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### 1.0 OBJECTIVES AND PLAN.OF ATTACK

The purpose of this investigation was to determine the nature and degree of improvement of satellite orbit determination when precise altimeter data are used in combination with conventıonal tracking data.

To achieve these objectives it is desirable to perform both long-arc and short-arc solutions. A long-arc solution requires tracking data over many satellite revolutions and from one or more of the worldwide tracking networks. A short-arc solution requires intensive tracking coverage during a satellite traverse over the geographical region of interest.

The experiment plan was to develop a versatile orbit determination program that could combine the various types of tracking data that would be made available. Inherent in the software are geopotential and geoid models that can be updated easily. It was anticipated that an important ingredient to the success of the study would be the availability of a precise near-worldwide geoid determined from the altimeter data by the other principal investigators.

Exercising the orbit determination software with various combinations of data types would provide differential changes to the orbital solution. The character and magnitudes of these differences for several such intervals would provide a measure of the efficiency of the altimeter data,

### 2.0 DATA LIMITATIONS ON THE SCOPE OF THE EXPERIMENT

Because of limitations on the density and distribution of the tracking data that were available, it was necessary to modify the scope of the experiment. Intensive tracking data from several sites in the same geographical region together with altimeter data were not available. Consequently, it was not possible to perform the short-arc studies that had been planned. The sparsity of the altimeter and laser data increased the minimum possible meaningful data span to two days. Only long-arc solutions could be performed.

An improved geopotential model, GEM-9, was obtained during the course of the investigation. Since this model incorporated GEOS-3 data, it provided a substantial improvement to the orbit determination solution. Unfortunately, the fine-structured nearworldwide geoid model that had been anticipated from the other investigators was never obtained. It was necessary to use the GEM-9 geoid for our final studies.

### 3.0 COMPUTER SOFTWARE

A complex of computer programs was developed to achieve the goals of the GEOS-3 orbit determination experiment. In this section we describe the software starting with an overall system flow in Section 3.1, followed by a description of station navigation as a data editing tool in Section 3.2. A brief description of the individual modules can be found in Appendix A.

### 3.1 SYSTEM FLOW

Figure 1 is a system flowchart for the GEOS-3 orbit determination software. Starting with a set of initial conditions for the satellite, the ephemeris generation module produces a table of satellite posations as a function of time (the ephemerıs file). Each data type has a FORMATOR/SIFTOR that performs initial data editing and data compression when desired. The sifted data are processed by separate EDITOR/PEF (Post-EDITOR Fit) modules that also access the ephemeris and station properties files. These modules perform a dual function:

1. Data edıting via pass navigation (except for altımeter) and
2. Computation of orbit partials and residuals and the setup of the normal matrices and right-hand side (R.H.S.) vectors.

The SOLVOR combines the separate normal matrices and their R.H.S. vectors, applying appropriate pass weights. The combined matrix is then solved, and the state vector (Initial conditions) is "corrected." The process is iterated until the corrections to the state vector fall below prescribed thresholds. The navigation results from the EDITOR/PEF processors can be used to "improve" the station positions (not shown in Fig. 1).

### 3.2 NAVIGATION IN THE EDITOR

Station navigation is a scheme that determines the station position and auxiliary parameters that best fit the data. The navigation is performed in two spatial dimensions: one parallel to the satellite subtrack, and the other from the station to the position of the satellate when it is at minimum range relative to the station. :These two components are called the along-track error, ECA, and the slant-range error, ECR, respectively. The auxiliary parameters that are determined are:


Fig 1 System Flowchart

1. For doppler data, the frequency difference between the spacecraft and station oscillator;
2. For laser data, a range bias and a range-rate bias; and
3. For c-band data, a range bias and a range-rate bias. (The altimeter EDITOR does not perform the navigation function.)

In using the navigation method to detect "bad data," the following assumptions are made:

1. Data sets (passes) are treated independently of each other and most are unbiased;
2. Geodetic station locations are known to better than potential data biases; and
3. We have a reasonable representation of the position of the satellite (i.e., we have an ephemeris covering the data span in question).

Given the above assumptions, we proceed to determine the position of the station associated with a given pass. When we compare the navigated station position with the known station location, we discover errors that reflect (a) orbital inaccuracies, (b) bad geodetic station location, or (c) bad data. Of the three, (b) can be eliminated since we generally navigate passes whose station coordinates have been well established. We usually have at least a gross measure of the expected orbit errors, which allows us to judge a pass as "good" or "bad" by examining the resulting navigated position errors and the post-navigation measurement residuals.

Navigating in the minimumslant-range (MSR) coordinate system starts with the determination of the time of closest approach (tca) and the establishment of an orthogonal coordinate system (MSR system) at tca (defined in Appendix B). Next the pass is navigated (i.e., its MSR coordinates are "moved") in the along-track and slant-range directions. Noisy points are detected during each navigation iteration and are excluded from the least-squares fit. Points whose elevation is below a given threshold are similarly excluded. The result of navigation is a set of station coordinate corrections to the nominal station position.

### 4.0 ORBIT DETERMINATION USING. SYNTHETIC DATA

To check out the orbit determination software, synthetic (noise-free) data were generated using a nominal GEOS-3 ephemeris and a set of four stations. The four stations (311 Maine, 321 Minnesota, 332 California, and 340 Hawaii) were used to generate doppler (range difference) and laser (range) data (altimeter data require no earth-based station).

A second ephemeris (the "error" ephemeris) was produced with errors introduced in the initial conditions. The data were processed "against" the error ephemeris. The resulting corrections, when compared to the known errors, become a good measure of the maximum capability of each processor. For doppler and laser data we have the additional comparison of individual pass navigation results.

The following errors were introduced into the initial conditions of the error ephemeris:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Semimajor axis - } \delta a=1 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{R}_{0}(0.64 \mathrm{~m}), \\
& \text { Eccentricity - } \delta e=1 \times 10^{-6}, \\
& \text { Inclination - } \delta i=1 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{rad}, \\
& \text { Nöde }-\delta \Omega=1 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{rad}, \\
& \text { Perigee }-\delta \omega=1 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{rad}, \text { and } \\
& \text { Mean anomaly - } \delta \mathrm{M}=1 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{rad} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1 compares the navigation results for doppler and laser data. The differences are about 10 cm , which seems quite reasonable. Table' 2 summarizes the results of using the various data types in orbit determination. Note that the doppler and laser corrections would remove $99 \%$ of the total error in a single iteration. The second laser case where the data were corrected for range bias and range-rate bias (using the fitted results out of the navigation process) did not do well. A second iteration reduced the errors further but still left $40 \%$ in $e, 11 \%$ in $i, 13 \%$ in $\Omega$, and $20 \%$ 'in $\mathrm{M}+\omega$. Clearly, it is difficult to separate ephemeris errors from legitimate range and range-rate biases. We did not use this mode with the real C -band and laser data and chose instead to use large fitted biases as indicators of possibly bad data. Such passes were excluded from the orbit determination process. We did, in fact, find what looked like legitimate biases in two C-band sites (see Table 8). The altimeter case fully recovered the semimajor axis ( $\delta a$ )

Table 1
Navigation Results

*ECA - error along orbit
ECR - error in slant range
ECF - frequency bias

Table 2
Orbit Determination Usıng Synthetic Data

|  | Ephemeris Infitial Condition Errors | Fitted Corrections (fırst iteration) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Doppler | Laser | Laser* | Altameter | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Combined } \\ & \text { DOP+LSR+ALT } \end{aligned}$ |
| סa (m) | 0638 | 0638 | 0637 | 0660 | 0612 | 0631 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0} \mathrm{de}$ (m) | 638 | 640 | 632 | 10.53 | 633 | 629 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0} \mathrm{if}$ (m) | 638 | 638 | 636 | 651 | 129 | 660 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0} \delta \Omega(\mathrm{~m})$ | 638 | 636 | 642 | 765 | 149 | 577 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0}(\delta \omega+\delta M)(m)$ | 1276 | 1280 | 1274 | 863 | 436 | 1234 |
| $R_{0}=6378166 \mathrm{~km}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Data corrected for bias and drift computed by the navigation process
and eccentricity ( $\delta$ e) errors but only $20 \%$ of the inclination ( $\delta i$ ), $23 \%$ of the node ( $\delta \Omega$ ), and $34 \%$ of the along-track ( $\delta \omega+\delta \mathrm{M}$ ) exrors. Finally, the combined solution (doppler + laser + altimeter) fully recovered the ephemeris errors in a single iteration. The altimeter case confirmed our intuition that orbit determination with real (noisy) altimeter data is not likely to be well-conditioned and that some other data (doppler or laser) would be necessary to determine the node, inclination, and perigee.


### 5.0 PRELIMINARY ORBIT DETERMINATION RESULTS

### 5.1 INTRODUCTION

In support of the long-arc orbit determination objectives, two preliminary studies were undertaken soon after the altimeter data became available. 'The purpose of these investigations was primarily to evaluate the integrity of the software that had been developed and to obtain experience in processing the altimetry data. Two intervals, each of a two-day duration, were selected on the basis of providing the maximum amount of tracking data. Because of our long experience in determining satellite ephemerides with doppler data, only doppler and altimeter data were processed for the first interval. Over the second interval, doppler, C-band, laser, and altimeter data were processed. Each of these studies will be discussed in turn.

### 5.2 ORBIT DETERMINATION, 1975 DAYS 113 to 114

When the first effort was undertaken only a limited amount of altimeter data was available. During day numbers 113 and 114 in 1975, there were four segments of altimeter data available. This is the interval with the second highest density of altimeter data. The interval with the highest density was reserved for the study discussed next. Because of our intimate acquaintance with the characteristics of doppler data in orbit determination, the first experiment was limited to studying the properties of combining doppler and altimeter data. In addition, this made it posw sible to use station coordinates that had been determined here previously and that are consistent with the in-house geopotential and geoid models used in the orbit determination procedure. Since dense doppler data were available consistently, their distribution posed no constraints on selecting the tracking intervals.

A total of 66 passes of doppler data were used from 10 tracking sites. From these data, a "base" ephemeris was determined for the two-day interval. The rms of the navigation solutions* for the 57 doppler passes that survived the editing processor is 25.9 m in the along-track direction and 14.7 m in the slantrange direction. These combine to give an rms total error of 29.8 m . The navigation solution residuals are given as a function of time in Fig. 2 and are listed in Table C-1 in Appendix C. The initial conditions for the orbit are given in Table C-2.

The navigation solution residuals are about a factor of two larger than what was anticipated and are correlated with time.

[^0]


Fig. 2 GEOS-3 Pass Navigation Residuals, Doppler Data, 1975 Days 113 and 114

This appeared to be most likely due to the resonance of the GEOS-3 orbit with the 14 th-order harmonic geopotential. To explore the possibility, adjustments were made to the coefficients of the $(2,1),(3,1),(15,14)$, and $(15,15)$ harmonics. By this means, it was possible to reduce the navigation solution residuals to 18 m in the along-track direction and 13 m in the slant-range direction, which combine to a total rms error of 22.2 m . This demonstrated the need for mproved geopotential and geoid models for the subsequent studies.

The temporal distribution of the four segments of altimeter data is shown in Fig. 2 with the spatial distribution shown in Fig. C-1.

In using the altimeter data for orbit determination, the doppler-determined ephemeris was used as the base or "true" ephemeris. A comparison was made of the altimeter-measured geoidal heights, and those geoidal heights were determined from the base ephemeris. The rms for all the altimeter data was 20.17 m . The geoid used in the computation was determined from the in-house geopotential model used in obtaining the ephemeris to establish overall consistency.

Next, altimeter data alone were used to compute changes to the doppler-determined ephemeris. Because of the limited geographical extent of the altimeter data, only changes to the semimajor axis, the eccentricity, and an altimeter bias were determined. The other Kepler parameters were constrained to the doppler solution. The results of the orbit determination solution are given in Table 3 where a conversion to distance has been made for each orbit element to allow for easier comparison. Even with the limited amount of altimeter data, it was possible to recover reasonable values for the solved parameters.

To study the procedure of combining altimeter and doppler data, five combined solutions were obtained with different relative weights for the altimeter and doppler data. The results are given in Table 3. The combined solutions are close to the doppler solution principally because the doppler consists of 57 passes of data while the altimeter provided only 4 passes of data.

Table 3
Orbit Determination Solutions, 1977 Days 113 and 114

| Changes to Kepler Initıal Conditions from Entry in Table C 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { Relative Weight* } \\ \frac{\sigma^{-2} \text { altimeter }}{\sigma^{-2}} \\ \text { doppler } \end{gathered}$ | §a (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{0} \delta \mathrm{e} \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{0} \delta 1 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{0} \delta \Omega \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{0}(\delta \omega+\delta M) \\ (\mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Altimeter } \\ & \text { Bras } \\ & \text { (m) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Altimeter | 0 | 6.12 | -27.2 | --- | --- | --- | -12 |
| er | 11 | -0 02 | 1.12 | -0.08 | -3.19 | -0.25 | 16.8 |
| Doppler | 0.8 | -0.01 | 1.21 | -0.21 | -290 | 231 | 164 |
|  | 06 | -0.01 | -3.86 | -0.344 | -2 63 | 4.82 | 154 |
|  | 0.4 | -0 01 | -7.48 | -0.63 | -2.45 | 706 | 129 |
|  | 0.3 | -0 02 | -10.29 | -1 26 | -2.51 | 762 | 9.7 |

* These relative weights are on a per pass basis.


### 5.3 ORBIT DETERMINATION, 1975 DAYS 115 to 116

During the two-day interval of days 115 and 116,56 passes of doppler data, 21 passes of C-band ranging data, 5 passes of laser ranging data, and 8 passes of altimeter height data were available.

To obtain a base ephemerıs, the doppler data were processed first. The doppler passes that were used originated at 12 trackIng sites (see Fig. C-2 for the distrıbution of these sites). Of the 56 passes, 47 survived the process of orbit determination. Seven passes were deweighted because of excessive noise or lowelevation angles (i.e., maximum elevation is below $5^{\circ}$ ) and two were deleted because of unusually large navigation residuals (see Table C-3 for individual station navigation results). A time history of the navigation residuals for the 47 good passes is given in Fig. 3 where the rms is 12.5 m along track and 7.6 m slant range. That these residuals are a factor of two less than for the comparable doppler-determined ephemeris discussed in Section 5,2 for days 113 and 114 is due to the use of the GEM-8 geopotential model that had become available (Ref. 1). Since this model was based partially on tracking data from GEOS-3, it was judged to be the best available. Station coordinates for the doppler sites were not readjusted at this time.

The 21 passes of C-band ranging data were from seven sites and the five passes of laser data from three sites (see Fig. C-3 for the geographical distribution of these sites).

To obtain a measure of quality of the C-band and laser data, each pass of data was navigated using the doppler-determined ephemeris. The navigation solution for the ranging data consisted of determining a station position error in the along-track and slant-range directions together with a range bias and range-rate bias. The station positions used were those supplied with the data. These navigation results are given in Table 4. The large range and range-rate biases for some of the C-band data were unexpected. Figure 4 shows the range residuals before and after navigation for a pass of C-band data for which the range and range-rate biases were large. Even after navigation, the residuals remain correlated. Figure 5 shows analogous results for a pass of C -band data for which the range and range-rate biases were small. No evidence of correlation in the measurement residuals over the data span is seen. By deleting six of the 21 C-band passes that had large range and/or range-rate biases, it was possible to reduce the navigation residuals significantly as shown in Fig. 6.

Ref. I. J. Marsh, private communication, 1976.


