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# COMPARISON OF JET MACH NUMBER DECAY DATA WITH A 

 CORRELATION AND JET SPREADING CONTOURSFOR A LARGE VARIETY OF NOZZLES

by Donald E. Groesbeck, Ronald G. Huff, and Uwe H. von Glahn

Lewis Research Center

## SUMMARY

Axial jet Mach number decay data were obtained for a large variety of cold-flow, small-scale nozzles (flow areas to $122 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ), including single- and multielement and bypass types. Nozzle-exit jet Mach numbers ranged from 0.28 to 1.15. The effects of multielement nozzle shape and element spacing on jet Mach number decay were studied in an effort to reduce the noise caused by jet impingement on externally blown flap (EBF) short takeoff and landing (STOL) aircraft.

The axial jet Mach number decay data for the nozzles tested are well represented by the empirical relations included herein.

Contours of jet (or multijet) spreading and Mach number decays are presented as determined from crossplots of total pressure surveys taken downstream of the nozzles. The contours are all for a nominal jet-exit Mach number of 0.99 .

## INTRODUCTION

Short takeoff and landing (STOL) aircraft are expected to become a significant part of the commercial air transportation system. At present, externally blown flaps (EFB), figure 1, are being considered for the lift augmentation required for STOL aircraft. However, the impingement of the engine exhaust jet on the extended flaps causes an unacceptable increase in the noise level (refs. 1 to 3 ). The noise level increase is a function of the jet impingement velocity to the sixth power and the impingement area (determined from the width of the velocity profile curve where the velocity is 80 percent of the peak jet impingement velocity) to the first power (ref. 1). The jet impinge-
ment noise can be lowered by reducing the impingement velocity on the flaps (refs. 1 and 2) within the distance specified by the engine-flap design.

The impinging jet velocity can be reduced (1) by using a large-bypass fan engine with a lower exhaust velocity; (2) by increasing the distance from the nozzle to the flaps, if feasible; or (3) by mixing the jet with ambient air more rapidly by using a multielement nozzle of the same total flow area as a single-element nozzle. The last means results in a large overall-size nozzle. The multielement nozzle should be designed to achieve the same thrust coefficient as a single-element nozzle. For the same nozzle-exit velocity, the individual smaller jets of a multielement nozzle will decay in less actual distance than a single larger jet. Consequently, their impingement velocity is less; however, the impingement area may increase enough to negate any decrease in noise obtained from the velocity reduction. A compromise is required, therefore, among the number, shape, and spacing of the nozzle elements of a multielement nozzle in order to give the velocity reduction desired with a minimum spreading of the jets.

This report summarizes the results of an experimental cold-flow, small-scale study conducted at the NASA Lewis Research Center on the decay of the peak axial Mach number obtained with a large variety of single-element, multielement, and bypass nozzles. Nozzle total flow areas ranged from 4.4 to 122 square centimeters. Nozzle shapes tested included circular, rectangular, triangular, trapezoidal, " Y " shaped, and annular. Nozzle-exit jet Mach numbers ranged from 0.28 to 1.15 . Jet Mach numbers were surveyed from the nozzle exit to a downstream distance of over 100 singleelement nozzle diameters. Some of these data were previously reported in preliminary form (refs. 4 and 5). The empirical Mach number decay correlation equations of reference 6 are used herein for comparison with the data. Jet Mach number decay and spreading contours for all configurations tested are presented in appendix $A$.

## APPARATUS

## Test Stand

The test stand used in the present work is illustrated in figure 2 (a). Pressurized air at ambient temperature (approx. 289 K ) is supplied to a 15.41 -centimeter-insidediameter pipeline by two diametrically opposed 10.23-centimeter-inside-diameter supply lines (section A-A, fig. 2 (a)). Flexible couplings in each of the twin supply lines isolate the air supply system from a thrust measuring system. However, in the present study no thrust measurements were made. The test nozzles were attached to a flange at the downstream end of the 15.41-centimeter-inside-diameter pipeline. Airflow through
the overhead main air supply line was measured with a calibrated orifice. The nominal nozzle-inlet total pressure was measured by a single centerline probe near the nozzle inlet. Figure 2 (b) shows the supply lines. In the foreground is the traverse cart with the pitot-static probe used to survey the nozzle jets.

## Nozzle Configurations

Axial Mach number decay data were obtained by using single-element nozzles with the following cross sections:
(1) Circular: constant-diameter tube; sharp- or round-edge orifice; convergent nozzle
(2) Rectangular: sharp- or round-edge orifice; convergent nozzle; aspect ratios from 1.5 to 13.3
(3) Triangular: sharp-edge orifice
(4) Trapezoidal: flat- or round-end constant-area nozzle
(5) "Y" shape: sharp-edge orifice
(6) Annular: sharp-or round-edge orifice; convergent nozzle

Data were also obtained for coplanar-exit multielement nozzles that included the following:
(1) Six to 24 constant-diameter tubes and/or orifices (sharp and round edge). A designation, such as a $1-6-6,0-6-0$, etc., is used to define a multitube or multiorifice configuration. The first number denotes whether or not a center element is used, and the second and third numbers denote the number of elements in the first and second rings, respectively. Figures 3 (a) to (e) show some of the multitube nozzles tested. For multitube or multiorifice nozzles, the spacings between elements and between circumferential rings of elements were varied to evaluate the geometry effects on the axial Mach number decay.
(2) Three-slot convergent nozzles (fig. 3(f))
(3) Four-slot sharp-edge orifices; single-element aspect ratios of 2.22 to 11.25
(4) Six-slot convergent nozzles (fig. 3(g))
(5) Six- and 12-lobe, flat-end, trapezoidal, constant-area nozzles (figs. 3 (h) to (j))
(6) Seven- or eight-lobe, round-end, trapezoidal, constant-a rea nozzles, with all lobes at $0^{\circ}$ to the nozzle axis and with alternate lobes canted at $5^{\circ}$ or $10^{\circ}$ to the nozzle axis (fig. $3(\mathrm{k})$ )

Data were obtained also for noncoplanar-exit, multielement bypass nozzles. Typical nozzles tested are shown in figures $3(l)$ to (o). The core (primary) of these nozzles consisted either of tubes, orifices, a single slot, or a convergent nozzle. The bypass (secondary) of these nozzles consisted either of circular orifices, round- or sharp-edge
annuli, or a convergent annulus with the exit some distance upstream of the core nozzle exit. Various mesh screens, placed in the bypass passages, were used to vary the ratio of secondary to primary Mach number $M_{b} / M_{j}$ from 0.44 to 1.0 . All symbols are defined in appendix B. These noncoplanar-exit nozzles were tested to determine the effect of the secondary flow on the axial jet Mach number decay of the primary flow. Some of the exhaust nozzle configurations are typical of high-bypass, fan-jet engines.

Tables I to III summarize the detailed dimensions of all nozzle configurations tested as well as those of nozzles from other references. The configurations are listed in the order in which the decay data are discussed. The original-run column gives the original sequence of configurations. The symbols $C_{n}, Z_{(1)}$, and $D_{x}$ denote calculated values that are discussed in later sections. The symbol $A_{n}$ denotes the total nozzle area with an equivalent circular diameter of $D_{e, ~}, D_{e}$ is the equivalent circular diameter of a single element of any nozzle, and $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}}$ is the hydraulic diameter of a single element. The axial length $l$ is the length of a nozzle tube (or tubes) or the thickness of an orifice plate. For other than the circular tube or orifice multielement nozzles, nozzle dimensions (i.e., spacings and areas) were determined from measurements of the nozzles as tested, and averaged values have been tabulated. Many of the multielement nozzle areas were determined with an integrating polar planimeter, while others were calculated from measured dimensions. The tabulated spacings, r's and s's, are actual jet spacings (i.e., wall thicknesses are included in the spacing dimensions).

## TEST PROCEDURE

A traversing pitot-static probe was first positioned approximately 0.32 centimeter downsteam of each nozzle assembly exit and a pressure traverse was made on the centerline of the pertinent element dimension (i.e., the diameter of a circular element, the altitude of a triangular element, the width of a rectangular element, etc.). Surveys were taken at screened bypass exits also. Pressure measurements were obtained at nozzle-inlet gage pressures of $5.27,14.1,31.0,52.4,86.9$, and $129.5 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Thes $\epsilon$ pressures correspond to nominal nozzle pressure ratios of $1.05,1.15,1.31,1.53$, 1.87 , and 2.30 and to nozzle-exit jet Mach numbers $M_{j}$ of $0.28,0.45,0.64,0.80$, 0.99 , and 1.15 , respectively. The survey procedure was repeated at nominal downstream distances of $12.7,25.4,38.1$, and 50.8 centimeters. For most of the program, a single pitot-static probe was moved manually on the nozzle axial centerline to various locations beyond the 50.8 -centimeter survey limit, to nearly 300 centimeters downstream of the nozzle exit; and the single-pressure-probe data were recorded.

Near the end of the program (original-run configuration 95 and after), the traversing probe carriage was rebuilt (fig. 2 (b)) and the carriage track was extended to cover the full range of axial distances.

The pressures at the traversing probe acted upon pressure transducers on the carriage, and the electrical signals from the transducers were transmitted to a plotter that made graphic traces of the total and static pressure distribution radially across the jet. Other pressure data were recorded from water or mercury manometers.

## DATA REDUCTION

Figure 4 is a typical copy of a total pressure survey made with the traversing probe and the plotter. For nearly all the data runs, an amplifier at a gain of 2 was used to increase the reading accuracy of the total pressure trace. The static pressure survey trace is not included as it was normally a straight line at the atmospheric pressure level. Plots like figure 4 were obtained for each nozzle tested. The linear total pressure scale was converted to a Mach number scale by assuming the local ambient static pressure $p_{0}$ to be a constant value of $100 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. This assumption was maintained for all the nozzle-inlet pressures run. Because gage pressures were used to set the nozzle-inlet total pressure, an assumption of constant atmospheric pressure could introduce an error in the nozzle-exit jet Mach number $M_{j}$. If it was assumed that the median $p_{0}$ is a good representative value, the maximum error in the calculated $M_{j}$ was only $\pm 0.004$, which is considered insignificant. Even at the highest nozzle-inlet total pressure, the error in the calculated $M_{j}$ was only $\pm 0.01$, using the maximum and minimum values of $p_{0}$ recorded for all the configurations tested.

Figure 5 is a nondimensional plot showing the effect of four nozzle-exit jet Mach numbers on the local jet Mach number profiles ( $M_{l} / M$ ) at the nozzle-exit plane and at three stations downstream of the nozzle exit. Plotted are (1) the downstream local Mach number $M_{l}$ at each station divided by the downstream peak Mach number $M$ at the survey station and (2) the radius $r$ of $M_{l}$ divided by $r_{\text {max }}$, the radius of the jet defined as the point where $M_{l}$ was zero at the survey station. These jet Mach number profiles are reasonably similar over the range of nozzle-exit jet Mach numbers $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ of 0.63 to 1.15 . The similarity of these profiles is also evident in figure 4, since increasing $M_{j}$ simply raises the level of $M_{l}$ without altering the general shape of the profile at any given survey station. Because of the similarity of velocity profiles, a nominal $M_{j}$ of 0.99 was used for the jet Mach number decay and spreading contours presented in appendix A for all the configurations investigated.

Tables IV to VI list $M$ as a function of survey axial distance $X$ for all the configurations investigated. Also tabulated for most of the configurations are the measured
values of $M_{j}$ and nozzle mass flow rate $W_{n}$ divided by their respective calculated ideal values $\left(M_{j}\right.$, id and $\left.W_{n, i d}\right)$.

## JET MACH NUMBER DECAY CORRELA TIONS

## Data Variations

The peak axial jet Mach number decay data from the configurations previously described were used to develop nondimensional graphs similar to the ones shown in figure 6. In this figure, the downstream peak Mach number $M$ is divided by the nozzleexit jet Mach number $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and the ratio is plotted as a function of an axial distance parameter $X /\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}} \sqrt{1+\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}}\right)$.

According to references 7 to 9 , the axial-decay ratio of $M / M_{j}$ downstream of a single-element jet nozzle exit varies from a function of $X^{-1}$ for circular nozzles to a function of $X^{-1 / 2}$ for finite- or large-aspect-ratio rectangular (slot) nozzles (fig. 6(a)). For other single-element shapes the Mach number decay also varies between these exponents. The axial distance is nondimensionalized by the equivalent diameter of the single element (i.e., $X / D_{e}$ (ref. 6)).

The peak Mach number ratio $M / M_{j}$ at a given axial survey station has been found to increase with increasing $M_{j}$ (refs. 10 and 11). In general, the exit Mach number effect was correlated by dividing the axial distance parameter $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$ by $\sqrt{1+\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}}$ (ref. 6). The Mach number factor applies to the entire decay curve. The axial distance parameter $\mathrm{X} /\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}} \sqrt{1+\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}}\right)$ is then divided by a empirical normalizing coefficient $C_{n}\left(\right.$ i.e., $X /\left(C_{n} D_{e} \sqrt{1+M_{j}}\right)$ (ref. 6) $)$. The coefficient $C_{n}$ accounts for the nonideal conditions of flow and exit velocity for the test nozzle. For an ideal nozzle, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{n}}$ would be equal to 1.0 . This coefficient is discussed and compared with a kinetic energy ratio in a later section.

For multielement nozzles, the initial axial decay of $M / M_{j}$ (fig. 6(b)) is the same as that for a single element. At some downstream distance, departure point (1) defined by an axial distance parameter of $Z_{(1)}$, the individual jets coalesce sufficiently to form a large-diameter coalescing core; and a very slow decay of the peak axial Mach number occurs. Once the coalescing core has fully formed into a new large-diameter jet, departure point (2), normal mixing again takes place with a rapid Mach number decay. The coalesced-core decay thus becomes a distance- or diameter-adjusted extension of the single-element decay and is displaced from the single-element decay curve by $D_{x}$.

In references 10 and 11 the multielement-nozzle jet velocity decay distance was nondimensionalized by use of an equivalent diameter $D_{e, T}$ based on the total nozzle-exit area. For the wide range of multielement configurations covered in this investigation, it was determined that an equivalent diameter $D_{e}$ based on a single-element area was more useful for practical applications.

The next section presents the jet Mach number decay correlation equations from reference 6 .

## Correlation Equations

The peak Mach number ratio $M / M_{j}$ is a function of the axial distance parameter $X /\left(C_{n} D_{e} \sqrt{1+M_{j}}\right)$, figure $6(b)$. For all nozzle shapes except rectangular, the following empirical equation predicts the single-element, peak Mach number ratio:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M}{M_{j}}=\left[1+\left(\frac{0.15 \mathrm{X}}{{C_{n} D_{e} \sqrt{1+M_{j}}}^{M^{2}}}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}\right]^{-1 / a} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=4\left(2-\frac{w_{s}}{w_{l}}\right)\left[1+\frac{8}{3}\left(\frac{D_{e}}{D_{h}}-1\right)\right]^{-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a circular nozzle, the exponent a reduces to 4 .
For rectangular nozzles only, because of an aspect ratio effect, the exponent a should be replaced by $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime}=a\left\{1+\left[\frac{\frac{8}{3}}{(1+0.0001)(A R)^{3}\left(\frac{x}{C_{n} D_{e} \sqrt{1+M_{j}}}\right)}\right]\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewriting equation (1) with the exponent given by equation (3) results in the following correlation for rectangular nozzles:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M}{M_{j}}=\left[1+\left(\frac{0.15 X}{C_{n} D_{e} \sqrt{1+M_{j}}}\right)^{a^{\prime}}\right]^{-1 / a^{\prime}} \tag{3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

For multijet Mach number decay, the axial distance parameter at departure point (1) (fig. $6(\mathrm{~b})$ ) is calculated from the geometric and operating characteristics of the nozzles by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{(1)}=\left(\frac{x}{C_{n} D_{e} \sqrt{1+M_{j}}}\right)_{(1)}=12\left[1+\frac{1}{4} f\left(\frac{s}{d}\right)\right]^{2 / 3} f\left(\frac{s}{d}\right)^{1 / 3} f\left(\frac{D_{e}}{D_{h}}\right) f\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{D_{e}}{D_{h}}\right)=\left[1+\frac{\frac{8}{3}\left(\frac{D_{e}}{D_{h}}-1\right)}{1+5\left(1-\frac{w_{s}}{w_{l}}\right)^{8}}\right]^{-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)=\left\{1+0.33 \frac{r}{s}[f(d)]^{3}\left(\frac{M_{b}}{M_{j}}\right)^{2}\right\}^{-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following table summarizes the necessary ratios of $f(s / d),(r / s)$, and $f(d)$ to use in equations (4) and (6):

| Nozzle | $f(s / d)$ | $r / s$ | $f(d)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circular center element with <br> one or two rings of elements | $s_{1} / d_{1}$ | $r_{1} / s_{1}$ | $d_{1} / d_{0}$ |
| Two rings of circular elements; | $s_{1} / d_{1}$ | $r_{2} / s_{2}$ | $d_{2} / d_{1}$ |
| no center element <br> Multielement rectangular | $s_{1} / w$ | $r_{1} / s_{1}$ | 1.0 |

Good correlation can be achieved for large-aspect-ratio, rectangular, multislot nozzles by dividing $\mathrm{Z}_{(1)}$ (eq. (4)) by the following aspect-ratio relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[1+\frac{1}{0.8+\frac{10^{5}}{(\mathrm{AR})^{4}}}\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The displacement distance of the fully coalesced, multielement core from the single-element curve $\left(D_{x}\right.$, fig. $6(b)$ ) is calculated from the following equation:
by using $f(s / d)$ from the preceding table. If $M_{b} / M_{j}$ equals 1.0 , the term

$$
\left[\sqrt{\left.\frac{A_{c}+A_{b}\left(\frac{M_{b}}{M_{j}}\right)^{2}}{A_{e}}-1\right]}\right]
$$

in equation (8) reduces to $\left[\left(D_{e}, T / D_{e}\right)-1\right]$.
The Mach number decay ratio in the coalescing-core region, (1) $\rightarrow$ (2), is given by the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{M}{M_{j}}\right|_{(1) \rightarrow(2)}=\left[\left(\frac{M}{M_{j}}\right)_{(1)}\left(\frac{z_{(1)}}{\frac{x}{C_{n} D_{e} \sqrt{1+M_{j}}}}\right)^{1 / 5}\right]_{(1) \rightarrow(2)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the coalesced-core region, (2) $\rightarrow \infty$, the Mach number decay ratio is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{M}{M_{j}}\right|_{(2) \rightarrow \infty}=\left\{\left[1+\left(\frac{0.15 x}{D_{x} C_{n} D_{e} \sqrt{1+M_{j}}}\right)^{a}\right\}_{\infty \rightarrow \infty}^{-1 / a}\right\}_{(2) \rightarrow \infty} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The intersection of the curves calculated from equations (9) and (10) will give the location of point (2) in figure 6 (b). For rectangular nozzles only, $Z(1)$ in equation (9) should be divided by the relation (7), and the exponent $a$ in equation (10) should be replaced by the exponent $a^{\prime}$ in equation (3).

The rectangular single-slot nozzles of reference 10 and some of the rectangular four-slot nozzles of reference 11 had divergent walls (narrow side) in which the diver-
gent wall angle $\beta$ was varied from $0^{\circ}$ to $30^{\circ}$. The Mach number decay data for these " $\beta$ " nozzles were correlated (see ref. 5) by including $\beta$ in the axial distance parameter as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{X\left(1+\sin ^{2} \beta\right)}{C_{n} D_{e} \sqrt{1+M_{j}}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $\left(1+\sin ^{2} \beta\right.$ ) should be used wherever the axial distance parameter appears in any of the empirical equations for this type of nozzle.

## Normalizing Coefficient

An empirical normalizing coefficient $C_{n}$ was used to adjust the jet Mach number decay data of any nozzle in the downstream direction so that the data fall on a calculated decay curve. The calculated decay curve assumes a $C_{n}$ of 1.0 and was determined from many small-scale nozzle jet Mach number decay data. For any given nozzle it is impossible to predict what the value of $C_{n}$ will be. But for a well-built large-scale nozzle, it should be safe to assume a $C_{n}$ somewhere between 0.95 and 1.0. Sometime after the correlation was developed, unpublished large-scale data confirmed a $C_{n}$ between 0.95 and 1.0. The real $C_{n}$ can be determined only by measuring the jet Mach number decay of the actual nozzle, large or small scale. At present, it is not known exactly why the jet Mach number decay with axial distance is different for nozzles of the same size and type. For example, for two different 7.62-centimeter-diameter circular convergent nozzles, the $C_{n}$ 's were 0.85 and 0.91 , and for a 3.58 -centimeterdiameter circular convergent nozzle, the $C_{n}$ was 0.82 . Comparing the internal geometry of the two 7.62 -centimeter-diameter nozzles (see sketches in table $I(a)$ ) suggests that the internal approach to the nozzle exit or the way the pressure energy is converted into velocity head affects the jet Mach number decay distance. The internally contoured nozzle from references 10 and 11 required a $C_{n}$ of 0.91 to correlate the data, whereas the straight conical nozzle (configurations 5 and 6) required a $C_{n}$ of 0.85 . In other words the lower the value of $C_{n}$, the less the actual distance that is required for the Mach number to decay to a given value.

It can be speculated that the $C_{n}$ is attributable to the initial amount of kinetic energy in the nozzle jet. The kinetic energy of the jet is reduced to zero as the jet Mach number decays to zero. For the majority of circular nozzles reported herein, therate of jet Mach number decay with axial distance is constant; the only difference is the axial distance required to achieve any given jet Mach number. For two jets of the samesize, assuming the same rate of energy dissipation with axial distance, the jet
with the lower initial kinetic energy would dissipate that energy in the shorter distance.
It can also be speculated that the nozzle-exit boundary layer condition and/or magnitude is the main influence on the distance required for the dissipation of the kinetic energy, since jet mixing occurs at the periphery of the jet. With a very large nozzle the boundary layer is extremely small compared with the total jet. On the other hand, for a small nozzle the boundary layer, although still relatively small, comprisès a larger portion of the jet.

A $C_{n}$ determined from decay data and a kinetic energy ratio for a nozzle that was used for the decay data are now compared. The kinetic energy ratio is defined as

$$
\text { K.E. ratio }=\frac{\text { Actual K.E. }}{\text { Ideal K.E. }}=\left(\frac{W_{n}}{W_{n, i d}}\right)\left(\frac{M_{j}}{M_{j, i d}}\right)^{2}
$$

The nozzle-exit Mach number profiles of figure 4 were integrated with a polar planimeter to determine an average flat-peaked total pressure (Mach number) profile. The Mach number ratios calculated from the integration by using an atmospheric pressure $p_{0}$ of $100 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ are given in the following table:

| Measured <br> nozzle-exit <br> jet Mach <br> number, <br> $M_{j}$ | Ratio of <br> integrated <br> average $M_{j}$ <br> to ideal, <br> $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | Ratio of <br> nozzle mass <br> flow rate <br> to ideal, <br> $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | Kinetic <br> energy <br> ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.26 | $-\ldots---$ | 0.869 | $-\ldots-$ |
| .44 | 0.913 | .896 | 0.75 |
| .63 | .931 | .887 | .77 |
| .80 | .951 | .902 | .82 |
| .99 | .959 | .924 | .85 |
| 1.14 | .957 | .927 | $\frac{.85}{0.81}$ (Average) |
|  |  |  |  |

The nozzle coefficient $C_{n}$ determined from the decay data was 0.83 , very close to the average kinetic energy ratio of 0.81 . The $C_{n}$ values used in this report are based on estimates made from the decay data - not on the kinetic energy ratio concept.

## Correlation Summary

The followîng table lists the correlation equations used to calculate each regime of the Mach number decay curve for each type of nozzle reported herein. Included are the specific ratios listed in tables I to III that were used in the equations.

| Nozzle type <br> Single element: <br> Circular ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> Rectangular <br> Rectangular with $\beta$ exit <br> Triangular <br> Trapezoidal <br> Y-shape <br> Annular ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Equations to use for - |  |  | Table | Values for for ${ }^{\text {Z }}$ (1), eq. (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{M / M}{ }_{\mathbf{j}}$ | ${ }^{\text {z }}$ (1) | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  |  | For $\mathbf{a}{ }^{\prime}$, eq. (3) | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{d})$ | For f(r/s), eq. (6) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | eq. (5) |  |  | r/s | f(d) | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{b}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{w}_{2}$ | $D_{e} / D_{h}$ | AR |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,2 | -----m- | $\cdots$ | I(a) | 1.0 | 1.0 | - | ----- | -------- | ------ | ---------- |
|  | 2,3,3a | - | --- | I(b) | 1.0 | (b) | (b) | - | ---------- | ------- | - |
|  | 2,3,3a, 11 | - | -- | I(b) | 1.0 |  | (b) | ---- | ---------- | --->.- | - |
|  | 1,2 | ---_--- | --- | I(c) | 0 |  | -- | - | ---------- | ------ | ---------- |
|  |  |  | --- | I(d) | (b) |  | --- | ------ | ---------- | ------ | ----------- |
|  |  | ------- | --- | I(e) | 1.0 | 1 | (b) | ------ | ---------- | --..--- | --..------- |
|  | 1 | -- | --- | I(f) | 1.0 | 1.0 | --- | ------ | ---------- | ------- | ---------- |
| Multielement - coplanar: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Circular |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-x-0 | 1,2 | 4,5,6 | 8 | II(a) | 1.0 | 1.0 | --- | $\mathrm{s}_{1} / \mathrm{d}_{1}$ | 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| $0-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}$ |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | $\cdots$ |  | $\mathrm{r}_{2} / \mathrm{s}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{2} / \mathrm{d}_{1}$ |  |
| 1-x-0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | --- |  | $\mathrm{r}_{1} / \mathrm{s}_{1}=1.0$ | $\mathrm{d}_{1} / \mathrm{d}_{0}$ |  |
| 1-x-x | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | --- |  | $\mathrm{r}_{1} / \mathrm{s}_{1}=1.0$ | $\mathrm{d}_{1} / \mathrm{d}_{0}$ |  |
| Rectangular array | 1 | $\dagger$ | 1 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ | --- | 1 | $\mathrm{r}_{1} / \mathrm{s}_{1}=1.0$ | 1.0 | $\dagger$ |
| Rectangular |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Three slots (configurations 70 and 71) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2, 3, 3a | 4+7, 5, 6 | 8 | II(b) | 1.0 | (b) | (b) | $s_{1} /$ W | $\mathrm{r}_{1} / \mathrm{s}_{1}=0$ | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Three slots | 2, 3, 3a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Four slots | 2, 3, 3a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |
| Four slots (ref. 11) | 2,3,3a, 11 |  |  | , |  |  | 1 | , | 1 |  |  |
| Six slots | $2,3,3 a$ | $\dagger$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ | $\mathrm{r}_{1} / \mathrm{s}_{1}$ |  |  |
| Triangular | 1,2 | 4,5,6 |  | II(c) | 0 |  | --- | $\mathrm{s}_{1} / \mathrm{W}_{l}$ | $\mathrm{s}_{1} / \mathrm{W}_{l}$ | 1 |  |
| Trapezoidal | 1,2 | 4,5,6 | 1 | II (d) | (b) | 1 | --- | $\mathrm{s}_{1} / \mathrm{w}_{l}$ | 1.0 | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{w}_{l}$ | $\dagger$ |
| Multielement - noncoplanar: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Core, tubes or orifices; bypass, tubes or | $1,2$ <br> (core flow) | 4,5,6 | 8 | III | 1.0 | 1.0 | --- | $s_{1} / d_{1}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{2} / \mathrm{s}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{2} / \mathrm{d}_{1}$ | Use average values |
| orifices | (core flow) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Core, tubes or orifices; bypass, annulus | (e) | (e) | (e) |  | -- | --- | --- | ------ | ----------- | ------ | ----------- |
| Core, slot; bypass, annulus | (e) | (e) | (e) |  | --- | --- | --- |  | --------- | ------ | ----------- |
| Core, conical nozzle; bypass, annulus | (e) | (e) | (e) | $\dagger$ | - | --- | --- | ------ | - | ------ | ------------ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Eq. (2) $=\mathbf{a}=4$.
${ }^{\mathbf{b}}$ Values listed in tables I to III.
${ }^{c} D_{e}=\sqrt{4 A_{n} / \pi} ;$ eq. $(2)=a=4$.
$\mathrm{d}_{\text {Use }} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}}$ of center slot.
${ }^{\mathbf{e}}$ No equations available.

## SINGLE-ELEMENT NOZZLES AND ORIFICES

The following nozzle shapes are described in this section:
(1) Circular; various diameters
(2) Rectangular; various aspect ratios
(3) Triangular
(4) Trapezoidal; flat and round ends
(5) "Y"; three rectangular legs
(6) Annuli; sharp edge, round edge, and converging

## Circular Elements

The peak axial Mach number decay of a jet from a circular tube, a round- or sharp-edge orifice, and a circular convergent nozzle is shown in figure 7. All decay data were collapsed onto the calculated correlation curve by using an appropriate $C_{n}$. The scatter in the data at large values of the axial distance parameter is possibly a result of not having the manually positioned pitot-static tube at the maximum velocity location in the downstream ( $0.9 \mathrm{~m}<\mathrm{X}<1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ ), low-velocity jet.

The Mach number decay of a 3.58-centimeter-diameter circular convergent nozzle is shown in figure 8. The data are well correlated by the calculated curve.

In figure 9, the decay data (from ref. 10) for a 7.62 -centimeter-diameter convergent nozzle are compared with the calculated decay. The data vary from the calculated curve perhaps because the value of $C_{n}$ was too high; however, the variation in the data at an $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ of 1.179 cannot be accounted for.

