

General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

**NASA TECHNICAL
MEMORANDUM**

NASA TM 73709

(NASA-TM-73709) USE OF EXPERIMENTAL
SEPARATION LIMITS IN THE THEORETICAL DESIGN
OF V/STOL INLETS (NASA) 24 p HC A02/MF A01

N77-27107

CSSL 01C

Unclas
36849

G3/05

NASA TM 73709

**USE OF EXPERIMENTAL SEPARATION LIMITS IN
THE THEORETICAL DESIGN OF V/STOL INLETS**

by Michael A. Boles
Indiana Institute of Technology
Fort Wayne, Indiana

and

Norbert O. Stockman
Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio 44135



**TECHNICAL PAPER to be presented at the
Thirteenth Propulsion Conference
cosponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics
and Astronautics and the Society of Automotive Engineers
Orlando, Florida, July 11-13, 1977**

USE OF EXPERIMENTAL SEPARATION LIMITS IN
THE THEORETICAL DESIGN OF V/STOL INLETS

by Michael A. Boles[†] and Norbert O. Stockman^{††}

ABSTRACT

Experimental data from several model inlets have been used to generate two parameters which are related to the limit of operation for inlet flow separation. One parameter, called the diffusion ratio, is the ratio of the peak velocity on the inlet surface to the velocity at the diffuser exit and is related to the boundary-layer separation at low throat Mach numbers. The other parameter, the peak Mach number on the inlet surface, is related to the separation at high throat Mach numbers. These parameters are easily calculated from potential flow solutions and thus can be used as a design tool in screening proposed inlet geometries. Any of the geometric design variables can be analyzed by this technique; but, this paper is restricted to the consideration of the internal lip contraction ratio. An illustrative example of an application to an inlet design study for a tilt nacelle VTOL airplane is presented. The study will show what value of contraction ratio is required to meet the operating requirements yet allow the inlet to remain free of separation as indicated by the two separation parameters.

[†] Associate Professor of Mechanical Engineering, Indiana Institute of Technology, Fort Wayne, Indiana.

^{††} Aerospace Engineer, Wind Tunnel & Flight Division, NASA Lewis Research Center; Member AIAA.

INTRODUCTION

Proposed V/STOL aircraft for both civilian and military applications require propulsion system inlets to operate efficiently over wide ranges of free-stream velocity, incidence angle and inlet throat Mach number (mass flow rate). For example, flight conditions during a vertical take off and landing may result in very large inlet incidence angles (up to 120°). A major concern for the designer in maintaining efficient operation at these severe conditions is possible inlet internal flow separation. Separation-free internal flow is desired to minimize fan blade stress and prevent the possibility of compressor stall. These flow requirements are quite severe for a fixed-geometry inlet; thus, considerable development work must be accomplished to provide separation-free operation.

The effects of geometry and flow conditions on V/STOL inlet performance have been reported in references 1 to 7. These studies include experimental performance results for short-haul aircraft engine inlets (refs. 1 to 4) and theoretical studies (refs. 3 to 7).

This paper presents experimental data from several model STOL inlet tests for two parameters that may be expected to influence flow separation and thus inlet performance. One parameter called the diffusion ratio (ref. 8) is the ratio of the peak velocity on the inlet surface to the velocity at the diffuser exit and is related to the boundary layer separation at low throat Mach numbers. The other parameter, the peak Mach number on the inlet surface, is related to the separation at high throat Mach numbers. By identifying these parameters as measures of the likelihood of flow separation, an assessment can be made of inlet geometric design parameters for separation-free operation.

These STOL experimental results will be applied to potential flow results for a set of typical VTOL engine tilt nacelle geometries. Specific geometries may then be identified for regions of separation-free operation and for further theoretical and/or experimental study.

SYMBOLS

A	area
a	major axis of internal lip (fig. 1)
b	minor axis of internal lip (fig. 1)
D	diameter
L	length (fig. 1)
M	Mach number
P_s	static pressure
P_T	total pressure
S	local surface distance from inlet highlight (fig. 1)
S_{ref}	surface distance from inlet highlight to diffuser exit (fig. 1)
V	velocity
\bar{x}	external forebody length (fig. 1)
x	axial distance from inlet highlight
\bar{y}	external forebody thickness (fig. 1)
y	radial distance from inlet highlight
α	incidence angle of inlet, angle between free-stream velocity and inlet axis (fig. 1)

Subscripts:

c	centerbody
d	diffuser
e	exit
h	highlight
max	maximum
t	throat
0	free stream

SEPARATION LIMITS

Engine inlets required to operate under the flight conditions of VTOL aircraft experience increasing inlet surface velocities on the inlet internal lip for increasing incidence angle and throat Mach number. With these higher surface velocities, greater amounts of deceleration must occur on the inlet surface between the maximum velocity location and the diffuser exit. If the amount of flow deceleration is too great, flow separation may develop (ref. 8). This paper investigates the possibility of using two potential flow parameters, the peak Mach number (on the inlet surface) and the diffusion ratio (ratio of maximum surface velocity to diffuser exit surface velocity), to screen VTOL engine inlet geometries for possibility of flow separation at prescribed flow conditions.

