NASA CR 2927 c.1

NASA Contractor Report 2927

OPY: RETURN TO

Pulse Analysis of Acoustic Emission Signals

LOAN COPY: RETURN TO AFWL TECHNICAL LIBRARY KIRTLAND AFB, N. M.

J. R. Houghton and P. F. Packman

CONTRACT NSG-8012 DECEMBER 1977



NNSN



NASA Contractor Report 2927

Pulse Analysis of Acoustic Emission Signals

J. R. Houghton and P. F. Packman Tennessee State University Nashville, Tennessee

Prepared for George C. Marshall Space Flight Center under Contract NSG-8012



Scientific and Technical Information Office

1977

___1

ACKNOWLEDMENTS

The financial support for this research was provided by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Measuring Senors Branch Marshall Space Flight Center under Grant No. NSG 8012 with Mr. Ray C. Holder as project monitor and Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant No. AFOSR 74-2737 with Mr. William Waker as project monitor and is hereby gratefully acknowledged.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

-

		Page					
ACKNOWLE	EDGMENTS	ii					
LIST OF	TABLES	vi					
LIST OF	FIGURES	Vii					
Chapter							
I.	INTRODUCTION	1					
	Nondestructive Testing	1 2 2 3 3 3 3 5 8 10 11					
II.	ASSESSMENT OF PULSE ANALYSIS METHODS	13					
	Introduction	13 18 18 22 23 27 29 33 34					
	Deconvolution method	41 42					
III.	OPTIMAL DESIGN OF THE TRANSDUCER AND FILTER MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	45					

iii

_ ___

_

_

|_._

_

<u>____</u>

Introduction
Design Strategy and Criteria 47
Results
General system study results
Optimal design of transducer-
filter system
Summary of Optimal Design for
Measurement System.
TV. DECONVOLUTION OF EXPERIMENTAL PULSES
Introduction
Techniques for Testing Transducer
Beproduceability for Pulse Recording 80
Grinding of glass nowder 81
Canaditivo transdugor ag a standard 91
Electric spark discharge
Electric spark discharge
offrasonic transducer driven as a
Steady state energy source
Electrical Spark Discharge for
Acoustic wave Calibration
Setup of spark probe and data
collection procedure
Mathematical Models for Digital
Event Recorder, Tape Recorder,
Amplifier and Transducer Components 86
Digital Event Recorder
Tape Recorder
Amplifier and Filter
Transducer
Compact Tensile Test Specimen
Discussion of the Influence of Decon-
volution Procedure with Experimental
Traces,
Low-Pass Filter
Repeatability Experiment
Deconvolution with Different Transducers
Experiment.
Discrimination between AE Sources
Experiment 121
Discrimination between Sound and
Defective Pall Pearings 122
Derective barr bearings
V. CONCLUDIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
Recommendations for Future Research
Everiment with a known input

Finite element model of the							
Optimization to find a model of	•	•	•	٠	•	•	121
the structure	•	•	•	•	•	•	152
Deconvolution by analog computer		•	•	•	•	•	152
Evaluation of digital event recorder	•	•	•	•	•	•	152
Transducer for pulse recording	•	-	•	•	-	-	153
APPENDIXES	•	•	•	•	•	•	154
REFERENCES	•	•	•	•	•	•	166

• • • • •

I

.

LIST OF TABLES

.

1.	Factors That Influence Acoustic Emission Generation	.7
2.	Optimal Design for Deconvolution Summary	64
3.	Transducers Used for Evaluation of Acoustic Emission Pulses	92

LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Acoustic Emission Pulse from Ball Bearing Rig 14
2.	Acoustic Emission Pulse from Electrical Spark 15
3.	Schematic Diagram of Transducer, Filter and Recording System
4.	Fourier Spectrum of Two Pulses: a) Square Pulse Input; b) Unknown AE Pulse Input
5.	Fourier Spectrum for Triangular Pulse and Triangular Pulse with a 17% Perturbation on Trailing Edge of Pulse
6.	Transfer Function in Frequency Domain for Two Pulses Each with Different Perturbation Relative to a Simple Pulse: a) 2% Perturbation on Leading Edge of Pulse; b) 2% Perturbation on Both Edges of Pulse
7.	Shock Spectrum of Relative Motion for Triangular Pulse and Triangular Pulse with a 5% Pertur- bation (Damping Ratio = 0.0001)
8.	Ratio of Shock Spectra for Two Pulses Relative to a Simple Pulse: a) 5% Perturbation in Leading Edge of Pulse; b) 5% Perturbation on Both Edges of Pulse
9.	Fourier Transfer Function Plots for Perturbation of Different Height Located at 0.125 T _P from the Leading Edge of a Triangular Pulse
10.	Shock Spectrum Ratio Plots for Perturbation of Different Height Located at 0.125 T _p
11.	Shock Spectrum Ratio Plots for Perturbation 0.15 Peak Height at Different Locations along the Pulse
12.	Three Sample Acceleration Pulses Input at the Transducer Base, Z_1 vs Time x F_n

vii

13.	Recorded Output of Three Sample Pulses, Sequence as in Figure 12, Z_4 vs Time F_n	39
14.	Fourier Spectrum of Three Sample Output Pulses, Sequence as in Figure 13	40
15.	Deconvolution of Three Sample Pulses, Z ₅ ,Sequence as in Figure 12	43
16.	Flow Chart for Frequency Domain and Time Domain Objective Function Solution	49
17.	Square Pulse: $\zeta = 0.01$; $F_{hp}/F_n = 0.1$; $T_pF_n = 0.3$. a) Time Domain; b) Fre- quency Spectrum.	52
18.	Triangular Pulse: $\zeta = 0.01$; $F_{hp}/F_n = 0.1$; TpFn = 0.3. a) Time Domain; b) Fre- quency Spectrum	53
19.	Cosine Pulse: $\zeta = 0.01$; $F_{hp}/F_n = 0.1$; $T_pF_n = 0.3$. a) Time Domain; b) Fre- quency Spectrum	54
20.	Square Pulse: $\zeta = 0.01$; $F_{hp}/F_n = 0.1$; $T_pF_n = 8.0$. a) Time Domain; b) Fre- quency Spectrum	55
21.	Triangular Pulse: $\zeta = 0.01$; $F_{hp}/F_n = 0.1$; $T_pF_n = 8.0.$ a) Time Domain; b) Fre- quency Spectrum	56
22.	Cosine Pulse: $\zeta = 0.01$; $F_{hp}/F_n = 0.1$; $T_pF_n = 8.0$. a) Time Domain; b) Frequency Spectrum.	57
23.	Frequency Domain Objective Function Field for a Square Pulse: $T_PF_n = 0.3$	62
24.	Time Domain Objective Function Field for a Long Cosine Pulse: $T_PF_n = 8.0 \dots \dots \dots$	65
25.	Summary of Optimal Designs for Triangular (Δ), Square (□) and Cosine (0) Pulses of Various Lengths	66
26.	Square Pulse: $\zeta = 1.66; F_{hp}/F_n = 1.43; T_pF_n = 8.0$.	69
27.	Deconvolution of a Family of Short Pulses; $\zeta = 0.01; F_{hp}/F_n = 0.1$	71

のないない

28.	Deconvolution of a Family of Short Pulses; $\zeta = 1.66; F_{hp}/F_n = 1.4472$
29.	Deconvolution of a Family of Long Pulses; $\zeta = 0.01; F_{hp}/F_n = 0.1 \dots 73$
30.	Deconvolution of a Family of Long Pulses; $\zeta = 1.66; F_{hp}/F_n = 1.4474$
31.	Photograph of the Spark Discharge Setup 85
32.	Photograph of the Laboratory Setup for Acoustic Emission Research
33.	Flow Diagram of the Components Used for the Spark Discharge Measurements with Four Different Transducers
34.	Photographs of Selected Components Used in the Acoustic Emission Experiments 90
35.	Digital Event Recorder Characteristics and Model
36.	Tape Recorder Characteristics and Model 95
37.	Amplifier and Filter Characteristics and Model for Bruel and Kaerj Type 2625 System 96
38.	Amplifier and Filter Characteristics and Model for Dunegan/Endevco Model 2649 System 97
39.	Transducer Characteristics and Model for Bruel and Kjaer Model 4339 Accelerometer 99
40.	Transducer Characteristics and Model for Bruel and Kjaer Model 4344 Accelerometer 100
41.	Transducer Characteristics and Model for Dunegan/Endevco Model D9202 Acoustic Emission Transducer
42.	Flat Plate Model and Characteristic Curve Derived from the Fourier Frequency Spectrum Curves
43.	Low-Pass Filter Characteristics and Model Used for Attenuation of Digital Event Re- corder Frequencies

-

,

.

ix

44.	Block Diagram of the Master Computational Routine
45.	As-received Time Domain Traces for Three Spark Discharges from the Dunegan/Endevco Transducer
46.	Frequency Spectrum of Pulses Shown in Figure 45
47.	Deconvolution through the Flat Plate Model of the Results Shown in Figure 45
48.	Frequency Spectrum of the Pulse Deconvolution Shown in Figure 47
49.	Shock Spectrum Ratio of Similar Deconvolution Pulses Shown in Figure 47
50.	Relative Locations of the Transducers on a Single-Edge Cracked Compact Tensile Frac- ture Specimen and the Spark Probe
51.	As-received Time Domain Trace for Spark Discharges Received by Three Different Transducers
52.	Frequency Spectrum of Pulses Shown in Figure 51
53.	Deconvolution through the Flat Plate Model for the Pulse Shown in Figure 51 119
54.	Frequency Spectrum of the Pulse Deconvolu- tion Shown in Figure 53
55.	As-received Time Domain Traces from the Following Acoustic Emission Sources: a) Spark Discharge, b) Ball Impacting and c) A Growing Crack in A Tear Test
56.	Frequency Spectrum of Pulses Shown in Figure 55
57.	Deconvolution through the Flat Plate Model of the Results Shown in Figure 55
58.	Frequency Spectrum of the Pulse Deconvolu- tions Shown in Figure 57

i

59.	Bearing Mount Model and Characteristic Curve Derived from the Fourier Frequency Spectrum Curves	•	•	129
60.	Background Signal with the Bearing Stationary	•	•	130
61.	Acoustic Emission from a Clean Well Lubricated Bearing Running With a 700 lb Load	•	•	131
62.	Acoustic Emission from a Clean Well Lubricated Bearing with a Load of 700 lb	•	•	132
63.	Acoustic Emission from a Clean Well Lubri- cated Bearing with a 700 lb Thrust	•	•	133
64.	Acoustic Emission from a Bearing with a Cut in the Race; 700 lb Thrust	•	•	134
65.	Acoustic Emission from a Bearing with a Cut in the Race; 700 lb Thrust	•	•	135
66.	Acoustic Emission from a Bearing with a Cut in One Ball; 700 lb Thrust	•	•	136
67.	Acoustic Emission from a Bearing with a Cut in One Ball; 700 lb Thrust	•	•	137
68.	Shock Spectrum Ratio of Deconvoluted Traces of a Clean Bearing Relative to the Acoustic Emission Shown in Figure 61(b)	•	•	138
69.	Shock Spectrum Ratio of Deconvoluted Traces of a Bearing with a Cut in the Race Relative to the Trace in Figure 61(b)	•	•	139
70.	Shock Spectrum Ratio of Deconvoluted Traces of a Bearing with a Cut in One Ball Relative to the Trace in Figure 61(b)	•	•	140
71.	Shock Spectrum Ratio of As-received Traces of a Bearing with a Cut in the Race Relative to the Trace in Figure 61(a)	•	•	141
72.	Shock Spectrum Ratio of As-received Traces of a Bearing with a Cut in One Ball Relative to the Trace in Figure 61(a)	•	•	142
73.	Bearing Tests: Superimposed Shock Spectrum; a) As-received Traces, b) Deconvoluted Traces.	•	•	146

.__

| -----

74.	Subroutines,	R.K.	Gill	and	Rung	e.	•,	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	163
75.	Subroutine Lo	ow-Pae	ss Fil	Lter	• •	• •	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	164

.

•

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Nondestructive Testing

Nondestructive testing has been one of the most rapidly growing technical fields. Increasingly, design engineers are specifying nondestructive evaluations of elements and are accepting the additional cost of nondestructive testing (NDT) particularly where there is danger to a structure of fatigue stress, stress corrosion or catastrophic crack growth. Fracture critical analysis of designs is an important area of attention at NASA, in the armed forces, and in the nuclear power industry. A fracture critical component is one where premature failure would result in loss of the total structure or shut-down of the total system. These components must be designed and verified safe by two different design processes: standard design and fracture mechanics design procedures. An interest in improving the sensitivity, reliability and ease of nondestructive testing methods accompanies the growth of fracture critical analysis in designs (1).

Acoustic emission techniques are relatively new in the field of nondestructive testing and promise to be among the most reliable techniques for <u>in situ</u> determination of structural integrity. Following is a summary of the most important techniques of nondestructive testing, including their methods and their limitations.

X-ray photography

This method utilizes electromagnetic radiation transmission through the test piece. The resolution for detection of a crack or void is limited by: 1) the grain size of the x-ray film, 2) the orientation of the crack relative to the x-ray film plane, and 3) the thickness of the material through which the x-rays pass. The sensitivity limit of crack detection is approximately 3 mm. The 90 percent probability of detection with a 95 percent confidence level (90/95 limit) is a 12 mm crack length (2).

Dye penetrants

This method is used primarily for surface crack detection by applying a penetrating dye solution, wiping the excess off the surface, and then applying a developer solution to draw the penetrating dye from the defect. The sensitivity of this NDT method varies with the crack length, 2C, and the crack depth, a. For an a/2C ratio of 0.5, the detectable limit is on the order of a 2 mm crack length and the 90/95 limit is 4 mm.

Eddy currents

Eddy current methods detect flaws by permeability changes on the surface and in the near subsurface. The field of view is less than given by both the x-ray and

dye methods; it is limited to the diameter of the field projected by the detector. The detectable limit of void length is approximately 1 mm and the 90/95 limit is a 3 mm void length.

Ultrasonic detection

This method of detecting flaws uses a high frequency pressure radiation transmitted into the test piece. The radiation may be received by the transducer as through transmission or as reflected transmission. The flaws are detected either by a loss of energy with respect to the energy received on either side of the flawed area or by the reflected energy. The detectable limit of cracks is 1 mm and the 90/95 limit is approximately 2 mm.

Magnetized particles

A solution with a finely dispersed suspension of magnetic particles is used to detect surface flaws and near subsurface flaws. The solution is poured over the surface and a magnetizing field is applied to the specimen. At a flaw location, there will be a perturbation of the flux lines and the particles in solution will gather around the perturbation. The detectable limit of cracks is approximately 1 mm and the 90/95 limit is 2 mm.

Acoustic emission

The acoustic emission (AE) method of NDT is used to detect high frequency pressure waves emitted by the growing

defects. This method has been used to locate the area where a crack is growing, but it has not been used to estimate the crack size. The sum of the acoustic emission bursts and the time rate of the emissions has been correlated with crack growth rate in many materials. The AE signals from crack growth have been associated with dislocation movement as the plastic zone is growing and is on the order of 2 to 60 x 10^{-6} mm. A 90/95 limit has not been established for the ability of this method to locate cracks. Location of cracks within ± one wall thickness of a pressure vessel has been reported from overload proof tests (3).

There are several reasons why AE measurements are preferable over other types of NDT tests. The AE signals are detectable in both the working situation and during the periodic proof testing. Also, the signals can be detected remotely from the growing crack by using an array of transducers. Thus costly disassembly is deferred or even avoided until it is necessary to view the defect directly with other NDT tools. Additional reasons for selecting AE measurements are the low cost of the equipment and results are immediately available at the time of testing. Because of the potential for this particular type of inspection, this study concentrates on the AE method for flaw characterization.

Background of Acoustic Emission Research

Researchers are continually developing new methods for increasing the range of application of acoustic emission, e.g., in the fields of flaw detection, indirect mechanical measurement of flow and monitoring the performance of rotating equipment. Present acoustic emission emphasis has been directed toward the understanding of pulses generated during the propagation of material defects. The equipment, electronic techniques, and associated science can easily be extended to the study of acoustic pulses produced by other types of generating mechanisms, such as rotating equipment and wearing surfaces.