Fig 3 GEOS-3 Doppler Navigation Restduals, 1975 Days 115 and 116

Table 4
GEOS-3 C-Band and Laser Navigation Residuals for Doppler Orbit, 1975 Days 115 and 116

| Pass I D |  |  |  | Navigation Results |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yr | Day | Rise H Min | Sta | Along Track (m) | Slant Range (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Range } \\ \text { Bias (m) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Range-Rate } \\ \text { Bias } \\ (\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{ks}) \end{gathered}$ | Residual (m) | Data Type <br> CBD $=$ C-Band Range <br> LSR = Laser Range |
| 75 | 115 | 0112 | 4610 | - 195 | -872 | 456 | - 506 | 0807 | CBD |
| 75 | 115 | 0308 | 4281 | 650 | -41 21 | 42714 | -181 92 | 2.701 | CBD* |
| 75 | 115 | 0729 | 4760 | 1078 | - 802 | 246 | 201 | 0862 | CBD |
| 75 | 115 | 0733 | 4840 | 1288 | -1086 | 283 | 5.11 | 1341 | CBD |
| 75 | 115 | 0912 | 4840 | 231 | 247 | 471 | - -56 | 1413 | CBD |
| 75 | 115 | 0912 | 7068 | 275 | 6.62 | 366 | 093 | 0074 | LSR |
| 75 | 115 | 0918 | 7063 | - 713 | 367 | 0.34 | 3052 | 0.102 | LSR |
| 75 | 115 | 1236 | 4260 | 1280 | 2196 | -0 13 | 2662 | 1480 | CBD* |
| 75 | 115 | 2136 | 4840 | - 790 | -699 | -313 | 165 | 2.268 | CBD* |
| 75 | 115 | 2135 | 4760 | 841 | - 315 | -0 37 | - 1.66 | 0800 | CBD |
| 75 | 115 | 2143 | 7068 | 410 | 657 | 087 | 0.07 | 0051 | LSE |
| 75 | 115 | 2315 | 4760 | 3415 | 1063 | 031 | 052 | 0964 | CBD |
| 75 | 115 | 2314 | 4840 | 2518 | 1216 | -2.58 | 150 | 1343 | CBD |
| 75 | 116 | 0058 | 4610 | 736 | -16 63 | 2.26 | - 314 | 0.869 | CBD |
| 75 | 116 | 0254 | 4281 | 1773 | -57.51 | 3686 | 1104 | 1917 | CBD* |
| 75 | 116 | 0424 | 4282 | 14004 | -698 | 4257 | 1850 | 2795 | CBD* |
| 75 | 116 | 0432 | 4281 | 331 | - 8.46 | 2594 | 1687 | 2194 | CBD* |
| 75 | 116 | 0716 | 4760 | 1141 | - 514 | -071 | 119 | 0940 | CBD |
| 75 | 116 | 0719 | 4860 | 1283 | - 510 | -1 99 | 244 | 0950 | CBD |
| 75 | 116 | 0854 | 4760 | - 664 | 1451 | 575 | 088 | 0.787 | CBD |
| 75 | 116 | 0858 | 4860 | - 519 | 748 | 108 | 528 | 0872 | CBD |
| 75 | 116 | 0859 | 7068 | - 178 | 1109 | 071 | 1095 | 0.065 | LSR |
| 75 | 116 | 2300 | 4760 | 1553 | 505 | 127 | -463 | 0997 | CBD |
| 75 | 116 | 2300 | 4860 | 663 | 103 | 105 | -290 | 0818 | CBD |
| 75 | 116 | 2304 | 7063 | 733 | - 502 | 180 | -458 | 0246 | LSR |

* Passes deleted in subsequent orbit determination

|  | Station | Catalog |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C-Band | Laser |
| 4260 | Pillar Point | 7063 Greenbelt, MD |
| 4281 | Canton Island | 7068 Grand Turk, BWI |
| 4282 | Kaena Pt, HA |  |
| 4610 | Ely, NV |  |
| 4760 | Bermuda |  |
| 4840 | Wallops Island, VA |  |
| 4860 | Wallops Island, VA |  |



Fig 4 GEOS-3 C-Band Range Residuals, Large Bias and Drift, Statıon 4282


Fig 5 GEOS-3 C-Band Range Residuals, Small Bias and Drift, Station 4760


Fig 6 GEOS-3 C-Band and Laser Navigation Residuals for Doppler Orbit, 1975 Days 114 and 115

The five passes of laser ranging data that were available are from the three sites (see Fig. C-3 for the geographical distrabution of these sites). Pass navigation solutions based on the doppler-determined ephemeris are given in Table 4. None of the laser data showed the large range and range-rate biases determined for some of the C-band ranging data. The mean along-track and slant-range residuals are 5.1 m and 7.1 m , respectively. The navigation solutions as a function of time are given in Fig. 6.

The altimetry helght data for this span represent a signzfleant improvement in both the amount and distribution of data over what were avanlable for the study discussed in Section 5.2 (see Fig. C-4). Smoothing of the data to a data point every 20 s was used to reduce the required processing tame. To examine the quality of the altimeter data, the differences between the smoothed observations and calculated height based on the doppler ephemeris and the GEM-8 geopotential model were determined. These residuals and the geoidal heights for each pass are given in Fig. 7. The character of the residuals over each pass as anticipated is structured. A negative bias of about 6 m is present with an rms of 7 m .

Now that the qualities and characteristics of the C-band, laser, and altimeter data had been studied, the next objective was to use the different types of data in determining ephemerides over the span of interest. Corrections to the doppler-determined ephemeris for the various data types are given in Table 5 where the changes to the Kepler elements have been transformed to distances for ease of comparison.

The laser data, although totaling only five passes, produced an ephemeris comparable to the doppler ephemeris. This is expected since our past experience has shown that, for satellites with orbital altitudes comparable to GEOS-3, data from as few as one doppler site can be used to provide an ephemeris that differs by only a few meters from one determined for data from tens of sites.

The differences obtanned with the C-band data were as anticipated, i.e., at the approximately two-per-meter level, except for the orblt node. The reason for this is that there is a blas in the average longitude of the C-band stations relative to the doppler sites. Thas is seen in the mean station position corrections obtained from the navigation solutions using the dop-pler-determined ephemeris (see Table 6). The mean longitude bias for the four C-band sites weighed by the number of passes was -17.5 m ; this is close to the $-17.3-\mathrm{m}$ change in the longitude of the ascending node given in Table 5. This demonstrated that a consistent set of station coordinates should be determined for the final studies.


Fig. 7 GEOS-3 Altımeter Heıght Resıduals for Doppler Orbit, 1975 Days 115 and 116

Table 5
GEOS-3 Orbit Corrections to Doppler Ephemeris, 1975 Days 115 and 116

| Data Type | Laser Range | C-Band Range | Doppler and Altimeter* | , Altimeter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\delta \mathrm{a}$ (m) | 0.0658 | -0.0041 | 0.0328 | -10.5 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0} \mathrm{Se}$ (m) | -5.7594 | 3.2911 | -1.4861 | -4.1075 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0} \delta i(\mathrm{~m})$ | 58306 | -4.0455 | 0.2681 | $152 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0} \delta \Omega$ (m) | -3.7315 | -17.2832** | 0.3039 | $65.6 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0}(\delta \omega+\delta \mathrm{M})(\mathrm{m})$ | 12721 | -3.280 | 2.5670 | $-1.6 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{f}$ ( $\mathrm{Hz} / \mathrm{MHz}$ ) | - | - | -0.013694 | - |
| A priori Residual (m) | 8.7 | 16.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \text { (doppler), } \\ & 7 \text { (alt.) } \end{aligned}$ | 7 |
| Altatude Bias (m) | - | - | 7.731 | - |
| Number of Passes of Data | 5 | 14 | $56+8$ | 8 |

$\mathrm{R}_{0} \equiv 6.378166 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}$

* Altimeter fit constrained to $a, e$, and bias
** Due to bias in longitude of C-band network
Table 6
Station Location Corrections Based on Pass Navigation Solutions Using Doppler Ephemerıs, 1975 Days 115 and 116

| Station | Number <br> of <br> Passes | Delta <br> Latitude(m) | Delta <br> Longitude(m) | De1ta <br> Radius (m) | Noise <br> (m) | Data <br> Type* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 4610 | 2 | +9.3 | -13.8 | -6.8 | - |  |
| 4760 | 6 | -6.9 | -209 | -0.4 | 0.9 | CBD |
| 4840 | 3 | -4.7 | -201 | -1.1 | 14 | CBD |
| 4860 | 3 | -2.6 | -10.6 | 1.3 | 0.9 | CBD |
| AI1 <br> C-Band | 14 | -3.2 | -17.5 | -1.1 | -2 | CBD |
| 7068 | 3 | -3.2 | -9.8 | 1.3 | 0.06 | LSR |

[^1]An attempt was made to determine an ephemeris based solely on altimeter data. Simulation studies had shown that the semimajor axis, eccentricity, and altimeter bias were the betterconditioned parameters for an orbit with the characteristics of GEOS=3. Nevertheless, to judge the efficacy of using altimeter data alone, all six orbital parameters for an altimeter height bias were determined solely from the eight passes of altimeter data. Seven of the eight passes are over the same portion of the orbit and are all from the same geographic region (see Fig. C-4). Both of the characteristics suggest that conditioning of the solution should be a problem.

As anticipated, the semimajor axis and eccentriclty agree to about the $10-\mathrm{m}$ level with the solutions based on the other data types. The position of the spacecraft along track is specified to about 1.6 km , and the inclination error is about the same. As expected, the right ascension of the ascending node is the poorest determined quantity with an error of about 65.6 km .

### 6.0 ORBIT DETERMINATION WITH GEM-9 GEODESY USING 1976 DATA

In the last of the sets of orbit determination experiments, we used a four-day span in 1976 (days 62 to 65). The criterion for choosing these partıcular days was to maximize the available altimeter and laser data, particularly the former. The other two data types (doppler and C band) were plentiful and provided good worldwide coverage (see Table D-1 in Appendix $D$ for station locations).

The experımental objectives were threefold:

1. To establish a set of two reference or base ephemerades,
2. To assess the effects of progressively reducing nonaltimeter data-type coverage on the ephemerides accuracy, and
3. To assess the value of altimeter data in orbit determination starting with altimeter only and progressively adding nonaltimeter data to improve the resulting ephemerides.

In general, we wall assume that the base or reference ephemerides most accurately reproduce the true position of the satellite during the four days in question. Deviation from the true position can therefore be considered to be a "worse" or less accurate reproduction of the true orbital path of the satellite.

### 6.1 THE BASE OR REFERENCE EPHEMERIDES

Days 62 to 65 were dıvided into two spans of two days each. For Span 1 (days 62 to 63), we started with 86 doppler passes, 64 C-band passes, 11 laser passes, and 15 altimeter passes. For Span 2 (days 64 to 65), we started with 75 doppler passes, 73 C-band passes, 12 laser passes, and 21 altimeter passes. Using GEM-9 geodesy (Ref. 2) ( $G M=398600.640 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ ) and nominal (not GEM-9) station coordinates, we proceeded in an 2terative fashion to obtain two ephemerides and a new set of station coordinates that best fit the data. The best initial conditions can be found in Table D-2. The summary of the navigation results is presented in the first and suxth line entries of Table 7. Note that several

Ref. 2. F. J. Lerch, S. M. Klosko, R. E. Laubscher, and C. A. Wagner, "Gravity Model Improvement Using GEOS-3 (GEM 9 and 10)," GSFC X-921-77-246, September 1977.

Table 7
GEOS-3 Orbıt Determınation Trackıng Results, 1976 Days 62 to 65

|  | Span | Mavigation Resulte ( p ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ephemeris Doviation (rom Reference Ephemaria ( ${ }^{(0)}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deta } \\ \text { Descriptiou } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dopplar ( DOP ) |  |  |  | C-basd (CBD) |  |  |  | Laser (LSR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Pagses | Ecr | ecr | ECT | pasces | eca | ECR | yct | $\stackrel{\text { Panses }}{\text { No }}$ | ECA | ECR | ECT | 日 | 2 | c | D |  |
| 1 |  | 66 | -0 3+4 6 | -04 $4 \pm 2$ | 57 | 43 | $-0{ }_{\underline{5}+3} 7$ | $0 \pm 28$ | 47 | 9 | $04 \pm 26$ | -14 414 | 33 |  |  |  |  | ( DOP, $^{\text {CSD, LSR, ALT) }}$ |
| 2 | 62 | 66 | -0 344 6 | $-04 \pm 32$ | 57 | 43 | -0 5ty 7 | $0 \pm 28$ | 47 | 9 | $04 \pm 26$ | -14414 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ( DOP CBD LSR) |
| 3 | 63 |  |  |  |  |  | -0 5+29 | $05 \pm 15$ | 33 | - |  |  |  | 0409 | $30 \pm 19$ | $0 \pm 25$ | 44 | Sce 4150 (CBD) Same reaulte obentred with or without ALT |
| 4 | 1976 |  |  |  |  |  | 24403 | -0 $2+09$ |  |  |  |  |  | $02 \pm 144$ | $-578+393$ | 194456.8 | 4624 | Sta 4150 (CBD) 2 south pagaes |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $01 \pm 01$ | $07 \pm 12$ |  |  |  |  |  | $0 \pm 13$ | $54 \pm 38$ | $0 \pm 63$ | 92 | Sta 4150 (CBD) 2 south pasest and ALT data |
| 6 |  | 64 | $03+62$ | $04 \pm 41$ | 75 | 60. | 099 | -0 $3 \pm 45$ | 75 |  | -0 $9+28$ | $02 \pm 25$ | 39 |  |  |  |  | ( $\mathrm{DOP}+\mathrm{CBD}+\mathrm{LSR}+\mathrm{ALT}$ ) |
| 7 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ( $\mathrm{DOP}+\mathrm{CBD}+\mathrm{LSR}$ ) |
| 8 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  | $04 \pm 20$ | $12 \pm 2$ | 32 |  |  |  |  | $0 \pm 05$ | -0 7 726 | $-01 \pm 32$ | 42 | Ste 4150 (CBD) Same reaults obtalned with or without ALI |
| 9 | 1976 |  |  |  |  |  | $12 \pm 24$ | $24 \pm 20$ |  |  |  |  |  | $22+226$ | $642+1286$ | -10 $6+6747$ | 6903 | Sta 4250 (CRD) <br> 2 souch paszes |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $17 \pm 32$ | 0 $9+25$ |  |  |  |  |  | $0 \pm 16$ | $46 \pm 32$ | -02+97 |  | Sta 4150 (CBD) 2 south panere and ALT data |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ECA - along-track error } \\ & \text { ECR - alant-rmage error } \\ & \text { ECT - total gavigation error } \\ & \text { H = radial error } \\ & \text { L - along-track error } \\ & \text { C - erota-track error } \\ & \text { D - total ezror } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | * * |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

input passes were deleted in arrıving at the final solution. For Span 1, there were 66 doppler, 43 C-band, 9 laser, and 15 altimeter surviving passes. For Span 2, there were 64 doppler, 60 C-band, 11 laser, and 21 altimeter surviving passes. The large casualty rate is a result of the rigorous data editing. The resulting navigation residuals were 6 to 8 m for doppler, 5 to 8 m for $C$ band, and 4 m for laser. The altimeter residuals were 6 to 7 m , which include a large altimeter bias (discussed later). Removing the bias ( 5.4 m ) reduces the altimeter residuals to 2 to 3 m (see Table 8). The fitted GEM-9 station coordinates can be found in Table D-1. Figures 8 and 9 are plots of navigation residuals for the three data types combined for Spans 1 and 2, respectively. Additional figures and tables of individual pass residuals are in Appendix D. Altimeter data results are dealt with separately in another section of this report.

Table 8 summarizes the C-band and laser results by station. We note a number of interesting features in this table:

1. The post-navigation (range) residuals ( $\sigma$ ) of the laser sites are about 4 to 7 cm compared to 1 to 2.5 m for the C -band sites; and
2. There are large range biases and drifts for C-band stations 4958 and 4959, as well as non-negligible biases for many of the other stations.

Range bias, drift, and post-navigation residuals were used in the orbit determination process as a measure of data (pass) quality for $C$-band and laser data. For doppler data, maximum pass elevation above the horizon and post-navigation residuals were used as a measure of data (pass) quality. All the passes from station 4958 and 4959 were excluded from the orbit determination process, and many doppler passes were excluded as a result of the lowelevation criteria (see Tables $D-3$ to $D-8$ ).

### 6.1.1 Orbit Extrapolation from Reference Runs

In the previous section we discussed the reference runs in terms of how close the resulting ephemerides agree with the collected experimental data. In this section we will assess the quality of the extrapolated or predicted ephemerides. Theoretically, if we were able to precisely model all the forces acting on a satellite as a function of time, then once we establish a set of inntial conditions using all the available data we should be able to extrapolate the resulting reference ephemeris anto either future (update) or past (backdate). However, in really the force models are only an approximation of the real forces, and so one expects

Table 8
Range Bias，Drift，and Noise Summary by Station， 1976 Days 62 to 65

| Station | Type | No．of Passes | Summary Results |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 〈Bias〉（m） | 〈Drift〉（km／day） | $\langle\sigma\rangle(\mathrm{m})$ |
| 4013 |  | 1 | 22.98 | 1.56 | 1.86 |
| 4150 |  | 13 | － $0.50 \pm 2.00$ | $0.14 \pm 0.73$ | $2.00 \pm 0.33$ |
| 4198 |  | 10 | － $4.35 \pm 1.52$ | $0.06 \pm 0.47$ | $1.31 \pm 0.19$ |
| 4280 |  | 5 | $2.57 \pm 2.70$ | $-0.30 \pm 1.44$ | $1.14 \pm 0.07$ |
| 4446 | c | 7 | － $1.49 \pm 2.80$ | $0.47 \pm 0.77$ | $1.61 \pm 0.57$ |
| 4860 |  | 11 | － $7.69 \pm 2.76$ | $-0.15 \pm 0.55$ | $1.64 \pm 0.29$ |
| 4610 | b | 11 | － $1.48 \pm 1.23$ | $-0.28 \pm 1.55$ | $1.03 \pm 0.20$ |
| 4742 | n | 10 | － $6.07 \pm 3.45$ | $-0.01 \pm 0.31$ | $0.94 \pm 0.44$ |
| 4760 | d | 15 | $-2.89 \pm 1.35$ | $0.02 \pm 0.32$ | $0.90 \pm 0.09$ |
| 4840 |  | 8 | $-3.63 \pm 1.25$ | $0.94 \pm 0.46$ | $1.63 \pm 0.06$ |
| 4860 |  | 6 | $-12.36 \pm 6.50$ | $-4.43 \pm 4.42$ | $2.48 \pm 0.89$ |
| 4958 |  | 6 | $-377.50 \pm 12.91$ | $13.95 \pm 2.04$ | $2.16 \pm 0.32$ |
| 4959 |  | 7 | $392.58 \pm 10.66$ | $14.23 \pm 1.74$ | $2.47 \pm 0.51$ |
| 4960 |  | 26 | $3.40 \pm 4.56$ | $-0.13 \pm 1.47$ | $1.73 \pm 0.40$ |
| 7067 | $\mathrm{L}$ | 9 | － $2.44 \pm 3.83$ | $-0.97 \pm 4.24$ | $0.05 \pm 0.006$ |
| 7068 | s | 5 | － $0.09 \pm 2.07$ | $0.94 \pm 2.53$ | $0.04 \pm 0.003$ |
| 7069 | $\begin{aligned} & e \\ & r \end{aligned}$ | 8 | $-1.08 \pm 0.68$ | $0.09 \pm 0.20$ | $0.07 \pm 0.006$ |
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Fig 8 GEOS-3 Combined Navigation Residuals-Reference Track, 1976 Days 62 to 63


Fig. 9 GEOS-3 Combined Navigation Resıduals Reference Track, 1976 Days 64 to 65
a degradation in the precisions of extrapolated ephemerides. Even If the models were perfect (which they are not), we stall have to contend wath noisy data. One would expect, under such conditions, that it would be advantageous to have as much data as possible, well distributed in time and space. This, in fact, has been our criterion for establishing the GEOS-3 reference ephemerides. By using four independent data types and as much data as were available, we achieved the desired goal of good data coverage in both space and time. As it turns out, it is not noisy data but rather the imperfect force models that limat our ability to extrapolate far into the future (or past).

