General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

NGR. 38.003.03

Atomic Electron Correlation in Nuclear Electron Capture

Mau Hsiung Chen and Bernd Crasemann Department of Physics, University of Oregon

Eugene, Oregon 97403

(NASA-CR-155922) ATOMIC ELECIFON N78-17844 CORRELATION IN NUCLEAR ELECTEON CAPTURE (Oregon Univ.) 10 p HC AC2/MF A01 CSCL 20H

> Unclas G3/72 C4499

The effect of electron-electron Coulomb correlation on orbital electron capture by the nucleus has been treated by the multiconfigurational Hartree-Fock approach. The theoretical 7 Be L/K capture ratio is found to be 0.086, and the 37 Ar M/L ratio, 0.102. Both ratios are smaller than the independent-particle predictions. Measurements exist for the Ar M/L ratio, and agreement between theory and experiment is excellent.



Benoist-Gueutal's insight¹ that atomic electrons must be included in a complete description of orbital electron capture by the nucleus² led to the introduction of atomic exchange and imperfect-overlap factors in the theoretical capture probability.³⁻⁶ All existing work on electron capture has been carried out in the independent-particle approximation; effects due to electron-electron Coulomb correlation have been neglected. Here we report on a first effort to take correlation into account, by using the multi-configurational Hartree-Fock (MCHF) approach.⁷ We calculate the ⁷Be L/K and ³⁷Ar M/L capture ratios.

The nuclear electron capture rate is^2

$$\lambda_{i} = \lambda_{i}^{0} B_{i}, \quad i = K, L, M, \dots,$$
 (1)

where λ_i^0 is the rate obtained when atomic matrix elements are neglected,⁸ and B_i is the exchange-overlap correction factor. For example, if the initial and final states are represented by a single Slater determinant, B_{κ} is

$$B_{K} = K\{\langle 2s' | 2s \rangle \langle 3s' | 3s \rangle - \langle 2s' | 1s \rangle \langle 3s' | 3s \rangle [R_{2s}(0)/R_{1s}(0)] - \langle 2s' | 2s \rangle \langle 3s' | 1s \rangle [R_{3s}(0)/R_{1s}(0)] \}^{2}, \qquad (2)$$

where

$$K = \langle 1s' | 1s \rangle^2 \langle 2s' | 2s \rangle^2 \langle 2p' | 2p \rangle^{2q(2p)} \langle 3s' | 3s \rangle^{2[q(3s)-1]} \langle 3p' | 3p \rangle^{2q(3p)}$$
(3)

Here, q(n₂) is the occupation number of the n₂ shell, and primes denote the daughter atom. Bahcall²⁻⁶ set K=1, while Vatai^{2,9} retained the factor. Similar expressions exist for B_L and B_M . The capture ratio for shells i and j, in allowed transitions, is^2

$$(\lambda_{i}/\lambda_{j}) = (\lambda_{i}/\lambda_{j})^{0} (B_{i}/B_{j}), \qquad (4)$$

where

$$(\lambda_{i}/\lambda_{j})^{0} = [R_{i}^{2}(0)/R_{j}^{2}(0)](q_{i}^{2}/q_{j}^{2}), i, j = K, L_{j}, M_{j}.$$
 (5)

The R's are electron radial wave functions, evaluated at the origin, and the q's are neutrino energies. The contributions from L_2 and M_2 electrons are neglected here.

In our MCHF calculation, the ground state is

$$\Psi_{g}(\gamma LS) = \sum_{i}^{\gamma} C_{i} \Phi(\gamma_{i} LS)$$
(6)

and the final-state wave function, describing the hole state after capture, is

$$F_{j}'(\gamma LS) = \sum_{i}^{T} c_{ji}' \phi_{i}'(\gamma_{i}LS).$$
(7)

The atomic matrix elements become

$$\langle \Psi_{j} | \Theta | \Psi_{g} \rangle = \sum_{i,k} c_{jk} c_{i} \langle \Phi_{k}^{i} | \Theta | \Phi_{i} \rangle,$$
 (8)

where we have $\mathfrak{O} = \sum_{b} a_{b} R_{b}(0)$, and a_{b} is the destruction operator.⁴ The exchange-overlap correction factor is

$$B_{i} = \sum_{j} \left| \frac{\langle \Psi_{j} | \mathcal{O} | \Psi_{g} \rangle}{R_{i}(0)} \right|^{2} , \qquad (9)$$

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY where the summation extends over the states included in the multiconfigurational expansion.