It has been established by prior research that acoustic emissions result from slip-produced pressure waves during dynamic processes within a material. In other words, the acoustic emission is a transient elastic wave generated by the rapid release of energy within the material from a localized source.

The first serious investigation of the acoustic emission phenomenon was performed by Kaiser (4). In 1950 he reported the results of his study on several metals, all of which exhibited the acoustic emission phenomenon upon loading. He attributed the acoustic emissions emanating from polycrystalline specimens to slip originating in the grain boundary interface. Stresses in the specimens were believed to cause interactions at the interfaces of adjacent grains,

resulting in the emissions. He also observed that the frequency and amplitude of the emissions had characteristic spectra and were related to the stress level for a given material.

Investigation of the acoustic emission phenomenon in the USA was begun by Schofield (5,6) who did extensive research in the area of deformation mechanisms as sources of emissions after 1955. Schofield did most of his work on single crystals which were good producers of acoustic emissions. Numerous sources of acoustic emission in metals have subsequently been identified. Table 1 lists typical material factors that influence acoustic emission generation. Studies also indicate that there are no simple characteristic spectra of frequency and amplitude emitted for a material, and that these were not the important parameters to be used for study of a material.

Recently, studies have been conducted to again analyze the frequency spectra of acoustic emissions in metals and nonmetals (7-11). Beattie (11) suggests that the acoustic pulse contains much more information than has been extracted by conventional ring-down count analysis and that more refined signal processing techniques can be applied to the pulse to obtain additional information about the nature of the signal.

In a recent special publication by the Acoustic Emission Working Group, ASTM-STP 505, many authors presented

TABLE 1

•

FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE ACOUSTIC EMISSION GENERATION

Higher Amplitude AE Pulses	Lower Amplitude AE Pulses
Large grain size	Small grain size
Cleavage fracture	Shear deformation
Anisotropy	Isotropy
Flawed material	Unflawe d materiaļ
Thick section	Thin section
Twinning material	Nontwinning material
Martensitic phase transformations	Diffusion controlled transformations
Low temperature	High temperature
High strength	Low strength
High strain rate	Low strain rate

SOURCE: Dunegan Research, "Factors Affecting Acoustic Emission Response from Materials," <u>Materials</u> <u>Research</u> and <u>Standards</u>, Vol. 11, No. 3, March 1971, p. 4.

ŧ

state-of-the-art papers covering applications of acoustic emission (12). The transient stress waves generated by the rapid release of energy within a material have been applied to fundamental studies of the deformation of materials, material evaluation, nondestructive testing, and evaluation of structural integrity. Modern instrumentation, electronics, and data processing techniques have overcome many of the difficulties encountered in the past so that it is no longer necessary to isolate the mechanical loading system from the specimens under study to obtain the significant AE signals.

Present AE measurement systems

In order to understand and identify the pulses generated by defects in materials and to associate the pulses with specific phenomena within the material, an analysis of the acoustic signal must be performed. Usually this analysis is approached from one of two directions: signal (or pulse) counting or signature (or frequency) analysis.

Signal counting. Much of the research today in acoustic emission non-destructive testing is in counting pulses emanating from a source undergoing plastic deformation (3). All pulses whose amplitude is greater than some preselected baseline noise signal level are counted as a function of time. Either the total number of pulses or the pulse rate is used as one variable, and stress or strain and/or some other mechanical variable are recorded on an X-Y-Y recorder

8.

to get a characteristic curve. A family of characteristic curves is collected and judged for the influence of the variable under investigation, such as load or specimen geometry.

Liptai (3) has given a very useful summary of AE techniques using pulse counting for materials examination. For unflawed specimens of beryllium in a creep test, the following relation has been found between the AE count rate, N, time, t, and steady state applied stress, σ :

$$N = D t^{M} \exp^{B\sigma}$$
 (1)

where D, M and B are constants.

AE pulse counting has been shown to be useful for the detection of growing cracks. Flaws and cracks act as stress concentration zones, and plastic deformation will occur before the average stress reaches the yield stress. In fracture mechanics theory it has been demonstrated that the stresses near the tip of a crack in an idealized solid are completely controlled by a stress intensity factor K.

$$K = \sigma \sqrt{\pi a}$$
 (2)

where σ equals applied stress and 2a is the crack length, i.e., central type of crack in infinite plate. Thus experiments have been conducted to define the dependence of the acoustic emissions generated in the plastic zone of a crack tip and K. The relation found is:

$$\Sigma N = C K^{A}$$
(3)

where IN is the total number of counts, and C and A are constants. The exponent A varies from 4 for 7075-T6 aluminum specimens (13) to approximately 8 for beryllium (14).

There are many other examples where AE pulse counting is being applied in research on materials failure. In the bulk of this work the variables ΣN and \dot{N} are measured and correlated with a physical measurement such as creep, corrosion, crack growth, etc.

Signature analysis. In this procedure, the total available frequency range of the signals is examined. Specific frequency peaks are analyzed, after their preprocessing (filtering and shaping) and their storage on a magnetic tape. A 'typical' frequency of the generating process is sought, which can then be used for future identification.

Graham and Alers (8) developed an experiment for the analysis of a single AE burst from a growing defect in metal. The frequency signature from 0 to 2 mHz of a burst was computed and found to be similar to acoustic 'white noise' with slight perturbations from pulse to pulse. They reported differences in signatures between tensile crack growth, stresscorrosion induced crack growth, and plastic flow.

Frequency analysis techniques used for signal identification and signature analysis present several fundamental problems. There are two major sources of misinterpretation: 1) the frequency response of the transducer and 2) frequency shifts and mode conversion within the geometry of the

structure. It is therefore important to consider alternative methods of signal analysis. In particular, a signature analysis that models the nature of the pulse in the time domain is desirable.

One powerful technique for signature analysis is called pulse testing (15). In this process Fourier transfer functions are developed from the Fourier transforms of both the input amplitude (disturbance source) and the output amplitude of the measured signal. If the transducer transfer function could be described adequately, it is anticipated that noise and errors produced by the measuring system and external generators could be minimized.

The Present Study

This dissertation is an investigation of acoustic emission (AE) measurement methods for use in nondestructive evaluation. The primary objective is to develop a technique for examining AE pulses which could reliably discriminate between AE pulses from noncritical sources and AE pulses from a growing crack.

Different methods for analyzing individual pulses by examination of signatures in the frequency domain and in the time domain are developed and examined. The time domain signature uses a deconvolution method which effectively removes the dynamic contributions of the transducer measurement system. This study also investigates the ideal characteristics for a transducer and filter measurement system. The optimum coefficients for the AE system described were not tested experimentally because 1) the means for manufacturing an optimum transducer are not available and 2) the results obtained with the deconvolution method appear to minimize the need to optimize the system for this study.

Experimental tests were conducted and the results from the different AE pulses examined. Mathematical models for the components of the measuring system are presented in the equation form and in a Bode frequency plot. Four experiments were conducted to:

- evaluate the reproduceability of the pulse recordings
- 2. test the relative performance of different transducers
- 3. test the ability of one transducer to distinguish between different AE sources, and
- test the performance of an AE transducer on a ball bearing test rig for bearings with and without defects.

The following chapters present the analysis, findings and conclusions of this study. Suggestions for further research and improvements in the deconvolution method of AE measurement are also presented.

CHAPTER II

ASSESSMENT OF PULSE ANALYSIS METHODS

Introduction

Ľ.

The principal methods for analysis and evaluation of Accoustic emission stress waves are the following: 1) frequency spectrum, 2) total number of acoustic emission counts from a transducer ringdown, 3) count rate, 4) amplitude distribution and 5) rise time of initial burst.

The count rate and the total number of counts are the most widely used. They have been correlated with stress intensity factors, applied stress, number of fatigue cycles, and applied strain (12). The present state of the analysis of acoustic emission signals similar to the one shown in Figures 1 and 2 has been primarily in the area of simple signal statistics such as the number of pulses above a given pulse amplitude.

Several of the available methods for dealing with pulse analysis have been tested for their relative sensitivity in distinguishing between different types of pulses. The work reported in this chapter is a theoretical analysis of the pulse analysis methods with idealized geometrical pulses substituted for actual test pulses. The study described herein was conducted to find an analysis method selective



Fig. 1. Acoustic emission pulse from ball bearing rig.



Fig. 2. Acoustic emission pulse from electrical spark.

enough to distinguish between separate acoustic emissions associated with 1) an actively growing crack and 2) extraneous signals of short duration.

A phase of this study was initiated to determine how effective the available pulse analysis methods are in segregating pulses of different types after they have passed through an acoustic emission transducer and filter measurement system. Since no adequate model was available to describe the dynamic behavior of an acoustic emission pickup, a simple single-degree-of-freedom model of an accelerometer was used for the computer model. Filters in the measuring system are modeled as a single-pole passive high-pass filter and low-pass filter. The system is shown in Figure 3.

The pulse shapes, which any pulse analysis methods must deal with, were considered to be 1) large changes in the shape as represented by a triangle, square, and displaced cosine pulse as well as 2) small perturbations on the profile of a large pulse. The criteria for judging the accuracy of each pulse analysis method is how well each method identified differences in pulse shapes.

The pulse analysis techniques tested on a triangular pulse with small perturbations are: 1) Fourier spectrum; 2) Fourier transfer function; 3) shock spectrum; 4) shock spectrum ratio.

The influence of the measuring system on the pulse analysis was tested with three different analytical pulses:



displaced cosine, square and triangle. Fourier spectrum was used to analyze the pulse at different locations in the measuring system. Several deconvolution methods were also used.

Spectrum Analysis Methods

Four techniques follow for signature analysis performed in the frequency domain. Signature analysis in the time domain are rise-time analysis and deconvolution. Rise-time analysis is simply a study of the shape of the leading edge of a pulse. Deconvolution is described later in this chupter.

Fourier spectrum

The Fourier transform of a pulse is the most common ε means for characterization of a signature in both destructive and nondestructive testing. The transform of a signal, f(t), into the frequency domain as F(jw) is:

$$F(j\omega) = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) \exp(-j\omega t) dt \qquad (4)$$

where ω equals frequency, rad/s and j equals the square root of minus one. The advantage of this frequency transform is that it can treat one unique pulse. A requirement in using this transform is that the detector of the pulse not exhibit transient decay during the period of the pulse measurement. When there is a transient decay problem in the measurement system, then the Laplace transform equation is used. Samples of the Fourier spectra that are generated by a square pulse of duration T_p are shown in Figure 4(a). Figure 4(b) is a typical experimental frequency signature of an actual short duration shock measured with an accelerometer transducer.

To permit rapid visual comparison of pulses, the following normalization process was used.

- 1. When the pulse width is clearly defined, the frequency scale was normalized by multiplication of each frequency (F) by the pulse width in seconds (T_p) .
- 2. The Fourier spectrum magnitudes (Y) were normalized with respect to the size of the pulse by division of the Fourier magnitude by the absolute value of the area between the pulse and the zero line.
- 3. The shock spectrum magnitudes were normalized by division by the maximum value of the pulse.

A variety of test computations were conducted on pulses of similar shape but with different heights and pulse widths. For a particular pulse, whether it be a symmetrical triangle, an unsymmetrical triangle or a rectangle, the signatures are always the same without regard to the pulse height or pulse width when the above normalization procedure is used.

Figure 5 presents the Fourier spectrum for a simple triangular pulse and for a triangular pulse with a 17% perturbation on the trailing side of the pulse. The sensitivity of the Fourier analysis technique to distinguish between the square pulse and the triangular pulse is relatively high, but the technique does not deal effectively with the presence of





Fig. 4. Fourier spectrum of two pulses: a) square pulse input and by unknown AE pulse input.



Fig. 5. a) Fourier spectrum for triangular pulse and b) triangular pulse with a 17% perturbation on trailing edge of pulse.

÷

a small perturbation. The only significant shifts are at the null magnitude frequency $FxT_p = 2$, 4 and 6 where small amplitude signals are present in the perturbated frequency spectrum. It is probable that small amplitude signal differences such as this could be masked by system noise. - - - - - - - -

Fourier transfer function spectrum

A transfer function is defined as a mathematical relationship that relates the output signal to the input signal of a system and presumes a linear relationship 0 = GI. When an experimental output variable can be measured for a known input variable, it is then possible to derive an approximate transfer function that is representative of the system. This approach to pulse measurement situations was suggested by Clements and Schnelle (15), and has been used on a number of nondestructive testing projects (16, 17). The equation that is used for derivation of a Fourier transfer function is as follows:

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) \operatorname{out} \exp(-j\omega t) dt}{\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) \operatorname{exp}(-j\omega t) dt}$$
(5)

Samples of the magnitude of the Fourier transfer function as a function of frequency are shown in the plots of Figure 6. The output signal is a triangular pulse with a 2% perturbation of the peak height on the leading edge for the top plot, and a triangular pulse with two perturbations for

the lower plot. The input signal in both cases was a triangular pulse.

The signature analysis method demonstrates high sensitivity for detection of these small perturbations. If the input and output signal are identical, the transfer function is a straight horizontal line of magnitude 1. The straight horizontal portions of the plots in Figure 6 are in the frequency ranges where there was a significant magnitude shown on the Fourier spectrum plots of Figure 5. Thus the transfer function is shown to amplify differences in y_1 and y_2 at FxT_p of 2, 4 and 6 which is where y_1 and y_2 are quite small.

The two plots of Figure 6 show the influence of the location and number of perturbations on the main pulse by Fourier transfer function analysis. For the example given, the second minimum of the Fourier spectrum at $FxT_p = 4$ appears to be the most sensitive to small changes. No analysis has been made that would predict this behavior. The Fourier transfer function signature is altered significantly when the height of the perturbation is increased from 2 to 15%.

Shock spectrum

A shock spectrum is defined as follows (18).

The application of an acceleration shock pulse to the base of a single-degree-of-freedom vibration system results in a time response of the mass. The maximum value of the time response for a given pulse shape depends on the natural frequency and the damping of the vibrating system. The plot of the maximum response of the oscillator against the natural frequency of the oscillator is the shock spectrum of the pulse.


Fig. 6. Transfer function in frequency domain for two pulses each with different perturbation relative to a simple pulse: a) 2% perturbation on leading edge of pulse and b). 2% perturbation on both edges of pulse.

The equation of an undamped system initially at rest is:

$$(x-Z)_{\max} = \left[-\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{t} \ddot{Z}(\xi) \sin \omega(t-\xi) d\xi \right]_{\max}$$
(6)

The relative displacement between oscillator mass and the base for a shock input is normally calculated by Duhamel's integral for linear systems (18). A pseudo-relative acceleration is then found by multiplying the displacement by (natural frequency)². An improvement on the Duhamel's integral approach has been developed recently (19) where the differential equation of motion is solved directly for the particular pulse input using the Runge-Kutta-Nystrom method

(20). One of the advantages of the Runge-Kutta shock spectrum is that the relative acceleration peak values are found directly and different damping models may be used. The Runge-Kutta method is similar to setting up the differential equation on an analog computer and picking off the peak amplitude at each natural frequency selected in the frequency band for the spectrum.

The shock spectrum was derived for a damping ratio of 0.0001. The resultant shock spectrum for a triangular-shaped acceleration pulse and the spectrum for a triangular pulse with a 5% perturbation are shown in Figure 7. This method of representation of a pulse signature shows only a slight change in shape between the two pulses for frequencies above $FxT_p = 3$. Other perturbations resulted in only minimal differences in shock spectrum, and it was concluded that the



Fig. 7. Shock spectrum of relative motion for triangular pulse and triangular pulse with a 5% perturbation (damping ratio = 0.0001).

shock spectrum signature does not produce an improvement on the Fourier spectrum signature.

Shock spectrum ratio

Following the approach suggested by the Fourier transfer function method, a pseudo-transfer function was derived by dividing the shock spectrum of the output function by the shock spectrum of the input function as shown in Figure 8. A sketch of the shape of the acceleration pulses used and their relative positions in the ratio is shown in the upper left corner of each plot. It is apparent from the plots that the shock spectrum ratio is sensitive to a small perturbation and when more than one perturbation is present the plot is significantly different. An advantage of this method for signature derivation is seen in the broad peak and low magnitude of the deviation, i.e., from 1.0 to 1.6, as contrasted with the sharp peaks over a narrow frequency band for the Fourier transfer function seen in Figure 6. Also, note for $FxT_{D} = 2$ and 4 where the shock spectrum is low (Figure 7), that the shock spectrum ratio plots (Figure 8) is a wellbehaved function.