Each of our two reference ephemerndes were extrapolated, and each extrapolated ephemeris was compared with its tracked counterpart as follows:

1. Span 1 (days 62 to 63 ) was extrapolated into the future (updated) to cover days 64 to 65, and
2. Span 2 (days 64 to 65 ) was extrapolated into the past (backdated) to cover days 62 to 63.

Before confusion sets in, let us define the four ephemerides in question:

1. Reference 1: 1976 days 62 to 63 (tracked),
2. Reference 2: 1976 days 64 to 65 (tracked),
3. Update: 1976 days 64 to 65 (extrapolated from Reference 1), and
4. Backdate: 1976 days 62 to 63 (extrapolated from Reference 2).

The comparison for Span 1 is therefore between Reference 1 and backdate and for Span 2 between Reference 2 and update. Table 9, lines 1 and 6, presents the results of this comparison. Two sets of results are presented:

1. Direct differencing of the ephemerides in question ( $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ ), and
2. Navigation of real (doppler) data against the extrapolated ephemeris.

In the latter case, the results (ECA, ECR, ECT) are a measure of how much the stations had to be moved in order to compensate for the satellite errors. Comparing Tables 9 and 7 (tracked versus

Table 9
GEOOS-3 Orbıt Determınatıon, Update and Backdate, 1976 Days 62 to 65

| Span |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Navigation Resuits (m) } \\ & \text { (DOP) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Ephemeris Deviation from Reference (m) |  |  |  | - Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No, of Passes | ECA | ECR | ECT | H | L | C | D |  |
| \#\#\#0m | 62 | 66 | -0 6+6 3 | $-0.9+4.1$ | 7.6 | $0 \pm 2.1$ | $-1.2 \pm 4.6$ | $0 \pm 0.5$ | 5.2 | $\mathrm{DOP}+{ }^{+} \mathrm{CBD}+\mathrm{LSR}+\mathrm{ALT}$ |
|  |  | 66 | -0 6+6 3 | -0.9+4.1 | 7.6 | $0 \pm 2.1$ | $-1.2+4.6$ | $0 \pm 0.5$ | 5.2 | DOP + CBD $-\mathrm{LSR}^{*}$ |
|  |  | 66 | - $7.0 \pm 6.9$ | -1 6+4.3 | 10.8 | $01 \pm 1.7$ | $-10.1 \pm 5.4$ | $-2.2+3.2$ | 120 | Sta 4150 (CBD) <br> Same results with or without ALT |
|  |  | 66 | -347 7+182.1 | $-218.4 \pm 4035$ | 6038 |  |  |  |  | Sta $4150^{\circ}$ (CBD) <br> 2 south passes |
|  |  | 66 | $-3.5+6.7$ | $-3.9 \pm 7.9$ | 116 | $0 \pm 2.4$ | $38 \pm 51$ | $-0.1 \pm 10.3$ | 124 | Sta 4150 (CBD + ALT) <br> 2 south passes of CBD |
|  | 64 | 64 | $6.9+8 \mathrm{I}$ | $08 \pm 4.9$ | 11.7 | $0 \pm 2.1$ | -6 3+5.0 | $0 \pm 0.4$ | 8.3 | DOP + CBD + LSR + ALT |
|  | 65 | 64 | $6.9 \pm 8.1$ | $08 \pm 4.9$ | 11.7 | $0 \pm 2.1$ | $-6.3+5.0$ | $0 \pm 0.4$ | 8.3 | $D O P+C B D+L S R$ |
|  | 1976 | 64 | $3.6 \pm 8.9$ | -0 $1+5.4$ | 11.1 | $0 \pm 2.7$ | -2.0+5.7 | $0 \pm 2.5$ | 7.1 | Sta 4150 (CBD) <br> Same results <br> with or without ALT |
|  |  | 64 | $108.8 \pm 88.1$ | 163.5$\ddagger 255.3$ | 339.9 |  |  |  |  | Sta 41.50 (CBD) <br> 2 south passes |
|  |  | 64 | $11.5 \pm 9.3$ | $-1.3+6.2$ | 16.1 | $01 \pm 2.0$ | $-107 \pm 6.7$ | $0 \pm 6.1$ | 14.2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sta } 4150(C B D+A L T) \\ & 2 \text { south passes of CBD } \end{aligned}$ |

[^2]extrapolated) we find a degradation of 2 m in the rms of $\operatorname{Span} 1$ ( 5.7 to 7.6 m ) and a degradation of 4 m for $\operatorname{Span} 2$ ( 7.5 to 11.7 m ). We wall return to these two tables in other sections of this report.

Figures 10 and 11 present the doppler navigation results (backdate and update). Plots of the actual ephemeris differences ( $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{C}$ backdate and update) can be found in Figs. $\mathrm{D}-7$ and $\mathrm{D}-8$.

## 62 ORBIT DETERMINATION WITHOUT ALTIMETER DATA

Having established the two reference ephemerides, we now look at the effects of reducing data coverage. In this section we will deal with nonaltimeter data. We will introduce altimeter data in the next section of the report.

It is of no surprise to anyone that using a great deal of data from many tracking sites in conjunction with good force models results in high-precision ephemerides. We have demonstrated this in the previous section. The questions we would like to discuss here are: What happens when we have only limited data (possibly from a single tracking site)? How closely will the resulting ephemeris approximate the true (reference) satellite ephemeris? We will answer these questions by progressively reducing the data coverage for GEOS-3 from maximum (all) down to two passes from a single site as follows:
A. All data (doppler, C band, laser) (ALL).
B. All data from a single C-band site (SCB).
C. All data from a single doppler site (SDP).
D. Two passes from a single C-band site (2CB).
E. Two passes from a single laser site (2LR).

The five cases are summarized in Table 10, which presents the computed "corrections" to the inltial conditions of the reference orbits. The larger the correction to the reference Kepler elements, the greater the expected deviation of the resulting ephemerides from their reference counterparts. We will also refer to Table 7 for the tracking results and Table 8 for the extrapolated results.

> A. All Data (doppler, C band, laser) (ALL)

Removing altimeter data from the reference runs does not affect the solution at all. Lines 2 and 7 in Tables 7 and 9 confirm that the resulting tracked and extrapolated ephemerides are identical.
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FIg 10 GEOS-3 Doppler Residuals (backdate from reference track), 1976 Days 62 to 63


Fig. 11 GEOS-3 Doppler Residuals (update from reference track), 1976 Days 64 to 65

Table 10
GEOS-3 Orbit Determination without Altimeter Data, 1976 Days 62 to 65 (deviations from reference orbit)

| $\delta \mathrm{a}$ (m) | SCB |  | SDP |  | 2 CB |  | 2LR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SI | S2 | SI | S2 | SI | S2 | S1 | S2 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1.3 | 2.8 | -0.4 | -0 1 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0} \delta \mathrm{e}$ (m) | -0.6 | -0.6 | 0.6 | 2.6 | -17.2 | 19.8 | -3.2 | -1.9 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0} \delta 1$ (m) | 2.7 | 3.9 | 3.5 | -0.7 | -416.1 | 667.9 | -90.6 | -40.4 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0} \delta \Omega$ (m) | $-2.0$ | -0.8 | 1.2 | -0.8 | 472.9 | -633.4 | 108.7 | -78.6 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0}(\delta \omega+\delta M)(m)$ | 1.1 | -4.5 | -4.8 | -1.8 | 189.8 | -33.3 | 84.5 | -0.9 |
| Altimeter Bias (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Altameter Drift (m/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCB - all passes from a single C-band site (station 4150) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SDP - all passes from a single doppler site (station 111) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2CB - two - -band south-going passes (one/day station 4150) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2LR - two laser south-going passes (one/day station 7067) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SI - 1976 days 62 to 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S2 - 1976 days 64 to 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0}-6378.166 \mathrm{~km}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. All Data from a Slngle C-Band Site (SCB) .

This is a drastic change from the previous case. We selected a single site (station 4150, Green River, UT) and used all data (two north, three south for Span 1; three north, three south for Span 2*) for the site to determine the orbit of the satellite.

We note first that the largest deviation from the reference ephemeris is 4.5 m in along track ( $\delta \omega+\delta \mathrm{M}$ ) and 4 m mn inclination (column headed SCB in Table 10). The resulting ephemerides are only 4 m (rms) different from their reference counterparts, and the resulting extrapolations are worse for the backdate ( 12 versus 5 m ) and slightly better for the update ( 7 versus 8 m ). These results can be found in lines 3 and 8 of Tables 7 and 9.

## C. A11 Data from a Single Doppler Site (SDP)

We selected a doppler site (station 111, Howard County, MD) and used all available data (three north, three south for Span 1; four north, two south for Span $2 *$ ) to determine the satellite orbit. As with the single C-band site, the maximum deviation from the reference Keplers is under 5 m (column headed SDP in Table 10).

We can conclude from SCB and SDP above that a single tracking site, well maintained and operating three shifts a day, is all that one needs to generate high-precision orbits for satellites in orbits as high as GEOS-3 ( 830 km ).

## D. Two Passes from a Single C-Band Site (2CB)

Can we reduce coverage further? For example, would it suffice to have a station operating a regular 8 -h shift? In such an operation, the most likely data obtained would be a single daily pass with the same heading.

We selected such a case by reducing the number of passes from station 4150 to two for each of the two spans. Each pair of passes was separated by 24 h (one pass/day). Each pair was chosen to have the same heading (south), and consequently the orbit is determined with data sampled from only a small part of the satellite orbit. Had we picked one north- and one south-going pass, we would have doubled the portion of the orbit sampled by the data. The resulting corrections to the reference Kepler elements are shown in the column headed 2CB in Table 10. The inclination, node, and along-track positions ( $\delta i, \delta \Omega, \delta \omega+\delta M$ ) are off by hundreds of

* Surviving good passes.
meters from the reference Keplers. The resulting ephemerides deviate from their reference counterparts by hundreds of meters (Table 7, Innes 4 and 9)'0, Likewise, the extrapolated ephemerides are hundreds of meters off from their reference counterparts (Table 9, lines 4 and 9). Figures 12 and 13 are plots of the ephemeris differences. Note that most of the errors are in the out-of-plane components (cross track). It is this case that we hoped would be helped by the introduction of altimeter data (to be dealt with in the next section).


## E. Two Passes from a Single Laser Site (2LR)

We selected two passes for each of the two spans for laser site 7067 (Bermuda). The selected passes were south-going for Span 1 and north-going for Span 2, and each pair was separated by 24 h . The results were somewhat surprising. The corrections to the lasergenerated Keplers were much smaller (under 100 m ) than for the parallel C-band case (Table 10, column headed 2LR). It is possible that the reason the laser does so much better under these unfavorable conditions is its higher quality (less noise) when compared to C-band. The mean of the post-navigation residuals for the laser site in question was $5 \pm 0.6 \mathrm{~cm}$ compared to the C-band site's $200 \pm 33 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Table 8).

## 63 ORBIT DETERMINATION WITH ALTIMETER DĂTA

In this section we assess the value of altimeter data in orbit determination. We start with the reference runs where we noted that earlier altimeter data added nothing to the solution other than giving a good estimate of the altimeter range bias and drıft parameters. We will begin with the reference case as it relates to the altimeter results and work down to the case of altimeter data alone as follows:
A. Altimeter + All Other Data (doppler, C-band, laser)

B: Altimeter + All Data from a Single C-Band Site (SCB),
C. Altimeter + All Data from a Single Doppler Site (SDP),
D. Altimeter + Two Passes from a Single C-Band Site (2CB),
E. Altimeter + Two Passes from a Single Laser Site (2LR), and
F. Altimeter Only.



Fig 13 GEOS-3 Ephemeris Differences, Two Passes, Station 4150 (no altimeter)
Track, Reference Track, 1976 Days 64 to 65

## A. All Data, Reference Runs

Although altimeter data did not contribute to the establishment of the reference ephemerides, it is against these ephemerides that we can assess the available data. We had 36 altimeter passes: 15 for Span 1 (days 62 to 63) and 21 for Span 2 (days 64 to 65 ). (Spatial distribution of altimeter data is illustrated in Figs. $\mathrm{D}-9$ and $\mathrm{D}-10$.) Individual pass residuals are plotted in Figs. 14 to 17. Figure 18 summarizes the pass results as a function of time. Table 11 presents the individual pass results. The bulk of the data was in the southern hemisphere. Span 1 has about equal distribution of north- and south-going passes, while Span 2 has predominantly north-going passes.

An altimeter range bias is clearly evident in Figs. 14 to 18. The fitted bias for Span 1 is 6.3 m with a drift of $-0.8 \mathrm{~m} /$ day ( 5.5 m at the center of the span). The fitted blas for Span 2 is 3.2 m with a drift of $2.04 \mathrm{~m} /$ day ( 5.24 m at the center of the span).

The mean of the pass residuals over the four days was $-5.4 \pm 2.2 \mathrm{~m}$ (Table 11). The range bias and residuals are related to the altimeter height as follows:

$$
A L T_{B}=-A L T_{R}=H_{E X P}-H_{T H E O}
$$

where $\operatorname{ALT}_{B}=$ altimeter height bias,
$A L T_{R}=$ altimeter height residual,
$\mathrm{H}_{\text {THEO }}=$ theoretical altimeter height, and
$H_{E X P}=$ experimental (data) altimeter heaght.
A negative residual implies that the distance from the satellite to the ocean surface (geoid) is larger than the true distance by an average of 5.4 m . The $2.2-\mathrm{m}$ standard deviation about the mean is a good order-of-magnitude measure of the precision of the GEOS-3 altumetry data. The majority of the passes show little residual structure. A few passes ( 355 and 373 in Fig. 16, and 407 in Fig. 17) show a definite structure that correlates well with the theoretical geoidal heights. It is interesting to note that the three passes traversed the same geographic areas (Fig. D-10) Just off the west coast of Central and South Africa.


