# **General Disclaimer**

# One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

A Survey of the Utility of Satellite Magnetometer Data for Application to Solid-Earth Geophysical and Geological Studies

Final Report NASA Contract No. S-500-29A

Modication No. 8

N76-28631

A SURVEY OF THE UTILITY OF

(NASA-CR-144786) A SURVEY OF THE UTILITY OF SATELLITE MAGNETONETER DATA FOR APPLICATION

Unclas 48126

(Geological Survey, \$4.00 CSCL 086 63/43

TO SOLID-EARTH GEOPHYSICAL AND GEOLOGICAL STUDIES Final Report (Geological Survey, Peston. Va.) 30 p HC \$4.00 CSCL



### U. S. Geological Survey

September, 1975

This report has not been edited . or reviewed for conformity with U. S. Geological Survey standards and nomenclature.



### INTRODUCTION

The U. S. Geological Survey (USGS) on behalf of Goddard Space Flight Center (National Aeronautics and Space Administration) conducted a survey of potential users of low altitude satellite magnetic measurements. for solid-earth and geological studies. The principal objectives of this survey were to: a) document the utility and application of the data and resultant products obtained from such a satellite mission, and b) to establish a users committee for the proposed low altitude vector magnetometer satellite.

This report summarizes the results of the survey. In addition to this report bound copies of all survey responses, respondents names and addresses in alphabetical order, and survey responses in computer coded cards are submitted under separate cover.

### SURVEY

In May, 1975 8,900 letters and questionnaires were mailed out. This included 6000 addresses on the Society of Exploration Geophysicists domestic mailing list and 2900 mailings to the American Geophysical Union's Geomagnetism and Paleomagnetism Section membership. A conservative estimate is that there is at least a ten percent overlap between these two mailing lists. Copies of the letters and the questionnaire are contained in Appendix I-A.

### SURVEY RESULTS

As of September 1, 1975 we had received 2,448 responses. This represents approximately 28% of the total number of questionnaires. A summary questionnaire with the tabulated responses is shown in <u>Table 1</u>. The total responses to all questions does not always equal 2,448 because in some instances there were no responses to several questions and in other instances there was more than one response.

In addition to replys from individual scientists there were also several corporate responses. This alone is an indication of the interest in such global magnetic measurements that exists within the industrial and scientific communities. A selection of these are presented in Appendix I-B. Furthermore, there were also repsonses from most state and national geological surveys.

# POOR QUALITY

	and the second second				and the second second second	1		
•	· · · ·	•	T/	ABLE 1				
1.	₿o you use	magnetic d	ata or anoma		a regional o 1 Yes <u>]</u> 755	r global sca D No 65		
	lf satelli would you		data at an	altitude of C	200-400 km ( Yes 787	were availab No 45	le. 1 🗆 Pos	sibly 1158
3.	If regiona available,	l or global would you	scale magne use them?	tic anomaly C	maps derive Yes <u>1051</u>	d from such D No 33	data were 9 ⊡ Pos	sibly 961
1.	Have you u	ised geomagr	netic field m	odels? E	Yes 1153	D No <u>1</u> 2		
2.	lf accurat	e, up-to-da	ite models we		e, would you Yes <u>15</u> 99	use them?	ALITY LIS	
3.	In which f		such models b er Program 68			🗆 Table	ORIGINAL PAGE 18 OF POOR QUALITY	
	mineral e crustal s studies c regional	exploration studies 690 of field sou	urces 208 Val in magnet	. c	] petroleum ] secular ch ] space scie ata 495	ange studies	1365	•
1.			accuracy desi gnetic Data		□ at surf nomaly Maps	ace 972 □		
3) YS		conds)	□ 197 □ 647 □ 306 □ 53 □ 27 □ 485	•	0175 0515 0471 090 025 0486		ם ם	219 32 271 108 19 555
re	equirements	s, and poss	d in particip ibly particip experiment? 381	ate in the This does	analysis, of	data from t it a formal c	the descrit	
et	tc., on the		in on our mai magnetometer ata?	experiment		c field mode	els,	
Til D Re	iation escarch Lat	° .122 .	🗆 Governm			sity 625		<sup>y</sup> 1330

### A) Utility of Satellite Data

The first three questions address the utility of satellite magnetometer data and resultant maps in geophysical studies. It should be noted that this is an application area that has only recently been developed (Regan et al, 1975). However, the results of these questions clearly indicate that there is substantial interest in this area. The high number of responses of "Possibly" to the second and third questions are undoubtedly indicative of the contemporary nature of such studies.

