Ň

NASA TECHNICAL Memorandum

NASA TM 73692

(NASA-TM-73692) MODE I ANALYSIS OF A	N77-27431
CRACKED CIRCULAR DISK SUBJECT TO A COUPLE	
AND A FORCE (NASA) 15 p HC A02/MF A01	
CSCL 20K	Unclas
G3/39	36847

NASA TM 73692

ì

MODE I ANALYSIS OF A CRACKED CIRCULAR DISK SUBJECT TO A COUPLE AND A FORCE

by Bernard Gross Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135



1. Report No. NASA TM 73692	2. Government Access	ion No.	3. Recipient's Catalog	No.		
4. Title and Subtitle MODE I ANALYSIS OF A CRAC	CKED CIRCULAR	DISK SUBJECT	5. Report Date UBJECT			
TO A COUPLE AND A FORCE			6. Performing Organiz	ation Code		
7. Author(s)	Author(s)			ation Report No.		
Bernard Gross			10. Work Unit No.			
National Aeronautics and Space	Administration	-	11. Contract or Grant	No.		
Lewis Research Center						
12 Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			13. Type of Report an Technical Me	d Period Covered		
National Aeronautics and Space	Administration	-	14. Sponsoring Agency	Code		
15. Supplementary Notes		<u>_</u>				
16. Abstract Mode I stress intensity coefficients were obtained for an edge-cracked disk (Round Compact Specimen). Results for this plane elastostatic problem, obtained by a boundary collocation analysis are presented for ratios $0.35 < A/D < 1$, where A is the crack length and D is the disk diameter. The results presented are for two complementary types of loading. By superposition of these results the stress intensity factor K_I , for any practical load line loca- tion of a pin-loaded round compact specimen can be obtained.						
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))		18. Distribution Statement	••••••			
Fracture mechanics	Fracture mechanics					
Boundary collocations		SIAR Category	07			
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (c	of this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price*		
Unclassified	Uncla	ssified				

* For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161

.

1

.

.

.

ł

MODE I ANALYSIS OF A CRACKED CIRCULAR DISK SUBJECT

TO A COUPLE AND A FORCE

by Bernard Gross

Lewis Research Center

SUMMARY

Mode I stress intensity coefficients were obtained for an edgecracked disk (Round Compact Specimen). Results for this plane elastostatic problem, obtained by a boundary collocation analysis are presented for ratios 0.35 < A/D < 1, where A is the crack length and D is the disk diameter. The results presented are for two complementary types of loading. By superposition of these results the stress intensity factor K_I, for any practical load line location of a pin-loaded round compact specimen can be obtained.

INTRODUCTION

The round compact specimen (edge-cracked disk) is currently being considered by ASTM Committee E-24 on Fracture Testing for incorporation into ASTM Standard Method of Test E 399 on Plane Strain Fracture Toughness of Metallic Materials. In reference 1 values of the mode I stress Γp and Γ_{M} for round compact specimens are intensity coefficients given for the range of ratio of crack length to specimen diameter, A/D, from 0.65 to 0.9 (where crack length A here is the distance from the crack tip to the specimen circumference). The coefficients Γ_{n} and Γ_{μ} apply to two complementary types of specimen loading; Γ_{p} to a nominal uniform distribution of stress across the net section, and Γ_M to a nominal bending stress distribution (fig. 1). While these types of loading are impractical in themselves, the two coefficients can be combined to represent any practical case of loading of the specimen by a pair of equal and opposite forces normal to the crack (pin loading). The appropriate value of the stress intensity factor is then obtained from this combination of coefficients. The approach used in reference 1 was to and Γ_{11} by the boundary collocation method for a obtain values of $\Gamma_{\rm p}$ set of ring segment specimens (ref. 2) with successively diminished ratios of internal to external radius to a minimum ratio of 0.07. These results were then extrapolated to estimate values for the limit case of zero internal radius, corresponding to the edge-cracked disk.

To extend and assess the results of reference 1, a more direct approach has now been taken which employs boundary value stress functions and derivatives appropriate to the cracked disk. The present results cover the range of ratio of crack length to specimen diameter, A/D, from 0.35 to 1. These results are given both in tabular form and in the form of interpolation equations obtained by multiple linear and nonlinear regression analysis. It should be appreciated that there is a limitation on the applicability of the present results to practical pin-loaded cracked disk specimens. When the crack tip is close to the load line there will be a significant difference between the actual distribution of loading forces and that assumed in the present model, and the values of the corresponding stress intensity factors will be significantly different. It is estimated that this effect will be negligible when the distance of the crack tip from the load line exceeds 0.15 D.