Fig 14 GEOS-3 Altımeter Height Residuals ( m ) versus Time ( $\mathrm{h} . \mathrm{mın}$ ) for Reference Orbit, 1976 Day 62


Fig 15 GEOS-3 Height Residuals ( m ) versus Time ( h mm ) for Reference Orbit, 1976 Day 63
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Fig. 16 GEOS-3 Altimeter Height Residuals (m) versus Time (h. min) for Reference Orbit, 1976 Day 64

$-43$





Fig. 17 GEOS-3 Altımeter Height Residuals ( m ) versus Tıme ( $\mathrm{h} \mathbf{m ı n}$ ) for Reference Orbit, 1976 Day 65


Fig. 18 GEOS-3 Altımeter Height Pass Residuals for Reference Orbits, 1976 Days 62 to 65

Table 11
Summary of Altımeter Data, 1976 Days 62 to 65

| Pasa I.D |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pass** } \\ \text { Heading } \end{gathered}$ | Starting Epoch |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pass } \\ \text { Duration } \\ \text { (min) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mode | Residual** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Standard |  |
| Rev <br> No | Unique No |  | Yx | Day | H Min |  |  | Mean (m) | Dev <br> (m) |
| 4627 | 284 |  | S | 1976 | 62 |  | 0120 | 12 | Intensfive | -67 | 43 |
| 4629 | 287 | S | 1976 | 62 | 0455 | 8 | Intensive | -6 6 | 14 |
| 4630 | 288 | S | 1976 | 62 | 0643 | 7 | Intensive | -76 | 1.8 |
| 4633 | 291 | S | 1976 | 62 | 1129 | 4 | Intensive | -51 | 28 |
|  |  | N | 1976 | 62 | 14.02 | 6 | Intensive | -2 2 | 17 |
| 4636 | 301 | N | 1976 | 62 | 1731 | 2 | Intensive | -78 | 04 |
| 4639 | 310 | N | 1976 | 62 | 2102 | 4 | Intensive | -38 | 39 |
| 4639 | 313 | N | 1976 | 62 | 22.43 | 2 | Intensive | -2 8 | 08 |
| 4640 | 315 | N | 1976 | 63 | 0002 | 1 | Intensive | -5 0 | 13 |
| 4645 | 325 | N | 1976 | 63 | 0832 | 5 | Intensive | -61 | 15 |
| 4645 | 326 | N | 1976 | 63 | 1013 | 4 | Intensive | -64 | 1.4 |
| 4647 | 328 | N | 1976 | 63 | 1208 | 12 | Intensive | -4 2 | 26 |
| 4649 | 333 | N | 1976 | 63 | 1517 | 10 | Intensive | -5 3 | 21 |
| 4650 | 335 | S | 1976 | 63 | 1637 | 10 | Intensive | -5 2 | 15 |
| 4654 | 349 | S | 1976 | 63 | 2321 | 10 | Intensive | -49 | 24 |
| 4657 | 355 | S | 1976 | 64 | 0421 | 9 | Intensive | -6 3 | 22 |
| 4660 | 360 | N | 1976 | 64 | 0958 | 7 | Intensive | -4 4 | 1.4 |
| 4661 | 364 | N | 1976 | 64 | 1151 | 8 | Intensive | -3 9 | 07 |
| 4662 | 366 | N | 1976 | 64 | 1330 | 11 | Intensive | -1 8 | 22 |
| 4663 | 369 | N | 1976 | 64 | 1509 | 3 | Intensive | -2.3 | 26 |
| 4664 | 373 | N | 1976 | 64 | 1657 | 9 | Intensive | -5 9 | 44 |
| 4666 | 377 | N | 1976 | 64 | 1900 | 2 | Intensive | -2 1 | 09 |
| 4666 | 378 | S | 1976 | 64 | 1956 | 9 | Intensive | -75 | 1.6 |
| 4667 | 381 | S | 1976 | 64 | 2133 | 10 | Intensive | -6 1 | 19 |
| 4668 | 383 | N | 1976 | 64 | 2211 | 8 | Intensive | -1 8 | 29 |
| 4669 | 385 | N | 1976 | 65 | 0007 | 4 | Intensive | -5 1 | 14 |
| 4670 | 388 | N | 1976 | 65 | 0114 | 6 | Intensive | -30 | 32 |
| 4672 | 393 | S | 1976 | 65 | 0549 | 8 | Intensive | -8 3 | 15 |
| 4673 | 394 | S | 1976 | 65 | 0746 | 3 | Intensive | -7 5 | 13 |
| 4674 | 397 | N | 1976 | 65 | 0941 | 8 | Intensive | -61 | 14 |
| 4676 | 401 | N | 1976 | 65 | 1316 | 10 | Intensive | -2 4 | 20 |
| 4677 | 403 | N | 1976 | 65 | 1457 | 5 | Intensive | -79 | 10 |
| 4678 | 407 | N | 1976 | 65 | 1646 | 5 | Intensive | -70 | 31 |
| 4679 | 410 | N | 1976 | 65 | 1805 | 8 | Intensive | $-105$ | 20 |
| 4680 | 412 | 5 | 1976 | 65 | 1942 | 9 | Intensive | -78 | 17 |
| 4681 | 41.3 | S | 1976 | 65 | 2118 | 10 | Intensive | -7 7 | 12 |
| Sumary |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \mathrm{~S} \\ & 23 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | Mean Duration $=3 \mathrm{~min}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean Residual }=-5 \frac{4+2}{2(\mathrm{~m})} \\ & \text { Mean Bxas }=+54 \pm 2(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

* S - south, N - north
** Residual relative to reference ephemeris
B. Altimeter + All Data from a Single C-Band Site (SCB)

Adding altimeter data did not affect the solution to any great extent. A comparison of Tables 10 and 12 (column headed SCB) shows that the changes from the reference- Keplers are essentially the same. The fitted altimeter bias and drıft terms are slightly different from their reference counterparts. All we can say is that altimeter data neither enhanced nor detracted from the nonaltimeter solution.

The resulting tracked and extrapolated ephemerides are essentially identical to their nonaltimeter counterparts. Tables 7 and 9, lines 3 and 8, give the results for both cases.
C. Altimeter + All Data from a Single Doppler Site (SDP)

Like the single C-band site case above, we find that altımeter data do not add anything to the solution but neither do they hurt. A comparison of Tables 10 and 12 (columns headed SDP) gives similar results for the single doppler site with or without altimeter data.
D. Altimeter + Two Passes from a Single C-Band Site (2CB)

This presents the first interesting case for the introduction of altimetry data. Without altimeter data, this case (see previous section) resulted in hundreds of meters' deviation from the reference ephemerides. The introduction of altimeter data into the orbit determination proceśs essentially recovers the reference cases. The largest correction to the reference Kepler elements is 10 m (as opposed to almost 700 m ), and the tracked as well as extrapolated ephemerides differ only by a few meters (rms) from their reference counterparts. The column headed 2CB in Table 12 (with altimeter) shows dramatic improvement over column 2CB in Table 10 (without altimeter). Table 7, 1ınes 5 and 10 , can be compared to Ines 4 and 9, respectively, for the tracking results. Adding altimeter data reduces the deviation from the reference ephemerides from 462 m to 9 m (Span 1) and from 690 m to 11 m (rms results). Similarly, the extrapolated ephemerıdes (Table 9, lines 5 and 10) are only slightly worse than their reference counterparts: 12.4 m versus 5.2 m for $S p a n 1$ and 14.2 m versus 7.1 m for reference 2. Figures 19 and 20 are plots of the ephemeris deviation from their reference counterparts (tracks). (The extrapolated navigation results are plotted in Figs. D-11 and D-12.)

Table 12
GEOS-3 Orbit Determınation with Altımeter and Other Data, 1976 Days 62 to 65 (deviations from reference orbit)

| $\delta \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{m})$ | SCB |  | SDP |  | 2CB |  | 2LR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S1. | S2 | S1 | S2 | SI | S2 | S1 | S2 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0} \delta \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{m})$ | 0 | -6.4 | 0 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0} \delta 1(\mathrm{~m})$ | 2.5 | 4.3 | 3.5 | -0.5 | 5.4 | 10.5 | -84.3 | 129.2 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0} \delta \Omega(\mathrm{~m})$ | -2.0 | -0.9 | 1.3 | -0.7 | -6.8 | -7.8 | 106.1 | 87.6 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0}(\delta \omega+\delta \mathrm{M})(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.8 | -1.8 | -4.3 | -1.4 | -6.2 | -0.9 | 81.2 | 12.4 |
| Altimeter Bıas (m) | -0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0 | -0.4 | 0.3 | -0.7 | 0.4 |
| Altimeter Drift (m/day) | 0.2 | 0.1 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.3 |

```
SCB - All passes from a single C-band site (sta 4150)
SDP - All passes from a single doppler site (sta 111)
2CB - Two C-band south-going passes (one/day sta 4150)
2LR - Two laser south-going passes (one/day sta 7067)
S1 - }1976\mathrm{ days }62\mathrm{ to }6
S2 - 1976 days 64 to 65
Ro
```





Fig. 19 GEOS-3 Ephemeris Differences, Two Passes, Station 4150 (with altimeter) Track, Reference Track, 1976 Days 62 to 63



Fig 20 GEOS-3 Ephemeris Differences, Two Passes, Station 4150 (with altımeter) Track, Reference Track, 1976 Days 64 to 65
E. Altnmeter + Two Passes from a Single Laser Site (2LR)

Since the addition of altimeter data to two C-band passes improved the orbat determination solution, we expected similar results with the two laser passes. We were surprised to discover that adding altimeter data did not improve the results. A comparison of Tables 10 and 12 (columns headed 2LR) shows that Span 1 was left unchanged by the introduction of the altameter data, whereas, for Span $2, \delta i$ and $\delta \Omega$ show a reversal in sign and no improvement in magnitude.

At first glance the results are surprising, but a closer look at the situation uncovers the following pertinent facts:

1. Most of the altimeter data were confined to the South Atlantic and Indzan Oceans;
2. Span 2, which contanned a few passes in the North Pacific Ocean, had predominantly north-going passes;
3. The C-band site (Green River, UT) 15 located at $39^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. All passes were heading south; and
4. The laser site (Bermuda) is located at $32^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 65^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. Span 1 had two south-going passes and Span 2 had two north-going passes.

We can now examine the differences in the two cases. The C-band site, located in the northwestern portion of the United States, was clearly enhanced by the addition of altimetry data that were predominantly from the south and east parts of the world. The laser site, located $45^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and $7^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ of the C -band site, ganned little by the introduction of altametry data. Furthermore, for Span 2 there were four altimeter passes in the northern hemisphere, two of them in the Pacific Ocean. Unfortunately, all four had the same heading (north) as the laser passes and did not adequately complement the laser data.

## F. Altimeter Data Only

This last case is only interestang as an exercise. We knew from the synthetic noise-free data experiment that orblt determination with altimeter data alone is not well-conditioned. In Table 13 we present a series of orbit determination results with altimeter data alone, starting with the unconstrained solution (A) and ending with the most constrained case (C).

Table 13
GEOS-3 Altımeter Orbıt Determınation, Altımeter Data Only, 1976 Days 62 to 65

|  | A |  | B |  | c |  | D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SI | s2 | S1 | S2 | Sl | S2 | S1 | S2 |
| $\delta \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{m})$ | 5.0 | -10 | -49 | -5 0 | -51 | -6 2 | -07 | -2 2 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0} \mathrm{\delta e}(\mathrm{~m})$ | 06 | -0 6 | -06 | 0 | -0.6 | -13 | 0 | -06 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0} \delta i(\mathrm{~m})$ | 5996 | 4604 | 2086 | 5846 |  |  |  | , |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0} \delta \Omega(\mathrm{~m})$ | 256797 | 324635 | -32 6264 | 118136 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0}(\delta \omega+\delta \mathrm{M})$ (m) | 5072 | -478 | -433 6 | -671 |  |  |  |  |
| Altimeter Bias (m) | 36 | -0 8 |  |  |  |  | $-11$ | -1 5 |
| Altimeter Drift(m/day) | -02 | -0 44 |  |  |  |  | -0 2 | -0 34 |

[^3]Case A does very poorly in $\delta i, \delta \Omega, \delta \omega+\delta \mathrm{M}$. Removing the bias and drift parameters (B) does not improve the solution. In the last two cases, we eliminated $\delta, 0 \delta$, and $\delta \omega$ from the fitting space. Here it is interesting to note that case C is actually overconstrained, and as a result the altimeter bias corrupts the semimajor axis (as it did for case B). The last case (D) Illustrates that altimeter data are useful in determining satellite semamajor axis, eccentricıty, altimeter bias, and drift. All we need is another data type to determine the node, anclination, and perigee.

### 7.0 SUMMARY

The objectives of the GEOS 3 orbit determination expermment were to determine the nature and improvement in satellite orbit determination when precise altimetric heaght data are used in combination with conventional tracking data. To accomplish this, a digital orbit determination program was developed that could singly or jointly use laser ranging, C-band ranging, doppler range difference, and altimetric height data. The program edits and welghts the data and solves for the orbit initial conditions and five auxiliary parameters. The software integrity was verified by using synthetic data, thus permitting an investigation of the orbit determination procedure using several types of tracking data as well as altimeter data alone.

Soon after data became available, two intervals were selected and used in a preliminary evaluation of the GEOS-3 altimeter data. Since the altimeter data were inordinately sparse and were confined principally to one geographic region, this effort served primarily to validate the integrity of the digital orbit determination program.

After all the tracking data had become available, a detailed study was made using a span of time for which an intensive effort had been made to collect tracking data on a worldwide basis. However, again the distribution of the altimeter data was far from ideal.

With rather sparse altmeter data alone it was possible to determine the semimajor axis and eccentricity to wathin several meters and the along-track position to whthin several kilometers, in addition to determining an altimeter height bias. When used jointly with a limited amount of either c-band or laser range data, it was shown that altimeter data can improve the orbit solution.

Had altimeter data been available continously around the orbit and on a worldwide basis, more precise results could have been obtained. But this is of no consequence here; our intent was to demonstrate the practicality of using altimeter data in the ephemeris computation. The data distribution was certainly ade-quate to accomplish this goal.

### 8.0 CONCLUSIONS

The investigations undertaken here indicate that altmeter helght data can be a usefūl sourc̄e of tracking information. However, quantitative measures for the efficiency of the altimeter data for GEOS-3 were somewhat obscured by the sparsity of the data. A good distribution of data either around the orbit or geographzcally was not available. With the sparse altimeter height data alone it was possible to determine the semimajor axis and eccentricity within several meters of the reference orbit and an altimeter height bias. The along-track position of the spacecraft was determined to a precision of a few kilometers.

Conventional tracking data, i.e., doppler, C-band range, and laser range, from a single midlatitude site provides enough information to determine the satellite ephemeris. Consequently, combining altimeter height data with the conventional data does not permit orbit improvement. However, in such an'approach it is possible to determine a measure of the altimeter bias.

The study does show that for near-polar orbits, data from a conventional tracking site at the middle latitudes operating on a regular but time-sharing 8 -h shift will produce inferior orbits. However, high-precision orbits can be obtained if these data are supplemented by altimeter height data.

It had been anticipated that a part of the study would be devoted to short-arc orbit solutions. However, the intensive tracking data from several sites in the same geographzc region were not available.

### 9.0 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY

This study, although not conclusive; shows that altimeter height data of the quality obtanned from GEOS-3 can be useful for orbit determination. From the nature of the structure of the altimeter residuals, it can be concluded that the availability of a more precise geold model would have improved the orbit determination precision. Such a geold should be avaılable as a result of the geoid determination studies undertaken as part of the GEOS-3 efforts.

The SEASAT spacecraft appears to be an ideal vehicle for further orbit determination studies using altimeter data. Since SEASAT altimeter data wall be stored in the spacecraft for telemetry to ground sites, the problems experienced on GEOS-3 with data distribution should not occur. Most of the software developed for GEOS-3 investigation should be usable, with modest changes, for SEASAT.
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# Appendì ${ }^{\prime}$ A' <br> DESCRIPTION OF THE SOFTWARE MODULES 

## EPHEMERIS GENERATOR

The ephemeris generator converts a"set of infial conditions (state vector) into a table of satellite positions as a function of time by numerical solution of the inltial value problem

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{I}}=\overrightarrow{\mathrm{ma}} .
$$

The table so constructed is stored on the ephemeris file, a data set residing on an external storage device.

The numerical integrator is a predictor-corrector scheme using a Cartesian coordinate system and calling a large package of subroutines to obtain the force vector, $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{f}}$. This integrator is in turn invoked by a higher level routine on a step-by-step basis until the required ephemeris table has been created and stored on the ephemeris file. The forces modeled and integrated are:

1. Earth gravity (inputable geoid),
2. Third-body perturbation• sun and moon,
3. Earth body tides: sun and moon,
4. Atmospheric drag (Jacchıa model),
5. Sun's radiation,
6. Nutation and precession effects, and
7. Polar motion.

## ALTIMETER FORMATOR/SIFTOR

The altimeter FORMATOR/SIFTOR is the first processor to handle incoming altimeter data. Altimeter data consist of $80-$ character records, one record per altimeter data point. Since altimeter data rates are high (10 or 100 points/s), the volume of data is large. For example, at the high data rate, a $10-\mathrm{min}$ segment will result in 60000 data records. Tracking altimeter data
requires many such segments (passes) simultaneously. The high data rate exceeds by far even the most stringent tracking requirements. A rate of one point every 10 s is quite sufficient. Clearly, one of the functions of the FORMATOR/SIFTOR is to collapse the incoming data into an equivalent but much smaller set. The functions performed by the FORMATOR/SIFTOR can be summarized as follows:

1. Detect and eliminate any data point with non-numeric characters,
2. Check for monotonically uncreasing data time and eliminate data points that do not conform (detect bad tame, etc.),
3. Eliminate points with unreasonable sea-surface helght (overland data),
4. Eliminate points with unreasonably large smoothing slgma,
5. Fit a polynomal of glven order to data points in a given time segment of data (i.e., 10 s ), detect and eliminate bad points; slide the time segment along until all uncoming data are processed,
6., Break up data into pseudo passes defined by an inputable tıme gap (ı.e., start a new pass if the time gap between two successive data pounts is greater than $x$ minutes),
6. On option, compute an equivalent altimeter range for each fitted time segment at an existing data point time near the center of the span, replace the former wath the fitted value and the latter with the rms of the fitted residuals for the segment, eliminate bad points based on the rms of the fitting interval, eliminate all points in the interval if (a) rms is greater than the given threshold and (b) not enough data are avallable for fit, and
7. Save elther the full or collapsed data for subsequent processing by the Altameter EDITOR/PEF Program.

## LASER/C-BAND DATA FORMATOR/SIFTOR

Laser and C-band range data for the GEOS-3 satellite consist of 80 character records, one record per laser or C-band measurement. Our experience with short-count doppler has demonstrated clearly that the high-density data (one per 4 s ) are not necessary for good orbıt determination. Jyplcally choosing every fourth and
fifth point ls quate sufficient. Reducing the laser (C-band) data density is therefore both realistic and desirable, consequently, one of the features of the Laser/C-band SIFTOR is to aggregate or collapse the incoming raw data. The functions of the Laser/C-band Data FORMATOR/SIFIOR are as follows:

1. Detect and elmmate data points whth non-numeric characters,
2. Check for monotonically $1 n c r e a s i n g$ data tames within a gaven pass, eliminate data that do not conform,
3. Fit a polynomial of given order to data points in a given time segment (i.e., 10 s ), detect and eliminate bad points, slide the time segment along until all passes are processed,
4. Detect pass boundaries in the incoming data (a new station number signals a new pàss) and create new pass headers,
5. On option, compute an equivalent range for each fitted segment at an existing data point time near the center of the span,
6. Compute and save tropospheric parameters for later use by the EDITOR/PEF Program, and
7. Save elther the full or collapsed data for subsequent processing by the Laser/C-band EDITOR/PEF Program.