One application of the Fourier transfer function and the shock spectrum ratio signatures is the definition by size and location of the perturbation on a larger pulse. A series of five test computations for different perturbation heights at the same location on a triangular pulse are shown



28



STATISTICS.

Fig. 8. Ratio of shock spectrums for two pulses relative to a simple pulse: a) 5% perturbation in leading edge of pulse and b) 5% perturbation on both edges of pulse.

in Figures 9 and 10. The highest peak with the Fourier transfer function, Figure 9, occurred at $FxT_p = 4.5$, and the peak decreased as the perturbation height increased. The changes in the peak height with perturbation height was not The peak seen at $FxT_p = 2.0$ did increase linearly linear. with perturbation height. The shock spectrum ratio results, Figure 10, showed a peak at $FxT_p = 2$ and 4. These peaks increased linearly with the perturbation height. The shock spectrum ratio results are considered to be more useful for the analysis of perturbations. Five additional computations were made with the 15% perturbation moved across the width of the pulse from 7.5 to 17.5% of T_p . The results are shown in Figure 11 and it appears that the features of the signature above $FxT_p = 2.3$ are influenced significantly by the location of the pulse.

Influence of the Transducer on Pulse Shape Analysis

Graham and Alers (9) have determined the frequency spectrum of AE pulses. Their results suggested that it was possible to detect variations in the pulse shape for pulses from different acoustic emission sources, but the frequency spectrum approach is hampered by an inability to classify how much the original acoustic signature was modified by filtering and attenuation in the measurement system. Typical charactistics of commerically available AE transducers are as follows.



Fig. 9. Fourier transfer function plots for perturbation of different height located at 0.125 Tp from the leading edge of a triangular pulse.



R.





Fig. 11. Shock spectrum ratio plots for perturbation 0.15* peak height at different locations along the pulse.

- 1. Natural frequency: between 100 and 500 kHz, primarily because of the good transmission through the material of pulse information in this frequency range.
- 2. Transducer damping: low for extended periods of ringing $(\zeta = 0.01)$.
- 3. Filtering: high-pass for frequencies above approximately 50 kHz to mask out unwanted background noise.

An analysis of the modifying influence caused by the is **measurement** system was made by modeling the transducer as a simple single-degree-of-freedom accelerometer and a filter as a single-pole passive filter. This simple model is not fully representative of an AE transducer, since laboratory measurements indicate that AE transducers have many modes of resonance as would be expected from a distributed parameter system.

Mathematical Definition of the System

0. (1999) 2. (2. 1977) 7. (4. 1977) 7. (4. 1977)

4.2

1 R

. . ŗ.

2.1

م. مىلغۇ مۇ

1

1

, ź

studies.

2.5

The system is shown schematically in Figure 3. То avoid obscuring the overall issue and following conventional usage (21), the transducer will be modeled by a simple single-degree-of-freedom lumped parameter piezoelectric accelerometer. For this study the filters are simple singlepole and -zero passive networks. Higher order representa**tions of filters** and transducers will be used in later

Model development

Figure 3 shows schematically the mass of the transducer plus the mass effect of the piezoelectric material (M), supported by an effective spring stiffness (K) of the piezoelectric material, and internal plus external damping within the transducer, modeled by an equivalent viscous damper (C). The transducer is designed to respond to an input acceleration (force) at the base, $\ddot{z}_1(t)^{\dagger}$. The force transmitted through the 'spring' corresponds to a shear or compressive stress in the piezoelectric material. A voltage Z_2 is generated proportional to the strain between the mass and the foundation (or base), i.e.,

$$Z_2 = K_{pu}(x-Z_1), \text{ volts}$$
(7)

where K_{pu} is a constant.

The signals of interest are Z_2 , the transducer output; Z_4 , the system output; and Z_5 the reconstructed (deconvoluted) input. Generation of $Z_5(t)$ is considered later. In operation form Z_2 and Z_4 are given respectively by

$$\frac{Z_{2}(t)}{Z_{1}(t)} = \frac{-K_{pu}}{D^{2} + 2\zeta \omega_{p} D + \omega_{p}^{2}}$$
(8)

and

[†]In general the argument (t) expressing the time dependence of the signals will be suppressed for convenience; retention will be for clarity or for emphasis, as in Z_5 (t) below.

$$\frac{z_4(t)}{\ddot{z}_1(t)} = \frac{-K_{pu}\omega_{1p}D}{(D^2 + 2\zeta\omega_1D + \omega_n^2)(D + \omega_{hp})(D + \omega_{1p})}$$
(9)

where:

.....

D = operator for d/dt $\zeta = C/2 \sqrt{KM}$ $\omega_n = \sqrt{K/M}$, transducer natural frequency $(2 \pi f_n)$ $\omega_{hp} = \text{corner frequency of first-order high-pass fil$ $ter <math>(2\pi f_n)$ $\omega_{1p} = \text{corner frequency of first-order low-pass filter}$ $(2\pi f_{1p})$

 K_{pu} is redefined to include M, $K_{pu} + K_{pu}/M$.

The solution of equation (9) is relatively straightforward when the input signal $Z_1(t)$ is simply described mathematically. When the pulses are irregular in shape and occurrence, solutions may be impossible (or at least impractical) to obtain analytically. Accordingly, it is useful to also employ numerical integration in such cases, and eventually in general. After some algebraic manipulation of equation (9), the system differential equation is:

$$\frac{d^{4}z_{4}}{dt^{4}} = -(2\zeta\omega_{n}+\omega_{hp}+\omega_{1p})\frac{d^{3}z_{4}}{dt^{3}} - \omega_{n}^{2}+2\zeta\omega_{n}(\omega_{hp}+\omega_{1p})+\omega_{hp}\omega_{1p}\frac{d^{2}z_{4}}{dt^{2}}$$
$$-\omega_{n}^{2}(\omega_{hp}+\omega_{1p})+2\zeta\omega_{n}\omega_{hp}\omega_{1p})\frac{dz_{4}}{dt}$$
$$-\omega_{hp}\omega_{1p}\omega_{n}^{2}z_{4}-K_{pu}\omega_{1p}\frac{d\tilde{z}_{1}}{dt}$$
(10)

One advantage of this form is that 'initial conditions' (residual values from previous pulses) can be handled without difficulty, thereby facilitating treatment of arbitrarily shaped and spaced signals.

Preliminary system design studies using equation (10) to generate Z_4 for given inputs \ddot{Z}_1 showed the low-pass filter to be redundant, since the transducer already acts as a lowpass filter. Therefore a modified transfer function excluding the low-pass filter was used in the studies presented here. The modified system operator form and differential equation are, respectively

$$\frac{z_4}{z_1} = \frac{-\kappa_{\rm pu} D}{(D^2 + 2\zeta \omega_{\rm n} D + \omega_{\rm n}^2) (D + \omega_{\rm hp})}$$
(11)

and

$$\frac{d^{3}z_{4}}{dt^{3}} = -(2\zeta\omega_{n} + \omega_{hp})\frac{d^{2}z_{4}}{dt^{2}} - (\omega_{n}^{2} + 2\zeta\omega_{n}\omega_{hp})\frac{dz_{4}}{dt}$$

$$-\omega_{n}^{2}\omega_{hp}z_{4} - K_{pu}\frac{d\ddot{z}_{1}}{dt}$$
(12)

Equations (11) and (12) (or (9) and (10)) are used to determine the fidelity of the data signal Z_4 to the test inputs \ddot{Z}_1 by comparing the frequency spectra. To solve equations (10) or (12), a fourth-order predictor-corrector Runge-Kutta integration scheme is used (20).

Several pulse shape configurations were used to demonstrate the influence of the measurement system on the pulse shape. Three pulses of different shapes with equal pulse widths were selected for testing. The square, cosine and triangular pulse are shown in Figure 12. The damping ratio for the transducer was 0.01 and the high-pass filter corner $F_{hp}/F_n = 0.1$ are reasonably representative of present pulse counting designs.

The pulse shapes that come out of the transducer-filter system are shown in Figure 13 in the same relative positions as their input pulses. The Fourier spectrum of each output signal Z_A were computed and are shown in Figure 14. The Fourier spectrums for the input signals would be similar to those shown in Figures 4(a) and 5(a) for the square and triangle. The distinguishing characteristics of the Fourier spectrum for these simple pulse shapes are modified significantly by passage of the pulse through the measurement sys-The output signal pulse shapes for a triangle and a tem. cosine can have nearly the same Fourier spectrum. Hence, it can be concluded that the transducer and filtrating systems presently used for AE pulse signature analysis are a major deterrent in the search for a unique signature from AE signals coming from defects.



State and state



Fig. 13. Recorded output of three sample pulses, sequence as in Fig. 12, Z_4 vs Time x F_n .





Fig. 14. Fourier spectrum of three sample output pulses, sequence as in Fig. 13.

Deconvolution method

An alternative to pulse counting and frequency spectra analysis is time domain reconstruction of the pulse or pulse train. It would be a step forward if the transducer and its modifying effects on the signal could be eliminated by computing the shape of the signal before it entered the transducer. That is, utilizing recently-developed laboratorycompatible digital storage units; if one had the correct computer routine, the digitized transducer output could be manipulated (deconvoluted)^{††} to determine the approximate original shape of the pulse at the base of the transducer. Although intriguing, this approach does not appear to have been reported. To implement the deconvolution idea, a computer routine is required to manipulate the data signal Z_A in terms of the assumed or known dominant instrumentation system The result, Z_5 in Figure 3, is then a reshaped parameters. time-domain 'pulse' based on the recorded output shape. To implement this approach equation (12) is expressed in suitable finite difference form: 1 4 8

$$z_{5}(i) = z_{5}(i-1) - \frac{\Delta t}{K_{pu}} \{ \omega_{n}^{2} \omega_{hp} z_{4}(i) + (\omega_{n}^{2} + 2\zeta \omega_{n} \omega_{hp}) (z_{4}(i+1) - z_{4}(i-1))/2\Delta t + (2\zeta \omega_{n} + \omega_{hp}) (z_{4}(i+1) - 2z_{4}(i) + z_{4}(i-1)) \Delta t^{2}$$

^{††} Deconvolution is defined as identifying an input in the time domain, given the output and the system transfer function.

+
$$(z_4(i+2)-2z_4(i+1)+2z_4(i-1)-z_4(i-2)/2\Delta t^3)$$
 (13)

where i, i+1, ..., i-1, etc. represent values at present, future and past discrete times. At is the sampling period. Thus $Z_5(i)$ is the estimate of the corresponding $\ddot{Z}_1(t)$, based on $Z_4(i)$, which is the signal actually recorded and the only true knowledge available when real signals are treated.

A typical output from the deconvolution program is shown in Figure 15. This figure illustrates the deconvoluted signals that were generated from the original filtered signals shown in Figure 13. They can be compared favorably to the original signals that went into the transducer filter system, Figure 12. Thus, it appears that the deconvolution process can produce recognizable signals that are identical to those that input to the transducer-filter-recorder, and can effectively eliminate the signature identification difficulties caused by the measurement system.

Summary of Evaluation of Signature Analysis Methods

Four frequency domain signature analysis methods have been examined and evaluated for their ability to distinguish features associated with acoustic emission pulses. The <u>Fou-</u> <u>rier transform method</u> and the <u>shock spectrum method</u> are shown to be relatively insensitive as to their ability to distinguish between square, triangular and cosine pulses, and even less sensitive when asked to distinguish between simple pulses and the same pulse with a small perturbation.



 $\label{eq:time_fn} \begin{array}{c} \text{TIME×F}_{\text{N}} \\ \text{Fig. 15. Deconvolution of three sample pulses, Z_5 sequence as in Figure 12.} \end{array}$

43

Fourier transfer function and the shock spectrum ratio techniques have also been evaluated, in which the output transform is divided by the input transform at each value of fre-It is shown that the Fourier transfer function does quency. amplify the difference between the simple input signal and small perturbations on the simple signal, but does so only at the particular frequencies associated with the near-zero values of amplitude in the Fourier spectrum. The shock spectrum ratio does distinguish between different types of pulses, between perturbed pulses and between different types of perturbations of the original pulse. A significant feature of the shock spectrum is that it does not go to zero at any frequency. Thus the shock spectrum ratio does not shift dramatically as seen with the Fourier transfer function. Of all the signature analysis techniques examined, the shock spectrum transfer function is the most adequate for analyzing the experimental pulses.

The transducer-filter system was shown to have a significant influence on the Fourier spectrum, such that the input pulse shape could not be discernable nor could the primary output Fourier transform be used to characterize acoustic emission bursts, even from simple inputs. A deconvolution system was demonstrated as a means to generate a new signal that closely represents the input signal shape.

CHAPTER III

OPTIMAL DESIGN OF THE TRANSDUCER AND

FILTER MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

Introduction

An important consideration in pulse data recording is the selection of filters (corner frequencies) and transducers (natural frequencies and damping). This maximizes the information output relevant to the input signal and minimizes the extraneous information in the signal. When commercial AE transducers are used for sophisticated frequency analysis experiments, the transducers are built primarily to meet the specifications listed in Chapter II. Accordingly, this chapter proposes to consider the following questions.

- Can modern optimal design techniques be used to determine the best AE transducer natural frequency, system damping, and filter frequencies (high-pass and low-pass) for the frequency analysis of an acoustic emission pulse?
- 2. What design criteria should be used? Are there other methods which could be employed for frequency domain or time domain examination of the pulses?
- 3. How much information is lost if a nonoptimal system such as the pulse counting system is used for the collection of pulse data?

Four methods for spectrum analysis of input and output pulses described in Chapter II can be considered for signature analysis. The first is the Fast Fourier Transform approach, which is primarily used in this chapter. The second is a Fourier transfer function of the output pulse relative to the input pulse. The third is to calculate the shock spectrum at zero damping of input and output pulses. The ratio of the output and input shock spectra is a fourth method. Time domain reconstruction of the pulse may be even more enlightening than the spectral analyses. This method is to deconvolute the output pulse information to the approximate shape of the original input pulse at the base of the transducer by differentiation using finite difference techniques.

The method used for quantification of the differences between the spectra of the input and output pulses is the statistical standard error of estimate, which is the rootmean-square differences of a set of data and a reference set. (Explicit forms are given below in equations (14) and (15).) Thus, the objective function for the optimal design of the transducer-filter-measurement system is to minimize the difference between two pulse spectra by selection of the system design variables; these may be functions of pulse shape, magnitude, and duration. To study the question (1) above, analytical pulses (e.g., square, triangular, etc.) are used. Among other things, it is shown that pulse duration does affect the optimum.

In the following, the criterion is mathematically expressed. Results are obtained using an optimal design technique, described later.

Design Strategy and Criteria

The variables influencing the system response and which are, to some extent, under the influence of a designer have already been suggested in the model development and were related to the system variables following equation (10). They are:

 f_n transducer natural frequency $(\omega_n/2\pi = 1/T_n)$

ζ transducer damping ratio

 f_{hp} high pass filter corner frequency ($\omega_{hp}/2\pi = 1/T_{hp}$)

 f_{lp} low pass filter corner frequency ($\omega_{lp}/2\pi=l/T_{lp}$) where T is the equivalent time constant.

The independent variables are input pulse width and shape. Height and rates of occurrence are not considerations, since at this time perfect resolution, etc. are assumed. One of the variables can be eliminated and the solutions made more general by normalizing the filter corner frequencies and pulse width (time) with respect to the transducer natural frequency f_{p} .