## Rectangular Elements

The axial Mach number decay of six rectangular slot orifices is presented in figures 10 to 14. All had sharp edges, except the round-edge rectangular orifice of figure 14 (b). The rounding of the inlet edge of the orifice in figure 14 (b) had a significant effect on increasing the decay distance; $C_{n}$ was increased from 0.67 (fig. 14(a)) for the sharp-edge orifice to 0.81 (fig. $14(\mathrm{~b})$ ) for the round-edge orifice. As stated previously, the lower the value of $C_{n}$, the more rapid the actual decay with distance. For most of the configurations tested, tables IV to VI give ratios of the actual nozzle mass flow rate $W_{n}$ to the calculated ideal mass flow rate $W_{n, i d}$. The ratio of the average $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ for the configuration of figure $14(\mathrm{~b})$ divided by that of figure 14 (a) is 1.175 , and the ratio of the $C_{n}{ }^{\prime} s$ is 1.209 . Since these ratios are so close, the difference in
the $C_{n}$ between the sharp- and round-edge orifices could be attributed mostly to the reduced weight flow for the sharp-edge orifice (i.e., less kinetic energy in the jets).

Figures 15 to 19 present the axial Mach number decay for the single elements of five four-slot, sharp-edge, rectangular orifice nozzles. (All multielement nozzle decay data are discussed in a later section.) In general, the calculated curves predict the data trends. The Mach number decay for the single elements of two six-slot convergent rectangular nozzles are shown in figures 20 and 21.

A round-end rectangular slot ( $A R=4.76$ ) was used as the primary or core by a bypass nozzle. In figure 22, the Mach number decay of this core nozzle is well represented by the calculated decay curve.

The convergent rectangular-slot nozzle used for the data of figure 23 was one of three identical nozzles that were used on two three-slot nozzle configurations to study the effect of nozzle spacing. The same single-slot nozzle was tested with $15^{\circ}$ end plates with side walls (fig. 24). The peak axial Mach number decay was affected by the end plates to the extent that the $C_{n}$ was reduced from 0.94 to 0.88 .

Mach number decay data taken from reference 10 for five convergent nozzles with aspect ratios from 1 to 6 and wall divergence angles $\beta$ from $0^{\circ}$ to $30^{\circ}$ are shown in figures 25 to 29 . The " $\beta$ " correction factor has been included in the axial distance parameter.

The decay data from reference 8 for a 21.7-aspect-ratio slot nozzle are shown in figure 30. According to reference 8, great care was applied in building the nozzle and air supply system. This is shown by the fact that the nozzle coefficient $C_{n}$ is 1.0 .

Triangular Elements

The axial Mach number decay data for three triangular sharp-edge orifices are shown in figures 31 to 33 . Good agreement of the data with the predicted curves is apparent for the three nozzles, which have length-to-base ratios from 1.5 to 6.0 .

## Trapezoidal Elements

Figures 34 to 36 give the Mach number decay data for three different flat-end trapezoidal nozzles; figure 37 presents the decay of a round-end trapezoidal nozzle. All four nozzles were single elements of multielement nozzles. Again the data agree well with the predicted curves. Rounding the short sides of the trapezoidal nozzle (fig. 37) did not appear to affect the Mach number decay.

## "Y" Shape

The Mach number decay data of figure 38 are for a three-leg, "Y"-shape, sharpedge orifice. The peak axial Mach number was dominated by the jet on the orifice centerline. Except at large distances downstream of the nozzle-exit plane, the data correlated well with the predicted curve.

Annuli

The axial Mach number decay for three different annuli is shown in figures 39 to 41 . The decay of an annulus can be predicted by converting the annular flow area to an equivalent circular flow area with a diameter of $D_{e}$. The jet from the sharp-edge annulus of figure 39 evacuated the surface of the inside diameter of the annulus (appendix A). Since the inner surface of the jet was exhausting to a pressure lower than atmospheric, the jet overexpanded. As a result the decay was much more rapid; hence the low value of 0.32 for $C_{n}$. Rounding the inlet edge of an annulus and adding an afterbody (fig. 40) improved the flow conditions, and the decay data are well correlated. The data in figure 41 for a converging annulus are also well predicted by the calculated curve.

## MULTIELEMENT NOZZLES

(NOMINALLY COPLANAR EXITS)

Nozzle configurations to be discussed in this section include
(1) Multielement circular arrays; single and double rings of elements, with and without an element on the nozzle centerline
(2) Rectangular elements; 3, 4, and 6 slots
(3) Triangular elements; 2-, 4-, and 12-lobe convergent nozzles from reference 10
(4) Trapezoidal elements; 6- and 12-lobe flat-end elements, and 2-, 4-, 7-, or 8 - lobe round-end elements.

## Circular Elements

For the circular tube or orifice multielement nozzles, a designation such as $0-6-0$ is used to describe the number of elements in the nozzle. As previously stated,
the first digit ( 1 or 0 ) denotes whether or not a center tube was used, the second digit represents the number of elements in the first ring, and the third digit represents the number of elements in the second ring.

Configuration 0-6-0. - Figures 42 to 45 present the Mach number decay data of sixtube ( $0-6-0$ ) nozzles with spacing ratios $s_{1} / d_{1}$ from 0.345 to 1.825. The spacing dimension $s_{1}$ and the jet width $d_{1}$ are determined from the tube inside diameters and therefore represent actual jet spacing dimensions.

Orifice inlet condition: The effect of the orifice inlet condition (round or sharp edge) on the Mach number decay of a $0-6-0$ multiorifice nozzle can be seen in figures 46 and 47. The previous discussion about a sharp- or round-edge rectangular orifice (figs. $14(\mathrm{a})$ and (b)) would apply for these nozzles also. However, for these nozzles, the average weight flow ratio $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ (tables IV to VI) and $C_{n}$ for the round-edge orifices are 1.270 and 1.127 times the corresponding values for the sharp-edge orifices. The calculated coalesced-core decay distance for both nozzles underpredicts the actual data somewhat at large axial distances.

Figure 48 is a composite plot of the calculated decay curves for the 0-6-0 multitube nozzles just discussed. As the spacing $s_{1}$ between the tubes (or orifices) is increased, the departure point of the coalescing jets from the single-element decay curve occurs further downstream. The symbols represent points (1) and (2) in figure 6(b). For these six-jet nozzles the coalesced-core decay is a weak function of the nozzle spacing.

Swirl inducer: Figure 49 presents the Mach number decay of the multitube nozzle of figure 44 with a swirl-inducing tape in each tube (approx. $110^{\circ}$ twist in $10.16-\mathrm{cm}$ length). The purpose of the swirl in each jet was to increase the decay and coalescing of the individual jets. However, no significant results were obtained. The initial single-element decay data are a few percentage points below the calculated curve, but the overall results are the same as for figure 44 . The $C_{n}$ is 0.78 for both nozzles. Similar negligible results were obtained in reference 10 for a circular convergent nozzle with a vortex generator insert (short vanes around the inside of the nozzle) and also for turbulence rings at the nozzle inlet.

Configuration 0-8-0. - Shown in figure 50 are Mach number decay data for a 0-8-0 multitube nozzle. The data are well correlated by the calculated decay curves. This nozzle was used as the core nozzle for several noncoplanar bypass nozzles that are discussed later.

Configuration 0-6-6. - Figures 51 to 56 present Mach number decay data for several 0-6-6 multiorifice and multitube nozzles. Increasing the radial spacing between the first and second rings of sharp-edge orifices (figs. 51 to 53 ) had a minimal effect on the overall decay for the range of $\mathrm{r}_{2} / \mathrm{s}_{2}$ covered. The departure point from the single-element decay curve is controlled by the spacing ratio $s_{1} / d_{1}$ of the inner ring of jets. The differences in the $C_{n}$ 's required to correlate the data for these th ree
orifice nozzles is attributed to the coalescing of any two radially adjacent jets. Comparing the peak Mach number ratios for these configurations (appendix A) at an $X / D_{e}$ of 10 shows that the closely spaced jets of figure 51 almost immediately coales ce but those of figures 52 and 53 remain somewhat separated. To collapse the Mach number decay ratios onto a single curve, the distance has to be adjusted by the $C_{n}$.

The data in figures 54 to 56 are for the $0-6-6$ multitube nozzles. Because of the small spacing ratio $s_{1} / d_{1}$ of the inner ring, the departure point in figure 54 is at a relatively high value of $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$. The multitube nozzle used for the data of figure 55 had the same centerline radii as the orifices used for figure 53. The calculated decay curves for both configurations are identical, except for the slight effect of the different spacing ratio $s_{1} / d_{1}$ on the coalescing-core region. The orifice data (fig. 53) a re not predicted nearly as well at the departure point and in the coalescing-core region as are the tube data (fig. 55). Again, the effect on the coefficient $C_{n}$ is seen by comparing sharp-edge orifices to tubes, as in the case previously discussed, where a round-edge and a sharp-edge rectangular slot were compared. The nozzle used for the data in figure 56 had the same inner-ring radius as that for figure 55 , but the outer-ring radius was increased to 10.16 centimeters. The effect of this radius increase was minimal in both the decay data and the calculated curves.

Configuration 0-6-12. - Adding six more tubes to the outer ring of the 0-6-6 nozzle of figure 56 created the $0-6-12$ nozzle of figure 57 . The departure point is at a slightly higher $M / M_{j}$ than for the 0-6-6 nozzle; and because more kinetic energy was added by the six additional jets, the coalesced-core decay region occurred further downstream than for the 0-6-6 nozzle.

Configuration 0-6-18. - Figure 58 presents the peak axial Mach number decay of a 0-6-18 sharp-edge orifice nozzle. Because the spaces between the orifices were pumped to below atmospheric rates by the exiting jets (appendix A), the initial singleelement velocity decay was much more rapid than would be predicted. (Similar results were shown in fig. 39.) As a result the coalescing-core region was also at a lower Mach number than predicted. The coefficient $C_{n}$ was chosen by assuming that the coalesced-core region would decay as predicted.

Configuration 1-6-0. - Mach number decay data for three 1-6-0 multitube nozzles are presented in figures 59 to 61 . For figures 59 and 61 , the exposed tube length was 1.27 centimeters. The nozzle tubes were always 10.16 centimeters long, but an adjustable baffle with holes matching the nozzle tube base was positioned to vary the external length of tube exposed to the atmosphere. The space between the baffle and the nozzle base was covered and sealed with a sheet metal cylinder. The nozzle radii of the six tube rings of these three nozzles are the same as those of the $0-6-0$ nozzles shown in figures 43 to 45 , respectively. The result of adding a jet to the center of a six-tube ring was that the jets began coalescing sooner and at a higher Mach number
ratio because of reduced atmospheric ventilation area surrounding each jet.
The additional jet caused the coalesced-core regions to move downstream a slight amount when compared with the 0-6-0 nozzles.

Configuration 1-6-6. - Figures 62 and 65 show the axial Mach number decay of three radially symmetric $1-6-6$ multitube nozzles; figures 66 to 69 present variations of one radially nonsymmetric 1-6-6 multitube nozzle. In general, all the decay data are well predicted by the calculated correlation equations.

Exposed tube length had a negligible effect on the axial decay of a 1-6-6 nozzle (fig. 63). Essentially no difference in the decay was observed (fig. 66) when the exposed tube length was reduced from 10.16 centimeters to 1.27 centimeters at the two survey stations of 12.7 and 50.8 centimeters. Screens were also placed at the inlets of the six outer tubes to reduce their exit velocity (fig. 67). No difference in the peak axial decay was observed, as the seven full-velocity jets dominated the velocity field. When the six outer tubes were shortened from 10.16 centimeters to 3.81 centimeters (fig. 68), again the jets from the seven full-length tubes dominated the velocity field. The decay was affected (fig. 69) when the nozzle center tube was shortened to 1.27 centimeters, the inner tubes were shortened to 6.35 centimeters, and the six outer tubes were 10.16 centimeters long. The jets from the full-length outer tubes were affected by the decaying and spreading jets of the seven shortened tubes. The peak Mach number at the first survey station came from the outer jets but was at a lower level because of the mixing with the inner jets. Hence, the data are below the single-element calculated decay curve. The actual (not calculated) coalescing-core distance was also longer (jet coalescing began sooner) than for the nozzle of figure 66 , wherein all the tubes were the same length.

Configuration 1-6-12. - Figure 70 shows Mach number decay data for a 1-6-12 multitube nozzle. The calculated decay curve and $C_{n}$ are the same as for the 0-6-12 nozzle with the same tube spacing (fig. 57), except that the coalesced-core region is slightly further downstream because of the additional energy from the nozzle centerline jet.

Rectangular array. - The Mach number decay data in figure 71 are for a 12-tube rectangular-array nozzle ( 4 tubes by 3 tubes). The data and the calculated curves are essentially identical to the data and the calculated curves for the 1-6-6 nozzles of figures 64 and 66 , the main difference being the $C_{n}$ 's required to correlate the data. The 1-6-6 nozzles were used for a comparison since they had the same spacing ratio $s_{1} / d_{1}$. Figure 71 required a $C_{n}$ of 0.83 ; both figures 64 and 66 required a $C_{n}$ of 0.91 . Also, the extra jet in the nozzles of figures 64 and 66 moved their coalesced-core region slightly downstream.

## Rectangular Elements

Three slots; round ends. - Figures 72 and 73 present Mach number decay data for round-end, three-slot nozzles. For the data in figure 72 all three slots were parallel to the nozzle axis; for the data in figure 73 the two smaller outer slots were canted outward $10^{\circ}$ to the nozzle axis. The space between the slot exits was nominally the same for both nozzles. For the data in figure 72, coalescing of the individual jets began just downstream of the 12.7 -centimeter survey station - the result of the jets pumping the space between the slots to slightly below atmospheric pressure (appendix A). Therefore the data do not fall on the decay curves cal culated for the nozzle geometry. Just the opposite can be said for the data in figure 73 for the nozzle with $10^{\circ}$ canted slots. Very little coalescing of the three jets was evident even at the 50.8-centimeter survey station. The decay follows the prediction even though the correlation equations do not include an allowance for the $10^{\circ}$ canted jets.

Three slots; flat ends; convergent. - Figures 74 to 76 show the Mach number decay of two, three-slot, convergent nozzle configurations. Comparing figures 74 and 75 shows that as the space between the nozzles is increased the departure point is moved downstream and is at a lower peak Mach number ratio $M / M_{j}$. The same nozzles, mounted on different bases, were used for the data in figures 74 and 75. The nozzles had a 1.02-centimeter-long internal structural support web (knife-edge fore and aft) at their exits. From unpublished exit surveys across the long dimension of the nozzles, an appreciable wake from the support web was apparent. At a survey distance of 12.7 centimeters a significant effect on the velocity was noted. This wake probably caused the single jets to begin coalescing sooner than would be predicted, hence the disagreement between the data and the calculated curves in figures 74 and 75. For figure 76, the configuration of figure 75 was rerun with a hemispherical afterbody flush with the nozzle exits. No difference in the Mach number decay was observed for surveys taken as far downstream as 50.8 centimeters.

Four slots; orifices. - Data shown in figures 77 to 81 were taken with four-slot, sharp-edge-orifice nozzles. The spacing ratio $s_{1} / w$ for all the nozzles was 2.0 , but the single-slot aspect ratio was varied from 2.22 to 11.25 . In figure 77, the last three sets of decay data are well below the predicted curve. These data were taken with the manually positioned pitot-static tube; and because of the small jets of the configuration, the pitot-static tube apparently was not placed at the maximum velocity location in the downstream jet. The effect of the jets pumping the surface space between the slots to below atmospheric pressure is seen again in figure 78. The data do not show any obvious departure point, but rather a fairly continuous smooth decay. The data in figure 79 are well predicted by the calculated curves. For the configuration of figure 80 , the nozzle-exit survey revealed slightly subatmospheric pressure between the slots
(appendix A), and this is possibly the reason that the 12.7 -centimeter data are somewhat below the predicted values. The balance of the data follow the prediction. In figure 81, two calculated Mach number decay curves are shown. Considering the fact that the subatmospheric pressure was observed (appendix A), between the jets at the nozzle exit as well as at the 2.54 -centimeter survey station, the dashed curve ( $C_{n}=0.80$ ) in figure 81 is probably the more correct curve. It shows that the initial single-element decay is more rapid than would be predicted. This condition would exist with the subatmospheric pumping (jet overexpansion) occurring between the jets.

Four slots; convergent. - The Mach number decay data from reference 11 for four-slot rectangular convergent nozzles are shown in figures 82 to 84 . Many of these nozzles had divergent walls (narrow side); the divergent wall angle $\beta$ for each side was either $0^{\circ}, 5^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}$, or $30^{\circ}$. The effect of $\beta$ on the decay data was correlated by including $\left(1+\sin ^{2} \beta\right.$ ) in the axial distance parameter. The effect of aspect ratio $h / w$ is shown in figure 82 ; in figure 83 the effect of wall-divergence angle $\beta$ is shown; in figure 84 the effect of the nozzle spacing ratio $s_{1} / \mathrm{w}$ on the peak axial decay is presented. In general, the decay data are well correlated by the calculated decay equations, except the data for $s_{1} / w=0.5$ in figure 84 .

Six slots; flat ends; convergent. - The decay data for two six-slot ventilated (split element) convergent nozzles are presented in figures 85 and 86. Centerbodies either 3.81 or 6.35 centimeters long were inserted into the middle of each element of the three-slot nozzle of figure 75 with an element spacing of 6.35 centimeters. The centerbodies made second spacing ratios $r_{1} / h$ of 0.46 and 0.91 , respectively. For both configurations, decay data were taken on the centerlines of three elements for the first 50.8 centimeters and also on the six-element nozzle centerline. The data taken on the nozzle centerlines show that a gradual mixing or energizing of the air between the nozzles occurs with increasing distance downstream. It appears that for the shorter centerbody (fig. 85) the separation of the two jets in a common slot is not adequate to maintain separate jets that energize the air between them, and the slope of the Mach number ratio increase is less than 0.2 . With a longer centerbody (fig. 86) the slope of the Mach number increase is nearer 0.2. It seems reasonable to assume that the jets would energize the ambient air between them at the same rate that the jets would decay in the coalescing-core regime. It is in the coalesced-core regime that the velocity ratio on the nozzle centerline becomes the dominant peak value. As the centerbody length $r_{1}$ is decreased toward zero, the decay will approach that of figure 75. The previously used hemispherical afterbody (flush with the nozzle exits) was installed on the configuration of figure 86 , and no difference in the data was observed at survey distances of 25.4 and 50.8 centimeters.

## Triangular Elements

Mach number decay data from reference 10 for 2-, 4-, and 12-1obe triangular convergent nozzles are shown in figures 87,88 , and 89 , respectively. The single-element decays for all three nozzles are fairly well predicted, but only the 12 -lobe nozzle decay (fig. 89) is well correlated over all three decay regimes.

## Trapezoidal Elements

Flat ends. - Three flat-end trapezoidal nozzles with nominally the same total area were tested to determine the effect of element spacing and element number on the peak axial Mach number decay. Data obtained with these nozzles are shown in figures 90 to 92 together with calculated decay curves. The calculated decay curves for these three nozzles are compared in figure 93. It is evident that doubling the number of elements while keeping the radial height and the circumferential spacing ratio constant resulted in only a small increase in the Mach number ratio at the departure point from the single-element curve. Increasing the circumferential spacing ratio from 1 to 3 (by increasing the element radial height and reducing its width) for the six-element nozzle resulted in a significantly lower Mach number ratio at the departure point.

Round ends. - The Mach number decay data for nozzles with round-end trapezoidal elements are presented in figures 94 to 100 . The data in figures 94 and 95 for a twolobe and a four-lobe nozzle, respectively, agree well with predicted trends. Decay data shown in figure 96 are for an eight-lobe nozzle with a $10^{\circ}$ conical afterbody. Essentially no difference in the peak Mach number ratio was detected by a survey taken at 50.8 centimeters with no afterbody on the nozzle. As the number of lobes is decreased, the values of $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ at departure point (1) are significantly lowered.

To promote a greater single-element decay of the peak axial Mach number by maintaining separate jets for a longer time, alternate lobes of the eight-lobed nozzle were canted outward from the nozzle centerline. Decay data achieved with an eight-lobe nozzle with alternate lobes canted $5^{\circ}$ and $10^{\circ}$ are shown in figures 97 and 98 , respectively. The correlation used to predict the decay did not include any allowance for canted nozzles (or jets); therefore, the dashed decay curves beyond the single-element curves are estimated curves through the data. The effect of nozzle cant angle is shown in figure 99. As the nozzle cant angle is increased, the jets maintain their individuality for a greater distance downstream, and lower values of $M / M_{j}$ are achieved before coalescing of the jets begins.

The eight-lobe nozzle with four $10^{\circ}$ lobes was modified by plugging one $10^{\circ}$ lobe. Decay data were taken on this seven-lobe nozzle and are presented in figure 100. As
discussed in reference 2 , this modification reduced the scrubbing noise generated by jet attachment to the underside of the wing in a $1 / 2-$ scale externally blown flap (EBF) installation. Although surveys were not taken beyond 50.8 centimeters, the data in figure 100 (a) are essentially the same as those in figure 98 (a) (no plugged lobe). The survey across the plugged lobe (fig. 100 (b)) shows the same slope of Mach number ratio increase (0.2) as did the multislot configuration of figure 86.

## MULTIELEMENT BYPASS NOZZLES

(NOMINALLY NONCOPLANAR EXITS)

Nozzle types discussed in this section are typical of high-bypass, fan-jet engine nozzles insofar as the bypass exit plane is some distance upstream of the core exit plane. For some configurations, screens were placed in the bypass portion of a nozzle to vary the bypass-to-core velocity ratio.

Eight-Tube Primary and Eight-Orifice Secondary

In figure 101, data taken with and without screens in the secondary orifices are compared to show the effect of a reduced secondary (bypass) jet Mach number on the peak axial Mach number decay of a nozzle consisting of eight tubes for the primary and eight round-edge orifices for the secondary. By reducing the velocity of the secondary jets, the departure point for figure 101 (b) is at a lower value of the ratio $M / M_{j}$ than that for figure $101(\mathrm{a})$. For both configurations the data are well represented by the calculated decay curves.

## Eight-Orifice Primary and Eight-Orifice Secondary

A planar sharp-edge multiorifice nozzle with the same element location dimensions as in the tube/round-edge orifice nozzle was tested to compare its decay characteristics with that nozzle. The calculated curves in figure 102 were based on the $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$ of the secondary (large diameter) orifices since these jets had a higher kinetic energy and therefore supplied the peak velocities at each survey station. The effect of the sharpedge orifice flow can be seen in the $C_{n}$ required to correlate the data; $\mathbf{- 0 . 6 0}$ for figure 102 compared with 0.83 for figure 101 (a). Also in figure 102 , the departure point is not sharply defined and the coalescing-core region is nonlinear.

The configuration for which data are shown in figure 103 had the same secondary (eight round-edge orifices) as the configuration of figure 101, but the eight-tube primary was replaced by three larger tubes. The actual departure point was unaffected by reducing the secondary flow velocity for this nozzle, the calculated departure point being below the data. However, the coalesced-core data show the result of the reduced total energy in the combined jets.

Eight-Tube Primary and Annular Orifice Secondary

In figure 104, decay data are shown for a nozzle configu ration consisting of an eight-tube primary surrounded by a 1.02 -centimeter-wide sharp-edge annulus. The nozzle was tested with and without a centerbody (see sketch in table III) inside the bundle of eight tubes and with and without a screen in the annulus. Without a screen in the annulus, the presence of a centerbody (compare figs. 104 (a) and (b)) makes a significant difference in the Mach number decay rate in the coalescing-core region. In figure 104 (b), the annulus flow was attached to the centerbody and retarded the decay rate in the coalescing-core region. However, the departure point appears to be about the same for both configurations. With a screen in the annulus (the screen mesh and wire size were not the same for the two configurations (figs. 104(c) and (d)) - hence, the difference in the annulus Mach number ratio, $\left.M_{b, a v} / M_{j}\right)$, the effect of a centerbody in the eight-tube bundle again shows up. With a centerbody between the tubes (fig. 104(d)) the departure point is at a higher $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ than without a centerbody (fig. 104(c)). Just the opposite should have occurred in the location of the departure point considering that the bypass-to-core Mach number ratio $M_{b} / M_{j}$ is lower in figure 104(d) than in figure 104 (c). Therefore, it is concluded that the centerbody caused an earlier coalescing of the primary and secondary flows. The correlation used for the decay prediction did not include any terms for the effect of an annular jet surrounding a core nozzle. Therefore, the Mach number decay curves are estimated through the data in the coalescingcore and coalesced-core regimes.

Three-Tube Primary and Annular Orifice Secondary

For the data in figure 105, the primary nozzle was again changed from eight tubes to three tubes with the same sharp-edge annulus as for figure 104. No centerbody was used for this configuration. Comparing figures 105 (a) and (b) shows that a reduced
secondary flow velocity delayed the departure point and that, with less total energy in the coalesced-core regime, the Mach number ratio was less at the same downstream distance.

Round-End Slot Primary and Annular Orifice Secondary

The nozzle of figure 106 had a round-end slot ( $A R=4.76$ ) for the primary and a 1.664-centimeter-wide, round-edge annular orifice for the secondary. A smoothed fairing of plaster of paris was used from the inside edge of the annulus to the exit of the slot nozzle (see sketch in table III). Screens of various blockages were used to reduce the velocity of the jet from the annulus. Figures 106 (a) to (c) present the decays for three different bypass-to-core nominal Mach number ratios $M_{b} / M_{j}$. The calculated decay curves are based on the calculated (ideal) decay curve for the primary (slot) nozzle. The $C_{n}$ for the primary nozzle was 0.47 (fig. 22). By increasing the $C_{n}$ to $0.89,0.96$, and 1.08 for figures $106(\mathrm{a})$, (b), and (c), respectively, the data correlated very well. Increasing the $C_{n}$ toward 1.0 and/or greater than 1.0 accounts for the jet ene rgy added to the primary flow by the secondary flow. In figure $106(\mathrm{~d})$, the data are correlated on the calculated decay curve for the annulus only (fig. 40) by using a $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ of 1.2. The secondary (annulus) area is over three times the core (slot) area, and without any velocity reduction in the annulus it is reasonable to expect the annulus jet to dominate the velocity field downstream of the nozzle.

The decay data of figure 106 are normalized in figure 107 by dividing the axial distance parameter by $\left(1+M_{b} / M_{j}\right)^{1.41}$ and using the decay curve of the core (slot) nozzle of figure 22. The data in figures 107 (a) to (c) are well predicted; but as mentioned in regard to figure $106(\mathrm{~d})$, the data in figure 107 (d) would not be expected to follow the predicted decay of the core (slot) nozzle.

## Convergent Circular Primary and Convergent Annulus Secondary

Figure 108 shows the decay data for a bypass nozzle consisting of a 3.58-centimeter-diameter circular convergent nozzle for the primary (core) and a 1.15 -centimeter-wide convergent annulus for the secondary (bypass). Screens were placed in the annulus to vary the bypass-to-core Mach number ratio $M_{b} / M_{j}$. The support struts for the core nozzle assembly were splayed and located upstream of the screen location to minimize wake effects (see sketch in table III). Figure 108 (a) is a repeat of figure 8 for convenience and shows the axial Mach number decay of the core nozzle only, with a $C_{n}$ of 0.82 . Figures $108(b)$ to (d) show the decay data for nominal $M_{b} / M_{j}$ of
$0.50,0.73$, and 1.0 , respectively. Using the diameter of the core nozzle, the calculated decay curves required $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ of $1.27,1.33$, and 1.50 , respectively, to account for the jet energy added to the core-only flow.

Normalization of the effect of the secondary flow ratio for the data of figure 108 is shown in figure 109. For this nozzle, the data are normalized by dividing the axial distance parameter by $\left(1+M_{b} / M_{j}\right)^{0.875}$, using the calculated decay curve of the core nozzle.

A slightly larger bypass nozzle with a core nozzle diameter of 5.18 centimeters and an annulus width of 1.60 centimeters was also tested. This nozzle had radial support struts (for the core nozzle assembly) (see sketch in table III) located immediately downstream of the screen location and was built and tested before the nozzle of figure 108. Axial Mach number decay data for this larger nozzle are shown in figures $110(a)$, (b), and (c) for nominal $M_{b} / M_{j}$ ratios of $0.46,0.69$, and 1.0 , respectively. For these ratios, $C_{n}$ 's of $1.35,1.45$, and 1.53 , respectively, were required to account for the increased jet energy from the bypass flow.

In figure 111 the data of figure 110 are normalized by the same parameter as the smaller bypass nozzle data (fig. 109). Except for the no-screen configuration (fig. 111 (c)), the data are not correlated as well as for the smaller bypass nozzle data of figure 109.

