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ABSTRACT

The plane elastostatic problem of internal and edge cracks in an infinite orthotropic strip is considered. The problems for the material types I and II are formulated in terms of singular integral equations. For the symmetric case the stress intensity factors are calculated and are compared with the isotropic results. The results show that because of the dependence of the Fredholm kernels on the elastic constants, unlike the crack problem for an infinite plane, in the strip the stress intensity factors are dependent on the elastic constants and are generally different than the corresponding isotropic results.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In plane elastostatic problems for an infinite orthotropic medium containing a line crack [1-3] or a series of collinear cracks [4] it was shown that the stress intensity factor is identical to that found for isotropic materials. However, if the geometry of the medium is bounded, it is expected that in orthotropic solids the material constants would influence the stress intensity factors. A bounded specimen geometry which is sufficiently simple for the purpose of amalysis and at the same time is of sufficient practical interest is that of a long strip containing internal or edge cracks. The main objective of the present paper is by considering this problem to give some idea about the degree of influence of the material orthotropy on the stress intensity factors. The equivalent isotropic case is one of the more widely studied crack problems in technical literature (see, for example, [5-13]).

## 2. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Consider the plane problem for the orthotropic strip shown in Figure 1. Referring to, for example [14], the equilibrium equations for an orthotropic plane may be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+\beta_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial y}=0  \tag{1}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+\beta_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}}+\beta_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial y}=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $u, v$ are the $x, y$-components of the displacement vector and
$\downarrow \mid$
$\beta_{1}=\frac{E_{11}}{\left(T-\nu 1 \nu_{12} v_{21}\right) G_{12}}, \beta_{2}=\frac{E_{22}}{E_{11}}, \beta_{3}=1+\nu_{21} \beta_{1}$
for generalized plane stress, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}=\frac{b_{11}}{G_{12}}, \quad \beta_{2}=\frac{b_{22}}{G_{12}}, \quad \beta_{3}=1+\frac{b_{12}}{G_{12}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for plane strain. Here, $E_{i j}, \nu_{i j}, G_{i j}$ are the engineering elastic constants, $(i, j)=(1,2,3)$, the indexes $(1,2,3)$ corresponding to the directions $(x, y, z)$, and the matrix ( $b_{i j}$ ) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(b_{i j}\right)=B=A^{-1}, A=\left(a_{i j}\right), \quad(i, j)=(1,2,3),  \tag{4}\\
& a_{i j}=1 / E_{i j} ; \quad a_{i, j}=-v_{i j} / E_{i j}=a_{j i}, \quad(i \neq j)
\end{align*}
$$

The solution of the problem shown in Figure 1 may be obtained by using the standard superposition technique. Thus, for the purpose of evaluating the stress intensity factors and obtaining information relevant to the fracture of the solid, it is sufficient to consider the problem in which statically self-equilibrating crack surface tractions are the only external loads.

To solve the differential equations (x) let

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x, y)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[f_{1}(\alpha, x) \cos \alpha y+g_{1}(\alpha, y) \sin \alpha x\right] d \alpha,  \tag{5}\\
& v(x, y)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[f_{2}(\alpha, x) \sin \alpha y+g_{2}(\alpha, y) \cos \alpha x\right] d \alpha
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting from (5) into (1) the functions $f_{j}$ and $g_{i},(i=1,2)$ are obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(\alpha, x)=\sum_{1}^{4} A_{j}(\alpha) e^{s_{j} \alpha x}, f_{2}(\alpha, x)=\sum_{1}^{4} c_{j} A_{j}(\alpha) e^{s_{j} \alpha x} \\
& g_{1}(\alpha, y)=\sum_{i}^{4} B_{j}(\alpha) e^{s_{j} \alpha y / \beta_{5}}, g_{2}(\alpha, y)=\sum_{i}^{4} d_{j} B_{j}(\alpha) e^{s_{j} \alpha y / \beta_{5}} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{4}$ are the roots of the following characteristic equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{4}+\beta_{4} s^{2}+\beta_{5}^{2}=0, s_{3}=-s_{1}, s_{4}=-s_{2}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the constants $\beta_{4}, \beta_{5}, c_{j}$, and $d_{j},(j=1, \ldots, 4)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{4}=\left(\beta_{3}^{2}-\beta_{1} \beta_{2}-1\right) / \beta_{1}, \beta_{5}^{2}=\beta_{2} / \beta_{1}, \\
& c_{1}=-c_{3}=\left(1-\beta_{1} s_{1}^{2}\right) / \beta_{3} s_{1}, c_{2}=-c_{4}=\left(1-\beta_{1} s_{2}^{2}\right) / \beta_{3} s_{2},  \tag{8}\\
& d_{1}=-d_{3}=\left(s_{1}^{2}-\beta_{1} \beta_{5}^{2}\right) / \beta_{3} s_{1} \beta_{5}, d_{2}=-d_{4}\left(s_{2}-\beta_{1} \beta_{5}^{2}\right) / \beta_{3} s_{2} \beta_{5},
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming that $x$ and $y$ are axes of symmetry for loading as well as geometry, the unknown functions $A_{j}(\alpha)$ and $B_{j}(\alpha),(j=1, \ldots, 4)$ are determined from the following conditions:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
u(x, y)+0, v(x, y)+0 \text { for } y \rightarrow \infty, \\
\sigma_{x x}(h, y)=0, \sigma_{x y}(h, y)=0,0 \leq y<\infty, \\
u(0, y)=0, \sigma_{x y}(0, y)=0,0 \leq y<\infty, \\
\sigma_{x y}(x, 0)=0,0 \leq y \leq h, \\
\sigma_{y y}(x,+0)=-p(x), a<|x|<b,  \tag{13}\\
v(x, 0)=0, \quad 0 \leq|x|<a, \quad b<|x|<h,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where the crack surface traction $p(x)$ is a known function. The seven homogeneous conditions (9-12) may be used to eliminate seven of the unknowns and the mixed boundary conditions (13) would give a system of dual integral equations to determine the eighth. In this paper, defining a new unknown function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x} v(x, 0), \quad a<|x|<b, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