For the 7 Be L/K capture-ratio calculation, the ground state is represented by

$$\Psi_{g} = C_{1} \Phi_{1} (1s^{2}2s^{2}) + C_{2} \Phi_{2} (1s^{2}2p^{2}).$$
 (10)

The ls-hole state after K capture is

$$\Psi_{j} = C_{j1} \Phi_{1}^{\prime} (1s2s^{2}) + C_{j2} \Phi_{2}^{\prime} (1s2p^{2}).$$
 (11)

The 2s-hole state after L_1 capture is represented by the single configuration

$$\Psi_{j} = \phi'(1s^{2}2s).$$
 (12)

For the 37 Ar M/L capture-ratio calculation, we take the ground-state MCHF wave function to be

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{g} &= C_{1} \Phi_{1} (1s^{2}2s^{2}2p^{6}3s^{2}3p^{6}) \\ &+ C_{2} \Phi_{2} (1s^{2}2s^{2}2p^{6}3p^{6}3d^{2} (^{1}s)) \\ &+ C_{3} \Phi_{3} (1s^{2}2s^{2}2p^{6}3s^{2}3p^{4} (^{1}s)3d^{2} (^{1}s)) \\ &+ C_{4} \Phi_{4} (1s^{2}2s^{2}2p^{6}3s^{2}3p^{4} (^{3}P)3d^{2} (^{3}P)) \\ &+ C_{5} \Phi_{5} (1s^{2}2s^{2}2p^{6}3s^{2}3p^{4} (^{1}D)3d^{2} (^{1}D)) . \end{split}$$
(13)

The 2s-hole state is

Contraction of the second secon

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{\mathbf{j}} &= C_{\mathbf{j}1} \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} (1s^{2}2s2p^{6}3s^{2}3p^{6}) \\ &+ C_{\mathbf{j}2} \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} (1s^{2}2s2p^{6}3s^{2}3p^{4}(^{1}S)3d^{2}(^{1}S)) \\ &+ C_{\mathbf{j}3} \Phi_{3}^{\dagger} (1s^{2}2s2p^{6}3s^{2}3p^{4}(^{3}P)^{4}P3d^{2}(^{3}P)) \\ &+ C_{\mathbf{j}4} \Phi_{4}^{\dagger} (1s^{2}2s2p^{6}3s^{2}3p^{4}(^{3}P)^{2}P3d^{2}(^{3}P)) \\ &+ C_{\mathbf{j}5} \Phi_{5}^{\dagger} (1s^{2}2s2p^{6}3s^{2}3p^{4}(^{1}D)3d^{2}(^{1}D)). \end{split}$$

The 3s-hole state after M_1 capture is

$$\Psi_{j} = C_{j1} \Phi_{1}^{\prime} (1s^{2}2s^{2}2p^{6}3s^{3}p^{6}) + C_{j2} \Phi_{2}^{\prime} (1s^{2}2s^{2}2p^{6}3s^{2}3p^{4}(^{1}D)3d) + C_{j3} \Phi_{3}^{\prime} (1s^{2}2s^{2}2p^{6}3s^{3}p^{4}(^{1}s)3d^{2}(^{1}s)) + C_{j4} \Phi_{4}^{\prime} (1s^{2}2s^{2}2p^{6}3s^{3}p^{4}(^{3}P)^{4}P3d^{2}(^{3}P)) + C_{j5} \Phi_{5}^{\prime} (1s^{2}2s^{2}2p^{6}3s^{3}p^{4}(^{3}P)^{2}P3d^{2}(^{3}P)).$$
(15)

The MCHF wave functions were computed with the Froese-Fischer program.⁷ The electrostatic interaction matrix elements were calculated with Hibbert's program.¹⁰ The one-electron overlap integrals are listed in Tables I and II. The electron radial-wave-function ratios at the origin and the overlapexchange correction factors B_i as well as the electron-capture ratios are listed in Table III. For comparison, theoretical single-configuration HF capture ratios² and the experimental result¹¹ for ³⁷Ar are also listed; there is no measurement of the ⁷Be L/K ratio.

Electron correlation is seen to have a substantial effect on nuclear capture ratios when outer electrons are involved. Compared with single-configuration HF results according to Vatai's approach,² the MCHF L/K capture ratio of ⁷Be is reduced by 4.4%; the ³⁷Ar M/L ratio is reduced by 11% and brought into excellent agreement with experiment.^{2,11}

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Army Research Office (Grant DAAG29-78-G-0010) and by NASA (Grant NGR 38-003-036).