B) Geomagnetic Field Models

The results of the next three questions indicate that about one half of the respondents have used field models. Yet the response to question B-2 indicates there is apparently some reluctance to use present field models, undoubtedly because of their inaccuracies. Clearly there is a need for more accurate, up to date field models. A prerequisite for such models is the accurate global, vector measurements that could be provided by the proposed satellite magnetometer.

Of particular interest is the form in which users would like to see field models presented. Although most people would prefer to have field models in chart form, there are, at present, no models presented in such a manner.

# c) Field of Interest

Question C in the tabulated form summarizes the fields of interest. The practical applicability of the satellite derived data to geophysical exploration is indicated by the high number of responses from people involved in mineral and petroleum exploration. This is also reflected in the corporate responses contained in Appendix I-B and in the large number of responses from industry. The last line of the questionnaire summarizes the affiliations and Table 2 presents a cross reference between affiliation and field of interest.

TARE 2

•

•

# A Listing of Responses Categorized by Affiliation and Area of Interest

Affiliation

121
01
O
\$11
cil
DI.
C
of Interest
41
0
-1
ca o
c)
ũ.
Ares

Space Science Application	45	18	132	07	μ
Secular Change Studies	13	52	88	16	
<b>Petroleum</b> Exploration	36	64	138	1108	
Other	22	68	125	102	ω
Regional Fielâ Removal	.61	96	172	198	10
Studies of Field Sources	10	49	. TOT	46	8
<b>Crustal</b> Studies	23	132	. 330	193	12
Mineral Exploration	21	86	. 167	443	14
•	Research Lab	Government	University	Industry	No Affiliation Noted

### D) Accuracy and Altitude of Data

The response to this question indicate that people are not certain about the accuracies involved with such data (particularly at satellite altitude). This is no surprise and is again reflective of the contemporary nature of such studies.

Basically there seems to be two groups of respondents; those that are not sure of accuracy required and those who would request that measurements be obtained as accurately as possible. A detailed analysis of the responses' indicate that more precise answers were presented for measurements at the surface while the "Not Sure" category was checked by many for satellite data. However approximately half of the respondents did not reply to this question. This is indicated in Table 3 that categorizes the responses by affiliation and desired altitude of data.

E) Users Group and Mailing List

Names and addresses of those people willing to participate in a users committee, undecided about such participation, and those wishing to remain on a mailing list are contained in Appendix II - A, B, and C respectively. Because of the volume of such a listing this appendix is published as a separate report for distribution only to the contract monitor at Goddard Space Flight Center.

TABLE 3

•

Responses Categorized by Affiliation and Altitude

Altitude

No Response

· Both

Surface

' Satellite

:

Affillation

Research Lab

Government

University 0

75

34

Industry

No Affiliation Noted ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

746

37

6 .

-

241

138

64

3

28

24

47

### CONCLUSIONS

The main conclusion to be drawn from the overwhelming response to this survey is that there is considerable interest, in both the scientific and industrial communities, in the utility of satellite magnetometer data.

There is also broad support for the proposed vector magnetometer satellite in near earth orbit. This is evident by the almost 400 responses from people interested in serving on a users committee. The proposed satellite data will have direct application to both regional and global magnetic anomaly maps and in the production of more accurate geomagnetic field models. These are timely concerns of both communities as indicated by this survey.

It should also be mentioned that because one of the objectives of this survey was to form a users committee, the majority of questionnaires were sent to domestic addresses. The results of the survey only weakly reflect the substantial international interest in the application of satellite magnetometer data to geological studies.

### REFERENCES

Regan, R. D., Cain, J. C., and W. M. Davis, 1975, A Global Magnetic

Anomaly Map, Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 80, n. 5, p. 794.

### Appendix I-A

Letters and Questionnaire

a) Letter to Society of Exploration Geophysicists membership

12

b) Letter to American Geophysical Union membership

c) Questionnaire



# United States Department of the Interior GEOLOGICAL SURVEY RESTON, VIRGINIA 22092

Dear Colleague:

We have been asked by NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center to survey the potential users of satellite magnetometer data, anomaly maps, and resultant geomagnetic field models in solid-earth geophysical and geological studies. This is an appropriate time for such an undertaking because a low altitude, three axis vector magnetometer satellite is one of several missions now under serious consideration by NASA.