the problem is reduced to a singular integral equation by using the first equation of (13). From the second equation of (13) it is seen that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi(x, 0)=0, \quad 0 \leq|x|<a, b<|x|<h, \\
& \int_{a}^{b} \phi(x) d x=0 . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Examining the roots of (7) it may be observed that
(i) for $\beta_{4}<0, \beta_{6}=\beta_{4}^{2}-4 \beta_{5}^{2}>0$ there are four real roots, $s_{1}, s_{2}$ $s_{3}=-s_{1}$, and $s_{4}=-s_{2}\left(s_{1}>0, s_{2}>0\right)$; in this case the corresponding material will be classified as type I;
(ii) for $\beta_{6}<0$ the roots are complex, $s_{1}=\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}=-s_{3}$, $s_{2}=\omega_{j}-i \omega_{2}=-s_{4}\left(\omega_{1}>0, \omega_{2}>0\right)$ and the related material will be classified as type II; and
(iii) for $\beta_{4}>0, \beta_{6}>0$ the roots would be pure imaginary $s_{1}=i \omega_{3}=-s_{3}, s_{2}=i \omega_{4}=-s_{4}$.

In practice generally $\beta_{4}$ is negative. Therefore the problems of interest are those relating to materials type I and II only. This
classification seems to be necessary in order to pursue the formulation of the problem beyond equations (6) without introducing unnecessarily complicated complex algebra. Also, in this paper only the case of generalized plane stress will be considered. For plane strain it is sufficient to replace the quantities $E_{x} /\left(1-\nu_{x y} \nu_{y x}\right), E_{y} /\left(1-\nu_{x y} \nu_{y x}\right)$, and $\nu_{y x} E_{x} /\left(1-\nu_{x y} \nu_{y x}\right)$ by $b_{11}, b_{22}$, and $b_{12}$, respectively (see equation 4).

Because of symmetry considering only one quarter of the medium shown in Figure 1, and using the standard stress-displacement relations for plane stress, after somewhat lengthy but routine analysis, for material type I (i.e., for real $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ ) the problem may be reduced to the following integral equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{a}^{b}\left[\frac{1}{t-x}+\frac{1}{t+x}+k_{1}(x, t)-k_{1}(x,-t)\right] \phi(t) d t \\
& =-\frac{\pi\left(1-v_{x y^{\prime}} \nu_{y x}\right)}{2 E_{y^{m} 14}} p(x), a<x<b, \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

under the additional condition (15). Here the kernel is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{1}(x, t)= & \frac{1}{m_{14}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[K_{1}(x, \alpha) e^{-(h-t) \alpha \beta_{5} / s_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.+k_{2}(x, \alpha) e^{-(h-t) \alpha \beta_{5} / s_{2}}\right] d \alpha \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The expressions for $K_{1}, K_{2}$, and $m_{14}$ are given in Appendix $A$.
For material type II the roots of the characteristic equation (7) are complex. Defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}=\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}=-s_{3}, s_{2}=\omega_{1}-i \omega_{2}=-s_{4}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, assuming that $\omega_{1}>0$, in this case the integral equation becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{a}^{b}\left[\frac{1}{t-x}+\frac{1}{t+x}+k_{2}(x, t)-k_{2}(x,-t)\right] \phi(t) d t \\
& \quad=-\frac{\pi\left(1-\nu x y^{\nu} y x\right.}{2 E_{y} r_{14}} p(x), \quad a<x<b, \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

again subject to condition (15). The kernel $k_{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}(x, t)=\int_{0}^{\infty} k_{3}(x, t, \alpha) e^{-\omega_{1} \alpha(h-t)} d \alpha \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the function $K_{3}$ and the related constants $r_{i}$ are defined in the Appendix B.