## JET-INDUCED MACH NUMBER RATIOS BETWEEN

## ELEMENTS OF MULTIELEMENT NOZZLES

For the data in figure 112 several small-diameter, four-hole, stream-staticpressure tubes were mounted off the nozzle base parallel to the tubes and at various distances upstream of the tube exits. Two static probes were laid flat on the nozzle base (i.e., at 10.16 cm upstream of the tube exits). An induced Mach number $M_{S}$ was calculated by using atmospheric pressure $p_{0}$ (for total pressure) and static pressure $p_{S}$ (read on a water manometer board referenced to atmospheric pressure) in the following isentropic relation:

$$
M_{s}=\sqrt{5\left[\left(\frac{p_{0}}{p_{S}}\right)^{(\gamma-1) / \gamma}-1\right]}
$$

where the ratio of specific heats $\gamma$ is 1.4 and $(\gamma-1) / \gamma=0.2857$. The calculated induced

Mach number $M_{s}$ was ratioed to the nozzle-exit Mach number $M_{j}$. The range of $M_{j}$ was from 0.64 to 1.15 . As shown in figure 112 (a), with all nozzle tubes 10.16 centimeters long, the induced Mach number ratio ranged from nominally 7 percent at a point 1.27 centimeters upstream of the nozzle exit to nominally 2 percent on the nozzle base. When the exposed tube length was reduced to 1.27 centimeters by using an adjustable baffle (described previously in the discussion of figs. 59 and 61), the induced Mach number ratio was increased to nominally 10 percent. As shown in figure 112 (b), essentially no difference in the ratio $M_{s} / M_{j}$ (compared with fig. $\left.112(a)\right)$ was noted when the six outer-tube exit velocities were reduced to about 75 percent of the seven inner-tube exit velocities. An increase of up to 0.025 in the ratio $M_{s} / M_{j}$ was noted when the six outer tubes were shortened to 3.81 centimeters (fig. 112 (c)). It appears the expanding jets from the six outer tubes increased the induced flow between the seven inner tubes.

Figure 113 presents the effect of exposed nozzle tube length on the Mach number $M_{S}$ induced at the base of the exposed tube. Two of the four-hole stream static pressure tubes were attached flat on the surface of the adjustable baffle at radii of 1.75 and 4.92 centimeters. With the baffle flush with the tube exits (zero tube length), a Mach number $M_{S}$ as high as 23 percent of the nozzle-exit Mach number was induced between the tubes ( 1.75 cm radius). For the full-length tubes ( 10.16 cm ) , 2.5 percent of the nozzle-exit Mach number was induced at both locations on the nozzle base.

As shown in figure 114 , one outside surface of the center slot of the two three-slot nozzles (figs. 74 and 75 ) was instrumented with surface static pressure taps. Depending on the spacing between the nozzles, the induced surface Mach number $M_{S}$ ranged between 5 and 9.5 percent of the nozzle-exit Mach number. The closer nozzle spacing consistently gave the higher ratio.

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

Correlation equations were developed to predict the peak axial jet Mach number decay of a multitude of single- and multielement (mixer) nozzles. Prediction of multitube (nonorifice) nozzle jet decay data was very good over all three decay regimes (i.e., single element, coalescing core, and coalesced core). Prediction of multiorifice nozzle jet decay generally was not as good as for the multitube nozzles.

In using a mixer nozzle for reducing the jet-flap interaction noise from an externally blown flap for STOL aircraft applications, we must consider not only the effect of the reduction of the impinging velocity on the flap, but also the larger jet impingement area on the flap. This increased area is caused primarily by the larger overall dimensions of the mixer-nozzle jet compared with a conventional circular-nozzle jet. Thus, the full jet-flap interaction noise benefits resulting from the velocity decay
associated with a mixer nozzle may be significantly reduced by the larger jet impingement area (determined from the width of the velocity profile curve where the velocity is down to 80 percent of the peak jet impingement velocity). Also the mixer-nozzle jets may create a new location of jet-flap interaction noise, such as a flap leading edge or wing scrubbing because of the increased size and location of the mixer nozzle.

For a given Mach number decay requirement, the minimum number of elements for a multielement nozzle appears to be obtained when the design value of $X /\left(C_{n} D_{e} \sqrt{1+M_{j}}\right)$ for the nozzle is at the departure point of the coalescing core from the single-element curve. This design criterion could be achieved by only a small number of elements for a given nozzle application. And it should cause minimum internal flow losses and external drag increases.

On the basis of the preceding brief remarks, there are obvious performance tradeoffs and compromises that can be exercised in the design of mixer nozzles for specific applications. The empirical relations for predicting peak Mach number decay curves for jets presented herein are a step in establishing rational design procedures for mixer nozzles. Use of present technology for predicting internal nozzle-flow loses and aerodynamic penalties associated with the larger mixer-nozzle surfaces and cross-sectional profiles can provide the additional necessary information to achieve optimum mixernozzle configurations.

Lewis Research Center,
National Aeronautics and Space Administration,
Cleveland, Ohio, April 27, 1976, 505-03.

TABLE I. - NOZZLE DIMENSIONS AND CALCULATED VALUES FOR SINGLE-ELEMENT NOZZLES OR ORIFICES


Configurations 5 and 6


Configuration 8

(a) Circular elements

|  | Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{1}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | $\mathrm{b}_{5}$ | ${ }_{6} 6$ | $\mathrm{d}_{7}$ | $e_{8}$ |
| Figure | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8.108 (a) | 9 |
| Original run | 3 | 50 | 51 | 82 | 105 | 106 | 119 | ---- |
| Normalizing coefficient, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | 0.91 | 0.77 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.82 | 0.91 |
| Type | Tube | Orifice | Orifice | Orifice | Nozzle | Nozzle | Nozzle | Nozzle |
| Inlet | $82^{\circ}$ Countersunk | Sharp edge | Round edge | Sharp edge | ------ | ------ | ---m-- | ------ |
| Nozzle axial length, $l$, cm | 10.16 | 0.318 | 0.318 | 0.635 | ------ | ------ | ------ | ------- |
| Total nozzle area, $A_{n}, \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ | 4.38 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 5.08 | 45.60 | 45.60 | 10.10 | 45.60 |
| Nozzle diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$, cm | 2.36 | 2.46 | 2.46 | 2.54 | 7.62 | 7.62 | 3.58 | 7.62 |

${ }^{a_{1}}, 27 \mathrm{~cm}$ of tube exposed to atmosphere.
$\mathrm{b}_{15}{ }^{\circ}$ Half-angle convergent ( $0.318-\mathrm{cm}$ lip).
$\mathrm{c}_{15}{ }^{\circ}$ Half-angle convergent ( $0.079-\mathrm{cm}$ lip).
$\mathrm{d}_{4.4^{\circ}}$ Half-angle convergent (sharp lip).
${ }^{e}$ Nozzle 1 (refs. 10 and 11).

(b) Rectangular elements

|  | Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | $\mathrm{f}_{15}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{16}$ | $\mathrm{h}_{17}$ | ${ }^{1} 18$ | $\mathrm{j}_{19}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{20}$ | ${ }_{21}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{23}$ | ${ }^{\circ} 24$ | $\mathrm{P}_{25}$ | ${ }^{9} 26$ | $\mathrm{r}_{27}$ | ${ }^{5} 28$ | $\mathrm{t}_{29}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{30}$ |
| Figure | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14a | 14b | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
| Original run | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 52 | 80 | 70 | 87 | 89 | 74 | 101 | 104 | 109 | 98 | 99 | ------- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normalizing coefficient, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.67 | 0.81 | 0.70 | 0.84 | 0.63 | 0.67 | 0.59 | 0.81 | 0.78 | 0.47 | 0.94 | 0.88 | 0.93 | 0.33 | 0.50 | 0.31 | 0.73 | 1.00 |
| Type | Orifice | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ori- } \\ \text { fice } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ori- } \\ \text { fice } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ori- } \\ \text { fice } \end{gathered}$ | Ori- <br> fice | Orifice | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ori- } \\ \text { fice } \end{gathered}$ | Orifice | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ori- } \\ \text { fice } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ori- } \\ \text { fice } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ori- } \\ \text { fice } \end{gathered}$ | Convergent nozzle | Convergent nozzle |  | Conver- <br> gent <br> nozzle |  | Convergent nozzle | Convergent nozzle | Convergent nozzle |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conver- } \\ & \text { gent } \\ & \text { nozzle } \end{aligned}$ |
| Inlet | Sharp edge | Sharp edge | Sharp edge | Sharp edge | Sharp edge | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} \text { Round } \\ \text { edge } \end{array}\right\|$ | Sharp edge | Sharp <br> edge | Sharp edge | Sharp edge | Sharp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\square$

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{~cm}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cc} 
& \vdots \\
38.70 & 77.50 \cdot 58
\end{array}
$$



| 7.03 | 9.94 | 8.60 | 7.03 | 4.96 | 4.96 | 3.84 | 3.84 | 5.76 | 4.71 | 3.84 | 3.68 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

3.68

$$
22.20 \quad 30.9
$$

. 684.00
5.32
6.28

Hydraulic
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{cm}$
Diameter ratio,

$$
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{h}} \\
& \text { Noncircular element }
\end{aligned}
$$

width, w, cm
Noncircular element
height, h, cm
(except as noted)

| 6.100 | 7.620 | 6. 100 | 4.350 | 2.340 | 2.340 | 3.150 | 2. 540 | 3.810 | 2.690 | 1.866 | 2. 500 | 2.570 | 3.900 | 2.830 | 2.830 | 6.750 | 6.370 | 5.030 | 5.030 | 4.700 | 5.740 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.152 | 1.302 | 1.410 | 1.615 | 2. 120 | 2.120 | 1.220 | 1.516 | 1.512 | 1.750 | 2.059 | 1.471 | 1.558 | 1.365 | 2.220 | 2.220 | 1.130 | 1.198 | 1.515 | 1.515 | 1. 620 | 2.750 |
| 5.080 | 5.080 | 3.810 | 2.540 | 1.270 | 1.270 | 2.286 | 1. 524 | 2.286 | 1. 524 | 1.020 | 1.524 | 1.524 | 2.290 | 1.524 | 1.524 | 6.750 | 4.775 | 3.020 | 3.020 | 2.740 | 3.000 |
| 7.62 | 15.24 | 15.24 | 15.24 | 15.24 | 15.24 | 5.08 | 7.62 | 11.43 | 11.43 | 11.43 | 6.99 | 8.25 | 10.30 | 20.32 | 20.32 | 6.75 | 9.55 | 15.10 | 15.10 | 16.55 | 65.00 |
| 1.50 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 6.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 2.22 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 7.50 | 11.25 | 4.51 | 5.42 | $\mathrm{v}_{4.76}$ | 13.33 | 13.33 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 21.70 |

$\mathrm{f}_{\text {Single slot }}$ of four-slot configuration 75.
$\mathrm{g}_{\text {Single slot of four-slot configuration } 76 .}$
${ }^{\text {h }}$ Single slot of four-slot configuration 77 .
$\mathrm{i}_{\text {Single slot of four-slot configuration } 78 .}$
$\mathrm{j}_{\text {Single }}$ slot of four-slot configuration 79 .
${ }^{\text {Single slot of six-slot configuration } 90}$.
${ }^{\text {Single slot of six-slot configuration } 89 .}$
Core nozzle of bypass-nozzle configurations 114 to 117
${ }^{n}$ Single nozzle of three-slot configurations 72 to 74
${ }^{\circ}$ Configuration 23 with $15^{\circ}$ end plates.
${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ Reference 10; nozzle 5; wall divergence angle, $\beta$, $0^{\circ}$
${ }^{\mathrm{q}}$ Reference 10; nozzle 7; wall divergence angle, $\beta, 30^{\circ}$.

${ }^{5}$ Reference 10; nozzle 9; wall divergence angle, $\beta, 30^{\circ}$
${ }^{\mathrm{t}}$ Reference 10; nozzle 6; wall divergence angle, $\beta, 0^{\circ}$.
${ }^{4}$ Reference 8 .
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{AR}}=\mathrm{h}^{2} / \mathrm{A} \mathrm{e}$.

(c) Triangular elements (sharp-edge orifices, 0.318 cm thick)

|  | Configuration |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 31 | 32 | 33 |
| Figure | 31 | 32 | 33 |
| Original run | 46 | 47 | 48 |
| Normalizing coefficient, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | 0.71 | 0.65 | 0.67 |
| Total nozzle area, $A_{n}, \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ | 19.35 | 38.70 | 19.35 |
| Equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{e}}$, cm | 4.96 | 7.03 | 4.96 |
| Hydraulic diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}}$, cm | 3.66 | 4.30 | 2.34 |
| Diameter ratio, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{e}} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | 1.360 | 1.635 | 2.120 |
| Larger width of variable-width element, $w_{l}, \mathrm{~cm}$ | 5.08 | 5.08 | 2.54 |
| Noncircular element height, $h, \mathrm{~cm}$ | 7.62 | 15.24 | 15.24 |


(d) Trapezoidal elements (round inlet; constant flow area)

|  | Configuration |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{w}_{34}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{35}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{36}$ | $\mathrm{z}_{37}$ |
|  | Flat end |  | Round end |  |
| Figure | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 |
| Original run | 54 | 56 | 58 | 22 |
| Normalizing coefficient, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | 0.77 | 0.83 | 0.81 | 0.71 |
| Nozzle axial length, $l, \mathrm{~cm}$ | 7.62 | 7.62 | 7.62 | 5.08 |
| Total nozzle area, $A_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | 8.00 | 7.67 | 3.80 | 11.40 |
| Equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$, cm | 3.190 | 3.125 | 2.200 | 3.810 |
| Hydraulic diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}}$, cm | 2.350 | 2.690 | 1.736 | 3.050 |
| Diameter ratio, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | 1.36 | 1.16 | 1.27 | 1.25 |
| Smaller width of variable-width element, $w_{s}$, cm | 0.915 | 1. 575 | 0.838 | 1.194 |
| Larger width of variable-width element, $\mathrm{w}_{l}$, cm | 2.130 | 3.30 | 1.575 | 2.510 |
| - Noncircular element height, h, cm | 5.23 | 3.15 | 3.15 | 6.22 |

${ }^{W}$ Single nozzle of configuration 94.
$\mathrm{x}_{\text {Single nozzle of configuration } 95 .}$
${ }^{y_{\text {Single noze }}}$ nozle of configuration 96 .
${ }^{z}$ Single nozzle of configurations 97 to 102.

(e) Y-shape (sharp-edge orifice, 0.318 cm thick)

|  | Configuration 38 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Figure | 38 |
| Original run | 45 |
| Normalizing coefficient, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | 0.93 |
| Total orifice: |  |
| $\quad$ Throat nozzle area, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | 55.4 |
| $\quad$ Equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{cm}$ | 8.41 |
| Hydraulic diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{cm}$ | 4.51 |
| One leg: |  |
| $\quad$ Noncircular element width, $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{cm}$ | 2.54 |
| Noncircular element height, $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{cm}$ | 7.62 |
| Aspect ratio, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{h}^{2} /\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}} / 3\right)$ | 3.14 |
|  |  |


(f) Annuli

|  | Configuration |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{\text {aj }} 39$. | $\mathrm{bb}_{40}$ | ${ }^{\text {cc }} 41$ |
| Figure | 39 | 40 | 41 |
| Original run | 90 | 111 | 120 |
| Normalizing coefficient, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | 0.32 | 0.82 | 0.85 |
| Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Orifice } 0.476 \\ & \text { em thick } \end{aligned}$ | Orifice 0.476 cm thick | Convergent |
| Inlet | Sharp edge | Round edge | -- |
| Total nozzle area, $A_{n}, \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ | 38.7 | 69.0 | 32.9 |
| Inner radius, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$, cm | 5.588 | 5.715 | 3.962 |
| Outer radius, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$, cm | 6.604 | 7.379 | 5.118 |
| Annulus height, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{cm}$ | 1.106 | 1.664 | 1.156 |
| Equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$, cm | 7.050 | 9.370 | 6.472 |
| Hydraulic diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}}$, cm | 2.03 | 3.33 | 2.31 |
| Diameter ratio, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | 3.470 | 2.815 | 2.803 |

${ }^{\mathrm{aa}} \mathrm{Used}$ on configurations 108 to 113 .
$\mathrm{bb}_{\text {Used on }}$ configurations 114 to 117 .
$\mathrm{cc}_{\text {Used on }}$ configurations 118 to 120


CE - Circular arroy, equal number of tubes per ring


CU - Circular array: unequal number of tubes per ring
(a) Circular element:

|  | Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | ${ }^{a_{48}}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{49}$ | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 |
| Figure | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | S5 |
| Original run | 14 | 13 | 11 | 16 | 59 | 49 | 96 | 65 | 85 | 84 | 83 | 18 | 92 |
| Normalizing coethelant. $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | 0.83 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.83 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.78 | 0.83 | 0.77 | 0.70 | 0.63 | 0.89 | 0.80 |
| Value of axial distunce parameter | 9.45 | 12.03 | 1604 | 20.14 | 15.44 | 15.44 | 16.04 | 26.37 | 14.85 | 14.47 | 14.07 | 8.86 | 14.94 |
| $x / C_{n} D_{e} \sqrt{1+M_{1}}$ at departure point of coalescing core from slinglewelement decay curve. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analytical displacement parameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 2.25 | 2.14 | 1.99 | 1.86 | 2.01 | 2.01 | 1.99 | 2.23 | 2.74 | 2.74 | 2.74 | 3.13 | 2.69 |
| Desigrationt | 0-6-0 | 0-6-0 | 0-6-0 | 0-6-0 | 0-8-0 | 0-6-0 | 0-6-0 | 0-8-0 | 0-6-6 | 0-6-6 | 0-6-6 | 0-6-6 | 0-6-6 |
| Arsay ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |  |  | CE | CE | CE | CE |  | CE | CE | CE | cE | CE | CE |
| T ${ }_{\text {ype }}$ | Tubes | Tubes | Tubes | Tubes | arifices | Oriflees | Tubes | Tubes | Orificea | Orifices | Orifices | Tubes | Tubes |
| buct | $82^{\circ} \text { Coun- }$ | Statp | $82^{\circ} \text { Coun- }$ | $82^{\circ} \text { Coun- }$ | Hound | Sharp | $\begin{array}{r} 30^{\circ} \\ \text { Flared } \end{array}$ | $82^{\circ} \text { Coun- }$ | Sharp | Sharp | Shatp | $82^{\circ} \text { Coun- }$ | Flired ${ }^{3}{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Nozzle axial length, $l . \mathrm{cm}$ | 10.160 | 10.160 | 10.160 | 10.160 | 0.318 | 0.318 | 10.160 | 10.160 | 0.635 | 0.635 | 0.635 | 10.160 | 10.160 |
| Equivalent dameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\text {e. }}$ T, em | 5.79 | 5.79 | 5.79 | 5.79 | 6.03 | 6.03 | 5.66 | 3.99 | 8.80 | 8.80 | 8.80 | 8.20 | 8.20 |
| Equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{c}^{\text {c }} \text { cm }}$ | 2.36 | 2.36 | 2.36 | 2.36 | 2.46 | 2.46 | 2.31 | 1.41 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.30 | 2.36 |
| Equivalent diameter ratio, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{T}^{\text {e }}$ | 2.449 | 2.449 | 2.449 | 2.449 | 2.449 | 2.449 | 2.449 | 2.828 | 3.464 | 3.464 | 3.464 | 3.464 | 3. 464 |
| Centeriinc radius of first ring of | 3.18 | 3.81 | 5. 08 | 6.67 | 5.08 | 5.08 | 5.08 | 4.06 | 5.08 | 5.08 | 5.08 | 3.18 | 5.08 |
| tubes, $\mathrm{R}_{1}$, cm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Centertine raclius of sccond ring of tubes, $\mathrm{R}_{2}, \mathrm{~cm}$ | ---- | ------ | ---- | --- | - | - | --men | - | 7.78 | 8.25 | 8.89 | 6.36 | 8.89 |
| Overall mozzle radius $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$ cm | 4.35 | 4.99 | 6.27 | 7.84 | 6.32 | 6.32 | 6.27 | 4.76 | 9.04 | 9.52 | 10.16 | 7.53 | 10,08 |
| Actual ratial and cireumferential spacing between jets, cm: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $r_{1}$ | ------ | ---- | --7--- | -- | --7- | ----- | - | --mor | ----- | $\cdots$ | ------ | ------- | ----- |
| $s_{1}$ | 0.813 | 1.450 | 2.720 | 4.300 | 2.620 | 2.620 | 2.720 | 1.698 | 2.540 | 2.540 | 2.540 | 0.813 | 2.720 |
| $\mathrm{r}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  | - | -- | ---- | - | 0.159 | 0.635 | 1.270 | 0.813 | 1.450 |
| $\mathrm{s}_{2}$ |  |  | --..------ | -- | --. | --- | --- | - | 6.24 | 5.71 | 6.35 | 3.99 | 6. 53 |
| Spacing ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{r}_{1} / \mathrm{s}_{1}$ |  | --- | --- | --- |  |  |  | ---- |  | ----- | - | --- | ----- |
| $\mathrm{r}_{2} / \mathrm{s}_{2}$ |  | --- | ----- | - |  |  | - | - | 0.0304 | 0.1110 | 0.2000 | 0.2840 | 0.2220 |
| $\mathrm{r}_{1} / \mathrm{d}_{1}$ |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | --------- |  | ---- | - | - | ----- |
| $\mathrm{r}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{\prime} \mathrm{d}_{2}$ | -- | -- | -- | --..- |  | ---- | - | ---- | 0.063 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.345 | 0.625 |
| $s_{1} / d_{1}$ | 0.345 | 0.613 | 1. 252 | 1.825 | 1.064 | 1.064 | 3.152 | 1.203 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.345 | 1.152 |
| $\mathrm{s}_{2} /$ / $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ |  |  | ------ |  |  |  |  |  | 2.06 | 2.25 | 2.50 | 1.69 | 2.77 |
| "Swind indurers in tubes and deducterl from flow area. <br> ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Core nowle for configurations 10n. Let. and 108 to 111. <br> ${ }^{\text {spuncos}}$ between orffers pumped subalmoxpheric. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{ct}}$ Ejposed tube lenatt 127 cm <br> "Exponed tile iengtia. 0. 5.09. and 1016 cm . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Histrectio in six outer tubes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Tube lengths. em center, 1.27, six inner, 6.35, Bix outer, 10.16. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ See shetshes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}=d_{0}-d_{1} \times d_{2}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## constant How area)



(b) Rectangulaz

|  | Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{m}_{70}$ | $n_{70}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{70}$ | ${ }^{7} 1$ | $\mathrm{n}_{71}$ | ${ }^{\circ} 71$ | 72 | 73 | $\mathrm{P}_{74}$ | ${ }^{9} 75$ |
| Figure | 72 | 72 | 72 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 |
| Original run | 25 | 25 | 25 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 95 | 97 | 102 | 75 |
| Normalizing coefficient, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | 0.53 |  |  | 0. 60 | -------- | --------- | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.66 |
| $\underline{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{I}^{\left(1+\sin ^{2} \beta\right)}$ | 8.78 | ~------- | --------- | 8.37 | --------- | --------- | 4.78 | 5.97 | 5.97 | 13.26 |
| $1+\frac{1}{0.8+\frac{10^{5}}{(\mathrm{AR})^{4}}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analytical displacement parameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 1.50 | ---------- | ---------- | 1.50 | --------- | --------- | 1.72 | 1.71 | 1.71 | 1.85 |
| Type | Three-slot constantarea nozzle | Three-slot constantarea nozzle | Three-slot constantarea nozzle | Three-slot constantarea nozzle | Three-slot constantarea nozzle | Three-slot constantarea nozzle | Three-slot convergent nozzie | Three-slot convergent nozzle | Three-slot convergent nozzle | Four-slot orifice |
| Nozzle axial length, $l$, cm | 3.81 | 3.81 | 3.81 | 3.81 | 3.81 | 3.81 | 10.16 | 10.16 | 10.16 | 0.635 |
| Total nozzle area, $A_{n}, \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ | 95.9 | -_----- | - | 88.5 | --------- | -- | 93.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 46.4 |
| Area of single nozzle element, $A_{e}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{cc}_{26.8}$ | $\mathrm{cc}_{41.3}$ | $\mathrm{cc}_{27.8}$ | $\mathrm{cc}_{25.2}$ | ${ }^{c} c_{38.1}$ | ${ }^{c} c_{25.2}$ | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 11.6 |
|  | 11.07 | ------- | --------- | 10.60 | --------- | -- | 10.88 | 10.88 | 10.88 | 7.70 |
| Equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{cm}$ | 5.85 | 7.25 | 5.94 | 5.66 | 6.96 | 5.66 | 6.27 | 6.27 | 6.27 | 3.85 |
| Hydraulic diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}}$, cm | 4.43 | 4.80 | 4.59 | 4.22 | 4.48 | 4.22 | 2.83 | 2.83 | 2.83 | 3.15 |
| Equivalent diameter ratio, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{T}} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$ | 1.890 | 1.520 | 1.865 | 1.870 | 1. 520 | 1.870 | 1.732 | 1.732 | 1.732 | 2.000 |
| Diameter ratio, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | 1.320 | 1.515 | 1.295 | 1.340 | 1.555 | 1.340 | 2.220 | 2.220 | 2.220 | 1.222 |
| Noncircular element width, $w, \mathrm{~cm}$ | 2.540 | 2.540 | 2.540 | 2.540 | 2.540 | 2.540 | 1.520 | 1.520 | 1. 520 | 2.290 |
| Noncircular element height, h, cm | 10.64 | 15.72 | 10.64 | 10.50 | 15.56 | 10.50 | 20.32 | 20.32 | 20.32 | 5.08 |
| Aspect ratio $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{w}$ (umless noted) | $\mathrm{dd}_{4.22}$ | $\mathrm{dd}_{5.97}$ | $\mathrm{dd}_{4.08}$ | $\mathrm{dd}_{4.36}$ | $\mathrm{dd}_{6,36}$ | $\mathrm{dd}_{4.36}$ | 13.33 | 13.33 | 13.33 | 2.22 |
| Actuai spacing between jets, cm: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Circumferential, $\mathrm{s}_{1}$ | 5.080 | 5.080 | 5.080 | 5.080 | 5.080 | 5.080 | 4.320 | 6.350 | 6. 350 | 4.570 |
| $\text { Radial, } r_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spacing ratio, $\mathrm{s}_{1} / \mathrm{w}$ | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.83 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 2.00 |
| Wall divergence angle, $\beta$, deg | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 |
| Single-element configuration |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 23 | 23 | 23 | 15 |

[^1]
-ments.


(c) Triangular elements (convergent nozzles)

ee $_{\text {Reference }} 10$, nozzle 10.
$\mathrm{ff}_{\text {Reference } 10 \text {, nozzle } 11 .}$
$\mathrm{gg}_{\text {Reference } 10, ~ n o z z l e ~} 12$.

TABLE II. - Concluded.


