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# A NONLINEAR THEORY FOR AIRFOILS WITH <br> TRAILING-EDGE JET FLAP <br> Raymond L. Barger <br> Langley Research Center 

## SUMMARY

A nonlinear procedure for computing the pressure distribution on an airfoil with a trailing-edge jet flap is described. The method is not restricted to thin airfoils or shallow jet-deflection angles. Correlation with experiment indicates that the characteristics of the pressure distribution are predicted by the theory, but the effect of entrainment is overpredicted with the entrainment coefficient used.

## INT RODUCTION

Interest in the jet flap stems from its effectiveness as a propulsive lift mechanism. A system, such as the jet flap, that increases the airfoil circulation is much more efficient than a pure jet reaction device.

Theoretical studies of jet-flap airfoils have special importance because of the difficulties involved in wind-tunnel tests on such complex devices. The initial theory for an airfoil with a jet flap was developed by Spence (ref. 1) who used thin-airfoil calculations together with thickness corrections obtained from transformation theory. The effect of jet vorticity was calculated by distributing vortices along the X -axis (consistent with thinairfoil theory). Comparison with experiment indicated better agreement for the lift coefficient than for the pressure distribution because of compensating errors in the upper and lower surface pressures.

Spence did not treat the effects of jet entrainment, but such effects have been considered by Wygnanski in reference 2. Wygnanski also used a thin-airfoil analysis, with the symmetric airfoil at zero incidence represented as a straight-line segment, and the jet by a line of sinks extending downstream from the airfoil on the X-axis.

The present treatment includes the effects of both the jet deflection and the entrainment. The thin-airfoil assumptions are dropped so that arbitrary airfoil shapes and deflection angles are permitted. Boundary-layer effects are not included.

## SYMBOLS

## $A_{n}, B_{n}$ <br> Fourier coefficients

a length parameter used in transformation of airfoil to approximate circle
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}} \quad$ jet-momentum coefficient
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{M}} \quad$ jet mass flow coefficient, $\frac{\rho \mathrm{V} \delta}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\infty} \mathrm{U}_{\infty} \mathrm{c}}$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \quad$ pressure coefficient
c chord length (assumed unity in calculations)

Im imaginary part of complex quantity
i imaginary unit
$\mathrm{m}_{1} \quad$ jet slope at airfoil trailing edge, $\tan \tau$
n index
$R \quad$ radius of circle into which airfoil is transformed

Re real part of complex quantity
r radial coordinate in $z^{\prime}$-plane
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}} \quad$ sink strength in main region of jet
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad$ sink strength associated with kth jet segment
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad$ sink strength in mixing region of jet
s distance along jet
U local flow velocity in jet direction

V jet velocity

W complex velocity potential
w
$w_{p}$
$\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{q}} \quad=-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi \mathrm{z}}$
vorticity
density
conjugate of complex velocity
value of $w$ in circle plane excluding contribution due to supercirculation
total value of $w$ in circle plane

Cartesian coordinates in airfoil plane
complex variable representing points in circle plane
complex variable representing points in near-circle plane
vortex strength representing supercirculation due to jet sheet
strength of vortex located in kth segment of jet sheet
vortex strength associated with camber and angle of attack
jet-slot width
complex variable representing points in airfoil plane
angular coordinate in $z^{\prime}$-plane
curvature of jet sheet
empirical parameter used in computing entrainment coefficient
jet-deflection angle
angular coordinate in circle plane
defined by relation $\quad \mathbf{r}=a e^{\psi}$

Subscripts:
a
airfoil plane
c circle plane

E due to entrainment
j jet
$\infty$
free-stream conditions

Bar over a symbol denotes complex conjugate.

ANALYSIS

## Transformation of Airfoil Into Circle

The first step in the analysis is to transform the airfoil into a circle by the method of Theodorsen (ref. 3). This method uses two consecutive mappings. The first is the Joukowski transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=z^{\prime}+\frac{\mathrm{a}^{2}}{\mathrm{z}^{\prime}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which maps the airfoil in the $\zeta$-plane into a contour approximating a circle in the $z^{\prime}$-plane (fig. 1). The parameter $a$ is determined so that if the airfoil is an ellipse of any thickness ratio, the mapped contour is an exact circle. (See ref. 3.)