One may note that in the special case of single internal crack, (i.e., for $a=0, b<h$ ) the integral equations (16) and (19) may be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-b}^{b}\left[\frac{1}{t-x}+k_{i}(x, t)\right] \phi(t) d t=-\frac{\pi}{M_{i}} p(x), \quad-b<x<b, \\
& (i=1,2) \quad, \quad M_{1}=\frac{2 E_{y_{1}} m_{14}}{1-v_{x y} \nu_{y x}}, \quad M_{2}=\frac{2 E_{y} y^{r} 14}{1-v_{x y} v_{y x}} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i=1$ and 2 correspond to materials type I and II, respectively. In this case the single-valuedness condition (15) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-b}^{b} \phi(x) d x=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. STRESS INTENSITY FACTORS

The standard definition of the stress intensity factors at the crack tips $a$ and $b$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& k(a)=\lim _{x \rightarrow a} \sqrt{2(a-x)} \sigma_{y y}(x, 0), \\
& k(b)=\lim _{x \rightarrow b} \sqrt{2(x-b)} \sigma_{y y}(x, 0), \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

To determine the asymptotic behavior of the cleavage stress $\sigma_{y y}$ around the crack tips, first it may be observed that the index of the singular integral equations (16) and (19) is +1 . Therefore, the solution is of the following form [15]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)=f(t)[(t-a)(b-t)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, it should be pointed out that the left hand side of (16) and (19) gives $\sigma_{y y}(x, 0)$ for $x$ outside the interval $(a, b)$ as well as within. Thus, making a change in variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{b-a}{2} s+\frac{b+a}{2} \quad, \quad t=\frac{b-a}{2} r+\frac{b+a}{2} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for example, (16) may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{r-s}+k(s, r)\right] \psi(r) d r=\frac{q(s)}{M_{1}}, \frac{b+a}{b-a} \leq s \leq \frac{2 h-b-a}{b-a} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(s)=\sigma_{y y}(x, 0), \psi(r)=\phi(t)=F(r)\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $k(s, r)$ and $F(r)$ are bounded functions. The objective is then to determine the asymptotic behavior of $q(s)$ around $s=\mp 1,(|s|>1)$ in terms of the unknown function $F(r)$ which to obtained by solving the integral equation (26) in $-1<s<1$ where $q(s)=-p(x)$ is known. To do this let us assume that the bounded function $F(r)$ can be represented by the following infinite series in Tchebyshev polynomials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(r)=\sum_{0}^{\infty} A_{n} T_{n}(r) . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting from (28) into (26) one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{0}^{\infty} A_{n}\left[G_{n}(s)+H_{n}(s)\right]=\frac{g(s)}{M_{1}}  \tag{29}\\
& G_{n}(s)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{1}^{1} \frac{T_{n}(r) d r}{(r-s) \sqrt{1-r^{2}}}, H_{n}(s)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{i}^{1} \frac{k(s, r) T_{n}(r)}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}} d r . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $H_{n}(s)$ is a bounded function. To determine $G_{n}$ one may use the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\pi f} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{T_{n}(r) d r}{(r-z) \sqrt{r^{2}-1}}=\frac{\left(z-\sqrt{z^{2}-1}\right)^{n}}{\sqrt{z^{2}-1}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z$ is the complex variable in the plane cut along $(-1,1)$. Observing that on the real line $\left(z^{2}-1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is an odd function, from (30) and (31) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n}(s)=-\frac{\left[s-\operatorname{sgn}(s) \sqrt{s^{2}-1}\right]^{n}}{\operatorname{sgn}(s) \sqrt{s^{2}-1}} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

As s+ill (32) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& s++1,(s>1): \quad G_{n}(s)=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{s^{2}-1}}+R_{1}(s), \\
& s+-1,(s<-1): \quad G_{n}(s)=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{\sqrt{s^{2}-1}}+R_{2}(s), \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are bounded at $s=\mp 1$.
Now, observing that $H_{n}(\mp 1)=$ finite, $T_{n}(1)=1, T_{n}(-1)=(-1)^{n}$, from (29) and (33) the asymptotic behavior of $q(s)$ is found to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& s++1,(s>1): \frac{g(s)}{M_{1}}=-\frac{F(1)}{\sqrt{s^{2}-1}}+R_{3}(s), \\
& s+-1,(s<-1): \frac{q(s)}{M_{1}}=\frac{F(1)}{\sqrt{s^{2}-1}}+R_{4}(s) . \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where again the functions $R_{3}$ and $R_{4}$ are bounded at $s=\mp 1$. Going back to the original quantities by using (25) and (27), (34) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& x \rightarrow b,(x>b): \frac{\sigma_{y y}(x, 0)}{M 1}=-\frac{F(1) \sqrt{l}}{\sqrt{2(x-b)}}+R_{5}(x), \\
& x \rightarrow a,(x<a): \frac{\sigma_{y y}(x, 0)}{M_{1}}=\frac{F(-1) \sqrt{l}}{\sqrt{2(a-x)}}+R_{6}(x) \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions $R_{5}$ and $R_{6}$ are also bounded at $x=b$ and $x=a$. Thus, from (23) and (35) the stress intensity factors are found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(b)=-M_{1} F(1) \sqrt{l}, k(a)=M_{1} F(-1) \sqrt{l} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of fully imbedded cracks the integral equations (16), (19) or (21) can always be reduced to the normalized form (26) and can be solved by using the technique described in [16].

