General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON HOUSTON, TEXAS

(NASA-CR-151250) ON THE CONVERGENCE OF 877-21865 OPTIMAL LINEAR COMBINATION PECCEDURES (eonston Univ.) 9 F HC 102/8F A01 CSCL 09B

Unclas
22928 G3/61

ON THE CONVERGENCE OF OPTIMAL LINEAR COMBINATION PROCEDURES

BY WILLIAM TALLY REPORT #63 FEBRUARY 1977

> $^{\bullet}$. $\chi_{\mathbf{x}^{\prime}}$ 'v

PREPARED FOR EARTH OBSERVATION DIVISION, JSC UNDER CONTRACT $NAS-9-15000$

HOUSTON, TEXAS 77004

On the Convergence of

 $\overline{ }$

 \sim

Optimal Linear Combination Procedures

William Tally

■「そのこのことは、「そのことは、「そのことは、このことは、このことは、「そのことは、「そのことは、「そのことは、ことは、ことは、このことは、

Report #63 NAS-**9**-15000

January 1977

Introduction:

The following algorithm has been suggested by Decell and **Smiley in** (1) for optimal linear combinations in the feature selection problem.

> Let Ψ be a continuous function from M_n^k (see definition 1) into $R¹$ that is invariant under multiplication on the left by kxk invertible matrices. Then there exists H_{γ} $\mathbf{e} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{n}}$ (see definition 2) such that

$$
\Psi (\text{[}r_k|z] \text{H}_1 \text{)} = \text{l.u.b.} \{ \Psi (\text{[}r_k|z] \text{H}) \}.
$$

Now for each positive integer i, let the element $H \in \mathcal{H}_n$ be chosen such that

 $\mathsf{L}^{\,1}_{\,k}$ $\mathsf{L}^{\,2}_{\,j}$ $\mathsf{H}^{\,1}_{1}$ $\mathsf{H}^{\,1}_{1\,-1}$ \cdots \dots_{H_1}) = 1.u.b. Ψ ($[T_k|Z]_{H \cdot H_{1-1}} \dots$) H1) n (

The question of whether or not the above process terminates at an absolute ψ -extremum (rank k maximal statistic) appeared in [1]. In this paper, we show that there exists a function Ψ as above for which the above process does not terminate at an absolute W -extremum.

Let H_1, \ldots, H_p be the matrices representing Householder transformations. Then for the matrix $[I_k|Z]H_1 \cdots H_p$, let Θ ($[I_k|Z]H_1 \cdots H_p$) be the span in Rⁿ of the k row vectors of that matrix. Suppose that v_1, \ldots, v_k are linearly independent vectors in R^n . Then we show in this paper that there exists some integer $p \leq min(n,n-k)$ and Householder transformations whose matrices are $\mathtt{H},$,..., \mathtt{H} for which p

1

 $\Theta(\begin{bmatrix}I_k & 2\end{bmatrix}H_1 \cdots H_p) = \text{Span}\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$. We also determine the minimum Integer p having the above property.

Preliminaries:

للتالية التناسي

Definition 1. Let M_n^k be the set of all kxn rank k matrices. Definition 2. Let H_n denote the set of all Householder transformations.

Definition 3. Let \mathcal{S}_n^k denote the collection of all vector subspaces of R^n of dimension k . Definition 4. Let $S^n = \{x \in R^n \mid ||x|| =$

Definition 5. Let C be a closed subset of R^n and $x \notin \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists $c_x \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $||x-c_x|| \le ||x-c_x||$ for any ccC. Let $\varrho(x;\mathcal{C}) = \|x-c_x\|$.

Definition 6. Let A and B be elements of $\boldsymbol{J}_n^{\alpha}$. Then there exists an element $a^* \in A \cap S^n$ having the property that $e^{(a^*; B \cap S^n)} \triangleq e^{(a; B \cap S^n)}$ for all $a \in A \cap S^n$. The number $\rho(a^*; B \cap S^n)$ will be called the distance from A to B and will be denoted by the symbol $d(A;B)$.

Proposition 1. For any elements A, B, and C in \mathcal{S}_n^k

- i) $d(A;B) \ge 0$ and $d(A;B) = 0$ if and only if $A = B$.
- ii) d(A;C) \leq d(A;B) + d(B;C).
- iii) For any $\xi \ge 0$ there exists a $\delta \ge 0$ such that whenever $d(A;B) \leq \delta$, then $d(B;A) \leq \xi$.

Definition 7. For any Pe \mathcal{S}_n^k and $f > 0$, let $\mathcal{U}_F(P) = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{J}_n^k \mid d(x;P) \leq \mathcal{E} \right\}.$ Definition 8. Let T be the topology on \mathcal{B}_n^k determined by the subbasis $\{\mathcal{U}_{\xi}(\mathbf{P}) | \xi \geq 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{P} \epsilon \mathcal{L} \}_{n}^{k} \}$.