A suitable function for evaluating the performance of a transducer-filter system is the statistical standard error of estimate, since analytical signals of the general type expected are used for the input Z_1 . This measure is also suitable as a criterion for determining the feasibility and value of optimal system design. With reference to Figure 3, the statistical standard error of estimate may be expressed in the frequency and time domains as:

$$FDEV = \left[\frac{1}{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\Omega} (Y_{4} - Y_{1})^{2} d\omega\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(14)

for the frequency domain where

 $Y_4 = Y_4(j\omega)$, the Fourier transform of the output $Z_4(t)$ $Y_1 = Y_1(j\omega)$, the Fourier transform of the input $\ddot{Z}_1(t)$ Typically Ω is 50/pulse width. The time domain (defining $e = Z_5(t) - Z_1(t)$) is expressed as

$$TDEV = \left[\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{2} dt\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(15)

In digital form, with

$$e_{i} = Z_{5}(i) - Z_{1}(i),$$

$$TDEV = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & I(t) & 2\\ n-1 & \sum_{i=1}^{\Sigma} e_i \end{bmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(16)

T and n relate to pulse duration. I(t) corresponds to the time t $\rightarrow \infty$ until any substantial contribution to the value of TDEV dissappears (typically 50T). The values of these criteria are directly comparable: the lower the value, the better the performance. A flow chart showing the frequency and time domain evaluations is shown in Figure 16 (including the entry points for the optimal design phase). The sequence for a frequency spectrum solution is:

1. Input an acceleration pulse, $\ddot{Z}_1(t)$

2. solve for the system response, $Z_A(t)$

3. normalize the pulses to a maximum height of 1.0





ΔT, N

Optimal Design Phase

Entry Point (with modified values of System Parameters)

Flow chart for frequency domain and time Fig. 16. domain objective function solution.

4. fast Fourier transform \ddot{z}_1 and z_4

5. evaluate FDEV, equation (14).

The sequence for the time domain solution (second stage) is:

- 1. input an acceleration pulse, $\ddot{z}_1(t)$
- 2. solve for the system response, $Z_4(t)$
- 3. solve for the deconvoluted pulse, $Z_5(t)$

4. evaluate TDEV, equation (15).

Results

The initial thrust of this study was to investigate frequency spectrum characteristics as a criterion for evaluating acoustic emission data as suggested by the cited investigators. As will be shown, frequency spectrum comparisons and straightforward integration of the data signal Z_4 were in many instances inconclusive, even when treated in an optimal design mode. At this point the deconvolution idea emerged and was implemented.

To compare the various approaches, initially a comprehensive study was made of a 'typical' system design with representative input pulse shapes and pulse durations. These were then followed by an optimal design study based upon the frequency domain criterion, FDEV. These results are presented to clearly identify the attributes of frequency domain criteria (characterized here by the Fourier spectrum) <u>vis</u>-<u>a-vis</u> time domain (deconvolution) criteria and the effects of signal shape and duration. In so doing, frequency spectrum and deconvolution results appear simultaneously, although it is to be emphasized that the deconvolution approach was initiated after viewing the frequency domain results. These results provided a basis for an optimal system design approach and results evaluation, which are described in the appropriate section.

General system study results

Results from the frequency domain and time domain programs are shown in Figures 17 through 22. The design variables for these figures, $\zeta=0.01$; $f_{hp}/f_n=0.1$, are reasonably representative of present pulse-counting designs. As it turns out, these tests show a 'bad' design point for frequency spectrum analysis, due to a significant underdamped vibration of the transducer. Figures 17-19 are for short pulses, and Figures 20-22 are for long pulses, relative to the transducer time constant $1/f_n$. The pulse shapes here and throughout are rectangular, triangular and cosine (1- $cos\omega t$), respectively. The figures N(a) show the input acceleration pulse \ddot{z}_1 with the transducer-filter system response z_4 and the deconvoluted signal Z5 superimposed. The figures N(b) show the frequency spectrum Y_1 of the input signal \ddot{Z}_1 and the frequency spectrum Y_4 of the output signal Z_4 . The values of the objective functions in both domains are shown in the figures.

For short pulses $(Txf_n=0.3)$, Figures 17-19, there is very little difference in the systems' outputs $Z_4(t)$ and



Fig. 19. Cosine pulse: $\zeta = 0.01$; $F_{hp}/F_n = 0.1$; $T_pF_n = 0.3$. a) time domain; b) frequency domain.



Fig. 20. Square pulse: $\zeta = 0.01$; $F_{hp}/F_n = 0.1$; $T_pF_n = 8.0$. a) time domain; b) frequency spectrum.



Fig. 21. Triangular pulse: $\zeta = 0.01$; $F_{hp}/F_n = 0.1$; $T_pF_n = 8.0$. a) time domain; b) frequency domain.



Fig. 22. Cosine pulse: $\zeta = 0.01$; $F_{hp}/F_n = 0.1$; $T_pF_n = 8.0$. a) time domain; b) frequency domain.

ļ

frequency spectra Y_4 for the three different inputs. The deconvoluted signal (Z_5 in the (a) figures) fairly well reproduces each input, although for unknown signals, this reconstruction may still be inadequate. This is investigated in the optimal design section.

Figures 20-22 show similar results for long $(Txf_n=8)$ rectangular, triangular and cosine pulses respectively. The system outputs Z_4 and Y_4 are noticeably distorted, but they do not show any resemblance to each other as with the short pulses. Here the deconvolution gives very good reproduction of the triangular and cosine pulses. The effect of ringing of the transducer is clearly seen in Figures 20 and 21. The deconvoluted signal is much less sensitive to this than the transmitted signal and Fourier spectrum.

In all cases the values of the deconvolution criteria TDEV are substantially less than the frequency spectrum criterion FDEV. As these measures are directly comparable, it is clear that deconvolution approach can provide a more significant representation of the excitation. Incidentally, in all these studies, the rectangular pulses were the hardest to treat mathematically, due to the greater high frequency content.

Optimal design of transducer-filter system. Because of the predisposition to investigate the frequency domain characteristics and the rather disappointing results as

·58

indicated by Figures 17-22, the determination of optimal system design parameters to minimize the frequency domain criterion FDEV of equation (14) was undertaken.

Accordingly, FDEV is now a design objective function to be minimized by selection of some combination of the system design variables, for a given input signal \ddot{z}_1 characterized by shape and duration (ratioed to f_n). The necessary relationship (model) is determined by the appropriate dynamical equation, one of equation (10) through (13). From before, the design variables are:

f_n transducer natural frequency (dominant)

ζ transducer damping ratio (dominant)

 f_{hp} high-pass filter corner frequency

f_{lp} low-pass filter corner frequency.

The optimization procedure used here is the Davidon-Fletcher-Powell (DFP) variable-metric algorithm for minimization of an unconstrained function (22). Thus, any constraints must be treated in an indirect manner, e.g., by penalty functions. The DFP method was selected because of a good reputation for rapid convergence to a minimum value of the objective function in a large number of cases. Since the objective function calculation for the transducer-filter system is rather lengthy, rapid convergence to a good answer is important from an economic point of view.

From practical considerations, there may be constraints upon the design variables, e.g., non-negativity, reasonable

limits, etc. A practical concern in transducer-filter systems is the attenuation of the signal. Accordingly, a minimum tolerable output/input signal ratio in the range concerned is specified. After observing several design solutions during the program development stage, it was arbitrarily decided that any solution with a signal ratio below 10% would be unacceptable.

The design limits and associated penalty functions, g_n , were expressed as follows:

$$g_{1} = \begin{cases} 0.0 \text{ for } 0.0 < \zeta < 2.0 \\ \zeta^{4}, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$g_{2} = \begin{cases} 0.0 \text{ for } 0.05 < f_{hp}/f_{n} < 2.0 \\ (f_{hp}/f_{n})^{4} \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$g_{3} = \begin{cases} 0.0 \text{ for } 0.5 < f_{1p}/f_{n} \\ (f_{1p}/f_{n})^{4} \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(17)

In the studies, the input pulse shape and time duration were fixed for each optimization run. A range of pulse shapes and durations were run. The flow chart of Figure 16 shows the general approach.

<u>Results for frequency domain criterion</u>. Minimizations of FDEV of equation (14) with the appended penalty functions of equations (17) using the DFP algorithm with short and long square pulses $-T = .3/f_n$ and $8.0/f_n$ respectively, were undertaken. However, the objective function field was essentially flat with no clear minima. Furthermore, FDEV was large indicating a poor fit. This can be inferred from the (b) plots in Figures 17-22, and is seen even more clearly in Figure 23, which shows the objective function variation for three lines drawn through the field of FDEV for a short duration square pulse, $Txf_n = 0.3$. The field is quite flat with a slight gradient towards higher values of the design variables. To a slight extent this is a function of the test pulse shape. The results for longer pulses and different shapes showed similar patterns. Figure 23 also shows the ineffectiveness of the low-pass filter which was still in the system.

Consequently, these approaches were not considered to give better solutions to the problem. Trials with the other frequency domain analysis approaches mentioned previously did not appear to be substantially more definitive than the Fourier spectrum analysis and were not pursued. Some general study results akin to Figures 17-22 for other frequency domain measures were presented in Chapter II with the same overall conclusion.

It may be premature to dismiss all such procedures for AE pulse analysis, but there seemed no reason to pursue them here as design criteria. As is well known, selection of meaningful design criteria is vital to achieving valid optimal design and performance.


Fig. 23. Frequency domain objective function field for a square pulse: $T_PF_n = 0.3$.

Optimal design for deconvolution criterion. Chronologically, it was at this point that the deconvolution approach emerged and that the composite results of Figures 17-22 were completed for that set of transducer variables.

Optimal system design for deconvolution used TDEV of equation (15) as the objective function (to be minimized) and the penalty functions of equations (17) to reflect the constraints. Comprehensive results are given in Table 2 (with annotations) and Figures 24-25 for a range of pulse shapes and durations. The more exhaustive studies of Figure 24 were made with triangular and cosine input pulses, lengths from $0.3/f_n$ to $20/f_n$. Because of generally similar results and the relatively greater computational effort required with rectangular pulses, fewer studies were made with long rectangular pulses.

The design strategy was to initiate the search using known typical designs and signals, for which the results of Figures 17-22 provided the basis. Because of the lengthy computations, subsequent searches were initiated at these 'better' points using finer criteria for locating the optimum. The general trend of coarse vs precise optima can be seen in Table 2. Note that in Table 2 and in Figures 17-22, 25, that the magnitude of the objective TDEV are low for nonoptimized designs, the possible exception being the short square pulse and very lightly damped system in Figure 17.

•	(Notes), Comments	(1)Ęig. 19	Flat field	Strange: T pulse = 1/fn	-	No improvement	(1) Fig. 22			Confirmation Test			Confirmation Test		(1)Fig. 18	Strange: T pulse = 1/fn			(1) Fig. 21	Incomplete: Time limit	-		(1) Fig. 17	Flat field	(1) Fig. 20	F1g. 26	Supplemental Run	Incomplete: Time limit
No. of	Iterations		-	т	9			œ	7	7	9	4	ß	4		~	G	8		2	7	9		7			" 4	7
ues	TDEV *		0.00756	0.00722	0.00740	0.00100.0		0.00113	0.000968	0.000968	0.000255	0.000268	0.000268	0.000252	0.0068	0.00661	0.00666	0.00183	0.0018	0.00160	9.000614	0.000635		0.0537		.0262	0.0443	0.0436
nal val	f _{hp} /f _n		1.80	1.73	1.94	1.43		1.15	0.88	0.88	1.90	1.06	1.06	0.87	1.98	1.89	2.00	1.49	1.58	1.206	1.46	0.94		0.9		1.43	0.859	1.53
Ξ	ξ *		1.63	-0.013	2.01	1.98		1.81	1.44	1.44	1.96	1.71	1.71	1.45	1.91	-0.03	1.05	1.97	1.55	1.206	1.46	1.40		2.0		1.66	1.93	1.47
alues	TDEV	.0807	0.00756	0.00753	0.00745	0.00135	.0156	0.00119	0.00195	0.000982	0.000960	0.00131	0.000447	0.000462	0.0637	0.00682	0.00671	0.00393			0.00114	0.00128	0.155	0.0573	.0314	.0314	0.0499	0.0436
nitial va	fhp/fn	-	0.9	0.01	0.0	lù:0		6.0	0.01	0.7	1.8	0.01	0.9	0.9		0.01	0.01	0.01		0.01	0.01	0.01	·	6. 0	·	-	0.01	1.6
Ē	5	10	2.0	1.8	1.8	1.8	10.	2.0	1.8	1.5	1.8	1.8	1.5	1.5	6.	1.8	1.8	1.8	10.	1.8	1.8	1.8	10.	2.0	10	[0.	0.01	1.6
T*f _n		0.3	0.3	1.0	3.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	10.0	13.0	17.0	17.0	20.0	0.3	1.0	3.0	7.0	8.0	10.0	17.0	20.0	0.3	0.3	8°0	8.0	8°0	8.0
Pulse	Shape	Cosine													Triangular								Square					

正明ないまたと、

Note (1) Current practice design, see respective Figures 17-22.

r

TABLE 2

.

OPTIMAL DESIGN FOR DECONVOLUTION SUMMARY

64:







Fig. 25. Summary of optimal designs for triangular (Δ), square (**D**) and cosine (o) pulses of various lengths.

Nevertheless, in some instances the relative change is quite substantial. As it turns out and as might be anticipated, numerical implementation of the deconvolution algorithm also can affect the magnitudes of the results, but <u>not</u> the relative values.

The extremely low values of TDEV for the optimal designs are desirable. It is seen in Figures 24 and 25 that the optima depend to some extent upon the nature of the signal and can therefore be chosen to maximize information relevant to the signal type expected or sought. It is notable that the optimal transducers are generally quite overdamped and the high-pass filter frequency corner is above the transducer natural frequency (or low-pass cutoff) for all cases, i.e., f_{hp} > f_n. Accordingly, significant attenuation results, but it is a known quantity and never exceeds the attenuation constraint. This also minimizes the 'ringing' and possible false counts of other methods. Tn Table 2, it is seen that poor results are obtained if the pulse length is about equal to the transducer time constant; this is the only case in which a lightly-damped transducer $(\zeta = .01)$ shows to advantage. It would appear that this is a situation to be avoided.

A comparison of the objective function fields for the frequency domain and time domain objectives in Figure 23 and Figures 24 and 25 respectively gives some indication of the degree of success one can expect using the optimal design

approach (within our ability to graphically display the results). That is, although deconvolution for systems with a wide range of transducer damping ratio and time constant and high frequency filter corner gives very good results for the simple known test signals of this study, optima do exist and may be essential in dealing with unknown signals where the only known data is transducer system output Z_4 (in Figure 3). The objective function field shape for the time domain solutions is shown in Figure 24 for the long cosine pulse $Txf_n = 8.0$. Calculated points are indicated by circles, and contours indicated are as suggested by a number of trial points. The existence of a long narrow trough with a relatively flat valley floor is interesting. Such valleys have been observed to cause design problems in most texts on optimal design.

Figure 26 shows a specific example which is representative of the general situation: an optimal transducerfilter system for deconvoluting a long rectangular pulse $Txf_n = 8.0$. (This is also a 'difficult' case.) The optimal design has $\zeta^* = 1.66$, $f_{hp}/f_n^* = 1.43$, with TDEV* = 0.0262. The search was initiated with the design of Figure 20. The design is within the region described by the penalty functions. This is significant, since it can be assumed that at least one minimum is not limited by the constraints, i.e., the practical constraints do not exclude all relative minima. Comparing Figures 20(a) and 26(a) one can see a significant

68

.





Fig. 26. Square pulse: $\zeta = 1.66$; $F_{hp}/F_n = 1.43$; $T_PF_n = 8.0$.

| |

improvement in the 'optimally' deconvoluted pulse even though the value of objective function only changes from 0.0314 to 0.0262; that is, the human eye perceives a better match of the deconvoluted pulse and the original pulse. Figures 20(b) and 26(b) show the Fourier spectra for these two systems. In Figure 26(b), the output Y_4 clearly shows a reduced tendency for 'ringing' of the transducer and false counts as pointed out above. The transducer damping predictably influences the $f_n x T_P = 8$ region. Also, note that FDEV (the frequency spectrum criteria of equation (14) has increased for the optimal deconvolution solution. していた

As further tests of (a) frequency vs deconvolution criteria and (b) the optimal design approach, Figures 27-30 are presented. Figures 27 and 28 compare deconvoluted results for a sequence of different long pulses (relative to transducer time constant), with the system output Z_4 superimposed. Figures 29 and 30 show the same comparison for a sequence of short pulses. Figures 27 and 29 are for the 'conventional' system described above; Figures 28 and 30 are for the 'optimal' system.

Figures 26-30 clearly show the significance of the deconvolution approach to transient pulse signal analysis, regardless of optimization. These clearly corroborate the previous figures for cases where there may be residual effects of different pulses and unknown times of occurrence. The transducer output Z_A is obviously useless as a means for









distinguishing the height and shape of pulses. Deconvolution of the transducer signal closely reproduces the shapes in the pulse train.

