# ΝΟΤΙΟΕ

# THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED FROM MICROFICHE. ALTHOUGH IT IS RECOGNIZED THAT CERTAIN PORTIONS ARE ILLEGIBLE, IT IS BEING RELEASED IN THE INTEREST OF MAKING AVAILABLE AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE

79A 20488 78A 25273

NASA TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

NASA TM-75698

## THE SCATTER OF MECHANICAL VALUES OF CARBON FIBER COMPOSITES AND ITS CAUSES

S. Roth

Translation of: "Streuung von mechanischen kennwerten von CFK und ihre ursachen", Deutsche Gesellschaft fur Luft- und Raumfahrt, Symposium uber Ermudungsfestigkeit von Flugzeugen und modernen Bauweisen, Darmstadt, West Germany, Sept. 22, 1978, Paper 78-186, pp. 1-20

(NASA-TM-75698) VALUES OF CARBON CAUSES (National	THE SCATTER OF HECHANICAL FIBER COMPOSITES AND ITS	<u>N80+10317</u>
Administration)	19 p HC A02/MF A01 CSCL 11D G3/24	Unclas 39366



NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION Washington, D. C. September 1979

### STANDARD TITLE PAGE

4. Tella and Subtitle	· ·	•• [	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	5,	Report Date September 197	79	
THE SCATTER OF MECHAN FIBER COMPOSITES AND	ICAL VALUES OF ITS CAUSES	CARBON .	Performing Organizat	tion Code
7. Author(s)		. 8.	Performing Organizat	tion Report No.
S. Roth	•	10.	. Work Unit No.	
9, Performing Organization Name and	Address	11.	Centrect or Grent No NASW-3198	).
.SCITRAN		11	Type of Report and I	Pariad Covered
Santa Barbara, CA 9	3108		Translatio	n
2. Sansarian Anney Neme and Add	•••			•
National Aeronautics Washington, D.C. 20	and Space Admi )546	nistration 14	. Sponsoring Agoncy (	Code
S. Supplementary Notes	······································		•	
ihre ursachen", Deuts Symposium uber Ermudu Bauweisen, Darmstadt pp 1-20 (A79-20488)	sche Gesellscha ungsfestigkeit , West Germanv,	ft fur Luft- von Flugzeuge Sept. 22, 19	und Raumfahrt, n und modernen 78, Paper 78-1	, 186,
16. Abstract	······		•	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,			
The scatter of exp parameters of structural process. The extent of parameters which are det and resin. An investiga of the scatter of the ex- evaluation of the scatter of the mechanical parame possibilities which exis control tests with response reduction.	perimental data components is scattering is termined by the ation was there operimental val er, the scatter eters of carbon st to reduce th ect to fiber an	obtained in of vital imp particularly resin or the fore conducte ues. Attenti and the stat -fiber compos is scatter. d resin are i	an investigati ortance for th large for stre adhesion betw d regarding th on is given to istical charac ites (CFC), an It is found th mportant for s	ion of the ne design ength ween fiber ne causes o an steristics nd the nat quality such a
The scatter of exp parameters of structura, process. The extent of parameters which are den and resin. An investiga of the scatter of the ex- evaluation of the scatter of the mechanical parame possibilities which exis control tests with response reduction.	perimental data components is scattering is termined by the ation was there perimental val er, the scatter eters of carbon st to reduce the ect to fiber an	obtained in of vital imp particularly resin or the fore conducte ues. Attenti and the stat -fiber compos is scatter. d resin are i	an investigati ortance for th large for stre adhesion betw d regarding th on is given to istical charac ites (CFC), an It is found th mportant for s	ion of the ne design ength ween fiber ne causes o an tteristics nd the nat quality such a
The scatter of exp parameters of structural process. The extent of parameters which are det and resin. An investiga of the scatter of the ex- evaluation of the scatter of the mechanical parame possibilities which exis control tests with response reduction.	perimental data components is scattering is termined by the ation was there perimental val er, the scatter aters of carbon st to reduce the ect to fiber an	obtained in of vital imp particularly resin or the fore conducte ues. Attenti and the stat -fiber compos is scatter. d resin are i	an investigati ortance for th large for stre adhesion betw d regarding th on is given to istical charac ites (CFC), an It is found th mportant for s	ion of the ne design ength ween fiber ne causes o an steristics nd the nat quality such a
The scatter of exp parameters of structura, process. The extent of parameters which are det and resin. An investiga of the scatter of the ex- evaluation of the scatte of the mechanical parame Possibilities which exis control tests with respondent reduction.	perimental data components is scattering is termined by the ation was there the scatter eters of carbon st to reduce the ect to fiber an	obtained in of vital imp particularly resin or the fore conducte ues. Attenti and the stat -fiber compos is scatter. d resin are i	an investigati ortance for th large for stre adhesion betw d regarding th on is given to istical charac ites (CFC), an It is found th mportant for s	lon of the ne design ength ween fiber ne causes o an eteristics nd the nat quality such a
The scatter of exp parameters of structura, process. The extent of parameters which are det and resin. An investiga of the scatter of the ex- evaluation of the scatter of the mechanical parame possibilities which exis control tests with response reduction.	perimental data components is scattering is termined by the ation was there operimental val er, the scatter eters of carbon st to reduce the ect to fiber an	obtained in of vital imp particularly resin or the fore conducte ues. Attenti and the stat -fiber compos is scatter. d resin are i	an investigati ortance for th large for stre adhesion betw d regarding th on is given to istical charac ites (CFC), an It is found th mportant for s	lon of the ne design ength ween fiber ne causes o an tteristics nd the nat quality such a
The scatter of exp parameters of structural process. The extent of parameters which are det and resin. An investiga of the scatter of the ex- evaluation of the scatter of the mechanical parame possibilities which exist control tests with response reduction.	perimental data components is scattering is termined by the ation was there operimental val er, the scatter aters of carbon st to reduce the ect to fiber an 20. Security Clease	obtained in of vital imp particularly resin or the fore conducte ues. Attenti and the stat -fiber compos is scatter. d resin are i 0. Diswibution Stole Unclassified	an investigati ortance for th large for stre adhesion betw d regarding th on is given to istical charac ites (CFC), an It is found th mportant for s	ion of the ne design ength ween fiber ne causes o an eteristics nd the nat quality such a