The complex variable $z^{\dagger}$ is now written in polar coordinates

$$
z^{\prime}=\operatorname{re}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}
$$

and a new variable $\psi$ is defined by

$$
\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{ae} \mathrm{e}^{\psi}
$$

so that

$$
z^{\prime}=a e^{\psi+i \theta}
$$

Then the mapping of the contour to an exact circle in the $z$-plane (fig. 1 ) is accomplished by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}=z e \sum\left(A_{n}+i B_{n}\right) / z^{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ are $R^{n}$ times the Fourier cosine and sine coefficients, respectively, of the function $\psi(\theta)$, which is obtained directly from the first (Joukowski) transformation. The radius $R$ of the circle to which the airfoil is mapped is computed from the formula

$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{ae}{ }^{\psi_{\mathrm{o}}}
$$

where $\psi_{0}$ is the average value of $\psi$.
Reference 3 demonstrates that relations (1) and (2) map points on the airfoil into points on the circle in the $z$-plane. However, since equation (2) is not analytically invertible, points that are not on the airfoil are not easily mapped into the z-plane, and some iteration is required. The iteration procedure, however, is simple and rapidly convergent.

On the other hand, the mapping of points from the circle plane to the airfoil plane is a straightforward process. Furthermore, velocities computed in the circle plane are simply converted to velocities in the airfoil plane by the relation

$$
\left|\frac{d W}{d \zeta}\right|=\frac{\left\lvert\, \frac{d W^{\prime}}{d z}\right.}{\left|\frac{d \zeta}{d z}\right|}
$$

with $\frac{d \zeta}{d z}$ given by differentiation of equations (1) and (2):

$$
\left.\left.\frac{d \zeta}{d z}=\frac{d \zeta}{d z^{\prime}} \frac{d z^{\prime}}{d z}=\frac{1}{z^{\prime}} z^{\prime}-\frac{a^{2}}{z^{\prime}}\right) z^{\prime} \frac{1}{z}+\frac{d}{d z} \sum \frac{A_{n}+i B_{n}}{z^{n}}\right)=z^{\prime}-\frac{a^{2}}{z^{\prime}}\left(\frac{1}{z}-\sum n \frac{A_{n}+i B_{n}}{z^{n+1}}\right)
$$

To determine the shape of the jet and the circulation that results from the jet deflection, the jet is modeled as a vortex sheet. The derivation of the required formulas is given in reference 1. The known quantities are the jet-deflection angle $\tau$ at the airfoil trailing edge and the jet-momentum coefficient

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}=\frac{\rho \mathrm{V}^{2} \delta}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\infty} \mathrm{U}_{\infty}{ }^{2} \mathrm{c}}
$$

The shape of the vortex sheet and the distribution of vorticity along the sheet are to be determined so that
(1) The boundary condition on the airfoil surface is satisfied.
(2) The vortex sheet has the given deflection $\tau$ at the trailing edge.
(3) The curvature of the jet sheet is consistent with the distribution of vorticity along the sheet; that is, the relation $\gamma=\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\infty}{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}} \kappa}{2 \mathrm{U}}$ must be satisfied, where $\gamma$ and $\kappa$ are the local vorticity and curvature, respectively.

An iterative procedure is required for calculating the jet shape and the vorticity distribution so as to meet all these conditions simultaneously. The jet sheet is approximated in the circle plane as a set of straight-line segments of equal length $\Delta s_{c}$ (see fig. 1). The first segment is determined so that the corresponding line in the airfoil plane has the given jet-deflection angle. For the first iteration, the remaining segments are chosen arbitrarily so that the slopes decrease exponentially with distance $s$ along the jet. Then the vertex locations are determined, each point from the previous one, starting at the trailing edge. By moving the distance of one segment length in the direction specified by the slope for that segment, the location of the next point is determined. The curvature distribution is also simply determined since the change in angle $\Delta \theta$ at each vertex can be obtained from the slopes of the adjacent segments and the segment lengths are equal. Thus, the curvature $\frac{\Delta \theta}{\Delta s}$ can be calculated directly. A vortex is located at the center of each of the segments.

Now consider the representation of the jet sheet in the airfoil plane. This jet sheet consists of an equal number of segments, but the segments are not of equal length. The first segment has the direction specified by the given value of $\tau$. A vortex is located on each segment with a strength determined by the approximation

$$
\Gamma_{\mathrm{a}}=\gamma_{\mathrm{a}} \Delta \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{U}_{\infty}^{2}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{a}}} \frac{\Delta \theta_{\mathrm{a}}}{\Delta \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{a}}} \Delta \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{U}_{\infty}^{2}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{a}}} \Delta \theta_{\mathrm{a}}
$$

where $\Delta \theta_{\mathrm{a}}$ is the average change in angle at the vortex and is determined by the average change in angle at the adjacent vertices. Since the mapping is conformal at each
vertex (although it is generally not conformal at the initial point located at the trailing edge), the change in angle is the same as the change in angle at the corresponding vertex in the circle plane. Thus,

$$
\Delta \theta_{\mathrm{a}}=\Delta \theta_{\mathbf{c}}
$$

In general, it is not true that $U_{a}=U_{c}$, but for all cases calculated, $U_{a}$ differed so slightly from $U_{c}$ at the vortex locations that the adjustment in $\Gamma$ because of the difference between $U_{a}$ and $U_{c}$ was essentially negligible. Therefore, the approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{U}_{\infty}^{2}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}} \Delta \theta_{\mathrm{c}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

was adopted. This approximation shortens the calculation significantly as it permits the iteration to be carried out entirely in the circle plane.