Within the accuracy of the figures, the differences between the conventional and the optimal deconvolutions are almost imperceptible. In general, most current acoustic emission transducers are for pulse counting and are described as having 'high Q' resonance (i.e., low damping ratio), and their associated filters are set to pass only the fundamental resonant frequencies. Thus, pulse-counting pickups can be used for pulse deconvolution in the time domain with a slight degradation of the information. However, the optimal design studies show that for best pulse shape deconvolution, the damping of the transducer should be greater than critical damping, and the high-pass filter corner should be above the resonant frequency. The differences may be significant when dealing with real signals, as previously suggested.

Comparison of Figures 1 and 2, the sample AE recordings, with Figure 29, suggests that a pulse counting acoustic emission system would count significantly more than the actual number of defect acoustic events. Note that if the natural frequency of the transducer is greater than or less than the f_n used for the derivation of Figure 29, then the AE counting system would indicate a correspondingly higher or lower count respectively. Hence, the intuitive lack of correlation of AE counts and the number of defect events is

theoretically verified and is shown to be due primarily to the resonant response of the system rather than a response to the actual defect.

Summary of Optimal Design for Measurement System

Deconvolution of a pulse has been demonstrated to be a superior approach for transient pulse analysis. Reshaping of a transducer output back to the original input pulse is possible and gives an accurate representation of the generating pulse in the time domain. If the transducer response curve has a low frequency cut-off or if high-pass filters are used in the measurement system, then the DC components of a pulse will be absent from the output signal. This characteristic of AE measurement systems frequently makes the pulse shape virtually unrecognizable in the time domain. Frequency analysis methods have been tested and shown to be similarly ambiguous for segregation of different pulse shapes. Using deconvolution, in principle any definable transducer and filter system can be used to reconstruct pulse characteristics, i.e., to generate time domain signatures.

The following major points about the selection of design variables have been demonstrated.

- Any definable transducer and filter system can be used for measurement of pulses by means of the deconvolution method. The time domain signature thus generated becomes the basis for analysis.
- 2. The use of a low-pass filter for frequencies above the transducer natural frequency is unnecessary.

- 3. The output pulse shape (Z₂ in Figure 1) for a pulse duration shorter than half the transducer natural period is relatively insensitive to the shape of the input pulse.
- 4. The frequency spectrum of the signal output from a measurement system with filtration of low frequency components relative to the frequency spectrum of the original pulse is significantly different such that most criteria for comparison of these spectra may not be meaningful.
- 5. The deconvolution method can 'bring back' information about the original pulse even after filtering. This method was operational for the pulses of short duration for which the frequency spectra of all pulse shapes were similar. Optimization can improve these results, but may not be necessary.
- 6. There is a wide and relatively level plateau for the relative standard error between an input pulse and the deconvoluted output pulse, per Figure 24. Within this area designers will be nominally successful for many choices of the design variables. In general the shapes of the input pulses do not have a significant influence on the determination of the optimum design location.
- Item 5 and 6 above notwithstanding, there are families of optimal selections for transducer and filter system parameters which give superior deconvoluted output signals. These may be significant when working with actual hardware and unknown characteristics.

The optimal design results (z^* , f_{hp}/f_n^*) are certainly new and different from the expectations of engineers accustomed to working in the field of signal conditioning. In obtaining these results modern rational design techniques on a rather complex design problem have been demonstrated. Acoustic emission transducer manufacturers describe their pickups as having a high Q resonance, or low-damping ratio, and their filters are set to pass only the fundamental resonant frequencies. The optimal design study has shown that acoustic emission pickups can be used for pulse deconvolution in the time domain with a slight degradation of the information, but that for best pulse shape deconvolution the damping of the transducer should be larger than critical damping and the high-pass filter corner should be above the resonant frequency. · ••• ••••• •• •• •• •• •• ••

ł

¢

. .

CHAPTER IV

DECONVOLUTION OF EXPERIMENTAL PULSES

Introduction

Deconvolution of experimental data requires that an acceptable mathematical model for each of the components in the measurement system be created. When commercial equipment is available, a frequency response curve is frequently provided or, at a minimum, a specification is given for the bandwidth (± 3 db magnitude limit). Response information such as this or experimental frequency response curves can be used to create component models of arbitrary complexity. After reasonable models have been developed for the transducers, filters, tape recorder and digital event recorder, deconvolution calculations can address the following questions.

- 1. Can a simple source be found that will produce acoustic emissions with a repeatable shape?
- 2. Assuming a source with repeatable shape is available, will the output of a given transducer have signals of repeatable or similar shape? If yes, then the deconvolution of the signals should show a repeatable shape representative of the source.
- 3. When different transducers are used to record AE signals from the same source, will the deconvolution signatures be recognizeable as having come from the same source?

4. When more than one AE source is recorded with the same measuring system can the deconvolution signatures be distinguished from each other?

5. Can a major defect, e.g., on a thrust ball bearing race, be detected using the present level of deconvolution signature analysis?

In the following sections, a description of the model equations that were used for the components of the measuring systems are presented. Four sets of experiments are performed in order to evaluate: 1) the reproduceability of the pulse recordings; 2) the relative performance of the transducers; 3) the ability to distinguish between AE sources; 4) the performance of an AE transducer on a ball bearing test rig. The ball bearing tests were conducted using bearings with small slots (defects) cut in the race by electro-discharge machinery, and compared to an undamaged bearing.

Techniques for Testing Transducer Reproduceability for Pulse Recording

The search for an experiment for the evaluation of AE transducers leads to the area of transducer calibrations at high frequencies. Calibration of AE transducers is an unfinished area in the AE industry today. The Acoustic Emission Working Group, a subsection within the American Society of Testing and Materials is actively concerned that the calibration methods should be worked out and eventually published as a proposed standard. Experiments in the literature use different approaches to evaluate an AE transducer or an AE experiment. These are summarized as follows.

٠.

Grinding of glass powder

Graham and Allers (8) described an experiment they used to simulate an AE source similar to growing cracks. An electric drill was used to grind and break small glass fragments held in a socket. This was a repeatable source and the frequency response curves were similar to that of an AE from a gowing defect in metals.

Capacitive transducer as a standard

Graham (8) and Breckenridge (23) have described experiments in which a highly sensitive capacitor plate directly above the specimen surface has been used to detect singleshot AE signals. The capacitive transducer output can be compared to a piezoelectric transducer's output, and a calibration curve for different frequencies can be derived. The disadvantages of this experiment are: the pulses must be large to get a significant signal from the capacitive transducer, and the AE measurements are usually of through transmissions, i.e., longitudinal waves, whereas the significant AE signals picked up by AE transducers are thought to be predominantly surface waves or Rayleigh waves.

Electric spark discharge

Bell (24) discharged a high-voltage electric spark from an electrode to the metal test specimen. This experiment was considered a similar excitation as in AE crack growth situations and produces the required Rayleigh waves. The major advantage is that the AE event was individual and unique. Feng (25) improved on the spark discharge experiment by adding a second electrode. Thus the pulse from the discharge is only acoustically coupled to the specimen and from there onto the transducer. Dr. Feng's experiment is used by Dunegan/Endevco for the frequency response curve provided with each AE transducer. The spark discharge can be a short Dirac-type pulse when it leaves the electrode area, but the mechanical medium of the plate used for transmitting the wave to the transducer can have several resonant frequencies and some mode conversions. The wave that passes under the transducer cannot be expected to be a short-duration pulse, but it can be shown to be repeatable.

Ultrasonic transducer driven as a steady state energy source

Prior to spark discharge, Dunegan/Endevco used the ultrasonic driver directly coupled back-to-back with an AE transducer for their calibration experiment. This experiment excites an entirely different set of resonant frequencies, and the frequency response curves are significantly different than the response curves from an electric spark discharge. The longitudinal coupling was thought to be responsible for some of the major differences. This observation may also hold true for the capacitive transducer calibration.

One of the significant problems in AE transducer calibrations is that the measurement system is intended to look through a very high frequency window, typically 50-350 kHz The frequency range is well above the first and second resonant frequencies of the mounting block and transducer case. The frequencies are also well beyond the upper limit, approximately 20 kHz, of laboratory verifiable sine-wave steady state acceleration.

Of the various evaluation experiments described above, the spark discharge method of Feng seems to be the best for the purposes of this study. It is portable; it has a demonstrated repeatability and it can be used for on-the-site calibration of the transducer.

Electrical Spark Discharge for Acoustic Wave Calibration

Two spark calibration probes were built and tested for this project. The first had 12-inch leads from the capacitor to the electrodes and the electrodes were mounted 180[°] from one another. This design produced considerable electromagnetic interference in the area of the experiment and appeared to have multiple discharges during each event. A discussion with engineers working on spark-discharge experiments in the Vanderbilt Chemical Engineering Department revealed that there can be a problem with fields interacting with the discharge when the electrodes are mounted at 90° and at 180°. Thus, to avoid reverberations during the discharge, the electrodes should be mounted at an angle between 89° and 85° . The second spark-probe design was constructed with much shorter leads from the capacitors to the electrodes, and the angle between the tungsten electrodes was approximately 88° . The capacitance was $0.025 \ \mu\text{F}$, and the power supply was current-limiting to provide 10 μA at 3500 volts. A photograph of the spark probe over a specimen and of the experimental setup with the power supply is shown in Figure 31. 「日本の大学で

Setup of spark probe and data collection procedure

The procedure for collection of spark discharge data was as follows.

- 1. Set the spark gap at 0.5 mm, the power supply voltage at 3,500 volts and place the probe over the fatigue crack tip on the compact tensile specimen.
- Record the transducer output signals as they come in at a frequency of approximately ten per minute. The recorder tape speed was 60 ips for a 100 to 300,000 Hz recording band.
- 3. Play the recorded signals back and identify the AE burst on the oscilloscope, noting the footage counter value at each pulse.
- 4. Replay the pulse with the tape speed at 1 7/8 ips and capture the leading edge of the burst with the digital event recorder at 100,000 samples per second.
- 5. Play back the captured pulse on the X-Y-T plotter to determine if the stored pulse is satisfactory and complete. For the best results the digital event recorder should be used over the full amplitude range of ± 5 volts on ± 128 steps.
- 6. Assign the pulse an identification and record it by punching it on paper tape. The identification code is N.TOOP where N is the number of pulses



Fig. 31. Photograph of the spark discharge setup.

recorded in this mode, T is the type of test identification and P is the transducer identification.

- 7. Convert the binary words on the paper tape to ASCI code with a special computer program worked out on a PDP 8 minicomputer, and teletype punch a new tape.
- 8. Feed the ASCI coded tape for the particular pulse via a teletype terminal into the DISC storage of the larger computer in preparation for the deconvolution computation and Fourier frequency analysis.

Mathematical Models for Digital Event Recorder, Tape Recorder, Amplifier and Transducer Components

The deconvolution equations used in the computational routines were built up from a differential equation of the component, or from a Bode Diagram curve fitting procedure. The Bode Diagram approach makes it possible to recreate a differential equation of the model from the experimental frequency response curve of the component.

The deconvolution model was originally conceived of as a single inverse transfer function that would take the signal in one mathematical step back to the original, or starting, shape. In the process of the evolution of this experiment, the differential equation model went well beyond an eighthorder differential equation, which meant that the finite difference derivatives were taking data from time intervals greater than five data points on each side. See Appendix I, Table Al. The approach now is to deconvolute stage-by-stage through each component in turn. This modular approach makes it possible to change the model for each component as the component is switched for another, or as better frequency response information becomes available and the user thinks that there is justification for changing the mathematical model.

A photograph of the laboratory is shown in Figure 32. The flow of signal information starts on the near end of the bench on the left side and progresses down to the tape recorder and digital event recorder at the far end of the bench. A flow chart of an acoustic emission from the spark discharge probe through all of the system components that were considered to have a dynamic effect on the pulse information is shown in Figure 33. Selected closeups of some of the components are shown in Figure 34.

The equations used to represent the forward-looking transfer function, i.e., the conventional input/output representation, and a brief discussion of the model are presented below. A series of frequency response figures of each component are presented and they include the following information:

- the manufacturer's response plot for the component, if available
- 2. experimental check points when they have been made in the Material Science Department's NDT Laboratory
- 3. the plot for the mathematical model
- 4. the coefficients used for the mathematical model.



Fig. 32. Photograph of the laboratory setup for acoustic emission research.



Fig. 33. Flow diagram of the components used for the spark discharge measurements with four different transducers.

te a statistica de la companya de la



a) Closeup of ball-bearing rig



b) Ball-bearing rig





d) Digital event recorder, x-y plotter and paper tape punch

c) Tape recorder

Fig. 34. Photographs of selected components used in the acoustic emission experiments.

The transducer models received the most attention and, in each case, a different model was selected than that suggested by the manufacturer's literature. The reason for this discrepancy may be the way in which the manufacturer derives his natural frequency data for publication or it may be due to the way in which the transducer is mounted on the struc-As will be explained later in this chapter, the ture. natural frequencies used for the model were selected by examination of the Fourier spectrum of the pulses recorded during the spark calibration experiment. A summary of the transducer parameters are shown in Table 3 with the manufacturer's values in the first column and the final model values in the second column. Each of the models for the transducers used had two resonant frequencies and one of these usually fell near the natural frequency given by the manufacturers except for the Dunegan/Endevco AE transducer which is specified by the manufacturer in a different manner.

Digital Event Recorder

This component was responsible for a cluster of high frequency signals around the digitization frequency (3.2 mHz) in a region at half the digitization frequency (1.6 mHz), and at one-fifth digitization frequency 0.64 mHz. The model shown below was used for deconvolution purposes to eliminate the one-fifth digitization frequency. The two higher frequencies were present but did not interfere in the results

ო	
ЦH	
ġ,	
LA L	

TRANSDUCERS USED FOR EVALUATION OF ACOUSTIC EMISSION PULSES

Manufacturer	Model No. Serial No.	Resonant Specified (kHz)	Frequencies Experimental (kHz)	Damping Ratio	LOW-Pass Frequency (kHz)
Dunegan/Endevco San Juan Capistrano, CA	D9202 AB11	350-800	98 & 180	0.05	45
Bruel & Kjaer Cleveland, OH	4344 552940	128	70 & 128	0.01	0.005
Bruel & Kjaer	4339 349554	32	28.5 & 68	0.01	NA
PCB	392A 118	NA	NA		NA

NA = information not available.

A Statement

significantly. The equation for the digital event recorder is

$$\frac{z_{6}}{z_{5}} = \frac{\omega_{D1}\omega_{D3}^{2}(D+\omega_{D2})^{3}}{\omega_{D2}^{3}(D+\omega_{D1})(D^{2}+z_{\zeta}\omega_{D3}^{0}D+\omega_{D3}^{2})}$$
(18)

The signal location Z_5 and Z_4 are indicated in Figure 33 and a plot of the frequency response is shown in Figure 35.

Tape Recorder

$$\frac{z_{5}}{z_{4}} = \frac{F_{\text{RECORD}} \omega_{\text{T2}}^{2} D}{(D + \omega_{\text{T1}}) (D^{2} + 2\zeta_{\text{T}} \omega_{\text{T2}} D + \omega_{\text{T2}}^{2})}$$
(19)

A plot of the frequency response and identification of the coefficients used for the particular solution of (19) is shown in Figure 36. A simple check of the frequency response was made in the laboratory and these results are also shown on the plot.

Amplifier and Filter

$$\frac{Z_4}{Z_3} = \frac{F_{\text{FILTER}}^{\text{D}}}{(D + \omega_{\text{F1}})}$$
(20)

C () ()

The coefficients and the frequency response plot for the two filters used are shown in Figures 37 and 38.



Figure 35 Digital event recorder characteristics and model.



Figure 36 Tape recorder characteristics and model.

I



COMPONENT: Amplifier and Filter TYPE: Bruel and Kjaer Type 2625

MODEL EQUATION:

where
$$\frac{Z}{4} = \frac{F}{1} \frac{D}{F} \frac{D}{F}$$

$$\frac{Z}{3} = \frac{D + \omega}{F}$$

$$F = 10.0$$

$$\frac{\omega}{F} = 5. \times 2 \pi$$

$$F = 10.0$$

Figure 37 Amplifier and filter characteristics and model for Bruel and Kaerj Type 2625 system.