NASA TM-75698

14 - 11

÷

1.4

ş

### NASA TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

## THE SCATTER OF MECHANICAL VALUES OF CARBON FIBER COMPOSITES AND ITS CAUSES

## S. Roth

Translation of: "Streuung von mechanischen kennwerten von CFK und ihre ursachen", Deutsche Gesellschaft fur Luft- und Raumfahrt, Symposium uber Ermudungsfestigkeit von Flugzeugen und modernen Bauweisen, Darmstadt, West Germany, Sept. 22, 1978, Paper 78-186, pp. 1-20 (A79-20488)

> NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION Washington, D. C. September 1979

## THE SCATTER OF MECHANICAL VALUES OF CFC AND ITS CAUSES

S. Roth

The scatter of experimental data obtained in an investigation of the parameters of structural components is of vital importance for the design process. The extent of scattering is particularly large for strength parameters which are determined by the resin or the adhesion between fiber and resin. An investigation was therefore conducted regarding the causes of the scatter of the experimental values. Attention is given to an evaluation of the scatter, the scatter and the statistical characteristics of the mechanical parameters of carbon-fiber composites (CFC), and the possibilities which exist to reduce this scatter. It is found that quality control tests with respect to fiber and resin are important for such a reduction.

### Introduction

Since the design of structural components must take place with statistically certain characteristic values, not only the average characteristic mechanical values but also the scattering of individual values is of the greatest significance.

In Table 1 the results of long-term measurements taken by Dornier are listed.

Material property	No. of- samples	Average value X [N/mm <sup>2</sup> ]	Standard devia- tion.6 [N/mm <sup>2</sup> ]	Variation coefficient <u>G</u> [%]							
Bending strength G <sub>bBOO</sub>	299	1396	128	9,2							
Bending strength G <sub>bB900</sub>	394	101	16	15,9							
Bending $E_{b\alpha0^{\circ}}$	298	108200	12470	11.5							
Bending E b Q 90°	394	7780	430	5,5							
Interlaminar C <sub>00</sub>	463	97	16	16,2							
Interlaminar $T_{0^{\circ}/\pm45^{\circ}}$ shear strength	734	74	13	18,2							
Fiber content	145	60,8[V%]	3.7 [V8]	6,0[V%]							

TABLE 1. CHARACTERISTIC STATISTIC VALUES OF STRENGTH AND MODULI OF HT FIBER LAMINATES

Numbers in margin indicate pagination of original foreign text.