The iteration procedure requires the calculation of the velocity vector at each vortex location. The velocity equation in the circle plane is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d W}{d z}=-U_{\infty}\left(1-\frac{R^{2}}{z^{2}},-\frac{\left.i \Gamma_{\ell}+\Gamma_{j}\right)}{2 \pi z}-\frac{i}{2 \pi} ; \frac{\Gamma_{k}}{\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}}-\frac{\Gamma_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{z}-\frac{\mathrm{R}^{2}}{\bar{z}_{\mathrm{k}}}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the terms, in order, represent the undisturbed free stream, the doublet effect of the circle, the vortex due to the circulation associated with camber and angle of attack, the additional vortex associated with airfoil circulation resulting from the presence of the jet sheet, the vortices representing the jet sheet, and their images in the circle. Here, $\frac{d w}{d z}$ actually denotes the complex conjugate of the velocity variable.

On each iteration, the calculation requires several steps. First, the vortex strengths $\Gamma_{k}$ are determined by applying equation (3) at the kth segment. Then, since $\Gamma_{l}$ is known (see ref. 3), the only remaining parameter to be determined in the velocity equation is the "supercirculation" $\Gamma_{j}$. This quantity is obtained from the condition that the first segment of the vortex sheet must have the direction specified by the given jet-deflection angle. The velocity at the center of the first segment can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{p}}+\Gamma_{j} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{q}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{q}}=-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi \mathrm{z}}$, and $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{p}}$ denotes all the remaining terms on the right side of equation (4). Since $w_{T}$ is the complex conjugate of the velocity variable, the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{1} \equiv \tan \tau=-\frac{\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)}{\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

must be satisfied. Substituting from equation (5) into equation (6) and solving for $\Gamma_{j}$ yields

$$
\Gamma_{j}=-\frac{\left.\operatorname{Im}\left(w_{p}\right)+m_{1} \operatorname{Re}^{i} w_{p}\right)}{\left.\operatorname{Im} w_{q}\right)+m_{1} \operatorname{Re}_{( } w_{p}!}
$$

With this value of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{j}}$, the velocity vector can be computed at the center of each segment of the jet sheet; thereby the slope of each segment is fixed. This distribution of slopes is summed to obtain the jet shape for the next iteration, and it is differenced to obtain the curvature. Then, the curvature and the magnitude of the velocity are used to obtain the distribution of vortex strengths for the next iteration by means of equation (3).

Considerable care is required in setting up the iterative calculation because of the dependence of the velocity on the curvature of the jet sheet. Any slight 'kink" in the sheet arising in the calculation denotes an abnormally high local curvature and thus tends to trigger an instability leading to divergence. Approximating the sheet with polynomial or trigonometric series is not practical because increasing the accuracy of the approximation in the least-squares sense requires increasing the number of terms, thereby increasing the number of "wiggles" in the shape, and causing large local values of curvature. A spline fit generally results in even larger curvature variations.

The smoothing process that was adopted used a smooth analytic shape on the first iteration. The results of each subsequent iteration were averaged with those of the previous iteration. This procedure proved satisfactory for values of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ up to three. For larger values of $C_{j}$, it was necessary to start at three and gradually increase $C_{j}$ during the iteration process. The convergence criterion adopted was that the value of $\Gamma_{j}$ must vary less than 0.5 percent between successive iterations. The number of iterative cycles required has varied from 6 to 16 in the examples studied.

The problem of convergence of the jet shape would probably be reduced if the vorticity were distributed over each segment rather than concentrated at a single vortex at the midpoint of the segment.