## 4. EDGE CRACKS

In equations (16) and (19) the kernels $k_{1}(x, t)-k_{1}(x,-t)$ and $k_{2}(x, t)-k_{2}(x,-t)$ are bounded provided $b<h$ (see Figure 1). For $b=h$, that is in the case of edge cracks, the integral equaticis are still valid but these kernels do not remain bounded as $x$ and $t$ go to the end point $b=h$ and, consequently, the singular behavior of the solution at $x=b=h$ hiliy no longer be described by (24). Expressing the kernels in (16) and (19) as

$$
k_{j}(x, t)=k_{i f}(x, t)+k_{i s}(x, t), \quad(i=1,2)
$$

where $k_{\text {if }}$ is bounded in the closed interval $[a, h]$, the unbounded parts $k_{\text {is }}$, ( $i=1,2$ ) may be obtained from (17) and (20) by examining the asymptotic behavior of the integrals for large values of $\alpha$. Thus, after some routine analysis we find

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{1 s}(x, t)= & \frac{1}{m_{14} m^{m} 15}\left[\frac{m_{16}}{s_{1}(h-x)+(h-t) \beta_{5} / s_{1}}+\frac{m_{17}}{s_{2}(h-x)+(h-t) \beta_{5} / s_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{m_{18}}{s_{1}(h-x)+(h-t) \beta_{5} / s_{2}}+\frac{m_{19}}{s_{2}(h-x)+(h-t) \beta_{5} / s_{2}}\right],  \tag{37}\\
k_{2 s}(x, t)= & \frac{1}{r_{14} r_{19}}\left[\frac{r_{25} \omega_{2}(t-x)}{\omega_{2}^{2}(t-x)^{2}+\omega_{1}^{2}(2 h-t-x)^{2}}\right. \\
& +\frac{r_{26_{2}}^{\omega_{2}(2 h-t-x)}}{\omega_{2}^{2}(2 h-t-x)^{2}+\omega_{1}^{2}(2 h-t-x)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{r_{27^{\prime} \omega_{1}(2 h-t-x)}^{\omega_{2}^{2}(t-x)^{2}+\omega_{1}^{2}(2 h-t-x)^{2}}}{}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\frac{r_{28^{\left(\omega_{1}\right.} 1}(2 h-t-x)}{\omega_{1}^{2}(2 h-t-x)^{2}+w_{2}^{2}(2 h-t-x)^{2}}\right] \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constants $m_{i}$ and $r_{i}$ are given in the appendices. Thus, for example, the integral equation (16) may be expressed as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{a}^{h}\left[\frac{1}{t-x}+\frac{1}{t+x}+k_{1 s}(x, t)+k_{1 f}(x, t)-k_{1}(x,-t)\right] \phi(t) d t \\
=-\frac{\pi}{M} p(x), \quad a<x<h . \tag{39}
\end{gather*}
$$

In (39) for the purpose of asymptotic analysis transferring the terms involving the bounded kernels to the righthand side one may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{h}\left[\frac{1}{t-x}+k_{1 s}(x, t)\right] \phi(t) d t=P_{\eta}(x), a<x<h \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{1}$ is a bounded function in $[a, h]$. Letting now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)=\frac{f_{1}(t)}{(h-t)^{\alpha}(t-a)^{\beta}} \quad, \quad 0<\operatorname{Re}(\alpha, \beta)<1, \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{1}$ is $H$-continuous in $[a, h]$, and following the procedure outlined in [15], the characteristic equations for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are found to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cot \pi \beta=0, \quad \beta=1 / 2,  \tag{42}\\
& -\cos \pi \alpha+\frac{1}{m_{14} m_{15}}\left[m_{16}\left(\beta_{5} / s_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(s_{1} / \beta_{5}\right)+m_{17}\left(s_{1} / \beta_{5}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+m_{18}\left(s_{2} / \beta_{5}\right)+m_{19}\left(\beta_{5} / s_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(s_{2} / \beta_{5}\right)\right]=0 . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, for material type II described by (19), assuming the solution again as given by (41), the characteristic equations become

$$
\begin{align*}
\cot \pi \beta & =0, \beta=1 / 2  \tag{44}\\
\cos \pi \alpha & +\frac{1}{r_{14} r_{19}}\left[r_{29}+\frac{\omega_{2} r_{25}-\omega_{1} r_{27}}{\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}} \cos \left(2 \alpha \tan -1 \frac{\omega_{2}}{\omega_{1}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.=\frac{\omega_{1} r_{25}+\omega_{2} r_{27}}{\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}} \sin \left(2 \alpha \tan ^{-1} \frac{\omega_{2}}{\omega_{1}}\right)\right] \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