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Α	crack length measured from crack tip to the circum- ference
a	crack length measured from the crack tip to load line
В	specimen thickness
D	disk diameter
ĸI	Mode I stress intensity factor $K_I = K_{IM}$ when $\sigma_p = 0$,
	and $K_{I} = K_{I}$ when $\sigma_{M} = 0$
L	load line location
М	resultant moment at nominal neutral axis of specimen
P	applied pin load
W=(D/2+L) K_	distance measured from load line to circumference of specimen
$\frac{1}{(\sigma_{p}+\sigma_{M})} \sqrt{A(1-A/D)}$	stress intensity coefficient $\Gamma = \Gamma_p$ when $\sigma_M = 0$,
	and $1 = 1_{M}$ when $\alpha = 0$
$\sigma_{\rm M} = 6M / [B(D-A)^{-}]$	component of fictitious normal net stress at the crack tip due to M
$\sigma_{p} = P / [B(D-A)]$	component of fictitious normal net stress due to load P
x	stress function

APPROACH

As shown in figure 1, the cracked round specimen has a diameter D and is loaded through pins by a couple Mo and opposed tensile forces P normal to the crack with load line offset a distance L from the center of the specimen. Calculations were performed for each of two complementary types of loading: case 1, nominal uniform tension and case 2, nominal bending. For case 1, provided the ratio a/D (=A/D +L/D-1/2) is at least 0.15, and regardless of the load line location L, the computed stress intensity factor K_{Ip} is a function of two variables: nominal uniform stress σ_{p} and crack length ratio A/D. This case is obtained by adjusting the boundary load condition along (A) (B) to produce at the nominal neutral axis position (D-A)/2, a resultant moment of zero, and force equal to the applied load P.

For case 2, provided the ratio a/D is at least 0.15, and regardless of the load line location L the computed stress intensity factor K_{IM} is a function of two variables: nominal bending stress at the crack tip σ_{M} , and crack length ratio A/D. This case is obtained by applying only a courle at boundary (A) (B)

Stress intensity coefficienty Γ_{n} , Γ_{M} and Γ are defined as

$$\Gamma_{p} = \frac{K_{Ip}}{\sigma_{p} \sqrt{A(1 - A/D)}}$$

$$\Gamma_{M} = \frac{K_{IM}}{\sigma_{M} \sqrt{A(1 - A/D)}}$$

$$\Gamma = \frac{K_{I}}{(\sigma_{p} + \sigma_{M}) \sqrt{A(1 - A/D)}}$$
(1)

For the general case (fig. 1), there are two independent variables L/D and A/D. As an illustration, for a disk with load P and couple M at load line location L:

$$\sigma_{\rm p} = \frac{\rm P}{\rm B(D-A)}$$

and

$$\sigma_{M} = \frac{3[2M_{o} + P(2L + A)]}{B(D - A)^{2}}$$

By superposition we have

$$K_{I} = K_{IP} + K_{IM}$$
(2)

Applying equation (1) and equation (2) we obtain

$$\Gamma = \left(\frac{\sigma_{\rm p}}{\sigma_{\rm p} + \sigma_{\rm M}}\right) \Gamma_{\rm p} + \left(\frac{\sigma_{\rm M}}{\sigma_{\rm p} + \sigma_{\rm M}}\right) \Gamma_{\rm M}$$
(3)

Replacing $\sigma_{\rm p}$ and $\sigma_{\rm M}$ by their equivalent definitions we obtain

$$\Gamma = \frac{P(D - A)\Gamma_{p} + 3[2M_{o} + P(2L + A)]\Gamma_{M}}{P(D - A) + 3[2M_{o} + P(2L + A)]}$$
(4)

If at loadline L, a load P is applied through pins and the moment M = 0, after algebraic manipulation of equation (4) we obtain a more common form

$$\frac{KB \sqrt{D}}{P} = \sqrt{\frac{A/D}{1 - (A/D)}} \left[\Gamma_{P} + \frac{3(A/D) + (2L/D)}{1 - (A/D)} \Gamma_{M} \right]$$
(5)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As shown in figure 1, the resultant solution of the cracked disk problem is obtained by combining two complementary types of loading.

The first type of loading is based on a nominal constant mid-net section stress $\sigma_p = P/[B(D-A)]$ resulting in the stress intensity coefficient Γ_p as a function of σ_p and A/D. The second solution is based on a nominal pure bending stress at the mid net section where $\sigma_M = 3[P(A+2L)+M_0]/[B(D-A)^2]$ from which the stress intensity coefficient Γ_M as a function of σ_M and A/D is obtained.