## Velocity Due to Jet-Entrainment Effect

After the jet shape has been established, one can compute the entrainment effect by distributing sinks along the jet. Formulas for the sink strengths per unit length, as given in reference 2, are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}=0.064\left(\mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{U}_{\infty}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the initial mixing region of the jet, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j}=U_{\infty}\left[\frac{3\left(C_{j}-C_{M}\right)}{8 \sigma(\delta / c)}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the main region of the jet, where the velocity profile is self-similar. These formulas are derived for a two-dimensional jet in a uniform free stream parallel to the jet axis, but some experimental results quoted in reference 2 indicate that they also represent a good approximation for an inclined jet. The parameter $\sigma$ is estimated to be 7.7 from experimental data (ref. 2). Since formulas (7) and (8) give the sink strength per unit distance along the jet, the actual strengths are determined by multiplying these values by the corresponding distances along the jet. A sink is located on each of the straight-line segments, and the strengths are determined in the airfoil plane. For each of these sinks, there is a sink of equal strength at the corresponding point in the circle plane (ref. 4, sec. 8.50). In the circle plane, the velocity due to entrainment can be computed by the circle theorem which gives

$$
\left.\frac{d w}{d z}\right|_{E}=\sum\left(\frac{-S_{k}}{z-z_{k}}-\frac{S_{k}}{z-\frac{R^{2}}{\bar{z}_{k}}}+\frac{S_{k}}{z}\right)
$$

Thus, the boundary condition is satisfied by including, in addition to each sink, the image of the sink in the circle and a source of equal strength at the origin. The velocity due to entrainment is computed on the circle and added to the previously computed velocity distribution.

It may be noted that the attempt to include the entrainment calculation in the iterative procedure for the jet shape is not practical because the jet sheet has to be represented as a distribution of vortices to obtain its shape, but it must be represented as a distribution of sinks to compute the entrainment effect.

## Examples and Discussion

The potential advantage to be gained by the use of a jet flap is illustrated by the example shown in figure 2. For this example, the thickness ratio is $0.13, C_{j}=2.0$, and $\tau=45^{\circ}$. One can see from the figure that the supercirculation due to the jet flap generates a large increase in lift. The effect of entrainment on the lower surface pressures tends to reduce the lift, but this effect is more than compensated for on the upper surface. The total increase in lift coefficient is about 500 percent, from 0.5 to approximately 2.5 percent.

The only example of a measured pressure distribution given in reference 1 is for a 12.5-percent thick ellipse with a jet-deflection angle of $31.4^{\circ}$. When the nonlinear theory without entrainment is compared with Spence's modified thin-airfoil theory for this case (fig. 3), the results of the two theories compare very closely over most of the airfoil. This similarity was to be expected inasmuch as the jet-deflection angle was small, the airfoil was relatively thin, and thickness correction factors were applied to the thin-airfoil results. The nonlinear theory is somewhat closer to the experimental results in the regions of high curvature near the trailing edge. For a more conventional airfoil shape, the Spence theory would compare even more closely if accurate thickness corrections could be made. However, since the full transformation is required to obtain accurate thickness corrections for a general airfoil shape, the entire nonlinear theory can be used with little more trouble. The advantages of the nonlinear theory would also increase with increasing thickness ratio and jet-deflection angle.

When the velocity due to entrainment is included in the nonlinear theory, virtually all the characteristics of the experimental pressure distribution are predicted qualitatively by the theory (fig. 3). However, the effect of the entrainment is overpredicted somewhat. This error may arise from the failure to account for the curvature of the jet in computing the entrainment coefficients or it may be associated with an error in the empirical parameter $\sigma$. In any case, if the entrainment coefficient is arbitrarily reduced by 40 percent, the correlation with experiment is much better for this particular example (fig. 4). The small discrepancy near the trailing edge on the lower surface results from the fact that the jet slot was located in the vicinity of the 98 -percent station rather than at the trailing edge as assumed in the calculation.

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

A nonlinear procedure for computing the pressure distribution on an airfoil with a trailing-edge jet flap has been described. The method is not restricted to thin airfoils or shallow jet-deflection angles. Correlation with experiment indicates that the
characteristics of the pressure distribution are predicted by the theory, but the effect of entrainment is overpredicted with the entrainment coefficient used.

Langley Research Center
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Hampton, VA 23665
November 19, 1976
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(b) Near-circle or $z^{\prime}$-plane.

(c) Circle or z-plane.

Figure 1.- Representations of airfoil profile and trailing jet as used in calculations.


Figure 2.- Theoretical example demonstrating effects of supercirculation and entrainment on pressure distribution. $\tau=45^{\circ} ; C_{j}=2.0$;
thickness ratio $=0.13$.


Figure 3.- Comparison of theories with experiment for elliptic profile. Thickness ratio $=0.125 ; \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}=1.5 ; \quad \tau=31.4^{0}$.


Figure 4.- Correlation of experiment with theoretical pressures (modified entrainment coefficient). Thickness ratio $=0.125 ; \quad C_{j}=1.5 ; \quad \tau=31.4^{\circ}$.
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