12\*

It turns out that the extent of scattering is considerable, primarily for strengths which are determined by the resin or the adhesion between fiber and resin.

The studies presented below shall serve to clarify the causes of the scattering of these characteristic values. It must be stated that no methodical study was performed, rather the results occurring in a certain time period are classified and compared as much as possible. Through this circumstance, a definite assignment of the influence of parameters was not always possible.

/3

#### Evaluation of Scattering of Characteristic Values

A prerequisite for the evaluation of scattering is knowledge of its distribution. Since most results are normally distributed, it is useful to check distributions of measured values occurring in practice with regard to this. This checking takes place in this study by the cumulant method. For this, we calculate the slope as a measure for symmetry and the curvature as a measure for the steepness of the distribution curve (Fig. 1).

It could be demonstrated that in random sampling from a normally distributed quantity, both the slope as well as the curvature are normally distributed, having an average value of "zero" and a standard deviation which depends only on the number of random samples. This means that the quotient of the slope and standard deviation, or of the curvature and standard deviation, are normally distributed.

Thus we form these quotients and compare them with the factor of normal Gaussian distribution. It turns out here that the quotients are considered to differ from zero only by chance, provided they lie within the 95% range. The limit value u for the statistic certainty of s = 95% is 1.96 for the normal Gaussian distribution. Therefore, if the quotients are less than 1.96, then the assumption that the values originate from a normally distributed entity cannot be refuted.



Fig. 1. Slope and curvature of a distribution curve.

μ

3

<u>/4</u>

## Scattering and Statistic Behavior of Characteristic Mechanical Value of CFC

In a study of the available results, it was assume that the parameters presented below have an effect on the characteristic values.

- -- fiber
- -- resin
- -- fiber/resin adhesion
- -- prepreg preparation
- -- handling of the prepreg
- -- hardening

4

The measured results were assigned to the parameters and compared to each other.

A check of the distribution of the measured values from random samples by using the cumulant method showed that a normal distribution cannot be excluded when the random samples studied were taken from one "laminate." The scope of random sampling lay between 6 and 8.

In Tables 2 and 3 statistic characteristic values are presented for strengths determined primarily by the fiber properties and also for strengths determined primarily by the resin properties or by the fiber/resin adhesion. The random samples differ by the fact that they were taken from laminates prepared from prepregs with different fiber charges. By way of explanation, we mention that the following different prepreg charges can exist:

- -- equal fiber charge/equal resin charge
- -- equal fiber charge/different resin charge
- -- different fiber charge/equal resin charge

A prepreg charge is defined so that it must be prepared from equal fiber charge and equal resin charge in a single continuous working process.

Now, as the quotients of slope and curvature of the individual random samples from Tables 2 and 3 show, a normal distribution can be assumed except in two cases. If we consider all measured values, then according to Table 2 this is also true for the cross bending strength but not for the longitudinal bending strength according to Table 1, which already indicates a systematic difference in random samples. /6

The fact that the values of random samples are normally distributed signifies that conclusions can be drawn from one random sample about all samples, i.e., a check can be made to determine whether the average value of a random sample originates from an assumed entity. This check takes place by means of the so-called t-test. Here, the average value  $\bar{x}$  of the random sample is compared with the theoretical value  $\mu$  of an entity, whereby as variance  $G^2$  the estimation  $\bar{S}x^2$  with f degrees of freedom is available. A number of tests is keyed by the degree of freedom and thus taken into consideration.

We have:

Test size  $t = \frac{x - \mu}{Sx} \cdot \sqrt{\mu}$ 

The test size t is now compared again with the limit values for the statistic certainty s = 95%.

If t <  $t_{95}$ , the difference is arbitrary. When t >  $t_{99}$ , the difference is assured. If we obtain  $t_{95} < t < t_{99}$ , then the difference is not assured.

For the cross-bending strength (Table 3), the average value of all samples was assumed as the basic entity because the quotients of slope and curvature indicate a normal distribution.

In the longitudinal bending strengths, this is not the case; therefore, the average value of random samples from 66 total samples was taken as the basic entity.

As the last column of the tables shows, the deviations of the average values of the random samples from the average value of the basic entity are not always arbitrary, which indicates systematic errors or differences.