2

Definition 9. Let C be a closed subset of $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and let PC $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty}$ Let $D(P; C) = g.1.b. \{ d(P;C) | C \in C \}.$

Proposition 2. $({\mathcal{S}}_n^k, T)$ is normal. Proof: Let \mathcal{U} and $\boldsymbol{\varnothing}$ be two closed disjoint subsets of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_n^{\kappa}$ Let $\mathcal{U}_1 = \left\{ \text{PE } \mathcal{S}_n^k \middle| \text{D}(P; \mathcal{Q}) \right\}$ and \mathcal{U}_{2} = {PE \mathcal{S}_{n}^{k} | D(P; \mathcal{Q}_{1}) \Rightarrow D(P; \mathcal{B}_{2})}. By Proposition 1, we can determine that \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 are both open and are disjoint. This completes the proof.

in \mathbb{R}^n , let $w^U =$ **(** Wkwl) w, Definition 10. For any vector $w = |$ $"n$ and $w^L = \begin{bmatrix} wk+1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. e "n

Proposition 3. Suppose that $\{v_1,\ldots,v_k\}$ is a collection of linearly independent vectors in R^n . Let p be the dimension of Span $\{v_1^L, \ldots, v_k^L\}$ and assume $p \geq 0$. Then there exists a vector $x \in R^n$ such that $\|x\| = 1$, and if H_x is the Householder transformation-determined by x, then the dimension of Span ${H_X(\nu_1)^L, \ldots, H_X(\nu_k)^L} = p-1$. Proof: Case i) Dimension of Span $\{v_1^U,\ldots,v_k^U\}$ is less than k. We select a vector x^L in Span $\{v_1^L, \ldots, v_k^L\}$ such that $\|x^L\| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since $\left[v_1^L-2(v_1^L \cdot x^L) x^L\right] \cdot x^L = 0$ for $i=1,\ldots,k$. It follows that the dimension of Span \mathbf{v}_1^L -2($\mathbf{v}_1^L \cdot \mathbf{x}^L$) \mathbf{x}^L ,..., \mathbf{v}_k^L -2($\mathbf{v}_k^L \cdot \mathbf{x}^L$) \mathbf{x}^L is p-1. Now by assumption there exists a vector x^U in R^k such that $||x^U|| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $v_1^U \cdot x^U = 0$ for $i=1,\ldots,k$. Since $v_1^L-2(v_1\cdot x)x^L = v_1^L-2(v_1^L\cdot x^L)x^L$, then the dimension of

 \overline{I}

$$
\text{Span}\left\{v^L-2(v_1^L\cdot x^L)x^L,\ldots,v_k^L-2(v_k^L\cdot x^L)x^L\right\} \text{ is } p-1, \text{ for } \\ x = \begin{pmatrix} v_1^U \\ \frac{v}{x}U \end{pmatrix}
$$

Case ii) The dimension of Span $\{v_1^U,\ldots,v_\nu^U\} = k$. We select a vector x_0^L in Span $\overline{\{v_1^L,\ldots,v_k^L\}}$ with $\|x_0^L\|$ = $\sqrt{x_2^L}$. Then we have that the dimension of Span $\{v_1^L - 2(v_1^L, x_0^L), x_0^L, \ldots, v_k^L - 2(v_k^L, x_0^L), x_0^L\}$ is p-1. We assume then that $x^L = \lambda x_0^L$ for some $\lambda \leq 1$. We want a vector x^U in R^k such that if $x = \begin{pmatrix} x^U \\ x^L \end{pmatrix}$ then $||x^U||^2 +$ $||x^L||^2$ = 1 and $v_1^L-2(v_1,x)x^L = v_1^L-2(v_1^L,x_0^L)x_0^L$ for i=1,...,k.

By substituting x_{0}^{L} into this equation in place of x^{L} we can determine that v_1^U , $x^U = (\frac{1-\lambda^2}{\lambda})v_1^L$, x_0^L for $i=1,\ldots,k$. By our assumption we can find a vector x^U satisfying the above equations whenever a choice of λ is made. We observe that if λ approaches 1, then $||x^U||$ must approach 0, and $||x^L||$ must approach $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$ so that if λ approaches 1, then $||x^U||^2 + ||x^L||^2$ must approach $\sqrt{2}$. If λ approaches 0, then $\|x^U\|$ approaches $+\infty$ and $\|x^L\|$ approaches 0 so $\|\mathbf{x}^{\text{U}}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}^{\text{L}}\|^2$ approaches $+\infty$ as λ approaches 0. It follows from this that there exists some λ for which $\|\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{U}}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{L}}\|^2 = 1$. Thus we have the dimension of $\text{Span}\left\{v_1^L-2(v_1,x)x^L,\ldots,v_k^L-2(v_k,x)x^L\right\}$ is p-1 which is the required condition. This completes the proof of proposition 3.

Definition 11. For any $M \in M_n^k$ let $\Theta(M) = \text{Span}\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ where $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ are the row vectors of M. Θ is easily seen to be continuous.

