One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

"Made available under NASA sponsorship / F in the interest of early and wide dissemination of Earth Resources Survey Program information and without liability for any use made thereot."

03

(

7.8-10.446 II CR-148523 (

TECHNICAL REPORT STANDARD TITLE PAGE

Application of LANDS				
of Avalanche Hazards	4. Title and Subtitle Application of LANDSAT Data to Delimitation		5. Report Date June, 1976	
of Avalanche Hazards in Montane Colorado		6. Performing Organization Code		
7. Author(s) D.H. Knepper ,to		8. Performing Organi	zation Report No.	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research		10. Work Unit No.	1. Caller Mar	
University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado 80309		11. Contract or Grant	N-	
		13. Type of Report and Period Covered		
12. Spansoring Agency Name and Address		Type II Progress Report: 3/76-5/76		
NASA				
Goddard Space Flight Center		14. Sponsoring Agency Code		
Greenbelt, Maryland 20771			1.512	
5. Supplementary Notes				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		and the second	
			State States	
6. Abstract				
	mation derived directly i			
indirectly from small	1-scale topographic maps :	is being used t	to map the	
	ution of avalanche hazards			
Mountains. A prelim:	inary investigation of the	e usefulness of	Landsat	
imagery for mapping .	landslide areas suggests	that Landsat 1	nagery alone	
is not sufficient for	landslide areas suggests r distinguishing between :	landslide and r	nagery alone	
is not sufficient for	landslide areas suggests r distinguishing between : igh degree of accuracy.	landslide and r	nagery alone	
is not sufficient for	r distinguishing between	that Landsat in landslide and r	nagery alone	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a h	r distinguishing between igh degree of accuracy.	landslide and r	nagery alone non-land-	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a his	r distinguishing between igh degree of accuracy.	landslide and r	nagery alone non-land-	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a his (E76-10446) APPI	r distinguishing between igh degree of accuracy. LICATION OF LANDSAT DA	landslide and r ATA TO MONTANE	nagery alone non-land-	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a his (E76-10446) APPI DELIMITATION OF A	r distinguishing between i igh degree of accuracy. LICATION OF LANDSAT DA VALANCHE HAZARDS IN M Report, Mar May 1	landslide and r ATA TO MONTANE 1976	nagery alone non-land- N76-296	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a his (E76-10446) APPI DELIMITATION OF A	r distinguishing between i igh degree of accuracy. LICATION OF LANDSAT DA VALANCHE HAZARDS IN M Report, Mar May 1	Landslide and r MTA TO MONTANE 1976 SCL 08L	N76-296 Unclas	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a his (E76-10446) APPI DELIMITATION OF A	r distinguishing between igh degree of accuracy. LICATION OF LANDSAT DA	landslide and r ATA TO MONTANE 1976	N76-296 Unclas	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a his (E76-10446) APPI DELIMITATION OF A	r distinguishing between i igh degree of accuracy. LICATION OF LANDSAT DA VALANCHE HAZARDS IN M Report, Mar May 1	Landslide and r MTA TO MONTANE 1976 SCL 08L	N76-296 Unclas	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a his (E76-10446) APPI DELIMITATION OF A	r distinguishing between i igh degree of accuracy. LICATION OF LANDSAT DA VALANCHE HAZARDS IN M Report, Mar May 1	Landslide and r MTA TO MONTANE 1976 SCL 08L	N76-296 Unclas	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a his (E76-10446) APPI DELIMITATION OF A	r distinguishing