William C. Voss

N79-27617

Ashland Oil, Inc. Ashland, Kentucky

AESTRACT

H-Coal is a catalytic process involving the direct hydrogenation of coal to produce hydrocarbon liquids. Its development was started in 1963 by Hydrocarbon Research, Inc., a subsidiary of Dynalectron. The process has operated at the beach scale level on a wide variety of coals including eastern U.S., western subbituminous and lignites from Texas and North Dake ta as well as foreign coals. A three-ton per day process development unit has also been operated extensively, confirming bench scale results and adding substantially to the technical data base. The process alfords wide flexibility of operation from "fuel oil" to "syncrude" modes.

A pilot plant now under construction at Catlettsburg, Kentucky is scheduled for completion March 31, 1979. It will be the largest coal liquefaction plant on-line in the C.S., processing up to 600 tpd of coal. Concurrent with the pilot plant, other development activities are being undertaken to provide timely initiation of a commercial project. Assuming successful operation of the pilot plant in 1979, engineering on a 50,000 BPD plant is scheduled to start in early 1980, construction in mid-1931 and operations beginning in late 1984.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently Ashlard has been studying commercialization of the H-Coal process and has had several meetings with Department of Energy personnel concerning such development. The discussion today will address both the status of the H-Coal pilot plant now under construction and the status of a proposal for commercialization of the process.

The pilot `ant will r discussed later but just the scope of a roject required for commercialization is large by any measure. An installation designed to produce 50,000 barrels per stream day of liquid products would require 18,500 tons per day of bituminous coal or 6,100,000 tons per year. Therefore, the facility would be the largest single point consumer of bituminous coal in the world, equivalent in fuel to a 2,300 MW power plant. It would require 3 relatively large underground coal mines to supply feed to one plant.

Obviously, much careful planning would be required to bring such an undertaking to fruition. Our most optimistic projections for a commercial plant would be on start-up in mid-1984 (as discussed later).

II. BACKGROUND

The H-Coal process developed by Hydrocarbon Research, Incorporated dates back to 1963. The process is a spin-off of the H-Oil technology which is a commercial system used for hydrogenation of residual oil.

H-Coal is a direct, catalytic hydrogenation of coal in an ebullating bed (boiling). The reactor operates at about 3000 psig and 850°F which are relatively severe conditions.

The basic experimental work on the pr cess has been and is still being done on a bench scale unit and a pilot demonstration unit (PDU) at Trenton, NJ operated by HRI. The data base is large including 60,000 hours on the bench unit and 8,000 hours on the PDU. The process has been tested on a wide variety of coals from high volatile bituminous to lignites for domestic coals and on two foreign coals. This indicates the versatility of the processing, that is modifications can be made to accommodate markedly different feed coals.

In 1976 Ashland Synthetic Fuels, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Ashland Oil, Inc., was awarded the prime contract for construction and operation of an H-Coal pilot plant. Under terms of the contract, Aydrocarbon Research, Incorporated will supply technical advice and support throughout the program.

The plant, now under construction, is located across Interstate Highway 64 from Ashland's Catlet*sburg, Kentucky refineries. The refinery will furnisk wdrogen and other utility type commodities to the pilot plant which effectively reduces the total capital investment for the installation. The plant is near 40 percent mechanical completion and is a joint governmentindustry effort. The major portion of the funding for the project is from the Dep...ment of Energy. Industrial participants are as foilows:

- Ashlan1 Oil, inc.
- Conoco Coal Development Company
- Mobil Oil Corporation
- Standard Oil (Indiana)

Additional funding is furnished by the Commonwealth of Kentucky and the Electric Power Re Barch Institute, the research arm of the electric power generating industry.

The pilot plant sized to process from 200 to 600 tons per d_{2} , and pal, depending on the



reactor space vehicity. That is, the "mode" of operation determines the capacity of plant as designed. Operating in "fuel oil" mode high space velocity, mild hydron mation, fuel oil product, the capacity of the plant will us 600 tons per day. Operating in "syncrude" mode loss space velocity deep hydrogenation, syncrude product, the capacity of the plant will be 200 tons per day.

the rulet pl. nt is schediled for mechanical completion March 41, 1979. Although it is a pilot plant, it will be the largest coal liquefaction plant ever built in this country. Since the pilot plant will be on-line next year, it should furnish sufficient data to allow early arsign and engineering of a commercial scale H-Coal plant.

HL FROMESS DESCRIPTION

A process description of a 59,000 barrel per -tay commercial plant is outlined in the following paragraphs and graphically presented in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Block diagram. H - Cupl process

A. COAL HANDLING AND PREPARATION

The run-on-mine coal is received at the plant and crushed to minus 3'4 inches in a hammer mill. From the mill the coal is fee through a transfer house to the coal storage pile, the steam plant, or crushed coal storage blas.