To aid in the analysis of a given surface velocity distribution for the possibility of flow separation, reference 8 recommends nondimensionalizing the local surface velocity by the maximum velocity (local velocity ratio). Flow conditions resulting in the same dimensionless local velocity ratio distribution over the flow surface have the same flow separation characteristics. When the local velocity ratio goes below a certain value (dependent upon conditions prior to diffusion) separation is indicated.

In the present paper the concept of reference 8 is applied in a slightly different manner. Maintaining attached flow throughout the inlet, i. e. to the diffuser exit, is considered to be the design requirement. Therefore the surface velocities are nondimensionalized by the diffuser exit surface velocity. Then, if the ratio of maximum velocity to diffuser exit velocity (i. e. the diffusion ratio) exceeds a certain value separation is indicated.

Even though engine inlets proposed for VTOL applications operate at subsonic throat Mach numbers, the Mach number on the inlet internal surface may become locally supersonic and reach Mach numbers as high as 2.0. Based on STOL experimental data, reference 1 suggests that these supersonic conditions strongly influence

the boundary layer separation process through shock/boundary layer interaction. Thus another parameter, peak Mach number, should be useful for predicting boundary layer separation. The two parameters, diffusion ratio and peak Mach number, will be used throughout this paper as the separation parameters for inlet analysis.

Theoretical boundary layer calculations could be made to determine the values of diffusion ratio and peak Mach number at which the flow separates for various inlet geometries and flow conditions. However, experimental data from which the limiting values of the separation parameters could readily be determined were available for several STOL inlets (from the tests reported in ref. 2). Therefore to test the usefulness of the concept it was decided to use the available experimental data rather than perform the large number of boundary layer calculations required for a complete theoretical study.

The three experimental STOL inlet geometries (ref. 2) selected for this study are shown in figure 1. These geometries have a D_h/D_{max} of 0.905 and internal lip contraction ratios, $(D_h/D_t)^2$, of 1.37, 1.46 and 1.56.

The experimental flow separation data for these inlets are illustrated in figure 2. These data were obtained by setting the free stream Mach and the throat Mach number (inlet mass flow) and then increasing the inlet incidence angle to the point of observed lip separation. The values of diffusion ratio and peak Mach number plotted on figure 2 are obtained at the incidence angle immediately before the flow separates. These angles (not indicated on the figure) depend upon the flow conditions and may differ from point to point.

Figure 2(a) shows that as throat Mach number (consequently M_t/M_0 for fixed M_0) is decreased from its maximum value for each curve the diffusion ratio increases up to a point and then decreases slightly at the lower throat Mach numbers. Thus there appears to be an upper limit on diffusion ratio above which the flow separates at the lower throat Mach numbers. This limit is a weak function of throat Mach number and also a function of contraction ratio but generally

lies in the range of 2.4 to 2.9 for these inlets. This range in diffusion ratio limit is indicated as a band on figure 2(a). If the diffusion ratio limit for any inlet lies below the upper limit range at the high throat Mach numbers, these points will be shown to be peak Mach number limited.

Figure 2(b) shows that as throat Mach number (consequently M_t/M_0 for fixed M_0) is increased from its minimum value for each curve, the peak Mach number increases up to a point and remains relatively constant for the larger throat Mach numbers. Thus there appears to be an upper limit on the peak Mach number above which the flow separates at the higher throat Mach numbers. This limit appears to be a weak function of contraction ratio for the low free stream Mach number but generally lies in the range of 1.4 to 1.6 for these inlets. The range in peak Mach number limit is indicated as a band on figure 2(b).

In summary, at lower throat Mach numbers the separation-free flow appears to be diffusion ratio limited and at higher throat Mach numbers the separation-free flow appears to be peak Mach number limited.

ANALYSIS

The experimental results of the previous section will be used to evaluate engine inlets designed for tilt-nacelle VTOL aircraft. Based on probable flight paths for a tilt-nacelle VTOL aircraft as shown in figure 3 the following limited number of flow conditions were selected for analysis: incidence angles up to 90° for a free stream Mach number of 0.12 and throat Mach numbers ranging from 0.25 to 0.70.