At the imbedded crack tip $x=a$ it is seen that the sirigularity has the expected $1 / 2$ power. On the other hand, as in the isotropic case, (43) and (45) have no root for which $0<\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)<1$, meaning that at $x=h$ there is no power singularity. One may also proceed and investigate the possibility of a logarithmic singularity for the solution. Thus, letting $\alpha=0$ in (41) and defining the sectionally holomorphic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{h} \frac{\phi(t)}{t-z} d t \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find [15]

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}(z)=\frac{f_{1}(a) e^{\pi i \beta}}{\sin \pi \beta} \frac{1}{(z-a)^{\beta}}+\frac{f_{1}(h)}{\pi(h-a)^{\beta}} \log (z-h)+P_{2}(z), \\
& \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\phi(t)}{t-x} d t=\frac{f_{1}(a) \cot \pi \beta}{(x-a)^{\beta}}+\frac{f_{1}(h)}{\pi(h-a)^{\beta}} \log (h-x)+P_{3}(x), \\
& \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\phi(t) d t}{t-(2 h-x)}=F_{1}(2 h-x), \ldots \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ are bounded at $x=h$ and have at most a singularity of lower order than $\beta$ at $x=a$. Substituting from (47) into (40), multiplying through by $(x-a)^{\beta}$ and letting $x+a$, it is found that. $\cot \pi \beta=0$, giving again $\beta=1 / 2$. On the other hand, in the neighborhood of the end point $x=h$ one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[1-\frac{1}{m_{14} m_{15} \beta_{5}}\left(s_{1} m_{16}+s_{1} m_{17}+s_{2} m_{1} 8^{+s_{2} m_{19}}\right)\right] \log (h-x)+p_{4}(x)=P_{1}(x) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{4}$ contains all the bounded terms around $x=h$ on the left hand side of (40). Similarly, for the material type II one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[1+\frac{1}{\left(\omega_{2}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right) r_{14} r_{19}}\left(\omega_{2} r_{25}-\omega_{2} r_{26}-\omega_{1} r_{27}-\omega_{1} r_{28}\right)\right] \log (h-x)+Q_{4}(x)=Q_{1}(x) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

It turns out that, as in the case of isotropic materials [10], the coefficient of the logarithmic term in (48) and (49) is identically zero, meaning that the solution may not have logarithmic singularity at $x=h$. In the edge crack problem the integral equatic 39) and the similar equation for the material type II are solved by defining.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=\frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{x-a}}=\frac{F(s)}{\sqrt{s+1}} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by using the numerical technique described in [10]. In this case the stress intensity factor at $x=a$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(a)=M_{1} F(-1) \sqrt{2 l}, \quad \ell=(h-a) / 2 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As an example the following two orthotropic materials will be considered:

$$
\text { Type I: } \begin{aligned}
E_{x} & =8 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{psi}\left(55.16 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right), \\
E_{y} & =24.75 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{psi}\left(170.65 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right), \\
G_{x y} & =0.7 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{psi}\left(4.83 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right), \\
v_{x y} & =0.036,
\end{aligned}
$$

Type II: $E_{x}=3.1 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{psi}\left(21.37 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{y}=9.7 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{psi}\left(66.88 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \\
& G_{x y}=2.6 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{psi}\left(17.93 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right), \\
& v_{x y}=0.2
\end{aligned}
$$

Tables 1-3 show some of the calculated results for the stress intensity factors. In all the calculations it was assumed that the crack surface traction was constant, f.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{y y}(x, 0)=-p(x)=-p_{0} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

whict: corresponds to uniform tension of the strip away from the crack region. Table 1 shows the results for an internal crack of length $2 b$ (see Figure 1, $a=0$ ) which was found by solving (21). The stress intensity factors used in the tables are defined by (23) and are calculated by using (36) for internal and (51) for edge cracks. The stress intensity factors for symmetrically located two collinear cracks (Figure 1) are given by Table 2. Table 3 gives the results for symmetric edge cracks.