Flat end


Round end
(d) Trapezoidal elements (round inlet; constant flow area)


[^2]

| Figure <br> Original rum <br> Normaltzing coefficient, $\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ <br> Value of axdal distance parameter $x / C_{n} D_{e} \sqrt{1+M_{j}}$ nt departure point of conlescing core from single-element demy curve, $\mathrm{Z}_{(1)}$ <br> Axial displacement parameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}$ <br> Number of elements: <br> Core (primary) <br> Bypass (secondary) <br> Type of element: <br> Core (primary) <br> Bypass (secondary) <br> A rea of all elements. $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ : <br> Core <br> Bypass <br> Total <br> Area of single element. $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ : <br> Core <br> Bypass <br> Equivalent diameter (all elements), $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$, cm : <br> Core <br> Bypass <br> Total <br> Equivalent diameter (single element), $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$, cm: Core <br> Bypass <br> Centerline radius of first ring of tubes, $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{1}}$, cm <br> Centerline racius of second ring of tubes, $\mathrm{F}_{2}$, cm <br> Overall nozzle radius, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}$, $\mathbf{c m}$ <br> Nozzle axial length, $l$, em <br> Actual circumferential spacing between adjacent jets, om: <br> $s_{1}$ <br> $s_{2}$ <br> Circular element diameter, cm: <br> $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ <br> $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ <br> Actunl radial spacing between adjacent jets, $r_{2}, \mathrm{~cm}$ <br> Spacing ratios. $\begin{aligned} & s_{1} / d_{1} \\ & s_{2} / d_{2} \\ & s_{2} / d_{1} \end{aligned}$ <br> Nominal ratio of bypass (secondary) Llow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |




T 100

1 | 105 |  |
| :--- | :--- |

品



Configurations 108, 109, 110, and 111


Configurationa 112 and 113


Configurations 103 to 113 (except 105) (centerbody used on configurations 109 and 111 orly)



TABLE IV. - PEAK AXIAL JET MACH NUMBER DECAY DATA FOR SINGLE-ELEMENT NOZZLES AND ORIFICES
(a) Configuration 1; nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}, 0.825$

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, cm | Downstream peak Mach number, M |
| :---: | :---: |
| 12.70 | 0.81 |
| 25.40 | . 60 |
| 38.10 | . 40 |
| 50.80 | . 28 |
| 93.98 | . 131 |
| 132.08 | . 075 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j}$, id | 1.0 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | ----- |

(b) Configuration 2

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.802 | 0.985 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |
| 12.70 | 0.756 | 0.938 |
| 25.40 | . 51 | . 698 |
| 38.10 | . 34 | . 47 |
| 50.80 | . 25 | . 34 |
| 129.54 | . 082 | ------ |
| 152.40 | . 069 | . 089 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$, id | 0.999 | 0.995 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | ----- | ----- |

TABLE IV. - Continued.
(c) Configuration 3

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.80 | 0.99 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |
| 12.70 | 0.780 | 0.967 |
| 25.40 | . 580 | . 765 |
| 38.10 | . 395 | . 52 |
| 50.80 | . 300 | . 37 |
| 152.40 | . 079 | . 102 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 1.003 | 1.001 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | ----- | ----- |

(d) Configuration 4

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.952 | 1.156 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |
| 12.70 | 0.93 | 1.105 |
| 25.40 | . 675 | . 87 |
| 38.10 | . 45 | . 58 |
| 46.99 | . 35 | . 47 |
| 101.60 | ----- | . 191 |
| 139.70 | . 104 | . 132 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.988 | 0.994 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.469 | ----- |

TABLE IV. - Continued.
(e) Configuration 5

| Axial distance, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{\text {a }} 0.780$ | 0.985 | $\mathrm{a}_{1.042}$ | ${ }^{1} 1.195$ | ${ }^{\text {a }} 1.35$ |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |
| 7.62 | 0.780 | 0.985 | 1.049 | 1.190 | 1. 343 |
| 15.24 | . 780 | . 981 | 1.043 | 1.185 | 1.318 |
| 22.86 | . 780 | . 975 | 1.040 | 1.188 | 1.300 |
| 30.48 | . 775 | . 980 | 1.041 | 1.181 | 1.290 |
| 38.10 | . 765 | . 970 | 1.035 | 1.188 | 1.280 |
| 45.72 | . 735 | . 945 | 1.015 | 1.170 | 1.285 |
| 53.34 | . 685 | . 895 | . 970 | 1.14 | 1.30 |
| 60.96 | . 640 | . 835 | . 915 | 1.095 | 1.270 |
| 68.58 | . 580 | . 270 | . 835 | 1.025 | 1.215 |
| 76.20 | . 540 | . 710 | . 770 | . 945 | 1.150 |
| 83.82 | . 490 | . 655 | . 715 | . 880 | 1.070 |
| 91.94 | . 455 | . 605 | . 665 | . 815 | 1.010 |
| 99.06 | . 425 | . 560 | . 610 | . 755 | . 920 |
| 106.68 | . 395 | . 525 | . 575 | . 705 | . 855 |
| Ratio of $M_{j}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.991 | 0.995 | 0.989 | 0.992 | 0.996 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | ------ | - | ------ | ------- | - |

(f) Configuration 6

| Axial distance, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{a_{0.785}}$ | 0.985 | ${ }^{\text {a }} 1.045$ | $\mathrm{a}_{1.195}$ | ${ }^{1.35}$ |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |
| 7.62 | 0.785 | 0.982 | 1.048 | 1.190 | 1.342 |
| 15.24 | . 780 | . 980 | 1.040 | 1.182 | 1.320 |
| 22.86 | . 782 | . 990 | 1.042 | 1.190 | 1.302 |
| 30.48 | . 780 | . 977 | 1.040 | 1.175 | 1.290 |
| 38.10 | . 760 | . 973 | 1.037 | 1.185 | 1.280 |
| 45.72 | . 740 | . 950 | 1.015 | 1.170 | 1.290 |
| 53.34 | . 700 | . 900 | . 975 | 1.15 | 1.31 |
| 60.96 | . 640 | . 840 | . 915 | 1.100 | 1.275 |
| 68.58 | . 595 | . 780 | . 845 | 1.035 | 1.230 |
| 76.20 | . 550 | . 720 | . 780 | . 970 | 1.130 |
| 83.82 | . 500 | . 660 | . 720 | . 895 | 1.090 |
| 91.94 | . 470 | . 610 | . 665 | . 825 | 1.010 |
| 99.06 | . 425 | . 575 | . 615 | . 760 | . 935 |
| 106.68 | . 400 | . 525 | . 575 | . 710 | . 875 |
| 114.30 | . 375 | . 490 | . 530 | . 660 | . 760 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 1.000 | 0.998 | 0.995 | 0.995 | 0.999 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 1.025 | 1.010 | 1.012 | 1.001 | 1.033 |

[^3]TABLE IV. - Continued.
(g) Configuration 7

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.465 | 0.638 | 0.805 | 0.991 | 1.158 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.45 | 0.628 | 0.796 | 0.986 | 1.149 |
| 25.40 | . 375 | . 540 | . 700 | . 900 | 1.085 |
| 38.10 | . 270 | . 400 | . 525 | . 690 | . 874 |
| 50.80 | . 215 | . 315 | . 415 | . 540 | . 680 |
| 63.50 | . 170 | . 250 | . 330 | . 435 | . 540 |
| 76.20 | . 150 | . 220 | . 280 | . 350 | . 450 |
| 101.60 | . 105 | . 155 | . 210 | . 275 | . 335 |
| Ratio of $M_{j}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 1.020 | 1.002 | 1.001 | 0.997 | 0.996 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | ----- | ----- | ----- | ---- | --- |

(h) Configuration 9

| Axial distance, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.26 | 0.458 | 0.635 | 0.805 | 0.990 | 1.160 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.260 | 0.455 | 0.630 | 0.800 | 0.990 | 1.148 |
| 25.40 | . 260 | . 450 | . 628 | . 800 | . 990 | 1.147 |
| 38.10 | . 200 | . 380 | . 540 | . 710 | . 910 | 1.095 |
| 50.80 | . 150 | . 310 | . 440 | . 575 | . 755 | . 925 |
| 137.16 | . 067 | . 126 | . 180 | . 238 | . 314 | .393 |
| 205.74 | . 047 | . 084 | . 117 | . 153 | . 203 | . 254 |
| 271.78 | . 040 | . 063 | . 092 | . 118 | 151 | . 186 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{\mathbf{j}, \text { id }}$ | 0.959 | 1. 004 | $0: 998$ | 1.002 | 0.997 | 0.998 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 0.657 | 0.646 | 0.657 | 0.696 | 0.746 | 0.793 |

TABLE IV. - Continued.
(i) Configuration 10

| Axial distance, X, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.265 | 0.460 | 0.630 | 0.802 | 0.990 | 1.160 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.265 | 0.450 | 0.630 | 0.800 | 0.988 | 1. 142 |
| 25.40 | . 250 | . 420 | . 600 | . 772 | . 970 | 1.125 |
| 38.10 | . 220 | . 365 | . 530 | . 690 | . 890 | 1.040 |
| 50.80 | . 175 | . 320 | . 460 | . 600 | . 780 | . 935 |
| 137.16 | . 094 | . 168 | . 244 | . 319 | . 417 | . 530 |
| 218.44 | . 060 | . 103 | . 149 | . 197 | . 256 | . 328 |
| 304.80 | . 042 | . 071 | . 102 | . 135 | . 178 | . 230 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.978 | 1.009 | 0.991 | 0.999 | 0.997 | 0.998 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 0.798 | 0.740 | 0.736 | 0.765 | 0.814 | 0.854 |

(j) Configuration 11

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.265 | 0.450 | 0.633 | 0.803 | 0.989 | 1.150 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.265 | 0.450 | 0.62 | 0.795 | 0.983 | 1.147 |
| 25.40 | . 223 | . 370 | . 535 | . 705 | . 912 | 1.078 |
| 38.10 | . 175 | . 330 | . 450 | . 590 | . 770 | . 940 |
| 50.80 | . 150 | . 275 | . 380 | . 500 | . 650 | . 805 |
| - 142.24 | . 076 | . 135 | . 195 | . 258 | . 335 | . 425 |
| 215.90 | . 053 | . 088 | . 127 | . 168 | . 222 | . 279 |
| 304.80 | . 034 | . 061 | . 087 | . 116 | . 150 | . 190 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.978 | 0.987 | 0.995 | 1.000 | 0.996 | 0.990 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 0.922 | 0.764 | 0.727 | 0.760 | 0.802 | 0.841 |

TABLE IV. - Continued.
(k) Configuration 12

(l) Configuration 13

| Axial distance,$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{X} \\ & \mathrm{~cm} \end{aligned}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.455 | 0.635 | 0.805 | 0.994 | 1.155 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.330 | 0.470 | 0.610 | 0.800 | 0.861 |
| 25.40 | . 225 | . 320 | . 420 | . 535 | . 635 |
| 38.10 | . 190 | . 270 | . 340 | . 435 | . 550 |
| 50.80 | . 170 | . 250 | . 300 | . 380 | . 485 |
| 114.30 | . 099 | . 144 | . 187 | . 241 | . 298 |
| 154.94 | . 070 | . 103 | . 140 | . 180 | . 222 |
| 228.60 | . 040 | . 065 | . 089 | . 118 | . 149 |
| Patio of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ to ideal | - |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, | 1.000 | 0.998 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 0.995 |
| $M_{\mathbf{j}} / M_{\mathbf{j}, \mathrm{id}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.708 | 0.690 | 0.726 | 0.769 | 0.818 |

TABLE IV. - Continued.
(m) Configuration 14

| Axial distance, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.460 | 0.630 | 0.805 | 0.990 | 1.156 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.360 | 0.520 | 0.670 | 0.860 | $\mathrm{b}_{0.908}$ |
| 25.40 | . 260 | . 360 | . 466 | . 608 | ${ }^{\text {b }} .668$ |
| 38.10 | . 220 | . 300 | . 380 | . 475 | . 575 |
| 50.80 | . 190 | . 260 | . 330 | . 425 | . 520 |
| 132.08 | . 103 | . 145 | . 189 | . 240 | . 294 |
| 182.88 | . 075 | . 104 | . 138 | . 175 | . 212 |
| 218.44 | . 063 | . 088 | . 114 | . 146 | . 177 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, | 1.011 | 0.992 | 1.004 | 0.998 | 0.996 |
| $M_{j} / M_{j}$, id |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.825 | 0.835 | 0.855 | 0.907 | 0.940 |

(n) Configuration 15

| Axial distance, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.640 | 0.800 | 0.985 | 1.156 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.630 | 0.796 | 0.990 | 1.154 |
| 25.40 | . 490 | . 645 | . 84 | . 98 |
| 38.10 | . 330 | . 440 | . 580 | . 710 |
| 46.99 | . 275 | . 360 | . 480 | . 570 |
| 101.60 | . 129 | . 167 | . 216 | . 256 |
| 152.40 | . 085 | . 111 | . 144 | . 169 |
| 203.20 | . 063 | . 081 | . 109 | . 127 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 1.006 | 0.996 | 0.992 | 0.995 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 0.704 | 0.730 | 0.768 | 0.768 |

$\mathbf{b}_{\text {Uncertain }}$ value.

TABLE IV. - Continued.
(o) Configuration 16

| ```Axial distance, X, cm``` | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.644 | 0.803 | 0.990 | 1.155 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |
| 6.35 | 0.625 | 0.800 | 0.973 | 1.096 |
| 12.70 | . 573 | . 724 | . 905 | . 996 |
| 25.40 | . 475 | . 609 | . 761 | . 846 |
| 38.10 | . 380 | . 498 | . 640 | . 674 |
| 50.80 | 275 | . 380 | . 500 | ${ }^{\text {b. }} 500$ |
| 101.60 | . 137 | . 183 | . 244 | b. 248 |
| 127.00 | . 096 | . 123 | . 162 | . 175 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, | 1.011 | 0.999 | 0.996 | 0.992 |
| $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.698 | 0.732 | 0.752 | 0.765 |

(p) Configuration 17

| Axial distance,$\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ \mathrm{~cm} \end{gathered}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.450 | 0.625 | 0.785 | 0.970 | 1.133 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |
| 6.35 | 0.425 | 0.620 | 0.780 | 0.965 | 1.120 |
| 12.70 | . 390 | . 565 | . 730 | . 930 | 1.050 |
| 19.05 | . 350 | . 500 | . 660 | . 850 | . 955 |
| 25.40 | 320 | . 450 | . 590 | . 770 | . 895 |
| 38.10 | . 270 | . 390 | . 500 | . 660 | . 800 |
| 46.99 | . 260 | . 370 | . 460 | . 590 | . 730 |
| 91.44 | 145 | . 217 | . 287 | . 376 | . 448 |
| 152.40 | . 088 | . 123 | . 164 | . 218 | . 255 |
| 203.20 | . 062 | . 088 | . 115 | . 150 | . 179 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.989 | 0.983 | 0.979 | 0.978 | 0.976 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.651 | 0.659 | 0.689 | 0.732 | 0.776 |

${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Uncertain value.

TABLE IV. - Continued.
(q) Configuration 18

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.62 | 0.80 | 0.98 | 1.15 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |
| 6.35 | 0.610 | 0.780 | 0.975 | 1.135 |
| 12.70 | . 500 | . 660 | . 867 | 1.000 |
| 19.05 | . 430 | . 557 | . 735 | . 875 |
| 25.40 | . 375 | . 490 | . 640 | . 785 |
| 38.10 | . 320 | . 420 | . 525 | . 645 |
| 46.99 | . 300 | . 375 | . 480 | . 590 |
| 91.44 | . 177 | . 235 | . 306 | . 378 |
| 137.16 | . 114 | . 149 | . 196 | . 241 |
| 203.20 | . 078 | . 103 | . 132 | . 163 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, | 0.972 | 0.994 | 0.985 | 0.988 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i d}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{\mathbf{n}} / W_{\mathbf{n}, \text { id }}$ | 0.681 | 0.702 | 0.764 | 0.795 |

(r) Configuration 19

| Axial distance, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.650 | 0.805 | 0.990 | 1.155 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |
| 2.54 | 0.629 | 0.800 | 0.988 | 1.147 |
| 5.08 | . 600 | . 776 | . 970 | 1.080 |
| 6.35 | . 570 | . 734 | . 940 | 1.030 |
| 12.70 | . 410 | . 540 | . 722 | . 794 |
| 19.05 | . 330 | . 433 | . 568 | . 663 |
| 25.40 | . 290 | . 370 | . 480 | . 585 |
| 38.10 | . 260 | . 325 | . 405 | . 500 |
| 50.80 | . 225 | . 285 | . 360 | . 440 |
| 101.60 | . 120 | . 154 | . 195 | . 236 |
| 127.00 | . 098 | . 124 | . 156 | . 190 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{\mathbf{j}} / M_{\mathbf{j}}$, id | 1.020 | 1.001 | 0.996 | 0.993 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{\mathbf{n}} / W_{\mathbf{n}, \text { id }}$ | 0.728 | 0.730 | 0.748 | 0.794 |

TABLE IV, - Continued,
(s) Configuration 20

(t) Configuration 21


TABLE IV. - Continued.
(u) Configuration 22

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.455 | 0.638 | 0.800 | 0.987 | 1.152 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.420 | 0.595 | 0.750 | 0.958 | 1.130 |
| 25.40 | . 280 | . 395 | . 510 | . 665 | . 855 |
| 38.10 | . 220 | . 300 | . 380 | . 490 | . 615 |
| 50.80 | . 175 | . 250 | . 320 | . 400 | . 490 |
| 76.20 | . 140 | . 190 | . 240 | . 305 | . 355 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$, Id | 0.993 | 0.998 | 0.993 | 0.991 | 0.988 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | ----- | ----- | ----- | ---- | ----- |

(v) Configuration 23

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Axial distance, } \\ \mathbf{x}, \\ \mathrm{cm} \end{gathered}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{J}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.290 | 0.455 | 0.638 | 0.803 | 0.990 | 1.152 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.250 | 0.430 | 0.590 | 0.755 | 0.950 | 1.065 |
| 25.40 | . 200 | . 330 | . 460 | . 590 | . 755 | . 810 |
| 38.10 | . 160 | . 275 | . 385 | . 495 | . 615 | . 660 |
| 50.80 | . 140 | . 230 | . 330 | . 425 | . 520 | . 575 |
| 91.44 | . 110 | . 170 | . 230 | . 300 | . 360 | . 415 |
| 121.92 | . 100 | . 140 | . 200 | . 240 | . 290 | . 350 |
| 156.21 | . 070 | . 110 | . 150 | . 200 | . 230 | . 280 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 1.074 | 0.998 | 1.002 | 1.000 | 0.997 | 0.991 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 0.985 | 0.965 | 0.973 | 0.987 | 1.000 | 1.027 |

TABLE IV. - Continued.
(w) Configuration 24

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{x}$, cm | Survey |  | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.275 | 0.460 | 0.635 | 0.800 | 0.990 | 1.153 |
|  |  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 2.72 Centimeters | 0.250 | 0.410 | 0.560 | 0.715 | 0.935 | 1.055 |
| 25.40 | below centerline | . 175 | . 300 | . 410 | 520 | . 695 | . 785 |
| 38.10 |  | . 130 | . 245 | . 340 | . 440 | . 560 | . 655 |
| 50.80 |  | .125 | . 230 | . 300 | 380 | . 485 | . 575 |
| ${ }^{\text {c }} 12.70$ | On centerline | . 275 | . 425 | . 595 | . 775 | . 960 | 1.090 |
| $\mathrm{c}_{25.40}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {b }} .210$ | . 330 | . 460 | . 610 | . 775 | ${ }^{\text {b. }} 795$ |
| ${ }^{\text {c }} 38.10$ |  | ${ }^{\text {b }} .120$ | . 270 | . 370 | . 505 | . 610 | b. 635 |
| ${ }^{5} 50.80$ |  | b. 130 | . 225 | . 320 | . 420 | . 520 | b. 580 |
| 76.20 |  | . 100 | . 175 | . 230 | . 310 | . 390 | . 480 |
| 101.60 |  | . 070 | . 125 | . 180 | . 240 | . 310 | . 370 |
| 127.00 | 1 | . 060 | . 110 | . 150 | . 210 | . 260 | . 310 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal,$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i d}}$ |  | 1.022 | 1.013 | 1.002 | 1.000 | 1.001 | 0.996 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ |  | 0.976 | 0.983 | 0.982 | 0.997 | 1.000 | 1.023 |

(x) Configuration 31

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{x}$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.450 | 0. 635 | 0.805 | 0.990 | 1.160 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.445 | 0.631 | 0.795 | 0. 987 | 1.146 |
| 25.40 | . 405 | . 592 | . 763 | . 965 | 1.138 |
| 38.10 | . 300 | . 435 | . 590 | . 795 | . 990 |
| 50.80 | . 220 | . 330 | . 445 | . 600 | . 765 |
| 111.76 | . 112 | . 160 | . 215 | . 287 | . 363 |
| 157.48 | . 078 | . 112 | . 150 | . 198 | . 250 |
| 208.28 | . 059 | . 082 | . 112 | . 148 | . 186 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.985 | 0. 995 | 1.000 | 0.995 | 0.997 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 0.647 | 0.722 | 0.747 | 0.793 | 0.851 |

${ }^{b}$ Uncertain value.
$\mathbf{c}_{\text {Not plotted in fig. }} 24$.

TABLE IV. ~ Continued.
(y) Configuration 32

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Axial distance, } \\ \text { X, } \\ \mathrm{cm} \end{gathered}$ | Nozzle-e |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.275 | 0.460 |
|  | Downstre |  |
| 12.70 | 0.270 | 0.460 |
| 25.40 | . 250 | . 420 |
| 38.10 | . 220 | . 375 |
| 50.80 | . 175 | . 290 |
| 132.08 | . 067 | . 118 |
| 152.40 | . 056 | . 103 |
| 233.68 | . 030 | . 061 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 1.015 | 1.007 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 0.792 | 0.804 |

(z) Configuration 33


TABLE IV. - Continued.
(aa) Configuration 34

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.640 | 0.805 | 0.990 | 1.154 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.620 | 0.780 | 0.965 | 1.090 |
| 25.40 | . 465 | . 605 | . 790 | . 860 |
| 38.10 | . 330 | . 430 | . 545 | . 652 |
| 50:80 | . 280 | . 340 | . 430 | . 520 |
| 121.92 | . 108 | . 137 | . 172 | . 205 |
| 149.86 | . 087 | . 110 | . 136 | . 164 |
| 203.20 | . 063 | . 081 | . 104 | . 121 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 1.008 | 1.004 | 0.998 | 0.994 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 0.966 | 0.945 | 0.910 | 0.932 |

(bb) Configuration 35

| Axial distance, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, M $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.638 | 0.805 | 0.990 | 1.155 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.630 | 0.795 | 0.985 | 1.145 |
| 25.40 | . 510 | . 670 | . 875 | 1.010 |
| 38.10 | . 370 | . 480 | . 630 | 715 |
| 50.80 | . 290 | . 360 | . 470 | 550 |
| 99.06 | . 144 | . 182 | . 233 | . 269 |
| 147.32 | . 092 | . 117 | . 146 | . 172 |
| 203.20 | . 065 | . 083 | . 105 | . 123 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{\mathbf{j}} / M_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i d}}$ | 1.005 | 1.004 | 0.998 | 0.995 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.994 | 0.976 | 0.938 | 0.935 |

TABLE IV. - Continued.
(cc) Configuration 36

| Axial distance,$\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ \mathrm{~cm} \end{gathered}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.810 | 0.990 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |
| 12.70 | 0.735 | 0.900 |
| 25.40 | . 47 | . 60 |
| 38.10 | . 320 | . 400 |
| 50.80 | . 225 | . 300 |
| 96.52 | . 123 | ----- |
| 147.32 | . 073 | . 094 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$, $\mathbf{i d}$ | 1.002 | 0.992 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | -- | ----- |

(dd) Configuration 37

| Axial distance, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.480 | 0.640 | 0.810 | 0.990 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.470 | 0.630 | 0.795 | 0.970 |
| 25.40 | . 380 | . 510 | . 660 | . 830 |
| 38.10 | . 275 | . 380 | . 500 | . 630 |
| 50.80 | . 225 | . 300 | . 380 | . 500 |
| 126.37 | . 088 | . 115 | . 153 | . 192 |
| 250.83 | . 038 | . 060 | . 072 | . 088 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, | 1.004 | 1.000 | 1.004 | 0.993 |
| $M_{j} / M_{j, ~ i d ~}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 1.033 | 0.908 | 0.858 | 0.865 |

TABLE IV. - Continued.
(ee) Configuration 38

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.270 | 0.460 | 0.635 | 0.804 | 0.988 | 1.160 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.270 | 0.450 | 0.630 | 0.802 | 0.990 | 1.149 |
| 25.40 | . 245 | . 415 | . 593 | . 778 | . 970 | 1.136 |
| 38.10 | . 210 | . 365 | . 525 | . 670 | . 885 | 1.060 |
| 50.80 | . 180 | . 320 | . 450 | . 595 | . 770 | . 930 |
| 127.00 | . 093 | . 168 | . 242 | . 321 | . 411 | . 502 |
| 213.36 | . 057 | . 100 | . 145 | . 190 | . 245 | . 301 |
| 264.16 | . 041 | . 077 | . 114 | . 151 | . 196 | . 240 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, | 1.000 | 1.011 | 0.998 | 1.002 | 0.996 | 0.999 |
| $M_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathrm{id}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.776 | 0.719 | 0.715 | 0.744 | 0.791 | 0.823 |

(ff) Configuration 39

| ```Axial distance, X, cm``` | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.260 | 0.440 | 0.625 | 0.790 | 0.978 | 1.135 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{d}_{6.35}$ | 0.175 | 0.315 | 0.443 | 0.583 | 0.800 | 1.020 |
| $\mathrm{d}_{7.62}$ | . 175 | . 300 | . 425 | . 565 | . 760 | . 975 |
| 9.53 | . 175 | . 305 | . 435 | . 550 | . 720 | . 860 |
| 12.70 | . 200 | . 330 | . 462 | . 585 | . 740 | . 945 |
| 19.05 | . 185 | . 330 | . 460 | . 590 | . 755 | . 958 |
| 25.40 | . 170 | . 300 | . 430 | . 555 | . 745 | . 927 |
| 38.10 | . 115 | . 210 | . 330 | . 440 | . 630 | . 825 |
| 50.80 | . 090 | . 150 | . 230 | . 320 | . 470 | . 660 |
| 101.60 | . 041 | . 077 | . 116 | . 152 | . 211 | . 288 |
| 157.48 | . 023 | . 050 | . 066 | . 084 | . 114 | . 143 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.959 | 0.963 | 0.980 | 0.981 | 0.983 | 0.975 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.662 | 0.666 | 0.683 | 0.730 | 0.784 | 0.841 |
| ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Center of jet subatmospheric. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE IV. - Concluded.
(gg) Configuration 40

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.458 | 0.630 | 0.800 | 0.985 | 1.150 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |
| 6.99 | 0.445 | 0.628 | 0.798 | 0.983 | 1.141 |
| 14.45 | . 450 | . 625 | . 795 | . 980 | 1.124 |
| 20.32 | . 430 | . 610 | . 780 | . 972 | 1.130 |
| 25.40 | . 435 | . 610 | . 782 | . 981 | 1.138 |
| 38.10 | . 425 | . 600 | . 775 | . 980 | 1.154 |
| 45.72 | . 410 | . 580 | . 755 | . 955 | 1.130 |
| 63.50 | . 375 | . 535 | . 705 | . 886 | 1.058 |
| 101.60 | . 270 | . 400 | . 525 | . 685 | . 820 |
| 152.40 | . 180 | . 270 | . 350 | . 450 | . 550 |
| 203.20 | . 140 | . 200 | . 260 | .330 | . 400 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 1.004 | 0.989 | 0.996 | 0.992 | 0.990 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | ----- | ----- | ----- | -- | -- |

(hh) Configuration 41

| Axial distance,$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{X} \\ & \mathrm{~cm} \end{aligned}$ | Condition of jets | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.468 | 0.642 | 0.809 | 0.997 | 1.160 |
|  |  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{e}_{10.41}$ | Separate | 0.440 | 0.618 | 0.789 | 0.981 | 1.150 |
| $\mathrm{e}_{22.23}$ | Coalescing | . 410 | . 570 | . 730 | . 930 | 1.110 |
| 34.93 | Coalesced | . 400 | . 562 | . 718 | . 905 | 1.074 |
| 47.63 |  | . 370 | . 518 | . 668 | . 860 | 1.040 |
| 60.33 |  | . 320 | . 467 | . 588 | . 770 | . 957 |
| 73.03 |  | . 275 | . 400 | . 515 | . 670 | . 842 |
| 85.73 |  | . 245 | . 345 | . 450 | . 588 | . 740 |
| 111.13 | 1 | . 200 | . 275 | . 360 | . 470 | . 580 |
| 136.53 | - | . 150 | . 225 | . 300 | . 375 | . 475 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{j}$ to ideal, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathrm{id}}$ |  | 1.026 | 1.009 | 1.007 | 1.004 | 0.998 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ |  | -- | - | -- | -- | --- |

$\mathrm{e}_{\text {Double peaks (average). }}$

TABLE V. - PEAK AXIAL JET MACH NUMBER DECAY DATA FOR MULTIELEMENT
NOZZLES WITH NOMINALLY COPLANAR EXITS
(a) Configuration 42

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, $\mathrm{cm}_{s}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.270 | 0.460 | 0.640 | 0.820 | 0.990 | 1.155 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.250 | 0.410 | 0.560 | 0.730 | 0.930 | 1.110 |
| 25.40 | . 190 | . 300 | . 410 | . 540 | . 680 | . 840 |
| 38.10 | . 160 | . 280 | . 385 | . 485 | . 600 | . 730 |
| 50.80 | . 150 | . 250 | . 360 | . 455 | . 575 | . 685 |
| 147.32 | . 070 | . 117 | . 158 | . 210 | . 275 | . 341 |
| 294.64 | . 040 | . 056 | . 074 | . 094 | . 119 | . 149 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, | 1.000 | 1.011 | 1.008 | 1.022 | 0.999 | 0.996 |
| $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | ----- | 0.932 | 0.909 | 0.913 | 0.919 | 0.922 |

(b) Configuration 43

| Axial distance,$\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X}, \\ \mathrm{~cm} \end{gathered}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.270 | 0.450 | 0.640 | 0.810 | 0.990 | 1.170 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.250 | 0.410 | 0.570 | 0.755 | 0.940 | 1.110 |
| 25.40 | . 150 | . 260 | . 395 | . 500 | . 650 | . 830 |
| 38.10 | . 130 | . 225 | . 320 | . 410 | . 530 | . 650 |
| 50.80 | . 120 | . 210 | . 300 | . 380 | . 470 | . 575 |
| 147.32 | . 067 | . 108 | . 154 | . 197 | . 260 | . 325 |
| 297.18 | . 032 | . 048 | . 064 | . 086 | . 106 | . 133 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i d}}$ | 0.996 | 0.987 | 1.005 | 1.007 | 0.996 | 1.006 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.901 | 0.940 | 0.900 | 0.906 | 0.925 | 0.932 |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(c) Configuration 44

| Axial distance,$\mathbf{x}$$\mathrm{cm}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.280 | 0.450 | 0.635 | 0.800 | 0.980 | 1.135 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.250 | 0.410 | 0.590 | 0.750 | 0.935 | 1.110 |
| 25.40 | . 150 | . 275 | . 380 | . 490 | . 645 | . 810 |
| 38. 10 | . 125 | . 200 | . 290 | . 360 | . 450 | . 570 |
| 50.80 | . 100 | . 175 | . 250 | . 320 | . 410 | . 485 |
| 132.08 | . 065 | . 091 | . 154 | . 203 | . 258 | . 310 |
| 299.72 | . 030 | . 046 | . 061 | . 084 | . 105 | . 129 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i d}}$ | 1.037 | 0.991 | 1.002 | 0.999 | 0.990 | $0.979$ |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 0.952 | 0.922 | 0.896 | 0.914 | 0.923 | 0.925 |

(d) Configuration 45

| Axial distance,$\begin{gathered} \mathbf{X}, \\ \mathrm{cm} \end{gathered}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.260 | 0.440 | 0.630 | 0.800 | 0.990 | 1.140 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.230 | 0.410 | 0.580 | 0.745 | 0.945 | 1.120 |
| 25.40 | . 150 | . 270 | . 390 | . 470 | . 630 | . 830 |
| 38.10 | . 100 | . 180 | . 270 | . 340 | . 430 | . 560 |
| 50.80 | . 090 | . 150 | . 220 | . 270 | . 350 | . 430 |
| 92.71 | . 080 | . 135 | . 190 | . 240 | . 300 | . 360 |
| 148.27 | . 060 | . 097 | . 140 | . 184 | . 230 | . 290 |
| 321.95 | . 038 | . 050 | . 064 | . 082 | . 103 | . 125 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.963 | 0.967 | 0.992 | 0.998 | 0.999 | 0.983 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.869 | 0.896 | 0.887 | 0.902 | 0.924 | 0.927 |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(e) Configuration 46