Proposition 4. Suppose that \forall ([I_k | Z]H₁...H_n) = Span $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ for Householder transformations H_1, \ldots, H_p . Then the j dimension of Span $\{v_1^L, \ldots, v_k^L\}$ cannot exceed p. Proof: We observe first of all that for any collection of vectors $\{y_1,\ldots,y_m\}$ and any Householder transformation H_x determined by the vector x that $\text{Span} \{H_x(y_1),\ldots,H_x(y_m)\} \subset \text{Span} \{y_1,\ldots,y_m, x\}$... Now $\Theta(\lfloor I_k \rfloor \mathbb{Z})H_1 \ldots H_p$ = Span $\{H_p \ldots H_1(e_1), \ldots, H_p \ldots H_1(e_k)\}$ where e_i is the vector with 1 in the ith place and 0 everywhere else. Thus by the above statements, $\text{Span}\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\} \subset \text{Span}\{e_1, \ldots, e_k, x_1, \ldots, x_p\}$. It follows that $\text{Span}\{v_1^L, \ldots, v_k^L\} \subset \text{Span}\{x_1^L, \ldots, x_n^L\}$. Thus the dimension of Span $\{v_1^L,\ldots,v_k^L\}$ is less than or equal to p. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.

Proposition 5. For linearly independent vectors $\{v_1,\ldots,v_k\},$ if p is the dimension of Span $\{v_1^L, \ldots, v_k^L\}$ and $p \geq 0$, thenthere exists Householder transformations H_1,\ldots,H_n such that Θ ($\left[\begin{matrix}I_k & 2\end{matrix}\right]$ H₁...H_p) = Span $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ and no fewer than p Householder transformations can have this property.

Proof: This is a consequence of Propositions 3 and 4.

Construction of the map W

Definition 12. For any PC β_n^k let P = Span $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ and define $L(P)$ = the dimension of Span $\{v_1^L, \ldots, v_k^L\}$. Definition 13. For $0 \le p \le n-k$ let $\mathcal{X}_p = \left\{ A \le \mathcal{Y}_n \mid L(A) \le p \right\}.$

Proposition 6. \mathfrak{X}_p is closed for $p=0,\ldots,n-k$.

Proof: This is a consequence of the fact that if $\{u_1, \ldots, u_m\}$ is a collection of vectors in R^{n-k} and q is the dimension of Span $\{u_1, \ldots, u_m\}$ then there exists a real number $\xi \geq 0$ such that if $\|u_1 - u_1^*\|$ for $i = 1, ..., m$, then the dimension of Span $\{u_1^*,\ldots,u_m^*\}$ is greater than or equal to q. This completes the proof of Proposition 6.

Now for some PE \mathcal{X}_1 there exists $\xi>0$ such that if AE \mathcal{X}_1 , then \mathcal{U}_{ϵ} (A) does not contain P. Let $\mathcal Q$ be the closure in \mathcal{S}_n^k of \mathbf{U} $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{f}}$ (A) \mathbf{y} . By Urysohns lemma, [2] there exists a continuous AE Xi $\begin{array}{ll}\n\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{f}}\left(q_{\mathbf{f}}(A)\right) & \text{By Urysohns lemma, [2] there exists a continuous function } \mathbf{\phi}_1 : \mathcal{J}_n^k \rightarrow [0,1] \subset \mathbb{R}^1 \text{ such that } \mathbf{\phi}_1(\mathbf{P}) = 1 \text{ and } \mathbf{\phi}_1(\mathbf{A}) = 0\n\end{array}$ for any $A \in \mathcal{Q}$. Let $I = \text{Span}\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}$. Then $\mathcal{U}_F(I) \subset \mathcal{Q}$ since IE \mathcal{R}_1 . Define a map $\phi_2: \mathcal{B}_n^k \to [0,1]$ by $(x) = 0$ if $x \notin \mathcal{U}_{\xi}(1)$ and $\Phi_2(x) = \underline{\xi - d(x;1)}$ if $x \in \mathcal{U}_{\xi}(1)$. Let $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$ and define $\Psi = \phi \circ \theta$. We observe that $\mathfrak{X}_1 = \Theta(\{[\mathbf{I}_k | \mathbf{Z}] \mathbf{H} \mid \mathbf{H} \in \mathcal{H}_n\})$. Also if $\Theta([\mathbf{I}_k | \mathbf{Z}] \mathbf{H}_1) = \mathbf{I}$ for some $H_1 \in H_n$ then for any $H \in H_n$, Θ ($[I_k | Z] H . H_1 \in \mathbb{X}_1$. That Ψ has the desired properties follows from the fact that the function ϕ has a maximum value of $\frac{1}{2}$ at I over the set \mathcal{Z}_1 but ϕ has a maximum value of 1 at P over the entire space $\sum_{n=1}^{n}$.

REFERENCES

- 1. Decell, H. P. and Smiley, **W. O.III,** Householder Transformations and Optimal Linear Combinations, 1974, Report #38, University of Houston Mathematics Department.
- 2. Royden, H. L., Real Analysis, page 148, 1970, Macmillan Company, London.
- 3. Anderson, T. W., An Introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis, 1958 John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.
- 4. Kullback, Solomon, Information Theory and Statistics, 1968 Dover Publications, New York.
- 5. Quirein, J. A., "Divergence and Necessary Condition for Extremum" Report #12 NAS-9-12777 University of Houston, Department of Mathematics, Nov. 1972.