 Γ_{p} and Γ_{M} are obtained using the boundary collocation method with 60 boundary stations and an overdetermined system of equations as detailed in reference 3. Twenty equally spaced boundary stations were taken along the straight portion of the boundary A B and 40 stations equally spaced were taken along the curved boundary B C (fig. Al). The appropriate stress function boundary conditions for both cases are given in the appendix. The limit values for Γ_p and Γ_M as A/D+1 were obtained from reference 4. The values of Γ_p and Γ_M given in table I were obtained for a load line offset L = 0.35D. The values given in table II of Γ were obtained directly by boundary collocation with the load line L = D/4.

It has been shown (ref. 5) that the pin loaded holes can have a significant effect on the stress intensity factor. It is therefore estimated that the results presented herein will apply when the distance from the crack tip to the load line exceeds 0.15 D.

Table II (eq. (4) with $M_0 = 0$) contains a comparison of the present results with those of references 1, 6, and 7, for a pin loaded cracked disk with load line at L = D/4. Excellent agreement is obtained. Included in this table are the stress intensity coefficients of the standard rectangular compact specimen (ref. 8). A geometric comparison between the standard rectangular and round compact specimen is given in figure 2. Fitting functions for Γ_p and Γ_M were obtained by linear and nonlinear least squares best fit regression analyses respectively. These functions are:

$$\Gamma_{\rm M} = 5.611 - 21.246 \frac{\rm A}{\rm D} + 38.149 \left(\frac{\rm A}{\rm D}\right)^2 - 32.169 \left(\frac{\rm A}{\rm D}\right)^3 + 10.320 \left(\frac{\rm A}{\rm D}\right)^4$$

and

$$\Gamma_{\rm p} = 0.501 + \frac{0.02104[(A/D) - 0.525]}{[(A/D) - 0.525][(A/D) - 0.0798] + 0.0625}$$

These functions are considered to be accurate to less than a percent of the computed solution in the range 0.35 < A/D < 1. Clearly, the accuracy of application is dependent upon how well the assumed model boundary conditions approximate the real boundary conditions.

EXAMPLE

Two examples now follow which will demonstrate the use of table I in conjunction with equation (4) and equation (5).

Example 1

For a pin loaded disk with load P at load line location L = D/4, to find the value of KB \sqrt{W}/P where W = 0.75 D and a/W = 0.4. Thus

a = A - 0.25 D, A/D = 0.55 and from table I, $\Gamma_p = 0.509$ and $\Gamma_M = 1.064$. From equation (5) since $M_o = 0$, we have $KB\sqrt{W/P} = \sqrt{3/4} KB\sqrt{D/P} = 7.618$.

There is a small difference between this value and the value 7.613 given in table II. The values in table II are obtained by direct application of the boundary conditions for this loading and the value 7.618 in the above example is obtained by combination.

Example 2

Given a disk with crack length A, load P, and couple M_o at L = 0, to find the resultant stress intensity coefficient Γ . From table I we obtain Γ_p and Γ_M and using equation (4) we have

$$\Gamma = \frac{P(D - A)\Gamma_{p} + 3(2M_{o} + PA)\Gamma_{M}}{PD + 6M_{o} + 2PA}$$

APPENDIX

The results presented here were obtained by boundary collocation analysis of a homogeneous isotropic specimen under plane elastostatic conditions. This method is described in detail in reference 3. The necessary boundary conditions to be satisfied by the stress function and its normal derivative (fig. Al) are as follows

Along (A) (B)

$$\chi = \rho P \phi \left(\frac{-\sin \alpha \sin \phi}{2\alpha + \sin 2\alpha} + \frac{\cos \alpha \cos \phi}{2\alpha - \cos 2\alpha} \right) + \frac{M_o}{2} \left(\frac{\sin 2\phi - 2\phi \cos 2\alpha}{2\alpha \cos 2\alpha - \sin 2\alpha} - 1 \right)$$
$$+ \rho P \cos \phi \left(\frac{\cdot -\sin^3 \alpha}{2\alpha + \sin 2\alpha} + \frac{\alpha \cos \alpha - \sin \alpha \cos^2 \alpha}{2\alpha - \sin 2\alpha} \right)$$
$$- P \rho \sin \phi \left(\frac{\alpha \sin \alpha + \cos \alpha \sin^3 \alpha}{2\alpha + \sin 2\alpha} + \frac{\cos^3 \alpha}{2\alpha - \sin 2\alpha} \right)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \rho} \cos (\phi + \alpha) - \frac{\partial \chi}{\rho \partial \phi} \sin (\phi + \alpha)$$