between i igh degree of accuracy. LICATION OF LANDSAT DA VALANCHE HAZARDS IN M Report, Mar May 1	Landslide and r MTA TO MONTANE 1976 SCL 08L	N76-296 Unclas	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a his (E76-10446) APPI DELIMITATION OF A	r distinguishing between i igh degree of accuracy. LICATION OF LANDSAT DA VALANCHE HAZARDS IN M Report, Mar May 1	Landslide and r MTA TO MONTANE 1976 SCL 08L	N76-296 Unclas	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a hi (E76-10446) APPI DELIMITATION OF A COLORADO Interin (Colorado Univ.)	r distinguishing between i igh degree of accuracy. LICATION OF LANDSAT DA AVALANCHE HAZARDS IN M Report, Mar May 1 11 p HC \$3.50 CS	Landslide and r NTA TO NONTANE 1976 SCL 08L G3/43	N76-296 Unclas	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a hi (E76-10446) APPI DELIMITATION OF A COLORADO Interin (Colorado Univ.)	r distinguishing between i igh degree of accuracy. LICATION OF LANDSAT DA AVALANCHE HAZARDS IN M Report, Mar May 1 11 p HC \$3.50 CS	Landslide and r NTA TO NONTANE 1976 SCL 08L G3/43	N76-296 Unclas	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a his (E76-10446) APPI DELIMITATION OF A COLORADO Interin (Colorado Univ.)	s)) r distinguishing between i igh degree of accuracy. LICATION OF LANDSAT DA AVALANCHE HAZARDS IN M Report, Mar May 1 11 p HC \$3.50 CS 18. Distribution	Landslide and r NTA TO NONTANE 1976 SCL 08L G3/43	N76-296 Unclas	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a hi (E76-10446) APPI DELIMITATION OF A COLORADO Interin (Colorado Univ.)	s)) r distinguishing between i igh degree of accuracy. LICATION OF LANDSAT DA AVALANCHE HAZARDS IN M Report, Mar May 1 11 p HC \$3.50 CS 18. Distribution	Landslide and r NTA TO NONTANE 1976 SCL 08L G3/43	N76-296 Unclas	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a his (E76-10446) APPI DELIMITATION OF A COLORADO Interin (Colorado Univ.)	s)) r distinguishing between i igh degree of accuracy. LICATION OF LANDSAT DA AVALANCHE HAZARDS IN M Report, Mar May 1 11 p HC \$3.50 CS 18. Distribution	Landslide and r NTA TO NONTANE 1976 SCL 08L G3/43	N76-296 Unclas	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a hi (E76-10446) APPI DELIMITATION OF A COLORADO Interin (Colorado Univ.)	 r distinguishing between igh degree of accuracy. LICATION OF LANDSAT DA AVALANCHE HAZARDS IN Mon Report, Mar May 1 11 p HC \$3.50 CS s)) 18. Distribution is the second seco	landslide and r ATA TO MONTANE 1976 SCL 08L G3/43	N76-296 Unclas 00446	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a hi (E76-10446) APPI DELIMITATION OF A COLORADO Interin (Colorado Univ.)	 r distinguishing between igh degree of accuracy. LICATION OF LANDSAT DA AVALANCHE HAZARDS IN Mon Report, Mar May 1 11 p HC \$3.50 CS s)) 18. Distribution is the second seco	landslide and r ATA TO MONTANE 1976 SCL 08L G3/43	N76-296 Unclas	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a hi (E76-10446) APPI DELIMITATION OF A COLORADO Interin (Colorado Univ.)	 r distinguishing between igh degree of accuracy. LICATION OF LANDSAT DA AVALANCHE HAZARDS IN Mon Report, Mar May 1 11 p HC \$3.50 CS s)) 18. Distribution is the second seco	landslide and r ATA TO MONTANE 1976 SCL 08L G3/43	N76-296 Unclas 00446	
is not sufficient for slide areas with a hi (E76-10446) APPI DELIMITATION OF A COLORADO Interin (Colorado Univ.) 17. Key Words (S. lected by Author(avalanche, landslide Colorado	r distinguishing between igh degree of accuracy. LICATION OF LANDSAT DA AVALANCHE HAZARDS IN M Report, Mar May 1 11 p HC \$3.50 CS s)) 18. Distribution , LANDSAT, 18. Distribution	landslide and r NTA TO NONTANE 1976 SCL 08L G3/43 Statement	N76-296 Unclas 00446	