The data derived from such a satellite should be of considerable interest to the geophysical exploration community. The global magnetic anomaly map produced as a result of our analysis of the POGO satellite magnetometer data represents an anomalous field that is most likely related to crustal structure. Perhaps of more immediate interest is the fact that satellite measure-• ments represent the only viable method for obtaining up to date global data necessary for input to global and national magnetic charts and for the development of improved geomagnetic field models suitable for use in the reduction of magnetic surveys.

Available satellite magnetometer data were derived from missions primarily designed for magnetospheric studies. Consequently, the measurements were made at predominantly high altitudes. Also, because of technical limitations, only total field measurements were obtained. Thus, the resolution of resultant anomaly maps and the angular accuracy of derived field models are limited. Also, the most recent satellite measurements were made in 1971 and there are not at present any alternative plans for obtaining new global measurements.

The vector satellite mission under consideration by NASA is designed to overcome these limitations. The low altitude (200-400 km; very low for a satellite) will provide a several fold increase in spatial resolution and sensitivity over previous satellite data, and the vector measurements, now technically feasible, would make possible more accurate global field models from the satellite data. The measurements would also provide a measure of recent secular change.

The main reason for our survey is to insure that the data derived from such a mission will have maximum utility to as many applications as possible. Accordingly, we would like to solicit your views on the potential utility of such data to your studies, your particular application of the data, and your interest in participating in a data users group. As an aid in formating your reply, we have prepared the enclosed questionnaire. However, the most important aspect of our inquiry is to obtain your views; so please do not be constrained by the questionnaire, but feel free to respond in any manner.

Thank you for considering our questionnaire. Your response is considered essential in designing and providing optimal satellite magnetometer data.

Very truly yours,

Robert D. Regan Geophysicist Office of Geochemistry and Geophysics

Enclosure



United States Department of the Interior GEOLOGICAL SURVEY RESTON, VIRGINIA 22092

Dear Colleague:

We have been asked by NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center to survey the potential users of satellite magnetometer data, anomaly maps, and resultant geomagnetic field models in solidearth geophysical and geological studies. This is an appropriate time for such an undertaking because a low altitude, three axis vector magnetometer satellite is one of several missions now under serious consideration by NASA.

The data derived from such a satellite should have wide application in many areas of geophysical studies. For example, the global magnetic anomaly map (JGR, 1975, v. 80, no. 5, p. 794) produced as a result of our analysis of the POGO satellite magnetometer data represents an anomalous field that is most likely related to crustal structure. Also, satellite measurements represent the only viable method for obtaining up to date global data necessary for the development of improved geomagnetic field models and are valuable to studies of the origin and physics of the main geomagnetic field.

Available satellite magnetometer data were derived from missions primarily designed for magnetospheric studies. Consequently, the measurements were made at predominantly high altitudes. Also, because of technical limitations, only total field measurements were obtained. Thus, the resolution of resultant anomaly maps and the angular accuracy of derived field models are limited. Also, the most recent satellite measurements were made in 1971 and there are not at present any alternative plans for obtaining new global measurements.

The vector satellite mission under consideration by NASA is designed to overcome these limitations. The low altitude (200-400 km; very low for a satellite) will provide a several fold increase in spatial resolution and sensitivity over previous

POOR QUALITY

satellite data, and the vector measurements, now technically feasible, would make possible more accurate global field models from the satellite data. The measurements would also provide a measure of recent secular change.

The main reason for our survey is to insure that the data derived from such a satellite magnetometer mission will have maximum utility to as many applications as possible. Accordingly, we would like to solicit your views on the potential utility of such data to your studies, your particular application of the data, and your interest in participating in a data users group. As an aid in formating your reply, we have prepared the enclosed questionnaire. However, the most important aspect of our inquiry is to obtain your views; so please do not be constrained by the questionnaire, but feel free to respond in any manner.

Thank you for considering our questionnaire. Your response is considered essential in designing and providing optimal satellite magnetometer data.