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, <br> cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.285 | 0.460 | 0.640 | 0.805 | 0.992 | 1.155 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.230 | 0.430 | 0.580 | 0.750 | 0.966 | 1.105 |
| 25.40 | . 150 | . 250 | . 360 | . 470 | ${ }^{\text {a }} .650$ | . 720 |
| 38.10 | . 110 | . 190 | . 270 | . 350 | . 450 | . 530 |
| 50.80 | . 100 | . 170 | . 235 | . 320 | . 400 | . 470 |
| 121.92 | . 075 | . 122 | . 180 | . 236 | . 301 | . 358 |
| 203.20 | . 047 | . 080 | . 111 | . 146 | . 189 | . 225 |
| 243.84 | . 040 | . 061 | . 092 | . 117 | . 155 | . 184 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 1.048 | 1.004 | 1.000 | 0.998 | 0.994 | 0.990 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.866 | 0.812 | 0.816 | 0.854 | 0.900 | 0.936 |

(f) Configuration 47

| Axial distance, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.280 | 0.460 | 0.638 | 0.804 | 0.990 | 1.156 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.210 | 0.400 | 0.570 | 0.730 | 0.950 | 1.076 |
| 25.40 | . 120 | . 225 | . 330 | . 435 | . 555 | . 655 |
| 38.10 | . 100 | . 175 | . 250 | . 320 | . 410 | . 490 |
| 50.80 | . 100 | . 160 | . 225 | . 275 | . 345 | . 420 |
| 127.00 | . 058 | . 108 | . 156 | . 204 | . 264 | . 314 |
| 157.48 | . 048 | . 087 | . 126 | . 166 | . 216 | . 258 |
| 213.36 | . 035 | . 065 | . 093 | . 124 | . 161 | . 196 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{\mathbf{j}} / M_{\mathbf{j}}$, id | 1.033 | 1.009 | 1.002 | 1.000 | 0.996 | 0.994 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 0.630 | 0.626 | 0.636 | 0.676 | 0.735 | 0.780 |

[^4]TABLE V. - Continued.
(g) Configuration 48

| Axial distance, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.265 | 0.440 | 0.620 | 0.790 | 0.975 | 1.140 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.200 | 0.350 | 0.480 | 0.625 | 0.790 | 0.970 |
| 25.40 | . 130 | . 225 | . 320 | . 400 | . 510 | . 685 |
| 38.10 | . 100 | . 170 | . 250 | . 310 | . 400 | . 510 |
| 50.80 | . 100 | . 160 | . 230 | . 290 | . 370 | . 450 |
| 101.60 | . 060 | . 130 | . 190 | . 250 | . 310 | . 370 |
| 152.40 | . 050 | . 100 | . 140 | . 175 | . 220 | . 270 |
| 190.50 | ------ | ----- | - | . 140 | . 180 | . 225 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.978 | 0.963 | 0.972 | 0.981 | 0.980 | 0.979 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.891 | 0.886 | 0.894 | 0.907 | 0.915 | 0.923 |

(h) Configuration 49

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Axial distance, } \\ \mathrm{X}, \\ \mathrm{~cm} \end{gathered}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.460 | 0.630 | 0.800 | 0.987 | 1.150 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |
| 6.35 | 0.435 | 0.620 | 0.790 | 0.980 | 1.140 |
| 12.70 | . 320 | . 460 | . 610 | . 750 | . 910 |
| 19.05 | . 225 | . 305 | . 430 | . 530 | . 610 |
| 25.40 | 170 | . 250 | . 315 | . 410 | . 480 |
| 31.75 | . 150 | . 225 | . 300 | . 365 | . 430 |
| 38.10 | . 150 | . 220 | . 275 | . 340 | . 405 |
| 50.80 | . 150 | . 210 | . 260 | . 330 | . 380 |
| 101.60 | . 101 | . 144 | . 184 | . 236 | . 283 |
| 139.70 | . 076 | . 108 | . 140 | . 176 | . 210 |
| 203.20 | . 051 | . 072 | . 095 | . 118 | . 141 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{\mathbf{j}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 1.011 | 0.992 | 0.999 | 0.997 | 0.992 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 0.877 | 0.874 | 0.882 | 0.889 | 0.896 |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(i) Configuration 50

| . Axial distance, |  | Nozzle | xit jet | ch num | $\mathbf{r}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}$ <br> cm | 0.275 | 0.460 | 0.630 | 0.796 | 0.985 | 1.152 |
|  |  | Downs | m pea | Mach | ber, M |  |
| 12.70 | 0.225 | 0.390 | 0.555 | 0.733 | 0.927 | 1.105 |
| 25.40 | . 150 | . 275 | . 380 | . 530 | . 700 | . 856 |
| 38.10 | . 125 | . 200 | . 285 | . 380 | . 500 | . 615 |
| 46.99 | . 100 | . 170 | . 250 | . 330 | . 435 | . 520 |
| 101.60 | . 086 | . 146 | . 206 | 269 | . 347 | . 424 |
| 152.40 | . 068 | . 117 | . 169 | . 224 | . 291 | . 361 |
| 203.20 | . 056 | . 091 | . 133 | . 174 | . 231 | . 281 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, | 1.015 | 1.007 | 0.987 | 0.989 | 0.990 | 0.990 |
| $M_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathrm{id}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$ id | 0.650 | 0.657 | 0.683 | 0.719 | 0.775 | 0.819 |

(j) Configuration 51


TABLE V. - Continued.
(k) Configuration 52

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.270 | 0.450 | 0.630 | 0.800 | 0.984 | 1.155 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.200 | 0.340 | 0.490 | 0.700 | 0.910 | 1.098 |
| 25.40 | . 130 | . 200 | . 300 | . 410 | . 530 | . 675 |
| 38.10 | . 120 | . 175 | . 250 | . 320 | . 420 | . 510 |
| 46.99 | . 080 | . 125 | . 220 | . 280 | . 375 | . 460 |
| 101.60 | . 077 | . 130 | . 183 | . 240 | . 308 | . 368 |
| 152.40 | . 061 | . 107 | . 154 | . 201 | . 262 | . 316 |
| 203.20 | . 052 | . 084 | . 124 | . 163 | . 214 | . 259 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.993 | 0.983 | 0.986 | 0.991 | 0.987 | 0.991 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.651 | 0.653 | 0.672 | 0.707 | 0.761 | 0.808 |

(l) Configuration 53

| Axial distance, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.270 | 0.470 | 0.640 | 0.800 | 0.990 | 1.150 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.250 | 0.410 | 0.560 | 0.730 | 0.920 | 1.060 |
| 25.40 | . 200 | . 300 | . 425 | . 550 | . 700 | . 820 |
| 38.10 | . 200 | . 300 | . 400 | . 500 | . 630 | . 750 |
| 50.80 | . 180 | . 275 | . 380 | . 490 | . 610 | . 720 |
| 133.35 | . 113 | . 189 | . 265 | . 346 | . 440 | ----- |
| 147.64 | - | --- | - | ----- | ----- | . 492 |
| 218.44 | . 066 | . 114 | . 162 | . 213 | . 268 | . 330 |
| 299.09 | . 046 | . 078 | . 109 | . 143 | . 185 | . 222 |
| Ratio of $M_{j}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.996 | 1.031 | 1.005 | 0.996 | 0.997 | 0.990 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.920 | 0.909 | 0.913 | 0.920 | 0.931 | 0.937 |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(m) Configuration 54

| Axial distance, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.270 | 0.460 | 0.630 | 0.795 | 0.980 | 1.150 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.250 | 0.410 | 0.580 | 0.760 | 0.954 | 1.120 |
| 25.40 | . 150 | . 260 | . 372 | . 490 | . 659 | . 782 |
| 38.10 | . 120 | . 220 | . 300 | . 380 | . 480 | . 565 |
| 101.60 | . 109 | . 179 | . 251 | . 320 | . 393 | . 462 |
| 152.40 | . 077 | . 134 | . 188 | . 246 | . 305 | . 365 |
| 203.20 | . 062 | . 108 | . 156 | . 198 | . 245 | . 298 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.996 | 1.009 | 0.989 | 0.989 | 0.986 | 0.989 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.924 | 0.927 | 0.935 | 0.952 | 0.961 | 0.971 |

(n) Configuration 55

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Axial distance, } \\ \text { X, } \\ \mathrm{cm} \end{gathered}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.280 | 0.460 | 0.640 | 0.800 | 0.980 | 1.140 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.260 | 0.410 | 0.600 | 0.775 | 0.960 | 1.120 |
| 25.40 | 160 | . 280 | . 380 | . 510 | . 640 | . 790 |
| 38.10 | . 125 | . 200 | . 300 | . 370 | . 480 | . 570 |
| 50.80 | . 100 | . 180 | . 270 | . 340 | . 420 | . 500 |
| 139.07 | . 080 | . 144 | . 201 | . 254 | 321 | . 384 |
| 261.62 | . 048 | . 083 | . 117 | . 149 | . 186 | . 224 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 1.033 | 1.009 | 1.005 | 0.996 | 0.987 | 0.981 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.894 | 0.869 | 0.881 | 0.890 | 0.897 | 0.906 |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(o) Configuration 56

| Axial distance,$\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ \mathrm{~cm} \end{gathered}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.275 | 0.460 | 0.640 | 0.805 | 0.993 | 1.146 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.270 | 0.430 | 0.610 | 0.780 | 0.970 | 1.120 |
| 25.40 | . 160 | . 270 | . 390 | . 515 | . 665 | . 800 |
| 38.10 | . 130 | . 225 | . 320 | . 420 | . 520 | . 625 |
| 50.80 | . 125 | . 210 | . 300 | . 380 | . 480 | . 575 |
| 134.94 | . 106 | . 180 | . 255 | . 327 | . 411 | . 497 |
| 210.50 | . 074 | . 125 | . 175 | . 229 | . 287 | . 352 |
| 278.77 | . 055 | . 087 | . 125 | . 160 | . 204 | . 252 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 1.019 | 1.011 | 1.008 | 1.004 | 1.002 | 0.988 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 0.956 | 0.885 | 0.895 | 0.899 | 0.908 | 0. 910 |

(p) Configuration 57

| Axial distance,$\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ \mathrm{~cm} \end{gathered}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.275 | 0.460 | 0.650 | 0.815 | 1.000 | 1.150 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.130 | 0.225 | 0.335 | 0.435 | 0.620 | 0.835 |
| 25.40 | . 120 | . 200 | . 275 | . 370 | . 490 | . 605 |
| 38.10 | . 100 | . 170 | . 270 | . 350 | . 450 | . 605 |
| 46.99 | . 100 | . 175 | . 225 | . 335 | . 440 | . 550 |
| 127.00 | . 087 | . 143 | . 206 | . 274 | . 364 | . 468 |
| 203.20 | . 058 | . 098 | . 141 | . 188 | . 250 | . 318 |
| 264.16 | . 044 | . 077 | . 112 | . 149 | . 196 | . 248 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i d}}$ | 1.015 | 1.009 | 1.020 | 1.014 | 1.006 | 0.989 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.754 | 0.718 | 0.726 | 0.758 | 0.794 | 0.840 |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(q) Configuration 58

| Axial distance,$\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ \mathrm{~cm} \end{gathered}$ | Exposed <br> tube <br> length, cm $\qquad$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.260 | 0.450 | 0.630 | 0.805 | 0.990 | 1.155 |
|  |  |  | Downs | m pe | ach n | ber, M |  |
| 12.70 | $1.27$ | 0.260 | 0.430 | 0.590 | 0.750 | 0.960 | 1.130 |
| 25.40 |  | . 200 | . 310 | . 440 | . 560 | . 720 | . 870 |
| 38.10 |  | . 190 | . 300 | . 400 | . 510 | . 650 | . 780 |
| 50.80 |  | . 180 | . 270 | . 380 | . 490 | . 620 | . 740 |
| 136.53 |  | ----- | ----- | ----- | . 220 | . 288 | --- |
| 266.70 |  | ----- | ----- | ---- | . 116 | . 147 | ----- |
| 50.80 | 0 |  | ----- | --- | . 500 | . 625 | ----- |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ |  | 0.963 | 0.989 | 0.992 | 1.004 | 0.999 | 0.996 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate |  | 0.914 | 0.878 | 0.888 | 0.894 | 0.903 | 0.914 |
| to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(r) Configuration 59

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, cm <br> 12.70 <br> 25:40 <br> 38.10 <br> 50.80 <br> 130.18 <br> 247.33 | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.290 | 0.455 | 0.635 | 0.805 | 0.970 | 1.140 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.275 | 0.450 | 0.620 | 0.790 | 0.950 | 1.085 |
|  | . 175 | . 305 | . 420 | . 550 | . 685 | . 830 |
|  | . 145 | . 250 | . 340 | . 440 | . 540 | . 645 |
|  | . 130 | . 220 | . 310 | . 400 | . 500 | . 590 |
|  | . 080 | . 137 | . 193 | . 246 | . 310 | . 378 |
|  | . 042 | . 061 | . 084 | . 114 | . 144 | . 174 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i d}}$ | 1.078 | 1.004 | 1.005 | 1.009 | 0.983 | 0.986 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 0.915 | 0.903 | 0.911 | 0.917 | 0.924 | 0.928 |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(s) Configuration 60

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{x}$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.260 | 0.450 | 0.630 | 0.805 | 0.990 | 1.155 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.250 | 0.430 | 0.590 | 0.760 | 0.960 | 1.110 |
| 25.40 | . 160 | . 285 | . 380 | . 488 | . 670 | . 808 |
| 38.10 | ---- | . 200 | . 280 | . 360 | . 460 | . 570 |
| 50.80 | ----- | . 180 | . 250 | . 330 | . 400 | . 490 |
| 135.89 | ----- | ----- | ----- | . 223 | . 290 | ---- |
| 269.24 | ----- | ----- | ----- | . 121 | . 154 | ----- |
| Ratio of $M_{j}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.959 | 0.985 | 0.987 | 1.000 | 0.995 | 0.992 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.863 | 0.876 | 0.881 | 0.892 | 0.903 | 0.913 |

(t) Configuration 61

| Axial distance, X , cm | Exposed tube length, cm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.08 |  | 10.16 |  |
|  | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.290 | 0.460 | 0.640 | 0.810 | 0.990 | 1.140 | 0.81 | 0.99 | 0.81 | 0.99 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.260 | 0.420 | 0.580 | 0.740 | 0.905 | 1.03 | 0.730 | 0.910 | 0.740 | 0.912 |
| 25.40 | . 210 | . 350 | . 500 | . 640 | . 790 | . 930 | . 630 | . 785 | . 630 | . 790 |
| 38.10 | . 200 | . 340 | . 470 | . 605 | . 755 | . 890 | . 600 | . 745 | . 600 | . 745 |
| 50.80 | . 200 | . 320 | . 450 | . 570 | . 720 | . 850 | - | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| 132.72 |  |  |  | . 367 | . 476 | --- | - | ------ | --- | ----- |
| 291.47 | ----- | --- | ---- | . 163 | . 206 | --- | . 162 | . 210 | . 162 | . 210 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 1.074 | 1.011 | 1.008 | 1.011 | 0.999 | 0.983 | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 0.934 | 0.941 | 0.935 | 0.936 | 0.939 | 0.948 | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(u) Configuration 62

| Axial distance, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.270 | 0.450 | 0.635 | 0.800 | 0.985 | 1.145 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.250 | 0.415 | 0.590 | 0.770 | 0.950 | 1.080 |
| 25.40 | . 180 | . 300 | . 440 | . 555 | . 710 | . 845 |
| 38.10 | . 150 | . 280 | . 395 | . 505 | . 640 | . 760 |
| 50.80 | . 155 | . 270 | . 380 | . 490 | . 610 | . 725 |
| 132.72 | . 114 | . 187 | . 268 | . 345 | . 440 | . 530 |
| 291.78 | . 049 | . 081 | . 118 | . 154 | . 197 | . 241 |
| Ratio of $M_{j}$ to ideal, $M_{\mathbf{j}} / M_{\mathbf{j}}$, id | 0.996 | 0.987 | 0.997 | 0.995 | 0.991 | 0.985 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.853 | 0.870 | 0.870 | 0.886 | 0.898 | 0.904 |

(v) Configuration 63

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{x}$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.280 | 0.450 | 0.635 | 0.805 | 0.980 | 1.140 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.275 | 0.440 | 0.620 | 0.785 | 0.960 | 1.120 |
| 25.40 | . 160 | . 290 | . 410 | . 540 | . 680 | . 790 |
| 38.10 | . 130 | . 230 | . 330 | . 430 | . 540 | . 630 |
| 50.80 | . 120 | . 220 | . 310 | . 405 | . 500 | . 580 |
| 130.81 | . 094 | . 163 | . 230 | . 299 | . 388 | . 460 |
| 297.82 | . 053 | . 073 | . 099 | . 123 | . 163 | . 199 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, ~ i d}$ | 1.041 | 0.996 | 1.005 | 1.009 | 0.993 | 0.987 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.842 | 0.880 | 0.891 | 0.903 | 0.917 | 0.925 |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(w) Configuration 64

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Axial distance, } \\ \mathrm{X}, \\ \mathrm{~cm} \end{gathered}$ | Exposed tube length, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.270 | 0.450 | 0.640 | 0.770 | 0.990 | 1.150 |
|  |  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 10.16 | 0.250 | 0.420 | 0.600 | 0.770 | 0.960 | 1.110 |
| 25.40 |  | . 190 | . 300 | . 420 | . 560 | . 720 | . 850 |
| 38.10 |  | . 150 | . 270 | . 400 | . 510 | . 640 | . 760 |
| 50.80 |  | . 160 | . 280 | . 390 | . 500 | . 630 | . 740 |
| 116.80 |  | --- | ----- | - | . 390 | . 502 | ----- |
| 169.55 |  | ---- | ----- | - | . 292 | . 373 | ----- |
| 238.76 |  | ----- | ----- | ----- | . 205 | . 262 | --- |
| 12.70 | 1.27 | . 230 | . 390 | . 567 | . 750 | . 930 | 1.085 |
| 50.80 | 1.27 | . 190 | . 285 | . 400 | . 505 | . 630 | . 750 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ |  | 1.000 | 0.989 | 1.008 | 0.961 | 1.000 | 0.992 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ |  | 0.824 | 0.881 | 0.892 | 0.909 | 0.913 | 0.920 |

(x) Configuration 65


[^5]TABLE V. - Continued.
(y) Configuration 66

(z) Configuration 67

| Axial distance, |  | Nozzle | xit jet | ch nu | , $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.230 | 0.440 | 0.620 | 0.790 | 0.980 | 1.135 |
|  |  | Downst | m peak | Mach nu | ber, M |  |
| 12.70 | 0.175 | 0.340 | 0.510 | 0.670 | 0.865 | 1.020 |
| 25.40 | . 130 | . 250 | . 370 | . 490 | . 580 | . 700 |
| 38.10 | . 130 | . 250 | . 360 | . 475 | . 570 | . 680 |
| 50.80 | . 130 | . 250 | . 350 | . 460 | . 570 | . 680 |
| 144.46 | . 096 | . 172 | . 246 | . 322 | . 405 | . 495 |
| 313.69 | . 048 | . 075 | . 111 | . 142 | . 181 | . 214 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, | 0.849 | 0.963 | 0.972 | 0.981 | 0.985 | 0.975 |
| $M_{j} / M_{j, ~ i d}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{W}$ | 0.853 | 0.890 | 0.901 | 0.912 | 0.919 | 0.926 |
| to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(aa) Configuration 68

| Axial distance,$\mathrm{X}$$\mathrm{cm}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.275 | 0.463 | 0.640 | 0.805 | 0.992 | 1.150 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.260 | 0.430 | 0.610 | 0.775 | 0.962 | 1.105 |
| 25.40 | . 170 | . 275 | . 390 | . 510 | . 650 | . 770 |
| 38.10 | . 130 | . 225 | . 330 | . 415 | . 530 | . 625 |
| 50.80 | . 120 | . 210 | . 300 | . 387 | . 485 | . 580 |
| 137.16 | . 110 | . 190 | . 260 | . 340 | . 430 | . 520 |
| 203.20 | . 080 | . 140 | . 200 | . 250 | . 320 | . 390 |
| 330.20 | . 050 | . 080 | . 120 | . 160 | . 200 | . 240 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 1.019 | 1.015 | 1.006 | 1.002 | 1.000 | 0.990 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.907 | 0.921 | 0.890 | 0.901 | 0.904 | 0.904 |

(bb) Configuration 69

| Axial distance, $X$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.280 | 0.460 | 0.645 | 0.805 | 0.985 | 1. 140 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.260 | 0.415 | 0.600 | 0.780 | 0.955 | 1.090 |
| 25.40 | . 190 | . 300 | . 410 | . 550 | . 690 | . 810 |
| 38.10 | . 150 | . 280 | . 380 | . 490 | . 610 | . 720 |
| 50.80 | . 150 | . 270 | . 370 | . 470 | . 590 | . 698 |
| 135.57 | . 106 | . 171 | . 244 | . 315 | . 404 | . 487 |
| 291.47 | . 050 | . 080 | . 113 | . 145 | . 186 | . 226 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j}$, id | 1.037 | 1.011 | 1.016 | 1.004 | 0.993 | 0.982 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.994 | 0.844 | 0.907 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.933 |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(cc) Configuration 70

| Axial distance, |  | Nozzle | xit jet | ch num | $\mathbf{r}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X, | 0.275 | 0.460 | 0.640 | 0.810 | 0.990 | 1.145 |
|  |  | Downst | m pea | Mach nu | ber, M |  |
| 12.70 | 0.260 | 0.430 | 0.614 | 0.788 | 0.970 | 1.125 |
| 25.40 | . 210 | . 360 | . 500 | . 650 | . 825 | 1.005 |
| 38.10 | . 180 | . 310 | . 440 | . 560 | . 715 | . 870 |
| 50.80 | . 175 | . 300 | . 420 | . 525 | . 670 | . 800 |
| 140.97 | . 129 | . 211 | . 300 | . 388 | . 480 | ----- |
| 165.10 | . 110 | . 181 | . 260 | . 335 | . 413 | . 502 |
| 222.89 | . 083 | . 139 | . 199 | . 256 | . 318 | . 383 |
| 271.78 | . 059 | . 107 | . 162 | . 208 | . 259 | . 313 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, | 1.015 | 1.009 | 1.005 | 1.009 | 0.997 | 0.985 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i d}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate | 0.968 | 0.942 | 0.940 | 0.935 | 0.921 | 0.936 |
| to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(dd) Configuration 71

| Axial distance, | Jet |  | Nozzl | xit jet | h num | r, $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cm |  | 0.300 | 0.465 | 0.640 | 0.810 | 0.990 | 1.142 |
|  |  |  | Downst | m pea | Mach n | er, M |  |
| 12.70 | Center | 0.285 | 0.445 | 0.625 | 0.799 | 0. 970 | 1.132 |
| 25.40 |  | . 225 | . 370 | . 520 | . 680 | . 810 | . 960 |
| 38.10 |  | . 185 | . 325 | . 450 | 585 | . 670 | . 820 |
| 50.80 |  | . 160 | . 285 | . 400 | . 515 | . 595 | . 740 |
| 142.24 |  | . 090 | . 150 | . 210 | . 264 | . 322 | . 394 |
| 205.74 |  | . 065 | . 108 | . 148 | 184 | . 227 | . 278 |
| 281.94 |  | . 050 | . 082 | . 116 | . 141 | . 172 | 207 |
| 25.40 | $10^{\circ}$ Side | . 175 | . 330 | . 460 | . 615 | . 790 | . 989 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{\mathbf{j}} / M_{\mathbf{j}}$, id |  | 1.107 | 1.018 | 1.003 | 1.006 | 0.995 | 0.981 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$ id |  | 1.022 | 0.966 | 0.942 | 0.935 | 0.918 | 1.918 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(ee) Configuration 72

| ```Axial distance, X, cm``` | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.260 | 0.450 | 0.628 | 0.800 | 0.983 | 1.148 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.230 | 0.410 | 0.595 | 0.770 | 0.948 | 1.100 |
| 25.40 | . 175 | . 320 | . 450 | . 595 | . 740 | . 825 |
| 38.10 | . 170 | . 290 | . 400 | . 515 | . 620 | 695 |
| 50.80 | . 165 | . 280 | . 390 | . 490 | . 590 | . 675 |
| 101.60 | . 130 | . 240 | . 325 | . 420 | . 525 | . 610 |
| 152.40 | . 100 | . 175 | . 250 | . 320 | . 410 | . 485 |
| 203.20 | . 080 | . 140 | . 200 | . 260 | . 320 | . 370 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j}$, id | 0.974 | 1.000 | 0.998 | 1.008 | 1.001 | 0.998 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.928 | 0.962 | 0.973 | 0.982 | 1.004 | 1.021 |

(ff) Configuration 73

| Axial distance,$\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ \mathrm{~cm} \end{gathered}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.280 | 0.460 | 0.640 | 0.803 | 0.990 | 1.155 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.230 | 0.405 | 0.590 | 0.740 | 0.936 | 1.053 |
| 25.40 | . 175 | . 310 | . 450 | . 590 | . 730 | . 820 |
| 38.10 | . 160 | . 260 | . 370 | . 480 | . 580 | . 650 |
| 50.80 | . 150 | . 240 | . 340 | . 430 | . 530 | . 590 |
| 101.60 | . 125 | . 220 | . 310 | . 390 | . 475 | . 555 |
| 152.40 | . 100 | . 175 | . 250 | . 320 | . 400 | . 480 |
| 203.20 | . 070 | . 130 | . 200 | . 250 | . 320 | . 390 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j}$, id | 1.029 | 1.004 | 1.002 | 0.995 | 0.993 | 0.990 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 1.004 | 0.975 | 0.969 | 0.973 | 0.999 | 1.007 |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(gg) Configuration 74; survey on nozzle centerline

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Axial distance, } \\ \text { X, } \\ \mathrm{cm} \end{gathered}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.290 | 0.460 | 0.635 | 0.805 | 0.985 | 1.160 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.250 | 0.410 | 0.580 | 0.750 | 0.930 | 1.090 |
| 25.40 | . 180 | . 300 | . 440 | . 580 | . 720 | . 860 |
| 38.10 | . 150 | . 260 | . 365 | . 470 | . 570 | . 675 |
| 50.80 | . 140 | . 250 | . 340 | . 430 | . 520 | . 600 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j}$, id | 1.074 | 1.011 | 1.000 | 1.004 | 0.994 | 1.000 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$, id | ----- | ----- | ----- | ---- | --- | - |

(hh) Configuration 75

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Axial distance, } \\ \mathrm{X} \\ \mathrm{~cm} \end{gathered}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.290 | 0.470 | 0.647 | 0.810 | 0.993 | 1.160 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.305 | 0.460 | 0.625 | 0.802 | 0.990 | 1.155 |
| 25.40 | . 190 | . 320 | . 460 | . 614 | . 808 | . 950 |
| 38.10 | . 130 | . 230 | . 330 | . 450 | . 580 | . 680 |
| 46.99 | . 120 | . 200 | . 275 | . 370 | . 480 | . 575 |
| 101.60 | . 062 | . 102 | . 145 | . 187 | . 245 | . 299 |
| 152.40 | . 048 | . 073 | . 116 | . 151 | . 192 | . 234 |
| 205.74 | . 038 | . 065 | . 093 | . 123 | . 157 | . 191 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j}$, id | 1.070 | 1.031 | 1.017 | 1.009 | 1.000 | 0.998 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.675 | 0.668 | 0.682 | 0.724 | 0.773 | 0.809 |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(ii) Configuration 76

(jj) Configuration 77


TABLE V. - Continued.
(kk) Configuration 78

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Axial distance, } \\ \mathrm{X}, \\ \mathrm{~cm} \end{gathered}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.275 | 0.450 | 0.630 | 0.800 | 0.984 | 1.145 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.175 | 0.290 | 0.425 | 0.545 | 0.725 | 0.880 |
| 25.40 | . 140 | . 240 | . 340 | . 440 | . 550 | . 650 |
| 38.10 | . 130 | . 220 | . 320 | . 420 | . 520 | . 630 |
| 46.99 | . 120 | . 220 | . 300 | . 390 | . 505 | . 600 |
| 101.60 | . 095 | . 158 | . 230 | . 304 | . 385 | . 460 |
| 152.40 | . 068 | . 118 | . 171 | . 226 | . 292 | . 345 |
| 203.20 | . 054 | . 091 | . 132 | . 175 | . 224 | . 265 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 1.011 | 0.985 | 0.987 | 0.994 | 0.989 | 0.983 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.675 | 0.685 | 0.713 | 0.746 | 0.797 | 0.841 |

(ll) Configuration 79


TABLE V. - Continued.
(mm) Configuration 89

| Axial distance, X , cm | Survey on - | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.280 | 0.450 | 0.630 | 0.800 | 0.987 | 1.150 |
|  |  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | Nozzle centerline | 0.090 | 0.140 | 0.220 | 0.270 | 0.385 | 0.445 |
| 25.40 | (six slots) | . 100 | . 170 | . 250 | . 335 | . 435 | . 475 |
| 38.10 |  | . 100 | . 175 | . 250 | 320 | . 400 | . 455 |
| 50.80 |  | . 120 | . 180 | . 260 | . 325 | . 395 | . 445 |
| 101.60 |  | . 100 | . 175 | . 250 | . 320 | . 400 | . 475 |
| 152.40 |  | -- | . 140 | . 215 | . 270 | . 340 | . 410 |
| 203.20 |  | ----- | . 120 | . 160 | . 220 | . 270 | . 330 |
| 12.70 | Centerline of | . 240 | . 390 | . 560 | . 720 | . 905 | 1.055 |
| 25.40 | three slots | . 180 | . 300 | . 420 | . 530 | . 665 | . 810 |
| 38.10 |  | . 160 | . 250 | . 350 | . 440 | . 545 | . 645 |
| 50.80 |  | . 140 | . 225 | . 315 | . 400 | . 490 | . 575 |
| Ratio of $M_{j}$ to ideal, ${ }^{c}$ $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ |  | 1.037 | 0.989 | 0.992 | 0.998 | 0.996 | 0.991 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, ${ }^{c} \quad W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ |  | 1.049 | 0.967 | 0.989 | 0.989 | 1.019 | 1.039 |