## DOPPLER FORMATOR/SIFTOR

Source doppler data can be defined as strings of numeric characters. The function of the FORMATOR is to format source data into arıthmetıc data and then to convert the data according to the formulation for that source. The FORMATOR processes one data group (pass) at a tıme. The definition of a data group is a numeric string of source data that is uniquely identified by a header record preceding the data and may have a record that trails the data. The trailing record usually provides weather information associated wath the data.

The FORMATOR performs the following tasks:

1. Prelimınary processing of data.
a. Correction to observed epochs and time intervals in accordance with time calibration information provaded in the header or otherwise.
b. Preliminary editing to delete defectıve data such as incomplete information (missing epochs, refraction counts) or unreasonable data (falls reasonable value tests).
c. Ionospheric refraction corrections to data where necessary.
2. Weather data recovery (when present).
3. Computation and saving of troposphere parameters for later use by the EDITOR.

The SIFTOR or Orbit Independent Data Editor performs data editing that does not require a satellite orbit. Data are checked for smoothness and poor data are sifted out. The scheme used is second-differencing, which requires a fairly dense data sample for proper operation. A second function performed by the SIFFIOR is data aggregation. After sifting we may elect to aggregate or condense the data for more efficient handling downstream (EDITOR/PEF).

## ALTIMETER EDITOR/PEF

The Altimeter EDITOR/PEF processes all sifted altimeter data passed to it in the sifted altimeter data file. The program Ignores pass boundaries and treats all data points in the same manner. Data are retrieved sequentially and for each data point a set of nine partials and a residual are computed. The residual is computed using an ephemeris file that must be supplied. The partials are computed using a propagated Kepler orbit. The partials and residuals for each data point are accumulated into the normal matrix and R.H.S. vector and are saved on a file for subsequent processing by the SOLVOR. The nine partials are with respect to.

1. Slx orbit parameters,
2. Altimeter range blas,
3. Altameter range-rate bias, and
4. Along-track force bias.

Data edıting is lımıted to strıpping indıvidual nolsy data points.

## LASER/C-BAND EDITOR/PEF

The Laser/C-band EDITOR/PEF processes all sifted laser/Cband data passed to it via the sifted laser/C-band data files. The laser/C-band processor performs a dual function. The program navigates each pass in the MSR coordinate system. Ignoring pass boundaries, the program computes seven orbit partials and a residual for each data point. The partials and residual are accumulated into the normal matrix and R.H.S. vector and are saved on a file for subsequent processing by the SOLVOR. The seven partials are with respect to:

1. Six orbit parameters, and
2. Along-track force bias.

In the navigation process, a range bias and a range-rate bias are determined along with the ECA and ECR errors. The blas and rate terms are used as addıtional criteria for detecting bad passes. The program has an option to remove the effects of the fitted range blas and range-rate bias from the data. (This option has not been exercised in the orbit determination experiment.) Options are available to remove the effects of the neutral atmosphere on the propagation velocity and to correct for the effects of special relativity.

## DOPPLER EDITOR/PEF

The Data Editor has the assigned task of detecting and removing spurious and nolsy data. Local smoothing (i.e., polynomial fitting) works well in detecting spurious data but fails in detecting an entire set of data that is biased though internally consastent. Bad data are detected in the doppler editor in the navigation process. The doppler editor computes pass weights that are passed to the PEF Program along with the along-track, slant-range, and frequency errors. Options are available to remove the effects of the neutral atmosphere on the propagation velocity and to correct for the efforts of special relativity.

The PEF Program computes a set of nine partials and a residual for each set of pass navigation results: ECA, ECR, and frequency. The partials and residuals are accumulated into a normal matrix and R.H.S. vector and are saved on a file for subsequent processing by the SOLVOR. The nine partials are with respect to

1. Six orbit parameters,
2. Satellite frequency,
3. Satellıte frequency drift, and
4. Along-track force bias.

## THE SOLVOR

The SOLVOR combines the four sets of normal matrices and R.H.S. vectors generated by the three EDITOR/PEF programs into a single combined matrix and R.H.S. vector. The separate data types are welghted by the number of passes each contributes to the combined normal matrix. Corrections to the 11 parameters are computed, and the inltial state vector $1 s$ updated. The 11 parameters solved for are as follows:

1. Six orbit elements $\left(A_{0}-A_{5}\right)$,
2. Satellıte frequency,
3. Satellıte frequency drıft,
4. Altimeter range bias,
5. Altimeter range-rate blas, and
6. Along-track force bias.

SOLVOR operates on any one or a combination of the four data types. Options are provided such that fewer than 11 parameters may be solved for. The six orbit parameters ( $A, I=0,1, \ldots 5$ ) are related to the Kepler corrections as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta a=-2 / 3 A_{1}, \\
& \delta e=\frac{1}{2 a} A_{3}, \\
& \delta 1=\frac{1}{a} A_{5}, \\
& \delta \Omega=\frac{1}{a \delta 1 n(1)} A_{4}, \\
& \delta \omega=\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{0}-\frac{1}{2 e} A_{2}+\cot (1) A_{4}\right), \text { and } \\
& \delta M=\frac{1}{2 a e} A_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix B MSR COORDINATE SYSTEM (DEFORMATION)



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{p}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}, \overline{\mathbb{S}}=\text { right-hand orthogonal coordinate system at the } \\
& \text { time of satellite closest approach to the avi- } \\
& \text { gator (tba), } \\
& f_{g} \quad=\text { ground (navigator or station) frequency, } \\
& f_{s} \quad=\text { satellite frequency, } \\
& \bar{\rho}=\bar{r}-\bar{x}_{N V} \text {, and } \\
& \dot{\rho}=\frac{d}{\partial t}\left|\bar{r}-\bar{r}_{N}\right|=\frac{\bar{r}-\bar{r}_{N}}{\left|r-r_{N}\right|} \cdot\left(\frac{\dot{\varphi}}{r-\bar{r}_{N}}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

At the tea $(M S R), \dot{\rho}=0$

$$
\left({\stackrel{r}{r}-\stackrel{r}{r}_{N}}\right) \cdot\left(\stackrel{\bullet}{r}-\dot{r}_{N}\right)=0
$$

or

$$
\bar{\rho} \cdot \frac{\cdot}{\rho}=0
$$

Therefore, we can define an orthogonal right-hand system at ta as

$$
\bar{\hbar} \triangleq \frac{\bar{\rho}}{|\bar{\rho}|}, \overline{\mathcal{L}} \triangleq \frac{\frac{\dot{\rho}}{}}{\left|\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho}\right|}, \quad \bar{\varepsilon} \triangleq \bar{p} \times \overline{\mathcal{L}},
$$

where $p$ is the slant range and for near-earth satellites $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ is roughly the along-track direction.

## Appendix C <br> PRELIMINARY ORBIT DETERMINATION RESULTS (SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL FOR SECTION 5.0)

Table C-1
Doppler Pass Navigation Results, 1975 Days 113 and 114
$-2.55799000 \varepsilon+01$ $-4.35898000 E+01$ $4.59070000 \mathrm{E}+00$ $-1.67050000 E+00$ $-3.60454000 E+01$ $1.84848000 \mathrm{E}+01$ $1.84848000 \mathrm{E}+01$
$1.49090000 \mathrm{~F}+00$ $-4.00584000 \mathrm{E}+01$ $9.28150000 \mathrm{E}+00$ $-1.76783000 \mathrm{E}+01$
$-4.28341000 \mathrm{E}+01$
$2.569 C 0000 \mathrm{E}+01$
$-1.44750000 \mathrm{E}+00$

1. $44750000 \mathrm{E}+00$
. $78498000 \mathrm{E}+00$
$3.78496000 \mathrm{E}+01$
$3.84182000 \mathrm{E}+01$
$3.84182000 \mathrm{E}+01$
$3.55744000 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{I}$
2. $0.0052000 \mathrm{E}+01$
$3.05052000 E+01$
$-1.68990000 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{U}$
$1.68990000 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{O}$
$3.02181000 \mathrm{E}+01$
$3.02181000 E+01$
$-1.22223000 E+01$
$3.71886000 \mathrm{E}+01$
$1.39038000 \mathrm{E}+01$
$3.58190000 \mathrm{E}+01$
$4.86693000 E+01$
$4.10416000 \mathrm{E}+01$
$-108680000 \mathrm{E}+00$
h. $75080000 \mathrm{E}+00$
$-3.40100000 E+00$ $3.55056000 E+01$ $-0.71790000 \mathrm{E}+00$ $-161024000 \mathrm{E}+01$ $8.06530000 \mathrm{E}+00$ $-9.60210000 \mathrm{E}+00$ $-6.89240000 \mathrm{E}+00$ -6. $10610000 \mathrm{E}+00$ -6.106 $10000 \mathrm{E}+00$ - $1.19370000 \mathrm{E}+00$
$1.80340000 \mathrm{E}+00$
$1.80340000 \mathrm{E}+00$
$1.57324000 \mathrm{E}+01$
$2.96739000 \mathrm{E}+01$
1.06271000E+01
3. $28507000 \varepsilon+01$
$4.53936000 \mathrm{E}+01$
$4.83641000 E+01$
$2.16877000 \mathrm{E}+01$ 2. $\angle 3297000 \mathrm{E}+01$ $-5.57386000 \mathrm{E}+02$ $-2.30893000 E+01$
$5.36722000 E+01$
$7.3+282000 \mathrm{E}+01$
$6.62421000 E+01$
7.16167000E+01

Along Track (m)

Along Track (m)
$1.72252920 \mathrm{E}+00$ $-5.80075420 \mathrm{E}+00$ $4.26141313 E+00$
$-2.58071092 E+00$ $-2.58071092 \mathrm{E}+00$ - $6.70948385 E+01$ $6.69624159 \mathrm{E}+00$
$9.99307652 \mathrm{E}-01$ $-1.85098313 E+01$ $4.87318053 E+00$ $-6.51513777 E+00$ $-1.58594143 \mathrm{E}+01$ 2. $55741638 E+00$ -. 3812506 +00 $2.56597210 E+00$ 1.5365210E+00 $1.33658811 E+01$ $1.15184880 E+01$
$1.54469323 E+01$ $1.54469323 \mathrm{E}+01$ $1.64733854 \mathrm{E}+01$ $1.16708225 \mathrm{E}+01$ $1.96708225 \mathrm{E}+01$
$1.90478396 \mathrm{E}+01$ $1.90478396 \mathrm{E}+01$
$-9.60812567 \mathrm{E}+00$ $2.10655226 \mathrm{E}+01$ $2.10655226 \mathrm{E}+01$
$5.90276433 \mathrm{E}+00$ 9. $51530432 \mathrm{E}+00$ $2.02728614 \mathrm{E}+01$ $9.29419399 \mathrm{E}+00$ $7.36030490 \mathrm{E}+00$ 1. $80614356 \mathrm{E}+01$ 1.26945481E+01 $5.25055176 \mathrm{E}-02$ $2.07554723 \mathrm{E}+01$ $1.23935564 \mathrm{E}+01$ $5.37215245 \mathrm{E}-01$ $2.33757461 \mathrm{E}+01$ 1.59871013E+01 $1.89575508 \mathrm{E}+00$ 2. $2.00356933 \mathrm{E}+01$ 8. $51610707 \mathrm{E}-01$ 2. 780507 95E $2.39678916 E+01$ $3.14558226 \mathrm{E}+00$ $2.76203989 E+01$ $223673296 \mathrm{E}+01$ $3.28237892 \mathrm{E}+01$ $3.28404892 E+01$
$3.0840422 E+01$ $2.23350015 E+01$ $3.47597816 E+01$ $3.35386729 E+01$ 2.90764482E+01 2.95220892E+01 2. $57061661 E+01$ $1.91681597 \mathrm{~F}+01$ 3.13929636E+01 2.50337990E+01

## Resid

$-2.730242925+01$ $-3.77830658 E+01$ 3.24286873E-01 $7.10210919 \mathrm{E}-01$ $-1.89505615 \mathrm{E}+01$ $1.17895580 E+01$ $4.91592348 \mathrm{E}-01$ $-2.154856875+01$ $4.40831947 E+00$ $-1.11631622 E+01$ $-2.69746857 E+01$ $2.31325836 \mathrm{E}+01$ $2.44833409 \mathrm{E}+02$
-4.94590066 E $3.94590066 \mathrm{E}-02$ $3.44837109 E+01$ $3.44837189 E+01$
$2.61198120 \mathrm{E}+01$ 2. $29712677 \mathrm{E}+01$ $2.14723816 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{O}^{2}$ $1.95318146 \mathrm{E}+01$ $-1.33607225 t+01$ $1.11702604 E+01$ $1.11702604 E+01$
$-2.61414433 E+00$ $-2.61414433 E+00$
$1.61230774 \mathrm{E}+01$ $1.98065043 \mathrm{E}+01$ $2.63036957 \mathrm{E}+01$ $2.83964386 \mathrm{E}+01$ $3.17474060 \mathrm{E}+01$ $-8.44690990 E+00$ $-1.23106350 E+01$ $-1.61555481 E+01$ $3.54530945 E+01$ $-2.74753723 \mathrm{E}+01$ $-2.84959564 \mathrm{E}+01$ $7.52808475 \mathrm{E}+00$ $-3.30578461 t+01$ $-2.26795013 E+01$ $-1.95539551 E+01$ $-2.20343933 \mathrm{E}+01$ $-3.95031071 \mathrm{E}+00$ -2. $60016785 \mathrm{E}+01$ - $-1.17479916 \mathrm{E}+01$ $-1.17479916 E+01$ $1.25868177 E+01$ $-1.17402296 E+01$ $602691078 \mathrm{E}+00$ 1.45531778E+01 $1.60290985 \mathrm{E}+01$ $-1.30720816 \mathrm{E}+01$ $-1.12089729 E+0$ $-8.48150482 \mathrm{E}+0$ $-5.26113892 \mathrm{E}+0$ $2.79660339 E+01$ $5.42600403 E+01$
$3.48491364 E+01$
$4.65829010 E+01$

Slant Range ( m )

| Obsvd | Pred | Resid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8545000E+01 | $1.12367422 \dot{\varepsilon}+01$ | $6.61775780 E+00$ |
| $1.33997000 E+01$ | $8.12403662 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.27566338 E+00$ |
| $1.18620000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.05734353 \mathrm{~F}+01$ | 1. $28856468 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $2.42488000{ }^{\text {+ }}$ O 1 | $1.25247523 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{O}$ | 1.17240477E+01 |
| $1.21774000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.99412001 E+00$ | $5.18327999 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{CO}$ |
| $2.13481000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.01618960 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{~L}$ | $1.11862040 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $3.09190000 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 1.0762 2007E + 01 | $201567993 E+01$ |
| -3.85820000E+00 | -4.20747684E-01 | -3.43745232E+00 |
| $2.37359000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.23745640 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.64984436 E+01$ |
| $3.96316000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.132500ヶ2E+01 | $2.83065948 E+01$ |
| $6.89430000 \mathrm{E}+30$ | $5.88054611 \mathrm{t}+00$ | $1.01375389 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| -2.77908000E+01 | -9.32531538E+J0 | -1.84654846E+01 |
| $2.11960000 t+\mathrm{J} 1$ | $4.82447900 t+0 \cup$ | $1.63715210 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $3.67358000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.369024+9 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.73667755 E+01$ |
| -4.0590300JE+01 | -1.18112167E+01 | -2.87790833E+01 |
| -3.08260000E+00 | -3.36648989E+30 | $2.83889890 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| $1.74372000 \mathrm{e}+01$ | $4.44582122 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.29913788 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| -1.73580000E+01 | 2.16845703E-03 | -1.73601685E+01 |
| $1.23163000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.29104766 E+00$ | $6.02525234 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $2.33800000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.84024722 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -6.60644722E+00 |
| -3.14283000E+01 | -9.07971296E+00 | -2.23485870E+01 |
| -1.86776000E+01 | -2 30603323E+00 | -1.03715668E+01 |
| -2.63740000E+00 | -1.68956736E +0 | -9.47332644 |
| -3.08780000E+30 | $1.58109191 E+00$ | -4.65889191E+00 |
| $6.06400000 \overline{+}+00$ | 2.25384981E+00 | $8.10750186 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| $-1.29548000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -8.94072663E+0U | -4.01407337E+00 |
| -7.67170000E+00 | -4.97429991E+00 | -2.69740009E+00 |
| $9.87700000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -1.20172515E+01 | $1.33049515 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $7.26220000 E+00$ | $1.11526982 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-3.89049816 E+00$ |
| $2.57270000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.23504348 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -9.77773476E+00 |
| $1.42803000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 7.88569532E +00 | $6.39460468 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $2.52090000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -2.80351616E+J0 | 0 |
| $2.42020000 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{JO}$ | $1.149472495+01$ | -9.07452488E+00 |
| $1.57694000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.11879671 E+00$ | $7.65060329 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $1.11319000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1.96534140E +00 | $1.30972414 E+01$ |
| -6.20620000E+00 | $107556835 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1.69618d35 +01 |
| $3.10500000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.30625157 E+01$ | $-9.95751572 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $6.73480000 E+00$ | $5.61315789 \mathrm{+}+00$ | $1.1216421 L E+00$ |
| -3.46430000E +00 | $1.02509729 E+Q 1$ | $-1.37152729 E+01$ |
| $2.14700000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1. $14294497 E+01$ | -9.28244972E+00 |
| $-1.34840000 E+00$ | -2.11280567E +00 | $7.64405668 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| -1.24750000E+00 | 1.001666 U2E +01 | $-1.12641602 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| -7.26000000E +00 | $8.052061318+00$ | -1.53120613E+01 |
| $1.35655000 E+J 1$ | $4.87876103 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.686738975+00$ |
| -7.69240000E+00 | $5.24556158 \mathrm{c}+00$ | -1 $29379616 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $-1.98471000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1.14185426E+01 | $-8.42855740 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| -9.54970000E +00 | -3.46042178E+00 | -6.08927822E+00 |
| -5.02250000E +00 | $4.37971500 E+30$ | $-9.40221500 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $3.45900000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.06050438 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -6.71460438E+00 |
| -1.65062000E+01 | -1.55644580E-01 | -1.63505554E+01 |
| -7.14600000E-01 | $5.943087196+00$ |  |
| $1.52533000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -8.56493730E+00 | $2.38182373 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $2.15293000 E+01$ | $-1.16322338 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.318 .15338 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| -1.30074000E+01 | 1.16419530E+01 | -2.46493530E+01 |
| $2.85996000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -3.81005271E+00 |  |
| -1.90241000E+01 | $8.41178257 E+00$ | $-2.74358826 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| -5.97430000E+00 |  |  |