Very truly yours,

Robert D. Regan Geophysicist Office of Geochemistry and Geophysics

Enclosure

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY 2

A)       1. Do you use magnetic data or anomaly maps on a regional or 'global scale?         B)       1. Yes       D No         2. If satellite magnetic data at an altitude of 200-400 km were available.       No       D Possibly         3. If regional or global scale magnetic anomaly maps derived from such data were available, would you use then?       D Yes       D No         B)       1. Have you used geomagnetic field models?       D Yes       D No         B)       1. Have you used geomagnetic field models?       D Yes       D No         2. If accurate, up-to-date models were available, would you use them?       D Yes       D No         3. In which form would such models be most useful?       D Yes       D No         3. In which form would such models of interest       D Perform and protection       D Perform QUALIT         D motered exploration       D petroleum exploration       OF POOR QUALIT         D motered exploration       D petroleum exploration       D or POOR QUALIT         D other (please specify)       D       D at surface       D at surface         D to tuse       D securate the accuracy desired:       D at surface       D at satellite         10 regional field beroval       In magnetic survey data       D D D other (please specify)       D D D other (please specify)         D)       1. Please indicate the accuracy d		1 A State of the second s	c	State of the second second		
1. Do you use magnetic data or anomaly maps on a regional or global scale? D Yes D No 2. If satellite magnetic data at an altitude of 200-400 km were available, would you use it? D Yes D No D Possibly 3. If regional or global scale magnetic anomaly maps derived from such data were available, would you use it? D Yes D No D Possibly B) 1. Have you used geomagnetic field models? D Yes D No 2. If accurate, up-to-date models were available, would you use them? D Yes D No 3. In which form would such models be most useful? D Computer Program D Chart D Table Conformer of poor QUALITY D Poor QUALITY D For Poor QUALITY D FOOR QUALITY D For Poor QUALITY D FOOR QUALITY D For Poor QUALITY D FOOR	alpinin state di	no lang ng sing ng n	QUESTEDI	n (a canada a propia an a fin fan ar ser	L Approval Ex	pires July, 1
1. Do you use magnetic data or anomaly maps on a regional or global scale? D Yes D No 2. If satellite magnetic data at an altitude of 200-400 km were available, would you use it? D Yes D No D Possibly 3. If regional or global scale magnetic anomaly maps derived from such data were available, would you use it? D Yes D No D Possibly B) 1. Have you used geomagnetic field models? D Yes D No 2. If accurate, up-to-date models were available, would you use them? D Yes D No 3. In which form would such models be most useful? D Computer Program D Chart D Table Conformer of poor QUALITY D Poor QUALITY D For Poor QUALITY D FOOR QUALITY D For Poor QUALITY D FOOR QUALITY D For Poor QUALITY D FOOR						
would you use it?       D Yes       D No       D Possibly         3. If regional or global scale magnetic anomaly maps derived from such data were available, would you use them?       D Yes       D No         B)       1. Have you used geomagnetic field models?       D Yes       D No         2. If accurate, up-to-date models were available, would you use them?       D Yes       D No         3. In which form would such models be most useful?       D Yes       D No         3. In which form would such models be most useful?       D Yes       D No         3. In which form would such models be most useful?       D Yes       D No         C)       Computer Program       D Chart       D Table         C)       OF POOR QUALITY       OF POOR QUALITY         D mineral exploration       D petroleum exploration       OF POOR QUALITY         D mineral exploration       D petroleum exploration       D Poor QUALITY         D mineral exploration       D petroleum exploration       D Poor QUALITY         D mineral exploration       D petroleum exploration       D Poor QUALITY         D mineral exploration       D petroleum exploration       D Poor QUALITY         D mineral exploration       D petroleum exploration       D Poor QUALITY         D other (please specify)       D       D at surface	1.	Do you use magnetic	data or anomaly ma			
available, would you use them?       D       Yes       D       No       D       Possibly         B)       1. Have you used geomagnetic field models?       D       Yes       D       No         2. If accurate, up-to-date models were available, would you use them?       D       Yes       D       No         3. In which form would such models be most useful?       D       Yes       D       No         3. In which form would such models be most useful?       D       Chart       D       Table         C)       Computer Program       D       Chart       D       Table         C)       D       Flease.indicate your field(s) of interest       D       petroleum exploration       D       POOR QUALITY         D       mineral exploration       D       petroleum explorations       D       Testoular change studies         D studies of field sources       D       space science applications       D       Testoular change studies         D other (please specify)       D       Anomaly Maps       3)       Field Models         Do not use       D       D       D       D       D         #27 (8 arc seconds)       D       D       D       D         Store       D       D       D	2.		c data at an altit	ude of 200-400 k	m were available. □ No	D Possibly
1. Have you used geomagnetic field models?       D Yes       D No         2. If accurate, up-to-date models were available, world you use them?       D Yes       D No         3. In which form would such models be most useful?       D Yes       D No         3. In which form would such models be most useful?       D Chart       D Table         0       Computer Program       D Chart       D Table         0       Computer Program       D Chart       D Table         1. Please indicate your field(s) of interest       OF POOR QUALTY         0       mineral exploration       D petroleum exploration         0       rustal studies       D secular change studies         0       studies of field sources       D space science applications         0       regional field removal in magnetic survey data       D at surface       D at satellite         1. Please indicate the accuracy desired:       D at surface       D at satellite         1       Magnetic Date       2) Anomaly Maps       3) Field Models         Do not use       D       D       D       D         100v (6 arc minutes)       D       D       D       D         100v (6 arc minutes)       D       D       D       D         100v (6 arc minutes)       D	3.			nomaly maps deri D Yes	ved from such data	a were □ Possibly
1. Have you used geomagnetic field models?       D Yes       D No         2. If accurate, up-to-date models were available, world you use them?       D Yes       D No         3. In which form would such models be most useful?       D Chart       D Table         C)       Computer Program       D Chart       D Table         C)       Computer Studies       D Studies of field Sources       D secular change studies         D studies of field sources       D at sourface       D at satellite         D other (please specify)       D       Anomaly Maps       D Field Models         D)       1. Please indicate the accuracy desired:       D at surface       D at satellite         1) Magnetic Date       2) Anomaly Maps       3) Field Models       D         Do not use       D       D       D       D         (80 arc seconds)<	'B)					