The tables also contain the stress intensity factors for the isotropic strip which are included for comparison. A close examination of the integral equations (16), (19), or (21) would indicate that in orthotropic materials since the Fredholm kernel $k_{1}$ or $k_{2}$ is heavily dependent on the material constants, the solution must also depend on the constants. On the other hand, in isotropic materials even though the structure of the integral equation is identical to that of (16) or (19) (see, for example, [10]), the kernel of the integral equation is independent of the elastic constants and the constants appear in the equation as a multiplying factor (in the form of $(1+\kappa) / 4 \mu$ ) only. The stress intensity factors given in the tables indicate that the results for the orthotropic strip are indeed different than the isotropic results. The tables also show that for approximately the same modulus ratio $E_{y} / E_{x}$ (in the example approximately $3 / 1$ ), depending on the remaining constants, the materials may not only be of different type (I or II), also the stress intensity factors may be greater (in this case, in material type II) or smaller (in material type I) than the isotropic values. In orthotropic materials there are three independent material parameters, namely, $G_{x y} / E_{y}, E_{x} / E_{y}$, and $\nu_{x y}$. Therefore, it does not seem to be feasible to make a systematic study and demonstrate the effect of the material orthotropy on the stress intensity factors. However, it appears that there exists a difference between isotropic and orthotropic resuits and in highly orthotropic materials it may be significant.

In solving this problem, the numerical analysis produced a somewhat unexpected result. First, it should be pointed out that the
results given in the tables are accurate to roughly three significant digits, the remaining digits may not be accurate. On the other hand, after rotating the mate;ial 90 degrees (i.e., taking the strip parallel to the less stiff axis and the crack along the stiffer axis) and fully expecting to obtain a different set of results, the print out for the stress intensity factors came out to be identical - in all eight digits to the original values obtained for the strip which was parallel to the stiff axis. Furthermore, the ratio of the function $F(r)$ defined by (27) at all points in $-1<r<1$ for the 0 and 90 degree orientations was found to be constant, indicating that the crack surface displacements for the two cases are related by (see (14), (27), and (36))

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0}(x, 0) M_{0}=v_{90}(x, 0) M_{90} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $M$ is defined by (21). This simply shows that the kernels $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ which appear in the integral equations (16), (19), and (21) remain invariant under a 90 degree rotation for a given orthotropic strip.

Table 1. The stress intensity factor $k(b) / p_{0} \sqrt{b}$ for an internal crack of length $2 b$ in isotropic and orthotropic strips.

| $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{h}$ | I sotropic | Orthotropic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rightarrow 0$ | Type I | Type II |  |
| 0.1 | +1.0 | 1.0060 | 1.0 |
| 0.1 .0044 | 1.0 |  |  |
| 0.2 | 1.0246 | 1.0182 | 1.0264 |
| 0.3 | 1.0578 | 1.0428 | 1.0611 |
| 0.4 | 1.1094 | 1.0811 | 1.1155 |
| 0.5 | 1.1869 | 1.1387 | 1.1966 |
| 0.6 | 1.3033 | 1.2264 | 1.3183 |
| 0.7 | 1.4888 | 1.3674 | 1.5099 |
| 0.8 | 1.8160 | 1.6241 | 1.8471 |
| 0.9 | 2.5809 | 2.2487 | 2.6278 |

Table 2. The stress intensity factors $k(a)$ and $k(b)$ for symmetric collinear internal cracks in a strip.

| $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}$ | $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{h}$ | $k(\mathrm{a}) / p_{0} \sqrt{\ell}$ |  |  | $k(\mathrm{~b}) / p_{0} \sqrt{l}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Isotr. | Type I | TypeII | Isotr. | Type I | Type II |
| +0 | 0.4 | $(+\infty)$ | $(+\infty)$ | $(+\infty)$ | +1.569 | +1.530 | +1.575 |
| 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.176 | 1.160 | 1.179 | 1.115 | 1.100 | 1.117 |
| 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.109 | 1.095 | 1.111 | 1.094 | 1.080 | 1.096 |
| 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.097 | 1.081 | 1.099 | 1.122 | 1.098 | 1.127 |
| 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.127 | 1.104 | 1.132 | 1.221 | 1.170 | 1.231 |
| 0.6 | +1 | $\rightarrow 1.600$ | +1.531 | +1.613 | $(+\infty)$ | $(+\infty)$ | $(-\infty)$ |
| 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.678 | 1.595 | 1.689 | 1.694 | 1.607 | 1.705 |
| 0.5 | 0.95 | 1.194 | 1.160 | 1.200 | 1.445 | 1.351 | 1.461 |
| 0.5 | 0.98 | 1.268 | 1.226 | 1.275 | 1.875 | 1.721 | 1.883 |
| 0.5 | +1 | +1.640 | +1.600 | +1.661 | $(+\infty)$ | $(+\infty)$ | $(+\infty)$ |

Table 3. The stress intensity factor $k(a) p_{0} \sqrt{\ell}$ for the case of symmetric edge cracks, $\ell=(\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{a}) / 2$.

|  | $k(a) / p_{0} \sqrt{\ell}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a / h$ | Isotropic | Type I | Type II |
| 0.1 | 2.980 | 2.978 | 2.982 |
| 0.2 | 2.218 | 2.208 | 2.220 |
| 0.3 | 1.907 | 1.887 | 1.912 |
| 0.4 | 1.742 | 1.710 | 1.750 |
| 0.5 | 1.640 | 1.600 | 1.661 |
| 0.6 | 1.600 | 1.531 | 1.613 |
| 0.7 | 1.574 | 1.486 | 1.590 |
| 0.8 | 1.567 | 1.462 | 1.587 |
| 0.9 | 1.576 | 1.458 | 1.593 |
| 0.98 | 1.582 | 1.467 | 1.598 |
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APPENDIX A