Table C-2
Orbit Initial Conditions for 1975 Days 113 and 114

## Cartesian Elements

| System | Epoch |  |  | Frequency Offset (ppm) | $x\left(R_{0}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{R}_{0}\right)$ | $z\left(R_{0}\right)$ | $\mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{R}_{0} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | $Y\left(\mathrm{R}_{0} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{R}_{0} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ys | day | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| True of Date | 75 | 113 | 0 | -50 014940 | -0 4714078877 | 04278658466 | 09359088321 | $117353858 \times 10^{-4}$ | $107530042 \times 10^{-3}$ | -4 $31526935 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Mean of 1950 | 75 | 113 | 0 | -50 014944 | -0 4666234493 | 04305314782 | 09370831834 | $122430225 \times 10^{-4}$ | $107462273 \times 10^{-3}$ | -4 $31804916 \times 10^{-4}$ |

## Osculating Kepler Mlements

| System | Epoch |  |  | Frequency Offset (ppm) | a ( $R_{0}$ ) | e | i (rad) | $\Omega$ (rad) | $\omega$ (rad) | M (rad) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | yr | day | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| True of Date | 75 | 113 | 0 | -50014940 | 11311360913 | $9626921 \times 10^{-4}$ | 20071447851 | -14923754406 | -0 3691128899 | 23604258925 |
| Mean of 1950 | 75 | 113 | 0 | -50 014944 | 11311360831 | $9626608 \times 10^{-4}$ | 20046664192 | -1 4981923442 | -0 369150506 | 23603359058 |

Doppler Data Navigatıon Results, 1975 Days 115 and 116

| Yr | Day | $s$ | ECA (m) | ECR (m) | Elev (deg) | Sta | SAT | Az (m) | Pass* | Weight code** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 | 115 | 798.014 | 15.2004 | 6.0152 | 22.027 | 019 | 01175 | 348.601 | S |  |
| 75 | 115 | 3530.615 | -0.6278 | 17.5040 | 38.987 | 018 | 01175 | 30.947 |  |  |
| 75 | 115 | 4014.102 | 3.9754 | -1.1496 | 22.367 | 018 | 01175 | 153.000 | S |  |
| 75 | 115 | 4744.896 | -0.1605 | 2.9030 | 47.011 | 103 | 01175 | 307.374 | S |  |
| 75 | 115 | 9440.322 | -2.1865 | 7.5714 | 68.874 | 016 | 01175 | 230.073 |  |  |
| 75 | 115 | 10530.797 | 5.7162 | 3.0434 | 11.486 | 014 | 01175 | 109.822 | S |  |
| 75 | 115 | 13412.3 .17 | -9.3113 | L.2913 | 32.840 | 112 | 01175 | 131.795 | S |  |
| 75 | 115 | 21744.138 | -6.9845 | -9.2543 | 12.773 | 111 | 01175 | 32.016 |  |  |
| 75 | 115 | 22047.073 | 0.9090 | -4.1358 | 17.905 | 018 | 01175 | 221.043 |  |  |
| 75 | 115 | 22484.461 | 2.0954 | -1.1593 | 80.190 | 014 | 01175 | 149.809 | 5 |  |
| 75 | 115 | 26476.453 | -13.4598 | -4. 6019 | 23.002 | C08 | 01175 | 64.503 |  |  |
| 75 | 115 | 27607.428 | 5.3035 | -12.1758 | 52.899 | 111 | 01175 | 49.583 |  | $\sigma$ |
| 75 | 115 | 28402.548 | 13.2030 | -5.58 35 | 62.256 | C14 | 01175 | 351.634 | S |  |
| 75 | 115 | 32392.862 | -18.2674 | -5.5841 | 39.400 | 008 | 01175 | 235.580 |  |  |
| 75 | 115 | 33670.564 | -7.2624 | $-4.5898$ | 23.936 | 103 | 01175 | 47.121 |  |  |
| 75 | 115 | 39483.652 | 7.1359 | 17.5189 | 27.209 | 192 | 01175 | 244.199 |  | $\sigma$ |
| 75 | 115 | 40235.232 | -12.4370 | 6.1391 | 74.715 | 014 | 01175 | 214.181 |  |  |
| 75 | 115 | 46196.680 | -11.4348 | 11.3906 | 29.662 | 014 | 01175 | 234.427 |  |  |
| 75 | 115 | 63033.772 | 3.4257 | -5.1457 | 19.914 | 024 | 01175 | 235.858 |  |  |
| 75 | 115 | 64172.553 | -1.4738 | 7.2306 | 79.824 | C27 | 01175 | 235.683 |  |  |
| 75 | 115 | 65575.475 | -13.9241 | 16.0229 | 46.830 | 016 | 01175 | 124.983 | S |  |
| 75 | 113 | 66904.207 | 19.5520 | -25.2495 | 62.396 | 008 | 01175 | 122.256 | S | $\sigma$ |
| 75 | 115 | 69036.257 | 3.0134 | -3.7412 | 16.165 | 112 | 01175 | 67.069 |  |  |
| 75 | 115 | 69916.232 | 5.3944 | 0.4843 | 33.833 | 022 | 01175 | 57.653 |  |  |
| 75 | 115 | 71500.297 | -0.7042 | 7.9524 | 50.587 | 016 | 01175 | 323.662 | S |  |
| 75 | 115 | 72889.343 | 10.8657 | 0.9739 | 14.024 | 008 | 01175 | 294.026 | s |  |
| 75 | 115 | 74974.446 | 12.2847 | -0.7633 | 70.947 | 112 | 01175 | 234.622 |  |  |
| 75 | 115 | 78109.674 | 76.2159 | -103.7481 | 16.346 | 111 | 01175 | 112.600 | S | $\sigma, \mathrm{N}$ |
| 75 | 115 | 83222.289 | 20.9296 | 13.9758 | 20.505 | 016 | 01175 | 5.506 |  |  |
| 75 | 115 | 84352.379 | 21.9030 | 4.3615 | 14.902 | 103 | 01175 | 112.766 | S |  |
| 75 | 116 | 2679.568 | 7.6120 | 17.65 23 | 32.666 | C16 | 01175 | 26.591 |  |  |
| 75 | 116 | 3534.739 | 13.8502 | -5.9323 | 17.581 | 111 | 01175 | 323.465 | S |  |
| 75 | 116 | 9144.637 | 9.3029 | -1.0610 | 26.004 | 018 | 01175 | 170.768 | S |  |
| 75 | 116 | 9644.969 | 10.7712 | -1.4874 | 7.897 | 014 | 01175 | 105.420 | S | E |
| 75 | 116 | 10961.693 | 10.6851 | -2.2729 | 43.427 | 024 | 01175 | 121.336 | 5 |  |
| 15 | 116 | 14532.749 | 2.8076 | 2.9460 | 22.248 | 016 | 01175 | 243.871 |  |  |
| 75 | 116 | 15659.820 | 1.8151 | 5.1236 | 29.728 | 014 | 01175 | 125.555 | S |  |
| 75 | 116 | 17563.215 | 9.7290 | -5.6689 | 23.268 | 112 | 01175 | 135.214 | S |  |
| 75 | 116 | 21621.147 | -11.4475 | 0.5224 | 74.743 | 014 | 01175 | 145.839 | S |  |
| 75 | 116 | 23460.640 | 8.4700 | -1.7268 | 59.960 | 112 | 01175 | 300.753 | S |  |
| 75 | 116 | 28296.261 | 13.4423 | 5.6189 | 34.687 | 027 | 01175 | 117.890 | S |  |
| 75 | 116 | 31537.829 | -4.4090 | -1.8914 | 62.975 | 008 | 01175 | 237.804 |  | $\sigma$ |
| 75 | 116 | 23453.258 | -11.8109 | -5.5626 | 62.263 | 014 | 01175 | 8.469 |  |  |
| 75 | 116 | 38710.258 | -15.8013 | 1.8552 | 80.660 | 103 | 01175 | 238.216 |  |  |
| 75 | 116 | 39371.833 | -19.5112 | 1.3082 | 86.173 | 014 | 01175 | 209.931 |  |  |
| 75 | 116 | 40068.778 | -94.0184 | 80.7400 | 10.403 | 027 | 01175 | 331.026 | S | $\sigma, \mathrm{N}$ |
| 15 | 116 | 56272.202 | -5.0475 | 16.6241 | 24. 804 | 024 | 01175 | 63.323 |  |  |
| 75 | 116 | 57437.656 | -34.0555 | 21.3722 | 16.098 | 027 | 01175 | 35.402 |  | $\sigma$ |
| 75 | 116 | 62942.475 | -43.0929 | -2.3402 | 17.957 | 023 | 01175 | 55.805 |  |  |
| 75 | 116 | 64706.731 | -32.9910 | 11.7104 | 34.309 | C16 | 01175 | 121.190 | S |  |
| 75 | 110 | $6 \in 109.339$ | -7.3378 | -55.0364 | 37.134 | 008 | 01175 | 124.498 | S | $\sigma$ |
| 75 | 116 | 68165.251 | -24.9579 | -5.3136 | 9.390 | 112 | 01175 | 09.516 |  |  |
| 75 | 116 | 70644.019 | -21.4031 | 2.2751 | 64.756 | C16 | 01175 | 319.543 | S |  |
| 75 | 116 | 77236.423 | -24.0099 | $-10.66<{ }^{\prime}$ | 9.861 | 111 | 01175 | 109.774 | S |  |
| 75 | 116 | 80003.803 | -5.1451 | 3.0100 | 1 1. 755 | 112 | 01175 | 222.557 |  |  |
| 75 |  | 8319 | 3.6854 | -2 | 71.602 | 111 | 01175 | 123.229 |  |  |

[^4]

Fig C-1 GEOS-3 Spatial Distribution of Altimeter Data, 1975 Days 113 to 114

Doppler Sites
Sao Jose Dos Campos

| 014 | Anchorage, AK |
| :--- | :--- |
| 016 | Barton Stacey |
| 018 | Thule |
| 019 | McMurdo |
| 022 | San Miguel |


| 024 | Tafuna |
| :--- | :--- |
| 027 | Mizusawa |
| 103 | Las Cruces |
| 111 | Maryland |
| 112 | Smithfield |
| 192 | Austın, TX |



Fig C-2 Doppler Tracking Sites, 1975 Days 115 and 116
ORIGINAL PAGE IS
OF POOR QUALITYI

| 4260 | Pillar Point | 7063 | Greenbelt, MD | No data available from Station 4741 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4281 | Canton Island | 7068 | Grand Turk, BWI | Data from stations 4281, 4282, 4260 deleted from track |
| 4282 | Kaena Pt, HA |  |  |  |
| 4610 | Ely, NV |  |  |  |
| 4760 | Bermuda |  |  |  |
| 4840 | Wallops Island, VA |  |  |  |
| 4860 | Wallops Island, VA |  |  |  |



Fig C-3 C-Band and Laser Tracking Sites, 1975 Days 115 and 116


Fig C-4 GEOS-3 Spatial Distribution of Altımeter Data, 1975 Days 115 and 116

Appendix D
ORBIT DETERMINATION WITH GEM-9 USING 1976 DATA (SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL FOR SECTION 6.0)

Table D-1
GEM-9 Statıon Coordınates

| Station No | Data <br> Type | Location | Geocentric Coordanates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Latrtude (rad) | Longltude (rad) | Radius ( $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ ) |
| 4150 | CBD | Green Rıver, UT | 0677030269 | -1 92180161 | 0.99888036 |
| 4198 | CBD | Whate Sands, NM | 0.56284717 | -1 85686156 | 099922445 |
| 4280 | CBD | Vandenburg AFB, CA | 0.601896824 | -2.104544838 | 099893066 |
| 4446 | CBD | Pt Mugu, CA | 0.592443649 | $-2.079644113$ | 099894376 |
| 4452 | CBD | Makaha Ridge, HA | 0.383952408 | -2 787751009 | 0.99960360 |
| 4742 | CBD | Kaual, HA | 0383792592 | -2.786692272 | 099970751 |
| 4760 | CBD | Bermuda | 0.561548061 | $-1.128416818$ | 099904014 |
| 4840 | CBD | Wallops, VA | 0.657206826 | -1 31746335 | 0.99873676 |
| 4860 | CBD | Wallops, VA | 0.657537954 | -1.317889779 | 099873755 |
| 4958 | CBD | Kwajalein Island | 0.15121800 | 2927379589 | 099992056 |
| 4959 | CBD | Kwajalein Island | 0151217425 | 2.927379927 | 099991770 |
| 4960 | CBD | Wettzel, West Germany | 0854418728 | 0.224758355 | - 99818780 |
| 7067 | LSR | Bermuda | 0.5616506724 | -1 12846300 | 0999038305 |
| 7068 | LSR | Guantanamo, Cuba | 03722772268 | -1.241486555 | 09995476591 |
| 7069 | LSR | Patrick AFB, FL | 0.489878977 | -1 40683607 | 099924733 |
| 008 | DOP | Sao Jose Dos Campos, Brazil | 0.40279387 | -0 80057775 | 099957400 |
| 014 | DOP | Anchorage, AK | 1.06675988 | -2 61494325 | 0997434527 |
| 018 | DOP | Thule, Greenland | 1.33433035 | -1. 20023599 | 099683783 |
| 019 | DOP | Mcmurdo, Antarctica | -1.3573110 | 290900804 | 099678968 |
| 103 | DOP | Las Cruces, NM | 0.56034612 | -1.86320747 | 099922999 |
| 111 | DOP | Howard County, MD | 0.680244373 | -1.34210217 | 0998682741 |
| 112 | DOP | Smithfield, Australıa | -0.60203483 | 241998025 | 099892193 |

$R_{0}=6378.166 \mathrm{~km}$, used for scale length only, no physacal significance

Table D-2
Initial Conditions for Base Ephemerides

| S | Epoch |  |  | Posation ( $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ ) |  |  | Velocity ( $\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{0} / \mathrm{ks}$ ) |  |  | Satellite <br> Frequency Offset ( $\mathrm{Hz} / \mathrm{MHz}$ ) | Altameter |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | yr | day | s | X | Y | Z | X | Y | Z |  | Blas (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Drıft } \\ (\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{d} \mathrm{~g}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 1976 | 62 | 0 | -07570435916 | -0 3521877288 | -0 7640123509 | 03047283166 | 08776691387 | -07030347347 | -50 00491 | 63 | 08 |
| 2 | $79^{2} 76$ | 64 | 0 | 04079978211 | 09593416780 | -0 4377777760 | 06494182970 | 01614902816 | 09556182991 | - -5000474 | 32 | 204 |


| S | Epoch |  |  | Osculations Keplex Flements |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | yr | day | 5 | $\mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{R}_{0}\right)$ | e | i(rad) | $\Omega(\mathrm{rad})$ | $\omega$ (rad) | M(rac) |
| 1 | 1976 | 62 | 0 | 1 $3317939153^{-}$ | - 002024954992 | 20070065633 | 087611017645 | -07452598993 | -1 5520266738 |
| 2 | 2976 | 64 | 0 | 1132662435 | 0002099181105 | 20068392904 | 09717403124 | -10310177288 | 1 0 5873504512 |

$\mathrm{R}_{0}=6378166 \mathrm{~km}$

Table D-3
Doppler Navigation Results (base run)