Coal from the tons is transported to a fluid bed drying system where the nonsture content is reduced to about 2 percent by weight. The drive coal is then ted to a closed loop crushing system where the size is reduced to minus 14 mesh. The coal is then pneumatically of they d to the slurry preparation ford bin.

1. HYDFOGENATION PLANT

ENPRODUCIBILITY OF THE PRODUCIAL PAGE IS POOR

Each reactor is equipped with two directfired feed heaters, one of which heats the feed slurry and 50 percent c the makeup and recycle hydro en and the second is used to heat the remaining hydrogen. From the slutry feed tanks the slurry is pumped to reaction pressure (about 3000 psig) and then is mixed with recy. in hyprogen and heated to reaction temperature (about 850°F) before entering the reactor. The other hydroren (not mixed with the slurry) is also heated to 850°F prior to introduction to the reactor. The dual heater aniangement offers excellent temperature control of the feed streams. The mixing of recycle hydrogen and slariy before heating is advantageous because the hydrogen lowers the slurry viscosity and improves heat transfer.

The charge in the reactor is maintained in an ebullated state (boiling active) by an internal liquid recycle. This assures adequat catalyst contact and facilitates catalyst addition and withdrawal during operation.

The preducts from the reactor are taken overhead and fluct to the primery separator where the sight products are flashed off and the oil, unconverted carbon, and ash flow through a pressule 1 toome value to a high pressure flash d union ratine at 1200 usig. The light games and ils over bood are heat exchanged with the makeup and recycle 1 ydrogen and cooled and flashed in a series of drun. Each operating at lower orestores and temperatures than the preceding one to recover unreacted hydrogen for recycle back to the reactor. The gases and light oils recovered during the flashing are sent to gas cleanup and fractionation respectially.

The heavy oil, unconverted carlon, and ash stream from the bottom of the primary separator is also flashed in a sories of drums to separate oil and gaues from the heavy residue. The gases and bil recovered are again sent to gas cleanue. and fractionation respectively. The heavy fraction containing aimost he unconversed carbon and ash flows to hydr ther for a partial concentration of the solids may the and efflow stream. The hydroclone overflow is recyclof to slurry preparation and the undertlow flows to the attnospheric and vocus in towers, operating in series which concentrate the solids, "the oils recovered from the towers are sont to tractionation and the bottoms from the vacuum t wer are used for feed to the partial oridation gasifiers in the hydrogen plant.

The fractionator separates the clout of svarious fractions, gases, naphtha, light cl, and bottoms. The gases flow to the gas clearup system, the contract stabilized and sent t storage, the light of representent stillate field of product and the bottoms from the fraction of r, along with some of the light of, are recorded to survey preparation.

The foregoing description is based on the "syncrude" mode of operation. However, it should be noted that the H-Coal process does afford flexibility as to product distribution and may indeed be operated in a "fuel oil" mode. It follows that some of the processing described above would require modification for the "fuel oil" mode of operation.

C. NAPHTHA REFORMING

The processing proposed by Ashland for a commercial plant includes reforming of the highly maphthenic naphtha to form an aromatic masoline blending reformate. The hydrogen produces 2, dehydrogenation of the naphthenes will be recycled to the H-Coai reactor; this effectively reduces the size of the hydrogen plane as well as increases the value of the product.

At this time, we have assumed that the phenols can be ted to the reformer guard case. If this proves to be unacceptable, the phenols could be removed prior to reforming. The research octane number of the reformate is estimated to be 101.

The guard cases will use a sinkel-moly catalyst to reduce both the sulfur and nitrogen concentrations to acceptable levels for the platinum-type reformer catalyst. The reforming would be relatively nild because of the highly naphthenic feedstock which would not require isomerization or hydrocracking to form a desirable gasoline blending stock.

D. GAS CLEANUP AND COMPRESSION

The relinery-type gases collected from the hydrogenation system are mixed and compressed to 215 using and flow to a light oil wash where all of the napitha and much of the butane entrained in the gas streams are recovered. The gases from the light oil absorber are compressed to 600 psig and flow to " e not potassium carbonate (Benfield) acid-gas crubbing system for removel of H2S and CC2. Trace quantities of CO2 and H2O are removed by molecular sieves prior to the cryogenic cold box. The cryogenic system produces a hydrogen mercycle strain 35% purity), a fuel gas stream, and a mixture of propane and Dutane. The propane and butane are separated into the final produces in a debutanizer.