Expressions for the functions $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ and the constants $m_{i}$ (see equation 17) (material type 1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1}(x, \alpha)= & \frac{1}{2 s_{1} m_{13} p^{\prime}(\alpha)}\left[m _ { 7 } \frac { \operatorname { c o s h } ( s _ { 1 } \alpha x ) } { \operatorname { c o s h } ( s _ { 1 } \alpha h ) } \left(m_{1} m_{10} \beta_{5} \tanh \left(s_{2} \alpha h\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+m_{4} m_{11} \beta_{5}\right)-m_{8} \frac{\cosh \left(s_{2} \alpha x\right)}{\cosh \left(s_{2} \alpha h\right)}\left(m_{3} m_{11} \beta_{5}\right) \\
& \left.\left.+m_{1} m_{9} \beta_{5} \tanh \left(s_{1} \alpha h\right)\right)\right], \\
K_{2}(x, \alpha)= & \frac{1}{2 s_{2} m_{13} p(\alpha)}\left[m _ { 7 } \frac { \operatorname { c o s h } ( s _ { 1 } \alpha x ) } { \operatorname { c o s h } ( s _ { 1 } \alpha h ) } \left(-m_{4} m_{11} \beta_{5}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{m_{11}}{m_{12}} m_{2} m_{10} \beta_{5} \tanh \left(s_{2} \alpha h\right)\right)+m_{8} \frac{\cosh \left(s_{2} \alpha x\right)}{\cosh \left(s_{2} \alpha h\right)}, \\
& \left.\cdot\left(\frac{m_{11}}{m_{12}} m_{2} m_{9} \beta_{5} \tanh \left(s_{1} \alpha h\right)+m_{3} m_{11} \beta_{5}\right)\right], \\
P(\alpha)= & m_{3} m_{10} \tanh ^{2}\left(s_{2} \alpha h\right)-m_{4} m_{9} \tanh \left(s_{1} \alpha h\right), \\
m_{1}= & 1+v_{y x} s_{1} d_{1} / \beta_{5}, m_{2}=1+\nu_{y x} s_{2} d_{2} / \beta_{5}, m_{3}=s_{1}+v_{y x}^{c_{1}}, \\
m_{4}= & s_{2}+\nu_{y x} c_{2}, m_{5}=v_{x y}+d_{1} s_{1} / \beta_{5}, m_{6}=v_{x y}+d_{2} s_{2} / \beta_{5}, \\
m_{7}= & v_{x y} s_{1}+c_{1}, m_{8}=v_{x y} s_{2}+c_{2}, m_{9}=c_{1} s_{1}-1 \\
m_{10}= & c_{2} s_{2}-1, m_{11}=d_{1}-s_{1} / \beta_{5}, m_{12}=d_{2}-s_{2} / \beta_{5} \\
m_{13}= & d_{1}-d_{2} m_{11} / m_{12}, m_{14}=\left(m_{5}-m_{6} m_{11} / m_{12}\right) / 2 m_{13},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{15}=m_{3} m_{10}-m_{4} m_{9}, m_{16}=m_{7} \beta_{5}\left(m_{1} m_{10}+m_{4} n_{11}\right) / 2 s_{1} m_{13}, \\
& m_{17}=-m_{8} \beta_{5}\left(m_{3} m_{11}+m_{1} m_{9}\right) / 2 s_{1} m_{13}, \\
& m_{18}=-m_{7} m_{11} \beta_{5}\left(m_{4}+m_{2} m_{10} / m_{12}\right) / 2 m_{13} s_{2}, \\
& m_{19}=m_{8} m_{11} \beta_{5}\left(m_{3}+m_{9} m_{2} / m_{12}\right) / 2 s_{2} m_{13},
\end{aligned}
$$