Yes D No          3. In which form would such models be most useful?       D Computer Program       D Chart       Table         C)       Or POOR QUALITY         D mineral exploration       D petroleum exploration       Or POOR QUALITY         D mineral exploration       D secular change studies       Or POOR QUALITY         D mineral exploration       D petroleum exploration       Or POOR QUALITY         D mineral exploration       D secular change studies       D secular change studies         D studies of field sources       D space science applications       D regional field removal in magnetic survey data         D other (please specify)       D at surface       D at satellite         1) Hagnetic Date       2) Anomaly Maps       3) Field Models         Do not use       D       D       D         20y (80 arc seconds)       D       D       D         20y (80 arc seconds)       D       D       D         20y (80 arc seconds)       D       D       D         100y (6 arc minutes)       D       D       D         100y (6 arc minutes)       D       D       D         100y (6 arc minutes)       D       D       D         100y (6 arc minutes, and possibly participate in the analysis, of data from the described satellite magnetometer experimen		Have you used geomag	metic field models	? 🖸 Yes	D No	
Computer Program          □ Computer Program       □ Chart       □ Table         c)       OF POOR QUALITY         □ mineral exploration       □ petroleum exploration         □ crustal studies       □ secular change studies         □ studies of field sources       □ space science applications         □ regional field removal in magnetic survey data       □ other (please specify)         D)       1. Please indicate the accuracy desired:       □ at surface       □ at satellite         10 with the accuracy desired:       □ at surface       □ at satellite         11. Please indicate the accuracy desired:       □ at surface       □ at satellite         12 (6 arc seconds)       □       □       □         100 (6 arc minutes)       □       □       □         100 (6 arc minutes)       □       □       □         10 (other)       □       □       □         10 (b arc magnetic experiment? This does not represent a formal commitment.       □       □         10 Yes       □       □       □       □         10 (bther)       □       □       □       □         10 (bther)       □       □       □       □         10 (bther)       □       □       □       □ <td< td=""><td>2.</td><td>If accurate, up-to-c</td><td>late models were av</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	2.	If accurate, up-to-c	late models were av			
Computer Program          □ Computer Program       □ Chart       □ Table         c)       OF POOR QUALITY         □ mineral exploration       □ petroleum exploration         □ crustal studies       □ secular change studies         □ studies of field sources       □ space science applications         □ regional field removal in magnetic survey data       □ other (please specify)         D)       1. Please indicate the accuracy desired:       □ at surface       □ at satellite         10 with the accuracy desired:       □ at surface       □ at satellite         11. Please indicate the accuracy desired:       □ at surface       □ at satellite         12 (6 arc seconds)       □       □       □         100 (6 arc minutes)       □       □       □         100 (6 arc minutes)       □       □       □         10 (other)       □       □       □         10 (b arc magnetic experiment? This does not represent a formal commitment.       □       □         10 Yes       □       □       □       □         10 (bther)       □       □       □       □         10 (bther)       □       □       □       □         10 (bther)       □       □       □       □ <td< td=""><td>3.</td><td>In which form would</td><td>such models be mos</td><td>st useful?</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	3.	In which form would	such models be mos	st useful?		
1. Please.indicate your field(s) of interest       OF POOR QUALITY            mineral exploration         crustal studies         scular change studies         scular changes studies         scular changes studies         scular changes committee to define the					D Table	
C) POOR QUALITY <ul> <li>Please.indicate your field(s) of interest</li> <li>mineral exploration</li> <li>petroleum exploration</li> <li>crustal studies</li> <li>scular change studies</li> <li>regional field removal in magnetic survey data</li> <li>other (please specify)</li> </ul> <li>D)         <ul> <li>Please indicate the accuracy desired:</li> <li>at surface</li> <li>at satellite</li> <li>Nagnetic Data</li> <li>Anomaly Maps</li> <li>Field Models</li> </ul> </li> <li>Do not use         <ul> <li>Bage state</li> <li>Construction</li> <li>Constructinte constructinte const</li></ul></li>	~1				ORIG	INAL PAGE I
1. Please indicate the accuracy desired:		<pre>D mineral exploration D crustal studies D studies of field so D regional field remove</pre>	n Durces Dval in magnetic si	□ petrole □ secular □ space secular	change studies	s
<pre>1100y (6 arc minutes) (other) D Not Sure D E) Would you be interested in participating in a users committee to define the requirements, and possibly participate in the analysis, of data from the described satellite magnetometer experiment? This does not represent a formal commitment. D Yes D No D Undecided 6) Would you like to remain on our mailing list to receive information, reports, etc., on the satellite magnetometer experiment, geomagnetic field models, and global magnetic data? D Yes D No H) Name Address Affiliation</pre>	Do no ±2γ (	1) Ma ot use (8 arc seconds)	ngnetic Data	2) Anomaly Ma	os 3)	Field Models D
<pre>(other) D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D</pre>						
<ul> <li>E) Would you be interested in participating in a users committee to define the requirements, and possibly participate in the analysis, of data from the described satellite magnetometer experiment? This does not represent a formal commitment.</li> <li>G) Yes</li> <li>G) No</li> <li>G) Would you like to remain on our mailing list to receive information, reports, etc., on the satellite magnetometer experiment, geomagnetic field models, and global magnetic data?</li> <li>H) Name</li></ul>	(othe	er)	D			D
<pre>requirements, and possibly participate in the analysis, of data from the described satellite magnetometer experiment? This does not represent a formal commitment.</pre>	Not S	Sure	D	D		α.
etc., on the satellite magnetometer experiment, geomagnetic field models, and global magnetic data? D Yes D No H) NameAddressAddress	1	requirements, and pos satellite magnetomete □ Ye	sibly participate r experiment? Thi s	in the analysis, s does not repre D No	of data from the sent a formal comm D Undecided	described Nitment.
H) NameAddress	. (	etc., on the satellit	e magnetometer exp	eriment, geomagn	etic field models,	
Address						
Research Lab     Government     University     Industry	н)					
	Affi	Address			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