If we presume that the parameters "hardening" and "handling of the prepreg" have the same effect for all random samples, then the random samples differ only by the prepreg fiber and partially by the resin batch. Therefore, as causes for systematic differences, only these three parameters come into consideration. Since for three prepreg batches, both the fiber as well as the resin batch were the same, the influence of prepreg preparation on the characteristic <u>/9</u>

TABLE 2. CHARACTERISTIC

6

							-		-		
•	Deviation x from $\mu$	chance	chance	chance	highly certain	ţ	highly certain	1	chance	chance	
	Quotient of curvature	-0,300	-0,460	+0, 397	-0,195	+0,593	+0,161	+2,302	-1,149	-0,216	+5,409
ING INGNELI	Quotient of slope Schlefe	+0,386	-1,432	-0,888	+1,029	+0,637	-1 ,065	-1,955	+0,400	-0,970	+1,503
DENG FROM D	Variation coeffi v .cient [%]	10,16	7,82	8,38	4,30	6,62	4,93	6,18	8,48	3,24	9,16
7 7 1177M	Stand ievias tion <sup>2</sup> ]	138,1	110,9	112,8	57,0	92,1	71,3	126,8	114,4	45,4	127,6
CTIVITIAN	Average value <del>x</del> [N/mm <sup>2</sup> ]	1358,1	1418,2	1346,2	1327,2	1390,9 <sup>x</sup>	1446,9	1380,8	1348,6	1402,6	1395,6
	'No. of samples	18	24	18	9	66	24	113	24	ى	299
	Fiber batch	C377	C380	C420	2T125B	2T131CR	2T1 31R	2T137D	2T146DR	2T147E	All meas ured values
	Mechan- ical proper- ty	و <sup>690</sup> ه									

×

Average value  $\mu$  of the entity Average value 😩

Fiber type: 130SC/10 000

Resin type: Code 69

STATISTIC VALUES OF LONGITUDINAL BENDING STRENGTH <sup>6</sup>bboo of ht fiber Laminates with fibers from different batches

Mill - After

<u>/1</u>

Tuber.

CHARACTERISTIC STATISTIC VALUES OF CROSS BENDING STRENCTH 6<sub>DB</sub>9000 HT FIBER LAMINATES WITH FIBERS FROM DIFFERENT BATCHES TABLE 3.

all ne.

Construction of the second

Deviation X from µ	highly certain	highly certain	highly certain	chance	highly certain	chance	certain	chance	chance	
Quotient of curvatur	167,0-	-1,121	+0,040	-0,692	-0,727	-0,626	+1,213	-0,467	-0,604	-0,886
Çuotient of slope	+0,490	-0,576	-1,166	-0, 392	-0, 982	-0,146	-2,150	<del>-</del> 0, 309	+0,435	-1,545
Variation coeff(- v .cient [v]	9,04	13,77	11,68	14,97	11,73	14,69	15,84	9,53	12,94	15,88
Standard Jevia- tion [N/mm <sup>2</sup> ]	8,2	11,9	9,2	14,7	12,7	14,4	16,5	10,2	13,7	15,9
Average value¥ [N/mm <sup>2</sup> ]	90,9	86,5	79,2	98,1	109,0	98,3	104,3	106,9	106,3	100, 7 <sup>K</sup>
No. of samples	17	25	18	11	71	108	114	24	9	394
Fiber batch	c377	c38c	C420	2TI 25B	2T131CR	2T131R	2T137D	2T146DR	2T147E	All meas- ured values
Mechan- ical proper- ty							0068	۹۹		

 $^{\mathbf{x}}$  The average value from all samples equals average value  $\mu$  of the entity.

Fiber type: 130SC/10 000

Resin type: Code 69

<u>/8</u>

2

-

į

values could be checked.

The statistic data of the characteristic values determined for laminates from these three prepregs are compiled in Table 4. As the quotients of slope and curvature show, a normal distribution can be assumed for all random samples so that again it can be checked whether the average value of the random samples comes from a single basic entity. As basic entity we assumed the average value  $\mu_1$  of the results determined on samples with three prepregs and the average value  $\mu_2$  of all results.