Table D-4
Doppler Navigation Results (base run) Span 2


# Table D－5 <br> C－Band Navigatıon Results（base run） Span 1 

| $Y_{k}$ | JnY | ster | F（A） 1 ） | ECR（t） | ELV | STA | SAT ALY |  | v／S | RANGE <br> BIAS <br> （m） | RANGE <br> DRIFT <br> （km／day） | RESIDUALS | $\begin{gathered} \text { DELETION } \\ \text { CODE * } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | $6 ?$ | 47340.633 | 3．2075 | J 1045 | 3 CL 435 | 4150 | 750270121.738 |  | 5 | －1 904 | 001 | 1727 |  |
| 76 | 62 | 53741－853 | －4．3406 | J．0391 | 27．549 | 4150 | 75u270－42 549 | S | 5 | 3742 | 317 | 2250 |  |
| 70 | 6.2 | 76447.315 | －3．4774 | － 3,37 | 22．773 | 4150 | $750270 \quad 40.402$ |  |  | －． 886 | 1830 | 2616 | D |
| 70 | 51 | 82343． 330 | 6． 1517 | $-5.1++6$ | 73． 272 | 4150 | 7502．70－123．533 |  |  | 1425 | 202 | 1.793 |  |
| 75 | 65 | 40475740 | －1．6816 | 1.4320 | 3）． 164 | 4150 | 75027 C 118.745 |  | 5 | － 146 | － 837 | 1882 |  |
| 76 | 63 | 52390.770 | －1．8010 | －3 0）64 | 37.757 | 4150 | 750770－46．201 | S | 5 | 220 | 933 | I 957 | D |
| 7 c | 63 | 81475．658 | $4.306 t 5$ | 1．6341 | 77 637 | 4150 | $750270 \quad 53.676$ |  |  | －2 227 | － 216 | 1852 |  |
| 75 | 02 | 414．33．949 | 2．3519 | －.- .9569 | 73．537 | 4198 | 750270－55．759 | S | 5 | －5 238 | － 098 | 1.289 |  |
| 70 | 62 | L2222．766 | －0．7492 | U． 3367 | －1．371 | 4198 | 750270－122．905 |  |  | －3 054 | 377 | 910 |  |
| 70 | 63 | $4654412 ?$ | －3 1695 | ）． 0341 | 74.482 | 4198 | 750270121.645 | S | S | －5 486 | 367 | 1316 |  |
| 75 | 63 | 81363.445 | 3.2471 | 2.0555 | 66． 312 | 4198 | $750270 \quad 55.324$ |  |  | －5 341 | 074 | 1333 |  |
| 76 | 33 | ＋66．14 4）9 | －3 965 | －1．5757 | 21.672 | 4280 | 750270114.476 |  | S | 2205 | － 536 | 1203 |  |
| 76 | 63 | 52334272 | －1 9573 | J． 1335 | 55．301 | 4280 | 75027n－52．676 | S | S | 3.958 | － 207 | 1089 |  |
| 75 | 03 | 21ヶ14．163 | －2．7227 | －2．7ヶ23 | 28． 253 | 4280 | 75027046.955 |  |  | －1852 | 939 | 1.111 | D |
| 76 | $t 2$ | 47471.363 | －0．5466 | －3．3276 | 3 B －Ju3 | 4446 | 750270117.839 | S | S | 827 | ． 283 | 1.163 |  |
| 70 | 62 | 82347． 265 | －2．7273 | －1．6783 | 43.512 | 4446 | $750270 \quad 51.149$ |  |  | $-1341$ | ＋．957 | $1476$ | D |
| 76 | 63 | 4 c 604.420 | －6． 7601 | 1．5345 | 24349 | ＋446 | $750270115.278$ | \＄ | 5 | －4 076 | 1597 | $1869$ | D |
| 76 | 63 | 31494445 | 1.6617 | －2．0555 | 30.387 | 4446 | $750270 \quad 47 \quad 872$ |  |  | $-3.430$ | 067 | $1386$ |  |
| 76 | 42 | 3773.685 | －2 3170 | 3.1119 | 71.313 | 4452 | $750270 \quad 58.923$ |  |  | －8 962 | － 788 | 1393 |  |
| 76 | 63 | 7317.017 | －3 0931 | －2 2942 | 43.963 | 4452 | 750270 56．608 |  |  | －7．769 | 420 | 1.554 |  |
| 76 | 63 | 13855.232 | －6． 6146 | 1.0544 | 1才＊ 750 | 4452 | 750270－115．235 |  |  | －13 505 | $-\quad 075$ | $1683$ |  |
| 76 | 63 | 58923．261 | －4．0709 | 1.7372 | 78.351 | 4452 | 750270－59．201 | S | 5 | －5 648 | $-\quad 405$ | $1294$ |  |
| 76 | 62 | 82381.554 | 1.3757 | －5．6394 | 31.820 | 4610 | 750270 54．281 |  |  | 377 | 031 | ． 869 |  |
| 75 | 63 | 46530．449 | 08177 | 4.4776 | 26．745 | 4610 | 750270115.988 | S | S | － 630 | －． 061 | ． 993 |  |
| 76 | 63 | $52423.498$ | $2.1990$ | －4．7711 | 52701 | 4610 | $750270-49.375$ | S | S | －1 369 | ． 116 | $926$ |  |
| 76 | 63 | $8152^{3} 283$ | 3.3634 | $05111$ | 56．359 | 4010 | $750270 \quad 50.326$ |  |  | －2 746 | 236 | $1080$ |  |
| 76 | 62 | 8773.068 | －5．8423 | －0．5317 | 71.337 | 4742 | $750270 \quad 58.903$ |  |  | －8．533 | －． 078 | 831 |  |
| 75 | 62 | 59777.863 | －5．9345 | －4．8352 | 48517 | 4742 | 750270－56．947 | S | S | ． 516 | 170 | 1．879 |  |
| 76 | 63 | $13834.683$ | －7．1555 | $1.0568$ | 19.829 | 4742 | 750270－115．221 |  |  | －2 014 | ． 340 | $1167$ |  |
| 70 | 03 | 53922．372 | －4．9742 | 3.3294 | 77．911 | 4742 | 750270－59．074 | S | S | －8．743 | $\text { - } \quad 074$ | ． 994 |  |
| 76 | 62 | 35256.867 | 2.7288 | 4.3721 | 56.932 | 4760 | 750270 119．950 | S | S | －5 631 | $-\quad 582$ | 1.075 |  |
| 76 | 02 | 41154．349 | －1．2196 | －2．3565 | 22．848 | 4760 | 750270－46．475 | S |  | －3 590 | 348 | ． 992 |  |
| 76 | $\bigcirc 2$ | $73077.756$ | $1.8485$ | 1.3406 | 511134 | $47+0$ | $750270 \quad 53.374$ |  |  | －5 385 | 474 | ． 943 |  |
| 70 | 02 | $76004623$ | $0.1595$ | $2.4551$ | 21.795 | 4760 | 750270－114．697 |  |  | －4．070 | $.128$ | $.946$ |  |
| 70 | 03 | 34392.679 | －0．9835 | 9．8759 | 36．534 | 4760 | 750270117.421 | 5 | 5 | －2．605 | －． 259 | 871 |  |
| 76 | 63 | 40304.186 | －0．5802 | －2．7411 | 32．369 | 4760 | 750270－49．738 | 5 | 5 | －2．406 | －． 031 | 875 |  |
| 76 | 63 | $69223558$ | $1.8744$ | －2．6050 | $34+402$ | 4760 | $750270 \quad 50.269$ |  |  | －3 247 | 077 | $.804$ |  |
| 70 | 63 | $75137.525$ | $2.4895$ | $0.2396$ | 33902 | 4760 | 750270－117．107 |  |  | －2 597 | ． 326 | ． 914 |  |
| 70 | 62 | 70240.227 | 7.2130 | －2．4）17 | 35.806 | 4840 | $750270 \quad 46.741$ |  |  | －4 951 | 939 | 1.617 | D |
| 76 | 62 | 74154．690 | －1．5213 | 5.0212 | 39.202 | 4840 | 753270－118．687 |  |  | －1 462 | 1240 | 1.602 | D |
| 76 | 63 | $+03<0.83 \AA$ | 1.1863 | 1.8218 | 81.977 | 4840 | 750270124.183 | S | S | －5 017 | ． 390 | $1.652$ |  |
| 76 | 63 | $46203416$ | $-2.0687$ | $-23755$ | 23． 460 | 4840 | $750270-39.998$ | \＄ | S | －2．646 | 1.245 | $1.535$ | D |
| 70 | 03 | $69389.865$ | －0．4378 | $2.1560$ | 25．4b7 | 4860 | $750270 \quad 43 \quad 106$ |  |  | －11．649 | －4．921 | $3423$ | B，D，$\sigma$ |
| 76 | 63 | 72269.960 | －7．3171 | $-4.1+27$ | 59397 | 4860 | 750270－121．751 |  |  | －9．127 | －2 231 | 3.062 | $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{O}$ |
| 76 | 02 | 20636．579 | －0．2550 | 3． 3024 | 44.475 | 4958 | 750270－118．052 |  |  | －382 805 | 16223 | 2484 | D |
| 76 | 62 | 20134．438 | 16.0524 | 7.4557 | 43.409 | 4958 | 750270－59 929 | S | S | $-382191$ | 11173 | 2.573 | D |
| 76 | 63 | 15797.453 | －10．0076 | 12.7402 | 15． 766 | 4958 | 750270－118．856 |  |  | $-388009$ | 12841 | 1932 | D |
| 76 | 42 | 14755．330 | －0．0594 | －25．3222 | 17．126 | 4959 | $750270 \quad 57.572$ |  |  | 409.407 | 13467 | 2． 390 | D |
| 70 | 62 | 2， 6536.596 | 0.1678 | 2.8550 | 44476 | 4950 | 750270－118．043 |  |  | 386.234 | 14.583 | 3038 | D，$\sigma$ |
| 70 | 02 | 66134 S3 B | 3.1973 | 152269 | 43.410 | 4950 | $750270-59.929$ | S | S | 381.768 | 12.328 | 2.260 | D |
| 76 | 63 | 19757.371 | －14．3111 | 15．8738 | $75 \quad 966$ | 4959 | 750270－119．025 |  |  | $384861$ | 12718 | 3211 | D，$\sigma$ |
| 76 | 62 | $285 \mathrm{J7.417}$ | 106564 | 1.2398 | $26 \quad 363$ | 4960 | 750270－25 636 | S | S | －9 878 | － 159 | 448 |  |
| 76 | 02 | 3435u． 332 | －11．8365 | －3．0980 | 16570 | 4960 | $750270-4.451$ | 5 | 5 | 5856 | 3100 | 1.870 | D |
| 76 | 62 | 40184.990 | 1.7341 | －3．1－92 | 20．161 | 4960 | $75027 \mathrm{C} \quad 17.137$ |  |  | 5332 | $-.510$ | 2145 |  |
| 70 | $\checkmark 2$ | $46050403$ | 5.3930 | 2.2505 | 44.392 | 4960 | $750270 \quad 37.374$ |  |  | 1034 | － 220 | 1.518 |  |
| 76 | 02 | 51969．662 | $-3.455$ | $5.375^{\circ}$ | 49757 | 4960 | 750270－124．655 |  |  | 3514 | ＋ 086 | 1.543 |  |
| 76 | 63 | 15303．964 | －16．0393 | 71202 | 19.346 | 4960 | 750270113.930 | S | S | －6 101 | 1309 | $2131$ | D |
| 7 s | 01 | 21761．131 | －8 8620 | －3．2955 | 84.408 | 4960 | 750270130.873 | S | S | 2121 | $-301$ | $1702$ |  |
| $77^{7}$ | 63 | 27658.410 | $-2.1364$ | －3．3658 | 31415 | 4960 | 750270－30．002 | S | 5 | 2491 | 290 | $\text { I } 560$ |  |
| 70 | 43 | 33500.214 | $-16.1730$ | －7．22＋1 | 17．344 | 4960 | $750270 \quad-9.134$ | S |  | 8280 | 3.555 | $2108$ | D |
| 76 | 63 | 30341.113 | 2.3701 | －7．1179 | 18． 253 | 4960 | $750270 \quad 12.532$ |  |  | 5979 | $-1903$ | $2407$ |  |
| 76 | 63 | 45107.192 | －C． 2370 | －1．2366 | $30 . J 17$ | 4960 | $750270 \quad 33.166$ |  |  | 1313 | $-\quad 581$ | 1721 |  |
| 76 | 63 | 51133.844 | $-0.7096$ | 5.9906 | 63． 563 | 4960 | 750270－128．491 |  |  | 3413 | － 504 | 1509 |  |
| SUM | MMARI | （43 Passe | 5）$-48 \pm$ | $374-04$ | $4 \pm 27$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

＊B－Deleted for range bias
D－Deleted for range bias drift
$\sigma$－Deleted for post－navigation resıduals
（20 Passes Deleted）