## APPENDIX B

Expressions for the function $K_{3}$ and the constants $r_{i}$ (see equation 20) (material type II):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{3}(x, t, \alpha)=\frac{2}{r_{14}(\alpha)}\left\{\left[-r_{6} \sin \left(\omega_{2} \alpha x\right) \sinh \left(\omega_{1} \alpha x\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+r_{5} \cos \left(\omega_{2} \alpha x\right) \cosh \left(\omega_{1} \alpha x\right)\right] \cdot\left[r_{16} \sin \left[\omega_{2} \alpha(h-t)\right]\right. \\
& \cdot \\
& \left(r_{9} \sin \left(\omega_{2} \alpha h\right) \cosh \left(\omega_{1} \alpha h\right)+r_{10} \cos \left(\omega_{2} \alpha h\right) \sinh \left(\omega_{1} \alpha h\right)\right) \\
& \\
& -r_{18}\left(\frac{\omega_{2}}{\omega_{1}} \cos \left[\omega_{2} \alpha(h-t)\right]-\sin \left[\omega_{2} \alpha(h-t)\right]\right) \\
& \cdot \\
& \left.\left(r_{1} \sin \left(\omega_{2} \alpha h\right) \sinh \left(\omega_{1} \alpha h\right)+r_{2} \cos \left(\omega_{2} \alpha h\right) \cosh \left(\omega_{1} \alpha h\right)\right)\right] \\
& +\left[r_{5} \sin \left(\omega_{2} \alpha x\right) \sinh \left(\omega_{1} \alpha x\right)+r_{6} \cos \left(\omega_{2} \alpha x\right) \cosh \left(\omega_{1} \alpha x\right)\right] \cdot \\
& \\
& \quad\left[-r_{16} \sin \left[\omega_{2} \alpha(h-t)\right] \cdot\left(r_{9} \cos \left(\omega_{2} \alpha h\right) \sinh \left(\omega_{1} \alpha h\right)\right.\right. \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-\sin \left[\omega_{2} \alpha(h-t)\right]\right) \cdot\left(-r_{2} \sin \left(\omega_{2} \alpha h\right) \sinh \left(\omega_{1} \alpha h\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+r_{1} \cos \left(\omega_{2} \alpha h\right) \cosh \left(\omega_{1} \alpha h\right)\right)\right]\right\}, \\
& Q(\alpha)=r_{19} \sinh \left(\omega_{1} \alpha h\right) \cosh \left(\omega_{1} \alpha h\right)+r_{20} \sin \left(\omega_{2} \alpha h\right) \cos \left(\omega_{2} \alpha h\right) . \\
& s_{1}=\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}, s_{2}=\omega_{1}-i \omega_{2}, \omega_{1}>0, \\
& c_{1}=\beta_{7}+i \beta_{8}, c_{2}=\beta_{7}-i \beta_{8}, d_{1}=\beta_{9}+i \beta_{10}, d_{2}=\beta_{9}-i \beta_{10}, \\
& r_{1}=\omega_{1}+v_{y x} \beta_{7}, r_{2}=\omega_{2}+v_{y x} \beta_{8}, r_{3}=1+\nu_{y x}\left(\omega_{1} \beta_{9}-\omega_{2} \beta_{10}\right) / \beta_{5}, \\
& r_{4}=\nu_{y x}\left(\omega_{1} \beta_{10}+\omega_{2} \beta_{9}\right) / \beta_{5}, r_{5}=\omega_{1} \nu_{x y}+\beta_{7}, r_{6}=\omega_{2} \nu_{x y}+\beta_{8}, \\
& r_{7}=\nu_{x y}+\left(\omega_{1} \beta_{9}-\omega_{2} \beta_{10}\right) / \beta_{5}, r_{8}=r_{4} / \nu_{y x}, r_{9}=\omega_{1} \beta_{7}-\omega_{2} \beta_{8}-1, \\
& r_{10}=\omega_{2} \beta_{7}+\omega_{1} \beta_{8}, r_{11}=-\beta_{10}+\omega_{2} / \beta_{5}, r_{12}=\beta_{9}-\omega_{1} / \beta_{5}, \\
& r_{13}=-\beta_{9}-\beta_{10} r_{12} / r_{11}, r_{14}=-\left(r_{7}+r_{8} r_{12} / r_{11}\right) / 2 r_{13}, \\
& r_{15}=r_{4}-r_{3} r_{12} / r_{11}, r_{16}=r_{15} \beta_{5} / 4 r_{13} \omega_{1}, r_{17}=-r_{11}-r_{12}^{2} / r_{11}, \\
& r_{18}=\omega_{1} r_{17} / 4 r_{13}, r_{19}=r_{1} r_{10}-r_{2} r_{9}, r_{20}=r_{2} r_{10}+r_{1} r_{9}, \\
& r_{21}=-r_{6} r_{16} r_{9}-r_{6} r_{18} r_{1}+r_{5} r_{10} r_{16}+r_{2} r_{5} r_{18}, \\
& r_{22}=\left(r_{1} r_{6}-r_{2} r_{5}\right) r_{18} \omega_{2} / \omega_{1}, \\
& r_{23}=-r_{6} r_{10} r_{16}-r_{2} r_{6} r_{18}-r_{5} r_{9} r_{16}-r_{1} r_{5} r_{18}, \\
& r_{24}=\left(r_{2} r_{6}+r_{1} r_{5}\right) r_{18} \omega_{2} / \omega_{1}, r_{25}=-r_{21}-r_{24}, r_{26}=r_{21}-r_{24}, \\
& r_{27}=r_{22}-r_{23}, r_{28}=r_{22}+r_{23}, r_{29}=-\left(\omega_{1} r_{28}+\omega_{2} r_{26}\right) /\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned},
$$



Figure 1. Infinite strip with two internal cracks.
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