As the next-to-last column shows, the deviation of average values  $\bar{x}_i$  from  $\mu_1$  is arbitrary both for the longitudinal bending strength as well as for the cross bending strength. This means that prepreg preparation apparently provides no significant contribution to the total scattering. As the last column shows, this is no longer the case when the average value of random samples is compared with a basic entity which also considers measurements of samples with fibers and resin from other batches. From this we can conclude that the supposed systematic error differences in the results from Tables 2 and 3 are attributable primarily to the causes of "fibers" and "resin." This statement is supported by the results of Fig. 2, where the average values from random samples from the same resin and different fiber batches or the same fiber and different resin batches are illustrated. With one exception (Fig. b), we clearly see the influence of fiber and resin on the measured results.

Owing to the results presented above, both parameters must be considered as primary causes for the considerable amount of scattering of strength values for CFC.

Another possibly significant cause of scattering is the hardening of the prepreg gel to laminate. There are at least three reasons which make an optimum hardening doubtful:

- a) difference in resin (state) for different resin batches;
- b) different temperature distribution in the autoclave, above all, in large autoclaves;
- c) deviations from a preset temperature, pressure, or vacuum profile for hardening, e.g., due to manual control.

<u>/11</u>

TABLE 4. CHARACTERISTIC STATISTIC VALUES OF LONCITUDINAL BENDING AND CROSS BENDING STRENGTHS OF

and a second second second second

	deviation x from $\mu_2$		certatu	chance		1		highly certain	not certain	-		
	deviation x from p	chance		chance		ŧ		chance	chance			
	curvature	657,0-	-0.366	226,1-	-0,851	-2,044		, 5 <b>91</b>		1([,0-	-0,934	
and tent	slope	-0, 203	+0, 205	+0,562	+2,05	(((, ))		+0,409	+0, 561	+0,214	8,0+	
variation	coeffi-v cient <sub>j</sub>	8,24	9,48	14,60	11,51	13,04		<b>1</b> 9,61	05,11	12.42	16,99	
Stand	devia- tion [#/==^2]	66.7	107,7	165,7	126,0	149,2			6.9	( <b>1</b> 0, )	12.6	
Averageval	ues <del>7</del> [H/mm <sup>2</sup> ]	1076, 3	1136,0	1119,1	1101,2	£.44.11	85.4	60,2	60,9	83,6	74,5	
No. of	samples	24	8	\$	101	250	₹	24	24	89 89 89	112	
Prepreg	batch	1885	1161	1921	All meas- ured val- ues'from 2CM1260	All measured val- uestrom	1885	1161	1921	All meas- ured value rrom 200	All meas- ired val- lesfrom 2005C	
Mech -	anical proper- ties		1	د <del>س</del> ة,	/n] ~	C#9		[,	, <b>a</b> ,	N) 0068	<u>م</u> ود هد م	

HM-FIBER LANINATES FROM PREPRECS WITH EQUAL FIBER AND EQUAL RESIN

L)  $\mu_{\rm l}$  = average value from all samples from one fiber batch

2) The average value from all samples equals  $\mu_2$  of the basic entity

Fiber type: 200SC/10 000

Resin type: Code 69

Resin batch No.: 83/8916

Fiber batch No.: 2CM128B

/10

Differences in resin can influence the following important characteristic quantities for optimum hardening:

/12

/14

- -- percent volatiles
- -- gel point or gel range
- -- viscosity behavior
- -- resin flux

With regard to point b) above, in a large autoclave temperature differences up to 30°C should be expected during the heating phase. In a consideration of the available results, it was not possible, however, to prove the influence of parameters which make optimum hardening doubtful, because a study of point a) above was not performed and attention was not paid to the position of the laminate in the autoclave during the hardening.

As Fig. 3 shows, an influence of hardening is quite clear, however, since with the exception of run numbers 40 and 64 (leak in the vacuum), all hardening cycles were performed according to regulation. The laminates which were hardened in run numbers 41 and 43 were defect: e inasmuch as the individual prepreg gels were laminated by means of a teflon spatula. A decrease in those strengths determined by the resin or adhesion between fiber and resin is enormous and undeniable.

Since teflon is a separating agent, the laminates laminated with it are representative for fouling and improper handling in setting the individual layers.

From the discussion of the results presented above, one can conclude that the considerable scattering characteristic values of CFC are caused to a considerable extent by the following parameters;

- -- fibers
- -- resin
- -- handling of the prepreg
- -- hardening

The fraction of the total scattering due to the individual causes can only be estimated at the moment, for the reasons mentioned above. In consideration of additional experiences presented below, it can be assumed that fiber and hardening play a greater role in the total scattering than the other two.