The naphtha from light oil stripping is sent to naphtha reforming and the butane is taken as gasoline blending stock. The acid gas from the Benfield regenerators flows to a Claus unit. The cryogenic fuel gas stream is compressed for pipeline transmission, the propane is sent to the LIG product, and the hydrogen is compressed and recycled to the hydrogenation plant.

E. THE HYDROGEN PI ANT

The gasification system presently contemplated will be based on the Toxaco patial oxidation system. Vacuum tower bottoms or other more concentrated resid from the H-Cual process will be used for feed and supplemented as accessary with coal to meet the hydrogen requirement. The heavy liquid bottoms and coal have each been tested successfully by Texaco in pilot plant operations. This type unit is being considered for both high Btu gas plants and methanol plants now under investigation so design data is likely to be available in time for H-Coal development.

Every effort will be made to maximize the solids content of the H-Coal resid prior to gasification. Any reduction in oil content will be replaced by coal at a significant economic advantage. E-veral ongoing projects are focusing on solids-liquid reparation and should provide technical and economic data within a time frame compatible with the proposed H-Coal schedule.

The hydrogen plact, after synthesis gas generation, is a conventional processing system for hydrogen production. That is, two stages each of shifting and acid-gas scrubbing to get 95% plus purity product.

The gasification system, including the supporting oxygen plant and the substantial steam requirement, is all capitance with of the total plant, both from an operating and capital cost consideration.

There are no gasifiers in operation today in the world of the size proposed here but much development work on such units has been done and it appears feasible to build such a unit.

F. SUPPORTING PLANTS AND TANEAGE

All of the processing require for hydrogen sulfide recovery and sulfur manufacture, ammonia recovery and tankage are of conventional design and afford no unique problems as applied to H-Coai accressing.

IV. IN-HOUSE COMPARISAL PLANT STUDY

The foregoing process description was based on an in-house Ashlant study for commercialization of the H-Coal process operating in the "syncrude" mode to produce about 19,000 barrels per day of liquid products.

The processing as visualized produces salable products and ash. The system produces no heavy off doding above 600°FF because it is recycled to extinction or comes off the bottom of the vacuum towers mixed with the ash and unconverted carbon. The vacuum tower bottoms are fed to the partial exidation unit for synthesis gas production and subsequent hydrogen manufacture. The result is a plant that produces commercial products and ash, an important feature of the processing worked out at Ashland.

The commercial plant is sized to process 18, 541 tons per day of "as received" coal to produce 49,741 barrels per day of hydrocarbon liquids, 29,52MM standard cubic feet per day of high Bu gas, So7. Slong tons per day of suffer, and 118, 8 tons per day of anhydrous announced. The coal requirement and product (181) - co-shows. in the following slide-

Table I. Product state - commercial plant

10 -----

Coal Reduired As Received	14, 541 tha
Products	
Reformate	15,182 BPD
Distillate (406-609°F)	27, 792 BPD
Butane	3,276 BPD
Propane, LPG	3,4 <u>41</u> BPD
Total	4º, 741 BPD
By Products	
Sulfar	567.5 I.T/D
Ammonia	118. 8 ST/D
Righ Blu Ges	29, 5 MMs. (d

A. FUONOMIC EVALUATION

An economic study of the commercial plant, sized as described previously, has been completed by Ashtami.

"The state of the art" of coal liquefaction dictates that an economic evaluation at this time be of a preliminary nature. That is, our evaluation is based on a "factored" oppositimate. This type estimate requires materials and energy balances on the flow sheets, preliminary engineering, sizing and costing of major equipment items but piping, structures, instruments, etc. are taken as a percentage of the bare equipment costs and thus arriving at a total installed capital cost.

Our primary interest at Ashland bas been the sens rade mode of operation and our economic projections have been made on a plant operating in this mode say.

Obviously, economic projections require the prenaration of schedules and Figure 2 is a phased schedule for commercial development of the and cost al process. The preliminary estimate is being initiated now and so and be completed by the end of March 1979. Also, con urrently, preliminary site selection work is under way with particular emphasis on Illipois basin reserves as possible feed for the first

H-Coal commercial plant. Ashlaad is actively seeking out partners to form a consortium for industrial support of the commercial project. initial environmental work is being done in that the scope and nature of work required is being planned and defined leading to an environmental assessment which is required for an impact statement. Also during Phase L permit requirements will be determined and defined so that an action plan for acquisition of same can be instigated.