2313H

AUG 0 2 1976 SIS/902.6

APPLICATION OF LANDSAT DATA TO DELIMITATION OF AVALANCHE HAZARDS IN MONTANE COLORADO

Daniel H. Knepper Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado 80309

Contributors: D.H. Knepper

R. Summer

D. Sauchyn

N. Trench

June 1976

Interim Report for Period March 1976 - May 1976

Fourth Quarterly Progress Report

Prepared for: Goddard Space Flight Center

Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

1.1

CONTENTS

(1946年1月末)

INTRODUCTION	Page 1
REGIONAL AVALANCHE MAPPING	2
IDENTIFICATION OF MAJOR LANDSLIDE AREAS	5
PUBLICATIONS	6
RECOMMENDATIONS	6
FUNDS EXPENDED	6

11 1

PREFACE

Over the last several years, the Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research (INSTAAR) at the University of Colorado has been involved in the delineation, mapping, and analysis of natural hazards in selected portions of the Colorado Rocky Mountains. Much of this research has been concerned with the detailed delineation of snow avalanche hazards using air photo and field mapping techniques. Continuous monitoring of various environmental parameters during the winter avalanche cycle has produced significant advances in the field of avalanche prediction and forecasting for local areas.

and the second second second

In June 1975, INSTAAR began research for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA contract NAS5-20914) on a new approach to avalanche hazard investigation. The purpose of this research is to analyze, evaluate, and apply LANDSAT imagery for delineating and mapping avalanche hazards in the Colorado mountains. Research is currently being directed toward six primary objectives:

- Compilation and analysis of historical avalanche records for cause/effect and frequency information.
- (2) Identification of avalanche hazard terrain characteristics detectable on LANDSAT imagery.
- (3) Determination of relative usefulness of LANDSAT imagery for avalanche hazard mapping.
- (4) Determination of useful schemes for cartographically representing avalanche hazards.
- (5) Using the synoptic and repetative aspects of IANDSAT imagery for regional avalanche hazard mapping and analysis.

i٧

(6) Examining the cost/benefits of avalanche hazard investigations. Secondary, and purely experimental, objectives of the research project are as follows:

- Investigation of potential usefulness of LANDSAT derived information as input to avalanche forecast or warning systems.
- (2) Investigation of the usefulness of LANDS. T imagery for mapping major landslide areas.

During the report period (1 March - 31 May 1976), research was conducted by two full-time staff members and two part-time graduate student research assistants. Emphasis was placed on (1) applying the adopted mapping technique to avalanche hazard mapping in the Montrose and Leadville quadrangles (1:250,000) and (2) a preliminary investigation of the usefulness of LANDSAT imagery as a tool for the delineation of major landslide areas in the Colorado Rocky Mountains.

Regional mapping of avalanche hazards has, to a large extent, become a routine process, and as the interpreters gain experience, the mapping proceeds rapidly and proficiently. Landslide mapping, however, is still very tentative. Although many landslide areas can be identified and mapped on LANDSAT imagery, others show no imagery expression that allows positive identification to be made. Reliance on the use of LANDSAT imagery as the sole source for mapping information, therefore, is not recommended.

V

INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes the work conducted by the Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research (INSTAAR), University of Colorado, during the period 1 March - 31 May 1976, under contract NAS5-20914 to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration/Goddard Space Flight Center.

During the report period, snow avalanche hazard mapping was conducted in the Montrose and Leadville quadrangles (1:250,000) using Landsat imagery and the small-scale topographic information contained on the quadrangle sheets. The mapping technique, described in the previous progress report, works very well, and as experience is gained, it becomes relatively fast. One man-month was also spent investigating the usefulness of Landsat imagery for delineating the regional distribution of landslide areas in the Colorado Rocky Mountains. Preliminary results indicate that Landsat imagery alone is not sufficient for locating all major landslide areas.

-1-

REGIONAL AVALANCHE MAPPING

Landsat imagery, in conjunction with selected supplementary information, is being used to prepare a snow avalanche hazard map of the Colorado Rocky Mountains. The initial mapping is being conducted and compiled at a scale of 1:250,000. This information will be compiled on a 1:500,000 topographic map of Colorado when the interpretation phase of the work is completed.

To reach this point, INSTAAR has expended much time and effort in evaluating Landsat imagery for avalanche mapping in order to better understand its capabilities and limitations and to define any types of additional information necessary for the accurate interpretation of the imagery in situations where the Landsat imagery alone is inadequate. The result is a technique that involves the visual interpretation of Landsat imagery and the human analysis of the elevation and relief factors that appear to be most critical in determining the avalanche hazard associated with an area. The technique is practical, relatively accurate, and does not rely on sophisticated (and expensive) imagery or data processing. However, portions of the technique could be easily adapted to automatic computer methods if the digital relief and elevation data are available in sufficient detail.