Fig. 2. Influence of fiber and resin batch on strength of CFC laminates.

<u>/13</u>

As regards the problem of the fibers, it has come to light as a result of these studies that the degree of surface pretreatment was not held constant or could not be held constant.

The fibers are subjected to a preliminary surface treatment in order to improve adhesion of fibers with the resin. This preliminary surface treatment affects not only the adhesion between fiber and resin, as Fig. 4 shows, but also the tensile and bending strength. The percentage of total scattering due to the fibers will depend at least on the consistency of preliminary surface treatment.

Besides this parameter, there are certainly other effects, caused by the fiber processing, which affect fiber properties and thus contribute to this scattering. From Fig. 5 we can see, for instance, that the tensile strength of C-fiber filaments have become larger since 1975 on the average, and their scattering has become smaller. Scattering of the variation coefficients was also less in this period and the fraction of smaller coefficients was greater. Nevertheless, the extent of scattering is still considerable. If we assume, for instance, that the greatest variation coefficient can be correct both for the smallest and greatest average value, then the limits of strength are about  $230 \text{daN/mm}^2$  and  $410 \text{daN/mm}^2$  with a statistical certainty of 99.7%. That this consideration is correct is demonstrated by the fact that Toray Co. gives a guaranteed minimum tensile strength value of 230 daN/mm<sup>2</sup> in their data sheet for the fiber T300.

## Summary and Outlook for Possibilities to Reduce the Scattering of Characteristic CFC Values

Previously, in prepreg specifications, only a check of prepreg properties and of the hardened laminate was required. Obviously, this is no longer sufficient.

A check of the two components, fiber and resin, is also important. As regards the fibers, the fiber manufacturer must insure that the degree of preliminary surface treatment is kept within certain limits. This means that the degree of preliminary surface treatment must be controllable and specified. <u>/18</u>



Fig. 3. Influence of autoclave runs on the strength of CFC laminates. Fiber type: 130SC/10 000

13

<u>/15</u>



Degree of preliminary fiber surface treatment

/16

ŝ

Fig. 4. Strengths of CFC as a function of preliminary fiber surface treatment,



Fig. 5. Average values and variation coefficients of tensile strengths of C-fiber filaments (report from Toray Conference in Europe, 1978). /17

Since different preliminary surface treatments are used by fiber manufacturers and normally no details of these methods are made known, this problem can only be solved through cooperation between manufacturer and consumer.

Since, as has been shown, the properties of composites in and perpendicular to the fiber direction will depend on the degree of preliminary surface treatment, this treatment should be designed so that optimum conditions are present for the basic strengths  $G_{\parallel}$ ,  $G_{\perp}$  and  $T_{\pm}$  with regard to practical composites (0°/±45°/90°). This information has nothing to do with scattering -- it simply shows one possibility for improving the compatibility of fiber composites.

Another, relatively simple, possibility for reducing the scattering is a  $\frac{/19}{19}$  specific selection of fibers of certain quality by fiber manufacturers. The certainly increased fiber costs would have to be compared to the effects of a reduced scattering.

In principle, for the resin components, the same information will apply as pertained to fibers, i.e., the state of the resin should be controllable and specified. In addition, it should be assured that hardening of the prepreg is optimum.

Since no methodical study has been performed up to now, but rather measured results occurring within a certain time period were used for the above discussion, no quantitative statement can be made.

The overall problem and consequences connected with it should be solved or quantified by a methodical study. Such a program could be designed as follows:

- 1. Study of resins and fibers from different prepreg charges;
- Preparation of laminates with the different prepreg charges, each with the same hardening cycle;
- Determination of the extent of faults (cavities, cracks) with nondestructive test methods;

- Determination of characteristic mechanical values of the laminates from point 2 above, at room temperature, 150°C and after aging (warm, moist climate);
- 5. Determination of causes of failure of the test samples from point 4 above;
- 6. Checking the degree of hardening of the hardened laminate;

/20

- Creation of correlations between all experiences gained from points 1 to 5 above;
- 8. Preparation of laminates with the different prepreg charges, each with different hardening cycles;
- 9. Like points 3 to 6 above;

arity and a start of the start of the

- Creation of correlation between the experiences gained from point 9 above;
- 11. Working out suggestions to reduce the extent of scattering.