Along B C

$$\chi = P \frac{D}{2} (\cos \phi + \sin 2\alpha) + Pe - M_{o}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial R} = P \cos \Phi$$

The symbols ρ , ϕ , α , M_{ρ} , ϕ , and e are defined in figure Al.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gross, Bernard: Mode I Stress Intensity Factors for Round Compact Specimens. NASA TM X-73472, 1976.
- 2. Gross, B.; and Srawley, J. E.: Analysis of Radially Cracked Ring Segments Subject to Forces and Couples. NASA TM X-71842, 1975.
- 3. Gross, B.: Some Plane Problem Elastostatic Solutions for Plates Having a V-Notch. Ph.D. Thesis, Case Western Reserve Univ., 1970. (See also NASA TM X-69370.)
- Srawley, J. E.; and Gross, B.: Side Cracked Plates Subject to Combined Direct and Bending Forces. Cracks and Fracture. Am. Soc. Test. Mater. Spec. Tech. Publ. 601, 1974, pp. 559-579.
- 5. Newman, J. C., Jr.: Stress Analysis of the Compact Specimen Including the Effects of Pin Loading. Fracture Analysis. Am. Soc. Test. Mater. Spec. Tech. Publ. 560, 1974, pp. 105-121.
- Feddern, Von Gunter; and Macherauch, Eckard: Eine Neue Probenform fur Bruchmechanische Experimente (A New Specimen Geometry for K_{IC} Measurements).
 Z. Metallkde, Bd. 64, Heft 12, Dec. 1973, pp. 882-884.
- 7. Private communication with D. F. Mowbray and W. R. Andrews, General Electric Company, Schenectady, N. Y., May 1976.
- Srawley, J. E.; and Gross, B.: Stress Intensity Factors for Bend and Compact Specimens. Eng. Fract. Mech., vol. 4, no. 3, 1972, pp. 587-589.

 $\Gamma_{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathbf{M}}$ for the edge cracked disk

A/D	г _р	Г _М
0.35	0.2587	1.6157
. 40	.3857	1.4172
. 45	. 4538	1.2695
.50	.4901	1.1551
.55	.5090	1.0637
.60	.5184	. 9865
.65	.5228	. 9255
.70	.5246	.8717
.75	.5251	. 8252
. 80	.5251	.7845
.85	. 5249	.7487
. 90	.5242	.7168
. 95	. 522	. 682
a 1.00	.521	. 663

WHEN	a/D	>	0.	15
------	-----	---	----	----

^aLimit values obtained from ref. 4.

.

TABLE II. - COMPARISON OF PRESENT STRESS INTENSITY PARAMETER

 $K_{I} B \sqrt{W}/P$ as a function of a/W with those results of

REFERENCES 1, 6, 7, AND 8 (AS SHOWN IN FIG. 2

FOR	L/D	= 0.25	AND	W =	0.75	D)
-----	-----	--------	-----	-----	------	----

a/W	Direct collo- cation solid disk	Collocation ring seg- ment Ref. 1	Experi- mental results Ref. 6	Finite elements Ref. 7	Compliance results Ref. 7	Standard rectangular compact specimen Ref. 8	
	K _I B√W/P						
0.200	4.745					4.750	
.267	5.472						
. 300						5.844	
.333	6.365		6.244				
.400	7.613	*****	7.299			7.333	
. 467	9.203		8.948				
.500				10.185	10.286	9.631	
.533	11.378	11.926	11.314				
.600	14.532	14.820	14.721	14.738	14.566	13.62	
.667	19.368	19.518	19.680				
.700				22.653	22.798	21.56	
.733	27.411	27.490	26.879				
.800	42.773	42.745		42.542		41.07	
.867	79.542	79.523					
.933	226.						

.



THING A PAS AT A SCACIED DISTANCE L FANN THE CENTERLINE OF THE DISK FARE 1. A PURNICU OF SUPERMENTION PRINCIPLE TO SPECIMEN LONDED



FIRE AJ. MARLYTRAL NODEL OF CAMERED CIRCULAR DASK. (WHEN PIN LONDED AT LOAD LINE L, M. = PE)



FIGURE 2. GROMETRIC COMPARISON OF THE ROUND CUMPACT SPECIMEN WITH THE STANDARD RECTANGULAR CUMMET SAECIMEN FOR LOND LINE LOCATION L= D/4