The Technique

The technique being used to map avalanche hazards in the Colorado mountains was described in the previous progress report. Three levels of avalanche hazard are mapped, each succeeding level being more specific on the location of hazards and more definite on the existance of active avalanche terrain. The lowest level consists of the definition of <u>Potencial</u> Avalanche Terrain based on the presence of elevation (climatic) and relief

-2-

factors that could be conducive to avalanching. The intermediate level is <u>Interpreted Avalanche Terrain</u>. This is terrain that is interpreted to be active during the winter and spring avalanche cycle because of its appearence on Landsat imagery. The highest level of avalanche hazard is <u>Identified Avalanche Terrain</u>, which consists of areas that are known to avalanche as determined by air photo interpretation, historical records, published maps, or personal observations. A completed map expresses avalanche hazard as actual or potential and provides the reader with the information necessary to evaluate the reliability of what is shown on the map. A detailed, step-by-step description of the procedures that were found to be most efficient will be included in the final report.

Preliminary Evaluation

To date, evaluation of the mapping technique has been done by visually inspecting the hazard maps in areas where the mappers have a degree of familiarity. These checks have indicated that the mapping technique does a good job of depicting the avalanche hazards, even at the small scale. However, work is underway that will allow a more quantitative evaluation of mapping accuracy and completeness to be made. A field team, working under NASA Grant NGL 06-003-200, is currently preparing detailed snow avalanche and geologic hazards maps (1:24,000) of the northern part of Hinsdale County, Colorado. These maps will be made available at the end of the summer field season so that direct comparisons with the Landsatderived hazard map can be made for this previously-unmapped area.

Since the interpretation of avalanche hazards on Landsat imagery is influenced by the experience of the interpreter, a test will be conducted to

-3-

evaluate the variability in avalanche hazard on Landsat imagery is influenced by the experience of the interpreter, a test will be conducted to evaluate the variability in avalanche hazard mapping conducted by different workers. Two areas will be independently mapped by two investigators. The resulting maps will be compared to determine the amount and type of variation in avalanche hazard mapping caused by different interpreters.

Between 2 and 4 weeks will be spent during the next report period checking selected areas in the field. The areas to be checked have been interpreted as avalanche areas, but there is no documented avalanche activity. These areas are primarily in the backcountry and, thus, present little actual hazard, but they provide areas in which to check the accuracy and correctness of the interpretation procedure.

Status

The Landsat-derived avalanche hazards maps are being compiled on the 1:250,000, 2-degree topographic quadrangle maps that cover the state. Two of these quadrangles are complete (Durange and Montrose) and two are near completion (Leadville and Craig). The remaining mountainous area in the state is contained on four quadrangle maps, however, only one-half of this area is within the mountains, so an equivalent of two more quadrangles remains to be done. When these are completed, the avalanche hazard mapping will be transferred to a 1:500,000 topographic map of Colorado.

-4-

IDENTIFICATION OF MAJOR LANDSLIDE AREAS

A secondary objective of this project was to examine the possible usefulness of Landsat imagery as a tool for mapping major landslide areas in the Colorado Rocky Mountains. During this report period, two graduate student research assistants, David J. Sauchyn and Nicholas R. Trench, each spent one month investigating the problem. They have submitted a preliminary report on their work, but since they desire to spend two more weeks on the study, the results of their work will not be reported until the final report. A summary of the preliminary results is given below.

Some landslide areas in Colorado can be identified and delimited on Landsat imagery, but because of the extreme variations in the topographic, lithologic, structural, and vegetational conditions associated with landslide areas, there is no unique spectral or spatial pattern that is indicative of landslides.

The accuracy with which landslides can be mapped is a function of the degree to which the landslides are expressed in the relief and the topography. Many landslide areas in Colorado, both large and small, simply do not have enough surface expression to be interpreted. Consequently, Landsat imagery is not recommended as a routine landslide mapping tool, particularly if higher resolution imagery or photography is available.

However, some areas of landsliding displayed characteristic evidence that would be obvious to even the casual observer. This suggests that in areas where landsliding is large-scale, where other geologic conditions are relatively uniform, and where there is a need for frequent monitoring of landslide activity, Landsat imagery may be the best tool available.

-5-

PUBLICATIONS

No project-funded publications were prepared during the report period.

RECOMMENDATIONS

No specific recommendations are necessary at this time.

.

and a second second

ľ