Γ

Figure 38 Amplifier and filter characteristics and model for Dunegan/Endevco Model 2649 system.
Transducer

The first transducer model had a single degree of freedom as used in the analytical development in Chapters II and III and the value for f_n was taken from the manufacturer's literature. When the spark discharge repeatability experiments were conducted (discussed in detail in the next section), the Fourier spectrum of the recorded trace was seen to have two or three predominate frequencies near the transducer f_n value. See Figures 47 and 52. The deconvolution plots using the manufacturer's value for f_n were not considered acceptable and a better value for f_n was located from the Fourier spectrum plots. After several trials two of the predominate frequencies on these plots were selected as representative of the transducer and were used for the mathematical model coefficients. The model equation is as follows:

e see se dig

$$\frac{z_{3}}{z_{2}} = \frac{F_{PU}\omega_{P1}^{2}\omega_{P2}^{2}}{(D^{2}+2\zeta_{1}\omega_{P1}D+\omega_{P1}^{2})(D^{2}+2\zeta_{2}\omega_{P2}D+\omega_{P2}^{2})}$$
(21)

The coefficients and frequency response plots for the models of the three transducers used are shown in Figures 39 to 41. The response curve suggested by the manufacturer's information is also included on each plot for reference purposes.

Compact Tensile Test Specimen

The model developed for the aluminum plate compact tensile specimen is an approximation arrived at as follows.



Figure 39 Transducer characteristics and model for Bruel and Kjaer Model 4339 accelerometer.



Figure 40 Transducer characteristics and model for Bruel and Kjaer Model 4344 accelerometer.



Figure 41 Transducer characteristics and model for Dunegan/Endevco Model D9202 acoustic emission transducer.

Ì

- All of the test traces recorded on the compact tensile specimen with the Duneqan/Endevco transducer were deconvoluted to the base of the transducer (Z₂ in Figure 33). These included traces from the repeatability experiment, different transducers experiment and discrimination between AE sources experiment.
 - A frequency spectrum of each deconvolution trace was computed.
 - 3. Dominant frequencies were identified which appeared in most of the frequency spectra. These were assumed to be resonant frequencies excited by different experiments and thus inherent frequencies in the plate.
 - 4. Another deconvolution step was performed with a model using the frequencies selected. If the frequency spectrum of this deconvolution trace approached the pattern of the frequency spectrum signatures shown in Chapters II and III for analytical pulses, then it was considered to be a viable model for the test specimen.

This model was not considered to be developed enough to give the true deconvolution of the wave shape at the initiation site, but it does serve as an approximation. The model equation for an approximation of the specimen is as follows:

$$\frac{z_2}{z_1} = \frac{\omega_{S1}^2 \omega_{S2}^2}{(D^2 + 2\zeta_{S1} \omega_{S1}^{D+\omega_{S2}^2}) (D^2 + 2\zeta_{S2} \omega_{S2}^{D+\omega_{S2}^2})}$$
(22)

The frequencies used for the model and the response curves are shown in Figure 42.



Figure 42 Flat plate model and characteristic curve derived from the Fourier frequency spectrum curves.

Discussion of the Influence of Deconvolution Procedure with Experimental Traces

Deconvolution is similar to passing a signal through a transfer function that is the inverse of the frequency plots shown in Figures 35 to 42. When this is done, the areas that are attenuated are amplified and vice versa. Thus any noise that is on the tape recording of the pulse will be magnified, particularly if it is at 1 Hz or below. The corrections provided by deconvolution modeling are as much as 200 dB at 1 Hz. の言語

High frequencies such as the digitization frequency and its submultiples are well above the frequency range of the measuring system components, but the deconvolution process picks them up and amplifies these signals by 100 dB or more for frequencies above 2 mHz. This accumulation of highfrequency 'information' was detected during the present work and the low-pass filter was added to attenuate signals above 300 kHz.

As the fall-off rate on the high frequency end of a model and the rise rate on the low frequency end are given steeper'slopes in the more complex models to match the experimental response curves, the consequences are an increase in the very high frequency 'information', and an effect similar to DC drift in the very low frequencies. Until these problems are overcome it seems prudent to stay with the simpler models.

Low-Pass Filter

Clusters of high frequency were observed above 400 kHz in the traces after deconvolution through the modules listed above. To keep these from masking possible lower frequency information, a low-pass filter stage was introduced. An extremely rapid roll-off filter was used,

$$\frac{z_{1p}}{z_{1}} = \frac{\omega_{1p2}}{(D^{2} + \zeta \omega_{1p2} D + \omega_{1p2}^{2})^{3} (D + \omega_{1p2})^{6}}$$
(23)

It should be noted that this step was not a deconvolution but a means of clearing out frequency information above 300 kHz that was considered to be superfluous. The frequency response of the low-pass filter at one-third the roll-off rate shown in Figure 43.

A block diagram of the executive section of the computational routine is shown in Figure 44.

Construction of models which will duplicate the dynamic behavior of measurement systems is complicated, and the models can become very complex. Models were created that were better fits to the Bode plots shown in the previous figures, but they were not used because of the adverse effects on the pulse information.



Figure 43 Low-pass filter characteristics and model used for attenuation of digital event recorder frequencies.



Repeatability Experiment

The objective of this experiment was to determine if the output of a transducer has a similar shape when excited by one type of AE generator (Question 2, Chap. II, p. 79). Several records were made of the same event with three different transducer systems. As an example of how repeatable the input pulses were, a set of spark discharge data from the D/E transducer measurement system was computed and the results are shown in Figures 45 to 48. The first figure is of three traces as they are received and the following figures are the frequency spectrum of the as-received traces and the deconvoluted signature of the same traces. Comparing the two figures one would say that the as-received pulses are more alike than the deconvoluted pulses. The overall image of the pulses in Figure 47 is similar and the small disparities are attributed to the fact that no two of the spark discharge inputs are exactly alike. A Fourier spectrum of the deconvoluted signatures is shown in Figure 48 and a shock spectrum ratio relative to Run No. 3.1002 is shown in Figure 49. The shock spectrum ratio results is a straight horizontal line for identical pulses and in Figure 49 one can see some horizontal sections in the curve. The frequency band from 0 to 250 kHz is representative of signatures from pulses from a similar AE source. The signatures above 250 kHz show large variation and will be ignored for the present.



ſ

Fig. 45. As-received time domain trace for three spark discharges from the Dunegan/Endevco transducer.





Fig. 46 Frequency spectrum of pulses shown in Figure 45.

L









Fig. 49. Shock spectrum ratio of similar deconvolution pulses shown in Fig. 47.

113

· .

, . ·

In general, the spark discharge equipment was found to be very repeatable within the first 60 to 100 μ s from the leading edge of the pulse recording. For the sake of close examination of the best information about the AE source, it was necessary to confine the signature to the first 40 μ s of the pulse. It seems that after this time, large signals appear which dwarf the initial information of the pulse signature. These large signals may be higher energy packages traveling in a different mode of vibration, or they may be built-up reflections.

Deconvolution with Different Transducers Experiment

The objective of this experiment was to answer the question: "Will deconvolutions through transducers with different designs have a signature shape which indicates a common AE source?" Four transducers were selected and cemented to a compact tensile specimen used for fracture mechanics crack-growth studies. This specimen type was selected because it could be used for the experiment that followed this one as a generator of AE signals as a crack that is growing. A fatigue crack had already been grown in the specimen used, and the spark discharge probe was mounted at a location just above the crack tip. The transducers were mounted in a radius approximately 25 mm from

the crack tip as shown in the photograph in Figure 50. They are identified more specifically in Table 3.

Samples of the leading edge of pulses from a spark discharge AE source for three different transducer measuring systems are shown in Figure 51. The pulse recording from the PCB 392A transducer is not shown, because the transistor circuit mounted in the transducer's case behaved as if it were overloaded by the electromagnetic waves that were broadcast from the spark discharge probe. Thus it was disqualified from this experiment. The pulse outputs from the B & K Type 4339 accelerometer, the D/E D9202 acoustic emission pickup and the B & K Type 4344 accelerometer are shown in Figure 51, plots (a), (b) and (c) respectively. The natural frequencies of the transducers are the major waves that are seen in these recordings. The Fourier frequency spectrum of the three pulses are shown in Figure 52, and the major high points of the spectrum are noticed at the natural frequencies. Deconvolution of each of the pulses from the three transducers through the model for the specimen is shown in Figure 53. Figures 53(b) and (c) of the transducer deconvolution signatures could be attributed to a similar AE source. Figure 53(a) looks different and this is attributed to the low natural frequency of the transducer. This conclusion could not have been made on the basis of Figure 51 aone. The frequency spectrum of the deconvolution signatures in Figure 53 is shown in Figure 54. Judging from the deconvolution



Fig. 52. Frequency spectrum of pulses shown in Figure 51.



Fig. 53. Deconvolution through the flat plate model for the pulse shown in Figure 51.



Fig. 54. Frequency spectrum of the pulse deconvolutions shown in Figure 53.

plots presented in Figure 53(b) and (c), the method of analysis can give approximately equivalent shapes in the time domain, and the AE transducer appears to give good results. Thus, for the remaining experiments discussed in this chapter, the AE (D/E) transducer was selected for recording the pulses and for computation of a deconvolution signature.

Discrimination between AE Sources Experiment

The objective of this experiment was to determine how sensitive an AE transducer and the deconvolution signature method are in detecting differences between pulses from different AE generators. The three sources of acoustic emissions were: 1) the electrical discharge probe; 2) a steel ball impacting the specimen surface; 3) a crack growing within the specimen. The steel ball impacting on the specimen was accomplished by rolling a 1.01 gm ball down a slight incline; at the end of the channel the ball fell 10 mm, struck the specimen near the tip of the fatigue crack and then bounced off the edge of the specimen. A smaller ball (see Figure 50(a)) was also dropped on the specimen, but the signals from the transducers were small, so that this data was not prepared for examination. Acoustic emissions from a growing crack were obtained by pulling the specimen apart in an Instron screw-driven tensile testing mach-The rate of deformation in the testing machine was ine. 0.013 mm/minute.

Sample plots of the as-received data from (a) a spark discharge, (b) a ball impacting the plate and (c) a growing crack are shown in Figure 55; the frequency spectrum of the respective pulse is shown in Figure 56. The deconvolution of the AE data and their frequency spectrum are shown in Figures 57 and 58 respectively.

Figure 57 clearly demonstrates that there are three different pulse trains for three different AE sources. Figure 57(c) is similar to 57(a) which is not unexpected, since a crack growing is a pulse of short duration similar to the sound wave from the electric spark discharge. Yet there are enough differences that one can say that the AE generator for (a) is not the same as for (c). The frequency spectra shown in Figure 58 are helpful in that they point out the difference between the three different AE sources.

Discrimination between Sound and Defective Ball Bearings

A test rig design was selected for this experiment that would load thrust ball bearings and would have a minimum number of moving parts. The configuration of the completed rig is shown in the photographs in Figure 34(a) and (b). An axial force on the bearings is controlled with the hydraulic cylinder seen on the right side of the loading frame in Figure 34(a). Of the two bearings that are operating during a test, the smaller bearing is the one expected to fail, and it is located on the right side of the rotating















Fig. 57. Deconvolution through the flat plate model of the results shown in Figure 55.

ł



Fig. 58. Frequency spectrum of the pulse deconvolutions shown in Figure 57.

cylindrical block. The larger bearing on the left side is a backing bearing to balance the thrust forces and allow the middle block to rotate freely. The torque to rotate the middle block comes through a 12.5 mm shaft on the central axis of the system. The motor is on the right side of the assembly. Two motors were used to run the ball bearings. A 1/3 horsepower 1875 rpm motor was used for fatigue damaging bearings. A variable speed motor was used for recording AE data in order to spread out the time between AE bursts by running at low speeds.

The test bearings were Nice Type 1009. These bearings have twelve 1/4 in diameter balls and the ball race diameter is 1½ inches, ID is 1 inch, and OD is 1.95 inches.

For the experiments reported here one test bearing was artificially damaged by cutting a slot at right angles to the direction of ball travel in the race. Another bearing was artificially damaged by cutting a slot in one of the balls. Each slot was made with an electric discharge machine and was 0.15 mm wide. The backing or support bearing was a Dixie Bearing, Inc., GT 18. This bearing has eighteen 1/4 inch diameter balls and the ball race diameter is 2.1 inches, ID is 1 and 5/8 inches and OD is 2 and 5/8 inches. The design load for the small diameter thrust bearing is 2475 lbs and the design load for the larger diameter bearing is 3243 lbs. The model for the ball bearing support structure which transmits the AE signal from the bearing to the Dunegan/ Endevco transducer was derived following the procedure described earlier for the compact tensile specimen. The model equation and the frequency response are shown in Figure 59.

The results of the bearing test experiments are shown in Figures 60 through 72. The figures for individual signal recordings are presented in three formats as follows. Figure (a) is the digitized signal from the tape recording, $Z_6(t)$. Figure (b) is the deconvoluted output for the signal shown in (a), $Z_1(t)$. Figure (c) is the Fourier spectrum of the deconvolution signal, $Y_1(f)$. The background noise for the electronic equipment recorded with the bearing stationary is shown in Figure 60.

The scale on the ordinate axis of (a) is digital steps and the scale on (b) is proportional to the calibration factors of the different components in the measurement system. The units for the Z_1 axis may be assumed to be 0.1 M/s² times the number shown. The frequency spectrum of the background noise appears to be random and high frequency as is expected for electronic noise. There is a large component above 400 kHz. This large component seems to be a consistent feature of the deconvolution model in all of the bearing experimental results, and it may be attributable to the digitizer



Figure 59 Bearing mount model and characteristic curve derived from the Fourier frequency spectrum curves.







Fig. 61. Acoustic emission from a clean well lubricated bearing running with a 700 lb load.



Fig. 62. Acoustic emission from a clean well lubricated bearing with a load of 700 lb.



Fig. 63. Acoustic emission from a clean well lubricated bearing with a 700 lb thrust.



Fig. 64. Acoustic emission from a bearing with a cut in the race; 700 lb thrust.



Fig. 65. Acoustic emission from a bearing with a cut in the race; 700 lb thrust.

- -


Fig. 66. Acoustic emission from a bearing with a cut in one ball; 700 lb thrust.



Fig. 67. Acoustic emission from a bearing with a cut in one ball; 700 lb thrust.



FREQUENCY, KHz

Fig. 68. Shock spectrum ratio of deconvoluted traces of a clean bearing relative to the acoustic emission shown in Figure 61(b).



ſ

Fig. 69. Shock spectrum ratio of deconvoluted traces of a bearing with a cut in the race relative to the trace in Figure 61(b).





Fig. 70. Shock spectrum ratio of deconvoluted traces of a bearing with a cut in one ball relative to the trace in Figure 61(b).



Fig. 71. Shock spectrum ratio of as-received traces of a bearing with a cut in the race relative to the trace in Figure 61(a).



Fig. 72. Shock spectrum ratio of as-received traces of a bearing with a cut in one ball relative to the trace in Figure 61(a).

and its interaction with the high frequency electronic noise plus bearing noises.

The first moving bearing tests were for a good bearing running with an axle load of 700 lb_f. This load is equivalent to a Bl0 life of 8.8 x 10^6 cycles. Several AE type bursts could be detected above the steady state level of vibration signals coming from the bearing. Two of these signals have been presented in Figures 61 and 62. The steady state running signal level can be seen as well as the electronic noise in Figure (a). The deconvolution signal in (b) is larger than Z_1 in Figure 60 and the same large 450 kHz component is dominating the picture.

The second test was for a bearing with a slot cut in the race and the results for two AE signals taken from that test are shown in Figures 64 and 65. These tests were also conducted with a 700 lb_f axle load on the bearing. Notice in Figure (a) that the AE signal is significantly higher but the signature of the pulse in either Figure (b) or (c) is not particularly different from the undamaged bearing.

The last test was for a bearing with one ball cut and a load of 700 lb_f. Figures 66 and 67 show two AE signals, selected from the tape recording and the results are about as uninformative as the other tests.