( $n n$ ) Configuration 90

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, cm | Survey on - | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.275 | 0.460 | 0.630 | 0.800 | 0.990 | 1.150 |
|  |  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25.40 | Nozzle centerline | 0.080 | 0.125 | 0.170 | 0.220 | 0.280 | 0.320 |
| 38.10 | (six slots) | . 090 | . 140 | . 200 | . 250 | . 300 | . 350 |
| 50.80 |  | . 100 | . 150 | . 220 | . 260 | . 315 | . 375 |
| 101.60 |  | . 100 | . 150 | . 225 | . 280 | . 360 | . 420 |
| 139.70 |  | . 070 | . 140 | . 200 | . 270 | . 330 | . 400 |
| 177.80 |  | . 060 | . 125 | . 175 | . 230 | . 290 | . 350 |
| 12.70 | Centerline of | . 240 | . 390 | . 560 | . 720 | . 920 | 1.060 |
| 25.40 | three slots | . 175 | . 300 | . 410 | . 540 | . 680 | . 810 |
| 38.10 |  | . 140 | . 225 | . 320 | . 420 | . 520 | . 610 |
| 50.80 |  | . 125 | . 210 | . 290 | . 370 | . 460 | . 550 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ $M_{j} / M_{\mathbf{j}}$, id |  | 1.015 | 1.007 | 0.987 | 0.994 | 0.995 | 0. 988 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, ${ }^{c} W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ |  | 1.004 | 1.001 | 1.000 | 1.010 | 1.024 | 1.047 |

[^6]TABLE V. - Continued.
(oo) Configuration 94

| Axial distance,$\mathrm{X}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.275 | 0.460 | 0.640 | 0.803 | 0.990 | 1.156 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.230 | 0.380 | 0.540 | 0.680 | 0.865 | 1.010 |
| 25.40 | . 160 | . 275 | . 390 | . 500 | . 640 | . 740 |
| 38.10 | . 135 | . 230 | . 320 | . 400 | . 500 | . 600 |
| 50.80 | . 120 | . 200 | . 280 | . 355 | . 445 | . 530 |
| 101.60 | . 108 | . 184 | . 256 | . 325 | . 404 | . 493 |
| 152.40 | . 084 | . 144 | . 201 | . 257 | . 319 | . 390 |
| 213.36 | . 061 | . 103 | . 148 | . 190 | . 239 | . 285 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 1.015 | 1.009 | 1.005 | 0.999 | 0.996 | 0.994 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate | 0.949 | 0.960 | 0.962 | 0.965 | 0.964 | 0.970 |
| (pp) Configuration 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Axial distance, | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\text {j }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| m | 0.270 | 0.457 | 0.634 | 0.805 | 0.990 | 1.152 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.270 | 0.440 | 0.613 | 0.795 | 0.972 | 1.133 |
| 25.40 | . 200 | . 330 | . 465 | . 615 | . 790 | . 930 |
| 38.10 | . 160 | . 275 | . 390 | . 510 | . 650 | . 750 |
| 50.80 | . 140 | . 250 | . 340 | . 440 | . 567 | . 665 |
| 114.30 | . 112 | . 192 | . 271 | . 354 | . 446 | . 534 |
| 152.40 | . 089 | . 147 | . 212 | . 273 | . 345 | . 415 |
| 223. 52 | . 058 | . 105 | . 146 | . 191 | . 240 | . 288 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 1.000 | 1. 004 | 0.998 | 1.004 | 0.999 | 0.993 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.952 | 0.960 | 0.965 | 0.970 | 0.982 | 0.981 |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(qq) Configuration 96

| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.270 | 0.460 | 0.633 | 0.802 | 0.988 | 1.151 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.225 | 0.385 | 0.550 | 0.705 | 0.895 | 1.030 |
| 25.40 | . 175 | . 305 | . 425 | . 550 | . 688 | . 822 |
| 38.10 | . 150 | . 275 | . 375 | . 480 | . 609 | . 730 |
| 50.80 | . 140 | . 240 | . 340 | . 440 | . 555 | . 675 |
| 132.08 | . 098 | . 167 | . 238 | . 310 | . 400 | . 495 |
| 195.58 | . 065 | . 112 | . 159 | . 202 | . 264 | . 325 |
| 243.84 | . 050 | . 090 | . 127 | . 166 | . 213 | . 260 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.993 | 1.004 | 0.989 | 0.994 | 0.991 | 0.986 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 0.979 | 0.989 | 0.996 | 1.001 | 1.011 | 1.019 |

(rr) Configuration 97

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Axial distance, } \\ \mathrm{X}, \\ \mathrm{~cm} \end{gathered}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.275 | 0.462 | 0.638 | 0.808 | 0.990 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.260 | 0.450 | 0.620 | 0.785 | 0.960 |
| 25.40 | . 180 | . 340 | . 480 | . 625 | . 790 |
| 38.10 | . 140 | . 260 | . 360 | . 460 | . 590 |
| 50.80 | . 100 | . 200 | . 275 | . 360 | . 450 |
| 134.62 | . 058 | . 096 | . 131 | . 162 | . 193 |
| 254.00 | . 033 | . 052 | . 075 | . 096 | . 117 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 1.011 | 1.009 | 0.998 | 1.001 | 0.993 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 0.906 | 0.904 | 0.884 | 0.889 | 0.875 |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(ss) Configuration 98

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Axial distance, } \\ \text { X, } \\ \mathrm{cm} \end{gathered}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.280 | 0.455 | 0.645 | 0.802 | 0.990 | 1.157 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.70 | 0.240 | 0.400 | 0.580 | 0.755 | 0.945 | 1.120 |
| 25.40 | . 175 | . 310 | . 430 | . 570 | . 720 | . 915 |
| 38.10 | . 130 | . 240 | . 330 | . 430 | . 550 | 690 |
| 50.80 | . 110 | . 200 | . 275 | . 360 | . 440 | . 540 |
| 134.62 | . 083 | . 140 | . 197 | . 251 | . 311 | . 374 |
| 254.00 | . 041 | . 080 | . 112 | . 145 | . 180 | . 218 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, | 1.029 | 0.993 | 1.009 | 0.994 | 0.993 | 0.991 |
| $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.852 | 0.881 | 0.889 | 0.893 | 0.886 | 0.894 |

(tt) Configuration 99

| Axial $\quad$ Conical | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| distance, $\quad$ afterbody X | 0.270 | 0.450 | 0.630 | 0.805 | 0.987 | 1.152 |
| cm | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| $15.24 \quad 10^{\circ}$ | 0.225 | 0.390 | 0.550 | 0.710 | 0.890 | 1.060 |
| 25.40 | . 190 | . 320 | . 450 | . 580 | . 720 | . 900 |
| 38.10 | . 170 | . 285 | . 390 | . 510 | . 630 | . 755 |
| 50.80 | . 150 | . 270 | . 375 | . 480 | . 590 | . 700 |
| 201.30 | . 093 | . 154 | . 216 | . 279 | . 349 | . 423 |
| 281.94 | . 062 | . 106 | . 150 | . 196 | . 245 | . 296 |
| $50.80 \quad$ None | . 140 | . 260 | . 365 | . 475 | . 590 | . 695 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.993 | 0.983 | 0.986 | 0.998 | 0.990 | 0.988 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.979 | 0.920 | 0.906 | 0.911 | 0.898 | 0.907 |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(uu) Configuration 100

| Axial distance, X , cm | Survey across $0^{\circ}$ lobes |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.265 | 0.450 | 0.625 | 0.798 | 0.980 | 1.145 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 12.70 \\ 25.40 \\ 38.10 \\ 50.80 \\ 134.62 \\ 203.20 \\ 235.59 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.250 \\ .175 \\ .140 \\ .125 \\ .107 \\ .080 \\ .072 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.410 \\ .310 \\ .250 \\ .225 \\ .190 \\ .142 \\ .122 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.580 \\ .440 \\ .350 \\ .330 \\ .267 \\ .198 \\ .174 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.755 \\ .580 \\ .445 \\ .410 \\ .342 \\ .258 \\ .228 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.930 \\ .740 \\ .560 \\ .510 \\ .420 \\ .319 \\ .280 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.102 \\ .860 \\ .700 \\ .600 \\ .507 \\ .384 \\ .342 \end{array}$ |
| Ratio of $M_{j}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.978 | 0.985 | 0.980 | 0.991 | 0.985 | 0.984 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.916 | 0.908 | 0.901 | 0.898 | 0.904 | 0.905 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Axial distance, } \\ \mathrm{X}, \\ \mathrm{~cm} \end{gathered}$ | Survey across $5^{\circ}$ lobes |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.265 | 0.450 | 0.630 | 0.805 | 0.989 | 1.150 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.250 | 0.410 | 0.590 | 0.765 | 0.940 | 1.120 |
| 25.40 | . 180 | . 330 | . 470 | . 590 | . 730 | . 905 |
| 38.10 | . 150 | . 275 | . 370 | . 480 | . 580 | . 735 |
| 50.80 | . 125 | . 230 | . 335 | . 430 | . 515 | . 635 |
| 134.62 | . 109 | . 190 | . 267 | . 343 | . 424 | . 510 |
| 213.36 | . 079 | . 136 | . 193 | . 248 | . 306 | . 367 |
| 304.80 | . 050 | . 095 | . 139 | . 176 | . 219 | . 266 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.978 | 0.987 | 0.989 | 1.001 | 0.995 | 0.989 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.949 | 0.889 | 0.901 | 0.892 | 0.903 | 0.903 |

TABLE V. - Continued.
(vv) Configuration 101

| Axial distance, - X, cm | Survey across $0^{\circ}$ lobes |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.260 | 0.445 | 0.628 | 0.800 | 0.970 | 1.144 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach numiser, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.235 | 0.400 | 0.570 | 0.750 | 0.918 | 1.102 |
| 25.40 | . 180 | . 320 | . 432 | . 565 | . 720 | . 850 |
| 38.10 | . 130 | . 220 | . 310 | . 420 | . 530 | . 640 |
| 50.80 | . 125 | . 210 | . 300 | . 380 | . 450 | . 545 |
| 142.24 | . 096 | . 165 | . 233 | . 298 | . 366 | . 444 |
| 198.12 | . 077 | . 135 | . 191 | . 244 | . 296 | . 358 |
| 281. 94 | . 057 | . 098 | . 137 | . 177 | . 217 | . 261 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.963 | 0.978 | 0.989 | 0.998 | 0.979 | 0.986 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.922 | 0.901 | 0.904 | 0.905 | 0.899 | 0.901 |
| Axial distance, | Survey across $10^{\circ}$ lobes |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{cm}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.275 | 0.455 | 0.645 | 0.802 | 0.990 | 1.150 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.260 | 0.425 | 0.600 | 0.770 | 0.948 | 1.127 |
| 25.40 | . 190 | . 335 | . 455 | . 595 | . 750 | . 955 |
| 38.10 | . 140 | . 250 | . 370 | . 455 | . 545 | . 730 |
| 50.80 | . 125 | . 220 | . 315 | . 390 | . 470 | . 590 |
| 139.70 | . 101 | . 172 | . 244 | . 310 | . 375 | . 454 |
| 203.20 | . 076 | . 125 | . 174 | . 222 | . 272 | . 326 |
| 269.24 | . 055 | . 098 | . 141 | . 176 | . 210 | . 255 |
| Ratio of $M_{j}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 1.019 | 1.000 | 1.016 | 1.000 | 0.999 | 0.991 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | 0.958 | 0.914 | 0.913 | 0.909 | 0.902 | 0.906 |

TABLE V. - Concluded.
(ww) Configuration 102

| Axial <br> distance, X , cm | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jet } \\ \text { (fig. } \mathbf{1 0 0} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Survey across $0^{\circ}$ lobes |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.250 | 0.445 | 0.620 | 0.800 | 0.980 | 1.150 |
|  |  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | I | 0.230 | 0.390 | 0.570 | 0.710 | 0.910 | 1.060 |
| 25.40 |  | . 160 | . 280 | . 420 | . 540 | . 680 | . 810 |
| 38.10 |  | . 130 | . 230 | . 320 | . 420 | . 510 | . 640 |
| 50.80 | 1 | . 110 | . 200 | . 280 | . 350 | . 445 | . 525 |
| 12.70 | II | . 250 | . 430 | . 603 | . 760 | . 940 | 1.113 |
| 25.40 |  | . 180 | . 330 | . 480 | . 620 | . 800 | . 953 |
| 38.10 | 1 | . 150 | . 265 | . 365 | . 470 | . 605 | . 740 |
| 50.80 |  | . 125 | . 220 | . 310 | . 400 | . 493 | . 592 |
| Ratio of $M_{j}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ |  | 0.923 | 0.974 | 0.972 | 0.994 | 0.985 | 0.988 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ |  | 0.945 | 0.892 | 0.901 | 0.903 | 0.906 | 0.903 |
| Axial distance, $\mathbf{X}$, cm | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jet } \\ \text { (fig. } 100 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Survey across $10^{\circ}$ lobes |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.275 | 0.450 | 0.630 | 0.800 | 0.984 | 1.140 |
|  |  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | III | 0.240 | 0.400 | 0.570 | 0.720 | 0.903 | 1.050 |
| 25.40 |  | . 160 | . 300 | . 435 | . 575 | . 720 | . 848 |
| 38.10 |  | . 120 | . 225 | . 325 | . 425 | . 515 | . 635 |
| 50.80 |  | . 110 | . 180 | . 262 | . 340 | . 425 | . 500 |
| 12.70 | IV | ---- | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| 25.40 |  | .100 | .150 | . 240 | . 270 | . 330 | . 380 |
| 38.10 |  | . 100 | .170 | . 240 | . 300 | . 370 | . 425 |
| 50.80 |  | . 100 | . 170 | . 250 | . 310 | . 380 | . 445 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ |  | 1.015 | 0.985 | 0.987 | 0.994 | 0.989 | 0.979 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ |  | 0.945 | 0.892 | 0.901 | 0.903 | 0.906 | 0.903 |

TABLE VI. - PEAK AXIAL JET MACH NUMBER DECAY DA TA FOR MULTIELEMENT
BYPASS NOZZLES WITH NOMINALLY NONCOPLANAR EXITS
(a) Configuration 103; average bypass exit Mach number $M_{b, a v}=M_{j}$

|  | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.277 | 0.460 | 0.632 | 0.802 | 0.988 | 1.140 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 6.35 \\ 12.70 \\ 19.05 \\ 25.40 \\ 31.75 \\ 38.10 \\ 50.80 \\ 106.68 \\ 203.20 \end{array}$ <br> Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j}$, id <br> Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.250 | 0.410 | 0.578 | 0.741 | 0.940 | 1.080 |
|  | . 175 | . 290 | . 400 | . 510 | . 660 | . 770 |
|  | . 145 | . 240 | . 340 | . 455 | . 560 | . 680 |
|  | . 130 | . 220 | . 320 | . 420 | . 530 | . 630 |
|  | . 130 | . 225 | . 310 | . 390 | . 500 | . 600 |
|  | . 125 | . 220 | . 300 | . 380 | . 470 | . 580 |
|  | . 125 | . 200 | . 290 | . 370 | . 450 | . 545 |
|  | . 103 | . 178 | . 251 | . 327 | . 413 | . 496 |
|  | . 062 | . 108 | . 154 | . 200 | . 255 | . 311 |
|  | 1.026 | 1.013 | 0.997 | 1.003 | 0.998 | 0.984 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.856 | 0.869 | 0.897 | 0.924 | 0.948 | 0. 964 |

(b) Configuration 104

| Axial distance from core exit, $\mathbf{X}$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.260 | 0.450 | 0.630 | 0.800 | 0.990 | 1.150 |
|  | Average bypass exit Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b} \text {, av }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.190 | 0.300 | 0.400 | 0.510 | 0.650 | 0.800 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 6.35 \\ 12.70 \\ 19.05 \\ 25.40 \\ 31.75 \\ 38.10 \\ 50.80 \\ 106.68 \\ 152.40 \\ 215.90 \end{array}$ <br> Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathrm{id}}$ <br> Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.250 | 0.430 | 0.610 | 0.785 | 0.975 | 1.138 |
|  | . 175 | . 310 | . 450 | . 590 | . 753 | . 905 |
|  | . 130 | . 250 | . 350 | . 455 | . 580 | . 706 |
|  | . 125 | . 210 | . 304 | . 390 | . 500 | . 610 |
|  | . 120 | . 190 | . 275 | . 355 | . 453 | . 557 |
|  | . 120 | . 190 | . 270 | . 345 | . 425 | . 525 |
|  | . 100 | . 175 | . 250 | . 325 | . 395 | . 490 |
|  | . 084 | . 144 | . 203 | . 260 | . 332 | . 406 |
|  | . 062 | . 108 | . 154 | . 197 | . 252 | . 311 |
|  | . 046 | . 079 | . 114 | . 145 | . 186 | . 229 |
|  | 0.963 | 0.989 | 0.992 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 0.992 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.631 | 0.640 | 0.650 | 0.651 | 0.657 | 0. 663 |

TABLE VI. - Continued.
(c) Configuration 105; average bypass exit Mach number, $M_{b, a v}=M_{j}$

| Axial distance, <br> from core exit, <br> X, | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | cm | 0.275 | 0.460 | 0.635 | 0.800 | 0.990 | 1.158 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.35 | 0.250 | 0.430 | 0.610 | 0.782 | 0.977 | 1.135 |  |
| 12.70 | .175 | .335 | .490 | .685 | .879 | 1.045 |  |
| 19.05 | .140 | .260 | .390 | .540 | .705 | .870 |  |
| 25.40 | .130 | .225 | .330 | .430 | .540 | .720 |  |
| 38.10 | .110 | .185 | .275 | .350 | .450 | .550 |  |
| 50.80 | .090 | .160 | .220 | .310 | .380 | .460 |  |
| 101.60 | .090 | .160 | .220 | .290 | .370 | .440 |  |
| 152.40 | .070 | .120 | .170 | .230 | .290 | .360 |  |
| 213.36 | .050 | .090 | .130 | .170 | .210 | .260 |  |

(d) Configuration 106; average bypass exit Mach number, $M_{b, ~ a v}=M_{j}$

| Axial distance from core exit, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\text {j }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.250 | 0.435 | 0.628 | 0.793 | 0.987 | 1.138 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.230 | 0.420 | 0.580 | 0.745 | 0.926 | 1. 040 |
| 25.40 | . 160 | . 300 | . 420 | . 530 | . 660 | . 780 |
| 38.10 | . 135 | . 250 | . 360 | . 470 | . 580 | . 694 |
| 50.80 | . 130 | . 250 | . 355 | . 450 | . 560 | . 670 |
| 127.00 | . 099 | . 174 | . 248 | . 322 | . 412 | . 504 |
| 223.52 | . 056 | . 103 | . 149 | . 195 | . 246 | . 301 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.926 | 0.956 | 0.987 | 0.989 | 0.995 | 0.980 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.840 | 0.871 | 0.897 | 0.923 | 0.939 | 0.953 |

TABLE VI. - Continued.
(e) Configuration 107

| Axial distance from core exit, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.270 | 0.440 | 0.625 | 0.802 | 0.989 | 1.142 |
|  | Average bypass exit Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{av}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.200 | 0.320 | 0.440 | 0.570 | 0.710 | 0.850 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.250 | 0.430 | 0.610 | 0.775 | 0.955 | 1.053 |
| 25.40 | . 180 | . 310 | . 430 | . 560 | . 700 | . 800 |
| 38.10 | . 150 | . 255 | . 360 | . 460 | . 575 | . 700 |
| 50.80 | . 150 | . 250 | . 350 | . 430 | . 530 | . 630 |
| 101.60 | . 106 | . 182 | . 256 | . 332 | . 420 | . 510 |
| 152.40 | . 071 | . 126 | . 180 | . 232 | . 290 | . 355 |
| 223.52 |  | . 086 | . 121 | . 158 | . 196 | . 239 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i d}}$ | 1.000 | 0.967 | 0.984 | 1.000 | 0.998 | 0.984 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \text { id }}$ | 0.650 | 0.658 | 0.660 | 0.660 | 0.654 | 0.662 |

(f) Configuration 108; average bypass exit Mach number, $M_{b, a v}=M_{j}$

| Axial distance from core exit, X , cm | Peak Mach number determined by - | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.260 | 0.440 | 0.625 | 0.795 | 0.980 | 1.150 |
|  |  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.35 | Jets from tubes | 0.250 | 0.410 | 0.580 | 0.735 | 0.885 | 1.020 |
| 6.35 | Jets from tubes | . 260 | . 440 | . 605 | . 763 | . 930 | 1.070 |
| 12.70 | Jets from tubes | . 200 | . 320 | . 450 | 570 | . 700 | 830 |
| 12.70 | Merged jets at | . 175 | . 300 | .430 | . 560 | . 725 | . 870 |
| 19.05 | nozzle | . 175 | . 300 | . 420 | 545 | . 700 | 843 |
| 25.40 | centerline | . 170 | . 285 | . 410 | . 530 | . 680 | . 818 |
| 31.75 |  | . 150 | . 270 | . 390 | . 510 | . 660 | . 800 |
| 38.10 |  | . 150 | . 270 | . 380 | . 500 | . 640 | . 780 |
| 50.80 |  | . 130 | . 240 | . 350 | 460 | . 600 | . 720 |
| 101.60 |  | . 090 | . 160 | . 230 | . 300 | . 380 | . 480 |
| 152.40 |  | . 060 | . 110 | . 160 | . 210 | . 260 | . 320 |
| 213.36 |  | . 050 | . 080 | . 120 | . 150 | . 200 | . 240 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ |  | 0.959 | 0.965 | 0.983 | 0.990 | 0.987 | 0. 990 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal. $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \text { id }}$ |  | 0.729 | 0.721 | 0.818 | 0.781 | 0.821 | 0.859 |

TABLE VI. - Continued.
(g) Configuration 109

| Axial distance from core exit, $\mathbf{x}$, cm | Peak Mach number determined by - | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.275 | 0.460 | 0.634 | 0.805 | 0.990 | 1.150 |
|  |  | Average bypass exit Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{av}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.280 | 0.455 | 0.630 | 0.800 | 0.987 | 1.120 |
|  |  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.35 | Jets from tubes | 0.250 | 0.425 | 0.600 | 0.755 | 0.940 | 1.087 |
| 12.70 | Jets from tubes | . 200 | . 330 | . 470 | . 596 | . 772 | . 915 |
| 19.05 | Merged jets at | . 200 | . 330 | . 470 | . 595 | . 735 | . 870 |
| 25.40 | nozzle • | . 200 | . 330 | . 468 | . 593 | . 740 | . 870 |
| 38.10 | centerline | . 190 | . 325 | . 460 | . 585 | . 725 | . 855 |
| 50.80 |  | . 175 | . 310 | . 440 | . 560 | . 700 | . 825 |
| 127.00 |  | . 088 | . 149 | . 214 | . 280 | . 359 | . 430 |
| 213.36 |  | . 058 | . 101 | . 145 | . 189 | . 242 | . 291 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j}$, id |  | 1.019 | 1.011 | 0.998 | 1.004 | 0.998 | 0.991 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ |  | 0.770 | 0.761 | 0.791 | 0.805 | 0.835 | 0.865 |

(h) Configuration 110

| Axial distance from core exit.$\mathrm{X},$$\mathrm{cm}$ | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.260 | 0.430 | 0.620 | 0.800 | 0.985 | 1.150 |
|  | Average bypass exit Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}}$, av |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.55 | 0.66 | 0.77 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.35 | 0.250 | 0.430 | 0.613 | 0.782 | 0.973 | 1.135 |
| 12.70 | . 175 | . 320 | . 450 | . 592 | . 750 | . 898 |
| 19.05 | . 125 | . 230 | . 340 | . 470 | . 590 | . 730 |
| 25.40 | . 125 | . 210 | . 285 | . 380 | . 490 | . 600 |
| 31.75 | . 110 | . 190 | . 270 | . 340 | . 430 | . 515 |
| 38.10 | . 100 | . 175 | . 250 | . 320 | . 400 | . 475 |
| 50.80 | . 100 | . 160 | . 230 | 310 | . 380 | . 450 |
| 101.60 | . 070 | . 110 | . 160 | . 210 | . 270 | . 310 |
| 142.24 | . 050 | . 080 | . 120 | . 150 | . 190 | . 230 |
| 203.20 | . 030 | . 050 | . 080 | . 110 | . 130 | . 160 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.963 | 0.945 | 0.976 | 0.998 | 0.994 | 0.991 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.535 | 0.544 | 0.542 | 0.549 | 0.552 | 0.606 |

TABLE VI. - Continued.
(i) Configuration 111
Axial distance from core exit,

cm

| 0.270 | 0.451 | 0.630 | 0.802 | 0.989 | 1.153 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Average bypass exit Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}}$, av

| 0.180 | 0.300 | 0.400 | 0.500 | 0.600 | 0.700 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.275 | 0.450 | 0.610 | 0.780 | 0.960 | 1.132 |
| . 180 | . 310 | . 440 | . 560 | . 705 | . 875 |
| . 150 | . 250 | . 350 | . 440 | . 567 | . 690 |
| . 125 | . 225 | . 306 | . 390 | . 490 | . 600 |
| . 130 | . 220 | . 300 | . 370 | . 452 | . 536 |
| . 120 | . 200 | . 285 | . 360 | . 440 | . 521 |
| . 070 | . 120 | .166 | . 213 | .263 | . 317 |
| . 044 | . 074 | . 103 | . 130 | . 162 | . 195 |
| 0.996 | 0.989 | 0.989 | 0.998 | 0.995 | 0.991 |
| 0.589 | 0.566 | 0.551 | 0.551 | 0.555 | 0.558 |

(j) Configuration 112; average bypass exit Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{av}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$

|  |  | Nozzle | xit jet | ch num | $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| from core exit, X , | 0.265 | 0.455 | 0.630 | 0.800 | 0.975 | 1.135 |
| cm |  | Downst | $m$ peal | Mach n | ber, M |  |
| 12.70 | 0.240 | 0.420 | 0.595 | 0.765 | 0.905 | 1.052 |
| 25.40 | . 175 | . 310 | . 430 | . 570 | . 720 | . 870 |
| 38.10 | . 150 | . 275 | . 380 | . 500 | . 640 | . 790 |
| 50.80 | . 130 | . 220 | . 320 | . 420 | . 570 | . 690 |
| 114.30 | . 067 | . 121 | . 178 | . 237 | . 319 | . 359 |
| 152.40 | . 048 | . 090 | . 133 | . 178 | . 241 | . 278 |
| 218.44 | . 034 | . 061 | . 096 | . 127 | . 171 | . 199 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.981 | 1.000 | 0.992 | 0.999 | 0.984 | 0.978 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.718 | 0.720 | 0.745 | 0.774 | 0.809 | 0.844 |

TABLE VI. - Continued.
(k) Configuration 113

| Axial distance from core exit, X, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.300 | 0.460 | 0.640 | 0.805 | 0.990 | 1.140 |
|  | Average bypass exit Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b} \text {, av }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.200 | 0.330 | 0.440 | 0.540 | 0.600 | 0.730 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.270 | 0.445 | 0.618 | 0.785 | 0.937 | 1.088 |
| 25.40 | . 175 | . 304 | . 422 | . 550 | . 685 | . 852 |
| 38.10 | . 140 | . 240 | . 350 | . 445 | . 560 | . 660 |
| 50.80 | . 125 | . 210 | . 300 | . 390 | . 500 | . 595 |
| 101.60 | . 067 | . 111 | . 158 | . 209 | . 269 | . 344 |
| 152.40 | . 041 | . 069 | . 100 | . 134 | . 172 | . 230 |
| 223.52 | . 026 | . 046 | . 068 | . 090 | . 118 | . 158 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j}$, id | 1.111 | 1.011 | 1.008 | 1.004 | 0.998 | 0.983 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.588 | 0.560 | 0.564 | 0.565 | 0.565 | 0.565 |

(2) Configuration 114

| Axial distance from core exit, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.455 | 0.630 | 0.800 | 0.988 | 1.150 |
|  | Average bypass exit Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}}$, av |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.290 | 0.380 | 0.475 | 0.610 | 0.770 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.445 | 0.628 | 0.795 | 0.983 | 1.145 |
| 25.40 | . 385 | . 560 | . 720 | . 920 | 1.103 |
| 38.10 | . 330 | . 475 | . 615 | . 805 | . 998 |
| 50.80 | . 275 | . 410 | . 525 | . 690 | . 890 |
| 76.20 | . 200 | . 290 | . 380 | . 505 | . 650 |
| 101.60 | . 140 | . 200 | . 280 | . 370 | . 480 |
| 152.40 | . 100 | . 150 | . 200 | . 250 | . 300 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.993 | 0.984 | 0.991 | 0.991 | 0.985 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | ----- | ---- | ----- | ---- | --- |