[-		1		 . .
j		÷1.	÷		
			1-14		
				;;;;;; ;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	
					į

Figure 2. H - Coal commercialization schedule

Phase II starts it. January 1980 when commitment is made to plant engineering. Plant engineering as ludes detailed plant engineering, preparation of component specifications, oreganation of a construction bid package, and selection of an erection contractor. Environmental studies will be continued through the first halt of the engineering phase culminating in the approval of the environmental impact statement in mid-1991. Then Phases II and III would overlap as engineering would extend into the construction phase.

Phase III construction would start in mid-1981 and extend to mid-1984 (3 years). This is an ambitious schedule for such a large complex plant and will require meticulous planning to maiatain.

A concise statement of work will be prepared discussing in detail the work to be accomplished. Ly the construction contractor. A complete construction schedule all be pressured including. a "Critical Path Model" seas to ass to procurement and logistics can be adequately planted and coordinated.

The schedule will include all sub-site activities from site preparation to mechanical completion including imilestone which are important construction goals and why h will allow evaluation of the project at restances of attainment.

Phase IV will be the start-up and peration of the plant.

REPRODUCIBILITY OF THE ORIGINAT PART

The economic projections shown in Table 2 assumes 75 percent debt, 25 percent equity capital, with the discounted cash flow rate of return on equity only. The interest on debt is taken at 8.75 percent or 1 percent above the prime interest rate.

The operating costs are determined using \$20 per ton for coal and normal-costing procedures to arrive at a total estimated annual oper, ting cost.

The by product credits takes were sulfur at \$25 per tou, high Btu gas at 52 per million Btu's, and ammonia at \$110 per ton.

The projections were made using constant 1977 dollars and projected current dollars for capital determination.

The DCF ROI was based on the value of products listed previously corrected for entitlements which gave an average value of the liquid products at \$16.50 per barrel. If the values were not corrected for entitlements the product values would be \$14.02 per barrel.

The DCF ROI was then calculated three ways: first using constant dollars, $_$ -co...fly inflated to start-up only, and thirdly using u^{-1} -inflation.

Table 3 shows the identical sets of numbers on a 100 percent equity capital base. Obviously, high debt has a protound effect on DCF RO!.

Table 2. Commercial plant

Economic Projections

Capital Investment	1977 Doilars	Current Dullars
Total	582.7	374.7
Debt	453.6	- 790.2
Equity	175.2	265.2
Equity DCF_ROI		
Constant Dollars	14.	8.
inflated to Start-up	17.	. a

Table 3. Commercial plant

Full Inflation

31.8%

Economic Projections

Capital investment	1977 Dollars	Current Dollars
Total	582.6	896.0
Project DCF_ROI		
Constant Dollars	9.	1-1
Inflated to Start-up	10.	.5*:
Full Inflation	19.	84.

The next table shows the inflation rates used in the preceding economic analysis. Making such prognostications has been a precarious occupation in recent history but extended forecasting requires some predictions of inflation rates.

Table 4. Projected inflation rates

	Grude Oil Price	Ceel	General	Construction
Ther	(Product Values)	Price	Infinition	Infiation.
1977-1979	4.65	6.65	4.65	6.95
1989-1984	7.8	5.0	5.0	1.0
1985-1989	10.0	4.0		
1996-1994	7.8	4.9	4.0	
1995-2695	2,0	3,0	3.0	

It is obvious from the above that Ashland contends that oil prices will begin to escalate more rapidly than either coal prices of general inflation in 1980 and continue at a more rapid inflation rate through 1994. Therefore, spylying the above inflation rates to a coal based synfuels plant improves the economics over a constant dollar case as was indicated in the tables.

VL GOVERNMENT SUPPORT

When one considers the constant dollar economics which are marginal, the projected capital intensiveness of the proposed project one must conclude that development by the industrial community without economic accentives four the government are unlikely. In fact, action 2013 be required in both the economic sector and the environmental area if an accelerated schencie is to be maintained.

The economic inceptives which have been suggested include guaranieed non-recourse government loans with various industrial buyback provisions, accelerated investment tax credits, tax credit all-wance for each barrel of product, government grants for the initial development, and combinations of the above. It will be necessary in any event to require sufficient funding from the industrial consortium to indicate their commitment to the success of the smoject.

To meet the schedule outlined previously, unreasonable delays must be eliminated from the environmental program which is on the critical path. Obviously, one can not commit to construction without reasonable assurance that the environmental impact st tement is acceptable. Since an environmental assessment a required for the preparation of an EIS, any unusual delays would extend the schedule because of time requirements for baseline data and other time constraints anneacht in the assessment work.

VIL SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

1. W ¹ 'reve at Ashland that coal liquefaction development in the United States is inevitable.

2. The H-Coal program is underway now and should be accelerated.

3. We are now preparing a formal pro-at to the Department of Energy for H-Coal ω whomment.