It was pointed out in Chapter II that when there were small perturbations superimposed on a larger pulse, then the Fourier transform or the shock spectrum ratio might show the

presence of the perturbation. This technique of pulse signature analysis was tried on the ball bearing experiment and the results are shown in Figures 68 to 70. The first pair of shock spectra shows what might be expected for a good bearing AE signals. The spectrum seems to be uniform and approximately flat from 0 to 200 kHz. The shock spectrum ratio for the bearings with a crack in the race is shown in Figure 69 and there is a distinctive hump in the spectrum between 120 and 200 kHz. The shock spectrum for the tests of a crack in a ball relative to a good bearing acoustic emission is shown in Figure 70. The hump is in the same frequency band as was seen with the crack in the race but significantly higher.

To complete the argument, a computation of the shock spectrum ratio similar to the Figures 69 and 70 was made for the input signals Z₆ and the results are shown in Figures 71 and 72. From these results it is clear that deconvolution methods are necessary for cleaning up the signal before the methods of spectrum analysis can be applied to obtain meaningful results.

The contrast in the shock spectrum ratios for the deconvoluted traces and the as-received traces is significant. The shock spectrum ratios of the as-received pulses, Figures 71 and 72, show a high magnitude in the 200 kHz region which is near one of the transducer resonant frequencies, 180 kHz, but, other than this, none of the signatures is similar to

another. The shock spectrum ratios of the deconvoluted traces, Figures 69 and 70, are similar in shape and show enough individuality to indicate one type of AE source from another.

The significance is apparent when the shock spectrum ratio plots are superimposed as shown in Figure 73. The (a) part of Figure 73 is the shock spectrum ratios of the asrecorded acoustic emissions from the ball bearing experiments. A clean bearing result, 3.71, is also included in the (a) plot. Part (b) of Figure 73 is the shock spectrum ratios of the deconvoluted traces from the bearing experiments. The symbol identifications are the test numbers shown in the upper left corner of the plots, Figures 68 to 72.

There is a noticeable increase in the orderliness of the plots in Figure 73(b) compared to the plots in Figure 73(a). The most important feature about (b) is the peaks that occur at approximately 170 kHz. In this frequency zone there is a noticeable commonality of plots from the same experimental condition and there bis a schangerin the peak height for different conditions. The two plots with the highest peaks are from tests with a cut in the ball; the two dotted plots with intermediate peak heights are from tests with a cut in the race; the two plots with low peaks are from the clean bearing. Note that there was some source of acoustic emissions from the clean bearing tests. It is significant that the shock spectrum ratio plots presented in



Fig. 73. Bearing tests: superimposed shock spectrum a) as-received traces, b) deconvoluted traces.

Figure 10 of Chapter II indicated similar shapes for scall perturbations on the side of a triangular pulse. The peak of the shock spectrum ratio changed in proportion to the perturbation height. It appears that the signature analysis of experimental pulses from known defects within the ball bearing is approaching the level of performance seen in the analytical studies.

In summary, the experimental studies have demonstrated that a technique for signature analysis has been developed that is capable of distinguishing between experimental acoustic emission sources.

÷

 ~ 1

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the analytical and experimental program, the following conclusions can be drawn.

1. The output signal from a transducer-filtermeasurement recording system for a family of differently shaped AE pulses cannot distinguish the height and shape of the pulses. The distinguishing characteristics of the Fourier spectrum for simple pulse shapes are modified significantly by passage of the pulse through the measurement system; thus the transducer-filter system is the major deterrent to the development of a definitive signature from AE signals emanating from defects.

2. For simple pulse shapes and measurement systems, the deconvolution process produces recognizable signals that relate to the input signals. Fourier transforms, shock spectra, Fourier transfer functions and shock spectrum ratios of deconvoluted pulses were useful methods for analysis of pulses. The shock spectrum ratio of deconvoluted pulses is the most useful for analyzing and comparing experimental pulses of all the signature analysis techniques examined.

3. Optimal design of transducer natural frequencies and filter corner frequencies did not appear to be essential for the particular deconvolution studies done for this research using the Dunegan/Endevco transducer for pulse analysis. However, the improvements that would occur in the deconvoluted traces when an optimal system is used are predicted to be significant. The optimal design study has shown that, for the best pulse shape deconvolution, the damping of the transducer should be substantially overdamped, the highpass filter corner should be above the resonant frequency, and the transducer natural frequency should be more than six times the pulse width. At the present time, there is no manufacturer that can make an acoustic emission transducer to meet the optimized specifications.

4. With different transducers, deconvolution will display if the AE signals recorded are from a similar source. The lowest natural frequency of the transducer should be above approximately 50 kHz.

5. The spark discharge probe produces repeatable deconvoluted AE signals for transducer evoltation. Various lengths of signal time from (0.400 ys were tried for examination of the deconvoluted sequents. At the approximately 40 µs from the initial first the signal height suddenly became two or more times larger, apparently from reinforcement of vibrations internal to the plate. After these large signals appeared, the similarity of one was strains under

examination was lost. Thus it was concluded that the significant signature information is found in the first 40 μ s of the spark discharge generated pulse.

6. Discrimination between different AE sources is possible when deconvolution of the signal trace is used. A steel ball dropping on a plate can be distinguished from a growing crack. A spark discharge AE signal has features that look like those of a crack growing. This may be due to the short time period which is common to these two AE sources. Without deconvolution, all three AE sources appear to be the same due to the dominant influence of the resonant frequencies in the transducer.

7. The ball bearing experiments demonstrated the problems with detecting an AE signal hidden in background noise. The deconvolution traces from different AE sources were all very similar. Signature analysis of the deconvoluted traces with the shock spectrum ratio method was shown to be capable of showing up the significant difference between the individual sources of AE. By superimposing the traces, a significant peak was found at one frequency which indicated the severity of the defect in the bearing.

Recommendations for Future Research

Experimental verification of models

A carefully controlled frequency response analysis of the different components in the measurement system needs to

be conducted. With a Bode amplitude and phase angle plot, it would be possible to select the number of poles and zeros required and the location of the corner frequencies. Square wave input signals could also be used, and the output signal could be recorded on the digital event recorder. Then the deconvolution model could be fine-tuned to recreate the input wave shape.

. . .

Experiment with a known input pulse shape

• •

• •

.

.

A measurement of the displacement wave form at the point where the acoustic emission transducer is located could be set up with a capacitance transducer as in Reference (23). Then the deconvoluted wave shape at the base of the transducer could be verified and the model parameters modified as indicated.

Finite element model of the structure

A visco-elastic finite element model of the plate used for receiving acoustic emissions from a growing crack should be developed. The dynamic response of the plate with known boundary interactions could then be solved and a dynamic model could be created to approximate the results. This is a necessary step in building a model that will describe how a crack grows.

• • • •

يستعري تحاجمون الألار

2 4 July 14 J

and the second

151[·]

Optimization to find a model of the structure

A set of arbitrarily selected poles, zeros, and complex poles could be hypothesized as a structural model. Then, with a defined input pulse shape and a well-defined output pulse shape, the coefficients of the model could be moved around until an optimum is found. The degree of complexity of the model could be increased and/or decreased until the lowest standard error of estimate was located. This may be the method that will need to be perfected for use in deconvolution signature analysis in nondestructive testing applications.

Deconvolution by analog computer

With an analog computer model of the deconvolution equations, it should be possible to see the deconvolution signature in real time. A digital memory oscilloscope is required to hold acoustic emission pulses for examination of the leading edge of the pulse package. As soon as a pulse is examined, the screen is cleared for the next available pulse. This type of development will be valuable for practical applications in nondestructive testing.

Evaluation of digital event recorder

More work is needed to determine the amplitude distortions and the additional frequencies that have been added to the data by the presence of a digital event recorder. Would there be a significant improvement in the deconvolution result if an analog to digital converter of increased accuracy is used? Can the influence of the digitizer steps be modeled and deconvoluted adequately out of the data? These are some of the questions about digitization of data that have been partially addressed in this work, but a more detailed study should be conducted.

Transducer for pulse recording

Design and build a transducer with the optimum damping and natural frequency. Test this transducer alongside of an acoustic emission pulse counting transducer and determine if the results would justify another transducer for pulse signature analysis.

APPENDIX 1

SOLUTION OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS BY NUMERICAL METHODS

APPENDIX 1

SOLUTION OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS BY NUMERICAL METHODS

The construction of a mathematical model for most dynamic processes begins with the formulation of the differential equation of motion. In cases involving transient motion or a pulse-like signal, ordinary differential equations are sufficient for the model for the description of the system. The solution when transients are the inputs to dynamical systems is known for many second and third order differential equations when the pulse is reduced to simple geometrical segments. But, in general, the solution of a differential equation for the dynamic outputs given a random input pulse shape is difficult to obtain by classical calculus techniques. Fortunately there are numerical methods which can be used to solve ordinary differential equations, and there is no restriction on the configuration of the input forcing function.

Numerical solutions of differential equations are approximations and the results will be subject to truncation errors, stability of the solution and step size selection. The numerical technique used for this work on pulse analysis is a predictor-corrector version of the Runge-Kutua

method. It was developed to provide accuracies equivalent to using the higher order terms of Taylor's expansion of a differential equation with less computation.

A Runge-Kutta method attributed to Gill (20) was used with a modification by the author. Gill's equations are a single step method with an intermediate calculation at half way across the step. The Runge-Kutta subroutines in many computer-program libraries employ the equations with Gill constants. The equation for calculation of $Z_{i+1}(t,X,Z)$ at one step ahead of Z_i (h and dt are the step increment) is as follows:

$$Z_{i+1} = Z_i + h/6 (K_1 + 2G_1K_2 + 2G_2K_3 + K_4)$$
(24)

where:

$$h = \text{step size along t axis, dt}$$

$$G_{1} = \text{first Gill constant}$$

$$= 1 - 1/(2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$G_{2} = \text{second Gill constant}$$

$$= 1 + 1/(2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$K_{1} = f(t_{i}, X_{i}, Z_{i}), \text{ functional expression for the highest derivative in the differential equation to be solved}$$

$$K_{2} = f(t_{i} + h/2, X_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, Z_{i} + K_{1}h/2)$$

$$K_{3} = f(t_{i} + h/2, X_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, Z_{i} + (G_{2} - 3/2) K_{1}h + G_{1}K_{2}h)$$

$$K_{4} = f(t_{i} + h, X_{i+1}, Z_{i} - K_{2}h/2^{\frac{1}{2}} + G_{2}K_{3}h)$$

$$X_{i} = \text{forcing function at beginning of step}$$

 $X_{i+1} =$ forcing function at end of step $X_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = (X_i + X_{i+1})/2$

In the first trials with numerical solutions of differential equations with a forcing function, the value of X in the function f(t,X,Z) was fixed at the beginning of the step. That is to say, as the next step i+1 was calculated, the driving function term X in the K_1 , K_2 , K_3 and K_4 terms defined above was fixed at the X_i value. Trial runs were made on the following differential equation:

$$\frac{Z}{X} = \frac{D^{n}}{(D^{2} + 2\zeta\omega D + \omega^{2})(D + \omega_{hp})^{n}}$$
(25)

where:

D = the differential operator d/dt
n = integer powers, i.e., 0, 1, 2, ...

 $\zeta, \omega, \omega_{hp}$ = constants of the equation. Deconvolutions of the differential equation were calculated next, and the results were compared with the input driving function shape X. The comparison was made by calculating the standard error of estimate, TDEV, as mentioned in Chapter III. These trial runs were made for square, triangular, and cosine shaped input pulses with the differential equation shown in equation (25). The equations that were selected for numerical differentiation were the central difference form, and they are listed in Table 4. Note that for the eighth

TABLE 4

EQUATIONS FOR DIFFERENTIATION OF INPUT FUNCTIONS FOR RUNGE-KUTTA ALGORITHM

Derivative	Equation (Derivative centered around point i)
dX/dt	$(x_{i+1} - x_{i-1})/2\Delta t$
d ² x/dt ²	$(x_{i+1} - 2x_i + x_{i-1}) / \Delta t^2$
d ³ x/dt ³	$(x_{i+2} - 2x_{i+1} + 2x_{i-1} - x_{i-2})/2\Delta t^3$
d ⁴ x/dt ⁴	$(X_{i+2} - 4X_{i+1} + 6X_i - 4X_{i-1} + X_{i-2})/\Delta t^4$
d ⁵ x/dt ⁵	$(X_{i+3} - 4X_{i+2} + 5X_{i+1} - 5X_{i-1} + 4X_{i-2})$
	$- x_{i-3})/2\Delta t^5$
d ⁶ x/dt ⁶	$(X_{i+3} - 6X_{i+2} + 15X_{i+1} - 20X_i + 15X_{i-1} - 6X_{i-2})$
	+ x_{i-3})/ Δt^6
d ⁷ x/dt ⁷	$(X_{i+4} - 6X_{i+3} + 14X_{i+2} - 14X_{i+1} + 14X_{i-1})$
	$-14x_{i-2} + 6x_{i-3} - x_{i-4})/2\Delta t^7$
d ⁸ x/dt ⁸	$(X_{i+4} - 8X_{i+3} + 28X_{i+2} - 56X_{i+1} + 70X_{i})$
	$-56X_{i-1} + 28X_{i-2} - 8X_{i-3} + X_{i-4})/\Delta t^{8}$

derivative, the function needs to be defined four steps in front of the point i and four steps behind. The results of the first trial for six cases are shown in Table 5.

The modification that was incorporated into Gill's equations is the changes in the driving function term X. Examination of the terms K1, K2 etc. indicates that the differential equation and its integrals are solved at four locations as follows: 1) at the present step i, K_1 ; 2) at a step half way across the increment length with a correction to Z1, K_2 ; 3) at the same half-way location with a second correction to Z_i , K_3 ; and 4) at the next step location i+l with a third correction to Z_i, K₄. After considerable data analysis it became clear that the calculation of K_2 , K_3 and K_4 would be better predictions of the values at the $i+\frac{1}{2}$ and i+1 locations if the forcing function part, X_i , of Z_i was permitted to change. The changes were the average value of X_i and X_{i+1} at the $i+\frac{1}{2}$ location and the X_{i+1} value in the K_4 calculation. It was noted that the time term, t, changes in a similar manner in the K_2 , K_3 and K_4 calculations. This relatively simple change in point of view as to how the driving function is treated had a dramatic effect on the results.

The results of the final runs with the modification of the Gill's equations is shown in Table 6 for selected cases. With the changing of X in the calculation of the K's in equation (24), the TDEV calculation for a cosine pulse input

TABLE 5

STANDARD ERROR OF ESTIMATE FOR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION SOLVER AND DECONVOLUTION ALGORITHM WITH GILL'S EQUATIONS

 n	ζ	f _{hp} /f _n	Cosine	TDEV Square	Triangle
					د ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۵ - ۱۹۹۹ - میریکند با عبر دیکریون هست. ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۵ - ۱۹۹۹ - میریکند با
0	0.01	0.1	0.006238	0.03709	0.005640
1	0.01	0.1	0.01250	0.05588	0.01119
2	0.01	0.1	0.01243	0.05579	0.01256
3	0.01	0.1	0.01247	0.05539	0.01117
5	0.01	0.1-	0.01253	0.05537	0.01123
6	0.01	0.1	0.01254	0.05350	0.01120
0	1.66	1.45	0.005894	0.02606	0.005273
1	1.66	1.45	0.01276	0.06212	0.01144
2	1.66	1.45	0.01239	0.05797	0.01109
3	1.66	1.45	0.01256	0.05546	0.01123
5	1.66	1.45	0.01253	0.05509	0.01121
6	1.66	1.45	0.01251	0.05660	0.01122

Note 1. Pulse length, $f_n T_P = 8.0$; increment size, $\Delta tf_n = 0.1$.

Note 2. All calculations for n greater than 2 must be done in double precision.

TABLE 6

STANDARD ERROR OF ESTIMATE FOR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION SOLVER AND DECONVOLUTION ALGORITHM FOR EQUATION (25)

	n na sun su sun su su su	anga unita di manang yan ani kung unita ina akan di panang		TDEV	
n	ζ	f _{hp} /f _n	Cosine	Square	Triangle
0	0.01	0.1	0.0001060	0.02049	0.0006679
1	0.01	0.1	0.0002916	0.03138	0.001067
2	0.01	0.1	0.0004053	0.03417	0.001100
3	0.01	0.1	0.0005310	0.03719	0.001470
5	0.01	0.1	0.0007273	0.03927	0.001661
6	0.01	0.1	0.0006546	0.03810	0.001593
0	1.66	1.45	0.0001216	0.01537	0.0005176
1	1.66	1.45	0.0002335	0.02625	0.0008320
2	1.66	1.45	0.0003152	0.03020	0.0009995
3	1.66	1.45	0.0004904	0.03467	0.001295
5	1.66	1.45	0.0005937	0.03596	0.001438
6	1.66	1.45	0.0005330	0.03610	0.001380

Note 1. Pulse length, $f_n T_P = 8.0$; increment size, $\Delta t f_n = 0.1$.