TABLE.VI. - Continued.
(m) Configuration 115

| Axial distance |  | zle-exi | et Ma | mber |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}$ | 0.455 | 0.630 | 0.800 | 0.984 | 1.152 |
| cm | Avera | bypass | xit Mac | number | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{av}}$ |
|  | 0.320 | 0.420 | 0.530 | 0.663 | 0.850 |
|  |  | stream | eak Ma | numbe |  |
| 12.70 | 0.448 | 0.625 | 0.793 | 0.984 | 1.145 |
| 25.40 | . 390 | . 565 | . 730 | . 930 | 1.098 |
| 38.10 | . 340 | . 485 | . 630 | . 842 | 1.020 |
| 45.72 | . 320 | . 450 | . 590 | . 795 | . 970 |
| 50.80 | . 290 | . 425 | . 550 | . 760 | . 930 |
| 76.20 | . 225 | . 325 | . 420 | . 575 | . 705 |
| 101.60 | . 170 | . 250 | . 320 | . 450 | . 540 |
| 152.40 | . 120 | . 160 | . 220 | . 280 | . 350 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i d}}$ | 1.000 | 0.991 | 0.998 | 0.992 | 0.992 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | ----- | -- | -- | --- | -- |

(n) Configuration 116

| Axial distance from core exit, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.458 | 0.633 | 0.800 | 0.985 |
|  | Average bypass exit Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{av}}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 0.350 | 0.490 | 0.620 | 0.773 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.445 | 0.620 | 0.795 | 0.981 |
| 25.40 | . 390 | . 555 | . 715 | . 910 |
| 38.10 | . 355 | . 505 | . 665 | . 855 |
| 45.72 | . 335 | . 475 | . 630 | . 825 |
| 50.80 | . 320 | . 460 | . 605 | . 800 |
| 76.20 | . 250 | . 350 | . 470 | . 630 |
| 101.60 | . 190 | . 270 | . 365 | . 500 |
| 152.40 | . 130 | . 175 | . 240 | . 310 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i d}}$ | 1.002 | 0.992 | 0.994 | 0.990 |
| Ratio of nozzie mass flow rate to ideal. $W_{n} / W_{n, i d}$ | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |

TABLE VI. - Continued.
(0) Configuration 117

| Axial distance from core exit, $\mathbf{X}$, cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.450 | 0.630 | 0.800 | 0.983 |
|  | Average bypass exit Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{av}}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 0.440 | 0.616 | 0.783 | 0.972 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.440 | 0.617 | 0.790 | 0.975 |
| 25.40 | . 425 | . 600 | . 765 | . 965 |
| 38.10 | . 415 | . 590 | . 760 | . 955 |
| 45.72 | . 405 | . 580 | . 750 | . 935 |
| 50.80 | . 385 | . 550 | . 730 | . 920 |
| 76.20 | . 300 | . 440 | . 580 | . 775 |
| 101.60 | . 230 | . 330 | . 445 | . 565 |
| 152.40 | . 150 | . 225 | . 300 | . 380 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{\mathbf{j}} / M_{\mathbf{j}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.983 | 0.986 | 0.993 | 0.987 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | ----- | ----- | ---- | ----- |

(p) Configuration 118

| Axial distance from core exit, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.467 | 0.640 | 0.805 | 0.995 | 1.160 |
|  | Average bypass exit Mach number, $M_{b, ~ a v}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.240 | 0.315 | 0.385 | 0.470 | 0.565 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.467 | 0.639 | 0.804 | 0.995 | 1.151 |
| 25.40 | . 450 | . 625 | . 790 | . 986 | 1.149 |
| 38.10 | . 387 | . 550 | . 710 | . 903 | 1.085 |
| 50.80 | . 318 | . 455 | . 590 | . 765 | . 930 |
| 63.50 | . 257 | . 380 | . 495 | . 640 | . 780 |
| 76.20 | . 220 | . 325 | . 420 | . 545 | . 655 |
| 101.60 | . 155 | . 240 | . 320 | . 418 | . 508 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 1.024 | 1.005 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 0.995 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | --- | ---- | ----- | ----- | ---- |

TABLE VI. - Continued.
(q) Configuration 119

| Axial distance |  | zle-exit | et Mac | number, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| from core exit, | -0.467 | 0.645 | 0.812 | 1.000 | 1.160 |
| cm | Avera | bypass | xit Mac | number | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{av}}$ |
|  | 0.340 | 0.460 | 0.570 | 0.700 | 0.910 |
|  |  | astream | eak Ma | numbe |  |
| 12.70 | 0.467 | 0.643 | 0.810 | 1.000 | 1.160 |
| 25.40 | . 450 | . 625 | . 795 | . 988 | 1.149 |
| 38.10 | . 400 | . 560 | . 722 | . 918 | 1.066 |
| 50.80 | . 330 | . 470 | . 613 | . 782 | . 918 |
| 63.50 | . 275 | . 400 | . 518 | . 665 | . 772 |
| 76.20 | . 235 | . 340 | . 450 | . 575 | . 670 |
| 101.60 | . 190 | . 270 | . 350 | . 450 | . 515 |
| 127.00 | . 145 | . 220 | . 290 | . 375 | . 425 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, | 0.124 | 1.013 | 1.010 | 1.006 | 0.997 |
| $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ |  | - | ----- | ----- | ----- |

(r) Configuration 120


TABLE VI. - Continued.
(s) Configuration 121

| Axial distance from core exit, X , cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.450 | 0.580 | 0.800 | 0.990 | 1.151 |
|  | Average bypass exit Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}}$, av |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.205 | 0.255 | 0.370 | 0.440 | 0.575 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.450 | 0.570 | 0.800 | 0.988 | 1.151 |
| 25.40 | . 430 | . 570 | . 795 | . 987 | 1.150 |
| 38.10 | . 440 | . 570 | . 795 | . 988 | 1.151 |
| 50.80 | . 405 | . 535 | . 770 | . 969 | 1.145 |
| 63.50 | . 360 | . 480 | . 700 | . 905 | 1.095 |
| 76.20 | . 320 | . 420 | . 630 | . 805 | 1.010 |
| 101.60 | . 240 | . 325 | . 470 | . 620 | . 785 |
| 127.00 | . 200 | . 260 | . 370 | . 495 | . 620 |
| 177.80 | . 125 | . 175 | . 250 | . 320 | . 415 |
| 228.60 | . 090 | . 125 | . 175 | . 240 | . 300 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, ~ i d}$ | 0.991 | 1.000 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 0.993 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal. $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.593 | 0.538 | 0.566 | 0.543 | 0.541 |

(t) Configuration 122

| Axial distance from core exit, X . cm | Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.450 | 0.578 | 0.803 | 0.990 | 1.155 |
|  | Average bypass exit Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b} \text {, av }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.310 | 0.390 | 0.542 | 0.671 | 0.839 |
|  | Downstream peak Mach number, M |  |  |  |  |
| 12.70 | 0.440 | 0.572 | 0.803 | 0.990 | 1.150 |
| 25.40 | . 450 | . 572 | . 800 | . 990 | 1.152 |
| 38.10 | . 435 | . 570 | . 800 | . 990 | 1.140 |
| 50.80 | . 420 | . 545 | . 765 | . 975 | 1.100 |
| 63.50 | . 380 | . 500 | . 715 | . 915 | 1.010 |
| 76.20 | . 340 | . 440 | . 640 | . 825 | . 885 |
| 101.60 | . 265 | . 350 | . 500 | . 650 | . 705 |
| 127.00 | . 220 | . 275 | . 400 | . 530 | . 585 |
| 177.80 | . 140 | . 200 | . 290 | . 375 | . 415 |
| 228.60 | . 120 | . 150 | . 220 | . 275 | . 320 |
| Ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to ideal, $M_{j} / M_{j, i d}$ | 0.989 | 0.997 | 1.002 | 0.999 | 0.996 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal. $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.661 | 0.704 | 0.696 | 0.706 | 0.704 |

TABLE VI. - Concluded.
(u) Configuration 123

| Axial distance | Nozz | exit jet | ch num | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X, | 0.450 | 0.575 | 0.800 | 0.986 |
| cm | Average | ass ex | ch num | , $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{av}}$ |
|  | 0.445 | 0.572 | 0.798 | 0.985 |
|  | Down | eam peak | ach nu | r, M |
| 12.70 | 0.450 | 0.575 | 0.800 | 0.983 |
| 25.40 | . 450 | . 575 | . 795 | . 983 |
| 38.10 | . 440 | . 565 | . 790 | . 980 |
| 50.80 | . 425 | . 540 | . 765 | . 955 |
| 63.50 | . 390 | . 505 | . 715 | . 910 |
| 76.20 | . 360 | . 450 | . 650 | . 835 |
| 101.60 | . 290 | . 375 | . 530 | . 680 |
| 127.00 | . 240 | . 310 | . 440 | . 560 |
| 177.80 | . 160 | . 220 | . 310 | . 400 |
| 228.60 | . 125 | . 160 | . 230 | . 320 |
| Ratio of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j}}$ to ideal, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.989 | 0.990 | 0.998 | 0.994 |
| Ratio of nozzle mass flow rate to ideal, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{id}}$ | 0.928 | 0.950 | 0.955 | 0.962 |



Figure 1. - Externally blown flap, short-takeoff-and-landing (STOL) airplane,

(b) Configuration 117 installed on air supply line.

Figure 2. - Nozzle test facility.

$6-71-1405$
(a) Radially symmetric multitube ( $0-6-6$ ) nozzle, configuration 61.


C-71-1403
(b) Radially symmetric multitube (l-6-6) nozzle, configuration 63.

Figure 3. - Multielement nozzles.


C-7)-1407
(c) Radially nonsymmetric multitube (1-6-6) nozzle, configuration 67 .

(d) Rectangular-array multitube nozzle, configuration 69.

Figure 3. - Continued.

(e) Multitube (0-8-0) nozzle with centerbody, configuration 49.

(f) Three-slot rectangular nozzle, configuration 73.

Figure 3. - Continued.

(g) Six-slot split-element nozzle, configuration 89.


Figure 3. - Continued.

(i) Flat-end trapezoidal nozzle, configuration 95.

(j) Flat-end trapezoidal nozzle, configuration 96.

Figure 3. - Continued.

(k) Round-end trapezoidal nozzle with alternate lobes canted $10^{\circ}$ outward from nozzle centerline, configuration 101.


(m) Bypass nozzle with round-end slot core and screened annular secondary. configuration 116.

( $n$ ) Bypass nozzle with round-end slot core and annular secondary. configuration 117.

Figure 3. - Continued.

(0) Bypass nozzle with circular convergent core and screened annular secondary. configuration 121 .

Figure 3. - Concluded.
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8}$


Six-tube ( $0-6-0$ ) nozzle; tubes: 2.54 cm o. d. by 2.36 cm i.d. by 10.16 cm

(a) Survey at 0.32 centimeter downstream of tube exits $\left(M_{l}=M_{j}\right)$.

(b) Survey at 12.7 centimeters downstream of tube exits.

Figure 4. - Jet total pressure profiles converted to Mach numbers for various nozzle pressure ratios. Configuration 45.


Figure 4. - Concluded.

Twelve-tube ( $0-6-6$ ) nozzle. Tubes
$2.54-\mathrm{cm}$ o. d. by $2.36-\mathrm{cm}$ i.d. by
10.16 cm long; inner row on 5.08 -
cm radius, outer row on $8.89-\mathrm{cm}$
radius

|  | Nozzle-exit jet <br> Mach number, <br> $M_{j}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.63 |
| - | .795 |
| ---- | .98 |
| - | 1.15 |


(c) Survey at 25.4 centimeters.
(d) Survey at 38.1 centimeters.

Figure 5. - Normalized nozzle-exit jet Mach number profiles. Configuration 54.

$8 \sqrt{-\infty-}$


Axial distance parameter, $x / C_{n} D_{e} \sqrt{1+M_{j}}$
(b) Schematic diagram of multielement-nozzle peak axial Mach number decay regimes.

Figure 6. - Jet Mach number decay with axial distance.

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Nozzle-exit } \\ \text { jet Mach } \\ \text { number, } \\ M_{j}\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Normalizing } \\ \text { coefficient, } \\ C_{n}\end{array}$ | Configuration | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | 0.825 | 0.91 | 1 | $2.36-\mathrm{cm}$-i. d. tube by 10.16 cm long |
| $\square$ | .802 | .77 |  |  |
| $\square$ | .985 | .77 |  |  |$\}$



Figure 7. - Peak axial Mach number decay comparison of circular single-element nozzles, both tube and orifices (sharp and round edge), and a convergent (circular) nozzle.


Figure 8. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 3.58-centimeter-diameter convergent nozzle. Configuration 7; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.58$ centimeters.


Figure 9. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 7.62-centimeter-diameter convergent nozzle (ref. 10). Configuration 8; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 7.62$ centimeters.


Figure 10. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 5.08 -centimeter by 7.62 -centimeter rectangular sharp-edge slot. Configuration 9; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 7.03$ centimeters.


Figure 11. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 5.08-centimeter by 15.24-centimeter rectangular sharp-edge slot. Configuration 10; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 9.94$ centimeters.


Figure 12. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 3.81 -centimeter by 15.24 -centimeter rectangular sharp-edge slot. Configuration 11 ; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 8.6$ centimeters.


Figure 13. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 2.54 -centimeter by 15.24-centimeter rectangular sharp-edge slot. Configuration 12 ; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 7.03$ centimeters.

(b) Round edge; configuration 14.

Figure 14. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 1 . 7 -centimeter by 15 . 24-centimeter rectangular slot. Equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 4.9$ centimeters.


Figure 15. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 2.286-centimeter by 5.08-centimeter rectangular sharp-edge slot. Configuration 15 (single slot of configuration 75 ); equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 3.84$ centimeters.


Figure 16. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 1.524 -centimeter by 7.62-centimeter rectangular sharp-edge slot. Configuration 16 (single slot of configuration 76); equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 3.84$ centimeters.


Figure 17. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 2.286 -centimeter by 11.43 -centimeter rectangular sharp-edge slot. Configuration 17 (single stot of configuration 77); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 5.76$ centimeters.


Figure 18. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 1.524 -centimeter by 11 . 43-centimeter rectangular sharp-edge slot. Configuration 18 (single slot of configuration 78); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 4.71$ centimeters.


Figure 19. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 1.02-centimeter by 11. 43-centimeter rectangular sharp-edge orifice. Configuration 19 (single slot of configuration 79); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.84$ centimeters.


Figure 20. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 1.524 -centimeter by 6.99-centimeter rectangular convergent nozzle. Configuration 20 (single nozzle of configuration 90 ); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{e}}, 3.68$ centimeters.


Figure 21. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 1.524 -centimeter by 8 . 25 -centimeter rectangular convergent nozzle. Configuration 21 (single nozzle of configuration 89 ); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 4.00$ centimeters.


Figure 22. - Peak axial Mach number decay of round-end rectangular nozzle. Configuration 22 (core nozzle of configurations 114, 115, 116, and 117); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 5.32$ centimeters; aspect ratio. AR. 4.76


Figure 23. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 1.524 -centimeter by 20.32 -centimeter rectangular convergent nozzle. Configuration 23 (single nozzle of configurations 72,73 , and 74 ); equivalent diameter, $D_{\mathrm{e}}, 6.28$ centimeters.


Figure 24. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 1 . 524 -centimeter by 20.32 -centimeter rectangular convergent nozzle with $15^{0}$ end plates. Configuration 24 (same basic nozzle as configuration 23); equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 6.28$ centimeters.


Figure 25. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 6.75-centimeter by 6.75-centimeter square convergent nozzle. Configuration 25 ; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 7.62$ centimeters; wall divergence angle, $\beta, 0^{0}$. (From ref. 10; nozzle 5.)


Figure 26. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 4.775-centimeter by 9.55 -centimeter rectangular nozzle. Configuration 26; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 7.62$ centimeters; aspect ratio. AR, 2; wall divergence angle, $\beta, 30^{\circ}$. (From ref. 10 ; nozzle 7.)


Figure 27. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 3 . 02-centimeter by 1 . 1 -centimeter rectangular nozzle. Configuration 27; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 7.62$ centimeters; aspect ratio, $A R$, 5 ; wall divergence angle, $\beta, 5^{\circ}$. (From ref. 10; nozzle 8.)


Figure 28. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 3.02-centimeter by 15 . 1-centimeter rectangular nozzle. Configuration 28; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 7.62$ centimeters; aspect ratio, AR, 5; wall divergence angle, $\beta, 30^{\circ}$. (From ref. 10; nozzle 9.)


Figure 29. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 274 -centimeter by 16 . 55 -centimeter rectangular nozzle. Configuration 29; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 7.62$ centimeters; aspect ratio, AR, 6 ; wall divergence angle, $\beta$, $0^{\infty}$. (From ref. 10 ; nozzle 6.)


Figure 30. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 3 -centimeter by 65 -centimeter rectangular nozzle. Configuration 30; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 15.75$ centimeters; aspect ratio, AR, 21.7. (From ref. 8.)


Figure 31. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 5.08 -centimeter by 7.62-centimeter triangular sharp-edge orifice. Configuration 31; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 4.96$ centimeters.


Figure 32 - Peak axial Mach númber decay of 5.08-centimeter by 15.24 -centimeter triangular sharp-edge orifice. Configuration 32; equivalent diameter. $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 7.03$ centimeters.


Figure 33. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 254 -centimeter by 15.24 -centimeter triangular sharp-edge orifice. Configuration 33 ; equivalent diameter, $D_{e} .4 .96$ centimeters.


Figure 34. - Peak axial Mach number decay of flat-end trapezoidal nozzle. Configuration 34 (single nozzle of configuration 94); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.19$ centimeters.


Figure 35. - Peak axial Mach number decay of flat-end trapezoidal nozzle. Conf iguration 35 (single nozzle of configuration 95); equivalent diameter. $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.125$ centimeters.


Figure 36. - Peak axial Mach number decay of flat-end trapezoidal nozzle. Configuration 36 (single nozzle of configuration 961 : equivalent diameter. $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}} .2 .20$ centimeters.


Axial distance parameter, $X / C_{n} D_{e} \sqrt{1+M_{j}}$
Figure 37. - Peak axial Mach number decay of round-end trapezoidal nozzle. Configuration 37 (single nozzle of configurations 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, and 102); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.81$ centimeters.


Figure 38. - Peak axial Mach number decay of a $Y$-shape sharp-edge orifice. Configuration 38; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 8.40$ centimeters.


Figure 39. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 1.016 -centimeter-wide sharp-edge annulus 13.21 centimeters in outside diameter by 11.18 centimeters in inside diameter. Configuration 39 (used on configurations 108, 109, 110, 111,112 , and 113 ); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 7.05$ centimeters. (Inner surface (jet) pumped subatmospheric for first 7.62 cm .1


Figure 40. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 1.663 -centimeter-wide round-edge annulus 14.78 centimeters in outside diameter by 11.44 centimeters in inside diameter. Configuration 40 (used on configurations 114 , 115, 116, and 117); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 9.37$ centimeters.


Figure 41. - Peak axial Mach number decay of bypass-nozzle convergent annulus only, 10.23 centimeters in outside diameter by 7.92 centimeters in inside diameter. Configuration 41 (used on configurations 118, 119 , and 120 ; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 6.472$ centimeters.


Figure 42 - Peak axial Mach number decay of $0-6-0$ multitube nozzle with spacing ratio $s_{1} / d_{1}$ of 0,345 . Configuration 42 ; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 236$ centimeters.


Figure 43. - Peak axial Mach number decay of $0-6-0$ multitube nozzle with spacing ratio $\mathrm{s}_{1} / \mathrm{d}_{1}$ of 0.613 . Configuration 43: equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 236$ centimeters.


Figure 44. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 0-6-0 multitube nozzle with spacing ratio $\mathrm{s}_{1} / \mathrm{d}_{1}$ of 1 . 152. Configuration 44: equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 236$ centimeters.


Figure 45. - Peak axial Mach number decay of $0-6-0$ multitube nozzle with spacing ratio $s_{1} / d_{1}$ of 1.825 . Configuration 45; equivalent diameter, $D_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 46. - Peak axial Mach number decay of $0-6-0$ multiorifice (round edge) nozzle with spacing ratio $s_{1} / d_{1}$ of 1.064 . Configuration 46; equivaient diameter, $D_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.46$ centimeters.


Figure 47. - Peak axial Mach number decay of $0-6-0$ multiorifice (sharp edge) nozzle with spacing ratio $5_{1} / d_{1}$ of 1.064 . Configuration 47; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.46$ centimeters.


Figure 48. - Effect of circumferential spacing on peak axial Mach number decay for 0-6-0 multitube or multiorifice nozzles.


Figure 49. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 0-6-0 multitube nozzle with spacing ratio $5_{1} / d_{1}$ of 1.152 and swirlinducing tape in each tube. Configuration 48 (configuration 44 with swirl tapes).


Figure 50. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 0-8-0 multitube nozzle with spacing ratio $s_{1} / \mathrm{d}_{1}$ of 1 . 25 . Configuration 49 (core nozzle of configurations 103, 104, and 108 to 111 ).


Figure 51. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 0-6-6 multiorifice (sharp edge) nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{2} / s_{2}=0.0304$ and $s_{1} / d_{1}=1.0$. Configuration 50 ; equivalent diameter. $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}} .2 .54$ centimeters.


Figure 52. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 0-6-6 multiorifice (sharp edige) nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{2} / s_{2}=0.111$ and $s_{1} / d_{1}=1.0$. Configuration 51 ; equivalent diameter, $D_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.54$ centimeters.


Figure 53. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 0-6-6 multiorifice (sharp edge) nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{2} / s_{2}=0.200$ and $s_{1} / d_{l}=1.0$. Configuration 52 ; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.54$ centimeters.


Figure 54. - Peak axial Mach number decay of $0-6-6$ multitube nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{2} / s_{2}=0.204$ and $s_{1} / d_{1}=0.345$. Configuration 53; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$, 2.36 centimeters.


Figure 55. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 0-6-6 multitube nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{2} / s_{2}=0.222$ and $s_{1} / d_{1}=1.152$. Configuration 54; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 56. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 0-6-6 multitube nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{2} / s_{2}=0.349$ and $s_{1} / d_{1}=1$. 152. Configuration 55; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 57. - Peak axial Mach number decay of $0-6-12$ multitube nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{2} / s_{2}=0.938$ and $s_{1} / d_{1}=1.152$. Configuration 56 ; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 58. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 0-6-18 multiorifice (sharp edge) nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{2} / s_{2}=2.56$ and $s_{1} / d_{1}=1.0$. Configuration 57; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.54$ centimeters; spaces between orifices pumped subatmospheric.


Figure 59. - Peak axial Mach number decay of $1-6-0$ multitube nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{1} / s_{1}=1.0$ and $s_{1} / d_{1}=0.613$. Configuration 58; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 60. - Peak axial Mach number decay of $1-6-0$ multitube nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{1} / s_{1}=1.0$ and $s_{1} / d_{1}=1.152$. Configuration 59; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 61. - Peak axial Mach number decay of $1-6-0$ multitube nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{1} / s_{1}=1.0$ and $s_{1} / d_{1}=1.83$. Configuration 60; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 62. - Peak axial Mach number decay of $1-6-6$ multitube nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{1} / s_{1}=1.0$ and $s_{1} / d d_{1}=0.344$. Configuration 61; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 63. - Effect of exposed nozzle tube length on peak axial Mach number decay of 1-6-6 multitube nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{1} / s_{1}=1.0$ and $\mathrm{s}_{1} / \mathrm{d}_{1}=0.344$. Configuration 61; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 64. - Peak axial Mach number decay of $1-6-6$ multitube nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{1} / s_{1}=1.0$ and $s_{1} / d_{1}=0.613$. Configuration 62; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 65. - Peak axial Mach number decay of $1-6-6$ multitube nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{1} / s_{1}=1.0$ and $s_{1} / d_{1}=1.152$. Configuration 63; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 66. - Effect of exposed nozzle tube length on peak axial Mach number decay of $1-6-6$ multitube nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{1} / s_{l}=1.0$ and $s_{1} / d_{1}=0.613$. Configuration 64 ; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 67. - Effect of screens at inlets of outer tubes on peak axial Mach number decay of 1-6-6 multitube nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{1} / s_{1}=1.0$ and $s_{1} / d_{1}=0.613$. Configuration 65; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters; all tubes 10.16 centimeters long.


Figure 68. - Effect of tube length on peak axial Mach number decay of 1-6-6 multitube nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{1} / s_{1}=1.0$ and $s_{1} / d_{1}=$ 0.613 - six outer tubes, 3.81 centimeters long; all other tubes, 10.16 centimeters long. Configuration 66; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$, 2.36 centimeters.


Figure 69. - Effect of tube length on peak axial Mach number decay of $1-6-6$ multitube nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{1} / s_{1}=1.0$ and $s_{1} / d_{1}=$ 0.613 - nozzle centerline tube, 1.27 centimeters long; six inner tubes, 6.35 centimeters long; six outer tubes, 10.16 centimeters long. Configuration 67; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 70. - Peak axial Mach number decay of $1-6-12$ multitube nozzle with spacing ratios $r_{1} / s_{1}=1.0$ and $s_{1} / d_{1}=1.152$. Configuration 68 ; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters.

Twelve tubes $2.54-\mathrm{cm}$ o. d. by 2. $36-\mathrm{cm}$ i. d. by 10.16 cm long; all tube centerlines 3.81 cm apart
 Single
Nozzle-exit jet Mach number.

Calculated ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.83$ ) tube centerings 3.81 cm apart

$\frac{1}{200}$

$$
\text { Axial distance parameter, } \mathrm{x} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}} \sqrt{1+M_{\mathrm{j}}}
$$

Figure 71. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 12 -tube rectangular-array nozzle (4 tubes by 3 tubes) with spacing ratio $\mathrm{s}_{1} / \mathrm{d}_{1}=0.613$. Configuration 69 ; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 72. - Peak axial Mach number decay of nozzle composed of three round-end rectangular slots - all slots at $0^{0}$ to nozzle axis. Configuration 70; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 7.25$ centimeters (center slot); spaces between slots pumped slightly subatmospheric.


Figure 73. - Peak axial Mach number decay of nozzle composed of three round-end rectangular slots - both outside slots at $10^{\circ}$ to nozzle axis. Configuration 71 ; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 6.96$ centimeters (center slot).


Figure 74. - Peak axial Mach number decay of three-slot convergent nozzle with spacing ratio $s_{1} / w=2.83$. Configuration 72 (single element, configuration 23); equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 6.27$ centimeters.


Figure 75. - Peak axial Mach number decay of three-slot convergent nozzle with spacing ratio $s_{1} / w=4$. 17. Configuration 73 (single element, configuration 23); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{e}}, 6.27$ centimeters.


Figure 76. - Peak axial Mach number decay of three-slot convergent nozzle (configuration 73) with hemispherical afterbody flush with nozzle exits. Configuration 74 ; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 6.27$ centimeters.


Figure 77. - Peak axial Mach number decay of four-slot, sharp-edge-orifice nozzle with spacing ratio $s_{1} / w=2.0$. Configuration 75 (single element, configuration 15); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.85$ centimeters.


Figure 78. - Peak axial Mach number decay of four-slot, sharp-edge-orifice nozzle with spacing ratio $s_{1} / \mathrm{w}=2.0$. Configuration 76 (single element, configuration 16); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.85$ centimeters; spaces between slots pumped slightly subatmospheric.


Figure 79. - Peak axial Mach number decay of four-slot, sharp-edge-orifice nozzle with spacing ratio $s_{1} / w=2.0$. Configuration 77 (single element, configuration 17); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$, 5.76 centimeters.


Figure 80. - Peak axial Mach number decay of four-slot, sharp-edge-orifice nozzle with spacing ratio $s_{1} / w=2.0$. Configuration 78 (single element, configuration 18 ); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 4.71$ centimeters; spaces between slots pumped slightly subatmospheric at 0.32 -centimeter survey location.


Figure 81. - Peak axial Mach number decay of four-slot, sharp-edge-orifice nozzle with spacing ratio $\mathrm{s}_{1} / \mathrm{w}=2.0$. Configuration 79 (single element, configuration 19); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.85$ centimeters; sdaces between slots pumped subatmospheric at 0.32 -centimeter and 2.54-centimeter survey stations.


Figure 82. - Effect of aspect ratio on peak axial Mach number decay of four-slot convergent rectangular nozzle. Spacing ratio, $s_{1} h w$, 2.0; wall divergence angle, $\beta, 15^{\circ}$; nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}$, 1.05 . (From ref. 11.)


Figure 83. - Effect of wall divergence angle on peak axial Mach number decay of four-slot convergent rectangular nozzle. Spacing ratio, $s_{1} / w, 2.0$, aspect ratio, AR, 5; nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}$, 1.05. (From ref. 11.)


Figure 84. - Effect of spacing ratio $s, / w$ on peak axial Mach number decay of four-slot convergent rectangular nozzle. Aspect ratio, AR, $5_{\text {; }}$ wall divergence angle, $\beta$, 150 ; nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}$, 1.05. (From ref. IL.)


Figure 85. - Peak axial Mach number decay of six-slot ventilated convergent nozzle. Height-width ratio, h/w, 5.42; radial spacing, $r_{1}, 3.81$ centimeters. Configuration 89 (single element, configuration 21 ); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 4.00$ centimeters.


Figure 86. - Peak axial Mach number decay of six-slot ventilated convergent nozzie. Height-width ratio, h/w, 4.58; radial spacing, $r_{1}, 6.35$ centimeters. Configuration 90 (single element, configuration 20 ); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.67$ centimeters.


Figure 87. - Peak axial Mach number decay of two-lobe triangular convergent nozzle with circumferential spacing ratio $s_{l} / w_{l}$ of 3.08 centimeters. Configuration 91 ; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 5.40$ centimeters. (From ref. 10. )


Figure 88. - Peak axial Mach number decay of four-lobe triangular convergent nozzle with circumferential spacing ratio $s_{l} / w_{l}$ of 3.76 . Configuration 92 ; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 3.81$ centimeters. (From ref. 10.)