# Appendix I-B

Corporate Responses

C. E. EDWARDS P. O. DOX 36487 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77036

May 20, 1975

Mr. Robert D. Regan, Geophysicist Office of Geochemistry & Geophysics U. S. Department of The Interior Reston, Virginia 22092

Dear Mr. Regan:

As you know, the Interior Department's questionnaire regarding satellite magnetometer data was sent to a large number of industry geophysicists. To assist you in an assessment of <u>Company</u> interest, we have compiled the attached, which is a consensus of thinking by geophysicists occupying responsible technical positions of research and operations in Standard Oil Company of California.

We trust you will be able to properly weight this "Company" response in relationship to other replies by individual professionals.

Very truly yours,

C. C. Selens de tres

C. E. Edwards Chief Geophysicist

Attachment

13 .1

Resources Canada Ressources Canada

nessources Ganada

Ocience and i

Science and Technology Science et Technologie

May 14, 1975

Your Ma Vatur reterror .

Our like Noter televise -

Dr. Robert D. Regan U.S. Geological Survey National Center (906) Reston, Virginia 22092 U.S.A.

Dear Bob:

Although your questionnaire on the potential uses of satellite magnetometer data is intended for individual scientists rather than for institutions, I have been asked by the Director-General of the Earth Physics Branch to submit a written reply to the points raised in your covering letter expressing the official reaction of this organization.