Note 2. All calculations for n greater than 1 must be done in double precision.

.

_---

improved by a factor of 50:1, and, for a triangular and a square pulse, the improvement was 12:1 and 2:1 respectively.

One significant reason for the improvement in the results is the influence of phase shift on the TDEV results. This modification of Gill's equations has solved most of the phase shift problems between the input pulse and the deconvolution pulse and thus there was a significant lowering of the TDEV results for the cosine and the triangular pulses.

The square pulse test did not show as good an improvement in TDEV with the modified equations because of the large error of estimate in the ±2 increments on either side of the step changes. Thus, for square pulses, the TDEV is primarily a function of the increment step size of the computation.

A sample of the computer subroutines used in Fortran IV language to solve a differential equation is shown in Figures 74 and 75.

.

0001		SUBRUUTINE REGILLIX+XMAX+Y+XINCX+F+N+C+FFAX+TFONC+FEXT
0002		INTEGER LP.CR
0002		DIMENSION C(11,X(1),Z1(1),YC(10),F(10),Y(500,6)
0003		
0004		
0005		j = 2
0006		XC = X[]]
UUU O		
0007		$\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{I}$
0008	5	YC(1) = Y(1,1)
0000	. 8	TE (XC - XMAX) 6,6,7
0007		CALL DINCE IN YC. S. XC. XINCR. M.KI
0010	0	
0011		GD 10 (10,20),K
0012	10	CONTINUE
0012	••	GT TU (101.102.103.104.105), I FUNCT
0012		CALL CONCTLEL M.C. VC. EHIGHD. 71 (IMAX)
0014	101	GALL FUNCTING HIGH CITCHFRIGHT LITTHEAT
0015		GO TO 30
0016	102	CALL FUNCT211-M.C. YC.FHIGHD,ZI,JIMAX)
0010	LAE	
0017		
0018	103	CALL FUNCTS(J, H, C, YC, PHIGHU, ZI, IMAXI
0013		GG T(1 30
0017	101	CHITCHET ISICTION & MISSINGT
0020	104	BUTPUT FEETING + FEBSING
0021		CALL EXIT
0022	105	CALL FUNCTS(J,M,C,YC,FHIGHD,Z1,IMAX)
0022	20	CONTINUE
0023	50	
0024		F(1) = F(1)
0025		15 I = 2 N
0025	15	$F(1) \neq VC(1-1)$
0020	17	
0027		GU TU B
0028	20	DO = 25 I = 1, N
0020	25	Y(3,1) = YC(1)
0027		
0030		
0031		
0037		GO TU E
0052	-	CONTINUE
0033	ŧ	
0034		RETURN
0035		END
0033		
1 000		SUBRUUTINE RUNGE (N.Y.F.X.H.M.K)
0001	~ TL	TE BOUTTANE DEPENDING DINGE-KUTTA CALCULATIONS BY GILLS HETHOD
0002	U 11	115 RJUTINE FERFURNS KONSE-RUTIN DALGOLATIONS ET GILLO METHOD
0003		DIMENSION YII), FILI, WILDI
0004		M = M + 1
0004		CO TO 11.4.5.3.71.W
000.2		
0006	1	$00 \neq 1 = 1$
0007	2	Q(1) = G.C
0000	-	
0008		
0009		GU IU 9
0010	C A =	1. + 1./(2)**0.5SECOND GILLS CONSTANT
0011		A # 1,7071067811865675244
UULL	3	
00 I Z	4	
0013	5	$DO \in I = 1, N$
0014	-	$v_{11} = v_{11} + \Delta * (F(i) * H - Q(1))$
0014		
0015	6	U(1) = 2.4A+M+P(1) + (1, -3.4A)+U(1)
0016	C A =	1 1./SQRT(2.) FIRST GILLS CONSTANT
0017	-	A ≠ C. 292832188134524756
0011		
0018	_	
0019	7	DCJ E [= 1,N
0020	8	Y(1) = Y(1) + H + F(1)/6, - G(1)/3.
0020	~	
0021		
0022		K = Z
0023		GO TO 1C
0021	0	
0024	7	
0025	10	
0026		END
		Big 74 Cubroutines DV Gill and Dunge

164

0001		SUBRULTINE LPFILT(C,N,X,ZZ,ZDECCN)
0002		ATMENETAN CLID YLID ZOLID ZOECCALID
0003		COMMUNET D. CO. DT TDHISE VISOO 41
0007		EIDASS = 300000 0
0005		W = FIDACCAA 292165
0000		WE - TERASSTOFES
0007		71 P = C.05
00000		71P = 0.2
0010		$\hat{C}(1) = 2_*(1_*+7(P))/(w(*w(*w()))$
0011		C(2) = 2 + (1 + 2 + 7) P(1 + 4 + 6)
0012		C(3) = 2.4(1.+7)P/W
0013		C(4) = 1.0
0014		C(5) = WL + WL + WL
0015		C(6) = 1./(WLTH**4.)
0016	. •	C(7) = 4./(hLTH**3.)
0017		C(8), = 6./(WLTH+WLTH)
0018		C(9) = 4./WLTH
0019		IFUNCT = 5
0020		$00 \ 5 \ I = 1, 10$
0021	5	$ZDECON(I) = C \cdot C$
0022		NM5 = 1 - 5
0023		$DO \in I = NM5, N$
0024	8	$ZDECON(I) = C \cdot C$
0025	· • •	XMAX = X(N)
0026		M = C
0027		NVAR = 4
0028	$2 = -\infty$	00 10 J=1,NVAR
0029	10	Y(1,J) = C.C
0030		CALL RKGILL(X, XMAX, Y, DT, M, NVAR, C, N, IFUNCT, Z2)
0031		$DO \ge 0 I = 1, N$
0032	20	ZDECON(1) = Y(1,4)
0033		NM 2C = N - 2C
0034		DU 4C I = NMZO, N
0035	40	$ZDECON(I) = C \cdot C$
0036		UUIPUI FLPASS ,ZLP
0037		RETURN
8600		END

Fig. 75. Subroutine low-pass filter

5

T.

0021			CHOMMETINE CHARTERS M.C. N.E. 25 AN
0001			
0002			
0003			INTEGER LFJCK
0004			
0005			
0006			
0007			1+(1.Lt.5) L = 5
6000			IF(I.GE.NM5) I = NM5
0009			2201C = (22(1+1) - 22(1-1))/(2+0T)
0010			22D2C=(22(i+1) - 2.+22(l) +22(l-1))/(DT+DT)
0011			22D3C= {Z2{I+2}-2.*Z2{I+1}+2.*Z2{I-1}-Z2{I-2}}/{2.*DT**3.}
0012			Z2D4C= (Z2([+2)-4.#Z2([+1)+6.#Z2(1)-4.#Z2([-1)+Z2([-2))/CT##4.
0013			2201C1 = (22(I+2) - 22(I))/(2.*DT)
0014			2202C1 = (22(1+2) - 2*22(1+1) + 22(1))/(CT+DT)
0015			22D3C1 =(22(I+3)-2.*22(I+2)+2.*22(I)-22(I-1))/(2.*DT**3.)
0016			2204C1 = (22(1+3)-4.*22(1+2)+6.*22(1+1)-4.*22(1)+22(1-1))/01**4.
0017	C	THREE	DIFFERENTIAL STEPS FOR K-FLITA
0019	•		$220\% \neq 7204C \neq C(a) + 7203C \neq C(7) + 7202C \neq C(8) + 7201C \neq C(9) + 72(1)$
0019			721H4E =72040 1+0 (6) + 720301+0 (7)+720201+0 (8)+720101+0 (9)+72(1+1)
0020			
0021			77566 = 72(141)
0022			201 (C 201) 20 (C. 201) 40 (C. 20) 5
2022			$E_{\text{E}} = \{E_{\text{E}} \mid e_{\text{E}} \in E_{\text{E}} \mid e_{\text{E}} \}$
0023			$ \begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{U} & \mathbf{U} $
0020	T		$r = c_1 + c_2 - c_1 + c_1 + c_1 + c_2 - c_2 + c_3 + c_3 + c_4 + $
0025	•		
0026	2		F = C(5) + (22) + 0 = -C(1) + Y(1) = C(2) + Y(2) = C(3) + Y(3) = C(4) + Y(4)
0027			
0028	3		F = C(5) + (Z2THRE - C(1) + Y(1) - C(2) + Y(2) - C(3) + Y(3) - C(4) + Y(4))
0029	ċ		RETURN
0030			END

.

1 ..

Fig. 75. Continued.

REFERENCES

- Southworth, H.L., Steele, N.W. and Torelli, P.P. "Practical Sensitivity Limits of Production Nondestructive Testing Methods in Aluminum and Steel," AFML-TR-74-241, March, 1975.
- 2. Packman, P.F. "Status of Nondestructive Inspection Techniques with Special Reference to Welding Defects," in Significance of Defects in Welded Structures, Kanazawa T. and Kobayasi, A.S. eds., Proceedings of the Japan-U.S. Seminar 1973, Tokyo, University of Tokyo Press, 1974.
- 3. Liptai, R.G., Harris, D.O., Engle, R.B. and Tatro, C.A. "Acoustic Emission Techniques in Materials Research," Int. J. of NDT, 1971, vol. 3, pp 215-275.
- Kaiser, J. "Untersuchugen uber das auftreten Geranshen beim Zugversuch," Ph.d Dissertation, Technische Hochoschule, Munich, 1950.
- 5. Schoefield, B.H. "Acoustic Emission under Applied Stress," WADC Technical Report, 1958.
- 6. Schoefield, B.H. "Acoustic Emission under Applied Stress," Technical Report No. ASD-TDR-63-509, Fart I, Part II, 1964.
- 7. Chugh, Y.P., Hardy, H.R., and Stefanka, R. "An Investigation of the Frequency Spectra of Microsesmic Activity in Rock under Tension," <u>Tenth Symposium on</u> <u>Rock Mechanics</u>, The University of Texas, Austin, 1968.
- Graham, L.J. and Alers, G.A. "Frequency Spectra of Acoustic Emissions Generated by Deforming Metals and Ceramics," <u>IEEE Ultrasonic Symposium</u>, Boston, 1972.
- 9. Graham, L.J. and Alers, G.A. "Spectrum Analysis of Acoustic Emission in A533-B Steel," Presented at ASNT Meeting, Los Angeles, 1973.
- 10. Ono, K., Stern, R., and Long, M. "Application of Correlation Analysis to Acoustic Emission," ASTM STP 505, 1973.

- 11. Beattie, A.G. "An Analysis of the Frequency and Energy Characteristics of Acoustic Emission Signals from Tensile and "Structural Tests," SESA Spring Meeting, Chicago, 1975.
- 12. Liptai, R.G., Harris, D.O. and Tatro, C.A. Acoustic Emission, ASTM Special Technical Publication ASTM-STP 505, Philadelphia, 1972.
 - 13. Dunegan, H.L., Harris, D.O. "Acoustic Emission Techniques," Ultrasonics, vol. 7, No. 3, 1969.
 - 14. Dunegan, H.L., Harris, D.O. and Tatro, C.A. "Fracture Analysis by Use of Acoustic Emission," Eng. Fract. Mech., VI, No. 1, 1968, p. 105.
 - 15. Clements, W.C., Jr. and Schnelle, K.B., Jr. "Mathematical Modeling of Dynamic Systems with Applications to Non-Ideal Systems," Technical Report No. 24, Environmental and Water Resources Engineering, Vanderbilt University, 1969.
 - 16. Stockton, R.L. and Packman, P.F. "Analysis of Signals Associated with Defects Growing from Fastener Holes by Eddy Current Testing," Presented at ASM Fall Meeting, Detroit, 1974.
 - 17. Packman, P.F. "Ultrasonic Indica Analysis." ARPA/AFML Workshop in Advanced NDT, AFML TR F4-238, Rockwell Int., 1974.
- Thompson, W.T. Vibration Theory and Applications, Prentice Hall, N.J., 1965.
- 19. Houghton, J.R. "The Influence of Material Damping on a Shock Spectrum," ORNL Progress Report, Feb., 1975.
- 20. Carnahan, B., Luthur, H.A. and Wilkes, J.O. Applied Numerical Methods, J. Wiley, New York, 1969, pp 361-366.
- 21. Harris, C.M. and Crede, C.E. Shock Vibration Handbook, McGraw-Hill, N.Y., 1961, pp 12-18.
- 22. Fletcher, R. and Powell, M.J.D. "A Rapidly Convergent Descent Method for Minimization," Computer J., Vol. 6, 1963, pp 163-168.
- 23. Breckenridge, F.R., Tschiegg, C.E. and Greenspan, M. "Acoustic Emission: Some Applications of Lamb's Problem," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., V57, No. 3, March 1975, pp 626-631.

- 24. Bell, R.L. "Acoustic Emission Transducer Calibration: Transient Pulse Method," Paper presented at 32nd, Fall Conference of the American Society for Nondestructive Testing, October 1972 (Available from Dunegan/Endevco Corp.).
- 25. Feng, C.C. "Acoustic Emission Calibration: Spark Impulse Calibration Method," Engineering Report No. 74-7-C, Endevco Corporation, San Juan Capistrano, September 1974.

		TECHNICA	L REPORT STAND	ARD TITLE PAGE
1. REPORT NO.	2. GOVERNMENT ACC	ESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CA	TALOG NO.
NASA CR-2927				
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	, TITLE AND SUBTITLE			977
Pulse Analysis of Acoustic Em	ission Signals		S. PERFORMING OR	GANIZATION CODE
7. AUTHOR(S) J. R. Houghton and P. F. Paol	······································	8. PERFORMING ORG	ANIZATION REPORT #	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND AT	DRESS		10 WORK UNIT NO.	
Tennessee State University				
School of Engineering and Tech	nology		11. CONTRACT OR G	RANT NO.
Nashville, Tennessee 37203			NSG 8012	A REDIOD COVERED
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS	<u> </u>	<u></u>	13. THE OF REPOR	T & PERIOD COVERED
National Agrangy	Administration		Contractor	
National Aeronautics and space	Aummistration			
Washington, D. C. 20546			14. SPONSORING AG	ENCY CODE
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			<u> </u>	
16. ABSTRACT				
A method for the signature :	analysis of pulse	s in the frequency	domain and the	time domain
is presented. Fourier spectrum	n, Fourier transf	fer function, shock	k spectrum and	shock spec-
trum ratio were examined in the	frequency doma	in analysis and pu	lse shape decon	volution was
developed for use in the time do	main analysis.	Comparisons of th	e relative perfo	rmance of each
analysis technique are made for	the characteriza	tion of acoustic e	mission pulses	recorded by a
mooduming sustem To demons	trate the relative	sensitivity of ear	oh of the method	le to emall
measuring system. To demons	trate the relative	sensitivity of eac		
changes in the pulse shape, sign	atures of comput	ter modeled system	ms with analytic	ai puises are
presented. Optimization technic	ques are develope	ed and used to ind	icate the best de	esign para-
meter values for deconvolution	of the pulse shap	e. Several exper-	iments are pres	ented that test
the pulse signature analysis met	hods on different	t acoustic emissio	n sources. The	ese include
acoustic emissions associated w	vith (a) crack pro	onagation. (b) bal	1 dropping on a	plate.
(c) energy discharge and (d) de	fective and good	hall bearings D	econvolution of :	the first
for mione geographics, and (u) de	train is shown	to be the region in	which the airri	ficent air
network of the eccustic emission	cram is shown i	found	which the sight	incant sig-
natures of the acoustic emission	event are to be	Iouna.		
17 KEY WORDS			EMENT	
		70		
	· I			
19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this report)	20. SECURITY CLASS	IF. (of this page)	21. NO. OF PAGES	22. PRICE
			400	¢7 E0
Unclassified	Unclassified		180	\$7.50

-- -

- -----

*U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1977 735-078/50

- -