Geometry

The nomenclature used and the principal inlet geometric variables are illustrated in figure 1. For the inlets investigated the internal lip geometry and external forebody geometry were varied; however, the

diffuser geometry and the center body were fixed (Table I). The internal lip profile was a 2 to 1 ellipse and was characterized by the inlet contraction ratio, $(D_h/D_t)^2$, which ranged from 1.46 to 2.8 as shown in figure 4. For all the inlets the external forebody profile was a bisuperellipse curve of the form (ref. 7)

$$\left(\frac{x}{\bar{x}}\right)^{1.77} + \left(\frac{y}{\bar{y}}\right)^{2.25} = 1$$

and the design drag divergence Mach number was 0.77. The variables of this equation are indicated on figure 1(c). However, the external forebody geometric parameters (the ratio of external forebody length to maximum diameter, \bar{x}/D_{\max} , and the ratio of highlight to maximum diameter, D_h/D_{\max}) varied with contraction ratio (fig. 4) for the fixed drag divergence Mach number.

Method of Solution

The theoretical potential flow at the various operating conditions for the inlets were obtained using the calculation procedures for engine inlets as presented in reference 9. Briefly, the basic elements of the potential flow computer program system are: (1) a program for geometry definition, (2) an incompressible potential flow calculation program and (3) a program to combine basic potential flow solutions into solutions of interest (having specified values of free-stream velocity, incidence angle and inlet mass flow) and also to correct the results for compressibility effects and local supersonic Mach number effects.

The potential flow calculations were used to obtain surface pressure distributions, peak Mach numbers and diffusion ratios for the several inlet geometries and various flow conditions. All potential flow results shown are for the windward (see fig. 1) side of the inlet since the most severe flow conditions occur at this position.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First will be presented a comparison of theoretical and experimental diffusion ratio and peak Mach numbers for the 1.46 contraction ratio STOL inlet of figure 1. Then theoretical pressure distributions for the VTOL tilt-nacelle inlets of figure 4 will be considered. Finally the theoretical diffusion ratios and Mach numbers for the tilt-nacelle inlets will be presented and evaluated by the experimental separation bounds of the STOL test inlets.

Comparison of Theory and Experiment

Figure 5 shows a comparison of the theoretical and experimental diffusion ratio and peak Mach number for a free stream Mach number of 0.126 for the 1.46 contraction ratio test inlet. Also shown is the experimental separation bound (solid symbols) for this inlet at $M_0 = 0.126$. Consider the experimental diffusion ratio data for an incidence angle of 50° (circular symbols, fig. 5(a)). The falloff in the experimental diffusion ratio for $M_t > 0.75$ is caused by a separation bubble on the inlet lip. As the throat Mach number is decreased, the diffusion ratio tends to increase until the separation limit is reached ($M_t = 0.4$). If the throat Mach number is further reduced say to 0.3 (the experimentally set value) the potential flow theory indicates that the diffusion ratio increases to a value which would lie in the separated flow region above the limit line (point A on fig. 5(a)). However, since this point is above the limit, the flow separates and the weight flow drops causing both the throat Mach number and the diffusion ratio to drop as indicated by the experimental data point A' in figure 5(a). This dropping of weight flow is observed experimentally at all separation conditions.

Figure 5(b) shows theoretical and experimental peak Mach number curves for two incidence angles 50° and 69° . The 50° data are shown for comparison with figure 5(a); note that point A on figure 5(b) is below the peak Mach number limit and separation is therefore not indicated by

this parameter. However, as has been already shown, point A on figure 5(a) indicates diffusion limited separation. This illustrates the conjecture that diffusion, and not peak Mach number, is the limiting parameter at low throat Mach numbers. Next consider the higher Mach number region of the 50° curves; as throat Mach number is increased the peak Mach number increases as would be expected. The slight falloff in the experimental M_{\max} for $M_t > 0.75$ is caused by a separation bubble on the inlet lip. However M_{\max} nowhere exceeds the limiting curve and no extensive separation is indicated. To illustrate peak Mach number type separation, theoretical and experimental curves for an incidence angle of 69° are shown. As M_t is increased, M_{\max} increases until the separation limit is reached. If M_t is further increased to 0.775 (point B on the theory curve) the limit is exceeded and separation is indicated. Therefore in the experiment the weight flow drops causing both M_t and M_{\max} to drop as indicated by point B' in figure 5(b).