Figure 89. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 12 -lobe triangular convergent nozzle with circumferential spacing ratio $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{l}} / \mathrm{w}_{l}$ of 3.98 . Configuration 93 ; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.20$ centimeters. (From ref. 10 .)


Figure 90. - Peak axial Mach number decay of six-lobe, flat-end trapezoidal nozzle with circumferential spacing ratio $\mathrm{s}_{1} / w_{l}$ of 3.06 . Configuration 94 (single element, configuration 34); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.20$ centimeters.


Figure 91. - Peak axial Mach number decay of six-lobe, flat-end trapezoidal nozzle with circumferential spacing ratio $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{l}} / \mathrm{w}_{l}$ of 1.014. Configuration 95 (single element, configuration 35 ); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.15$ centimeters.


Figure 92. - Peak axial Mach number decay of 12 -lobe, flat-end trapezoidal nozzle with circumferential spacing ratio, $5_{1} / w_{\tau}$ of 1 .14. Configuration 96 (single element, configuration 36); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.18$ centimeters.


Figure 93. - Comparison of calculated peak axial Mach number decay of multilobed flat-end trapezoidal nozzles.


Figure 94. - Peak axial Mach number decay of two-lobe, round-end trapezoidal nozzle - both lobes at $0^{0}$ to nozzle axis. Configuration 97 (single element, configuration 37 ); equivalent diameter, $D_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.81$ centimeters.


Figure 95. - Peak axial Mach number decay of four-lobe, round-end trapezoidal nozzle - all lobes at $0^{0}$ to nozzle axis. Configuration 98 (single element, configuration 37 ); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{e}}, 3.81$ centimeters.


Figure 96. - Peak axial Mach number decay of eight-lobe, round-end trapezoidal nozzle with $10^{\circ}$ conical afterbody - all lobes at $0^{0}$ to nozzle axis. Configuration 99 (single element, configuration 37 ); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.81$ centimeter.

(b) Survey across $0^{0}$ lobes.

Figure 97. - Peak axial Mach number decay of eight-lobe, round-end trapezoidal nozzle - four lobes at $0^{0}$ and four alternate lobes at $5^{0}$ to nozzle axis. Configuration 100 (single element, configuration 37); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.81$ centimeters.

(b) Survey across $0^{0}$ lobes.

Figure 98. - Peak axial Mach number decay of eight-lobe, round-end trapezoidal nozzle - four lobes at $0^{\circ}$ and four alternate lobes at $10^{\circ}$ to nozzle axis. Configuration 101 (single element, configuration 37 ); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.81$ centimeters.


Figure 99. - Comparison of effect of canting alternate lobes of eight-lobe, round-end trapezoidal nozzie.

(b) Survey across plugged lobe and one $10^{\circ}$ lobe. Survey traces at 12.7 centimeters are atmospheric and/or slightly subatmospheric.

Figure 100 - Peak axial Mach number decay of seven-lobe, round-end trapezoidal nozzle - eight-lobe nozzle with four lobes at $0^{\circ}$, three alternate lobes at $10^{\circ}$, and one lobe plugged. Configuration 102 (single element, configuration 37); equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.81$ centimeters.

(b) With screens in secondary orifices; configuration 104.

Figure 101. - Peak axial Mach number decay of nonplanar multielement nozzle - primary, eight tubes; secondary, eight round-edge orifices. Equivalent diameter (primary), $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 1.41$ centimeters.


Figure 102. - Peak axial Mach number decay of planar multielement nozzle - primary, eight sharp-edge orifices; secondary, eight sharp-edge orifices. Configuration 105; equivalent diameter (secondary), $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.54$ centimeters.

(b) With screens in secondary orifices; configuration 107.

Figure 103. - Peak axial Mach number decay of nonplanar multielement nozzle - primary, three tubes; secondary, eight round-edge orifices. Equivalent diameter (primary), $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.36$ centimeters.

(b) With centerbody between tubes, with screen in annulus; configuration 111.

Figure 104. - Peak axial Mach number decay of nonplanar bypass nozzle - primary, eight tubes; secondary, 1.02-centimeter-wide sharp-edge annulus. Equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 1.41$ centimeters.

(d) With centerbody between tubes and no screen in annulus; configuration 109. Ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, 1.0.

Figure 104. - Concluded.

(b) With screen in annulus; configuration 113.

Figure 105. - Peak axial Mach number decay of nonplanar bypass nozzle - primary, three tubes; secondary, 1.02-centimeter-wide sharpedge annulus. Equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 106. - Peak axial Mach number decay of nomplanar bypass nozzle - primary, 4.76-aspect-ratio slot nozzle (configuration 22); secondary, 1.664-centimeter-wide round-edge annulus. Equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 5.32$ centimeters.

(d) Without screen in annulus and with nominal $M_{b} / M_{\mathrm{j}} \approx 0.98$; configuration 117 .

Figure 106. - Concluded.


Figure 107. - Normalization of effect of secondary-flow Mach number on bypass-nozzle peak axial Mach number decay. Primary, 4.76-aspect-ratio slot nozzle (configuration 22); secondary, 1.664-centimeter-wide round-end annulus; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 5.32$ centimeters.

(d) Configuration 108; nominal $M_{b} / M_{j} \approx 0.98$.

Figure 107. - Concluded.

(b) Configuration 118; nominal $M_{\mathrm{b}} / M_{\mathrm{j}} \approx 0.50$.

Figure 108. - Peak axial Mach number decay of nonplanar small bypass nozzle - primary, 3.58-centimeter-diameter circular convergent nozzle; secondary, 1.15 -centimeter-wide convergent annulus. Equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.58$ centimeters.

(d) Configuration 120; nominal $M_{b} / M_{j} \approx 1.0$.

Figure 108. - Concluded.


Figure 109. - Normalization of effect of secondary-flow Mach number on small-bypass-nozzle peak axial Mach number decay Primary, 3.58 -centimeter-diameter circular convergent nozzle (configuration 7); secondary, 1.15-centimeter-wide convergent annulus; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.58$ centimeters.

(c) Configuration 120; nominal $M_{b} / M_{j} \approx 1.0$.

Figure 109. - Concluded.

(a) Configuration 121; nominal $M_{\mathrm{b}} / M_{\mathrm{j}} \approx 0.46$.

Figure 110. - Peak axial Mach number decay of nonplanar large bypass nozzle - primary, 5.18-centimeter-diameter circular convergent nozzle; secondary, 1.60-centimeter-wide convergent annulus. Equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 5.18$ centimeters.

(c) Configuration 123; nominal $M_{b} / M_{\mathrm{j}} \approx 1.0$.

Figure 110. - Concluded.


Figure 111. - Normalization of effect of secondary-flow Mach number on large-bypass-nozzle peak axial Mach number decay. Primary, 5.18-centimeter-diameter circular convergent nozzle; secondary, 1.60-centimeter-wide convergent annulus; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 5.18$ centimeters.


Figure 111. - Concluded.

(c) Configuration 66; six outer tubes 3.81 centimeters long, seven inner tubes 10.16 centimeters long.

Figure 112. - Jet-induced Mach number ratios between tubes of multitube nozzles. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $0.64<M_{j}<1.15$.


Figure 113. - Effect of exposed tube length of multitube nozzie on jet-induced basesurface Mach number ratio. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $0.81<M_{j}<0.99$; configuration 61.



Numbers in parentheses (sketch) denote distance from nozzle exit in cm

Figure 114. - Effect of three-slot nozzie spacing on jet-induced surface Mach number ratios between slots. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $0.45<M_{j}<1.148$.

## APPENDIX A

## JET MACH NUMBER DECAY CONTOURS

The nozzle-exit jet Mach number profiles are given for all the configurations reported in figures 115 to 218 . The configurations are presented in the same order as they are discussed in the text and listed in tables I to III.

The Mach number decay contours of all the configurations have been nondimensionalized for both velocity and axial downstream distance. Lines of constant ratios of downstream local Mach number $M_{l}$ to nozzle-exit jet Mach number $M_{j}$ are shown as a function of axial distance divided by the equivalent diameter of a single element of the nozzle. The contours are all for a nominal $M_{j}$ of 0.99 .

These contours can be used to estimate the jet profile at downstream distances of interest.


Figure 115. - Configuration 1. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.825$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters; exposed tube length, 1.27 centimeters.


Figure 116. - Configuration 2. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.985$; equivalent diameter, $D_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.46$ centimeters.


Figure 117. - Configuration 3. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}$, 2.46 centimeters.


Figure 118. - Configuration 4. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.952$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.54$ centimeters.


Figure 119. - Configuration 5. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.985$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 7.62$ centimeters.

|  | Ratio of downstream local to nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{l} / M_{j}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| - | 0 |
| $\square$ | . 1 |
| $\bigcirc$ | . 2 |
| $\Delta$ | . 3 |
| $\Delta$ | . 4 |
| $\square$ | . 5 |
| - | . 6 |
| $\bigcirc$ | . 7 |
| $\bigcirc$ | . 8 |
| 0 | . 9 |
| $\triangle$ | 1.0 |
| + | Single peak values as noted |



Figure 120. - Configuration 6. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}$, 0.985 ; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 7.62$ centimeters.


|  | Ratio of downstream <br> local to nozzle-exit <br> jet Mach number, <br>  <br> $M_{l} / M_{j}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | .1 |
| $\diamond$ | .2 |
| $\Delta$ | .3 |
| $\Delta$ | .4 |
| $\square$ | .5 |
| $\square$ | .6 |
| 0 | .7 |
| 0 | .8 |
| $\Delta$ | .9 |
| $\Delta$ | Single peak |
| + | values as noted |



Figure 121. - Configuration 7. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.991$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 3.58$ centimeters.


|  | Ratio of downstream <br> local to nozzle-exit <br> jet <br> Mach number, <br> $M_{l} M_{j}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| $\square$ | .1 |
| $\Delta$ | .2 |
| $\Delta$ | .3 |
| $\Delta$ | .4 |
| $\Delta$ | .5 |
| 0 | .6 |
| 0 | .7 |
| 0 | .8 |
| $\Delta$ | .9 |
| $\Delta$ | l.0 |
| + | Single peak |
|  | values as noted |



Figure 122. - Configuration 9. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 7.03$ centimeters.


Figure 123. - Configuration 10. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$, 9.94 centimeters.

Ratio of downstream local to nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{z} M_{j}$

| 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\square$ | .1 |
| 0 | .2 |
| $\Delta$ | .3 |
| $\Delta$ | .4 |
| 0 | .5 |
| 0 | .6 |
| 0 | .7 |
| 0 | .8 |
| 0 | .9 |
| $\Delta$ | 1.0 |
| + | Single peak |
|  | values as noted |



Figure 124. - Configuration 11. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 8.6$ centimeters.


Figure 125. - Configuration 12. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 7.03$ centimeters.


Figure 126. - Configuration 13. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 4.96$ centimeters.



Figure 127. - Configuration 14. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 4.96$ centimeters.


Figure 128. - Configuration 15. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.985$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.84$ centimeters.


Figure 129. - Configuration 16. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.84$ centimeters.


Figure 130. - Configuration 17. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.97$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 5.76$ centimeters.


| Ratio of downstream <br> local to nozzle-exit <br> jet Mach number, <br> $M_{l} / M_{j}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | .1 |
| 0 | .2 |
| $\Delta$ | .3 |
| $\Delta$ | .4 |
| $\Delta$ | .5 |
| 0 | .6 |
| $O$ | .7 |
| 0 | .8 |
| 0 | .9 |
| + | Single peak |
|  | values as noted |



Figure 131. - Configuration 18. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.98$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 4.71$ centimeters.


Figure 132. - Configuration 19. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 3.84$ centimeters.

Ratio of downstream local to nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{l} / M_{j}$
> $+D \diamond O D D \Delta D \diamond \square O$
> Single peak values as noted


Figure 133. - Configuration 20. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.985$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.68$ centimeters.


Figure 134 - Configuration 21. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}$, 0.99 ; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 4.00$ centimeters.
 local to nozzle-exit jet Mach number,



Slot 2.29 cm by 10.30 cm

Figure 135. - Configuration 22 (slot nozzle vertical). Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.987$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 5.32$ centimeters.


Figure 136. - Configuration 23. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{\mathrm{e}}, 6.28$ centimeters.


(b) Survey below nozzle centerline; nozzle vertical.

Figure 137. - Concluded.


Figure 138. - Configuration 31. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{\mathrm{j}}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{\mathrm{e}}, 4.96$ centimeters.

$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Ratio of downstream } \\ \text { local to nozzle-exit } \\ \text { jet Mach number, } \\ M_{l} / M_{j}\end{array}\right]$


Figure 139. - Configuration 32. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 7.03$ centimeters.


Figure 140. - Configuration 33. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}$, 0.99 ; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 4.96$ centimeters.


| Ratio of downstream local to nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{l} / M_{j}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 |
|  | . 1 |
|  | . 2 |
|  | . 3 |
|  | . 4 |
|  | . 5 |
|  | . 6 |
|  | . 7 |
|  | . 8 |
|  | . 9 |
|  | Single peak values as noted |



Figure 141. - Configuration 34. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.19$ centimeters.


| Ratio of downstream |
| :---: |
| local to nozzle-exit |
| jet Mach number, |
| $M_{l} / M_{j}$ |

0
.1
.2
.3
.4
.5
.6
.7
.8
.9 Single peak $\quad$ values as noted


Figure 142. - Configuration 35. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.125$ centimeters.


Figure 143. - Configuration 36. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivatent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.20$ centimeters.


Ratio of downstream local to nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{l} / M_{j}$

| 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\square$ | .1 |
| 0 | .2 |
| $\Delta$ | .3 |
| $\Delta$ | .4 |
| 0 | .5 |
| 0 | .6 |
| 0 | .7 |
| 0 | .8 |
| 0 | .9 |
| + | Single peak |
|  | values as noted |



Figure 144. - Configuration 37. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{e}}, 3.81$ centimeters.


Figure 145. - Configuration 38. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.988$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}$, 8.4 centimeters.



Figure 14. - Configuration 40 (core slot nozzle plugged). Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.985$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 9.37$ centimeters.


Survey $A \quad$ Survey B
Survey at annulus exit


Figure 148. - Configuration 41 (core nozzle ( 3.58 cm diam) plugged). Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0$ 997; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}$, 6. 47 centimeters.


Ratio of downstream local to nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{l} / M_{j}$

| 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\square$ | .1 |
| 0 | .2 |
| $\Delta$ | .3 |
| $\Delta$ | .4 |
| 0 | .5 |
| 0 | .6 |
| 0 | .7 |
| 0 | .8 |
| 0 | .9 |
| + | Single peak |
|  | values as noted |



Figure 150. - Configuration 43. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}$, 2.36 centimeters.


Figure 151. - Configuration 44. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.98$; equivalent diameter, $D_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 152. - Configuration 45. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 153. - Configuration 46. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.992$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.46$ centimeters.


Figure 154. - Configuration 47. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.46$ centimeters.


Figure 155. - Configuration 48. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.975$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.31$ centimeters.

Ratio of downstream local to nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{l} / M_{j}$

| 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ | .1 |
| $\Delta$ | .2 |
| $\Delta$ | .3 |
| $\Delta$ | .4 |
| 0 | .5 |
| 0 | .6 |
| 0 | .7 |
| 0 | .8 |
| 0 | .9 |
| + | Single peak <br> values as noted |
| $\square$ | Denotes value <br> flat over peak |



Figure 156. - Configuration 49. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}$, 1. 41 centimeters.


Figure 157. - Configuration 50. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.985$; equivalent diameter, $D_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.54$ centimeters.


Figure 158. - Configuration 51. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.984$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 254$ centimeters.



Figure 160. - Configuration 53. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 161. - Configuration 54. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}$, 0.98 ; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters.




> Ratio of downstream local to nozzle-exit jet Mach number,
> $M_{l} / M_{j}$


Figure 164. - Configuration 57. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 1.0$; equivaient diameter, $D_{e}, 2.54$ centimeters.


Figure 165. - Configuration 58. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters. (No exit survey.)


Figure 166. - Configuration 59. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.97$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 167 . - Configuration 60 . Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters; exposed tube length,
1.27 centimeters.


Figure 168. - Configuration 61. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}$, 0.99 ;

## 空


equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 0.93$ centimeter. (Adjustable baffle at end of tubes for exposed tube length of zero.)

## 



Figure 169. - Configuration 62. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}$, 0.985 ; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 170. - Configuration 63. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.98$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 171. - Configuration 64. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 172. - Configuration 65. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}$, 0.99 ; equivalent diameter, $D_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.36$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $M_{b} / M_{j}, 0.738$.


Figure 173. - Configuration 66 . Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.995$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 174. - Configuration 67. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.98$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters.



Figure 176. - Configuration 69. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.925$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters.


Figure 176. - Concluded.


Figure 177. - Configuration 70. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter (center slot), $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 7.2$ centimeters.



Figure 178. - Configuration 71. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter (center slot), $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 6.96$ centimeters.


Figure 179. - Configuration 72. Nozzie-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.983$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 6.28$ centimeters.




Figure 181. - Configuration 74. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.985$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 6.28$ centimeters.

Nㅜㅇ



Ratio of downstream local to nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{l} / M_{j}$
0

+ Single peak values as noted $\rightarrow$ Denotes value flat over range


Four-slot orifice nozzle with 0.635 -cm-thick sharp edges; slots 2.29 cm by 5.08 cm and 4.57 cm apart


Figure 182. - Configuration 75. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.993$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 3.84$ centimeters.


Ratio of downstream local to nozzle-exit jet Mach number,
$M_{l} / M_{j}$


Figure 183. - Configuration 76. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 3.84$ centimeters.

## 



Figure 184. - Configuration 77. Nozzie-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}$, 0.975; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 5.76$ centimeters.



Figure 186. - Configuration 79. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.84$ centimeters. (Spaces between slots pumped slightly subatmospheric for 2.54 cm downstream.)



Figure 187. - Concluded.




Figure 189. - Configuration 94. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number ratio, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.20$ centimeters. $-14$

Ratio of downstream local to nozzle-exit jet Mach number,
$M_{l} / M_{j}$
$\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & .1 \\ 0 & .2 \\ \Delta & .3 \\ \Delta & .4 \\ 0 & .5 \\ 0 & .6 \\ 0 & .7 \\ 0 & .8 \\ 0 & .9\end{array}$

+ Single peak values
as noted
- Denotes value flat
over range


Six-lobe nozzle ( $15^{0}$ flat-end trapezoids spaced $45^{\circ}$ ). Average inside dimensions: bases, 0.897 and 2.16 cm ; height, 5.23 cm . Slant height, 5.27 cm ; centerline to smal base, 3.12 cm ; space between large bases straight line), 6.58 cm


Figure 190. - Configuration 95. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.15$ centimeters.


Figure 191. - Configuration 96. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, \mathbf{0 . 9 9}$; equivalent diameter, $D_{\mathrm{e}}, 218$ centimeters.


Figure 192. - Configuration 97. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 3.81$ centimeters.



Figure 193. - Configuration 98. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 3.81$ centimeters.


Figure 194. - Configuration 99. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.987$; equivalent diameter, $D_{\rho}, 3.81$ centimeters. (No visible difference in contour




Ratio of downstream local to nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{l} / M_{j}$

## $\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ \square & .1 \\ 0 & .2 \\ \Delta & .3 \\ \Delta & .4 \\ 0 & .5 \\ 0 & .6 \\ 0 & .7 \\ 0 & .8 \\ 0 & .9\end{array}$

+ Single peak values as noted
$\mapsto$ Denotes value flat over range


Eight-lobe nozzle
(a) Survey across $0^{\circ}$ lobes; nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.989$.

Figure 195. - Configuration 100 . Equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.81$ centimeters.

Ratio of downstream local to nozzle-exit jet Mach number,
$M_{l} / M_{j}$
Traverse
$\bigcirc 0$
(20.
(b) Survey across $5^{0}$ lobes; nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.98$.
Figure 195. - Concluded.


Ratio of downstream local to nozzle-exit jet Mach number,
$M l M_{j}$

| 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | .1 |
| 0 | .2 |
| $\Delta$ | .3 |
| $\Delta$ | .4 |
| 0 | .5 |
| 0 | .6 |
| 0 | .7 |
| 0 | .8 |
| 0 | .9 |
| + | Single peak | as noted

Denotes value flat over range
(a) Survey across $0^{0}$ lobes; nozzle-exit jet Mach númber, $M_{j}, 0.99$.

Figure 196. - Configuration 101. Equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.81$ centimeters.





Figure 197. - Configuration 102. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.98$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}$, 3.81 centimeters.


# Ratio of downstram 

local to nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{l} M_{j}$

| 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ | .1 |
| 0 | .2 |
| $\Delta$ | .3 |
| $\Delta$ | .4 |
| 0 | .5 |
| 0 | .6 |
| 0 | .7 |
| 0 | .8 |
| 0 | .9 |
| + | Single peak values <br> as noted |
| $\longmapsto$ | Denotes value flat <br> over range |
|  |  |


(b) Survey across $10^{0}$ lobes (one blocked).
Figure 197. - Concluded.


Figure 198. - Configuration 103. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 1.41$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}, 1.0$.


Ratio of downstream local to nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{l} / M_{j}$

| $O$ | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ | .1 |
| $\Delta$ | .2 |
| $\Delta$ | .3 |
| $\Delta$ | .4 |
| 0 | .5 |
| 0 | .6 |
| 0 | .7 |
| 0 | .8 |
| 0 | .9 |
| $\Delta$ | 1.0 |
| + | Single peak values <br> as noted <br> $1-1$ <br> Denotes value flat <br> over range |


Eight tubes $1.59-\mathrm{cm}$ o. d. by $1.41-\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{i}. \mathrm{d}$. by 10.16 cm long and $45^{\circ}$ apart on $4.06-\mathrm{cm}$ radius, surrounded by eight screened $0.317-\mathrm{cm}$-thick round-edge orifices $2.54-\mathrm{cm}$ diam and $45^{\circ}$ apart on $6.67-\mathrm{cm}$ radius

Figure 199. - Configuration 104. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{\mathrm{e}}, 1.41$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $M_{b} / M_{j}, 0.657$.

## 



Figure 200. - Configuration 105 . Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.54$ centimeters.


Figure 201. - Configuration 106. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.987$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $M_{b} / M_{j}$, $1 . Q$ (Survey between two tubes left of centerline.)


Figure 202. - Configuration 107. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}, 0.989$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.36$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $M_{b} / M_{j}, 0.719$. ( $S$ urvey between two tubes left of centerline.)


Figure 203. - Configuration 108. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.98$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 1.41$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $M_{b} / M_{j}, 1.0$.


Figure 204. - Configuration 109. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 1.41$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $M_{\mathrm{b}} / M_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathbf{1 . 0}$.


Figure 205. - Configuration 110. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.985$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 1.41$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $M_{b} / M_{j}, 0.67$.


Figure 206. - Configuration 111. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.989$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 1.41$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $M_{b} / M_{j}, 0.607$.


Figure 207. - Configuration 112. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{\mathrm{j}}, 0.975$; equivalent diameter, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}, 2.36$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $M_{b} / M_{j}, 1.0$. (Survey between two tubes left of centerline.)


Figure 208. - Configuration 113. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 2.36$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $M_{b} / M_{j}, 0.61$.


Survey at nozzle exit


Radial survey at screenedannulus exit


Figure 209. - Configuration 114 (core slot nozzle vertical). Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.988$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 5.32$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $M_{b} / M_{j}, 0.618$.

(a) Core slot nozzle vertical.

Figure 210. - Configuration 115. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.984$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 5.32$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $M_{b} / M_{j}, 0.674$.





Figure 212. - Configuration 117. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.983$; equivalent diameter, $D_{\mathrm{e}}, 5.32$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}, 0.989$.


(b) Core slot nozzle vertical.

Figure 212. - Concluded.


Figure 213. - Configuration 118 . Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.995$; equivalent diameter, $D_{\mathrm{e}}, 3.58$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $M_{b} / M_{j}, 0.42$.


Survey at core nozzle exit


Survey at annulus exit


Figure 214. - Configuration 119. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 1.0_{;}$equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 3.58$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $M_{b} / M_{j}, 0.7$.


Figure 215. - Configuration 120. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 1.0$, equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 3.58$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $M_{b} / M_{j} ; 0.997$.


Figure 216. - Configuration 121. Nozzle-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.99$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 5.18$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number, $M_{b} / M_{j}, 0.445$.



Figure 228. - Configuration 123. Nozzie-exit jet Mach number, $M_{j}, 0.986$; equivalent diameter, $D_{e}, 5.18$ centimeters; ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary)
flow exit Mach number, $M_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}, 0.999$. flow exit Mach number, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}, 0.999$.

## APPENDIX B

## SYMBOLS

$A_{b} \quad$ area of secondary (bypass) nozzle, $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad$ area of primary (core) nozzle, $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$A_{e} \because \cdots$ area of single nozzle element, $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$A_{n}$ total nozzle area, $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$
AR aspect ratio, $h / w$ or $h^{2} /$ area
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad$ nozzle (or orifice) normalizing coefficient
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}} \quad$ equivalent diameter of circular nozzle with exit area equal to that of noncircular single element ( $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$ for circular nozzle equals nozzle diameter), cm
$D_{e, T} \quad$ equivalent diameter of circular nozzle with exit area equal to total nozzleexit area, cm
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}} \quad$ hydraulic diameter of nozzle element, 4 (area)/perimeter, cm
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}$ : "analytical displacement parameter, dimensionless
d circular element diameter or annulus height, cm
h noncircular element height, cm
$l$ nozzle axial length; or thickness of orifice plate, cm
M downstream peak Mach number
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{\text {. }}$ : bypass flow (secondary) exit Mach number
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}} \quad$ nozzle-exit peak jet Mach number
$M_{l} \quad$ downstream local Mach number
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad$ induced flow Mach number determined from surface or stream static pressures
$M_{b} / M_{j} \quad$ ratio of bypass (secondary) flow exit Mach number to core (primary) flow exit Mach number
$P_{n} \quad$ nozzle-inlet total pressure, $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ gage
$p_{s} \quad$ stream or surface static pressure, $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$\mathrm{p}_{0} \quad$ atmospheric pressure, $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
R radius, cm

| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | overall nozzle radius, cm |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | centerline radius of first ring of tubes |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | centerline radius of second ring of tubes; or inside radius of an annulus, cm |
| $\mathbf{r}$ | local jet radius, cm |
| $\mathrm{r}_{\text {max }}$ | jet radius where downstream local Mach number $M_{l}$ equals zero |
| $\mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2}$ | actual radial spacing between adjacent jets (including nozzle wall thickness), cm |
| $\mathrm{s}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}$ | actual circumferential spacing between adjacent jets (including nozzle wall thickness), cm |
| $W_{n}$ | nozzle mass flow rate, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| w | noncircular element width, cm |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}{ }_{l}$ | larger width of variable-width element, cm |
| ${ }^{\text {w }}$ S | smaller width of variable-width element, cm |
| $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{w}_{l}$ | ratio of smaller width to larger width of a variable-width (trapezoidal) nozzle element |
| X | axial distance downstream of nozzle-exit plane, cm |
| X | axial distance parameter |
| $C_{n} D_{e} \sqrt{1+M_{j}}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ | value of axial distance parameter $X /\left(C_{n} D_{e} \sqrt{1+M_{j}}\right)$ at departure point of coalescing core from single-element decay curve |
| $\beta$ | wall divergence angle (refs. 10 and 11), deg |
| Subscripts: |  |
| av | average |
| b | bypass |
| i | inner |
| id | ideal |
| 0 | outer |
| 0,1,2 | center, first ring, second ring, respectively (table II) |
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[^0]:    *For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161

[^1]:    ${ }^{m}$ Outside slot at $0^{\circ}$ to nozzle axis.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ Center slot at $0^{\circ}$ to nozzle axis.
    O Outside slot at $10^{\circ}$ to nozzle axis.
    $P_{\text {Configuration }} 73$ with hemispherical afterbody flush with nozzle exits.
    ${ }^{\text {Q }}$ Sharp-edge iniets.
    ${ }^{5}$ Reference 11, nozzle 2.1.
    $s_{\text {Reference 11, nozzle } 2.2 .}$
    ${ }^{t}$ Reference 11, nozzle 2.3.
    ${ }^{4}$ Reference 11, nozzle 2.4.
    ${ }^{\mathbf{V}}$ Reference 11, nozzle 2.5.
    Weference 11, nozzle 2.6.
    ${ }^{\text {K }}$ Reference 11, nozzle 2.7,
    ${ }^{\prime}$ Reference 11, nozzle 2.8 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Reference 11, nozzie 2.9.
    ${ }^{\text {aa }}$ Configuration 73 with $3.81-\mathrm{cm}$ centerbody in each nozzle.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{bb}}$ Configuration 73 with $6.35-\mathrm{cm}$ centerbody in each nozzle.
    ${ }^{c C_{A}}$ reas measured with planimeter...
    $\mathrm{dd}_{A R=}=h^{2} / A_{e}$.

[^2]:    hh Surveyed across $5^{\circ}-5^{\circ}$ lobes.
    ${ }^{1 i}$ Surveyed across $0^{\circ}-0^{\circ}$ iobes.
    j) Surveyed across $10^{\circ}-10^{\circ}$ lobes.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{kk}}$ Surveyed across plugged $10^{\circ}-10^{\circ}$ lobes.
    $u_{\text {Estimated }}$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ Not plotted in fig. 7.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ Uncertain value.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Apply to $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values for exposed tube length of 10.16 cm only.

[^6]:    ${ }^{\mathbf{c}}$ Apply to $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ values for survey on nozzle centerline only.

