findings experimentally. For the e^+ - H system, however, the minima occur at large scattering angles, and the difficulty of the (p,H) experiment does not arise here, although the present status of low-energy portron beam technology makes this experiment extremely difficult. Minima similar to those obtained here may also occur in the differential cross-section for the process e^+ + Ke \rightarrow Ps + He⁺, although at smaller angles due to the increased nuclear charge. A measurement of the differential cross section for this process is easier to be realized, and the results will be an aid in discriminating between different theories.

A PERFIT

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank H. M. Eiserike and V. A. Turner for their programming assistances, and Y. F. Chan and R. P. McEachran for providing us with their data before their publication.

REFERENCES

- C. J. Crannell, R. Ramaty, and C. Werntz, 14th International Cosmic Ray Conference, Munchen, Germany, 1975, Conference Papers, p. 1656;
 C. J. Crannell, G. Joyce, R. Ramaty, and C. Werntz, submitted to Astrophysical Journal.
- 2. H. S. W. Massey and C. B. O. Mohr, Proc. Phys. Soc. A 67, 695 (1954).
- 3. I. M. Cheshire, Proc. Phys. Soc. <u>83</u>, 227 (1964).
- 4. J. C. Y. Chen and P. J. Kramer, Phys. Rev. A 5, 1207 (1972).
- 5. D. P. Sural and S. Barman, Phys. Rev. 10, 1162 (1974)
- 6. Y. F. Chan and P. A. Fraser, J. Phys. B <u>6</u>, 2504 (1973).
- 7. Y. F. Chan and R. P. McEachran, J. Phys. B, to be published.
- B. H. Bransden and Z. Jundi, Proc. Phys. Soc. <u>92</u>, 880 (1967); M. F. Fels and M. H. Mittleman, Phys. Rev. <u>182</u>, 77 (1969); Y. Hahn and J. F. Dirks, Phys. Rev. A <u>3</u>, 1513 (1971); S.E.A. Wakid and R. W. LaBahn, Phys. Rev. A <u>6</u>, 2039 (1972); J. Stein and R. Sternlicht, Phys. Rev. A <u>6</u>, 2165 (1972).
- 9. P. J. Kramer, Phys. Rev. A <u>6</u>. 2129 (1972).
- 10. I. M. Cheshire, Proc. Phys. Soc. <u>84</u>, 89 (1964).
- 11. K. Omidvar and J L. Puget, Astrophysical Journal, to be published.
- 12. J. D. Jackson and H. Schiff, Phys. Rev. <u>89</u>, 359 (1953).
- 13. R. H. Bassel and E. Gerjuoy, Phys. Rev. <u>117</u>, 749 (1960).

TASLE I

Values of the total cross section for positronium formation as a function of the incident energy. σ is obtained by combining the L=0,1 partial wave cross sections of Refs. 6 and 7 with L \geq 2 Born partial cross sections. σ^{B} is the Born cross section given for comparison. The numbers marked by asterisks are obtained using Eq. (1).

E(ryd)	$\sigma^{B}(\pi a^{2})$	$\sigma(\pi a_0^2)$	E(ryd)	σ ^B (πa_2)	σ(πa_ ²)
0.5041	2.96-1	1.63-2	3	7.85-Ĭ	7.09-1*
0.5625	1.87	5.45-1	4	3.51-1	3,24-1*
0.64	3.34	1.39	5	1.73-1	1.62-1*
0.7225	4.28	2.25	6	9.21-2	8.70-2*
0.75	4.47	2.50	8	3.13-2	2.99-2*
1	4.74	3.31*	10	1.26-2	1.21-2*
2	1.97	1.69*	20	5.90-4	5.76-4*

1.11

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Fig. 1. Differential cross-section for positronium formation in positron-hydrogen atom collision as a function of the scattering angle θ, and for an incident energy of 0.64 ryd. The dashed line contains the L=0 amplitude of Ref. 6, and the Born amplitudes for higher L. The solid line contains the L=0, 1 amplitudes of Refs. 6 and 7, and the Born amplitudes for higher L.
- Fig. 2. The same as Fig. 1, but for an incident energy of 0.75 ryd.
- Fig. 3. The same as Fig. 1, but for an incident energy of 20 ryd. Here all amplitudes are according to the Born approximation.
- Fig. 4. Total cross-section for positronium formation in positronhydrogen atom collision as a function of the incident energy. 1 represents the Born approximation. 2 is obtained by combining the L=O partial wave cross section of Ref. 6 with higher partial wave Born cross sections. 3 is obtained by combining the L=O and 1 partial wave cross sections of Refs. 6 and 7 with the higher partial wave Born cross sections. The dashed line in 3 is obtained using Eq. (1).



PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED