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY ¹P. Benoist-Gueutal, C. R. Acad. Sci (Paris) <u>230</u>, 624 (1950).

²For a recent review, see W. Bambynek, H. Behrens, M. H. Chen, B. Crasemann, M. L. Fitzpatrick, K. W. D. Ledingham, H. Genz, M. Mutterer, and R. L. Intemann, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>49</u>, 78 (1977).

³J. N. Bahcall, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>9</u>, 500 (1962).

⁴J. N. Bahcall, Phys. Rev. <u>129</u>, 2683 (1963).

⁵J. N. Bahcall, Phys. Rev. <u>131</u>, 1756 (1963).

⁶J. N. Bahcall, Nucl. Phys. <u>71</u>, 267 (1965).

⁷C. Froese-Fischer, Comp. Phys. Comm. <u>4</u>, 107 (1972).

⁸H. Brysk and M. E. Rose, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>30</u>, 1169 (1958).

⁹E. Vatai, Nucl. Phys. <u>A156</u>, 541 (1970).

1

¹⁰A. Hibbert, Comp. Phys. Comm. <u>2</u>, 180 (1971).

¹¹J. P. Renier, H. Genz, K. W. D. Ledingham, and R. W. Fink, Phys. Rev. <u>166</u>, 935 (1968).

	К	capture	L _l capture		
	1s>	2s>	2p>	1s>	2s>
<1s'	0.97209	-0.19099		0.96247	-0.15591
<2s′	0.17193	0.96785		0.08271	0.88283
<2p'			0.99260		

TABLE I. MCHF $\langle n \ell | n \ell \rangle$ overlap integrals for ₄Be electron capture

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

			,,,,,,,			
	1s>	2s>	2p>	3s>	3p>	3d>
L _l capture						
<1s'	0.99873	~ 0.02977		-0.00630		
<2s'	0.02705	0.99250		-0.10496		
2p'			0.99858		-0.02279	
∕ 3s'	0.00798	0.10177		0.99274		
⟨ 3p'			0.02142		0.99927	
⟨ 3d'						0.99954
apture ر M						
〈 1s'	0.99875	-0.02921		-0.00628		
<2s'	0.02623	0.99228		-0.09736		
<2p'			0.99445		-0.08177	
{3s'	0.00702	0.09020		0.98913		
√ 3p'			0.07552		0.99047	
√ 3d'						0.93200

TABLE II. MCHF $\langle ne' | ne \rangle$ overlap integrals for 18^{Ar} electron capture

1. D. M. DERI, Andreastic Physics, Annual Academic Science (2011).

1

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

.

TABLE III. Electron radial wave-function ratios $R^2_{ns}(0)/R^2_{n's}(0)$, exchangeoverlap correction factors B_i and capture ratios λ_i/λ_j .

Element	Quantity	Result
7 4 ^{Be}	$P^2(0)/P^2(0)$ $\begin{cases} HF \\ C \end{cases}$	0.0332
	² s ⁽⁰⁾ ¹ s ⁽⁰⁾ (MCHF ^C	0.0300
	(HF(V) ^a	0.816
	B _K < HF(B) ^b	0.900
	MCHF ^C	0.792
	∫ HF(V) ^a	2.222
	B _L $<$ HF(B) ^b	3.045
	MCHF ^C	2.259
	{ HF(V) ^a	0.090
	$\lambda_{L}^{\lambda}K \left\{ HF(B)^{b} \right\}$	0.112
	MCHF ^C	0.086
37 18 ^{Ar} R	$\frac{2}{1}(0)/R_{0}^{2}(0)\int HF$	0.0977
	MCHF ^C	0.0669
	∫ HF(V) ^a	1.121
	B, K HF(B) ^b	1.171
	MCHFC	1.098
	(HF(V) ^a	1.322
	B _M { HF(B) ^b	1.549
	MCHF ^C	1.674
	(HF(V) ^a	0.115
	$hF(B)^{b}$	0.129
	MCHF ^C	0.102
	L Experiment ^d	0.104 +0.007 -0.003

Footnotes to Table III.

^aHartree-Fock, Vatai's approach (Refs. 2,9).

^bHartree-Fock, Bahcall's approach (Refs. 2, 3-6).

^CPresent multi-configurational HF calculation.

^dRef. 11.

.