Sample Theoretical Pressure Distributions

Figure 6 shows the surface pressure ratio as a function of dimensionless distance from highlight for several contraction ratios (fig. 4), incidence angles of 30° and 90° , M_t of 0.7, and M_0 of 0.12. In general, the surface static pressure ratio increases with increasing contraction ratio and decreases with increasing incidence angle. For incidence angles less than 30° (the 30° curves are shown in figure 6(a)) little or no diffusion takes place on the inlet lip. At large incidence angles such as 90° (fig. 6(b)) the curves for contraction ratios of 2.8 and 2.2 still show little or no diffusion on the inlet lip; however, the curves for contraction ratios of 1.65 and 1.46 begin to show significant diffusion on the lip.

A range of operating conditions expected to be particularly severe for a tilt-nacelle inlet is that of a free stream Mach number of 0.12, an incidence angle of 90° and throat Mach number ranging from 0.25 to

0.70 (fig. 3). These are the conditions for which theoretical results are obtained to illustrate the use of experimental separation limits in inlet design.

First some illustrative pressure distributions are shown on figure 7 for tilt-nacelle inlet contraction ratios of 1.46 and 2.2. This figure indicates that the flow becomes locally supersonic for all flow conditions for the 1.46 contraction ratio but remains subsonic for the 2.2 contraction ratio. Figure 7 also shows that decreasing the throat Mach number increases the initial diffusion rate (pressure gradient after minimum pressure point) and the diffusion ratio but lowers the peak Mach number for both contraction ratios. Similar pressure distributions were generated for other contraction ratios to obtain the diffusion ratio and peak Mach number to be presented in the next section.

Application of Experimental Separation Bounds to Theoretical Diffusion Ratio and Peak Mach Number

The effects of varying throat Mach number on the parameters diffusion ratio, V_{\max}/V_{de} , and peak Mach number, M_{\max} , are shown in figure 8 for a free-stream Mach number of 0.12 and an incidence angle of 90° . Decreasing throat Mach number tends to increase V_{\max}/V_{de} (fig. 8(a)) for all contraction ratios; however, increasing throat Mach number tends to increase the peak Mach number (fig. 8(b)). The effect of increasing contraction ratio is to decrease both parameters V_{\max}/V_{de} and M_{\max} at a given throat Mach number.

Figure 8 also shows the experimental separation limits of figure 2 superimposed on the potential flow results for the diffusion ratio and peak Mach number. Regions of expected separated and attached flow are shown.

In figure 8(a), it can be seen that low-throat-Mach-number conditions are likely to lie in the diffusion-limited separation region. This figure also shows that, at a given flow condition, increasing the con-

traction ratio results in a reduced diffusion ratio. For sufficiently large contraction ratios the diffusion ratio will be in the attached flow region.

In figure 8(b), it can be seen that increasing the throat Mach number may result in peak Mach numbers which lie in the Mach number-limited separated flow region. For given flow conditions increasing contraction ratio a sufficient amount moves the peak Mach number into the attached region.

Figure 8 indicates whether the inlet will separate at $M_0 = 0.12$ and $\alpha = 90^\circ$ over the range of M_t indicated. If any other operating conditions (fig. 3) can produce significant separation, then they should be similarly investigated.

This procedure of applying experimental separation limits to theoretical separation parameters permits a coarse screening of inlet designs. To avoid an overly conservative inlet, the contraction ratio could be varied more finely near the separation limit and a more optimum inlet design could be determined by using boundary layer calculations.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The effect of inlet lip contraction ratio on the aerodynamic performance was investigated for inlets of tilt nacelle VTOL aircraft. Some specific results of this study are as follows:

1. Review of experimental results indicates that two separation parameters are important. These are the diffusion ratio (ratio of maximum surface velocity to diffuser exit velocity) and the peak Mach number (maximum surface Mach number).
2. The diffusion ratio is the governing separation parameter at low throat Mach numbers.
3. The peak Mach number is the governing separation parameter at high throat Mach numbers.
4. For given flow conditions, increasing contraction ratio a sufficient amount results in diffusion ratios and peak Mach numbers which are less than the separation-limited values.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

It should be noted that at larger contraction ratios the designer is faced with larger nacelle maximum diameters (fig. 4) which result in larger nacelle drag and weight. The optimum design for the tilt nacelle may be an asymmetric inlet having a large contraction-ratio lip on the windward side where the most severe flow conditions exist and a smaller contraction-ratio lip on the leeward side where less severe flow conditions exist. The ideal design would be a distribution of contraction ratio around the inlet which results in improved low speed aerodynamic performance and minimum nacelle drag and weight. An example of this approach is shown in figure 9.