I imagine that most of your respondents will be interested in the application of data from low-level magnetic survey satellites to the study of large-scale anomalies as they relate to crustal structure. This is a subject of great interest to our Department, but Canada is already covered systematically by airborne magnetic surveys, and it is most unlikely that satellite surveys will reveal any anomalies of crustal origin which are not already known. Phase I (1953-63) of the three-component airborne magnetic survey program of the Earth Physics Branch covered Canada and adjacent ocean areas with a reasonably uniform distribution of magnetic observations on flight lines spaced 100 to 200 km apart. Phase II (1965-76) will cover the same area with flight lines spaced 35 to 75 km apart. In addition, more than 60 percent of Canada has been covered since 1947 by detailed low-level aeromagnetic surveys of total intensity, with a flight-line spacing of less than 1 km, under the Federal-Provincial program organized by the Geological Survey of Canada. While there are real difficulties in compiling a coherent picture of magnetic anomalies with dimensions of 100 to 1000 km from observations made over periods of many years and by different methods, the necessary data are there, and the problems are basically more tractable than the identification and extrapolation of the small signals available at satellite altitude.

> ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

.../2

20

Earth Physics Branch 1 Observatory Crescent Ottawa Canada K1A 0E4 Direction de la Physique du Globe 1 Place de l'Observatoire Ottawa Canada K1A 0E4

May 14, 1975

Dr. Robert D. Regan

For the study of anomalies with wavelengths greater than 1000 km, the global coverage of a satellite survey of course gives an advantage over the most detailed regional surveys, which tend to leave unanswered many questions near their boundaries.

2

The main interest of the Earth Physics Branch in satellite magnetic surveys is for the determination of secular change. This is because three-component airborne magnetic surveys over Canada will cease, for ten years at least, following completion of Phase II in 1976. We wish to preserve as long as possible the usefulness of the excellent data base for magnetic charts and models which will exist in 1976. Although we are planning an intensified program of re-occupation of secular change stations, and increased effort to find a reliable method of correcting for disturbance observations taken at repeat stations in high latitudes, we will need all the help we can get from component observations by satellite, especially over adjacent ocean areas. For this purpose, it is important that satellite data become available soon; ideally', we should have an overlap of airborne and satellite data.

To prepare ourselves for the utilization of satellite data for the above purpose, we intend to (a) pursue studies of upward continuation of airborne survey results to satellite altitude for comparison with existing satellite data, and (b) make an effort to find a solution to the serious problem of the correction for magnetic disturbance of satellite observations from the auroral zone and polar cap regions. We hope to work in cooperation with NASA and your own organization on both these problems. As you know, Dr. R.L. Coles will be visiting you and Dr. Langel in July to discuss possible approaches to these problems.

Yours sincerely,

m. & Bradfield

for Paul H. Serson Director Division of Geomagnetism

PHS/mb

cc Dr. K. Whitham

A C. BECHTOLD PT. WALTER M. SCHIRRA, JR.

# Bechtold Satellite Technology Corp.

BEST E

17137 EAST GALE AVENUE . CITY OF INDUSTRY, CA. 91745 (213) 965-7353

### May 15, 1975

Dr. Robert D. Regan U.S. Geological Survey National Center (906) Reston, Virginia 22092

Dear Dr. Regan:

Your undated letter concerning potential uses of satellite magnetometer data, received here May 5 has been studied with great interest.

We heartily endorse the idea of establishing such a project. Data from it would be extremely useful to us and others in our fields of interest (geology, geophysics, mineral, petroleum, geothermal exploration) and would add a new dimension to magnetometry.

We are specializing in ground based magnetometry confirmation of faults already identified in space imagery.

The little bit of Russian data from satellites which we have received has been extremely useful.

We would welcome an opportunity to serve on your committees or otherwise be of assistance to you and NASA.

With best wishes for the success of your program, I am,

Sincerely,

In C. Bechtold Ing.

Ira C. Bechtold President

enc: table

cc: file

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

ICB:mg

Dictated by ICB and signed in his absence.

GEOLOGY . GEOPHYSICS . REMOTE SENSING . SPACE PHOTOGRAPHY . PHOTOGEOLOGY . ENGINEERING

EXON PRODUCTION RESEARCH COMPANY PUST OF LI NOT MAY HOUSTON, TEXAS 77001

Chan Marter and Chance

May 14, 1975

Mr. Robert D. Regan Geophysicist Office of Geochemistry and Geophysics United States Department of the Interior Geological Survey Reston, Virginia 22092

Dear Mr. Regan: .

We endorse the concept of a low level magnetometer-bearing satellite. The integrated magnetic and magnetic anomaly data resulting from such a survey would provide valuable information to groups interested in both academic and economic aspects of global geophysical data.

Attached is our answer to your questionnaire. We look forward to hearing more from you on this matter.