Table D－6
C－Band Navigation Results（base run） Span 2

| Yk | DAY | Ss | ESA（ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | ECくけ | 1 2 LV | STA | SAT | 1 mZY |  | V／S | RANGE BIAS （m） | RANGE DRIFT （km／day） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESIDUAL } \\ & (\mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DELETION } \\ & \text { CODE* } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 |  | 73441 －51 | ？．751～ | －72．3014 | 1454 \％ | $4{ }^{\text {al }} 3$ | 7b02 70 | 51．J93 |  |  | 22978 | 1558 | 1.860 |  | B，D |
| 7. | 6，4 | ＋5t．37．057 | 1.3816 | －1．2625 | 26216 | 4150 | 750270 | 115.404 | S |  | 1.534 | － 1020 | 2.515 |  |  |
| 76 | 14 | 51530.434 | －-1.109 b | －4．7375 | 5．． 437 | 4153 | 750270 | －49．09h | 5 |  | 694 | 181 | 1.645 |  |  |
| 76 |  | 24021－909 | －2．4585 | J．6125 | 23．743 | ＋13N | 756270 | 40．492 |  |  | － 1159 | 510 | 1831 |  |  |
| 76 | －5 | 5Joln．973 | －0．0336 | 1.3346 | 70．656 | 4150 | 75727 C | －53． 518 | $s$ |  | － 1804 | 240 | 1577 |  |  |
| 76 | 65 | 74767．377 | 2.8314 | －0．2374 | 37． 741 | 4150 | 750270 | 46．436 |  |  | － 3324 | 299 | 2006 |  |  |
| 7 r | 6 | ． $36044+105$ | C． 9837 | 3.9137 | 13． 110 | 4150 | 750276 | －114．692 |  |  | －2695 | 366 | 2331 |  |  |
| 76 | 04 | 4ちfol 526 | －4．041？ | －2．7365 | 47． 146 | 4148 | 75.5276 | 118．477 | 5 |  | － 4913 | 411 | 1216 |  |  |
| 7. | 04 | 51584.448 | 3.7291 | －3c321 | $2 t .259$ | 41.90 | 756270 | －47．710 | 5 |  | －2232 | － 1045 | 1536 |  |  |
| 71 |  | Q 15177.235 | －4．8089 | 2.1545 | 44．J36 | 4168 | 750270 | 52．219 |  |  | － 4422 | 561 | 1182 |  |  |
| 76 |  | －4315－413 | C． 1185 | －0．3563 | 3 3 .098 | 4148 | 750270 | 116.496 | S |  | － 6078 | 003 | 1406 |  |  |
| 76 |  | JU733．034 | －0． 1249 | －0．3700 | 17． －$_{\text {¢ }} 7$ | 4198 | 75027 C | －50 303 | 5 |  | － 1770 | 340 | 1.591 |  |  |
| 70 | 65 | 95be3．3st | 0.9980 | $3.7+88$ | 39．305 | 4192 | 750270 | C－118．C29 |  |  | － 5.680 | 258 | I 323 | $\bullet$ |  |
| 75 | 65 | 59815.609 | $-7.0372$ | 3.0122 | os 371 | 4780 | 750270 | 121.479 | \＄ |  | 3.378 | ． 748 | I 071 |  |  |
| 7. | 65 | SE7J8－970 | 1J．0573 | －1．7918 | 21． 779 | 478C | 750270 | －43．958 | 5 |  | 5183 | － 2641 | 1.219 |  |  |
| 70 | 64 | 1103.097 | －3．0433 | 4.9474 | ＋2．${ }^{2} 44$ | ＋446 | 750270 | －118．585 |  |  | 2261 | 1082 | $2782$ |  | D，$\sigma$ |
| 76 |  | 21677．685 | －C．5594 | 12301 | $72+92$ | 4446 | 750270 | －54．933 | S |  | 438 | － 114 | $1122$ |  |  |
| 7 T |  | \＄0645－119 | －1．605 | －2．3342 | 21．304 | 4448 | 750270 | 44．432 |  |  | － 5135 | 575 | 1448 |  |  |
| 76 | 64 | 7V63－211 | $3.674 *$ | －2．4306 | 73.374 | 4452 | 750270 | 54.248 |  |  | － 4087 | 867 | 2042 |  |  |
| 76 |  | $12 \times 71-403$ | 6.1607 | 1．0735 | 32473 | 4452 | 750270 | －114．756 |  |  | －10 453 | 023 | 1653 |  |  |
| 75 | 04 | bo363－87n | 6.4517 | 1.7269 | 65．421 | 4452 | 750270 | 119.185 | S |  | －6283 | 862 | 1464 |  |  |
| 70 | 45 | 6212．71＇ | 2．0942 | $0.2+97$ | 11． 192 | 4452 | 75J2 70 | 51．096 |  |  | －4339 | 900 | 2276 |  |  |
| 70 |  | 12179.112 | 1 C .2 CJI | 2.435 C | 55226 | 4452 | 750276－ | $-118.467$ |  |  | －8883 | 092 | 1531 |  |  |
| 71 |  | 57202． 534 | －3．0445 | 7.4383 | 40， 743 | 4452 | 750270 | 117．473 | 5 |  | －6688 | ． 032 | 1396 |  |  |
| 7\％ |  | 631Jり－11） | 1． 1288 | －12．4747 | 23760 | 4452 | 750270 | $-53+158$ | S |  | － 7949 | －． 059 | 1708 |  |  |
| 70 |  | 4355.577 | 3.7053 | －0．9719 | 24.149 | 4610 | 750270 | －115．372 |  |  | －．829 | － 492 | 1939 |  |  |
| 75 |  | bI512．062 | －3．2636 | －2．3138 | 75.731 | 4610 | 750270 | $-52.731$ | 5 |  | － .385 | 1478 | 1．582 |  | D |
| 76 |  | 80G68－212 | －3．9492 | 2.0286 | 40024 | 4610 | 750270 | 46．758 |  |  | － 2.253 | － 137 | 1．989 |  |  |
| 7 |  | 50111．631 | 1．5404 | 30740 | 15．731 | 4610 | 7507 70 | 124.057 | 5 |  | － 4034 | － 131 | 936 |  |  |
| 70 |  | 566033－342 | $3.7 \mathrm{C7L}$ | －5．4182 | 22．377 | 4610 | 750270 | －39．807 | 5 |  | － 991 | － 036 | 1127 |  |  |
| 76 | 65 | 79810．374 | 2.4859 | J．8303 | 23.119 | 4610 | 750270 | 43．091 |  |  | － 2.317 | ． 329 | 1026 |  |  |
| 76 |  | $85721.038$ | 2.7489 | 1． 2529 | 54.373 | 4610 | 750270 | －121．401 |  |  | － 2114 | －4717 | － 917 |  |  |
| 76 | 64 | 7Jコ2．548 | 0.7100 | －4．9334 | $\underline{3} 8.177$ | 4742 | 750270 | 54． 275 |  |  | － 8.710 | －． 182 | ． 524 |  |  |
| 76 |  | 12970．542 | 9.7742 | 6.4347 | 32.291 | 4742 | 750270－ | － 116.740 |  |  | －6．819 | － .620 | ． 694 |  |  |
| 70 |  | 54063．534 | 6.4455 | －2．1308 | 5w． 295 | 4742 | 750270 | 119．181 | S |  | －5 664 | － 327 | 1398 |  |  |
| 76 |  | 17103．501 | 7．6229 | 4.7344 | 52.934 | 4742 | 750270 | －118．473 |  |  | －10．383 | 181 | － 581 |  |  |
| 76 |  | 57202.272 | －3． 3802 | 3.1317 | 40.470 | 4742 | 750270 | 117.436 | 5 |  | － 6905 | .307 | 474 |  |  |
| 70 |  | 43114．679 | 1.3257 | －8．0428 | 23.627 | 4742 | 75027 C | －53．132 | 5 |  | － 3.412 | ． 188 | 884 |  |  |
| 70 |  | 33526.061 | 1． 1017 | 2.1354 | 73.399 | 4760 | 750270 | 115．014 | S |  | － 2170 | － 244 | 808 |  |  |
| 70 |  | 39450.570 | －P． 1 C8\％ | －＊＊1208 | 48.472 | 4760 | 750270 | －52．906 | 5 |  | － 1360 | － 173 | ． 912 |  |  |
| 76 |  | 68372．761 | 1.8235 | －2．1424 | 23.455 | 4760 | 750270 | 47．022 |  |  | － 2.208 | ． 009 | 936 |  |  |
| 76 |  | 74272．838 | － 2.2651 | J．9337 | 32.781 | 4760 | 750270－ | －119．682 |  |  | － 1101 | ． 324 | 787 |  |  |
| 76 |  | 38593．8？ 6 | －0．6127 | －1．1093 | 73.336 | 4760 | 750270 | －55．783 | S |  | － 1.647 | － .040 | 818 |  |  |
| 70 |  | 67575．621 | 11.5974 | －1．9，52 | $11^{2} .678$ | 4780 | 75027c | 43.581 |  |  | － 2.290 | －． .500 | 1054 |  |  |
| 76 |  | 73410． 792 | C． 9895 | 1.3 .341 | 81．465 | 4760 | $750270-$ | －122．957 |  |  | － 3.522 | 381 | 789 |  |  |
| 76 |  | 3943F．10t | －1．3476 | －0．973s | 55265 | 4840 | 750270 | 121．C17 | 5 |  | － 4454 | ． 525 | 1609 |  |  |
| 70 |  | 45360.524 | －5．1282 | －1．7159 | 27.755 | 4840 | 750270 | －43．743 | 5 |  | － 2890 | 1700 | 1615 |  | D |
| 70 | 63 | 38592．575 | －1．5803 | －1．7337 | 36．0．76 | 4840 | 750270 | 118.081 | 5 |  | －4141 | 1025 | 1680 |  | D |
| 70 |  | 44509.251 | 2.5445 | －0．3568 | $38 .+24$ | 4840 | 750270 | －47．309 | 5 |  | － 3462 | 485 | 1726 |  |  |
| 76 | 64 | 68542．475 | 1s． 1575 | 11．1＋52 | 15.162 | 4360 | 756270 | 39．324 |  |  | －16 373 | －10 696 | 3219 |  | B，D， 0 |
| 76 |  | 74427．710 | －16．5069 | －5．2370 | 47507 | $\rightarrow 8 \mathrm{CO}$ | 750270－ | －127．150 |  |  | －6671 | － 451 | 1505 |  |  |
| 70 |  | 13568．508 | －15．6984 | －5．2243 | 64．＋13 | 4060 | 750270 | 52．377 |  |  | －6914 | ． 188 | 1364 |  |  |
| 70 |  | 7450，0085 | 14．1324 | 11.3030 | 20.87 | 4860 | 750270－ | －114．060 |  |  | －23 405 | －8444 | 2.317 |  |  |
| 76 |  | 18032．030 | 14.8196 | －J．5970 | 33.406 | 4958 | 750270 | 59．721 |  |  | －389 460 | 13022 | 1736 |  | B，D |
| 70 |  | 23991．308 | 9．9889 | －206487 | 17.207 | 4758 | 751270－ | －117．438 |  |  | －360 370 | 16351 | 2097 |  | B，D |
| 78 |  | 69462．237 | 2.6988 | $-12.4328$ | 19.497 | 455月 | 750270 | －57． 864 | S |  | －362 147 | 14089 | 2.152 |  | B，D |
| 70 |  | 18082．029 | 0.4010 | 70347 | 38.457 | 4959 | 750270 | 59.721 |  |  | 385313 | 16593 | 2356 |  | B，D |
| 70 |  | 23941．308 | 16.4343 | $-17.6521$ | 17208 | 4959 | 750270－1 | －117．438 |  |  | 402680 | 13377 | 2332 |  | B，D |
| 76 | 65 | 69462.234 | －10 1393 | －10．5427 | 19.497 | 4959 | 750270 | －57．864 | 5 |  | 397808 | 16523 | 1694 |  | B，D |
| 76 |  | 20897．493 | －10．8777 | －3．4375 | 62 721 | 4960 | 7502701 | 127.256 | 5 |  | 3003 | － 051 | 1662 |  |  |
| 76 | 64 | 26817.091 | －7．3782 | 0.8231 | 38441 | $49+0$ | 750270 | $-34.264$ | S |  | 8640 | 135 | 1769 |  |  |
| 7. |  | 32665.263 | 9.0644 | －5 5514 | 18．786 | 4980 | 750270 | －13．777 | 5 |  | 7393 | － 2234 | 1824 |  |  |
| 70 | 04 | 18494． 390 | 2.6083 | －5．5721 | 17． 119 | 4960 | 750270 | 7.874 |  |  | 5843 | － 2.211 | 1904 |  |  |
| 76 |  | 445140.445 | －2．9433 | －2．1＋92 | 24．666 | 4960 | 750270 | 28.658 |  |  | 2408 | － 1.092 | 1.514 |  |  |
| 76 | $t 4$ | 5024.1 .843 | －1．2346 | －3 3443 | 49213 | 4960 | 750270 | 53.108 |  |  | 12011 | 1621 | 1050 |  | B，D |
| 76 |  | 56194.539 | 9.2842 | 12.1925 | 23． 364 | 4960 | $750270-1$ | 115.907 |  |  | 2.231 | － 3095 | 2288 |  |  |
| 76 | 45 | 20030．944 | －12．2690 | 2.2448 | 45596 | 4960 | 750270 | 123.488 | 5 |  | 2150 | 280 | 1699 |  |  |
| 16 | 45 | ＜6953．354 | 1.4414 | 5． $26 \mathrm{C3}$ | 46.400 | 4900 | 750270 | －3d 435 | 5 |  | 1123 | 366 | 1486 |  |  |
| 76 | 65 | 31821.113 | 3.2376 | －0．5444 | 20．933 | 4960 | $750270-$ | $-18.362$ | 3 |  | 2952 | 677 | 1929 |  |  |
| 16 | 05 | 97656．447 | 2.9488 | －4 5399 | 15．381 | 4760 | 750270 | 3.182 |  |  | 7783 | － 1347 | 2223 |  |  |
| 76 | 05 | 43497．994 | 0.4870 | －8．9509 | 25085 | 4960 | 750270 | 24.457 |  |  | 7386 | － 546 | 1761 |  |  |
| 70 | 65 | 49350.720 | 1.6310 | 6.2181 | th3．414 | 4760 | 750270 | 44.042 |  |  | 2421 | 376 | 1396 |  |  |
| 76 | 055 | 55321．049 | 5.0685 | 7.0637 | 25.197 | 4460 | 7502 70－1 | $11 \pm 645$ |  |  | 4153 | － 155 | 1686 |  |  |
| SUHM | IARY | （60 Passes） | ） $90 \pm 5$ | $92-27$ | $7 \pm 452$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## ＊B－Deleted for range bias

D－Deleted for range bias drift
$\sigma$－Deleted for post－navıgation resıduals
（13 Passes Deleted）

## Table D－7 <br> Laser Navigation Results（base run） Span 1

|  | UAY | StC | Fri（c） | ECR（4） | ELV | STA | SAT | ALY | v／S | Range <br> Bas <br> （m） | $\begin{gathered} \text { Range } \\ \text { Drift } \\ (\mathrm{km} / \text { day }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residuals } \\ (\mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ | Deletion Code＊ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | －2 | 41154305 | －0．0434 | －2．4161 | 22.913 | 7067 | 753270 | －46．476 | 5 | －2037 | 334 | 059 |  |
|  | 63 | 34342621 | $-1.659^{\circ}$ | 12.7506 | 36516 | 7067 | 750270 | 117.424 | 5 | －12 113 | 2558 | 058 | D |
|  | 6S | $403 \mathrm{J4} 143$ | 0.2475 | －4．0325 | 32.888 | 7067 | 750270 | －49．742 | S | －1047 | － 034 | 046 |  |
|  | 63 | 69223．662 | C． 8745 | －2． 3332 | $34 * 10$ | 7067 | 750270 | 50.264 |  | － 527 | －12051 | 046 |  |
|  | 63 | 751s7．t．34 | 2.1254 | －0．3046 | 33.900 | 7067 | 750270 | 117105 |  | － 249 | 011 | 050 |  |
|  | 62 | 55460 917 | 5.7501 | －2．1780 | 58.388 | 7068 | 753270 | 118.673 | S | 598 | －． 345 | 042 |  |
| 7 | 02 | 75886．747 | 0.0038 | ก．7ヶ70 | 64781 | 7068 | 750270－ | －119．179 |  | 3096 | － 416 | 040 |  |
| 7 | 52 | ＋1349．835 | －2．9210 | 0.0118 | 54.670 | 7069 | 750270 | －55．471 | S | － 617 | 336 | 068 |  |
| 1 | 65 | 46492．134 | －3．857\％ | －3．1377 | 44．406 | 7069 | 750270 | －57．934 | 5 | － 660 | ． 059 | 063 |  |
| 7 | 03 | 7515．2．4J4 | 1.3936 | －1．4451 | 53026 | 7060 | 750270 | 55421 |  | － 1507 | 096 | 068 |  |
|  | Mmary | （10 Passe | $40 \pm$ | 63 －1 | $36 \pm 1$ | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table D－8
Laser Navigation Results（base run）
Span 2

| YR | u4Y | Sここ | FCA\｛ 1） | ECर（M） | ）ELV | STA | SAT | AZY | N／S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RANGE } \\ & \text { BIAS } \\ & \text { (m) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PANGE } \\ \text { DRIFT } \\ \text { (kn/day) } \end{gathered}$ | RESIDUAL （m） | DELETYON CODE＊ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 04 | －8372．859 | －0．6538 | 19297 | 23．362 | 7067 | 750270 | 47．020 |  | －4 133 | 467 | 054 |  |
| 70 | 24 | 74272．989 | －1 8089 | ） $627 t$ | 52.975 | 7067 | 750270－1 | 119．649 |  | － 355 | － 065 | 050 |  |
| 76 | E 5 | 38593．748 | 0.0770 | －2．1155 | 13.377 | 7067 | 750270 | －55．753 | 5 | － 956 | 039 | 063 |  |
| 70 |  | 73410392 | 0.2375 | －6．2105 | 81955 | 7667 | $750270-1$ | 122．965 |  | － 563 | 048 | 058 |  |
| 76 |  | 33735.971 | －10．9453 | 1.3363 | 21994 | 7068 | 750270 | 115.535 | 5 | －2．264 | 5454 | 034 | D |
| $7{ }_{6}$ | 04 | 39651448 | 2.7609 | $-1.3+50$ | 40718 | 7068 | 750270 | －56 339 | S | －1 353 | － 038 | 035 |  |
| 76 |  | 38795.441 | 3.7034 | 0．4610 | 65428 | 7068 | 750270 | －58．477 | S | － 514 | ． 057 | 037 |  |
| 76 |  | 39631743 | －5．7845 | －1．3154 | 62.529 | 7069 | 750270 | 119.721 | S | － 331 | 088 | 070 |  |
| $7{ }^{7}$ | 64 | 14．141．806 | －3．47ヶ？ | －1．0170 | 34． 586 | 7069 | 750270 | 52.642 |  | －2 446 | 433 | 055 |  |
| 76 |  | 80255.931 | －5．2369 | 5.9336 | 29．685 | 7069 | $750270-1$ | 116．331 |  | －1 525 | －． 080 | ． 073 |  |
| 70 |  | 73490． 572 | －0．0748 | －3．3703 | 23254 | 7069 | 750270 | 49.703 |  | －1 034 | －． 084 | ． 073 |  |
| 75 | 55 | 79，91．731 | 0.0762 | 2.7462 | 47.535 | $706^{\circ}$ | 750270 －1 | 118．519 |  | － 719 | －． 029 | 071 |  |
| SUM | HARX | （II Passe | $-93 \pm$ | 84 | $\pm 25$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Fig D-1 GEOS-3 Doppler Navigatıon Residuals (reference track), 1976 Days 62 to 65


Fig. D-2 GEOS-3 C-Band Navigation Resıduals (reference track), 1976 Days 62 to 63


Fig D-3 GEOS-3 Laser Navigation Residuals (reference track), 1976 Days 62 to 63


Fig. D-4 GEOS-3 Doppler Navigation Residuals (referenceltrack), 1976 Days 64 to 65


Fig D-5 GEOS-3 C-Band Navigatıon Resıduals (reference track), 1976 Days 64 to 65
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Fig. D-6 GEOS-3 Laser Navigatıon Residuals (reference track), 1976 Days 64 to 65


Fig D-7 GEOS-3 Ephemeris Differences (reference backdate - reference track), 1976 Days 62 to 63


Fig D-8 GEOS-3 Ephemeris Differences (reference update - reference track),
1976 Days 64 to 65


Day 62
Day $63^{\prime}-$ - -
(numbers refer to unique number of altımetry data)

Fig. D-9 GEOS-3 Spatial Distribution of Altimeter Data, Altimeter Coverage 1976 Days 62 and 63

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { - } & \text { Day } 64\end{array} \quad$------ $\quad$ Day 65

Fig. D-10 GEOS-3 Spatial Distribution of Altımeter Data, Altımeter Coverage 1976 Days 64 and 65
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Fig D-11 GEOS-3 Doppler Residuals (backdate from two passes, station 4150 with altimeter track), 1976 Days 62 to 63


Fig. D-12 GEOS-3 Doppler Residuals (update from two passes, station 4150 with altımeter track), 1976 Days 63 to 65


[^5]
[^0]:    * The navigation solution philosophy is discussed in Section 3.0.

[^1]:    * CBD - C-band range

    LSR - Iaser range

[^2]:    ECA - along-track érror
    ECR - slant-range error
    ECT - total navigation error
    H - radial error
    L - along-track error
    C - cross-track error
    D - total error

[^3]:    A - fit a, $e, i, \Omega, \omega, M$, bias drift
    B - fit $a, e, i, \Omega, \omega, M$
    C-fit a, e
    D-fit a, e, bias, drift
    S1 - 1976 days 62 to 63
    S2 - 1976 days 64 to 65
    $\mathrm{R}_{0}-6378166 \mathrm{~km}$

[^4]:    *Pass direction $=\mathrm{S}$ - south going, otherwise a north going pass
    **Pass weight $<02$ due to $N$ navigation weight, $\sigma$ noise weight, E - elevation weight

[^5]:    *For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginaa 22151