REFERENCES

1. Jakubowski, A. K. and Luidens, R. W., "Internal Cowl-Separation at High Incidence Angles," AIAA Paper 75-64, Pasadena, Calif., 1975.
2. Miller, B. A., Dastoli, B. J., and Wesoky, H. L., "Effect of Entry-Lip Design on Aerodynamics and Acoustics of High-Throat-Mach-Number Inlets for the Quiet, Clean, Short-Haul Experimental Engine," NASA TM X-3222, 1975.
3. Albers, J. A., "Theoretical and Experiment Internal Flow Characteristics of a 13.97-Centimeter-Diameter Inlet at STOL Takeoff and Approach Conditions," NASA TN D7185, 1973.
4. Felderman, E. J. and Albers, J. A., "Comparison of Experimental and Theoretical Boundary-Layer Separation for Inlets at Incidence Angle at Low-Speed Conditions," NASA TM X-3194, 1975.
5. Albers, J. A. and Miller, B. A., "Effect of Subsonic Inlet Lip Geometry on Predicted Surface and Flow Mach Number Distributions," NASA TN D-7446, 1973.

6. Albers, J. A. and Felderman, E. J., "Boundary-Layer Analysis of Subsonic Inlet Diffuser Geometries for Engines Nacelles," NASA TN D-7520, 1974.
7. Albers, J. A., Stockman, N. O., and Hirn, J. J., "Aerodynamic Analysis of Several High Throat Mach Number Inlets for the Quiet Clean Short-Haul Experimental Engine," NASA TM X-3183, 1975.
8. Smith, A. M. O., "High-Lift Aerodynamics," Journal of Aircraft, Vol. 12, 1975, pp. 501-530.
9. Stockman, N. O., "Potential and Viscous Flow in VTOL, STOL or CTOL Propulsion System Inlets," AIAA Paper 75-1186, Anaheim, Calif., 1975.


TABLE I. - FIXED VTOL INLET GEOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Diffuser

Diameter of exit, D_e (cm)	30.48
Ratio of length to exit diameter, L_d/D_e	0.55
Ratio of exit flow area to throat area, A_e/A_t	1.066
Ratio of disk exit area to throat area, $A_{c \text{ disk}}/A_t$	1.269
Location of inflection point, percent of length	50
Maximum local wall angle, deg	8.7
Equivalent conical half-angle, degrees	1.5
Contour of inlet	cubic


Centerbody

Ratio of hub to tip diameters, D_c/D_e	0.4
Ratio of major to minor axis	2.0
Contour	ellipse




(a) INLET NOMENCLATURE.

INTERNAL LIP CONTRACTION RATIO, $(D_h/D_t)^2$



(b) INTERNAL LIP CONTRACTION RATIOS.
EXTERNAL FOREBODY DIAMETER RATIO,
 D_h/D_{max} , 0.905.


EXTERNAL FOREBODY




(c) ENTRY LIP NOMENCLATURE.

Figure 1. - Inlet nomenclature and range of geometric variables for STOL test inlets.

$\left(\frac{D_h}{D_t}\right)^2$	M_0	
1.37	■	□
1.46	●	○
1.57	▲	



(a) DIFFUSION RATIO PRIOR TO FLOW SEPARATION.



(b) PEAK MACH NUMBER PRIOR TO FLOW SEPARATION.

Figure 2. - Experimental separation data for STOL inlets.




Figure 3. - Representative flight conditions for tilt-nacelle VTOL aircraft.

INLET	$(D_h/D_t)^2$	(D_h/D_{max})	\bar{x}/D_{max}	L/D_e
a	2.8	0.757	0.405	1.148
b	2.2	.802	.345	.979
c	1.65	.874	.240	.803
d	1.46	.905	.193	.735






Figure 4. - Effect of contraction ratio, $(D_h/D_t)^2$, on VTOL tilt nacelle geometry.



(a) DIFFUSION RATIO.



(b) PEAK MACH NUMBER.

Figure 5. - Comparison of theoretical and experimental diffusion ratio and peak Mach number for 1.46 contraction ratio STOL inlet and M_0 of 0.126.




Figure 6. - Theoretical surface pressure ratio distributions for several contraction ratios. M_t of 0.7 and M_0 of 0.12.




Figure 7. - Theoretical surface pressure distributions for several throat Mach numbers. Incidence angle of 90° and M_0 of 0.12.






Figure 8. - Theoretical VTOL separation parameters with experimental STOL separation limits versus throat Mach number for α of 90° and M_0 of 0.12.



(c) FRONTAL AREA RATIO.

Figure 9. - Comparison of symmetric and asymmetric inlet geometries.