Very truly yours,

Blimar

JBC:ck enclosure

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

# TransOcean Oil, Inc.

1200 FIRST CITY EAST BUILDING 1111 FANNIN + HOUSTON, 1EXAS 77002 713 - 225-0281

May 12, 1975

Mr. Robert D. Regan Office of Geochemistry & Geophysics Geological Survey Department of the Interior Reston, Va., 22092

Dear Mr. Regan,

We would be very interested in the results of regional magnetic observations from a low level satellite. There are many parts of the world where this could be of value in hydrocarbon exploration.

Please keep us informed of the programs progress and contact us if there is any way we can be of assistance.

......

Sincerely,

W.L./tr CC: F.F. Foster

consider as were provery

Mailing Address: Box 2679 - T.A. Los Angeles, California 90051 Telephone 213 486 3618

J. S. Pluta Chief Geophysicist International Exploration and Production Dept.

### May 7, 1975

Mr. Robert D. Regan Geophysicist Office of Geochemistry and Geophysics United States Department of the Interior Geological Survey Reston, Virginia 22092

### Dear Mr. Regan:

In regards to potential user of Satellite Magnetometer data please be advised we feel at this time we are not able to evaluate the significance of such data; however, we would like very much to remain on your mailing list in order to receive information on the satellite magnetometer experiments, geometric field models, and global magnetic data.

25

Yours very truly, DSP/ac

ORIGINAL PAGE IS DE POOR QUALITY



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Rockville, Md. 20052

EM4

# MAY 1 6 1975

Mr. Robert D. Regan
Geophysicist
Office of Geochemistry and Geophysics
U.S. Department of the Interior
Geological Survey
Reston, Virginia 22092

Dear Mr. Regan:

We had intended to provide a composite NOAA reply to the subject questionnaire. However, almost all in NOAA who have an interest in Satellite Magnetometer Data have received personal questionnaires and have completed them on their own.

26

There is one questionnaire which represents our data service input which we are forwarding.

Sincerely,

Corns de John W. Townsend, Jr.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

John W. Townsend, Jr. Associate Administrator

Enclosure

main 6, 1975 ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY Adurt D Regn . A Sterphysics Near Bob : Thank you for thinking of me in conviction with plans in the NASA mission 1's acquire viector magnetimeter data. I have discussed your notice and the questionaire with several Survey people have as well as with a congele of geoplyricisie with The University ?" The heagenses given on The questimaire over my signature really represent a composite of The reactionis of several interested individualso a few wordsof disification Therefore may be in order. It strikes me that the highly filtered nature Jugh-quiety satellite magnetic data may make them peculiarly well suited for studies of intermediate to grove tictionic elements as is hented by some of the POGO data. There is no question Therefore that should such clata herome generally invailable, I would directly or indirectly investigate Their ulitity

or applicability, to research on sedementing banno ( lications, size, fill Thickmane, shape, ite) of interest in petrolium applications 1he initial investigations would necessarily be experimental or tentatively explorations in instance. Should promining leader devilage, eptensive applications are easy to emagine, especially in such poorty explored prostiens as much of the U.S. Continental margin, big parts of allocher, and seiented foreign incar of ament interest to The U.S. When on how extensive ( in timited ) such wave might it is impossible to define in The absence of the data. Thank you again. yours truly There Michallich ORIGINAL PAGE IS POOR QUAL PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED



DEFENSE MAPPING AGENCY INTER AMERICAN GEODETIC SURVEY FORT CLAYTON, CANAL ZONE

IAGS-TD-S

13 May 1975

Dr. Robert D. Regan U.S. Geological Survey National Center (906) Reston, Virginia 22092

Dear Dr. Regan:

Your recent undated flyer describing the vector satellite mission under consideration by NASA is of great interest to us.

The Defense Mapping Agency Inter American Geodetic Survey (IAGS), although primarily engaged in the geodesy and cartography of Latin America, has for over 25 years maintained a responsibility for the collection of geomagnetic data. The small percentage of our total resources expended for surface vector surveys and observatory maintenance has been a major influence.

The IAGS geomagnetic program is justified by cartographic and navigational requirements for compass deviation, but is in fact, a continuation of the work initiated at the turn of the century by the Carnegie Institution and extended during the 40's by the U.S. Coast and Geodetic Survey.

IAGS functions as a collection source but we are also concerned with the return to participating agencies of useful data products, and the validity of technical guidance we furnish to Latin America. If the low altitude vector satellite is eventually deployed, we would try to reorient the Latin American ground program to complement rather than duplicate the expected results.

We will be very interested in information concerning details and progress of the NASA Satellite Project.

30

Yours very sincerely,

- Roman F. Ciller

ROMAN F. GELLER, JR. DMA IAGS Staff Geophysicist

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY